

# Colorado Judicial Branch

## ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT

### FISCAL YEAR 2013



# Supreme Court of Colorado

2 EAST 14TH AVENUE  
DENVER, CO 80203-2116  
MICHAEL.BENDER@JUDICIAL.STATE.CO.US

MICHAEL L. BENDER  
CHIEF JUSTICE

TELEPHONE: (720) 625-5400  
FACSIMILE: (720) 625-5405

As Chief Justice of the Colorado Supreme Court, I am pleased to present the Judicial Branch's annual statistical report. This report reflects our efforts to implement the United States and Colorado Constitutions and laws by fully and fairly resolving disputes of all kinds.

This statistical report provides a detailed look at the numbers of cases that were filed and resolved in our courts during the fiscal year that ended June 30, 2013. It presents detailed data of court activities at the appellate, district, and county court levels at 76 court locations statewide. It also presents data concerning probation services, which supervises adult and juvenile offenders and which operates at 60 locations around Colorado. The information in this report is presented by category and location of court filings and probation caseloads.

Over the past few years, the Judicial Branch has concentrated its efforts on increasing access to the courts, improving the ability of people to represent themselves on some types of legal matters, and increasing understanding of the judicial process for all participants.

We are continuing to expand our roster of self-represented litigant coordinators. At the start of Fiscal Year 2014, we were staffing self-help centers in 13 of our 22 judicial districts and already making big differences in people's lives. One coordinator tells the story of helping to greatly expedite a name-change process to ensure an elderly person could be accepted into hospice care in a timely manner. With no charge to those they help, self-represented litigant coordinators provide assistance in non-criminal matters, including finding court forms and helping people understand them, finding community resources, and finding information on alternative dispute resolution.

This kind of assistance not only helps people trying to navigate sometimes complex court processes on their own, but also helps the courts as we continue to see growth in the number of cases in which people forego representation by attorneys. For example, about 75 percent of parties involved in divorce cases in Colorado are not represented by an attorney. Self-represented litigant coordinators help unrepresented litigants be prepared for court hearings and correctly fill out forms, which saves the time of both the court and the litigants.

The Judicial Branch continues to work to ensure all people who appear in our courts understand the judge's decisions in their case and feel they had the opportunity to tell their side of the story. Additional employee training and leadership education are helping us improve our performance in what we call procedural fairness.

Meanwhile, our Division of Probation Services is the state's largest criminal justice agency, monitoring some 55,944 adults and juveniles and overseeing some 24,863 cases monitored by our private probation partners. Probation is seeing the fruits of its push to implement evidence-based practices.

During the past few years, Probation has put training, education and evaluation projects at the forefront of the division's implementation of evidence-based practices, which is the use of processes and procedures that have been tested and validated. By determining which needs of the probation client are most pressing and concentrating efforts on proven methods of encouraging positive change in those areas, probation officers are using the best tools available to improve community safety.

The shift has begun to affect the long-term outcomes of probation. Since 2007, the number of people who have successfully completed probation has increased while the rate of post-release recidivism has declined. In other words, more individuals are successfully completing their probation sentences and fewer are returning to criminal behavior afterward. This is a tremendous benefit to Colorado, as it increases public safety while making prudent use of taxpayer dollars.

Probation also plays a large role in our problem-solving courts, which serve specialized populations through intensive programs which may include treatment, counseling and sobriety monitoring. Instead of being sentenced to prison, eligible offenders may be ordered to drug court or mental health court, for example. In these courts, prosecutors, defense attorneys, probation officers and support agencies work in collaboration to ensure offenders stay on course to complete their treatment. In Colorado and across the country, problem-solving courts have proved to reduce recidivism, keep incarceration costs down, and keep the community safe.

Finally, I must also mention the wonderfully successful dedication of the beautiful new Ralph L. Carr Colorado Judicial Center. We were honored to have U.S. Supreme Court Justice Sonia Sotomayor visit Denver in May 2013 to formally dedicate the building and throw open the doors of the Colorado Judicial Learning Center.

The Learning Center offers numerous interactive and other exhibits that teach the importance of the rule of law, how the state and federal court systems operate, provide some role-playing opportunities and even offer the opportunity to hear personally from a dozen judges from around the state. There's nothing else like it in the world, and I personally invite everybody who is able to come in and spend some time learning and having fun. The center is open to the public during normal business hours, and best of all, admission is always free.

Meanwhile, our trial courts, while handling a smaller caseload overall, continue to be very busy. Overall, filings in the District Courts numbered 230,481, while the County Courts received 446,255 filings. On the appellate level, 1,457 cases were filed with the Colorado Supreme Court, which issued 77 opinions. There were 2,539 cases filed with the Colorado Court of Appeals,

which issued 1,805 published and unpublished opinions with an additional 803 cases either dismissed or transferred to the Colorado Supreme Court.

All our efforts are aimed at providing the best public service possible, and we never lose sight of our core constitutional functions and the people we serve. We will continue to ensure that we respond to the needs of the people of Colorado and that we promote public understanding of and confidence in the judicial system.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Michael L. Bender". The signature is written in a cursive style with a prominent initial "M".

Michael L. Bender

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Forward</i> _____	<i>i</i>
<i>Colorado Judicial Districts Map</i> _____	<i>ii</i>
<i>Organization Chart of the Judicial Department</i> _____	<i>iii</i>
<b>I. Supreme Court</b>	
<i>List of Supreme Court Justices</i> _____	<b>1</b>
<i>Narrative</i> _____	<b>2</b>
<i>Table 1 Supreme Court Caseload, Fiscal Year 1978 to Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>3</b>
<i>Table 2 Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court, Fiscal Year 1989 to Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>4</b>
<i>Table 3 Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court, Fiscal Year 2006 to Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>5</b>
<i>Table 4 Distribution of Case Terminations, Fiscal Year 1991 to Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>6</b>
<i>Table 5 Supreme Court Written Opinions, Fiscal Year 2005 to Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>7</b>
<i>Table 6 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue, End of Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>8</b>
<i>Table 7 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue, End of Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>9</b>
<b>II. Court of Appeals</b>	
<i>List of Court of Appeals Judges</i> _____	<b>10</b>
<i>Narrative</i> _____	<b>11</b>
<i>Table 8 Court of Appeals Caseload, Fiscal Year 1973 to Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>12</b>
<i>Table 9 Distribution of Case Filings and Percent Change for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>13</b>
<i>Table 10 Distribution of Case Terminations and Percent Change for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>14</b>
<i>Graph 1 Case Filings and Terminations</i> _____	<b>15</b>
<b>III. District Court</b>	
<i>List of District Court Judges</i> _____	<b>16</b>
<i>Narrative</i> _____	<b>17</b>
<i>Chart 1 and Chart 2 District Court Case Filings- Proportion of Cases by Class, Ten Year Comparison, Fiscal Year 2004 and Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>18</b>
<i>Table 11 District Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>19</b>
<i>Table 12 District Court Caseload, Fiscal Year 2004 to Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>20</b>
<i>Table 13 District Court Filings and Percent Change, Fiscal Year 2012 to Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>21</b>
<i>Table 14 District Court Filings and Terminations for Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>22-25</b>
<i>Table 15 Court and Jury Trials for District Court for Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>26-27</b>
<i>Table 16 Civil Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	<b>28-41</b>

<i>Table 17 Domestic Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	42-45
<i>Table 18 Criminal Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	46-57
<i>Table 19 Juvenile Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	58-60
<i>Table 20 Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	61-68
<i>Table 21 Mental Health Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	69-70
<i>Table 22 Probate Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	71-78

#### **IV. County Court**

<i>List of County Court Judges</i>	79
<i>Narrative</i>	80
<i>Chart 3 and 4 Filings Comparison, Fiscal Year 2004 and Fiscal Year 2013</i>	81
<i>Table 23 County Court Filings and Terminations Compared to Previous Year</i>	82
<i>Table 24 County Court Caseload, Fiscal Year 2004 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	83
<i>Table 25 County Court Filings and Percent Change, Fiscal Year 2012 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	84
<i>Table 26 County Court Filings and Terminations for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	85-88
<i>Table 27 Court and Jury Trials for County Court for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	89-90
<i>Table 28 DUI/DWAI Filings by District for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	91
<i>Table 29 Civil Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	92-93
<i>Table 30 Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	94-101
<i>Table 31 Small Claims by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	102-103
<i>Table 32 Traffic Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	104-105

#### **V. Water Court**

<i>List of Water Court Judges</i>	106
<i>Narrative</i>	107
<i>Table 33 Filings, Claims and Percent Change for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013</i>	108

#### **VI. Office of Dispute Resolution**

<i>Narrative</i>	109
<i>Table 34 ADR Services Provided by Case Type and Disposition Percentage, Fiscal Year 2013</i>	110
<i>Table 35 ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type, Fiscal Year 2013</i>	111
<i>Table 36 Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type, Fiscal Year 2013</i>	112

## VII. Financial Information

<i>Narrative</i> _____	113
<i>Table 37 Colorado Judicial Branch, Collections</i> _____	114
<i>Chart 5 Judicial Restitution, Fiscal Year 2004 to Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	115
<i>Chart 6 Colorado Judicial Branch, Fiscal Year 2013 Statewide Total Appropriations</i> ____	116
<i>Chart 7 Colorado Judicial Branch, Fiscal Year 2013 Judicial Appropriation by Agency</i>	116
<i>Chart 8 Colorado Judicial Branch, Fiscal Year 2013 Judicial Appropriation by Major Program</i> _____	117
<i>Chart 9 Colorado Judicial Branch, Fiscal Year 2013 Judicial Appropriation by Major Cost Recovery</i> _____	117

## VIII. Colorado Probation

<i>List of Chief Probation Officers</i> _____	118
<i>Probation Overview</i> _____	119-121
<i>Table 38 Grand Totals – State Adult Regular, Investigations, Intensive Programs, Private, State Monitoring, Juvenile Regular, Juvenile Investigations and JISP for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	122
<i>Table 39 State Regular and Non-DUI/DWAI Private Combined Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	123

### Regular Adult State Probation

<i>Table 40 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Investigations</i> _____	124
<i>Table 41 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Offense Type</i> _____	125
<i>Table 42 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Court of Origin</i> _____	126
<i>Table 43 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Supervision Type</i> _____	127
<i>Table 44 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Gender</i> _____	128
<i>Table 45 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Age</i> _____	129
<i>Table 46 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Ethnic Group</i> _____	130
<i>Table 47 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i> ____	131
<i>Table 48 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 All Probation Supervision Closure Types</i> ____	132
<i>Table 49 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Type of Revocation</i> _____	133
<i>Table 50 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Length of Stay</i> _____	134
<i>Table 51 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Active Clients Risk Levels on June 30, 2013</i> _____	135
<i>Table 52 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013</i> _____	136
<i>Table 53 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 State Adult Active and Private Active Clients on June 30, 2013</i> _____	137

## Private Probation

<i>Table 54 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Supervision Type</i>	138
<i>Table 55 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Gender</i>	139
<i>Table 56 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Age: Non DUI/DWAI</i>	140
<i>Table 57 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Age: DUI/DWAI</i>	141
<i>Table 58 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Ethnic Group: Non DUI/DWAI</i>	142
<i>Table 59 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Ethnic Group: DUI/DWAI</i>	143
<i>Table 60 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	144
<i>Table 61 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 All Closure Types: Non DUI/DWAI</i>	145
<i>Table 62 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 All Closure Types: DUI/DWAI</i>	146
<i>Table 63 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Type of Revocation</i>	147
<i>Table 64 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Length of Stay</i>	148
<i>Table 65 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Active Non-DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013</i>	149
<i>Table 66 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Active DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013</i>	150

## Regular Juvenile Probation

<i>Table 67 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Investigations</i>	151
<i>Table 68 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Offense Type</i>	152
<i>Table 69 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Supervision Type</i>	153
<i>Table 70 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Gender</i>	154
<i>Table 71 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Age</i>	155
<i>Table 72 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Ethnic Group</i>	156
<i>Table 73 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	157
<i>Table 74 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 All Probation Supervision Closure Types</i>	158
<i>Table 75 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Type of Revocation</i>	159
<i>Table 76 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Length of Stay</i>	160
<i>Table 77 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013</i>	161
<i>Table 78 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Active Sex Offender Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013</i>	162

## Probation Intensive Programs:

### Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP):

<i>Table 79 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP): Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Order Type</i>	163
<i>Table 80 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	164



<i>Table 81 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP): Fiscal Year Discharges</i>	165
<i>Table 82 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP): Fiscal Year Type of Revocation</i>	166

**Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP):**

<i>Table 83 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP): Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Order Type</i>	167
<i>Table 84 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	168
<i>Table 85 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Discharges</i>	169
<i>Table 86 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Type of Revocation</i>	170

**Female Offender Program (FOP):**

<i>Table 87 Female Offender Program (FOP): Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Order Type</i>	171
<i>Table 88 Female Offender Program (FOP): Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	172
<i>Table 89 Female Offender Program (FOP): Fiscal Year 2013 Discharges</i>	173
<i>Table 90 Female Offender Program (FOP): Fiscal Year 2013 Type of Revocation</i>	174

**Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP):**

<i>Table 91 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP): Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Order Type</i>	175
<i>Table 92 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	176
<i>Table 93 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Discharges</i>	177
<i>Table 94 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Type of Revocation</i>	178

**Intensive Programs Summary:**

<i>Table 95 Intensive Programs Active Clients Summary on June 30, 2013</i>	179
--	-----

**Victim Services:**

<i>Table 96 Summary of Victim Services Fiscal Year 2008 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	180
---	-----

**Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Programs (ADDS):**

<i>Table 97 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients</i>	181
<i>Table 98 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender</i>	182
<i>Table 99 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group</i>	183
<i>Table 100 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age</i>	184
<i>Table 101 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 State DUI/DWAI Monitored State Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	185
<i>Table 102 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Closure Types</i>	186

*Table 103 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Type of Revocation* \_\_\_\_\_ 187

*Table 104 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Length of Stay* \_\_\_\_\_ 188

*Table 105 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 Total Active State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients on June 30, 2013* \_\_\_\_\_ 189

## **IX. Glossary of Terms**

*Probation* \_\_\_\_\_ 190-191

*Supreme Court* \_\_\_\_\_ 192-194

*Trial Courts* \_\_\_\_\_ 195-199

## Foreword


The Colorado Judicial Branch joins the Legislative and Executive branches to form the government of the State of Colorado. The Judicial Branch is charged with two responsibilities: resolving disputes and supervising offenders on probation.

The Colorado Judicial Department Annual Statistical Report for Fiscal Year 2013 provides information about the Colorado state courts. Profiled in this report are caseload statistics for the Colorado Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, District Courts, County Courts, Water Courts, as well as summarized financial information for the Judicial Department.

All references in this report reflect data gathered for Fiscal Year 2013 (July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013), unless otherwise specified. This report is also available electronically by accessing the Colorado Judicial Department's Web site at [www.courts.state.co.us](http://www.courts.state.co.us). If you need additional information regarding this document, please contact the Office of the State Court Administrator, Division of Planning & Analysis or Division of Probation Services at (720) 625-5000.




# COLORADO JUDICIAL DISTRICTS



# Organization Chart of the Judicial Department

The Colorado court system consists of the Supreme Court, an intermediate Court of Appeals, district courts and county courts. Each county has both a district court and a county court. Special probate and juvenile courts created by the Colorado Constitution exist in the City and County of Denver. Colorado statutes also authorize locally funded municipal courts with jurisdiction limited to municipal ordinance violations.



1 - Exclusive to the City and County of Denver. In the rest of the state, the district court is responsible for juvenile and probate matters.

2 – The Denver County Court functions as a municipal as well as a county court and is separate from the state court system.

3 – Created and maintained by local government but subject to Supreme Court rules and procedures.

**Section I:**  
Supreme Court



# SUPREME COURT

## SUPREME COURT JUSTICES AND APPOINTMENT DATES

Chief Justice Michael L. Bender: Appointed January 2, 1997,  
Became Chief Justice: December 1, 2010

Gregory J. Hobbs, Jr.: April 18, 1996

Nancy E. Rice: August 5, 1998

Nathan B. Coats: April 24, 2000

Alison H. Eid: February 15, 2006

Monica M. Márquez: September 8, 2010

Brian D. Boatright: November 21, 2011

## Colorado Supreme Court

The Colorado Supreme Court is the state's court of last resort. Its decisions are binding on all County and District Courts, as well as the Court of Appeals.

The Supreme Court is composed of seven justices who serve renewable 10-year terms. The Chief Justice is selected from the membership of the body and serves at the pleasure of a majority of the justices. The Chief Justice also serves as the executive head of the Colorado Judicial Department and is the ex officio chair of the Supreme Court Nominating Commission. The Chief Justice appoints the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals and the Chief Judge of each of the state's 22 judicial districts, and is vested with the authority to assign judges (active or retired) to perform judicial duties.

Requests to review decisions of the Colorado Court of Appeals constitute a majority of the Supreme Court's filings. The Supreme Court also has direct appellate jurisdiction over cases in which a statute has been held to be unconstitutional, cases involving decisions of the Public Utilities Commission, writs of habeas corpus, cases involving adjudication of water rights, summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code, and prosecutorial appeals concerning search and seizure questions in pending criminal proceedings. All of these appeals are filed directly with the Supreme Court, and, in these cases bypass the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court also has exclusive jurisdiction to promulgate rules governing practice and procedure in civil and criminal actions.

Colorado's attorneys are licensed and disciplined by the Supreme Court. The court's attorney regulation system, funded by attorney registration fees, regulates the profession. In addition, the court oversees the State Court Administrator, Board of Continuing Legal Education, Board of Law Examiners, Commission on Judicial Discipline, and Unauthorized Practice of Law Committee.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are welcome to visit the court. The clerk's office can assist you in planning a court visit. For more information, please contact the Clerk of the Colorado Supreme Court, at 2 East 14<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80203; or call (720) 625-5150 or (800) 888-0001.

Case announcements, oral argument schedules, rules, Chief Justice Directives, protocols, links to committees and commissions, and general information about the court is available on the Judicial Branch's website at:

[http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Supreme\\_Court/Index.cfm](http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Supreme_Court/Index.cfm).



**Table 1: Supreme Court Caseload  
Fiscal Year 1978 to Fiscal Year 2013**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Cases Pending July 1</b>	<b>Cases Filed</b>	<b>Total Caseload</b>	<b>Cases Terminated(a)</b>	<b>Cases Pending June 30</b>
1977-78	374	854	1,228	893	335
1978-79	350	941	1,291	877	414
1979-80	414	950	1,364	893	471
1980-81	471	966	1,437	979	458
1981-82	458	1,052	1,510	1,006	504
1982-83	504	971	1,475	1,038	437
1983-84	437	1,069	1,506	950	556
1984-85	556	967	1,523	1,011	512
1985-86	512	988	1,500	973	527
1986-87	527	970	1,497	1,036	461
1987-88	461	1,022	1,483	1,001	482
1988-89	482	1,198	1,680	1,215	465
1989-90	465	1,300	1,765	1,261	504
1990-91	504	1,265	1,769	1,326	443
1991-92	443	1,313	1,756	1,286	470
1992-93	470	1,251	1,721	1,261	460
1993-94	460	1,277	1,737	1,290	447
1994-95	447	1,358	1,805	1,316	489
1995-96	489	1,401	1,890	1,368	522
1996-97	522	1,511	2,033	1,432	601
1997-98	601	1,520	2,121	1,561	560
1998-99	560	1,525	2,085	1,609	476
1999-00	476	1,617	2,093	1,563	530
2000-01	530	1,367	1,897	1,425	472
2001-02	472	1,368	1,840	1,415	425
2002-03	425	1,401	1,826	1,441	385
2003-04	385	1,317	1,702	1,319	383
2004-05	383	1,466	1,849	1,451	398
2005-06	398	1,393	1,791	1,400	391
2006-07	391	1,534	1,925	1,450	475
2007-08	475	1,657	2,132	1,760	372
2008-09	372	1,643	2,015	1,554	461
2009-10	461	1,518	1,979	1,554	425
2010-11	425	1,387	1,812	1,440	372
2011-12	372	1,494	1,866	1,612	254
2012-13	254	1,457	1,711	1,508	203

(a) Terminations include cases transferred to the Court of Appeals.

**Table 2: Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court  
Fiscal Year 1989 to Fiscal Year 2013**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Direct Filings</b>	<b>Transfers from Court of Appeals</b>	<b>Reopened Cases</b>	<b>Total Filings</b>
1989-90	1,284	15	1	1,300
1990-91	1,260	4	1	1,265
1991-92	1,292	21	0	1,313
1992-93	1,235	16	0	1,251
1993-94	1,270	7	0	1,277
1994-95	1,356	2	0	1,358
1995-96	1,389	11	1	1,401
1996-97	1,507	4	0	1,511
1997-98	1,517	3	0	1,520
1998-99	1,521	4	0	1,525
1999-00	1,613	4	0	1,617
2000-01	1,363	4	0	1,367
2001-02	1,361	7	0	1,368
2002-03	1,393	8	0	1,401
2003-04	1,310	7	0	1,317
2004-05	1,463	3	0	1,466
2005-06	1,387	6	0	1,393
2006-07	1,530	4	0	1,534
2007-08	1,653	4	0	1,657
2008-09	1,638	5	0	1,643
2009-10	1,504	14	0	1,518
2010-11	1,379	8	0	1,387
2011-12	1,485	9	0	1,494
2012-13	1,455	2	0	1,457

**Table 3: Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court  
Fiscal Year 2006 to Fiscal Year 2013**

	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13
Criminal Appeals	1	0	2	1	1	2	0	0
Original Proceedings	305	281	305	269	318	270	240	259
Petitions in Certiorari	868	1001	1078	1112	967	909	1005	1039
Civil Appeals (a)	13	13	10	13	19	14	31	5
Interlocutories	13	15	25	17	19	18	16	9
Statutory Reviews (b)	18	8	24	4	16	15	14	4
Habeas Corpus	19	23	13	16	21	25	38	13
Bail Reductions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Adversary Sentence Reviews	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interrogatories	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Reapportionment	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Reopened Cases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rule 21.1	3	2	0	0	3	0	0	0
Judicial Discipline or Disability	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Request for Stay Pending Appeal	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Unauthorized Practice	7	5	5	21	12	18	17	10
Original Proceedings								
In Discipline	17	28	26	16	26	12	16	4
Disability	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Continuing Legal Education	101	122	136	155	92	89	92	101
Multi-District Litigation	26	33	33	18	22	17	23	12
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,393</b>	<b>1,534</b>	<b>1,657</b>	<b>1,643</b>	<b>1,517</b>	<b>1,387</b>	<b>1,494</b>	<b>1,457</b>
(a) Includes P.U.C. decisions, water cases, and constitutional questions								
(b) Includes Ballot Title Reviews								

**Table 4: Distribution of Case Terminations  
Fiscal Year 1991 to Fiscal Year 2013**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Disposed of by Written Opinion</b>	<b>Disposed of Without Opinion</b>	<b>Total Dispositions</b>	<b>Transferred to Court of Appeals</b>	<b>Total Terminations</b>
1990-91	227	1,086	1,313	13	1,326
1991-92	216	1,058	1,274	12	1,286
1992-93	181	1,059	1,240	21	1,261
1993-94	192	1,093	1,285	5	1,290
1994-95	233	1,077	1,310	6	1,316
1995-96	193	1,176	1,369	1	1,370
1996-97	214	1,212	1,426	6	1,432
1997-98	187	1,372	1,559	2	1,561
1998-99	196	1,413	1,609	6	1,615
1999-00	111	1,452	1,563	0	1,563
2000-01	128	1,291	1,419	6	1,425
2001-02	121	1,287	1,408	7	1,415
2002-03	85	1,354	1,439	2	1,441
2003-04	89	1,228	1,317	2	1,319
2004-05	93	1,357	1,450	1	1,451
2005-06	78	1,321	1,399	1	1,400
2006-07	86	1,361	1,447	3	1,450
2007-08	99	1,658	1,757	3	1,760
2008-09	67	1,484	1,551	3	1,554
2009-10	75	1,478	1,553	1	1,554
2010-11	98	1,339	1,437	3	1,440
2011-12	76	1,533	1,609	3	1,612
2012-13	77	1,429	1,506	2	1,508

**Table 5: Supreme Court Written Opinions  
Fiscal Year 2005 to Fiscal Year 2013**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Number of Opinions Announcing Judgment of the Court</b>	<b>Number of Partial Concurrences and Dissents</b>	<b>Number of Dissents</b>	<b>Number of Concurrences and Special Concurrences</b>	<b>Total Number of Written Opinions</b>
2004-05	89	5	34	6	134
2005-06	78	7	18	8	111
2006-07	83	2	19	12	116
2007-08	95	6	32	14	147
2011-12	76	7	33	8	124
2012-13	77	6	27	11	121

\*Since FY2001, attorney discipline opinions are issued by the presiding disciplinary judge.

**Table 6: Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue  
End of Fiscal Year 2013**

	<b>Original</b>				
	<b>Proceedings</b>	<b>Certiorari</b>	<b>Appeals</b>	<b>Grievances</b>	<b>Total</b>
Cases at Issue Awaiting Oral Argument	0	8	0	0	8
Cases at Issue Awaiting Submission of Briefs	0	0	0	0	0
Cases Orally Argued Awaiting Opinion	0	20	3	0	23
Cases Submitted Without Argument	7	0	0	0	7
Opinions Announced Awaiting Action on Rehearing	0	2	0	0	2
<b><i>Total</i></b>	<b>7</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>40</b>

**Table 7: Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue  
End of Fiscal Year 2013**

<b>Pending Cases</b>	<b>Total</b>
Certiorari Granted, Awaiting Briefs on Merits	22
Certiorari Pending	119
Appeals Pending	8
Original Proceedings Pending	7
Interlocutory Appeals Pending	2
Grievance Matters Pending	2
Unauthorized Practice Pending	3
Judicial Discipline Pending	0
<b><i>Total</i></b>	<b>163</b>

# Section II: Court of Appeals





# COURT OF APPEALS

## COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES AND APPOINTMENT DATES

Chief Judge Janice B. Davidson: July 15, 1988;  
Became Chief Judge May 1, 2003

Daniel M. Taubman: November 11, 1992

James S. Casebolt: February 12, 1994

John D. Dailey: January 7, 2000

John R. Webb: February 1, 2002

Dennis Graham: July 1, 2002

Russell Carparelli: February 7, 2003

Alan M. Loeb: July 2, 2003

Robert D. Hawthorne: August 12, 2004

Gilbert M. Roman: August 1, 2005

David Furman: December 16, 2005

Diana Terry: July 5, 2006

Jerry N. Jones: July 5, 2006

Steve Bernard: July 5, 2006

Nancy J. Lichtenstein: April 30, 2008

Richard L. Gabriel: April 30, 2008

David J. Richman: June 30, 2008

Laurie A. Booras: January 13, 2009

Gale T. Miller: January 13, 2009

Maria Teresa "Terry" Fox: January 7, 2011

Stephanie Dunn: November 23, 2012

Anthony J. Navarro: January 18, 2013

## Colorado Court of Appeals

The Colorado Court of Appeals is the state's intermediate appellate court. Twenty-two judges, who sit in panels or divisions of three, serve renewable eight-year terms. The mission of the Court of Appeals is to provide the citizens of Colorado with clear, impartial and timely resolution of appealed orders and judgments as provided by law.

The body was created by statute; accordingly, jurisdiction is limited to areas specified by statute, together with the inherent powers granted to all courts in the state. However, in cases of first impression, or where existing law is not dispositive, the Court of Appeals is a law-making court.

The Court of Appeals is generally the first court to hear appeals of judgments and orders in criminal, juvenile, civil, domestic relations and probate matters. In addition, the Court of Appeals has specific appellate jurisdiction over decisions originating from a number of state administrative boards and agencies, including the Industrial Claim Appeals Office. Its determination of an appeal is final unless the Colorado Supreme Court agrees to hear the matter.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are always welcome to visit the court. For more information pertaining to the Colorado Court of Appeals, or to observe oral arguments, contact the Clerk of the Court at (720) 625-5150 or (800) 888-0001. The Court of Appeals is located at 2 East 14<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80203.

General information about the court and links to published opinions, oral argument schedules, rules, forms and policies are available on-line at [http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Court\\_Of\\_Appeals/Index.cfm](http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Court_Of_Appeals/Index.cfm).

**Table 8: Caseload of Court of Appeals  
for Fiscal Year 1973 through Fiscal Year 2013**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Cases Pending July 1</b>	<b>Cases Filed</b>	<b>Cases Terminated</b>	<b>Cases Pending June 30</b>
1973-74	356	444	441	359
1974-75	359	858	625	592
1975-76	592	915	833	674
1976-77	674	1,128	918	884
1977-78	884	1,119	1,000	1,003
1978-79	1,003	1,214	1,119	1,098
1979-80	1,098	1,207	1,164	1,141
1980-81	1,139	1,285	1,213	1,211
1981-82	1,211	1,512	1,320	1,403
1982-83	1,403	1,453	1,381	1,475
1983-84	1,475	1,580	1,411	1,644
1984-85	1,644	1,631	1,396	1,879
1985-86	1,879	1,917	1,630	2,166
1986-87	2,166	1,930	1,602	2,494
1987-88	2,494	1,946	2,034	2,406
1988-89	2,406	2,012	2,193	2,225
1989-90	2,225	2,270	2,144	2,351
1990-91	2,351	2,147	2,192	2,306
1991-92	2,306	2,201	2,335	2,172
1992-93	2,172	2,109	2,269	2,012
1993-94	2,012	2,287	2,192	2,107
1994-95	2,107	2,179	2,156	2,130
1995-96	2,130	2,289	2,318	2,101
1996-97	2,101	2,245	2,274	2,072
1997-98	2,072	2,410	2,231	2,251
1998-99	2,251	2,647	2,458	2,440
1999-00*	2,440	2,502	2,599	2,343
2000-01	2,343	2,335	2,414	2,264
2001-02	2,264	2,673	2,463	2,474
2002-03*	2,474	2,589	2,510	2,553
2003-04*	2,553	2,558	2,511	2,600
2004-05*	2,600	2,766	2,542	2,824
2005-06	2,824	2,748	2,622	2,950
2006-07	2,950	2,548	2,810	2,688
2007-08	2,688	2,753	2,761	2,680
2008-09	2,680	2,809	2,994	2,495
2009-10	2,495	2,890	2,625	2,760
2010-11	2,760	2,742	2,690	2,812
2011-12	2,812	2,711	2,765	2,758
2012-13	2,758	2,539	2,608	2,689

\*Termination and pending totals for FY 2000, and 2003-2005 have been amended to reflect manual count of open cases

**Table 9: Distribution of Case Filings and Percent Change  
for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013**

<b>Case Filings</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Criminal	1,148	1,068	-7.0%
Civil	1,081	990	-8.4%
ICAO (1)	277	255	-7.9%
Other (juvenile, agency)	205	226	10.2%
Total	2,711	2,539	-6.3%


(1) Industrial Claims Appeals Office

**Table 10: Distribution of Case Terminations and Percent Change  
for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013**

<b>Case Terminations</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Published Opinions	181	208	14.9%
Unpublished Opinions	1,682	1,597	-5.1%
Dismissed	893	801	-10.3%
Transferred to Supreme Court	9	2	-77.8%
Total	2,765	2,608	-5.7%

# Colorado Court of Appeals

Graph 1



**Section III:**  
District Court



## DISTRICT COURT JUDGES

### FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Stephen M. Munsinger, Chief Judge  
Randall C. Arp  
Jack W. Berryhill  
Margie Enquist  
Dennis Hall  
Philip J. McNulty  
Ann Gail Meinster  
Christopher J. Munch  
Lily W. Oeffler  
Christie Phillips  
Tamara Russell  
Jane A. Tidball  
Christopher Zenisek

### SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Robert S. Hyatt, Chief Judge  
Edward D. Bronfin  
Martin F. Egelhoff  
J. Eric Elliff  
Ann B. Frick  
Shelley I. Gilman  
Norman D. Haglund  
Morris B. Hoffman  
William W. Hood  
A. Bruce Jones  
Kenneth M. Laff  
Catherine A. Lemon  
John W. Madden, IV  
Anne M. Mansfield  
Michael A. Martinez  
Robert L. McGahey, Jr.  
R. Michael Mullins  
Sheila A. Rappaport  
William D. Robbins  
Elizabeth A. Starrs  
Herbert L. Stern, III  
Michael J. Vallejos  
Brian Whitney

### DENVER JUVENILE COURT

Karen M. Ashby, Presiding Judge  
Donna J. Schmalberger  
David Brett Woods

### DENVER PROBATE COURT

Elizabeth D. Leith, Presiding Judge

### THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Claude W. Appel, Chief Judge  
Leslie J. Gerbracht

### FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gilbert Martinez, Chief Judge  
William B. Bain  
Robin Chittum  
Theresa M. Cisneros  
Edward S. Colt  
Jann DuBois  
David A. Gilbert  
Deborah J. Grohs  
Barbara L. Hughes  
Barney Iuppa  
Thomas K. Kane  
Thomas L. Kennedy  
Robert L. Lowrey  
Michael P. McHenry  
G. David Miller  
David Prince  
Marla Prudek  
Timothy Schutz  
Larry E. Schwartz  
Scott A. Sells  
David L. Shakes  
Gregory R. Werner

### FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

R. Thomas Moorhead, Chief Judge  
Frederick W. Gannett  
Russell H. Granger  
Karen A. Romeo  
Mark D. Thompson

### SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gregory G. Lyman, Chief Judge  
Suzanne F. Carlson  
William L. Herringer  
Jeffrey R. Wilson

### SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

J. Steven Patrick, Chief Judge  
Charles R. Greenacre  
Jeff B. Herron  
James W. Schum  
Mary E. Deganhart

### EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Stephen J. Schapanski, Chief Judge  
Julie K. Field  
Thomas R. French  
Stephen E. Howard  
Daniel J. Kaup  
Gregory M. Lammons  
Deven R. Odell  
Dave Williams

### NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

James B. Boyd, Chief Judge  
Denise K. Lynch  
Gail H. Nichols  
Daniel B. Petre

### TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Deborah R. Eyer, Chief Judge  
William Alexander  
David W. Crockenberg  
Thomas B. Flesher  
Jill S. Mattoon  
Victor I. Reyes  
Larry C. Schwartz

### ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Charles M. Barton, Chief Judge  
Stephen A. Groome  
Patrick W. Murphy  
David M. Thorson

### TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Pattie P. Swift, Chief Judge  
Martin A. Gonzales  
Michael A. Gonzales

### THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Michael K. Singer, Chief Judge  
Charles M. Hobbs  
Kevin Hoyer  
Douglas R. Vannoy

### FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Michael A. O'Hara III, Chief Judge  
Shelley A. Hill  
Mary C. Hoak

### FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Stanley A. Brinkley, Chief Judge  
P. Douglas Tallman

### SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Mark A. MacDonnell, Chief Judge  
Michael A. Schiferl

### SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

C. Vincent Phelps, Jr., Chief Judge  
C. Scott Crabtree  
Katherine R. Delgado  
Thomas R. Ensor  
F. Michael Goodbee  
Robert W. Kiesnowski, Jr.  
Chris Melonakis  
Edward C. Moss  
Patrick T. Murphy  
John E. Popovich, Jr.  
Jill-Ellyn Straus  
Ted C. Tow  
Mark D. Warner  
Francis C. Wasserman  
Craig R. Welling

### EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

William B. Sylvester, Chief Judge  
Michelle Amico  
Marilyn Leonard Antrim  
Angela R. Arkin  
Richard B. Caschette  
F. Stephen Collins  
Christopher C. Cross  
Timothy L. Fasing  
J. Mark Hannen  
Jeffrey K. Holmes  
Kurt A. Horton  
Paul A. King  
Charles M. Pratt  
Gerald J. Rafferty  
Carlos Armando Samour, Jr.  
Teresa Slade  
Michael Spear  
Elizabeth B. Volz  
Elizabeth A. Weishaupl  
John Wheeler  
Vincent R. White

### NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

James F. Hartmann, Chief Judge  
Julie Hoskins  
Timothy Kerns  
Marcelo A. Kopcow  
Daniel S. Maus  
Thomas J. Quammen  
Elizabeth B. Strobel  
Todd Taylor  
Dinsmore Tuttle

### TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Roxanne Bailin, Chief Judge  
Ingrid S. Bakke  
Maria Berkenkotter  
Patrick Butler  
Judith LaBuda  
Andrew MacDonald  
Dolores Mallard  
Thomas F. Mulvahill  
M. Gwyneth Whalen

### TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

David A. Bottger, Chief Judge  
Thomas M. Deister  
Brian J. Flynn  
Dick Gurley  
Valerie J. Robinson

### TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Douglas S. Walker, Chief Judge  
Todd J. Plewe



## District Court



Colorado is sectioned into 22 Judicial Districts encompassing all 64 counties. Across the state, district boundaries are aligned with county lines – some districts include just one county, while others include as many as seven counties. Each district has at least one district court location. The Supreme Court Chief Justice appoints a Chief Judge for each district to serve as the Chief Judicial Officer. In addition, each district has at least one other district court judge who may preside in more than one district court within that judicial district, particularly in rural areas of the state. There were 176 district judges during Fiscal Year 2013.

District judges preside over felony criminal matters, civil claims in any amount, juvenile matters (including adoption, dependency and neglect matters, juvenile delinquency, and paternity actions), probate, mental health, divorce proceedings, and water cases. Additionally, district judges preside over jury trials, handle appeals from Colorado's municipal and county courts, and review decisions of administrative boards and agencies. District decisions may be appealed to the Colorado Court of Appeals and/or to the Colorado Supreme Court.

In Fiscal Year 2013, there were 230,481 case filings at the district court level (excluding water cases). Colorado's district courts were able to terminate 232,552 cases during that same 12-month period. Compared to Fiscal Year 2012, district court filings in Fiscal Year 2013 have decreased by 20.21 percent declining from 288,877 cases to the current level of filings. The greatest area of decrease was in Dstraint Warrants, which decreased by almost 44 percent. The greatest area of growth was in Probate filings, which increased by 10.76 percent during Fiscal Year 2013.

Information specific to each of the 22 Judicial Districts, including contact information, is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/district/districts.htm>.

## Chart 1 and Chart 2: District Case Filings -- Proportion of Cases by Class Ten Year Comparison



**Table 11: District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change  
Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013**

<b>Filings Compared to Previous Year</b>			
<b>Case Class</b>	<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY13</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
Civil	169,055	108,634	-35.74%
Criminal	35,551	37,888	6.57%
Domestic Relations	35,434	34,630	-2.27%
Juvenile	28,731	27,296	-4.99%
Mental Health	6,064	6,480	6.86%
Probate	14,042	15,553	10.76%
<b>Total</b>	<b>288,877</b>	<b>230,481</b>	<b>-20.21%</b>

<b>Terminations Compared to Previous Year</b>			
<b>Case Class</b>	<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY13</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
Civil	169,186	111,606	-34.03%
Criminal	34,957	37,293	6.68%
Domestic Relations	35,683	34,593	-3.05%
Juvenile	26,462	26,951	1.85%
Mental Health	5,744	6,531	13.70%
Probate	14,387	15,578	8.28%
<b>Total</b>	<b>286,419</b>	<b>232,552</b>	<b>-18.81%</b>

**Table 12: District Court Caseload  
Fiscal Year 2004 to Fiscal Year 2013**

<b>Case Class</b>	<b>FY04</b>	<b>FY05</b>	<b>FY06</b>	<b>FY07</b>	<b>FY08</b>	<b>FY09</b>	<b>FY10</b>	<b>FY11</b>	<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY13</b>
<b>CIVIL</b>										
New Cases Filed	51,846	55,465	60,546	64,603	64,199	67,480	116,346	125,597	169,055	108,634
Cases Terminated	50,777	54,912	59,146	65,029	64,021	65,909	117,836	126,804	169,186	111,606
<b>CRIMINAL</b>										
New Cases Filed	42,427	45,405	46,501	44,245	40,494	39,464	36,993	35,966	35,551	37,888
Cases Terminated	40,588	42,569	46,127	45,200	43,396	40,169	37,905	36,324	34,957	37,293
<b>DOMESTIC RELATIONS</b>										
New Cases Filed	30,826	31,064	32,481	32,230	33,025	33,190	35,624	36,009	35,434	34,630
Cases Terminated	31,510	31,197	32,316	31,933	32,518	32,426	34,965	35,748	35,683	34,593
<b>JUVENILE</b>										
New Cases Filed	36,078	34,851	33,709	32,500	33,370	32,165	30,360	29,958	28,731	27,296
Cases Terminated	35,561	33,546	32,960	30,993	32,391	30,170	29,855	29,326	26,462	26,951
<b>MENTAL HEALTH</b>										
New Cases Filed	4,528	5,021	4,653	4,459	4,713	4,795	5,159	5,543	6,064	6,480
Cases Terminated	4,308	4,782	4,679	4,626	4,487	4,865	5,127	5,483	5,744	6,531
<b>PROBATE</b>										
New Cases Filed	11,653	11,706	11,525	11,198	11,551	11,443	12,189	13,655	14,042	15,553
Cases Terminated	13,562	12,989	11,164	11,187	12,574	11,780	12,777	14,067	17,387	15,578
<b>TOTAL</b>										
<b>New Cases Filed</b>	<b>177,358</b>	<b>183,512</b>	<b>189,415</b>	<b>189,235</b>	<b>187,352</b>	<b>188,537</b>	<b>236,671</b>	<b>246,728</b>	<b>288,877</b>	<b>230,481</b>
<b>Cases Terminated</b>	<b>176,306</b>	<b>179,995</b>	<b>186,392</b>	<b>188,968</b>	<b>189,387</b>	<b>185,319</b>	<b>238,465</b>	<b>247,752</b>	<b>289,419</b>	<b>232,552</b>

**Table 13: District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change  
Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Filings			Terminations		
	FY12	FY13	Percent Change	FY12	FY13	Percent Change
1	28,903	21,973	-23.98%	27,080	22,317	-17.59%
2	46,290	33,343	-27.97%	46,209	33,930	-26.57%
2nd Juvenile	4,105	4,025	-1.95%	4,151	3,890	-6.29%
2nd Probate	3,223	3,389	5.15%	3,357	3,492	4.02%
3	1,592	1,373	-13.76%	1,541	1,349	-12.46%
4	34,580	29,167	-15.65%	34,011	29,451	-13.41%
5	6,052	4,300	-28.95%	6,200	4,546	-26.68%
6	3,379	2,755	-18.47%	3,351	2,877	-14.15%
7	5,188	4,071	-21.53%	5,102	4,216	-17.37%
8	12,511	10,888	-12.97%	12,392	10,907	-11.98%
9	5,085	3,830	-24.68%	5,189	3,975	-23.40%
10	9,825	8,421	-14.29%	9,631	8,416	-12.62%
11	4,237	3,696	-12.77%	4,200	3,677	-12.45%
12	2,682	2,637	-1.68%	2,769	2,490	-10.08%
13	3,551	3,363	-5.29%	3,567	3,347	-6.17%
14	3,142	2,384	-24.12%	3,055	2,473	-19.05%
15	1,076	969	-9.94%	947	1,021	7.81%
16	1,769	1,439	-18.65%	1,770	1,498	-15.37%
17	27,980	22,069	-21.13%	27,939	22,025	-21.17%
18	45,066	34,500	-23.45%	45,464	34,991	-23.04%
19	14,436	11,900	-17.57%	14,532	11,540	-20.59%
20	13,434	10,668	-20.59%	13,347	10,781	-19.23%
21	9,310	8,115	-12.84%	9,184	8,039	-12.47%
22	1,461	1,206	-17.45%	1,431	1,304	-8.87%
<b>State Total(a)</b>	<b>288,877</b>	<b>230,481</b>	<b>-20.21%</b>	<b>286,419</b>	<b>232,552</b>	<b>-18.81%</b>

(a) Totals do not include Water Court.

**Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
1	Gilpin	148	174	149	154	44	37	27	25
	Jefferson	3,423	3,381	9,542	9,858	3,535	3,513	2,587	2,636
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3,571</b>	<b>3,555</b>	<b>9,691</b>	<b>10,012</b>	<b>3,579</b>	<b>3,550</b>	<b>2,614</b>	<b>2,661</b>
2	Denver	4,837	4,739	24,158	24,815	4,348	4,376	n/a	n/a
	Denver Juvenile	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,025	3,890
	Denver Probate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,837</b>	<b>4,739</b>	<b>24,158</b>	<b>24,815</b>	<b>4,348</b>	<b>4,376</b>	<b>4,025</b>	<b>3,890</b>
3	Huerfano	108	133	146	144	42	53	40	51
	Las Animas	287	250	327	333	138	121	194	178
	<b>Total</b>	<b>395</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>229</b>
4	El Paso	4,906	4,767	11,418	11,575	5,748	5,841	3,766	3,749
	Teller	209	198	462	474	140	131	105	133
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5,115</b>	<b>4,965</b>	<b>11,880</b>	<b>12,049</b>	<b>5,888</b>	<b>5,972</b>	<b>3,871</b>	<b>3,882</b>
5	Clear Creek	82	105	185	180	48	43	133	123
	Eagle	399	406	1,397	1,595	250	237	128	149
	Lake	89	89	150	158	49	50	24	36
	Summit	216	188	687	713	132	131	68	74
	<b>Total</b>	<b>786</b>	<b>788</b>	<b>2,419</b>	<b>2,646</b>	<b>479</b>	<b>461</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>382</b>
6	Archuleta	74	77	319	311	88	80	52	41
	La Plata	468	520	846	925	300	311	189	191
	San Juan	5	4	41	43	5	3	1	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>601</b>	<b>1,206</b>	<b>1,279</b>	<b>393</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>233</b>
7	Delta	194	177	536	559	266	264	128	147
	Gunnison	120	120	307	350	79	76	43	57
	Hinsdale	6	7	12	8	3	3	0	0
	Montrose	323	309	683	753	318	314	221	206
	Ouray	14	12	102	114	20	22	3	4
	San Miguel	50	44	216	241	36	42	15	13
<b>Total</b>	<b>707</b>	<b>669</b>	<b>1,856</b>	<b>2,025</b>	<b>722</b>	<b>721</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>427</b>	
8	Jackson	8	7	22	26	5	6	1	0
	Larimer	1,997	2,018	4,237	4,306	1,795	1,716	1,603	1,600
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,005</b>	<b>2,025</b>	<b>4,259</b>	<b>4,332</b>	<b>1,800</b>	<b>1,722</b>	<b>1,604</b>	<b>1,600</b>
9	Garfield	474	521	1,429	1,485	416	395	246	231
	Pitkin	80	91	570	640	101	107	35	31
	Rio Blanco	63	53	107	114	44	47	14	15
	<b>Total</b>	<b>617</b>	<b>665</b>	<b>2,106</b>	<b>2,239</b>	<b>561</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>277</b>
10	Pueblo	1,836	1,935	3,320	3,378	1,257	1,231	1,019	954
11	Chaffee	211	182	234	227	120	114	71	54
	Custer	22	14	85	81	19	21	9	7
	Fremont	433	428	801	860	334	338	396	383
	Park	66	68	424	435	76	73	32	34
	<b>Total</b>	<b>732</b>	<b>692</b>	<b>1,544</b>	<b>1,603</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>546</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>478</b>

**Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term
1	Gilpin	3	2	18	17	389	409
	Jefferson	626	630	1,871	1,890	21,584	21,908
	<b>Total</b>	629	632	1,889	1,907	21,973	22,317
2	Denver	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	33,343	33,930
	Denver Juvenile	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,025	3,890
	Denver Probate	1,108	1,138	2,281	2,354	3,389	3,492
	<b>Total</b>	1,108	1,138	2,281	2,354	40,757	41,312
3	Huerfano	1	1	33	30	370	412
	Las Animas	6	7	51	48	1,003	937
	<b>Total</b>	7	8	84	78	1,373	1,349
4	El Paso	710	814	1,637	1,698	28,185	28,444
	Teller	5	6	61	65	982	1,007
	<b>Total</b>	715	820	1,698	1,763	29,167	29,451
5	Clear Creek	1	1	33	31	482	483
	Eagle	4	5	132	135	2,310	2,527
	Lake	7	7	21	28	340	368
	Summit	6	5	59	57	1,168	1,168
	<b>Total</b>	18	18	245	251	4,300	4,546
6	Archuleta	14	13	48	40	595	562
	La Plata	89	89	211	223	2,103	2,259
	San Juan	0	0	5	5	57	56
	<b>Total</b>	103	102	264	268	2,755	2,877
7	Delta	6	7	119	116	1,249	1,270
	Gunnison	1	0	46	48	596	651
	Hinsdale	0	0	4	4	25	22
	Montrose	4	4	151	150	1,700	1,736
	Ouray	0	0	35	37	174	189
	San Miguel	1	0	9	8	327	348
<b>Total</b>	12	11	364	363	4,071	4,216	
8	Jackson	0	0	18	18	54	57
	Larimer	410	416	792	794	10,834	10,850
	<b>Total</b>	410	416	810	812	10,888	10,907
9	Garfield	8	5	128	107	2,701	2,744
	Pitkin	5	5	74	89	865	963
	Rio Blanco	0	0	36	39	264	268
	<b>Total</b>	13	10	238	235	3,830	3,975
10	Pueblo	411	352	578	566	8,421	8,416
11	Chaffee	11	9	81	80	728	666
	Custer	0	0	30	28	165	151
	Fremont	30	22	129	137	2,123	2,168
	Park	13	14	69	68	680	692
<b>Total</b>	54	45	309	313	3,696	3,677	

**Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
12	Alamosa	420	391	242	243	183	175	211	189
	Conejos	60	73	89	89	46	51	35	44
	Costilla	58	43	80	83	35	26	18	19
	Mineral	7	5	15	18	6	5	3	1
	Rio Grande	211	158	167	165	96	97	123	164
	Saguache	58	53	95	85	28	28	36	33
	<b>Total</b>	<b>814</b>	<b>723</b>	<b>688</b>	<b>683</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>426</b>	<b>450</b>
13	Kit Carson	113	76	98	97	57	50	22	26
	Logan	336	349	279	277	139	140	148	144
	Morgan	290	300	380	372	193	219	241	258
	Phillips	19	16	33	31	26	24	19	19
	Sedgwick	23	19	20	15	14	19	4	1
	Washington	37	28	68	61	30	28	34	40
	Yuma	75	58	129	125	62	61	42	38
<b>Total</b>	<b>893</b>	<b>846</b>	<b>1,007</b>	<b>978</b>	<b>521</b>	<b>541</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>526</b>	
14	Grand	112	116	422	458	80	83	42	44
	Moffat	180	181	259	268	137	125	83	91
	Routt	142	178	557	570	129	109	25	32
	<b>Total</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>1,238</b>	<b>1,296</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>167</b>
15	Baca	28	31	51	53	27	23	14	15
	Cheyenne	14	14	25	29	16	17	8	15
	Kiowa	7	8	18	20	5	7	5	7
	Prowers	201	177	150	184	104	113	123	114
	<b>Total</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>151</b>
16	Bent	53	58	119	110	32	36	50	64
	Crowley	51	48	65	71	36	40	35	39
	Otero	222	231	257	264	148	184	178	176
	<b>Total</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>441</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>279</b>
17	Adams	3,837	3,689	10,207	10,398	2,840	2,794	2,276	2,307
	Broomfield	321	276	538	526	346	326	196	172
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,158</b>	<b>3,965</b>	<b>10,745</b>	<b>10,924</b>	<b>3,186</b>	<b>3,120</b>	<b>2,472</b>	<b>2,479</b>
18	Arapahoe	2,918	2,869	12,846	13,117	3,514	3,697	2,640	2,652
	Douglas	852	847	5,048	5,183	1,598	1,601	966	975
	Elbert	72	63	405	434	141	145	50	53
	Lincoln	89	73	101	110	20	34	45	46
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3,931</b>	<b>3,852</b>	<b>18,400</b>	<b>18,844</b>	<b>5,273</b>	<b>5,477</b>	<b>3,701</b>	<b>3,726</b>
19	Weld	2,192	2,107	4,831	4,951	1,774	1,668	2,064	1,812
20	Boulder	1,963	1,997	4,551	4,642	1,478	1,481	1,285	1,296
21	Mesa	1,491	1,431	3,114	3,219	1,315	1,263	1,019	944
22	Dolores	11	7	41	46	11	13	2	4
	Montezuma	277	306	422	437	208	215	79	104
	<b>Total</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>313</b>	<b>463</b>	<b>483</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>37,888</b>	<b>37,293</b>	<b>108,634</b>	<b>111,606</b>	<b>34,630</b>	<b>34,593</b>	<b>27,296</b>	<b>26,951</b>



**Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term
12	Alamosa	14	13	50	36	1,120	1,047
	Conejos	12	12	30	29	272	298
	Costilla	5	4	88	67	284	242
	Mineral	0	0	8	6	39	35
	Rio Grande	9	3	61	51	667	638
	Saguache	4	2	34	29	255	230
	<b>Total</b>		<b>44</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>2,637</b>
13	Kit Carson	10	10	47	45	347	304
	Logan	26	28	75	68	1,003	1,006
	Morgan	29	27	92	147	1,225	1,323
	Phillips	1	1	20	19	118	110
	Sedgwick	4	4	19	18	84	76
	Washington	1	1	36	26	206	184
	Yuma	12	11	60	51	380	344
<b>Total</b>		<b>83</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>3,363</b>	<b>3,347</b>
14	Grand	5	5	49	45	710	751
	Moffat	1	0	70	80	730	745
	Routt	8	6	83	82	944	977
	<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>2,384</b>	<b>2,473</b>
15	Baca	3	3	34	33	157	158
	Cheyenne	2	2	27	31	92	108
	Kiowa	0	0	46	61	81	103
	Prowers	8	6	53	58	639	652
	<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>969</b>	<b>1,021</b>
16	Bent	3	4	63	50	320	322
	Crowley	2	2	16	17	205	217
	Otero	14	10	95	94	914	959
	<b>Total</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>1,439</b>	<b>1,498</b>
17	Adams	440	501	872	855	20,472	20,544
	Broomfield	52	43	144	138	1,597	1,481
	<b>Total</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>1,016</b>	<b>993</b>	<b>22,069</b>	<b>22,025</b>
18	Arapahoe	704	691	1,698	1,606	24,320	24,632
	Douglas	185	177	499	502	9,148	9,285
	Elbert	14	13	55	54	737	762
	Lincoln	8	7	32	42	295	312
	<b>Total</b>	<b>911</b>	<b>888</b>	<b>2,284</b>	<b>2,204</b>	<b>34,500</b>	<b>34,991</b>
19	Weld	247	241	792	761	11,900	11,540
20	Boulder	477	456	914	909	10,668	10,781
21	Mesa	678	674	498	508	8,115	8,039
22	Dolores	1	1	19	18	58	89
	Montezuma	21	21	114	132	1,121	1,215
	<b>Total</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>1,206</b>	<b>1,304</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>6,480</b>	<b>6,531</b>	<b>15,553</b>	<b>15,578</b>	<b>230,481</b>	<b>232,552</b>

**Table 15: Court and Jury Trials for District Court  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials
1	Gilpin	0	7	1	1	0	0	1	8
	Jefferson	0	91	18	32	7	1	25	124
	<b>Total</b>	0	98	19	33	7	1	26	132
2	Denver	3	133	56	79	0	0	59	212
	Denver Juvenile	0	0	0	0	51	6	51	6
	<b>Total</b>	3	133	56	79	51	6	110	218
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Las Animas	1	2	1	1	2	0	4	3
	<b>Total</b>	1	3	1	1	2	0	4	4
4	El Paso	4	187	18	47	27	6	49	240
	Teller	1	9	5	0	1	0	7	9
	<b>Total</b>	5	196	23	47	28	6	56	249
5	Clear Creek	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Eagle	0	1	13	1	2	0	15	2
	Lake	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Summit	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	0	6	13	2	2	1	15	9
6	Archuleta	0	8	1	0	0	0	1	8
	La Plata	1	7	3	2	0	1	4	10
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	1	16	4	2	0	1	5	19
7	Delta	0	1	2	1	1	1	3	3
	Gunnison	0	3	2	0	0	0	2	3
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	0	4	4	3	0	0	4	7
	Ouray	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	San Miguel	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
	<b>Total</b>	0	9	10	5	1	1	11	15
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	36	19	13	7	0	26	49
	<b>Total</b>	0	36	19	13	7	0	26	49
9	Garfield	0	6	3	0	2	0	5	6
	Pitkin	0	0	8	1	0	0	8	1
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	6	12	1	2	0	14	7
10	Pueblo	0	31	4	5	5	0	9	36
11	Chaffee	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	1	8	0	0	1	1	2	9
	Park	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1
	<b>Total</b>	1	9	2	1	1	1	4	11

**Table 15: Court and Jury Trials for District Court  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials
12	Alamosa	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	2
	Conejos	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	3
	Costilla	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Saguache	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	9	4	1	0	1	4	11
13	Kit Carson	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
	Logan	0	5	1	1	0	2	1	8
	Morgan	0	8	3	0	3	1	6	9
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Washington	0	5	1	0	3	0	4	5
	Yuma	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	22	6	1	6	3	12	26
14	Grand	0	6	2	2	0	1	2	9
	Moffat	0	11	0	0	1	0	1	11
	Routt	0	4	1	1	0	0	1	5
	<i>Total</i>	0	21	3	3	1	1	4	25
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	1
16	Bent	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
	Crowley	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
	Otero	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	4
	<i>Total</i>	0	4	2	3	0	0	2	7
17	Adams	0	139	8	30	4	5	12	174
	Broomfield	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	140	9	31	4	5	13	176
18	Arapahoe	1	100	34	21	39	5	74	126
	Douglas	5	25	10	13	5	4	20	42
	Elbert	0	3	3	1	0	0	3	4
	Lincoln	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	6	130	47	35	44	10	97	175
19	Weld	3	66	10	5	24	3	37	74
20	Boulder	2	40	2	24	1	1	5	65
21	Mesa	5	32	12	7	13	4	30	43
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0
<i>State Total</i>		<b>27</b>	<b>1,008</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>490</b>	<b>1,352</b>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Condemnation	Confirm Arbitration Award	Conservation Easements	County Court or Municipal Appeal
1	Gilpin	3	0	0	3	0	0	3
	Jefferson	230	1	0	11	1	0	63
	<i>Total</i>	233	1	0	14	1	0	66
2	Denver	620	12	20	15	22	0	76
3	Huerfano	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	6	0	0	2	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	8	0	0	2	0	0	0
4	El Paso	304	5	18	13	0	0	77
	Teller	8	0	1	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	312	5	19	13	0	0	79
5	Clear Creek	5	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Eagle	35	1	3	0	0	0	6
	Lake	6	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Summit	19	1	0	3	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	65	2	3	3	0	0	16
6	Archuleta	6	1	0	0	0	0	1
	La Plata	25	0	0	0	0	0	3
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	31	1	0	0	0	0	4
7	Delta	14	0	0	1	0	0	4
	Gunnison	9	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	10	0	0	1	0	0	7
	Ouray	3	0	0	0	1	0	0
	San Miguel	11	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	47	0	0	2	1	0	15
	8	Jackson	2	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer		140	4	0	5	0	0	34
<i>Total</i>		142	4	0	5	0	0	34
9	Garfield	22	0	4	2	0	0	4
	Pitkin	44	1	0	0	0	0	6
	Rio Blanco	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	68	1	5	2	0	0	10
10	Pueblo	64	0	1	0	0	0	23
11	Chaffee	7	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Custer	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Fremont	19	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Park	4	0	0	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	32	1	0	0	0	1	8

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Declaratory Judgment	Determination of Interests	Distrain Warrant	Foreclosure Other Than RL 120	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Fraud
1	Gilpin	1	0	51	1	0	1	2
	Jefferson	21	3	5,587	84	52	56	16
	<b>Total</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5,638</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>18</b>
2	Denver	96	4	17,119	111	76	125	39
3	Huerfano	2	0	52	1	0	1	0
	Las Animas	0	0	172	2	3	2	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
4	El Paso	33	7	6,011	73	34	109	12
	Teller	2	0	220	4	2	1	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6,231</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>13</b>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	86	2	1	0	1
	Eagle	7	1	677	118	3	15	2
	Lake	0	0	68	4	0	0	0
	Summit	2	1	288	49	7	15	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1,119</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>4</b>
6	Archuleta	3	0	135	44	0	3	1
	La Plata	3	0	483	7	1	9	0
	San Juan	0	0	31	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>649</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>
7	Delta	5	0	225	3	0	5	2
	Gunnison	2	0	128	6	1	3	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	5	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	3	0	334	4	0	7	0
	Ouray	0	1	44	4	0	4	1
	San Miguel	3	0	97	4	1	8	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>5</b>
8	Jackson	0	1	9	0	0	1	2
	Larimer	13	4	2,385	29	9	43	9
	<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2,394</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>11</b>
9	Garfield	6	1	789	2	0	16	1
	Pitkin	10	2	251	25	4	7	2
	Rio Blanco	1	0	51	0	0	2	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1,091</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>3</b>
10	Pueblo	4	1	1,637	14	5	20	2
11	Chaffee	1	0	123	3	0	4	1
	Custer	2	0	26	8	0	1	0
	Fremont	3	0	314	2	2	2	1
	Park	3	1	188	8	2	3	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>651</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Goods Sold		Landlord- Tenant	Lien	Malpractice	Mechanics	
		and Delivered	Injunctive Relief				Lien	Money
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	0	2	9
	Jefferson	4	22	5	3	19	5	431
	<i>Total</i>	4	23	5	3	19	7	440
2	Denver	38	110	53	6	87	43	424
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
	Las Animas	0	0	0	1	3	1	11
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	0	2	3	1	13
4	El Paso	16	19	8	3	30	19	595
	Teller	0	7	0	0	0	1	23
	<i>Total</i>	16	26	8	3	30	20	618
5	Clear Creek	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
	Eagle	1	4	0	4	3	1	65
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Summit	0	5	1	2	0	0	18
	<i>Total</i>	1	10	1	6	3	1	97
6	Archuleta	1	0	0	0	1	0	8
	La Plata	0	4	0	0	4	0	29
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	1	4	0	0	5	0	38
7	Delta	0	2	0	0	0	0	43
	Gunnison	0	2	1	0	0	1	17
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	1	0	0	1	1	49
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	1	8
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	<i>Total</i>	0	6	1	0	1	3	125
8	Jackson	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	6	14	0	3	18	6	231
	<i>Total</i>	6	15	0	3	18	6	232
9	Garfield	2	1	0	0	2	0	73
	Pitkin	0	7	4	1	2	4	22
	Rio Blanco	0	3	0	0	1	0	8
	<i>Total</i>	2	11	4	1	5	4	103
10	Pueblo	2	7	0	0	11	8	164
11	Chaffee	0	2	1	0	1	0	12
	Custer	0	2	1	0	0	0	6
	Fremont	0	4	0	0	1	0	30
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	2	22
	<i>Total</i>	0	8	2	0	2	2	70

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement	Name Change	Negligence	Note	Other	Out of State Subpoena	Personal Injury
1	Gilpin	0	0	2	0	4	0	11
	Jefferson	10	21	35	20	89	14	115
	<i>Total</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>126</i>
2	Denver	30	28	97	188	203	156	281
3	Huerfano	2	3	2	0	6	0	2
	Las Animas	0	0	3	1	5	2	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>6</i>
4	El Paso	39	5	58	16	148	38	193
	Teller	1	0	1	1	5	1	7
	<i>Total</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>200</i>
5	Clear Creek	1	0	1	1	3	1	3
	Eagle	0	4	11	12	15	5	18
	Lake	0	1	1	0	6	0	0
	Summit	0	0	19	1	5	2	17
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>38</i>
6	Archuleta	1	1	2	0	2	0	3
	La Plata	0	4	4	10	27	3	14
	San Juan	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>17</i>
7	Delta	2	1	0	0	10	0	2
	Gunnison	1	0	0	4	4	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	4	6	0	6	0	9
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
	San Miguel	0	0	2	5	6	1	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>16</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Larimer	19	20	29	20	36	20	56
	<i>Total</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>56</i>
9	Garfield	3	1	4	2	16	3	7
	Pitkin	0	0	6	6	9	7	10
	Rio Blanco	0	1	1	0	2	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>17</i>
10	Pueblo	10	18	16	3	33	6	62
11	Chaffee	0	0	2	0	4	1	3
	Custer	0	1	0	3	0	0	0
	Fremont	6	2	0	2	21	2	5
	Park	1	0	0	1	5	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>9</i>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Petition to Seal Criminal Conviction	Property Damage	Public Nuisance	Public Utilities	Replevin
1	Gilpin	1	9	0	1	0	0	0
	Jefferson	233	404	7	5	22		37
	<b>Total</b>	234	413	7	6	22	0	37
2	Denver	722	663	32	5	95	5	43
3	Huerfano	2	9	1	1	0	0	1
	Las Animas	4	7	0	2	0	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	6	16	1	3	0	0	3
4	El Paso	417	419	12	11	31	0	39
	Teller	10	13	0	0	0	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	427	432	12	11	31	0	40
5	Clear Creek	6	13	0	0	0	0	2
	Eagle	7	44	1	0	0	0	9
	Lake	0	7	0	0	0	0	1
	Summit	4	45	2	3	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	17	109	3	3	0	0	12
6	Archuleta	2	3	0	0	8	0	2
	La Plata	7	27	1	3	0	0	3
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	9	30	1	3	8	0	5
7	Delta	7	10	1	0	0	0	3
	Gunnison	3	17	4	1	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	8	20	3	0	0	0	10
	Ouray	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	20	54	8	1	0	0	13
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	102	160	10	4	18	0	27
	<b>Total</b>	102	160	10	4	18	0	28
9	Garfield	11	35	2	2	0	0	15
	Pitkin	4	33	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	5	0	1	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	15	73	2	3	0	0	15
10	Pueblo	130	99	2	2	0	0	9
11	Chaffee	4	7	1	0	0	0	2
	Custer	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
	Fremont	12	12	1	0	0	0	3
	Park	6	13	0	0	0	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	22	34	2	0	0	0	8



**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Review - Other		Rule 105 Quiet Title	Rule 106 - Writs / Contempt	Rule 120 Deed of Trust	Services Rendered	Sexual Harassment
		Restraining Order	Local Government / Person					
1	Gilpin	0	0	3	1	40	0	0
	Jefferson	0	25	34	12	1,829	1	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1,869</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
2	Denver	2	36	69	53	2,189	30	1
3	Huerfano	0	0	10	0	45	0	0
	Las Animas	1	0	7	3	83	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
4	El Paso	2	13	30	12	2,503	25	1
	Teller	0	1	8	0	138	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2,641</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	3	0	48	0	0
	Eagle	1	7	6	1	305	0	0
	Lake	0	2	2	0	38	0	0
	Summit	0	5	3	1	165	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
6	Archuleta	0	0	9	1	80	0	0
	La Plata	1	4	4	0	160	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
7	Delta	0	3	4	3	184	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	7	0	90	1	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
	Montrose	0	6	5	0	188	0	0
	Ouray	0	1	1	0	25	0	0
	San Miguel	0	1	1	0	59	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Larimer	0	4	21	2	723	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>726</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
9	Garfield	0	0	3	1	397	0	0
	Pitkin	1	0	6	0	71	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	27	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
10	Pueblo	0	4	19	17	919	0	0
11	Chaffee	1	0	5	9	32	0	0
	Custer	0	0	6	0	22	0	0
	Fremont	0	1	13	60	262	0	0
	Park	0	1	7	0	150	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Special District	Specific Performance	Unemployment Compensation	Wages	Workman's Compensation	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149
	Jefferson	3	1	0	3	0	2	5	0	9,542
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9,691</b>
2	Denver	11	6	0	28	48	15	19	7	24,158
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	146
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	327
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>473</b>
4	El Paso	1	2	0	4	0	4	6	3	11,418
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	461
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11,879</b>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	185
	Eagle	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1,397
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	150
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	687
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,419</b>
6	Archuleta	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	319
	La Plata	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	845
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,205</b>
7	Delta	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	536
	Gunnison	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	307
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	683
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	102
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	216
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,856</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
	Larimer	1	1	1	2	0	0	4	3	4,237
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4,259</b>
9	Garfield	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1,429
	Pitkin	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	547
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	107
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,083</b>
10	Pueblo	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	3,320
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	234
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	85
	Fremont	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	801
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	424
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,544</b>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Condemnation	Confirm Arbitration Award	Conservation Easments	County Court or Municipal Appeal
12	Alamosa	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Conejos	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Costilla	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	3	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Saguache	9	0	0	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>
13	Kit Carson	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Logan	5	1	0	0	0	0	6
	Morgan	10	0	1	2	0	0	2
	Phillips	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	3	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Yuma	4	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>17</i>
14	Grand	15	0	2	0	0	0	1
	Moffat	5	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Routt	22	1	1	1	0	0	8
	<i>Total</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>10</i>
15	Baca	1	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>
16	Bent	1	0	0	5	0	0	0
	Crowley	1	0	0	2	0	0	1
	Otero	9	0	0	9	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>
17	Adams	198	3	12	37	4	0	35
	Broomfield	31	2	0	1	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>229</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>38</i>
18	Arapahoe	307	1	0	6	2	0	66
	Douglas	183	4	10	1	0	0	24
	Elbert	9	1	0	14	0	0	8
	Lincoln	1	0	0	4	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>500</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>102</i>
19	Weld	92	0	7	30	0	0	38
20	Boulder	243	0	10	2	3	0	71
21	Mesa	55	0	0	0	0	0	37
22	Dolores	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	5	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>2,846</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>91</i>	<i>174</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>659</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>3%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>1%</i>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Declaratory Judgment	Determination of Interests	Distrain Warrant	Foreclosure Other Than RL 120	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Fraud
12	Alamosa	0	0	144	1	0	2	1
	Conejos	0	0	47	3	0	1	0
	Costilla	0	0	27	10	1	0	1
	Mineral	1	0	5	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	1	87	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	38	1	1	2	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>
13	Kit Carson	1	0	42	0	2	3	0
	Logan	2	0	124	0	1	3	0
	Morgan	2	1	201	1	1	3	0
	Phillips	0	0	19	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	30	0	1	0	0
	Yuma	1	0	74	3	0	3	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>498</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	
14	Grand	5	1	148	45	1	1	0
	Moffat	1	0	134	0	1	2	1
	Routt	4	0	222	24	0	5	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>
15	Baca	0	0	25	0	0	2	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	14	0	1	1	0
	Kiowa	1	0	7	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	96	1	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>
16	Bent	0	0	49	0	0	1	0
	Crowley	1	0	22	1	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	130	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
17	Adams	14	2	6,306	65	32	51	5
	Broomfield	2	0	114	7	3	6	5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6,420</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>10</b>
18	Arapahoe	21	2	7,585	158	67	96	14
	Douglas	14	2	2,687	76	25	41	7
	Elbert	2	0	176	1	1	5	0
	Lincoln	1	0	33	0	0	2	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10,481</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>21</b>
19	Weld	20	3	2,783	26	5	37	4
20	Boulder	24	2	2,187	18	23	30	12
21	Mesa	9	0	1,514	7	2	19	2
22	Dolores	0	1	21	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	2	0	235	0	0	5	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>355</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>62,920</b>	<b>1,060</b>	<b>371</b>	<b>785</b>	<b>157</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Goods Sold		Landlord- Tenant	Lien	Malpractice	Mechanics	
		and Delivered	Injunctive Relief				Lien	Money
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	0	1	13
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Costilla	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
	Mineral	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	1	0	0	0	0	8
	Saguache	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>32</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Logan	1	3	0	0	0	0	10
	Morgan	1	1	0	0	2	0	20
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Yuma	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>39</i>
14	Grand	0	1	0	0	0	0	24
	Moffat	0	2	1	0	0	1	7
	Routt	0	0	0	0	1	0	38
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>69</i>
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Otero	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>20</i>
17	Adams	5	11	6	3	6	9	339
	Broomfield	1	0	0	0	2	0	56
	<i>Total</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>395</i>
18	Arapahoe	3	20	11	4	23	12	560
	Douglas	12	18	5	0	13	9	322
	Elbert	2	3	0	0	0	1	22
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>907</i>
19	Weld	9	14	0	3	4	4	193
20	Boulder	7	16	5	0	20	10	234
21	Mesa	4	2	0	0	8	1	170
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Montezuma	0	2	0	1	0	0	39
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>44</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>116</i>	<i>323</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>263</i>	<i>143</i>	<i>4,435</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>4%</i>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement	Name Change	Negligence	Note	Other	Out of State Subpoena	Personal Injury
12	Alamosa	1	1	0	0	4	0	8
	Conejos	0	1	0	0	3	0	0
	Costilla	0	2	0	0	17	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	1	0	3	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	3	1	1	2	0	1
	Saguache	1	0	0	0	5	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	7	2	1	34	0	9
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	4	0	1
	Logan	0	9	0	0	14	0	2
	Morgan	1	4	3	0	9	1	3
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
	Washington	0	0	1	0	7	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	3	0	1
<i>Total</i>	1	13	4	0	39	1	10	
14	Grand	0	0	1	0	12	2	6
	Moffat	1	3	1	1	2	0	2
	Routt	0	1	3	3	7	2	7
	<i>Total</i>	1	4	5	4	21	4	15
15	Baca	1	0	0	1	3	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Prowers	2	1	0	1	6	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	3	1	0	2	11	0	2
16	Bent	0	2	1	0	3	0	1
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Otero	0	4	1	0	1	1	3
	<i>Total</i>	0	6	2	0	6	1	4
17	Adams	27	45	27	16	64	6	105
	Broomfield	1	0	4	2	4	7	16
	<i>Total</i>	28	45	31	18	68	13	121
18	Arapahoe	29	36	37	28	138	45	164
	Douglas	4	10	20	15	34	25	61
	Elbert	0	0	2	1	8	2	1
	Lincoln	0	0	1	0	14	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	33	46	60	44	194	72	227
19	Weld	4	20	14	9	51	8	37
20	Boulder	6	16	36	13	54	25	77
21	Mesa	19	22	10	6	42	12	19
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	1	1	2	9	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	1	2	9	0	3
<i>State Total</i>		<b>224</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>395</b>	<b>1,202</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>1,352</b>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Petition to Seal Criminal Conviction	Property Damage	Public Nuisance	Public Utilities	Replevin
12	Alamosa	11	6	0	0	0	0	2
	Conejos	2	2	0	1	0	0	0
	Costilla	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	4	3	0	1	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		18	12	1	3	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	6	0	0	0	0	8
	Logan	2	8	1	0	0	0	2
	Morgan	4	9	2	0	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Washington	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Yuma	2	1	1	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>		9	25	4	0	0	0
14	Grand	1	19	3	0	0	0	1
	Moffat	4	5	2	0	0	0	2
	Routt	5	27	2	2	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>		10	51	7	2	0	0
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	1	1	2	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>		1	4	2	0	0	0
16	Bent	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	8	6	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		10	7	0	0	0	0
17	Adams	222	165	9	4	26	0	51
	Broomfield	37	63	2	1	2	0	5
	<i>Total</i>		259	228	11	5	28	0
18	Arapahoe	325	430	9	14	26	0	31
	Douglas	87	257	1	4	8	0	10
	Elbert	2	11	0	1	0	0	2
	Lincoln	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		415	699	10	19	34	0
19	Weld	48	165	5	9	0	0	21
20	Boulder	245	509	7	9	3	0	18
21	Mesa	28	120	7	3	0	0	27
22	Dolores	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	2	10	1	1	0	0	5
	<i>Total</i>		3	10	2	1	0	0
<i>State Total</i>		<b>2,750</b>	<b>3,913</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>408</b>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<b>3%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Review - Other		Rule 105 Quiet Title	Rule 106 - Writs / Contempt	Rule 120 Deed of Trust	Services Rendered	Sexual Harassment
		Restraining Order	Local Government / Person					
12	Alamosa	0	0	4	0	40	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	3	1	19	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	2	0	12	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	5	1	39	0	0
	Saguache	0	1	6	0	21	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	2	7	15	0	0
	Logan	0	0	1	28	51	0	0
	Morgan	1	1	3	0	88	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	1	0	7	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	7	0	0
	Washington	0	2	2	0	12	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	26	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
14	Grand	0	1	4	0	127	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	2	0	78	0	0
	Routt	0	4	1	0	160	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>365</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
15	Baca	0	0	1	0	6	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	1	0	24	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
16	Bent	0	0	8	11	29	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	1	12	13	0	0
	Otero	0	0	2	0	65	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
17	Adams	0	7	29	10	2,228	2	1
	Broomfield	0	0	2	0	155	1	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2,383</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>
18	Arapahoe	1	7	22	27	2,492	4	1
	Douglas	0	3	16	15	1,020	2	0
	Elbert	0	0	5	1	124	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	6	6	18	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>3,654</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>
19	Weld	1	3	22	9	1,127	0	0
20	Boulder	0	18	22	6	559	0	0
21	Mesa	0	0	7	2	954	0	2
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	10	0	0
	Montezuma	0	4	8	0	80	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>12</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>481</b>	<b>312</b>	<b>20,399</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>



**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Special District	Specific Performance	Unemployment Compensation	Wages	Workman's Compensation	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	242
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	89
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	Rio Grande	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	167
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>688</b>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	98
	Logan	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	279
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	378
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	68
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	128
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,004</b>
14	Grand	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	422
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	259
	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	557
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,238</b>
15	Baca	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	51
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
	Kiowa	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>243</b>
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	119
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	65
	Otero	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	257
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>441</b>
17	Adams	3	0	1	3	0	1	9	3	10,207
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	538
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10,745</b>
18	Arapahoe	4	1	0	4	0	1	9	2	12,845
	Douglas	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5,048
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	405
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	101
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>18,399</b>
19	Weld	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	4,831
20	Boulder	3	0	0	5	1	0	2	0	4,551
21	Mesa	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3,113
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
	Montezuma	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	422
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>463</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>42</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>108,603</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Administrative	Child	Civil Union	Civil Union	Dissolution of	Incoming
		Support Order	Custody Allocation of Parental Rsp				
1	Gilpin	0	6	0	0	32	1
	Jefferson	36	479	1	0	2,601	65
	<b>Total</b>	36	485	1	0	2,633	66
2	Denver	0	688	2	1	3,113	210
3	Huerfano	0	7	0	0	32	1
	Las Animas	0	35	0	0	96	5
	<b>Total</b>	0	42	0	0	128	6
4	El Paso	1	777	2	0	4,252	288
	Teller	1	19	0	0	96	15
	<b>Total</b>	2	796	2	0	4,348	303
5	Clear Creek	2	5	0	0	37	1
	Eagle	0	28	0	0	196	7
	Lake	2	8	0	0	35	0
	Summit	0	10	0	0	108	1
	<b>Total</b>	4	51	0	0	376	9
6	Archuleta	0	12	0	0	61	13
	La Plata	0	47	0	0	227	4
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	4	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	59	0	0	292	17
7	Delta	0	50	0	0	189	10
	Gunnison	0	6	0	0	69	1
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	2	0
	Montrose	0	74	0	0	214	2
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	18	0
	San Miguel	0	1	0	0	31	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	132	0	0	523	13
8	Jackson	0	1	0	0	4	0
	Larimer	0	316	0	0	1,373	2
	<b>Total</b>	0	317	0	0	1,377	2
9	Garfield	0	64	0	0	306	26
	Pitkin	0	5	0	0	83	5
	Rio Blanco	0	7	0	0	36	1
	<b>Total</b>	0	76	0	0	425	32
10	Pueblo	0	360	0	0	785	11
11	Chaffee	1	21	0	0	86	3
	Custer	1	2	0	0	15	1
	Fremont	0	59	0	0	247	12
	Park	3	8	0	0	56	3
	<b>Total</b>	5	90	0	0	404	19

**Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Invalidity of Marriage	Legal Separation	Other	Outgoing Registration of UIFSA	Registration of Foreign Decree	Total
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	4	44
	Jefferson	42	106	137	0	68	3,535
	<b>Total</b>	42	107	137	0	72	3,579
2	Denver	63	108	143	0	20	4,348
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	0	1	42
	Las Animas	0	1	0	0	1	138
	<b>Total</b>	0	2	0	0	2	180
4	El Paso	66	179	106	0	78	5,749
	Teller	0	6	0	0	3	140
	<b>Total</b>	66	185	106	0	81	5,889
5	Clear Creek	1	0	0	0	2	48
	Eagle	2	10	0	0	7	250
	Lake	0	0	1	0	3	49
	Summit	1	5	3	0	4	132
	<b>Total</b>	4	15	4	0	16	479
6	Archuleta	0	1	0	0	1	88
	La Plata	6	9	2	0	5	300
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	0	5
	<b>Total</b>	6	11	2	0	6	393
7	Delta	8	8	0	0	1	266
	Gunnison	0	1	0	0	2	79
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Montrose	3	8	0	0	17	318
	Ouray	1	0	0	0	1	20
	San Miguel	1	1	0	0	2	36
	<b>Total</b>	13	18	0	0	23	722
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Larimer	16	51	5	0	32	1,795
	<b>Total</b>	16	51	5	0	32	1,800
9	Garfield	4	12	2	0	1	415
	Pitkin	0	6	1	0	1	101
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	44
	<b>Total</b>	4	18	3	0	2	560
10	Pueblo	11	24	1	0	65	1,257
11	Chaffee	1	3	0	0	5	120
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	19
	Fremont	5	3	0	0	8	334
	Park	1	3	0	0	2	76
	<b>Total</b>	7	9	0	0	15	549

**Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Administrative Support Order	Child Custody	Civil Union Dissolution	Civil Union Invalidity	Dissolution of Marriage	Incoming Registration of Support
			Allocation of Parental Rsp				
12	Alamosa	0	28	0	0	145	0
	Conejos	0	12	0	0	34	0
	Costilla	1	6	0	0	25	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	6	0
	Rio Grande	0	24	0	0	61	0
	Saguache	0	4	0	0	22	0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>293</b>
13	Kit Carson	1	11	0	0	35	0
	Logan	0	31	0	0	102	0
	Morgan	0	53	0	0	122	1
	Phillips	0	4	0	0	20	2
	Sedgwick	4	0	0	0	10	0
	Washington	0	6	0	0	22	1
	Yuma	1	14	0	0	43	1
	<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>354</b>
14	Grand	1	14	0	0	54	8
	Moffat	0	24	0	0	106	0
	Routt	0	12	1	0	105	2
	<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>265</b>
15	Baca	0	5	0	0	20	0
	Cheyenne	1	5	0	0	9	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	5	0
	Prowers	0	21	0	0	78	3
	<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>112</b>
16	Bent	0	7	0	0	21	3
	Crowley	0	8	0	0	21	5
	Otero	0	34	0	0	100	10
	<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>142</b>
17	Adams	0	723	0	0	1,979	1
	Broomfield	3	31	0	0	266	2
	<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>754</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,245</b>
18	Arapahoe	0	557	0	0	2,598	1
	Douglas	0	103	0	0	1,320	36
	Elbert	0	22	0	0	101	3
	Lincoln	0	5	0	0	13	0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,032</b>
19	Weld	0	327	0	0	1,274	85
20	Boulder	0	186	1	0	1,126	60
21	Mesa	0	268	1	0	907	0
22	Dolores	0	3	0	0	7	1
	Montezuma	0	36	0	0	153	3
	<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>59</b>	<b>5,680</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25,314</b>	<b>916</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>3%</b>

**Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Invalidity of Marriage	Legal Separation	Other	Outgoing Registration of UIFSA	Registration of Foreign Decree	Total
12	Alamosa	6	0	0	0	4	183
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	46
	Costilla	0	1	0	0	2	35
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Rio Grande	0	1	0	0	10	96
	Saguache	0	2	0	0	0	28
	<i>Total</i>		6	4	0	0	16
13	Kit Carson	0	1	0	0	9	57
	Logan	2	3	0	0	0	138
	Morgan	1	4	1	0	11	193
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	26
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	14
	Washington	0	0	0	0	1	30
	Yuma	1	1	0	0	1	62
	<i>Total</i>		4	9	1	0	22
14	Grand	0	1	0	0	2	80
	Moffat	3	4	0	0	0	137
	Routt	2	2	1	0	4	129
	<i>Total</i>		5	7	1	6	346
15	Baca	0	2	0	0	0	27
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	1	16
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Prowers	1	1	0	0	0	104
	<i>Total</i>		1	3	0	0	1
16	Bent	0	1	0	0	0	32
	Crowley	0	2	0	0	0	36
	Otero	0	3	0	0	1	148
	<i>Total</i>		0	6	0	1	216
17	Adams	13	78	31	0	15	2,840
	Broomfield	2	14	12	0	16	346
	<i>Total</i>		15	92	43	0	31
18	Arapahoe	38	89	8	0	223	3,514
	Douglas	13	92	4	0	30	1,598
	Elbert	2	10	1	0	2	141
	Lincoln	1	1	0	0	0	20
	<i>Total</i>		54	192	13	0	255
19	Weld	24	45	1	0	18	1,774
20	Boulder	14	80	0	0	11	1,478
21	Mesa	16	31	8	0	84	1,315
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Montezuma	1	8	0	0	7	208
	<i>Total</i>		1	8	0	0	7
<i>State Total</i>		<b>372</b>	<b>1,025</b>	<b>468</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>786</b>	<b>34,629</b>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<b>1%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Bribery-Tampering	Burglary	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief
1	Gilpin	0	7	0	0	8	0	11
	Jefferson	8	249	29	17	256	7	63
	<b>Total</b>	8	256	29	17	264	7	74
2	Denver	7	345	4	17	375	17	83
3	Huerfano	2	10	4	0	10	0	2
	Las Animas	0	16	19	0	23	0	6
	<b>Total</b>	2	26	23	0	33	0	8
4	El Paso	18	381	1	8	387	19	111
	Teller	2	15	2	0	13	4	3
	<b>Total</b>	20	396	3	8	400	23	114
5	Clear Creek	0	9	0	0	2	0	1
	Eagle	1	46	5	3	15	0	9
	Lake	0	14	2	0	9	0	3
	Summit	0	20	13	0	16	0	8
	<b>Total</b>	1	89	20	3	42	0	21
6	Archuleta	0	9	5	0	5	1	1
	La Plata	1	39	52	0	36	0	15
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	1	48	57	0	42	1	16
7	Delta	0	11	13	0	16	0	3
	Gunnison	0	12	4	0	5	0	5
	Hinsdale	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
	Montrose	0	20	22	0	27	1	3
	Montrose-Nucla	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	4	2	0	6	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	51	43	0	56	2	11
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Larimer	2	112	7	0	127	6	22
	<b>Total</b>	2	112	7	0	130	6	22
9	Garfield	0	35	5	0	37	0	11
	Pitkin	1	4	7	0	6	0	3
	Rio Blanco	1	3	0	0	4	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	2	42	12	0	47	0	17
10	Pueblo	2	169	39	4	204	7	36
11	Chaffee	0	27	6	0	11	0	12
	Custer	0	2	2	0	6	0	0
	Fremont	2	59	0	0	34	2	7
	Park	0	7	1	0	2	1	4
	<b>Total</b>	2	95	9	0	53	3	23

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Cruelty to Animals	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Fugitive from Justice	Gambling
1	Gilpin	0	35	1	8	6	3	1
	Jefferson	2	723	106	139	57	74	0
	<b>Total</b>	2	758	107	147	63	77	1
2	Denver	2	1,632	236	74	15	43	0
3	Huerfano	0	24	0	1	1	3	0
	Las Animas	0	68	1	9	8	10	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	92	1	10	9	13	0
4	El Paso	10	783	204	72	338	156	0
	Teller	0	29	1	17	10	7	0
	<b>Total</b>	10	812	205	89	348	163	0
5	Clear Creek	0	25	0	1	0	2	0
	Eagle	0	118	0	8	6	9	0
	Lake	0	6	0	1	3	3	0
	Summit	1	39	0	12	2	6	0
	<b>Total</b>	1	188	0	22	11	20	0
6	Archuleta	0	12	0	6	1	6	0
	La Plata	0	62	8	4	7	36	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	74	8	10	9	42	0
7	Delta	1	26	1	4	3	3	0
	Gunnison	0	27	3	2	2	2	0
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	78	3	3	5	5	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	15	0	3	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	2	149	7	12	10	11	0
8	Jackson	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	451	53	38	12	48	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	452	55	38	12	48	0
9	Garfield	0	114	3	15	2	21	0
	Pitkin	0	16	0	0	3	1	0
	Rio Blanco	0	6	0	3	5	5	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	136	3	18	10	27	0
10	Pueblo	6	301	94	14	5	34	0
11	Chaffee	0	65	2	2	5	5	0
	Custer	0	3	0	0	0	2	0
	Fremont	0	59	0	5	11	24	0
	Park	0	9	0	1	2	3	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	136	2	8	18	34	0

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Habitual Criminal	Habitual Traffic	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing
1	Gilpin	0	3	1	3	0	1	1
	Jefferson	1	66	33	27	1	16	142
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>143</b>
2	Denver	0	69	94	26	0	34	128
3	Huerfano	0	2	2	3	0	0	3
	Las Animas	0	5	0	9	0	2	20
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>23</b>
4	El Paso	0	81	92	56	3	61	257
	Teller	1	2	0	5	1	1	15
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>272</b>
5	Clear Creek	1	2	1	3	0	1	3
	Eagle	1	3	1	23	1	1	21
	Lake	0	2	0	6	0	1	2
	Summit	0	10	0	11	0	0	11
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>37</b>
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	La Plata	2	13	2	41	0	2	20
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>25</b>
7	Delta	0	8	0	4	0	0	9
	Gunnison	0	0	1	2	0	0	6
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	12	3	7	0	2	22
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Ouray	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>42</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	1	56	4	81	0	17	111
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>112</b>
9	Garfield	1	8	0	44	0	1	19
	Pitkin	0	0	1	3	0	0	1
	Rio Blanco	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>23</b>
10	Pueblo	1	36	18	44	1	13	105
11	Chaffee	3	8	1	0	0	1	13
	Custer	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Fremont	0	5	1	9	0	4	30
	Park	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>49</b>



**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Obstruction					Prostitution	Public Indecency
		Criminal Non-Support	of Public Justice	Other	Perjury	Pornography		
1	Gilpin	0	0	12	0	0	1	0
	Jefferson	0	15	144	0	0	3	1
	<b>Total</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>156</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>1</i>
2	Denver	0	34	124	0	0	7	2
3	Huerfano	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	21	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
4	El Paso	0	4	413	1	0	0	0
	Teller	0	0	13	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>426</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	0	25	0	1	1	0
	Lake	0	1	8	0	0	0	1
	Summit	0	0	13	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	31	0	1	0	2
	San Juan	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>
7	Delta	0	1	12	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	11	1	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	3	13	0	0	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	1	167	0	0	3	0
	<b>Total</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>
9	Garfield	0	0	30	0	0	0	0
	Pitkin	0	0	10	1	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	0	21	114	0	0	0	1
11	Chaffee	0	2	6	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	62	0	0	0	0
	Park	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Abuse of Public Office	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Treason
1	Gilpin	0	0	2	13	1	24	0
	Jefferson	0	17	59	189	5	814	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	17	61	202	6	838	0
2	Denver	0	23	213	213	0	621	0
3	Huerfano	1	0	0	9	0	12	0
	Las Animas	0	0	8	11	0	36	0
	<b>Total</b>	1	0	8	20	0	48	0
4	El Paso	0	1	161	222	3	757	0
	Teller	0	0	0	5	0	47	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	1	161	227	3	804	0
5	Clear Creek	0	1	3	2	0	6	0
	Eagle	0	1	3	18	1	52	0
	Lake	0	0	2	7	0	6	0
	Summit	0	0	3	7	0	35	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	2	11	34	1	99	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	4	0	15	0
	La Plata	0	0	6	17	0	39	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	6	21	0	54	0
7	Delta	0	5	1	16	2	39	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	6	0	16	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	3	0	17	0	32	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	1	0	2	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	2	0	3	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	8	1	43	2	93	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Larimer	0	1	25	105	5	413	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	1	25	105	5	414	0
9	Garfield	0	3	1	29	3	55	0
	Pitkin	0	0	1	2	0	14	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	5	0	15	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	3	2	36	3	84	0
10	Pueblo	0	11	85	69	5	304	0
11	Chaffee	0	3	0	4	0	22	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Fremont	0	0	5	21	4	65	0
	Park	0	1	0	7	0	11	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	4	5	32	4	100	0

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
1	Gilpin	4	1	0	1	148
	Jefferson	111	16	4	29	3,423
	<b>Total</b>	115	17	4	30	3,571
2	Denver	137	32	0	89	4,696
3	Huerfano	9	1	0	4	108
	Las Animas	8	1	0	6	287
	<b>Total</b>	17	2	0	10	395
4	El Paso	183	16	7	99	4,905
	Teller	10	0	0	6	209
	<b>Total</b>	193	16	7	105	5,114
5	Clear Creek	6	1	1	3	82
	Eagle	16	5	0	5	399
	Lake	10	0	0	2	89
	Summit	7	0	1	1	216
	<b>Total</b>	39	6	2	11	786
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	74
	La Plata	20	3	1	8	468
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	5
	<b>Total</b>	20	3	1	8	547
7	Delta	9	2	0	5	194
	Gunnison	9	1	0	5	120
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	6
	Montrose	18	0	0	15	315
	Montrose-Nucla	1	0		2	
	Ouray	0	0	0	1	14
	San Miguel	4	1	0	2	50
	<b>Total</b>	41	4	0	30	699
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	8
	Larimer	99	5	2	23	1,997
	<b>Total</b>	99	5	2	23	2,005
9	Garfield	29	1	1	6	474
	Pitkin	4	2	0	0	80
	Rio Blanco	2	1	0	2	63
	<b>Total</b>	35	4	1	8	617
10	Pueblo	53	10	2	28	1,836
11	Chaffee	10	1	0	2	211
	Custer	0	0	0	1	22
	Fremont	17	1	2	4	433
	Park	1	0	0	2	66
	<b>Total</b>	28	2	2	9	732

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Bribery-Tampering	Burglary	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief
12	Alamosa	1	37	0	0	46	1	13
	Conejos	0	9	0	0	3	0	1
	Costilla	0	7	0	0	19	0	3
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	19	1	0	12	1	4
	Saguache	0	1	1	0	7	0	1
	<b>Total</b>		2	73	2	0	92	2
13	Kit Carson	0	4	2	0	6	0	1
	Logan	4	37	6	1	18	5	5
	Morgan	0	16	6	0	31	1	7
	Phillips	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	7	2	0	3	0	1
	Washington	0	1	0	0	3	0	2
	Yuma	1	8	1	0	0	2	5
	<b>Total</b>		5	74	18	1	61	8
14	Grand	0	16	3	0	3	0	1
	Moffat	0	18	9	0	11	0	4
	Routt	1	15	1	1	11	0	3
	<b>Total</b>		1	49	13	1	25	0
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	4	0	1
	Cheyenne	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	5	1	0	10	0	2
	<b>Total</b>		0	9	1	0	14	0
16	Bent	0	11	0	0	5	0	0
	Crowley	0	14	0	0	4	0	0
	Otero	1	22	3	0	13	2	12
	<b>Total</b>		1	47	3	0	22	2
17	Adams	1	301	7	1	284	14	59
	Broomfield	1	21	2	0	20	5	6
	<b>Total</b>		2	322	9	1	304	19
18	Arapahoe	2	197	34	7	167	13	39
	Douglas	0	55	19	0	75	3	19
	Elbert	1	2	4	0	1	1	3
	Lincoln	0	9	1	1	9	0	3
	<b>Total</b>		3	263	58	8	252	17
19	Weld	6	146	9	1	142	0	35
20	Boulder	4	209	36	2	176	2	41
21	Mesa	5	86	29	2	137	4	30
22	Dolores	0	3	0	0	2	0	0
	Montezuma	1	23	25	1	20	2	2
	<b>Total</b>		1	26	25	1	22	2
<b>State Total</b>		<b>77</b>	<b>2,933</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>2,893</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>728</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2%</b>

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Cruelty to Animals	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Fugitive from Justice	Gambling
12	Alamosa	0	58	29	21	11	6	0
	Conejos	0	7	0	4	4	1	0
	Costilla	0	2	1	0	0	4	0
	Mineral	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	27	1	10	12	6	0
	Saguache	1	5	0	2	3	1	0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>18</b>
13	Kit Carson	0	33	0	2	0	18	0
	Logan	2	98	16	5	6	16	0
	Morgan	0	72	2	8	1	8	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
	Sedgwick	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
	Washington	0	8	0	1	1	2	0
	Yuma	0	7	0	6	2	4	0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>54</b>
14	Grand	0	32	1	2	2	5	0
	Moffat	0	57	7	2	0	6	0
	Routt	1	31	0	1	2	9	0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>20</b>
15	Baca	0	5	0	0	2	5	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
	Prowers	0	51	9	2	3	17	0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>30</b>
16	Bent	0	3	3	0	1	2	0
	Crowley	0	1	10	1	0	0	0
	Otero	0	23	5	14	3	5	0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>
17	Adams	4	976	143	104	10	106	0
	Broomfield	0	67	0	13	5	2	0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>1,043</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>108</b>
18	Arapahoe	1	835	127	69	14	137	0
	Douglas	2	129	1	22	28	29	0
	Elbert	2	9	0	1	0	2	0
	Lincoln	0	9	18	3	1	6	0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>982</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>174</b>
19	Weld	0	528	59	20	7	57	0
20	Boulder	1	369	35	32	23	46	0
21	Mesa	1	427	42	32	11	31	0
22	Dolores	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	1	33	1	2	4	34	0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>39</b>	<b>8,636</b>	<b>1,228</b>	<b>821</b>	<b>667</b>	<b>1,091</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Habitual Criminal	Habitual Traffic	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing
12	Alamosa	0	10	1	38	1	7	14
	Conejos	0	2	0	2	0	1	4
	Costilla	0	3	0	1	0	0	5
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	6	1	14	0	2	13
	Saguache	0	2	0	2	0	0	7
	<b>Total</b>	0	23	2	57	1	10	43
13	Kit Carson	0	1	0	2	0	0	9
	Logan	0	5	10	7	0	0	6
	Morgan	0	4	1	11	0	3	14
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	2	4
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Yuma	0	1	1	1	0	1	6
	<b>Total</b>	0	11	12	21	0	7	40
14	Grand	0	1	0	5	0	0	7
	Moffat	0	2	1	1	0	0	5
	Routt	0	1	1	3	0	4	4
	<b>Total</b>	0	4	2	9	0	4	16
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	6	1	4	0	1	5
	<b>Total</b>	0	8	1	6	0	1	6
16	Bent	0	1	0	1	0	1	3
	Crowley	0	0	2	1	0	0	7
	Otero	0	7	2	4	0	3	17
	<b>Total</b>	0	8	4	6	0	4	27
17	Adams	1	133	49	133	0	36	163
	Broomfield	0	6	4	4	0	3	5
	<b>Total</b>	1	139	53	137	0	39	168
18	Arapahoe	0	77	34	19	0	20	100
	Douglas	0	14	0	13	0	2	37
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Lincoln	0	0	1	0	1	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	0	91	35	32	1	22	145
19	Weld	1	83	15	23	1	10	84
20	Boulder	2	41	9	84	0	18	92
21	Mesa	3	39	7	76	3	8	59
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Montezuma	0	16	1	7	0	1	14
	<b>Total</b>	0	16	1	7	0	1	16
<b>State Total</b>		<b>19</b>	<b>862</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>1,655</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>4%</b>

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Obstruction					Prostitution	Public Indecency
		Criminal Non-Support	of Public Justice	Other	Perjury	Pornography		
12	Alamosa	0	0	48	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	10	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	26	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	94	0	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	7	7	2	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	13	1	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	1	8	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	8	35	3	0	0
14	Grand	0	2	4	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	2	5	0	0	0	0
	Routt	0	0	14	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	4	23	0	0	0
15	Baca	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	6	15	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	7	18	0	0	0
16	Bent	0	2	6	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	5	15	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	7	23	0	0	0
17	Adams	0	10	235	0	1	0	0
	Broomfield	0	0	21	0	0	0	1
	<b>Total</b>		0	10	256	0	1	0
18	Arapahoe	0	10	195	0	0	2	1
	Douglas	0	0	50	0	0	0	0
	Elbert	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	1	4	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	11	257	0	0	2
19	Weld	0	0	409	0	0	0	0
20	Boulder	0	0	162	0	0	0	0
21	Mesa	0	10	44	0	0	0	0
22	Dolores	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	3	7	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	3	8	0	0	0
<b>State Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>2,592</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Abuse of Public Office	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Treason
12	Alamosa	0	0	4	6	1	46	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	1	2	1	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Rio Grande	0	1	3	4	2	34	0
	Saguache	0	4	0	3	0	10	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>0</b>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	3	7	4	10	0
	Logan	0	4	1	10	2	39	0
	Morgan	0	0	2	17	1	46	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	2	1	9	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	3	4	11	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>0</b>
14	Grand	0	0	1	6	0	12	0
	Moffat	0	3	0	5	0	25	0
	Routt	0	1	0	4	0	22	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>0</b>
15	Baca	0	0	0	3	0	1	0
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Prowers	0	11	2	10	3	30	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>0</b>
16	Bent	0	0	3	4	0	2	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	3	0	2	0
	Otero	0	6	7	18	0	23	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>
17	Adams	0	18	94	198	11	561	1
	Broomfield	0	0	3	21	0	96	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>657</b>	<b>1</b>
18	Arapahoe	0	1	111	147	3	417	0
	Douglas	0	0	13	55	3	234	0
	Elbert	0	0	1	8	1	11	0
	Lincoln	0	0	1	2	0	8	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>670</b>	<b>0</b>
19	Weld	0	0	40	57	0	333	0
20	Boulder	0	13	32	75	9	322	0
21	Mesa	0	20	19	42	17	196	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Montezuma	0	13	2	21	0	29	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>920</b>	<b>1,737</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>6,005</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>0%</b>



**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
12	Alamosa	15	1	0	5	420
	Conejos	2	1	0	0	60
	Costilla	0	0	0	3	58
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	7
	Rio Grande	7	0	0	4	211
	Saguache	2	0	0	2	58
	<b>Total</b>	26	2	0	14	814
13	Kit Carson	4	0	1	4	113
	Logan	7	3	0	7	336
	Morgan	16	1	0	8	290
	Phillips	0	0	0	2	19
	Sedgwick	4	0	0	0	23
	Washington	1	0	0	1	37
	Yuma	2	0	0	0	75
	<b>Total</b>	34	4	1	22	893
14	Grand	3	4	1	1	112
	Moffat	13	0	0	4	180
	Routt	8	0	2	2	142
	<b>Total</b>	24	4	3	7	434
15	Baca	1	0	0	1	28
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	1	14
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	7
	Prowers	6	0	0	1	201
	<b>Total</b>	7	0	0	3	250
16	Bent	5	0	0	0	53
	Crowley	2	1	1	0	51
	Otero	12	0	0	0	222
	<b>Total</b>	19	1	1	0	326
17	Adams	110	17	0	56	3,837
	Broomfield	11	2	0	2	321
	<b>Total</b>	121	19	0	58	4,158
18	Arapahoe	57	23	2	56	2,917
	Douglas	37	3	2	7	852
	Elbert	7	1	1	3	72
	Lincoln	2	0	0	6	89
	<b>Total</b>	103	27	5	72	3,930
19	Weld	94	10	0	22	2,192
20	Boulder	101	12	5	10	1,963
21	Mesa	72	6	1	32	1,491
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	11
	Montezuma	7	0	0	7	277
	<b>Total</b>	7	0	0	7	288
<b>State Total</b>		<b>1,385</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>598</b>	<b>37,737</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Case Type							
		Adoption	Confidential Intermediary	Consent for Marriage	Dependency & Neglect	D&N Expedited Placement	Grandparent Visitation	Juvenile Expungement	Juvenile Other
1	Gilpin	1	0	0	9	1	0	0	0
	Jefferson	179	0	1	173	230	0	17	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>
2	Denver Juvenile	317	45	0	96	241	0	1	32
3	Huerfano	1	1	0	2	3	0	0	0
	Las Animas	13	0	0	3	14	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
4	El Paso	354	1	2	261	358	0	99	3
	Teller	10	0	0	5	18	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>3</b>
5	Clear Creek	53	0	0	10	4	0	0	0
	Eagle	7	0	0	9	2	0	1	1
	Lake	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
	Summit	4	0	0	2	3	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
6	Archuleta	4	0	0	5	8	0	0	2
	La Plata	20	0	0	10	6	0	0	3
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>
7	Delta	8	0	0	9	8	0	0	0
	Gunnison	2	0	0	5	4	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	25	0	0	20	20	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	San Miguel	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	152	5	0	66	107	1	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
9	Garfield	10	1	0	5	9	0	0	2
	Pitkin	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	4	2	0	6	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
10	Pueblo	51	2	0	48	107	0	4	1
11	Chaffee	9	0	0	5	5	0	0	0
	Custer	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	25	0	0	33	35	0	10	1
	Park	6	0	0	5	2	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>

\*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

**Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Adoption	Confidential Intermediary	Consent for Marriage	Dependency & Neglect	D&N Expedited Placement	Grandparent Visitation	Juvenile Expungement	Juvenile Other
12	Alamosa	15	0	0	7	23	1	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1
	Costilla	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	4	0	0	9	8	0	0	0
	Saguache	2	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
13	Kit Carson	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Logan	12	0	0	16	33	0	0	1
	Morgan	14	0	1	12	33	0	0	2
	Phillips	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	7	0	0	3	1	0	0	0
	Yuma	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>
14	Grand	4	1	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Moffat	12	0	0	2	2	0	0	1
	Routt	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
15	Baca	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Kiowa	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	4	0	0	6	6	0	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
16	Bent	3	1	0	5	5	0	0	3
	Crowley	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
	Otero	11	0	0	7	12	0	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>
17	Adams	279	4	1	64	218	0	20	48
	Broomfield	7	0	0	6	11	0	26	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>48</b>
18	Arapahoe	264	1	1	106	149	5	25	3
	Douglas	154	0	0	55	29	0	35	0
	Elbert	9	0	0	6	5	0	1	0
	Lincoln	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>4</b>
19	Weld	123	2	0	35	88	0	0	16
20	Boulder	81	1	0	26	44	0	0	59
21	Mesa	120	0	0	69	86	0	4	2
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Montezuma	7	0	0	4	7	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>2,413</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1,253</b>	<b>1,970</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>190</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>9%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>

\*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

**Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Juvenile		IV-D		Petition for		IV-D		Non-JD	Juvenile	Total
		Relinquishment	Paternity	Paternity	Order	Review of Need for Placement	Support	Warrant	Support	Truancy	Juvenile Total*	
12	Alamosa	22	2	13	1	0	0	65	20	169	42	211
	Conejos	0	3	7	0	0	0	13	4	31	4	35
	Costilla	2	0	1	0	2	0	8	0	16	2	18
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
	Rio Grande	2	1	10	0	2	0	43	0	79	44	123
	Saguache	0	2	2	0	2	0	5	2	20	16	36
	<b>Total</b>	26	8	33	1	6	0	134	26	317	109	426
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	11	11	22
	Logan	2	1	6	0	0	0	35	8	114	34	148
	Morgan	3	2	25	1	0	0	57	32	182	59	241
	Phillips	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	10	9	19
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	14	20	34
	Yuma	0	0	4	1	0	0	8	0	21	21	42
	<b>Total</b>	5	3	35	3	0	0	115	41	352	158	510
14	Grand	0	0	5	0	0	0	12	0	26	16	42
	Moffat	1	2	2	1	0	0	9	10	42	41	83
	Routt	0	1	1	0	0	0	8	1	16	9	25
	<b>Total</b>	1	3	8	1	0	0	29	11	84	66	150
15	Baca	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	7	7	14
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	7	1	8
	Kiowa	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	5	0	5
	Prowers	2	12	5	0	13	0	18	10	77	46	123
	<b>Total</b>	2	12	7	1	14	0	22	10	96	54	150
16	Bent	0	2	6	0	4	0	14	2	45	5	50
	Crowley	4	3	5	0	3	0	9	1	30	5	35
	Otero	0	13	18	0	8	0	47	23	141	37	178
	<b>Total</b>	4	18	29	0	15	0	70	26	216	47	263
17	Adams	13	59	195	0	10	0	579	258	1,748	528	2,276
	Broomfield	1	4	15	0	2	0	37	26	135	61	196
	<b>Total</b>	14	63	210	0	12	0	616	284	1,883	589	2,472
18	Arapahoe	72	132	117	0	107	1	516	309	1,808	831	2,639
	Douglas	36	15	29	0	0	0	138	20	511	455	966
	Elbert	2	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	30	20	50
	Lincoln	2	0	2	2	2	0	12	1	28	17	45
	<b>Total</b>	112	148	150	2	109	1	670	330	2,377	1,323	3,700
19	Weld	9	24	111	6	22	0	362	360	1,158	906	2,064
20	Boulder	20	7	58	0	0	3	231	238	768	517	1,285
21	Mesa	14	11	74	0	10	6	266	84	746	273	1,019
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Montezuma	1	1	4	1	3	0	5	10	43	42	85
	<b>Total</b>	1	1	4	1	3	0	5	10	44	43	87
<b>State Total</b>		<b>359</b>	<b>578</b>	<b>2,162</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>5,850</b>	<b>2,718</b>	<b>18,209</b>	<b>9,124</b>	<b>27,333</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Burglary	Bribery - Tampering	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Delinquent Case Remand from District Court
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Jefferson	16	151	0	63	0	2	47	2	2
	<b>Total</b>	16	152	0	63	0	2	48	2	2
2	Denver Juvenile	2	152	0	144	0	1	109	0	0
3	Huerfano	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
	Las Animas	0	13	2	2	0	0	2	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	15	2	3	0	0	4	1	0
4	El Paso	14	172	0	80	0	2	105	1	0
	Teller	0	4	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	14	176	0	81	1	2	106	1	0
5	Clear Creek	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	16	0	10	0	0	5	0	0
	Lake	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Summit	0	8	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	28	0	10	0	0	10	0	0
6	Archuleta	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	La Plata	0	8	0	4	0	0	7	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	9	0	4	0	0	8	0	0
7	Delta	0	11	0	0	0	0	8	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	8	0	5	0	0	9	0	0
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	22	0	6	0	1	20	0	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	14	107	1	26	0	0	63	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	14	107	1	26	0	0	63	0	0
9	Garfield	1	4	0	21	0	0	11	0	0
	Pitkin	0	7	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	1	11	1	23	0	1	11	0	0
10	Pueblo	4	47	1	30	1	0	21	0	0
11	Chaffee	1	1	1	4	0	0	1	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	1	18	0	10	0	0	12	0	0
	Park	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	2	21	1	15	0	0	15	0	0

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Harassment	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest
1	Gilpin	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0
	Jefferson	72	7	1	0	0	45	3	1	8
	<b>Total</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>
2	Denver Juvenile	57	12	0	1	0	19	4	2	1
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Las Animas	5	0	0	1	0	8	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
4	El Paso	39	7	1	7	0	65	2	4	5
	Teller	4	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
5	Clear Creek	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
	Eagle	10	0	2	0	0	4	0	1	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	La Plata	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
7	Delta	3	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
	Gunnison	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	6	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	159	0	1	1	0	57	0	5	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>
9	Garfield	20	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Pitkin	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
10	Pueblo	21	0	0	0	0	12	0	3	0
11	Chaffee	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	9	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Kidnapping	Menacing	Other	Perjury	Possession of Alcohol by Minor	Prostitution	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Runaway
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	13	110	1	1	0	0	8	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>110</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>0</i>
2	Denver Juvenile	5	44	121	0	0	7	3	47	9
3	Huerfano	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Las Animas	0	1	6	0	3	0	4	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
4	El Paso	0	47	98	0	0	1	9	19	0
	Teller	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>99</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>0</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	3	2	0	0	0	4	0	0
	Lake	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	0	1	10	0	4	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
7	Delta	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Gunnison	0	1	2	0	5	0	2	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	8	0	2	0	2	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	1	10	80	0	73	0	19	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>73</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
9	Garfield	0	2	0	0	2	0	3	0	0
	Pitkin	0	2	5	0	3	0	0	1	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	1	9	26	0	1	0	1	3	0
11	Chaffee	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	2	11	0	7	0	0	0	0
	Park	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Treason	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Weapon	Total
1	Gilpin	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	11
	Jefferson	51	1	203	0	72	2	36	918
	<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>929</b>
2	Denver Juvenile	59	0	157	0	52	0	50	1,058
3	Huerfano	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	13
	Las Animas	0	0	13	0	6	1	2	70
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>83</b>
4	El Paso	70	1	248	0	78	0	51	1,126
	Teller	2	0	5	0	0	0	4	29
	<b>Total</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>1,155</b>
5	Clear Creek	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	16
	Eagle	4	0	18	0	4	0	0	83
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	Summit	1	2	6	0	2	0	2	46
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>154</b>
6	Archuleta	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	7
	La Plata	4	0	6	0	4	0	3	52
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>59</b>
7	Delta	5	0	10	0	1	1	4	51
	Gunnison	4	0	1	0	2	1	1	25
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	5	0	9	0	4	0	3	65
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
	San Miguel	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
	<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>151</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	26	7	169	1	46	0	10	878
	<b>Total</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>878</b>
9	Garfield	11	3	19	0	24	0	3	128
	Pitkin	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	26
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>156</b>
10	Pueblo	14	0	42	0	14	0	7	258
11	Chaffee	0	0	10	0	3	0	1	35
	Custer	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
	Fremont	3	0	17	0	8	0	8	110
	Park	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	9
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>158</b>



**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Burglary	Bribery - Tampering	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Delinquent Case Remand from District Court
12	Alamosa	0	7	0	9	0	0	4	0	0
	Conejos	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	4	0	1	0	0	3	0	0
	Saguache	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>
13	Kit Carson	0	4	0	3	0	0	2	0	0
	Logan	1	8	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	1	16	0	3	0	0	6	0	1
	Phillips	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	5	0	2	0	0	5	0	0
	Yuma	0	10	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>
14	Grand	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	6	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Routt	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	3	0	5	0	0	11	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Crowley	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
	Otero	0	6	0	1	0	0	4	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
17	Adams	8	77	0	61	0	1	37	1	0
	Broomfield	0	6	0	2	0	0	2	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
18	Arapahoe	1	124	7	80	0	1	43	0	0
	Douglas	2	39	2	15	0	0	29	0	1
	Elbert	0	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
	Lincoln	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
19	Weld	4	105	0	51	0	1	58	0	0
20	Boulder	6	48	1	41	0	0	37	0	0
21	Mesa	1	60	3	22	0	0	16	0	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	4	0	3	0	0	7	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>78</b>	<b>1,282</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>720</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>686</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>1%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Harassment	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Saguache	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	5	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Morgan	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Phillips	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
14	Grand	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1
	Moffat	7	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Routt	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
16	Bent	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
17	Adams	37	0	1	0	0	23	0	4	0
	Broomfield	14	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
18	Arapahoe	73	18	2	0	0	22	3	2	2
	Douglas	130	0	1	0	0	31	0	3	2
	Elbert	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
19	Weld	86	1	0	0	0	28	2	0	2
20	Boulder	104	2	1	0	0	26	0	7	0
21	Mesa	13	1	1	4	0	13	0	8	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>928</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>10%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Kidnapping	Menacing	Other	Perjury	Possession of Alcohol by Minor	Prostitution	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Runaway
12	Alamosa	0	2	8	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	2	4	0	8	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	5	14	0	9	0	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	1	4	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Morgan	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Phillips	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	4	10	0	2	0	1	3
14	Grand	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Moffat	0	2	2	0	3	0	2	0	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	3	2	0	3	0	3	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	3	1	0	0	0	2	1	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	3	1	0	0	0	2	1
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0
17	Adams	2	28	18	0	4	1	7	17	0
	Broomfield	0	2	9	0	1	0	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>		2	30	27	0	5	1	7	18
18	Arapahoe	0	21	153	0	5	1	0	30	0
	Douglas	0	6	36	0	7	0	9	3	0
	Elbert	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	29	192	0	12	1	9	33
19	Weld	0	16	177	0	23	0	0	5	0
20	Boulder	0	16	37	0	13	0	0	4	0
21	Mesa	0	11	19	0	2	0	0	1	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	2	1	0	1	0	6	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	2	1	0	2	0	6	0
<b>State Total</b>		<b>9</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>979</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Treason	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Weapon	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	6	0	2	0	1	42
	Conejos	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Rio Grande	2	1	7	0	5	0	0	44
	Saguache	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	16
	<b>Total</b>		2	1	23	0	7	0	2
13	Kit Carson	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	11
	Logan	0	0	3	0	3	0	1	34
	Morgan	3	0	13	0	1	0	4	59
	Phillips	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Washington	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	20
	Yuma	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	21
	<b>Total</b>		4	0	22	0	5	0	5
14	Grand	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	16
	Moffat	0	0	10	0	2	0	1	41
	Routt	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	9
	<b>Total</b>		3	0	16	0	5	0	1
15	Baca	1	0	2	0	4	0	0	7
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	12	0	1	0	1	46
	<b>Total</b>		1	0	14	0	5	0	1
16	Bent	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
	Crowley	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
	Otero	3	0	5	0	5	0	1	37
	<b>Total</b>		4	0	7	0	5	0	2
17	Adams	59	3	77	0	36	1	25	528
	Broomfield	4	1	9	0	6	0	0	61
	<b>Total</b>		63	4	86	0	42	1	25
18	Arapahoe	35	2	139	0	45	1	21	831
	Douglas	36	2	74	0	22	0	5	455
	Elbert	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	20
	Lincoln	1	0	5	0	1	0	1	17
	<b>Total</b>		76	4	220	0	68	1	27
19	Weld	23	0	270	0	49	1	4	906
20	Boulder	32	0	101	0	24	0	17	517
21	Mesa	17	2	49	0	22	0	8	273
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	0	0	7	0	9	0	1	42
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	7	0	9	0	1
<b>State Total</b>		<b>494</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1,755</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>9,124</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 21: District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Involuntary Medication Evaluation	Long Term Procedure	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of		Short Term	72 Hour Hold	Total
							Venue	Other			
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
	Jefferson	14	11	7	0	0	1	0	593	0	626
	<b>Total</b>	14	11	7	0	0	1	0	595	1	629
2	Denver Probate	44	3	2	0	1	0		1,058	0	1,108
3	Huerfano	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Las Animas	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	6
	<b>Total</b>	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	7
4	El Paso	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	703	0	710
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
	<b>Total</b>	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	708	0	715
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Eagle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	7
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	6
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	8	18
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	14
	La Plata	11	0	0	0	2	0	0	22	54	89
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	11	0	0	0	2	0	0	25	65	103
7	Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	12
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	401	0	410
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	401	0	410
9	Garfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	8
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	13
10	Pueblo	11	8	9	111	9	1		261	1	411
11	Chaffee	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	11
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	1	30
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	3	13
	<b>Total</b>	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	39	13	54

**Table 21: District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Involuntary Medication Evaluation	Long Term Procedure	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of		Short Term	72 Hour Hold	Total
							Venue	Other			
12	Alamosa	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	8	14
	Conejos	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	6	12
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	9
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	4	0	0	0	0	20	20
13	Kit Carson	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	10
	Logan	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	19	26
	Morgan	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	9	17	29
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
	Washington	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Yuma	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	12
	<b>Total</b>		4	1	5	0	0	0	2	23	48
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	5
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Routt	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	8
	<b>Total</b>		0	1	1	0	0	0	10	2	14
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	8
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5
16	Bent	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Otero	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	11	1	14
	<b>Total</b>		2	1	0	0	1	0	0	12	3
17	Adams	6	2	1	0	12	1	0	418	0	440
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	0	52
	<b>Total</b>		6	2	1	0	12	1	0	470	0
18	Arapahoe	13	6	7	0	11	1	0	653	13	704
	Douglas	1	0	2	2	3	0	0	174	3	185
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	14
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	8
	<b>Total</b>		14	6	9	2	14	1	0	835	30
19	Weld	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	238	1	247
20	Boulder	0	2	3	0	13	0	0	456	3	477
21	Mesa	1	10	0	0	0	2	0	665	0	678
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	14	21
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	0	0	0	0	8	14	22
<b>State Total</b>		<b>115</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5,866</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>6,480</b>
<b>Percent State Total</b>		<b>2%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Ancillary Proceedings	Conservatorship/ Adult	Conservator/ Guardian-Adult	Conservatorship/ Minor	Conservatorship/ Guardian-Minor
1	Gilpin	1	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	16	23	62	41	3
	<b>Total</b>	17	23	62	41	3
2	Denver Probate	14	23	54	15	4
3	Huerfano	4	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	5	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	9	0	0	0	0
4	El Paso	29	23	62	105	1
	Teller	6	0	2	4	0
	<b>Total</b>	35	23	64	109	1
5	Clear Creek	2	0	1	1	0
	Eagle	17	1	0	3	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	19	2	0	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	38	3	1	4	1
6	Archuleta	11	0	1	0	0
	La Plata	34	1	1	9	0
	San Juan	2	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	47	1	2	9	0
7	Delta	0	1	3	2	0
	Gunnison	9	1	2	1	0
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	2	3	6	5	0
	Ouray	1	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	3	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	16	5	11	8	0
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	21	10	23	8	3
	<b>Total</b>	22	10	23	8	3
9	Garfield	9	3	2	8	0
	Pitkin	12	1	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	5	0	1	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	26	4	3	9	0
10	Pueblo	22	11	12	21	1
11	Chaffee	4	3	3	1	0
	Custer	7	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	6	1	2	4	1
	Park	17	0	1	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	34	4	6	5	1

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Determination of Heirship	Estate	Foreign	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor	Insurance Benefit	Intestate Formal
				Protective Proceeding				
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
	Jefferson	13	1	1	71	86	0	25
	<b>Total</b>	13	2	1	72	87	0	26
2	Denver Probate	1	2	2	125	75	0	57
3	Huerfano	1	0	0	3	1	0	1
	Las Animas	4	0	0	3	0	0	4
	<b>Total</b>	5	0	0	6	1	0	5
4	El Paso	4	2	0	136	111	0	22
	Teller	1	0	0	12	1	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	5	2	0	148	112	0	23
5	Clear Creek	2	3	0	0	3	0	0
	Eagle	1	1	0	1	9	0	0
	Lake	1	7	0	1	0	0	1
	Summit	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	4	11	0	4	12	0	1
6	Archuleta	0	2	1	1	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	1	4	9	0	2
	San Juan	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	2	2	6	9	0	2
7	Delta	1	0	1	8	2	0	2
	Gunnison	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	1	0	14	2	0	1
	Ouray	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	3	4	1	26	4	0	3
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	6	3	0	36	14	0	12
	<b>Total</b>	7	3	0	36	14	0	12
9	Garfield	1	1	0	6	9	0	2
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	1	2	0	6	9	0	2
10	Pueblo	5	2	0	49	18	0	24
11	Chaffee	5	0	0	4	1	0	2
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	8	2	0	10	1	0	4
	Park	1	15	0	1	1	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	14	17	0	15	3	0	7



**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Intestate		Public	Registration of	Single	Special
		Informal	Other	Administrator Statement	Foreign Order	Transaction	Administrator Formal
1	Gilpin	8	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	234	24	4	0	20	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4</b>
2	Denver Probate	244	15	66	0	78	10
3	Huerfano	7	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	8	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
4	El Paso	168	13	0	0	11	10
	Teller	10	0	0	0	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>
5	Clear Creek	6	0	0	1	0	0
	Eagle	15	0	0	0	4	0
	Lake	6	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	7	0	0	0	1	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>
6	Archuleta	9	0	0	0	1	1
	La Plata	27	0	0	0	2	0
	San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
7	Delta	15	1	0	0	0	1
	Gunnison	6	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	24	0	0	0	1	0
	Ouray	5	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	1	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	88	4	0	0	21	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>4</b>
9	Garfield	11	0	0	0	1	0
	Pitkin	8	0	0	0	2	0
	Rio Blanco	6	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
10	Pueblo	105	0	4	0	10	4
11	Chaffee	4	1	0	0	0	0
	Custer	4	1	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	25	0	0	0	2	0
	Park	16	0	0	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Special	Testate	Testate	Trust	Trust	Uniform	Will	Total
		Administrator	Formal	Informal			Guardianship		
		Informal		Informal		Registrations	Act		
1	Gilpin	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	18
	Jefferson	9	46	842	28	313	0	5	1,871
	<b>Total</b>	9	46	845	28	315	0	5	1,889
2	Denver Probate	6	114	740	82	545	1	8	2,281
3	Huerfano	0	2	14	0	0	0	0	33
	Las Animas	0	5	21	0	1	0	0	51
	<b>Total</b>	0	7	35	0	1	0	0	84
4	El Paso	2	73	630	24	208	1	2	1,637
	Teller	0	1	18	0	3	0	0	61
	<b>Total</b>	2	74	648	24	211	1	2	1,698
5	Clear Creek	0	0	13	0	1	0	0	33
	Eagle	0	4	38	1	37	0	0	132
	Lake	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	21
	Summit	0	0	14	1	11	0	0	59
	<b>Total</b>	0	4	70	2	49	0	0	245
6	Archuleta	0	1	15	1	4	0	0	48
	La Plata	0	9	66	2	44	0	0	211
	San Juan	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
	<b>Total</b>	0	10	82	3	48	0	0	264
7	Delta	0	12	61	0	9	0	0	119
	Gunnison	0	0	20	0	4	0	0	46
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Montrose	1	2	68	2	18	0	0	151
	Ouray	0	1	4	0	22	0	0	35
	San Miguel	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	9
<b>Total</b>	1	16	154	2	56	0	0	364	
8	Jackson	0	0	10	1	4	0	0	18
	Larimer	0	34	372	16	115	0	2	792
	<b>Total</b>	0	34	382	17	119	0	2	810
9	Garfield	0	4	49	1	21	0	0	128
	Pitkin	0	1	14	2	33	0	1	74
	Rio Blanco	0	1	21	0	0	0	0	36
	<b>Total</b>	0	6	84	3	54	0	1	238
10	Pueblo	0	30	237	1	22	0	0	578
11	Chaffee	0	2	43	1	7	0	0	81
	Custer	0	1	12	0	5	0	0	30
	Fremont	0	2	56	0	5	0	0	129
	Park	0	0	14	0	1	0	0	69
	<b>Total</b>	0	5	125	1	18	0	0	309

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Ancillary Proceedings	Conservatorship/ Adult	Conservator/ Guardian-Adult	Conservatorship/ Minor	Conservatorship/ Guardian-Minor
12	Alamosa	4	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	3	0	0	2	0
	Costilla	44	1	0	0	0
	Mineral	1	0	0	1	0
	Rio Grande	5	4	0	1	0
	Saguache	10	1	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
13	Kit Carson	2	0	0	1	0
	Logan	3	0	1	0	0
	Morgan	3	0	3	0	2
	Phillips	4	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	1	0	0	0	0
	Washington	3	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	4	1	1	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
14	Grand	10	0	2	1	0
	Moffat	8	0	0	1	0
	Routt	7	1	0	6	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>
15	Baca	6	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	10	1	0	0	0
	Kiowa	6	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	7	3	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
16	Bent	3	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	2	0	0	1	1
	Otero	2	0	1	3	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>
17	Adams	7	13	35	30	5
	Broomfield	0	2	6	4	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>7</b>
18	Arapahoe	11	20	50	48	6
	Douglas	2	4	16	35	3
	Elbert	2	5	2	6	0
	Lincoln	5	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>9</b>
19	Weld	44	10	13	19	0
20	Boulder	12	12	27	23	3
21	Mesa	6	10	15	7	1
22	Dolores	4	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	10	2	3	2	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>531</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>413</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>3%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Determination of Heirship	Foreign					Insurance Benefit	Intestate Formal
			Estate	Protective Proceeding	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor			
12	Alamosa	0	1	0	4	4	0	0	
	Conejos	1	1	0	2	2	0	4	
	Costilla	1	2	0	2	0	0	2	
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rio Grande	0	3	0	2	2	0	1	
	Saguache	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	
	<b>Total</b>		3	7	0	12	8	0	7
13	Kit Carson	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	
	Logan	7	0	0	3	1	0	4	
	Morgan	13	7	0	3	3	0	1	
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	
	Sedgwick	0	6	0	3	0	0	0	
	Washington	7	1	0	0	0	0	3	
	Yuma	1	2	0	0	2	0	3	
	<b>Total</b>		33	17	0	9	9	0	12
14	Grand	1	0	0	0	4	0	1	
	Moffat	2	1	0	3	4	0	3	
	Routt	2	5	0	2	2	0	2	
	<b>Total</b>		5	6	0	5	10	0	6
15	Baca	7	0	0	1	2	0	0	
	Cheyenne	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	Kiowa	12	0	0	2	0	0	1	
	Prowers	4	1	0	1	2	0	2	
	<b>Total</b>		25	2	0	4	4	0	3
16	Bent	19	4	0	2	12	0	0	
	Crowley	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	
	Otero	4	0	0	6	3	0	4	
	<b>Total</b>		25	4	0	8	16	0	5
17	Adams	1	0	1	48	59	0	18	
	Broomfield	0	6	0	12	10	0	2	
	<b>Total</b>		1	6	1	60	69	0	20
18	Arapahoe	5	4	1	105	79	0	20	
	Douglas	0	0	2	28	21	0	9	
	Elbert	0	0	0	4	2	0	3	
	Lincoln	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	
	<b>Total</b>		7	4	3	137	104	0	32
19	Weld	37	0	0	30	25	0	16	
20	Boulder	0	2	0	30	11	0	9	
21	Mesa	0	5	0	34	15	0	11	
22	Dolores	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	
	Montezuma	3	1	0	6	6	0	3	
	<b>Total</b>		4	2	0	6	9	0	3
<b>State Total</b>		<b>198</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>828</b>	<b>624</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>286</b>	
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2%</b>	

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Intestate		Public	Registration of	Single	Special
		Informal	Other	Administrator Statement	Foreign Order	Transaction	Administrator Formal
12	Alamosa	14	0	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	16	0	0	1	0	1
	Mineral	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	6	0	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	7	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
13	Kit Carson	5	0	0	0	1	0
	Logan	15	0	0	0	2	0
	Morgan	11	1	0	0	2	0
	Phillips	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	1	1	0	1	0	0
	Yuma	10	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>
14	Grand	7	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	15	1	0	0	0	0
	Routt	7	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
15	Baca	5	1	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	3	0	0	0	1	0
	Kiowa	7	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	5	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Otero	15	0	0	0	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>
17	Adams	162	4	0	0	36	3
	Broomfield	9	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>171</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>3</i>
18	Arapahoe	220	2	0	1	39	0
	Douglas	57	0	0	0	17	1
	Elbert	11	0	0	0	2	0
	Lincoln	5	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>293</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>1</i>
19	Weld	148	1	0	0	14	2
20	Boulder	65	1	0	1	20	4
21	Mesa	69	1	13	0	8	2
22	Dolores	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	19	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>2,008</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>300</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>13%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>1%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>0%</i>

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Special	Testate	Testate	Trust	Trust	Uniform	Will	Total
		Administrator	Formal	Informal			Guardianship		
		Informal				Registrations	Act		
12	Alamosa	0	3	17	1	2	0	0	50
	Conejos	0	1	8	0	1	0	0	30
	Costilla	0	2	16	0	0	0	0	88
	Mineral	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	8
	Rio Grande	0	2	25	0	10	0	0	61
	Saguache	0	0	10	0	3	0	0	34
	<b>Total</b>		0	8	79	1	16	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	15	0	16	0	0	47
	Logan	0	1	27	0	11	0	0	75
	Morgan	0	11	32	0	0	0	0	92
	Phillips	0	1	9	0	1	0	0	20
	Sedgwick	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	19
	Washington	0	2	16	0	2	0	0	37
	Yuma	0	1	26	1	6	0	0	60
	<b>Total</b>		0	16	129	1	36	0	0
14	Grand	0	0	13	0	10	0	0	49
	Moffat	0	6	20	0	6	0	0	70
	Routt	0	1	24	0	23	0	0	83
	<b>Total</b>		0	7	57	0	39	0	0
15	Baca	0	2	7	1	2	0	0	34
	Cheyenne	0	1	6	0	2	0	0	27
	Kiowa	0	3	14	0	1	0	0	46
	Prowers	0	3	22	0	3	0	0	53
	<b>Total</b>		0	9	49	1	8	0	0
16	Bent	0	0	16	0	2	0	0	63
	Crowley	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	16
	Otero	0	3	44	0	7	2	0	96
	<b>Total</b>		0	4	65	0	9	2	0
17	Adams	3	42	317	12	72	0	4	872
	Broomfield	0	3	60	1	26	0	0	144
	<b>Total</b>		3	45	377	13	98	0	4
18	Arapahoe	1	37	656	28	365	0	0	1,698
	Douglas	0	15	158	8	122	0	1	499
	Elbert	0	3	10	1	4	0	0	55
	Lincoln	0	2	9	0	7	0	0	32
	<b>Total</b>		1	57	833	37	498	0	1
19	Weld	1	30	317	11	74	0	0	792
20	Boulder	1	33	374	32	252	0	2	914
21	Mesa	1	18	208	5	69	0	0	498
22	Dolores	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	19
	Montezuma	0	1	46	2	9	0	0	114
	<b>Total</b>		0	1	51	2	10	0	0
<b>State Total</b>		<b>25</b>	<b>574</b>	<b>5,941</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>2,547</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>15,555</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Section IV:**  
County Court



## COUNTY COURT JUDGES

### FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gilpin David R. Gloss  
Jefferson Bradley A. Burback  
Verna L. Carpenter  
Ryan Stuart  
Susan L. Fisch  
Tammy K. Green  
Jean Woodford  
K.J. Moore  
Mark M. Randall  
Thomas E. Vance

### DENVER COUNTY COURT

Denver John M. Marcucci,  
Presiding Judge  
Gary Jackson  
Johnny C. Barajas  
Larry L. Bohning  
James B. Breese  
Doris E. Burd  
Brian T. Campbell  
Robert B. Crew, Jr.  
Dianne Briscoe  
Clarisse M. Gonzales  
Kerry S. Hada  
Alfred C. Harrell  
Claudia Jordan  
Mary A. Celeste  
Nicole Rodarte  
Andre L. Rudolph  
Raymond N. Satter

### THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Huerfano Gary R. Stork  
Las Animas Bruce Billings

### FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

El Paso Christopher E. Acker  
Karla J. Hansen  
Larry D. Martin  
Laura Findorff  
Ann M. Rotolo  
Stephen J. Sletta  
Jonathan L. Walker  
Regina Walter  
Daniel S. Wilson  
Douglas J. Miles  
Teller Lin Billings Vela

### FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Clear Creek Rachel Olguin-Fresquez  
Eagle Katharine T. Sullivan  
Lake Wayne Patton  
Summit Edward J. Casias

### SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Archuleta James E. Denvir  
La Plata Martha T. Minot  
San Juan Lyndon K. Skinner

### SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Delta Sandra K. Miller  
Gunnison Ben F. Eden  
Hinsdale Alvin K. Lutz  
Montrose Bennett A. Morris  
Julie J. Huffman  
Ouray David S. Westfall  
San Miguel Scott T. Erickson

### EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Jackson Cindy L. Wilson  
Larimer Christine A. Carney  
Thomas L. Lynch  
Robert A. Rand  
Peter Schoon  
Mary Joan Berenato

### NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Garfield Jonathan B. Pototsky  
Paul Metzger  
Pitkin Erin Fernandez-Ely  
Rio Blanco Laurie A. Noble

### TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Pueblo Steve Fieldman  
Valerie Haynes  
David Lobato

### ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Chaffee William P. Alderton  
Custer Peter F. Michaelson  
Fremont Norman C. Cooling  
Park Brian L. Green

### TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Alamosa Daniel Walzl  
Conejos Beth Garcia  
Costilla Kimberly Wood  
Mineral Ruth M. Acheson  
Rio Grande Patrick H. Hayes, Jr.  
Saguache Amanda K. Pearson

### THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Kit Carson Michael Grinnan  
Logan Robert B. Smith  
Morgan Michael J. Schingle  
Phillips David O. Colver  
Sedgwick James Wittler  
Washington Carl S. McGuire  
Yuma Sara Marie Wagers-  
Johnson

### FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Grand Ben W. McClelland  
Moffat Sandra Gardner  
Routt James H. Garrecht

### FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Baca Debra M. Gunkel  
Cheyenne Gerald Keefe  
Kiowa Gary W. Davis  
Prowers Larry E. Stutler

### SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Bent Samuel A. Vigil  
Crowley Suzanne A. Grant  
Otero Douglas R. Manley

### SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Adams Michael A. Cox  
Brian N. Bowen  
Robert S. Doyle  
Bryon L. Howell  
Leroy D. Kirby  
Dianna Roybal  
Sabino E. Romano  
Jeffrey L. Romeo  
Broomfield Amy Bockman

### EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Arapahoe Addison L. Adams  
Alex R. Bencze  
Christine M. Chauche  
Cheryl Rowles-Stokes  
Dana E. Murray  
Anne M. Ollada  
Robert C. Tobias  
Darren L. Vahle  
Douglas Lawrence R. Bowling  
Monica J. Gomez  
Susanna Meissner-Cutler  
Elbert Palmer L. Boyette  
Lincoln Truston Lee Fisher

### NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Weld John J. Briggs  
Michele L. Meyer  
Dana J. Nichols  
Charles S. Unfug

### TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Boulder David A. Archuleta  
Noel E. Blum  
Karolyn Moore  
Norma A. Sierra (12)  
John F. Stavely

### TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Mesa Craig P. Henderson  
Gretchen Larson  
Bruce R. Raaum

### TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Dolores E. Dale Boyd  
Montezuma JenniLynn E. Lawrence



## County Court

Colorado's county courts serve the citizens of each of the state's 64 counties. Every county has a county court served by one or more judges. County judges handle cases involving serious public safety issues such as misdemeanor cases, felony advisements, setting bonds, and preliminary hearings. County judges also issue search warrants and protection orders in cases involving domestic violence, preside over traffic cases, civil actions involving no more than \$15,000, and conduct jury trials. Appeals from the county court may be made to the district court.


Small claims courts are divisions of county court. Individuals are allowed to argue their own cases and to have speedy decisions on civil matters involving no more than \$7,500. Court sessions are held during the day or evening to accommodate the public. There are no jury trials in small claims courts, and magistrates sometimes hear these cases rather than a judge. No plaintiff may file more than two claims per month or eighteen claims per year in small claims court.

In Fiscal Year 2013, Colorado county courts had 446,259 cases filed and were able to terminate 431,952 cases during that same period. Compared to Fiscal Year 2012, county court filings have decreased 7.87 percent, declining from 484,371 to the current level of cases filed, with the greatest area of decrease occurring in misdemeanor filings. Criminal Felony complaints saw the largest increase of filings, 16.34 percent.


Specific information regarding each of the county court is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/district/counties.htm>.

## County Court Case Filings -- Proportion of Cases by Type Ten Year Comparison

**Chart 3: Filings, FY 2004**  
**Total Number of Filings: 514,094**



**Chart 4: Filings, FY 2013**  
**Total Number of Filings: 446,255**



**Table 23: County Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change:  
Fiscal Year 2012, Fiscal Year 2013  
(Does not include Denver County Court)**

Filings Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY12	FY13	Percent Change
Civil	193,282	174,466	-9.73%
Infractions	75,464	67,581	-10.45%
Misdemeanors	70,068	62,740	-10.46%
Small Claims	9,117	8,171	-10.38%
Traffic	121,112	115,465	-4.66%
Felony Complaints	15,328	17,832	16.34%
<b>Total</b>	<b>484,371</b>	<b>446,255</b>	<b>-7.87%</b>

Terminations Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY12	FY13	Percent Change
Civil	192,635	174,554	-9.39%
Infractions	76,228	68,033	-10.75%
Misdemeanors	67,482	65,310	-3.22%
Small Claims	9,244	8,357	-9.60%
Traffic	124,842	115,706	-7.32%
Felony Complaints	15,328	17,832	16.34%
<b>Total with Felony Complaints</b>	<b>485,759</b>	<b>467,624</b>	<b>-3.73%</b>
<b>Total without Felony Complaints</b>	<b>470,431</b>	<b>449,792</b>	<b>-4.39%</b>

**Table 24: County Court Caseload**  
**Fiscal Year 2004 to Fiscal Year 2013**  
(Does not include Denver County Court)

	<b>FY04</b>	<b>FY05</b>	<b>FY06</b>	<b>FY07</b>	<b>FY08</b>	<b>FY09</b>	<b>FY10</b>	<b>FY11</b>	<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY13</b>
<b>CIVIL</b>										
New Cases Filed	165,324	175,847	176,244	184,994	198,229	202,958	206,954	200,250	193,282	174,466
Cases Terminated	165,761	174,773	176,714	181,463	193,836	200,895	205,545	199,308	192,635	174,554
<b>INFRACTIONS</b>										
New Cases Filed	82,732	107,780	101,386	95,421	96,483	100,804	95,557	84,610	75,464	67,581
Cases Terminated	82,382	103,978	105,440	95,218	96,681	99,055	95,786	87,072	76,228	68,033
<b>MISDEMEANORS</b>										
New Cases Filed	74,779	72,607	75,703	74,094	74,136	73,605	69,695	67,137	70,068	62,740
Cases Terminated	74,168	71,386	74,938	73,451	78,886	74,147	69,232	68,187	67,482	65,310
<b>SMALL CLAIMS</b>										
New Cases Filed	14,292	13,588	13,380	12,880	12,600	12,266	11,097	9,629	9,117	8,171
Cases Terminated	15,113	14,005	13,329	12,933	12,778	12,337	11,010	9,707	9,244	8,357
<b>TRAFFIC</b>										
New Cases Filed	159,413	167,488	168,155	165,298	162,729	155,235	141,493	126,788	121,112	115,465
Cases Terminated	156,139	161,433	165,823	162,482	174,678	160,307	146,373	135,046	124,842	115,706
<b>FELONY COMPLAINTS (a)</b>	17,554	18,137	21,268	18,510	18,393	17,235	16,795	16,851	15,328	17,832
<b>TOTAL</b>										
<b>New Cases Filed</b>	<b>514,094</b>	<b>555,447</b>	<b>556,136</b>	<b>551,197</b>	<b>562,570</b>	<b>562,103</b>	<b>541,591</b>	<b>505,265</b>	<b>484,371</b>	<b>446,255</b>
<b>Cases Terminated (b)</b>	<b>493,563</b>	<b>525,575</b>	<b>536,244</b>	<b>525,547</b>	<b>556,859</b>	<b>546,741</b>	<b>527,946</b>	<b>499,320</b>	<b>470,431</b>	<b>431,960</b>

(a) Felony complaints represent the number of criminal cases, docketed as (CR), that begin in county court. The processing of felony cases varies between locations. The counties processing CR cases hear advisements. Some counties do preliminary hearings in county court before moving the case to district court for completion of the felony process. The case can also be reduced to a misdemeanor and remain in county court. The cases retain the same docket number in either county or district court.

(b) Does not include felony complaints.

**Table 25: County Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change:  
Fiscal Year 2012, Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Filings			Terminations(b)		
	FY12	FY13	Percent Change	FY12	FY13	Percent Change
1	57,204	51,319	-10.29%	53,741	52,393	-2.51%
2(a)	173,821	155,684	-10.43%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3	4,409	3,964	-10.09%	4,863	3,892	-19.97%
4	70,774	63,670	-10.04%	71,327	64,225	-9.96%
5	13,998	12,998	-7.14%	13,781	13,253	-3.83%
6	6,980	5,979	-14.34%	6,593	5,838	-11.45%
7	9,950	9,751	-2.00%	9,321	9,748	4.58%
8	27,118	25,451	-6.15%	27,290	25,237	-7.52%
9	10,458	9,018	-13.77%	10,161	9,125	-10.20%
10	17,922	17,241	-3.80%	17,981	17,414	-3.15%
11	8,990	8,157	-9.27%	8,940	8,158	-8.75%
12	7,917	7,458	-5.80%	7,468	7,162	-4.10%
13	8,720	8,096	-7.16%	8,661	8,037	-7.20%
14	6,847	6,162	-10.00%	6,332	6,335	0.05%
15	4,623	4,072	-11.92%	4,532	3,942	-13.02%
16	3,735	3,852	3.13%	3,660	3,859	5.44%
17	67,456	64,425	-4.49%	63,604	65,475	2.94%
18	87,993	81,002	-7.94%	83,475	80,588	-3.46%
19	25,385	23,928	-5.74%	26,424	24,393	-7.69%
20	22,333	20,532	-8.06%	20,647	19,053	-7.72%
21	17,903	16,047	-10.37%	18,211	16,473	-9.54%
22	3,656	3,133	-14.31%	3,419	3,309	-3.22%
<b>State Total Without Denver County Court</b>	<b>484,371</b>	<b>446,255</b>	<b>-7.87%</b>	<b>470,431</b>	<b>449,792</b>	<b>-4.39%</b>
<b>State Total</b>	<b>658,192</b>	<b>601,939</b>	<b>-8.55%</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>

(a) Denver County Court statistics are based on fiscal year 07.01.12 - 06.30.13

(b) Does not include felony complaints.

**Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
1	Gilpin	151	165	124	124	551	569	192	207
	Jefferson	21,896	22,446	3,245	3,245	6,038	6,311	5,981	5,852
	<b>Total</b>	<b>22,047</b>	<b>22,611</b>	<b>3,369</b>	<b>3,369</b>	<b>6,589</b>	<b>6,880</b>	<b>6,173</b>	<b>6,059</b>
2	Denver(a)	29,447	n/a <sup>(b)</sup>	4,550	n/a <sup>(b)</sup>	10,068	n/a <sup>(b)</sup>	n/a <sup>(c)</sup>	n/a <sup>(b)</sup>
3	Huerfano	181	176	18	18	279	294	264	272
	Las Animas	496	503	36	36	703	691	708	750
	<b>Total</b>	<b>677</b>	<b>679</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>982</b>	<b>985</b>	<b>972</b>	<b>1,022</b>
4	El Paso	30,408	30,493	672	672	7,240	7,437	8,131	8,161
	Teller	589	627	15	15	421	401	394	384
	<b>Total</b>	<b>30,997</b>	<b>31,120</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>7,661</b>	<b>7,838</b>	<b>8,525</b>	<b>8,545</b>
5	Clear Creek	174	183	97	97	377	386	731	772
	Eagle-Basalt	39	35	1	1	88	87	202	200
	Eagle	860	898	446	446	954	931	1,207	1,293
	Lake	283	301	93	93	250	278	201	204
	Summit	603	565	167	167	556	631	910	877
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,959</b>	<b>1,982</b>	<b>804</b>	<b>804</b>	<b>2,225</b>	<b>2,313</b>	<b>3,251</b>	<b>3,346</b>	
6	Archuleta	307	341	69	69	206	211	369	364
	La Plata	1,199	998	171	171	705	738	712	704
	San Juan	10	8	0	0	20	20	18	24
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,516</b>	<b>1,347</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>931</b>	<b>969</b>	<b>1,099</b>	<b>1,092</b>
7	Delta	968	970	200	200	517	503	510	496
	Gunnison	312	304	129	129	341	323	256	274
	Hinsdale	1	1	3	3	22	23	23	23
	Montrose-Nucla	29	41	9	9	40	46	32	31
	Montrose	1,176	1,223	309	309	775	780	623	620
	Ouray	75	72	8	8	76	83	202	202
	San Miguel	116	117	42	42	153	143	74	68
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,677</b>	<b>2,728</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>1,924</b>	<b>1,901</b>	<b>1,720</b>	<b>1,714</b>
8	Jackson	22	23	0	0	29	41	59	66
	Larimer	7,176	7,114	54	54	3,876	3,859	2,917	3,028
	Larimer-Loveland	2,128	2,100	0	0	1,999	1,959	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>9,326</b>	<b>9,237</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>5,904</b>	<b>5,859</b>	<b>2,976</b>	<b>3,094</b>
9	Garfield	981	970	148	148	522	571	583	562
	Garfield-Rifle	958	995	0	0	526	590	497	510
	Pitkin	252	253	6	6	233	210	155	161
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	103	101	1	1	75	94	235	254
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	98	99	0	0	120	132	104	98
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,392</b>	<b>2,418</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>1,476</b>	<b>1,597</b>	<b>1,574</b>	<b>1,585</b>	
10	Pueblo	8,186	8,131	5	5	2,914	3,150	1,872	1,783
11	Chaffee	393	421	12	12	544	500	870	847
	Custer	51	53	0	0	61	57	117	115
	Fremont	1,449	1,411	25	25	709	761	871	886
	Park	369	385	8	8	269	292	457	476
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,262</b>	<b>2,270</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>1,583</b>	<b>1,610</b>	<b>2,315</b>	<b>2,324</b>	

(a) Termination numbers are not available.

(b) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(c) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaints.

**Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.(c)
1	Gilpin	13	15	598	611	1,629	1,691
	Jefferson	846	979	11,684	11,869	49,690	50,702
	<b>Total</b>	<b>859</b>	<b>994</b>	<b>12,282</b>	<b>12,480</b>	<b>51,319</b>	<b>52,393</b>
2	Denver(a)	1,382	n/a <sup>(b)</sup>	110,237	n/a <sup>(b)</sup>	155,684	0
3	Huerfano	19	21	358	359	1,119	1,140
	Las Animas	40	37	862	735	2,845	2,752
	<b>Total</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>1,220</b>	<b>1,094</b>	<b>3,964</b>	<b>3,892</b>
4	El Paso	1,213	1,164	13,896	14,182	61,560	62,109
	Teller	36	48	655	641	2,110	2,116
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,249</b>	<b>1,212</b>	<b>14,551</b>	<b>14,823</b>	<b>63,670</b>	<b>64,225</b>
5	Clear Creek	26	28	1,083	1,110	2,488	2,576
	Eagle-Basalt	26	29	134	151	490	503
	Eagle	150	180	1,800	1,680	5,417	5,428
	Lake	17	15	246	267	1,090	1,158
	Summit	79	74	1,198	1,274	3,513	3,588
	<b>Total</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>4,461</b>	<b>4,482</b>	<b>12,998</b>	<b>13,253</b>
6	Archuleta	28	34	335	342	1,314	1,361
	La Plata	128	138	1,676	1,644	4,591	4,393
	San Juan	3	3	23	29	74	84
	<b>Total</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>2,034</b>	<b>2,015</b>	<b>5,979</b>	<b>5,838</b>
7	Delta	59	60	847	841	3,101	3,070
	Gunnison	33	27	325	309	1,396	1,366
	Hinsdale	0	0	23	24	72	74
	Montrose-Nucla	3	4	42	46	155	177
	Montrose	102	104	892	891	3,877	3,927
	Ouray	14	16	204	182	579	563
	San Miguel	33	34	153	167	571	571
	<b>Total</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>2,486</b>	<b>2,460</b>	<b>9,751</b>	<b>9,748</b>
8	Jackson	6	5	76	100	192	235
	Larimer	502	493	4,016	4,097	18,541	18,645
	Larimer-Loveland	0	0	2,591	2,298	6,718	6,357
	<b>Total</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>498</b>	<b>6,683</b>	<b>6,495</b>	<b>25,451</b>	<b>25,237</b>
9	Garfield	131	132	1,390	1,298	3,755	3,681
	Garfield-Rifle	62	63	928	1,044	2,971	3,202
	Pitkin	65	72	461	398	1,172	1,100
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	19	20	217	205	650	675
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	16	14	132	124	470	467
	<b>Total</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>3,128</b>	<b>3,069</b>	<b>9,018</b>	<b>9,125</b>
10	Pueblo	272	276	3,992	4,069	17,241	17,414
11	Chaffee	30	25	335	335	2,184	2,140
	Custer	11	13	54	42	294	280
	Fremont	84	87	896	883	4,034	4,053
	Park	24	27	518	497	1,645	1,685
	<b>Total</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>1,803</b>	<b>1,757</b>	<b>8,157</b>	<b>8,158</b>

(a) Termination numbers are not available.

(b) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(c) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaints.

**Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
12	Alamosa	549	550	442	442	533	469	517	463
	Conejos	213	204	92	92	129	153	155	147
	Costilla	92	94	51	51	138	127	356	357
	Mineral	9	10	5	5	16	19	257	249
	Rio Grande	299	273	195	195	277	283	327	343
	Saguache	134	108	75	75	104	126	233	220
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,296</b>	<b>1,239</b>	<b>860</b>	<b>860</b>	<b>1,197</b>	<b>1,177</b>	<b>1,845</b>	<b>1,779</b>
13	Kit Carson	182	195	12	12	134	128	194	196
	Logan	503	510	18	18	360	365	789	741
	Morgan	1,071	1,074	24	24	428	443	606	629
	Phillips	83	89	0	0	30	35	22	20
	Sedgwick	47	52	1	1	36	31	156	147
	Washington	86	82	1	1	76	87	242	238
	Yuma	207	238	6	6	110	133	114	115
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,179</b>	<b>2,240</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>1,174</b>	<b>1,222</b>	<b>2,123</b>	<b>2,086</b>	
14	Grand	295	276	152	152	464	488	301	313
	Moffat	460	436	165	165	469	466	367	381
	Routt	463	477	173	173	611	682	464	506
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,218</b>	<b>1,189</b>	<b>490</b>	<b>490</b>	<b>1,544</b>	<b>1,636</b>	<b>1,132</b>	<b>1,200</b>
15	Baca	66	70	1	1	66	57	251	238
	Cheyenne	32	40	0	0	38	35	214	221
	Kiowa	26	27	1	1	19	18	350	346
	Prowers	392	380	17	17	496	480	658	686
	<b>Total</b>	<b>516</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>590</b>	<b>1,473</b>	<b>1,491</b>
16	Bent	150	155	18	18	202	185	154	155
	Crowley	122	124	7	7	71	82	117	116
	Otero	914	981	91	91	465	422	526	556
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,186</b>	<b>1,260</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>738</b>	<b>689</b>	<b>797</b>	<b>827</b>
17	Adams	25,259	25,597	3,379	3,379	6,767	7,279	9,291	9,264
	Broomfield	1,574	1,547	281	281	458	413	454	458
	<b>Total</b>	<b>26,833</b>	<b>27,144</b>	<b>3,660</b>	<b>3,660</b>	<b>7,225</b>	<b>7,692</b>	<b>9,745</b>	<b>9,722</b>
18	Arapahoe-Centennial	1,098	1,121	2,293	2,293	4,337	4,931	7,519	7,527
	Arapahoe-Littleton	27,056	27,244	0	0	0	34	0	0
	Douglas	6,730	5,509	730	730	2,413	2,461	4,252	4,571
	Elbert	482	502	62	62	175	169	624	586
	Lincoln	116	119	62	62	112	114	219	239
	<b>Total</b>	<b>35,482</b>	<b>34,495</b>	<b>3,147</b>	<b>3,147</b>	<b>7,037</b>	<b>7,709</b>	<b>12,614</b>	<b>12,923</b>
19	Weld	9,181	9,265	1,101	1,101	3,527	3,636	3,106	3,160
20	Boulder	4,872	4,982	1,883	1,883	2,813	3,036	1,466	1,486
	Boulder-Longmont	1,734	1,768	0	0	877	882	463	478
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,606</b>	<b>6,750</b>	<b>1,883</b>	<b>1,883</b>	<b>3,690</b>	<b>3,918</b>	<b>1,929</b>	<b>1,964</b>
21	Mesa	7,066	7,037	71	71	3,119	3,225	1,973	1,927
22	Dolores	46	47	10	10	24	30	56	56
	Montezuma	818	848	300	300	657	684	311	334
	<b>Total</b>	<b>864</b>	<b>895</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>681</b>	<b>714</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>390</b>
<b>State Total Without Denver County Court</b>		<b>174,466</b>	<b>174,554</b>	<b>17,832</b>	<b>17,832</b>	<b>62,740</b>	<b>65,310</b>	<b>67,581</b>	<b>68,033</b>
<b>State Total With Denver County Court</b>		<b>203,913</b>	<i>n/a</i> <sup>(b)</sup>	<b>22,382</b>	<i>n/a</i> <sup>(b)</sup>	<b>72,808</b>	<i>n/a</i> <sup>(b)</sup>	<i>n/a</i> <sup>(c)</sup>	<i>n/a</i> <sup>(b)</sup>

(a) Termination numbers are not available.

(b) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(c) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaints.



**Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.(c)
12	Alamosa	39	41	759	633	2,839	2,598
	Conejos	22	19	200	203	811	818
	Costilla	5	4	177	173	819	806
	Mineral	2	2	114	104	403	389
	Rio Grande	24	19	615	576	1,737	1,689
	Saguache	15	15	288	318	849	862
	<b>Total</b>		107	100	2,153	2,007	7,458
13	Kit Carson	27	29	261	211	810	771
	Logan	44	49	662	640	2,376	2,323
	Morgan	51	44	940	917	3,120	3,131
	Phillips	12	10	33	31	180	185
	Sedgwick	16	12	100	83	356	326
	Washington	5	6	214	203	624	617
	Yuma	24	23	169	169	630	684
	<b>Total</b>		179	173	2,379	2,254	8,096
14	Grand	51	52	546	576	1,809	1,857
	Moffat	50	50	386	383	1,897	1,881
	Routt	64	70	681	689	2,456	2,597
	<b>Total</b>	165	172	1,613	1,648	6,162	6,335
15	Baca	15	13	137	131	536	510
	Cheyenne	6	8	63	73	353	377
	Kiowa	5	6	132	91	533	489
	Prowers	59	55	1,028	948	2,650	2,566
	<b>Total</b>	85	82	1,360	1,243	4,072	3,942
16	Bent	7	7	159	156	690	676
	Crowley	24	21	81	94	422	444
	Otero	56	53	688	636	2,740	2,739
	<b>Total</b>	87	81	928	886	3,852	3,859
17	Adams	732	734	14,839	15,152	60,267	61,405
	Broomfield	76	76	1,315	1,295	4,158	4,070
	<b>Total</b>	808	810	16,154	16,447	64,425	65,475
18	Arapahoe-Centennial	2	2	15,207	14,811	30,456	30,685
	Arapahoe-Littleton	1,054	1,045	0	114	28,110	28,437
	Douglas	344	360	5,447	5,371	19,916	19,002
	Elbert	32	42	373	332	1,748	1,693
	Lincoln	12	12	251	225	772	771
	<b>Total</b>	1,444	1,461	21,278	20,853	81,002	80,588
19	Weld	420	429	6,593	6,802	23,928	24,393
20	Boulder	409	429	4,629	4,531	16,072	16,347
	Boulder-Longmont	0	0	1,386	1,461	4,460	4,589
	<b>Total</b>	409	429	6,015	5,992	20,532	19,053
21	Mesa	326	324	3,492	3,889	16,047	16,473
22	Dolores	5	5	32	56	173	16,473
	Montezuma	46	54	828	885	2,960	204
	<b>Total</b>	51	59	860	941	3,133	3,309
<b>State Total Without Denver County Court</b>		<b>8,171</b>	<b>8,357</b>	<b>115,465</b>	<b>115,706</b>	<b>446,255</b>	<b>449,792</b>
<b>State Total With Denver County Court</b>		<b>9,553</b>	<b>n/a<sup>(b)</sup></b>	<b>225,702</b>	<b>n/a<sup>(b)</sup></b>	<b>601,939</b>	<b>449,792</b>

(a) Termination numbers are not available.

(b) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(c) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaints.

**Table 27: Court and Jury Trials for County Court  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims	Traffic		Infractions	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Final Hearings	Court Trials	Jury Trials
1	Gilpin	2	0	0	3	4	1	2	8	7	5
	Jefferson	58	3	19	89	181	21	68	193	279	160
	<b>Total</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>165</b>
3	Huerfano	3	0	2	0	12	0	0	23	17	0
	Las Animas	6	0	3	1	7	2	0	18	18	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>1</b>
4	El Paso	94	7	6	109	131	5	47	799	236	163
	Teller	4	0	0	6	10	0	6	33	14	12
	<b>Total</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>832</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>175</b>
5	Clear Creek	3	0	3	1	6	2	3	41	14	4
	Eagle-Basalt	2	0	0	1	10	0	1	0	12	2
	Eagle	5	0	5	4	53	4	4	118	67	8
	Lake	3	0	1	4	3	2	1	5	9	5
	Summit	6	0	2	4	7	2	5	48	17	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>28</b>	
6	Archuleta	4	0	1	4	21	1	0	48	27	4
	La Plata	7	0	1	13	50	1	6	69	59	19
	San Juan	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>24</b>
7	Delta	3	0	1	6	28	1	8	21	33	14
	Gunnison	3	0	0	4	14	5	1	45	22	5
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Montrose	10	0	4	1	82	3	1	58	99	2
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	1	0	3	3	1	4	7	1
	Ouray	0	0	0	2	6	1	0	10	7	2
	San Miguel	2	0	1	2	18	2	1	9	23	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>27</b>	
8	Jackson	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	1
	Larimer	33	0	6	28	170	0	10	178	209	38
	Larimer-Loveland	13	0	4	21	0	1	10	0	18	31
	<b>Total</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>70</b>
9	Garfield	5	1	1	1	46	1	2	30	53	4
	Garfield-Rifle	14	0	3	0	23	0	0	76	40	0
	Pitkin	2	0	0	2	42	0	0	23	44	2
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	8	7	0
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	1	1	0	1	9	0	0	27	10	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>8</b>	
10	Pueblo	184	2	2	55	111	0	28	365	297	85
11	Chaffee	4	0	2	0	4	2	1	7	12	1
	Custer	1	0	0	0	9	1	0	9	11	0
	Fremont	19	0	1	9	9	5	2	69	34	11
	Park	6	0	6	11	8	0	0	7	20	11
	<b>Total</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>23</b>

\*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

**Table 27: Court and Jury Trials for County Court  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims	Traffic		Infractions	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Final Hearings	Court Trials	Jury Trials
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	7	6	1	2	8	8	9
	Conejos	2	0	0	4	5	0	1	7	7	5
	Costilla	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	16	2	1
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	2	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	1	3	2	0	4	14	3	7
	Saguache	0	0	2	0	5	3	0	3	10	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>22</b>
13	Kit Carson	4	0	1	0	4	0	0	2	9	0
	Logan	2	0	2	5	8	1	1	17	13	6
	Morgan	8	0	0	3	28	2	4	6	38	7
	Phillips	1	0	0	0	1	2	4	0	4	4
	Sedgwick	1	0	1	0	5	0	0	3	7	0
	Washington	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	0	4
	Yuma	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	8	1	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>25</b>	
14	Grand	2	0	4	16	7	2	5	14	15	21
	Moffat	3	0	1	3	10	1	3	6	15	6
	Routt	5	0	5	2	19	3	6	18	32	8
	<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>35</b>
15	Baca	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	3	0
	Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	7	0	0	8	8	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	7	3	0
	Prowers	3	0	6	0	21	1	2	10	31	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>2</b>
16	Bent	1	0	1	0	4	0	1	7	6	1
	Crowley	3	0	0	1	6	0	0	7	9	1
	Otero	2	0	1	4	29	0	3	21	32	7
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>9</b>
17	Adams	68	1	8	94	190	7	72	565	273	167
	Broomfield	9	0	0	8	24	0	5	5	33	13
	<b>Total</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>570</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>180</b>
18	Arapahoe	0	0	2	38	0	3	54	495	5	92
	Arapahoe-Littleton	85	1	0	0	157	0	1	0	242	2
	Douglas	27	2	8	25	55	6	30	396	96	57
	Elbert	9	0	1	1	11	2	2	67	23	3
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	10	3	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>968</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>155</b>
19	Weld	92	1	3	74	327	1	37	118	423	112
20	Boulder	19	0	3	46	176	5	37	41	203	83
	Boulder-Longmont	6	0	4	13	0	1	12	18	11	25
	<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>108</b>
21	Mesa	48	0	22	53	51	1	17	185	122	70
22	Dolores	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0
	Montezuma	6	1	0	3	29	1	7	47	36	11
	<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>908</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>793</b>	<b>2,286</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>4,493</b>	<b>3,458</b>	<b>1,335</b>

\*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

**Table 28: DUI/DWAI Filings by District  
Fiscal Year 2004 to Fiscal Year 2013**

District	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13
<b>1</b>	3,109	3,012	2,914	2,835	2,539	2,659	2,218	2,152	1,902	1,982
<b>Denver County<sup>(a)</sup></b>	2,424	2,209	2,146	3,130	3,491	4,283	3,249	3,064	2,932	2,692
<b>3</b>	255	240	217	201	178	207	242	219	147	164
<b>4</b>	3,548	3,552	3,852	3,748	4,177	4,129	3,530	3,067	2,871	2,757
<b>5</b>	1,394	1,269	1,222	1,238	1,082	1,016	936	910	927	869
<b>6</b>	660	799	634	562	476	536	504	475	477	473
<b>7</b>	659	673	712	734	724	749	697	572	532	522
<b>8</b>	2,390	2,398	2,409	2,086	2,387	2,173	2,008	1,656	1,623	1,811
<b>9</b>	798	726	930	941	832	907	672	654	687	584
<b>10</b>	1,316	1,079	960	942	945	965	887	828	671	629
<b>11</b>	538	565	577	445	471	393	377	328	319	344
<b>12</b>	560	729	609	414	446	463	391	550	420	336
<b>13</b>	575	526	566	466	448	453	415	325	376	388
<b>14</b>	444	434	507	606	597	540	531	469	403	360
<b>15</b>	201	160	150	178	132	157	127	136	151	128
<b>16</b>	234	214	220	173	188	199	178	195	137	128
<b>17</b>	3,920	3,670	3,957	3,538	3,123	3,347	3,252	3,121	3,164	3,021
<b>18</b>	3,848	3,916	3,703	3,874	3,960	3,792	3,930	3,547	3,605	4,053
<b>19</b>	1,471	1,624	1,712	1,914	1,791	1,663	1,533	1,334	1,139	1,118
<b>20</b>	2,304	2,396	2,220	1,960	1,838	1,614	1,587	1,364	1,341	1,518
<b>21</b>	977	1,065	934	864	851	994	987	866	651	587
<b>22</b>	227	186	244	203	282	233	188	178	212	168
<b>State Totals Without Denver County Court</b>	<b>29,428</b>	<b>29,233</b>	<b>29,249</b>	<b>27,925</b>	<b>27,467</b>	<b>27,189</b>	<b>25,190</b>	<b>22,946</b>	<b>21,755</b>	<b>21,940</b>
<b>State Totals</b>	<b>31,852</b>	<b>31,442</b>	<b>31,395</b>	<b>31,055</b>	<b>30,958</b>	<b>31,472</b>	<b>28,439</b>	<b>26,010</b>	<b>24,687</b>	<b>24,632</b>

(a) Filings do not include DUI charges filed with Misdemeanor or Criminal Case classes

**Table 29: County Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Change of Venue	Forcible	Foreign	Name	Other	Replevin	Restraining Order	Restraining	Total	
			Entry and Detainer	Judgment					Order		Order Abuse
1	Gilpin	0	29	0	100	1	0	0	20	1	151
	Jefferson	0	4,689	6	15,670	218	186	37	616	474	21,896
	<b>Total</b>	0	4,718	6	15,770	219	186	37	636	475	22,047
3	Huerfano	0	12	0	142	2	1	0	20	4	181
	Las Animas	0	51	0	334	5	0	4	70	32	496
	<b>Total</b>	0	63	0	476	7	1	4	90	36	677
4	El Paso	0	7,849	3	19,212	265	11	50	506	2,512	30,408
	Teller	0	97	0	380	4	3	0	36	69	589
	<b>Total</b>	0	7,946	3	19,592	269	14	50	542	2,581	30,997
5	Clear Creek	0	40	0	109	3	4	0	17	1	174
	Eagle-Basalt	0	178	0	15	3	0	0	7	0	203
	Eagle Lake	0	14	0	597	16	0	0	68	0	695
	Lake	0	80	0	181	4	2	0	11	5	283
	Summit	0	110	0	441	11	1	0	37	3	603
<b>Total</b>	0	422	0	1,343	37	7	0	140	9	1,958	
6	Archuleta	0	51	0	172	4	29	0	28	23	307
	La Plata	0	135	0	884	9	0	0	97	74	1,199
	San Juan	0	2	0	4	1	0	0	2	1	10
	<b>Total</b>	0	188	0	1,060	14	29	0	127	98	1,516
7	Delta	0	94	0	766	6	4	3	62	33	968
	Gunnison	0	33	0	224	7	0	0	45	3	312
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose-Nucla	0	4	0	16	0	3	0	4	3	30
	Montrose	0	137	0	906	20	1	6	90	16	1,176
	Ouray	0	11	0	47	1	1	0	11	4	75
	San Miguel	0	29	0	62	5	0	0	17	3	116
	<b>Total</b>	0	308	0	2,021	40	9	9	229	62	2,678
8	Jackson	0	1	0	16	2	0	0	3	0	22
	Larimer	0	979	3	5,545	100	12	6	233	298	7,176
	Larimer-Loveland	0	360	0	1,746	19	1	2	0	0	2,128
	<b>Total</b>	0	1,340	3	7,307	121	13	8	236	298	9,326
9	Garfield	0	101	0	744	13	3	4	74	42	981
	Garfield-Rifle	0	118	0	793	8	1	2	19	17	958
	Pitkin	0	27	1	166	15	1	0	42	0	252
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	11	0	80	1	2	1	5	3	103
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	16	0	64	0	3	0	8	7	98
<b>Total</b>	0	273	1	1,847	37	10	7	148	69	2,392	
10	Pueblo	0	1,312	0	6,188	49	42	0	198	397	8,186
11	Chaffee	0	32	0	318	9	0	4	26	4	393
	Custer	0	11	0	30	1	0	0	7	2	51
	Fremont	0	175	0	1,086	19	1	1	65	102	1,449
	Park	0	64	0	238	9	8	0	34	16	369
	<b>Total</b>	0	282	0	1,672	38	9	5	132	124	2,262

**Table 29: County Court Civil Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Change of Venue	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Money	Name Change	Other	Replevin	Restraining Order	Restraining Order Domestic Abuse	Total
12	Alamosa	0	51	0	387	7	5	1	68	30	549
	Conejos	0	11	0	155	4	5	0	33	5	213
	Costilla	0	3	0	50	0	0	0	36	3	92
	Mineral	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	3	0	9
	Rio Grande	0	25	0	231	2	7	0	33	1	299
	Saguache	0	12	0	101	0	0	0	18	3	134
	<b>Total</b>		0	103	0	929	13	17	1	191	42
13	Kit Carson	0	14	0	158	1	1	0	7	1	182
	Logan	0	102	0	370	11	2	1	6	11	503
	Morgan	0	100	0	894	16	1	3	38	19	1,071
	Phillips	0	1	0	71	1	1	0	6	3	83
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	6	2	47
	Washington	0	4	0	70	3	0	0	5	4	86
	Yuma	0	11	0	167	3	1	0	24	1	207
<b>Total</b>		0	232	0	1,769	35	6	4	92	41	2,179
14	Grand	0	62	0	203	3	1	0	19	7	295
	Moffat	0	64	0	363	5	1	1	22	4	460
	Routt	0	57	0	337	7	1	0	56	5	463
	<b>Total</b>		0	183	0	903	15	3	1	97	16
15	Baca	0	1	0	54	0	0	0	10	1	66
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	23	0	0	0	8	0	32
	Kiowa	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	3	1	26
	Prowers	0	14	1	303	6	1	0	49	18	392
	<b>Total</b>		0	16	1	402	6	1	0	70	20
16	Bent	0	7	0	116	0	0	0	23	4	150
	Crowley	0	11	0	84	1	0	0	22	4	122
	Otero	0	67	0	700	7	1	3	61	75	914
	<b>Total</b>		0	85	0	900	8	1	3	106	83
17	Adams	0	7,495	1	16,261	211	66	89	507	629	25,259
	Broomfield	0	524	0	935	22	3	0	47	43	1,574
	<b>Total</b>		0	8,019	1	17,196	233	69	89	554	672
18	Arapahoe	0	0	0	1	0	10	0	561	524	1,096
	*Arapahoe-Aurora	0	9,496	7	16,763	290	25	138	247	92	27,058
	Douglas	0	1,288	0	4,968	120	22	12	195	125	6,730
	Elbert	0	52	0	375	11	0	0	26	18	482
	Lincoln	0	10	0	89	2	0	0	7	8	116
	<b>Total</b>		0	10,846	7	22,196	423	57	150	1,036	767
19	Weld	0	1,745	0	6,485	117	58	36	403	337	9,181
20	Boulder	0	949	0	3,401	136	20	4	226	136	4,872
	Boulder-Longmont	0	206	1	1,261	37	15	4	103	107	1,734
	<b>Total</b>		0	1,155	1	4,662	173	35	8	329	243
21	Mesa	0	1,035	2	5,394	60	7	30	195	342	7,065
22	Dolores	0	7	0	21	0	0	0	17	1	46
	Montezuma	0	68	1	603	9	1	1	115	20	818
	<b>Total</b>		0	75	1	624	9	1	1	132	21
<b>State Total</b>		0	40,346	26	118,736	1,923	575	443	5,683	6,733	174,465
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		0%	23%	0%	68%	1%	0%	0%	3%	4%	100%

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Alcohol	Animal Violation	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Curfew Violation
1	Gilpin	10	20	0	7	0	7	10	0	0
	Jefferson	256	266	2	687	1	301	257	19	127
	<b>Total</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>694</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>127</b>
3	Huerfano	10	1	1	10	15	3	4	0	0
	Las Animas	12	3	0	39	99	15	8	2	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
4	El Paso	276	159	2	413	0	231	212	219	0
	Teller	5	48	0	38	2	16	11	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>0</b>
5	Clear Creek	41	42	3	11	1	4	2	0	0
	Eagle	44	49	0	48	1	17	37	1	0
	Eagle-Basalt	7	9	0	3	0	1	4	0	0
	Lake	6	11	0	14	2	6	6	0	0
	Summit	32	26	1	44	21	8	10	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
6	Archuleta	12	49	0	4	10	3	2	2	0
	La Plata	16	61	3	53	43	14	18	1	0
	San Juan	2	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
7	Delta	24	19	0	27	13	13	12	0	0
	Gunnison	26	31	0	17	5	10	12	0	0
	Hinsdale	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Montrose	30	23	0	36	7	16	17	1	0
	Montrose-Nucla	2	0	0	1	1	4	1	0	0
	Ouray	2	4	0	5	0	0	1	0	0
	San Miguel	7	6	0	6	4	3	5	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	244	116	5	182	18	45	107	4	0
	Larimer-Loveland	147	57	1	78	7	39	42	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
9	Garfield	109	22	0	22	2	14	13	0	0
	Garfield-Rifle	31	58	0	12	1	12	7	0	0
	Pitkin	0	4	0	14	7	0	6	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	8	1	0	3	0	5	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	19	3	0	6	0	1	2	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
10	Pueblo	111	184	0	103	2	48	39	46	16
11	Chaffee	35	14	0	29	7	5	17	0	0
	Custer	6	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	0
	Fremont	22	23	0	59	0	13	23	0	0
	Park	14	37	0	16	4	4	6	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Domestic		Escape	Fare		Fraud	Gambling	Habitual	
		Violence	Drugs		Evasion	Forgery			Traffic	Harassment
1	Gilpin	55	44	1	0	20	55	146	13	15
	Jefferson	14	600	31	0	6	16	0	363	386
	<b>Total</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>644</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>401</b>
3	Huerfano	39	21	0	0	0	1	0	7	7
	Las Animas	99	33	1	0	0	10	0	18	25
	<b>Total</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>32</b>
4	El Paso	1,907	671	13	0	2	27	0	217	301
	Teller	18	37	0	0	1	4	29	0	64
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,925</b>	<b>708</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>365</b>
5	Clear Creek	42	45	0	0	1	0	0	3	5
	Eagle	150	186	0	0	1	0	0	21	33
	Eagle-Basalt	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Lake	48	19	0	0	0	1	0	0	17
	Summit	120	65	1	0	0	0	0	4	12
	<b>Total</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>316</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>69</b>
6	Archuleta	30	6	0	0	0	1	0	6	6
	La Plata	143	65	0	0	1	3	0	4	27
	San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>33</b>
7	Delta	103	51	0	0	0	0	0	18	9
	Gunnison	41	23	0	0	0	6	0	4	14
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
	Montrose	176	59	1	0	1	6	0	29	16
	Montrose-Nucla	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Ouray	5	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	7
	San Miguel	26	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	14
	<b>Total</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>61</b>
8	Jackson	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Larimer	600	461	6	1	4	1	0	115	112
	Larimer-Loveland	287	292	0	0	1	3	0	72	59
	<b>Total</b>	<b>887</b>	<b>754</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>172</b>
9	Garfield	95	44	0	0	1	2	0	8	20
	Garfield-Rifle	142	48	0	0	1	1	0	11	8
	Pitkin	36	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	10	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	18	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>40</b>
10	Pueblo	733	77	3	0	0	1	0	109	37
11	Chaffee	52	25	0	0	0	1	0	8	18
	Custer	14	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	4
	Fremont	148	53	0	0	0	5	0	0	66
	Park	34	22	0	0	0	1	0	5	19
	<b>Total</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>107</b>



**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)					Protection Order Violation	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order
		Menacing	Other	Perjury	Prostitution				
1	Gilpin	0	0	58	0	0	53	0	0
	Jefferson	14	0	305	0	1	698	21	136
	<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>751</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>136</b>
3	Huerfano	1	0	48	0	0	48	0	17
	Las Animas	8	0	37	2	0	82	2	20
	<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>37</b>
4	El Paso	28	0	375	0	25	360	28	215
	Teller	2	0	44	0	0	27	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>419</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>215</b>
5	Clear Creek	3	0	43	0	0	35	0	26
	Eagle	1	0	43	0	2	69	1	22
	Eagle-Basalt	0	0	11	0	0	3	1	0
	Lake	1	0	36	0	0	32	0	0
	Summit	0	0	37	0	0	33	1	32
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>80</b>
6	Archuleta	2	0	11	0	0	9	0	4
	La Plata	3	0	63	0	0	65	1	8
	San Juan	1	0	6	0	0	2	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>13</b>
7	Delta	1	0	46	0	0	74	3	10
	Gunnison	1	0	13	0	0	59	0	19
	Hinsdale	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	0	3	61	0	0	172	4	6
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	5	0	0	4	0	1
	Ouray	0	0	15	0	0	7	0	0
	San Miguel	2	0	28	0	0	14	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>37</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	1	0	0	4	1	1
	Larimer	14	0	287	0	4	145	11	143
	Larimer-Loveland	9	0	213	0	1	87	8	93
	<b>Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>237</b>
9	Garfield	1	0	28	0	0	77	4	14
	Garfield-Rifle	1	0	28	0	0	76	0	16
	Pitkin	1	0	31	0	0	32	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	5	0	0	17	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	0	7	0	0	27	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>33</b>
10	Pueblo	6	0	104	1	0	569	2	51
11	Chaffee	0	0	194	0	0	43	1	14
	Custer	1	0	4	0	0	6	0	0
	Fremont	2	0	89	0	0	65	1	11
	Park	1	0	22	0	0	12	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>26</b>

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Theft	Trespass	Under Age			Total
					Alcohol Offense	Weapon	Wildlife	
1	Gilpin	2	17	14	0	4	0	551
	Jefferson	82	732	67	451	88	100	6,027
	<b>Total</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>749</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>6,578</b>
3	Huerfano	4	25	4	5	2	6	279
	Las Animas	2	98	12	38	12	26	703
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>982</b>
4	El Paso	54	781	173	366	118	67	7,240
	Teller	0	36	7	7	10	15	421
	<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>817</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>373</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>7,661</b>
5	Clear Creek	3	8	4	31	8	16	377
	Eagle	5	19	20	165	10	9	954
	Eagle-Basalt	1	3	6	17	0	1	88
	Lake	0	3	11	8	2	11	234
	Summit	3	20	28	39	1	18	556
	<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>2,209</b>
6	Archuleta	0	9	5	15	2	18	206
	La Plata	4	44	14	22	23	6	705
	San Juan	0	0	3	0	0	0	20
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>931</b>
7	Delta	6	23	4	33	7	21	517
	Gunnison	1	11	5	26	2	15	341
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	3	22
	Montrose	1	25	7	44	16	18	775
	Montrose-Nucla	0	1	3	1	1	2	40
	Ouray	1	2	1	3	2	9	76
	San Miguel	1	4	10	7	2	3	153
	<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>1,924</b>
8	Jackson	0	1	4	2	1	7	29
	Larimer	32	466	142	553	36	22	3,876
	Larimer-Loveland	9	282	25	125	26	36	1,999
	<b>Total</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>749</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>680</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>5,904</b>
9	Garfield	2	14	12	11	5	2	522
	Garfield-Rifle	0	16	5	31	7	14	526
	Pitkin	0	15	18	51	4	2	233
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	1	2	1	1	10	75
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	3	2	8	3	6	119
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1,475</b>
10	Pueblo	18	169	16	289	25	155	2,914
11	Chaffee	0	28	5	28	4	16	544
	Custer	2	3	1	3	1	2	61
	Fremont	4	80	9	23	8	5	709
	Park	0	5	2	20	4	39	269
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>1,583</b>

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Alcohol	Animal Violation	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Curfew Violation
12	Alamosa	31	15	1	14	0	14	7	0	0
	Conejos	4	0	1	6	0	4	2	0	0
	Costilla	6	0	0	9	0	1	5	0	0
	Mineral	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	16	0	0	13	1	10	9	0	0
	Saguache	4	5	0	6	0	4	2	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
13	Kit Carson	13	5	0	11	1	3	3	1	0
	Logan	10	1	0	21	0	12	5	3	0
	Morgan	39	25	1	16	0	10	5	0	0
	Phillips	2	1	0	2	0	3	1	0	0
	Sedgwick	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	8	1	0	4	0	1	1	3	0
	Yuma	7	2	0	12	0	3	5	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	
14	Grand	39	8	0	20	1	5	11	0	0
	Moffat	4	18	0	17	2	10	14	4	0
	Routt	14	23	1	20	9	8	23	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
15	Baca	5	2	0	7	0	1	0	1	0
	Cheyenne	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Kiowa	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	7	4	0	13	1	3	10	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
16	Bent	4	7	0	25	2	2	8	2	0
	Crowley	2	3	0	4	0	0	2	4	0
	Otero	33	20	0	26	0	3	6	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>
17	Adams	484	84	2	186	0	303	99	2	7
	Broomfield	56	0	0	19	0	11	8	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>540</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>
18	Arapahoe	300	51	1	201	3	279	52	1	68
	Douglas	84	149	2	95	4	95	31	4	2
	Elbert	5	19	0	6	1	9	5	1	0
	Lincoln	6	2	0	7	0	4	11	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>395</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>70</b>
19	Weld	273	73	8	160	0	78	60	0	0
20	Boulder	207	19	3	115	7	42	59	2	0
	Boulder-Longmont	24	15	0	36	3	23	27	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
21	Mesa	85	322	0	117	7	62	66	4	0
22	Dolores	1	2	0	2	0	1	2	0	0
	Montezuma	41	10	0	45	57	8	22	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>3,389</b>	<b>2,240</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>3,239</b>	<b>373</b>	<b>1,870</b>	<b>1,456</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>220</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>5%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Domestic		Escape	Fare		Fraud	Gambling	Habitual	
		Violence	Drugs		Evasion	Forgery			Traffic	Harassment
12	Alamosa	114	11	1	0	0	42	0	35	12
	Conejos	25	7	0	0	0	12	0	6	7
	Costilla	11	9	0	0	1	5	0	8	20
	Mineral	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Rio Grande	40	18	0	0	0	53	0	7	15
	Saguache	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	10
	<b>Total</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>67</b>
13	Kit Carson	22	12	0	0	0	2	0	0	7
	Logan	84	32	0	0	0	2	0	14	12
	Morgan	74	25	0	0	0	3	0	23	7
	Phillips	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Sedgwick	5	6	0	0	0	3	0	1	1
	Washington	9	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	4
	Yuma	13	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>39</b>
14	Grand	53	42	0	0	0	0	0	3	9
	Moffat	62	49	0	0	0	0	0	7	16
	Routt	59	80	0	0	0	1	0	4	25
	<b>Total</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>50</b>
15	Baca	18	6	0	0	0	3	0	3	1
	Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Kiowa	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Prowers	87	40	0	0	1	21	0	13	25
	<b>Total</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>28</b>
16	Bent	21	7	0	0	0	1	0	4	16
	Crowley	24	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	Otero	125	33	0	0	1	1	0	26	12
	<b>Total</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>
17	Adams	1,243	952	3	0	2	1	0	500	104
	Broomfield	116	42	0	0	0	0	0	49	15
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,359</b>	<b>994</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>119</b>
18	Arapahoe	464	393	4	0	11	4	0	404	93
	Douglas	401	209	0	0	2	1	0	66	63
	Elbert	27	11	0	0	1	0	0	7	7
	Lincoln	20	8	0	0	0	1	0	2	3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>912</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>479</b>	<b>166</b>
19	Weld	862	335	40	0	2	0	0	380	58
20	Boulder	408	592	10	0	3	1	0	53	83
	Boulder-Longmont	242	58	4	0	0	0	0	39	26
	<b>Total</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>109</b>
21	Mesa	433	364	3	0	0	2	0	116	67
22	Dolores	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
	Montezuma	91	76	0	0	0	2	0	25	11
	<b>Total</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>10,395</b>	<b>6,436</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>315</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>2,847</b>	<b>2,067</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>17%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>3%</b>

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)					Protection Order Violation	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order
		Menacing	Other	Perjury	Prostitution				
12	Alamosa	4	0	41	0	0	126	1	1
	Conejos	0	0	15	0	0	21	0	3
	Costilla	3	0	14	0	0	14	0	1
	Mineral	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
	Rio Grande	3	0	22	0	0	25	0	6
	Saguache	0	0	6	0	0	16	0	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>
13	Kit Carson	1	0	6	0	1	21	0	0
	Logan	0	0	22	0	0	74	2	3
	Morgan	2	0	31	0	0	64	1	2
	Phillips	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0
	Sedgwick	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
	Washington	1	0	6	0	0	10	1	0
	Yuma	0	0	16	0	0	15	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	
14	Grand	2	0	22	1	0	44	0	11
	Moffat	4	0	21	0	1	68	0	33
	Routt	3	0	55	0	0	64	1	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>48</b>
15	Baca	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	2
	Cheyenne	4	0	4	0	0	10	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Prowers	1	0	10	0	0	64	2	56
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>59</b>
16	Bent	2	0	15	0	0	44	0	7
	Crowley	1	0	4	0	0	12	0	3
	Otero	4	0	7	0	0	66	1	12
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>22</b>
17	Adams	18	0	610	0	14	611	133	324
	Broomfield	2	0	24	0	0	60	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>671</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>324</b>
18	Arapahoe	10	0	397	0	3	758	3	28
	Douglas	7	0	219	0	0	350	8	48
	Elbert	0	0	9	0	0	29	0	0
	Lincoln	1	0	9	0	0	13	0	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1,150</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>80</b>
19	Weld	14	1	210	0	0	314	2	16
20	Boulder	12	0	128	0	0	147	3	148
	Boulder-Longmont	6	0	91	0	0	112	3	40
	<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>188</b>
21	Mesa	7	0	250	0	2	299	10	7
22	Dolores	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0
	Montezuma	1	0	10	0	0	122	0	46
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>218</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4,595</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>6,590</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>1,675</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Theft	Trespass	Under Age			Total
					Alcohol Offense	Weapon	Wildlife	
12	Alamosa	6	36	7	11	3	0	533
	Conejos	0	4	0	3	3	6	129
	Costilla	0	10	9	4	4	4	138
	Mineral	0	1	0	1	2	0	16
	Rio Grande	2	11	5	12	5	4	277
	Saguache	0	3	2	7	3	3	104
	<b>Total</b>		8	65	23	38	20	17
13	Kit Carson	0	6	12	6	1	0	134
	Logan	6	27	9	7	6	8	360
	Morgan	0	18	8	34	11	29	428
	Phillips	0	0	1	2	0	0	30
	Sedgwick	1	1	2	0	1	4	36
	Washington	0	2	8	0	1	2	76
	Yuma	2	2	4	11	1	7	110
<b>Total</b>		9	56	44	60	21	50	1,174
14	Grand	1	12	6	138	5	31	464
	Moffat	0	48	12	55	9	15	469
	Routt	1	36	11	118	9	42	611
	<b>Total</b>	2	96	29	311	23	88	1,544
15	Baca	0	6	0	2	0	3	66
	Cheyenne	0	2	3	3	0	2	38
	Kiowa	0	1	1	3	0	3	18
	Prowers	2	66	11	47	7	5	496
	<b>Total</b>	2	75	15	55	7	13	618
16	Bent	1	14	1	7	1	11	202
	Crowley	1	1	1	1	0	0	71
	Otero	5	25	4	51	4	0	465
	<b>Total</b>	7	40	6	59	5	11	738
17	Adams	65	456	253	209	84	18	6,767
	Broomfield	0	49	2	1	3	0	458
	<b>Total</b>	65	505	255	210	87	18	7,225
18	Arapahoe	45	184	46	352	47	135	4,337
	Douglas	11	180	38	227	30	87	2,413
	Elbert	1	10	2	16	6	3	175
	Lincoln	3	9	2	1	1	5	112
	<b>Total</b>	60	383	88	596	84	230	7,037
19	Weld	22	255	36	210	29	88	3,526
20	Boulder	36	459	67	161	36	12	2,813
	Boulder-Longmont	15	61	18	13	11	9	877
	<b>Total</b>	51	520	85	174	47	21	3,690
21	Mesa	7	262	61	446	46	74	3,119
22	Dolores	0	0	2	1	1	1	24
	Montezuma	4	32	2	41	4	7	657
	<b>Total</b>	4	32	4	42	5	8	681
<b>State Total</b>		<b>474</b>	<b>5,234</b>	<b>1,287</b>	<b>4,624</b>	<b>809</b>	<b>1,298</b>	<b>62,710</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>1%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 31: County Court Small Claims Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Breach of		Landlord		Other	Total
		Contract	Covenant	Tenant	Money		
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	13	0	13
	Jefferson	49	5	172	620	0	846
	<b>Total</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>859</b>
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	19	0	19
	Las Animas	0	0	0	40	0	40
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>59</b>
4	El Paso	8	0	279	925	1	1,213
	Teller	3	0	5	28	0	36
	<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>953</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,249</b>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	26	0	26
	Eagle-Basalt	1	0	3	22	0	26
	Eagle	0	0	10	140	0	150
	Lake	0	0	0	17	0	17
	Summit	0	0	0	79	0	79
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>298</b>
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	28	0	28
	La Plata	0	0	5	122	1	128
	San Juan	0	0	0	3	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>159</b>
7	Delta	0	0	7	52	0	59
	Gunnison	0	1	3	29	0	33
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Montrose	2	2	13	85	0	102
	Ouray	0	0	1	13	0	14
	San Miguel	0	0	3	30	0	33
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>244</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	6	0	6
	Larimer	33	8	37	420	4	502
	Larimer-Loveland	0	0	0	1	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>427</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>509</b>
9	Garfield	3	3	33	91	1	131
	Pitkin	2	0	4	59	0	65
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	0	19	0	19
	Garfield-Rifle	0	0	0	62	0	62
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	16	0	16
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>293</b>
10	Pueblo	1	4	16	248	3	272
11	Chaffee	0	0	1	29	0	30
	Custer	0	1	1	10	0	12
	Fremont	0	0	0	84	0	84
	Park	6	0	7	10	1	24
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>150</b>

**Table 31: County Court Small Claims Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Breach of		Landlord		Other	Total
		Contract	Covenant	Tenant	Money		
12	Alamosa	1	0	0	38	0	39
	Conejos	0	0	0	22	0	22
	Costilla	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Mineral	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	23	1	24
	Saguache	0	0	0	15	0	15
	<b>Total</b>		1	0	0	105	1
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	26	1	27
	Logan	0	0	2	42	0	44
	Morgan	0	0	2	49	0	51
	Phillips	0	0	2	10	0	12
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	16	0	16
	Washington	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Yuma	0	0	0	24	0	24
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	6	172	1
14	Grand	1	0	5	45	0	51
	Moffat	0	0	0	50	0	50
	Routt	0	0	3	61	0	64
	<b>Total</b>	1	0	8	156	0	165
15	Baca	0	0	0	15	0	15
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	6	0	6
	Kiowa	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Prowers	0	0	3	56	0	59
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	3	82	0	85
16	Bent	0	0	0	7	0	7
	Crowley	0	0	1	23	0	24
	Otero	0	0	6	50	0	56
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	7	80	0	87
17	Adams	10	2	35	683	2	732
	Broomfield	0	0	0	76	0	76
	<b>Total</b>	10	2	35	759	2	808
18	Arapahoe	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Arapahoe-Littleton	60	7	102	845	40	1,054
	Douglas	37	3	36	266	2	344
	Elbert	0	0	3	29	0	32
	Lincoln	0	0	0	12	0	12
	<b>Total</b>	97	10	141	1,154	42	1,444
19	Weld	10	2	28	378	2	420
20	Boulder	126	10	95	156	22	409
	Boulder-Longmont	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	126	10	95	156	22	409
21	Mesa	0	0	35	291	0	326
22	Dolores	0	0	0	4	0	4
	Montezuma	0	1	1	45	0	47
	<b>Total</b>	0	1	1	49	0	51
<b>State Total</b>		<b>353</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>959</b>	<b>6,731</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>8,173</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>4%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>100%</b>



**Table 32: County Court Traffic Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving Under the Influence	Driving While Ability Impaired	Motor Vehicle	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Total
1	Gilpin	143	105	2	348	0	598
	Jefferson	3,738	1,816	59	6,043	0	11,656
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3,881</b>	<b>1,921</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>6,391</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12,254</b>
3	Huerfano	95	39	3	220	1	358
	Las Animas	180	108	14	560	0	862
	<b>Total</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>780</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,220</b>
4	El Paso	4,056	2,517	77	7,248	0	13,898
	Teller	171	140	23	320	0	654
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,227</b>	<b>2,657</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>7,568</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14,552</b>
5	Clear Creek	221	137	9	716	0	1,083
	Eagle-Basalt	37	20	0	77	0	134
	Eagle	505	327	18	949	0	1,799
	Lake	67	62	1	116	0	246
	Summit	335	285	10	568	0	1,198
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,165</b>	<b>831</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>2,426</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,460</b>
6	Archuleta	118	76	3	137	0	334
	La Plata	507	378	12	779	0	1,676
	San Juan	3	3	1	16	0	23
	<b>Total</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>932</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,033</b>
7	Delta	238	155	11	443	0	847
	Gunnison	47	88	6	184	0	325
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	23	0	23
	Montrose-Nucla	11	8	0	23	0	42
	Montrose	262	155	10	465	0	892
	Ouray	43	32	0	129	0	204
	San Miguel	33	56	1	63	0	153
	<b>Total</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1,330</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,486</b>
8	Jackson	10	7	0	60	0	77
	Larimer	1,211	1,147	23	1,635	0	4,016
	Larimer-Loveland	884	610	24	1,073	0	2,591
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,105</b>	<b>1,764</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>2,768</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6,684</b>
9	Garfield	294	284	38	774	0	1,390
	Garfield-Rifle	306	84	4	534	0	928
	Pitkin	106	124	1	230	0	461
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	30	23	1	163	0	217
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	24	25	0	83	0	132
	<b>Total</b>	<b>760</b>	<b>540</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>1,784</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,128</b>
10	Pueblo	1,758	619	10	1,605	0	3,992
11	Chaffee	0	78	9	248	0	335
	Custer	8	8	0	38	0	54
	Fremont	318	139	5	434	0	896
	Park	159	96	9	254	0	518
	<b>Total</b>	<b>485</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>974</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,803</b>

**Table 32: County Court Traffic Filings by Case Type  
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving Under the Influence	Driving While Ability Impaired	Motor Vehicle	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Total
12	Alamosa	281	150	12	315	0	758
	Conejos	72	17	1	110	0	200
	Costilla	27	29	1	120	0	177
	Mineral	19	3	0	92	0	114
	Rio Grande	191	81	4	340	0	616
	Saguache	77	30	8	173	0	288
	<b>Total</b>		667	310	26	1,150	0
13	Kit Carson	72	33	4	152	0	261
	Logan	188	121	10	342	0	661
	Morgan	308	148	6	478	0	940
	Phillips	11	6	0	16	0	33
	Sedgwick	21	11	0	68	0	100
	Washington	80	20	0	114	0	214
	Yuma	55	27	2	85	0	169
<b>Total</b>		735	366	22	1,255	0	2,378
14	Grand	113	114	2	317	0	546
	Moffat	96	82	5	213	0	396
	Routt	147	153	4	377	0	681
	<b>Total</b>	356	349	11	907	0	1,623
15	Baca	27	18	0	92	0	137
	Cheyenne	16	3	0	44	0	63
	Kiowa	33	13	2	84	0	132
	Prowers	154	89	3	782	0	1,028
	<b>Total</b>	230	123	5	1,002	0	1,360
16	Bent	56	17	3	83	0	159
	Crowley	36	8	1	36	0	81
	Otero	264	94	5	325	0	688
	<b>Total</b>	356	119	9	444	0	928
17	Adams	5,316	2,767	19	6,736	0	14,838
	Broomfield	598	230	5	484	0	1,317
	<b>Total</b>	5,914	2,997	24	7,220	0	16,155
18	Arapahoe	5,568	3,095	48	6,495	0	15,206
	Douglas	1,264	815	16	3,352	0	5,447
	Elbert	98	58	0	217	0	373
	Lincoln	61	21	0	169	0	251
	<b>Total</b>	6,991	3,989	64	10,233	0	21,277
19	Weld	2,673	1,064	54	2,802	0	6,593
20	Boulder	1,292	1,139	69	2,123	0	4,623
	Boulder-Longmont	466	304	6	609	0	1,385
	<b>Total</b>	1,758	1,443	75	2,732	0	6,008
21	Mesa	971	573	14	1,934	0	3,492
22	Dolores	9	5	0	18	0	32
	Montezuma	278	160	3	387	0	828
	<b>Total</b>	287	165	3	405	0	860
<b>State Total</b>		<b>36,856</b>	<b>21,249</b>	<b>691</b>	<b>56,642</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>115,439</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>32%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>

# Section V: Water Court



## WATER COURT JUDGES

Division One	Greeley	James Hartmann, Jr.
Division Two	Pueblo	Larry C. Schwartz
Division Three	Alamosa	Pattie P. Swift
Division Four	Montrose	J. Steven Patrick
Division Five	Glenwood Springs	James B. Boyd
Division Six	Steamboat Springs	Michael A. O'Hara, III
Division Seven	Durango	Gregory G. Lyman

## Water Court

The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 created seven water divisions based upon the drainage patterns of major rivers in Colorado: the South Platte, Arkansas, Rio Grande, Gunnison, Colorado, White and San Juan rivers. A division engineer appointed by the state engineer; a water judge appointed by the Colorado Supreme Court; a water referee appointed by the water judge; and a water clerk assigned by the district court staff each water division.

Water judges are district judges who have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, the use and administration of water, and all other water matters within the jurisdiction of the water division. There are no jury trials in water courts, and all appeals are filed directly with the Colorado Supreme Court.

All water courts operate under a standard case definition approved by the Colorado Supreme Court in 1981. This made possible the establishment of water court filing standards, which have been reported annually by water divisions since July 1, 1981.

Specific information regarding each of the seven water divisions is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/supct/supctwaterctindex.htm>.

**Table 33: Water Court Filings, Claims, and Percent Change  
Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013**

<b>Division</b>	<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY13</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
<b>One - Weld County, Greeley</b>			
Filings	360	246	-32%
Claims	2,181	1,484	-32%
<b>Two - Pueblo County, Pueblo</b>			
Filings	135	91	-33%
Claims	730	402	-45%
<b>Three - Alamosa County, Alamosa</b>			
Filings	36	35	-3%
Claims	78	78	0%
<b>Four - Montrose County, Montrose</b>			
Filings	156	177	13%
Claims	411	446	9%
<b>Five - Garfield County, Glenwood Springs</b>			
Filings	213	162	-24%
Claims	950	808	-15%
<b>Six - Routt County, Steamboat Springs</b>			
Filings	88	81	-8%
Claims	341	201	-41%
<b>Seven - La Plata County, Durango</b>			
Filings	85	59	-31%
Claims	202	241	19%
<b>State Total</b>			
<b>Filings</b>	<b>1,073</b>	<b>851</b>	<b>-21%</b>
<b>Claims</b>	<b>4,893</b>	<b>3,660</b>	<b>-25%</b>

**Section VI:**  
Office of Dispute Resolution



## The Office of Dispute Resolution

The Office of Dispute Resolution (ODR) was created by the Colorado Dispute Resolution Act in 1983. The ODR's mission is to establish or make available dispute resolution programs and related services throughout the state, as designated by the Chief Justice of Colorado's Supreme Court. The courts were encouraged to expand use of the Dispute Resolution Act by HJR 97-1020.

ODR assists the court in designing, implementing, and administering dispute resolution programs. ODR provides services, including mediation, parenting coordination/decision-making, parenting plan facilitation, family group conferencing, early neutral assessment and settlement conferences. ODR manages the federal Access & Visitation Grant for the State of Colorado providing support and funding for dispute resolution programs targeting never-married parents with the goal of increasing parenting time.

In addition to providing services, ODR helps establish Colorado's dispute resolution policies; provides information to the public; collaborates with other individuals and organizations, including government agencies, state and local bar associations, community mediation centers, schools, private and public dispute resolution programs, and others to increase access to dispute resolution services; consults with state and local governments regarding the design, implementation, and administration of dispute resolution programs; and provides dispute resolution education and training.

ODR neutrals first began mediating cases in fiscal year 1985, with 54 domestic relations cases reported. In fiscal year 2013, an estimated 7,786 mediation cases were reported from Colorado's 22 judicial districts. These cases included domestic relations, civil, criminal, juvenile, dependency and neglect, child support enforcement, county court, small claims, and probate. Mediation services constituted approximately 99 percent of ODR's services in fiscal year 2013.

ODR receives a General Fund appropriation for administrative and program costs. Parties pay the mediator directly for services. ODR continues to provide reduced-fee services for qualifying indigent parties based on its General Fund appropriation and continued receipt of the Federal Access and Visitation Program grant funds. General information regarding the Office of Dispute Resolution is available at [www.coloradoODR.org](http://www.coloradoODR.org).



**Table 34: ADR Services Provided by Case Type and Disposition Percentage for FY2013**

Case Type	Cases completely or partially resolved	Cases not resolved <sup>1</sup>	Cases served left with proposal <sup>2</sup>	Cases inappropriate for ADR	Cases ongoing	ADR not provided <sup>3</sup>	Total # of cases reported
<b>Domestic - Initial Filings</b>	2,428	646	313	38	124	234	3,783
<b>Percentage</b>	64.2%	17.1%	8.3%	1.0%	3.3%	6.2%	
<b>Domestic - Modifications</b>	1,262	737	225	34	49	72	2,379
<b>Percentage</b>	53.0%	31.0%	9.5%	1.4%	2.1%	3.0%	
<b>Dependency &amp; Neglect</b>	199	53	35	3	1	29	320
<b>Percentage</b>	62.2%	16.6%	10.9%	0.9%	0.3%	9.1%	
<b>Child Support Enforcement</b>	270	46	14	3	13	123	469
<b>Percentage</b>	57.6%	9.8%	3.0%	0.6%	2.8%	26.2%	
<b>Juvenile</b>	115	48	8	4	1	51	227
<b>Percentage</b>	50.7%	21.1%	3.5%	1.8%	0.4%	22.5%	
<b>District Civil</b>	108	75	34	1	6	9	233
<b>Percentage</b>	46.4%	32.2%	14.6%	0.4%	2.6%	3.9%	
<b>County Civil</b>	153	103	34	2	8	54	354
<b>Percentage</b>	43.2%	29.1%	9.6%	0.6%	2.3%	15.3%	
<b>Criminal</b>	40	6	8	1	19	2	76
<b>Percentage</b>	52.6%	7.9%	10.5%	1.3%	25.0%	2.6%	
<b>Probate</b>	13	6	1	0	0	1	21
<b>Percentage</b>	61.9%	28.6%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	
<b>Collections</b>	2	1	1	0	0	0	4
<b>Percentage</b>	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>Small Claims</b>	0	1	1	0	0	1	3
<b>Percentage</b>	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	
<b>Restraining Orders</b>	2	0	2	0	0	0	4
<b>Percentage</b>	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>Other</b>	8	4	2	0	0	3	17
<b>Percentage</b>	47.1%	23.5%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	
<b>Total Cases Reported</b>	<b>4,600</b>	<b>1,726</b>	<b>678</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>579</b>	<b>7,890</b>
<b>Percentage</b>	58.3%	21.9%	8.6%	1.1%	2.8%	7.3%	

<sup>1</sup> No agreement was reached or award entered at time of service. The parties may or may not have settled subsequent to ADR service; this information is not available.

<sup>2</sup> Parties left with proposed agreement. Information is not available as to whether this agreement was finalized.

<sup>3</sup> ADR was not provided for a variety of reasons, including settlement prior to ADR service, refusal by one party, decision to use another provider, and other.

**Table 35: ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type for FY2013**

Judicial District	Domestic Relations - Initial Filing	Domestic Relations - Modification	<sup>1</sup> Child Support Enforcement	Dependency & Neglect	Juvenile	District Civil	County Civil	Collections	Probate	Small Claims	Criminal (JD4 only)	Restraining Orders (JD4 only)	Other	Total Cases Served
1	289	239	2	1	2	20	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	565
2	166	226	47	102	164	16	11	0	8	0	0	0	3	743
3	34	11	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	50
4	984	508	49	204	6	29	195	1	7	3	75	4	1	2066
5	53	30	1	0	1	2	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	118
6	4	0	2	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10
7	2	7	0	0	0	2	49	2	0	0	0	0	0	62
8	212	108	13	3	7	24	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	370
9	57	36	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	100
10	348	103	0	0	1	19	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	475
11	150	50	6	0	0	1	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	223
12	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
13	108	42	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	156
14	27	23	3	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
15	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
16	22	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
17	69	120	98	3	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	301
18	727	481	156	6	19	74	21	0	2	0	0	0	3	1489
19	209	100	83	0	14	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	415
20	70	117	0	0	4	22	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	220
21	249	173	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	430
22	3	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>3783</b>	<b>2379</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>7890</b>

<sup>1</sup> Cases referred by Child Support agencies

**Table 36: Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type for FY2013**

Judicial District	Domestic Relations - Initial Filing	Domestic Relations - Modification	<sup>1</sup> Child Support Enforcement	Dependency & Neglect	Juvenile	District Civil	County Civil	Collections	Probate	Small Claims	Criminal (JD4 only)	Restraining Orders (JD4 only)	Other	Total Cases Served
1	279	239	2	1	2	20	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	555
2	165	175	47	102	156	16	11	0	8	0	0	0	3	683
3	34	11	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	50
4	982	506	49	204	6	29	195	1	7	3	75	4	1	2062
5	53	30	1	0	1	2	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	118
6	4	0	2	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10
7	2	7	0	0	0	2	49	2	0	0	0	0	0	62
8	212	108	13	3	7	24	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	370
9	57	36	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	100
10	348	103	0	0	1	19	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	475
11	150	50	6	0	0	1	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	223
12	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
13	108	42	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	156
14	27	23	3	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
15	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
16	21	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
17	69	120	98	3	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	301
18	724	478	156	6	19	69	21	0	2	0	0	0	3	1478
19	209	100	83	0	14	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	415
20	69	105	0	0	4	21	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	206
21	249	173	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	430
22	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>3765</b>	<b>2311</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>7786</b>

<sup>1</sup> Cases referred by Child Support agencies

# Section VII:

## Financial Information



## FINANCIAL INFORMATION

In Fiscal Year 2013, the Judicial Branch (Courts and Probation) had a budget of \$397.5 million (\$245.8 million in general funds and \$151.6 million in cash and federal funds) and 3,575 full-time equivalent staff. The increase over FY2012 reflects \$5.3 million in salary-related statewide common policy adjustments, \$5.2 million for new judges and staff, as well as probate, self-represented litigant coordinator, and probation staff, along with a net reduction of \$942,000 in budget annualizations and other common policy adjustments.

In FY2013, personnel costs of \$228.3 million comprised the largest portion of the budget. Benefits accounted for \$35.9 million, operating costs \$13.7 million, victims' compensation and assistance programs \$28.6 million dollars, and \$19.6 million was appropriated to mandated/interpreter costs for court appointed counsel, jury, interpreter and court costs. The remaining amounts were appropriated in various areas including federal funds and grants, offender services and treatment, training, courthouse furnishings, leased space and other miscellaneous items.

### FY2013 Courts and Probation Budget Appropriation

Personal Services (non Judge)	\$184,360,055	46.4%
Personal Services (Judge)	\$43,948,149	11.1%
Benefits	\$35,859,354	9.0%
Operating	\$13,659,057	3.4%
Mandated Costs/Interpreter Costs	\$19,648,431	4.9%
Victims Comp/Assistance	\$28,550,000	7.2%
Offender Services and Treatment Funding	\$29,578,733	7.4%
Federal Funds	\$8,500,000	2.1%
Other	\$33,353,014	8.4%
	397,456,793	100%

The Judicial Branch's FY2013 appropriation (including the Courts and Probation, Public Defenders, Alternate Defense Counsel, Office of the Child's Representative, Independent Ethics Commission and DA Mandated Costs) represented 2.5% of the State of Colorado's total appropriated budget. The Courts and Probation's FY2013 appropriation represented 1.93% of the State's total appropriated budget.

**COLORADO JUDICIAL BRANCH**

**Collections / Revenue**

Fiscal Year 2012-2013

**Table 37**


CATEGORY	AMOUNT
<b>GENERAL FUND</b>	
Civil Action Tax and General Fund Civil Fees	\$ 409,195
Miscellaneous Criminal Costs, Forfeitures, and Related	\$ 1,367,497
Miscellaneous Fees	\$ 21,790
Public Defender Fees	\$ 317,533
Seized Asset Forfeitures: 1% Statutory Share	\$ 9,688
Supreme Court Docket Fee Tax (Non-Appeal Filings)	\$ 265
Victims Assistance (General Fund Portion)	\$ 204,599
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 2,330,567</b>
<b>Percentage of Total</b>	<b>1.3%</b>
<b>HIGHWAY USERS TRUST FUND</b>	
D.U.I. Fines (HUTF Portion)	\$ 2,463,748
Highway Construction Workers Safety Fund	\$ 61,140
Traffic Fines & Forfeits	\$ 8,758,162
Wildlife Crossing Zones Safety Account	\$ 3,006
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 11,286,056</b>
<b>Percentage of Total</b>	<b>6.1%</b>
<b>VICTIM RESTITUTION AND PROGRAM FUNDS</b>	
Restitution (Reimbursements to Victims of Crime for Losses Incurred)	\$ 26,355,885
Victim Address Confidentiality Surcharges (for Department of Personnel & Admin)	\$ 134,294
Victim Assistance Surcharges (for Local and State Victims Assistance Grant Programs)	\$ 15,769,336
Victim Compensation Costs (for Local Victims Compensation Programs)	\$ 13,637,151
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 55,896,666</b>
<b>Percentage of Total</b>	<b>30.4%</b>
<b>OTHER SPECIAL PURPOSES AND FUNDS</b>	
Adolescent Substance Abuse Surcharges (for Div. of Alcohol & Drug Abuse)	\$ 67,921
Alcohol Evaluation/Supervision Fees	\$ 4,564,711
Animal Cruelty Surcharges (for Dept. of Agriculture)	\$ 1,439
Attorney Fee Reimbursements (Cost Recovery)	\$ 107,450
Child Abuse Investigation Surcharge (for Div. of Criminal Justice)	\$ 181,477
Collaborative Management Incentive Fund (for Dept. of Human Services; divorce fees; formerly "Family Stabilization")	\$ 2,790,291
Colorado Children's Trust Fund (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 363,050
Commercial Vehicle Enterprise Tax Fund (for Dept. of Revenue - Share of Excess Vehicle Wgt Penalties)	\$ 143,439
Continuing Legal Education Fund	\$ 338,645
Correctional Treatment Cash Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 4,502,275
Court Security Fund	\$ 2,596,415
Crimes Against At-Risk Persons Surcharge	\$ 2,329
Disabled Parking Education and Enforcement Fund (for Dept. of Revenue)	\$ 9,736
Displaced Homemaker Fee (for Dept. of Labor and Employment)	\$ 107,476
Domestic Abuse Program Fund (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 156,766
Family Friendly Courts Surcharge	\$ 249,871
Family Violence Justice Fund	\$ 157,472
Felony and Misdemeanor Fines (Judicial Fines Collection Cash Fund)	\$ 1,713,366
Fines - Parks and Outdoor Recreation Fund	\$ 22,892
Fines - Wildlife Cash Fund	\$ 42,896
Illegal Alien - Bond Forfeitures (for Dept. of Corrections and County Jails)	\$ 13,900
Interstate Compact Probation Transfer Cash Fund	\$ 169,949
Judicial Information Technology Fund	\$ 8,039,088
Judicial Performance Fund	\$ 557,263
Judicial Stabilization Fund	\$ 25,663,981
Justice Center Fund	\$ 15,903,529
Juvenile Offender Fund (Youthful Offender Surcharge)	\$ 35
Law Enforcement Assistance Fund (for Dept. of Health and Environment, Transportation Safety, Human Services)	\$ 1,739,938
Law Examiner Board Fund	\$ 1,222,847
Misc. Cost Recoveries (Various Trial Court and Probation costs recovered, incl. court share of OJW)	\$ 2,587,165
Municipalities & Counties Share of Fees & Fines Collected, DMV's share of OJW	\$ 9,511,467
Offender ID Fund (for Dept. of Public Safety and Judicial Dept.)	\$ 1,181,982
Office of Dispute Resolution Fund	\$ 4,322
Persistent Drunk Driver Surcharge (for Dept. of Transportation, Revenue, Human Services)	\$ 1,970,850
Probation Supervision Fees (Judicial Offender Services Fund)	\$ 13,779,847
Rural Alcohol and Substance Abuse Fund	\$ 125,695
Sex Offender Surcharge Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 460,480
Supreme Court Committee Fund (Attorney Regulation)	\$ 6,905,352
Supreme Court Law Library Fund	\$ 636,756
Tax- Vital Statistics (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 78,338
Time Payment, Late Fees, Collection Costs (Judicial Collection Enhancement Fund)	\$ 4,542,168
Traumatic Brain Injury Surcharges (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 851,895
Useful Public Service Fees Collected (Judicial Operated Programs only)	\$ 235,653
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 114,302,417</b>
<b>Percentage of Total</b>	<b>62.2%</b>
<b>TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES</b>	<b>\$ 183,815,706</b>

# COLORADO JUDICIAL BRANCH



## Collections / Revenue

In Fiscal Year 2013, the state courts throughout Colorado brought in \$183.8 million. Over fifty different statutory funds and purposes are supported by these revenues including the General Fund, Highway Users Trust Fund, Judicial Stabilization Fund, Correctional Treatment Cash Fund, Offender Services Fund, and many others. Of the total, approximately 30%, or \$55.9 million, went to crime victim related programs, \$26.4 million of which were recoveries of restitution. Collection efforts are concentrated in Collections Investigator offices in the local courts and probation departments. Under this statewide program, the Judicial Branch has implemented a variety of proactive strategies and procedures. Examples include: investigating offenders' assets and earnings; issuing garnishments and attachments; locating offenders who are attempting to avoid their obligations; intercepting state income tax refunds, lottery winnings, unclaimed property, and gambling winnings; suspending driver's licenses; and referring accounts to private collection agencies. In addition to the efforts of Collections Investigators, other system personnel also play key roles in the collection process including judges, clerks, probation officers, court administrators, district attorneys, and other criminal justice agencies.

Helping make victims whole through the recovery of restitution continues to be a major area of emphasis for the Colorado courts. The chart below shows restitution recoveries over the last ten years.



## FY2013 Final Appropriations





### FY 2013 Judicial Appropriation by Major Cost Category




Chart 8

### FY 2013 Judicial Appropriation Major Function




Chart 9

# Section VIII: Colorado Probation



# CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS

**FIRST  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Kevin Nelan  
Jeannette Haskins, Deputy

**SECOND ADULT  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Fran Jamison  
Cheryl Frenette, Deputy

**SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
JUVENILE**

Shawn Cohn

**THIRD  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Martin Malouff

**FOURTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Ellen Walker

**FIFTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Laurel Lamont

**SIXTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Chad McInnis

**SEVENTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Rob Omer

**EIGHTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Chris Gastelle

**NINTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

William Sightler

**TENTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

David Simental

**ELEVENTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Michael O'Rourke

**TWELFTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Bill Gurule

**THIRTEENTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Steven Proctor

**FOURTEENTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Dennis Martinez

**FIFTEENTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Byron Hall

**SIXTEENTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Tobin Wright

**SEVENTEENTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Mike Garcia  
Jenifer Morgen, Deputy

**EIGHTEENTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Robin Leaf  
Shelly Sack, Deputy

**NINETEENTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Jerry Green  
Mary Nagler, Deputy

**TWENTIETH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Greg Brown

**TWENTY-FIRST  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Linda Robinson

**TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL  
DISTRICT**

Sonia Buffington

Updated as of 8/15/13

# COLORADO PROBATION

*Colorado Probation is Committed to:*

- *Public Safety*
- *Victim and Community Reparation through Offender Accountability*
- *Skill and Competency Development;*
- *Services to the Communities of Colorado.*

The above Statement of Common Ground was developed to clearly identify the critical functions of justice that unify all probation departments in carrying out their mission. Probation's commitment to these practices requires the implementation of innovative approaches to offender assessment, supervision, victim involvement and services to the community. As new research becomes available and as best practices evolve, it is necessary to adapt Probation's practices to better serve the public and increase public safety for the residents of Colorado. This year's statistical report reflects our commitment to improve results, protect the public, reduce the overall cost of the correctional system and increase services to victims of crime.

## ***Probation Programs (Tables 38, 47 and 73)***

The Colorado Judicial Department administers adult and juvenile probation in the state's 22 judicial districts. This includes 23 probation departments, with over 65 separate probation locations throughout the state. The Division of Probation Services develops and publishes guidelines and standards for regular probation supervision and all intensive probation programs. Within the limits of statute and these state standards, each district develops and structures programs that address the needs of the local court and the community. In all districts, offenders are assessed for risk and need factors. Based on these assessments, those placed on regular probation are put on minimum, medium or maximum supervision.

The number of adult offenders sentenced to regular state probation supervision in FY 2013 was 34,050 and on June 30, 2013, there were 47,956 adult offenders on regular supervision. In FY 2013, sixty-six percent of adults completed regular state probation successfully. An additional 2,184 adult offenders were sentenced to an intensive program and 10,062 were sentenced to private probation supervision.

In Fiscal Year 2013, 3,992 juveniles were sentenced to regular probation supervision and on June 30, 2013, there were 4,540 juveniles on regular supervision. In FY 2013, seventy-two percent of these juveniles completed regular state probation successfully. An additional 304 juveniles were sentenced to Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP).

## ***Intensive Probation Programs***

Intensive programs are created in statute or otherwise established through a specific appropriation and provide the court with community sentencing options for high-risk offenders. Whereas this was a notable approach to the development of the intensive programs, over time it has proven to be limiting, as probation has recognized other high risk populations, such as serious economic crime and mentally ill offenders, for whom dedicated programs would be beneficial and cost effective. Colorado Probation continues to explore options to manage these populations effectively. Intensive programs are offered only through state probation. Three main goals drive intensive probation programs: assess criminal risk and provide enhanced levels of supervision; target offenders' service needs that relate to ongoing criminal activity; and identify and make referrals for appropriate treatment and services to reduce criminal behavior. Offenders must meet certain criteria, based on assessed risk and need, before being screened for placement in an intensive probation program. These programs offer or make referrals for specialized assessments, offense specific treatment, electronic monitoring, cognitive skills training, educational assessments, and employment programs. Due to the significant number of supervision requirements, and the level of risk, the number of offenders assigned to an intensive programs' probation officer are capped at 18-30 offenders, depending on the program. These intensive offender programs include Adult Intensive Supervision; Juvenile Intensive Supervision; the Female Offender Program; and Sex Offender Intensive Supervision for adults.

### ***Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (Table 38, Table 79-82)***

The Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP) Program was implemented statewide in FY 1982, as a community sentencing alternative to incarceration for selected high risk adult offenders with extensive criminal histories. In FY 1997, as a result of its proven effectiveness, the General Assembly approved expansion of the program's average daily population from 750 to 1,500 offenders. The program is designed to deliver intensive case management that includes frequent contact with the offender, increased levels of drug testing, curfews, electronic monitoring, home visits and required employment or educational/vocational efforts and attendance in treatment, as deemed necessary. The number of offenders assigned to an AISP officer is capped at 25. In FY 2013, there were 1,519 offenders sentenced to AISP and on June 30, 2013, there were 1,448 on AISP. In FY 2013, 673 offenders (61%) successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Department of Corrections.

### ***Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (Table 38, Table 91-94)***

The Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) Program was implemented in FY 1991 as a community sentencing option for selected high risk juvenile offenders. As a result of its proven effectiveness, the General Assembly approved expansion of the program sufficient to allow its existence in all 22 judicial districts. The program is designed to deliver intensive case management to include monitoring of school progress, referral for remedial educational assistance, home visits, electronic monitoring, drug testing, skill building and treatment services, as required. The number of juveniles assigned to a JISP officer is capped at 18. In FY 2013, there were 304 juveniles sentenced to JISP and on June 30, 2013 there were 291 juveniles on JISP. In FY 2013, 144 juveniles (45%) successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Division of Youth Corrections.

### ***Female Offender Program (Table 38, Table 87-90)***

The Female Offender Program (FOP) was initially a grant funded pilot project developed in FY 1991, to intervene in the lives of high risk, substance abusing female offenders. In FY 1995, the General Assembly, based on the results of the pilot program, provided state funding in only 10 judicial districts. The program is designed to deliver intensive gender based case management to include frequent contact, skill building, regular employment or vocational/educational efforts, drug testing, home visits, electronic monitoring and participation in treatment, as required. The program was terminated in FY 2004, as a result of required budget reductions. Prior to the program's termination there was a 0% recidivism rate, for one year following termination from probation, for those offenders that successfully completed the program in FY 2001 and FY 2002. The FOP was re-funded to its previous level in FY 2005. The number of women assigned to each FOP officer is capped at 30. In FY 2013, there were 264 female offenders sentenced to FOP and on June 30, 2013, there were 297 on FOP. In FY 2013, 101 women (66%) successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Department of Corrections.

### ***Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (Table 38, Table 83-86)***

The Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP) is designed to provide the highest level of supervision to adult sex offenders who are placed on probation. Although initially created in statute in 1998 to address the risk posed by lifetime supervision cases, the Colorado Legislature made a significant change to the statute in 2001. Pursuant to HB01-1229, all felony sex offenders convicted on or after July 1, 2001, are statutorily mandated to be supervised by the SOISP program. Any adult convicted of a felony sex offense, who receives a sentence to probation, is required to be supervised in the Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program.

The goal of intensive supervision probation for sex offenders is to minimize the risk to the public to the greatest extent possible with supervision and treatment. The State of Colorado has adopted a model of containment in the supervision and management of sex offenders. Probation officers employ this model and recognize that some sex offenders cannot or will not respond to treatment. Depending on the offender, elements of containment may include severely restricted activities, daily contact with an officer, curfew checks, home visits, employment visits and monitoring, drug testing, and sex offense specific treatment to include the

use of polygraph testing. In FY 2013, there were 401 offenders sentenced to SOISP and on June 30, 2013, there were 1,412 on SOISP. In FY 2013, the program successfully terminated 176 offenders (45%) from the SOISP program, who might otherwise have served sentences in the Department of Corrections. It is important to note that approximately half of the SOISP probationers are serving indeterminate sentences (minimum of 10 years), so fewer in this group are eligible for termination each year. As of June 30, 2013, over 1,400 sex offenders were being successfully supervised on SOISP.

### **Other Probation Programs**

#### ***Private Probation (Table 38, Table 60)***

Chief Justice Directive 04-03 (originally CJD 96-05), defines the priority use of probation resources and defines the circumstances under which districts may contract with private probation. Part III of the Directive states that districts may enter into agreements with public or private entities for the provision of probation services, including investigation services and the supervision of lower risk adult probationers. Although not every judicial district has access to or utilizes a private provider, Colorado Probation has contracted for private probation services since FY 1996, due in part to the inadequacy of staff resources to supervise all offenders sentenced to probation at the level required by standard. In FY2013 there were 10,062 new offenders sent to private probation for supervision. Of these, 6,025 were on supervision for a DUI/DWAI, while 4,037 were sentenced for some other criminal offense. On June 30, 2013, there were 19,316 offenders being managed under private probation service contracts. Of these, 12,243 were on supervision for a DUI/DWAI, while 7,073 were on supervision for some other criminal offense. In FY2013, private probation successfully terminated 3,432 Non-DUI/DWAI probationers (83%) and another 6,975 DUI/DWAI private probationers (88%). By utilizing private probation for these lower risk offenders, State probation departments can concentrate their resources on the higher risk offenders.

#### ***DUI/DWAI Offenders (Table 38, Table 101)***

In FY 2007, the Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety (ADDS) Program was fully integrated within each probation department. This integration provides an enhanced ability to deliver supervision for the higher risk portion of the Drinking/Driving offending population. Probation conducts alcohol/drug evaluations and makes treatment recommendations for offenders convicted of driving under the influence of, or impaired by, drugs or alcohol. In FY 2013, probation completed 21,110 DUI/DWAI Evaluations for Colorado's county courts, excluding Denver County. In FY2013, there were 3,632 offenders sentenced to monitoring. On June 30, 2013, there were 5,547 DUI/DWAI offenders being monitored by State Probation. In FY2013, State Probation successfully terminated 2,965 (83%) DUI monitored offenders. Other DUI/DWAI offenders, who were sentenced to probation supervision, are included in this report in the Adult Probation section but are not separately identified.

#### ***Victim Services (Table 96)***

In FY 1993, Colorado's General Assembly enacted victims' rights legislation for victims of personal crimes. In 1996, the Victims' Rights Act was amended to require Colorado probation departments to provide victim services. Each of the state's 23 probation departments provides services to victims, who request to be notified, when the offender is placed on probation. In addition to provision of referrals and general assistance, in FY 2013, Probation Victim Assistance Coordinators sent 17,464 letters to victims of crime, informing them of their right to receive notification. Of these victims, sixteen percent (2,806) requested receipt of the statutorily required notification. There were a total of 13,319 critical probation notification events, for which victims were notified.

TABLE 38

**Grand Totals - Probation**  
**State Adult Regular, Investigations, Intensive Programs, Private, State Monitoring, Juvenile Regular, Juvenile Investigations and JISP for FY2012 and FY2013**

	Investigations/DUI Evaluations		New Clients		Closures/Discharges		Active as of June 30 (Supervision/Monitoring)	
	FY2012	FY2013	FY2012	FY2013	FY2012	FY2013	FY2012	FY2013
<b>State Adult Regular</b>	13,322	13,669	30,739	34,050	22,496	24,313	45,947	47,956
<b>Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP)</b>			1,488	1,519	1,442	1,495	1,458	1,448
<b>Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP)</b>			453	401	379	448	1,476	1,412
<b>Female Offender Program (FOP)</b>			229	264	191	206	263	297
<b>Private Probation Non-DUI/DWAI</b>			4,719	4,037	4,647	4,190	7,407	7,073
<b>Private Probation DUI/DWAI</b>			7,915	6,025	8,436	8,004	12,308	12,243
<b>State Monitored DUI/DWAI</b>	21,882	21,110	4,224	3,632	5,333	3,648	5,790	5,547
<b><u>Grand Total Adult Population</u></b>	<b>35,204</b>	<b>34,779</b>	<b>49,767</b>	<b>49,928</b>	<b>42,924</b>	<b>42,304</b>	<b>74,649</b>	<b>75,976</b>

	Investigations		New Clients		Closures/Discharges		Active as of June 30 (Supervision)	
	FY2012	FY2013	FY2012	FY2013	FY2012	FY2013	FY2012	FY2013
<b>State Juvenile</b>	1,871	1,754	4,080	3,992	3,852	3,500	5,156	4,540
<b>Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP)</b>			354	304	402	332	315	291
<b><u>Grand Total Juvenile Population</u></b>	<b>1,871</b>	<b>1,754</b>	<b>4,434</b>	<b>4,296</b>	<b>4,254</b>	<b>3,832</b>	<b>5,471</b>	<b>4,831</b>

TABLE 39

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado Adult Probation**  
**State Regular and Non-DUI/DWAI Private Combined**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

Note: Because adult state and private programs are reported separately, this summary table was created to identify termination rates for all non-DUI adult probationers. A similar table was not created for juveniles because they are not supervised by private.

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS											
	Successful				Revoked				Absconded				Total Terminations			
	State	Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)	TOTAL		State	Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)	TOTAL		State	Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)	TOTAL		State	Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)	TOTAL	
	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%
DISTRICT																
1	1,259	526	1,785	62%	562	48	610	21%	412	82	494	17%	2,233	656	2,889	100%
2	1,048	0	1,048	57%	339	0	339	18%	455	0	455	25%	1,842	0	1,842	100%
3	208	0	208	81%	26	0	26	10%	23	0	23	9%	257	0	257	100%
4	1,084	239	1,323	62%	354	36	390	18%	363	57	420	20%	1,801	332	2,133	100%
5	396	49	445	74%	83	1	84	14%	66	3	69	12%	545	53	598	100%
6	362	19	381	77%	73	1	74	15%	36	1	37	8%	471	21	492	100%
7	248	29	277	80%	33	0	33	10%	36	1	37	11%	317	30	347	100%
8	1,035	346	1,381	74%	180	44	224	12%	184	87	271	14%	1,399	477	1,876	100%
9	152	104	256	77%	27	1	28	8%	40	9	49	15%	219	114	333	100%
10	479	75	554	60%	245	4	249	27%	112	3	115	13%	836	82	918	100%
11	439	48	487	70%	130	2	132	19%	80	0	80	11%	649	50	699	100%
12	268	65	333	70%	76	16	92	19%	46	5	51	11%	390	86	476	100%
13	301	49	350	71%	95	2	97	20%	45	0	45	9%	441	51	492	100%
14	214	0	214	77%	28	0	28	10%	35	0	35	13%	277	0	277	100%
15	90	0	90	75%	24	0	24	20%	6	0	6	5%	120	0	120	100%
16	127	0	127	65%	44	0	44	22%	25	0	25	13%	196	0	196	100%
17	3,034	334	3,368	74%	573	26	599	13%	548	39	587	13%	4,155	399	4,554	100%
18	1,547	727	2,274	66%	581	35	616	18%	524	15	539	16%	2,652	777	3,429	100%
19	646	207	853	71%	217	18	235	20%	86	23	109	9%	949	248	1,197	100%
20	592	468	1,060	74%	138	77	215	15%	105	49	154	11%	835	594	1,429	100%
21	482	141	623	72%	118	12	130	15%	91	20	111	13%	691	173	864	100%
22	140	6	146	63%	46	2	48	21%	36	0	36	16%	222	8	230	100%
STATE	14,151	3,432	17,583	69%	3,992	325	4,317	17%	3,354	394	3,748	15%	21,497	4,151	25,648	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number includes the state successful terminations and private (Non-DUI/DWAI) successful terminations.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these offenders are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

*Total Terminations*: This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of probation closures are included in Table 48 and Table 61.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



# Colorado Probation: Regular Adult State Probation



TABLE 40

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**Investigations**

DISTRICT	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre-Sentence Investigations		DUI/DWAI Evaluations <sup>1</sup>		Updated Pre-Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	199	5%	1,259	34%	2,051	55%	23	1%	0	0%	54	1%	143	4%	3,729	100%
2	174	10%	1,352	76%	43	2%	0	0%	1	0%	81	5%	131	7%	1,782	100%
3	1	0%	139	56%	83	34%	3	1%	0	0%	5	2%	16	6%	247	100%
4	117	3%	912	23%	2,457	63%	16	0%	108	3%	149	4%	137	4%	3,896	100%
5	21	2%	107	9%	1,001	85%	2	0%	0	0%	12	1%	35	3%	1,178	100%
6	19	3%	258	36%	407	57%	0	0%	0	0%	13	2%	20	3%	717	100%
7	11	1%	129	18%	452	61%	11	1%	36	5%	16	2%	80	11%	735	100%
8	63	2%	538	20%	1,677	62%	99	4%	0	0%	52	2%	277	10%	2,706	100%
9	7	1%	125	13%	558	56%	12	1%	238	24%	18	2%	32	3%	990	100%
10	32	3%	328	27%	716	59%	30	2%	44	4%	0	0%	72	6%	1,222	100%
11	24	4%	106	19%	342	62%	11	2%	24	4%	14	3%	30	5%	551	100%
12	10	1%	272	32%	317	37%	0	0%	240	28%	2	0%	20	2%	861	100%
13	46	6%	218	29%	384	51%	22	3%	6	1%	17	2%	61	8%	754	100%
14	8	1%	119	22%	333	62%	1	0%	15	3%	5	1%	57	11%	538	100%
15	4	3%	28	20%	102	73%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	4	3%	140	100%
16	9	5%	64	34%	81	44%	8	4%	5	3%	1	1%	18	10%	186	100%
17	112	3%	837	21%	2,961	73%	4	0%	11	0%	40	1%	86	2%	4,051	100%
18	194	4%	374	8%	3,671	83%	0	0%	0	0%	106	2%	87	2%	4,432	100%
19	87	3%	903	36%	1,257	50%	27	1%	0	0%	5	0%	244	10%	2,523	100%
20	43	2%	355	19%	1,280	69%	0	0%	0	0%	47	3%	130	7%	1,855	100%
21	47	4%	363	28%	757	57%	15	1%	0	0%	26	2%	111	8%	1,319	100%
22	12	3%	148	40%	180	49%	0	0%	4	1%	12	3%	11	3%	367	100%
STATE	1,240	4%	8,934	26%	21,110	61%	285	1%	733	2%	675	2%	1,802	5%	34,779	100%
Denver County <sup>2</sup>	0	0%	0	0%	2,800	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2,800	100%
STATE TOTAL (with Denver County)	1,240	3%	8,934	24%	23,910	64%	285	1%	733	2%	675	2%	1,802	5%	37,579	100%

<sup>1</sup>DUI/DWAI Evaluations: In previous annual reports this data was in Table 94. As of FY2008 this information is now included in this table.

<sup>2</sup>Denver County: Colorado Judicial contracts with the Denver County Court for alcohol evaluations. Denver County Court is not part of the State Court data system, therefore this data is reported by Denver County for inclusion in this table.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 41

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**New Clients by Offense Type**

DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class)		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	973	25%	2,844	72%	26	1%	8	0%	74	2%	3,925	100%
2	1,702	64%	919	34%	0	0%	0	0%	54	2%	2,675	100%
3	65	21%	239	76%	0	0%	7	2%	3	1%	314	100%
4	1,214	40%	1,677	56%	6	0%	3	0%	109	4%	3,009	100%
5	214	20%	807	77%	15	1%	2	0%	15	1%	1,053	100%
6	141	27%	362	70%	1	0%	0	0%	12	2%	516	100%
7	136	30%	295	65%	0	0%	1	0%	23	5%	455	100%
8	673	39%	958	56%	26	2%	14	1%	52	3%	1,723	100%
9	172	50%	162	47%	1	0%	0	0%	8	2%	343	100%
10	353	26%	985	72%	1	0%	3	0%	31	2%	1,373	100%
11	164	19%	666	77%	13	2%	7	1%	16	2%	866	100%
12	135	27%	333	66%	10	2%	5	1%	19	4%	502	100%
13	234	28%	587	69%	4	0%	6	1%	14	2%	845	100%
14	119	25%	330	71%	6	1%	2	0%	11	2%	468	100%
15	44	26%	126	73%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	172	100%
16	72	27%	190	71%	2	1%	0	0%	5	2%	269	100%
17	950	17%	4,303	78%	191	3%	15	0%	65	1%	5,524	100%
18	1,462	26%	3,960	71%	31	1%	16	0%	86	2%	5,555	100%
19	673	44%	790	52%	13	1%	15	1%	34	2%	1,525	100%
20	430	23%	1,320	72%	35	2%	9	0%	51	3%	1,845	100%
21	369	43%	456	54%	3	0%	0	0%	24	3%	852	100%
22	65	27%	156	65%	1	0%	2	1%	17	7%	241	100%
STATE	10,360	30%	22,465	66%	386	1%	115	0%	724	2%	34,050	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 42

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**New Clients by Court of Origin**

DISTRICT	District Court		County Court		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,412	36%	2,454	63%	59	2%	3,925	100%
2	2,613	98%	18	1%	44	2%	2,675	100%
3	118	38%	193	61%	3	1%	314	100%
4	1,411	47%	1,497	50%	101	3%	3,009	100%
5	370	35%	677	64%	6	1%	1,053	100%
6	219	42%	286	55%	11	2%	516	100%
7	229	50%	211	46%	15	3%	455	100%
8	822	48%	854	50%	47	3%	1,723	100%
9	200	58%	136	40%	7	2%	343	100%
10	651	47%	696	51%	26	2%	1,373	100%
11	305	35%	549	63%	12	1%	866	100%
12	248	49%	244	49%	10	2%	502	100%
13	358	42%	475	56%	12	1%	845	100%
14	174	37%	289	62%	5	1%	468	100%
15	81	47%	91	53%	0	0%	172	100%
16	121	45%	144	54%	4	1%	269	100%
17	1,864	34%	3,604	65%	56	1%	5,524	100%
18	1,846	33%	3,631	65%	78	1%	5,555	100%
19	854	56%	641	42%	30	2%	1,525	100%
20	717	39%	1,084	59%	44	2%	1,845	100%
21	428	50%	405	48%	19	2%	852	100%
22	109	45%	117	49%	15	6%	241	100%
STATE	15,150	44%	18,296	54%	604	2%	34,050	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 43

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**New Clients by Supervision Type**

DISTRICT	Probation		Probation Revoked and Re-sentenced		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Courtesy Supervision from Other Colorado Probation Districts		Direct Sentence Community Corrections		Deferred Judgment and Sentence		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3,327	85%	108	3%	59	2%	249	6%	150	4%	32	1%	3,925	100%
2	1,845	69%	118	4%	44	2%	173	6%	136	5%	359	13%	2,675	100%
3	151	48%	5	2%	3	1%	53	17%	1	0%	101	32%	314	100%
4	2,013	67%	70	2%	101	3%	243	8%	85	3%	497	17%	3,009	100%
5	683	65%	12	1%	6	1%	121	11%	17	2%	214	20%	1,053	100%
6	340	66%	3	1%	11	2%	49	9%	4	1%	109	21%	516	100%
7	248	55%	1	0%	15	3%	96	21%	12	3%	83	18%	455	100%
8	1,091	63%	47	3%	47	3%	188	11%	58	3%	292	17%	1,723	100%
9	195	57%	2	1%	7	2%	58	17%	18	5%	63	18%	343	100%
10	891	65%	18	1%	26	2%	109	8%	38	3%	291	21%	1,373	100%
11	485	56%	21	2%	12	1%	66	8%	6	1%	276	32%	866	100%
12	311	62%	6	1%	10	2%	44	9%	17	3%	114	23%	502	100%
13	556	66%	6	1%	12	1%	62	7%	41	5%	168	20%	845	100%
14	291	62%	5	1%	5	1%	61	13%	9	2%	97	21%	468	100%
15	101	59%	1	1%	0	0%	29	17%	3	2%	38	22%	172	100%
16	151	56%	5	2%	4	1%	38	14%	17	6%	54	20%	269	100%
17	4,397	80%	125	2%	56	1%	470	9%	162	3%	314	6%	5,524	100%
18	3,909	70%	86	2%	78	1%	260	5%	134	2%	1,088	20%	5,555	100%
19	980	64%	12	1%	29	2%	246	16%	94	6%	164	11%	1,525	100%
20	1,407	76%	20	1%	44	2%	149	8%	32	2%	193	10%	1,845	100%
21	628	74%	23	3%	20	2%	62	7%	59	7%	60	7%	852	100%
22	158	66%	5	2%	15	6%	25	10%	7	3%	31	13%	241	100%
STATE	24,158	71%	699	2%	604	2%	2,851	8%	1,100	3%	4,638	14%	34,050	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 44

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**New Clients by Gender**

DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,020	26%	2,903	74%	2	0%	3,925	100%
2	631	24%	2,041	76%	3	0%	2,675	100%
3	82	26%	232	74%	0	0%	314	100%
4	735	24%	2,271	75%	3	0%	3,009	100%
5	226	21%	827	79%	0	0%	1,053	100%
6	143	28%	372	72%	1	0%	516	100%
7	119	26%	336	74%	0	0%	455	100%
8	410	24%	1,313	76%	0	0%	1,723	100%
9	70	20%	273	80%	0	0%	343	100%
10	349	25%	1,022	74%	2	0%	1,373	100%
11	228	26%	637	74%	1	0%	866	100%
12	104	21%	398	79%	0	0%	502	100%
13	204	24%	641	76%	0	0%	845	100%
14	120	26%	348	74%	0	0%	468	100%
15	45	26%	127	74%	0	0%	172	100%
16	62	23%	206	77%	1	0%	269	100%
17	1,447	26%	4,076	74%	1	0%	5,524	100%
18	1,559	28%	3,996	72%	0	0%	5,555	100%
19	412	27%	1,112	73%	1	0%	1,525	100%
20	476	26%	1,368	74%	1	0%	1,845	100%
21	209	25%	643	75%	0	0%	852	100%
22	62	26%	178	74%	1	0%	241	100%
STATE	8,713	26%	25,320	74%	17	0%	34,050	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 45

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**New Clients by Age**

DISTRICT	12-17 Years*		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	11	0%	319	8%	642	16%	1,124	29%	640	16%	1,189	30%	0	0%	3,925	100%
2	0	0%	275	10%	531	20%	750	28%	410	15%	708	26%	1	0%	2,675	100%
3	0	0%	26	8%	58	18%	80	25%	59	19%	91	29%	0	0%	314	100%
4	3	0%	240	8%	514	17%	928	31%	509	17%	815	27%	0	0%	3,009	100%
5	7	1%	80	8%	191	18%	335	32%	161	15%	279	26%	0	0%	1,053	100%
6	0	0%	53	10%	95	18%	160	31%	76	15%	132	26%	0	0%	516	100%
7	1	0%	39	9%	76	17%	129	28%	80	18%	130	29%	0	0%	455	100%
8	4	0%	206	12%	330	19%	486	28%	277	16%	420	24%	0	0%	1,723	100%
9	1	0%	25	7%	53	15%	99	29%	59	17%	106	31%	0	0%	343	100%
10	2	0%	126	9%	256	19%	375	27%	230	17%	384	28%	0	0%	1,373	100%
11	3	0%	95	11%	126	15%	219	25%	130	15%	292	34%	1	0%	866	100%
12	8	2%	48	10%	74	15%	138	27%	76	15%	158	31%	0	0%	502	100%
13	2	0%	107	13%	139	16%	235	28%	122	14%	240	28%	0	0%	845	100%
14	4	1%	48	10%	75	16%	128	27%	73	16%	140	30%	0	0%	468	100%
15	0	0%	25	15%	30	17%	42	24%	25	15%	50	29%	0	0%	172	100%
16	0	0%	35	13%	49	18%	70	26%	45	17%	70	26%	0	0%	269	100%
17	28	1%	445	8%	1,022	19%	1,645	30%	993	18%	1,391	25%	0	0%	5,524	100%
18	33	1%	502	9%	1,006	18%	1,557	28%	909	16%	1,548	28%	0	0%	5,555	100%
19	4	0%	152	10%	272	18%	404	26%	272	18%	421	29%	0	0%	1,525	100%
20	10	1%	201	11%	407	22%	490	27%	271	15%	466	25%	0	0%	1,845	100%
21	6	1%	77	9%	135	16%	236	28%	132	15%	266	31%	0	0%	852	100%
22	1	0%	20	8%	35	15%	65	27%	44	18%	76	32%	0	0%	241	100%
STATE	128	0%	3,144	9%	6,116	18%	9,695	28%	5,593	16%	9,372	28%	2	0%	34,050	100%

\*12-17 Years: DUI/DWAI and underage consumption convictions for offenders under the age of 18 are reported as "adult offenses".

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 46

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**New Clients by Ethnic Group**

DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3,431	87%	157	4%	51	1%	249	6%	18	0%	19	0%	3,925	100%
2	1,513	57%	665	25%	31	1%	424	16%	23	1%	19	1%	2,675	100%
3	242	77%	4	1%	0	0%	61	19%	4	1%	3	1%	314	100%
4	2,049	68%	444	15%	35	1%	426	14%	20	1%	35	1%	3,009	100%
5	899	85%	15	1%	4	0%	126	12%	4	0%	5	0%	1,053	100%
6	378	73%	4	1%	3	1%	51	10%	71	14%	9	2%	516	100%
7	381	84%	3	1%	2	0%	56	12%	8	2%	5	1%	455	100%
8	1,488	86%	69	4%	5	0%	144	8%	7	0%	10	1%	1,723	100%
9	315	92%	1	0%	0	0%	24	7%	3	1%	0	0%	343	100%
10	705	51%	64	5%	5	0%	546	40%	14	1%	39	3%	1,373	100%
11	799	92%	10	1%	3	0%	45	5%	3	0%	6	1%	866	100%
12	263	52%	12	2%	1	0%	210	42%	5	1%	11	2%	502	100%
13	712	84%	35	4%	0	0%	88	10%	7	1%	3	0%	845	100%
14	424	91%	7	1%	2	0%	23	5%	7	1%	5	1%	468	100%
15	121	70%	4	2%	0	0%	44	26%	1	1%	2	1%	172	100%
16	183	68%	8	3%	0	0%	77	29%	0	0%	1	0%	269	100%
17	4,713	85%	395	7%	66	1%	310	6%	21	0%	19	0%	5,524	100%
18	3,934	71%	930	17%	102	2%	508	9%	25	0%	56	1%	5,555	100%
19	1,209	79%	43	3%	6	0%	247	16%	9	1%	11	1%	1,525	100%
20	1,627	88%	69	4%	19	1%	120	7%	5	0%	5	0%	1,845	100%
21	713	84%	22	3%	8	1%	94	11%	4	0%	11	1%	852	100%
22	126	52%	2	1%	1	0%	19	8%	93	39%	0	0%	241	100%
STATE	26,225	77%	2,963	9%	344	1%	3,892	11%	352	1%	274	1%	34,050	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



TABLE 47

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,259	56%	562	25%	412	18%	2,233	100%
2	1,048	57%	339	18%	455	25%	1,842	100%
3	208	81%	26	10%	23	9%	257	100%
4	1,084	60%	354	20%	363	20%	1,801	100%
5	396	73%	83	15%	66	12%	545	100%
6	362	77%	73	15%	36	8%	471	100%
7	248	78%	33	10%	36	11%	317	100%
8	1,035	74%	180	13%	184	13%	1,399	100%
9	152	69%	27	12%	40	18%	219	100%
10	479	57%	245	29%	112	13%	836	100%
11	439	68%	130	20%	80	12%	649	100%
12	268	69%	76	19%	46	12%	390	100%
13	301	68%	95	22%	45	10%	441	100%
14	214	77%	28	10%	35	13%	277	100%
15	90	75%	24	20%	6	5%	120	100%
16	127	65%	44	22%	25	13%	196	100%
17	3,034	73%	573	14%	548	13%	4,155	100%
18	1,547	58%	581	22%	524	20%	2,652	100%
19	646	68%	217	23%	86	9%	949	100%
20	592	71%	138	17%	105	13%	835	100%
21	482	70%	118	17%	91	13%	691	100%
22	140	63%	46	21%	36	16%	222	100%
STATE	14,151	66%	3,992	19%	3,354	16%	21,497	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number is exclusively state successful terminations.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these offenders are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

*Total Terminations*: This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of state adult probation closures are included in Table 48.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 48

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**All Probation Supervision Closure Types**

DISTRICT	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES		Courtesy Transfers <sup>1</sup>	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	DISTRICT
1	1,259	49%	562	22%	412	16%	259	10%	14	1%	24	1%	46	2%	2,576	100%	206	1
2	1,048	46%	339	15%	455	20%	252	11%	135	6%	16	1%	53	2%	2,298	100%	207	2
3	208	76%	26	10%	23	8%	7	3%	0	0%	3	1%	6	2%	273	100%	33	3
4	1,084	52%	354	17%	363	17%	183	9%	5	0%	24	1%	64	3%	2,077	100%	224	4
5	396	67%	83	14%	66	11%	7	1%	34	6%	2	0%	0	0%	588	100%	87	5
6	362	75%	73	15%	36	7%	7	1%	0	0%	3	1%	4	1%	485	100%	35	6
7	248	74%	33	10%	36	11%	9	3%	5	1%	3	1%	2	1%	336	100%	68	7
8	1,035	68%	180	12%	184	12%	88	6%	4	0%	25	2%	7	0%	1,523	100%	206	8
9	152	63%	27	11%	40	17%	15	6%	1	0%	5	2%	0	0%	240	100%	33	9
10	479	51%	245	26%	112	12%	78	8%	8	1%	7	1%	2	0%	931	100%	87	10
11	439	66%	130	20%	80	12%	7	1%	0	0%	3	0%	2	0%	661	100%	59	11
12	268	62%	76	18%	46	11%	30	7%	0	0%	4	1%	9	2%	433	100%	59	12
13	301	58%	95	18%	45	9%	51	10%	9	2%	3	1%	12	2%	516	100%	86	13
14	214	75%	28	10%	35	12%	5	2%	0	0%	2	1%	1	0%	285	100%	68	14
15	90	70%	24	19%	6	5%	9	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	129	100%	30	15
16	127	59%	44	20%	25	12%	17	8%	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%	217	100%	38	16
17	3,034	66%	573	12%	548	12%	344	7%	69	2%	18	0%	10	0%	4,596	100%	471	17
18	1,547	51%	581	19%	524	17%	290	10%	20	1%	31	1%	35	1%	3,028	100%	247	18
19	646	56%	217	19%	86	7%	160	14%	26	2%	10	1%	11	1%	1,156	100%	215	19
20	592	63%	138	15%	105	11%	75	8%	8	1%	8	1%	14	1%	940	100%	105	20
21	482	61%	118	15%	91	12%	67	8%	5	1%	11	1%	16	2%	790	100%	64	21
22	140	60%	46	20%	36	15%	10	4%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	235	100%	26	22
STATE	14,151	58%	3,992	16%	3,354	14%	1,970	8%	343	1%	207	1%	296	1%	24,313	100%	2,654	STATE

<sup>1</sup>**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 49

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**Type of Revocation**

DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	56	10%	107	19%	399	71%	562	100%
2	68	20%	42	12%	229	68%	339	100%
3	0	0%	8	31%	18	69%	26	100%
4	95	27%	52	15%	207	58%	354	100%
5	13	16%	19	23%	51	61%	83	100%
6	7	10%	18	25%	48	66%	73	100%
7	1	3%	10	30%	22	67%	33	100%
8	33	18%	39	22%	108	60%	180	100%
9	5	19%	10	37%	12	44%	27	100%
10	32	13%	48	20%	165	67%	245	100%
11	16	12%	14	11%	100	77%	130	100%
12	11	14%	22	29%	43	57%	76	100%
13	11	12%	23	24%	61	64%	95	100%
14	5	18%	12	43%	11	39%	28	100%
15	0	0%	4	17%	20	83%	24	100%
16	4	9%	7	16%	33	75%	44	100%
17	68	12%	169	29%	336	59%	573	100%
18	83	14%	203	35%	295	51%	581	100%
19	43	20%	43	20%	131	60%	217	100%
20	27	20%	28	20%	83	60%	138	100%
21	33	28%	28	24%	57	48%	118	100%
22	4	9%	6	13%	36	78%	46	100%
STATE	615	15%	912	23%	2,465	62%	3,992	100%

**Key:**

- New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
- New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
- Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).
- Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 50

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**Length of Stay**

DISTRICT	0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	873	34%	1,071	42%	352	14%	280	11%	2,576	100%
2	846	37%	777	34%	342	15%	333	14%	2,298	100%
3	126	46%	99	36%	28	10%	20	7%	273	100%
4	706	34%	863	42%	258	12%	250	12%	2,077	100%
5	288	49%	193	33%	60	10%	47	8%	588	100%
6	197	41%	198	41%	51	11%	39	8%	485	100%
7	119	35%	120	36%	53	16%	44	13%	336	100%
8	555	36%	563	37%	235	15%	170	11%	1,523	100%
9	76	32%	96	40%	38	16%	30	13%	240	100%
10	358	38%	336	36%	135	15%	102	11%	931	100%
11	340	51%	236	36%	49	7%	36	5%	661	100%
12	202	47%	181	42%	29	7%	21	5%	433	100%
13	207	40%	209	41%	45	9%	55	11%	516	100%
14	96	34%	103	36%	44	15%	42	15%	285	100%
15	58	45%	42	33%	19	15%	10	8%	129	100%
16	65	30%	93	43%	38	18%	21	10%	217	100%
17	2,292	50%	1,533	33%	462	10%	309	7%	4,596	100%
18	1,054	35%	1,074	35%	452	15%	448	15%	3,028	100%
19	352	30%	481	42%	177	15%	146	13%	1,156	100%
20	352	37%	378	40%	121	13%	89	9%	940	100%
21	186	24%	264	33%	165	21%	175	22%	790	100%
22	111	47%	95	40%	19	8%	10	4%	235	100%
STATE	9,459	39%	9,005	37%	3,172	13%	2,677	11%	24,313	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 51

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013**

Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Domestic Violence <sup>1</sup>		Sex Offender <sup>2</sup>		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE PROBATION CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	109	2%	462	9%	1,266	24%	1,323	25%	727	14%	424	8%	657	12%	177	3%	219	4%	5,364	100%
2	22	1%	809	18%	1,169	27%	890	20%	903	21%	356	8%	91	2%	81	2%	70	2%	4,391	100%
3	18	4%	66	16%	100	24%	123	30%	39	9%	11	3%	29	7%	12	3%	18	4%	416	100%
4	169	4%	517	11%	1,015	22%	918	20%	690	15%	72	2%	798	17%	155	3%	271	6%	4,605	100%
5	62	5%	85	7%	189	17%	260	23%	201	18%	45	4%	195	17%	23	2%	83	7%	1,143	100%
6	16	2%	84	11%	146	20%	177	24%	71	10%	30	4%	98	13%	16	2%	107	14%	745	100%
7	11	1%	149	19%	198	25%	209	26%	45	6%	56	7%	69	9%	27	3%	36	5%	800	100%
8	76	3%	344	13%	716	27%	479	18%	396	15%	257	10%	149	6%	69	3%	185	7%	2,671	100%
9	22	4%	75	13%	134	23%	146	24%	57	10%	44	7%	33	6%	11	2%	73	12%	595	100%
10	63	3%	176	10%	554	30%	188	10%	286	16%	113	6%	369	20%	38	2%	31	2%	1,818	100%
11	51	5%	90	9%	218	22%	259	26%	152	15%	25	2%	165	16%	20	2%	31	3%	1,011	100%
12	9	1%	82	11%	204	27%	250	34%	78	10%	44	6%	51	7%	9	1%	19	3%	746	100%
13	30	3%	105	9%	196	16%	285	24%	240	20%	82	7%	138	12%	29	2%	84	7%	1,189	100%
14	19	2%	62	8%	134	17%	242	32%	68	9%	48	6%	134	17%	14	2%	50	6%	771	100%
15	5	2%	36	12%	66	23%	89	31%	40	14%	19	7%	17	6%	6	2%	12	4%	290	100%
16	10	2%	57	13%	106	24%	101	23%	45	10%	22	5%	78	18%	9	2%	7	2%	435	100%
17	111	1%	572	7%	1,692	22%	2,499	32%	1,324	17%	418	5%	873	11%	87	1%	144	2%	7,720	100%
18	223	3%	531	8%	2,442	35%	904	13%	1,233	18%	411	6%	701	10%	154	2%	304	4%	6,903	100%
19	27	1%	206	9%	517	22%	553	24%	304	13%	225	10%	362	16%	33	1%	106	5%	2,333	100%
20	19	1%	270	15%	318	18%	332	19%	490	28%	102	6%	59	3%	84	5%	106	6%	1,780	100%
21	23	1%	126	7%	529	28%	332	18%	230	12%	224	12%	224	12%	53	3%	125	7%	1,866	100%
22	3	1%	37	10%	63	17%	75	21%	27	7%	22	6%	79	22%	10	3%	48	13%	364	100%
STATE	1,098	2%	4,941	10%	11,972	26%	10,634	23%	7,646	16%	3,050	6%	5,369	11%	1,117	2%	2,129	4%	47,956	100%

<sup>1</sup>**Domestic Violence:** A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 52.

<sup>2</sup>**Sex Offender:** A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 52.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 52

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads**  
**Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013**

Risk Level:	Domestic Violence Offenders									
	DV Maximum		DV Medium		DV Minimum		DV Administrative		TOTAL DV OFFENDERS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
<b>DISTRICT</b>										
1	242	37%	289	44%	87	13%	39	6%	657	100%
2	57	63%	23	25%	11	12%	0	0%	91	100%
3	9	31%	15	52%	4	14%	1	3%	29	100%
4	206	26%	268	34%	272	34%	52	7%	798	100%
5	31	16%	98	50%	58	30%	8	4%	195	100%
6	34	35%	50	51%	12	12%	2	2%	98	100%
7	16	23%	38	55%	15	22%	0	0%	69	100%
8	76	51%	63	42%	10	7%	0	0%	149	100%
9	9	27%	14	42%	9	27%	1	3%	33	100%
10	136	37%	151	41%	34	9%	48	13%	369	100%
11	48	29%	79	48%	37	22%	1	1%	165	100%
12	20	39%	16	31%	11	22%	4	8%	51	100%
13	45	33%	64	46%	24	17%	5	4%	138	100%
14	50	37%	65	49%	19	14%	0	0%	134	100%
15	12	71%	5	29%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%
16	25	32%	35	45%	16	21%	2	3%	78	100%
17	359	41%	373	43%	90	10%	51	6%	873	100%
18	115	16%	515	73%	37	5%	34	5%	701	100%
19	119	33%	130	36%	87	24%	26	7%	362	100%
20	7	12%	16	27%	34	58%	2	3%	59	100%
21	50	22%	140	63%	25	11%	9	4%	224	100%
22	13	16%	52	66%	14	18%	0	0%	79	100%
<b>STATE</b>	1,679	31%	2,499	47%	906	17%	285	5%	5,369	100%

Risk Level:	Sex Offenders (Non-SOISP) <sup>1</sup>									
	Sex Offender Maximum		Sex Offender Medium		Sex Offender Minimum		Sex Offender Administrative		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
<b>DISTRICT</b>										
1	54	31%	68	38%	53	30%	2	1%	177	100%
2	15	19%	2	2%	2	2%	62	77%	81	100%
3	9	75%	2	17%	1	8%	0	0%	12	100%
4	49	32%	65	42%	38	25%	3	2%	155	100%
5	7	30%	12	52%	4	17%	0	0%	23	100%
6	6	38%	5	31%	5	31%	0	0%	16	100%
7	4	15%	12	44%	10	37%	1	4%	27	100%
8	22	32%	17	25%	3	4%	27	39%	69	100%
9	3	27%	5	45%	3	27%	0	0%	11	100%
10	16	42%	15	39%	7	18%	0	0%	38	100%
11	7	35%	9	45%	4	20%	0	0%	20	100%
12	1	11%	5	56%	3	33%	0	0%	9	100%
13	9	31%	6	21%	13	45%	1	3%	29	100%
14	4	29%	6	43%	2	14%	2	14%	14	100%
15	3	50%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
16	5	56%	3	33%	1	11%	0	0%	9	100%
17	45	52%	24	28%	16	18%	2	2%	87	100%
18	45	29%	54	35%	27	18%	28	18%	154	100%
19	12	36%	8	24%	10	30%	3	9%	33	100%
20	27	32%	21	25%	27	32%	9	11%	84	100%
21	11	21%	20	38%	22	42%	0	0%	53	100%
22	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
<b>STATE</b>	362	32%	364	33%	251	22%	140	13%	1,117	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

<sup>1</sup>SOISP's (Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation) active client numbers can be found in Table 96.

TABLE 53

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult and All Private Adult Probation**  
**Active Clients on June 30, 2013**

DISTRICT	REGULAR STATE SUPERVISED CLIENTS		PRIVATE PROBATION NON-DUI/DWAI CLIENTS		PRIVATE PROBATION DUI/DWAI CLIENTS		TOTAL STATE REGULAR & PRIVATE PROBATION POPULATION	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	5,364	65%	1,060	13%	1,857	22%	8,281	100%
2	4,391	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4,391	100%
3	416	100%	0	0%	0	0%	416	100%
4	4,605	73%	640	10%	1,060	17%	6,305	100%
5	1,143	87%	77	6%	100	8%	1,320	100%
6	745	88%	23	3%	74	9%	842	100%
7	800	92%	40	5%	29	3%	869	100%
8	2,671	57%	741	16%	1,256	27%	4,668	100%
9	595	69%	179	21%	92	11%	866	100%
10	1,818	68%	233	9%	616	23%	2,667	100%
11	1,011	82%	53	4%	173	14%	1,237	100%
12	746	75%	70	7%	184	18%	1,000	100%
13	1,189	85%	62	4%	143	10%	1,394	100%
14	771	100%	0	0%	0	0%	771	100%
15	290	100%	0	0%	0	0%	290	100%
16	435	100%	0	0%	0	0%	435	100%
17	7,720	85%	467	5%	859	9%	9,046	100%
18	6,903	59%	1,500	13%	3,282	28%	11,685	100%
19	2,333	68%	576	17%	521	15%	3,430	100%
20	1,780	42%	917	22%	1,563	37%	4,260	100%
21	1,866	72%	421	16%	310	12%	2,597	100%
22	364	73%	14	3%	124	25%	502	100%
STATE	47,956	71%	7,073	11%	12,243	18%	67,272	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# Colorado Probation: Private Probation





TABLE 54

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**New Clients by Supervision Type**

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION						
DISTRICT	Direct Sentence <sup>1</sup>		Transfer from State Probation <sup>2</sup>		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	6	1%	772	99%	778	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	227	100%	227	100%
5	0	0%	6	100%	6	100%
6	0	0%	26	100%	26	100%
7	0	0%	6	100%	6	100%
8	0	0%	655	100%	655	100%
9	0	0%	117	100%	117	100%
10	0	0%	72	100%	72	100%
11	0	0%	17	100%	17	100%
12	0	0%	64	100%	64	100%
13	24	51%	23	49%	47	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	0%	408	100%	409	100%
18	0	0%	371	100%	371	100%
19	0	0%	340	100%	340	100%
20	496	75%	162	25%	658	100%
21	0	0%	229	100%	229	100%
22	14	93%	1	7%	15	100%
STATE	541	13%	3,496	87%	4,037	100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION						
DISTRICT	Direct Sentence <sup>1</sup>		Transfer from State Probation <sup>2</sup>		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1	0%	439	100%	440	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	378	100%	378	100%
5	0	0%	8	100%	8	100%
6	0	0%	40	100%	40	100%
7	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
8	0	0%	1,352	100%	1,352	100%
9	0	0%	56	100%	56	100%
10	0	0%	490	100%	490	100%
11	2	2%	96	98%	98	100%
12	0	0%	140	100%	140	100%
13	52	66%	27	34%	79	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	3	1%	478	99%	481	100%
18	0	0%	1,229	100%	1,229	100%
19	0	0%	354	100%	354	100%
20	22	3%	629	97%	651	100%
21	0	0%	115	100%	115	100%
22	105	94%	7	6%	112	100%
STATE	185	3%	5,840	97%	6,025	100%

<sup>1</sup>**Direct Sentence:** A probationer ordered by the court to be supervised by a private provider.

<sup>2</sup>**Transfer from State Probation:** A probationer originally sentenced to state probation but later determined appropriate for and supervised by a private provider.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 55

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**New Clients by Gender**

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION							
	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
1	265	34%	512	66%	1	0%	778 100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
4	67	30%	160	70%	0	0%	227 100%
5	1	17%	5	83%	0	0%	6 100%
6	11	42%	15	58%	0	0%	26 100%
7	0	0%	6	100%	0	0%	6 100%
8	196	30%	459	70%	0	0%	655 100%
9	42	36%	74	63%	1	1%	117 100%
10	24	33%	48	67%	0	0%	72 100%
11	5	29%	12	71%	0	0%	17 100%
12	24	38%	40	63%	0	0%	64 100%
13	18	38%	29	62%	0	0%	47 100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
17	154	38%	255	62%	0	0%	409 100%
18	155	42%	216	58%	0	0%	371 100%
19	89	26%	251	74%	0	0%	340 100%
20	173	26%	485	74%	0	0%	658 100%
21	81	35%	148	65%	0	0%	229 100%
22	4	27%	11	73%	0	0%	15 100%
STATE	1,309	32%	2,726	68%	2	0%	4,037 100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION							
	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
1	134	30%	306	70%	0	0%	440 100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
4	105	28%	273	72%	0	0%	378 100%
5	3	38%	5	63%	0	0%	8 100%
6	13	33%	27	68%	0	0%	40 100%
7	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2 100%
8	381	28%	971	72%	0	0%	1,352 100%
9	8	14%	48	86%	0	0%	56 100%
10	130	27%	360	73%	0	0%	490 100%
11	26	27%	72	73%	0	0%	98 100%
12	32	23%	108	77%	0	0%	140 100%
13	18	23%	61	77%	0	0%	79 100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
17	109	23%	371	77%	1	0%	481 100%
18	372	30%	857	70%	0	0%	1,229 100%
19	73	21%	281	79%	0	0%	354 100%
20	177	27%	474	73%	0	0%	651 100%
21	29	25%	86	75%	0	0%	115 100%
22	36	32%	76	68%	0	0%	112 100%
STATE	1,646	27%	4,378	73%	1	0%	6,025 100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 56

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**New Clients by Age: Non-DUI/DWAI**

<b>NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION</b>																
<b>DISTRICT</b>	<b>12-17 Years</b>		<b>18-20 Years</b>		<b>21-24 Years</b>		<b>25-32 Years</b>		<b>33-39 Years</b>		<b>40 and above</b>		<b>Unknown</b>		<b>TOTAL NEW CLIENTS</b>	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	88	11%	159	20%	191	25%	120	15%	220	28%	0	0%	778	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	20	9%	58	26%	72	32%	31	14%	46	20%	0	0%	227	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
6	0	0%	1	4%	5	19%	9	35%	4	15%	7	27%	0	0%	26	100%
7	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	2	33%	0	0%	3	50%	0	0%	6	100%
8	1	0%	96	15%	134	20%	177	27%	94	14%	153	23%	0	0%	655	100%
9	0	0%	14	12%	18	15%	34	29%	15	13%	36	31%	0	0%	117	100%
10	0	0%	11	15%	14	19%	23	32%	6	8%	18	25%	0	0%	72	100%
11	0	0%	4	24%	5	29%	2	12%	3	18%	3	18%	0	0%	17	100%
12	4	6%	8	13%	17	27%	15	23%	4	6%	16	25%	0	0%	64	100%
13	1	2%	11	23%	11	23%	9	19%	4	9%	11	23%	0	0%	47	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	52	13%	93	23%	106	26%	72	18%	86	21%	0	0%	409	100%
18	0	0%	45	12%	82	22%	81	22%	51	14%	111	30%	1	0%	371	100%
19	0	0%	46	14%	78	23%	73	21%	64	19%	79	23%	0	0%	340	100%
20	1	0%	103	16%	137	21%	164	25%	89	14%	163	25%	1	0%	658	100%
21	0	0%	29	13%	55	24%	65	28%	36	16%	44	19%	0	0%	229	100%
22	0	0%	5	33%	3	20%	3	20%	2	13%	2	13%	0	0%	15	100%
STATE	7	0%	534	13%	869	22%	1,031	26%	596	15%	998	25%	2	0%	4,037	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 57

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**New Clients by Age: DUI/DWAI**

<b>DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION</b>																
<b>DISTRICT</b>	<b>12-17 Years</b>		<b>18-20 Years</b>		<b>21-24 Years</b>		<b>25-32 Years</b>		<b>33-39 Years</b>		<b>40 and above</b>		<b>Unknown</b>		<b>TOTAL NEW CLIENTS</b>	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	25	6%	68	15%	97	22%	63	14%	187	43%	0	0%	440	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	1	0%	28	7%	60	16%	130	34%	49	13%	110	29%	0	0%	378	100%
5	0	0%	2	25%	2	25%	1	13%	1	13%	2	25%	0	0%	8	100%
6	2	5%	6	15%	7	18%	10	25%	5	13%	10	25%	0	0%	40	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
8	5	0%	146	11%	368	27%	361	27%	172	13%	300	22%	0	0%	1,352	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	10	18%	14	25%	7	13%	25	45%	0	0%	56	100%
10	1	0%	30	6%	89	18%	137	28%	71	14%	162	33%	0	0%	490	100%
11	0	0%	4	4%	22	22%	23	23%	15	15%	34	35%	0	0%	98	100%
12	4	3%	18	13%	39	28%	37	26%	12	9%	30	21%	0	0%	140	100%
13	1	1%	12	15%	12	15%	21	27%	12	15%	21	27%	0	0%	79	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	0%	25	5%	73	15%	155	32%	103	21%	124	26%	0	0%	481	100%
18	2	0%	65	5%	209	17%	362	29%	189	15%	402	33%	0	0%	1,229	100%
19	0	0%	29	8%	78	22%	103	29%	47	13%	97	27%	0	0%	354	100%
20	3	0%	37	6%	153	24%	185	28%	97	15%	176	27%	0	0%	651	100%
21	0	0%	12	10%	28	24%	29	25%	22	19%	24	21%	0	0%	115	100%
22	0	0%	8	7%	16	14%	32	29%	28	25%	28	25%	0	0%	112	100%
STATE	20	0%	447	7%	1,235	20%	1,697	28%	893	15%	1,733	29%	0	0%	6,025	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 58

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**New Clients by Ethnic Group: Non-DUI/DWAI**

<b>NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION</b>														
<b>DISTRICT</b>	<b>Caucasian</b>		<b>African American</b>		<b>Asian</b>		<b>Hispanic</b>		<b>Native American</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>TOTAL NEW CLIENTS</b>	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	688	88%	38	5%	13	2%	27	3%	2	0%	10	1%	778	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	155	68%	38	17%	4	2%	25	11%	1	0%	4	2%	227	100%
5	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
6	19	73%	0	0%	0	0%	2	8%	5	19%	0	0%	26	100%
7	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
8	598	91%	18	3%	1	0%	33	5%	2	0%	3	0%	655	100%
9	103	88%	0	0%	0	0%	14	12%	0	0%	0	0%	117	100%
10	49	68%	2	3%	2	3%	17	24%	1	1%	1	1%	72	100%
11	17	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%
12	38	59%	2	3%	0	0%	23	36%	0	0%	1	2%	64	100%
13	42	89%	1	2%	0	0%	4	9%	0	0%	0	0%	47	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	376	92%	14	3%	8	2%	7	2%	1	0%	3	1%	409	100%
18	265	71%	57	15%	7	2%	40	11%	1	0%	1	0%	371	100%
19	302	89%	8	2%	1	0%	28	8%	1	0%	0	0%	340	100%
20	598	91%	20	3%	10	2%	23	3%	1	0%	6	1%	658	100%
21	202	88%	5	2%	1	0%	17	7%	1	0%	3	1%	229	100%
22	4	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	73%	0	0%	15	100%
STATE	3,468	86%	203	5%	47	1%	260	6%	27	1%	32	1%	4,037	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 59

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**New Clients by Ethnic Group: DUI/DWAI**

<b>DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION</b>														
	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	409	93%	6	1%	3	1%	20	5%	1	0%	1	0%	440	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	282	75%	30	8%	8	2%	54	14%	4	1%	0	0%	378	100%
5	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
6	28	70%	0	0%	0	0%	7	18%	5	13%	0	0%	40	100%
7	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
8	1,244	92%	31	2%	8	1%	62	5%	2	0%	5	0%	1,352	100%
9	53	95%	0	0%	0	0%	3	5%	0	0%	0	0%	56	100%
10	253	52%	10	2%	1	0%	202	41%	2	0%	22	4%	490	100%
11	92	94%	0	0%	1	1%	4	4%	0	0%	1	1%	98	100%
12	64	46%	3	2%	0	0%	68	49%	3	2%	2	1%	140	100%
13	72	91%	0	0%	0	0%	6	8%	0	0%	1	1%	79	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	418	87%	16	3%	9	2%	35	7%	2	0%	1	0%	481	100%
18	899	73%	117	10%	31	3%	167	14%	4	0%	11	1%	1,229	100%
19	274	77%	2	1%	1	0%	74	21%	2	1%	1	0%	354	100%
20	588	90%	19	3%	5	1%	36	6%	1	0%	2	0%	651	100%
21	106	92%	2	2%	0	0%	6	5%	1	1%	0	0%	115	100%
22	46	41%	0	0%	0	0%	7	6%	59	53%	0	0%	112	100%
STATE	4,838	80%	236	4%	67	1%	751	12%	86	1%	47	1%	6,025	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 60

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION								
	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	526	80%	48	7%	82	13%	656	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	239	72%	36	11%	57	17%	332	100%
5	49	92%	1	2%	3	6%	53	100%
6	19	90%	1	5%	1	5%	21	100%
7	29	97%	0	0%	1	3%	30	100%
8	346	73%	44	9%	87	18%	477	100%
9	104	91%	1	1%	9	8%	114	100%
10	75	91%	4	5%	3	4%	82	100%
11	48	96%	2	4%	0	0%	50	100%
12	65	76%	16	19%	5	6%	86	100%
13	49	96%	2	4%	0	0%	51	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	334	84%	26	7%	39	10%	399	100%
18	727	94%	35	5%	15	2%	777	100%
19	207	83%	18	7%	23	9%	248	100%
20	468	79%	77	13%	49	8%	594	100%
21	141	82%	12	7%	20	12%	173	100%
22	6	75%	2	25%	0	0%	8	100%
STATE	3,432	83%	325	8%	394	9%	4,151	100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION								
	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,082	89%	62	5%	70	6%	1,214	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	416	79%	49	9%	63	12%	528	100%
5	38	95%	0	0%	2	5%	40	100%
6	70	95%	3	4%	1	1%	74	100%
7	24	100%	0	0%	0	0%	24	100%
8	1,015	86%	50	4%	116	10%	1,181	100%
9	49	94%	0	0%	3	6%	52	100%
10	279	88%	11	3%	27	9%	317	100%
11	129	92%	7	5%	4	3%	140	100%
12	197	87%	22	10%	8	4%	227	100%
13	48	96%	2	4%	0	0%	50	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	537	81%	45	7%	85	13%	667	100%
18	1,950	92%	98	5%	78	4%	2,126	100%
19	125	79%	18	11%	15	9%	158	100%
20	846	90%	66	7%	30	3%	942	100%
21	102	82%	8	6%	14	11%	124	100%
22	68	69%	10	10%	21	21%	99	100%
STATE	6,975	88%	451	6%	537	7%	7,963	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This column is exclusively private successful terminations.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: This column includes probationers supervised by private who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.

Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

*Total Terminations*: This column includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of private probation closures are included in Tables 61 and 62.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 61

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**All Closure Types: Non-DUI/DWAI**

<b>NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION</b>																
<b>DISTRICT</b>	<b>Successful</b>		<b>Revoked</b>		<b>Absconded</b>		<b>Deported</b>		<b>Death</b>		<b>Administrative Closure</b>		<b>TOTAL CLOSURES</b>		<b>Courtesy Transfers<sup>1</sup></b>	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	DISTRICT
1	526	79%	48	7%	82	12%	0	0%	9	1%	1	0%	666	100%	75	1
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	2
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	239	71%	36	11%	57	17%	0	0%	4	1%	1	0%	337	100%	67	4
5	49	92%	1	2%	3	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	53	100%	14	5
6	19	90%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%	4	6
7	29	97%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	30	100%	1	7
8	346	72%	44	9%	87	18%	0	0%	5	1%	0	0%	482	100%	21	8
9	104	91%	1	1%	9	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	114	100%	33	9
10	75	90%	4	5%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	83	100%	19	10
11	48	96%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	50	100%	7	11
12	65	74%	16	18%	5	6%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	88	100%	2	12
13	49	92%	2	4%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	53	100%	4	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	334	84%	26	7%	39	10%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	400	100%	8	17
18	727	93%	35	4%	15	2%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	779	100%	73	18
19	207	83%	18	7%	23	9%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	250	100%	54	19
20	468	78%	77	13%	49	8%	0	0%	3	1%	2	0%	599	100%	60	20
21	141	80%	12	7%	20	11%	0	0%	4	2%	0	0%	177	100%	33	21
22	6	75%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%	0	22
<b>STATE</b>	<b>3,432</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4,190</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>STATE</b>

<sup>1</sup>**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



TABLE 62

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**All Closure Types: DUI/DWAI**

<b>DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION</b>																
<b>DISTRICT</b>	<b>Successful</b>		<b>Revoked</b>		<b>Absconded</b>		<b>Deported</b>		<b>Death</b>		<b>Administrative Closure</b>		<b>TOTAL CLOSURES</b>		<b>Courtesy Transfers<sup>1</sup></b>	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	DISTRICT
1	1,082	89%	62	5%	70	6%	0	0%	5	0%	1	0%	1,220	100%	79	1
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	2
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	416	78%	49	9%	63	12%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	531	100%	137	4
5	38	95%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	40	100%	11	5
6	70	95%	3	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	74	100%	4	6
7	24	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	24	100%	6	7
8	1,015	86%	50	4%	116	10%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	1,184	100%	68	8
9	49	92%	0	0%	3	6%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	53	100%	16	9
10	279	88%	11	3%	27	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	317	100%	42	10
11	129	92%	7	5%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	140	100%	13	11
12	197	87%	22	10%	8	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	227	100%	4	12
13	48	96%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	50	100%	2	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	537	80%	45	7%	85	13%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	668	100%	20	17
18	1,950	92%	98	5%	78	4%	0	0%	4	0%	0	0%	2,130	100%	84	18
19	125	77%	18	11%	15	9%	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	162	100%	135	19
20	846	89%	66	7%	30	3%	2	0%	10	1%	1	0%	955	100%	128	20
21	102	80%	8	6%	14	11%	0	0%	1	1%	3	2%	128	100%	12	21
22	68	67%	10	10%	21	21%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	101	100%	1	22
<b>STATE</b>	<b>6,975</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>537</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8,004</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>762</b>	<b>STATE</b>

<sup>1</sup>**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 63

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**Type of Revocation**

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION							TOTAL REVOCATIONS for Non-DUI/DWAI Private Cases	
DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		Number	%
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%		
1	4	8%	12	25%	32	67%	48	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	5	14%	2	6%	29	81%	36	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
6	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	3	7%	9	20%	32	73%	44	100%
9	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%
11	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
12	0	0%	8	50%	8	50%	16	100%
13	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	4%	6	23%	19	73%	26	100%
18	4	11%	16	46%	15	43%	35	100%
19	3	17%	9	50%	6	33%	18	100%
20	5	6%	22	29%	50	65%	77	100%
21	3	25%	2	17%	7	58%	12	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
STATE	31	10%	87	27%	207	64%	325	100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION							TOTAL REVOCATIONS for DUI/DWAI Private Cases	
DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		Number	%
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%		
1	4	6%	14	23%	44	71%	62	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	1	2%	3	6%	45	92%	49	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	1	33%	1	33%	1	33%	3	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	2	4%	8	16%	40	80%	50	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	2	18%	9	82%	11	100%
11	1	14%	1	14%	5	71%	7	100%
12	1	5%	5	23%	16	73%	22	100%
13	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	2%	18	40%	26	58%	45	100%
18	0	0%	34	35%	64	65%	98	100%
19	1	6%	7	39%	10	56%	18	100%
20	8	12%	12	18%	46	70%	66	100%
21	1	13%	1	13%	6	75%	8	100%
22	0	0%	3	30%	7	70%	10	100%
STATE	21	5%	110	24%	320	71%	451	100%

**Key:** *New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (i.e. drug use, non-compliance).  
*Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 64

**FY 2013  
Colorado Private Probation  
Length of Stay**

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION									
DISTRICT	0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
1	321	48%	280	42%	46	7%	19	3%	666 100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
4	118	35%	173	51%	35	10%	11	3%	337 100%
5	22	42%	23	43%	5	9%	3	6%	53 100%
6	14	67%	6	29%	1	5%	0	0%	21 100%
7	4	13%	21	70%	0	0%	5	17%	30 100%
8	341	71%	130	27%	9	2%	2	0%	482 100%
9	64	56%	42	37%	5	4%	3	3%	114 100%
10	36	43%	29	35%	12	14%	6	7%	83 100%
11	27	54%	18	36%	4	8%	1	2%	50 100%
12	72	82%	15	17%	1	1%	0	0%	88 100%
13	25	47%	26	49%	1	2%	1	2%	53 100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
17	276	69%	113	28%	11	3%	0	0%	400 100%
18	216	28%	438	56%	86	11%	39	5%	779 100%
19	59	24%	178	71%	9	4%	4	2%	250 100%
20	376	63%	194	32%	21	4%	8	1%	599 100%
21	84	47%	79	45%	11	6%	3	2%	177 100%
22	6	75%	1	13%	1	13%	0	0%	8 100%
STATE	2,061	49%	1,766	42%	258	6%	105	3%	4,190 100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION									
DISTRICT	0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
1	216	18%	939	77%	60	5%	5	0%	1,220 100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
4	216	41%	294	55%	19	4%	2	0%	531 100%
5	13	33%	23	58%	4	10%	0	0%	40 100%
6	43	58%	29	39%	2	3%	0	0%	74 100%
7	5	21%	13	54%	5	21%	1	4%	24 100%
8	916	77%	241	20%	25	2%	2	0%	1,184 100%
9	25	47%	25	47%	3	6%	0	0%	53 100%
10	199	63%	116	37%	2	1%	0	0%	317 100%
11	105	75%	31	22%	4	3%	0	0%	140 100%
12	153	67%	70	31%	4	2%	0	0%	227 100%
13	20	40%	30	60%	0	0%	0	0%	50 100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
17	327	49%	295	44%	38	6%	8	1%	668 100%
18	1,136	53%	883	41%	96	5%	15	1%	2,130 100%
19	59	36%	86	53%	16	10%	1	1%	162 100%
20	526	55%	404	42%	22	2%	3	0%	955 100%
21	49	38%	57	45%	21	16%	1	1%	128 100%
22	57	56%	38	38%	6	6%	0	0%	101 100%
STATE	4,065	51%	3,574	45%	327	4%	38	0%	8,004 100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 65

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**Active Non-DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013**

<b>NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION</b>																
<b>Risk Levels:</b>	<b>New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date</b>		<b>Maximum</b>		<b>Medium</b>		<b>Minimum</b>		<b>Administrative</b>		<b>Domestic Violence</b>		<b>Interstate</b>		<b>TOTAL PRIVATE NON-DUI/DWAI PROBATION CLIENTS</b>	
	<b>DISTRICT</b>	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	4	0%	7	1%	167	16%	512	48%	89	8%	281	27%	0	0%	1,060	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	4	1%	4	1%	101	16%	279	44%	39	6%	205	32%	8	1%	640	100%
5	0	0%	1	1%	10	13%	50	65%	2	3%	14	18%	0	0%	77	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	6	26%	15	65%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%
7	1	3%	1	3%	11	28%	25	63%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	40	100%
8	37	5%	12	2%	59	8%	584	79%	49	7%	0	0%	0	0%	741	100%
9	2	1%	2	1%	13	7%	141	79%	12	7%	9	5%	0	0%	179	100%
10	5	2%	0	0%	18	8%	193	83%	4	2%	13	6%	0	0%	233	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	7	13%	35	66%	4	8%	7	13%	0	0%	53	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	5	7%	51	73%	3	4%	11	16%	0	0%	70	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	4	6%	32	52%	3	5%	23	37%	0	0%	62	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	17	4%	1	0%	40	9%	200	43%	64	14%	145	31%	0	0%	467	100%
18	23	2%	1	0%	93	6%	1,009	67%	39	3%	335	22%	0	0%	1,500	100%
19	0	0%	1	0%	58	10%	179	31%	50	9%	288	50%	0	0%	576	100%
20	15	2%	11	1%	92	10%	511	56%	127	14%	159	17%	2	0%	917	100%
21	9	2%	2	0%	36	9%	199	47%	67	16%	108	26%	0	0%	421	100%
22	3	21%	2	14%	3	21%	3	21%	3	21%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%
STATE	120	2%	45	1%	723	10%	4,018	57%	557	8%	1,600	23%	10	0%	7,073	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 66

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**Active DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013**

<b>DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION</b>														
<b>Risk Levels:</b>	<b>New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date</b>		<b>Maximum</b>		<b>Medium</b>		<b>Minimum</b>		<b>Administrative</b>		<b>Interstate</b>		<b>TOTAL DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION CLIENTS</b>	
	<b>DISTRICT</b>	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	18	1%	1	0%	103	6%	1,679	90%	55	3%	0	0%	1,857	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	2	0%	2	0%	202	19%	783	74%	70	7%	1	0%	1,060	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%	92	92%	4	4%	0	0%	100	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	7	9%	66	89%	0	0%	1	1%	74	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	6	21%	23	79%	0	0%	0	0%	29	100%
8	74	6%	10	1%	92	7%	1,003	80%	77	6%	0	0%	1,256	100%
9	2	2%	3	3%	7	8%	74	80%	6	7%	0	0%	92	100%
10	28	5%	2	0%	86	14%	487	79%	13	2%	0	0%	616	100%
11	0	0%	1	1%	17	10%	151	87%	4	2%	0	0%	173	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	18	10%	153	83%	13	7%	0	0%	184	100%
13	0	0%	1	1%	9	6%	132	92%	1	1%	0	0%	143	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	2	0%	1	0%	76	9%	636	74%	144	17%	0	0%	859	100%
18	11	0%	2	0%	157	5%	3,035	92%	77	2%	0	0%	3,282	100%
19	14	3%	4	1%	59	11%	410	79%	34	7%	1	0%	521	100%
20	6	0%	9	1%	117	7%	1,247	80%	183	12%	1	0%	1,563	100%
21	2	1%	1	0%	24	8%	247	80%	36	12%	0	0%	310	100%
22	13	10%	2	2%	28	23%	66	53%	15	12%	0	0%	124	100%
STATE	172	1%	39	0%	1,012	8%	10,284	84%	732	6%	4	0%	12,243	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# Colorado Probation: Regular Juvenile Probation



TABLE 67

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**Investigations**

DISTRICT	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre- Sentence Investigations		Updated Pre-Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	4%	69	92%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	4%	75	100%
2J	22	6%	344	88%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	22	6%	391	100%
3	0	0%	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
4	53	14%	301	78%	0	0%	0	0%	12	3%	21	5%	387	100%
5	6	23%	10	38%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	8	31%	26	100%
6	1	3%	30	91%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	1	3%	33	100%
7	0	0%	57	80%	3	4%	0	0%	3	4%	8	11%	71	100%
8	9	3%	114	40%	2	1%	1	0%	6	2%	151	53%	283	100%
9	4	10%	32	76%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	4	10%	42	100%
10	2	50%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	4	100%
11	2	13%	4	27%	0	0%	3	20%	1	7%	5	33%	15	100%
12	2	10%	15	75%	0	0%	2	10%	1	5%	0	0%	20	100%
13	8	20%	21	53%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	8	20%	40	100%
14	2	6%	18	56%	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%	10	31%	32	100%
15	0	0%	4	80%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	20%	5	100%
16	2	17%	9	75%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%
17	39	39%	49	49%	1	1%	0	0%	5	5%	7	7%	101	100%
18	53	39%	53	39%	1	1%	0	0%	7	5%	21	16%	135	100%
19	11	35%	11	35%	0	0%	0	0%	5	16%	4	13%	31	100%
20	0	0%	5	83%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	6	100%
21	9	43%	3	14%	0	0%	0	0%	5	24%	4	19%	21	100%
22	1	6%	14	88%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	6%	16	100%
STATE	229	13%	1,172	67%	12	1%	10	1%	50	3%	281	16%	1,754	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 68

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**New Clients by Offense Type**

DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class)		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	95	27%	209	60%	11	3%	0	0%	34	10%	349	100%
2J	175	41%	224	52%	3	1%	0	0%	25	6%	427	100%
3	2	7%	20	67%	4	13%	1	3%	3	10%	30	100%
4	108	26%	258	62%	4	1%	0	0%	44	11%	414	100%
5	15	22%	43	63%	5	7%	0	0%	5	7%	68	100%
6	11	37%	17	57%	0	0%	1	3%	1	3%	30	100%
7	17	21%	50	61%	3	4%	1	1%	11	13%	82	100%
8	27	8%	216	67%	41	13%	0	0%	38	12%	322	100%
9	27	51%	20	38%	1	2%	0	0%	5	9%	53	100%
10	30	23%	95	72%	1	1%	0	0%	6	5%	132	100%
11	18	31%	34	59%	3	5%	0	0%	3	5%	58	100%
12	17	29%	31	53%	3	5%	0	0%	8	14%	59	100%
13	41	34%	66	55%	0	0%	0	0%	14	12%	121	100%
14	3	10%	24	77%	0	0%	0	0%	4	13%	31	100%
15	10	29%	22	65%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	34	100%
16	8	25%	22	69%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	32	100%
17	167	42%	178	45%	7	2%	0	0%	46	12%	398	100%
18	202	35%	300	52%	46	8%	0	0%	31	5%	579	100%
19	77	18%	282	65%	33	8%	1	0%	43	10%	436	100%
20	26	13%	117	58%	29	14%	0	0%	29	14%	201	100%
21	34	30%	69	60%	0	0%	0	0%	12	10%	115	100%
22	1	5%	16	76%	0	0%	0	0%	4	19%	21	100%
STATE	1,111	28%	2,313	58%	195	5%	4	0%	369	9%	3,992	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



TABLE 69

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**New Clients by Supervision Type**

DISTRICT	Probation		Probation Revoked and Resentenced		Deferred Adjudication		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Change of Venue <sup>1</sup>		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	245	70%	4	1%	67	19%	3	1%	30	9%	349	100%
2J	179	42%	6	1%	115	27%	1	0%	126	30%	427	100%
3	14	47%	0	0%	14	47%	0	0%	2	7%	30	100%
4	290	70%	8	2%	70	17%	21	5%	25	6%	414	100%
5	50	74%	0	0%	6	9%	2	3%	10	15%	68	100%
6	15	50%	0	0%	10	33%	0	0%	5	17%	30	100%
7	31	38%	0	0%	35	43%	2	2%	14	17%	82	100%
8	94	29%	1	0%	198	61%	4	1%	25	8%	322	100%
9	18	34%	0	0%	30	57%	1	2%	4	8%	53	100%
10	55	42%	0	0%	49	37%	5	4%	23	17%	132	100%
11	33	57%	1	2%	19	33%	0	0%	5	9%	58	100%
12	10	17%	0	0%	45	76%	0	0%	4	7%	59	100%
13	44	36%	1	1%	53	44%	0	0%	23	19%	121	100%
14	21	68%	0	0%	9	29%	0	0%	1	3%	31	100%
15	6	18%	0	0%	23	68%	2	6%	3	9%	34	100%
16	12	38%	2	6%	11	34%	0	0%	7	22%	32	100%
17	156	39%	6	2%	142	36%	5	1%	89	22%	398	100%
18	255	44%	5	1%	219	38%	5	1%	95	16%	579	100%
19	268	61%	7	2%	118	27%	3	1%	40	9%	436	100%
20	80	40%	0	0%	101	50%	1	0%	19	9%	201	100%
21	83	72%	4	3%	18	16%	5	4%	5	4%	115	100%
22	12	57%	1	5%	5	24%	0	0%	3	14%	21	100%
STATE	1,971	49%	46	1%	1,357	34%	60	2%	558	14%	3,992	100%

<sup>1</sup>**Change of Venue:** Change in coding practices required an estimation of new change of venue juveniles.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 70

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**New Clients by Gender**

DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	82	23%	267	77%	0	0%	349	100%
2J	86	20%	341	80%	0	0%	427	100%
3	6	20%	24	80%	0	0%	30	100%
4	76	18%	338	82%	0	0%	414	100%
5	11	16%	57	84%	0	0%	68	100%
6	4	13%	26	87%	0	0%	30	100%
7	23	28%	59	72%	0	0%	82	100%
8	77	24%	245	76%	0	0%	322	100%
9	3	6%	50	94%	0	0%	53	100%
10	28	21%	104	79%	0	0%	132	100%
11	16	28%	42	72%	0	0%	58	100%
12	16	27%	43	73%	0	0%	59	100%
13	34	28%	87	72%	0	0%	121	100%
14	7	23%	24	77%	0	0%	31	100%
15	6	18%	28	82%	0	0%	34	100%
16	6	19%	26	81%	0	0%	32	100%
17	68	17%	329	83%	1	0%	398	100%
18	113	20%	464	80%	2	0%	579	100%
19	148	34%	288	66%	0	0%	436	100%
20	44	22%	157	78%	0	0%	201	100%
21	22	19%	93	81%	0	0%	115	100%
22	5	24%	16	76%	0	0%	21	100%
STATE	881	22%	3,108	78%	3	0%	3,992	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 71

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**New Clients by Age**

DISTRICT	10-14 Years		15 Years		16 Years		17 Years		18+ Years <sup>1</sup>		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	92	26%	62	18%	81	23%	74	21%	40	11%	0	0%	349	100%
2J	118	28%	87	20%	89	21%	87	20%	46	11%	0	0%	427	100%
3	8	27%	6	20%	6	20%	6	20%	4	13%	0	0%	30	100%
4	80	19%	70	17%	83	20%	99	24%	82	20%	0	0%	414	100%
5	13	19%	8	12%	13	19%	20	29%	14	21%	0	0%	68	100%
6	6	20%	2	7%	6	20%	8	27%	8	27%	0	0%	30	100%
7	11	13%	13	16%	28	34%	22	27%	8	10%	0	0%	82	100%
8	89	28%	53	16%	75	23%	80	25%	25	8%	0	0%	322	100%
9	4	8%	11	21%	14	26%	18	34%	6	11%	0	0%	53	100%
10	37	28%	28	21%	20	15%	34	26%	11	8%	2	2%	132	100%
11	12	21%	13	22%	12	21%	18	31%	3	5%	0	0%	58	100%
12	10	17%	13	22%	7	12%	22	37%	7	12%	0	0%	59	100%
13	23	19%	24	20%	25	21%	30	25%	19	16%	0	0%	121	100%
14	2	6%	7	23%	7	23%	10	32%	5	16%	0	0%	31	100%
15	15	44%	7	21%	5	15%	6	18%	1	3%	0	0%	34	100%
16	4	13%	10	31%	6	19%	8	25%	4	13%	0	0%	32	100%
17	95	24%	61	15%	79	20%	98	25%	65	16%	0	0%	398	100%
18	103	18%	86	15%	125	22%	176	30%	89	15%	0	0%	579	100%
19	124	28%	67	15%	101	23%	99	23%	45	10%	0	0%	436	100%
20	65	32%	33	16%	34	17%	53	26%	16	8%	0	0%	201	100%
21	41	36%	20	17%	17	15%	30	26%	7	6%	0	0%	115	100%
22	4	19%	2	10%	8	38%	3	14%	4	19%	0	0%	21	100%
STATE	956	24%	683	17%	841	21%	1,001	25%	509	13%	2	0%	3,992	100%

<sup>1</sup>**18+ Years:** Youth in this category committed their crime while still under the age of 18 but were new to probation after they turned 18.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 72

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**New Clients by Ethnic Group**

DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Asian	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	256	73%	26	7%	1	0%	49	14%	5	1%	12	3%	349	100%
2J	102	24%	120	28%	5	1%	190	44%	7	2%	3	1%	427	100%
3	21	70%	0	0%	0	0%	7	23%	0	0%	2	7%	30	100%
4	232	56%	94	23%	5	1%	49	12%	0	0%	34	8%	414	100%
5	55	81%	3	4%	1	1%	9	13%	0	0%	0	0%	68	100%
6	23	77%	3	10%	0	0%	3	10%	1	3%	0	0%	30	100%
7	72	88%	0	0%	0	0%	5	6%	1	1%	4	5%	82	100%
8	263	82%	16	5%	0	0%	34	11%	5	2%	4	1%	322	100%
9	44	83%	0	0%	0	0%	7	13%	0	0%	2	4%	53	100%
10	72	55%	2	2%	0	0%	52	39%	0	0%	6	5%	132	100%
11	54	93%	1	2%	0	0%	3	5%	0	0%	0	0%	58	100%
12	41	69%	1	2%	0	0%	16	27%	0	0%	1	2%	59	100%
13	87	72%	0	0%	0	0%	28	23%	1	1%	5	4%	121	100%
14	29	94%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	31	100%
15	23	68%	3	9%	0	0%	6	18%	0	0%	2	6%	34	100%
16	21	66%	0	0%	1	3%	10	31%	0	0%	0	0%	32	100%
17	323	81%	39	10%	1	0%	30	8%	1	0%	4	1%	398	100%
18	373	64%	115	20%	2	0%	81	14%	0	0%	8	1%	579	100%
19	338	78%	7	2%	3	1%	87	20%	0	0%	1	0%	436	100%
20	166	83%	9	4%	1	0%	23	11%	1	0%	1	0%	201	100%
21	86	75%	5	4%	0	0%	15	13%	1	1%	8	7%	115	100%
22	15	71%	0	0%	0	0%	2	10%	4	19%	0	0%	21	100%
STATE	2,696	68%	444	11%	20	1%	707	18%	27	1%	98	2%	3,992	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 73

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

DISTRICT	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	208	68%	85	28%	11	4%	304	100%
2J	222	66%	98	29%	17	5%	337	100%
3	33	89%	2	5%	2	5%	37	100%
4	236	66%	90	25%	32	9%	358	100%
5	36	80%	8	18%	1	2%	45	100%
6	25	68%	10	27%	2	5%	37	100%
7	38	76%	10	20%	2	4%	50	100%
8	277	83%	50	15%	7	2%	334	100%
9	42	76%	13	24%	0	0%	55	100%
10	67	69%	24	25%	6	6%	97	100%
11	34	74%	10	22%	2	4%	46	100%
12	55	81%	10	15%	3	4%	68	100%
13	57	69%	23	28%	3	4%	83	100%
14	21	81%	5	19%	0	0%	26	100%
15	21	95%	1	5%	0	0%	22	100%
16	25	78%	5	16%	2	6%	32	100%
17	221	70%	72	23%	22	7%	315	100%
18	294	63%	139	30%	35	7%	468	100%
19	346	80%	76	18%	12	3%	434	100%
20	165	93%	10	6%	3	2%	178	100%
21	83	66%	36	29%	6	5%	125	100%
22	11	50%	8	36%	3	14%	22	100%
STATE	2,517	72%	785	23%	171	5%	3,473	100%

**Key:** *Successful Terminations:* This number includes those probationers supervised by the state who terminated successfully.  
*Unsuccessful Terminations:* This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.  
*Total Terminations:* This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of juvenile probation closures are included in Table 74.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 74

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**All Probation Supervision Closure Types**

DISTRICT	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES		Change of Venue <sup>1</sup>	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	208	68%	85	28%	11	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	305	100%	94	1
2J	222	65%	98	29%	17	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	340	100%	29	2J
3	33	89%	2	5%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37	100%	4	3
4	236	66%	90	25%	32	9%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	360	100%	26	4
5	36	80%	8	18%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	45	100%	2	5
6	25	68%	10	27%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37	100%	8	6
7	38	76%	10	20%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	50	100%	13	7
8	277	82%	50	15%	7	2%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%	337	100%	18	8
9	42	76%	13	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	55	100%	5	9
10	67	67%	24	24%	6	6%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	2	2%	100	100%	9	10
11	34	74%	10	22%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	46	100%	5	11
12	55	81%	10	15%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	68	100%	7	12
13	57	69%	23	28%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	83	100%	8	13
14	21	78%	5	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	27	100%	2	14
15	21	95%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%	4	15
16	25	78%	5	16%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	32	100%	4	16
17	221	69%	72	23%	22	7%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	318	100%	49	17
18	294	62%	139	29%	35	7%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	5	1%	474	100%	76	18
19	346	80%	76	17%	12	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	435	100%	35	19
20	165	92%	10	6%	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	180	100%	7	20
21	83	65%	36	28%	6	5%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	127	100%	4	21
22	11	50%	8	36%	3	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%	2	22
STATE	2,517	72%	785	22%	171	5%	0	0%	2	0%	5	0%	20	1%	3,500	100%	411	STATE

<sup>1</sup>**Change of Venue:** Beginning in FY2008 Changes of Venue cases were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the changes of venue between courts and probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 75

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**Type of Revocation**

DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	9	11%	11	13%	65	76%	85	100%
2J	25	26%	11	11%	62	63%	98	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
4	16	18%	12	13%	62	69%	90	100%
5	0	0%	4	50%	4	50%	8	100%
6	1	10%	1	10%	8	80%	10	100%
7	1	10%	0	0%	9	90%	10	100%
8	4	8%	8	16%	38	76%	50	100%
9	2	15%	1	8%	10	77%	13	100%
10	3	13%	7	29%	14	58%	24	100%
11	0	0%	2	20%	8	80%	10	100%
12	3	30%	3	30%	4	40%	10	100%
13	2	9%	4	17%	17	74%	23	100%
14	0	0%	2	40%	3	60%	5	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
16	1	20%	1	20%	3	60%	5	100%
17	10	14%	18	25%	44	61%	72	100%
18	21	15%	31	22%	87	63%	139	100%
19	10	13%	24	32%	42	55%	76	100%
20	2	20%	0	0%	8	80%	10	100%
21	5	14%	5	14%	26	72%	36	100%
22	1	13%	0	0%	7	88%	8	100%
STATE	116	15%	145	18%	524	67%	785	100%

**Key:** *New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).  
*Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 76

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**Length of Stay**

DISTRICT	0-3 months		4-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	68	22%	25	8%	69	23%	48	16%	38	12%	57	19%	305	100%
2J	23	7%	29	9%	103	30%	71	21%	55	16%	59	17%	340	100%
3	4	11%	10	27%	17	46%	0	0%	2	5%	4	11%	37	100%
4	22	6%	27	8%	105	29%	70	19%	49	14%	87	24%	360	100%
5	8	18%	10	22%	14	31%	8	18%	5	11%	0	0%	45	100%
6	3	8%	5	14%	13	35%	6	16%	7	19%	3	8%	37	100%
7	2	4%	6	12%	21	42%	7	14%	4	8%	10	20%	50	100%
8	28	8%	76	23%	126	37%	49	15%	41	12%	17	5%	337	100%
9	6	11%	7	13%	29	53%	6	11%	6	11%	1	2%	55	100%
10	13	13%	15	15%	42	42%	18	18%	9	9%	3	3%	100	100%
11	3	7%	9	20%	17	37%	8	17%	3	7%	6	13%	46	100%
12	5	7%	17	25%	25	37%	11	16%	5	7%	5	7%	68	100%
13	5	6%	16	19%	32	39%	10	12%	7	8%	13	16%	83	100%
14	0	0%	2	7%	7	26%	5	19%	5	19%	8	30%	27	100%
15	1	5%	2	9%	12	55%	2	9%	1	5%	4	18%	22	100%
16	2	6%	0	0%	10	31%	8	25%	5	16%	7	22%	32	100%
17	29	9%	30	9%	102	32%	54	17%	47	15%	56	18%	318	100%
18	50	11%	40	8%	156	33%	81	17%	69	15%	78	16%	474	100%
19	32	7%	92	21%	172	40%	53	12%	48	11%	38	9%	435	100%
20	13	7%	85	47%	54	30%	12	7%	8	4%	8	4%	180	100%
21	6	5%	17	13%	52	41%	22	17%	16	13%	14	11%	127	100%
22	3	14%	5	23%	8	36%	3	14%	3	14%	0	0%	22	100%
STATE	326	9%	525	15%	1,186	34%	552	16%	433	12%	478	14%	3,500	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



TABLE 77

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013**

Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Sex Offender <sup>1</sup>		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE JUVENILE CLIENTS	
	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	13	3%	53	13%	159	38%	57	14%	64	15%	2	0%	68	16%	6	1%	422	100%
2J	4	1%	132	24%	154	29%	122	23%	75	14%	0	0%	53	10%	0	0%	540	100%
3	2	8%	4	16%	6	24%	12	48%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	25	100%
4	2	0%	128	22%	186	32%	141	24%	44	8%	2	0%	47	8%	28	5%	578	100%
5	6	9%	11	17%	8	13%	21	33%	11	17%	0	0%	6	9%	1	2%	64	100%
6	0	0%	3	7%	6	15%	23	56%	3	7%	1	2%	1	2%	4	10%	41	100%
7	2	2%	15	17%	21	24%	38	44%	4	5%	0	0%	4	5%	3	3%	87	100%
8	14	5%	88	28%	102	33%	65	21%	19	6%	0	0%	14	5%	7	2%	309	100%
9	3	6%	4	8%	5	10%	22	45%	6	12%	1	2%	8	16%	0	0%	49	100%
10	6	5%	20	16%	36	28%	39	30%	24	19%	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	128	100%
11	5	6%	9	11%	24	29%	13	15%	14	17%	0	0%	14	17%	5	6%	84	100%
12	0	0%	6	10%	11	19%	36	62%	5	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	58	100%
13	2	2%	13	11%	24	20%	43	35%	19	15%	3	2%	12	10%	7	6%	123	100%
14	2	3%	13	22%	12	20%	19	32%	9	15%	1	2%	0	0%	4	7%	60	100%
15	0	0%	7	15%	10	22%	22	48%	3	7%	2	4%	2	4%	0	0%	46	100%
16	0	0%	14	25%	16	28%	18	32%	4	7%	0	0%	4	7%	1	2%	57	100%
17	14	3%	33	8%	102	25%	123	31%	62	15%	3	1%	63	16%	3	1%	403	100%
18	20	3%	66	10%	184	27%	245	36%	78	11%	0	0%	62	9%	28	4%	683	100%
19	17	4%	24	5%	99	22%	243	53%	51	11%	0	0%	16	4%	5	1%	455	100%
20	4	2%	45	25%	44	25%	61	34%	8	5%	2	1%	9	5%	4	2%	177	100%
21	3	2%	17	13%	29	21%	40	30%	27	20%	2	1%	13	10%	4	3%	135	100%
22	0	0%	4	25%	3	19%	6	38%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	2	13%	16	100%
STATE	119	3%	709	16%	1,241	27%	1,409	31%	532	12%	20	0%	397	9%	113	2%	4,540	100%

<sup>1</sup>Sex Offender: A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 78.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percents because of rounding

TABLE 78

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**Active Sex Offender Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013**

Risk Level:	Juvenile Sex Offenders <sup>1</sup>									
	Sex Offender Maximum		Sex Offender Medium		Sex Offender Minimum		Sex Offender Administrative		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	17	25%	51	75%	0	0%	0	0%	68	100%
2J	2	4%	30	57%	19	36%	2	4%	53	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	25	53%	11	23%	11	23%	0	0%	47	100%
5	0	0%	2	33%	4	67%	0	0%	6	100%
6	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
7	2	50%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%
8	12	86%	2	14%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%
9	1	13%	1	13%	6	75%	0	0%	8	100%
10	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
11	0	0%	13	93%	0	0%	1	7%	14	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	2	17%	4	33%	3	25%	3	25%	12	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
16	0	0%	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%
17	15	24%	35	56%	3	5%	10	16%	63	100%
18	14	23%	44	71%	4	6%	0	0%	62	100%
19	0	0%	4	25%	3	19%	9	56%	16	100%
20	0	0%	4	44%	5	56%	0	0%	9	100%
21	2	15%	2	15%	9	69%	0	0%	13	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	93	23%	210	53%	69	17%	25	6%	397	100%

<sup>1</sup>This chart does not include juvenile sex offenders who have been placed on Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP). Colorado does not currently have a Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP) for juvenile sex offenders.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# **Colorado Probation:** Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)



TABLE 79

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)**  
**New Clients by Order Type**

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	123	57%	85	40%	7	3%	215	100%
2	121	40%	151	51%	27	9%	299	100%
3	4	50%	4	50%	0	0%	8	100%
4	64	44%	73	50%	8	6%	145	100%
5	2	40%	1	20%	2	40%	5	100%
6	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
7	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%
8	52	34%	93	61%	8	5%	153	100%
9	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
10	13	34%	20	53%	5	13%	38	100%
11	2	40%	3	60%	0	0%	5	100%
12	9	43%	10	48%	2	10%	21	100%
13	11	38%	14	48%	4	14%	29	100%
14	0	0%	2	40%	3	60%	5	100%
15	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
16	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
17	134	51%	108	41%	19	7%	261	100%
18	36	34%	56	52%	15	14%	107	100%
19	32	31%	55	53%	16	16%	103	100%
20	28	41%	33	49%	7	10%	68	100%
21	12	29%	28	67%	2	5%	42	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>651</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>743</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>1,519</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 80

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	82	56%	44	30%	20	14%	146	100%
2	154	59%	74	28%	35	13%	263	100%
3	8	73%	2	18%	1	9%	11	100%
4	61	58%	34	32%	10	10%	105	100%
5	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	6	100%
6	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
7	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
8	48	55%	23	26%	16	18%	87	100%
9	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
10	10	40%	15	60%	0	0%	25	100%
11	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
12	8	73%	1	9%	2	18%	11	100%
13	13	81%	2	13%	1	6%	16	100%
14	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
15	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
16	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	134	74%	23	13%	23	13%	180	100%
18	48	52%	31	33%	14	15%	93	100%
19	37	60%	22	35%	3	5%	62	100%
20	30	73%	11	27%	0	0%	41	100%
21	23	64%	11	31%	2	6%	36	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
STATE	673	61%	297	27%	129	12%	1,099	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This column includes those probationers that have terminated successfully from probation while on ISP probation or transitioned to regular supervision probation.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: These columns include AISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from AISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these probationers are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

*Total Terminations*: This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of AISP discharges are included in Table 81.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 81

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)**  
**Discharges**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Courtesy Transfers <sup>1</sup>	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	District
1	81	42%	1	1%	20	10%	40	21%	44	23%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	6	3%	194	100%	1	1
2	133	44%	21	7%	35	12%	35	12%	74	24%	2	1%	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	304	100%	1	2
3	5	38%	3	23%	1	8%	2	15%	2	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	0	3
4	56	42%	5	4%	10	7%	28	21%	34	25%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	134	100%	3	4
5	4	67%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	5
6	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	4	100%	0	6
7	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	60%	0	7
8	34	26%	14	11%	16	12%	39	30%	23	17%	0	0%	3	2%	2	2%	1	1%	132	100%	2	8
9	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	9
10	9	23%	1	3%	0	0%	12	31%	15	38%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	39	100%	0	10
11	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	11
12	8	50%	0	0%	2	13%	5	31%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%	0	12
13	12	48%	1	4%	1	4%	9	36%	2	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	25	100%	0	13
14	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	14
15	2	50%	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	15
16	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	16
17	127	47%	7	3%	23	9%	84	31%	23	9%	3	1%	1	0%	0	0%	2	1%	270	100%	2	17
18	44	31%	4	3%	14	10%	47	33%	31	22%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	141	100%	1	18
19	35	37%	2	2%	3	3%	28	29%	22	23%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	3	3%	95	100%	1	19
20	27	47%	3	5%	0	0%	11	19%	11	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	10%	58	100%	2	20
21	22	48%	1	2%	2	4%	9	20%	11	24%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	46	100%	0	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	22
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>607</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1,495</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>STATE</b>

<sup>1</sup>**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 82

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)**  
**Type of Revocation**

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	14	32%	5	11%	25	57%	44	100%
2	11	15%	6	8%	57	77%	74	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
4	15	44%	1	3%	18	53%	34	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
8	9	39%	5	22%	9	39%	23	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	4	27%	3	20%	8	53%	15	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
13	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	9	39%	3	13%	11	48%	23	100%
18	4	13%	12	39%	15	48%	31	100%
19	4	18%	6	27%	12	55%	22	100%
20	4	36%	1	9%	6	55%	11	100%
21	7	64%	2	18%	2	18%	11	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Key:**  
*New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).  
*Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# **Colorado Probation:** Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)





**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)**  
**New Clients by Order Type**

DISTRICT	Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts				Total New Clients	
	Direct Sentence					
1	62	97%	2	3%	64	100%
2	55	90%	6	10%	61	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	46	94%	3	6%	49	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
8	22	79%	6	21%	28	100%
9	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
10	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
11	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
12	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
13	14	100%	0	0%	14	100%
14	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
17	25	69%	11	31%	36	100%
18	79	93%	6	7%	85	100%
19	21	91%	2	9%	23	100%
20	13	81%	3	19%	16	100%
21	10	91%	1	9%	11	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP)**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

*Note: Approximately one-half of the SOISP probationers are serving indeterminate sentences (minimum of 10 years). Therefore, it is important to consider terminations within the context of the active SOISP caseload. Many more probationers are being successfully supervised (1,412) on SOISP than are terminating unsuccessfully (216) as reflected below in the 6/30/2013 active caseload and termination table.*

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP) Active as of 6/30/2013 <sup>1</sup>		SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
		Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	128	36	58%	23	37%	3	5%	62	100%
2	153	8	15%	35	65%	11	20%	54	100%
3	1	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
4	246	24	40%	27	45%	9	15%	60	100%
5	4	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
6	30	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
7	16	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
8	118	10	50%	10	50%	0	0%	20	100%
9	13	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
10	44	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	6	100%
11	31	4	44%	5	56%	0	0%	9	100%
12	11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	32	5	56%	4	44%	0	0%	9	100%
14	5	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
15	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	7	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	123	25	64%	12	31%	2	5%	39	100%
18	289	21	32%	38	58%	6	9%	65	100%
19	62	12	48%	13	52%	0	0%	25	100%
20	39	5	29%	10	59%	2	12%	17	100%
21	54	11	79%	3	21%	0	0%	14	100%
22	6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	1,412	176	45%	183	47%	33	8%	392	100%

**Key:** *Successful Termination:* This column includes those probationers that terminated from probation while on SOISP or transitioned to regular supervision probation.  
*Unsuccessful Terminations:* These columns include SOISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from SOISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.  
 A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these probationers are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.  
 Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

*Total Terminations:* This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision.  
 Additional types of AISP discharges are included in Table 85.

<sup>1</sup>The Non-SOISP sex offender population is included in Regular Adult Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 85

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)**  
**Discharges**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to: Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	14	19%	22	30%	3	4%	10	14%	23	31%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	74	100%
2	5	8%	3	5%	11	19%	0	0%	35	59%	3	5%	0	0%	1	2%	1	2%	59	100%
3	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
4	12	19%	12	19%	9	14%	1	2%	27	42%	0	0%	2	3%	1	2%	0	0%	64	100%
5	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
6	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
7	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
8	3	12%	7	28%	0	0%	0	0%	10	40%	1	4%	3	12%	1	4%	0	0%	25	100%
9	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
10	0	0%	5	83%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
11	1	11%	3	33%	0	0%	0	0%	5	56%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
13	4	36%	1	9%	0	0%	2	18%	4	36%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
14	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	9	20%	16	36%	2	5%	4	9%	12	26%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	44	100%
18	8	11%	13	18%	6	8%	2	3%	38	51%	1	1%	3	4%	3	4%	0	0%	74	100%
19	7	23%	5	17%	0	0%	5	17%	13	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	30	100%
20	3	17%	2	11%	2	11%	0	0%	10	56%	0	0%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
21	9	50%	2	11%	0	0%	3	17%	3	17%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Courtesy Transfers <sup>1</sup>	
Number	DISTRICT
2	1
7	2
0	3
9	4
2	5
0	6
0	7
2	8
2	9
1	10
1	11
0	12
1	13
0	14
0	15
0	16
11	17
11	18
3	19
2	20
1	21
0	22
<b>55</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

<sup>1</sup>**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 86

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)**  
**Type of Revocation**

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1	4%	3	13%	19	83%	23	100%
2	3	9%	1	3%	31	89%	35	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	4	15%	1	4%	22	81%	27	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	1	10%	9	90%	10	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	1	25%	1	25%	2	50%	4	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	2	17%	0	0%	10	83%	12	100%
18	1	3%	1	3%	36	95%	38	100%
19	2	15%	0	0%	11	85%	13	100%
20	1	10%	0	0%	9	90%	10	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Key:**  
*New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).  
*Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# Colorado Probation: Female Offender Program (FOP)



TABLE 87

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Female Offender Program (FOP)**  
**New Clients by Order Type**

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	12	48%	9	36%	4	16%	25	100%
2	9	30%	15	50%	6	20%	30	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	9	26%	25	74%	0	0%	34	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	5	56%	4	44%	0	0%	9	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	3	14%	14	67%	4	19%	21	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	18	35%	31	60%	3	6%	52	100%
18	3	18%	11	65%	3	18%	17	100%
19	10	33%	19	63%	1	3%	30	100%
20	7	33%	13	62%	1	5%	21	100%
21	4	16%	21	84%	0	0%	25	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Female Offender Program (FOP)**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	8	73%	1	9%	2	18%	11	100%
2	16	70%	5	22%	2	9%	23	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	15	68%	0	0%	7	32%	22	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	10	77%	2	15%	1	8%	13	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	20	69%	4	14%	5	17%	29	100%
18	8	67%	3	25%	1	8%	12	100%
19	5	45%	6	55%	0	0%	11	100%
20	5	56%	3	33%	1	11%	9	100%
21	9	53%	5	29%	3	18%	17	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	101	66%	29	19%	22	14%	152	100%

**Key:** *Successful Terminations:* This column includes those probationers that have terminated successfully from FOP and probation or transitioned to regular supervision.

*Unsuccessful Terminations:* These columns include FOP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from FOP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these probationers are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

*Total Terminations:* This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of AISP discharges are included in Table 89.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 89

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Female Offender Program (FOP)**  
**Discharges**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Courtesy Transfers <sup>1</sup>	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	DISTRICT
1	1	5%	7	37%	2	11%	3	16%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	26%	19	100%	1	1
2	9	30%	7	23%	2	7%	4	13%	5	17%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	2	7%	30	100%	4	2
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	14	54%	1	4%	7	27%	4	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%	0	4
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	5	56%	0	0%	0	0%	4	44%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%	0	8
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	9
10	7	54%	3	23%	1	8%	0	0%	2	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	0	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	12
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	19	44%	1	2%	5	12%	12	28%	4	9%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	43	100%	0	17
18	8	53%	0	0%	1	7%	3	20%	3	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15	100%	3	18
19	5	29%	0	0%	0	0%	4	24%	6	35%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	12%	17	100%	0	19
20	5	38%	0	0%	1	8%	1	8%	3	23%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	23%	13	100%	0	20
21	9	43%	0	0%	3	14%	4	19%	5	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%	0	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	22
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

<sup>1</sup>**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



TABLE 90

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Female Offender Program (FOP)**  
**Type of Revocation**

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	4	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
19	2	33%	1	17%	3	50%	6	100%
20	2	67%	0	0%	1	33%	3	100%
21	2	40%	0	0%	3	60%	5	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>100%</b>

*Key:* *New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).  
*Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# **Colorado Probation:** Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)



Table 91

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)**  
**New Clients by Order Type**

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Change of Venue <sup>1</sup>		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	12	33%	22	61%	2	6%	36	100%
2J	34	67%	14	27%	3	6%	51	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	7	25%	20	71%	1	4%	28	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	1	3%	30	97%	0	0%	31	100%
9	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
10	3	38%	3	38%	2	25%	8	100%
11	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
12	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
13	3	60%	1	20%	1	20%	5	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
17	30	40%	40	53%	5	7%	75	100%
18	10	21%	34	71%	4	8%	48	100%
19	2	50%	0	0%	2	50%	4	100%
20	2	33%	4	67%	0	0%	6	100%
21	0	0%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
22	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>1</sup>**Change of Venue:** Change in coding practices required an estimation of new change of venue juveniles.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 92

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	15	38%	23	59%	1	3%	39	100%
2J	18	40%	26	58%	1	2%	45	100%
3	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
4	11	48%	12	52%	0	0%	23	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	19	59%	13	41%	0	0%	32	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	10	56%	8	44%	0	0%	18	100%
11	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
12	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
13	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
14	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
15	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	27	40%	36	53%	5	7%	68	100%
18	31	53%	26	44%	2	3%	59	100%
19	1	20%	4	80%	0	0%	5	100%
20	4	36%	7	64%	0	0%	11	100%
21	2	18%	8	73%	1	9%	11	100%
22	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
STATE	144	45%	166	52%	12	4%	322	100%

**Key:** *Successful Terminations:* This column includes those probationers that have terminated successfully from JISP and probation or transitioned to regular supervision probation.

*Unsuccessful Terminations:* These columns include JISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from JISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.

Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation services.

*Total Terminations:* This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of JISP discharges are included in Table 93.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 93

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)**  
**Discharges**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	13	33%	2	5%	1	3%	0	0%	23	59%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	39	100%
2J	14	30%	4	9%	1	2%	0	0%	26	57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	46	100%
3	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
4	10	43%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	12	52%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	8	24%	11	32%	0	0%	0	0%	13	38%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	34	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	1	6%	9	50%	0	0%	0	0%	8	44%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
11	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
14	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
15	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
17	20	28%	7	10%	5	7%	3	4%	36	50%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	72	100%
18	23	39%	8	14%	2	3%	0	0%	26	44%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	59	100%
19	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	80%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
20	0	0%	4	36%	0	0%	0	0%	7	64%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
21	2	18%	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	8	73%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
22	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>100%</b>

Change of Venue <sup>1</sup>	
Number	DISTRICT
7	1
19	2J
0	3
0	4
0	5
0	6
0	7
1	8
0	9
1	10
0	11
0	12
0	13
0	14
0	15
0	16
5	17
6	18
0	19
0	20
0	21
0	22
39	TOTAL

<sup>1</sup>**Change of Venue:** Beginning in FY2008 Changes of Venue cases were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the changes of venue between courts and probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 94

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)**  
**Type of Revocation**

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	1	4%	22	96%	23	100%
2J	3	12%	3	12%	20	77%	26	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	3	25%	2	17%	7	58%	12	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	4	31%	4	31%	5	38%	13	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	4	50%	1	13%	3	38%	8	100%
11	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
12	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	6	17%	4	11%	26	72%	36	100%
18	7	27%	6	23%	13	50%	26	100%
19	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	4	100%
20	2	29%	2	29%	3	43%	7	100%
21	0	0%	3	38%	5	63%	8	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>100%</b>

*Key:*

- New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
- New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
- Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).
- Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# Colorado Probation: Intensive Programs Summary



**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Active Clients Summary on June 30, 2013**

DISTRICT	Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)		Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) <sup>1</sup>		Female Offender Program (FOP)		Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) <sup>2</sup>		TOTAL ACTIVE CLIENTS	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
1	204	50%	128	32%	42	10%	31	8%	405	100%
2A <sup>3</sup>	239	56%	153	36%	37	9%	0	0%	429	100%
2J <sup>4</sup>	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	44	100%	44	100%
3	11	85%	1	8%	0	0%	1	8%	13	100%
4	178	37%	246	51%	32	7%	26	5%	482	100%
5	6	60%	4	40%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
6	1	3%	30	91%	0	0%	2	6%	33	100%
7	4	19%	16	76%	0	0%	1	5%	21	100%
8	176	51%	118	35%	10	3%	38	11%	342	100%
9	8	36%	13	59%	0	0%	1	5%	22	100%
10	40	31%	44	34%	33	26%	11	9%	128	100%
11	3	9%	31	89%	0	0%	1	3%	35	100%
12	18	60%	11	37%	0	0%	1	3%	30	100%
13	28	43%	32	49%	0	0%	5	8%	65	100%
14	6	46%	5	38%	0	0%	2	15%	13	100%
15	4	57%	0	0%	0	0%	3	43%	7	100%
16	7	44%	7	44%	0	0%	2	13%	16	100%
17	226	49%	123	27%	53	12%	56	12%	458	100%
18	104	23%	289	63%	21	5%	45	10%	459	100%
19	76	46%	62	38%	25	15%	2	1%	165	100%
20	67	50%	39	29%	20	15%	8	6%	134	100%
21	42	33%	54	43%	24	19%	7	6%	127	100%
22	0	0%	6	60%	0	0%	4	40%	10	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,448</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>1,412</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>3,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>1</sup>The Non-SOISP sex offender population is included in the Regular Adult Probation Tables.

<sup>2</sup>This number may include sex offenders that have been sentenced to JISP.

<sup>3</sup>2A: Denver Adult Probation: this is the only district with just adult offenders.

<sup>4</sup>2J: Denver Juvenile Probation: this is the only district with just juvenile offenders.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



# Colorado Probation: Victim Services



**Colorado Probation  
Summary of Victim Services<sup>1</sup>  
FY 2008 to FY 2013**

	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>VICTIM SERVICES<sup>2</sup></b>						
Initial Notifications Sent	16,988	16,183	16,669	16,482	17,533	17,464
Notification Requests Returned	3,066	3,224	2,885	2,807	2,751	2,806
Percent of Victims Requesting Notification	18%	20%	17%	17%	16%	16%
<b>VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS</b>						
Date of Probation Termination	2,209	2,251	2,210	2,314	2,341	2,183
Early Termination Requests	318	451	435	453	426	370
Probation Revocation Hearing/Disposition	4,532	4,738	5,276	5,386	6,073	6,325
Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision	678	680	669	874	1,171	942
Change of Venue/Transfer of Jurisdiction	509	430	604	565	671	618
Abscond/Warrant	1,565	1,812	2,191	2,793	2,925	2,831
Death of a Probationer	15	34	31	32	37	50
<b>TOTAL NOTIFICATIONS<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>9,826</b>	<b>10,396</b>	<b>11,416</b>	<b>12,417</b>	<b>13,644</b>	<b>13,319</b>

<sup>1</sup>**Summary of Colorado Probation Victim Services:** Effective in FY2008 the information included in this chart reflects only activities that are statutorily required. In previous years additional courtesy notification events were reported; these were "Change of Probation Officer and Supervision Location" and "Hearing Other than Revocation". Prior to FY07 the Victim Rights Amendment stated that probation had to notify victims of domestic violence of "any violation of probation". In the 2006 legislative session this requirement was repealed and the following provision for notification was enacted: "concerning domestic violence cases, any conduct by the defendant that results in an increase in supervision level by the probation department". This new provision replaced the old legislation and became effective in FY07 and is now reported in "Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision".

<sup>2</sup>These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.

<sup>3</sup>These numbers include all of the statutorily (24-4.1-303 (13.5) (a) C.R.S) required notifications of the critical stages of probation supervision.

# **Colorado Probation:** Alcohol/Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)



TABLE 97

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Probation**  
**Alcohol and Drug Driving Program (ADDS)**  
**New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients**

DISTRICT	Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed pre- sentence)		Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed post- sentence)		Monitoring Sentence Revoked and Reinstated		Monitoring Deferred Sentence		TOTAL Monitoring	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
2 <sup>1</sup>	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	2	33%	1	17%	0	0%	3	50%	6	100%
4	255	61%	15	4%	9	2%	142	34%	421	100%
5	29	5%	516	92%	5	1%	11	2%	561	100%
6	6	3%	134	66%	0	0%	62	31%	202	100%
7	138	32%	255	59%	25	6%	14	3%	432	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
9	32	6%	473	91%	12	2%	1	0%	518	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
13	7	35%	2	10%	2	10%	9	45%	20	100%
14	157	64%	68	28%	12	5%	7	3%	244	100%
15	5	5%	87	93%	0	0%	2	2%	94	100%
16	22	42%	4	8%	0	0%	26	50%	52	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	2	67%	1	33%	3	100%
19	98	14%	594	82%	32	4%	0	0%	724	100%
20	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
21	30	9%	313	91%	0	0%	0	0%	343	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	781	22%	2,463	68%	109	3%	279	8%	3,632	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

<sup>1</sup>In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 98

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Probation**  
**Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)**  
**New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender**

DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
2 <sup>1</sup>	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%
4	146	35%	275	65%	0	0%	421	100%
5	131	23%	430	77%	0	0%	561	100%
6	74	37%	128	63%	0	0%	202	100%
7	114	26%	318	74%	0	0%	432	100%
8	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
9	136	26%	382	74%	0	0%	518	100%
10	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
11	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
12	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
13	2	10%	18	90%	0	0%	20	100%
14	61	25%	183	75%	0	0%	244	100%
15	23	24%	71	76%	0	0%	94	100%
16	15	29%	37	71%	0	0%	52	100%
17	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
19	173	24%	550	76%	1	0%	724	100%
20	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
21	119	35%	224	65%	0	0%	343	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	994	27%	2,637	73%	1	0%	3,632	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

<sup>1</sup>In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 99

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Probation**  
**Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)**  
**New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group**

DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
2 <sup>1</sup>	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	5	83%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
4	294	70%	35	8%	12	3%	72	17%	2	0%	6	1%	421	100%
5	526	94%	3	1%	2	0%	27	5%	0	0%	3	1%	561	100%
6	167	83%	3	1%	1	0%	15	7%	15	7%	1	0%	202	100%
7	382	88%	2	0%	2	0%	43	10%	2	0%	1	0%	432	100%
8	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
9	476	92%	3	1%	1	0%	33	6%	1	0%	4	1%	518	100%
10	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
11	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
13	19	95%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%
14	230	94%	2	1%	2	1%	8	3%	0	0%	2	1%	244	100%
15	79	84%	1	1%	0	0%	13	14%	0	0%	1	1%	94	100%
16	48	92%	0	0%	0	0%	4	8%	0	0%	0	0%	52	100%
17	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
18	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
19	480	66%	22	3%	4	1%	209	29%	3	0%	6	1%	724	100%
20	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
21	306	89%	9	3%	1	0%	25	7%	0	0%	2	1%	343	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	3,022	83%	81	2%	25	1%	455	13%	23	1%	26	1%	3,632	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

<sup>1</sup>In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 100

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Probation**  
**Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)**  
**New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age**

DISTRICT	0-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
2 <sup>1</sup>	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	2	33%	0	0%	3	50%	0	0%	6	100%
4	7	2%	47	11%	118	28%	110	26%	52	12%	87	21%	0	0%	421	100%
5	6	1%	45	8%	139	25%	169	30%	78	14%	124	22%	0	0%	561	100%
6	1	0%	14	7%	50	25%	40	20%	37	18%	60	30%	0	0%	202	100%
7	3	1%	34	8%	77	18%	99	23%	58	13%	161	37%	0	0%	432	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
9	3	1%	31	6%	103	20%	154	30%	75	14%	152	29%	0	0%	518	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
13	1	5%	1	5%	2	10%	6	30%	1	5%	9	45%	0	0%	20	100%
14	3	1%	14	6%	52	21%	77	32%	41	17%	57	23%	0	0%	244	100%
15	1	1%	13	14%	18	19%	20	21%	10	11%	32	34%	0	0%	94	100%
16	2	4%	7	13%	6	12%	15	29%	5	10%	17	33%	0	0%	52	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
19	7	1%	70	10%	180	25%	200	28%	101	14%	166	23%	0	0%	724	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
21	4	1%	45	13%	95	28%	86	25%	36	10%	77	22%	0	0%	343	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	38	1%	321	9%	841	23%	982	27%	498	14%	952	26%	0	0%	3,632	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

<sup>1</sup>In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 101

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Probation**  
**Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)**  
**State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revocation		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 <sup>1</sup>	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	12	57%	0	0%	9	43%	21	100%
4	378	81%	83	18%	4	1%	465	100%
5	563	91%	6	1%	51	8%	620	100%
6	178	82%	10	5%	28	13%	216	100%
7	362	81%	8	2%	77	17%	447	100%
8	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
9	451	76%	18	3%	121	21%	590	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	2	50%	0	0%	2	50%	4	100%
13	163	89%	15	8%	6	3%	184	100%
14	191	91%	5	2%	15	7%	211	100%
15	106	91%	2	2%	8	7%	116	100%
16	39	76%	0	0%	12	24%	51	100%
17	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	255	69%	55	15%	62	17%	372	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	262	100%	0	0%	0	0%	262	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	2,965	83%	203	6%	395	11%	3,563	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number is exclusively state successful terminations.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these offenders are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who became fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

*Total Terminations*: This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconder terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of closures are included in Table 102.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

<sup>1</sup>In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.



TABLE 102

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Probation**  
**Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDs)**  
**State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Closure Types**

DISTRICT	Successful		Revocation		Absconded		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 <sup>1</sup>	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	12	57%	0	0%	9	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%
4	378	81%	83	18%	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	466	100%
5	563	90%	6	1%	51	8%	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	624	100%
6	178	82%	10	5%	28	13%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	218	100%
7	362	78%	8	2%	77	16%	7	1%	3	1%	10	2%	467	100%
8	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
9	451	76%	18	3%	121	20%	1	0%	2	0%	4	1%	597	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	2	25%	0	0%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	4	50%	8	100%
13	163	86%	15	8%	6	3%	4	2%	1	1%	0	0%	189	100%
14	191	84%	5	2%	15	7%	0	0%	3	1%	14	6%	228	100%
15	106	90%	2	2%	8	7%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	118	100%
16	39	72%	0	0%	12	22%	0	0%	0	0%	3	6%	54	100%
17	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	255	65%	55	14%	62	16%	15	4%	2	1%	2	1%	391	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	262	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	263	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	2,965	81%	203	6%	395	11%	32	1%	13	0%	40	1%	3,648	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

<sup>1</sup>In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)**  
**State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients**  
**Type of Revocation**

DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 <sup>1</sup>	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	1	1%	0	0%	82	99%	83	100%
5	0	0%	3	50%	3	50%	6	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%	10	100%
7	0	0%	1	13%	7	88%	8	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%	18	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	3	20%	7	47%	5	33%	15	100%
14	0	0%	2	40%	3	60%	5	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	3	5%	13	24%	39	71%	55	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	7	3%	26	13%	170	84%	203	100%

*Key:*            *New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on monitoring.  
*New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on monitoring.  
*Technical:* Includes revocations for technical violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).  
*Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from monitoring.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

<sup>1</sup>In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 104

**FY2013**  
**Colorado State Probation**  
**Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDs)**  
**State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients**  
**Length of Stay**

DISTRICT	0-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25-48 months		49+ months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 <sup>1</sup>	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	9	43%	11	52%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%
4	421	90%	17	4%	7	2%	10	2%	11	2%	0	0%	466	100%
5	177	28%	234	38%	104	17%	53	8%	49	8%	7	1%	624	100%
6	7	3%	73	33%	83	38%	30	14%	24	11%	1	0%	218	100%
7	58	12%	161	34%	61	13%	122	26%	65	14%	0	0%	467	100%
8	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
9	107	18%	214	36%	120	20%	73	12%	78	13%	5	1%	597	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	2	25%	0	0%	5	63%	8	100%
13	5	3%	32	17%	38	20%	90	48%	22	12%	2	1%	189	100%
14	39	17%	90	39%	41	18%	27	12%	27	12%	4	2%	228	100%
15	20	17%	41	35%	26	22%	16	14%	13	11%	2	2%	118	100%
16	27	50%	15	28%	7	13%	4	7%	1	2%	0	0%	54	100%
17	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	87	22%	96	25%	85	22%	63	16%	54	14%	6	2%	391	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	27	10%	86	33%	76	29%	37	14%	35	13%	2	1%	263	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	977	27%	1,068	29%	661	18%	528	14%	379	10%	35	1%	3,648	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

<sup>1</sup>In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 105

**FY 2013**  
**Colorado State Probation**  
**Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)**  
**Total Active DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients on June 30, 2013**

DISTRICT	NUMBER
1	15
2 <sup>1</sup>	0
3	20
4	535
5	724
6	255
7	575
8	80
9	667
10	30
11	14
12	2
13	84
14	418
15	174
16	65
17	18
18	2
19	1,209
20	5
21	655
22	0
<b>TOTAL STATE</b>	<b>5,547</b>

<sup>1</sup>In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

# Section IX:

## Glossary of Terms



## Probation Glossary

<b>Absconder:</b>	Refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.
<b>Adjudication:</b>	Refers to a juvenile case indicating that the charges have been proven or the juvenile has pled guilty to committing a delinquent act. The equivalent for adult offenders is “conviction.”
<b>Administrative Investigations:</b>	A supplemental report to a Pre- Sentence Investigation Report addressing additional cases.
<b>Administrative Closure:</b>	Indicates a case that has been terminated from supervision in the jurisdiction indicated for miscellaneous reasons such as, “in custody in another jurisdiction.”
<b>Administrative Client Risk Level:</b>	An assigned supervision level indicating lower supervision need by probation because of other more intensive “supervision” being provided elsewhere (such as jail or an inpatient treatment facility) or minimal conditions of the sentence remain and more intensive supervision is no longer necessary.
<b>Change of Venue:</b>	Occurs when a delinquent juvenile has been transferred from one Colorado judicial district, where the offense occurred, to another one where the juvenile will receive supervision. Change of Venue typically occurs because the juvenile resides in a jurisdiction other than where the offense took place.
<b>Client Risk Level:</b>	Probationers are classified using a risk assessment instrument. The primary instrument used for adults is the LSI (Level of Supervision Inventory). The juvenile instrument is the CJRA (Colorado Juvenile Risk Assessment). These instruments determine the level of supervision for an offender (e.g. Maximum, Medium, and Minimum).
<b>Courtesy Closure:</b>	A case that has closed in the supervising jurisdiction and returned to the originating or sentencing district.
<b>Courtesy Supervision:</b>	Occurs when an adult offender has received a probation sentence in one Colorado judicial district but will be supervised by the probation department in another Colorado judicial district because they reside in that jurisdiction.
<b>Community Corrections:</b>	A community-based sentence that is more restricted than probation supervision but less restrictive than a secure facility. A community corrections sentence typically includes both a residential and non-residential component with supervision from a case manager. Because probation maintains jurisdiction over offenders sentenced to community corrections, they are also monitored by a probation officer.

<b>Deferred Judgment and Sentence/Adjudication</b>	Refers to a case status in which the offender, (adult or juvenile) has entered a plea of guilty and, through an agreement with the district attorney and consent of the court, the case is continued for a set period of time. The offender is usually supervised by probation and upon successful completion of the deferred judgment the case is dismissed.
<b>Direct File:</b>	A case filed by a District Attorney in which a juvenile is prosecuted as an adult.
<b>Intake:</b>	The initial process for setting up the supervision of probationers in the absence of a pre-sentence investigation. The intake process typically entails a review of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence, an assessment of risk and needs, and initial referral for services.
<b>Interstate:</b>	Refers to offenders who have committed crimes in a state other than where they reside. These offenders apply to have their supervision transferred to their state of residence and are screened by the Interstate Compact Office prior to acceptance of supervision.
<b>Investigations:</b>	An investigative process conducted by probation officers prior to sentencing on a criminal offense. The information (e.g. risk for re-offense, service needs, criminal, social, education and substance abuse history, impact of the offense on victim(s) etc.) gathered during a pre-sentence investigation is used to inform sentencing decisions and supervision strategies.
<b>Length of Stay:</b>	The period of time an offender is on probation supervision.
<b>Monitoring:</b>	DUI/DWAI offenders who, as a part of their sentence, are required to have their compliance with court orders monitored by the probation department.
<b>Revoked:</b>	The withdrawal of a probation sentence due to a new misdemeanor or felony offense or a technical violation of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence. Following a revocation of probation, the offender is re-sentenced by the court.
<b>Success:</b>	Successful terminations represent those offenders who, at a minimum, meet their Terms and Conditions of their probation sentence and the length of their sentence has expired.
<b>Technical Violation:</b>	Occurs when a probationer has been non-compliant for reasons other than a new crime, with the Term(s) and Condition(s) of the probation sentence (e.g. substance use, missed appointments etc).
<b>Transfer Hearing:</b>	A judicial proceeding in which the court makes a determination after a hearing to prosecute a juvenile as an adult.

## Supreme Court Glossary of Terms

- Civil Appeal:** A written request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a civil case.
- Criminal Appeal:** A request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a criminal case.
- Habeas Corpus:** (Habeas corpus ad subjiciendum)  
“An independent civil action to determine not the guilt or innocence of the person held in custody, but whether the custody is unlawful. Common grounds for relief under the writ include a conviction based on illegally obtained evidence, a denial of effective assistance of counsel, or a conviction by a jury that was improperly selected and impaneled. Use of the writ is not limited to criminal matters. It is also available in civil matters, as, for example, to challenge a person’s custody of a child or the institutionalization of a person declared incompetent.”  
(<http://dictionary.lp.findlaw.com>)
- Interlocutories:** An interlocutory appeal is one which is not determinable of the controversy, but which is necessary for a suitable adjudication of the merits. Colorado Appellate Rule 4.1 governs interlocutory appeals in criminal cases and provides that the state may file an interlocutory appeal in the Supreme Court from a district court ruling granting a motion in advance of the trial by the defendant for the return of property and to suppress evidence or to suppress an extra-judicial confession or admission. This is only one example of an interlocutory appeal.
- Interrogatories:** Pursuant to Article VI, section 3 of the state Constitution, the Court may be required to answer “important questions upon solemn occasions” propounded by the Governor, the Senate, or the House of Representatives. These questions are called “interrogatories.”
- Judicial Discipline or Disability:** Judges who are alleged to have engaged in misconduct or to be suffering from a disability which is or is likely to become of a permanent character may be subject to judicial discipline. The Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline investigates such allegations and, if it concludes that the judge has engaged in misconduct or is disabled, it may recommend to the Supreme Court a range of sanctions, including removal of the judge from office, retirement of the judge for a disability, reprimand or censure of the judge, or assessment of costs against the judge.



<b>Original Proceedings:</b>	Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure 21 provides the Colorado Supreme Court with discretion to exercise original jurisdiction in extraordinary circumstances where no other remedy is available. Original proceedings are used to test whether the trial court is proceeding without jurisdiction or in excess of its jurisdiction and to review a serious abuse of discretion when an appellate remedy would be inadequate. The remedy in an original proceeding takes the form of a special mandate from the Supreme Court addressed to an individual, official body, or lower court and may be used to restrain or compel the acts of a trial court. The Court issues a “rule to show cause” why the relief requested in the petition should not be granted. After considering the written briefs, the Supreme Court either makes the rule absolute (grants the relief requested) or discharges the rule (denies the relief requested).
<b>Petitions in Certiorari:</b>	A writ of common law origin issued by a superior to an inferior court requiring the latter to produce a certified record of a particular case tried therein. The writ is generally issued so that the issuing court may inspect the proceedings and determine whether there have been any irregularities. Like the United States Supreme Court, the Colorado Supreme Court uses the writ as a discretionary device to choose which cases it wishes to hear. Colorado Appellate Rules 49-58 govern writs of certiorari.
<b>Reapportionment:</b>	Article 5, section 48 of the state Constitution provides that after the decennial federal census, the senatorial districts and representative districts shall be established, revised, or altered, and the members of the Senate and the House of Representatives apportioned among them, by a Colorado reapportionment commission. The commission must publish a preliminary plan for reapportionment of the members of the General Assembly and shall hold public hearings thereon. After the completion of such hearings, the commission must finalize its plan and submit the same to the Colorado Supreme Court for review and determination as to whether the plan meets constitutional standards. The Supreme Court must either approve the plan or return the plan and the court’s reasons for disapproval to the commission. If the plan is returned, the commission shall revise and modify it to conform to the court’s requirements and resubmit the plan to the court. If the plan is approved by the court, it shall be filed with the secretary of state for implementation.
<b>Request for Stay Pending Appeal:</b>	A stay is a suspension of the case or some designated proceedings within it. Colorado Appellate Rule 8 governs stays pending appeal.

- Rule 21.1:** This rule of appellate procedure governs certification of questions of law to the Colorado Supreme Court by the United States Supreme Court, federal courts of appeals, federal district courts, and the federal Court of Claims. Under the rule, a certifying court may request that the Colorado Supreme Court answer a question of law if there is involved in any proceeding before the certifying court a question of state law which may be determinative of the cause pending and to which it appears that there is no controlling precedent in the Colorado Supreme Court's decisions.
- Special Concurrences:** An opinion in which a justice expresses agreement with the particular result in a given case while objecting to a line of reasoning. The special concurrence may relate to the majority opinion in its entirety or to the result only.
- Statutory Reviews:** Section 1-40-107, C.R.S., provides a mechanism by which registered electors who meet certain criteria and who believe that a ballot title contains multiple subjects may petition the Supreme Court to review the title board's action or decision.
- Unauthorized Practice:** The practice of law by one who is not licensed as an attorney in Colorado. The Supreme Court has the exclusive jurisdiction to define the practice of law and to prohibit the unauthorized.

## Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

<b>Administrative Support Order:</b>	Cases in which the delegate child support unit (typically the department of social services) files a paternity or child support case (See §26-13.5-101 C.R.S.).
<b>Ancillary Proceedings:</b>	A type of probate filing in which a probate case had been opened in another state but the decedent had property in the state of Colorado.
<b>Breach of Contract:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims parties made an agreement either written or otherwise and the other side has breached this contract (e.g. §13-80-102 C.R.S.).
<b>Breach of Warranty:</b>	A civil case in which a plaintiff claims that a product does not perform as represented.
<b>C.R.C.C.P.:</b>	Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of County Civil Procedure.
<b>C.R.C.P.:</b>	Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure.
<b>C.R.S.:</b>	Abbreviation for Colorado Revised Statutes.
<b>Civil Protection Orders:</b>	(Prior to 7/1/03, known as Restraining Orders). District and county courts have original concurrent jurisdiction to issue temporary or permanent civil protection orders for any of the following purposes: 1) to prevent assaults and threatened bodily harm; 2) to prevent domestic abuse; 3) to prevent emotional abuse of the elderly; and 4) to prevent stalking (See 13-14-102 C.R.S. and 13-1-136 C.R.S. and C.R.C.C.P. Rule 365)
<b>Condemnation:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff, usually the Department of Transportation but may be a city or state district, is asking that property be condemned and taken so that it may be put to that department's use (See §38-3-105 C.R.S.).
<b>County Court Counter Claim:</b>	A civil case in which a defendant desires to counterclaim against the Plaintiff. In County Court if the counterclaim exceeds the jurisdiction of County Court, a transfer of the case to District Court may be requested by the defendant or made automatically by the court (See C.R.C.P. 313).
<b>County Felony:</b>	Criminal felony cases that have preliminary work done at the County Court level before being bound over (sent) to District Court.
<b>Covenant:</b>	Provisions in a deed limiting the use of the property and prohibiting certain uses. Breach of covenant claims are usually filed by homeowners associations.
<b>Criminal Non-support:</b>	A criminal action for the non-payment of child support.

<b>Declaratory Judgment:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff is asking the court to declare (order) something. It is used to settle and gain relief from uncertainty with respect to rights, status, or other legal relations.
<b>Dependency and Neglect:</b>	A child is dependent or neglected if a parent, guardian, or legal custodian has abandoned the child or has subjected the child to mistreatment or abuse, whether the parent is or is not at fault. In addition, a child is dependent or neglected if the child has run away from home or is beyond the control of his or her parents (For other examples, see §19-3-102 C.R.S.).
<b>Determination of Interests:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff asks the court to determine his or her interest in something ( <i>e.g. two attorneys have been partners in a law practice. Now the partnership is being dissolved, and the attorneys cannot agree on who gets what, for example: furniture, computer, client list, etc.</i> ).
<b>Disposition:</b>	The final settlement of a matter. With reference to decisions announced by a court, a judge's ruling is commonly referred to as a disposition.
<b>Distraint Warrant:</b>	A filing by the State Department of Revenue for a judgment for outstanding state taxes that allows for a lien to be placed on the debtor's assets.
<b>Expedited Placement:</b>	A dependency and neglect case in which at least one child of the family is under the age of 6 years on the date the petition is filed qualifies as an Expedited Permanency Planning (EPP) case (§19-3-104 C.R.S.).
<b>Felony:</b>	A crime punishable by death or by imprisonment in a state penal institution.
<b>Forcible Entry and Detainer:</b>	Eviction; a civil case in which the plaintiff is seeking to regain possession of leased real property and possibly money damages (See §13-40-110 C.R.S.).
<b>Foreclosure other than Rule 120:</b>	A legal proceeding to terminate a mortgager's interest in property, instituted by the lender either to gain title or to force a sale in order to satisfy the unpaid debt secured by the property (See §38-38-701 C.R.S.).
<b>Foreign Judgment:</b>	A judgment from any state or federal court granting or denying recovery for a sum of money other than judgments for taxes, a fine or other penalty, or a judgment for support in family or matrimonial matters (See §13-53-102 C.R.S.).
<b>Goods and Services Delivered:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that defendant purchased products, not services but has failed to pay for them.

<b>Incoming Registration of Support:</b>	A domestic case in which a person had a Support Order that was entered in another state and he or she wants to enforce the case here, generally to modify the support order or to issue garnishments or wage assignments
<b>Infraction:</b>	A breach, violation, or infringement, as of a law, contract, right, or duty. A violation of a statute for which the only sentence authorized is a fine.
<b>Injunctive Relief:</b>	Plaintiff asks the court to restrain or enjoin another party from taking an action that may cause harm or result in injury, loss or damage to the plaintiff. May also include a temporary protection order. (See C.R.C.P. 65)
<b>Intestate-Formal:</b>	Formal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing a petition and, after notice and hearing, the court enters an order, adjudicates intestacy, determines heirs and appoints a personal representative.
<b>Intestate-Informal:</b>	Informal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing an application for informal appointment.
<b>Lien:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff has provided goods and/or services to a party, and has not been paid. Plaintiff retains right to hold property until payment for services is received. If services were provided on real property, see Mechanic's Lien. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S.)
<b>Mechanic's Lien:</b>	Plaintiff has provided goods and/or services on a property (real property) for which he or she has not been paid and plaintiff has subsequently filed a lien against the property to ensure payment. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S.)
<b>Misdemeanor:</b>	A criminal offense punishable by a sentence in the county jail.
<b>Money:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims a demand for money owed.
<b>Note:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims defendant has signed a note (a borrower's legally binding written promise to repay a debt to a lender on a specified date) and has defaulted on the payment for that note.
<b>Outgoing Registration of UIFSA:</b>	An administrative order filed by the Department of Health Services that it wants to have enforced in another state.
<b>Public Administrator Statement:</b>	A type of probate filing. The public administrator files statements of accounts in small estates.

<b>Public Utilities Commission:</b>	A review of a decision by the Public Utilities Commission for the purpose of having the lawfulness of the final decision inquired into and determined. (See §40-6-115 C.R.S.)
<b>Registration of Foreign Decree:</b>	When a party to a domestic relations case, filed in another state, seeks to register (or file) an out-of-state case into the State of Colorado for purposes of enforcement. (See §14-11-101 C.R.S.)
<b>Replevin:</b>	A civil case in which a party having right to possession is asking to recover from another who has either wrongfully taken or detained property. (See C.R.C.P. 104.)
<b>Restraining Orders:</b>	See Civil Protection Orders
<b>Rule 105 Quiet Title:</b>	Plaintiff asks the court to determine the rights of all parties with respect to real property and its possession (See C.R.C.P. 105 and C.R.C.P. 105.1(b)).
<b>Rule 106 Writs/Contempt:</b>	A civil case brought in District Court that allows for relief to be obtained in the following instances: 1) where any person not being committed or detained for any criminal or supposed criminal matter is illegally confined or restrained; 2) to compel a lower judicial body, governmental body, corporation, board, officer or person to perform an act which the law specially enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to compel the admission of a party to the use and enjoyment of a right or office to which he is entitled, and from which he is unlawfully precluded; 3) when any person usurps, intrudes into, or unlawfully holds or exercises any office or franchise; 4) where any governmental body or officer or any lower judicial body exercising judicial or quasi-judicial functions has exceeded its jurisdiction or abused its discretion, and there is no remedy otherwise provided by law.
<b>Rule 120 Deed of Trust:</b>	A party holding interest in property through an instrument in which there is default on the instrument, may ask the court to order the property sold by the public trustee (See C.R.C.P. Rule 120).
<b>Services Rendered:</b>	A civil case in which a party performs a service but is not compensated as agreed.
<b>Single Transaction:</b>	A type of probate filing in which the court, without appointing a conservator, may enter an order to authorize, direct or ratify any transaction necessary or desirable for the best interests of the protected person.
<b>Special Administrator-Formal:</b>	A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed by the Court on petition of any interested person and finding, after notice and hearing, that appointment is necessary to preserve the estate or secure its proper administration, including when a personal representative cannot or should not act. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.)

<b>Special Administrator-Informal:</b>	A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed informally by the Registrar on application of any interested person when necessary to protect the estate of a decedent prior to the appointment of a general personal representative or if a prior appointment has been terminated. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.) Note there is a general policy in most courts to accept only formal proceedings.
<b>Special District:</b>	Persons proposing the organization of a special district (i.e. water, fire protection, metropolitan, park & recreation, sanitation etc.) may petition the court for the organization of the district. (See §32-1-301 C.R.S.)
<b>Specific Performance:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that a contract exists between parties and one party has failed to perform the conditions of the contract. The plaintiff asks the court to order that the conditions of the contract be performed.
<b>Testate-Formal:</b>	A probate filing. A formal proceeding for adjudication regarding the priority or qualification of one who is an applicant for appointment as personal representative, or of one who previously has been appointed personal representative in informal proceedings, or if an issue concerning the testacy of the decedent is or may be involved (See §15-12-414 C.R.S.).
<b>Testate-Informal:</b>	A probate filing. An informal probate of will and informal appointment of personal representative.
<b>Termination (terminated case):</b>	A case in which all outstanding issues have been resolved; a case that has reached final resolution.
<b>Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act:</b>	A type of probate filing, commonly referred to as a UVGA case, which applies to beneficiaries of monies from the Veterans Administration. The word guardian and guardianship is used but in essence this is a conservatorship and essentially treated as a conservatorship.
<b>Writ of Habeas Corpus:</b>	Plaintiff claims that he or she has been illegally confined and is asking for an order releasing him or her. (See §13-45-101 C.R.S. and §13-45-102 C.R.S.)