SHRP-LTPP Support Study No: 3.20

Background

Strategic Highway Research Program (SHRP)/Long-Term Pavement Performance (LTPP) program entered into its second decade beginning in 1998. A portion of the discussions at the national level is now centered on SHRP product implementations.

The SHRP LTPP program is currently engaged in extended monitoring of selected LTPP sites across the country. For the western states FHWA currently contracts with Nichols Consulting Engineers, Inc. for data collection and management.

There are currently ten LTPP sites in Colorado being monitored by FHWA contractors:

080200, SPS-2, EB I-76 (Denver), MP 18.4

080800, SPS-8, EB Chestnut Street

081029, GPS-6S, WB U.S. 40 (Lay), MP 69.75

081053, GPS-6C, WB U.S. 50 (Delta), MP 75.3

083032, GPS-3, EB I-70 (Glenwood Spring), MP 95.75

087035, GPS-7S, EB I-70 (Glenwood Spring), MP 95.75

087776, GPS-3, EB I-70 (Denver), MP 289.7

087780, GPS-6S, WB U.S. 24 (Manitou Springs), MP 291.36

087781, GPS-6B, WB U.S. 50 (Las Animas), MP 402.18

087783, GPS-6S, EB I-70 (Rifle), MP 67.66

CDOT's role in this monitor is limited to providing work zone traffic control. Because of staffing changes and travel budget limitations, CDOT research staff will generally not be participating in related national meetings.

For more information reference:

http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/research/tfhrc/programs/infrastructure/pavements/ltpp/

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: 1/1/89

Principal Investigator(s):

Nichols Consulting Engineers, Inc.-Kevin Senn, Western Regional Project Manager, 775-329-4955

State LTPP Coordinator: Aziz Khan, ARIB, 303-

757-9522

State Field Work Coordinator: Skip Outcalt, 303-

757-9984

FHWA Washington Contact:

Aramis Lopez, Jr., P.E., LTPP Team Leader

Tel: 202-493-3145

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
02/28/01	100	02/28/01	Coordinate the acquisition of weather data from the SPS-2 and SPS-8 sites near Barr Lake with the SHRP Western Region. SPS-2 and SPS-8 experiments were designed to investigate the effects of various structural and environmental factors on the long-term performance of rigid pavements.

Colorado Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP) Study No: 4.50

Background

The Colorado LTAP Center is part of the nationwide FHWA LTAP program aimed at providing technology transfer and exchange of transportation information to local communities. In all, there are over 57 LTAP programs in the United States, with at least one LTAP Center in each state.

Providing training for rural and urban transportation communities is the number one priority of Colorado LTAP.

Colorado LTAP is administrated in partnership with the FHWA by the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT). Funding for the program is as follows: FHWA (50%), CDOT (25%), and the University of Colorado at Boulder (25%).


Reporting Period 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start: Ver:

Principal Investigator(s): Yunping Xi, University of Colorado/Boulder (303)-492-8991

Study Manager: Joan Pinamont (303) 757-9972

Study Panel Members: Joan Pinamont, CDOT (303) 757-9972 Rick Santos, FHWA

See next page for the quarterly LTAP report from the University of Colorado



COLORADO LTAP LTAP Quarterly Report

Report Period April 1, 2013 – June 30, 2013

Submitted to: Federal Highway Administration Colorado Department of Transportation University of Colorado at Boulder

Submitted by:

Colorado LTAP

3100 Marine St A-211 UCB 561 Boulder, CO 80309-0561 303-735-3530

Table of Contents

Prog	gram Dashboard:	3
A.	Program Administration	3
B.	Training	3
C.	General Program Support	4
C	CO.1 Newsletter & Information Exchange	4
C	0.2 Library Materials Distribution	5
C	0.3 Program Marketing, Outreach & Research	5
C	0.4 Out-of-State Travel	6
C .1	Safety Programs	7
C	1.1 Public Safety Awareness Programs	8
C	1.2 Retroreflectivity Loan Program	9
C	C1.3 Safety Training Support	9
C.2	Infrastructure Management	9
C.3	Workforce Development	10
C	23.1 You Show Us Contest Support	11
C	23.2 Local Road Coordinators' Conference Support	11
C	23.3 APWA Street Conference Administration & Library Materials	11
C.4	Operational Excellence	11
C	24.1 Information Technology Services	12
C	24.2 Equipment	12
C	24.3 Office Supplies	12
C	24.4 Staff Training	13
C	24.5 Monthly & Quarterly Advisory Meetings	13
C	24.6 Annual Needs Survey	13
C	24.7 NLTAPA Dues	13
Fina	ancial Report	13
App	pendix A: Profile Training Hours April 1, 2013 – June 30, 2013	14
Apr	pendix B: Total Program Expenses, July 1, 2012 – June 30, 2013	15

Colorado LTAP

4th Quarter

April 1 – June 30, 2013

Program Dashboard:

Total number of training sessions*: 22 Total number of participants*: 486

Total number of participant hours*: 3854

Total newsletter circulation: 1495 (hard-copy), 188 (electronic)

Total number of LTAP FTEs: 2.0625 (*Only for classes held between April 1 and June 30.)

Four Strategic Focus Areas

In 2008, FHWA issued guidance indicating that LTAP Centers transition from the traditional six tasks to a work plan that addresses the LTAP focus areas outlined in the national plan. The four focus areas are further divided into two general groups - the first three designed around external outcomes and processes, and the last a function of both internal and external LTAP center organization.

- 1. Safety worker and roadway safety;
- 2. Infrastructure Management;
- 3. Workforce Development; and
- 4. Organizational Excellence

Colorado LTAP's FY2012-2013 work plan reflects this outline and completed activities emphasize these four focus areas.

A. Program Administration

- April 1 June 30, 2013, Colorado LTAP had two full-time staff persons working for the center
 Program Director, Renée Railsback and Training Coordinator, Lindsay Marshall.
- In June, Lindsay announced she will be leaving LTAP July 31 to attend Graduate School. Renée will work with the CEAE department to hire a replacement full-time employee.
- The graduate student, Wang Xingang, ended working for the center in May. Wang handled the library loan program and training resources.
- Office Assistant, Marty Butcher worked hourly as needed until April 30.

B. Training

Colorado LTAP continued offering courses in its three training programs – Roads Scholar I, Roads Scholar II and Supervisory Skills and Development Program. This quarter, there were 22 days of training events offered - 10 of the classes were Roads Scholar I, 5 Roads Scholar II,

- and 2 Supervisory Skills and Development Program class. Class evaluations received an average of 97.03% success for classes held this quarter.
- ❖ There were 5 Flagger Certification workshops held in April. CDOT's new flagger manual and exam were used this year. There were 120 participants in the flagger courses this year.
- ❖ There were 11 Roadway Safety, 2 Worker Safety, 7 Infrastructure Management, and 2 Workforce Development courses offered this quarter. A full list of the courses offered in each focus area is shown in the table below.
- ❖ There were 7 Roads Scholar I graduates that were presented with their plaques in the Roadway Safety and Work Zone Traffic Control courses. There were 2 Supervisory Skills graduates presented with their plaques in the Written Communications class.
- There were two topics offered in the Roads Scholar II: Road Master program this quarter -Minor Bridge & Culvert Inspection in the Technical Skills focus area; and Road Safety Audits in the Transportation Management focus area.
- Colorado LTAP used to print and fold all class brochures in-house. However, we started printing all brochures through an online printing company and save a considerable amount of money. They also have a more professional quality.
- Details on any training course are available upon request; additional detail is also provided in the annual Work Plan.
- ❖ A complete list of classes held in the fourth quarter, April 1 June 30, 2013 is included in Appendix A.

C. General Program Support

Some tasks serve to advance all four focus areas. These are addressed in the *General Program Support* section of the report. Two tools utilized for technology transfer and information exchange include our quarterly newsletter publication and free lending library.

C0.1 Newsletter & Information Exchange

One of the main tools used for technology transfer and information exchange was a quarterly Newsletter publication. Newsletter articles were designed to educate transportation personnel to produce enhanced maintenance, workforce and safety outcomes.

- ❖ The Spring issue was distributed this quarter. There were 1495 recipients on the hard copy mailing list, and 188 people on the electronic mail list.
- ❖ The main cover story of the Spring newsletter highlighted the City of Colorado Springs mobile Signs for Life Safety Education Program. Other topics included articles about online videos showing how transportation technologies work; Continuity of Operations Plans for local agencies; flagger responsibilities in work zones; mini payor innovation; and recommendations for dust.
 - work zones; mini-paver innovation; and recommendations for dust control on county roads.
- ❖ The Spring newsletter issue was printed through an online printing company and LTAP has cut the total cost of Newsletter production in half from the 2012-2013 average (printing + mailing) cost. Using the online print company not only greatly improved the quality of the publication, the printing cost dropped from an average of \$1727/issue to \$677/issue.



C0.2 Library Materials Distribution

- Continued to manage our in-house lending library consisting of over 2500 items instructional videos, publications, and resources focusing on transportation design, maintenance, safety, and workforce related topics.
- ❖ In the fourth quarter, 26 items were *loaned* free to local transportation agencies. The table below shows the distribution by type and focus area. The most frequently loaned topics were Accident Causes and Prevention; Chainsaw Safety; Equipment Operation Safety; and Accident Free Backing.
- Separate from the lending library, 217 free publications, guidebooks and videos were distributed.
- ❖ 34 titles of new or updated materials were added to the library in the 4th Quarter 15 books, 0 CDs, 9 DVDs, and 10 Free Publications. Some of the new materials added addressed ADA: Project Civic Access; Design of Concrete Overlays; Guide for Partial Depth Repair of Concrete Pavements; How to be FEMA-Ready When Disaster Hits; How to Reduce Tire-Pavement Noise; Low Cost Safety Improvements; Non-Motorized User Safety; Public Works and Emergency Operations; Recovery Operations Field Manual; Snow and Ice Control-Field Handbook for Snowplow Operators; Unpaved Road Dust Management and Unpaved Road Chemical Treatments.
- ❖ Work on the library included updating the online library database's list of free materials available for distribution with what was actually in stock. New materials were ordered or printed and bound if necessary. Work was also done to transfer VHS tape videos onto CD.
- ❖ Between April 1 June 30, \$271.78 was spent on postage for mailing loaned materials.
- ❖ The table below illustrates the number of materials loaned or distributed April 1 June 30, by type and focus area.

Pub Type	Highway Safety	Infrastructure Management	Worker/Workplace Safety	Workforce Development
Book	1	1	0	0
Bulk Material	0	0	0	0
CD	0	0	0	0
Disk	0	0	0	0
DVD	1	0	9	3
Free Publication	42	54	118	3
Software	0	0	0	0
Video	0	2	9	0

CO.3 Program Marketing, Outreach & Research

The Program Marketing, Outreach and Research portion of our work plan covers a spectrum of daily tasks related to technical assistance, local agency outreach, program marketing and promotion, and field research. We find making personal contact is an invaluable opportunity to assess local agency needs and challenges.

Marketing & Outreach

- Colorado LTAP provided a booth of educational, promotional and free library materials at a select few transportation meetings and conferences that benefit the scope of the program. These opportunities also help to advance staff knowledge base on the latest transportation technologies, processes, and resources.
- ❖ Colorado LTAP handles pre-registration, agenda & speaker coordination for the annual APWA/CARMA Spring Street Conference and is reimbursed for time and direct costs by APWA. The LTAP Director, Renée, is on the conference planning committee and has significant influence on the chosen topics for the conference. Suggestions are made based on recent local agency needs. Colorado LTAP has a vendor booth at this conference. This year, the conference was held during National Work Zone Awareness Week and booth materials highlighted this awareness program. Colorado LTAP designed and distributed 30 folders of work zone resources. Refer to the Roadway Safety Programs section of this report for more details on folder contents and the Work Zone Resources guidebook that was designed. LTAP made great connections with local agencies interested in hosting training free at their locations.

Technical Assistance & Research

❖ LTAP center staff spent about 25% time providing technical assistance to local agencies. Examples of technical assistance provided this quarter included requests on military base responsibilities for following flagger requirements; weed-wacker safety training videos; training resources for CDOT TM2s; snow fencing design and installation; pavement patching practices; and alternative intersection designs.

C0.4 Out-of-State Travel

FHWA encourages centers to participate in the activities of state, regional, and national organizations such as the National LTAP Association (NLTAPA), and to participate on NLTAPA work groups and committees when appropriate. NLTAPA helps expand the capacity of each Center to best meet customer needs by developing local agency resources for Center use, building awareness about LTAP amongst the transportation community, providing Centers a voice in Washington, and assisting FHWA in developing future strategies for the Program.

- ❖ The Program Director, Renée, served on the Executive Committee (EC) as the NLTAPA President-Elect, and chairperson of the 2013 National LTAP-TTAP Conference. Renée participated in monthly NLTAPA EC conference calls. There is usually one out-of-state EC meeting scheduled in April, paid for by NLTAPA, but it was canceled this year.
- The LTAP Director and Training Coordinator participated in the LTAP Region 7 meeting in Sioux Falls, SD. Members from all 9 Centers participated in the meeting in addition to representatives from FHWA's Technology Partnerships Programs. Each state's LTAP Center presented on challenges and best practices; FHWA managers presented on national center successes and the national Joint Safety program; the LTAP Clearinghouse presented on the development of a national library loan system; and members of the Executive Committee presented updates from the national association executive committee activities. The final day of the meeting incorporated a field trip to the Hancock Concrete Pipe facility.
- As President-Elect, Renée served as the 2013 National LTAP-TTAP Conference Chairperson. A significant amount of time this quarter was spent finalizing the agenda and speakers and for the upcoming conference in July.

C.1 Safety Programs

Colorado LTAP has a long history of providing Safety related training, technology transfer and technical assistance through its traditional services and Roads Scholar I and II training programs. There were 13 training classes offered on Safety topics this quarter. Programs and training completed between April 1 and June 30 in this focus area include:

- ❖ Roadway Safety and Work Zone Traffic Control This was the only Roads Scholar I Core course offered this quarter. This class looks at safety hazards and how to keep workers safe while working on the roadways. The class addresses common safety hazards and countermeasures; introduction of statistics, liability, human factors; MUTCD (parts 1, 5 & 6); flagger safety; safety edge & narrow edge rumble stripes; night work; and typical work zone applications. The class culminates with hands-on desktop exercises incorporating work zone layouts. Tom McDonald from the Iowa LTAP Center taught this series of classes for us again this year. He incorporated information on the new CDOT flagger certification materials into the class. We offered four classes around the state. The classes seemed to go well and attendance was good. In past years, Tom has had to deal with snow on his trips to Colorado. Fortunately, this year his trip was snow free. We were able to use a free location at El Paso County in Colorado Springs. There were 7 Roads Scholar I graduates that completed the program requirements with this course and were presented with their plaques.
- ❖ Basic and Advanced Welding This Roads Scholar I Elective course content covered introduction and process training MIG, FLUX CORE and STICK; Oxy-Acetylene safe set-up and operating training; Equipment maintenance & troubleshooting; Common weld defects, weld inspection and failure analysis; Surface tension cracking; Safety and health of welders; Demonstrations and hands-on welding opportunities in the flat, horizontal, vertical & overhead positions. It provided employees with the knowledge necessary to safely set up and operate the cutting torch. The instructor used actual cross-sectional models of high pressure regulators, cylinder valves, and acetylene cylinders to reinforce training on correct operational procedures. This was the second set of classes taught by new instructor, Rick Troutman, of San Juan Training. The classes had a lot of opportunity for hands-on participation each attendee received three metal coupons to practice the different types of and positions for welding. We partnered with Douglas County to hold these courses in their shop. The evaluations were very good; even seasoned welders said the class was beneficial and that Rick was an excellent instructor.
- * Road Safety Audits This class was a Roads Scholar II course in the Transportation Management focus area. This Road Safety Audits for Local Governments class introduced road safety reviews as an effective tool that can help to reduce injuries and fatalities on your road network. The class was designed to help local road agency professionals understand basic road safety audit concepts, risk and safety, and common issues. The course included hands-on experience in conducting a road safety audit. It demonstrated that low cost safety improvements can be implemented quickly to reduce fatalities and severe crashes. This class was offered free; and there were 14 credit hours offered for this 2-day training. When FHWA approached LTAP to coordinate this class in Durango, we had reservations with this location due to the difficulty with attendance in that area. Despite our efforts to advertise, we had 13 preregistrations only eight attended, with five no shows. Ron Hall of the Colorado TTAP also

advertised the class. He attended the class and took the class materials to Durango for us.

❖ Flagger Certification & Temporary Traffic Control – This class covered the information needed to successfully complete the CDOT flagger certification exam. Tim Longbrook, a CDOT approved instructor, covered proper flagging techniques; work zone safety; temporary traffic control devices; available resources; manual and standards; and other topics related to being a competent and safe flagger. The workshop concluded with CDOT's flagger certification exam. The course was offered in 5 locations across the north half of the state. There were 120 total participants. The flagger certification

Center staff worked on several initiatives to bring national and local attention to the importance of improving safety on our local and rural road system. In addition to training, there was \$667.76 spent on Safety programs this quarter.

C1.1 Public Safety Awareness Programs

❖ National Work Zone Awareness Week was April 15-19, 2013. To promote this event and work zone safety best practices, Colorado LTAP designed and developed "Work Zone Safety Resources" folders. In addition, a 20-page "Resources" booklet was designed and printed. Topics covered in the booklet include: Background on National Work Zone Awareness Week, National Work Zone Statistics, Top 10 Worker Safety Tips, Top 10 Driver Safety Tips, CDOT's Slow for the Cone Zone Program, National Work Zone Safety Information Clearinghouse, Work Zone Safety Websites, Online Flagger Training, Work Zone Safety Online Publications, Work Zone Safety Recent Reports, High Visibility Safety Apparel, Nighttime Work Zone Operations, Work Zone Safety in the LTAP Lending Library, Spanish Work Zone Materials in the LTAP Library, and Spanish Work Zone Materials Online. The booklet is also now



available for download on Colorado LTAP's website. A "Work Zone Quiz" and "Know Your Flagging" handouts were printed and distributed. Several other work zone safety brochures, posters, guidebooks, etc. were ordered free from the Work Zone Safety Clearinghouse. Thirty of the folders were assembled and distributed during Work Zone Awareness Week at the Spring Street Conference in Grand Junction.

- ❖ The LTAP Director attended the National LifeSavers Conference in Denver. Topics attended at the conference included: Innovations in Traffic Records and Data Analysis; Rural Road Safety Through Traffic Incident Management; Traffic Incident Management-Training and Public Outreach Efforts; "Struck-by" Incidents -Data and Mitigation Strategies for Emergency Responders; Speed Management: Current Issues and Emerging Trends; and Enforcement and the Challenges of Collecting and Reporting Reliable Crash Data.
- ❖ Denver was chosen by the American Traffic Safety Services Association (ATSSA) to hold one of two Work Zone Grant Implementation Workshops. The purpose of the workshop was to foster successful implementation of the many products and resources provided under the FHWA Work Zone Grant. The ATSSA team met regionally with end users of these products and resources to obtain their views on what works well and what other activities may be beneficial. They were looking for guidance on how to implement the Work Zone Grant

resources as well as how to keep the Grant products useable and up-to-date. The Colorado LTAP training coordinator attended to present a local agency perspective on the use of their work zone products and training in Colorado.

C1.2 Retroreflectivity Loan Program

Colorado LTAP continued to manage its successful Sign Retroreflectometer loan program. Expenses in this category were for shipping the meters to loaning agencies and for required maintenance.

C1.3 Safety Training Support

- ❖ Participated in the State's meetings for the Every Day Counts (EDC) State Transportation Innovation Council (STIC) and EDC Safety Summit.
- Responded to Safety related technical assistance requests such as military base responsibilities for following flagger requirements; and weed-wacker safety training videos.
- The quarterly newsletter provided two articles addressing topics regarding safety aspects City of Colorado Springs mobile Signs for Life Safety Education Program; and flagger responsibilities in work zones.
- Used the electronic mail list to promote available Safety related webinars and other distance learning opportunities offered through organizations such as the national LTAP Clearinghouse; FHWA; and APWA.

C.2 Infrastructure Management

Colorado LTAP has a long history of providing infrastructure related training, technology transfer and technical assistance through its traditional services and Roads Scholar I and II training programs. There were 7 training events offered on Infrastructure Management topics this quarter. Programs and training completed between April 1 and June 30 in this focus area include:

- ❖ Gravel Roads Academy We partnered with GMCO and the North American Salt Institute to offer a series of free gravel road training classes. There were two of the 2-day sessions offered. Attendees gained insight on the latest tools and procedures for improving the performance and management of their gravel roads program. Taught by recognized road maintenance experts, the two-day course covered: road design, road maintenance, road stabilization, program efficiency and hands-on field training. Steve Jenkins, of Montana LTAP, taught the class in Arapahoe County; and there were 55 attendees. Ken Skorseth, of South Dakota LTAP, taught the course in Summit County; and there were 53 attendees. GMCO provided breakfast and lunch for the participants. Participants received one Roads Scholar I Elective credit for the two-day course.
- Minor Bridge and Culvert Inspection This class was a Roads Scholar II course in the Technical Skills focus area. This course was provided as a redesign of our previous small bridge and culvert inspection program. This was the first time that Ryan Nataluk of Stantec, Inc. taught the class for us. This instructor was a recommendation from an LTAP advisory board member. He presented photos and descriptions of different types of deficiencies and issues seen in minor bridge and culvert structures. It covered required maintenance and replacement activities for the purpose of training road and bridge crews to perform basic inventory and inspection activities. The class introduced the National Bridge Inventory (NBI) coding

language for bridges and culverts for deck, superstructure, substructure, and culverts. The instructor also discussed the basics of an inventory and inspection program for field inspections and evaluations. Ryan was a very good presenter and had a lot of enthusiasm. Attendees later commented to LTAP on how much they learned and enjoyed the class. Attendees received 7 credit hours for this Roads Scholar II program.

Other than training, additional Infrastructure Management related activities included:

- Meeting with FHWA and CDOT Local Roads staff and discussed local project administration, future partnerships and training opportunities.
- ❖ Participated in the State's Every Day Counts (EDC) Operations Summit.
- Promoted and participated in the Stripping Under Chip Seal Webinar.
- Promoted Colorado's free Roundabout Peer Exchange.
- Responded to Infrastructure Management related technical assistance requests such as training resources for CDOT TM2s; Snow Fencing design and installation; Pavement Patching practices; and alternative intersection designs.
- ❖ The quarterly newsletter provided four articles addressing topics regarding infrastructure management aspects online videos showing how transportation technologies work; Continuity of Operations Plans for local agencies; mini-paver innovation; and recommendations for dust control on county roads.
- Colorado LTAP used the electronic mail list to promote available infrastructure management related webinars and other distance learning opportunities offered through organizations such as the national LTAP Clearinghouse; FHWA; and APWA.

C.3 Workforce Development

The training classes, workshops and other educational offerings described above can all be considered part of LTAP's workforce development program. However, there were 2 additional training classes specific to Workforce Development topics offered this quarter. The following specific work force development initiatives were offered between April and June:

- ❖ Supervisory Skills & Development (SSDP) Training This program is specifically designed to address workforce development issues. The courses offered in this program each year are intended to provide a fundamental understanding of the roles and responsibilities of a new supervisor and to develop tools for succeeding in management. There were 2 graduates this quarter in the Supervisory Skills program. All of the Supervisory classes offered this quarter had full registration which is rare for west slope courses.
 - Written Communications This was the only Supervisory Skills course offered this quarter. This Written Communications class focused on how to write, edit, and proofread effective business communications simply, clearly, and logically no matter what method electronic or otherwise. Attendees practiced a simple method for organizing thoughts prior to writing; Reviewed spelling rules, including tricks for remembering the "how tos"; Practiced punctuation pointers; Improved email etiquette learning professional standards; Reviewed purpose for writing; and Practiced writing a draft document using grammar and format rules learned. This course was affected by snow storms twice, and had to be rescheduled which affected

the initial high number of registrations. This was the first time that Lundy and Associates taught this class for us. Brice Davis was the instructor, and she did a great job and received excellent ratings on the evaluations.

- Training programs for Fall 2013 were under development.
- Summer work has begun to update training videos in the lending library.
- Provided training support materials for a Work Zone Development course in Pagosa Springs. The FHWA instructor also borrowed LTAP's Traffic Control Layout tabletop kits for the class.
- ❖ Attended CDOT Region 2 & 5 meetings in South Fork to present on available training resources and LTAP programs.

C3.1 You Show Us Contest Support

Each year, Colorado LTAP administers the state "You Show Us" Contest. Local agencies are encouraged to enter as a profitable way to share their innovative ideas with others that may benefit from their cost saving concepts. It is a good way for road crews to get well earned recognition for solving problems requiring efficient use of existing funds and materials. New brochures promoting the contest were designed and distributed to government agencies in Colorado. Colorado's 2012 State and Regional winning project was highlighted in the design of the new brochure. Submissions will be presented to the Colorado LTAP Advisory Board in September for selection of a State winner. The Colorado winning entry will then be submitted to compete in the LTAP Region 7 contest.

C3.2 Local Road Coordinators' Conference Support

Expenses in this category will resume next quarter.

C3.3 APWA Street Conference Administration & Library Materials

Each year, Colorado LTAP helps in administration of the APWA/CARMA Spring Street Conference. Conference activities wrapped up this quarter, and Colorado LTAP was reimbursed for all direct costs and an additional \$2000; \$1500 administration and \$500 library training materials to benefit local agencies.

C.4 Operational Excellence

Colorado LTAP seeks to provide high quality services to meet the needs of its customers. Several activities were conducted to help ensure that LTAP is meeting this goal - including obtaining more feedback on emerging needs of Colorado's customers as well as their perception of the program. Operational Excellence is a continuous service management improvement program, and efforts to improve our operational efficiency are continually implemented. Specific internal and external initiatives this fall included below.

- Colorado LTAP provided CDOT and FHWA quarterly and mid-year reports highlighting progress of Center operations including a budget/expense report.
- ❖ Total program expenses for FY July 1, 2012 June 30, 2013 are included in Appendix B.

C4.1 Information Technology Services

- Colorado LTAP managed their Information Technology Services (ITS) to provide communication services to state agencies, local governments, and partners across Colorado and the United States. ITS incorporated the study, design, development, implementation, support and management of Colorado LTAP's telecommunication and computer-based information systems this quarter. It included maintaining network and video services, telephone, emailing, program websites and operational databases.
 - The website is a useful tool to communicate upcoming training courses and online registration, conferences, online training & webinars, needs survey, and to highlight resources specific to local agency needs, and is updated regularly. The web designer has been making continuous updates and suggesting new ideas for a fresh new design.
 - Additional updates this quarter included posting the Spring Newsletter; finalizing the homepage Slideshow of local pictures; and adding a Library Shopping Cart feature to the lending library. This library card "Cart" feature has been desperately needed, and we are glad it is now up and running.
 - Our toll-free number continues to be available for any LTAP customer to reach us for direct technical assistance.
 - Expenses for ITS include web design and phone service and averaged \$228/month.
- Colorado LTAP regularly updates the contact information of local transportation agency contacts for the efficient dissemination of training announcements, newsletter publications, library resources, outreach efforts, and technology transfer. LTAP staff work with transportation industry associations to identify potential customers, meet needs, and disseminate technology.
 - The electronic mail list currently has 188 recipients of Newsletters and 178 recipients of event Brochures. This service expedites communication, and provides more efficient service and improved coordination and delivery of technical assistance and technology services. It is extremely helpful to regularly update locals on upcoming free online or webinar based training opportunities. The mailed hard-copy brochure and newsletter lists are still maintained (1495 for Newsletters and 1036 for Brochures) until the recipient chooses to switch to electronic mailings.

C4.2 Equipment

This budget category covers purchasing office equipment and supplies necessary for production of training materials, office tasks, and to keep up with technology that will help the program efficiently deliver technology deployment. The only expense in this category this quarter was related to the monthly lease and meter readings of the Konica Minolta copy machine, which averaged \$190/month. The current lease of the office copier machine is up in August. We will be renewing the lease with a similar but upgraded model of this machine.

C4.3 Office Supplies

Some of the office supply costs are now included in the copier lease program. However, major expenses in this category are expenses related to the training program and library services including printer paper, certificate paper, name badges, mailing envelopes, notebook binders for SSDP classes, and toner for the other HP printer, etc. There was \$1,979 spent on office supplies this quarter.

C4.4 Staff Training

There were no expenses under Staff Training this quarter.

C4.5 Monthly & Quarterly Advisory Meetings

- Colorado LTAP staff and Program Sponsors held two meetings/conference calls in May and June to review project status and other program initiatives.
- ❖ LTAP Advisory Board Quarterly Advisory Board meetings are organized to solicit input for program content, provide feedback on needed services, and evaluate the effectiveness of the program. There are 11 voting members on the advisory board representing cities and counties across the state. There are also 5 non-voting members representing the program and sponsoring agencies. The LTAP advisory board is currently represented by 4 City representatives and 7 County representatives. Board members are not paid for their participation, but are reimbursed for direct expenses incurred due to attending LTAP meetings.
 - This quarter's Advisory Board meeting occurred on June 3 in Frisco. Topics discussed included: 2013-2014 Workplan and Budget Cuts; CDOT's Local Agency Projects; Annual Needs Survey; Region Meeting Best Practices; LTAP Fall Training Programs; and Summer Newsletter Topics. Steve Markovetz from CDOT presented on their Local Agency Projects program. This quarter, \$475 was spent on the advisory board meeting.

C4.6 Annual Needs Survey

The Advisory Board suggested doing the needs survey every other year. A needs survey will be completed in FY 2013-2014. The Advisory Board discussed survey options at the June board meeting. It was suggested to address how MAP 21 affects local agencies and then design a survey based on related needs. A SurveyMonkey annual membership was also recently purchased that will help implement the Annual Needs Survey.

C4.7 NLTAPA Dues

National LTAP Association dues are paid each fall in support of the Executive Committee's work benefiting the advancement and resource development for all centers.

Financial Report

Total program expenses for July 1, 2012 – June 30, 2013 are included in <u>Appendix B</u>. The attached itemized categories list shows a balance of (\$XX) for the contract year. The total expenses were included in order to review status of each category in reference to the proposed budget.

Exploring and Advancing Transportation Systems through Research, Education and Technical Assistance.

Appendix A: Profile Training Hours April 1, 2013 – June 30, 2013

Class Name	Location	Date	Hrs/class	# Part.	NS	WL	CAN	Tot. Part. Hours
ROADS SCI	HOLAR CORE COURSES							
	Roadway Safety & Work Zone Traf	1		20	-	-		266
97.96%	Colorado Springs	4/29/13	7	38	7	2		266
94.42%	Lakewood	4/30/13	7	35	7	3		245
88.51% 96.62%	Fort Morgan Grand Junction	5/1/13 5/3/13	7	16 26	1			112 182
90.02%	Grand Junction	3/3/13	/	20	1		\vdash	102
ROADS SCI	HOLAR I ELECTIVE COURSES							
RS ELECTIV	/E: Basic Welding							
	Parker	5/2/13	7	23	1			161
RS ELECTIV	/E: Advanced Welding							
97.58%	Parker	5/3/13	7	23	1			161
RS ELECTIV	 /E: Gravel Roads Academy							
-	Bennett	5/8-5/9	14	55				770
-	Frisco	6/12-6/13	14	53				742
ROADS SCI	HOLAR II COURSES							
RS II: Techn	ical Skills Focus Area							
Minor Bridg	e & Culvert Inspection							
97.60%	Pueblo	4/12/13	7	15	1		1	105
99.47%	Denver	4/26/13	7	34	1			238
100.00%	Grand Junction	4/30/13	7	17	1			119
RS II: Trans	portation Mgmt Focus Area						\vdash	
Road Safety								
Troud Streety 2	Durango	4/2/03	14	8	5			112
					Ť			
WORKSHO	PS & ROADSHOWS							
	tification & Temporary Traffic Co		4	22			2	120
95.83% 100.00%	Holyoke Frederick-AM	4/2/13 4/4/13	4	32 22	1	-	2	128 88
99.02%	Frederick-PM	4/4/13	4	21	1			84
	Glenwood Springs	4/4/13	4	15			\vdash	60
92.03%	Frisco	4/10/13	4	30	2		3	120
SUPERVISO	ORYSKILLS & DEVELOPMENT I	PROGRAM						
SSDP: Writt	ten Communications	1					\vdash	
95.83%	Denver	4/23/13	7	10	2		5	70
100.00%	Westminster	4/26/13	7	13	2		2	91
							\Box	
97.03%	Total \$	pring 2013 P	 articipants	486			\vdash	
Evaluations	Total S	Total RS I I		269				2639
		Total RS II		74				574
		Total SSDP I		23				161
		l Workshop I		120				480
	100	,, or nonopi		Total Par	ı ticin	ant F	lours.	3854

Appendix B: Total Program Expenses, July 1, 2012 – June 30, 2013

09/30/01	100	09/31/01	Secure funding for participating in a FHWA pooled fund study to examine the practicability of using new equipment for acquiring WIM data at the SPS sites including the SPS-2 site in Colorado.
04//03/03	100	04/04/03	Attend the SHRP/LTPP regional meeting in Reno. Present the status of the LTPP sites and give a presentation on LTPP Product Implementation Activities
07/30/03	100	09/30/03	Investigation of the permeability/drainability of the permeable asphalt treated base (PATB) test sections at the SPS-2 sites at Barr Lake. The investigation will be a coordinated effort between FHWA and CDOT Research.
03//25/03	100	03/25/03	Establish an FWD Calibration Center in Region 6
08/30/03	100	08/30/03	Meet with the representatives of FHWA, CDOT's Chief Engineer, to discuss the status of the LTPP Studies in Colorado
09/15/03	100	10/23/03	Investigation of the LTPP sites on US 40 West of Lay, I70 at Rifle, SH 24 at Manitou Spring, and SH 50 at Delta acquiring 4 and 6 inch cores
09/28/04	100	02/15/05	Conduct lab testing on the acquired 6-inch cores for the sites at Lay, Rifle, Manitou Spring, and Delta.
01/31/04	100	04/15/04	Submit Rehabilitation data sheet for the LTPP sites at Rifle and Lay.
06/30/05			Annual field review of all the SHRP sites in Colorado. Marking and replacing missing signs.
04/30/04	100	03/25/04	Provide estimated traffic (sheet 10) and if available monitored traffic data for all the inservice sites to the SHRP/LTTP Western contractor.
12/30/04	100	06/30/05	Coordinate with FHWA to evaluate the condition of the WIM equipment for the SPS-2 site at Barr Lake and decide on a course of action to install a bending plate or just use the existing WIM (piezo- electric cables).
5/15/06		5/15/06	Bending plate WIM equipment was installed by IRD on I-76 at MP 39.7 near Keensburg for monitoring the traffic and truck weights for the SPS-2 site.
3/15/07	100		Coordinate with SHRP Western Region in acquiring core samples and bulk sample of subgrade, and base for some of the SPS-2 test sections on I-76 near Barr Lake. Decisions need to be made as who pays for this field investigation.
3/31/07	100	3/12/07	PI to submit sampling of materials at SPS-2 Site (I76 and Barr Lake). Nichols Consulting has been chosen by FHWA to conduct the sampling. Roberto de Dios is working with Nichols Consulting regarding traffic control for the coring and sampling activity. The revised Material Sampling and Testing Plans for LTPP SPS-2 and LTPP SPS-8 Projects were e-mailed to Skip and Roberto on 03/12/07.
7/25/07	100	N/A	Participate in the TRB Expert Task Group (ETG) committee for the LTPP Materials data collection and analysis in October 2005. The ETG is charged with setting priorities and work plan for data collection and analysis, technical assessment of ongoing work and recommendation to enhance the success of the LTPP products. With the retirement of Ahmad Ardani, CDOT will no longer have a representative on this ETG.
8/31/07	100	9/21/07	Perform another round of LTPP data collection. Nichols Consulting was hired by FHWA for this job.
12/31/07	100	12/31/07	Samples cored from the SHRP test location (SH 40) were brought to the Staff Materials and Geotechnical Branch Lab for testing and analysis. CDOT Lab personnel are testing these samples. These cores were collected by Nichols Consulting.
01/13/08	100	01/13/08	Roberto de Dios attended the LTPP Coordinators sessions at the TRB annual meeting.
4/29/08	100	4/29/08	Assessment, calibration and performance evaluation of LTPP SPS Weigh-In-Motion (WIM) Site ID 080200.
12/31/10	100	12/01/10	Field inspection of LTPP sites by FHWA contractor
12/31/11	100	9/30/11	Field inspection of LTPP sites by FHWA contractor

12/31/12		Cancelled	Field inspection of LTPP sites by FHWA contractor: because of coordination and weather issues site visits were cancelled for this year.
12/13/13			Field inspection of LTPP sites by FHWA contractor
4/4/13	100	4/4/13	Aziz Khan attended a web cast hosted by Deborah Walker of FHWA to discuss the "Role and Responsibilities of LTPP State Coordinators".
7/24/13			SPS-2 WIM validation: FHWA contracts are scheduled to visit Colorado's
			Future visits and other activities will depend on FHWA decisions

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

01/31/12	The Study Manager, Roberto DeDios, retired from CDOT, A new study manager and the state coordinator will be name in the future. Aziz Khan is acting as the State Coordinator.
05/24/11	CDOT Field Test Engineer Skip Outcalt provided the requested information that was available at that time.
04/17/11	The Principal Investigator Kevin Senn of Nichols Consulting Engineers, Inc. solicited input on rehabilitation and maintenance activities on active LTPP test sections for the next two years.
12/01/10	FHWA and Nichols Consulting Engineers (NCE) conducted the Long-Term Pavement Performance (LTPP) seminar at the Turnpike Conference Room in Materials Lab building.

Project One: Guidebook for Selecting Highway Project Delivery Methods and Alternative Contracting Strategies Study No: TPF-5(260)

Background

Numerous project delivery methods and contracting strategies are available to highway agencies. A one-size-fits-all approach to design and construction does not facilitate the acceleration of project delivery or equitable allocation of project risk. However, the selection of an effective alternative approach is often difficult to make and to justify. Just as construction projects are unique, the delivery method, procurement procedure, and contract payment provisions should be unique to maximize the process for each individual project.

At issue are the terms surrounding project delivery methods. These terms can be confusing and even experienced professionals often misuse them. An additional goal of this project is to help solidify a common language for project delivery methods and alternate contracting strategies. This project will take a three-tier approach to providing this common language as follows:

- Project delivery method: the comprehensive process by which designers, constructors, and various consultants provide services for design and construction to deliver a complete project for the owner. The three most common delivery methods are design-bid-build, construction manager general contractor, and design-build.
- Procurement procedure: the process of purchasing and obtaining the necessary property, design, contracts, labor, materials, and equipment to build a project. Four common procurement procedures are low-bid, bestvalue, qualifications-based, and sole-source procurement.
- Contract payment provision: the contract language that defines how design
 and construction professionals receive payments for their services. Four
 common contract payment provisions are fixed price lump sum, guaranteed
 maximum price, cost plus fee, and cost reimbursable.

These three aspects of the delivery process have a great deal of influence on risk and potential project acceleration. Understanding how delivery methods, procurement procedures, contracting payment provisions interact will allow for more appropriate and justifiable project selection

Project Goal

Develop a guidebook that discusses highway project delivery methods and alternative contracting strategies. The guidebook will discuss methodologies, both tested and experimental, for strategies and contract provisions that allow for project acceleration and risk minimization. The overarching objective is to:

 Develop a Guidebook to aid in the selection of project delivery methods, procurement procedures, and contract payment provisions based on their benefits and risks for each project.

Project Scope

The research team generated seven work tasks for the completion of the Guidebook. The tasks generated are:

- 1. Define the State-of-Practice
- 2. Select delivery methods, procurement procedures, and contract payment

Reporting Period: 4/1/2013 through 6/30/2013 Type:

Principal Investigator(s): Keith Molenaar, University of Colorado at Boulder, 303-735-4276

Study Manager: David Reeves, CDOT Division of Transportation Development, 303-757-9518

Study Panel Members: Jerry Yakowenko, FHWA Bryan Cawley, FHWA Richard Duval, FHWA David Unkefer, FHWA Randy Jensen, FHWA Nabil Haddad, CDOT Marc Mastronardi, GaDOT Greg Mulder, IaDOT Jake Goettle, MtDOT Rodger Rochelle, NCDOT Teresa Bruton, NCDOT John Obr, TxDOT Imad Aleithawe, MsDOT John Kulka, HRI (ARTBA) Les Fukuda, HDR (ACEC)

provisions

- Develop selection methodology
- 4. Prepare interim report
- 5. Develop decision support tool
- 6. Validate and calibrate the decision support tool
- 7. Prepare final guidebook

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
07/31/12	100%	07/31/12	Define state of practice
09/30/12	100%	10/25/12	Select contracting methodologies
09/30/12	100%	09/30/12	Tech memo
01/31/13	100%	02/01/13	Develop information around each contracting methodology
03/31/13	100%	06/01/13	Develop benefits and drawbacks for combinations of project delivery and alternative contracting methods
05/31/13	100%	06/30/13	Examine framework in regards to traditional project delivery
06/30/13	90%	Ongoing	Develop draft and pilot test electronic version of the delivery methods and procurement procedures selection matrix tool
07/31/13	25%	Ongoing	Interim report / draft of guidebook
12/31/13	25%	Ongoing	Develop complete draft of decision support tool
03/31/14			Validate and calibrate
06/01/14			Final guidebook, executive summary, and research report

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

- 04/01/2013- General PDSM tool available on the website in MS Word format (http://www.colorado.edu/ceae/TCM/ICACtool.html) for download and use.
- 04/23/2013 Quarterly advisory committee meeting took place via teleconference. The meeting included introductions of advisory committee members, review of project progress, and discussion on guidebook. Guidebook discussions included project update and a detailed discussion on the procurement procedures selection tool. This tool is now ready for pilot testing and CU asked each agency for projects to use to pilot the tool. None of the agencies could commit a project at the meeting. CU to follow up with these agencies and others not affiliated with TCM. Discussed the scope of work drafts presented by CU for the next three TCM projects.
- 04/23/2013 During the quarterly advisory committee meeting, discussion took place on the scope of work for the next three TCM projects presented by CU. Project 2, "Technologies to Improve Transportation Construction Management" was an interesting topic, however, CU needs to check with FHWA on another RFP that was recently released that discusses this same topic. Need to avoid overlap of studies. Project 3, Community Outreach Best Practices for Accelerated Construction Projects" had a lot of interest from FHWA and CDOT. This project should move forward. Project 4, "Evaluation of DOT Approaches to Consultant Oversight for CEI Services" is a topic of interest for a few DOTs and FHWA. Some of this scope may overlap with peer networking workshops that FHWA has been conducting. Need to follow up with FHWA on this as well as Georgia DOT as this is where this topic developed.
- April 2013 FHWA and CU working on getting more state transportation agencies to join the TCM

pooled fund study. New York State DOT has been invited, but no commitment yet.

- 06/30/2013 Completed Q2 quarterly reports for CDOT and Transportation Pooled-Fund. Quarterly reports provide updates and progress of the TCM pooled-fund project.
- June/July 2013 Pilot testing of procurement selection tool took place with Central Federal Lands (CFL-FHWA), Colorado DOT, and Minnesota DOT. Each pilot test resulted in selecting a procurement method as well as lessons learned to refine the tool. Further pilot testing will take place in the next few months.

ANTICIPATED EVENTS FOR Q3 2013

- The next advisory committee meeting/conference call will take place at the AASHTO Subcommittee on Construction Conference in Detroit, MI on either August 13th or August 14th. This meeting will allow some of the committee to meet face to face for the first time. The meeting will review the pilot testing results for the procurement procedures selection matrix as well as discuss the next two TCM projects.
- Continue pilot testing of procurement procedures selection matrix tool. Volunteer projects that have a selected delivery method but not a procurement procedure are ideal. Each of the pooled-fund agency's will be asked to provide projects for pilot testing. Additional agencies outside of the pooled-fund will also be used (i.e. Minnesota DOT and CFL-FHWA)
- Complete Interim Report and draft payment provisions selection guide. Payment provisions selection tool will be more of guidelines in what payment provision to use, either unit price or lump sum. We will review both of these documents at the Q3 2013 advisory committee meeting.
- Anticipate that the project delivery selection matrix, the procurement procedures selection matrix, and the payment provision guidelines will all be in a usable draft form by Fall 2013 and ready to pilot test the three tools together. Further calibration and validation will take place in Q4.
- CDOT and CU to discuss moving forward with next two TCM projects under the pooled-fund study. CU
 has submitted proposals for the next two projects and is ready to begin once a contract is in place,
 possibly in Q3 2013.

Development of Estimation Methodology for Bicycle and Pedestrian Volumes Based on Existing Counts Study No: 8.41

Background

In 2009, the Colorado Department of Transportation adopted the Bicycle and Pedestrian Policy directive stating that "...the needs of bicyclists and pedestrians shall be included in the planning, design, and operation of transportation facilities, as a matter of routine..." (CDOT 2009). However, without sufficiently accurate estimates of bicycle and pedestrian volume on CDOT facilities, whether or not these road users are being adequately accommodated remains unknown. Consequently, this research project will help establish methods that will facilitate improved use of existing bicycle and pedestrian data through the development of Colorado-specific methodologies for estimating bicycle and pedestrian volumes using a limited sample of existing counts. More specifically, the research proposed herein will:

- Survey the state-of-the-practice literature for bicycle and pedestrian volume estimation;
- Contact local, state, and national agencies working with bicycle and pedestrian count data;
- Collect and evaluate existing bicycle and pedestrian count data from around the state of Colorado;
- Overlay and compare variations in bicycle and pedestrian volumes to variations for motorized traffic volumes;
- Develop and validate bicycle and pedestrian volume models based upon direction of travel, hourly peaking, seasonality, weather, and special events:
- Document standard bicycle and pedestrian statistical estimation methods in a procedures report for CDOT facilities; and
- Nationally disseminate findings in peer-reviewed journal papers and presentations at key conferences.

This work will enable CDOT to better understand the needs of bicyclists and pedestrians and best allocate limited resources in order to properly meet those needs. Local and regional agencies will also benefit from this research via data usage as well as access to the procedures and methodologies. An ancillary benefit from the dissemination of this research is national recognition and highlighting CDOT as a leader in managing and estimating annual bicycle and pedestrian work using statistically-based methods. The primary deliverables from this research to CDOT will be a final report and presentations that cover all study findings and recommendations.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: 11/16/11

Principal Investigator(s):

Wesley E. Marshall; University of Colorado

Denver, 303-352-3741

Study Manager:

David Reeves, CDOT Division of Transportation Development, 303-757-9518

Study Panel Members:

Jake Kononov, CDOT DTD Research Betsy Jacobsen, Bicycle Pedestrian Unit Mehdi Baziar, Mobility Analysis Manager Steven Abeyta, Traffic Analysis Unit Leo Livecchi, Traffic Analysis Unit

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
10/19/10	100	10/19/10	Advertise RFP
9/9/11	100	9/9/11	Receive Proposals
9/20/11	100	9/20/11	Selection

11/16/11	100	11/16/11	Notice to Proceed
1/17/12	100	1/17/12	Kick-Off Meeting
2/1/12	100	2/1/12	Literature Review
3/1/12	100	3/1/12	State of the Practice
3/1/12	100	3/1/12	Data Collection and Evaluation
11/15/12	100	12/31/12	Data Analysis
2/15/13	100	2/1/13	Bike/Pedestrian Models and Estimation Factors
2/22/13	99		Final Report

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

• Received comments on draft report from CDOT

ANTICIPATED EVENTS FOR Q3 2013

• Submit revised final report

Optimum Use of CDOT French and Hamburg Data Study No: 10.15

Background

The Euro Lab has been used by CDOT for asphalt performance testing on asphalt projects for over ten years now. The Materials Lab produces reliable and valuable test results that are indicative of the expected pavement performance over the life of the pavement. A number of transportation departments and organizations are using results from the Hamburg and French pavement performance testing machines for project acceptance testing, as well as for incentive/disincentive payments. The CDOT European Test Lab is a robust lab, with experienced testers, and valuable equipment. The potential inclusion of the European test results into the acceptance criteria for projects could provide CDOT with improved pavement performance prediction capabilities, increased pavement performance, and improved system quality/reliability.

The objective of this study is to analyze data collected over the years and develop a proposed roadmap for inclusion of the French and Hamburg test results in QA/QC on asphalt projects. This roadmap would be used to assist CDOT in determining the viability and cost-effectiveness on using such tests as part of performance-based specifications for asphalt paving acceptance and payment.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: 4/18/13 End: 10/17/13

Principal Investigators: Kim Gilbert, JilBehr

Engineering Services

Study Manager: TBD

Study Panel Leader:

Mike Stanford, Materials and Geotechnical Br.

303-398-6544

Study Panel Members:

Stephen Henry, Materials and Geotechnical Br. Shamshad Hussain, Region 1 Materials Donna Harmelink, FHWA-Colorado Division

720-963-3021

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
04/30/13	100	4/18/13	Start project: A purchase order was issued based on proposal receive from Kim Gilbert.
04/30/13	100	4/26/13	Kickoff Meeting: The PI met with Mike Sanford and Bill Schiebel to discuss available data and study approach.
08/31/13	50		Analyze data form Region 2 project
07/31/13	30		Survey and interview Engineers from various states
09/14/13			Submit draft final report
09/30//13			Conduct presentation of completed work to CDOT
10/15/13			Submit final report

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

1/31/13 The Study Manager, Roberto DeDios, retired from CDOT 4/26/13 At meeting with Bill Schiebel and Mike Stanford, the projec

At meeting with Bill Schiebel and Mike Stanford, the project was altered to better

reflect CDOT's current needs.

Durable Wearing Surfaces for HMA Study No: 10.37

Background

The Colorado Department of Transportation spends a large sum of money each year on the design and placement of new asphalt pavements. Inevitably, these pavements will need rehabilitation. Wearing surfaces provide an alternative to CDOT's current rehabilitation strategies. A pavement design system incorporating wearing surfaces will both protect the existing pavement structure and provide a quality overlay system for all pavements. A durable wearing surface is essential for preserving CDOT's investment in its paving program.

The wearing surfaces will be evaluated on an annual basis at eleven sites: Five SMA sites, three Nova chip sites and three SHRP SuperPave SX sites. The test sites will have their ruts measured, cracks mapped, general condition visually evaluated and the skid number and texture depth measured using the CDOT Pavement Friction Test System (skid truck and trailer).

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start: 09/01/02 Ver: 12/31/02

Principal Investigator(s): Skip Outcalt, Research 303-757-9984 Dave Weld, Research 303.512.4052

Study Manager: Skip Outcalt (303)-757-9984

Study Panel Members:
Bill Schiebel, Region 1 Materials
Dave Eller, Region 3 Eng
Jay Goldbaum, Materials and Geotechnical

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
		7/02	Request projects from regions to include in research study.
0.10.5			
9/02		9/17/03	Monitoring of OGFC and SMA construction on I-70 near Genesee.
9/02		9/02	Monitoring of Nova Chip Construction in Region 3.
10/03		9/24/03	Construction field notes for OGFC placement on I70 near Genesee.
10/03		9/17/03	Annual evaluation of test sections
3/03		12/1/03	Notes documenting the construction and first year evaluation of the Region 3 NOVA chip
			project.
11/05		11/05	Annual evaluation of test sections.
11/08		11/08	Annual evaluation of test sections. This was delayed due to malfunction of the skid tester.
12/13			Final annual evaluation of test sections after skid system is calibrated to confirm validity of
			the data.
12/13			Draft Final Report. (May be adjusted pending decision of panel)
06/14			Publish final report.

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

4/13 – During calibration of the skid test system malfunctions were discovered that make validity of data collected questionable. CDOT is currently evaluating the advisability and cost-effectiveness of repairs to the system.

6/12: Data collection and analysis in progress

3/12: Data collection and analysis in progress

1/12: Data collection and analysis in progress

06/11: Site evaluations for 2011 in progress

09/10: Site evaluations for 2010 continue

06/10: Site evaluations for 2010 in progress

12/09: Site evaluations completed for 2009

10/09: Site evaluations are in progress.

12/08: Site evaluations have been completed.

08/07: Skid equipment is functional and site evaluations have begun.

11/06: Problems with the Skid testing equipment and weather made it impossible to evaluate the test sections during the fall of 2006. The testing will be done in July and August of 2007.

11/05: This study is now being handled by Skip and Dave. Eleven test sites were established and evaluated. The evaluations found no significant developments at any of the sites.

03/05: The study panel members for this study met and as a result expanded the scope of the study to cover more sites. There are now 10 sites for this study which covers: SMAs, Nova chips, SHRP SuperPave SX mixes.

12/1/04: Region 1 decided to cover up the OGFC test section due to problems with icing.

7/31/04: The principal investigator, Donna Harmelink, has retired.

9/17/2003: An open graded friction course (OGFC) section and a stone matrix asphalt (SMA) section were constructed in Region 1 on I-70 near Genesee and are being evaluated under this project.

10/2002: A Nova Chip project was constructed in Region 3 on SH82 north of Aspen and is being evaluated under this project.

Participation in Southeastern Superpave Center Study No. 10.40

Background

Pooled fund study TPF-5(228) http://www.pooledfund.org/Details/Study/456

In 1992, following 5 years of research and testing, the Strategic Highway Research Program (SHRP) introduced the Superpave system. The Superpave system included new specifications, test procedures and testing equipment for building more durable asphalt pavements. Five Superpave Regional Centers were established to provide technical leadership, assistance and training to highway agencies during the implementation of the Superpave system.

One of the Superpave centers established is the Southeast Superpave Center. This center is located at National Center for Asphalt Technology (NCAT), Auburn University in Auburn, Alabama. This Center has a well-qualified and educated staff. The Center has been responsible for a number of research studies. Some of the studies include: permeability characteristics of coarse-graded Superpave mixes, development of mix design criteria for 4.75 mm mixes, case studies of the tender zone in coarse-graded Superpave mixes, development of critical field permeability and pavement density values for coarse-graded Superpave pavements, evaluation of OGFC mixtures containing cellulose fibers, evaluation of the effect of flat and elongated particles on the performance of HMA mixtures and permeability of Superpave mixtures; evaluation of field permeameters. Currently, NCAT is performing the monitoring for the warm mix asphalt (WMA) experimental feature on I-70, west of Eisenhower Tunnel in Region 1. Three WMA additives are being evaluated in this research project.

CDOT has agreed to contribute \$20,000 a year to a pooled-fund project with the understanding that NCAT will use these funds to conduct research identified by CDOT. The products of this research may include the development of specifications, practices, and equipment to help build and extend the service life of a hot mix asphalt pavement.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start: 7/03 Ver:

Principal Investigator(s):
Dr. Randy West/Donald Watson, NCAT

Technical Panel Leader: Bill Schiebel, Materials and Geotechnical Branch Manager

Study Panel Members:
Steve Olson, Materials and Geotechnical Br.
Jay Goldbaum, Materials and Geotech. Br.
Richard Zamora, HQ Project Development
Rex Goodrich, Region 3 Materials
Gary Dewitt, Region 4 Materials
Tim Webb, Region 5 Materials
Masoud Ghaeli, Region 6 Materials
Donna Harmelink, FHWA-Colorado Division

Research Study Manager: TBD

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
06/12/04	100	07/30/04	Tire-Pavement Noise Testing: CDOT ask NCAT to direct CDOT's funding to measuring of tire-pavement noise at various locations in Colorado. Beginning in the fall of 2004, NCAT used their Close-Proximity (CPX) noise trailer. Each year a summary of the data would be provided to CDOT. After the final evaluation a final report will be written that documents the performance of each of the evaluation sections.
10/18/04	100	10/18/04	NCAT measured noise levels using the CPX method on 21 sites.
12/31/04	100	4/08/05	NCAT provided a draft report regarding noise levels measured and compare measurement from the 17 sites the previous year.

06/30/05	100	07/05	Final report of CPX testing was accepted and loaded into CDOT Research Branch website.
10/12/05	100	10/18/05	NCAT performed CPX noise measurements in same locations as last year.
06/30/06	100	11/06	NCAT completed the final report for 2005 noise measurements. The report was accepted and loaded into Research Branch website.
10/31/07	100	9/30/07	NCAT completed another round of CPX noise measurements. CDOT initiated a comprehensive pavement noise study # 35.00 and results of NCAT testing have been incorporated into it. See CDOT research report 2012-13.
9/30/08	100	9/30/08	Perform warm mix asphalt pavement distress survey.
11/17/09	100	11/17/09	Perform warm mix asphalt pavement distress survey.
9/30/10	100	10/15/10	Perform warm mix asphalt pavement distress survey.
12/31/11	100	11/01/11	Submit final report for the assigned research project (WMA report) completed.
12/13/12	100	12/13/12	NCAT Short Course in Asphalt Technology was presented at the Colorado Asphalt Pavement Association office in Denver. Fourteen CDOT employees attended including two from the Research Branch.
Ongoing			NCAT continues related research: see http://www.pooledfund.org/Details/Study/456
9/30/13			CDOT will consider Colorado-specific activities for NCAT.

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

01/31/13 The Study Manager, Roberto DeDios, retired from CDOT in January 2013

CDOT Pavement Crack Seal and Fill Best Management Practices Study No: 11.40

Background

Crack sealing and filling are cost-effective pavement preservation techniques that can provide significant return on investment, improve pavement performance and increase remaining service life, and provide potential contracting opportunities for disadvantage business enterprise (DBE) and other small companies bidding CDOT work. However, CDOT does not have an effective or current crack sealing and filling policy, contracting process, installation and inspection manual, and quality assurance protocols. The policy and manual used was developed in 1994, and is not readily available, understood, or applicable to the current state of practice.

This research will: 1) recommend a policy for application and use of crack sealing and filling, 2) develop a *Best Practices Guidelines* for the design, construction, and maintenance of crack sealing and filling treatments. 3) develop *Design Guidelines* that identify the process and data requirements to develop a plan, specifications and estimates (PS&E) level project, and identify appropriate QA/QC procedures for crack sealing projects.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start: 11/1/12 End: 12/01/13

Principal Investigator:

David Peshkin, Applied Pavement Technology, Inc.

Study Manager: TBD

Study Panel Members: Phillip Anderle, R-4 Maintenance Donna Harmelink, CO Div. FHWA Frank McCoy, R-6 Maintenance Mark Mueller, Staff M&O Mike Stanford, Mat. and Geotech Branch

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
11/1/12		11/1/12	PO 211017617 issued to Applied Pavement Technology, Inc.
1/30/13	70		Task 1 literature review: Literature search completed
2/14/13	100	2/14/13	Kick-off meeting
6/30/13			Status update: project is lagging 1.5 to 2 months
8/31/13	5		Task 2 Draft guidelines; originally scheduled for 7/15/13; propose changing to 8/31/13
8/31/13	5		Task 3 Draft procedures: originally scheduled for 7/15/13; propose changing to 8/31/13
9/30/13			CDOT completes review of guidelines and procedures: originally scheduled for 9/15/13; propose changing to 1 month review due on 9/30/13
10/15/13			Task 2 Final guidelines
10/15/13			Task 3 Final procedures
10/31/13			Presentation

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

4/1/13	Survey of crack sealing practices finalized and prepared for distribution to selected respondents.
1/31/13	The Study Manager, Roberto DeDios, retired from CDOT

11/13/12 Informal meeting between Griffin, de Dios, and Peshkin at CDOT.

Reflection Crack Attenuation in Asphalt Pavements Study No: 11.50

Background

Asphalt overlays are one of the most common tools for rehabilitating existing asphalt and concrete pavements. Rehabilitation of existing pavements is often necessary after several years due to distress such as cracking, moisture damage and permanent deformation. However, the performance of new overlays is often jeopardized by the cracking distress in the existing pavement. This existing cracking will propagate, or reflect, through the new overlay to the surface of the new overlay. The rate at which this reflection cracking propagates to the surface is a function of overlay thickness, crack severity, traffic loading and subgrade or subbase support. Once reflection cracks appear on the surface of the new pavement, water and debris can enter the subbase and subgrade which can affect pavement strength and reduce the life of the overlay. Therefore, reducing the rate at which these reflection cracks propagate to the surface of the pavement is desirable in order to lengthen the time between rehabilitation projects.

Various methods have been used in past decades in an attempt to reduce the rate of reflection crack propagation. These include geosynthetic interlayers and asphalt stress absorbing interlayers between existing pavements and relatively thin overlays. In addition, large aggregate interlayers with thin asphalt overlays have been used. Although the large aggregate interlayers are effective, the cost and the relatively large change in pavement profile sometimes limits the ability of agencies to use this method. This leaves the relatively thin geosynthetic fabrics, grids and asphalt interlayers as the techniques most commonly utilized to control reflection cracking.

Two reflection crack reduction techniques have been installed by CDOT. These techniques include Tensar, a grid reinforcing system, and a polymer modified asphalt-rich interlayer system called RCI: I-70, US85, Alamosa. Three test pavements have been placed using each of the Tensar and RCI techniques. Although each of these techniques should theoretically reduce the appearance of reflection cracking, it remains unclear whether the rate at which reflection cracking reappears is substantially different than control pavement sections which have not been treated to these techniques.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: 10/5/12 End: 12/31/15

Principal Investigator:

Scott Shuler, CSU, PO: 211017352

Study Manager:

Temporarily Rich Griffin, Research Branch, 303-

757-9975

Study Panel Members: (Tentative)

Donna Harmelink, CO. Div. of FHWA

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
6/30/13	50		Task 1 – Initial Crack Locations: Initial crack locations for the Alamosa site has been obtained from Region 5. The I-70 and US85 crack locations remain to be documented.
9/30/15	0		Task 2 – Reflection Crack Performance
9/30/15	10		Task 3 – Progress Reports
9/30/15	0		Task 4 – Final Report
9/30/15	0		Task 5 – Presentation to Materials Advisory Committee

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

Initial crack locations for the Alamosa site were obtained last period and the present condition of the pavement is being analyzed. The I-70 and US85 crack locations remain to be documented. Performance surveys are planned in the next quarter.

Preventing Transverse Bumps and Cracks in New Asphalt Overlays Over Crack Sealants Study No: 11.60

Background

Crack sealants are often placed in transverse cracks to extend pavement life. However, when a hot mix asphalt overlay is placed on top, a pavement bump and additional transverse cracks often occur in the new overlay asphalt above the sealant.

Previous research and observations indicate that much of the problem may result from the overlay slipping on the sealant during rolling. Suggestion to mitigate this age-old problem include: 1) overlay mixtures with high frictional properties 2) variations of the compaction equipment and rolling scheme, and 3) the use of stiffer tack coats and sealant materials.

This research will seek to identify the primary reasons for these bumps and determine the best approach to mitigation.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start: End:

Principal Investigators: Tentatively, Scott Shuler, CSU

Study Manager: TBD

Study Panel Members: Donna Harmelink, CO div. of FHWA Michael Stanford, Materials and Geotech Branch Bill Schiebel, Materials and Geotech Branch

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
8/31/12		9/10/12	Hold initial study panel meeting.
9/15/12		9/14/12	Develop scope of work
9/30/12		9/14/12	Issue RFP: Scott Shuler of CSU was asked to provide proposal by 10/8/12
10/31/12		10/16/12	Select researcher: The final proposal was received from Dr. Shuler.
11/20/12			Find Paving Project for test sections: There has been no progress in finding a project for test sections.
11/31/12			Notice to Proceed. Can't move forward until resolve the test section issues.
9/15/13			Draft Final Report
11/31/13			Final Report

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

1/31/13 The Study Manager, Roberto DeDios, retired from CDOT

Alternative Materials Investigation for Selective Use of Permeable Pavements Outside of State Highway Travel Lanes and Shoulders Study No: 12.00

Background

The term permeable pavement is used to describe any one of several storm water quality best management practice (BMP) pavements that allow movement of water into the layers below the pavement surface. These pavements are typically intended for light vehicle parking areas, and not for roadway installations, high speed, or heavy traffic.

Depending on the design, permeable pavements can be used to promote runoff volume reduction, provide treatment and slow release of the water quality capture volume (WQCV), and reduce effective imperviousness. Use of permeable pavements is a common Low Impact Development (LID) practice and can be used in combination with other BMPs to provide full treatment and slow release of the WQCV. Installations can also be designed with an increased depth of aggregate material in order to provide flood control storage for large storm events. The research will provide a side-by-side comparison of two interlocking concrete pavement installations, featuring two different water quality treatment methods below the pavement. The key difference between the two pavements will be that one incorporates a sand layer to clean the runoff via filtering while the other will rely solely on settling and subsequent aerobic digestion by a biological film of microorganisms that will develop naturally on the surface of the aggregate.

CDOT will build the test section per UDFCD design at a maintenance yard and UDFCD will conduct the monitoring and reporting.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through.6/30/13 Start: TBD Contract Amount: \$ 70,000

Principal Investigator: Ken A. MacKenzie, P.E., CFM Master Planning Program Manager Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

Study Manager: Bryan Roeder, CDOT, 303-512-4420

Study Panel Leader: Mommandi, Amanullah

Study Panel Members:
Bob McDade, CDOT EPB
Mike Banovich, CDOT EPB
Bob Mero, R6 Materials
Bill Schiebel, Staff Materials and Geotech Branch

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
7/15/12	100%	7/18/12	Select study panel
7/31/12	100%	8/27/12	Finalize scope of work that will be attached to the IGA.
11/1/12	100%	12/11/12	Establish Location of Test Sections: CDOT and UDFCD agreed to place two test sections in the Maintenance Yard at 5701 Federal Blvd.
1/31/13	100%	1/31/13	Execute an intergovernmental agreement (IGA) with the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District: A work agreement was sent to the purchasing staff responsible for intergovernmental agreements.
2/25/13	100%	2/25/13	Reject Maintenance Yard at 5701 Federal Blvd. due to site problems; establish new location at CDOT maintenance shed located at 6050 Wadsworth Blvd.
2/28/13	90%		Design Test Sections
6/30/13			Build Test Sections
7/15/13			Begin Monitoring test sections
7/31/13			Initial Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) test
7/15/15			Monitoring concludes

7/31/15	Final FWD test
10/15/15	Draft report
12/31/15	Final Report publication

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

9/30/2012: An extensive process requirement for Local Government agreements when construction is involved has prevented moving forward. It is necessary to first establish the location of the test sections before continuing.

12/11/2012: After review of several alternatives it was agreed for CDOT to construct two test sections at their maintenance yard at 5701 Federal Blvd. UDFCD will provided the design and perform the research and provide up to \$50,000 to supplement CDOT's \$70,000 to construct the test sections.

1/31/2013: Contract signed, Notice to Proceed sent to PI

3/31/2013: UDFCD signed a \$20,090 contract with the engineering/surveying firm of VisionLand Consultants, Inc. on February 1, 2013 to complete a site survey and design of a permeable pavement section. The initial site selected for the project (known as the "super shed") at 5701 Federal Blvd was rejected after a subsequent detailed survey of the site determined that the paved area tributary to the existing inlet is much too flat for the purpose of gathering stormwater from very small storms (i.e., ≤ 0.5 watershed inches of total runoff). Several other CDOT sites were investigated by UDFCD personnel and eventually the CDOT maintenance facility on the east side of Wadsworth Blvd at 60^{th} Avenue (extended) was chosen based on the favorable grades and general layout, and proximity to Ralston Creek (a water of the U.S.). UDFCD signed a \$1,635 contract amendment with VisionLand Consultants to include a second site survey, which has now been completed. UDFCD will meet with VisionLand on April 10, 2013 to discuss design options based on the recently acquired information.

6/30/2013: VisionLand Consultants has completed 30%, 60%, and 90% plans for the site, all of which have been reviewed by UDFCD and CDOT personnel. CDOT and UDFCD are meeting on 7/11/2013 to discuss construction options as the standard CDOT construction management model is not amenable to the special nature of this construction of a research project site with limited funding and specialized construction techniques and methods.

Investigation of the Benefits from Utilizing Small Aggregates for Seals on Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Roadways Study No: 12.72

Background

Many existing highways in Colorado are far from ideal HMA aggregate sources. Often due to the high cost of aggregate transportation to these areas, highway pavements are not timely preserved. An additional maintenance or rehabilitation treatment for HMA pavements is needed to help preserve deteriorating roadway structures until funding is secured for traditional resurfacing projects. Several river basins in Colorado exist that may provide aggregates that with minimal processing may extend the serviceable roadway life in a value-added analysis.

The Nebraska Department of Roads (NDOR) has developed specifications for an aggregate application referred to in NDOR context as "**Armor Coat**." The application is a generic term which generally refers to an emulsion-aggregate combination with aggregate derived from river sources such as the North or South Platte River. It is commonly applied by NDOR maintenance personnel utilizing standard "chip seal" equipment. The aggregate size is generally 3/8 inch.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: 03/30/09

Principal Investigator(s): Dr. Scott Shuler, CSU

970-491-2447

Technical Panel Leader:

Gary DeWitt, CDOT R-4 Materials

970-350-2379

Study Panel Members:

Masoud Ghaeli, CDOT R-6 Materials Rex Goodrich, CDOT R-3 Materials Donna Harmelink, FHWA Colorado Division Stephen Henry, CDOT Materials and Geotechnical Branch

Gary Strome, CDOT R-4 Materials

Research Study Manager:

Temporary: Rich Griffin, CDOT-DTD Research

303-757 9975 richard.griffin@state.co.us

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
3/31/09	100	3/31/09	Notice to preced
			Notice to proceed
7/31/09	100	3/31/10	Task 1 – Literature Review
8/31/09	100	6/30/09	Task 2 – Activity Plan and Schedule
3/31/13	100	6/30/10	Task 3 - Execute Activity Plan
3/31/13	100	6/30/13	Task 4 – Final Presentation
End of			Task 5 – Quarterly Progress Reports
each qtr.			
3/31/13	95		Task 8 – Final Report: Draft report submitted on 3/27/13
6/1/13		6/17/13	Notice to Proceed for Chip Seal Manual: The RIC made an additional \$5,000 available in the
			FY13 Work Program
7/17/13			Draft Chip Seal Manual
9/17/13			Final Chip Seal Manual

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

The presentation of the final report was given to the study panel. Review of the final report by the study panel resulted in some suggested revisions. These revisions have been made and the final report will be submitted within 30 days of this report.

Asphalt Emulsion Full Depth Reclamation Best Practices Study No: 12.75

Background

Asphalt Emulsion Full Depth Reclamation (AEFDR) is process that recycles and rejuvenates the existing pavement surface and subgrade, providing an improved structure for the final surface pavement. AEFDR is a cost effective, green technology that could enhance available options for treating fatigued pavements and better implement practical design criteria. To increase the use of the AEFDR process, CDOT pavement designers, roadway design and construction staff need design guidance, standardized plans and specifications, construction inspection best practices and quality assurance and quality control protocols.

The research will develop AEFDR design criteria, standard specifications, plan sheets or other design aides, construction inspection requirements, materials testing procedures and frequencies, and a performance evaluation process guide.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start: 11/5/12 End:

Principal Investigators:

Scott Shuler, CSU, PO: 211017637

Study Manager:

Temporarily Rich Griffin, Research Branch, 303-

757-9975

Study Panel Members: (Tentative) Gary DeWitt, R-4 Materials, 970-350-2379 Shamshad Hussain, R1Materials, 303-398-6802 Steven Henry, Mat. and Geotech Branch,

303-398-6579

Mike Stanford, Mat. and Geotech Branch,

303-398-6576

Donna Harmelink, CO. Div. of FHWA

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
8/31/12	100	9/5/12	Hold initial study panel meeting.
9/15/12	100	9/7/12	Develop scope of work
9/30/12	100	9/7/12	Issue RFP: issued to Colorado public universities, proposals are due 10/8/12
11/07/12	100	11/5/12	Select researcher: Scott Shuler with CSU was selected to perform the research
12/7/12	100	11/28/12	Notice to Proceed
2/1/13	30		Task 1-Literature Search: Literature and oral reviews continued with a discussion with Wyoming DOT personnel in Cheyenne concerning experience there and of planned projects in 2013 using emulsion FDR. The Wyoming DOT has a mixture design procedure that evaluates compacted samples of emulsion FDR materials that will be evaluated further.
4/1/13	0		Task 2-Design, Plans, Specs
12/7/13	50	6/30/13	Task 3-Progress Reports
12/7/13	50	3/31/13	Task 4-Panel Meetings
11/15/13			Task 5-Draft Final Report
12/31/13			Task 6-Final Report
12/7/13			Task 7-Presentation

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

No activity this period.

In-Place Void Follow-up Study No. 12.92

Background

With a perfect mix design methodology, the in-place voids should match the design voids after a certain length of time. Data from a recently completed study indicated that pavements in Colorado achieve final densification under traffic within the first three years. However, the in-place voids at three years average 1.2% higher than one would expect based on the design voids. This indicates that these projects were designed at too high of compactive effort and resulted in stiffer mixes.

This study recommended two options for adjusting mix designs for Colorado. The first option was to lower the compactive effort during the mix design process. The second was to adjust the target mix design air void content. The first option was undesirable as the required change in compaction effort to achieve the difference in voids would be quite large.

Implementation of the second option has already begun, and will change constructed air voids while maintaining historic design void requirements and ensure that additional asphalt cement is used to fill mix voids. Recommendations to change the air voids target on the Job Mix Formula (Form 43) at 0.6% lower voids will decrease field voids by 0.6%, half the difference shown by the study. The change will result in more asphalt in the field-produced hot-mix asphalt.

Even though this change is felt to be a step in the right direction, additional research is needed to track these changes to ensure the desired outcome is achieved.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start:7/03 Ver:

Principal Investigator(s): Research Branch Personnel

Dave Weld, Field Coordinator/Support CDOT Research Branch 303-512-4052

Study Manager: TBD

Study Panel Members:

Mike Stanford, Mat. & Geotech Branch 303-398-6576

303-376-02

Bill Schiebel, Mat. & Geotech Branch

303-398-6504

Donna Harmelink, FHWA Colorado Division 720-963-3021

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
5/03	100	7/03	Identify the projects for evaluation. 17 sites were selected for evaluation in 2003. The remaining sites to complete the environmental and temperature matrix will be established next year during construction.
9/03	100	10/03	Monitor 2003 construction
5/04	100	7/04	Additional sites to complete the environmental and temperature matrix were identified: SH385 near Holyoke and SH135 at Almont.
9/04	100	8/31/04	Monitor 2004 construction projects: Holyoke done in June and Almont done in August.
9/04	100	3/31/05	Collect cores for first year evaluation.
11/04	100	11/24/05	Laboratory testing of Cores
9/05	100	10/1/05	Collect cores for second year evaluation, complete void testing in laboratory
11/05	100	05/06	Laboratory testing of Cores

9/06	100	11/06	Collect cores for final evaluation, 15 of 19 sites have been cored and evaluated
7/31/07	100	6/30/07	Complete testing of Cores
7/31/13	70		Determine how to move forward on this study: A meeting was held to determine how to move forward on this study. It was decided to first assemble the project data and then determine who can analyze it and produce a report. Core test results for all projects have been found. Form 43s (Final job mix) for all but one project have been found. Region Materials Engineers have been asked for mix designs
	on hold		Select PI. On hold till a data is assembled
	on hold		Draft final report
04/30/13	on hold		Publish final report

- 3/31/13 The two principals of this study are no longer available either as consultant or employees to write a final report for this study. ARIB will meet with the Materials and Geotechnical Branch to determine how to proceed.
- 1/31/13 The Study Manager, Roberto DeDios, retired from CDOT
- Research staff met with FHWA to decide what to do with this inactive project. FHWA personnel suggested bringing this issue to the Materials Advisory Committee for final disposition. Some test results are missing and need to be recovered or reconstructed. It was decided that a final report will be prepared for this research project. A consultant will be hired to analyze data and prepare the final report.

Investigation of the Need for an HMA Layer Bond Strength Test Study No: 12.94

Background

Poor bonding between asphalt pavement overlays and the substrate pavement layer can greatly influence the long term performance of hot mix asphalt (HMA) in the form of premature cracking and fatigue. The primary method to achieve bonding between layers is by using an asphalt emulsion tack coat. Additionally, field staff is charged with determining whether an existing pavement, especially a milled surface, is clean enough prior to tack coat placement, to ensure an adequate bond. Because CDOT is prescriptive in its tack coat application specifications, responsibility for any pavement failures related to poor bonding is CDOT's. CDOT is considering adopting a bond strength test method and associated specification limits based on performance information. CDOT could then transfer responsibility to the Contractor for determining appropriate cleaning and tack coat application rates and practices.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start: 11/5/12 End:

Principal Investigators: Scott Shuler, CSU, PO: 211017637

Study Manager: Temporarily Rich Griffin, Research Branch, 303-757-9975

Study Panel Members: (Tentative)
Gary DeWitt, R-4 Materials, 970-350-2379
Shamshad Hussain, R1Materials, 303-398-6802
Mike Stanford, Mat. and Geotech Branch,
303-398-6576

Donna Harmelink, CO. Div. of FHWA

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
8/31/12	100	9/5/12	Hold initial study panel meeting.
9/15/12	100	9/7/12	Develop scope of work
9/30/12	100	9/7/12	Issue RFP: issued to Colorado public universities, proposals are due 10/8/12
11/07/12	100	11/5/12	Select researcher: Scott Shuler with CSU was selected to perform the research
12/7/12	100	11/28/12	Notice to Proceed
4/1/13	20		Task 1-Reviews: The literature review continued this quarter and discussions began to locate the field sites needed for Task 2.
9/1/13	20		Task 2-Field Survey
12/7/14	20		Task 3-Progress Reports
12/7/13	0		Task 4-Draft and Final Report-Phase I
7/15/14	0		Task 5-Pilot Project
12/7/14	0		Task 6-Draft and Final Report-Phase II

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

Two potential field test sites have been identified in Regions 1 and 2 and an additional site is being investigated in Region 5.

Testing apparatus needed for evaluating the tensile bond strength of overlays has been procured from Road Science. Although it was planned to use this apparatus in the field, after practicing with the equipment in test

trials it will be more practical and better controlled if the cores from the pavements are brought back to the asphalt laboratory at CSU for testing. This is because of the time required to perform the tests and because of temperature control issues in the field.

Design and Analysis Procedures for Asphalt Mixtures Containing High-RAP Contents and/or RAS Pooled Fund Study No: 13.10

Background

Despite recent advancements in the design of asphalt mixtures containing Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP), many states are still cautious in their regulations to avoid durability problems related to the recycling process. In many states, RAP is currently not allowed in highest-class asphalt mixtures and in polymer-modified asphalt products. In addition, high percentages of RAP exceeding 25% are not commonly used in practice. On the other hand, many state agencies are taking a more aggressive approach by considering increasing the allowable percentages of RAP in hot-mix asphalt (HMA) to take full advantage of this promising technology. For instance, up to 50% RAP has been used in some asphalt mixtures, which produced an acceptable level of performance.

To ensure successful use of RAP, confidences in the mixture design procedure require addressing many concerns related to the interaction between virgin and recycled materials and durability of the produced mixture. Current AASHTO recommendations make it difficult to design asphalt mixtures with high-RAP contents. Modifications to the current specifications are needed to assure agencies that satisfactory performance will result from the use of high-RAP content HMA mixes.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: Pooled-Fund Start: End:

Principal Investigators: TBD

Study Manager: TBD

Study Panel Members:

Masoud Ghaeli, Region 6 Materials, 303-398-

Bill Schiebel, Region 1 Materials, 303-398-

6801

Mike Stanford, Materials and Geotechnical Br.

MILESTONES

Click on the following link to access Transportation Pooled-Fund website to view the solicitation details for this specific research project: http://www.pooledfund.org/Details/Solicitation/1318

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

1/31/13	The CDOT Study Manager, Roberto DeDios, retired from CDOT
---------	---

- 9/30/12 Setting up of lead state pooled-fund commitment program is underway.
- 9/30/12 Per the Research and Implementation Council decision, CDOT has committed \$28,000 to support this research once the pooled-fund project has been established.

Developing Criteria for Performance-Based Concrete Specifications Study No: 22.50

Background

Many of CDOT's mix design requirements are based on results of studies in the 1940's and 1950's on durability. For more than 50 years now, concrete technology has advanced, but CDOT specifications for durability have remained mostly unchanged. The minimum cement content for a given strength is derived from mix design guidelines that were developed before water reducing admixtures were widely used and accepted. These minimum cement contents generally control the mix design process with many mix designs exceeding the minimum strength requirements by 500 to 1,000 psi. Ready-mix suppliers that supply to non-CDOT projects have developed mix designs that use less cement and more fly-ash than CDOT mix designs and exceeded their strengths. They are able to accomplish this improvement through gradation optimization and admixture combinations.

The proposed study will test current CDOT standard mix designs to determine minimum required performance criteria that will be used to develop performance-based concrete mix design criteria.

After performance criteria are defined, the ready mixed concrete producers will be asked to submit mix designs they would like to use on CDOT projects. These mix designs will be tested and evaluated based on the performance criteria established. CDOT will use the developed performance criteria to prepare a project special provision to allow the use of performance-based criteria for mix design approval on pilot projects. The ultimate goal is to develop and adopt a performance-based concrete standard special provision for use in applicable projects.

The product of this research will provide CDOT Materials and Geotechnical Branch with criteria that can be used in the development of a performance-based concrete mix design specification. The use of performance-based specifications would allow ready mixed concrete suppliers to optimize the materials used in creating mix designs. This materials optimization can lower cement contents and increase fly-ash content that would lead to reduction of costs and concrete carbon footprint in CDOT construction projects.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: 09/23/10

Principal Investigator(s): Dr. Rui Liu/originally Dr. Stephan Durham University of Colorado-

Denver, 303-556-5270 Research Study Manager:

Temporarily Rich Griffin, CDOT-Research, 303-7 9975

Technical Panel Leader:

Eric Prieve, CDOT Materials and Geotechnical Branch 303-398-6542

Study Panel Members:

Gary DeWitt, CDOT R-4 Materials Donna Harmelink, FHWA Colorado Division Aziz Khan, CDOT-DTD Research Branch Patrick Kropp, CDOT Materials and Geotechnical Branch

Michael Stanford, CDOT Materials and Geotechnical Branch

FHWA Washington Contact:
Ahmad Ardani, Turner-Fairbank Highway
Research Center 202-493-3422

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
9/23/10	100	9/23/10	Issue Notice-to-Proceed letter
10/13/10	100	10/13/10	CDOT Panel/Principal Investigator (PI) kick-off meeting
12/31/10	100	7/14/11	Comprehensive literature review
12/31/10	100	9/01/11	Obtain needed materials for testing
12/31/10	100	12/31/10	First progress report
1/31/11	100	5/10/11	Complete selection of concrete mixture designs
3/31/11	100	4/31/11	Second progress report
6/30/11	100	7/14/11	Summarize past research activities/Third progress report
8/31/11	100	2/15/12	Create concrete mix in lab, test, and develop performance criteria: Completed laboratory
			batching of non-standard concrete mixtures

9/30/11	100	1/16/12	Summarize past research activities/Fifth progress report
9/30/11	100	2/15/12	Solicit and batch non-standard mixture designs
12/31/11	100	Done	Test supplier-provided concrete
12/31/11	100	4/16/12	Summarize past research activities/Sixth progress report
12/31/11	100	Done	Analyze and summarize test results
7/01/12	100	7/01/12	Draft project report
7/11/12	100	7/11/12	Conduct PowerPoint presentation to CDOT Panel/MAC
12/31/12	100	7/12/13	Submit final project report to CDOT/Publish final report:
			The final report was published as 2013-09: This will be the final quarterly
			report on this study.

7/12/13	The final report was published as 2013-09: This will be the final quarterly report on this study
1/31/13	The CDOT Study Manager, Roberto DeDios, retired from CDOT
12/31/11	Principal Investigator Stephen Durham left the University of Colorado-Denver
12/20/10	UCD Laboratory Inspection

Internal Curing of HPC Using Techniques Other Than Light-Weight Aggregate Study No: 22.60

Background

Through extensive laboratory testing, this research effort is expected to produce information that can be useful in the potential use of internal curing (IC) for the CDOT's high performance concrete (HPC). Improvements expected include resistance to shrinkage and premature cracking, and low permeability.

Traditionally, concrete cures by using water already free in the mixture or by drawing water from the surface. For traditional concrete adequate curing can be achieved by maintaining moisture on the surface. However HPC, with its low water-cement ratio, has little excess water. In addition the low permeability of HSC quickly blocks surface water from permeating into the concrete for curing. The primary manifestations of inadequate water for curing are impeded hydration, shrinkage, and premature cracking.

Internal curing (IC) is the process of placing water throughout the structure of the concrete, so it is available later for curing without altering the pore structure of the paste. Special admixtures, super adsorbent polymers (SAP), natural fibers, and light weight aggregate (LWA) all have the ability to retain water and release it as the curing process demands it.

The use of LWA has been the primary approach for IC. However concerns regarding LWA impacting performance and problems with displacing LWA uniformly through the mix, have limited its use.

Project Goal

It is anticipated that at the completion of the project the Colorado Department of Transportation will have: 1) mixture proportions for a high performance concrete that is internally cured that is ready for use in a field application, 2) data indicating the differences in freeze-thaw performance that may be expected from concrete where fine and coarse aggregate is replaced with lightweight aggregate, and 3) resources that can be used to share these findings with contractors, other department of transportation personnel or the executive staff.

Project Scope

Investigate, through extensive laboratory testing, the freeze-thaw performance of internally cured high performance concrete for use by the Colorado Department of Transportation. In particular, this study will evaluate a series of internally cured high performance concrete mixtures for the Colorado Department of Transportation that meet the specifications of a Class H concrete. The goal of this study is to address concerns regarding the use of lightweight aggregate for internal curing and the impact of this lightweight aggregate on the freeze-thaw performance. Specifically this study will be divided into two portions. The first portion of the work will investigate the freeze-thaw response of a typical class H concrete mixture and a typical class H mixture where a portion of the fine aggregate and coarse aggregate are replaced with lightweight aggregate. The second portion of the work will investigate the freeze-thaw behavior of a typical class H concrete mixture using the other two aggregates (those not used in the first

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start: 11/8/12 End: 12/31/13

Principal Investigators:
Jason Weiss, Purdue University
PO: 211017650
765-494-2215

Study Panel Members: (Tentative)
Gary Dewitt
Region 4 Materials
970-506-0359

Eric Prieve Mat. And Geotech Branch 303-398-6542

Matt Greer, CO div. of FHWA 720-963-3008

Patrick Kropp Mat. And Geotech Branch, 303-398-6541

Mike Mohseni CDOT Bridge 303-512-4300 portion) that are available in Colorado. In addition, the second phase of the research will also work on developing/evaluating an internally cured concrete that meets the class D specification. In addition to simply performing the experimental evaluation the research team will work closely with the Colorado Department of Transportation to describe the principles that make these mixtures resistant to freezing and thawing so that specifications can be developed for durable, long-lasting internally cured concrete. Implementation of additional systems on other State Highways.

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
7/31/12	100	7/31/12	Hold initial study panel meeting.
8/15/12	100	8/29/12	Develop scope of work
8/30/12	100	8/29/12	Issue RFP to selected researchers from public universities: proposals are due 10/1/12
10/23/12	100	10/23/12	Select researcher: The panel reviewed 4 proposal and selected Dr. Jason Weiss of Purdue University to conduct the research.
11/30/12	100	11/8/12	Start Project
12/08/12	100	2/14/13	Task 1 Mixture Proportioning
12/30/12	100	6/25/13	Task 2 Material Collection and Shipping
4/1/13	90		Task 3 Evaluation of Preliminary Mixtures
8/15/13	50		Task 4 Evaluation of Optimized Internally Cured Mixtures
8/31/13	20		Task 5 Draft Final Report
11/1/13	~		Final Report

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

- 11/8/12 Begin Project with CDOT providing a contract to Purdue University
- 12/5/12 Project SAC Meeting This meeting was held Go to Meeting (slides and minutes in dropbox account)
- 2/19/13 Casting for freeze-thaw and scaling mixtures completed from the preliminary mixtures
- 3/22/13 Cast remaining samples from preliminary mixtures complete (with exception of autogenous shrinkage and dual ring)
- 5/7/13 Project SAC Meeting This meeting was held Go to Meeting (slides and minutes in dropbox account)
- 5/14/13 Casting for freeze-thaw and scaling mixtures completed from the optimized mixtures
- 6/28/13 Received final shipment of project material

ANTICIPATED EVENTS FOR Q3 2013 (Project Q4)

- Completion of all testing for preliminary and optimized mixtures.
- Project SAC meeting to discuss the experimental results for the optimized mixtures
- Completion and submission of draft final report (there may be a need to add water absorption results due to the time it takes to condition and test samples however these will be provided as soon as obtained.)

Recycled Tires as Coarse Aggregate in Concrete Pavement Mixtures Study No: 22.65

Background

Colorado has about 45 million tires stored, roughly one-third of the stockpiled tires in the country. In addition, the number of tires stockpiled in Colorado is rising every year. In the early 1990s, recycled waste tire particles' usage expanded into a relatively new product called rubberized. Rubberized concrete uses portland cement as its binder. Research has shown that rubberized concrete has a very positive outlook for inception into selected markets such as pavement applications. With waste tires having a specific gravity of approximately 1.1, compared to 2.6 or greater for virgin aggregates, a legal 80,000-pound gross weight tractor-trailer delivering recycled "tire chips" (0.2 in-1.5 in) would provide 2 to 2-1/2 times the volume of virgin coarse aggregate for concrete pavement per delivery. The results are cost savings and reduction in carbon footprint.

A recent research study conducted by the University of Colorado at Denver (UCD) for the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment indicated the feasibility of using commercially processed crumb rubber as a partial replacement for the fine aggregate in CDOT Class P paving concrete mixes. Volumetric portions ranging from 10 to 50% replacements of sand were tested for fresh and hardened concrete properties. From the five replacement values, the 20 and 30% replacement mixtures performed adequately to fulfill CDOT Class P concrete requirements. The recycled waste tire particles did not exhibit any type of unusual rate of strength gain behaviors with the different replacement quantities. The leaching tests (TCLP) were performed to examine the environmental sustainability of the rubberized concrete mixtures. According to these tests performed, this material would pose no threat to human health.

The price of one ton well-processed crumb rubber is \$300~\$400. It seems it is very expensive to replace fine virgin aggregates that are readily available in Eastern Colorado. A more economical alternative exists if recycled tire chips are used to supplement/replace the coarse aggregate. Costs associated with crushing, shredding and screening materials, including tires, to ever smaller sizes progressively increase. The less effort required to create a product, the less its associated costs will be.

This proposed research will examine the reuse potential of tire chips as coarse aggregate in paving concrete mixes. The proposed use of recycled tires would replace the more expensive virgin coarse aggregate on the eastern plain of Colorado.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: 10/11/12

Principal Investigator(s): Dr. Rui Liu

University of Colorado-Denver

303-556-5270

Research Study Manager:

Aziz Khan CDOT-DTD Research

303-757-9522

Technical Panel Leader:

Eric Prieve, CDOT Materials and Geotechnical Branch 303-398-6542

Study Panel Members:

Patrick Kropp, CDOT Materials and

Geotechnical Branch

Bob Mero, CDOT Region 6

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
10/11/12	100	10/11/12	Issue Notice-to-Proceed letter
11/15/12	100	11/15/12	CDOT Panel/Principal Investigator (PI) kick-off meeting
11/11/12	100	11/31/12	Task 1: Comprehensive literature review
4/31/13	100	5/31/13	Task 2: Design concrete mixtures, obtain raw materials, batch, and test concrete mixtures: Preliminary results have been provided to CDOT

5/31/13	100	6/1/13	Task 3: Data analysis, preparation of draft and final report: A draft report will be submitted to the CDOT by May 31, 2013
6/29/13	80		Publish final report and end of project: Comments from CDOT were sent to PI on 6/17/13

Evaluation of Soil Resources for Sustained Vegetative Cover of Cut and Fill Slopes along I-70 near Straight Creek Study No: 30.02

Background

Severe weather and erosion on steep slopes can destroy revegetation plots testing tackifiers, fertilizers and mulches along any highways. Several reapplications of seed and fertilizer may be needed before vegetation is reestablished using standard CDOT methods and materials. The longevity of these amendments and the sustainability of the vegetative cover are not known. Adequate plant cover is critical for erosion control on these steep and sandy sites.

The objectives of this research initiative are: to evaluate the moisture and nutrient characteristics of revegetated soils along wall embankments, fill slopes and cut slopes along I-70 near Straight Creek; and to compare these values with those of native, undisturbed sites (topsoil retained) and barren slopes with inadequate plant growth. This data will verify the current ability of the sites to support vegetation and it will help evaluate the ability of the soils to continue to support adequate vegetative cover in the long term.

The anticipated product will include construction and maintenance specifications that promote long-term sustainability of the vegetative cover and provide guidelines to modify specifications as needed to encourage growth of sustainable vegetative cover for steep and sandy sites. The developed specifications should be applicable to control erosion on steep and sandy sites anywhere in the nation.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Start: 9/13/07 Complete: 5/31/13

Principal Investigator(s):

Vic Claassen, University of California Davis

Study Manager:

Bryan Roeder, CDOT-DTD Research

303-512-4420

Study Panel Leader:

Mike Banovich, CDOT-DTD EPB

303-757-9542

Study Panel Members:

Jennifer Klaetsch, CDOT-DTD EPB

303-757-9481

Allan Hotchkiss, CDOT Materials and

Geotechnical Branch

303-398-6587

Amanullah Mommandi, CDOT Staff Hydraulics 303-757-9044

Planned	% Done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
			2007
3/31/06	100	4/07	Assemble the CDOT Study Panel. Develop the scope of work (SOW).
4/30/07	100	6/07	Complete the SOW and request for proposal (RFP) process (if applicable).
6/30/07	100	9/07	Select the Principal Investigator (PI). Initiate task order/purchase
			order/agreement/contract.
9/13/07	100	9/07	Award the contract. (Purchase Order signed)
11/15/07	100	09/07	Conduct the CDOT Study Panel/PI kick-off meeting. (field meeting with CDOT group,
			now need to present preliminary work to committee)
12/31/07	100	09/07	Establish control and experimental sites.
9/15/07	100	09/07	Prepare experimental set-up.
10/15/07	100	09/07	Monitor, gather and analyze plant cover data.
			2008
	100	due 05/08	Gather, prepare and analyze soil data
11/30/07	100	July 20 08	Prepare and submit interim report to CDOT study panel for review.
08/20/08	100		Research oversight committee Aug 26, 2008
09/30/08	100	10/02/08	Design and install nutrient release experiment using common soil amendment materials
10/01/08	100	spring 09	Analyze Time 0 samples (fresh amendment mixed with Straight Creek substrate) to
			determine initial nutrient content

			2009
winter 09	75	spring 09	Analyze nutrient release from T0, develop fractionation scheme for organics and nutrients
meltoff 09	100	spring 09	First field sampling of nutrient release experiment (Time 1 treatment).
summer 09	100	fall 09	Soil and vegetation sampling on existing plots (Insert additional nutrient sampling time??)
fall 09	100	spring 09	Second field sampling of nutrient release experiment (Time 2 treatment).
11/30/09	100	fall 09	Submit draft interim report for review by CDOT study panel.
12/31/09	100	fall 09	Respond to study committee review on the timing of nutrient release and field sampling.
			2010
meltoff 10	100	June 2010	Nutrient release experiment sampling
sum/fall 10	100	September 2010	Nutrient release experiment sampling
winter 2011	85	Dec 2010	Sample prep, N extraction
spring 2011	30		N release report
melt 2011	100		Field sampling
Fall 2011	100	Feb 2012	Final field sampling
spr 2012			Prepare and submit draft final report to CDOT study panel for review.
June15 '12			Complete review of draft final report by CDOT study panel with comments to be addressed.
June 30 '12			Prepare and submit draft final project report. Project extended until Dec 2012.
Sept 30 '12	100	9/27/12	Complete field vegetation cover surveys. Review project in field with research comm.
Oct 31 '12			Complete review of draft final report by CDOT study panel with comments to be
N. 45/45			addressed.
Nov 15 '12			Schedule presentation with CDOT staff.
Dec 31 '12			Project completion

June 2012: Final Report has been submitted to CDOT

Mileage Based User Fee Study Study No: 30.51

Background

The Colorado Transportation Finance and Infrastructure Panel (CTFIP) identified a gap between infrastructure investment and use of the system compounded by the funding gap between revenue and needs over the long term. In short, Colorado's current system and extent of transportation finance is insufficient to maintain the state's highway and transit network, let alone grow the system to adequately address anticipated population, employment, and visitor growth. There are many options available to the state for resolving this finance gap. One strategy proposed by the CTFIP, and the subject of this study, is Mileage Based User Fees (MBUF). MBUF involves the collection of a user fee that is directly scalar with the use of designated roadways. At its simplest, an MBUF implementation may involve simply a per-mile fee; however, the specific rate of pay may include various deviations from a flat fee, in order to provide for incentives and mitigation (be it traffic, environmental, or geographic equity purposes). In order to properly assess the efficacy of MBUF towards these objectives, this study has been designed to:

- 1. evaluate the policy parameters surrounding a potential pilot program,
- 2. create a preliminary concept of operations for the conduct of MBUF, and
- 3. develop a framework for testing this program in Colorado.

Project Goal

In 2008, the CTFIP recommended that the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) conduct a MBUF pilot project. Through the current effort, CDOT intends to develop a scope of work for the pilot program and produce a competitive proposal for Federal grant funding.

Project Scope

To achieve the objectives identified above, the study scope of work provides not only the technical parameters for the pilot program, but also sufficient research into the policy parameters that inform the technical procedures.

Whereas the objectives of the study are directly responsive to the goal of developing a successful proposed demonstration program of MBUF, these objectives are informed by the overall goals of a potential MBUF pilot program. The goals of the MBUF pilot program are to:

- 1. demonstrate the potential effectiveness of MBUF as a strategy for resolving the financial gap identified by the Panel, and
- 2. engage public, stakeholders, and institutions towards a potential full-scale MBUF implementation in Colorado.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: 04/26/11

Principal Investigator(s):

David Ungemah; Parsons Brinckerhoff, 720-837-

1522

Study Manager:

David Reeves, CDOT Division of Transportation Development, 303-757-9518

Study Panel Members:

Kurtis Morrison, CDOT Legislative Liaison Sandy Kohrs, CDOT DTD Intermodal Planning Charles Meyer, CDOT Chief Engineer's Office Ben Stein, CDOT CFO

Michael Cheroutes, HPTE Director Herman Stockinger, CDOT Office of Policy & Govt Relations

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
10/19/10	100	10/19/10	Advertise RFP
11/22/10	100	11/22/10	Receive Proposals
1/24/11	100	1/24/11	Selection
4/26/11	100	4/26/11	Notice to Proceed
8/15/11	100	1/10/12	State of the Practice Assessment
9/15/11	100	3/28/13	Policy Outreach
9/15/11	100	5/18/12	Performance Measures
10/21/11	100	10/30/12	Preliminary Concept of Operations
11/18/11	80		Pilot Scope of Work / Final Report

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

- 4/26/11 Project commencement
- 6/24/11 Meeting with CDOT policy guidance team
- 12/5/11 Restart project
- 12/8/11 Submission of MBUF Options Technical Memorandum (serves towards defining program goals and objectives)
- 12/8/11 Submission of MBUF Focus Group protocol
- 12/8/11 Submission of MBUF Stakeholder Interview protocol
- 1/10/12 Submission of State of the Practice Technical Memorandum
- 2/13/12 Presentation to CDOT DTD.
 - Outcome from presentation and technical memoranda: rescope project to incorporate an expanded array of public opinion assessment prior to development of MBUF policy, concept of operations, and pilot program design.
- 5/1/12 Presentation to MBUF Symposium
- 5/18/12 Reallocation of scope and budget to emphasize more public opinion data collection
- 6/25/12 Initiation of Stakeholder Interviews and data collection
- 7/5/12 Preparation of Project Update Presentation for CDOT policy / legislative outreach
- 8/27/12 Presentation of Stakeholder interview findings to CDOT Research Division
- 9/28/12 Completion of Stakeholder Interviews and Preparation of Stakeholder Assessment
- 10/4/12 Conduct of MBUF Workshop with state stakeholders at Colorado DOT HQ
- 10/30/12 Completion of concept of operations based upon stakeholder feedback
- 03/28/13 Completed focus groups of citizens in Brush and Denver regarding MBUF strategies

ANTICIPATED EVENTS FOR Q3 2013

• Preparation and submittal of final report around end of August.

Helicopter Avalanche Control Study No: 30.70

Background

Avalanche danger presents many hazards to the transportation infrastructure in Colorado. In hazardous areas, near roads too arterial to close, these hazards must be mitigated by forcing slides during temporary road closures. Often the avalanches are triggered via high explosive charges dropped from helicopters. Many factors such as weather, explosive duds, or charges rolling away from trigger zones can prolong or even scrap a mission. When traffic is stopped, loaders are staged for snow removal, ground control is in operation, and the helicopter crew is working, costs drastically increase with mission time. Also, increasing the amount of time in flight increases the probability of an in-flight accident.

This research project is designed in two phases. The first is focused on a global survey designed to identify current methods in avalanche control. The goal of phase one is to determine what differences, if any, exist in the methods of helicopter avalanche control performed by CDOT in comparison to other agencies.

The second phase is designed to field test any differences found in phase one, as well as test any promising technology not identified in phase one. One potential avenue of investigation in phase two is the DaisyBell system. The DaisyBell generates a compressed gas explosion, while tethered to a helicopter, and can therefore be fired many times. This increase in firings can potentially increase the number of avalanches triggered per flight. The DaisyBell apparatus itself, however, may cause more mission scraps due to wind and the increased aircraft cross-section. Phase two intends to field test such cost to benefit ratios with real world technologies.

Upon analyzing the results of phases one and two, suggestions can be made, and training implemented, to help reduce the cost and safety hazards associated with CDOT's helicopter avalanche operations.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: 5/1/11

PO: 271001410 PO Expiry: 05/22/13

Principal Investigator(s):

Dr. Vilem Petr, Colorado School of Mines 303.273.3222 Dr. Ethan Greene, Colorado Avalanche Information

Center 303.499.9650

Study Manager:

David Reeves, DTD Research, 303-757-9518

Study Panel Members:

Mark Mueller, Staff Maintenance Engineer (HQ) James Walker, Maintenance & Operations (HQ) Dr. Aziz Khan, CDOT Research Engineer (HQ-DTD)

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
			Phase 1
5/11	100	5/11	Task 1.1: Review of current practices in helicopter avalanche control at CDOT and by other agencies performing the same kind of work.
5/11	100	5/11	Task 1.2.0 thru 1.2.2: Perform national and international survey of current helicopter safety and use in avalanche control. Survey will include types of explosives used, their delivery methods, and respective initiation systems. Special focus on delivery methods of "turkey bombs" will be reviewed.
5/11	100	5/11	Task 1.2.3: Industry survey of available initiation systems for explosives used in helicopter avalanche control.
5/11	100	5/11	Task 1.3: Perform national and international survey for alternative methods to explosives within avalanche control such as DaisyBell and Gas-Ex avalanche control systems.
5/11	25	6/11	Task 1.4: Contingent on availability of equipment and coordination with CDOT maintenance operation, the research team will conduct a preliminary field experiment to

9/11	100	1/12	help guide phase 2. The experiment will collect data and compare effectiveness of two types of ANFO charges, emulsions/heavy ANFO and the DaisyBell. The field data would include, but not be limited to, measuring crater dimensions, atmospheric overpressure, high-speed images, and initial and final snow structure. The data would be used to evaluate the different explosive methods and help to validate and benchmark the new numerical simulation of other blasting scenarios. Task 1.5: Submit written report summarizing findings from tasks 1 through 3 as per CDOT
<i>7</i> /11	100	1/12	research report format within six months from start of project. This report will compare effectiveness of current CDOT helicopter avalanche control efforts to other agencies in terms of number of successful efforts per mission, how often the efforts fail, and by what mechanism (snow failed to slide despite apparently good charge placement and initiation, dud, charge rolled/bounced down slope, weather caused scrub after takeoff, etc.) failure occurred.
			Phase 2
12/11	100		Task 2.1: Dependent upon evaluation of data obtained in phase 1, the research team will propose improved strategies in terms of new explosive types, delivery methods, and/or initiation methods which are intended to improve crew safety, mission effectiveness and cost effectiveness. The strategy should consider not only explosive characteristics but also avalanche/snow mechanics and how the process could be optimized for different conditions.
4/12	100		Task 2.2: Design and build a test matrix for comparison of actual explosives, and their alternative systems, studied in phase 1. The research team will perform and analyze these comparisons with different initiation systems, delivery methods, and explosive types in relation to snow mechanics, environmental effects using high speed imaging and other technologies. Numerical modeling will also be performed using results from these comparison tests. Test locations will be pre-selected be CDOT personnel, such as Independence Pass in early spring, to afford realistic snow conditions.
4/12	100		Task 2.3: The research team will design and implement training courses and materials in the proposed strategy based on the test results of Task 2.2 in order to minimize cost and help improve the effectiveness of CDOT's helicopter avalanche control program.
4/12	100		Task 2.4: Continue annual CDOT training while documenting the effectiveness of the newly implemented strategies described in tasks 2.2 and 2.3.
5/12	100		Task 2.5: The research team will submit a final written report on the new helicopter avalanche control strategies, implemented training, and effectiveness of new strategies in the field. This report will also highlight the comparison between current explosive methods to alternative methods such as the Daisy Bell avalanche control systems and will comply with CDOT Research Branch requirements.

- 5/11 The project was funded and phase one began.
- 5/5/11 The survey was launched around the world to ski areas and transportation departments. As of this writing, 32 legitimate responders have been recorded. The analysis of this survey is to come in the next quarter but it has been noted that none of the survey participants thus far use the DaisyBell system.
- 7/20/11 The project kickoff meeting was held at the Colorado School of Mines. Overall project goals, as described in the project background, were discussed. It was determined that the primary goal of this study is to improve (and/or verify) CDOT efficiency in helicopter avalanche control. It was stressed that CDOT would like to see phase one completed as thoroughly as possible before moving on to phase two. Potential locations for phase two testing was also discussed, and determined to be Loveland ski area. Emphasis on the DaisyBell system was suggested. Also, interest in developing an easy means of ANFO quality control measurement was expressed.
- 7/20/11 The survey was launched again to all emails that have not yet responded. At the time of this writing ten more participants have been recorded due to this launch.
- 12/11 32 responses to the survey have been received. Survey responses have been analyzed with the following results
- 1/5/12 Final survey report was sent to CDOT and other necessary personnel for revision.
- 2/12 Working on setting up testing with Loveland Ski area and finalizing test matrix with CDOT for 1 or 2 days of

testing, according to availability of Loveland Ski Area, CDOT personnel, and CAIC personnel.

- 2nd Quarter 2012 No significant events reported.
- 3rd Quarter 2012 No significant events reported.
- November 2012 We have meeting with CDOT research team at CSM research team at CSM and we suggested and proposed a new schedule for the experiments which should be done by February 2013 in Loveland. This is dependent on snow conditions.

We also agreed on 4 tests using different charges as well initiation systems.

- 4th Quarter 2012 No significant events reported
- **February 2012** Phase 2 experimental testing has been suspended indefinitely due to lack of snow. Recommendations will be compiled based on preliminary experimental test results, survey results, and numerical modeling results. This work will be completed and a report submitted by May 1, 2013
- 1st Quarter 2013 Plan for testing at ERL test pit moving forward. Relative effectiveness of ANFO versus ANNM charges will be evaluated based on air overpressure measurements and measurements of the detonation pressure of each explosive using the double pipe test. Final testing plan will be submitted to CDOT for approval by April 1, with testing to be completed by the second week of April
- 2nd Quarter 2013 Draft report submitted and presented to study panel. Project complete.

Potential Impacts of Solar Arrays on Highway Safety and Operations Study No: 32.08

Background

The highway right-of-way provides the opportunity for the development of solar power systems across the state. However, this is only feasible if it can be done in a manner that does not interfere with the operation and maintenance of the highway system and does not create an unacceptable risk to transportation system users.

Two reports will be produced, addressing general (not necessarily site specific), potential impacts from solar array installation and operation. The first report should identify visual and other potential impacts to highway user safety, evaluate the probability for these impacts to occur and recommended criteria for minimization or control of any impacts that have a high probability of occurring, or carry very high risk even if probability appears low.

The second report will focus on the potential for solar arrays to affect on-going maintenance and operation activities.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Start: 10/29/12 Contract Amount: \$74,970

End: 12/31/14

Principal Investigator:

Dr. Ananda Paudel, Assistant Professor Engineering Department,

Colorado State University-Pueblo

Study Manager:

Bryan Roeder, CDOT, 303-512-4420

Study Panel Leader:

Yates Oppermann, CDOT Environmental Programs Branch

Study Panel Members:

Sasan Delshad, CDOT Region 2 Traffic and Safety

Mike Delong, CDOT Region 1 Maintenance and Operations

Jim Eussen, CDOT Region 1 Environmental

Sarah Mitchell, CDOT Environmental Programs Branch

Dave Ruble, CDOT Region 1 Utility Engineer

Rick Santos, Colorado Div. of FHWA

MILESTONES/TASKS

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
11/1/12	done	11/1/12	Notice to Proceed
11/6/12	done	11/16/12	Kick Off Meeting
3/1/2013	90%	06/30/2013	Task 1. Literature study done: ODOT, Volpe Institute, snow drifting Glare, Glint, Safety and Maintenance, • Solar Glare Hazard Analysis Tool (SGHAT) software (Sandia Laboratory) to analyze the glare effects • Dr.Tabler's studies on snow drifting and snow fences • Presentation in Transportation Research Board Hazardous Waste and Resource Conservation Efficiency Committee
2/30/2013	90%	06/30/2013	Task 2. Frame work development done via Tele conference and in person meeting: Action items generation on literature review, field visit and interviews and work assignment • Main elements identified for each study scope(Environmental, maintenance, safety, design and location) • Generated an impact matrix • Talked to Dan Gullickson from the Minnesota Department of Transportation for snow control • studied snowfence.com
12/30/2012	30%	12/31/2012	Task 3. Potential CDOT Reference Site Search/study/Interview

2/15/2013			Reference Site Identification
9/01/2013		12/12/2012	Reference Site Observations Performed:
			(CSUP, NW Parkway
			State Highway 93 (City of Arvada), Federal Center (Golden, Colorado) and
			US 36 near University Research Park
			DIA Field Visit
		1/28/2013	Meeting with safety personnel at CDOT head Quarter, E-470
9/01/2013	2%		Task 4-Report Development: Draft Report
			Report on snow drifting
10/30/2013			Study Panel Meetings and comments
11/30/2013			CDOT Draft Reports Review (Presentation)
12/30/2013			Final User Impact Report Development/CDOT Transmittal
12/30/2013	15%	12/31/2012	Task 5- PI Project Management (Communication)
12/30/2013			Project Close Out
			Meeting conducted with CDOT Staff (Traffic -Pueblo, Maintenance-zone 6,
1			safety-HQ

Mule Deer and Elk Right-of-Way Escape Ramp Design Monitoring Study No: 32.47

Background

Escape ramps are increasingly being used across the western United States as an escape mechanism in areas where wildlife fencing has been constructed. However, the design and effectiveness of such ramps have been poorly studied and most of the ramps are built with little research informing their design and placement. Much of the current knowledge base is derived from anecdotal experience and we know of no studies that have compared the effectiveness of different ramp designs for deer and elk.

The research will provide systematic and focused documentation of ramp usage, and an analysis of attribute features (design or setting) which may influence usage of the ramps by the target species (mule deer and elk). Statistically analyzing pre- and post- construction accident data will serve as a baseline for determining effectiveness, but other aspects of ramp design that influence the benefit of the mitigation will also be documented. Documented effective ramp designs can be incorporated into engineering design standards for deer and elk escape ramps across the state and will contribute to the nationwide knowledgebase regarding the effective siting and design of escape ramps to reduce AVCs. The study aims to develop pilot construction specifications and/or guidance documentation on best practices to effectively locate, design, construct, and maintain mule deer and elk escape ramps.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Start: 05/09/12 Contract Amount: \$ 71,994

Principal Investigator:

Kenneth Wilson, Ph.D. (dept. head) & Jeremy Siemers, M.S. (research associate) Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Conservation Biology at Colorado State University (CSU)

Study Manager:

Bryan Roeder, CDOT, 303-512-4420

Study Panel Leader:

Tony Cady, Region 5 Environmental

Study Panel Members:

Jeff Peterson, CDOT Environmental Programs Branch Alison Michael, US Fish & Wildlife Service Jim Eussen, CDOT Region 1 Environmental Rob Frei, CDOT Region 2 Environmental David Valentinelli, CDOT Region 5 Engineering

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
05/09/12		05/09/12	Notice to Proceed
05/31/12		5/31/12	Kickoff Meeting
06/20/12		06/20/12	Field Meeting with CSU, CDOT, and CPW personnel
07/31/12		07/31/12	Camera testing and deployment evaluation – test data evaluated and final deployment scheme decided upon
07/31/12		07/31/12	Cameras deployed at all escape ramps as well as two jump-outs within the study area
11/09/12	75%		Collect ramp, road, landscape, and other covariates
07/31/14	50%		Monitoring – 11 months of video monitoring data collected to date. Review and compilation of results for 9 months of video data completed. Camera deployment efficiency and success evaluated. Mule deer have been observed at and successfully using all of the 11 ramps. Based on data collected over the first 9 months, we recorded 784 mule deer visits, 318 of which resulted in successful crossings. Other large mammals observed include: black bears, mountain lions, and bobcats.
01/14/15			Cost-benefit analysis
01/14/15	25%		Ramp use and design analysis
01/14/15			Draft report (75 days prior to report publication)

03/30/15		Final Report publication
03/30/15		End of contract.

6/23/2013 - 6/26/2013. Presented preliminary data for mule deer at the International Conference on Ecology and Transportation. A draft of the paper presented is attached.

Recycled Materials Resource Center, TPF-5(270) Study No: 33.20

Background

Over the past 10 years, State DOTs have made significant progress incorporating recycled materials and industrial byproducts in transportation applications. As a whole, recycled materials can add value to DOT projects, yet many of these materials still remain under-utilized due to technical and institutional barriers. The Recycled Materials Resource Center (RMRC) was created to assist State DOTs and FHWA in breaking down these barriers by providing the research and outreach activities needed to further the appropriate use of recycled materials.

The Center was started in 1998 at the University of New Hampshire (UNH) through an agreement with FHWA based on language in TEA-21. Under the original agreement, the RMRC sponsored 39 research projects in 14 states. The RMRC was renewed in 2007 by FHWA for an additional 4 years.

The University of Wisconsin at Madison has joined the RMRC as a major partner to provide additional expertise as well as providing a more national perspective to the Center. As part of the new agreement, FHWA mandated that the RMRC seek funds through a pooled fund study to provide support for research and outreach activities. Now a pooled-funded study, Wisconsin became the lead state in 2012 (TPF-5(270).

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Start: 8/15/09 Complete: 6/1/13

Principal Investigator: Jacqueline Kamin, Wisconsin DOT

Federal Highway Administration Liaison Steve Mueller

Study Manager: Bryan Roeder, CDOT Research

Study Panel Members: David Wieder, Operations & Maintenance Jim Zufall, Materials & Geotechnical

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
7/30/10	100	7/30/10	Funding request to Business Office for transfer of \$30,000 to Lead Agency
10/12/10	100	10/12/10	Business Office signs transfer request and sends to Lead Agency.
10/26/10	100	10/26/11	Lead Agency processes transfer.
12/1/12		12/1/12	\$30,000 transferred for CDOT's FY12 commitment.
11/5/12		11/5/12	Committed \$25,000 for FY2013
12/31/12	100%	1/18/13	Completed fund transfer: FHWA 1575 submitted to DTD Business Office for processing
			on 12/20/12. Processed 1/18/13

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

CDOT has committed the following funds to this study:

FY2011 \$30,000 FY2012 \$30,000 FY2013 \$30,000

Refer to www.pooledfund.org for more details on this study and progress. Completed and ongoing research can be accessed here: http://rmrc.wisc.edu/

April 2013: CDOT has determined that it will not participate in this study beyond FY13

FHWA Traffic Noise Model: Version 3.0 Software Development, TPF-5(158) Study No: 34.22

Background

The FHWA Traffic Noise Model (FHWA TNM) was originally released in 1998 and has undergone several upgrades. On May 2, 2005 the FHWA TNM, Version 2.5 became the required traffic noise prediction model to be used on Federal-aid highway projects.

FHWA TNM Version 3.0 Software Development:

FHWA is currently funding the development of the FHWA TNM Version 3.0. The main objective of Version 3.0 is to contemporize the software, making sure that the FHWA TNM does not become obsolete as computers/operating systems advance. Contemporizing FHWA TNM will allow for more efficient upgrades and future maintenance. The FHWA is also funding a limited number of enhancements which will be included in Version 3.0.

Objectives for the FHWA TNM Version 3.0 Software Development include:

- 1) Enhance the graphical user interface (GUI).
- 2) Correct known software bugs.
- 3) Enhance functionality.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Start: 6/16/10 Complete: 9/30/13

Principal Investigator:

Federal Highway Administration

Mark Ferroni

Study Manager:

Bryan Roeder, CDOT Research

Study Panel Members:

Jill Schlaefer, CDOT Environmental Programs

Branch

Bob Mero, CDOT Region 6

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
11/24/09	100	11/24/09	Funding request to Business Office for transfer of \$30,000 to Lead Agency
1/4/10	100	6/8/10	Business Office signs transfer request and sends to Lead Agency.
2/1/10	100	6/16/10	Transfer completed to Lead Agency for first year of participation.
7/22/10	100	7/22/10	Annual TRB Transportation Related Noise committee meeting.
7/26/11	100	7/26/11	Annual TRB Transportation Related Noise committee meeting.
1/20/12	100	1/20/12	Transferred \$10,000 for FY12 commitment
12/26/12		12/1/12	Project extended.
1/20/13		11/1/12	Committed \$10,000 for FY13
12/20/12	100	12/20/12	Transfer funds for FY13: sent FHWA 1576 to CDOT Business Office

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

Details of this study and progress reports are available at: http://www.pooledfund.org/Details/Study/384

CDOT has committed the following funds in support of this project:

FY2010 \$10,000 FY2013 \$10,000

April 2013: CDOT has determined that it will not participate in this study beyond FY13

Use of Waste Tires (Crumb Rubber) on Colorado Highways Study No: 34.24

Background

CDOT has used rubber in hot mix asphalt (HMA) for over 25 years. Since the early 80's, CDOT used AC-20R which was an AC -20 base grade of asphalt cement with a styrene-butadiene-rubber polymer blended at a terminal plant and shipped to the various locations throughout Colorado. Since CDOT's AC-20R was performing well, CDOT retained the ductility value along with the toughness and tenacity requirements for the newly initiated PG 64-28 grade of binder when the Department switched to the SuperPave performance graded HMA specifications in 1995. In 1994, CDOT built three trial sections in Colorado where crumb rubber was blended into the dense graded HMA using the dry method (crumb rubber is added as a component of the aggregates). Based on the information from Research Report Number CDOT-DTD-R-99-9, these trial sections proved to be a feasible asphalt pavement alternative and were performing well. The research noted that this process increased the cost per ton by 21 percent when the crumb rubber was added at a rate of 20 pounds per ton. It was recommended that CDOT not pursue any use of crumb rubber until it became cost effective. Other state DOTs have tried the dry method with their dense graded HMA but opted not to continue using the process because of similar concerns and other problems. Therefore, CDOT will not pursue investigating this method at this time.

The use of crumb rubber in chip seal using the wet method was also investigated in the late 80's with the results and findings documented in the Research Report CDOH-DTP-R-86-3. The finished product performed comparably well with the conventional chipseal materials used for pavement rehabilitation but was found to be more expensive. With the influx of improved crumb rubber technologies, it is thought that the asphalt pavement life could be longer and the use of crumb rubber employing the wet and terminal blend method might prove cost-effective. For this reason, CDOT is revisiting the use of crumb rubber in HMA utilizing pilot test sections to gather the required information for developing specifications for wet and terminal blend method.

It is proposed to evaluate the feasibility of using waste tires (crumb rubber) in the construction of asphalt pavements. As part of the evaluation, two pilot test sections and one control section using the Superpave Performance Grade, PG 64-28 asphalt binder in dense graded HMA will be built. The two pilot test sections will be built with crumb rubber modified (CRM) asphalt mix using the wet method (crumb rubber is first reacted with asphalt binder in an open system plant before mixing with the aggregates) and the terminal blend method (a special form of the wet process in which reaction takes place in a closed system plant). Each test section will consist of approximately 1,000 tons of 2-inch asphalt overlay placed in the same single lane of the roadway. The control section will be constructed with the conventional polymer modified binder, PG 64-28 without the crumb rubber modifier.

The goal of this research project is to evaluate the performance of crumb rubber test sections and as appropriate, develop Colorado-specific materials and construction specifications for rubberized asphalt pavement. The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) provided the grant money to construct the two pilot test sections in this research project.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: 12/1/09

Principal Investigator: Dr. Scott Shuler, CSU

970-491-2447

Research Study Manager:

Temporary: Rich Griffin, CDOT-DTD Research

303-757-9975

richard.griffin@state.co.us

Technical Panel Leader:

Jay Goldbaum, CDOT Materials Pavement Design Program, 303-398-6561

Study Panel Members:

Rick Chapman, CDOT R-4 Materials John Cheever, Aggregate Industries Gary DeWitt, CDOT R-4 Materials

Denis Donnelly, CAPA

Eric West, Westest

Masoud Ghaeli, CDOT R-6 Materials

Roy Guevara, CDOT Materials Asphalt Program

Rex Goodrich, CDOT R-3 Materials

Donna Harmelink, FHWA

Bryan, Roeder, CDOT-DTD Research

Stephen Henry, CDOT Materials Asphalt Program

Bob Mero, CDOT R-6 Materials

Bill Schiebel, CDOT R-1Materials

Niki Upright, CDOT R-4 Construction

Richard Zamora, CDOT R-2 Materials

Jim Zufall, CDOT Materials Branch

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
12/1/09	100	12/1/09	Notice to proceed
3/31/10	100	3/31/10	Task 1 – Literature Review
3/31/10	100	3/31/10	Task 2 – Planning
6/30/14	75		Task 3 - Data Collection
12/31/14	90		Task 4 – Project Status Reporting
6/30/14	0		Task 5 – Draft and Final Report Presentation and Submittal

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

No activity this quarter.

The first condition surveys for 2013 will be scheduled during the next quarter.

Innovative Noise Mitigation Design Solutions for Mountain Corridors Study No: 34.28

Background

Noise impacts from high-volume roads in mountain corridors have increased significantly as truck and car volumes and residential development increase. Compounding the problem because of the terrain, mitigation of noise impacts is particularly challenging. The I-70 Mountain Corridor Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) (Final published March 2011) has identified highway segments in need of safety and capacity improvements and has delineated general areas of traffic noise concern. Traffic noise has alienated local communities and has resulted in significant project delays and associated costs for CDOT.

This study will research and identify noise mitigation technologies from high-volume traffic areas of difficult terrain within sensitive noise environments. The researchers will develop recommended abatement measures and identify innovative materials, acoustical designs or retrofits. The final report will include theoretical noise abatement designs and modeling documentation for required mitigation solutions that reduce community noise levels measurably over conventional barriers. The recommended designs and construction materials shall include a discussion of context sensitive abatement measure installation where terrain is difficult and social costs are high.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Start:8/8/12 Contract Amount: \$57,040

Principal Investigator:

Dale Tischmak, Felsburg Holt & Ullevig

Study Manager:

Bryan Roeder, CDOT, 303-512-4420

Study Panel Leader:

Jill Schlaefer, CDOT Environmental Programs Branch (303) 757-9016

Study Panel Members:

Jordan Rudel, CDOT Region 1 Environmental Robert Mero, CDOT Region 1 Materials Unit Paula Durkin, CDOT Region 3 Environmental Stephanie Gibson, FHWA Colorado Chuck Attardo, CDOT Region 1 Environmental

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
09/01/2012	100	08/08/2012	Purchase Order issued to Felsburg Holt and Ullevig
08/20/2012	100	08/20/2012	Project Kick Off Meeting: met with research panel to discuss research project goals,
			scope, deliverables and schedule
11/2012	100	01/31/2013	Literature search of potential abatement actions
11/2012	100	11/29/2012	2 nd Committee meeting
12/2012	100	10/26/2012	Research Technical Memo
01/2013	100	01/30/2013	3 rd Committee meeting
03/2013	100	03/08/2013	Abatement concepts and computer modeling tasks
04/2013	100	03/29/2013	Conceptual designs & Design Report (memo)
04/2013	0	Dropped	4 th Committee meeting
06/05/2013	100	03/29/2013	Draft report (75 days prior to final report publication)
07/31/2013	0		Final presentation
08/19/2013	0		Final Report publication

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

3/29/13: Draft Report has been received and sent to study panel for review 7/1/13: Delivered Final Report to CDOT (electronic and hard copy versions)

Clear Roads Winter Highway Operations Pooled Fund, TPF-5(218) Study No: 40.03

Background

The Clear Roads pooled fund project began in 2004 with four members and a focus on real world testing of winter maintenance materials, methods and equipment. During its five years of funding and overseeing research projects, the pooled fund grew to include fourteen member states funding two or three research projects annually. As the group grew, however, there was much interest in expanding the project scope to include more technology transfer and direct support for staff in the field. The group proposes to close the original pooled fund project---TPF-5(092) and request funding and support for a new Clear Roads project with this solicitation. See the Clear Roads Web site at www.clearroads.org for both the history and latest information on this project. It is now TPF-5(218).

This new Clear Roads pooled fund project will maintain its focus on advancing winter highway operations nationally but will include a more pronounced emphasis on state agency needs, technology transfer and implementation. State departments of transportation are aggressively pursuing new technologies, practices, tools and programs to improve winter highway operations and safety while maintaining fiscal responsibility. This pooled fund is needed to evaluate these new tools and practices in both lab and field settings, to develop industry standards and performance measures, to provide technology transfer and cost benefit analysis and to support winter highway safety. This project responds to research and technology transfer needs not currently met by other pooled fund projects. Existing partners make every effort to coordinate with other agencies to avoid duplication of efforts and to encourage implementation of results.

State and local winter maintenance organizations will be able to make informed operational and purchasing decisions based on objective evaluations of promising materials and equipment. By pooling resources, agencies will be able to conduct more extensive studies across a greater range of conditions than could be done by a single agency with only its own funds. By collaborating and sharing information, agencies will benefit from each other's experiences and avoid the duplication of research efforts. The outcomes of these projects will help agencies get the maximum financial benefit out of their investments in materials, equipment and technologies.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Start: 1/22/10 Complete: TBD

Principal Investigator(s):

Minnesota Department of Transportation

Study Manager:

Bryan Roeder, CDOT Research

303-512-4420

Study Panel Members:

Paul DeJulio, R5 – retired, Dave Wieder new study panel member

Dave Wieder, Operations & Maintenance

FHWA Washington Contact: TBD

Planned	% Done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
12/31/09	100	1/22/10	Complete initial funds transfer to Pooled-Fund Study lead agency.
12/31/10	100	1/20/11	Complete second fiscal year funds transfer. *Initiated 11/30/10.
12/31/11	100	1/20/12	Transferred \$25,000 for FY12 commitment
12/31/12	75%		Transfer \$25,000 for FY13 commitment: entered commitment on web site and sent transferred request to CDTD Business office.

The details of this study and progress reports are available at: http://www.pooledfund.org/Details/Study/446

April 2013: CDOT has acquired RIC approval for commitments of three additional years:

FY14	\$25,000
FY15	\$25,000
FY16	\$25,000

Jan 2013: David Weider, CDOT Maintenance and Operations Branch Manager, is the Vice Chair for this organization and will become chair in May, 2013.

CDOT committed the following funds in support of this project:

FY10	\$25,000
FY11	\$25,000
FY12	\$25,000
FY13	\$25,000

Innovative Vegetation Practices for Construction Site Plant Establishment Study No: 42.00

Background

Proper final stabilization of disturbed soils in the form of plant establishment requires adequate soil preparation, grading techniques, amendments of proper soil fertilizers, plant selection, proper installation, and mulching during the ideal seeding conditions.

Once a CDOT construction project is completed, the CDPHE Stormwater Construction Permit holder must wait until the 70% ground cover (from baseline conditions) has been established in order to de-activate the permit. In the meantime, the project site must be monitored and BMPs must be maintained by CDOT or the contractor.

The study will provide a fresh and scientifically based review of the effectiveness or shortcomings of proposed and current CDOT specifications, guidelines, processes, and contractor compliance for construction site revegetation. The research project will review revegetation strategies used in other arid states and agencies. The research will survey CDOT contractors on techniques and equipment use; and survey CDOT engineers on successful and unsuccessful measures attempted or implemented. This study will provide species surveys conducted on existing highway areas and adjacent areas for use in ecologically relevant planting suggestions and seed mixes. The emphasis will be to identify ways in which site stabilization can be accelerated, improved and/or optimized using innovative techniques that take into account site specific habitat conditions and the difficulties present in transportation construction and planning.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Start: 5/21/13 Contract Amount: \$\$135,992.50

Principal Investigator: Arthur Hirsch Terralogic, LLC

Study Manager: Bryan Roeder, CDOT, 303-512-4420

Study Panel Leader: Mike Banovich, CDOT Environmental Programs Branch (303) 757-9542

Study Panel Members:
Jennifer Klaetsch, CDOT EPB Landscape
Tripp Minges, CDOT EPB Water Quality
Susie Smith, CDOT R6 Landscape
Tom Boyce, CDOT EPB Natural Resources
Mark Straub, CDOT R1 Project Development
Belinda Arbogast, CDOT R1
Fran Mallonnee, CDOT R5 Water Quality

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
7/15/12	100	7/11/12	Establish Study Panel and hold meeting: Invitations to be panel members have been sent out to the above staff.
08/15/12	100	9/14/12	SOW prepared based on problem statement and Study Panel input. Study panel decided to use the Procurement RFP process to select a research.
11/15/12	100		Issue request for proposals: Received preliminary Personal Services Agreement approval from Personnel. Purchase requisition submitted 9/14/12. Purchasing agent indicated that the SOW was too specific to for an RFP and work load in Procurement will delay processing RFP. May need to rewrite SOW to be less specific.
4/15/13	100		Select researcher
5/21/13	100		Notice to Proceed, begin study
5/22/13	99		Task 1 and 2 Informational Searches
7/10/13	100		Project Kick Off Meeting with Study Panel
7/29/13			Study Panel Working Meeting- Summary of Testing Variables and Recommendations (First Screening)- scheduled
8/19/13			Technical Memorandum on Task 1 and Task 2 Results
8/27/13			Draft/Final Study Plan
9/14/14			Draft Report (75 days prior to report publication)
12/1/14			Final Report publication

05/21/13: Notice to Proceed. TerraLogic, LLC- Art Hirsch, PI.

6/3/13: Project Managers Meeting

5/22/13: Initiate Task 1 and Task 2 and internal TerraLogic Kick Off Meeting

7/10/13: Project Kick Off Meeting with Study Panel

7/29/13: Study Panel Working Meeting #1

Benefit-Cost Analysis of CDOT Fixed Automated Spray Technology (FAST) Systems Study No: 42.50

Background

The primary goal of the study is to determine the cost effectiveness of each of the existing FAST systems in Colorado's bridges. At the same time, the research effort aims to develop a best management and performance practices guidance document for planning, designing, constructing, operating and maintaining a cost-effective FAST system specific for Colorado's highway and bridge networks.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/31/13 Type: SP&R Start: 11/20/12 End: 10/18/14

Principal Investigator:

Xianming Shi, Ph.D., P.E.; Montana State

University.

Study Manager: TBD

Study Panel Leader:

Phillip Anderle, R-4 Maintenance

Study Panel Members:

Masoud Ghaeli, CDOT Region 6 Materials Stephen Henry, CDOT Staff Materials and

Geotechnical Branch

Aziz Khan, CDOT-DTD Research Branch Mark Mueller, CDOT Staff Maintenance and

Operations Branch

Skip Outcalt, CDOT-DTD Research Branch Steve Pineiro, CDOT Region 6 Maintenance

Andrew Pott, CDOT Staff Bridge Design and

Management Branch

David Reeves, CDOT-DTD Research Branch

David Wieder, CDOT Staff Maintenance and

Operations Branch

David Swenka, CDOT Staff Traffic and Safety

Branch

Matt Rickard, CDOT Intelligent Transportation

System

Matt Greer, FHWA, Colorado Division

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
11/20/12	NA	11/20/12	Contract 27000179 issued to MSU to begin study
10/18/14	18%		Task 1 Project Management and Planning of Research Activities
6/30/13	60%		Task 2: Literature review and practitioner Surveys
8/30/13			Task 3: Preparation of Field Survey
4/30/14			Task 4: Data Collection
4/30/14			Task 4: Operational Testing
7/30/14			Task 4: Benefit Cost Analysis

8/18/14		Task 5: Best Management and Performance Guide/Final report & Presentation, Draft Final Report
10/18/14		Final report and presentation

6/30/13	The research team continued to work on Tasks 1 and 2 (18% and 60% done by 6/30/13, respectively).
5/9/13	A kickoff meeting is scheduled for the PI to discuss the scope with the CDOT Technical Advisory Board Members, via a teleconference.
1/1/13	The research team started to work on Tasks 1 and 2
1/31/13	Roberto DeDios, the original study manager, has retired from CDOT.

Assessment and Placement of Living Snow Fences to Reduce Highway Maintenance Costs and Improve Safety Study No: 47.10

Background

Living snow fences are designed plantings of trees and/or shrubs and native grasses along highways, roads and ditches that create a vegetative buffer that traps and controls blowing and drifting snow. These strategically placed fences have been shown to be cost effective in reducing highway maintenance associated with blowing and drifting snow conditions.

The objective of the study is to equip CDOT with the tools and knowledge to expand the use of living snow fences. These tools should provide a roadmap for local CDOT maintenance staff which includes:

- 1) Identifying the best locations
- 2) Identifying land owners and securing their cooperation
- Engaging resource agency support of the design and establishment
- 4) Insuring initial maintenance
- 5) Tracking these assets over time and documenting the benefits

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Start: 11/29/12 Contract Amount: \$ 70,000

Principal Investigator:

Greg Sundstrom, Colorado State Forest Service, CSU

Study Manager:

Bryan Roeder, CDOT, 303-512-4420

Study Panel Leader:

Mike Banovich, CDOT Environmental Programs Branch (303) 757-9542

Study Panel Members:

Jen Klaetsch, CDOT Environmental Programs Branch Mark Harrington, CDOT Environmental Programs Branch David Vialpando, CDOT, R-5, Maintenance Jeff Peterson, CDOT Environmental Programs Branch

TASKS, MILESTONES, AND DELIVERABLES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
11/30/12		11/29/12	PO 211017905 issued to CSU to start project.
12/14/12	100	12/14/12	Kick Off meeting
12/31/12	100	1/22/13	Kick Off meeting minutes submitted
12/31/12	100	1/22/13	Quarterly project report
3/31/13	100	4/5/13	Inventory of existing LSFs on state highways 2/1913 – Received CDOT maintenance section LSF data from David Vialpando. 2/21/13 - Met with USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service and State Conservation Agency staff to discuss project and information needs for the inventory. Found that old Interagency LSF Program files had been pretty much disposed of. 2/22/13 – Sent CDOT maintenance section LSF data to CSFS District Foresters for review and additions to inventory. 2/22/13 through 4/5/13 – Received LSF inventory information from districts
3/31/13	100	4/5/13	Summary report of inventory information found along with copies of documents located 4/5/13 – Consolidated inventory information into summary report to be submitted with quarterly report.
3/31/13	100	4/8/13	Quarterly project report Inventory summary report and copies of documents/emails attached to email submitting report.

6/30/13	100	7/11/13	Quarterly project report
			Submitted as attachment to email
9/15/13	25%	6/28/13	Drafts of guidelines and research report. Reviewing reference material and conducting interviews for most current and relevant LSF information. Have most current estimate of cost per mile of trees. Met with Wyoming State Forestry staff to learn more about the successful LSF program and relationship with WDOT. Developing outline for Guidelines and training session's agenda. 6/13/13 Received Living Snow Fence Procedure for WY and WDOT LSF program contact information.
9/19/13			Status meeting
9/30/13			Status meeting minutes submitted
9/30/13			Quarterly project report
12/31/13			Quarterly project report
3/31/14			Quarterly project report
4/7-8/2014			First workshop
4/9-10/2014			Second workshop
4/15- 16/2014			Third workshop
4/16- 17/2014			Fourth workshop
6/30/14			Completion of Workshops and end of study

 $07/02/12 - \$70,\!000 \ now \ available \ from \ the \ 2013 \ SP\&R \ Work \ Program \\ 4/5/13 - Inventory \ report \ completed - 177 \ Living \ Snow \ Fences \ along \ state \ highways \ reported.$

Best Practices in Debris Removal Study No: 50.00

Background:

Highway debris represents a traffic safety problem that requires a prompt response from state or local transportation agencies. The most common practice for debris removal currently is for agency personnel to leave their vehicles and remove the debris by hand in the case of large debris (tires, lumber, freight loss, rock fall) or to sweep traveled lanes, shoulders, or intersections in the case of crashes, mechanical failure, or embankment erosion. This exposes agency workers to safety risks, especially on high speed and/or high volume roadways. For example, a CDOT maintenance worker in Region 1entered several lanes of traveled roadway to pick up debris and was struck by a passing vehicle doing in excess of 65 miles per hour, causing serious injuries. Currently, CDOT has no widely distributed formal guidelines for safely and effectively removing debris from the roadway.

Equipment modifications and innovations have been developed that can remove debris from highways without exposing agency workers to moving traffic. For example, some equipment modifications implemented by CDOT are effective at removing debris, but must travel at low speeds, potentially creating upstream crash hazards or driver distraction. Innovative equipment has been introduced to the market which allows for high-speed debris removal, such as the Gator Getter®.

There has been very little independent research on the safety, effectiveness, and traffic impact of debris removal practices, equipment modifications, or technical innovations and little formal guidance exists on the best practices for debris removal.

The objective of this research is to develop a set of recommendations and identify effective practices for debris removal for the Colorado Department of Transportation and to outline a training program for implementation of these best practices. The training program would be delivered through the CDOT Training Academy as Phase II of the research. A separate proposal, including scope, budget, and schedule, will be developed for Phase II at the completion of this study as described in the Schedule section of this proposal.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R

PO: 211017976 – CSU **PR:** 11039644 – Gator Getter

Start: 12/12/12 **End:** 06/30/15

Principal Investigator(s):

Kelly C. Strong, Ph.D, Colorado State University, 970.491.4021

Rodolfo Valdes Vasques, Ph.D., CSU,

970.491.0278

Study Manager:

David Reeves, PE, CDOT Applied Research & Innovations, 303-757-9518

Study Panel Members:

Chris Ukowich / Maint. Safety Officer (R4)
David Wieder / Maint. & Operations (HQ)
Phillip Anderle / Maintenance (R4)
Darrell Lingk / Statewide Regional Safety Officer

Darrell Lingk / Statewide Regional Safety Officer (HO)

Gary Goldsberry/ Deputy Maintenance Superintendent (R6)

Giovanni Ciddio / Regional Safety Officer (R6)

Zacharia Junk / Debris Removal Crew (R4)

Edward Stieber / Maintenance (R4)

Robert Fontenot / Maintenance (R2)

David Weld/ CDOT Research (HQ)

Steve Cordova/CDOT Maintenance (Metro)
Paul Fox/ CDOT Maintenance (R1-6)

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
12/12/12	100	12/14/12	Notice to Proceed
01/15/12	100	3/1/2013	Kick-Off Meeting
12/01/12	100	4/1/2013	Purchase 2 Gator Getters
8-12/2013	100%	6/12/2013	Task 1 - Literature review
1-4/2014	25%		Task 2 - Field Observations
4-8/2014	25%		Task 3 - Interview Operators and Maintenance Staff

5-12/2014	10%	Task 4 – Performance Evaluation
1-6/2015	0	Task 5 – Outline Recommendations
72015	0	Task 6 – Final Report
		Project Complete

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

- The initial field observations have been completed and initial operator and maintenance staff input has been collected.
- Interviews have been completed with Ohio DOT, Missouri DOT, and Gator Getter staff to gather input on experiences at other transportation agencies
- Very preliminary performance evaluation data has been collected.

ISSUES

- July 2013-Research team will distribute summaries of interviews and initial observations/interviews.
- August 2013 –Additional field observations will be conducted
- August 2013- Additional interviews with CDOT operations and maintenance personnel
- September 2013- Additional field observations as needed

Cost Construction Index Forecasting Model Study No: 61.12

Background

The Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) estimates a composite index of the costs of highway construction in Colorado, the Colorado's Construction Cost Index (CCCI). The historical time series of CCCI estimates is used by the U.S. Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the general construction industry, the local governments and municipal planning organizations (MPOs) of Colorado as well as several divisions within CDOT: the Office of Financial Management and Budget (OFMB), the Office of the Chief Engineer and the Division of Transportation Development.

The primary objective of this research study is to build a model that CDOT staff can use on an ongoing basis to estimate and forecast a cost index for highway construction in Colorado ("Colorado Construction Cost Index" or "CCCI"). The secondary objective of this research study is to forecast of the CCCI over the period from 2012 to 2040.

Reporting Period: 1/31/13 through 3/31/13

Type: SP&R

PO: CONTRACT AMENDMENT ROUTING

NUMBER HAA 46402

Start: 07/23/12 **End:** 05/31/13

Principal Investigator(s):

Peter Mills, Dye Management Group Inc.

Study Manager:

<u>David Reeves</u>, CDOT Division of Transportation Development, 303-757-9518

Study Panel Members:

Scott Richrath, Performance Measures Branch

Manager Ben Stein, CFO

Ermias Weldemicael, CDOT Economist

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues	
	100%	07/23/12	Notice to Proceed	
	100%	07/27/12	Kick-Off Meeting	
06/30/13	90%		Task 1 – Project initiation and project management.	
09/30/12	100%	12/15/12	Task 2 – Cost item data.	
10/31/12	100%	11/28/12	Task 3 – Input weighting into cost items	
01/31/13	100%	3/8/13	Task 4 – Input and cost item regressions.	
02/15/13	80%		Task 5 – Construct model	
03/28/13	100%	3/31/13	Task 6 – Estimate future construction costs.	

- November 2012, December 2012 and January 2013 Task 2 Cost Item Data
 - o Confirmed that 2009 data are not available in electronic form.
- November 2012, December 2012 and January 2013 Task 3 Input Weighting into Cost Items

- o Assigned input compositions to all 364 unit cost items selected for forecasting.
- November 2012, December 2012 and January 2013 Task 4 Input and Cost Item Regression
 - o Historical labor data dissaggretated into detailed Davis-Bacon wage time series.
- February and March 2013 Task 4 Input and Cost Item Regression
 - o Loaded historical data for concrete and steel.
 - o Extracted labor and material inputs to asphalt and steel fabrication industries.
 - o Reviewed data and relationships among them with CDOT senior staff.
- February and March 2013 Task 5 Construct Model
 - o Tested hypotheses for model specification and for functional forms.
 - o Constructed model prototype and delivered to CDOT staff for review.
- February and March 2013 Task 6 Estimate Future Construction Costs
 - o Used prototype of model to provide initial forecast of construction costs for CDOT's annual resource allocation process.

ANTICIPATED WORK NEXT PERIOD

- April and May 2013 Task 5 Construct Model
 - o Completion and delivery of model and technical report.

ISSUES

• None.

Development of Risk-Based Decision Methodology for Facility Design Study No: 62.60

Background

The ultimate goal of this research study will be more effective utilization of construction and maintenance funds to develop a consequent-consistent risk approach to facility design for CDOT. The primary objectives of this study are to:

- 1. Develop a Colorado-specific methodology for risk analysis of various types of facilities designed and built by CDOT, accounting for natural and intentional hazards and incorporating life-cycle assessment considerations. The facilities of interest will be chosen in coordination with CDOT engineers, who will provide the requisite design details, analyses and data to the investigators.
- 2. Conduct a full risk-based analysis of design standards for signalization mast arms (or other design facility for which CDOT data are available), and develop draft design guidelines for a risk-based assessment based on this analysis.
- 3. Provide operational guidelines for further development of consequentconsistent risk-based approaches for performance design of other types of CDOT facilities.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R **PO:** 271001703 **Start:** 07/02/12 **End:** 06/20/14

Principal Investigator(s):

Dr. Ross Corotis, CU Boulder, 303.735.0539, Corotis@colorado.edu
Dr. Abbie Liel, CU Boulder, abbie.liel@colorao.du
Yolanda Lin, CU Boulder
Abhishek Paul, CU Boulder

Study Manager:

<u>David Reeves</u>, P.E., CDOT Research Branch, 303-757-9518

Study Panel Members:

Lynn Croswell, Staff Bridge (HQ) Steve Hersey, Traffic Engineer (R6) David Wieder, Maint. & Operations (HQ) Branch Manager Office (HQ) David Swenka, Traffic & Safety (HQ) Darrell Link, Statewide Safety Officer (HQ)

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
07/01/12	100	07/02/12	Notice to Proceed
03/31/13	90		Task 1 – Develop risk methodology
05/31/13	100	01/16/13	Meeting with CDOT Study Panel
05/31/13	100	05/29/13	Meeting with CDOT Study Panel
09/30/13	60		Task 2 – Methodology & critical decision-making metrics
09/30/13	0		Meeting with CDOT Study Panel
01/31/14	10		Task 3 – Propose a general CDOT policy in applying concept of risk- and consequent- based
			analysis
06/20/14	50		Task 4 – quarterly reports (8 total)
05/31/14	0		Task 5 – Final Report
06/20/14	0		Task 6 – CDOT Review Draft Report; presentation of results to CDOT

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

It is noted that the students found paid summer 2013 engineering internships, and therefore with CDOT approval they were not supported or involved in the project during the last month of the current reporting period (June

2013) or the first two months of the next reporting period (July-August 2013). This results in a deferral of some accomplishments, but does not put the project behind schedule for on time completion.

Objective 1

6/30/13

The procedures used for a risk-based approach to decision making were extracted from the states that seem to be leading in this regard (California, Florida and Washington). This material was summarized and contrasted, and presented to CDOT at a meeting, focusing especially on comparing the complexity of the risk-based analysis used for projects of different scopes. These approaches provide the fundamental approach that can be applied for the overall MAP-21 requirements.

Objective 2

6/30/13

The team is working to develop illustrative procedures as to how the proposed risk-based approach could be applied to two applications: (1) decisions about inspection and maintenance of CDOT mast arms and (2) seismic design standards for overpasses. These procedures are intended to provide a substantive illustration of the proposed methodology to assist CDOT in carrying out such approach for future decisions. For the mast arm study we wrote up in report form the complete description of the mast arm inspection data and the analysis of that data. This led to the typical structural defects (specifically corrosion, cracks and collision damage and missing bolts) that have then been used to identify the set of finite element models that will be run.

ANTICIPATED WORK NEXT PERIOD

Objective 1

With interactive input from CDOT, we will continue to develop a specific methodology recommended for CDOT. It is expected this will be accomplished over the next two periods. The team would be happy to meet with the CDOT group working on the MAP-21 issues to discuss how this research can facilitate those efforts.

Objective 2

The finite element input data will be prepared for the structural analysis studies of the mast arms that will be conducted in this next period, and then the mast arm risk guidelines from these runs that will be developed in the period after that.

For the second illustrative example, we will begin the analysis of the representative bridge in order to examine the effectiveness of the updated AASHTO seismic bridge requirements in the framework of the developed risk-based methodology.

ISSUES

• No issues and project is on track.

Real-time In-situ Monitoring of Infiltration-induced Instability of I-70 Embankment West of the Eisenhower Tunnel Study No. 74.92

Background

The objective if this study is to develop a new methodology for in-situ, near realtime forecasting of the stability of highway embankments. Snow-melt and rainfall induced landslides occur every year along the highways of Colorado. These failures of natural and engineered slopes potentially threaten human lives and private property and severely disrupt transportation. An active landslide on I-70 west of the Eisenhower Tunnel (Mileposts 212.0 to 212.1) was identified. In the past forty years, the hillslope in this area has episodically moved causing settlement of the pavement. Based on the current asphalt thickness, the settlement on this area is in the order of 2 feet in two decades. Currently, CDOT utilizes survey and geotechnical staff to record periodic measurements of monuments and below grade instruments, which provides for two to three data sets per year. However, at present there is not an installed system that can provide year round and continuous data on the slide movement. The improved monitoring and forecasting processes can reduce the uncertainty associated with planning improvements to the slide as well as providing an action level or early warning for the period of greater than expected movement.

As water infiltrates into the soil and rock hillslopes, soil suction and the water table vary dynamically, causing changes in effective stress and , in turn, changes in the stability of the slope. Recent advances in unsaturated hydrology and soil mechanics provide new opportunities to quantitatively measure soil suction and effective stress changes in highway embankments. In-situ measurements of the variations in soil-suction and moisture content in the upper 20 m of the embankment permit directly monitor stress changes, and thus, the occurrence of landslides can be forecast.

The development of the new methodology will be accomplished by: a) performing a thorough literature review that includes information from other state DOTs and CDOT current methodologies, b) testing the new methodology, and c) analyzing all data collected. Testing of the new methodology consists of four steps: 1) characterization of the site geomorphology and groundwater, 2) material sampling and lab characterization, 3) instrumentation of the field and site monitoring, and 4) modeling synthesis, validation, and landslide prediction. The technology can then be deployed at any similar geomorphologic environment around the country and the world.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start: 12/07/10 Ver

Contract: 11HAA25597

CDOT SAP # 27100300

Principal Investigator(s): Ning Lu, Colorado School of Mines 303-273-3654

Co-Principal Investigator(s): Alexandra Wayllace, Colorado School of Mines 303-273-3961

Study Manager: Aziz Khan, Research & Innovation Branch 303-757-9522

Study Panel Members:

Laura Conroy, Materials & Geotechnical Branch (Panel Leader)

Grant Anderson, R-1 Resident Engineer Russel Cox, R-1 Resident Engineer David Thomas, Materials and Geotechnical Branch

Amanullah Mommandi, CDOT Staff Hydraulics Trever Wang, Bridge Design and Management Branch

H-C., Liu, Yeh and Associates, Inc. Mark Vessely, Shannon and Wilson, Inc. Tonya Hart, US Bureau of Reclamation

Mathew Greer, FHWA-Colorado

RESEARCH TASKS

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
4/30/11	100%	Y	Task 1: Literature Review
7/30/11	100%	Y	Task 2: National DOT Survey
4/30/11	100%	Y	Task 3: Detailed review of CDOT/Consultants methodologies
11/07/12	80%		Task 4: Testing of new proposed methodology
11/07/12	80%		Task 5: Analysis of data
12/07/12	0%		Task 6: Draft and final report

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
08/11/10	100	Y	Proposal presented
09/01/10	100	Y	Kick-Off Meeting with CSM and USGS Landslide Hazard Team
12/07/10	100	Y	Notice to Proceed (NTP) to CSM
12/22/11	100	Y	Interim Progress report presented
08/2012	100	Y	Progress report: Observations from the field
10/07/12	100	Y	Installation of all sensors in the scope of work agreed in last meeting was completed

Project completion

PI/CSM requested a no cost extension which was granted until September 30, 2013 . A draft report is being prepared to be submitted to CDOT.

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

Note 1: A third piezometer was installed in the East bound of I-70 and was hooked up to the automated data acquisition system.

Note 2: Based on new information obtained from field work (horizontal drains, boring log on I-70 shoulder, and topographic survey) a new cross-section profile was developed. Data on flow rates from horizontal drains 1 & 5 is recorded every site visit

Note 3: A report on findings of the field work was submitted to Dr. Khan in August, 2012.

Development of CDOT Materials and Construction Specifications for Bridge Deck Sealers Study No: 80.11

Background

Chemical sealers, applied on the top concrete surface, are employed by CDOT for waterproofing bare new and existing bridge decks that do not have asphalt overlay. The type of sealers includes silane, siloxane, epoxy, and methacrylate. These and other commercially available sealers products have been developed to reduce the penetration of chloride ions from deicing salts and thereby preventing the corrosion of the reinforcing steel. Unfortunately CDOT material specifications allows for only limited types of sealers, silane for the "penetration sealers" and methacrylate for the "crack sealers."

This research should develop generic type of performance-based material and construction specification for penetration and crack sealers that would allow for acceptance of other type of sealers materials based on the results of performance tests. The study should present all the details for the types of these tests (laboratory and field) and for interpretation of the test results. Some of the tests that should be considered for the penetration sealers include the chloride ponding test, elongation test, skid test, UV stability test, freeze-thaw tests, and tests for quality assurance of the placed sealers during construction. Some of the tests that should be considered for crack sealers include skid test, UV stability test, freeze-thaw tests, depth of penetration into the typical size of cracks developed in bridge decks, and tests for quality assurance of the placed sealers during construction. The crack sizes for application of the crack sealers should be established. The construction requirements should include information on the surface preparation before application of the sealers.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R

Principal Investigator(s): Yunping Xi, 303-492-8991

Study Manager: Aziz Khan, Research Branch 303-757-9522

Panel Leader: Ali Harajli, Bridge Design & Management, 303-512-4078

Study Panel Members:
Gary DeWitt, Region 4 Materials
970-350-2379
Eric Prieve, Staff Materials
303-398-6542
Scott Roalofs, Staff Materials
303-398-6509
Mathew Greer, CO Div. of FHWA
720-963-3008

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
10/1/06	100	10/26/06	Hold first panel meeting to discuss scope of work and domain of PI. This meeting is scheduled for October 26, 2006
11/1/06	100	12/29/06	Finalize scope of work (SOW) and RFP
3/5/07	100	3/5/07	SOW sent to purchase office for finalizing RFP
7/30/07	100	10/15/07	Finalize the selection of the Principal Investigator (PI)
2/15/08	100	5/20/08	Notice to Proceed

RESEARCH TASKS

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
7/31/08	100%	09/30/08	Task 1 Literature review on sealers and sensors
7/31/08	100%	09/30/08	Literature review completed.
07//09/09	100%	07//09/09	Task 2: Field evaluation of monitoring system
04/01/11	100%	04/01/11	Task 3: Interim report and testing plan
06/02/11	100%	06/02/11	Task 4: Field evaluation of sealers
09/31/12	100%	06/30/2013	Task 5: Analysis of field evaluation results
10/31/12	95%		Task 6: Draft report and final report

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

1/07: RIC Approved additional funding

12/06: It was determined that additional funds were needed to for traffic control. The study has been put on hold until the additional funds could be secured through the Research and Implementation Council (RIC).

11/06/08: The CU research team and CDOT program managers had a field trip to bridge structure F-17-KJ, which was selected as the bridge to install the sealers and sensors for performance monitoring. During the field trip, it was found that de-icing salts will not be applied on the bridge decks of F-17-KJ in the winter of 2008. As a result, another bridge will be selected by CDOT study panel.

04/03/2009: Four bridges were recommended by CDOT study panel for the application of selected sealers. They are E-17-NX, E-17-QM, E-16-PJ, and E-17-PD. One of the four bridges will be selected for actual application. Field trips will be arranged.

05/27/09: Two field trips for bridge selection on May 21 and May 27, 2009. Bridge structure E-17-QM is the final candidate bridge for the project. A meeting to coordinate the effort for setting up power supply on E-17-QM is scheduled on 07/13/09.

10/15/09: CU Boulder research team completed confined space training needed for the box girder instrumentation installation and data collection.

10/15/09: CU Boulder research team filed a no-cost extension for the project. The ending date will be Nov. 30, 2010.

05/26/10: 18 moisture/temperature sensors were installed and concrete cores from four testing sections were taken.

06/02/10: Four types of sealers were applied on the bridge.

Evaluation of Seismic Testing for Quality Assurance of Lime-Stabilized Soil Study No: 80.30

Background

In the AASHTO Mechanistic-Empirical Pavement Design Guide (MEPDG) procedure, the critical design parameter required for subgrade, subbase, base and stabilized layers is modulus. In CDOT design practice, the resilient modulus (M_R) of the material is estimated via correlation to unconfined compressive strength (UCS). During quality assurance (QA) process, the M_R is also estimated from correlation to UCS. For stabilized soils, the correlation to M_R is based upon UCS of samples that have undergone accelerated curing (100 °F) for 5 to7 days. There are a number of limitations to this QA approach:

- This lab-based assessment is not a true evaluation of the field-constructed product. While the soil does come from the construction site, specimens are prepared in the lab using standard Proctor energy (and not actual field compaction energy). This creates a structure that is different from the field-compacted soil. It has been demonstrated that field compaction and lab compaction can be significantly different.
- The estimation of field-constructed M_R comes from a correlation to UCS that may not be representative for all soils. The test does not directly measure M_R.
- The M_R UCS correlation equation is based on 28-day normally cured samples; however, the M_R is determined via correlation by using UCS results from accelerated cure (e.g., 5 days at 105 °F) samples to expedite construction. This introduces additional uncertainty because 5-day accelerated curing is only an approximation of 28-day normal temperature curing. As documented in the Department research study Report No. CDOT-2010-1, there is no unique 105 °F curing duration that mimics 28-day normal curing for all soils. Therefore, 5-day 105 °F curing will overestimate or underestimate strength and stiffness, depending on soil type.

Ideally, a QA approach should directly measure the design parameter (i.e., modulus) of the field-constructed material. The technique should allow for testing after 3, 4 or 5 days to expedite construction. The seismic technique enables the direct measurement of modulus in the lab and in the field. Seismic waves propagate through the soil at a speed that is proportional to Young's modulus E and shear modulus G. The design modulus may be determined in the laboratory for the given stabilized soil using a free-free resonant (FFR) test, and can be verified directly in the field with a seismic method.

The main objective is to determine the technical and economic feasibility of using seismic techniques to measure the laboratory and field modulus of lime-stabilized soils (LSS) used in a CDOT construction project.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: 06/29/10 end: 12/31/12

PO: 211010111

Principal Investigators:

Colorado School of Mines, Dr. Mike Mooney,

(303) 384-2498

Dr. Judith Wang

(303) 273-3836

Study Manager: TBD

Study Panel Members:

C.K. Su, Mat. & Geotech Branch (303) 398-6586

Gary Dewitt, Region 4 Materials

(970) 506-0359

Alan Hotchkiss, Mat. & Geotech Branch

(303) 398-6587

Aziz Khan, Research Branch (303) 757-9522

Shamshad Hussain, Region 1 Materials

(303) 398-6582

Mathew McMechen, Region 6 Materials

(303) 398-6706

Scott Roalofs, Mat. & Geotech Branch

(303) 398-6509

Michael Stanford, Mat. & Geotech Branch

(303) 398-6544

Jim Noll, Kumar and Associates,

(303)882-1954

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
9/17/10	100	9/17/10	Hold study panel kick-off meeting.
10/31/10	100	6/30/11	Perform comprehensive literature review
12/31/10	100	6/30/11	Develop a detailed plan and schedule of activities to complete the research project
12/31/10	100	6/30/11	Identify candidate projects
12/31/10	100	6/30/11	Select a project
4/30/12	100	4/30/12	Perform laboratory testing of lime-stabilized soil (LSS) design mix
7/31/12	100	12/9/12	Perform field testing of LSS: Delay of construction at Candelas Development halted for season. This will delay the project by 6 months.
2/28/13	100	12/9/12	Perform additional laboratory testing of field LSS
4/30/13	90		Conduct cost-benefit/usability analysis
2/28/13	100	4/5/13	Perform data analysis
4/30/13	90		Develop Quality Assurance (QA) specification
5/15/13	50		Submit draft final report
6/15/13	0		Submit panel-approved final report
6/30/13	0		Present results of research work to the Materials and Advisory Committee (MAC)

- 1/31/13 The Study Manager, Roberto DeDios, retired from CDOT
- Weather delay of field testing of LSS resulted in 6 month delay in completing the study. We anticipate submitting a draft final report by March 31, 2013. Planned dates adjusted.
- 10/8/12 Lime stabilization at Candelas Development halted for the season due to temperatures. As only one third of expected work was completed at the site in the Fall of 2012, construction and further testing will resume when temperatures permit (March/April 2013). Because we were counting on this site for project data, we would like to extend the project and resume field work when another site becomes available.
- 7/1/12 Identified final field test site to be Candelas Development in Arvada, CO. ARS will be doing the lime stabilization.
- 2/02/12 Revised project task dates to accommodate additional field testing in 2012.
- **10/10/11** Testing at Truth Christian Academy project site was completed with success. We still plan to conduct further testing in Fall 2011 and Spring 2012.
- 7/15/11 The Truth Christian Academy project has been delayed until late July/early August. A second project Candelas in Arvada, CO has been identified and is expected to be conducted in Fall 2011 and Spring 2012.
- 4/20/11 Through conversation with ARS, our first candidate project is at the Truth Christian Academy (Bellevue/Quincy streets). This project is scheduled to begin in May.

1/10/11 Through conversation with the major lime-stabilization contractor ARS, we learned that we must wait until end of February (or thereabouts) to have a good idea for candidate project sites and project site selection. This is why we have not selected a project.

Research Study Thin-Bonded Overlays on Asphalt and Concrete Surfaces Study No: 84.14

Background

The CDOT and other state DOTs are faced with a continuous problem of maintaining and replacing the wearing surfaces on bridge decks. Wearing surfaces are utilized on many bridge decks in an effort to maximize the life of the bridge deck, prevent the infiltration of water and aggressive chemicals such as chlorides from deteriorating the concrete surface and corroding the steel reinforcement.

There is a number of wearing surface materials available; however, the service life of many of these materials is less than ten years and requires extensive time and equipment to remove and replace such surfaces. In addition, care is need when removing these types of surfaces as to no damage the impermeable membrane placed atop the concrete bridge deck surface.

Recently, advancements in thin-bonded overlays have produced materials that promote an improved wearing surface and anti-icing properties. These innovative materials can replace more traditional overlays such as asphalt, portland cement concrete, non-reinforced polymer cement concrete.

If the products examined in this study prove successful in providing a durable skid resistant wearing surface that prevents the formation of bonded snow or ice to the bridge surface, the CDOT will be able to recommend these products for future bridge construction and rehabilitation projects. Ultimately this could be a cost savings to the CDOT as it would potentially reduce maintenance costs on bridge structures. In addition, the anti-icing component of these materials may improve driver safety during winter conditions thereby reducing weather related accidents.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start: 05/09 Ver:

Contract:

Principal Investigator Dr. Rui Liu

Study Manager: Aziz Khan, Research Branch

Study Panel Members:

Glenn Frieler
Ali Harajli
Eric Prieve
Roberto de Dios
Ryan Sorensen
Mark Mueller
Skip Outcalt
Patrick Kropp
Mickey Madeliro
Matt Becker

Telecia McCline

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
5/20/09	100	5/20/09	PI Selected
7/22/09	100	7/22/09	Notice to Proceed Issued
8/24/09	100	8/24/09	Project "Kick-Off" Meeting
10/05/09	100	5/01/10	Traffic Control, Instrumentation, Testing, and Const. Plans
10/15/09	100	6/09/10	Field Implementation of SafeLane Overlay
10/22/09	100	10/31/09	Literature Review Completed
10/22/09	100	10/31/09	DOT Survey Findings Report Completed

RESEARCH TASKS (Thin-Bonded Overlays on Asphalt Surfaces) I-76/Weld County Road 53

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
10/22/09	100%	10/31/09	Task 1a: Literature review
10/22/09	100%	10/31/09	Task 1b: Conduct a national survey of state DOTs
10/05/09	100%	5/01/10	Task 2: Develop a traffic, instrumentation, and data collection plan
10/05/09	100%	6/09/10	Task 3: Install the approved products on I-76 and Weld County Road 53 east bound bridge at MP 36.54. Document installation process.
08/22/10	100%	6/24/11	Task 4: Collect and analyze data to determine the effectiveness of the anti- wearing/anti-icing products. The examination will included, but not limited to: anti-icing, skid resistance, chloride penetration, permeability and snow melting.
02/28/12	95%		Task 5: Prepare and submit a Draft and Final Report to the CDOT.

^{*}UCD is still planning to continue gather overlay performance data on this bridge structure as the Phase II contract continues through 12/31/13.

RESEARCH TASKS (Thin-Bonded Overlays on Concrete Surfaces) Parker Road/I-225, and I-25/I-225 Structures

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
6/14/2011	100%	6/30/2011	Task 1: Literature review
12/15/2011	100%	12/31/2011	Task 2: Conduct a detailed investigation of bridge deck overlay construction and performance
10/14/2013	75%		Task 3: Data collection and analysis of thin-bonded overlays on structures F-17KK and F-17-OD
10/14/2013	75%		Task 4: Laboratory/field testing
12/31/2013	65%		Task 5: Prepare and submit a draft and final report to CDOT

07/09	Project officially begins
08/09	Project "Kick-off" meeting
08/09	Preliminary Literature Review Submitted
09/09	DOT Survey Findings Report Completed
09/09	Progress Report Submitted
09/09	DOT Survey Findings Report Submitted (to be submitted by 09/30/09)
10/09	Field Cores Taken from Bridge Deck (to be used for chloride and permeability testing.
12/09	Chloride Testing of Bridge Cores Performed
12/09	RCIP Permeability Testing Performed on Bridge Cores
01/10	Progress Report Submitted
04/10	Testing Plan Complete (meeting between CDOT and UCD on April 8, 2010)
04/10	Instrumentation Plan Complete (meeting between CDOT and UCD on April 8, 2010)
04/10	Traffic Control and Construction Plans Meeting Scheduled (April 21, 2010)
06/10	Construction of SafeLane Product on I-76 and WCR 53 by Region 4 Personnel
09/10	Meeting to Discuss Maintenance, Testing, and Data Collection for winter

01/11	Project Update at CDOT Materials Laboratory (Holly Branch)
03/11	Additional Physical Testing of Overlays on I-76/Parker Rd./I-25
06/11	Permeability and Chloride Results Complete
07/11	Progress Report Submitted
10/11	Progress Report Submitted
12/11	Project Update at UCD
01/12	PI Transition
02/12	Progress Report Submitted
03/12	Meeting held at CDOT to discuss next phase of testing and rad sensor installation on I-225/Parker
	Rd.
05/12	Field inspection of the I-76/WCR 53 structure (076-035 D-18-BK)
06/12	Progress Report Submitted
09/12	Meeting to Discuss Data Collection at I225/Parker and I25/I225 structures
10/12	Sampling at I225/Parker and I25/I225
11/12	Progress Report Submitted
12/12	Permeability and Chloride Results Complete
01/13	Project update at CDOT Headquarter
02/13	Progress Report Submitted
04/13	Progress Report Submitted

Evaluation of Different Types of Waterproofing Membranes (Asphaltic and Non-asphaltic) as Cost-effective Bridge Deck Barriers in Reducing Corrosive Chloride Effects Study No. 84.50

Background

The use of waterproofing membranes (WPM) has been the most popular method for providing some positive protection against chloride intrusion into our bridge decks. However, major concerns arise regarding the longevity of this system and its effectiveness against chloride intrusions and effectiveness as a corrosion barrier. Some of these major issues include but are not limited to maintaining the membrane thickness required per our current specification, the optimal time when this membrane should be applied after deck placement since our specs are silent regarding this constraint, the effect of construction joints or seems, the damage due to milling the existing overlay, the minimum or optimal thickness of asphalt required to protect the membrane and the freeze thaw damage incurred due to the presence of blisters after some time in service.

The product of this research will be to document findings related to the field performance of different types of available membranes. This research will establish clear guideline regarding the reliability, constructability and cost effectiveness of such membranes as means for protecting bridge decks to attain the 75-year life of structure in service.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: Ver:

Principal Investigator(s): TBD

Study Manager: Aziz Khan

Study Panel Members:

Ali Harajli, Bridge Design and Management Branch

(Panel Leader)

Mike Stanford, Materials and Geotechnical Branch

Masoud Ghaeli, R-6 Materials

Mike Mohseni, Design and Management Branch Eric Prieve. Materials and Geotechnical Branch

Steve Pinero, R-6 Maintenance Skip Outcalt, DTD-Research

Mathe Greer, FHWA-Colorado

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
5/03	100	7/03	Establish study panel and develop scope of work
9/03	100	10/03	Issue request for proposals
5/04	100	7/04	Select principal investigator: Out of 4 proposers, Dr. Xi at the University of Colorado was selected.
8/15/13	50		Issue PO and notice to proceed: PI provide revised proposal based on using a new bridge being constructed on Arapahoe Road over Cherry Creek.
11/15/13			Literature review
11/15/13			Selection of testing site and materials
11/15/15			Field evaluation of WPMs
2/15/16			Analysis of test results
2/15/16			Performance of WPMs
2/15/16			Draft final report
4/15/16			Publish final report

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

8/1/13 The construction project (Arapahoe Road over Cherry Creek) will be advertised for bidders.

Innovative and Economical Steel Bridge Design Alternatives for Colorado: Hybrid Girders, Double-Composites, Epoxy FRP Cover Plates, and External Post Tensioning Study No: 85.10

Background

Hybrid weld plate SMC girders are a valid competitor not only to continuous hybrid steel girders but also to the dominant precast post-tension prestressed spliced girders. However to move along from steel wide flange rolled beams to welded plate girders, more rigorous theory and validation regarding continuity, fatigue, buckling and plasticity at the negative moment region needs to be investigated. Specifically, during the Phase-I study, several key detail issues arose which have not been addressed adequately throughout the U.S. or in Colorado. These include:

- (1) Concrete slab steel distribution that is required to ensure shear capacity near an interior support where two beams come together. It is anticipated that there is significant shear lag as the lateral distance between the steel and beam joint increases, as depicted in Figure 1;
- (2) Rotation at the interior support that is not accounted for when the assumption of a continuous beam design is made has not been addressed. This is a critical issue when going from preliminary design, i.e. beam size selection, to design detailing; and
- (3) Possibility of beam web crippling at the interior support due to the rotation described in 2. Figure 1 depicts this rotation which is currently not accounted for in SMC design.

This phase II study addresses these three issues above and then focuses on other potential approaches that include:

- (1) External post tensioning using either steel or FRP rods;
- (2) Use of hybrid steel girders to enable the use of high performance steel in key regions of the girders;
- (3) Use of double composite steel-concrete bridges as depicted in Figure 2 (this can also be a beam with two webs angled to form a tub and a bottom concrete flange poured; and
- (4) Application of an FRP cover plate to the bottom flange using epoxy to optimize the cross section.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start: 06/09 Ver: Completion/End Date: 10/22/2013

Principal Investigator:

Rebecca Atadero & Suren Chen, CSU

Study Manager:

Aziz Khan, Research Branch, 303-757-9522

Study Panel Members:

Trever Wang, (Panel Leader) Staff Bridge, 303-512-4072

Tawedrose (Teddy) Meshesha Staff Bridge, 303-757-9046

Mahmood Hasan Staff Bridge, 303-757-9064

Thomas Kozojed Staff Bridge, (303) 757-9337

Dan Groeneman Staff Bridge, 303-512-4079

Matt Greer FHWA, 720-963-3008

FHWA Washington Contact:

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
2/09	100	2/09	Project approved
12/1/09	100	12/1/09	Project begins

3/30/10			Hold first panel meeting to discuss literature review and remaining scope of work
5/1/10	100	5/1/10	MPC proposal submitted to perform experimental portion of project
6/15/10	100	6/15/10	FEA modeling approach refined
6/15/10	100	6/15/10	MPC proposal funded
9/21/10	100	9/23/10	Survey sent out for first time
10/22/10	100	10/22/10	Follow up survey sent out
Jan 2011	100	2-1-11	Survey Finished
	100	Dec 2011	Spreadsheet program to investigate innovative approaches is completed.
April 2013			Completion of preliminary FE Analysis
June 2013			Interim report with all preliminary analysis to CDOT
Sept. 2013			Physical Testing in the Lab

TASKS

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
3/15/10	100		Task 1: Literature review
6/1/10	100		Task 2: National survey
6/30/12	85		Task 3: FEA Investigation
12/31/11	100		Task 4: Investigate innovative approaches
12/31/12	20		Task 5: Laboratory test program
3/1/13	20		Task 6: Quarterly reports & Final report

12/1/09	Project officially begins. Project completion/end date is 10/22/11. PI/CSU would request an extension
	beyond the completion date (if needed) with a brief justification at least six months ahead of the end date.
3/10	Kickoff Meeting
5/1/10	MPC proposal on experimental task submitted
6/1/10	New PI transition meeting in Denver
12/1/10	PhD student Nathan Miller identified to pick up the project in the spring.
3/17/11	Project Meeting with CDOT with new PIs and PhD student
8/15/12	Nathan Miller quits his PhD program
9/15/12	Bob Johnson is a new PhD student who has picked up the project. Bob has teaching commitments in the
	fall.

Investigation of Optimal Seismic Design of Typical Bridges in Colorado Study No: 87.00

Background

Significant effort has been expended to develop comprehensive guidelines for the seismic design of bridges after the 1971 San Fernando earthquake near Los Angeles, CA, which led to the development and adoption of ATC-6 as the seismic provision of the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specification. In the current LRFD design specification (called "Current Specification" hereafter), R-factor (also known as "ductility factor") is used to conduct seismic design of bridges, which is a force-based approach whereby elastic forces are reduced by a certain factor to allow for controlled large inelastic deformation and energy dissipation under seismic events. In 2009, the new "AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design" (called "Guide Specification" hereafter) was introduced by incorporating all the previous changes and guidelines for seismic design of bridges, which is based on displacement rather than force. In this approach, a target displacement is designed for to achieve certain performance level. The new "Guide Specification" was approved as an alternative to the seismic provisions in the "Current Specification". As a result, either the new "Guide Specification" or the "Current Specification" can be used in the seismic design of bridges in Colorado with a possible transition to the Guide Specification in the future.

In addition to the western and southwest Colorado area with much higher acceleration requirements, some metro areas may also deserve extensive study because of its high population, busy traffic and potential hazardous consequence to the whole transportation in the area if any bridge is damaged or even fails. Therefore, all bridges are equally important in Colorado and the possible cost-effective design improvements in Colorado by considering the new return period as well as possible consequences for switching from designing with the "Current Specifications" to the "Guide Specifications" in the future is needed. Historically, Colorado has put little consideration on the seismic design of bridges due to the less significant seismic issue compared to those seismic-prone states. The goal of this study is to increase the awareness of seismic risk of bridges in Colorado and give CDOT a preview of the possible outcome to identify the best seismic design methodology to follow in future bridge designs.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start: 02/12 Ver:

Completion/End Date: 2/01/2014

Principal Investigator:

Suren Chen & Hussam Mahmoud, CSU

Study Manager:

Aziz Khan, Research Branch, 303-757-9522

Panel Leader:

Richard Osmun, Bridge Design and Management (Panel Leader)

Study Panel Members:

Richard Osmun, Bridge Design and Management Branch

Mac Hasan, Bridge Design and Management Branch H-C. Liu, Materials and Geotechnical Branch Trever Wang, Bridge Design and Management Branch C.K. Su, Materials and Geotechnical Branch Steve Yip, Bridge Design and Management Branch Derrell Manceaux, FHWA-Colorado

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
2/21/2012	100	2-21-12	Kick-off Meeting
7/01/2012	100	7-30-2012	Finalized the survey questions and send out the survey invitations on 3-20-12. Await the response and analyze. Preparing literature review report
7/01/2012	100	7-01-2012	Two representative bridges selected. Bridges were modified to be skew and curved. The feedback of the bridge modification has been gathered.
2/01/2013	100	2-15-2013	9 SAP bridge models have been developed in SAP. The simulation has been finished. Some post-processing work is remaining.

07/01/2013	40	Investigation of design detailing has started

TASKS

Planned	%	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
	done		
7/01/2012	100	7/30/2012	Task 1: Literature review and survey
7/01/2012	100	7/1/2012	Task 2: Identify representative bridges
2/01/2013	100	1/30/2013	Task 3: Develop 3-D SAP analytical model
6/01/2013*	<u>*</u>	* See below	Task 4: Calibration with nonlinear FEM software
TBD			
10/01/2013	40		Task 5: Investigation of design detailing
07/01/2013			
1/01/2014			Task 6: Illustrative examples
1/31/2014			Task 7: Quarterly reports and final report

*Note: We suggest swapping Task 4 and Task 5 for the following reasons: (1) The SAP-based models show good simulation accuracy. Originally planned ABAQUS models are currently viewed as being not as essential when compared to Task 5, which are more critical to the project. (2) ABAQUS modeling requires intensive and detailed modeling of critical connections in the bridge; the configuration of which is not fully available until Task 5 is implemented. The simulation of ABAQUS is expected to take significant time, which may cause unexpected delay of other tasks. Therefore, it is felt more reasonable to look into the details first (Task 5) and then decide whether it is still necessary to conduct Task 4. If approved, we suggest moving Task 5 up and changing the planned finish time from 10/01/2013 to 7/01/2013.

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

1/24/12	Project officially begins. Project completion/end date is 01/31/2012. CSU would request an extension
	beyond the completion date (if needed) with brief justification at least six months ahead of the end date.
2/21/12	Kickoff meeting at CDOT.
3/20/12	Send out the national survey questions.
5/18/12	The summary of the survey was submitted to CDOT.
7/31/12	Literature review report submitted to CDOT.
01/30/13	The SAP-based bridge models have been developed and the simulations conducted. The post-processing is
	close to finish. The SAP models are ready to be submitted to CDOT upon request, if needed.

Full Closure Strategic Analysis Study No: 90.10

Background

Full closures of roadways are becoming an increasingly considered and utilized strategy to assist in completing maintenance and construction efforts. Accordingly, CDOT Headquarters and Region 6 Staff have identified a need for additional strategic consideration of full roadway closures. This study will review current full closure practices in Region 6 and elsewhere and develop an approach to judging the merits of particular full closure scenarios to equip CDOT Staff for full closure decision making. Several potential freeway and arterial full closure scenarios will be analyzed to evaluate the range of full closure impacts. Project deliverables include a decision tool to assist CDOT with future full closure scenarios.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/301/13

Type: SP&R

PO: N/A - Region 6 NPS

Start: 11/19/12

End: 9/10/13 (rev. from 6/30/13)

Principal Investigator(s):

Lyle DeVries, PE, PTOE, Felsburg Holt &

Ullevig, 303.721.1440

Colleen Guillotte, PE, Felsburg Holt & Ullevig,

719.314.1800

Dave Hattan, PE, PTOE, Felsburg Holt &

Ullevig, 303.721.1440

Study Manager:

Alazar Tesfaye, CDOT Region 6 Traffic, 303.757.9511

Study Panel Members:

Steve Hersey, PE, CDOT Region 6 Traffic David Reeves, PE, CDOT Applied Research

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues	
	100	11/19/12	Notice to Proceed	
	100	12/19/12	Kick-Off Meeting	
6/30/13	25		Task 1 – Project Administration and Meetings	
2/1/13	100	2/15/13	Task 2 – Literature Review	
2/28/13	100	5/15/13	Task 3 – Review of current CDOT practices	
3/15/13	80		Task4 – Decision Criteria Development	
5/15/13	5		Task 5 – Arterial Analysis	
5/15/13	5		Task 6 – Freeway Analysis	
6/15/13	10		Task 7 – Develop Decision Tool	
6/30/13	10		Task 8 – Documentation	

- 12/19/12 Kick-off meeting held at CDOT with:
 - o CDOT: David Reeves, Alazar Tesfaye, Steve Hersey
 - o Consultant: Lyle DeVries, Colleen Guillotte
- Completed literature review
- 2/15/13 Large Group meeting held at CDOT with:
 - o Representatives of CDOT engineering and maintenance staff

- Local agency representatives of City of Lakewood, City and County of Denver, City of Thornton,
 Douglas County, Jefferson County, and City of Aurora
- Developed initial list of considerations to be addressed in making full closure decisions
- 5/1/13 Held progress meeting at CDOT with:
 - o CDOT: David Reeves, Alazar Tesfaye, Steve Hersey
 - o Consultant: Lyle DeVries
- Developed and discussed preliminary 3-step process

ANTICIPATED WORK NEXT PERIOD

- Conduct coordination meetings with CDOT Staff
- Refine proposed process for evaluating full closures
- Complete the analysis of typical arterial and freeway section applications
- Develop full closure decision support tool
- Complete project documentation

ISSUES

• Project completion was delayed due to consultant-side scheduling issues and need for additional time to refine the proposed methodology. Project completion is now anticipated by September 10, 2013

Freeway/Parallel Arterial Safety Mobility Patterns Study No: 90.69

Background

Observations and initial examination of ADT and peak-hour volume data on Denver regional arterials suggests questions as to if and why they are happening, and what the implications are on corridor travel and accident patterns? This proposed research task will investigate these trends and address questions, to assist CDOT in better determining which improvements would more likely decrease accident experience while improving corridor capacity. The research will focus on a selected freeway section and corresponding regional arterials corridors. The study will address the effects of arterial traffic volume diversion patterns and the discontinuities on freeway versus arterial safety parameters.

The final product of this research will be a report presenting the traffic and safety statistics and trends along a selected freeway/arterial corridor, with conclusions as to what improvements are most likely to improve safety and corridor thru-put on both.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R **PO:** 231003850 **Start:** 12/11/12 **End:** 9/8/2013

Principal Investigator(s):

Dr. Ron Hensen, Ph.D, PE, Short Elliott

Hendrickson, Inc. (SEH)

(720) 540-6811 Mr. Jon Larson, PE, PTOE, SEH

(720) 540-6812

Study Manager:

David Reeves, Applied Research & Innovations Branch, 303-757-9518

Study Panel Members:

Steven Hersey, R1 Traffic & Safety Engineer Benjamin Kiene, R1 Traffic & Safety Engineer Richard Zamora, Project Development Branch Manager

David Swenka, Traffic & Safety Engineer Charles Meyer, Traffic & Safety Manager

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
09/09/11	100	12/12/12	Notice to Proceed Issued
01/01/13	100		Work Element 1 – Project Initiation
01/01/13	100	12/20/12	Kick-off meeting
01/15/13		5/3/2013	Revised Project Schedule
8/23/13	25		Work Element 2 – Data Gathering
10/18/13			Work Element 3 – Screenline Analysis
11/8/13			Work Element 4 – Literature Review
1/10/14			Work Element 5 – Accident Patterns
3/21/14			Work Element 6 - Draft/Final Report
4/25/14			Work Element 7 – Final Report
12/ 2012	10		Work Element 8 – Project Management
to 4/2013			

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

- 12/11/12 Notice to proceed issued to SEH.
- Revised project schedule completed
- Data collection is underway with CDOT and DRCOG.

ANTICIPATED WORK NEXT PERIOD

- Will have contacted all city (Greenwood Village, Centennial, Englewood) and county (Douglas, Arapahoe) agencies to see what data is available.
- Begin literature review that focuses on driver diversion characteristics.
- Weekly update to David Reeves and SEH project employees to maintain project schedule.

ISSUES

• Project completion date will need to be extended from 9/8/13 to reflect project schedule.

Safety Performance Functions (SPF) for Freeway Merge Zones Study No: 90.75

Background

Road safety management activities include screening the network for sites with a potential for safety improvement (Network Screening), diagnosing safety problems at specific sites and evaluating the safety effectiveness of implemented countermeasures.

The state-of-the-art methodologies for conducting these activities make use of statistical models to predict expected accident frequencies and severity using traffic volumes and other site characteristics as the input to the models. These models are known as Safety Performance Functions (SPFs).

Currently, SPFs are not available for all roadway facility types in Colorado. The aim of the proposed research is to fill one of these gaps by developing SPFs for merge lanes using data from Colorado freeways.

The mitigation of collisions at on-ramp merge lanes can be accomplished by safety treatments such as ramp metering or design modifications. Thus it is desirable to develop SPFs for these types of facilities.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: 05/14/12 PO: 211015884

PO Expiry: 12/31/2013

Principal Investigator(s):

Mr. David Hattan; Felsburg Holt & Ullevig

Denver, 303-721-1440

Mr. Craig Lyon; 613-422-2542

Study Manager:

<u>David Reeves</u>, CDOT Division of Transportation Development, 303-757-9518

Study Panel Members:

Jake Kononov, DTD Research (Retired 7/1/12)

Charles Meyer, Traffic & Safety David Swenka, Traffic & Safety

Bryan Allery, Traffic & Safety (Retired 7/1/12)

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
	100	05/14/12	Notice to Proceed
	100	05/23/12	Kick-Off Meeting
	100	09/30/212	Task 1 – Data Collection
05/01/13	100	05/01/2013	Task 2 – Develop Merge Zone SPF's
07/01/13	75		Task 3 – Draft Report
08/01/13	0		Task 3 – CDOT Review Draft Report
12/15/13	0		Task 3 – Final Report

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

• Documented the work for the final report.

ANTICIPATED WORK NEXT PERIOD

- Finalization of final report
- CDOT's Review of Draft Report
- Completion of study

Tension Cable Guardrail Study No: 91.06

Background

Tensioned cable guardrail (TCGR) systems have been used all over the world for several years. In windy areas, guardrails can act like a snow fence, causing drifts across the highway. Cable guardrail systems could be very useful in Colorado in areas with snow-drifting problems. Cable guardrail is bi-directional making it a useful option in narrow medians in addition to shoulder applications. It provides a relatively "soft" barrier that, in most situations, is somewhat more gentle on vehicle occupants than steel rail or concrete barriers. In addition, after an accident, maintenance is simple, fast and inexpensive, and the system retains its functionality even before repairs are made. Cable guardrail is also visually less obtrusive than other designs.

This study will monitor the performance of tensioned cable guardrail systems installed in Colorado. Important factors include: Severity of injuries and damage to vehicles; cost for repairs including time and materials; availability of materials and product support; ease of repair and maintenance.

Accident, maintenance and repair data will be evaluated to establish criteria to help in selection of the best system and best configuration for a particular situation.

Implementation

Accident data together with maintenance and repair data will provide insight into the suitability of tensioned cable guardrail used under varying conditions. The data will also provide information regarding situations where cable guardrail is not the best alternative and the reasons why. Recommendations will be made for use of various criteria in selecting the appropriate system.

Reporting Period: 04/01/13 through 6/30/13

Type: Experimental Feature

Principal Investigator:

Skip Outcalt, CDOT Research, 303.757.9984

Study Manager:

Skip Outcalt CDOT Research 303.757.9984

Study Panel Members:

Tony DeVito R1 303.716.9925 Larry Haas, R4 Traf. 970.350.2143 Darrell Dinges, Stds & Design 303.757.9083 Al Roys, Sec 1 Maint 303.910.8574

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
06/02		09/02	Monitor and document the installation of Brifen guardrail on US 285 at MP 141 – Windy Point.
04/03		04/03	A study panel meeting will be held to discuss the work plan for the study, what information will be kept in the databases, and to establish the length of the study and the number of sites that will be accepted for evaluation under this study.
08/03		08/03	Monitor construction of the site on I-25 near MP 250
12/03	90		Evaluate the systems based on repair costs and time needed to repair hits and the effectiveness of the system in reducing the severity of accidents.
05/04		06/04	Write and distribute interim report with recommendations for suitable situations and specific items that should be addressed on each project
12/04		05/05	Construction of median WRSF systems has begun on I-25 mp 229 – 269. CASS is being installed along the frontage road at mp 252. Very little accident data has been received in Research for inclusion in the accident database.
04/05		04/05	Study panel meeting to evaluate the progress of the study and discuss accident reports.

10/05		12/05	Installation of Trinity CASS systems in the median on I-25 ≈mp 174 − 181 and SH-86 near Castle Rock.
07/07		07/07	Install approximately 1 mile of each of the 5 certified manufacturers' systems in the median of C-470 from mp 14 to 19
06/11	90		Evaluate accident and MMS system data. Write and distribute the final report.

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

- **9/12:** A short report is being written. The Cable Barrier database will continue to be updated as information is received. Crash data will be added annually.
- **6/12:** Median crash data from January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2010 has been entered into the database for 31 project locations. 22 of the locations have some post construction crash data. In the database, a separate sheet for each location calculates the number of crashes per million vehicle miles traveled at that location. It shows severity of crash, type of crash, and the percent change for a given type of crash from before installation of the cable rail to after.
- 3/12: New TCB sites are being added to the database and will be monitored for performance.
- 1/12: Data collection and analysis in progress
- **6/1/11:** Crash data through 12/31/2009 has been added to the Tensioned Cable Barriers (TCB) Crashes spreadsheet database. Crash data for 27 projects is in the database and is in the process of being verified. The database analyzes Traffic Accident Reports (TARs) of crashes that were or could have been affected by the presence of TCB. It includes all median and off-left types of crashes in locations where median TCB is installed. On roadway and off-right types of crashes are not included since the presence of median TCB would have no effect on the cost or the outcome of that type of crash. Crash data for sites with right shoulder TCB are also listed, but it is much more difficult to determine the effects of the barrier for these locations because of the way the data is listed in the TARs.
- 1/1/11: Crash data through 2008 is available for most TCB locations. Post-construction crashes can now be compared to pre-construction crashes so the TCB can be evaluated for cost and crash prevention effectiveness.
- 12/09: Crash Data and maintenance costs are being summarized and analyzed for the final report.
- **6/09:** A spec has been drafted for TL-3 and TL-4 Tensioned Cable Barriers.
- **12/08:** A database with site locations, construction specifications and costs, and traffic data is completed for projects completed through summer of 2008.
- **09/08:** Data from the C-470 project which includes all five of the NCHRP 350 approved TCGR systems is being included in the study.
- **12/07**: An Excel spreadsheet database with extensive information about each of the high tension cable barrier (HTCB) sites constructed through 12/07 is available. Also available is a "Things to Watch" list that explains many of the problems encountered by CDOT and their solutions.

A five-mile long site has been constructed using about one mile from each of the five manufacturers who currently have HTCB that has received NCHRP 350 certification – Trinity, IA Safence, GSI Nucor, Gibraltar and

Brifen. The systems will be evaluated for performance and "maintainability".

08/07: The Research Branch (Skip Outcalt & Rich Sarchet) will set up and maintain a database with information concerning tensioned cable barrier systems installed by CDOT.

09/06: Several anchor blocks have been seen to be pulled out of the ground and the tension is low in some of the systems installed on I-25 north of Denver. Brifen has recommended a fix for the anchors and sent representatives to Colorado to conduct training system maintenance methods seminars for personnel who have cable guardrails.

06/06: Accident data for all sites before the TCGR installation is being reviewed and tabulated. Maintenance data for the sites built before mid 2005 is being reviewed and tabulated. The first draft of the report will be sent to the panel for review by the end of July 06.

01/06 No new sites will be added to this study. The accident and maintenance data will be evaluated and the final report written by the end of the fiscal year.

12/05: The FHWA will approve no more project specific FIPIs to justify the use of proprietary TCGRs As of December 2005 there are five TCGR systems that have FHWA approval at NCHRP TL-3. Of the five, CDOT has installed and is evaluating two for this study: Brifen's WRSF and Trinity's CASS. Because there are five systems with similar capabilities (according to the NCHRP 350 testing), and only two of them have been installed up to this time, this study will be concluded. The accumulated data will be evaluated and a report written based on the WRSF and CASS systems.

A five-mile project, STU 4701-107, will be constructed on C-470 in 2006 to include about one mile of each of the Trinity, Nucor, Blue Systems and Gibraltar systems. The new site is contiguous to an existing Brifen WRSF median site; however, a section of the newly approved three-cable Brifen system may be built as part of the new study.

7/05: New systems installed: Brifen – on I-70 and SH-9 near Frisco, US-287 near Ted's Place. Proposed systems: Trinity on I-25 and SH-86 near Castle Rock, and the newly approved Brifen TL-4 system on I-70 east of the Eisenhower Tunnel.

8/05: End anchor blocks in Brifen systems on I-25 ≈mp 243 and on US-287 near Ted's Place, were pulled out of the ground by hits near the end of the guardrail systems. Initial evaluations indicate that the blocks may be tapered from top to bottom forming a cone shape rather than the designed 4' diameter cylinder.

LED Lighting to Replace Overhead Lighting Study No: 92.20

Background

State law requires lighting methods to minimize light pollution caused by overhead lighting at highway interchanges. Light pollution or trespass can be a significant problem, especially when overhead lighting is employed on elevated structures.

During reconstruction of a pair of structures on I-25 in Trinidad, the overhead luminaire lighting will be replaced by Light Emitting Diode (LED) lights mounted on the barriers adjacent to the highway rather than on poles above the structures. The LED lighting will be evaluated for effectiveness in delineating the highway and interchanges associated with the structures, and for costs and ease of installation and maintenance, and durability of the LED lighting components.

The study will continue for 5 years to provide time for evaluating the durability and life of lighting components. Accident data will be gathered during the study and compared with a like time period before construction. Visibility testing was added to the scope of this study. Ron Gibbons, Virginia Polytechnical Institute and State University was hired to perform it.

Implementation

Findings of this study regarding the durability and cost effectiveness of barrier mounted LED lighting in lieu of overhead lighting can be used to justify the practice at future locations.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: Experimental Feature

Principal Investigator(s):

Nancy Clanton, Clanton & Assoc 303.530.7229

Study Manager:

Skip Outcalt, CDOT research
Dave Reeves, CDOT research
303.757.9984
303.757.9518

Study Panel Members:

 Gregg Adams, Clanton & Assoc
 303.530.7229

 Joe Garcia, Reg 2 Design
 719.546.5727

 Darrell Dingus, Stds & Design
 303.757.9083

 Doug Lollar, R 2 Eng
 719.546.5439

 Dwayne Wilkinson, Safety
 303.512.5134

FHWA:

Marcee Allen, FHWA CO Div 720.963.3007

TASKS AND MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
04/04		04/04	Establish study panel
05/04		04/15/04	First study panel meeting to determine the methods of research and evaluation.
07/04		07/04	Initial evaluation of the light levels associated with the overhead luminaire lighting on the two structures on I-25 in Trinidad. Levels on the deck and on the ground below the structure will be measured to compare with levels after the LED installation.
7/08	100		Begin construction of the structures including the installation of the LED lights to replace overhead luminaires.
9/09	100		Light levels of the of the LED lights at Trinidad initial post construction evaluation.
01/10			Evaluation of the measured post-construction light levels by Clanton & Assoc.
06/11			Safety evaluation of the LEDs
			"Evaluation of Guardrail Embedded Lighting" to be done as a continuation of this study.
11/8/12		11/8/12	Virginia Polytechnical Institute has been hired to conduct a visibility evaluation of the lighting system
2/1/13	100		Schedule visibility evaluation line up panel of observers to participate in the test
4/30/13	100		Virginia Tech and panel of observers conduct visibility evaluation
8/31/13			Virginia Tech field testing report
7/31/13			Draft Final Report for study
9/30/13			Publish Final Report.

- 5/27/13 Dr. Ron Gibbons, Virginia Polytechnical Institute performed visual evaluations of the LEDs.
- 11/8/12 Dr. Ron Gibbons, Virginia Polytechnical Institute has been hired to conduct the visibility testing.
- **06/11** As an extension of this study, the Research Implementation Council approved funding for a study "Evaluation of Guardrail Embedded Lighting" for FY 2012.
- **09/10** Further evaluation by VTTI depends on the decision of the FY11 RIC in January 2012.
- **03/10** Dr. Ronald Gibbons at the Virginia Tech Transportation Institute (VTTI) has been contacted and asked to prepare a proposal for a safety evaluation of the LEDs. The proposal will be presented to the RIC in FY11.
- 9/09 Construction complete. Light levels were taken on the deck with only the LED lights on. Clanton & Associates will evaluate the light levels and prepare an interim report. Due to time constraints and construction scheduling, the evaluation of the light levels was done before the lane stripes were painted on the deck. Start of construction of the southbound lanes requires two way traffic on the northbound structure which will be in effect until the completion of the new southbound structure. Further evaluations will be made when the southbound structure is complete and ready for traffic.
- **12/08** About 25 % of the bridge rail is done; 90% is expected to be done by March. LED installation will begin late April or May, 2009
- 07/08 Girders are being set. Deck and barrier work should begin around January, 09.
- **07/07** Demolition of the structures has begun. The power to the northbound bridges was cut so some of the light standards could be removed, so it was not possible to gather pre-construction light data in the northbound lanes. The data previously collected on the southbound bridge will be used.
- **12/06** Demolition of the existing structures is scheduled for April of 2007, construction of the new structures is scheduled to begin July 2008 and completion is planned for June of 2009.
- **09/30/06** The project has yet to be awarded.
- **06/19/06** The scheduled Ad date is July 20, bid openings on Aug 31 for the new project. Since the plan now is to construct northbound first, the baseline readings will have to be re-taken. Dates for the Milestones will be established after the project has been awarded and the schedule established.
- 01/06 Region to re-advertise the NB project on June 15, 2006
- 11/05 Region is waiting for decision if additional money will be given to the project to AD as whole project or half a project. AD date postponed until sometime in 06.
- 11/05 Referendum C passed, D failed.
- **5/05** The project will be re-advertised in January 06. Depending on the passing of Referendums C and D it may be done as either a single project or two separate projects.

Phase II Study on Safety Performance at the Colorado DOT Study No: 93.01

Background

In numerous studies sponsored by contractor associations, the Construction Industry Institute (CII), and the Construction Users Roundtable, the consistent outcome has been the need to address safety at all levels of the organization. In the Phase I study of safety practices at the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT), the study identified the gap between expected safety practices and the actual implementation of safety policies in the field offices. This finding, along with the industry-wide documentation of the necessity for a strong and mature safety culture, provided the motivation for CDOT to undertake initial efforts toward enhancing organization safety perspectives. The purpose of the current research is to continue the CDOT effort to build upon recent safety successes and by investigating opportunities improve worker safety. The primary intervention strategy to be considered is the strengthening of CDOT's safety culture.

The current research effort will examine how to put in place specific project, policy, and organization actions that will lead to the improvement of the CDOT safety record based on a combination of: 1) understanding existing CDOT safety elements and improvement efforts from an organization perspective, 2) understanding comparable organizations, 3) determining insertion points for safety improvement, and 4) providing specific recommendations for building on existing CDOT efforts to enhance the CDOT safety culture.

The research effort encompasses the following phases:

- Assess and articulate a comprehensive understanding of the existing safety culture within CDOT
- Use case studies to: (1) identify shared characteristics of safety culture within high performing organizations in comparable, high risk industries; (2) document best practices for rapid advancement, measurement, and continuous improvement of safety culture; and (3) identify target areas for CDOT improvement.
- Conduct a focus group session with a minimum of 10 members of leading firms in the construction, manufacturing, and industrial industries to review CDOT's safety program
- Develop recommendations to build on current CDOT safety efforts

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: 03/06/12

PO: 271001635 Expires: 12/31/2013

Principal Investigator(s):

Paul Chinowsky and Matthew Hallowelll; University of Colorado Denver, 303-735-1063

Study Manager:

David Reeves, Applied Research Branch (HQ) 303-757-9518

Study Panel Members:

David Wieder / Maintenance and Operations Branch Manager Office (HQ) Darrel Lingk / OTS Director (HQ)

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
3/1/12	100	3/6/12	CU Contract Complete
5/18/12	100	12/1/12	Project kickoff meetings
4/30/12	100	9/1/12	Literature Review
5/31/12	100	10/1/2012	Survey and Interview Development

1/31/13	100	1/31/13	Test of Survey
4/30/13	30		Current CDOT Conditions
6/30/13	0		Current CDOT Network
6/30/13	30		Comparable Organizations
6/30/13	10		CDOT Document Analysis
6/30/13	20		Expert Panel Review
7/31/13	0		Recommendation Development
7/31/13	0		Final Report
9/30/13	0		Final Presentations

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

- 4/10/13 Completion of introduction text and video for survey
- 4/11/13 CDOT holds survey

ANTICIPATED EVENTS FOR Q3 2013

• Discussion of document analysis to determine how to proceed with study

Development of New Corrosion/Abrasion Guidelines for Selection of Culvert Pipe Materials Study No: 106.00

Background

The existing CDOT corrosion guidelines for pipe material type selection do not specify the service life for any pipes used for drainage. A 50-year service life is assumed for any pipe that satisfies the corrosion level criteria in the existing guidelines. New design and retrofit procedures are needed to incorporate corrosion and abrasion factors to select the proper type of pipes for specific drainage applications with realistic estimates of the service life. Soil and water resistivity and/or abrasion factors will be investigated in addition to pH, chloride, and sulfate level concentrations in areas where drainage pipes failed due to corrosion and/or abrasion. Some of these locations where pipe failures occurred had been or are still being identified in a comprehensive culvert pipe inspection effort conducted by the Bridge Branch across the State of Colorado.

The current guidelines (Corrosion Resistance, CR Table) developed in 1983 use pH, chloride, and sulfate concentrations to determine the corrosion resistance levels that any pipe material should be capable of handling. Since the development of the CR table, various pipe sizes with different types of materials (CMP, RCP, HDPE, etc.) were installed under CDOT roadways using the CR table criteria. However, no concerted effort was made to evaluate these culvert pipes to assess their performance. It was assumed that any pipe material that satisfied the requirements of the CR table would have a service life of 50 years or more. This may be true for pipe materials that are inherently resistant to corrosion/abrasion by virtue of their physical, chemical and biochemical properties. However, this may not be true for other pipes that may fail due to corrosion/abrasion if not properly treated, protected, or coated. New guidelines with information on reliable estimate of service life for each type of pipe material should be developed.

Neighboring states have general guidelines incorporating pH, chloride, sulfate, total dissolved solids, resistivity, water velocity, and slope to assess the impact of corrosion and abrasion on various types of pipes. Some of these factors are associated with estimated service life of the pipe structures. However, this information is site specific and may not be totally applicable to Colorado's unique site conditions. This information from other states will help in the development of Colorado's procedure to determine reasonable service life using various parameters including resistivity and/or abrasion.

The new procedure for selecting pipe material depending on the results of the investigation may include resistivity level and/or abrasion factors in addition to pH, chloride and sulfate concentrations in soil and water. The flexible pipe industry is requesting CDOT to include resistivity and abrasion in developing new pipe materials selection guidelines.

The collected data including the findings and recommendations resulting from the inspection effort conducted by the Staff Bridge Branch across the State of Colorado will be used accordingly in the development of the new guidelines for culvert pipe materials selection procedure.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Start: 3/1/09 Complete: TBD

Principal Investigator(s): CSU/Dr. Christopher Thornton, 970-491-8394 Dr. Albert Molinas, Mobile: 970-222-2393

Study Manager: Aziz Khan, CDOT Research

303-757-9975

Panel Leader: Amanullah Mommandi, CDOT Staff Hydraulics

303-757-9044

Study Panel Members:

Lynn Croswell, CDOT Staff Bridge

303-757-9188

Mike Banovich, CDOT Environmental

303-757-9542

Al Gross, CDOT R-1 Hydraulics

303-757-9342

Mohan Sagar, CDOT Specifications

303-757-9649

Fred Schultz, CDOT Maintenance

303-757-9103

C.K. Su, Materials and Geotechnical

303-757-9750

Dave Wieder, CDOT-Maintenance 303-357-8973

FHWA Washington Contact:

Matt Greer, FHWA 720-963-3008

MILESTONES

Planned	% Done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
	100		Develop scope of work and RFP
	100		Complete the RFP process. The RFP will be issued on 10/22/04.
	100		Select the Principal Investigator: Chris Thornton, CSU
	100		Award the contract.
2/27/09	100	2/27/09	Send Notice to Proceed
3/18/09	100	3/18/09	Attend CDOT's First Culvert Committee Meeting of 2009 to meet panel members
12/31/09	100	12/31/09	Task 1- Literature review
12/31/09	100	12/31/09	Task 2- Applicability of CDOT's CR table
12/31/09	97	12/31/09	Task 3 – Field Testing (97 sites out of 100 tested)
12/31/09	97	12/31/09	Task 4 – Data Analysis (97 sites out of 100 analyzed)
12/31/09	97	12/31/09	Task 5 – Develop service life procedure
04/01/10		04/01/10	Start Phase 2 B – Data collection from Western Colorado
12/31/10	50	06/19/10	Collected data along HW 160 and connecting highways at 34 sites. Data included approximately 10 sites from the critical culvert list.
12/31/10	70	07/16/10	Collected data along I-70 and connecting highways at 30 sites. Data included sites from the critical culvert list.
12/31/10	75	09/17/10	Collected data along HW 40 and 14 and connecting highways at 11 sites. Data included sites from the critical culvert list.
12/31/10	100	10/1/10	Collected data along HW 50 and connecting highways at 29 sites. Data included sites from the critical culvert list.
2/27/12	100	2/27/12	Laboratory analysis of soil samples collected along HW 50 and connecting highways.
9/30/12	100	2/27/12	Results of soil testing have been tabulated for final analysis.

3/18/09	PI attended CDOT's First Culvert Committee Meeting of 2009 to meet majority of panel members and
	outline preliminary project goals.
5/5/09	PI met with Research Panel Leader to select initial culvert test sites. The PI scheduled to do field testing
	starting 6/01/09.
6/03/09	Culvert testing along HW 34 between Loveland and Estes Park
6/05/09	PI met with Research Panel Leader to select additional culvert test sites.
6/09/09	Culvert Testing along I 70 between MP280 and MP370 with CDOT participation
6/15/09	Culvert testing along I-70 between MP370 and MP 425 and along HW40
6/19/09	Culvert testing along I-76 with CDOT participation
6/24/09	Meeting with CSU Soils Testing laboratory to analyze the water and soil samples from the first batch of 40
	sites.
7/28/09	Met with Research Panel to update them on the status of the project and to get their approval on the sites
	that will be included in the study. Phase 2A will include the Eastern half of Colorado.
12/31/09	Completed Phase 2A
04/01/10	Started Phase 2B of the study to compile data from the Western Colorado
06/19/10	Conducted a 4-day trip along State Highway 160 covering the area between I-25 and Cortez, Colorado.
	Collected water and soils data and soil resistivity data from 34 sites along HW 160 and connecting
	highways.
07/16/10	Conducted a 3-day trip along Interstate State Highway 70 from Denver to Utah border. Included sites along
	HW 13, HW 131, and HW 139. Collected water and soils data and soil resistivity data from 30 sites along
	I-70 and connecting highways.
09/17/10	Conducted a 3-day trip along HW 40 from Walden to Craig. Included sites along HW 13, HW14, and HW
07/17/10	131. Collected water and soils data and soil resistivity data from 15 sites along HW 40 and connecting
	•
	highways.

10/1/10	Conducted a 3-day trip along I-70 and HW 50 and collected data from Grand Junction to Gunnison.
	Inspected culvert sites along HW 6, HW 340, and HW 141. Collected water and soils data and soil
	resistivity data from 29 sites along HW 50 and connecting highways.
9/30/12	Results of soil testing have been tabulated for final analysis.

Developing Design Procedure for Debris Culverts Study No: 106.3

Background

CDOT builds and maintains many roadway projects along debris-flow producing watersheds and canyons. Most of these projects need to maintain continuity of traffic in the case of debris flows during flood events. Following forest fires, watersheds that lose their vegetative cover are very susceptible to producing debris flows. Such debris-flow events are commonly encountered after every major forest fire (e.g. Buffalo Creek, Hayman fires). After debris flow events, CDOT has to spend large sums of money and effort to clean the drainage structures or rebuild them. In light of the continued drought and the beetle killed pines in Colorado forests, the potential for debris flows is a serious concern to CDOT. Many existing design guidelines are not applicable to determining the design capacity of debris culverts since the amount of discharge and type of flow are not adequately accounted for. The majority of culverts are designed for clear water flows and do not provide additional capacity needed for debris flows.

CDOT's Drainage Design Manual lacks a methodology to design culverts to pass debris flows. At the present time, by deductive reasoning, experienced engineers use a "bulking factor" to increase the design discharge to account for the presence of 30% to 60% sediment in suspension for the debris flows. The value of the bulking factor is chosen from experience. In addition to increasing the discharge passing through the system, the high sediment concentrations greatly increase the viscosity of the fluid. The resulting debris flow moves at a slower velocity but at a greater depth than a "bulked-flow." As a result, the commonly used method of "bulking the flows" is not adequate to size debris culverts.

The current knowledge on this topic has matured enough to be implemented into CDOT's DDM and the proposed study is needed urgently for CDOT. The objectives of the study are:

- New design procedure for debris culverts will be developed.
- The procedure will be based on rigorous treatment of debris flows.
- Design nomographs will summarize results of debris flow computations through culverts so that the design engineer will not be facing complex numerical modeling tasks.
- New design procedures will guide the user to select proper sizes of culvert pipes.

In order to accomplish these objectives, enhancements to the current design guidelines are needed. These enhancements can be grouped under 2 major categories: Introduction of new parameters to pipe size selection guidelines to accommodate debris flows; and development of criteria by debris-basin material types and ranges of basin slopes.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/2013

Start: 10/5/12Complete: TBD

Principal Investigator(s):

CSU/Dr. Christopher Thornton,

970-491-8394

Dr. Albert Molinas, Mobile:

970-222-2393

Study Manager:

Aziz Khan, CDOT Research

303-757-9975

Panel Leader:

Amanullah Mommandi, CDOT Staff Hydraulics

303-757-9044

Study Panel Members:

Lynn Croswell, CDOT Staff Bridge

303-757-9188

Mike Banovich, CDOT Environmental

303-757-9542

Al Gross, CDOT R-1 Hydraulics

303-757-9342

Dennis Cress, CDOT R-2 Hydraulics

719-2485493

Fred Schultz, CDOT Maintenance

303-757-9103

C.K. Su, Materials and Geotechnical

303-757-9750

Dave Wieder, CDOT-Maintenance

303-357-8973

FHWA Washington Contact:

Matt Greer, FHWA 720-963-3008

MILESTONES

Planned	% Done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
	100		Develop scope of work and RFP
	100		Complete the RFP process. The RFP will be issued on 10/22/04.

	100		Select the Principal Investigator: Chris Thornton, CSU
	100		Award the contract.
10/5/12	100	10/5/12	Send Notice to Proceed
1/18/13			Attend CDOT's Research Panel Meeting of 2013 to meet panel members and discuss goals

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

12/1/12	Work on numerical modeling of debris flows commenced by transporting PI's existing programs
	into Windows 7 environment. These programs will be used to develop nomographs.
4 /4 0 /4 0	

1/18/13 PI will attend CDOT's Research Panel Meeting of 2013 to meet majority of panel members and outline preliminary project goals.

Alternative Outlet Structure for Water Quality Detention Basins to Reduce Clogging Study No: 106.20

Background

Extended detention and full-spectrum detention basins improve the quality of stormwater runoff through settling of sediment. This is achieved by detaining and slowly releasing the stormwater over a prescribed time duration of generally 40-72 hours. An alternative outlet that is less susceptible to clogging and therefore requires less frequent maintenance would be of great benefit to CDOT and others. The primary objective is to study a new type of outlet metering device which is referred to as an elliptical slot weir, its name derived from the elliptical curvature of the weir.

Ken MacKenzie with the Denver Metropolitan Area Urban Drainage and Flood Control District will be undertaking a research study in partnership with Colorado State University to investigate an elliptical slot weir for metering water from settling ponds. Reporting Period: 4/1/2013 through 6/30/2013 Start: 09/21/12 Contract Amount: \$70,000

Principal Investigator: Ken A. MacKenzie, P.E., CFM Master Planning Program Manager Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

Study Manager: Bryan Roeder, CDOT ARIB, 303-512-4420

Study Panel Leader: Mommandi, Amanullah, CDOT PDB Hydraulics Program Manager

Study Panel Members:
Rik Gay, CDOT EPB
Kenneth Quintana, CDOT R2 Maintenance
Al Roys, CDOT R4 Maintenance
Aziz Khan, CDOT ARIB

MILESTONES

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
7/13/2012	100	7/18/2012	Establish Study Panel: Panel meeting scheduled for 7/30/12.
7/20/2012	100	8/8/2012	Finalize scope of work that will be attached to the IGA. Purchase requisition submitted.
8/19/2012	100	9/19/2012	Execute an intergovernmental agreement (IGA) with the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District.
10/1/2012	100	9/21/2012	Begin Study. Notice to proceed issued 9/21/12
12/15/2012	100	3/31/2013	Physical modeling at the CSU hydraulics laboratory (reference UDFCD agreement 11-12.01). A 1/3 scale model will be constructed to determine the hydraulic characteristics of the elliptical slot weir.
1/15/2013	100	3/31/2013	Debris modeling at the CSU hydraulics laboratory. The weir will be tested for debris handling efficiency and maintainability.
2/15/2013	100	8/15/2011	
			Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) modeling by ARCADIS using the FLO-3D mathematical model (reference UDFCD agreement 11-04.04). ARCADIS will perform CFD modeling on the same configurations physically modeled at CSU. This will serve to calibrate and verify the validity of the CFD model.

3/30/2013	0	N/A	CFD modeling of two additional weir heights for the three curvatures and three slot widths described above.
4/30/2013	33		Derivation of a practical sizing method (e.g., equation or functional relationship for this weir given input parameters that include storage volume, drain time, storage depth, and storage bottom slope.
5/31/2013	33		Creation of design charts and/or an Excel spreadsheet computer model for water quality detention elliptical slot weir outlet designs.
4/30/2013	10		Installation of a prototype elliptical slot weir at a UDFCD-monitored water quality detention basin (monitoring hydraulics and debris handling characteristics will continue for two years at this test site)
9/30/2013	0		Determination of clogging potential and consideration of clogging mitigation strategies (e.g., well screen or trash rack) for this new outlet compared to the current standard.
9/30/2013	0		Coordination with CDOT, who will install and monitor the prototype device at a rural water quality detention pond, to insure the device is installed and monitored correctly.
9/30/2014	0		Comparison of maintenance requirements for this new outlet vs. the current standard.
8/18/2015	0		Submission of draft final report no later than 75 days before end of contract
8/18/2015	0		Presentation of findings to study panel and other invitees with electronic copy of associated PowerPoint presentation.
8/18/2015	0		Submission of guidance document on best practices in producing, installing and maintaining an alternative outlet water quality structure.
10/2/2015	0		Address the comments of the study panel.
10/2/2015	0		Submission of a final report per CDOT manuals and specifications (re: CDOT Research website, http://www.dot.state.co.us/Research/)
10/2/2015	0		Creation and delivery of CD(s) containing reports, all electronic files, photographs, and data generated for the project at the close of the study.

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

7/2/2012 – \$70,000 now available from the FY13 SP&R Work Program

9/30/2012 – A \$36,346 agreement has been signed between UDFCD and CSU for physical modeling of the elliptical slot weir at the CSU hydraulics lab. Modeling for six of nine agreed-upon configurations has been completed, and modeling of the final three configurations is under way. UDFCD is negotiating an amendment to the UDFCD - CSU agreement to add modeling debris handling capabilities to the scope. A \$6,250 agreement has also been signed between UDFCD and ARCADIS for mathematical modeling of the elliptical slot weir. Calibration of the math model to the physical model is under way, but additional funding will be necessary to move ARCADIS forward on this important task. UDFCD is also negotiating with the USBR hydraulics lab in Lakewood for additional testing of overflow weir configurations, necessary to refine sizing assumptions for the entire system.

12/31/2012 – All nine slot weir configurations have been modeled at the CSU hydraulics lab, preliminary results on six of those nine have been forwarded to UDFCD by CSU for review and comments – we are waiting for the lab results for the final three configurations.

On October 5, 2012, an \$8,942 amendment to add debris modeling to the scope of work was signed between UDFCD and CSU. CSU experimented with different types of debris to test the clogging characteristics of the weir. It was found that vegetal debris, simulated by straw and wood chips, tended to not clog the weir sufficiently to cause a significant reduction in flow rate. On the other hand, plastic bags easily clogged the weir and significantly impaired the flow capacity. This tells us that the elliptical slot weir will not function well without some protection to prevent clogging from plastic and paper litter.

On October 4, 2012, a \$51,000 agreement was signed between UDFCD and the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

(USBR) to conduct 1/3-scale physical modeling of an overflow weir at their hydraulics lab in the Lakewood Colorado Federal Center. Because their policy is to not begin construction on the model until full payment has been made, that work has not yet begun (a \$51,000 check from UDFCD was delivered on 12/18/2012). The purpose of this work will be to refine and calibrate the hydraulic calculations necessary to estimate overtopping flow for storm events greater than the 80th percentile, or "water quality event." To this point, we have always used classic weir and orifice equations with some reduction factor applied to represent the interference of the grate plus a clogging factor, plus adjustments to reflect the trapezoidal shape of the outlet overtopping weir (having a low front edge, two sloping sides, and a high back edge). We hope through the work at USBR to better calculate these flows.

3/31/2013 – The debris modeling has been completed. CSU has submitted a final report on the testing setup and process titled "CSU Elliptical Weir Hydraulic Testing Final Report 2013-03-29." Work is progressing on derivation of an equation-based sizing methodology for field installations. A 3-dimensional CFD model of three different elliptical slot weirs was completed by ARCADIS and a report titled "ARCADIS Calculation of Rating Curves for Three Elliptical Slot Weirs 2011-08-15" has been submitted. Testing of overflow structure hydraulics at the USBR hydraulics lab also continues. To date, an overflow structure with a 3:1 sloping top weir and a 4:1 sloping top weir have been modeled and the results have been compared to the classical and modified equations used by UDFCD and others to size detention basin overflow outlet structures.

3/31/2013 – All work at CSU has been completed.

Web-based Historic Flood Information Database for Colorado Study No: 107.10

Background

The accuracy of flood-frequency estimates can be greatly improved when historical flood information is used with systematic flood data. At the present, much of the historical flood information for Colorado is stored in numerous published and unpublished reports, files, and photographs in local, state, and federal agency offices as well as consulting engineering offices. Having an easy-to-use Web-based database of historical flood and paleoflood information with links to sources of systematic flood data will allow engineers and water-resource managers to fully use this data to provide accurate flood recurrence estimates of the largest floods in Colorado. Engineers and scientists then will be able to incorporate these estimates for proper floodplain regulation, dam-safety design, and other uses.

The objective of this study is to develop a Web-based geodatabase accessible using an ARCGIS map or similar interface for historical flood information that will facilitate easier access to this information for the compilation of flood data and result in improved flood frequency estimates in Colorado. The data sources of historical flood information include: high outliers at gages used in the latest Colorado flood frequency report published by the USGS; unpublished USGS indirect and paleoflood measurements; and the peak flood of record at all USGS gages.

A Web-based interface that contains links or data on systematic and historic flood information will be the ultimate product of this project. In addition, a short fact sheet will be published to describe the contents of the database and how to use it.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Start: 10/1/10 Complete: 9/30/13

Contract:

Principal Investigator(s): Michael Kohn, USGS, 303-236-6924

Study Manager: Aziz Khan, Research Branch, 303-757-9255

Study Panel Leader: Amanullah Mommandi, Project Development Branch 303-757-9044

Study Panel Members:
Alfred Gross, R-1 Hydraulics
Jeffry Anderson, Bridge Design and
Management Branch
Mark S Mueller, Maintenance and Operation
Carl Valdez, R-2 Maintenance
Hani Lavassani, R-6 RE South Program
Veronica Ghelardi, Hydraulics Engineer,
FHWA - Resource Center

MILESTONES

Planned	%	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
	Done		
10/1/10	100	January 2011	Agreement between CDOT and USGS finalized and signed.
4/1/11	100	April 1, 2011	Review sources of flood information
7/1/11	100	July 1, 2011	Compile USGS flood data
5/15/11	100	June 2011 and Dec. 7, 2011	Meeting with CDOT and other agencies
12/31/11	100	December 31, 2011	Develop database structure and select web interface
4/1/12	100	Expected: November 15, 2012	Build web interface, populate database, and test
5/1/12	100	Expected: June 1, 2012	Go LIVE to Web
8/30/12	100	Expected: December 31, 2012	Complete USGS publication
7/31/13	20	Expected: July 31, 2013	Update Database with data from FY2012 once it's published.

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

All USGS data that compose the database has been compiled and is located in a Microsoft Excel database. This includes USGS indirect discharge measurements from USGS offices, historical flood events from indirect discharge measurements chronicled in USGS publications, published paleoflood studies, and the peak streamflow

record from all USGS gaging stations in Colorado.

The USGS report has been approved for publication and is available at http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2012/1225/. The Website is complete and includes the recently implemented CDOT Mile Post search. Meetings were held with CDOT/USGS on November 2, 2012, December 5, 2012, and January 11, 2013 to solicit comments and feedback from CDOT about the database. Feedback from these meetings was incorporated to the website. The feedback received from the meeting was extremely helpful and the Website and USGS report were not completed until the feedback could be incorporated. The final flood database URL

is http://cwscpublic2.cr.usgs.gov/projects/coflood/COFloodMap.html. It is linked from the USGS project page which can be access at http://co.water.usgs.gov/preview/projects/COFloodDB/index.html. The project page has a link to the report and flood database, a general project description, contact information, a link to downloadable GIS shapefiles of all the sites and their drainage basins in the database with metadata, and links to related projects. The easiest way to find the Colorado Flood Database is by simply searching for it any search engine.

Currently, the database only contains data up to water year 2011. Data from water year 2012 (October 1, 2011 to September 30, 2012) will not be published until the Spring of 2012. After which time, the database will be updated to include data through water year 2012.

Project completion

Project completion/end date is 09/30/2013 per a PI/USGS no-cost extension which was requested to update the database in FY 2013 to include data up through water year 2012. There is a proposal pending final agreement with CDOT that will fund the annual update and maintenance of the flood database for water years 2014-2016 (October 2013 to September 2016).

Feasibility Study of Developing and Creating a Standardized Subset of Bridge Plans Study No. 214.01

Background

Future highway projects have the potential to benefit from the past 20 to 30 years of successfully completed projects. This has primarily resulted from favored structure types and project scales. Using the past in such a manner that allows for an accelerated and advanced starting point lends itself towards a reduction in design time and fee. This proposed subset of standardized plans is one means of implementing Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) mandates: Every Day Counts (EDC), Accelerated Bridge Construction (ABC), and Geosynthetic Reinforced Soil Integrated Bridge System (GRS-IBS). Incidental benefits of using prefabricated bridge elements are maximizing design concepts and speed in construction that minimizes cost. GRS-IBS specifically minimizes the stiffness of bridge approaches and correspondingly can reduce differential settlement (bridge bumps).

The objective of the study is to determine if the development of standardized subset of bridge plans is feasible and cost-effective. The study should also determine what portion of the design type should be included as the "standard plans" and what type/range of bridges should be standardized.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: Ver:

Principal Investigator(s): TBD

Study Manager: Aziz Khan, Applied Research and

Innovation Branch

Study Panel Members:

Panel Chair: ShingChun (Trevor) Wang, Bridge Design and Management Mahmood Hasan, Bridge Design and Management Matt Greer, Colorado Division of FHWA Mohamed Zaina, Bridge Design and Management Jessica Terry, Bridge Design and Management

Joshua Laipply, Bridge Design and Management

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues	
5/31/13	100	6/12/13	Establish Study panel and develop scope of work	
7/31/13	50		Issue bid invitation: purchase requisition was entered on 7/4/13, the first day FY14 funding codes could be used.	
8/31/13			Select PI from bidders.	
9/15/13			Issue PO and notice to proceed	
			Tasks and milestones to be inserted by PI	
10/13/14			Draft final report	
12/15/14			Publish final report	

Survey, Evaluation and Long-Term Monitoring of the EDC GRS Abutment Performance for Multiple Spans CDOT Bridges Replacement Project Study No. 214.05

Background

The CDOT Region 1 project to replace the twin bridges over the Union Pacific Railroad and Smith Road incorporates innovations that implement the CDOT/FHWA mandate – EDC GRS (Geosynthetic Reinforced Soil) abutment technology. These twin bridges carry I-70 east and westbound traffic on threespan, (107'+ 158' + 155') horizontally-curved steel welded-plate girder structures. The mid-span piers are founded on deep foundation; however, the GRS abutments are supported by spread footings and founded on embankments. This unique feature of a GRS transition zone, to a GRS abutment founded on an embankment (shallow foundation), to pier (deep foundation) is a new design methodology to eliminating bridge "bumps". Such "bumps" are typically caused by differential settlement between the bridge founded on deep foundations and the roadway founded on embankment (shallow foundation) and can result in roadway maintenance problems.

The objective of the study is to validate the performance of GRS abutment for multi-span bridges, and the use of geofabric without positive connections to the fascia blocks for GRS retaining walls.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: Ver:

Principal Investigator(s): TBD

Study Manager: Aziz Khan, ARIB

Study Panel Members:

Panel Chair: ShingChun (Trever) Wang, Bridge

Design and Management Skip Outcalt, ARIB

Ilyess Ksouri, Materials and Geotechnical Branch Steve Yip, Bridge Design and Management Branch Teddy Meshesha, Bridge Design and Management Roman Jauregui, Region 1 Resident Engineer

Matt Greer, CO Division of FHWA

Daniel Alzamora, Resource Center, FHWA Duane (Jay) Hendickson, Region 1 Resident Engineer

Larry Quirk, Region 1 Project Engineer

% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
80		Establish Study panel and develop scope of work: in order to expedite the instrumentation of
		the GRS systems, it was decided to break out the research into three parts: instrumentation,
		GRS Wall data analysis and reporting, and GRS Abutment data analysis and reporting. It is hoped that CDOT can use a Task Order through and existing geotechnical monitoring
		contract to assure that the instrumentation can keep up with the construction.
10		Issue task order for instrumentation and monitoring: A meeting is scheduled with a
10		geotechnical monitoring consultant to discuss a possible task order.
		Issue bid invitation for research reports
		·
		Instrumentation consultant finalizes instrumentation design
		Select PI(s) from bidders.
		Issue PO and notice to proceed to researcher(s)
		Order and take delivery of instrumentation equipment
		Install instruments in Phase I Wall and abutment
		Install instruments in Phase II Wall and abutment
	80 10	80

2/20/15		Complete geotechnical monitor during construction
3/31/15		Geotechnical consultant delivers data report and data to CDOT
10/13/15		Research Draft final report
12/15/15		Publish final report

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

7/1/13	Research funding becomes available
6/27/13	Construction Project was advertise for bidding
6/15/13	\$200,000 of project funds have been made available for instrumentation and monitoring

Surface Chloride Levels in Colorado Structural Concrete Study No. 214.06

Background

CDOT Bridge Design and Management Branch is investigating updates to its reinforcing steel corrosion protection strategies. In order for this to result in an efficient and economical policy, the branch needs to know how surface chloride levels vary at different structures around the state, with respect to differences in local climate, traffic volume, location on the bridge (deck at wheel lines, deck at gutter, curb faces and tops, barrier faces, columns exposed to splash.) To be meaningful chloride samples need to be taken from exposed locations on bridges with similar concrete to current concretes (built after 1978), taken at a shallow depth sufficient to eliminate seasonal chloride variations (~0.5 in.).

Data can be used to determine the appropriate corrosion protection strategies across the state.

The study will be a cooperative effort between CDOT research staff and the selected university. CDOT will collect the samples while the university will analyze the samples and data and provide a report on the results.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 06/30/13

Type: SP&R Start: Ver:

Principal Investigator(s): TBD

Study Manager: Aziz Khan, Applied Research and

Innovation Branch

Study Panel Members:

Panel Chair: Ali Harajli, Bridge Design and

Management

Eric Prieve, Materials and Geotechnical Branch

Matt Greer, CO division of FHWA

Lynn Croswell, Bridge Design & Management

Dave Weld, ARIB

Mike McMullen, Retired CDOT Bridge Engineer

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues
5/31/13	100	6/21/13	Establish Study panel and develop scope of work
7/31/13	100	7/5/13	Issue request for proposals to Colorado public universities. Proposals are due 8/5/13
8/19/13			Select PI from bidders.
9/15/13			Issue PO and notice to proceed
			Tasks and milestones to be inserted by PI
1/15/16			Draft final report
3/15/16			Publish final report

Evaluating the Effects of Concrete Pavement Curling and Warping on Ride Quality Study No. 414.02

Background

Curling and warping are two phenomena that occur as a result of these differences within a slab. Curling is a behavioral response of a concrete slab subjected to a change in temperature with depth. The deformed shape and internal stress development due to curling is a commonly calculated as a function of the temperature gradient, coefficient of thermal expansion, geometry, elastic properties, density, and subbase restraint (support). Curling occurs throughout the pavement's life. From the time that the concrete is stiff enough to resist deformations, curling is a dominant mechanism. Warping is a similar type of response of a concrete pavement, but is the result of changes in a slab's moisture state rather than its temperature. Significant warping can even occur within hours of placement if proper control is not instituted to prevent moisture loss at the surface. Long-term warping also can lead to a permanently deformed slab. Most often warping will lead to slabs with a "bowl" geometry, since moisture loss at the surface and resulting shrinkage is the predominant mode. But occasionally warping can be a slab to an "arch" geometry in wet climates or when a significant loss of moisture into the subbase occurs.

On highway 34 near Greeley in 2012 a 9-inch concrete pavement (Class P) was placed on pavement that underwent full depth reclamation (FDR). The eastbound lanes had smoothness problems related to slab curl while the westbound lanes did not. This result provides a side-by-side comparison of a curling pavement and non-curling pavement that have the same design.

Using the east and west bound lanes as a comparison, this study will evaluate the construction conditions that lead to curling and warping.

Reporting Period: 4/1/13 through 6/30/13 Type: SP&R Start:7/03 Ver:

Principal Investigator(s): TBD

Study Manager: Vacant

Study Panel Members:

Panel Chair: Gary DeWitt, Region 4 Materials Eric Prieve, Materials and Geotechnical Branch Brandon Joy, Materials and Geotechnical Branch Donna Harmelink, FHWA Colorado Division

Planned	% done	Achieved	Description, Discussion, and Related Issues	
5/31/13	100	6/12/13	Establish Study panel and develop scope of work	
7/31/13	50		Issue bid invitation: purchase requisition was entered on 7/4/13, the first day FY14 funding codes could be used.	
8/31/13			Select PI from bidders.	
9/15/13			Issue PO and notice to proceed	
			Tasks and milestones to be inserted by PI	
10/13/14			Draft final report	
12/15/14			Publish final report	