

FINDING A HOMOMORPHISM BETWEEN  
TWO WORDS IS NP-COMPLETE

by

Andrzej Ehrenfeucht  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Colorado at Boulder  
Boulder, Colorado

Grzegorz Rozenberg  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Antwerp, U.I.A.  
Wilrijk, Belgium

#CU-CS-134-78

September, 1978

ALL correspondence to second author.

- WORKING PAPER -



Abstract. We demonstrate that to find a homomorphism between two words  $x$  and  $y$  where letters of  $x$  can be chosen from an infinite alphabet and  $y$  is a word over a two letter alphabet is an NP-complete problem.

Looking for NP-complete problems today forms an active research area within complexity theory (see, e.g., [1]). Showing that a problem is NP-complete often contributes to our understanding of the difficulty of the problem and of the nature of NP-complete problems in general.

In this note we demonstrate that a very common problem from formal language theory is NP-complete: finding a nonerasing homomorphism between two words  $x$  and  $y$  (providing we do not restrict a priori the size of the alphabet of the word  $x$ ;  $y$  can be chosen over a two-letter alphabet.) The problem remains NP-complete even when arbitrary homomorphisms are admitted. It may very well be one of the simplest NP-complete problems known. On the other hand one can easily see that if the size of the alphabet is limited a priori then the problem is in  $P$ .

Formally it is defined as follows. (In the sequel, given a word  $x$ ,  $alph\ x$  denotes the set of letters appearing in  $x$  and  $\#_a x$  denotes the number of occurrences of the letter  $a$  in  $x$ ;  $HOM(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$  denotes the class of nonerasing homomorphisms from  $\Sigma_1^*$  into  $\Sigma_2^*$ ).

Let  $\Sigma$  be an infinite alphabet,  $\Delta$  its subset containing two elements,  $\Delta = \{b, c\}$  say. Let

$$MATCH(\Sigma, \Delta) = \{(x, y) : alph\ x \subseteq \Sigma, alph\ y \subseteq \Delta \text{ and there exists a homomorphism } h \text{ in } HOM(alph\ x, alph\ y) \text{ such that } h(x) = y\}.$$

*Theorem.* Membership in  $MATCH(\Sigma, \Delta)$  is NP-complete.

*Proof.*

- (i) Obviously membership in  $MATCH(\Sigma, \Delta)$  is in NP.
- (ii) Since 3-satisfiability is NP-complete (see, e.g., [1]) it suffices to show that for every Boolean expression  $\Psi$  in 3-conjunctive normal form (3-CNF) there exist a word  $x_\Psi$  in  $\Sigma^+$  and  $y_\Psi$  in  $\Delta^+$  such that
  - (\*)  $\dots (x_\Psi, y_\Psi) \in MATCH(\Sigma, \Delta)$  if and only if  $\Psi$  is satisfiable.

To this aim we proceed as follows.

Let  $V = \{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$  be a set of Boolean variables and let

$$\Psi = (P'_{i_1} \vee P'_{j_1} \vee P'_{k_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge (P'_{i_n} \vee P'_{j_n} \vee P'_{k_n}),$$

with  $i_q, j_q, k_q \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  be a Boolean expression over  $V$  in 3-CNF where,

for  $q \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , each  $P'_{i_q}$  ( $P'_{j_q}, P'_{k_q}$  respectively) is either a variable

$P_{i_q}$  ( $P_{j_q}, P_{k_q}$  respectively) or its negation  $\bar{P}_{i_q}$  ( $\bar{P}_{j_q}, \bar{P}_{k_q}$  respectively).

Our construction of words  $x_\Psi, y_\Psi$  takes two steps.

*STEP 1.*

We will construct a finite set  $W$  of pairs of words  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha \in V_\Psi^+, V_\Psi \subseteq \Sigma$ , and  $\beta \in \Delta^+$  such that

(\*\*) ...  $\begin{cases} \Psi \text{ is satisfiable if and only if there exists a homomorphism} \\ h \text{ in } \text{HOM}(V_\Psi, \{b\}) \text{ such that, for every } (\alpha, \beta) \text{ in } W, h(\alpha) = \beta. \end{cases}$

Let  $\bar{V} = \{\bar{P}_q : 1 \leq q \leq \ell\}$ . Clearly we can assume that  $V \cup \bar{V} \subseteq \Sigma$ .

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_n, U_1, \dots, U_n$  be new elements of  $\Sigma$  different from each other.

Let  $V_\Psi = V \cup \bar{V} \cup \{T_q : 1 \leq q \leq n\} \cup \{U_q : 1 \leq q \leq n\}$  and let

$$W_1 = \{(P_q \bar{P}_q, b^3) : 1 \leq q \leq \ell\},$$

$$W_2 = \{(T_q P'_{i_q} P'_{j_q} P'_{k_q}, b^6) : 1 \leq q \leq n\}$$

$$W_3 = \{(T_q U_q, b^4) : 1 \leq q \leq n\}.$$

Let  $W = W_1 \cup W_2 \cup W_3$ .

We prove (\*\*) as follows.

(1) Assume that there exists a homomorphism  $h$  in  $\text{HOM}(V_\Psi, \{b\})$  such that, for every  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $W$ ,  $h(\alpha) = \beta$ .

Then let  $f$  be the valuation of  $V$  such that for every  $q \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ ,

$f(P_q) = \text{false}$  if and only if  $h(P_q) = b^2$ . Since  $W_1 \subseteq W$ ,  $f$  is well defined (and it follows that  $f(P_q) = \text{true}$  if and only if  $h(P_q) = b$ ).

Since  $W_3 \subseteq W$ ,  $1 \leq |h(T_q)| \leq 3$  for  $1 \leq q \leq n$  and, because  $W_2 \subseteq W$ , this implies that  $3 \leq |h(P'_{i_q} P'_{j_q} P'_{k_q})| \leq 5$  for  $1 \leq q \leq n$ . Thus for every  $q \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  either  $|h(P'_{i_q})| = 1$  or  $|h(P'_{j_q})| = 1$  or  $|h(P'_{k_q})| = 1$  which implies that for every  $q \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $f((P'_{i_q} \vee P'_{j_q} \vee P'_{k_q})) = \text{true}$  and so  $\Psi$  is satisfied by  $f$ .

(2) Let  $\Psi$  be satisfiable and let  $f$  be a valuation of  $V$  which satisfies  $\Psi$ .

Let  $h$  be the homomorphism on  $V_\Psi^*$  defined by: for  $1 \leq q \leq l$ ,

if  $f(P_q) = \text{true}$  then  $h(P_q) = b$  and  $h(\bar{P}_q) = b^2$ ,

if  $f(P_q) = \text{false}$  then  $h(P_q) = b^2$  and  $h(\bar{P}_q) = b$ ,

for  $1 \leq q \leq n$ ,

if all three of  $f(P'_{i_q})$ ,  $f(P'_{j_q})$ ,  $f(P'_{k_q})$  are equal *true*

then  $h(T_q) = b^3$  and  $h(U_q) = b$ ,

if only two of  $f(P'_{i_q})$ ,  $f(P'_{j_q})$ ,  $f(P'_{k_q})$  are equal *true*

then  $h(T_q) = b^2$  and  $h(U_q) = b^2$ ,

if only one of  $f(P'_{i_q})$ ,  $f(P'_{j_q})$ ,  $f(P'_{k_q})$  is equal *true*

then  $h(T_q) = b$  and  $h(U_q) = b^3$ .

It follows directly from the definition of  $h$  that indeed for every  $(\alpha, \beta)$

in  $W$ ,  $h(\alpha) = \beta$ .

Thus (\*\*) holds.

*STEP 2.*

Now given  $W$  from STEP 1 we construct  $x_\Psi, y_\Psi$  satisfying (\*) as follows

Let  $W = \{(\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_m, \beta_m)\}$  for some  $m \geq 3$  and let  $x_\Psi = \frown \alpha_1 \frown \dots \frown \alpha_m$  and

$y_\Psi = \frown \beta_1 \frown \dots \frown \beta_m$ .

Note that if  $h$  is a homomorphism from  $\text{alph } x_\Psi = V_\Psi \cup \{\frown\}$  into  $\text{alph } y_\Psi = B$  such that  $h(x_\Psi) = y_\Psi$  then  $h(\frown) = \frown$  (because both  $x_\Psi$  and  $y_\Psi$

start with  $\phi$  and  $\phi \notin \text{alph } \beta_1$ ). Since  $\#_{\phi} x_{\Psi} = \#_{\phi} y_{\Psi}$  this implies that

(\*\*\*) ...  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a homomorphism } h \text{ from } \text{alph } x_{\Psi} \text{ into } \text{alph } y_{\Psi} \\ \text{such that } h(x_{\Psi}) = y_{\Psi} \text{ if and only if there exists a homo-} \\ \text{morphism } g \text{ from } V_{\Psi} \text{ into } \{b\} \text{ such that } g(\alpha_q) = \beta_q \text{ for} \\ 1 \leq q \leq m. \end{array} \right.$

But (\*\*\*) and (\*\*) imply (\*) and so the theorem holds.

*Remark.* If we change the definition of  $\text{MATCH}(\Sigma, \Delta)$  to the definition of  $\text{MATCH}_{\Lambda}(\Sigma, \Delta)$  by allowing arbitrary rather than only nonerasing homomorphisms then the theorem still remains true. That is we get the result: "The membership in  $\text{MATCH}_{\Lambda}(\Sigma, \Delta)$  is NP-complete." The main idea of the proof is the same and the only changes to be made are the following ones:

- (1) For every  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $W_1$  set  $\beta = b$  (rather than  $\beta = b^3$ ).
- (2) For every  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $W_2$  set  $\beta = b^3$  (rather than  $\beta = b^6$ ).
- (3) For every  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $W_3$  set  $\beta = b^2$  (rather than  $\beta = b^4$ ).
- (4) Given a homomorphism  $h$  "satisfying"  $W$  set the valuation  $f$  of  $V$  by:  
 $f(P_q) = \text{true}$  if and only if  $h(P_q) = b$ .
- (5) Given a valuation  $f$  of  $V$  satisfying  $\Psi$  set the homomorphism  $h$  by  
 if  $f(P_q) = \text{true}$  then  $h(P_q) = b$  and  $h(\bar{P}_q) = \Lambda$ ,  
 if  $f(P_q) = \text{false}$  then  $h(P_q) = \Lambda$  and  $h(\bar{P}_q) = b$ ,  
 if all three of  $f(P'_{i_q})$ ,  $f(P'_{j_q})$ ,  $f(P'_{k_q})$  are equal *true*  
     then  $h(T_q) = \Lambda$  and  $h(U_q) = b^2$ ,  
 if only two of  $f(P'_{i_q})$ ,  $f(P'_{j_q})$ ,  $f(P'_{k_q})$  are equal *true*  
     then  $h(T_q) = b$  and  $h(U_q) = b$ ,  
 if only two of  $f(P'_{i_q})$ ,  $f(P'_{j_q})$ ,  $f(P'_{k_q})$  are equal *true*  
     then  $h(T_q) = b^2$  and  $h(U_q) = \Lambda$ .

(6) In *STEP 2* define

$$x_{\Psi} = \phi \phi \alpha_1 \phi \dots \phi \alpha_m \phi \alpha_1 \phi \dots \phi \alpha_m \text{ and}$$

$$y_{\Psi} = \phi \phi \beta_1 \phi \dots \phi \beta_m \phi \beta_1 \phi \dots \phi \beta_m.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aho, J. Hopcroft and J. Ullman, The Design and Analysis of Computer Algorithms, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1974.

Acknowledgments. The second author is very much indebted to IBM Belgium and NFWO foundation for supporting his stay at the University of Colorado at Boulder which made the work on this and other papers possible.