DETECTING LEFTMOST PERIODICITIES

Michael G. Main

CU-CS-357-87 January 1987

DETECTING LEFTMOST PERIODICITIES
(January 1987)

Michael G. Main
Department of Computer Science
University of Colorado
Boulder, CO 80309 USA

1. Introduction

Periodicities are nonempty strings of the form $p^n q$ with $n \geq 2$ and q a substring of p. This note presents a new algorithm to find all leftmost occurrences of periodicities within a string. For a fixed alphabet, the worst-case time is linear in the length of the string.

The study of periodicities dates from the pioneering work of Axel Thue at the beginning of this century [11,12,5]. More recently, there has been a surge of interest in periodicities among researchers in formal language theory [2]. In 1978, an $O(n \log n)$ algorithm was presented to determine whether a string contains a periodicity of the form pp (a "square") [6]. Later, this algorithm was improved to find all squares in the same asymptotic time bound. (In fact, this was done in at least three independent and simple ways [1,3,7].)

An algorithm was also presented by A.O. Slisenko [10], finding all periodicities in linear time. But, Slisenko's algorithm was a difficult 100-page presentation, so research continued to either simplify Slisenko's algorithm or find alternative methods of detecting periodicities. Most recently, there were two linear algorithms to determine whether a string contains a square [3,8]. Both of these papers left several open problems, which are addressed by the new algorithm presented here.

The principal open problem solved here is this: Let x be a string. A *periodicity* (within x) is a substring of the form $p^n q$, with $n \geq 2$ and q a prefix of p. The length of p is called the *period-length* of the periodicity. If a periodicity $p^n q$ occurs several times within a string x, then the first time it occurs is called the *leftmost* occurrence. This note presents an algorithm to find all leftmost periodicities within a string x, in time proportional to the length of x, provided that the alphabet is a constant size.

Notation: The length of a string x is denoted by $|x|$, and the i^{th} character of x is denoted by $x[i]$. The substring starting at character i and ending at character j is written $x[i]..x[j]$.

2. Main Theorem

The algorithm uses a decomposition of a string called the s-factorization, also used by Crochemore in his most recent algorithm [3]. This is a decomposition of a string x into the concatenation of several strings $x = u_1 \cdots u_k$, defined recursively as follows: Suppose $u_1 \cdots u_{h-1}$ have already been defined, so that $u_1 \cdots u_{h-1}$ is a proper prefix of x. Now we want to define u_h. Here are the rules:

(1) If the next character of x (after u_{h-1}) has not yet appeared in x, then u_h consists of this single character.

(2) Otherwise, u_h is the longest string such that $u_1 \cdots u_h$ is a prefix of x and u_h is a non-suffix substring of $u_1 \cdots u_h$.

The following theorem gives two properties of leftmost periodicities, in terms of the s-factorization of a string:

Theorem 1: *Let x be a string with s-factorization $x = u_1 \cdots u_k$ and let r be a periodicity of x, with the leftmost occurrence of r at $x[i] .. x[j]$, and with $x[j]$ occurring within u_h. Then*

(1) $x[i]$ occurs before u_h, and

(2) $|r| \le 2|u_{h-1}u_h|$.

Proof: (1) Suppose condition 1 does not hold, so that r is entirely within u_h. Then u_h has at least two characters, and by the definition of the s-factorization, u_h must occur as a non-suffix substring of $u_1 \cdots u_h$. But this means that $x[i] .. x[j]$ is not the leftmost occurrence of r. By this contradiction, condition 1 must hold.

(2) Let $r=p^n q$, and suppose condition 2 does not hold. Then at least half of $x[i] .. x[j]$ is before u_{h-1}. This means that at least one entire occurrence of p has occurred at the beginning of $x[i] .. x[j]$ before the start of u_{h-1}. Moreover, when we start u_{h-1}, we are in the middle of some later occurrence of p. This means that the substring beginning at the first character of u_{h-1} and continuing until $x[j]$ also occurs earlier in x. But, since u_{h-1} ends before $x[j]$, this violates the maximality condition in the definition of an s-factorization. Therefore, condition 2 must hold.

3. The Algorithm

Here is the algorithm to find all leftmost periodicities within a string x:

(1) Compute the s-factorization $x = u_1 \cdots u_k$ of the input string x.

(2) For each h $(2 \le h \le k)$, let t_h be the substring of length $2|u_{h-1}| + |u_h|$ which immediately precedes u_h in x (or to the beginning of x if there are not enough characters before u_h).

(3) **for** $h := 2$ **to** k **do**

begin

(3.1) Find all periodicities which start in t_h and end in u_h.

end.

From the theorem of the previous section, any leftmost occurrence of a periodicity r (within x) which ends within u_h will be found by step 3.1 of the algorithm.

For a finite alphabet, it is possible to compute the s-factorization of a string x in $O(|x|)$ time, by adapting McCreight's suffix tree construction [9]. (This same adaptation is used by Crochemore [3].) Therefore, the first two steps of the algorithm require linear time (for a fixed alphabet). If the alphabet is infinite, then the s-factorization requires $O(|x| \log|x|)$ time, and the first two steps also require $O(|x| \log|x|)$ time.

Step 3.1 may be computed in time $O(|t_h u_h|)$, using a modification of an algorithm which finds all new squares that appear when two strings are concatenated. (This modification is given in the next section.) Since $\sum_{h=2}^{k} |t_h u_h| < 4|x|$, the total time spent in Step 3 is $O(|x|)$.

Therefore, the worst-case time of the entire algorithm is linear in the length of x (for a fixed alphabet) or $O(|x| \log|x|)$ for an arbitrary alphabet.

4. Finding New Periodicities

Let t and u be two strings, with $|t| = m$ and $|u| = n$. This section shows how to find all new periodicities that are formed in the concatenation tu. (These are periodicities with the first character in t and the last character in u.) The algorithm requires $O(m+n)$ time, and is a modification of an earlier algorithm which finds new squares [7].

The algorithm has two parts. The first part finds all new periodicities which have at least one full period in the string u. These are called *right* periodicities. The second part finds all new periodicities which have at least one full period in the string t (*left* periodicities). Here we present only the first part, since the second part is symmetric. This first part makes use of two functions LP and LS, defined as follows:

For ($2 \le i \le n+1$): $LP(i)$ is the length of the longest prefix of u which is also a prefix of $u[i] .. u[n]$. ($LP[n+1]$ is defined as zero.)

For ($1 \le i \le n$): $LS(i)$ is the length of the longest suffix of t which is also a suffix of tv, where v is $u[1] .. u[i]$.

The following theorem characterizes new right periodicities which are formed in the concatenation of tu:

Theorem 2: *Let j ($1 \le j \le n$) be an integer. The new right periodicities (in tu) with period-length j are precisely those substrings of tu which:*

(1) *Have length $2j$ or more, and*

(2) *Begin at or before $t[m]$ and end at or after $u[j]$, and*

(3) *Begin at or after $t[m-LS(j)+1]$ and end at or before $u[j+LP(j+1)]$.*

Proof: The first two conditions are clearly necessary, so let r be a substring of tu, which meets these two conditions, and let $i = |r|$. We will show that the remaining condition is necessary and sufficient for r to be a periodicity with period-length j.

Let a be the number of characters of r in t, and let b be the number of characters of r in $u[j+1] .. u[n]$. (So that $i = a+b+j$.) For r to be a periodicity with period-length j, it is necessary and sufficient for the first $i-j$ characters of r to match the last $i-j$ characters of r. Equivalently,

(A) $r[1] .. r[a]$ matches $r[1+j] .. r[a+j]$, and

(B) $r[a+1] .. r[a+b]$ matches $r[a+j+1] .. r[i]$.

Condition (A) is equivalent to requiring $r[1+j]..r[a+j]$ to be a suffix of t. Since $r[a+j]$ occurs at position $u[j]$, this is equivalent to requiring r to begin at or after $t[m-LS(j)+1]$. Similarly, Condition (B) is equivalent to requiring r to end at or before $u[j+LP(j+1)]$. Thus, the Conditions (A) and (B) together are equivalent to (3) in the statement of the theorem.

Theorem 2 is the basis of the following algorithm to find all new right periodicities in tu:

(1) Calculate the values of $LP(2)$ through $LP(n+1)$, and the values of $LS(1)$ through $LS(n)$.

(2) **for** $j := 1$ **to** n **do**

begin

The new right periodicities (with period j) are all substrings of length $2j$ or more beginning in the range $t[m-LS(j)+1]$ through $t[m]$, and ending in the range $u[j]$ through $u[j+LP(j+1)]$.

end.

The calculations of LP and LS in Step 1 require $O(m+n)$ time, using a variation of the Knuth-Morris-Pratt pattern matching algorithm [7, section 2]. The body of the loop in Step 2 requires constant time for each j, so the entire loop is $O(n)$. Therefore, the entire algorithm takes time proportional to $|uv|$.

6. Notes

The algorithm of Section 4 finds the leftmost occurrence of every periodicity within a string in linear time (for a fixed alphabet). The algorithm may also find some non-leftmost occurrences of periodicities (those that span boundaries in the s-factorization). This information can be used to solve the problem of determining whether a string has a periodicity of the form p^n for different values of $n \geq 2$, solving a problem of Crochemore [3,4].

A further modification may allow the algorithm to find all periodicities in a simple manner. This seems likely since the periodicities that are not found are entirely within some u_h in the s-factorization, and each such u_h occurs previously in the string.

References

(1) A. Apostolico and F.P. Preparata, Optimal off-line detection of repetitions in a string, *TCS* 22 (1983) 297-315.

(2) J. Berstel and C. Reutenauer, Square-free words and Idempotent semigroups, in *Combinatorics on Words* (Lothaire, Ed.), Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA., Chapter 2.

(3) M. Crochemore. Linear searching for a square in a word, in: Proceedings of the N.A.T.O. Advanced Research Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithms on Words, NATO ASI Series, volume F12 (Springer-Verlag, 1984). Also appears in the *Bulletin of the EATCS* 24 (1984), 66-72.

(4) M. Crochemore. Problem 135, *Bulletin of the EATCH* 30 (1986), page 262.

(5) G.A. Hedlund, Remarks on the work of Axel Thue on sequences, *Nord. Mat. Tidskr.* 16 (1967), 148-150. MR 37 (1959), #4454.

(6) M.G. Main and R.J. Lorentz, An $O(n \log n)$ algorithm for recognizing repetition, Washington State University Technical Report CS-79-056, Pullman, WA 99164 (1979).

(7) M.G. Main and R.J. Lorentz, An $O(n \log n)$ algorithm for finding all repetitions in a string, *J. of Algorithms*, to appear (1984).

(8) M.G. Main and R.J. Lorentz, Linear time recognition of square-free strings, in: Proceedings of the N.A.T.O. Advanced Research Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithms on Words, NATO ASI Series, volume F12 (Springer-Verlag, 1984).

(9) E.M. McCreight. A space-economical suffix tree construction algorithm, *JACM* 23 (1976), 262-272.

(10) A.O. Slisenko. Detection of periodicities and string-matching in real time, *Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov Leningradskogo Otdeleniya Matematicheskogo Instituta im. V.A. Steklova AN SSSR* 105 (1981), 62 - 173.

(11) A. Thue, Uber unendliche Zeichenreihen, *Norske Videnskabers Selskabs Skrifter Mat.-Nat. Kl. (Kristiania)* (1906), Nr. 7, 1-22.

(12) A. Thue, Uber die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen, *Norske Videnskabers Selskabs Skrifter Mat.-Nat. Kl. (Kristiania)* (1912), Nr. 1, 1-67.