

DAVE-HAL/S: A SYSTEM FOR THE STATIC DATA FLOW  
ANALYSIS OF SINGLE-PROCESS HAL/S  
PROGRAMS

by

Carol Drey  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Colorado at Boulder  
Boulder, Colorado 80309

CS-CU-141-78

November 1978

This work was supported by grant NSG 1476 from NASA-Langley Research Center and NSF Grant Number MCS77-02194.



## Abstract

The application of data flow analysis algorithms to improve the reliability of single-process HAL/S programs is described. These algorithms evolved from the development of a system, DAVE, designed to analyze Fortran but are basically language-independent and therefore adaptable to HAL/S. An overview of the DAVE-HAL/S system is presented and features of HAL/S requiring special attention are discussed. The anomalies detected by the system are listed, followed by a high-level description of the system components. Since concurrency is a major feature of HAL/S, the last section indicates work in progress to adapt the analysis to handle concurrent-process as well as single-process programs.

## Introduction

DAVE-HAL/S is a tool for the static data flow analysis of HAL/S programs. The system described here is designed to detect the presence of data flow anomalies in single-process programs and is patterned after the DAVE system for FORTRAN [1]. DAVE-HAL/S, however, employs the faster, more efficient algorithms described in the Fosdick-Osterweil article, "Data Flow Analysis in Software Reliability" [2], which were developed after the completion of DAVE-FORTRAN. The term "DAVE" will be used here to refer to those elements of the analysis which are language-independent, while "DAVE-FORTRAN" and "DAVE-HAL/S" will be used to refer to specific DAVE systems.

This report first presents a summary of the ideas behind a DAVE system. For a more detailed description of the algorithms, the reader is referred to [2]. Section II discusses special features of HAL/S which affected the design of DAVE-HAL/S. The third section lists the anomalies detected by DAVE-HAL/S and their relationship to error conditions detected by the Intermetrics HAL/S compiler [3]. The fourth section consists of a high-level description of the data flow analysis system and the final section looks at work in progress to extend the analysis to concurrent process programs.

### I. Concepts and Capabilities

DAVE-HAL/S is designed to detect anomalous data flow patterns, symptomatic of programming errors, not only along paths within function and procedure blocks but along paths which cross block boundaries as well. The algorithms used to detect these patterns of variable usage employ two types of graphs to represent execution sequences of a program. The first, a flow graph, is used to represent the flow of control from statement to statement within a subprogram unit. Since nodes in a flow graph reflect the control structure of a program, there may not be a one-to-one correspondence between nodes in the flow graph and the source statements in a unit. The correspondence between HAL/S statement and node types is discussed in [4]. Note that while a statement containing a procedure or function invocation is represented as a single node, that node actually represents all the data actions which occur in-

side the called block. Because of the order in which DAVE processes subprogram units, the data flow information in the called unit can be passed across the block boundary without placing its control structure at the point of invocation in the caller. To utilize the flow graph, DAVE's analysis requires the following information for each node: (1) its predecessors; (2) its successors; (3) its node type; (4) a representation of the statement or statement part associated with it; and (5) an index specifying the sequential number of that associated statement.

The other type of graph used is the call graph, which has the same form as a flow graph, but its nodes represent subprogram units and its edges indicate invocation of one unit by another. The call graph is used to guide the analysis of the units comprising a program in an order referred to as "leafs-up." The leaf subprograms, which invoke no others, are processed first; then those units which invoke only processed units are analyzed in a backward order with the main program block being processed last. In order to use this procedure, the call graph must be acyclic. Therefore, before DAVE-HAL/S begins analysis, it checks the call graph for cycles, indicating recursion, which is illegal in HAL/S. If it finds any cycles, it issues a message and terminates.

At the core of the data flow analysis is the idea of sets of variables called "path sets," which are associated with nodes in the flow graph. Membership of a variable in a path set for a node indicates that a particular sequence of data actions on that variable occurs at the node. The three possible actions are reference, define, and undefine. For statements containing no procedure or function invocations, determination of path set membership is straightforward. For instance, for the assignment statement,  $\alpha = \alpha + \beta$ , associated with a node  $n$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  will be placed in those path sets which represent a reference as the first data action at  $n$ .  $\alpha$  will also be placed in those path sets representing an arbitrary sequence of actions followed by a definition. A variable  $\gamma$ , appearing in the same subprogram, would be placed in the path set representing no action upon the variable at node  $n$ .

Let us consider a leaf subprogram. Once the path sets have been

determined for the nodes in its flow graph, the path sets for the unit as a whole can be constructed using the algorithms described in [2]. The same procedures are followed whether analyzing variables declared in the unit or global to it. For formal parameters and global variables, the path sets are used for passing variable usage information across block boundaries and are saved in a master table as each unit is analyzed. At the same time as these path sets for the unit as a whole are created, additional path sets are formed for each node reflecting what sequences of data actions occur entering and leaving that node. By intersecting path sets representing sequences of actions entering (or leaving) the node and occurring at the node, anomalous data flow patterns are detected. The three types of anomalies found in this manner are:

- (1) a reference to an uninitialized variable
- (2) two definitions of a variable with no intervening reference
- (3) failure to subsequently reference a variable after defining it

When a non-leaf subprogram is analyzed, path set membership is determined as for a leaf with this exception: When a function or procedure invocation is encountered at a node, path set information must be passed from the invoked block to this node. First the path sets for the invoked block as a whole are retrieved from the master table. Then the actual arguments are placed in the same path sets as their corresponding formal parameters. This is also done for any global variables which are members of the path sets for the invoked block. Thus, the data actions which occur in the invoked procedure are reflected in the path sets for the node containing the invocation. Other than this, the analysis follows the same steps as outlined for a leaf unit.

In addition to the aforementioned anomalous path detection, the analysis performed by DAVE provides information which may be used for program documentation. This includes the order in which code blocks may be invoked, which variables need to be assigned values before entry to a block and which variables are assigned values there, as well as the side effect data flow of global variables as a result of an invocation of the block.

Although DAVE-HAL/S was designed for the HAL/S language, there should be no problem in employing it to analyze HALMAT code. Since HALMAT contains the same data and control flow information as HAL/S, it can be mapped onto the same type of flow graph as HAL/S and the same type of variable usage information can be gathered from it -- the two basic requirements for the operation of DAVE.

## II. Special Considerations for HAL/S

Some special features of HAL/S and their impact on the design of DAVE-HAL/S are discussed in this section.

1. Data types: Three types of subscripting - array, structure, and component - may be applied to the HAL/S data types MATRIX, CHARACTER, VECTOR, ARRAY, STRUCTURE. As in DAVE-FORTRAN, any action performed upon one element of a subscripted data item is considered to be performed on the data item as an entity.
2. Temporary variables within DO...END statement groups: We assume that each data item contains a unique entry in a symbol table. Therefore, the name of a temporary data item may be treated as a local variable for the block containing the DO...END.
3. In-line functions: Since a data item declared in an in-line function will have a unique symbol table entry, it may be treated as local to the containing block.
4. % macros and REPLACE: We assume that DAVE-HAL/S works on the expanded text of a program.
5. SUBBIT pseudo-conversion function: This is the only conversion function which may appear in an assignment context as well as in an expression. When used in an assignment context, its argument is assigned a value as a result of its invocation. When used in a referencing context, its argument is considered referenced, as with the other conversion functions.

6. (a) NAME facility: When a NAME data item is used as an ordinary data item (indirect accessing), all one can say is that it is being referenced, and nothing at all about the data item it points to.
- (b) NAME pseudo-function: This function is used to reference or modify pointer values. In a referencing context, if the argument is an ordinary data item, one or more pointers to it are created. For DAVE's purpose, this is not considered a reference to the ordinary data item. If the argument is a NAME data item, it is considered referenced. The appearance of a NAME pseudo-function in an assignment context causes the argument, which may only be a NAME data item, to be defined.

### III. Anomalies Detected by DAVE-HAL/S

Of those anomalies detected by DAVE-FORTRAN that are not FORTRAN specific, there are two that are detected by the Intermetrics HAL/S compiler: (1) the mismatch of actual arguments and formal parameters in type or dimensionality, and (2) an indication of variables which are declared but never used.

Anomalous data flow which DAVE-FORTRAN does detect which the HAL/S compiler does not are, as described earlier:

- (1) a reference to an uninitialized variable
- (2) a definition of a variable followed by another definition of that variable with no intervening reference
- (3) a definition of a variable with no subsequent reference to that variable

These three conditions are language-independent and their detection is useful for verifying HAL/S code.

The HAL/S compiler does detect an uninitialized variable reference but only if the variable never appeared in an assignment context. It does no path analysis. Neither does it do any analysis across procedure boundaries, as DAVE does. It will flag a formal input parameter which



is never referenced inside its block but it misses the fact that an actual input argument corresponding to that parameter is also not referenced at the point of invocation: Because it is an input argument, the compiler considers that a referencing context. For the same reasons, the compiler will also not detect several forms of side effects, e.g., an actual input argument being assigned a value via its global name inside an invoked procedure. A complete list of the anomalies detected by DAVE-HAL/S follows:

1. An input argument which is never referenced inside the called function or procedure is detected at the point of invocation.
2. An assign argument which is never assigned a value inside the called function or procedure is detected at the point of invocation.
3. A reference to a variable which has not been initialized on some or all paths to the reference. This anomaly is reported for all program variables.
4. Two definitions of a variable with no intervening reference on some or all paths between the definitions. This anomaly is reported only for simple local and global variables.
5. A definition of a variable occurring as the last action upon the variable in the program unit. This is reported for local variables declared AUTOMATIC in procedure or function blocks and for all local and COMPOOL variables at the main program level.
6. The non-usage of a variable is detected in the block containing the variable's declaration. Either the variable is never used or the variable name appears as an actual argument to a function or procedure but is never actually referenced or defined there. The HAL/S compiler cannot detect the second condition because it does no path analysis; it can only detect a variable which is declared but never appears again.
7. Possible side effect situations, which may occur when:

NOTE: None of these situations is illegal in HAL/S, but the results obtained are either dependent upon the parameter passing mechanism of the implementation as in (a)

and (b), an unusual data usage (c), or the order of evaluation of an expression (d).

- (a) the same data item appears both as an input argument and as an assign argument in a procedure invocation, e.g.,

```
      :  
CALL PROC(A)ASSIGN(A);      PROC:PROCEDURE(X)ASSIGN(Y);  
      :                      DECLARE INTEGER,X,Y,Z;  
      :                      :  
                          Y = 1;  
                          Z = X;  
                          :  
                          :
```

Since the HALS/S Language Specification [5] states that input arguments may be call-by-value or call-by-reference, the value that will be assigned to Z in this example is implementation dependent.

- (b) a global variable, appearing as an actual input argument is also assigned a value as a global variable by the invoked procedure or function, e.g.,

```
P:PROGRAM;                  C:PROCEDURE(X);  
DECLARE INTEGER,A,B;       DECLARE X INTEGER;  
      :                      :  
CALL C(B);                 B = B+1;  
      :                      A = X;  
      :                      :  
                          :
```

Note that if a global variable is an actual assign argument and is referenced by that name inside the invoked block, the result is well-defined since assign arguments are specified as call-by-reference. However, it may still be useful to document this type of data flow.

- (c) the same variable appears more than once in the list of assign arguments in a procedure invocation, e.g., CALL PROC ASSIGN (A,A). Again, the result is well-defined because assign arguments are call-by-reference, but the user should be made aware of the side effect.

- (d) evaluation of a function alters the value of a data item which appears elsewhere in the expression, right-hand-side of an assignment statement, or CALL statement in which the function invocation appears, e.g.,

```
DECLARE INTEGER,A,B,X,      FUN:FUNCTION INTEGER(X);  
      :                      DECLARE X INTEGER;  
      :                      :  
A = 2;                      :  
B = A+FUN(X);               A = A+1;  
      :                      RETURN X+1;  
                          CLOSE FUN;  
                          :
```

8. A statement which is not a RETURN but whose execution immediately precedes the CLOSE statement in a function block. This is only reported if there exists a path from the start node to this statement.
9. The presence of recursion in a HAL/S program.

#### IV. Design of DAVE-HAL/S

This section contains a high level description, written in PDL[6], of the flow segments comprising the DAVE-HAL/S data flow analysis system. These segments require access to certain data aggregates described in Section I: a call graph, a flow graph for each subprogram unit, and a master table containing an entry for each unit. In addition, these segments assume the availability of a symbol table containing a unique entry for each data item in the program and lists of local variables and global variables for each subprogram unit.

Tree of Inter-Segment References

Page Segment

- 11. Data flow analysis driver
- 12. Process call graph for cycles and leafs-up ordering
- 13. Depth first search(v)
- 14. Form path sets for template
- 15. Build path sets
- 19. Place (expression variables) in (X) path sets
- 22. Pass path set membership of (parameter) to (argument)
- 23. Report non-usage of (argument)
- 24. Report side effects involving (argument)
- 26. Pass path set membership of global variables over block  
boundary
- 27. Determine path sets for entire block
- 31. Number flow graph in postorder
- 32. Search and number(v)
- 33. Execute LIVE on graph
- 34. Execute AVAIL on graph
- 35. Report local anomalies
- 36. Determine anomalous paths of type ur, dd, du
- 38. Find a path that contains anomaly (direction, xy,  
frequency, node) for (variable)

SEGMENT Data Flow Analysis Driver

Process call graph for cycles and leafs-up ordering

IF cycles are present in call graph

    Output message ("Illegal recursion in program")

    Stop

ENDIF

DO for each block in leafs-up order

IF block is specified by template

        Form path sets for template

        Make entry in master table

        Output message ("Template used for (block name)")

ELSE

        Get flowgraph for block

        Build path sets

        Report local anomalies

        Report global anomalies

        Make entry in master table

ENDIF

ENDDO

END

SEGMENT Process call graph for cycles and leafs-up ordering

```
*****  
*  
* This segment determines the presence of cycles in the call graph *  
* (indicating recursion) and determines the postorder numbering of the *  
* call graph, which is the leafs-up order in which the subprogram units *  
* will be analyzed. *  
*  
*****
```

Initialize TREE to  $\emptyset$

DO for all nodes v in call graph

    PREORDER(v) = 0

ENDDO

i = 0

j = 0

Depth first search(entry node)

\*\*\* Check for backedges \*\*\*

cycles = false

DO for each node v in graph

DO for each node w on v's exit list

IF edge (v,w)  $\notin$  TREE

IF  $w \leq v < w + \text{DESCENDANTS}(w)$

                cycles = true

ENDIF

ENDIF

ENDDO

ENDDO

END

SEGMENT Depth first search(v)

```
*****  
*  
* This segment performs a depth first search on a directed graph, *  
* numbers the nodes in preorder and postorder and determines the depth *  
* first spanning tree and the number of descendants for each node in *  
* that tree. *  
*  
*****
```

i = i + 1

PREORDER(v) = i

DO for each node w on v's list of exit nodes

IF PREORDER(v) = 0

        Add (v,w) to TREE

        Depth first search(w)

ENDIF

ENDDO

DESCENDANTS(v) = i - PREORDER(v) + 1

j = j + 1

POSTORDER(v) = j

END

SEGMENT Form path sets for template

```
*****  
*  
* This segment places all input parameters in referencing path sets *  
* and assign parameters in defining path sets for procedure and function *  
* blocks represented by templates. *  
*  
*****
```

Set up and initialize path sets:  $A_x, B_x, C_x, D_x, E_x, F_x, G, I$  for  $x = r, d, u$

DO for each input formal parameter

Enter (parameter) in ( $A_r$ )

Enter (parameter) in ( $C_r$ )

ENDDO

DO for each assign formal parameter

Enter (parameter) in ( $D_d$ )

Enter (parameter) in ( $F_d$ )

Enter (parameter) in G

ENDDO

END



SEGMENT Build path sets

Initialize G(graph) =  $\emptyset$

```

*****
* Path set G has been added to the path
* sets described in [2] to be able to
* identify variables which are defined
* anywhere in the unit.
*****

```

DO for each node in flow graph

Initialize SIDEFACT = REF = DEF =  $\emptyset$

```

*****
* Used for detection of side
* effects
*****

```

Set up and initialize path sets:  $A_x(\text{node})$ ,  $B_x(\text{node})$ ,  
 $B_x(\text{node})$ ,  $C_x(\text{node})$ ,  $D_x(\text{node})$ ,  $E_x(\text{node})$ ,  
 $F_x(\text{node})$ ,  $I(\text{node})$  for  $x = r, d, u$

DO case of node type

Assignment:

Place (right-hand-side) in (referencing) path sets

Place (left-hand-side) in (defining) path sets

CALL:

Place (arguments) in (referencing) path sets

DO initialization:

Place (loop variable) in (defining) path sets

Place (variables in initial value expression) in (referencing) path sets

DO successor:

Place (variables in successor expressions) in (referencing) path sets

Place (loop variable) in (referencing) path sets

Place (loop variable) in (defining) path sets

DO test:

Place (variables in conditional expression) in (referencing) path sets

DO case:

Place (variables in expression) in (referencing) path sets

IF:

Place (variables in conditional expression) in (referencing) path sets

Program entry:

DO for all variables declared in program block

IF variable appeared with initialization attribute

Place (variable) in (defining) path sets

ELSE

Place (variable) in (undefining) path sets

ENDIF

ENDDO

DO for all COMPOOL variables

IF variable appeared with initialization attribute

Place (variable) in (defining) path sets

ELSE

Place (variable) in (undefining) path sets

ENDIF

ENND0

Procedure or function entry:

DO for all variables declared in this block

IF variable appeared with initialization attribute

Place (variable) in (defining) path sets

ELSE

Place (variable) in (undefining) path sets

ENDIF

ENDDO

CLOSE program:

DO for all variables declared in program block

Place (variable) in (undefining) path sets

ENDDO

DO for all COMPOOL variables

Place (variable) in (undefining) path sets

ENDDO

CLOSE procedure or function:

DO for all variables declared AUTOMATIC in this block

Place (variable) in (undefining) path sets

ENDDO

RETURN:

Place (variables in expression) in (referencing) path sets

READ:

Place (expression variables) in (defining) path sets

WRITE:

Place (expression variables) in (referencing) path sets

FILE input:

Place (variable on left-hand-side) in (defining) path sets

Place (variables in right-hand-side file expression) in (referencing) path sets

FILE output:

Place (variables in left-hand-side file expression) in (referencing) path sets

Place (variables in right-hand-side expression) in (referencing) path sets

Other:

Ignore

ENDDO

$$C_X(\text{node}) = C_X(\text{node}) \cup A_X(\text{node})$$

$$F_X(\text{node}) = F_X(\text{node}) \cup D_X(\text{node})$$

$$I(\text{node}) = \{\text{all variables}\} - (A_X(\text{node}) \cup B_X(\text{node}) \\ \cup C_X(\text{node}) \cup D_X(\text{node}) \cup E_X(\text{node}) \cup F_X(\text{node}))$$

$$G(\text{graph}) = G(\text{graph}) \cup C_d(\text{Node}) \cup F_d(\text{node})$$

IF SIDEFACT  $\rightarrow$  empty

DO for each variable in SIDEFACT

Output message ("A possible side effect has been detected in  
this statement involving (variable)")

ENDDO

ENDIF

ENDDO

Determine path sets for entire block

END

SEGMENT Place (expression variables) in (X) path sets

```
*****
*
*   This recursive segment processes data item tokens -- which may
*   be variables or references to procedures or functions -- in expres-
*   sions and places the variables in the appropriate path sets.
*   X is either referencing, defining, or undefining
*
*****
```

DO for each token in expression

Initialize TEMPREF, TEMPDEF to  $\emptyset$

DO case of token type

Built-in or conversion function (other than SUBBIT) name:

DO for each argument

Place (argument) in (referencing) path sets

ENDDO

SUBBIT pseudo-conversion function:

IF X is referencing

Place (argument) in (referencing) path sets

ELSEIF X is defining

Place (argument) in (defining) path sets

ENDIF

NAME pseudo-function

IF X is referencing

IF argument is NAME data item

Place (argument) in (referencing) path sets

ENDIF

ELSEIF X is defining

Place (argument) in (defining) path sets

ENDIF

User-defined function or procedure name:

DO for each argument

IF argument is an expression other than a single data item

Place (argument) in (referencing) path sets

ELSE

```

*****
* Argument is single data item -
*
* subscripted or unsubscripted variable name
*
*****

```

IF argument is subscripted variable

Place (variables in subscript) in  
(referencing) path sets

ENDIF

Get the formal parameter corresponding to argument

Pass path set membership of (parameter) to (argument)

Report non-usage of (argument) corresponding to (parameter)

Report side effects involving (argument)

ENDIF

ENDDO

Pass path set membership of global variables over block boundary  
Output message (documentation information on global variable usage in  
invoked block)

Unsubscripted or subscripted variable:

IF X is referencing or undefining

Enter (variable) in ( $A_X$ ) path set

Enter (variable) in ( $D_X$ ) path set

IF X is referencing

Enter (variable) in (TEMPREF) path set

ENDIF

ELSE

Enter (variable) in ( $D_d$ ) path set

IF variable is already in  $A_r$  or  $D_r$

Remove variable from  $D_r$

ELSE

Enter (variable) in ( $A_d$ ) path set

ENDIF

ENDIF

IF subscripted variable

Place (variables in subscript) in (referencing) path sets

ENDIF

Other:

Skip token

ENDDO

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*  
\* Check for side effects. A side effect occurs if evaluation of a  
\* function alters the value of any other element within the expres-  
\* sion, right-hand-side of assignment statement, or CALL statement  
\* in which the function invocation appears. Sets TEMPREF and TEMPDEF  
\* are used to contain variables which were classified referenced or  
\* defined while processing this token (variable or procedure or  
\* function reference). Sets REF and DEF contain variables which  
\* were referenced or defined in that part of the statement analyzed  
\* up to this token.  
\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

SIDEFCT = (TEMPREF  $\cap$  DEF)  $\cup$  SIDEFCT

SIDEFCT = (TEMPDEF  $\cap$  REF)  $\cup$  SIDEFCT

REF = REF  $\cup$  TEMPREF

DEF = DEF  $\cup$  TEMPDEF

ENDDO

END

SEGMENT Pass path set membership of (parameter) to (argument)

```
*****  
*  
* This segment passes the path set membership of a formal para-  
* meter in an invoked procedure to the corresponding actual argu-  
* ment in order to reflect data flow across procedure boundaries.  
*  
*****
```

DO for PATHSET =  $A_x$ ,  $B_x$ ,  $C_x$ ,  $D_x$ ,  $E_x$ ,  $F_x$ , and I for  $x = r, d, u$

IF parameter  $\in$  PATHSET (graph<sub>called</sub>)

Enter (argument) in (PATHSET(node<sub>caller</sub>)) path set

ENDIF

ENDDO

IF parameter  $\in$  G(graph<sub>called</sub>)

Enter (argument) in (G(graph<sub>caller</sub>)) path set

Enter (argument) in (TEMPDEF) path set

ENDIF

IF parameter  $\in$   $C_r$  (graph<sub>called</sub>) or  $F_r$  (graph<sub>called</sub>)

Enter (argument) in (TEMPREF) path set

ENDIF

END



SEGMENT Report non-usage of (argument) corresponding to (parameter)

IF argument is input argument

IF parameter  $\in$  I(graph<sub>called</sub>)

        Output message ("(Argument) specified as input argument is  
        not referenced in (called)")

ENDIF

ELSEIF parameter  $\notin$  G (graph<sub>called</sub>)

    Output message ("(Argument) specified as assign argument is not  
    assigned a value in (called)")

ENDIF

END

SEGMENT Report side effects involving (argument)

```

*****
*
*      (1) Detect side effect in which input argument is used by
*      its global name and assigned a value in called block, as well as
*      being associated with a formal input parameter.
*
*****

```

IF argument is input argument

IF argument  $\in G$  (graph called)

Output message ("Side effect condition - actual input argument is used by its global name in (called block) and is defined there.")

ENDIF

ENDIF

```

*****
*
*      (2) Detect side effect in which assign argument is used by
*      its global name in called block.
*
*****

```

IF argument is assign argument

IF argument  $\in A_X, B_X, C_X, D_X, E_X, F_X$  or  $G$  for  $X = r, d, u$

Output message ("Side effect condition - assign argument is used by its global name in (called block).")

ENDIF

ENDIF

```

*****
*
*      (3) Detect side effect in which an argument appears both as
*      an input and an assign argument in the same call.
*
*****

```

IF argument is assign argument and also appeared as an input argument

Output message ("Side effect condition - same data item appears both as an input argument and an assign argument.")

ENDIF

```

*****
*
*      (4) Detect side effect in which an assign argument appears
*      more than once in the list of assign arguments.
*
*****

```

IF argument is assign argument and appears elsewhere in assign argument list

Output message ("Side effect condition - argument appears more than once in assign list.")

ENDIF

END

SEGMENT Pass path set membership of global variables over block boundary

DO for each variable var in list of global variables for called block

DO for PATHSET =  $A_x$ ,  $B_x$ ,  $C_x$ ,  $D_x$ ,  $E_x$ ,  $F_x$ , and I for  $x = r, d, u$

IF var  $\in$  PATHSET (graph<sub>called</sub>)

Enter (var) in (PATHSET (node<sub>caller</sub>))

ENDIF

ENDDO

IF var  $\in$  G (graph<sub>called</sub>)

Enter (var) in (G(graph<sub>caller</sub>)) path set

Enter (var) in (TEMPDEF) path set

ENDIF

IF var  $\in$   $C_r$  (graph<sub>called</sub>) or  $F_r$  (graph<sub>called</sub>)

Enter (var) in (TEMPREF) path set

ENDIF

ENDDO

END

SEGMENT Determine path sets for entire block

Number flow graph in postorder

DO for each node in the flow graph

Set up and initialize sets: NULL, KILL, GEN, LIVE, AVAIL,  $A_x(n \rightarrow)$ ,  
 $C_x(n \rightarrow)$   $D_x(\rightarrow n)$ ,  $F_x(\rightarrow n)$ ,  $x = r, d, u$

ENDDO

Set up and initialize path sets:  $A_x(\text{graph})$ ,  $B_x(\text{graph})$ ,  $C_x(\text{graph})$ ,  
 $D_x(\text{graph})$ ,  $E_x(\text{graph})$ ,  $F_x(\text{graph})$ ,  $I(\text{graph})$ ,  $x = r, d, u$

DO for  $x = r, d$  and  $u$

DO Case of  $x$

x=r:

$y = d$   
 $z = u$

x=d:

$y = r$   
 $z = u$

x=u:

$y = r$   
 $z = d$

ENDDO

DO for each node in the flow graph

```
*****
* Determine A_x(graph) and *
* A_x(n-->) *
*****
```

IF Node type is not exit

$NULL(\text{node}) = I(\text{node}) \cup B_x(\text{node})$

$KILL(\text{node}) = A_x(\text{node})$

$GEN(\text{node}) = \{\text{all variables}\} - (KILL(\text{node}) \cup NULL(\text{node}))$

ELSE

$NULL(\text{node}) = \emptyset$

$KILL(\text{node}) = \emptyset$

$GEN(\text{node}) = \{\text{all variables}\}$

ENDIF

ENDDO

Execute LIVE on graph

$A_x(\text{graph}) = \{\text{all variables}\} - LIVE(\text{entry node})$

DO for each node in the flow graph

$A_x(n \rightarrow) = \{\text{all variables}\} - LIVE(\text{node})$

ENDDO

```

DO for each node in the flow graph
    GEN(node) = Cx(node)
    KILL(node) = (Ay(node) ∪ Az(node))
    NULL(node) = {all variables} - (GEN(node) ∪ KILL(node))

```

ENDDO

```

*****
* Determine Cx(graph) & Cx(n-->) *
*                                     *
*****

```

Execute LIVE on graph

```

Cx(graph) = LIVE(entry node)
DO for each node in the flow graph
    Cx(n-->) = LIVE(node)

```

ENDDO

```

*****
* Determine Bx(graph) *
*                                     *
*****

```

```

DO for each node in the flow graph
    NULL(node) = I(node) ∪ Bx(node)
    KILL(node) = Ax(node)
    GEN(node) = {all variables} - (KILL(node) ∪ NULL(node))

```

ENDDO

Execute LIVE on graph

```

Bx(graph) = ({all variables} - LIVE(entry node)) ∩ ({all variables} -
    Ax(graph)) ∩ Cx(graph)

```

```

*****
* Determine Dx(graph) and *
* Dx(-->n) *
*                                     *
*****

```

```

DO for each node in the flow graph
    GEN(node) = Dx(node)
    KILL(node) = (Fy(node) ∪ Fz(node))
    NULL(node) = {all variables} - (GEN(node) ∪ KILL(node))

```

ENDDO

Execute AVAIL on graph

```

Dx(graph) = AVAIL(exit node)
DO for each node in the flow graph
    Dx(-->n) = AVAIL(node)

```

ENDDO

DO for each node in the flow graph

IF node type is  $\rightarrow$  entry

GEN(node) =  $D_y$ (node)  $\cup$   $D_z$ (node)

KILL(node) =  $F_x$ (node)

NULL(node) = {all variables} - (KILL(node)  $\cup$  GEN(node))

ELSE

GEN(node) = {all variables}

KILL(node) =  $\emptyset$

NULL(node) =  $\emptyset$

ENDIF

ENDDO

Execute AVAIL on graph

$F_x$ (graph) = {all variables} - AVAIL(exit node)

DO for each node in the flow graph

$F_x$ ( $\rightarrow$ n) = {all variables} - AVAIL(node)

ENDDO

```

*****
* Determine  $F_x$ (graph) and *
*  $F_x$ ( $\rightarrow$ n) *
*****

```

DO for each node in the flow graph

IF node type is  $\rightarrow$  entry

GEN(node) =  $D_x$ (node)

KILL(node) =  $F_y$ (node)  $\cup$   $F_z$ (node)

NULL(node) = {all variables} - (GEN(node)  $\cup$  KILL(node))

ELSE

GEN(node) = {all variables}

KILL(node) =  $\emptyset$

NULL(node) =  $\emptyset$

ENDIF

ENDDO

Execute AVAIL on graph

$E_x$ (graph) = AVAIL(exit node)  $\cap$  ({all variables} -  $D_x$ (graph))  $\cap$   $F_x$ (graph)

ENDDO

```

*****
* Determine  $E_x$ (graph) *
*****

```

\*\*\*\*\*

Determine I(graph)

\*\*\*\*\*

I(graph)  $\leftarrow$  {all variables} 

DO For each node in the flow graph

IF node type  $\neg$  (entry or exit)  
    I(graph) = I(graph)  $\cap$  I(node)  
ENDIF

ENDDO

END



SEGMENT Number flow graph in postorder

```
*****  
*  
* This segment performs a depth first search on a flow graph and num-  
* bers the nodes in postorder by invoking recursive segment "Search  
* and number".  
*  
*****
```

DO for all nodes n in flow graph

    Indicate n "unmarked"

ENDDO

    i = 0

    Search and number (entry node)

END

SEGMENT Search and number (v)

```
*****  
* This recursive segment numbers nodes in a directed graph in postorder. *  
*  
*****
```

DO for each node w on v's list of exit nodes

IF w is unmarked

        Mark w

        Search and number(w)

ENDIF

ENDDO

i = i + 1

POSTORDER(v) = i

END

SEGMENT Execute LIVE on graph

n = number of nodes in flow graph

\*\*\*\*\* Graph is numbered in postorder \*\*\*\*\*

DO for j = 1 to n

    LIVE(j) =  $\emptyset$

ENDDO

change = true

DO while change is true

    change = false

DO for j = 1 to n

        PREVIOUS = LIVE(j)

        LIVE(j) =  $\emptyset$

DO for k = each of j's successors

            LIVE(j) = ((LIVE(k)  $\cap$  ({all variables} - KILL(k)))  
                         $\cup$  GEN(k))  $\cup$  LIVE(j)

ENDDO

IF PREVIOUS  $\neq$  LIVE(j)

            change = true

ENDIF

ENDDO

ENDDO

END



SEGMENT Report local anomalies

IF block is main program

DO for each COMPOOL variable in I(graph<sub>main</sub>)

        Output message ("COMPOOL variable (variable name)  
        unused in entire program.")

ENDDO

ENDIF

DO for each local variable in I (graph<sub>block</sub>)

    Output message ("Variable (variable name) declared in block  
    (block name) is never used.")

ENDDO

IF block is function block

    Get entry nodes for block's exit node

DO for each entry node n

IF n is not a RETURN node and  $\exists$  a path from start node of  
        function to n

            Output message ("Execution of function block possibly  
            ends on statement (number corresponding to node) which  
            is not a RETURN statement.")

ENDIF

ENDDO

ENDIF

Determine anomalous paths of type ur, dd, du

END

SEGMENT Determine anomalous paths of type ur, dd, du

DO for FORM = 1, 2, and 3

DO case of FORM

FORM = 1:  
x = u  
y = r

FORM = 2:  
x = d  
y = d

FORM = 3:  
x = d  
y = u

ENDDO

DO for each node n in flow graph

Get path sets for n

$ANOM = F_x(n) \cap C_y(n \rightarrow)$

IF ANOM  $\rightarrow$  empty

DO for each variable in ANOM

IF variable is simple variable  
or FORM is ur

Find a path that contains anomaly (leaving,  
xy, some, node) for (variable)

Output message ("On one or more paths leaving  
node anomaly of type (FORM) occurs for  
(variable). One such path is ...")

ENDIF

ENDDO

ENDIF

$ANOM = D_x(n) \cap A_y(n \rightarrow)$

IF ANOM  $\rightarrow$  empty

DO for each variable in ANOM

IF variable is simple variable or FORM is  $\rightarrow$  dd

Find a path that contains anomaly (leaving  
xy, all, node) for (variable)

Output message ("On all paths leaving node,  
anomaly of type (FORM) occurs for (variable).  
One such path is ...")

ENDIF

ENDDO

ENDIF

$ANOM = F_x(\rightarrow n) \cap C_y(n)$

IF ANOM  $\rightarrow$  empty

DO for each variable in ANOM

IF variable is simple variable or FORM is ur

Find a path that contains anomaly (entering, xy, some, node) for (variable)

Output message ("On one or more paths entering node, anomaly of type (FORM) occurs for (variable). One such path is ...")

ENDIF

ENDDO

ENDIF

$ANOM = D_x(\rightarrow n) \cap A_y(n)$

IF ANOM  $\rightarrow$  empty

DO for each variable in ANOM

IF variable is simple variable or FORM is  $\rightarrow$  dd

Find a path that contains anomaly (entering xy, all, node) for (variable)

Output message ("On all paths entering node, node, anomaly of type (FORM) occurs for (variable). One such path is ...")

ENDIF

ENDDO

ENDIF

ENDDO

ENDDO

END

SEGMENT Find a path that contains anomaly (direction, xy, frequency, node) for (variable)

```
*****  
*  
* direction = "entering" or "leaving" *  
* xy = "ur", "dd", "du", depending upon the type of the anomaly *  
* frequency = "some paths" or "all paths" *  
* node = node where anomaly was detected *  
* variable = variable for which anomaly was detected *  
*  
* Although one solution for finding a path containing an anomaly is *  
* to perform a restricted depth first search for one variable at a *  
* time, this segment will not be specified here as work is in pro- *  
* gress to find more efficient algorithms for localizing anoma- *  
* lous path expressions [7]. *  
*****
```

END



SEGMENT Report global anomalies

Determine anomalous paths of type ur, dd, du

END

## V. Extension of Analytic Techniques to Concurrency

The data flow analysis system described in this report assumes that the HAL/S code to be analyzed consists of a single process. However, the facility for creating a multi-process program structure is a major feature of the HAL/S language. The algorithms described here cannot be applied directly to analyze such code, but it appears that they can be adapted to concurrent-process programs. The concurrent analysis employs precedence graphs in which the effect of real time statements is modelled by special edges joining the flow graphs of different processes [8]. Central to the analysis is the static determination for each node in the program flow graph of which other nodes must precede its execution, follow its execution, or possibly execute concurrently with it. A complete presentation of these analytic techniques will be contained in a forthcoming report [9].

### Acknowledgments

I would like to acknowledge Rebecca Jones for significant help in creating the PDL specifications of the analysis algorithms.

References

1. L.J. Osterweil and L.D. Fosdick, "DAVE - A Validation Error Detection and Documentation System for Fortran Programs," Software - Practice and Experience 6 (1976), 473-486.
2. L.D. Fosdick and L.J. Osterweil, "Data Flow Analysis in Software Reliability," Computing Surveys 8, 3 (Sept. 1976), 305-330.
3. HAL/S-360 User's Manual, Version IR-58-15, Intermetrics, Inc., Cambridge, Mass., 1977.
4. B. Edwards, "Graph Representations for HAL/S Programs" (to appear).
5. HAL/S Language Specification, Version IR-61-9, Intermetrics, Inc., Cambridge, Mass., 21 July 1978.
6. Caine, Farber & Gordon, Inc., PDL, Program Design Language Reference Guide, 1977.
7. M. Gallucci, "Report on Path-Generating Algorithm," Dept. of Computer Science Internal SVG Memo #93, University of Colorado, May 1978.
8. G. Bristow, "The Static Detection of Synchronization Anomalies in HAL/S Programs," RSSM/82, Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado, October 1978.
9. "DAVE-HAL/S: A System for the Static Data Flow Analysis of HAL/S Programs" (to appear).