## Office of the State Court Administrator

House and Senate Judiciary Committees



FROM: Gerald A. Marroney

State Court Administrator

Colorado General Assembly

RE: HB 14-1336

TO:

Concerning Pretrial Service Program Requirements

**Gerald A. Marroney** State Court Administrator

Mindy Masias Chief of Staff

**Terri Morrison** Judicial Legal Counsel

**DIRECTORS** 

Sherry Stwalley Court Services & Legislative Relations

**David Kribs, CFO** *Financial Services* 

Eric D. Brown Human Resources

**Chad Cornelius, CIO** *Information Technology Services* 

Eric Philp
Probation Services

DATE: November 1, 2015

In accordance with HB 14-1336 and Section 16-4-105 (3) (e) and (3) (F), C.R.S., each pretrial service program is required to provide an annual report to the State Judicial Department no later than November 1 of each year for inclusion in a combined annual report to be presented to the House and Senate Judiciary Committees.

The combined report is to include the following information:

- (1) The total number of pretrial assessments performed by the program and submitted to the Court:
- (2) The total number of closed cases by the program in which the defendant was released from custody and supervised by the program;
- (3) The total number of closed cases in which the defendant was released from custody, was supervised by the program, and, while under supervision, appeared for all scheduled court appearances on the case;
- (4) The total number of closed cases in which the defendant was released from custody, was supervised by the program, and was not charged with a new criminal offense that was alleged to have occurred while under supervision and that carried the possibility of a sentence to jail or imprisonment;
- (5) The total number of closed cases in which the defendant was released from custody and was supervised by the program, and the defendant's bond was not revoked by the court due to a violation of any other terms and conditions of supervision.

The accompanying table represents the combined information provided by pretrial service programs in Adams, Alamosa, Arapahoe, Boulder, Denver, Douglas, Jefferson, LaPlata, Larimer, Mesa, Montezuma, Pueblo and Weld Counties. As can be seen, the different pretrial service programs use varying language to describe recommendations they make to the courts and/or bond status.

This report is an effort to compile information in compliance with HB 14-1336; however the Judicial Department has no administrative authority regarding pretrial programs.

Should you have additional questions, please contact Kris Nash, Probation Analyst, Evaluation Unit, Division of Probation Services, (720) 625-5762 or <a href="mailto:kris.nash@judicial.state.co.us">kris.nash@judicial.state.co.us</a>.

\_\_\_\_\_

## FY2015

## CRS 16-4-105 Pretrial Services Program Reporting Annual Reporting to State Judicial Department

									-8 10 2 11111 9 12	1 1								
County	Number of Pretrial Assessments	Number of Pretrial Supervision Cases Closed	Number of These Cases with No FTA	Court Appearance Rate	Number of These Cases with No New Filing		Number of These Cases Not Revoked for Technical Violation	Technical Compliance Rate	Number of These Cases Posted via Commercial Surety Bond (Bail Bonding Agent)	These Cases Posted via Commercial	Number of These Cases Posted via All Cash Bonds	Number of These Cases Posted via Property Bond	Total Number of All Cash and	Percent of These Cases Posted via All Cash or Property Bonds	Number of These Cases Posted via Personal Recognizance/S elf Bond	Number of These Cases Posted via Personal Recognizance/S urety Bond	Total Number of All Personal Recognizance Bonds	
Adams	13,041	1,161	1,016	87.5%	1,092	94.1%	912	78.6%	783	67.4%	0	0	0	0.0%	371	0	371	32.0%
Alamosa*																		
Arapahoe*	4,558	1,716	1,362	79.4%	1,478	86.1%	1,648	96.0%	844	49.0%	104	0	104	6.1%	606	0	606	35.3%
<b>Boulder*</b>	3,694	1,632	1,510	92.5%	1,507	92.3%	1,267	77.6%	429	26.3%	731	6	737	45.2%	343	0	343	21.0%
Denver	9,030	5,800	4,887	84.3%	5,303	91.4%	5,735	98.9%	2,939	50.7%	704	11	715	12.3%	1761	0	1,761	30.4%
Douglas*	3,114	1,830	1,743	95.2%	0	0.0%	1,755	95.9%	1,307	71.4%	0	0	0	0.0%	447	0	447	24.4%
El Paso	1,992	1,557	1,446	92.9%	1,506	96.7%	1,402	90.0%	13	0.8%	0	0	0	0.0%	1557	0	1,557	100.0%
Jefferson	5,743	3,487	3,109	89.2%	3	94.7%	3,294	94.5%	1,397	40.1%	925	0	925	26.5%	852	119	971	27.8%
La Plata	893	365	189	51.8%	189	51.8%	176	48.2%	0	0.0%	31	0	31	8.5%	259	0	259	71.0%
Larimer	5,129	4,710	3,927	83.4%	4,275	90.8%	4,415	93.7%	889	18.9%	1,749	0	1,749	37.1%	1106	968	2,074	44.0%
Mesa	2,634	2,529	2,214	87.5%	2,046	80.9%	1,916	75.8%	653	25.8%	158	0	158	6.2%	1633	66	1,699	67.2%
Montezuma	885	305	232	76.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	133	43.6%	19	0	19	6.2%	142	10	152	49.8%
Pueblo*																		
Weld*	2,642	2,586	2,200	85.1%	2,241	86.7%	2,458	95.1%	1,727	66.8%	21	0	21	0.8%	662	16	678	26.2%

**Alamosa County:** No information available at the time of this writing.

**Arapahoe County:** Approximately 10% of clients supervised are on a summons.

**Boulder County:** Bond types do not equal 100% due to missing data.

**Douglas County:** Safety Rating \*\*with our current way of collecting data we are unable to provide an accurate calculation of the defendant's that pick up new offenses while on bond. We anticipate to have a new system in place for the 2016 reporting period that will provide the requested data. Our data collection process is currently housed in two separate databases and requires significant amount of manual entry.

Pueblo County: No pre-trial service program during this period. Information will be available next fiscal year.

Weld County: SUMMONS CASES: In addition to supervising the above noted bond types, Weld County also supervises summons cases. We felt it important to inform that in July 2015 - June 2015, one hundred sixty one (161) summons cases were ordered to Pretrial Services supervision. While the above table does not include a specific designation for summons cases, summons data is reflected in column 3 (Number of Pretrial Supervision Cases Closed) through 9 (Technical Compliance Rate).