

Colorado Judicial Branch



Annual Statistical Report
Fiscal Year 2011

SUPREME COURT OF COLORADO

STATE JUDICIAL BUILDING
101 WEST COLFAX AVENUE, SUITE 800
DENVER, COLORADO 80202

(303) 837-3771

MICHAEL L. BENDER
CHIEF JUSTICE

Each year the Colorado Judicial Branch presents its annual statistical report to the citizens of Colorado. As Chief Justice of the Colorado Supreme Court, I am pleased to present our report for Fiscal Year 2011. This report reflects the efforts of the courts to implement the United States and Colorado Constitutions and laws by fully and fairly resolving disputes of all kinds.

This statistical report provides a detailed look at the cases filed and resolved in our courts during the fiscal year that ended June 30, 2011. It presents detailed data of court activities at the appellate, district, and county court levels, as well as in probation services. Information is presented by category of court filings and probation caseloads, as well as location of filings.

Like all sectors of the American economy, government at every level continues to work hard to find innovative ways to do more with less. One prominent trend reflected in the Fiscal Year 2011 report is growth in court filings stemming from economically driven disputes such as debt collection and foreclosures. Another prominent trend is growth in the number of court cases with at least one unrepresented litigant. *Pro se* litigants have different needs, and require more attention and assistance, than represented litigants, which has altered the operations of the courts.

Although this report is full of numbers and trends, these statistics represent real people who may be struggling economically or otherwise and need the courts to function efficiently and effectively. Our judicial system will continue to do its best to carry out its constitutional duty of upholding the rule of law, furthering the paramount principle that the law provides the steady foundation upon which our society rests.

Overall trial court filings brought to our courts in Fiscal Year 2011 numbered 241,177 criminal and 494,921 civil cases. On the appellate level, 1,387 cases were filed with the Supreme Court, which issued 140 opinions. There were 2,742 cases filed with the Court of Appeals, which issued 1,866 published and unpublished opinions with an additional 824 cases either dismissed or transferred to the Supreme Court.

During the past year, I am proud to report the Judicial Branch began several initiatives that will help guarantee equal access to the courts to all in Colorado.

First, we are working to improve services to unrepresented litigants to help reduce not only the amount of time and money they must invest in resolving their disputes, but also the courts' time and resources. We recognize the better prepared and informed a *pro se* litigant is, the more efficiently a case can move through the court system, and, most importantly, the better the likelihood that a fair and just result will be reached.

Second, we have authorized a two-year pilot project in several counties called the Colorado Civil Access Pilot Project. This initiative was designed by groups including the American College of Trial Lawyers and the University of Denver's Institute for the Advancement of the American Legal System. It is meant to streamline pretrial processes in certain civil actions to narrow the

disputed facts and issues in a way that I believe will bring down the rising cost and protracted timeframe that most civil litigation demands.

Last, Colorado's Judicial Branch is charged with managing criminal offenders sentenced to probation, a sentencing option that is becoming more important everywhere as an alternative to incarceration for some offenders. Our Division of Probation Services continues its commitment to implementing evidence-based practices in supervising some 80,300 juvenile and adult offenders, meaning our dedicated probation officers are using techniques and processes that have proved effective through careful implementation and analysis.

I look forward to seeing the fruits of these projects in the near future, and reporting those results to you.

As we continue to develop these initiatives and others to improve the way Colorado's courts work, we will never lose sight of our core constitutional functions and the people we serve. We will continue to ensure the Branch is responsive to the needs of the public and to promote the public's understanding of and confidence in the Colorado courts as a co-equal Branch of government.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Michael L. Bender".

Michael L. Bender
Chief Justice

Table of Contents

Foreword	i
Judicial District Map	ii
Organization Chart	iii

I. Supreme Court

List of Supreme Court Justices	1
Narrative	3
Table 1 Supreme Court Caseload, FY 1978 to FY 2011	5
Table 2 Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court, FY 1989 to FY 2011	6
Table 3 Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court, FY 2004 to FY 2011	6
Table 4 Distribution of Case Terminations, FY 1990 to FY 2011	7
Table 5 Supreme Court Written Opinions, FY 2005 to FY 2011	7
Table 6 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue, End of FY 2011	8
Table 7 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue, End of FY 2011	8

II. Court of Appeals

List of Court of Appeals Judges	9
Narrative	11
Table 8 Court of Appeals Caseload, FY 1973 to FY 2011	13
Table 9 Distribution of Case Filings and Percent Change for FY 2010 and FY 2011	14
Table 10 Distribution of Case Terminations and Percent Change for FY 2010 and FY 2011	14

III. District Court

List of District Court Judges	15
Narrative	17
Chart 1&2 Filing Comparison, FY 2002 and FY 2011	19
Table 11 District Court Filings and Terminations Compared to Previous Year	21
Table 12 District Court Caseload, FY 2002 to FY 2011	22
Table 13 District Court Filings and Percent Change, FY 2010 to FY 2011	23
Table 14 District Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2011	24-27
Table 15 Court and Jury Trials for District Court for FY 2011	28-29
Table 16 Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2011	30-43
Table 17 Domestic Relations Filings by Type of Case for FY 2011	44-47
Table 18 Criminal Filings by Type of Case for FY 2011	48-59
Table 19 Juvenile Filings by Type of Case for FY 2011	60-65
Table 20 Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type of Case for FY 2011	66-75
Table 21 Mental Health Filings by Type of Case for FY 2011	76-77
Table 22 Probate Filings by Type of Case for FY 2011	78-85

IV. County Court

List of County Court Judges	87
Narrative	89
Chart 3&4 Filing Comparison, FY 2002 and FY 2011	91
Table 23 County Court Filings and Terminations Compared to Previous Year	93
Table 24 County Court Caseload FY 2002 to FY 2011	94
Table 25 County Court Filings and Percent Change from FY 2010 to FY 2011	95
Table 26 County Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2011	96-99
Table 27 Court and Jury Trials for County Court for FY 2011	100-101
Table 28 DUI/DWAI Filings by District for FY 2002 to FY 2011	102
Table 29 Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2011	103-104
Table 30 Misdemeanor Filings by Type of Case for FY 2011	105-112
Table 31 Small Claims Filings by Type of Case for FY 2011	113-114
Table 32 Traffic Filings by Type of Case for FY 2011	115-116

V. Water Court

List of Water Court Judges.....	117
Narrative.....	119
Table 33 Filings, Claims and Percent Change for FY 2010 and 2011.....	121

VI. Office of Dispute Resolution

Narrative.....	123
Table 34 ADR Services Provided by Case Type and Disposition Percentage, FY 2011	125
Table 35 ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type, FY 2011	126
Table 36 Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type, FY 2011	127

VII. Financial Information

Narrative.....	129
Table 37 Colorado Judicial Branch, Collections	130
Chart 5 Judicial Restitution, FY 2002 to FY 2011	131
Chart 6 Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2011 Statewide Total Appropriations	133
Chart 7 Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2011 Judicial Appropriation by Agency.....	133
Chart 8 Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2011 Judicial Appropriation by Major Program.....	133
Chart 9 Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2011 Judicial Appropriation by Major Cost Category.....	133

VIII. Colorado Probation

List of Chief Probation Officers.....	135
Probation Overview.....	137-139
Table 38 Grand Totals – Probation Adult Regular, Private, Juvenile, Intensive Programs, Monitoring and Investigations, FY2010 & FY 2011.....	141
Table 39 State and Private Combined (Non-DUI/DWAI) Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations FY2011.....	142

Regular Adult State Probation:

Table 40 Adult Probation: FY 2011 Investigations	143
Table 41 Adult Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Offense Type.....	144
Table 42 Adult Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Court of Origin	145
Table 43 Adult Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Supervision Type.....	146
Table 44 Adult Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Gender.....	147
Table 45 Adult Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Age	148
Table 46 Adult Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Ethnic Group.....	149
Table 47 Adult Probation: FY 2011 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations.....	150
Table 48 Adult Probation: FY 2011 All Probation Supervision Closure Types.....	151
Table 49 Adult Probation: FY 2011 Type of Revocation.....	152
Table 50 Adult Probation: FY 2011 Length of Stay	153
Table 51 Adult Probation: FY 2011 Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2011 (State Supervised).....	154
Table 52 Adult Probation: FY 2011 Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2011 (State Supervised).....	155
Table 53 Adult Probation: FY 2011 State Active and All Private Clients on June 30, 2011	156

Private Probation:

Table 54 Private Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Supervision Type.....	157
Table 55 Private Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Gender.....	158
Table 56 Private Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Age: Non-DUI/DWAI.....	159
Table 57 Private Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Age: DUI/DWAI.....	160
Table 58 Private Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Ethnic Group: Non-DUI/DWAI.....	161
Table 59 Private Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Ethnic Group: DUI/DWAI.....	162
Table 60 Private Probation: FY 2011 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations.....	163
Table 61 Private Probation: FY 2011 All Closure Types: Non-DUI/DWAI.....	164
Table 62 Private Probation: FY 2011 All Closure Types: DUI/DWAI	165
Table 63 Private Probation: FY 2011 Types of Revocation	166

Table 64	Private Probation: FY 2011 Length of Stay.....	167
Table 65	Private Probation: FY 2011 Active Non-DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2011.....	168
Table 66	Private Probation: FY 2011 Active DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2011.....	169

Regular Juvenile Probation:

Table 67	Juvenile Probation: FY 2011 Investigations.....	171
Table 68	Juvenile Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Offense Type.....	172
Table 69	Juvenile Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Supervision Type.....	173
Table 70	Juvenile Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Gender.....	174
Table 71	Juvenile Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Age.....	175
Table 72	Juvenile Probation: FY 2011 New Clients by Ethnic Group.....	176
Table 73	Juvenile Probation: FY 2011 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations.....	177
Table 74	Juvenile Probation: FY 2011 All Probation Supervision Closure Types.....	178
Table 75	Juvenile Probation: FY 2011 Type of Revocation.....	179
Table 76	Juvenile Probation: FY 2011 Length of Stay.....	180
Table 77	Juvenile Probation: FY 2011 Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2011.....	181
Table 78	Juvenile Probation: FY 2011 Active Sex Offender Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2011.....	182

Intensive Programs:

Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP):

Table 79	FY 2011 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP) New Clients by Order Type.....	183
Table 80	FY 2011 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations (AISP).....	184
Table 81	FY 2011 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP) Discharges.....	185
Table 82	FY 2011 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP) Type of Revocation.....	186

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP):

Table 83	FY 2011 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP) New Clients by Order Type.....	187
Table 84	FY 2011 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations (SOISP).....	188
Table 85	FY 2011 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP) Discharges.....	189
Table 86	FY 2011 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP) Type of Revocation.....	190

Female Offender Program (FOP):

Table 87	FY 2011 Female Offender Program (FOP) New Clients by Order Type.....	191
Table 88	FY 2011 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations (FOP).....	192
Table 89	FY 2011 Female Offender Program (FOP) Discharges.....	193
Table 90	FY 2011 Female Offender Program (FOP) Type of Revocation.....	194

Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP):

Table 91	FY 2011 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP) New Clients by Order Type.....	195
Table 92	FY 2011 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations (JISP).....	196
Table 93	FY 2011 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP) Discharges.....	197
Table 94	FY 2011 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP) Type of Revocation.....	198

Intensive Programs Summary:

Table 95	FY 2011 Active Clients Summary on June 30, 2011.....	199
----------	--	-----

Victim Services:

Table 96	Summary of Victim Services FY 2006 to FY 2011.....	201
----------	--	-----

Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)

Table 97	ADDS: FY2011 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients.....	203
Table 98	ADDS: FY2011 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender.....	204
Table 99	ADDS: FY2011 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group.....	205
Table 100	ADDS: FY2011 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age.....	206
Table 101	ADDS: FY2011 State Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations.....	207
Table 102	ADDS: FY2011 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Closure Types.....	208
Table 103	ADDS: FY2011 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Type of Revocation.....	209
Table 104	ADDS: FY2011 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Length of Stay.....	210

Table 105 ADDS: FY2011 Active State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients on June 30, 2011.....211

IX. Glossary of Terms

Probation.....213-214
Supreme Court Glossary of Terms214-215
Trial Court Glossary of Terms215-218

Foreword


The Colorado Judicial Branch joins the Legislative and Executive branches to form the government of the State of Colorado. The Judicial Branch is charged with two responsibilities: resolving disputes and supervising offenders on probation.

The Colorado Judicial Department Annual Statistical Report for Fiscal Year 2011 provides information about the Colorado state courts. Profiled in this report are case load statistics for the Colorado Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, District Courts, County Courts, Water Courts, as well as summarized financial information for the Judicial Department.

All references in this report reflect data gathered for Fiscal Year 2011 (July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011), unless otherwise specified. This report can also be viewed by accessing the Colorado Judicial Department Web site at www.courts.state.co.us. If you need additional information regarding this document, please contact the Office of the State Court Administrator, Division of Planning & Analysis or Division of Probation Services at (303) 861-1111.

Organization Chart of the Judicial Department

The Colorado court system consists of the Supreme Court, an intermediate Court of Appeals, district courts and county courts. Each county has both a district court and a county court. Special probate and juvenile courts created by the Colorado Constitution exist in the City and County of Denver. Colorado statutes also authorize locally funded municipal courts with jurisdiction limited to municipal ordinance violations.



1 - Exclusive to the City and County of Denver. In the rest of the state, the district court is responsible for juvenile and probate matters.

2 – The Denver County Court functions as a municipal as well as a county court and is separate from the state court system.

3 – Created and maintained by local government but subject to Supreme Court rules and procedures.

Section I:
Supreme Court



SUPREME COURT

SUPREME COURT JUSTICES AND APPOINTMENT DATES

Chief Justice Michael L. Bender: Appointed January 2, 1997,
became Chief Justice: December 1, 2010

Gregory J. Hobbs, Jr.: April 18, 1996

Alex J. Martinez: September 12, 1996

Nancy E. Rice: August 5, 1998

Nathan B. Coats: April 24, 2000

Alison Eid: February 15, 2006

Monica M. Marquez: September 8, 2010

Colorado Supreme Court

The Colorado Supreme Court is the state's court of last resort. Its decisions are binding on all County and District Courts, as well as the Court of Appeals.

The Supreme Court is composed of seven justices who serve renewable 10-year terms. The Chief Justice is selected from the membership of the body and serves at the pleasure of a majority of the justices. The Chief Justice also serves as the executive head of the Colorado Judicial Department and is the ex officio chair of the Supreme Court Nominating Commission. The Chief Justice appoints the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals and the Chief Judge of each of the state's 22 judicial districts, and is vested with the authority to assign judges (active or retired) to perform judicial duties.

Requests to review decisions of the Colorado Court of Appeals constitute a majority of the Supreme Court's filings. The Supreme Court also has direct appellate jurisdiction over cases in which a statute has been held to be unconstitutional, cases involving decisions of the Public Utilities Commission, writs of habeas corpus, cases involving adjudication of water rights, summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code, and prosecutorial appeals concerning search and seizure questions in pending criminal proceedings. All of these appeals are filed directly with the Supreme Court, and, in these cases bypass the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court also has exclusive jurisdiction to promulgate rules governing practice and procedure in civil and criminal actions.

Colorado's attorneys are licensed and disciplined by the Supreme Court. The court's attorney regulation system, funded by attorney registration fees, regulates the profession. In addition, the court oversees the State Court Administrator, Board of Continuing Legal Education, Board of Law Examiners, Commission on Judicial Discipline, and Unauthorized Practice of Law Committee.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are welcome to visit the court. The clerk's office can assist you in planning a court visit. For more information, please contact the Clerk of the Colorado Supreme Court, at 101 West Colfax Ave., Suite 800, Denver, Colorado 80202; or call (303) 837-3790 or (800) 888-0001.

Case announcements, oral argument schedules, rules, Chief Justice Directives, protocols, links to committees and commissions, and general information about the court is available on the Judicial Branch's website at:
http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Supreme_Court/Index.cfm.

Table 1

Supreme Court Caseload FY 1978 to FY 2011

Fiscal Year	Cases Pending July 1	Cases Filed	Total Caseload	Cases Terminated(a)	Cases Pending June 30
1977-78	374	854	1,228	893	335
1978-79	350	941	1,291	877	414
1979-80	414	950	1,364	893	471
1980-81	471	966	1,437	979	458
1981-82	458	1,052	1,510	1,006	504
1982-83	504	971	1,475	1,038	437
1983-84	437	1,069	1,506	950	556
1984-85	556	967	1,523	1,011	512
1985-86	512	988	1,500	973	527
1986-87	527	970	1,497	1,036	461
1987-88	461	1,022	1,483	1,001	482
1988-89	482	1,198	1,680	1,215	465
1989-90	465	1,300	1,765	1,261	504
1990-91	504	1,265	1,769	1,326	443
1991-92	443	1,313	1,756	1,286	470
1992-93	470	1,251	1,721	1,261	460
1993-94	460	1,277	1,737	1,290	447
1994-95	447	1,358	1,805	1,316	489
1995-96	489	1,401	1,890	1,368	522
1996-97	522	1,511	2,033	1,432	601
1997-98	601	1,520	2,121	1,561	560
1998-99	560	1,525	2,085	1,609	476
1999-00	476	1,617	2,093	1,563	530
2000-01	530	1,367	1,897	1,425	472
2001-02	472	1,368	1,840	1,415	425
2002-03	425	1,401	1,826	1,441	385
2003-04	385	1,317	1,702	1,319	383
2004-05	383	1,466	1,849	1,451	398
2005-06	398	1,393	1,791	1,400	391
2006-07	391	1,534	1,925	1,450	475
2007-08	475	1,657	2,132	1,760	372
2008-09	372	1,643	2,015	1,554	461
2009-10	461	1,518	1,979	1,554	425
2010-11	425	1,387	1,812	1,440	372

(a) Terminations include cases transferred to the Court of Appeals.

Table 2

**Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court
FY 1989 to FY 2011**

	Fiscal Year	Direct Filings	Transfers from Court of Appeals	Reopened Cases	Total Filings
1988-89	1,182	15	1	1,198	
1989-90	1,284	15	1	1,300	
1990-91	1,260	4	1	1,265	
1991-92	1,292	21	0	1,313	
1992-93	1,235	16	0	1,251	
1993-94	1,270	7	0	1,277	
1994-95	1,356	2	0	1,358	
1995-96	1,389	11	1	1,401	
1996-97	1,507	4	0	1,511	
1997-98	1,517	3	0	1,520	
1998-99	1,521	4	0	1,525	
1999-00	1,613	4	0	1,617	
2000-01	1,363	4	0	1,367	
2001-02	1,361	7	0	1,368	
2002-03	1,393	8	0	1,401	
2003-04	1,310	7	0	1,317	
2004-05	1,463	3	0	1,466	
2005-06	1,387	6	0	1,393	
2006-07	1,530	4	0	1,534	
2007-08	1,653	4	0	1,657	
2008-09	1,638	5	0	1,643	
2009-10	1,504	14	0	1,518	
2010-11	1,379	8	0	1,387	

Table 3

**Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court
FY 2004 to FY 2011**

	03-04	04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11
Criminal Appeals	2	2	1	0	2	1	1	2
Original Proceedings	295	297	305	281	305	269	318	270
Petitions in Certiorari	822	936	868	1001	1078	1112	967	909
Civil Appeals (a)	10	17	13	13	10	13	19	14
Interlocutories	11	11	13	15	25	17	19	18
Statutory Reviews (b)	29	3	18	8	24	4	16	15
Habeas Corpus	14	30	19	23	13	16	21	25
Bail Reductions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Adversary Sentence Reviews	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interrogatories	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Reapportionment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reopened Cases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rule 21.1	0	1	3	2	0	0	3	0
Judicial Discipline or Disability	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Request for Stay Pending Appeal	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Unauthorized Practice	19	14	7	5	5	21	12	18
Original Proceedings								
In Discipline	25	20	17	28	26	16	26	12
Disability	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Continuing Legal Education	64	106	101	122	136	155	92	89
Multi-District Litigation	23	29	26	33	33	18	22	17
Total	1,317	1,466	1,393	1,534	1,657	1,643	1,517	1,387

(a) Includes P.U.C. decisions, water cases, and constitutional questions

(b) Includes Ballot Title Reviews

Fiscal Year	Disposed of by Written Opinion	Disposed of Without Opinion	Total Dispositions	Transferred to Court of Appeals	Total Terminations
1989-90	237	1,008	1,245	16	1,261
1990-91	227	1,086	1,313	13	1,326
1991-92	216	1,058	1,274	12	1,286
1992-93	181	1,059	1,240	21	1,261
1993-94	192	1,093	1,285	5	1,290
1994-95	233	1,077	1,310	6	1,316
1995-96	193	1,176	1,369	1	1,370
1996-97	214	1,212	1,426	6	1,432
1997-98	187	1,372	1,559	2	1,561
1998-99	196	1,413	1,609	6	1,615
1999-00	111	1,452	1,563	0	1,563
2000-01	128	1,291	1,419	6	1,425
2001-02	121	1,287	1,408	7	1,415
2002-03	85	1,354	1,439	2	1,441
2003-04	89	1,228	1,317	2	1,319
2004-05	93	1,357	1,450	1	1,451
2005-06	78	1,321	1,399	1	1,400
2006-07	86	1,361	1,447	3	1,450
2007-08	99	1,658	1,757	3	1,760
2008-09	67	1,484	1,551	3	1,554
2009-10	75	1,478	1,553	1	1,554
2010-11	98	1,339	1,437	3	1,440

	Number of Opinions Announcing Judgment of the Court	Number of Partial Concurrences and Dissents	Number of Dissents	Number of Concurrences & Special Concurrences	Total Number of Written Opinions
2004-05	89	5	34	6	134
2005-06	78	7	18	8	111
2006-07	83	2	19	12	116
2007-08	95	6	32	14	147
2008-09	66	3	33	8	110
2009-10	68	9	22	4	103
2010-11	98	9	29	4	140

* Since FY2001, attorney discipline opinions are issued by the presiding disciplinary judge.

Table 6 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue End of FY 2011					
	Original Proceedings	Certiorari	Appeals	Grievances	Total
Cases at issue awaiting oral argument	2	12	2	0	16
Cases at issue awaiting submission of briefs	1	0	5	1	7
Cases orally argued awaiting opinion	0	13	1	0	14
Cases submitted without argument	1	0	1	0	2
Opinions announced awaiting action on rehearing	4	12	3	0	19
Total	8	37	12	1	58

Table 7 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue End of FY 2011	
Pending Cases	Total
Certiorari granted, awaiting briefs on merits	28
Certiorari pending	242
Appeals pending	15
Original proceedings pending	11
Interlocutory appeals pending	2
Grievance matters pending	9
Unauthorized practice pending	7
Judicial discipline pending	0
Total	314

Section II: Court of Appeals



COURT OF APPEALS

COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES AND APPOINTMENT DATES

Chief Judge Janice B. Davidson: July 15, 1988;
became Chief Judge May 1, 2003

Daniel M. Taubman: November 11, 1992

James S. Casebolt: February 12, 1994

Arthur P. Roy: March 4, 1994

John D. Dailey: January 7, 2000

John R. Webb: February 1, 2002

Dennis A. Graham: July 1, 2002

Russell Carparelli: February 7, 2003

Alan M. Loeb: July 2, 2003

Robert M. Russel: January 30, 2004

Robert D. Hawthorne: August 12, 2004

Gilbert M. Roman: August 1, 2005

David Furman: January 1, 2006

Diana Terry: July 1, 2006

Jerry Jones: July 1, 2006

Steve Bernard: July 1, 2006

Nancy Lichtenstein: April 1, 2008

Richard L. Gabriel: July 1, 2008

David J. Richman: July 16, 2008

Laurie A. Booras: January 13, 2009

Gale T. Miller: January 13, 2009

Maria Teresa "Terry" Fox: January 11, 2011

Colorado Court of Appeals

The Colorado Court of Appeals is the state's intermediate appellate court. Twenty-two judges, who sit in panels or divisions of three, serve renewable eight-year terms. The mission of the Court of Appeals is to provide the citizens of Colorado with clear, impartial and timely resolution of appealed orders and judgments as provided by law.

The body was created by statute; accordingly, jurisdiction is limited to areas specified by statute, together with the inherent powers granted to all courts in the state. However, in cases of first impression, or where existing law is not dispositive, the Court of Appeals is a law-making court.

The Court of Appeals is generally the first court to hear appeals of judgments and orders in criminal, juvenile, civil, domestic relations and probate matters. In addition, the Court of Appeals has specific appellate jurisdiction over decisions originating from a number of state administrative boards and agencies, including the Industrial Claim Appeals Office. Its determination of an appeal is final unless the Colorado Supreme Court agrees to hear the matter.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are always welcome to visit the court. For more information pertaining to the Colorado Court of Appeals, or to observe oral arguments, contact the Clerk of the Court at (303) 837- 3785 or (800) 888-0001, ext. 785. The Court of Appeals is located at 101 W. Colfax Ave., Suite 800 Denver, Colorado 80202.

General information about the court and links to published opinions, oral argument schedules, rules, forms and policies are available on-line at http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Court_Of_Appeals/Index.cfm.

Table 8

<i>Caseload of Court of Appeals for FY 1973 through FY 2011</i>				
Fiscal Year	Cases Pending July 1	Cases Filed	Cases Terminated	Cases Pending June 30
1972-73	355	468	467	356
1973-74	356	444	441	359
1974-75	359	858	625	592
1975-76	592	915	833	674
1976-77	674	1,128	918	884
1977-78	884	1,119	1,000	1,003
1978-79	1,003	1,214	1,119	1,098
1979-80	1,098	1,207	1,164	1,141
1980-81	1,139	1,285	1,213	1,211
1981-82	1,211	1,512	1,320	1,403
1982-83	1,403	1,453	1,381	1,475
1983-84	1,475	1,580	1,411	1,644
1984-85	1,644	1,631	1,396	1,879
1985-86	1,879	1,917	1,630	2,166
1986-87	2,166	1,930	1,602	2,494
1987-88	2,494	1,946	2,034	2,406
1988-89	2,406	2,012	2,193	2,225
1989-90	2,225	2,270	2,144	2,351
1990-91	2,351	2,147	2,192	2,306
1991-92	2,306	2,201	2,335	2,172
1992-93	2,172	2,109	2,269	2,012
1993-94	2,012	2,287	2,192	2,107
1994-95	2,107	2,179	2,156	2,130
1995-96	2,130	2,289	2,318	2,101
1996-97	2,101	2,245	2,274	2,072
1997-98	2,072	2,410	2,231	2,251
1998-99	2,251	2,647	2,458	2,440
1999-00*	2,440	2,502	2,599	2,343
2000-01	2,343	2,335	2,414	2,264
2001-02	2,264	2,673	2,463	2,474
2002-03*	2,474	2,589	2,510	2,553
2003-04*	2,553	2,558	2,511	2,600
2004-05*	2,600	2,766	2,542	2,824
2005-06	2,824	2,748	2,622	2,950
2006-07	2,950	2,548	2,810	2,688
2007-08	2,688	2,753	2,761	2,680
2008-09	2,680	2,809	2,994	2,495
2009-10	2,495	2,890	2,625	2,760
2010-11	2,760	2,742	2,690	2,812

*Terminated and pending case totals for FY 2000, and 2003-2005 have been amended to reflect a manual count of open cases.

Table 9

***Distribution of Case Filings and Percent Change
for FY 2010 and FY 2011***

Case Filings	FY 2010	FY 2011	% Change
Criminal	1,216	1,112	-8.6%
Civil	1,049	1,067	1.7%
ICAO (1)	430	362	-15.8%
Other (juvenile, agency)	195	201	3.1%
Total	2,890	2,742	-5.1%

(1) Industrial Claims Appeals Office

Table 10

***Distribution of Case Terminations and Percent Change
for FY 2010 and FY 2011***

Case Terminations	FY 2010	FY 2011	% Change
Published Opinions	222	167	-24.8%
Unpublished Opinions	1,530	1,699	11.0%
Dismissed	859	816	-5.0%
Transferred to Supreme Court	14	8	-42.9%
Total	2,625	2,690	2.5%

Section III:
District Court



DISTRICT COURT JUDGES

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

R. Brooke Jackson, Chief Judge
Randall C. Arp
Jack W. Berryhill
Brian Boatright
Margie L. Enquist
Dennis Hall
Christopher J. Munch
Stephen M. Munsinger
Philip J. McNulty
Lily Oeffler
Christie B. Phillips
Tamara Russell
Jane A. Tidball

SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Robert S. Hyatt, Chief Judge
Edward D. Bronfin
Martin F. Egelhoff
A. Bruce Elliff *
Ann B. Frick
Shelley I. Gilman
Christina M. Habas
Norman D. Haglund
Morris B. Hoffman
William W. Hood
A. Bruce Jones *
Catherine A. Lemon
John W. Madden, IV
Anne Mansfield
Michael A. Martinez
Robert L. McGahey, Jr.
R. Michael Mullins
Sheila A. Rappaport
Gloria A. Rivera
William D. Robbins
Herbert L. Stern, III
Michael J. Vallejos (1)
Brian Whitney

DENVER JUVENILE COURT

Karen Ashby, Presiding Judge
Donna Jean Schmalberger
David Brett Woods

DENVER PROBATE COURT

Elizabeth D. Leith, Presiding Judge (2)

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Claude W. Appel, Chief Judge
Leslie J. Gerbracht

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Kirk S. Samelson, Chief Judge
William B. Bain *
Theresa M. Cisneros
Edward S. Colt
Ronald G. Crowder
Jan DuBois
David A. Gilbert
Deborah J. Grohs
Barbara L. Hughes (3)
Barney Iuppa
Thomas K. Kane
Thomas L. Kennedy
Robert L. Lowrey
Gilbert A. Martinez
Michael P. McHenry *
G. David Miller
David Prince
Larry E. Schwartz
Scott A. Sells
David L. Shakes
Timothy J. Schultz (5)
Timothy Simmons
Gregory R. Werner

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

R. Thomas Moorhead, Chief Judge
Frederick W. Gannett
Russell H. Granger
Karen A. Romeo
Mark D. Thompson (5)

SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gregory G. Lyman, Chief Judge
David L. Dickinson
Jeffrey R. Wilson

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

J. Steven Patrick, Chief Judge
Charles R. Greenacre
Jeff B. Herron
James W. Schum

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Stephen J. Schapanski, Chief Judge
Julie K. Field
Thomas R. French *
Stephen E. Howard (6)
Daniel J. Kaup (7)
Gregory M. Lammons
Deven R. Odell
John D. Williams

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

James B. Boyd, Chief Judge
Denise K. Lynch
Gail H. Nichols
Daniel B. Petre

TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

C. Dennis Maes, Chief Judge
David W. Crockenberg
Deborah R. Eycler
Thomas B. Flesher
Jill S. Mattoon
Victor I. Reyes
Larry C. Schwartz

ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Charles M. Barton, Chief Judge
Stephen A. Groome
Julie G. Marshall
David M. Thorson

TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

O. John Kuenhold, Chief Judge
Martin A. Gonzales
Pattie Swift

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Michael K. Singer, Chief Judge
Charles M. Hobbs
Kevin Hoyer
Douglas R. Vannoy

FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Michael A. O'Hara III, Chief Judge
Shelley A. Hill
Mary C. Hoak

FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Stanley A. Brinkley, Chief Judge
P. Douglas Tallman

SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

M. Jon Kolomitz, Chief Judge
Michael A. Schiferl

SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

C. Vincent Phelps, Jr., Chief Judge
C. Scott Crabtree
Katherine R. Delgado
Thomas R. Ensor
F. Michael Goodbee
Robert W. Kiesnowski Jr. *
Chris Melonakis
Edward Moss
Patrick T. Murphy
John E. Popovich, Jr.
Jill-Ellyn Straus
Ted Tow III (8)
Francis C. Wasserman
Mark D. Warner
Craig R. Welling *

EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

William B. Sylvester, Chief Judge
Marilyn Leonard Antrim
Angela R. Arkin
Richard B. Caschette
Francis S. Collins (9)
Christopher C. Cross
Timothy L. Fasing
J. Mark Hannen
Jeffrey K. Holmes
Kurt A. Horton
Paul A. King
Charles M. Pratt
Gerald J. Rafferty
Robert H. Russell, II
Carlos Armando Samour Jr.
Michael Spear
Valeria Spencer
Elizabeth B. Volz *
Elizabeth A. Weishaupl
John Wheeler
Vincent R. White

NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

James F. Hartmann, Chief Judge
Julie Hoskins
Timothy Kerns *
Marcelo A. Kopcow
Daniel S. Maus
Thomas J. Quammen
Elizabeth B. Strobel
Todd Taylor (2)
Dinsmore Tuttle

TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Roxanne Bailin, Chief Judge
Ingrid S. Bakke *
Maria Berkenkotter
James C. Klein
Dolores (D.D.) Mallard
Andrew R. Macdonald (11)
Lael E. Montgomery
Thomas F. Mulvahill
Mary Gwyneth Whalen

TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

David A. Bottger, Chief Judge
Thomas M. Deister
Brian J. Flynn
Dick Gurley
Valerie Jo Robinson

TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Douglas S. Walker, Chief Judge
Todd J. Plewe (12)

(1) Replaced Hon. J. Stephen Phillips
(2) Replaced Hon. C. Jean Stewart
(3) Replaced Hon. J. Patrick Kelly
(4) Replaced Hon. Timothy Simmons
(5) Replaced Hon. W. Terry Ruckriegle
(6) Replaced Hon. Jolene C. Blair
(7) Replaced Hon. Terence Gilmore

(8) Replaced Hon. John T. Bryan
(9) Replaced Hon. Nancy A. Hopf
(10) Replaced Hon. Gilbert A. Gutierrez
(11) Replaced Hon. Andrew R. Macdonald
(12) Replaced Hon. Sharon L. Hansen
* HB07-1054

District Court

Colorado is sectioned into 22 Judicial Districts encompassing all 64 counties. Across the state, district boundaries are aligned with county lines – some districts include just one county, while others include as many as seven. Each district has at least one district court location. The Supreme Court Chief Justice appoints a Chief Judge for each district to serve as the Chief Judicial Officer. In addition, each district has at least one other district court judge who may preside in more than one district court within that judicial district, particularly in rural areas of the state. There were 175 district judges during FY 2011.

District judges preside over felony criminal matters, civil claims in any amount, juvenile matters (including adoption, dependency and neglect matters, juvenile delinquency, and paternity actions), probate, mental health, divorce proceedings, and water cases. Additionally, district judges preside over jury trials, handle appeals from Colorado's municipal and county courts, and review decisions of administrative boards and agencies. District decisions may be appealed to the Colorado Court of Appeals and/or to the Colorado Supreme Court.

In Fiscal Year 2011 there were 246,728 case filings at the district court level (excluding water cases). Colorado's district courts were able to terminate 247,752 cases during that same 12-month period. During the past 10 years, district court filings have increased 50.23 percent, rising from 164,237 cases to the current level of filings. The greatest area of increase was due to an increase in civil distraint warrant (tax lien) filings during FY 2011.

Information specific to each of the 22 Judicial Districts, including contact information, is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/district/districts.htm>.

**District Case Filings -- Proportion of Cases by Class
Ten Year Comparison**





Table 11: District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2010, FY 2011

Filings Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY10	FY11	Percent Change
Civil	116,346	125,597	7.95%
Criminal	36,993	35,966	-2.78%
Domestic Relations	35,624	36,009	1.08%
Juvenile	30,360	29,958	-1.32%
Mental Health	5,159	5,543	7.44%
Probate	12,189	13,655	12.03%
Total	236,671	246,728	4.25%

Terminations Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY10	FY11	Percent Change
Civil	117,836	126,804	7.61%
Criminal	37,905	36,324	-4.17%
Domestic Relations	34,965	35,748	2.24%
Juvenile	29,855	29,326	-1.77%
Mental Health	5,127	5,483	6.94%
Probate	12,777	14,067	10.10%
Total	238,465	247,752	3.89%

Table 12: District Court Caseload FY 2002 to FY 2011

Case Class	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11
CIVIL										
New Cases Filed	41,349	43,976	51,846	55,465	60,546	64,603	64,199	67,480	116,346	125,597
Cases Terminated	41,277	43,000	50,777	54,912	59,146	65,029	64,021	65,909	117,836	126,804
CRIMINAL										
New Cases Filed	39,147	41,257	42,427	45,405	46,501	44,245	40,494	39,464	36,993	35,966
Cases Terminated	37,621	39,725	40,588	42,569	46,127	45,200	43,396	40,169	37,905	36,324
DOMESTIC RELATIONS										
New Cases Filed	32,166	31,771	30,826	31,064	32,481	32,230	33,025	33,190	35,624	36,009
Cases Terminated	33,719	32,282	31,510	31,197	32,316	31,933	32,518	32,426	34,965	35,748
JUVENILE										
New Cases Filed	35,691	36,362	36,078	34,851	33,709	32,500	33,370	32,165	30,360	29,958
Cases Terminated	35,409	35,902	35,561	33,546	32,960	30,993	32,391	30,170	29,855	29,326
MENTAL HEALTH										
New Cases Filed	4,229	4,330	4,528	5,021	4,653	4,459	4,713	4,795	5,159	5,543
Cases Terminated	4,194	4,405	4,308	4,782	4,679	4,626	4,487	4,865	5,127	5,483
PROBATE										
New Cases Filed	11,655	11,762	11,653	11,706	11,525	11,198	11,551	11,443	12,189	13,655
Cases Terminated	13,675	11,946	13,562	12,989	11,164	11,187	12,574	11,780	12,777	14,067
TOTAL										
New Cases Filed	164,237	169,458	177,358	183,512	189,415	189,235	187,352	188,537	236,671	246,728
Cases Terminated	165,895	167,260	176,306	179,995	186,392	188,968	189,387	185,319	238,465	247,752

Table 13: District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2010, FY 2011

District	Filings			Terminations		
	FY10	FY11	Percent Change	FY10	FY11	Percent Change
1	23,780	25,223	6.07%	23,865	25,275	5.91%
2	34,379	37,128	8.00%	35,231	37,975	7.79%
2nd Juvenile	4,348	4,336	-0.28%	4,303	4,262	-0.95%
2nd Probate	2,895	3,160	9.15%	2,945	3,988	35.42%
3	1,380	1,339	-2.97%	1,343	1,326	-1.27%
4	29,129	29,570	1.51%	28,714	29,303	2.05%
5	4,845	5,028	3.78%	4,807	4,916	2.27%
6	3,019	3,073	1.79%	2,954	3,022	2.30%
7	4,383	4,575	4.38%	4,380	4,713	7.60%
8	10,717	10,797	0.75%	10,623	10,958	3.15%
9	4,023	4,398	9.32%	3,747	4,405	17.56%
10	8,037	8,320	3.52%	8,788	8,472	-3.60%
11	3,763	3,800	0.98%	4,880	3,765	-22.85%
12	2,379	2,557	7.48%	2,408	2,427	0.79%
13	3,240	3,328	2.72%	3,408	3,241	-4.90%
14	2,529	2,627	3.88%	2,582	2,729	5.69%
15	848	849	0.12%	833	868	4.20%
16	1,435	1,409	-1.81%	1,462	1,418	-3.01%
17	21,563	22,589	4.76%	21,726	22,485	3.49%
18	37,183	38,342	3.12%	36,519	38,135	4.43%
19	12,615	13,237	4.93%	12,879	13,026	1.14%
20	11,507	11,765	2.24%	11,424	11,694	2.36%
21	7,522	7,952	5.72%	7,435	7,987	7.42%
22	1,152	1,326	15.10%	1,209	1,362	12.66%
State Total(a)	236,671	246,728	4.25%	238,465	247,752	3.89%

(a) Totals do not include Water Court.

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
1	Gilpin	136	103	144	146	34	33	25	28
	Jefferson	3,640	3,561	12,254	12,387	3,727	3,789	3,221	3,200
	Total	3,776	3,664	12,398	12,533	3,761	3,822	3,246	3,228
2	Denver	4,017	4,291	28,720	29,164	4,391	4,520	n/a	n/a
	Denver Juvenile	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,336	4,262
	Denver Probate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Total	4,017	4,291	28,720	29,164	4,391	4,520	4,336	4,262
3	Huerfano	141	128	106	112	50	50	82	98
	Las Animas	230	232	334	311	134	148	152	138
	Total	371	360	440	423	184	198	234	236
4	El Paso	4,622	4,839	12,205	12,258	5,706	5,640	3,887	3,598
	Teller	139	136	508	529	155	146	151	121
	Total	4,761	4,975	12,713	12,787	5,861	5,786	4,038	3,719
5	Clear Creek	113	101	228	241	75	80	124	115
	Eagle	359	400	1,616	1,543	289	269	212	213
	Lake	87	112	153	139	62	67	53	52
	Summit	194	198	1,000	959	164	141	74	67
	Total	753	811	2,997	2,882	590	557	463	447
6	Archuleta	72	88	430	434	78	72	55	60
	La Plata	600	596	858	838	309	288	190	181
	San Juan	5	7	225	212	3	5	1	2
	Total	677	691	1,513	1,484	390	365	246	243
7	Delta	194	208	611	609	259	272	118	136
	Gunnison	113	116	438	448	78	66	43	47
	Hinsdale	3	1	15	18	4	3	0	0
	Montrose	328	322	836	607	370	370	256	267
	Ouray	12	9	139	421	26	43	6	6
	San Miguel	77	73	240	280	51	49	10	10
Total	727	729	2,279	2,383	788	803	433	466	
8	Jackson	3	2	25	24	10	12	9	8
	Larimer	1,859	1,853	3,973	4,074	1,890	1,932	1,889	1,942
	Total	1,862	1,855	3,998	4,098	1,900	1,944	1,898	1,950
9	Garfield	474	460	1,816	1,820	452	388	265	240
	Pitkin	108	119	711	795	92	101	42	62
	Rio Blanco	53	75	83	88	42	38	32	19
	Total	635	654	2,610	2,703	586	527	339	321
10	Pueblo	1,745	1,740	3,251	3,204	1,405	1,380	1,058	1,061
11	Chaffee	113	99	302	293	124	127	68	59
	Custer	27	16	76	71	31	36	11	13
	Fremont	350	326	839	845	344	353	430	427
	Park	75	85	537	537	93	101	52	43
	Total	565	526	1,754	1,746	592	617	561	542

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term
1	Gilpin	2	2	16	10	357	322
	Jefferson	462	475	1,562	1,541	24,866	24,953
	Total	464	477	1,578	1,551	25,223	25,275
2	Denver	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	37,128	37,975
	Denver Juvenile	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,336	4,262
	Denver Probate	1,010	1,074	2,150	2,914	3,160	3,988
	Total	1,010	1,074	2,150	2,914	44,624	46,225
3	Huerfano	3	4	41	41	423	433
	Las Animas	9	10	57	54	916	893
	Total	12	14	98	95	1,339	1,326
4	El Paso	651	620	1,475	1,345	28,546	28,300
	Teller	15	12	56	59	1,024	1,003
	Total	666	632	1,531	1,404	29,570	29,303
5	Clear Creek	3	3	39	40	582	580
	Eagle	3	3	111	104	2,590	2,532
	Lake	2	2	19	19	376	391
	Summit	4	5	44	43	1,480	1,413
	Total	12	13	213	206	5,028	4,916
6	Archuleta	5	7	45	42	685	703
	La Plata	48	46	145	140	2,150	2,089
	San Juan	0	0	4	4	238	230
	Total	53	53	194	186	3,073	3,022
7	Delta	3	2	115	107	1,300	1,334
	Gunnison	3	3	43	38	718	718
	Hinsdale	0	0	5	5	27	27
	Montrose	2	1	151	149	1,943	1,716
	Ouray	0	0	16	16	199	495
	San Miguel	0	0	10	11	388	423
	Total	8	6	340	326	4,575	4,713
8	Jackson	0	0	7	8	54	54
	Larimer	426	416	706	687	10,743	10,904
	Total	426	416	713	695	10,797	10,958
9	Garfield	1	2	127	116	3,135	3,026
	Pitkin	3	4	70	52	1,026	1,133
	Rio Blanco	0	0	27	26	237	246
	Total	4	6	224	194	4,398	4,405
10	Pueblo	338	340	523	747	8,320	8,472
11	Chaffee	9	9	65	71	681	658
	Custer	1	1	12	13	158	150
	Fremont	24	23	151	151	2,138	2,125
	Park	10	12	56	54	823	832
	Total	44	45	284	289	3,800	3,765

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
12	Alamosa	373	297	190	180	192	178	168	162
	Conejos	75	64	71	74	56	59	72	74
	Costilla	42	35	89	72	22	19	8	4
	Mineral	9	8	16	19	3	2	2	2
	Rio Grande	151	152	237	242	147	132	140	158
	Saguache	62	68	82	66	52	59	32	31
	Total	712	624	685	653	472	449	422	431
13	Kit Carson	72	63	76	70	63	63	28	29
	Logan	257	253	287	253	158	161	173	176
	Morgan	308	295	332	345	245	256	178	174
	Phillips	16	13	60	68	19	15	15	20
	Sedgwick	11	15	34	37	12	7	3	6
	Washington	45	37	85	81	37	32	37	31
	Yuma	68	48	148	148	50	45	46	32
Total	777	724	1,022	1,002	584	579	480	468	
14	Grand	113	120	483	494	79	65	44	51
	Moffat	173	194	279	275	138	147	96	93
	Routt	150	160	691	730	139	156	42	46
	Total	436	474	1,453	1,499	356	368	182	190
15	Baca	34	43	66	66	19	23	35	22
	Cheyenne	12	10	30	28	10	13	4	4
	Kiowa	15	14	31	34	9	8	8	8
	Prowers	163	182	70	67	95	91	93	116
	Total	224	249	197	195	133	135	140	150
16	Bent	41	42	149	146	51	57	46	51
	Crowley	26	42	51	59	40	35	47	45
	Otero	212	201	277	265	151	146	166	185
	Total	279	285	477	470	242	238	259	281
17	Adams	3,758	3,717	10,769	10,944	2,950	2,838	2,383	2,341
	Broomfield	398	360	485	522	363	368	192	177
	Total	4,156	4,077	11,254	11,466	3,313	3,206	2,575	2,518
18	Arapahoe	2,842	2,763	16,840	16,927	3,594	3,576	2,692	2,583
	Douglas	706	709	4,508	4,722	1,776	1,698	905	901
	Elbert	90	45	1,200	1,202	151	146	79	80
	Lincoln	75	73	111	112	25	30	41	41
	Total	3,713	3,590	22,659	22,963	5,546	5,450	3,717	3,605
19	Weld	2,087	2,125	5,953	5,947	1,702	1,657	2,600	2,415
20	Boulder	1,968	2,033	5,391	5,381	1,570	1,539	1,535	1,590
21	Mesa	1,450	1,551	3,334	3,317	1,390	1,328	1,033	1,069
22	Dolores	7	11	51	45	15	11	4	5
	Montezuma	268	285	448	459	238	269	159	129
	Total	275	296	499	504	253	280	163	134
State Total		35,966	36,324	125,597	126,804	36,009	35,748	29,958	29,326

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term
12	Alamosa	11	14	46	44	980	875
	Conejos	6	7	29	33	309	311
	Costilla	10	8	70	80	241	218
	Mineral	0	0	8	9	38	40
	Rio Grande	3	3	58	49	736	736
	Saguache	3	2	22	21	253	247
	Total	33	34	233	236	2,557	2,427
13	Kit Carson	8	6	38	36	285	267
	Logan	52	50	63	65	990	958
	Morgan	42	42	102	116	1,207	1,228
	Phillips	9	9	18	18	137	143
	Sedgwick	2	2	19	18	81	85
	Washington	2	3	36	32	242	216
	Yuma	11	13	63	58	386	344
	Total	126	125	339	343	3,328	3,241
14	Grand	4	4	50	46	773	780
	Moffat	0	0	77	80	763	789
	Routt	5	6	64	62	1,091	1,160
	Total	9	10	191	188	2,627	2,729
15	Baca	0	0	38	39	192	193
	Cheyenne	3	3	19	19	78	77
	Kiowa	1	2	24	10	88	76
	Prowers	4	4	66	62	491	522
	Total	8	9	147	130	849	868
16	Bent	7	7	34	18	328	321
	Crowley	5	4	10	6	179	191
	Otero	16	24	80	85	902	906
	Total	28	35	124	109	1,409	1,418
17	Adams	436	387	702	685	20,998	20,912
	Broomfield	29	27	124	119	1,591	1,573
	Total	465	414	826	804	22,589	22,485
18	Arapahoe	619	593	1,411	1,265	27,998	27,707
	Douglas	131	135	447	439	8,473	8,604
	Elbert	8	8	55	51	1,583	1,532
	Lincoln	3	3	33	33	288	292
	Total	761	739	1,946	1,788	38,342	38,135
19	Weld	247	243	648	639	13,237	13,026
20	Boulder	475	448	826	703	11,765	11,694
21	Mesa	339	335	406	387	7,952	7,987
22	Dolores	1	1	8	13	58	86
	Montezuma	14	14	113	120	1,240	1,276
	Total	15	15	121	133	1,326	1,362
State Total		5,543	5,483	13,655	14,067	246,728	247,752

Table 15: Court and Jury Trials for District Court, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials
1	Gilpin	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Jefferson	7	105	42	31	17	1	66	137
	Total	7	105	43	31	17	1	67	137
2	Denver	5	143	70	87	52	3	127	233
	Denver Juvenile	0	0	0	0	51	8	51	8
	Total	5	143	70	87	103	11	178	241
3	Huerfano	0	1	3	0	2	0	5	1
	Las Animas	0	3	3	1	1	0	4	4
	Total	0	4	6	1	3	0	9	5
4	El Paso	3	132	39	46	26	6	68	184
	Teller	0	1	0	3	1	0	1	4
	Total	3	133	39	49	27	6	69	188
5	Clear Creek	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	1
	Eagle	0	3	5	4	0	0	5	7
	Lake	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Summit	0	10	3	3	1	0	4	13
	Total	1	18	9	7	1	0	11	25
6	Archuleta	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	3
	La Plata	0	6	6	3	0	0	6	9
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	7	7	4	1	1	8	12
7	Delta	0	2	3	0	0	0	3	2
	Gunnison	0	4	0	1	1	0	1	5
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	2	6	2	0	1	6	5
	Ouray	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0
	San Miguel	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1
	Total	0	9	14	3	1	1	15	13
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	29	23	11	9	1	32	41
	Total	0	29	23	11	9	1	32	41
9	Garfield	0	6	2	4	2	1	4	11
	Pitkin	0	5	7	2	0	0	7	7
	Rio Blanco	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	0	12	9	6	2	1	11	19
10	Pueblo	0	29	13	8	9	1	22	38
11	Chaffee	0	1	4	0	0	0	4	1
	Custer	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Fremont	1	9	2	0	0	0	3	9
	Park	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	2
	Total	1	12	8	0	0	0	9	12

Table 15: Court and Jury Trials for District Court, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials
12	Alamosa	0	1	1	0	2	2	3	3
	Conejos	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Costilla	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
	Saguache	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	0	3	3	1	2	2	5	6
13	Kit Carson	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1
	Logan	0	5	0	2	0	0	0	7
	Morgan	1	11	4	1	2	1	7	13
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Washington	0	2	0	0	3	0	3	2
	Yuma	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
Total	1	20	6	3	5	2	12	25	
14	Grand	1	9	3	0	1	0	5	9
	Moffat	0	3	4	1	0	1	4	5
	Routt	0	1	2	4	0	0	2	5
	Total	1	13	9	5	1	1	11	19
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	1
	Total	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	2
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Otero	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1
17	Adams	4	144	8	30	20	6	32	180
	Broomfield	0	6	2	7	1	1	3	14
	Total	4	150	10	37	21	7	35	194
18	Arapahoe	4	103	25	30	73	4	102	137
	Douglas	3	38	34	6	4	0	41	44
	Elbert	0	5	4	1	1	0	5	6
	Lincoln	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
	Total	7	149	63	38	78	4	148	191
19	Weld	2	79	21	6	40	2	63	87
20	Boulder	1	44	7	19	2	0	10	63
21	Mesa	1	54	13	2	5	1	19	57
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	4	2	1	1	0	3	5
	Total	0	4	2	1	1	0	3	5
State Total		34	1,018	377	320	329	43	740	1,381

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Condemnation	Confirm Arbitration Award	County Court or Municipal Appeal
1	Gilpin	8	1	0	4	0	4
	Jefferson	452	1	0	9	4	60
	<i>Total</i>	<i>460</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>64</i>
2	Denver	519	14	10	9	33	90
3	Huerfano	1	0	0	1	0	1
	Las Animas	16	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
4	El Paso	433	3	14	3	1	74
	Teller	27	0	0	0	0	9
	<i>Total</i>	<i>460</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>83</i>
5	Clear Creek	8	0	0	1	0	5
	Eagle	92	2	5	0	2	6
	Lake	5	0	0	0	0	3
	Summit	47	0	0	0	1	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>152</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>18</i>
6	Archuleta	13	0	2	1	0	2
	La Plata	50	0	1	2	0	11
	San Juan	3	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>66</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>13</i>
7	Delta	17	1	0	1	0	6
	Gunnison	27	0	0	0	0	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	38	0	0	0	1	10
	Ouray	9	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	9	0	0	1	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>100</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>21</i>
8	Jackson	2	1	0	1	0	0
	Larimer	214	0	2	7	0	19
	<i>Total</i>	<i>216</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>19</i>
9	Garfield	52	0	3	1	1	12
	Pitkin	47	1	1	0	0	9
	Rio Blanco	4	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>21</i>
10	Pueblo	95	0	10	15	0	15
11	Chaffee	14	0	3	0	0	5
	Custer	4	0	0	0	0	1
	Fremont	24	0	2	0	0	6
	Park	18	1	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>13</i>

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Declaratory Judgment	Determination of Interests	Distraint Warrant	Foreclosure Other Than RL 120	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Fraud
1	Gilpin	0	0	17	2	4	0	0
	Jefferson	38	1	6,409	52	59	41	19
	Total	38	1	6,426	54	63	41	19
2	Denver	162	3	19,269	125	88	98	81
3	Huerfano	0	0	17	3	0	6	0
	Las Animas	1	0	158	3	0	2	0
	Total	1	0	175	6	0	8	0
4	El Paso	26	6	5,285	54	38	74	12
	Teller	4	2	125	1	3	4	0
	Total	30	8	5,410	55	41	78	12
5	Clear Creek	0	0	73	3	1	2	0
	Eagle	7	0	492	193	16	10	1
	Lake	1	0	62	3	0	1	0
	Summit	5	0	364	68	3	6	3
	Total	13	0	991	267	20	19	4
6	Archuleta	2	1	88	56	1	1	0
	La Plata	4	0	303	7	1	7	4
	San Juan	1	0	205	0	0	0	0
	Total	7	1	596	63	2	8	4
7	Delta	1	0	243	1	3	0	0
	Gunnison	3	0	132	10	2	2	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	6	0	276	1	2	4	0
	Ouray	2	0	52	2	0	1	2
	San Miguel	3	0	89	4	1	5	1
	Total	15	0	797	18	8	12	4
8	Jackson	0	0	9	0	0	2	0
	Larimer	19	3	1,375	24	20	23	9
	Total	19	3	1,384	24	20	25	9
9	Garfield	6	1	823	7	4	5	1
	Pitkin	6	1	351	12	2	11	5
	Rio Blanco	1	0	23	0	0	0	0
	Total	13	2	1,197	19	6	16	6
10	Pueblo	5	3	1,223	4	7	14	2
11	Chaffee	3	0	115	4	1	1	1
	Custer	0	0	22	1	1	0	1
	Fremont	1	0	337	4	1	4	1
	Park	0	0	186	3	4	3	1
	Total	4	0	660	12	7	8	4

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Goods Sold and Delivered	Injunctive Relief	Landlord-Tenant	Lien	Malpractice	Mechanics Lien	Money
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
	Jefferson	25	47	3	1	11	15	798
	<i>Total</i>	25	48	3	1	11	15	806
2	Denver	103	237	24	3	113	28	795
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
	Las Animas	0	3	0	0	0	0	7
	<i>Total</i>	0	4	0	0	0	0	14
4	El Paso	26	35	3	2	34	10	769
	Teller	0	1	0	0	0	0	34
	<i>Total</i>	26	36	3	2	34	10	803
5	Clear Creek	0	2	0	0	0	2	15
	Eagle	8	8	2	5	6	7	113
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Summit	3	5	3	1	0	3	52
	<i>Total</i>	11	15	5	6	6	12	188
6	Archuleta	0	2	0	0	1	0	29
	La Plata	0	3	2	0	2	0	69
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	5	2	0	3	0	100
7	Delta	1	2	0	1	0	2	47
	Gunnison	0	0	1	0	1	2	32
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	2	3	0	0	3	0	67
	Ouray	0	3	0	0	0	1	5
	San Miguel	0	3	1	0	1	2	16
	<i>Total</i>	3	11	2	1	5	7	168
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Larimer	6	20	0	1	11	4	317
	<i>Total</i>	6	20	0	1	11	4	320
9	Garfield	5	7	0	1	4	2	111
	Pitkin	3	2	1	1	2	8	54
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	<i>Total</i>	8	9	1	2	6	10	171
10	Pueblo	9	5	0	0	9	3	202
11	Chaffee	1	3	0	0	1	0	23
	Custer	0	1	0	0	0	1	4
	Fremont	0	1	0	0	1	2	52
	Park	1	2	0	0	0	0	24
	<i>Total</i>	2	7	0	0	2	3	103

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement	Name Change	Negligence	Note	Other	Out of State Subpoena	Personal Injury	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle
1	Gilpin	0	0	1	0	1	0	7	3
	Jefferson	20	38	58	50	148	15	97	242
	Total	20	38	59	50	149	15	104	245
2	Denver	26	36	97	231	232	131	301	666
3	Huerfano	1	1	0	1	5	1	1	4
	Las Animas	0	5	0	1	4	1	3	6
	Total	1	6	0	2	9	2	4	10
4	El Paso	36	5	41	24	220	38	103	524
	Teller	1	2	3	1	2	2	9	15
	Total	37	7	44	25	222	40	112	539
5	Clear Creek	1	0	0	0	2	0	3	7
	Eagle	0	4	9	14	19	2	11	12
	Lake	0	0	2	0	3	0	3	0
	Summit	1	2	14	4	8	3	15	15
	Total	2	6	25	18	32	5	32	34
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	4	11	1	8	2
	La Plata	6	1	6	9	33	6	13	13
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Total	6	1	6	13	46	7	21	15
7	Delta	2	2	1	3	7	0	0	4
	Gunnison	1	0	4	1	13	1	4	3
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	5	7	2	2	14	4	4	8
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	2
	San Miguel	0	0	2	0	7	0	2	0
	Total	8	9	9	6	43	6	11	17
8	Jackson	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
	Larimer	9	33	39	32	69	17	27	112
	Total	9	33	40	32	71	17	27	112
9	Garfield	2	7	6	9	18	5	13	14
	Pitkin	0	1	8	3	24	3	9	6
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
	Total	2	8	15	12	43	9	22	21
10	Pueblo	7	14	17	5	57	5	59	146
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	2	5	0	6	5
	Custer	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	0
	Fremont	5	3	5	2	25	3	8	19
	Park	3	0	1	0	5	0	0	4
	Total	8	4	7	6	36	3	14	28

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Petition to Seal Criminal Conviction	Possession	Prohibition	Property Damage	Public Nuisance	Public Utilities	Replevin
1	Gilpin	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	404	6	0	0	3	32		52
	Total	407	6	1	0	3	32	0	52
2	Denver	779	18	0	0	5	75	5	65
3	Huerfano	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4	El Paso	423	6	0	0	5	58	0	63
	Teller	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Total	440	6	0	0	5	59	0	64
5	Clear Creek	9	1	0	0	2	0	0	2
	Eagle	35	0	0	0	2	0	0	10
	Lake	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Summit	32	0	0	0	2	0	0	3
	Total	80	2	0	0	6	0	0	16
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	4
	La Plata	25	1	0	0	0	2	0	5
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	25	1	0	0	0	14	0	9
7	Delta	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Gunnison	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	24	0	0	0	2	0	0	14
	Ouray	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	San Miguel	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	51	1	0	0	3	0	0	17
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	120	5	0	0	0	17	0	35
	Total	121	5	0	0	0	17	0	35
9	Garfield	26	1	0	0	1	0	0	17
	Pitkin	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Rio Blanco	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Total	39	2	0	0	2	0	0	18
10	Pueblo	109	2	1	0	2	0	0	12
11	Chaffee	11	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	Custer	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Fremont	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
	Park	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Total	34	2	1	0	1	1	0	11

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Restraining Order	Review - Other Local Government / Person	Rule 105 Quiet Title	Rule 106 - Writs / Contempt	Rule 120 Deed of Trust	Services Rendered	Sexual Harassment
1	Gilpin	0	0	2	0	77	0	0
	Jefferson	0	23	41	11	2,947	3	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	23	43	11	3,024	3	1
2	Denver	1	78	30	44	3,736	170	3
3	Huerfano	0	2	5	0	42	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	1	2	106	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	6	2	148	0	0
4	El Paso	4	18	35	12	3,652	5	0
	Teller	0	0	8	0	236	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	18	43	12	3,888	5	0
5	Clear Creek	0	0	1	1	86	0	0
	Eagle	2	2	8	0	508	0	0
	Lake	0	0	5	0	51	0	0
	Summit	1	3	5	2	320	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	5	19	3	965	0	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	6	0	182	0	0
	La Plata	0	10	2	1	258	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	2	0	10	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	10	10	1	450	0	0
7	Delta	0	2	5	3	242	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	6	0	175	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
	Montrose	0	5	7	0	325	0	0
	Ouray	0	1	3	0	49	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	3	1	79	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	8	24	4	876	0	0
8	Jackson	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
	Larimer	1	4	16	4	1,341	2	1
	<i>Total</i>	1	4	17	4	1,343	2	1
9	Garfield	3	5	7	5	631	0	0
	Pitkin	0	1	9	5	109	0	1
	Rio Blanco	0	0	2	0	40	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	6	18	10	780	0	1
10	Pueblo	0	4	20	18	1,144	2	0
11	Chaffee	0	0	5	19	62	0	1
	Custer	0	0	5	0	26	0	0
	Fremont	1	2	4	22	276	0	1
	Park	0	0	16	2	245	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	2	30	43	609	0	2

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Special District	Specific Performance	Wages	Workman's Compensation	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	144
	Jefferson	3	0	9	0	1	1	4	12,254
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	9	0	1	1	4	12,398
2	Denver	4	7	38	80	22	28	8	28,720
3	Huerfano	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	106
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	334
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	440
4	El Paso	1	3	7	1	3	10	6	12,205
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	508
	<i>Total</i>	1	3	7	1	3	10	6	12,713
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	228
	Eagle	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1,616
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	153
	Summit	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1,000
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	3	0	0	1	1	2,997
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	430
	La Plata	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	858
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	225
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1,513
7	Delta	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	611
	Gunnison	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	438
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	836
	Ouray	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	139
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	240
	<i>Total</i>	7	1	0	0	1	1	0	2,279
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
	Larimer	4	1	2	2	1	4	1	3,973
	<i>Total</i>	4	1	2	2	1	4	1	3,998
9	Garfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,816
	Pitkin	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	711
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	83
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2,610
10	Pueblo	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	3,251
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	302
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76
	Fremont	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	839
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	537
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	19	1	0	1,754

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Condemnation	Confirm Arbitration Award	County Court or Municipal Appeal
12	Alamosa	8	0	0	0	0	7
	Conejos	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	9	0	0	0	0	2
	Saguache	3	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		26	0	0	0	0
13	Kit Carson	3	0	0	0	0	2
	Logan	9	0	0	0	0	14
	Morgan	10	0	0	1	0	5
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Washington	2	0	0	0	0	2
	Yuma	7	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		31	0	0	1	0
14	Grand	15	1	0	0	1	7
	Moffat	10	0	0	0	0	2
	Routt	32	0	0	1	2	10
	<i>Total</i>		57	1	0	1	3
15	Baca	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	7	0	0	1	0	1
	<i>Total</i>		12	0	0	1	0
16	Bent	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	7	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>		11	0	0	0	0
17	Adams	349	3	0	5	2	54
	Broomfield	54	0	0	0	0	7
	<i>Total</i>		403	3	0	5	2
18	Arapahoe	502	5	0	0	7	72
	Douglas	328	4	2	0	0	25
	Elbert	27	0	1	0	0	1
	Lincoln	6	0	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>		863	9	3	0	7
19	Weld	187	3	10	4	1	47
20	Boulder	303	1	9	2	3	64
21	Mesa	96	0	0	0	0	25
22	Dolores	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	12	1	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>		13	1	0	0	0
<i>State Total</i>		4,250	43	75	71	59	712
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Declaratory Judgment	Determination of Interests	Distraint Warrant	Foreclosure Other Than RL 120	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Fraud
12	Alamosa	0	0	66	3	1	0	1
	Conejos	0	0	31	1	0	1	0
	Costilla	2	0	32	18	0	1	1
	Mineral	1	0	8	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	0	130	2	0	4	0
	Saguache	1	0	19	4	1	1	1
	Total		5	0	286	28	2	7
13	Kit Carson	1	0	8	0	0	2	1
	Logan	5	1	93	1	1	2	1
	Morgan	3	0	78	1	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	36	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	11	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	29	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	92	0	0	1	0
	Total		9	1	347	2	1	5
14	Grand	3	0	129	50	2	4	0
	Moffat	0	0	128	0	2	2	0
	Routt	9	1	195	7	4	4	0
	Total	12	1	452	57	8	10	0
15	Baca	0	0	41	1	2	0	0
	Cheyenne	1	0	15	0	0	1	0
	Kiowa	0	0	22	0	0	1	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	1	0	5	0
	Total	1	0	78	2	2	7	0
16	Bent	0	0	99	2	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	1	0	110	3	0	0	0
	Total	1	0	209	5	0	0	0
17	Adams	12	1	5,242	60	39	29	2
	Broomfield	1	0	0	2	7	7	2
	Total	13	1	5,242	62	46	36	4
18	Arapahoe	28	7	9,162	173	88	59	15
	Douglas	15	3	1,170	48	36	27	6
	Elbert	3	3	851	2	1	2	1
	Lincoln	1	0	29	0	0	0	0
	Total	47	13	11,212	223	125	88	22
19	Weld	16	2	2,721	18	11	23	6
20	Boulder	19	2	2,571	26	28	22	10
21	Mesa	13	0	1,203	5	11	19	3
22	Dolores	0	0	20	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	1	0	208	3	0	5	0
	Total	1	0	228	3	0	5	0
State Total		444	41	62,677	1,078	496	549	195
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	50%	1%	0%	0%	0%

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Goods Sold and Delivered	Injunctive Relief	Landlord-Tenant	Lien	Malpractice	Mechanics Lien	Money
12	Alamosa	2	3	0	0	2	0	14
	Conejos	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
	Costilla	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	0	0	0	0	0	21
	Saguache	1	2	0	0	0	0	5
	<i>Total</i>		4	7	0	0	2	1
13	Kit Carson	1	1	0	0	0	0	6
	Logan	0	5	0	0	0	0	15
	Morgan	1	0	0	0	0	1	27
	Phillips	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Washington	2	0	0	0	1	0	4
	Yuma	0	1	0	0	0	0	10
	<i>Total</i>		5	7	0	0	1	1
14	Grand	0	1	0	0	0	3	21
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	1	18
	Routt	0	2	1	3	1	1	46
	<i>Total</i>		0	3	1	3	1	5
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Cheyenne	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Prowers	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
	<i>Total</i>		2	3	0	0	0	0
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Crowley	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
	Otero	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	<i>Total</i>		0	1	0	0	0	0
17	Adams	22	15	4	2	16	14	496
	Broomfield	1	3	1	1	0	1	70
	<i>Total</i>		23	18	5	3	16	15
18	Arapahoe	16	38	3	3	25	23	838
	Douglas	25	12	2	0	13	13	386
	Elbert	1	5	1	0	1	1	21
	Lincoln	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
	<i>Total</i>		42	57	6	3	39	37
19	Weld	14	45	1	1	9	10	341
20	Boulder	8	23	4	1	31	3	288
21	Mesa	4	5	0	0	11	6	274
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Montezuma	0	1	0	0	0	0	33
	<i>Total</i>		0	1	0	0	0	0
<i>State Total</i>		295	567	57	27	310	170	6,671
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement	Name Change	Negligence	Note	Other	Out of State Subpoena	Personal Injury	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle
12	Alamosa	0	2	1	0	10	1	4	4
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
	Costilla	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	2
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	3
	Saguache	0	1	1	0	7	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	6	2	0	25	1	8
13	Kit Carson	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	1
	Logan	3	2	0	0	7	0	4	6
	Morgan	0	0	1	2	8	0	0	5
	Phillips	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Washington	0	1	0	1	7	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>		5	6	2	7	27	1	4	12
14	Grand	0	0	1	11	7	0	1	1
	Moffat	1	0	2	2	6	0	1	4
	Routt	1	0	3	5	7	5	6	7
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	6	18	20	5	8	12
15	Baca	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	3
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	1	0	10	0	2	5
16	Bent	1	0	0	1	7	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	1
	Otero	0	4	0	2	3	0	3	1
	<i>Total</i>	1	5	1	4	12	0	3	2
17	Adams	26	29	37	19	76	8	80	235
	Broomfield	2	0	8	4	8	4	12	34
	<i>Total</i>	28	29	45	23	84	12	92	269
18	Arapahoe	25	38	58	27	179	42	90	301
	Douglas	7	7	28	20	38	17	37	110
	Elbert	2	0	0	0	5	1	1	4
	Lincoln	1	0	0	0	19	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	35	45	86	47	241	60	129	415
19	Weld	15	18	15	23	68	10	33	89
20	Boulder	12	11	50	31	38	30	57	211
21	Mesa	8	24	15	19	67	11	15	40
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Montezuma	1	3	4	0	11	3	3	5
	<i>Total</i>	1	3	4	0	13	3	3	5
<i>State Total</i>		233	310	546	572	1,545	373	1,061	2,902
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Petition to Seal Criminal Conviction	Possession	Prohibition	Property Damage	Public Nuisance	Public Utilities	Replevin
12	Alamosa	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Conejos	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Mineral	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	9	1	0	0	1	0	0	9
13	Kit Carson	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Logan	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Morgan	23	0	0	0	1	1	0	9
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	48	1	0	0	1	1	0	13
14	Grand	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Moffat	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Routt	36	2	0	0	0	0	3	1
	<i>Total</i>	58	2	0	0	0	0	3	6
15	Baca	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Otero	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
17	Adams	120	3	0	0	4	14	0	69
	Broomfield	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	157	3	0	0	4	14	0	74
18	Arapahoe	449	5	0	0	4	7	0	71
	Douglas	191	1	0	0	3	2	0	28
	Elbert	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Lincoln	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	654	7	0	0	7	9	0	106
19	Weld	114	1	0	0	1	0	0	37
20	Boulder	473	3	0	0	5	5	0	13
21	Mesa	137	1	0	0	0	0	0	21
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	7
	<i>Total</i>	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	7
<i>State Total</i>		3,771	67	3	0	47	227	8	590
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Restraining Order	Review - Other Local Government / Person	Rule 105 Quiet Title	Rule 106 - Writs / Contempt	Rule 120 Deed of Trust	Services Rendered	Sexual Harassment
12	Alamosa	0	6	1	1	46	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	3	0	13	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	6	0	14	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	3	0	3	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	2	0	50	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	9	1	24	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	1	7	27	0	0
	Logan	0	0	2	22	71	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	2	5	147	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	20	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	1	0	14	0	0
	Washington	0	2	4	0	24	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	1	0	28	0	0
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>331</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	
14	Grand	1	0	10	0	194	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	1	0	91	0	0
	Routt	0	6	3	0	282	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>567</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
15	Baca	0	0	1	0	4	1	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	1	0	32	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	0	0	3	5	17	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	12	15	0	0
	Otero	0	1	3	1	106	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>138</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
17	Adams	1	8	24	7	3,626	2	0
	Broomfield	0	0	3	0	209	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>3,835</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>
18	Arapahoe	0	7	17	27	4,402	0	0
	Douglas	1	0	13	11	1,865	6	0
	Elbert	0	0	5	1	241	1	0
	Lincoln	0	0	2	15	20	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>6,528</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>
19	Weld	2	10	24	5	2,005	2	1
20	Boulder	0	12	12	7	980	4	0
21	Mesa	0	0	13	1	1,279	3	0
22	Dolores	0	0	1	0	25	0	0
	Montezuma	3	3	6	0	128	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>21</i>	<i>215</i>	<i>438</i>	<i>280</i>	<i>32,969</i>	<i>202</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>26%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Special District	Specific Performance	Wages	Workman's Compensation	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	190
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	71
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	89
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	237
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>685</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	76
	Logan	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	287
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	332
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
	Washington	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	85
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	148
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1,022</i>
14	Grand	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	483
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	279
	Routt	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	691
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1,453</i>
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	66
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>197</i>
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	149
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	51
	Otero	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	277
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>477</i>
17	Adams	5	0	4	0	0	3	2	10,769
	Broomfield	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	485
	<i>Total</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>11,254</i>
18	Arapahoe	5	0	11	0	4	6	1	16,840
	Douglas	2	0	5	0	0	1	0	4,508
	Elbert	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,200
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	111
	<i>Total</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>22,659</i>
19	Weld	6	1	0	1	0	1	1	5,953
20	Boulder	2	0	11	0	0	4	2	5,391
21	Mesa	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	3,334
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	448
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>499</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>48</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>92</i>	<i>84</i>	<i>76</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>125,597</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>100%</i>

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Administrative Support Order	Child Custody	Dissolution of Marriage	Incoming Registration of Support	Invalidity of Marriage
1	Gilpin	0	4	26	2	0
	Jefferson	18	487	2,705	100	44
	<i>Total</i>	18	491	2,731	102	44
2	Denver	0	545	3,226	125	61
3	Huerfano	0	11	36	2	0
	Las Animas	0	31	84	13	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	42	120	15	2
4	El Paso	0	771	4,280	216	89
	Teller	0	21	119	5	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	792	4,399	221	91
5	Clear Creek	1	6	54	4	2
	Eagle	0	26	229	0	3
	Lake	5	10	38	1	0
	Summit	1	13	135	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	7	55	456	7	5
6	Archuleta	0	8	65	1	0
	La Plata	1	40	249	3	3
	San Juan	0	0	3	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	48	317	4	3
7	Delta	0	46	192	7	9
	Gunnison	1	3	66	2	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	4	0	0
	Montrose	0	83	257	12	2
	Ouray	0	3	20	0	0
	San Miguel	0	3	45	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	138	584	21	11
8	Jackson	1	0	7	0	1
	Larimer	0	297	1,424	80	25
	<i>Total</i>	1	297	1,431	80	26
9	Garfield	5	54	339	20	6
	Pitkin	0	3	82	1	2
	Rio Blanco	0	8	31	3	0
	<i>Total</i>	5	65	452	24	8
10	Pueblo	1	388	889	0	15
11	Chaffee	0	25	92	1	0
	Custer	6	2	18	0	0
	Fremont	0	61	250	8	3
	Park	5	9	63	13	0
	<i>Total</i>	11	97	423	22	3

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Legal Separation	Limited Access Case	Other	Outgoing Registration of UIFSA	Registration of Foreign Decree	Total
1	Gilpin	1	0	0	0	1	34
	Jefferson	150	0	135	0	88	3,727
	<i>Total</i>	151	0	135	0	89	3,761
2	Denver	81	0	251	0	102	4,391
3	Huerfano	1	0	0	0	0	50
	Las Animas	2	0	1	0	1	134
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	1	0	1	184
4	El Paso	187	0	77	0	86	5,706
	Teller	6	0	1	0	1	155
	<i>Total</i>	193	0	78	0	87	5,861
5	Clear Creek	5	0	0	0	3	75
	Eagle	13	0	2	0	16	289
	Lake	0	0	1	0	7	62
	Summit	5	0	2	0	6	164
	<i>Total</i>	23	0	5	0	32	590
6	Archuleta	2	0	0	0	2	78
	La Plata	7	0	3	0	3	309
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	9	0	3	0	5	390
7	Delta	3	0	1	0	1	259
	Gunnison	3	0	0	0	3	78
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Montrose	4	0	0	0	12	370
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	3	26
	San Miguel	2	0	1	0	0	51
	<i>Total</i>	12	0	2	0	19	788
8	Jackson	0	0	1	0	0	10
	Larimer	52	0	2	0	10	1,890
	<i>Total</i>	52	0	3	0	10	1,900
9	Garfield	11	0	3	0	14	452
	Pitkin	2	0	1	0	1	92
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	42
	<i>Total</i>	13	0	4	0	15	586
10	Pueblo	18	0	23	0	71	1,405
11	Chaffee	2	0	0	0	4	124
	Custer	1	0	0	1	3	31
	Fremont	7	0	2	0	13	344
	Park	2	0	0	0	1	93
	<i>Total</i>	12	0	2	1	21	592

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Administrative Support Order	Child Custody	Dissolution of Marriage	Incoming Registration of Support	Invalidity of Marriage
12	Alamosa	0	48	136	0	3
	Conejos	0	13	41	0	2
	Costilla	0	5	16	0	1
	Mineral	0	0	2	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	47	90	1	0
	Saguache	0	10	40	1	0
	Total		0	123	325	2
13	Kit Carson	0	15	37	0	0
	Logan	0	33	110	9	3
	Morgan	1	58	161	10	2
	Phillips	0	1	17	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	1	11	0	0
	Washington	1	5	25	5	0
	Yuma	0	17	32	0	1
Total		2	130	393	24	6
14	Grand	1	12	60	0	0
	Moffat	0	21	102	1	4
	Routt	1	4	110	4	2
	Total	2	37	272	5	6
15	Baca	0	4	14	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	1	8	0	0
	Kiowa	0	4	5	0	0
	Prowers	0	25	62	4	0
	Total	0	34	89	4	0
16	Bent	0	10	35	3	2
	Crowley	0	10	26	1	0
	Otero	0	28	108	7	1
	Total	0	48	169	11	3
17	Adams	0	715	2,128	0	22
	Broomfield	0	34	282	10	3
	Total	0	749	2,410	10	25
18	Arapahoe	2	568	2,642	5	30
	Douglas	0	120	1,486	48	21
	Elbert	0	15	120	8	3
	Lincoln	0	4	21	0	0
	Total	2	707	4,269	61	54
19	Weld	0	313	1,217	110	14
20	Boulder	1	217	1,235	26	21
21	Mesa	0	201	1,032	0	11
22	Dolores	0	0	15	0	0
	Montezuma	1	48	169	10	1
	Total	1	48	184	10	1
State Total		53	5,565	26,623	884	416
Percent of State Total		0%	15%	74%	2%	1%

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Legal Separation	Limited Access Case	Other	Outgoing Registration of UIFSA	Registration of Foreign Decree	Total
12	Alamosa	3	0	1	0	1	192
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	56
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	22
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	1	3
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	9	147
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	1	52
	Total	3	0	1	0	12	472
13	Kit Carson	2	0	0	0	9	63
	Logan	1	0	0	0	2	158
	Morgan	5	0	2	0	6	245
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	1	19
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Washington	0	0	1	0	0	37
	Total	8	0	3	0	18	584
14	Grand	1	0	0	0	5	79
	Moffat	8	0	0	0	2	138
	Routt	9	0	1	0	8	139
	Total	18	0	1	0	15	356
15	Baca	1	0	0	0	0	19
	Cheyenne	0	0	1	0	0	10
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	9
	Prowers	3	0	1	0	0	95
	Total	4	0	2	0	0	133
16	Bent	1	0	0	0	0	51
	Crowley	2	0	0	0	1	40
	Otero	4	0	0	0	3	151
	Total	7	0	0	0	4	242
17	Adams	53	0	14	0	18	2,950
	Broomfield	13	0	14	0	7	363
	Total	66	0	28	0	25	3,313
18	Arapahoe	101	0	14	1	231	3,594
	Douglas	63	0	3	1	34	1,776
	Elbert	3	0	1	0	1	151
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	25
	Total	167	0	18	2	266	5,546
19	Weld	38	0	1	0	9	1,702
20	Boulder	50	0	0	0	20	1,570
21	Mesa	21	0	16	0	109	1,390
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	15
	Montezuma	7	0	0	0	2	238
	Total	7	0	0	0	2	253
State Total		956	0	577	3	932	36,009
Percent of State Total		3%	0%	2%	0%	3%	100%

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Bribery-Tampering	Burglary	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief
1	Gilpin	1	6	0	0	4	0	3
	Jefferson	6	285	20	4	250	19	77
	Total	7	291	20	4	254	19	80
2	Denver	13	308	1	10	323	26	79
3	Huerfano	0	9	0	1	11	0	1
	Las Animas	0	19	0	0	35	0	3
	Total	0	28	0	1	46	0	4
4	El Paso	10	340	5	14	363	16	92
	Teller	1	15	0	0	9	2	3
	Total	11	355	5	14	372	18	95
5	Clear Creek	0	4	2	2	14	0	0
	Eagle	0	31	4	0	21	1	7
	Lake	1	12	2	0	6	8	0
	Summit	0	26	3	0	12	2	8
	Total	1	73	11	2	53	11	15
6	Archuleta	0	11	2	0	13	1	0
	La Plata	1	38	88	0	42	2	16
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	50	90	0	55	3	16
7	Delta	0	11	11	0	21	2	0
	Gunnison	1	6	5	0	13	0	5
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Montrose	15	1	11	1	30	0	12
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	San Miguel	0	6	2	0	4	0	1
	Total	16	24	29	1	70	2	18
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	9	113	28	1	167	10	28
	Total	9	113	28	1	167	10	28
9	Garfield	1	31	3	1	40	2	8
	Pitkin	0	8	9	0	6	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	4	1	0	3	1	3
	Total	1	43	13	1	49	3	11
10	Pueblo	6	197	27	7	154	6	31
11	Chaffee	1	19	1	0	7	0	5
	Custer	0	4	0	0	1	0	1
	Fremont	5	46	2	0	42	1	9
	Park	0	11	0	1	8	2	2
	Total	6	80	3	1	58	3	17

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Cruelty to					Fugitive from	Habitual	Habitual
		Animals	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud			
1	Gilpin	0	27	1	6	4	5	0	4
	Jefferson	3	703	125	111	31	66	0	72
	Total	3	730	126	117	35	71	0	76
2	Denver	1	1,311	238	51	19	55	0	60
3	Huerfano	0	49	0	3	3	6	0	4
	Las Animas	0	29	1	9	9	16	0	5
	Total	0	78	1	12	12	22	0	9
4	El Paso	7	717	203	61	329	162	0	110
	Teller	0	21	0	7	5	10	0	0
	Total	7	738	203	68	334	172	0	110
5	Clear Creek	0	21	2	2	2	6	0	6
	Eagle	0	114	1	14	7	12	0	10
	Lake	0	11	0	3	0	4	0	8
	Summit	0	30	0	8	12	13	0	6
	Total	0	176	3	27	21	35	0	30
6	Archuleta	0	6	2	1	0	5	0	0
	La Plata	0	95	11	9	9	39	1	17
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	0	101	13	10	9	44	1	18
7	Delta	0	26	5	4	8	7	0	7
	Gunnison	0	18	0	4	3	5	1	2
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	62	2	4	7	15	1	13
	Ouray	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0
	San Miguel	0	35	1	1	0	3	0	1
	Total	0	144	8	13	18	31	2	23
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	2	366	39	18	16	72	0	43
	Total	2	366	39	18	16	72	0	43
9	Garfield	0	114	1	15	5	30	2	15
	Pitkin	0	29	1	2	5	5	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	15	0	0	0	3	0	1
	Total	0	158	2	17	10	38	2	16
10	Pueblo	0	258	116	21	16	28	1	48
11	Chaffee	0	15	6	0	2	4	1	0
	Custer	0	6	0	1	1	1	0	0
	Fremont	0	77	2	3	6	12	1	3
	Park	0	11	0	1	0	3	0	3
	Total	0	109	8	5	9	20	2	6

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing	Criminal Non-Support
1	Gilpin	0	6	0	0	4	0
	Jefferson	34	32	3	19	140	0
	Total	34	38	3	19	144	0
2	Denver	53	28	1	30	108	0
3	Huerfano	0	6	0	1	3	0
	Las Animas	2	5	0	0	19	0
	Total	2	11	0	1	22	0
4	El Paso	89	57	3	51	286	0
	Teller	0	4	1	0	12	0
	Total	89	61	4	51	298	0
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	1	9	0
	Eagle	0	26	0	0	12	0
	Lake	0	1	0	1	3	0
	Summit	0	10	0	0	6	0
	Total	0	37	0	2	30	0
6	Archuleta	3	0	0	1	5	0
	La Plata	6	33	0	9	21	0
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Total	9	34	0	10	26	0
7	Delta	3	5	0	2	8	0
	Gunnison	0	2	0	0	6	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	5	8	0	1	17	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	1	0	0	3	0
	Total	8	16	0	3	34	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Larimer	7	43	0	10	72	0
	Total	7	43	0	10	73	0
9	Garfield	4	36	0	2	37	0
	Pitkin	2	1	0	0	3	0
	Rio Blanco	0	3	0	0	2	0
	Total	6	40	0	2	42	0
10	Pueblo	41	50	0	10	96	0
11	Chaffee	0	2	0	1	6	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Fremont	1	4	0	2	21	0
	Park	0	6	0	0	7	0
	Total	1	12	0	3	37	0

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Obstruction of Public Justice	Other	Perjury	Pornography	Prostitution
1	Gilpin	0	12	0	0	0
	Jefferson	13	172	1	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	13	184	1	0	3
2	Denver	17	68	0	0	14
3	Huerfano	0	7	0	0	0
	Las Animas	4	13	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	20	0	0	0
4	El Paso	8	393	1	0	3
	Teller	0	14	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	8	407	1	0	3
5	Clear Creek	0	14	0	0	0
	Eagle	1	18	0	0	1
	Lake	0	11	0	0	0
	Summit	0	15	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	58	0	0	1
6	Archuleta	2	3	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	33	0	0	0
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	37	0	0	0
7	Delta	2	8	1	0	0
	Gunnison	4	5	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	30	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	6	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	7	51	1	0	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	4	138	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	4	138	0	0	2
9	Garfield	1	34	1	0	0
	Pitkin	0	14	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	4	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	52	1	0	0
10	Pueblo	6	106	0	0	0
11	Chaffee	2	3	0	0	0
	Custer	0	3	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	47	0	0	0
	Park	0	5	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	58	0	0	0

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft
1	Gilpin	0	0	1	29	0	16
	Jefferson	4	54	76	248	4	888
	Total	4	54	77	277	4	904
2	Denver	2	21	156	217	0	560
3	Huerfano	0	0	3	5	0	18
	Las Animas	0	0	5	6	1	29
	Total	0	0	8	11	1	47
4	El Paso	0	6	163	238	7	618
	Teller	0	2	1	4	0	19
	Total	0	8	164	242	7	637
5	Clear Creek	0	1	2	3	0	15
	Eagle	0	3	2	20	0	44
	Lake	0	0	1	2	1	1
	Summit	0	0	5	4	1	23
	Total	0	4	10	29	2	83
6	Archuleta	0	0	1	2	0	6
	La Plata	0	2	1	17	4	54
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	2	2	19	4	60
7	Delta	0	3	0	16	0	24
	Gunnison	0	5	0	2	0	11
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	0	0	4	26	1	30
	Ouray	0	0	0	1	0	5
	San Miguel	0	0	2	2	0	7
	Total	0	8	6	47	1	78
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Larimer	1	46	31	90	5	348
	Total	1	46	31	90	5	350
9	Garfield	0	1	7	15	2	34
	Pitkin	0	0	0	3	0	10
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	4	0	6
	Total	0	1	7	22	2	50
10	Pueblo	0	9	53	69	5	288
11	Chaffee	0	2	1	8	1	14
	Custer	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Fremont	0	0	0	17	0	38
	Park	0	3	0	4	0	5
	Total	0	5	1	31	1	59

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Carrying Concealed Weapon	Weapon	Total
1	Gilpin	5	1	0	0	1	136
	Jefferson	128	19	3	0	27	3,640
	Total	133	20	3	0	28	3,776
2	Denver	128	23	8	0	88	4,017
3	Huerfano	8	1	0	0	2	141
	Las Animas	6	4	0	0	10	230
	Total	14	5	0	0	12	371
4	El Paso	168	32	3	0	67	4,622
	Teller	6	1	0	0	2	139
	Total	174	33	3	0	69	4,761
5	Clear Creek	1	2	0	0	4	113
	Eagle	5	1	0	0	4	359
	Lake	9	1	0	0	1	87
	Summit	8	1	0	0	1	194
	Total	23	5	0	0	10	753
6	Archuleta	7	1	0	0	0	72
	La Plata	32	2	0	0	18	600
	San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	5
	Total	40	3	0	0	18	677
7	Delta	9	2	0	0	9	194
	Gunnison	12	2	0	0	1	113
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Montrose	22	2	0	0	7	328
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	12
	San Miguel	2	0	0	0	0	77
	Total	45	6	0	0	17	727
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Larimer	118	1	0	0	31	1,859
	Total	118	1	0	0	31	1,862
9	Garfield	26	2	0	0	4	474
	Pitkin	8	1	0	0	1	108
	Rio Blanco	3	0	0	0	0	53
	Total	37	3	0	0	5	635
10	Pueblo	48	12	3	0	33	1,745
11	Chaffee	11	1	0	0	0	113
	Custer	0	0	0	0	2	27
	Fremont	8	1	0	0	2	350
	Park	0	2	0	0	1	75
	Total	19	4	0	0	5	565

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Bribery-Tampering	Burglary	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief
12	Alamosa	0	31	0	0	41	1	4
	Conejos	0	10	0	0	9	1	2
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	8	0	0
	Mineral	0	2	0	1	0	0	1
	Rio Grande	0	19	0	0	12	1	2
	Saguache	0	12	0	0	6	1	0
	Total		0	74	0	1	76	4
13	Kit Carson	0	6	0	0	3	1	3
	Logan	2	20	1	1	24	0	4
	Morgan	1	22	5	0	25	1	7
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	Sedgwick	0	2	0	0	4	0	0
	Washington	0	2	2	0	5	0	1
	Yuma	0	3	4	0	5	2	3
	Total		3	55	12	1	67	5
14	Grand	0	9	7	0	9	0	5
	Moffat	1	8	22	0	17	3	3
	Routt	0	16	3	1	10	0	3
	Total	1	33	32	1	36	3	11
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	5	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
	Kiowa	0	2	0	0	4	0	0
	Prowers	0	11	0	0	13	1	4
	Total	0	14	0	0	24	1	5
16	Bent	1	12	0	0	6	1	1
	Crowley	0	6	1	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	39	1	2	21	0	2
	Total	1	57	2	2	27	1	3
17	Adams	10	289	5	2	287	13	81
	Broomfield	0	11	0	0	19	2	8
	Total	10	300	5	2	306	15	89
18	Arapahoe	7	219	13	6	203	6	47
	Douglas	0	39	18	4	66	1	24
	Elbert	0	6	2	0	13	2	1
	Lincoln	0	4	1	2	2	0	0
	Total	7	268	34	12	284	9	72
19	Weld	4	140	8	0	139	10	44
20	Boulder	11	197	23	2	195	8	42
21	Mesa	4	90	22	2	153	3	58
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	20	11	0	12	0	5
	Total	0	20	11	0	12	0	5
State Total		112	2,810	376	65	2,920	160	750
Percent of State Total		0%	8%	1%	0%	8%	0%	2%

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Cruelty to		Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Fugitive from Justice	Habitual Criminal	Habitual Traffic
		Animals	Drugs						
12	Alamosa	0	46	36	11	29	9	0	23
	Conejos	0	6	0	2	2	5	0	3
	Costilla	0	6	0	1	1	5	1	6
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	18	0	6	11	2	1	10
	Saguache	1	5	0	9	2	2	1	1
	Total	1	81	36	29	45	24	3	43
13	Kit Carson	0	7	0	0	2	8	0	1
	Logan	1	60	20	9	1	8	0	4
	Morgan	0	68	0	8	3	10	0	3
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	2	13	0	1	0	0	0	3
	Yuma	0	2	0	0	3	5	0	0
	Total	3	153	20	18	9	31	0	12
14	Grand	0	15	1	0	1	3	0	1
	Moffat	0	48	5	4	1	2	0	2
	Routt	1	35	0	1	3	3	0	2
	Total	1	98	6	5	5	8	0	5
15	Baca	0	7	0	2	2	3	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
	Kiowa	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Prowers	0	35	3	3	3	8	0	8
	Total	0	43	3	6	5	14	0	8
16	Bent	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Crowley	0	8	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	30	3	8	4	4	0	8
	Total	0	43	4	8	4	4	0	9
17	Adams	1	870	149	112	16	102	0	139
	Broomfield	0	172	0	19	4	4	0	5
	Total	1	1,042	149	131	20	106	0	144
18	Arapahoe	0	565	150	92	18	109	0	80
	Douglas	1	93	2	16	8	27	0	13
	Elbert	2	10	1	1	2	0	0	2
	Lincoln	0	15	12	1	0	11	0	1
	Total	3	683	165	110	28	147	0	96
19	Weld	1	405	40	32	3	38	0	74
20	Boulder	1	356	48	52	30	56	1	41
21	Mesa	0	321	35	43	18	33	0	48
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Montezuma	0	57	1	9	7	31	0	6
	Total	0	57	1	9	7	31	2	6
State Total		24	7,451	1,264	802	673	1,080	14	925
Percent of State Total		0%	21%	4%	2%	2%	3%	0%	3%

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing	Criminal Non-Support
12	Alamosa	2	24	0	2	13	0
	Conejos	3	1	0	0	5	0
	Costilla	1	0	0	1	3	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	9	0	0	11	0
	Saguache	0	2	0	0	2	0
	Total		7	36	0	3	34
13	Kit Carson	0	3	0	0	4	0
	Logan	2	6	1	0	14	0
	Morgan	1	39	0	2	8	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	1	1	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Washington	0	1	0	0	1	0
	Yuma	0	1	0	0	5	0
	Total		3	50	1	3	34
14	Grand	2	2	0	1	15	1
	Moffat	0	1	0	0	7	0
	Routt	1	3	0	0	10	0
	Total	3	6	0	1	32	1
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	2	0
	Cheyenne	1	0	0	1	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Prowers	0	5	0	1	8	0
	Total	1	6	0	3	10	0
16	Bent	1	0	0	0	3	0
	Crowley	0	1	0	0	2	0
	Otero	4	8	0	2	11	0
	Total	5	9	0	2	16	0
17	Adams	73	62	1	38	180	0
	Broomfield	2	1	0	4	8	0
	Total	75	63	1	42	188	0
18	Arapahoe	36	39	0	47	119	0
	Douglas	5	14	0	10	28	0
	Elbert	0	1	0	0	7	0
	Lincoln	2	2	0	0	1	0
	Total	43	56	0	57	155	0
19	Weld	11	38	1	27	88	0
20	Boulder	12	89	0	18	93	0
21	Mesa	4	71	4	14	53	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	3	4	0	3	13	0
	Total	3	4	0	3	13	0
State Total		417	798	15	314	1,626	1
Percent of State Total		1%	2%	0%	1%	5%	0%

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Obstruction of Public Justice	Other	Perjury	Pornography	Prostitution
12	Alamosa	0	34	0	0	0
	Conejos	0	4	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	21	1	0	0
	Saguache	0	3	1	0	0
	Total	1	62	2	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	14	0	0	0
	Logan	1	12	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	15	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	2	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	7	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	8	0	0	0
	Total	1	58	0	0	0
14	Grand	2	5	0	0	0
	Moffat	1	6	0	0	0
	Routt	0	17	0	0	0
	Total	3	28	0	0	0
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	3	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	4	0	0	0
	Prowers	1	7	0	0	0
	Total	1	15	0	0	0
16	Bent	0	2	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	5	0	0	0
	Otero	3	14	0	0	0
	Total	3	21	0	0	0
17	Adams	11	207	0	0	6
	Broomfield	0	11	0	0	0
	Total	11	218	0	0	6
18	Arapahoe	17	190	1	0	1
	Douglas	0	70	0	0	0
	Elbert	0	12	0	0	0
	Lincoln	2	1	0	0	0
	Total	19	273	1	0	1
19	Weld	0	449	0	0	0
20	Boulder	1	122	0	0	0
21	Mesa	8	71	1	0	0
22	Dolores	0	3	0	0	0
	Montezuma	2	10	0	0	0
	Total	2	13	0	0	0
State Total		115	2,509	8	0	30
Percent of State Total		0%	7%	0%	0%	0%

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft
12	Alamosa	0	0	10	13	4	26
	Conejos	0	3	0	1	0	12
	Costilla	0	0	0	3	2	3
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Rio Grande	0	3	2	6	0	9
	Saguache	0	3	0	2	1	6
	Total	0	9	12	25	7	59
13	Kit Carson	0	2	0	5	0	9
	Logan	0	0	0	10	0	42
	Morgan	0	0	10	24	0	31
	Phillips	0	0	0	5	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	3	1	3
	Yuma	0	0	0	4	1	18
	Total	0	2	11	51	2	104
14	Grand	0	4	1	7	1	8
	Moffat	0	4	0	6	0	13
	Routt	0	0	1	5	0	28
	Total	0	8	2	18	1	49
15	Baca	0	1	0	3	0	5
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Prowers	0	4	1	10	0	19
	Total	0	5	1	15	0	25
16	Bent	0	0	1	2	0	3
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Otero	0	1	3	9	0	21
	Total	0	1	4	11	0	25
17	Adams	0	22	77	259	3	545
	Broomfield	0	0	7	17	0	93
	Total	0	22	84	276	3	638
18	Arapahoe	0	6	124	146	4	466
	Douglas	0	0	11	57	4	158
	Elbert	1	0	2	8	0	9
	Lincoln	0	0	0	3	0	12
	Total	1	6	137	214	8	645
19	Weld	0	0	36	63	1	291
20	Boulder	0	33	36	72	5	302
21	Mesa	0	10	25	47	11	203
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Montezuma	0	2	4	9	1	41
	Total	0	2	4	9	1	43
State Total		8	256	867	1,855	71	5,500
Percent of State Total		0%	1%	2%	5%	0%	15%

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Carrying Concealed Weapon	Weapon	Total
12	Alamosa	13	1	0	0	0	373
	Conejos	5	1	0	0	0	75
	Costilla	1	0	0	0	0	42
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	1	9
	Rio Grande	4	0	0	0	1	151
	Saguache	2	0	0	0	0	62
	Total	25	2	0	0	2	712
13	Kit Carson	1	0	0	0	3	72
	Logan	10	1	0	0	3	257
	Morgan	20	0	0	0	5	308
	Phillips	2	0	0	0	0	16
	Sedgwick	1	0	0	0	0	11
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	45
	Yuma	2	1	0	0	1	68
	Total	36	2	0	0	12	777
14	Grand	10	1	0	0	2	113
	Moffat	9	1	0	0	9	173
	Routt	7	0	0	0	0	150
	Total	26	2	0	0	11	436
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	0	34
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	15
	Prowers	13	0	0	0	5	163
	Total	13	1	0	0	5	224
16	Bent	2	0	0	0	0	41
	Crowley	0	1	0	0	0	26
	Otero	10	1	1	0	2	212
	Total	12	2	1	0	2	279
17	Adams	128	26	5	0	39	3,758
	Broomfield	8	3	0	0	0	398
	Total	136	29	5	0	39	4,156
18	Arapahoe	77	11	1	0	42	2,842
	Douglas	23	6	1	0	7	706
	Elbert	3	1	1	0	3	90
	Lincoln	1	0	1	0	1	75
	Total	104	18	4	0	53	3,713
19	Weld	103	17	6	0	18	2,087
20	Boulder	101	5	2	0	14	1,968
21	Mesa	71	7	1	0	19	1,450
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Montezuma	7	1	0	0	9	268
	Total	7	1	0	0	9	275
State Total		1,413	201	36	0	500	35,966
Percent of State Total		4%	1%	0%	0%	1%	100%

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Abandonment	Abuse or Mistreatment	Administrative Paternity Order	Administrative Support Order	Adoption
1	Gilpin	0	0	1	9	2
	Jefferson	0	0	152	699	230
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>708</i>	<i>232</i>
2	Denver Juvenile	0	0	633	1,059	449
3	Huerfano	0	0	5	23	2
	Las Animas	0	0	25	50	6
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>73</i>	<i>8</i>
4	El Paso	0	0	361	588	338
	Teller	0	0	2	25	12
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>363</i>	<i>613</i>	<i>350</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	3	4	55
	Eagle	0	0	6	30	13
	Lake	0	0	7	17	1
	Summit	0	0	1	7	6
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>75</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	4	12	8
	La Plata	0	0	14	26	12
	San Juan	0	0	1		0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>20</i>
7	Delta	0	0	9	23	12
	Gunnison	0	0	0	3	6
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	24	51	23
	Ouray	0	0	0	2	1
	San Miguel	0	0	1	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>44</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	2
	Larimer	0	0	93	278	161
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>278</i>	<i>163</i>
9	Garfield	0	0	26	60	24
	Pitkin	0	0	2	1	4
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	3	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>64</i>	<i>32</i>
10	Pueblo	0	0	69	215	80
11	Chaffee	0	0	4	13	10
	Custer	0	0	0	2	1
	Fremont	0	0	28	102	30
	Park	0	0	3	6	5
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>123</i>	<i>46</i>

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Confidential Intermediary	Consent for Marriage	Dependency & Neglect	D&N Expedited Placement	Grandparent Visitation	Juvenile Expungement	Juvenile Other
1	Gilpin	0	0	6	1	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	0	134	191	1	15	6
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	140	192	1	15	6
2	Denver Juvenile	54	1	146	288	3	0	58
3	Huerfano	0	0	10	5	0	0	0
	Las Animas	1	0	4	15	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	14	20	0	0	0
4	El Paso	2	0	237	367	0	96	4
	Teller	0	0	18	16	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	255	383	0	96	4
5	Clear Creek	0	0	4	3	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	0	11	1	0	0	0
	Lake	0	0	5	4	0	0	0
	Summit	0	0	3	5	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	23	13	0	0	2
6	Archuleta	1	3	1	4	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	2	4	21	0	0	1
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	5	5	25	0	0	1
7	Delta	0	0	10	17	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	10	5	0	0	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	16	17	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	36	39	0	0	1
8	Jackson	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	5	0	54	108	0	0	6
	<i>Total</i>	5	0	56	108	0	0	6
9	Garfield	2	0	7	8	0	0	3
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	12	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	19	8	0	0	3
10	Pueblo	0	1	54	81	0	4	0
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Custer	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
	Fremont	2	0	25	14	0	6	0
	Park	0	0	2	7	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	28	24	0	6	1

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Juvenile Relinquishment	Paternity	Petition for Review of Need for Placement	Support	Truancy	Non-JD Juvenile Total	Juvenile Delinquency Total*	Total
1	Gilpin	0	2	0	0	2	23	2	25
	Jefferson	48	82	0	71	431	2,060	1,161	3,221
	Total	48	84	0	71	433	2,083	1,163	3,246
2	Denver Juvenile	3	39	4	1	270	3,008	1,328	4,336
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	2	5	53	29	82
	Las Animas	2	5	0	2	6	116	36	152
	Total	2	6	0	4	11	169	65	234
4	El Paso	24	39	1	3	487	2,547	1,340	3,887
	Teller	0	2	0	1	7	83	68	151
	Total	24	41	1	4	494	2,630	1,408	4,038
5	Clear Creek	42	0	0	0	0	111	13	124
	Eagle	4	0	0	0	1	66	146	212
	Lake	0	0	0	0	3	37	16	53
	Summit	0	2	0	0	0	26	48	74
	Total	46	2	0	0	4	240	223	463
6	Archuleta	3	2	0	0	0	38	17	55
	La Plata	8	2	3	0	12	105	85	190
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Total	11	4	3	0	12	144	102	246
7	Delta	7	1	0	0	0	79	39	118
	Gunnison	1	1	0	0	0	27	16	43
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	12	5	1	0	10	159	97	256
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	6
	San Miguel	0	1	0	0	0	5	5	10
	Total	20	8	1	0	10	273	160	433
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	9
	Larimer	39	33	1	2	16	796	1,093	1,889
	Total	39	33	1	2	16	800	1,098	1,898
9	Garfield	0	5	0	0	7	142	123	265
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	7	35	42
	Rio Blanco	0	1	0	0	0	20	12	32
	Total	0	6	0	0	7	169	170	339
10	Pueblo	8	42	11	35	177	777	281	1,058
11	Chaffee	3	2	0	0	0	34	34	68
	Custer	0	1	0	0	0	7	4	11
	Fremont	10	16	0	3	22	258	172	430
	Park	0	1	0	0	5	29	23	52
	Total	13	20	0	3	27	328	233	561

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Abandonment	Abuse or Mistreatment	Administrative Paternity Order	Administrative Support Order	Adoption
12	Alamosa	0	0	16	38	11
	Conejos	0	0	5	24	5
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	1	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	20	43	6
	Saguache	0	0	5	8	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>46</i>	<i>114</i>	<i>25</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	3	7	3
	Logan	0	0	16	48	5
	Morgan	0	0	16	31	11
	Phillips	0	0	0	2	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	3	6
	Yuma	0	0	2	10	5
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>101</i>	<i>30</i>	
14	Grand	0	0	2	6	5
	Moffat	0	0	4	23	17
	Routt	0	0	0	5	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>25</i>
15	Baca	0	0	2	2	4
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	2	0
	Kiowa	0	0	1	1	1
	Prowers	0	0	12	21	13
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>18</i>
16	Bent	0	0	7	6	3
	Crowley	0	0	4	18	5
	Otero	0	0	9	30	8
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>16</i>
17	Adams	0	0	153	463	286
	Broomfield	0	0	14	38	17
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>501</i>	<i>303</i>
18	Arapahoe	0	0	128	533	280
	Douglas	0	0	24	86	115
	Elbert	0	0	3	16	15
	Lincoln	0	0	2	10	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>645</i>	<i>411</i>
19	Weld	0	0	94	345	122
20	Boulder	0	0	84	266	122
21	Mesa	0	0	124	242	93
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	1	0	4	1	5
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2,228</i>	<i>5,638</i>	<i>2,669</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>7%</i>	<i>19%</i>	<i>9%</i>

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Confidential Intermediary	Consent for Marriage	Dependency & Neglect	D&N Expedited Placement	Grandparent Visitation	Juvenile Expungement	Juvenile Other
12	Alamosa	0	0	14	15	0	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	5	9	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	8	3	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	2	5	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	0	30	32	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Logan	0	1	16	23	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	9	24	0	0	2
	Phillips	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	7	4	0	0	0
	Yuma	1	0	4	1	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>		1	1	38	54	0	0	2
14	Grand	0	0	3	1	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	3	4	0	0	0
	Routt	0	0	3	3	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	9	8	0	0	1
15	Baca	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	3	4	0	0	0
16	Bent	0	0	2	5	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	3	3	0	0	1
	Otero	2	0	10	13	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	15	21	0	0	5
17	Adams	4	0	117	291	1	10	66
	Broomfield	0	0	2	13	0	11	
	<i>Total</i>	4	0	119	304	1	21	66
18	Arapahoe	0	0	109	158	3	10	6
	Douglas	0	0	41	25	0	20	4
	Elbert	0	0	7	13	0	1	0
	Lincoln	0	0	5	4	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	162	200	3	31	11
19	Weld	9	0	48	98	1	0	15
20	Boulder	3	1	32	53	0	0	32
21	Mesa	3	2	36	35	0	0	12
22	Dolores	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	10	7	0	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	10	8	0	1	2
<i>State Total</i>		89	11	1,278	1,998	9	174	228
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		0%	0%	4%	7%	0%	1%	1%

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Petition for Review of Need for					Non-JD Juvenile Total	Juvenile Delinquency Total*	Total
		Juvenile Relinquishment	Paternity	Placement	Support	Truancy			
12	Alamosa	11	1	0	0	8	114	54	168
	Conejos	4	5	0	2	0	59	13	72
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	8
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Rio Grande	2	1	0	4	2	89	51	140
	Saguache	1	1	0	0	0	25	7	32
	Total	18	8	0	6	10	289	133	422
13	Kit Carson	0	1	0	0	0	15	13	28
	Logan	1	2	0	0	12	124	49	173
	Morgan	2	2	1	0	19	117	61	178
	Phillips	0	1	1	0	0	6	9	15
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
	Washington	0	0	1	0	0	21	16	37
	Yuma	1	0	2	0	0	26	20	46
Total	4	6	5	0	31	310	170	480	
14	Grand	1	1	0	1	0	20	24	44
	Moffat	7	3	0	1	2	64	32	96
	Routt	1	0	0	0	2	18	24	42
	Total	9	4	0	2	4	102	80	182
15	Baca	1	2	0	0	0	13	22	35
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	8
	Prowers	3	6	0	8	0	65	28	93
	Total	4	8	0	8	0	86	54	140
16	Bent	1	2	0	1	4	31	15	46
	Crowley	0	5	0	3	0	42	5	47
	Otero	0	1	0	3	18	98	68	166
	Total	1	8	0	7	22	171	88	259
17	Adams	22	57	0	22	226	1,718	665	2,383
	Broomfield	1	2	0	0	20	118	74	192
	Total	23	59	0	22	246	1,836	739	2,575
18	Arapahoe	49	138	0	60	285	1,759	933	2,692
	Douglas	39	10	0	2	21	387	518	905
	Elbert	6	1	0	0	0	62	17	79
	Lincoln	0	0	0	3	0	26	15	41
	Total	94	149	0	65	306	2,234	1,483	3,717
19	Weld	15	73	11	105	442	1,378	1,222	2,600
20	Boulder	35	21	0	0	239	888	647	1,535
21	Mesa	19	5	0	30	90	691	342	1,033
22	Dolores	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	4
	Montezuma	7	4	1	4	17	64	95	159
	Total	7	5	1	4	17	66	97	163
State Total		443	631	38	369	2,868	18,672	11,286	29,958
Percent of State Total		1%	2%	0%	1%	10%	62%	38%	100%

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Burglary	Change of Venue After Adjudication	Change of Venue Converted Case	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Curfew Violation
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	14	175	62	77	0	8	99	0
	Total	14	175	62	78	0	8	99	0
2	Denver Juvenile	4	173	173	204	0	3	188	0
3	Huerfano	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	0	4	2	2	0	0	1	0
4	El Paso	25	192	91	22	0	0	142	0
	Teller	2	8	7	1	0	0	12	0
	Total	27	200	98	23	0	0	154	0
5	Clear Creek	0	4	0	1	0	0	1	0
	Eagle	3	15	13	8	0	0	18	0
	Lake	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Summit	5	4	2	1	0	0	4	0
	Total	8	24	15	10	0	0	25	0
6	Archuleta	0	5	3	0	0	0	1	0
	La Plata	0	12	5	2	1	1	7	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	17	8	2	1	1	8	0
7	Delta	2	7	2	6	0	1	4	0
	Gunnison	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	10	5	1	0	0	6	0
	Ouray	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	3	18	10	9	0	1	13	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	6	98	25	30	0	2	102	0
	Total	6	98	25	30	0	2	102	0
9	Garfield	0	13	6	5	0	0	12	0
	Pitkin	0	4	4	0	0	0	3	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	17	11	5	0	0	15	0
10	Pueblo	1	46	24	30	0	1	16	0
11	Chaffee	0	7	0	3	1	0	4	0
	Custer	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	3	23	16	7	0	0	16	0
	Park	1	2	2	5	0	0	1	0
	Total	4	33	18	17	1	0	21	0

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Delinquent Case Remanded from							
		Cruelty to Animals	Criminal Court	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Harassment	Homicide
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	2	0	77	7	3	0	53	1
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	77	7	3	0	53	1
2	Denver Juvenile	1	0	72	1	0	1	13	3
3	Huerfano	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Las Animas	0	0	6	0	0	1	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	7	0	0	1	2	0
4	El Paso	0	0	50	4	6	4	151	5
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	50	4	6	4	158	5
5	Clear Creek	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
	Eagle	0	0	7	0	2	0	19	0
	Lake	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	16	0	2	0	24	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	8	0	0	0	3	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	8	0	0	0	3	0
7	Delta	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	7	0	0	0	4	1
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	13	3	1	0	5	1
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Larimer	0	0	182	2	1	0	102	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	182	2	1	0	103	0
9	Garfield	0	0	12	0	0	0	9	0
	Pitkin	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	14	0	2	0	14	0
10	Pueblo	0	0	17	2	1	0	12	5
11	Chaffee	0	1	2	0	1	0	2	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	14	0	0	0	16	1
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	16	0	1	0	20	1

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing	Municipal Charge Other Than Curfew Violation	Other	Perjury
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	3	3	0	23	0	127	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	3	0	23	0	127	0
2	Denver Juvenile	2	1	3	42	0	61	0
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	2	0	5	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	2	0	5	0
4	El Paso	5	7	1	42	0	115	0
	Teller	0	0	0	4	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	5	7	1	46	0	116	0
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Eagle	4	0	0	4	0	4	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Summit	0	1	0	0	0	12	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	1	0	4	0	20	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	La Plata	0	0	0	3	0	4	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	3	0	6	0
7	Delta	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	0	17	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	0	0	17	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	1	2	3	20	0	122	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	2	3	20	0	122	0
9	Garfield	4	0	0	4	0	3	0
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	0	0	5	0	5	0
10	Pueblo	1	1	0	12	0	25	0
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	3	0	1	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	0	2	0	18	0
	Park	0	0	0	2	0	3	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	7	0	22	0

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Possession of Alcohol by Minor	Pornography	Prostitution	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Runaway
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	2	0	4	0	10	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	4	0	10	0
2	Denver Juvenile	0	0	6	4	45	12
3	Huerfano	16	0	0	1	0	0
	Las Animas	2	0	0	2	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	18	0	0	3	0	0
4	El Paso	1	0	0	15	13	0
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	15	13	0
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Eagle	0	0	0	6	0	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	0	0	0	0	3	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	7	3	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	0	1	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	1	0	0
7	Delta	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Gunnison	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	6	0	0	1	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	8	0	0	2	0	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	89	0	0	3	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	89	0	0	3	2	0
9	Garfield	0	0	0	12	1	1
	Pitkin	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco <i>Total</i>	2	0	0	12	1	1
10	Pueblo	0	0	0	4	3	0
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	15	0	0	0	0	0
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	15	0	0	1	0	0

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Jefferson	77	2	182	122	1	0	27	1,161
	Total	77	2	183	122	1	0	27	1,163
2	Denver Juvenile	61	0	129	78	0	1	47	1,328
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	29
	Las Animas	2	0	8	2	0	0	1	36
	Total	2	0	8	5	0	0	3	65
4	El Paso	42	4	254	81	2	0	66	1,340
	Teller	3	0	12	4	0	0	7	68
	Total	45	4	266	85	2	0	73	1,408
5	Clear Creek	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	13
	Eagle	1	1	27	12	0	0	2	146
	Lake	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	16
	Summit	0	0	9	1	0	0	0	48
	Total	3	1	40	14	0	0	2	223
6	Archuleta	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	17
	La Plata	4	0	20	12	0	0	2	85
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	7	0	22	12	0	0	3	102
7	Delta	1	0	2	1	0	0	3	39
	Gunnison	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	16
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	2	0	30	5	0	0	1	97
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	San Miguel	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	5
Total	3	0	40	8	0	0	4	160	
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5
	Larimer	13	2	205	58	0	0	23	1,093
	Total	13	2	205	58	0	0	27	1,098
9	Garfield	4	2	18	14	1	0	2	123
	Pitkin	0	0	13	1	0	0	1	35
	Rio Blanco	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	12
	Total	6	2	32	18	1	0	3	170
10	Pueblo	13	0	38	18	0	0	11	281
11	Chaffee	1	0	4	3	0	0	0	34
	Custer	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Fremont	6	1	24	4	0	0	6	172
	Park	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	23
	Total	9	1	31	7	0	0	7	233

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Burglary	Change of Venue After Adjudication	Change of Venue Converted Case	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Curfew Violation
12	Alamosa	0	9	4	6	0	1	1	0
	Conejos	0	2	5	1	0	0	1	0
	Costilla	0	3	2	0	0	0	1	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	14	6	3	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	29	17	13	0	1	3	0
13	Kit Carson	0	1	4	1	0	1	0	0
	Logan	4	9	3	11	0	0	3	0
	Morgan	0	2	4	14	0	0	4	0
	Phillips	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	2	2	2	0	0	1	0
	Yuma	0	1	2	2	0	0	8	0
Total	4	16	17	33	0	1	16	0	
14	Grand	0	4	0	0	0	0	7	0
	Moffat	0	8	4	0	0	0	3	0
	Routt	1	1	1	2	0	0	1	0
	Total	1	13	5	2	0	0	11	0
15	Baca	0	0	10	1	0	0	2	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	6	2	1	0	0	3	0
	Total	0	8	12	2	0	0	5	0
16	Bent	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
	Otero	1	15	6	5	0	0	7	0
	Total	1	15	20	7	0	0	8	0
17	Adams	3	81	66	148	0	2	21	0
	Broomfield	2	8	7	14	0	0	7	0
	Total	5	89	73	162	0	2	28	0
18	Arapahoe	4	134	110	118	0	1	51	0
	Douglas	1	62	29	18	0	1	26	0
	Elbert	0	1	1	5	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	1	0	0	2	0	0	3	0
	Total	6	197	140	143	0	2	80	0
19	Weld	10	153	73	79	0	1	69	0
20	Boulder	5	79	30	33	0	1	49	0
21	Mesa	2	65	31	21	0	2	28	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	17	3	2	0	0	10	0
	Total	0	17	3	3	0	0	10	0
State Total		101	1,486	867	908	2	26	949	0
Percent of State Total		1%	13%	8%	8%	0%	0%	8%	0%

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Delinquent Case Remanded from							
		Cruelty to Animals	Criminal Court	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Harassment	Homicide
12	Alamosa	1	0	8	0	1	0	1	1
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	1	7	0	0	1	4	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	1	15	0	1	1	5	2
13	Kit Carson	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Logan	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0
	Morgan	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	4	2	1	0	6	1
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	Total	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0
15	Baca	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	1	0	1	0	3	0
	Total	1	0	1	0	1	0	4	0
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Otero	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
17	Adams	3	0	42	0	2	0	16	0
	Broomfield	0	0	14	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	3	0	56	0	2	0	17	0
18	Arapahoe	1	0	72	22	2	0	23	0
	Douglas	0	0	92	1	4	0	30	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	1	0	164	23	6	0	55	0
19	Weld	0	1	57	1	5	1	35	0
20	Boulder	0	0	92	1	1	0	45	0
21	Mesa	0	0	19	8	0	0	14	1
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	1	7	0	0	0	6	0
	Total	0	1	7	0	0	0	6	0
State Total		9	4	888	54	34	9	601	20
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing	Municipal Charge Other Than Curfew Violation	Other	Perjury
12	Alamosa	1	0	0	1	0	4	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	3	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		4	0	0	5	0	5
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	0	1	0	9	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Yuma	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
	Total		0	0	1	4	0	13
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Total		0	0	0	0	10	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Total		0	1	0	1	0	1
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	1	4	0	6	0
	Total		0	0	1	4	0	6
17	Adams	4	1	2	29	0	37	0
	Broomfield	0	0	0	1	0	4	0
	Total		4	1	2	30	0	41
18	Arapahoe	1	2	0	25	0	102	0
	Douglas	1	1	2	16	0	48	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Total		2	3	2	42	0	153
19	Weld	6	0	1	22	0	328	0
20	Boulder	5	0	1	10	0	70	0
21	Mesa	5	2	0	7	0	28	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	5
State Total		47	22	15	289	0	1,186	0
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	11%	0%

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Possession of Alcohol by Minor	Pornography	Prostitution	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Runaway
12	Alamosa	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	0	0	1	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Grand	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	1	1	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Powers	0	0	0	5	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	5	0	0
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	1	0
17	Adams	0	0	0	10	17	0
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	10	17	0
18	Arapahoe	3	0	0	2	31	0
	Douglas	8	0	0	3	2	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	11	0	0	5	33	0
19	Weld	6	0	0	0	7	0
20	Boulder	21	0	0	2	5	0
21	Mesa	1	0	0	0	0	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	5	0	0	6	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	5	0	0	6	0	0
<i>State Total</i>		182	0	10	81	142	13
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	9	0	0	0	4	54
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	Costilla	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
	Mineral	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Rio Grande	0	0	3	4	0	0	1	51
	Saguache	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	7
	Total	1	0	14	6	0	0	5	133
13	Kit Carson	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	13
	Logan	2	0	7	3	0	0	0	49
	Morgan	5	0	8	6	0	0	4	61
	Phillips	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	9
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Washington	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	16
	Yuma	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Total	13	0	18	16	0	0	4	170	
14	Grand	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	24
	Moffat	3	1	5	1	0	0	3	32
	Routt	1	0	6	5	0	0	1	24
	Total	4	1	13	8	0	0	6	80
15	Baca	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	22
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Prowers	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	28
	Total	0	0	5	7	0	0	0	54
16	Bent	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	15
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Otero	8	0	6	1	0	0	3	68
	Total	8	0	6	3	0	0	3	88
17	Adams	38	4	78	41	2	1	17	665
	Broomfield	3	0	10	3	0	0	0	74
	Total	41	4	88	44	2	1	17	739
18	Arapahoe	37	0	110	67	0	0	15	933
	Douglas	44	7	98	15	1	0	8	518
	Elbert	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	17
	Lincoln	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	15
	Total	87	8	214	82	1	0	23	1,483
19	Weld	27	3	237	77	0	0	23	1,222
20	Boulder	28	2	116	26	0	0	25	647
21	Mesa	19	4	58	23	0	0	4	342
22	Dolores	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Montezuma	1	0	13	15	0	0	4	95
	Total	1	0	14	15	0	0	4	97
State Total		468	34	1,777	732	7	2	321	11,286
Percent of State Total		4%	0%	16%	6%	0%	0%	3%	100%

Table 21: District Court Mental Health Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Evaluation	Involuntary Medication Procedure	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of Venue	Other	Short Term	72 Hour Hold	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Jefferson	11	3	11	0	3	0	1	433		462
	Total	11	3	11	0	3	0	1	433	2	464
2	Denver Probate	42	8	11	0	1	0	0	948	0	1,010
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	12
4	El Paso	14	0	4	0	0	0	0	633	0	651
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	15
	Total	14	0	4	0	0	0	0	648	0	666
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
	Eagle	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
	Total	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	12
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	5
	La Plata	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	32	48
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	36	53
7	Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	8
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	6	0	0	0	1	0	7	370	42	426
	Total	6	0	0	0	1	0	7	370	42	426
9	Garfield	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4
10	Pueblo	19	3	3	114	15	0	0	184	0	338
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	9
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Fremont	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	18	1	24
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	10
	Total	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	26	13	44

Table 21: District Court Mental Health Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Evaluation	Involuntary Medication Procedure	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of Venue	Other	Short Term	72 Hour Hold	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	4	5	11
	Conejos	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	6
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Total		0	0	5	0	1	0	0	18	9
13	Kit Carson	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	8
	Logan	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	34	52
	Morgan	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	21	42
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	9
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
	Yuma	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	11
	Total		10	1	1	0	0	0	1	38	75
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	5
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	9
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	7
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Otero	1	4	0	0	2	0	0	9	0	16
	Total		1	4	0	0	2	0	0	21	0
17	Adams	3	5	1	0	23	0	0	404	0	436
	Broomfield	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	29
	Total		4	5	1	0	23	0	0	432	0
18	Arapahoe	14	2	8	0	1	0	0	594	0	619
	Douglas	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	9	116	131
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
	Total		16	2	12	0	1	0	0	603	127
19	Weld	9	1	0	0	2	0	0	235	0	247
20	Boulder	1	1	2	0	4	0	1	465	1	475
21	Mesa	4	3	0	0	0	0	1	331	0	339
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	14
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	15
State Total		143	32	50	114	56	0	14	4,806	328	5,543
Percent State Total		3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	87%	6%	100%

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Ancillary Proceedings	Conservatorship/ Adult	Conservator/ Guardian	Conservatorship/ Minor	Determination of Heirship
1	Gilpin	3	0	1	1	0
	Jefferson	12	18	42	36	9
	<i>Total</i>	15	18	43	37	9
2	Denver Probate	13	35	59	12	3
3	Huerfano	7	0	0	0	1
	Las Animas	5	1	2	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	12	1	2	0	4
4	El Paso	19	27	32	37	3
	Teller	5	1	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	24	28	33	37	3
5	Clear Creek	5	0	1	3	0
	Eagle	29	0	0	5	1
	Lake	1	1	0	0	0
	Summit	13	1	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	48	2	1	9	1
6	Archuleta	10	1	2	5	0
	La Plata	28	2	6	4	3
	San Juan	2	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	40	3	8	9	3
7	Delta	2	4	0	3	0
	Gunnison	20	0	3	0	0
	Hinsdale	2	0	0	2	0
	Montrose	7	4	8	2	1
	Ouray	5	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	3	1	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	39	9	11	9	1
8	Jackson	0	1	0	1	0
	Larimer	8	13	10	12	3
	<i>Total</i>	8	14	10	13	3
9	Garfield	11	3	2	1	5
	Pitkin	15	2	1	1	0
	Rio Blanco	8	1	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	34	6	3	3	5
10	Pueblo	20	14	11	16	7
11	Chaffee	2	1	1	0	3
	Custer	2	0	0	0	2
	Fremont	5	1	1	3	2
	Park	14	1	0	1	4
	<i>Total</i>	23	3	2	4	11

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Disclaimer	Estate	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor	Insurance Benefit	Intestate Formal	Intestate Informal	Other
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1
	Jefferson	0	2	58	51	0	14	182	5
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	58	51	0	14	185	6
2	Denver Probate	0	1	102	96	2	39	258	22
3	Huerfano	0	0	1	0	0	1	10	0
	Las Animas	0	0	2	5	0	1	14	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	3	5	0	2	24	0
4	El Paso	0	13	94	112	0	20	175	2
	Teller	0	1	5	0	0	1	14	
	<i>Total</i>	0	14	99	112	0	21	189	2
5	Clear Creek	0	1	4	2	0	0	9	0
	Eagle	0	0	3	12	0	0	12	0
	Lake	0	1	1	1	0	0	4	0
	Summit	0	0	1	1	0	1	9	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	9	16	0	1	34	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	0
	La Plata	0	0	4	2	0	1	13	2
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	4	2	0	5	19	2
7	Delta	0	0	6	2	0	5	20	0
	Gunnison	0	2	2	0	0	1	4	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	9	1	0	4	21	0
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	3	17	3	0	11	47	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	2	36	21	0	6	82	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	36	21	0	6	82	2
9	Garfield	0	0	4	4	0	0	15	3
	Pitkin	0	1	1	0	0	0	9	0
	Rio Blanco	0	3	1	0	0	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	4	6	4	0	0	26	3
10	Pueblo	0	0	37	12	0	15	87	1
11	Chaffee	0	0	3	4	0	0	13	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Fremont	0	9	12	9	0	1	28	0
	Park	0	4	1	1	0	0	14	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	13	16	14	0	1	58	0

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Personal Injury Settlement - Insurance	Personal Injury Settlement	Public Administrator Statement	Registration of Foreign Order	Single Transaction	Small Estates	Special Administrator Formal
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	20	6	5	0	4	27	1
	<i>Total</i>	20	6	5	0	4	27	1
2	Denver Probate	30	36	82	0	0	0	4
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	El Paso	34	32	0	0	2	3	6
	Teller	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	35	33	0	0	2	3	6
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Eagle	4	0	0	0	0	3	2
	Lake	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	5	1	0	1	0	3	2
6	Archuleta	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
7	Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Gunnison	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	1	1	0	0	2	1
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	9	0	0	0	1	5
	<i>Total</i>	0	9	0	0	0	1	5
9	Garfield	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Pitkin	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
10	Pueblo	6	6	15	0	2	4	3
11	Chaffee	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	3	1	0	0	0	2	0
	Park	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	3	0	0	0	2	0

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Special	Testate	Testate	Trust	Trust	Uniform	Will	Total
		Administrator	Formal	Informal		Registrations	Veterans	Transfer	
		Informal		Informal			Guardianship		
							Act		
1	Gilpin	0	1	5	0	1	0	0	16
	Jefferson	6	31	769	28	230	0	6	1,562
	<i>Total</i>	6	32	774	28	231	0	6	1,578
2	Denver Probate	3	75	756	75	435	0	12	2,150
3	Huerfano	0	1	20	0	0	0	0	41
	Las Animas	0	0	22	0	2	0	0	57
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	42	0	2	0	0	98
4	El Paso	1	45	610	18	188	1	1	1,475
	Teller	0	0	23	1	2			56
	<i>Total</i>	1	45	633	19	190	1	1	1,531
5	Clear Creek	0	0	9	0	4	0	0	39
	Eagle	0	4	21	0	15	0	0	111
	Lake	0	3	6	0	0	0	0	19
	Summit	0	0	9	1	6	0	0	44
	<i>Total</i>	0	7	45	1	25	0	0	213
6	Archuleta	0	0	15	0	3	0	0	45
	La Plata	0	3	58	3	14	0	0	145
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	0	3	73	3	17	0	0	194
7	Delta	0	3	59	2	8	0	0	115
	Gunnison	0	0	8	1	1	0	0	43
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	Montrose	0	3	79	2	8	0	0	151
	Ouray	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	16
	San Miguel	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	10
	<i>Total</i>	0	7	154	5	18	0	0	340
8	Jackson	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	7
	Larimer	2	26	373	15	79	0	1	706
	<i>Total</i>	2	26	377	15	80	0	1	713
9	Garfield	0	3	46	2	26	0	0	127
	Pitkin	0	1	19	1	16	0	1	70
	Rio Blanco	0	2	8	0	1	0	0	27
	<i>Total</i>	0	6	73	3	43	0	1	224
10	Pueblo	0	21	211	8	26	1	0	523
11	Chaffee	0	1	29	1	6	0	0	65
	Custer	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	12
	Fremont	0	3	60	1	10	0	0	151
	Park	0	1	13	0	0	0	0	56
	<i>Total</i>	0	5	106	2	17	0	0	284

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Ancillary Proceedings	Conservatorship/ Adult	Conservator/ Guardian	Conservatorship/ Minor	Determination of Heirship
12	Alamosa	1	0	0	14	1
	Conejos	2	1	0	1	0
	Costilla	30	0	0	1	3
	Mineral	3	1	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	5	3	0	2	1
	Saguache	3	0	0	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>6</i>
13	Kit Carson	2	2	1	0	0
	Logan	6	0	2	0	2
	Morgan	1	0	2	1	9
	Phillips	2	0	0	0	1
	Sedgwick	4	0	0	0	0
	Washington	7	1	0	0	4
	Yuma	4	0	1	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>17</i>
14	Grand	6	0	2	2	2
	Moffat	8	1	4	1	3
	Routt	6	0	0	3	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>7</i>
15	Baca	8	0	0	0	2
	Cheyenne	3	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	7	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	4	1	0	2	5
	<i>Total</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>8</i>
16	Bent	3	0	0	1	5
	Crowley	1	0	0	0	1
	Otero	2	0	3	2	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>9</i>
17	Adams	4	13	33	16	1
	Broomfield	0	4	7	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>1</i>
18	Arapahoe	11	13	45	26	3
	Douglas	3	4	21	13	0
	Elbert	3	0	0	0	1
	Lincoln	4	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>66</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>6</i>
19	Weld	34	6	14	18	18
20	Boulder	20	19	32	16	0
21	Mesa	6	11	21	13	2
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	4	0	2	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		483	213	373	285	124
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		4%	2%	3%	2%	1%

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Disclaimer	Estate	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor	Insurance Benefit	Intestate Formal	Intestate Informal	Other
12	Alamosa	0	2	3	1	0	1	3	0
	Conejos	0	2	2	0	0	2	6	0
	Costilla	0	0	1	0	0	1	23	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Rio Grande	0	2	4	6	0	0	16	0
	Saguache	0	0	2	1	0	1	5	0
	Total		0	6	12	8	0	5	54
13	Kit Carson	0	1	1	0	0	1	7	0
	Logan	0	0	3	1	0	1	7	1
	Morgan	0	15	4	0	0	4	9	3
	Phillips	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0
	Sedgwick	0	12	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Washington	0	2	4	0	0	2	2	0
	Yuma	0	1	3	0	0	1	6	0
	Total		0	31	15	2	0	9	35
14	Grand	0	1	2	0	0	1	9	0
	Moffat	0	1	1	3	0	2	18	0
	Routt	0	12	2	1	0	0	9	0
	Total		0	14	5	4	0	3	36
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0
	Cheyenne	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Kiowa	0	1	0	0	0	0	11	0
	Prowers	0	0	5	0	0	2	5	0
	Total		0	3	5	0	0	3	22
16	Bent	0	0	2	8	0	1	3	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
	Otero	0	1	4	9	0	1	16	0
	Total		0	1	6	18	0	2	21
17	Adams	0	0	28	32	0	22	117	2
	Broomfield	0	22	4	2	0	1	14	2
	Total		0	22	32	34	0	23	131
18	Arapahoe	0	0	72	91	0	14	157	3
	Douglas	0	1	14	21	0	9	50	0
	Elbert	0	0	3	8	0	0	10	0
	Lincoln	0	0	2	1	0	0	4	0
	Total		0	1	91	121	0	23	221
19	Weld	0	0	27	21	0	9	85	1
20	Boulder	0	2	34	13	0	16	71	4
21	Mesa	0	0	25	14	0	10	47	0
22	Dolores	0	2	0	2	0	0	1	1
	Montezuma	0	2	8	13	0	1	26	
	Total		0	4	8	15	0	1	27
State Total		0	125	647	586	2	219	1,759	55
Percent of State Total		0%	1%	5%	4%	0%	2%	13%	0%

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Personal Injury Settlement - Insurance	Personal Injury Settlement	Public Administrator Statement	Registration of Foreign Order	Single Transaction	Small Estates	Special Administrator Formal
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		2	1	0	0	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
	Logan	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>		3	3	0	1	0	3
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		1	0	0	0	0	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	2	0	0	0	0
16	Bent	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		1	0	0	1	0	0
17	Adams	17	19	5	0	2	6	7
	Broomfield	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		19	20	5	0	2	6
18	Arapahoe	25	28	0	1	1	0	4
	Douglas	11	5	0	0	0	1	1
	Elbert	1	1	0	0	0	2	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
	<i>Total</i>		37	34	0	1	1	7
19	Weld	5	13	9	0	0	0	2
20	Boulder	13	12	0	0	0	3	3
21	Mesa	2	7	5	0	0	0	0
22	Dolores		0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma		1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	1	0	0	0	0
<i>State Total</i>		188	190	122	3	12	61	40
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Special	Testate	Testate	Trust	Trust	Uniform	Will	Total
		Administrator	Formal	Informal		Registrations	Veterans	Transfer	
		Informal					Guardianship		
							Act		
12	Alamosa	0	2	18	0	0	0	0	46
	Conejos	0	0	11	0	1	0	0	29
	Costilla	0	6	5	0	0	0	0	70
	Mineral	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	8
	Rio Grande	0	2	13	0	2	0	0	58
	Saguache	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	22
	Total		0	13	55	0	3	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	2	16	0	2	0	0	38
	Logan	0	1	33	2	3	0	0	63
	Morgan	0	11	37	0	4	0	0	102
	Phillips	0	1	9	0	1	0	0	18
	Sedgwick	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	19
	Washington	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	36
	Yuma	0	1	31	5	5	0	0	63
	Total		0	17	140	7	15	0	0
14	Grand	0	3	21	1	0	0	0	50
	Moffat	0	5	27	0	3	0	0	77
	Routt	0	1	24	1	2	0	0	64
	Total		0	9	72	2	5	0	0
15	Baca	1	2	18	0	2	0	0	38
	Cheyenne	0	1	9	0	1	0	0	19
	Kiowa	0	1	3	0	1	0	0	24
	Prowers	0	2	29	1	8	0	0	66
	Total		1	6	59	1	12	0	0
16	Bent	0	1	9	0	0	0	0	34
	Crowley	0		5	0	0	0	0	10
	Otero	0	3	33	1	1	0	0	80
	Total		0	4	47	1	1	0	0
17	Adams	0	37	266	8	66	0	1	702
	Broomfield	0	3	39	2	19	0	0	124
	Total		0	40	305	10	85	0	1
18	Arapahoe	2	44	627	23	218	0	3	1,411
	Douglas	0	17	156	9	111	0	0	447
	Elbert	0	1	22	1	2	0	0	55
	Lincoln	0	2	13	1	0	0	0	33
	Total		2	64	818	34	331	0	3
19	Weld	0	22	298	11	52	0	3	648
20	Boulder	0	28	338	21	178	0	3	826
21	Mesa	0	31	181	10	21	0	0	406
22	Dolores	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	8
	Montezuma	0	6	49	0	1	0	0	113
	Total		0	6	51	0	1	0	0
State Total		15	468	5,608	256	1,788	2	31	13,655
Percent of State Total		0%	3%	41%	2%	13%	0%	0%	100%

Section IV:
County Court



COUNTY COURT JUDGES

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gilpin David R. Gloss (1)
 Jefferson Bradley A. Burback
 Verna L. Carpenter
 John DeVita
 Susan L. Fisch
 Tammy K. Green
 Charles T. Hoppin
 K.J. Moore
 Mark M. Randall (2)
 Thomas E. Vance

DENVER COUNTY COURT

Denver Mary A. Celeste,
 Presiding Judge
 Andrew S. Armatas
 Johnny C. Barajas
 Larry L. Bohning
 James B. Breese
 Doris E. Burd
 Brian T. Campbell
 Robert B. Crew, Jr.
 Herbert H. Galchinsky
 Clarisse M. Gonzales
 Kerry S. Hada
 Alfred C. Harrell
 Claudia J. Jordan
 John M. Marcucci
 Aleene Ortiz-White
 Andre L. Rudolph
 Raymond N. Satter

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Huerfano Gary R. Stork
 Las Animas Bruce Billings

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

El Paso Christopher E. Acker
 Karla J. Hansen
 Larry D. Martin
 Marla R. Prudek
 Ann M. Rotolo
 Stephen J. Sletta
 Jonathan L. Walker
 Regina Walter
 Daniel S. Wilson
 Douglas J. Miles *
 Teller Jackson L. Peters, Jr.

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Clear Creek Rachel Olguin-Fresquez
 Eagle Katharine T. Sullivan
 Lake Wayne Patton
 Summit Edward J. Casias

SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Archuleta James E. Denvir
 La Plata Martha T. Minot
 San Juan Lyndon K. Skinner

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Delta Sandra K. Miller
 Gunnison Ben F. Eden
 Hinsdale Alvin K. Lutz
 Montrose Diana Barber
 Jerry J. Montgomery (3)
 Ouray David S. Westfall
 San Miguel Sharon E. Shuteran

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Jackson Cindy L. Wilson (4)
 Larimer Christine A. Carney
 Thomas L. Lynch (5)
 Robert A. Rand
 Peter Schoon
 Ronald L. Schultz

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Garfield Jonathan B. Pototsky (6)
 Paul Metzger
 Pitkin Erin Fernandez-Ely
 Rio Blanco Laurie Anne Noble

TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Pueblo Steven Bruce Fieldman
 Kathleen K. Hearn
 Ernest J. Ruybalid

ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Chaffee William P. Alderton
 Custer Peter F. Michaelson
 Fremont Norman C. Cooling
 Park Brian L. Green

TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Alamosa Michael A. Gonzales
 Conejos Mary E. Garcia
 Costilla Kimberly Wood
 Mineral Frank S. Lentz
 Rio Grande Patrick H. Hayes Jr. (7)
 Saguache Amanda K. Pearson

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Kit Carson Michael Grinnan
 Logan Robert B. Smith
 Morgan Michael J. Schingle
 Phillips David O. Colver
 Sedgwick Max E. Carlson
 Washington Carl S. McGuire
 Yuma Sara Marie Wagers-Johnson

FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Grand Ben W. McClelland
 Moffat Sandra Gardner
 Routt James H. Garrecht

FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Baca Debra M. Gunkel (8)
 Cheyenne Clifford E. Mays
 Kiowa Gary W. Davis
 Prowers Larry E. Stutler

SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Bent Mark A. MacDonnell
 Crowley Suzanne A. Grant
 Otero Douglas R. Manley

SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Adams Michael A. Cox
 Brian N. Bowen *
 Robert S. Doyle
 Bryon L. Howell
 Leroy D. Kirby (2)
 Dianna Roybal
 Sabino E. Romano
 Jeffrey L. Romeo
 Broomfield Amy Bockman

EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Arapahoe Addison L. Adams
 Alex R. Bencze
 Christine M. Chauche
 Ethan D. Feldman
 Dana E. Murray
 Anne M. Ollada
 Robert C. Tobias
 Darren L. Vahle
 Douglas Lawrence R. Bowling (9)
 Monica Jo Gomez
 Susanna Meissner-Cutler
 Elbert Palmer L. Boyette
 Lincoln Truston Lee Fisher

NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Weld John J. Briggs (10)
 Michele L. Meyer
 Dana J. Nichols
 Charles S. Unfug

TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Boulder David A. Archuleta
 Noel E. Blum
 Carolyn Hoye Enichen
 Karolyn V. Quevli (11)
 Norma A. Sierra (12)
 John F. Stavely

TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Mesa Craig P. Henderson
 Gretchen Buck Larson
 Bruce R. Raaum

TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Dolores Ernest Dale Boyd
 Montezuma JenniLynn E. Lawrence (13)

- (1) Replaced Hon. David R. Gloss
- (2) Replaced Hon. Mark M. Randall
- (3) Replaced Hon. John J. Mitchel
- (4) Replaced Hon. Rex A. Shaw
- (5) Replaced Hon. Cynthia M. Hartman
- (6) Replaced Hon. Jason D. Javanovich
- (7) Replaced Hon. Michael H. Trujillo
- (8) Replaced Hon. W. Michael Porter
- (9) Replaced Hon. Michelle A. Marker
- (10) Replaced Hon. Timothy G. Kerns
- (11) Replaced Hon. Carolyn Hoye Enichen
- (12) Replaced Hon. Thomas J.B. Reed
- (13) Replaced Hon. Todd J. Plewe

* HB07-1054

County Court

Colorado's county courts serve the citizens of each of the state's 64 counties. Every county has a county court served by one or more judges. County judges handle cases involving serious public safety issues such as misdemeanor cases, felony advisements, setting bonds, and preliminary hearings. County judges also issue search warrants and protection orders in cases involving domestic violence, preside over traffic cases, civil actions involving no more than \$15,000, and conduct jury trials. Appeals from the county court may be made to the district court.

Small claims courts are divisions of county court. Individuals are allowed to argue their own cases and to have speedy decisions on civil matters involving no more than \$7,500. Court sessions are held during the day or evening to accommodate the public. There are no jury trials in small claims courts, and magistrates sometimes hear these cases rather than a judge. No plaintiff may file more than two claims per month or eighteen claims per year in small claims court.

In Fiscal Year 2011, Colorado county courts had 505,501 cases filed and were able to terminate 499,320 cases during that same period. During the past 10 years, county court filings have increased 7.56 percent, rising from 469,993 to the current level of cases filed, with the greatest area of increase occurring in civil filings.

Specific information regarding each of the county courts is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/district/counties.htm>.

**County Court Case Filings -- Proportion of Cases by Type
Ten Year Comparison**





Table 23: County Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2010, FY 2011
(Does not include Denver County Court)

Filings Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY10	FY11	Percent Change
Civil	206,954	200,250	-3.24%
Infractions	95,557	84,610	-11.46%
Misdemeanors	69,695	67,137	-3.67%
Small Claims	11,097	9,629	-13.23%
Traffic	141,493	126,788	-10.39%
Felony Complaints	16,795	16,851	0.33%
Total	541,591	505,265	-6.71%

Terminations Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY10	FY11	Percent Change
Civil	205,545	199,308	-3.03%
Infractions	95,786	87,072	-9.10%
Misdemeanors	69,232	68,187	-1.51%
Small Claims	11,010	9,707	-11.83%
Traffic	146,373	135,046	-7.74%
Felony Complaints	16,795	16,851	0.33%
Total with Felony Complaints	544,741	516,171	-5.24%
Total without Felony Complaints	527,946	499,320	-5.42%

Table 24: County Court Caseload FY 2002 to FY 2011
(Does not include Denver County Court)

	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11
CIVIL										
New Cases Filed	151,905	165,210	165,324	175,847	176,244	184,994	198,229	202,958	206,954	200,250
Cases Terminated	151,773	162,492	165,761	174,773	176,714	181,463	193,836	200,895	205,545	199,308
INFRACTIONS										
New Cases Filed	69,800	74,947	82,732	107,780	101,386	95,421	96,483	100,804	95,557	84,610
Cases Terminated	72,824	73,597	82,382	103,978	105,440	95,218	96,681	99,055	95,786	87,072
MISDEMEANORS										
New Cases Filed	72,973	74,367	74,779	72,607	75,703	74,094	74,136	73,605	69,695	67,137
Cases Terminated	75,212	72,932	74,168	71,386	74,938	73,451	78,886	74,147	69,232	68,187
SMALL CLAIMS										
New Cases Filed	15,591	15,438	14,292	13,588	13,380	12,880	12,600	12,266	11,097	9,629
Cases Terminated	15,624	15,036	15,113	14,005	13,329	12,933	12,778	12,337	11,010	9,707
TRAFFIC										
New Cases Filed	138,439	149,720	159,413	167,488	168,155	165,298	162,729	155,235	141,493	126,788
Cases Terminated	139,995	144,555	156,139	161,433	165,823	162,482	174,678	160,307	146,373	135,046
FELONY COMPLAINTS (a)	21,285	18,833	17,554	18,137	21,268	18,510	18,393	17,235	16,795	16,851
TOTAL										
New Cases Filed	469,993	498,515	514,094	555,447	556,136	551,197	580,963	562,103	541,591	505,265
Cases Terminated (b)	455,428	468,612	493,563	525,575	536,244	525,547	556,859	546,741	527,946	499,320

(a) Felony complaints represent the number of criminal cases, docketed as (CR), that begin in county court. The processing of felony cases varies between locations. The counties processing CR cases hear advisements. Some counties do preliminary hearings in county court before moving the case to district court for completion of the felony process. The case can also be reduced to a misdemeanor and remain in county court. The cases retain the same docket number in either county or district court.

(b) Does not include felony complaints.

Table 25: County Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2010, FY 2011

District	Filings			Terminations(b)		
	FY10	FY11	Percent Change	FY10	FY11	Percent Change
1	62,593	57,910	-7.48%	60,644	54,787	-9.66%
2(a)	207,567	196,063	-5.54%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3	5,647	5,238	-7.24%	5,377	5,306	-1.32%
4	78,894	75,516	-4.28%	85,366	77,775	-8.89%
5	16,471	13,935	-15.40%	15,584	13,628	-12.55%
6	7,594	7,268	-4.29%	7,211	7,032	-2.48%
7	12,153	10,938	-10.00%	11,911	10,480	-12.01%
8	29,649	27,001	-8.93%	29,871	35,022	17.24%
9	10,748	9,847	-8.38%	10,713	9,943	-7.19%
10	20,984	18,582	-11.45%	21,289	18,991	-10.79%
11	10,952	9,626	-12.11%	11,081	9,831	-11.28%
12	9,645	9,110	-5.55%	8,787	7,619	-13.29%
13	11,390	9,632	-15.43%	10,924	9,908	-9.30%
14	7,783	6,516	-16.28%	7,563	6,170	-18.42%
15	5,811	4,949	-14.83%	5,522	4,898	-11.30%
16	4,408	4,142	-6.03%	4,587	4,080	-11.05%
17	69,844	67,943	-2.72%	67,112	64,940	-3.24%
18	99,758	93,758	-6.01%	89,560	87,964	-1.78%
19	30,039	28,516	-5.07%	29,363	27,660	-5.80%
20	24,723	22,314	-9.74%	23,090	20,250	-12.30%
21	18,769	18,810	0.22%	18,923	19,582	3.48%
22	3,736	3,714	-0.59%	3,468	3,454	-0.40%
State Total Without Denver County Court	541,591	505,265	-6.71%	527,946	499,320	-5.42%
State Total	749,158	701,328	-6.38%	n/a	n/a	n/a

(a) Denver County Court statistics are based on calendar year 2010

(b) Does not include felony complaints.

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
1	Gilpin	165	165	108	108	560	566	233	222
	Jefferson	23,839	24,028	3,406	3,406	6,618	6,556	7,884	8,053
	Total	24,004	24,193	3,514	3,514	7,178	7,122	8,117	8,275
2	Denver(a)	35,069	n/a ^(b)	5,255	n/a ^(b)	14,700	n/a ^(b)	n/a ^(c)	n/a ^(b)
3	Huerfano	273	285	29	29	330	294	663	652
	Las Animas	778	710	18	18	578	575	888	912
	Total	1,051	995	47	47	908	869	1,551	1,564
4	El Paso	34,619	34,129	476	476	9,108	9,405	11,033	12,417
	Teller	796	817	12	12	524	449	495	509
	Total	35,415	34,946	488	488	9,632	9,854	11,528	12,926
5	Clear Creek	250	247	87	87	356	347	919	937
	Eagle-Basalt	56	57	0	0	77	72	164	162
	Eagle	1,090	1,072	423	423	709	693	1,307	1,222
	Lake	309	292	86	86	298	329	106	110
	Summit	706	742	156	156	620	747	1,042	1,210
	Total	2,411	2,410	752	752	2,060	2,188	3,538	3,641
6	Archuleta	400	380	72	72	180	188	415	420
	La Plata	1,504	1,447	133	133	815	788	989	1,130
	San Juan	9	6	2	2	56	58	38	50
	Total	1,913	1,833	207	207	1,051	1,034	1,442	1,600
7	Delta	1,214	1,200	195	195	606	642	638	656
	Gunnison	375	463	108	108	360	345	377	382
	Hinsdale	13	11	1	1	15	13	30	31
	Montrose-Nucla	70	72	18	18	66	59	44	40
	Montrose	1,456	1,531	292	292	879	849	604	612
	Ouray	89	94	7	7	70	61	142	136
	San Miguel	157	152	75	75	143	134	100	104
	Total	3,374	3,523	696	696	2,139	2,103	1,935	1,961
8	Jackson	32	32	0	0	25	20	79	70
	Larimer	7,816	8,043	48	48	3,869	5,261	3,516	3,959
	Larimer-Loveland	2,843	2,913	0	0	1,863	2,027	0	0
	Total	10,691	10,988	48	48	5,757	7,308	3,595	4,029
9	Garfield	1,010	993	97	97	630	551	642	663
	Garfield-Rifle	1,213	1,231	0	0	643	759	585	562
	Pitkin	312	274	5	5	192	173	107	93
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	196	200	13	13	113	110	289	305
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	10	10	0	0	85	97	114	126
	Total	2,741	2,708	115	115	1,663	1,690	1,737	1,749
10	Pueblo	9,293	9,364	1	1	2,697	2,695	2,340	2,438
11	Chaffee	438	451	8	8	496	511	1,406	1,473
	Custer	102	103	1	1	91	91	90	92
	Fremont	1,539	1,538	15	15	806	771	861	909
	Park	536	539	8	8	321	351	715	713
	Total	2,615	2,631	32	32	1,714	1,724	3,072	3,187

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2010.

(b) Termination numbers are not available.

(c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(d) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaint.

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.(d)
1	Gilpin	14	15	637	592	1,717	1,560
	Jefferson	1,084	1,042	13,362	13,548	56,193	53,227
	Total	1,098	1,057	13,999	14,140	57,910	54,787
2	Denver(a)	1,539	n/a ^(b)	139,500	n/a ^(b)	196,063	0
3	Huerfano	23	19	615	654	1,933	1,904
	Las Animas	45	45	998	1,160	3,305	3,402
	Total	68	64	1,613	1,814	5,238	5,306
4	El Paso	1,293	1,265	16,423	18,074	72,952	75,290
	Teller	40	41	697	669	2,564	2,485
	Total	1,333	1,306	17,120	18,743	75,516	77,775
5	Clear Creek	24	19	1,190	1,068	2,826	2,618
	Eagle-Basalt	29	24	171	170	497	485
	Eagle	213	221	1,717	1,808	5,459	5,016
	Lake	19	16	291	336	1,109	1,083
	Summit	107	136	1,413	1,591	4,044	4,426
Total	392	416	4,782	4,973	13,935	13,628	
6	Archuleta	40	37	331	362	1,438	1,387
	La Plata	145	144	2,104	1,977	5,690	5,486
	San Juan	2	2	33	43	140	159
	Total	187	183	2,468	2,382	7,268	7,032
7	Delta	87	95	755	753	3,495	3,346
	Gunnison	56	49	454	437	1,730	1,676
	Hinsdale	5	5	17	12	81	72
	Montrose-Nucla	7	5	56	62	261	238
	Montrose	122	149	939	992	4,292	4,133
	Ouray	15	19	91	106	414	416
	San Miguel	25	28	165	181	665	599
	Total	317	350	2,477	2,543	10,938	10,480
8	Jackson	5	5	61	61	202	188
	Larimer	542	561	3,816	9,342	19,607	27,166
	Larimer-Loveland	0	1	2,486	2,727	7,192	7,668
	Total	547	567	6,363	12,130	27,001	35,022
9	Garfield	159	161	1,273	1,172	3,811	3,540
	Garfield-Rifle	71	72	1,076	1,352	3,588	3,976
	Pitkin	87	83	373	363	1,076	986
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	18	18	356	380	985	1,013
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	18	22	160	173	387	428
	Total	353	356	3,238	3,440	9,847	9,943
10	Pueblo	392	409	3,859	4,085	18,582	18,991
11	Chaffee	47	47	314	303	2,709	2,785
	Custer	7	8	46	26	337	320
	Fremont	120	112	1,104	1,184	4,445	4,514
	Park	34	34	521	575	2,135	2,212
	Total	208	201	1,985	2,088	9,626	9,831

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2010.
(b) Termination numbers are not available.
(c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.
(d) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaint.

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
12	Alamosa	592	567	349	349	583	557	598	557
	Conejos	256	294	64	64	138	133	208	209
	Costilla	119	126	35	35	113	126	660	651
	Mineral	16	16	9	9	17	19	391	404
	Rio Grande	415	393	172	172	373	356	505	517
	Saguache	148	148	79	79	192	202	314	332
	Total	1,546	1,544	708	708	1,416	1,393	2,676	2,670
13	Kit Carson	211	260	27	27	115	129	277	282
	Logan	835	891	10	10	366	361	809	775
	Morgan	1,364	1,481	19	19	551	532	779	797
	Phillips	112	117	0	0	36	38	18	20
	Sedgwick	82	71	0	0	43	36	67	66
	Washington	135	154	9	9	111	96	322	336
	Yuma	287	320	3	3	151	126	90	94
Total	3,026	3,294	68	68	1,373	1,318	2,362	2,370	
14	Grand	412	407	158	158	426	461	317	341
	Moffat	552	551	174	174	380	463	353	319
	Routt	610	583	166	166	614	637	484	506
	Total	1,574	1,541	498	498	1,420	1,561	1,154	1,166
15	Baca	91	134	4	4	83	83	175	167
	Cheyenne	55	51	0	0	34	22	416	449
	Kiowa	38	44	0	0	15	16	350	338
	Prowers	501	507	10	10	440	414	1,003	1,022
	Total	685	736	14	14	572	535	1,944	1,976
16	Bent	159	174	7	7	143	121	218	233
	Crowley	132	139	0	0	74	81	289	290
	Otero	872	877	32	32	536	545	557	545
	Total	1,163	1,190	39	39	753	747	1,064	1,068
17	Adams	27,598	27,576	3,324	3,324	6,182	6,312	9,553	9,218
	Broomfield	1,932	1,969	381	381	472	493	528	505
	Total	29,530	29,545	3,705	3,705	6,654	6,805	10,081	9,723
18	Arapahoe-Centennial	967	917	2,652	2,652	5,551	4,996	8,237	8,309
	Arapahoe-Littleton	31,532	31,248	0	0	1	116	2	2
	Douglas	8,650	7,811	588	588	2,478	2,275	6,298	6,340
	Elbert	573	616	68	68	207	195	1,033	1,028
	Lincoln	149	155	65	65	123	119	499	503
	Total	41,871	40,747	3,373	3,373	8,360	7,701	16,069	16,182
19	Weld	10,749	10,783	291	291	3,597	3,281	4,899	4,992
20	Boulder	5,882	5,818	1,836	1,836	3,518	3,353	1,558	1,572
	Boulder-Longmont	1,782	1,716	0	0	918	916	477	467
	Total	7,664	7,534	1,836	1,836	4,436	4,269	2,035	2,039
21	Mesa	7,882	7,780	116	116	3,094	3,322	2,935	2,968
22	Dolores	67	71	9	9	59	45	76	72
	Montezuma	985	952	294	294	604	623	460	476
	Total	1,052	1,023	303	303	663	668	536	548
State Total Without Denver County Court		200,250	199,308	16,851	16,851	67,137	68,187	84,610	87,072
State Total With Denver County Court		235,319	<i>n/a</i> ^(b)	22,106	<i>n/a</i> ^(b)	81,837	<i>n/a</i> ^(b)	<i>n/a</i> ^(c)	<i>n/a</i> ^(b)

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2010.

(b) Termination numbers are not available.

(c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(d) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaint.

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.(d)
12	Alamosa	37	40	919	200	3,078	1,921
	Conejos	13	13	237	189	916	838
	Costilla	9	6	242	219	1,178	1,128
	Mineral	2	3	165	144	600	586
	Rio Grande	26	29	796	831	2,287	2,126
	Saguache	15	12	303	326	1,051	1,020
	Total	102	103	2,662	1,909	9,110	7,619
13	Kit Carson	28	31	276	259	934	961
	Logan	62	62	677	740	2,759	2,829
	Morgan	87	91	1,049	1,088	3,849	3,989
	Phillips	21	20	55	61	242	256
	Sedgwick	12	12	56	63	260	248
	Washington	16	17	229	233	822	836
	Yuma	35	41	200	208	766	789
	Total	261	274	2,542	2,652	9,632	9,908
14	Grand	42	44	436	484	1,791	1,737
	Moffat	65	69	502	466	2,026	1,868
	Routt	91	94	734	745	2,699	2,565
	Total	198	207	1,672	1,695	6,516	6,170
15	Baca	17	19	144	166	514	569
	Cheyenne	4	4	87	82	596	608
	Kiowa	6	4	132	108	541	510
	Prowers	58	60	1,286	1,208	3,298	3,211
	Total	85	87	1,649	1,564	4,949	4,898
16	Bent	17	15	179	178	723	721
	Crowley	23	24	105	92	623	626
	Otero	63	77	736	689	2,796	2,733
	Total	103	116	1,020	959	4,142	4,080
17	Adams	784	762	15,522	16,464	62,963	60,332
	Broomfield	89	84	1,578	1,557	4,980	4,608
	Total	873	846	17,100	18,021	67,943	64,940
18	Arapahoe-Centennial	0	0	15,922	14,737	33,329	28,959
	Arapahoe-Littleton	1,222	1,279	1	424	32,758	33,069
	Douglas	413	433	5,657	5,645	24,084	22,504
	Elbert	42	32	411	398	2,334	2,269
	Lincoln	13	12	404	374	1,253	1,163
	Total	1,690	1,756	22,395	21,578	93,758	87,964
19	Weld	504	528	8,476	8,076	28,516	27,660
20	Boulder	504	434	4,199	4,268	17,497	15,445
	Boulder-Longmont	0	1	1,640	1,705	4,817	4,805
	Total	504	435	5,839	5,973	22,314	20,250
21	Mesa	353	382	4,430	5,130	18,810	19,582
22	Dolores	6	5	50	1	267	194
	Montezuma	55	59	1,049	1,150	3,447	3,260
	Total	61	64	1,099	1,151	3,714	3,454
State Total Without Denver County Court		9,629	9,707	126,788	135,046	505,265	499,320
State Total With Denver County Court		11,168	n/a^(b)	266,288	n/a^(b)	701,328	499,320

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2010.

(b) Termination numbers are not available.

(c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(d) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaint.

Table 27: Court and Jury Trials for County Court, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims	Traffic		Infractions	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Final Hearings	Court Trials	Jury Trials
1	Gilpin	4	0	2	5	2	0	3	10	8	8
	Jefferson	59	0	43	95	232	38	44	227	372	139
	Total	63	0	45	100	234	38	47	237	380	147
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	2	6	0	0	39	6	2
	Las Animas	0	0	0	2	6	0	0	39	6	2
	Total	0	0	0	4	12	0	0	78	12	4
4	El Paso	148	6	29	103	147	5	42	1,387	329	151
	Teller	4	0	0	4	6	2	2	49	12	6
	Total	152	6	29	107	153	7	44	1,436	341	157
5	Clear Creek	1	0	2	3	2	5	2	39	10	5
	Eagle-Basalt	13	0	3	0	13	0	0	1	29	0
	Eagle	18	0	0	4	84	2	4	60	104	8
	Lake	2	0	2	2	7	1	1	7	12	3
	Summit	8	1	4	5	37	12	2	37	61	8
	Total	42	1	11	14	143	20	9	144	216	24
6	Archuleta	3	0	1	1	24	0	0	46	28	1
	La Plata	23	0	0	3	48	3	7	145	74	10
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0
	Total	26	0	1	4	72	3	7	206	102	11
7	Delta	12	0	2	3	26	1	2	44	41	5
	Gunnison	4	0	1	0	18	2	2	64	25	2
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	0
	Montrose	9	0	1	5	108	2	3	53	120	8
	Montrose-Nucla	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	3	0
	Ouray	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	6	7	0
	San Miguel	2	0	0	0	6	1	1	17	9	1
	Total	29	0	5	8	165	7	8	188	206	16
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Larimer	59	1	2	37	213	4	9	286	278	47
	Larimer-Loveland	20	0	3	18	0	4	8	0	27	26
	Total	79	1	5	55	214	8	17	286	306	73
9	Garfield	7	0	1	4	34	0	1	41	42	5
	Garfield-Rifle	10	0	2	4	23	2	0	59	37	4
	Pitkin	4	0	0	2	42	0	1	9	46	3
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	1	12	0	0	5	12	1
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	0	3	3	0	1	26	3	4
	Total	21	0	3	14	114	2	3	140	140	17
10	Pueblo	85	1	4	18	141	3	17	533	233	36
11	Chaffee	0	0	1	0	10	1	1	22	12	1
	Custer	2	0	0	1	7	2	0	9	11	1
	Fremont	16	0	0	8	20	6	2	94	42	10
	Park	9	0	6	3	15	0	0	32	30	3
	Total	27	0	7	12	52	9	3	157	95	15

*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

Table 27: Court and Jury Trials for County Court, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims	Traffic		Infractions	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Final Hearings	Court Trials	Jury Trials
12	Alamosa	3	0	2	1	3	0	2	45	8	3
	Conejos	2	0	0	0	7	0	0	18	9	0
	Costilla	1	0	3	1	6	0	1	45	10	2
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	0	2	0	5	0	0	39	8	0
	Saguache	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	24	5	0
	Total	7	0	8	2	25	0	3	191	40	5
13	Kit Carson	3	0	0	1	8	0	1	13	11	2
	Logan	2	0	0	10	4	0	1	17	6	11
	Morgan	7	0	0	11	40	3	4	28	50	15
	Phillips	2	0	0	0	9	0	0	3	11	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	4	0
	Washington	0	0	0	1	6	1	1	14	7	2
	Yuma	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	4	3	3
Total	16	0	0	25	72	4	8	80	92	33	
14	Grand	2	0	4	8	13	2	6	18	21	14
	Moffat	6	0	0	3	25	0	3	9	31	6
	Routt	5	0	2	2	36	2	3	30	45	5
	Total	13	0	6	13	74	4	12	57	97	25
15	Baca	2	0	0	0	6	1	0	24	9	0
	Cheyenne	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	8	5	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	4	0
	Prowers	7	0	0	1	24	3	0	10	34	1
	Total	11	0	0	1	36	5	0	46	52	1
16	Bent	5	0	1	3	8	0	0	13	14	3
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	27	9	0
	Otero	4	0	1	8	17	0	1	13	22	9
	Total	9	0	2	11	34	0	1	53	45	12
17	Adams	120	0	11	148	251	3	89	633	385	237
	Broomfield	11	0	0	30	25	1	6	5	37	36
	Total	131	0	11	178	276	4	95	638	422	273
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	116	1	3	65	1	9	47	661	129	113
	Arapahoe-Littleton	105	0	3	4	243	1	13	95	352	17
	Douglas	49	1	9	50	80	16	41	618	154	92
	Elbert	4	1	2	4	6	1	3	196	13	8
	Lincoln	0	0	1	1	3	3	0	20	7	1
	Total	274	3	18	124	333	30	104	1,590	655	231
19	Weld	132	0	2	77	371	1	52	211	506	129
20	Boulder	33	2	2	34	150	6	27	57	191	63
	Boulder-Longmont	1	0	2	15	1	3	6	15	7	21
	Total	34	2	4	49	151	9	33	72	198	84
21	Mesa	62	0	18	35	63	2	16	276	145	51
22	Dolores	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1
	Montezuma	17	0	0	5	27	0	9	81	44	14
	Total	18	0	0	6	28	0	9	81	46	15
State Total		1,231	14	179	857	2,763	156	488	6,700	4,329	1,359

*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

**Table 28: DUI/DWAI Filings^(b) by District
FY 2002 to FY 2011**

District	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11
1	3,124	3,023	3,109	3,012	2,914	2,835	2,539	2,659	2,218	2,152
Denver County ^(a)	2,822	3,050	2,424	2,209	2,146	3,130	3,491	4,283	3,249	3,064
3	316	287	255	240	217	201	178	207	242	219
4	3,420	3,480	3,548	3,552	3,852	3,748	4,177	4,129	3,530	3,067
5	1,504	1,400	1,394	1,269	1,222	1,238	1,082	1,016	936	910
6	737	815	660	799	634	562	476	536	504	475
7	759	658	659	673	712	734	724	749	697	572
8	2,391	2,574	2,390	2,398	2,409	2,086	2,387	2,173	2,008	1,656
9	930	875	798	726	930	941	832	907	672	654
10	1,249	1,374	1,316	1,079	960	942	945	965	887	828
11	530	530	538	565	577	445	471	393	377	328
12	712	507	560	729	609	414	446	463	391	550
13	732	773	575	526	566	466	448	453	415	325
14	582	527	444	434	507	606	597	540	531	469
15	306	292	201	160	150	178	132	157	127	136
16	301	251	234	214	220	173	188	199	178	195
17	3,496	3,717	3,920	3,670	3,957	3,538	3,123	3,347	3,252	3,121
18	3,912	4,053	3,848	3,916	3,703	3,874	3,960	3,792	3,930	3,547
19	1,668	1,665	1,471	1,624	1,712	1,914	1,791	1,663	1,533	1,334
20	3,291	2,777	2,304	2,396	2,220	1,960	1,838	1,614	1,587	1,364
21	1,093	902	977	1,065	934	864	851	994	987	866
22	229	178	227	186	244	203	282	233	188	178
State Totals Without Denver County Court	31,282	30,658	29,428	29,233	29,249	27,925	27,467	27,189	25,190	22,946
State Totals	34,104	33,708	31,852	31,442	31,395	31,055	30,958	31,472	28,439	26,010

(a) Filings do not include DUI charges filed with Misdemeanor or Criminal Case classes

(b) Denver County Court figures based on a calendar year, 2011

Table 29: County Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Change of Venue	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Money	Name Change	Other	Replevin	Restraining Order	Restraining Order Domestic Abuse	Total
1	Gilpin	39	0	0	112	2	1	0	11	0	165
	Jefferson	0	5,137	4	17,217	235	157	40	550	499	23,839
	Total	39	5,137	4	17,329	237	158	40	561	499	24,004
3	Huerfano	0	22	1	204	2	1	1	34	8	273
	Las Animas	0	63	0	570	3	1	0	122	19	778
	Total	0	85	1	774	5	2	1	156	27	1,051
4	El Paso	0	7,939	5	23,101	311	7	57	465	2,734	34,619
	Teller	0	95	0	568	6	1	0	38	88	796
	Total	0	8,034	5	23,669	317	8	57	503	2,822	35,415
5	Clear Creek	0	58	0	145	5	2	1	29	10	250
	Eagle-Basalt	0	15	0	24	1	0	0	16	0	56
	Eagle Lake	0	175	1	814	13	0	0	81	6	1,090
	Lake	0	95	0	196	2	0	0	11	5	309
	Summit	0	90	0	553	18	2	0	31	12	706
	Total	0	433	1	1,732	39	4	1	168	33	2,411
6	Archuleta	0	57	0	262	6	24	2	29	20	400
	La Plata	0	136	1	1,117	36	0	6	180	28	1,504
	San Juan	0	2	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	9
	Total	0	195	1	1,386	42	24	8	209	48	1,913
7	Delta	0	103	0	945	13	2	3	80	68	1,214
	Gunnison	0	36	0	293	6	0	0	24	16	375
	Hinsdale	0	3	0	6	2	0	0	1	1	13
	Montrose-Nucla	0	7	0	52	0	0	0	10	1	70
	Montrose	0	149	0	1,114	12	3	4	84	90	1,456
	Ouray	0	15	0	62	1	1	0	3	7	89
	San Miguel	0	32	0	101	3	0	0	11	10	157
	Total	0	345	0	2,573	37	6	7	213	193	3,374
8	Jackson	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	1	0	32
	Larimer	0	1,201	2	5,955	90	5	5	362	196	7,816
	Larimer-Loveland	0	294	4	2,519	21	2	3	0	0	2,843
	Total	0	1,495	6	8,505	111	7	8	363	196	10,691
9	Garfield	0	110	1	792	11	3	1	54	38	1,010
	Garfield-Rifle	0	132	1	1,010	17	4	2	27	20	1,213
	Pitkin	0	25	0	235	9	2	0	33	8	312
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	13	0	170	2	3	0	5	3	196
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	3	1	10
	Total	0	281	4	2,207	40	14	3	122	70	2,741
10	Pueblo	0	1,110	0	7,468	48	17	0	297	353	9,293
11	Chaffee	0	27	0	373	5	0	2	23	8	438
	Custer	0	8	0	76	2	0	0	14	2	102
	Fremont	0	179	0	1,179	29	1	2	50	99	1,539
	Park	0	87	0	381	8	19	0	25	16	536
	Total	0	301	0	2,009	44	20	4	112	125	2,615

*As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Centennial, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 29: County Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Change of Venue	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Money	Name Change	Other	Replevin	Restraining Order	Restraining Order Domestic Abuse	Total
12	Alamosa	0	45	1	438	11	1	0	64	32	592
	Conejos	0	3	0	208	1	0	1	19	24	256
	Costilla	0	1	0	69	3	0	0	33	13	119
	Mineral	0	3	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	16
	Rio Grande	0	30	1	329	3	0	1	44	7	415
	Saguache	0	5	0	108	5	0	0	26	4	148
	Total		0	87	2	1,165	23	1	2	186	80
13	Kit Carson	0	14	0	186	1	1	0	3	6	211
	Logan	0	77	0	729	4	0	2	7	16	835
	Morgan	0	104	0	1,135	10	1	3	76	35	1,364
	Phillips	0	3	0	104	0	0	0	3	2	112
	Sedgwick	0	3	0	70	0	0	0	5	4	82
	Washington	0	5	1	123	0	2	0	2	2	135
	Yuma	0	8	0	260	2	2	0	13	2	287
	Total		0	214	1	2,607	17	6	5	109	67
14	Grand	0	40	0	354	4	2	0	12	0	412
	Moffat	0	40	0	485	4	1	0	12	10	552
	Routt	0	70	0	473	8	4	1	47	7	610
	Total		0	150	0	1,312	16	7	1	71	17
15	Baca	0	2	0	82	1	0	1	3	2	91
	Cheyenne	0	2	0	50	1	0	0	2	0	55
	Kiowa	0	3	0	34	1	0	0	0	0	38
	Prowers	0	12	0	417	1	1	0	35	35	501
	Total		0	19	0	583	4	1	1	40	37
16	Bent	0	2	0	135	0	2	0	9	11	159
	Crowley	0	9	0	104	2	0	0	11	6	132
	Otero	0	73	0	665	6	2	1	65	60	872
	Total		0	84	0	904	8	4	1	85	77
17	Adams	0	7,823	0	18,205	180	99	142	486	663	27,598
	Broomfield	0	573	0	1,245	25	3	0	45	41	1,932
	Total		0	8,396	0	19,450	205	102	142	531	704
18	*Arapahoe-Centennial	0	4	0	8	2	12	0	463	478	967
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	9,965	6	20,420	316	24	179	391	231	31,532
	Douglas	0	1,601	0	6,480	116	38	16	204	195	8,650
	Elbert	0	83	0	433	2	0	0	34	21	573
	Lincoln	0	5	0	119	0	0	0	15	10	149
	Total		0	11,658	6	27,460	436	74	195	1,107	935
19	Weld	0	1,745	6	8,238	84	9	22	295	350	10,749
20	Boulder	0	1,085	3	4,307	142	18	1	234	92	5,882
	Boulder-Longmont	0	253	1	1,272	28	14	2	89	123	1,782
	Total		0	1,338	4	5,579	170	32	3	323	215
21	Mesa	0	986	5	6,349	56	4	21	286	175	7,882
22	Dolores	0	5	0	35	0	0	0	23	4	67
	Montezuma	0	66	0	778	6	3	2	94	36	985
	Total		0	71	0	813	6	3	2	117	40
State Total		39	42,164	46	#####	1,945	503	524	5,854	7,063	200,250
Percent of State Total		0%	21%	0%	71%	1%	0%	0%	3%	4%	100%

*As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Centennial, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Alcohol	Animal Violation	Arson	Assault	Child Abuse	Criminal Attempt	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Curfew Violation
1	Gilpin	12	25	0	4	4	0	3	3	0
	Jefferson	150	288	4	400	327	0	182	13	184
	Total	162	313	4	404	331	0	185	16	184
3	Huerfano	22	0	0	10	4	0	3	2	0
	Las Animas	21	4	0	25	8	0	12	0	0
	Total	43	4	0	35	12	0	15	2	0
4	El Paso	103	225	13	520	308	0	252	292	33
	Teller	10	75	1	14	9	0	13	2	0
	Total	113	300	14	534	317	0	265	294	33
5	Clear Creek	39	56	1	5	2	0	2	0	0
	Eagle-Basalt	0	13	0	4	3	0	3	0	0
	Eagle	93	44	1	33	17	0	19	1	0
	Lake	11	16	0	17	10	0	10	0	0
	Summit	32	24	0	31	16	0	8	0	0
	Total	175	153	2	90	48	0	42	1	0
6	Archuleta	7	19	0	4	3	0	3	6	0
	La Plata	28	41	0	66	15	0	18	0	0
	San Juan	12	2	0	1	1	1	2	0	0
	Total	47	62	0	71	19	1	23	6	0
7	Delta	28	10	2	32	23	0	10	0	0
	Gunnison	32	18	0	14	11	1	17	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	2	0	5	2	0	2	1	0
	Montrose	35	29	3	38	18	0	17	2	0
	Ouray	0	6	0	4	0	0	2	0	0
	San Miguel	1	8	1	17	1	0	3	0	0
	Total	96	73	6	112	55	1	51	3	0
8	Jackson	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Larimer	187	90	0	242	48	0	72	0	0
	Larimer-Loveland	176	69	0	60	42	0	47	0	0
	Total	370	159	0	302	90	0	120	0	0
9	Garfield	61	19	1	15	12	0	8	1	0
	Garfield-Rifle	76	85	0	17	8	0	9	3	0
	Pitkin	2	6	0	26	1	0	4	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	14	3	0	5	6	0	3	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	11	2	0	6	0	0	2	0	0
	Total	164	115	1	69	27	0	26	4	0
10	Pueblo	68	182	1	95	64	0	30	17	4
11	Chaffee	36	14	0	15	8	0	14	0	0
	Custer	5	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	8	16	0	53	17	0	24	0	0
	Park	12	39	0	6	11	0	3	1	0
	Total	61	69	0	84	37	0	41	1	0

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Domestic Violence	Drugs	Escape	Fare Evasion	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Habitual Traffic	Harassment
1	Gilpin	44	56	0	0	37	59	125	10	3
	Jefferson	884	1,016	30	2	9	27	0	346	244
	Total	928	1,072	30	2	46	86	125	356	247
3	Huerfano	31	62	0	0	0	0	0	8	23
	Las Animas	65	46	0	0	0	5	0	13	30
	Total	96	108	0	0	0	5	0	21	53
4	El Paso	2,560	1,217	16	0	2	18	0	280	356
	Teller	117	52	0	0	0	0	51	0	23
	Total	2,677	1,269	16	0	2	18	51	280	379
5	Clear Creek	35	91	0	0	0	0	0	4	5
	Eagle-Basalt	15	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Eagle	116	98	0	0	0	0	0	24	29
	Lake	64	30	1	0	0	3	0	1	29
	Summit	99	69	0	0	0	2	0	1	19
	Total	329	295	1	0	0	5	0	30	86
6	Archuleta	33	16	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
	La Plata	181	104	0	0	1	0	0	20	29
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Total	214	121	0	0	1	0	0	23	36
7	Delta	130	72	1	0	0	8	4	28	29
	Gunnison	29	53	0	0	0	11	0	6	7
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	9	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	7
	Montrose	199	68	1	0	0	15	0	23	17
	Ouray	7	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
	San Miguel	30	11	0	0	0	0	0	4	5
	Total	405	221	2	0	0	34	4	65	68
8	Jackson	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	678	517	5	0	5	2	0	80	128
	Larimer-Loveland	318	276	0	0	0	1	0	71	45
	Total	996	796	5	0	5	3	0	151	173
9	Garfield	138	56	0	0	0	1	0	20	16
	Garfield-Rifle	139	51	0	0	0	0	0	28	23
	Pitkin	13	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	9
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	10	16	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	9	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Total	309	143	0	0	1	2	0	48	58
10	Pueblo	716	168	6	0	3	7	0	102	27
11	Chaffee	59	36	0	0	0	7	0	4	7
	Custer	17	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	3
	Fremont	146	87	0	0	0	21	0	0	49
	Park	54	43	0	0	0	1	0	7	6
	Total	276	166	0	0	0	36	0	11	65

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Menacing	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Other	Perjury	Prostitution	Protection Order Violation	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order
1	Gilpin	1	0	87	0	0	41	0	2
	Jefferson	20	0	557	0	6	453	22	189
	Total	21	0	644	0	6	494	22	191
3	Huerfano	5	0	31	0	0	43	1	28
	Las Animas	17	0	42	0	0	80	1	84
	Total	22	0	73	0	0	123	2	112
4	El Paso	46	0	474	0	24	496	33	270
	Teller	2	0	42	0	0	14	1	2
	Total	48	0	516	0	24	510	34	272
5	Clear Creek	2	0	24	1	0	19	0	4
	Eagle-Basalt	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	1
	Eagle	5	0	33	0	2	55	0	56
	Lake	0	0	35	0	0	4	0	0
	Summit	1	0	61	0	0	31	0	20
	Total	8	0	159	1	2	115	0	81
6	Archuleta	1	0	17	0	0	12	0	1
	La Plata	3	0	47	0	1	73	1	22
	San Juan	0	0	21	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	4	0	85	0	1	85	2	23
7	Delta	4	0	34	0	1	92	1	21
	Gunnison	1	0	7	0	0	17	0	34
	Hinsdale	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	6	0	0	11	0	0
	Montrose	3	2	50	0	0	207	0	17
	Ouray	0	0	7	0	0	3	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	18	0	0	8	0	1
	Total	8	2	127	0	1	338	1	73
8	Jackson	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	10	0	325	0	0	117	12	109
	Larimer-Loveland	7	0	186	0	1	96	6	54
	Total	17	0	516	0	1	213	18	163
9	Garfield	4	0	31	0	0	96	2	36
	Garfield-Rifle	3	0	27	0	0	57	0	17
	Pitkin	2	0	14	0	0	22	0	5
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	2	0	4	0	0	15	0	1
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	0	2	0	0	10	0	6
	Total	11	0	78	0	0	200	2	65
10	Pueblo	8	0	211	1	5	577	2	25
11	Chaffee	0	0	199	0	0	11	0	14
	Custer	0	0	22	0	0	6	0	2
	Fremont	9	0	121	0	0	82	2	1
	Park	1	0	41	0	0	10	2	3
	Total	10	0	383	0	0	109	4	20

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Theft	Trespass	Under Age	Violation	Weapon	Wildlife	Total
					Alcohol Offense	Bail Conditions			
1	Gilpin	1	25	11	0	0	3	4	560
	Jefferson	75	462	69	456	0	57	146	6,618
	Total	76	487	80	456	0	60	150	7,178
3	Huerfano	0	14	5	33	1	0	4	330
	Las Animas	2	40	8	43	1	11	20	578
	Total	2	54	13	76	2	11	24	908
4	El Paso	66	525	193	601	0	137	48	9,108
	Teller	2	37	6	29	1	9	12	524
	Total	68	562	199	630	1	146	60	9,632
5	Clear Creek	0	10	7	17	1	5	26	356
	Eagle-Basalt	0	1	2	12	0	0	0	77
	Eagle	4	37	7	23	0	7	5	709
	Lake	0	7	8	28	6	2	16	298
	Summit	4	85	23	67	10	1	16	620
	Total	8	140	47	147	17	15	63	2,060
6	Archuleta	0	4	10	18	7	2	11	180
	La Plata	7	35	9	12	82	18	2	815
	San Juan	1	3	3	1	0	0	2	56
	Total	8	42	22	31	89	20	15	1,051
7	Delta	4	27	3	21	8	8	5	606
	Gunnison	1	20	11	31	7	7	25	360
	Hinsdale	0	1	2	1	0	0	3	15
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	3	7	0	0	1	66
	Montrose	9	22	6	75	3	13	7	879
	Ouray	0	2	0	10	0	1	14	70
	San Miguel	0	4	6	18	0	0	7	143
	Total	14	76	31	163	18	29	62	2,139
8	Jackson	0	0	0	4	0	0	5	25
	Larimer	24	252	155	739	11	28	33	3,869
	Larimer-Loveland	9	174	27	164	7	18	9	1,863
	Total	33	426	182	907	18	46	47	5,757
9	Garfield	8	10	23	59	0	10	3	630
	Garfield-Rifle	16	9	12	35	0	3	25	643
	Pitkin	1	15	13	37	8	1	3	192
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	1	4	1	1	1	9	13	113
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	0	3	7	0	3	6	85
	Total	26	38	52	139	9	26	50	1,663
10	Pueblo	15	97	16	218	1	26	5	2,697
11	Chaffee	1	22	4	20	3	1	21	496
	Custer	0	1	5	8	0	1	3	91
	Fremont	0	64	18	41	2	7	38	806
	Park	2	2	5	7	1	3	61	321
	Total	3	89	32	76	6	12	123	1,714

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Alcohol	Animal Violation	Arson	Assault	Child Abuse	Criminal Attempt	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Curfew Violation
12	Alamosa	69	8	0	11	11	0	5	0	0
	Conejos	0	2	2	10	9	0	3	0	0
	Costilla	12	2	0	9	2	0	3	0	0
	Mineral	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Rio Grande	7	1	0	21	8	0	7	0	0
	Saguache	3	5	0	12	4	0	1	0	0
	Total	93	18	2	63	34	0	21	0	0
13	Kit Carson	15	1	0	5	0	0	2	0	0
	Logan	27	0	0	20	9	0	6	7	0
	Morgan	45	10	1	12	2	0	4	0	0
	Phillips	0	4	0	7	1	0	2	0	0
	Sedgwick	1	0	0	5	0	0	2	0	0
	Washington	13	2	0	11	11	0	6	0	0
	Yuma	11	8	0	19	6	0	4	0	0
Total	112	25	1	79	29	0	26	7	0	
14	Grand	43	31	1	18	4	0	4	0	0
	Moffat	4	9	0	16	15	0	9	3	0
	Routt	26	21	0	22	6	0	10	0	0
	Total	73	61	1	56	25	0	23	3	0
15	Baca	6	2	0	10	1	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Prowers	22	4	0	13	5	0	10	0	0
	Total	28	8	0	23	7	0	11	0	0
16	Bent	2	7	0	12	1	0	3	2	0
	Crowley	2	1	0	4	3	0	1	0	0
	Otero	34	14	0	32	7	0	14	0	0
	Total	38	22	0	48	11	0	18	2	0
17	Adams	533	104	0	156	287	0	62	4	8
	Broomfield	43	0	0	16	15	0	7	0	0
	Total	576	104	0	172	302	0	69	4	8
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	100	49	2	158	322	0	49	2	113
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Douglas	127	111	1	97	80	0	31	3	0
	Elbert	19	9	0	5	9	0	6	0	0
	Lincoln	4	0	0	0	7	0	4	1	0
	Total	250	169	3	260	418	0	90	6	113
19	Weld	328	80	1	162	70	0	45	5	0
20	Boulder	256	19	2	115	62	0	81	5	0
	Boulder-Longmont	36	14	2	35	50	0	29	2	0
	Total	292	33	4	150	112	0	110	7	0
21	Mesa	147	303	3	146	91	1	77	3	0
22	Dolores	1	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	0
	Montezuma	63	15	0	37	11	0	16	0	0
	Total	64	15	0	38	11	0	21	0	0
State Total		3,300	2,268	43	2,993	2,110	3	1,309	381	342
Percent of State Total		5%	3%	0%	4%	3%	0%	2%	1%	1%

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Domestic	Drugs	Escape	Fare			Fraud	Gambling	Habitual	Harassment
		Violence			Evasion	Forgery	Traffic				
12	Alamosa	139	32	0	0	1	45	0	33	7	
	Conejos	25	7	0	0	0	20	0	1	5	
	Costilla	13	21	0	0	0	5	0	6	11	
	Mineral	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	Rio Grande	65	36	1	0	0	61	0	10	19	
	Saguache	24	28	0	0	0	6	0	0	11	
	Total	267	127	1	0	1	137	0	51	53	
13	Kit Carson	21	20	0	0	0	5	0	0	7	
	Logan	78	22	2	0	0	2	0	12	11	
	Morgan	84	77	1	0	1	1	0	26	15	
	Phillips	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	Sedgwick	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	Washington	3	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	
	Yuma	22	2	0	0	0	2	0	3	6	
Total	218	139	3	0	1	10	0	42	54		
14	Grand	51	64	0	0	0	4	0	4	11	
	Moffat	42	56	0	0	0	1	0	2	12	
	Routt	74	118	0	0	2	1	0	0	21	
	Total	167	238	0	0	2	6	0	6	44	
15	Baca	25	9	0	0	0	5	0	3	1	
	Cheyenne	4	0	0	0	0	7	0	1	2	
	Kiowa	3	3	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	
	Prowers	87	42	0	0	0	27	0	22	27	
	Total	119	54	0	0	0	42	0	27	30	
16	Bent	31	17	0	0	0	0	0	8	3	
	Crowley	7	12	0	0	0	1	0	1	15	
	Otero	116	22	2	0	3	3	0	22	26	
	Total	154	51	2	0	3	4	0	31	44	
17	Adams	1,295	923	3	1	3	2	0	509	82	
	Broomfield	109	22	0	0	0	2	0	49	7	
	Total	1,404	945	3	1	3	4	0	558	89	
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	601	445	8	1,094	0	5	0	326	75	
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	Douglas	431	227	0	38	2	1	0	61	64	
	Elbert	36	22	0	0	0	2	0	8	10	
	Lincoln	21	24	1	0	0	12	0	3	4	
Total	1,089	718	9	1,132	2	20	0	399	153		
19	Weld	911	354	35	0	0	1	0	394	73	
20	Boulder	402	707	7	4	2	2	0	51	81	
	Boulder-Longmont	260	79	4	0	0	1	0	63	28	
	Total	662	786	11	4	2	3	0	114	109	
21	Mesa	511	443	1	0	1	0	0	114	88	
22	Dolores	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	3	
	Montezuma	113	64	0	0	0	7	0	24	23	
	Total	115	65	0	0	0	8	0	27	26	
State Total		12,563	8,279	125	1,139	73	431	180	2,850	1,955	
Percent of State Total		19%	12%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	4%	3%	

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Menacing	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Other	Perjury	Prostitution	Protection Order Violation	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order
12	Alamosa	4	0	63	0	0	74	0	4
	Conejos	1	2	8	0	0	9	0	9
	Costilla	2	0	11	0	0	1	0	1
	Mineral	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	2
	Rio Grande	1	0	24	0	0	27	0	17
	Saguache	8	2	14	1	0	10	0	5
	Total	16	4	123	1	0	122	0	38
13	Kit Carson	0	0	9	0	0	10	0	1
	Logan	3	0	20	0	0	82	8	2
	Morgan	3	0	54	0	0	82	0	0
	Phillips	1	0	8	0	0	3	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	6	0	0	2	0	0
	Washington	2	0	6	0	0	14	1	0
	Yuma	1	0	16	0	0	16	0	0
	Total	10	0	119	0	0	209	9	3
14	Grand	0	0	16	0	0	28	3	23
	Moffat	1	0	18	0	0	35	0	33
	Routt	2	0	51	0	0	17	4	4
	Total	3	0	85	0	0	80	7	60
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	22	0	0	40	0	57
	Total	0	0	30	0	0	44	0	59
16	Bent	1	0	19	0	0	8	0	6
	Crowley	0	0	8	0	0	12	0	0
	Otero	3	1	27	0	0	56	0	33
	Total	4	1	54	0	0	76	0	39
17	Adams	5	0	694	0	50	451	79	116
	Broomfield	2	0	52	0	0	59	0	3
	Total	7	0	746	0	50	510	79	119
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	7	0	532	1	3	666	9	19
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Douglas	11	0	291	0	3	284	13	45
	Elbert	0	0	11	0	0	26	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	2	0	0	9	1	0
Total	18	0	836	1	6	985	23	64	
19	Weld	18	0	232	0	1	287	2	4
20	Boulder	10	0	104	0	6	106	3	257
	Boulder-Longmont	5	0	65	0	0	92	1	39
	Total	15	0	169	0	6	198	4	296
21	Mesa	6	0	207	0	1	142	5	5
22	Dolores	5	0	2	0	0	2	0	12
	Montezuma	1	0	20	0	0	80	0	37
	Total	6	0	22	0	0	82	0	49
State Total		260	7	5,415	4	104	5,499	216	1,761
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	8%	0%	3%

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Theft	Trespass	Under Age	Violation	Weapon	Wildlife	Total
					Alcohol Offense	Bail Conditions			
12	Alamosa	6	19	9	37	0	1	5	583
	Conejos	0	5	5	11	0	1	3	138
	Costilla	0	1	6	1	0	0	6	113
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	17
	Rio Grande	2	13	4	42	0	3	4	373
	Saguache	1	9	12	25	0	0	11	192
	Total		9	47	36	116	0	6	30
13	Kit Carson	0	2	2	14	0	0	1	115
	Logan	4	16	1	20	0	3	11	366
	Morgan	2	13	8	60	1	5	44	551
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	36
	Sedgwick	0	5	1	0	1	0	6	43
	Washington	0	9	0	2	1	5	4	111
	Yuma	0	3	3	28	0	0	1	151
	Total		6	48	15	124	3	13	68
14	Grand	0	8	5	52	5	3	48	426
	Moffat	1	21	7	58	7	16	14	380
	Routt	0	52	8	130	16	8	21	614
	Total	1	81	20	240	28	27	83	1,420
15	Baca	0	9	1	5	0	1	1	83
	Cheyenne	0	2	0	7	0	0	1	34
	Kiowa	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	15
	Prowers	1	32	6	17	0	6	0	440
	Total	1	43	8	29	0	7	2	572
16	Bent	1	17	0	4	0	0	1	143
	Crowley	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	74
	Otero	1	22	24	63	4	6	1	536
	Total	2	39	24	73	4	6	3	753
17	Adams	79	141	105	420	0	54	16	6,182
	Broomfield	9	56	9	11	0	1	0	472
	Total	88	197	114	431	0	55	16	6,654
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	59	163	31	581	1	45	85	5,551
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Douglas	8	144	32	274	2	21	76	2,478
	Elbert	1	5	1	31	1	2	3	207
	Lincoln	1	6	1	11	9	2	0	123
	Total	69	318	65	897	13	70	164	8,360
19	Weld	30	197	25	282	0	33	27	3,597
20	Boulder	40	424	94	642	4	22	10	3,518
	Boulder-Longmont	11	49	25	8	2	16	2	918
	Total	51	473	119	650	6	38	12	4,436
21	Mesa	22	179	57	422	1	27	91	3,094
22	Dolores	0	0	2	8	0	0	11	59
	Montezuma	1	13	15	50	2	5	7	604
	Total	1	13	17	58	2	5	18	663
State Total		533	3,646	1,174	6,165	218	678	1,113	67,137
Percent of State Total		1%	5%	2%	9%	0%	1%	2%	100%

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 31: County Court Small Claims Filings by Type: FY2011

District	Court Location	Change of Landlord					Total
		Covenant	Venue	Tenant	Money	Other	
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	13	1	14
	Jefferson	5	0	176	901	2	1,084
	<i>Total</i>	5	0	176	914	3	1,098
3	Huerfano	0	0	1	22	0	23
	Las Animas	0	0	0	45	0	45
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	1	67	0	68
4	El Paso	0	0	254	1,038	1	1,293
	Teller	0	0	5	35	0	40
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	259	1,073	1	1,333
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	24	0	24
	Eagle-Basalt	0	0	4	25	0	29
	Eagle	0	0	0	212	1	213
	Lake	0	0	0	19	0	19
	Summit	0	0	8	99	0	107
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	12	379	1	392
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	40	0	40
	La Plata	1	0	33	111	0	145
	San Juan	0	0	0	2	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	33	153	0	187
7	Delta	0	0	0	87	0	87
	Gunnison	1	0	12	43	0	56
	Hinsdale	0	0	1	4	0	5
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	7	0	7
	Montrose	0	0	12	110	0	122
	Ouray	0	0	6	9	0	15
	San Miguel	0	0	5	20	0	25
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	36	280	0	317
8	Jackson	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Larimer	0	0	6	536	0	542
	Larimer-Loveland	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	6	541	0	547
9	Garfield	20	0	35	104	0	159
	Pitkin	0	0	1	86	0	87
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	0	18	0	18
	Garfield-Rifle	0	0	6	65	0	71
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	18	0	18
	<i>Total</i>	20	0	42	291	0	353
10	Pueblo	1	0	55	335	1	392
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	47	0	47
	Custer	0	0	0	7	0	7
	Fremont	0	0	13	107	0	120
	Park	0	0	4	29	1	34
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	17	190	1	208

Table 31: County Court Small Claims Filings by Type: FY2011

District	Court Location	Change of Landlord				Other	Total
		Covenant	Venue	Tenant	Money		
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	37	0	37
	Conejos	0	0	0	13	0	13
	Costilla	0	0	0	9	0	9
	Mineral	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	26	0	26
	Saguache	0	0	0	15	0	15
	Total	0	0	0	102	0	102
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	28	0	28
	Logan	0	0	2	60	0	62
	Morgan	0	0	8	78	1	87
	Phillips	0	0	2	18	1	21
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	12	0	12
	Washington	0	0	0	16	0	16
	Yuma	0	0	0	35	0	35
	Total	0	0	12	247	2	261
14	Grand	0	0	8	34	0	42
	Moffat	0	0	3	62	0	65
	Routt	0	0	3	88	0	91
	Total	0	0	14	184	0	198
15	Baca	0	0	0	17	0	17
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	4	0	4
	Kiowa	0	0	0	6	0	6
	Prowers	0	0	2	56	0	58
	Total	0	0	2	83	0	85
16	Bent	0	0	0	17	0	17
	Crowley	0	0	0	23	0	23
	Otero	0	0	5	58	0	63
	Total	0	0	5	98	0	103
17	Adams	0	0	102	680	2	784
	Broomfield	0	0	0	89	0	89
	Total	0	0	102	769	2	873
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Arapahoe-Littleton	2	0	83	1,107	30	1,222
	Douglas	0	0	4	409	0	413
	Elbert	0	0	1	41	0	42
	Lincoln	0	0	0	13	0	13
	Total	2	0	88	1,570	30	1,690
19	Weld	0	0	40	464	0	504
20	Boulder	0	0	2	498	4	504
	Boulder-Longmont	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	2	498	4	504
21	Mesa	0	0	74	279	0	353
22	Dolores	0	0	1	5	0	6
	Montezuma	0	0	1	54	0	55
	Total	0	0	2	59	0	61
State Total		30	0	978	8,576	45	9,629
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	10%	89%	0%	100%

Table 32: County Court Traffic Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving While Ability Impaired	Driving Under the Influence	Motor Vehicle	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Total
1	Gilpin	195	3	126	313	0	637
	Jefferson	4,572	64	1,959	6,767	0	13,362
	Total	4,767	67	2,085	7,080	0	13,999
3	Huerfano	165	6	71	373	0	615
	Las Animas	164	11	131	692	0	998
	Total	329	17	202	1,065	0	1,613
4	El Paso	5,010	71	2,831	8,511	0	16,423
	Teller	151	35	130	381	0	697
	Total	5,161	106	2,961	8,892	0	17,120
5	Clear Creek	245	7	146	792	0	1,190
	Eagle-Basalt	35	0	53	83	0	171
	Eagle	441	16	358	902	0	1,717
	Lake	54	4	56	177	0	291
	Summit	321	5	265	822	0	1,413
Total	1,096	32	878	2,776	0	4,782	
6	Archuleta	86	1	46	198	0	331
	La Plata	526	2	426	1,150	0	2,104
	San Juan	0	0	0	33	0	33
	Total	612	3	472	1,381	0	2,468
7	Delta	198	12	119	426	0	755
	Gunnison	72	3	109	270	0	454
	Hinsdale	0	0	2	15	0	17
	Montrose-Nucla	14	0	11	31	0	56
	Montrose	282	17	229	411	0	939
	Ouray	20	0	13	58	0	91
	San Miguel	32	5	52	76	0	165
	Total	618	37	535	1,287	0	2,477
8	Jackson	8	1	10	42	0	61
	Larimer	945	42	1,041	1,788	0	3,816
	Larimer-Loveland	880	39	523	1,044	0	2,486
	Total	1,833	82	1,574	2,874	0	6,363
9	Garfield	302	31	267	673	0	1,273
	Garfield-Rifle	336	11	143	586	0	1,076
	Pitkin	80	0	141	151	1	373
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	52	5	31	268	0	356
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	28	0	25	107	0	160
Total	798	47	607	1,785	1	3,238	
10	Pueblo	1,463	33	795	1,568	0	3,859
11	Chaffee	0	6	58	250	0	314
	Custer	6	6	6	28	0	46
	Fremont	466	9	162	467	0	1,104
	Park	173	2	79	267	0	521
Total	645	23	305	1,012	0	1,985	

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 32: County Court Traffic Filings by Type, FY 2011

District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving While Ability Impaired	Driving Under the Influence	Motor Vehicle	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Total
12	Alamosa	314	11	301	293	0	919
	Conejos	83	1	55	98	0	237
	Costilla	26	0	46	170	0	242
	Mineral	23	0	7	135	0	165
	Rio Grande	215	5	98	478	0	796
	Saguache	58	1	25	219	0	303
	Total		719	18	532	1,393	0
13	Kit Carson	104	1	23	148	0	276
	Logan	230	6	82	359	0	677
	Morgan	348	13	133	555	0	1,049
	Phillips	21	0	11	23	0	55
	Sedgwick	12	1	6	37	0	56
	Washington	51	0	22	156	0	229
	Yuma	60	2	25	113	0	200
Total		826	23	302	1,391	0	2,542
14	Grand	82	4	110	240	0	436
	Moffat	141	1	120	240	0	502
	Routt	167	10	224	333	0	734
	Total	390	15	454	813	0	1,672
15	Baca	44	2	16	82	0	144
	Cheyenne	20	0	5	62	0	87
	Kiowa	18	1	2	111	0	132
	Prowers	205	11	99	971	0	1,286
	Total	287	14	122	1,226	0	1,649
16	Bent	66	3	16	94	0	179
	Crowley	42	1	10	52	0	105
	Otero	297	21	144	274	0	736
	Total	405	25	170	420	0	1,020
17	Adams	5,377	81	2,811	7,253	0	15,522
	Broomfield	724	5	224	625	0	1,578
	Total	6,101	86	3,035	7,878	0	17,100
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	4,857	53	2,550	8,462	0	15,922
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Douglas	1,432	23	824	3,378	0	5,657
	Elbert	120	1	73	217	0	411
	Lincoln	53	0	22	329	0	404
	Total	6,462	77	3,470	12,386	0	22,395
19	Weld	2,996	74	1,260	4,146	0	8,476
20	Boulder	1,029	68	1,005	2,097	0	4,199
	Boulder-Longmont	597	11	280	752	0	1,640
	Total	1,626	79	1,285	2,849	0	5,839
21	Mesa	1,418	56	810	2,140	6	4,430
22	Dolores	11	0	4	35	0	50
	Montezuma	355	11	163	520	0	1,049
	Total	366	11	167	555	0	1,099
State Total		38,918	925	22,021	64,917	7	126,788
Percent of State Total		31%	1%	17%	51%	0%	100%

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Section V:
Water Court



WATER COURT JUDGES

Division One	Greeley	James Hartmann, Jr.
Division Two	Pueblo	C. Dennis Maes
Division Three	Alamosa	O. John Kuenhold
Division Four	Montrose	J. Steven Patrick
Division Five	Glenwood Springs	James B. Boyd
Division Six	Steamboat Springs	Michael A. O'Hara, III
Division Seven	Durango	Gregory G. Lyman

Water Court

The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 created seven water divisions based upon the drainage patterns of major rivers in Colorado: the South Platte, Arkansas, Rio Grande, Gunnison, Colorado, White and San Juan rivers. Each water division is staffed by a division engineer appointed by the state engineer; a water judge appointed by the Colorado Supreme Court; a water referee appointed by the water judge; and a water clerk assigned by the district court.

Water judges are district judges who have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, the use and administration of water, and all other water matters within the jurisdiction of the water division. There are no jury trials in water courts, and all appeals are filed directly with the Colorado Supreme Court.

All water courts operate under a standard case definition approved by the Colorado Supreme Court in 1981. This made possible the establishment of water court filing standards, which have been reported annually by water divisions since July 1, 1981.

Specific information regarding each of the seven water divisions is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/supct/supctwaterctindex.htm>.

Table 33: Water Court Filings, Claims, and Percent Change: FY 2010, FY 2011

Division	FY10	FY11	Percent Change
One - Weld County, Greeley			
Filings	368	246	-33%
Claims	1,692	1,605	-5%
Two - Pueblo County, Pueblo			
Filings	104	100	-4%
Claims	2,459	357	-85%
Three - Alamosa County, Alamosa			
Filings	33	36	9%
Claims	83	78	-6%
Four - Montrose County, Montrose			
Filings	203	201	-1%
Claims	624	741	19%
Five - Garfield County, Glenwood Springs			
Filings	315	225	-29%
Claims	1,190	844	-29%
Six - Routt County, Steamboat Springs			
Filings	84	59	-30%
Claims	194	220	13%
Seven - La Plata County, Durango			
Filings	108	89	-18%
Claims	4,937	204	-96%
State Total			
Filings	1,215	956	-21%
Claims	11,179	4,049	-64%

Section VI: Office of Dispute Resolution



The Office of Dispute Resolution

The Office of Dispute Resolution (ODR) was created by the Colorado Dispute Resolution Act in 1983. The ODR's mission is to establish or make available dispute resolution programs and related services throughout the state, as designated by the Chief Justice of Colorado's Supreme Court. The courts were encouraged to expand use of the Dispute Resolution Act by HJR 97-1020.

ODR assists the court in designing, implementing, and administering dispute resolution programs, including multi-door courthouse programs which provide case screening to assist in matching cases to an appropriate dispute resolution process. ODR provides services, including mediation, parenting coordination/decision making, parenting plan facilitation, family group conferencing, and settlement conferences. ODR also manages the federal Access & Visitation Grant for the State of Colorado providing support and funding for dispute resolution programs targeting never-married parents with the goal of increasing parenting time.

In addition to providing services, ODR helps establish Colorado's dispute resolution policies; provides information to the public; collaborates with other individuals and organizations, including government agencies, state and local bar associations, community mediation centers, schools, private and public dispute resolution programs, and others to increase access to dispute resolution services; consults with state and local governments regarding the design, implementation, and administration of dispute resolution programs; and provides dispute resolution education and training.

ODR neutrals first began mediating cases in Fiscal Year 1985, with 54 Domestic Relations cases. In Fiscal Year 2011, an estimated 7,707 cases were reported from Colorado's 22 judicial districts. These cases included Domestic Relations, Civil, Criminal, Juvenile, Dependency and Neglect, child support enforcement, County Court, Small Claims, and Probate. Mediation services constituted approximately 99 percent of ODR's services in Fiscal Year 2011.

ODR receives a General Fund appropriation for administrative and program costs. Parties pay the mediator directly for services. ODR continues to provide reduced-fee services for qualifying indigent parties based on its General Fund appropriation and continued receipt of the Federal Access and Visitation Program grant funds. General information regarding the Office of Dispute Resolution is available at www.colroadoODR.org

Table 34: ADR Services Provided by Case Type and Disposition Percentage for FY2011
Office of Dispute Resolution- Colorado

Case Type	Cases partially or completely resolved	Cases not resolved ¹	Cases served left with proposal ²	Cases inappropriate for ADR	Cases ongoing	ADR not provided ³	Total # of cases reported
Domestic	4,173 67%	1,045 17%	491 8%	78 1%	118 2%	280 5%	6,185
District Civil	110 42%	102 39%	32 12%	2 1%	5 2%	8 3%	259
Juvenile	127 59%	46 21%	8 4%	2 1%	1 0%	30 14%	214
Criminal	107 80%	12 9%	5 4%	6 4%	4 2%	0 0%	134
County Civil	207 49%	155 36%	29 7%	1 0%	4 1%	30 7%	426
Dependency & Neglect	254 70%	47 13%	32 9%	1 0%	4 1%	27 7%	365
Child Support Enforcement	56 59%	12 13%	5 5%	1 1%	1 1%	20 21%	95
Probate	6 46%	6 46%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	13
Small Claims	6 43%	2 14%	6 43%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	14
Restraining Orders	1 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	2
Total Cases Reported	5,047 65.5%	1,427 18.5%	608 7.9%	91 1.2%	137 1.8%	397 5.2%	7,707

¹ No agreement was reached at time of service. The parties may or may not have settled subsequent to ADR service; this information is not available.

² Parties left with proposed agreement. Information is not available as to whether this agreement was finalized.

³ ADR was not provided for a variety of reasons, including settlement prior to ADR service, refusal by one more parties, or decision to use another provider.

Table 35: ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type for FY2011
Office of Dispute Resolution- Colorado

Judicial District	District										Child				Total Cases Served
	Domestic	Civil	Juvenile	Criminal	Civil	County Dependency & Neglect	Support Enforcement	Probate	Small Claims	Restraining Orders					
1	429	26	5	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	483	
2	405	7	144	0	3	132	29	5	0	0	0	0	0	725	
3	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	
4	1,286	43	9	134	232	172	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	1,881	
5	56	5	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67	
6	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	
7	7	6	0	0	71	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	86	
8	579	9	4	0	2	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	618	
9	72	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	75	
10	518	56	3	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	587	
11	293	18	2	0	22	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	347	
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
13	163	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	163	
14	42	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	
15	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
16	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	
17	136	4	4	0	2	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	163	
18	1,208	58	36	0	22	35	32	3	0	0	0	0	0	1,394	
19	279	3	4	0	8	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	309	
20	217	15	0	0	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	252	
21	406	0	3	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	414	
22	14	7	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	23	
State Total	6,185	259	214	134	426	365	95	13	14	2	2	2	2	7,707	

*Mediation services constituted approximately 99% of ODR's services in FY2011, see table 36 for details.

**Table 36: Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type for FY2011
Office of Dispute Resolution- Colorado**

Judicial District	District										Child					Total Cases Served
	Domestic	Civil	Juvenile	Criminal	Civil	County Dependency & Neglect	Support Enforcement	Probate	Small Claims	Restraining Orders	Child Support	Enforcement	Probate	Small Claims	Restraining Orders	
1	429	26	5	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	483
2	399	7	117	0	3	130	0	29	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	690
3	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
4	1,284	41	9	133	232	172	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	1,876
5	56	5	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67
6	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
7	7	6	0	0	71	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	85
8	579	9	4	0	2	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	617
9	72	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75
10	517	56	3	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	586
11	293	18	2	0	22	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	347
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	163	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	163
14	42	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43
15	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
16	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32
17	136	4	0	0	2	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	159
18	1,201	56	36	0	22	35	32	32	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,385
19	279	3	0	0	8	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	305
20	205	15	0	0	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	240
21	406	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	414
22	14	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
State Total	6,157	255	179	133	426	362	95	12	14	2	7,635	95	12	14	2	7,635

Section VII: Financial Information



FINANCIAL INFORMATION

In Fiscal Year 2011, the Judicial Branch had a budget of \$351.1 million (\$229.2 million in general funds and \$121.9 million in cash and federal funds) and 3,407.4 full-time equivalent staff. The increase over FY2010 reflects \$2.5 in salary-related statewide common policy adjustments, \$3.2 for new judges and staff as well as problem-solving court staff and staff to develop an in-house e-filing system, and a net reduction of \$2.7 in budget annualizations, reduced funding for the PERA rate swap and other common policy adjustments.

In FY2011, personnel costs of \$246.6 million comprised the largest portion of the budget. Benefits accounted for \$26.3 million, operating costs \$12.5 million, victims' compensation and assistance programs \$27.2 million dollars and \$21.1 million was appropriated to mandated/interpreter costs for court appointed counsel, jury, interpreter and court costs. The remaining \$17.1 million was appropriated in various areas including federal funds and grants, the collections program, training, courthouse furnishings, leased space and other miscellaneous items.

Personal Services (non Judge)	\$208,886,467	59%
Personal Services (Judge)	\$37,791,515	11%
Benefits	\$26,377,925	8%
Operating	\$12,548,880	4%
Mandated Costs/Interpreter Costs	\$ 21,170,288	6%
Victims Comp/Assistance	\$27,215,160	8%
Other	\$17,139,095	5%
	351,129,330	100%

The Judicial Branch's FY2011 appropriation represented 2.3% of the State of Colorado's total appropriated budget.

COLORADO JUDICIAL BRANCH
Collections
Fiscal Year 2010-11


CATEGORY	Amount
GENERAL FUND	
Court Registry Interest and Other Miscellaneous Fees	\$ 22,454
Civil Action Tax and General Fund Civil Fees	\$ 442,490
Miscellaneous Criminal Costs, Forfeitures, and Related	\$ 2,458,099
Public Defender Fees	\$ 257,955
Seized Asset Forfeitures: 1% Statutory Share	\$ 4,216
Supreme Court Docket Fee Tax (Non-Appeal Filings)	\$ 200
Victims Assistance (General Fund Portion)	\$ 351,990
Subtotal	\$ 3,537,404
Percentage of Total	1.8%
HIGHWAY USER'S TRUST FUND	
D.U.I. Fines	\$ 1,870,412
Highway Construction Workers Safety Fund	\$ 115,153
Supplemental Unregistered Vehicle Fines	\$ 195
Traffic Fines & Forfeits	\$ 9,993,225
Wildlife Crossing Zones Safety Account	\$ 283
Subtotal	\$ 11,979,268
Percentage of Total	6.1%
VICTIM RESTITUTION AND PROGRAM FUNDS	
Restitution (Reimbursements to Victims of Crime for Losses Incurred)	\$ 25,407,737
Victim Address Confidentiality Surcharges (for Secy of State)	\$ 124,837
Victim Assistance Surcharges (for Local and State Victims Assistance Grant Programs)	\$ 15,804,295
Victim Compensation Costs (for Local Victims Compensation Programs)	\$ 13,233,235
Subtotal	\$ 54,570,104
Percentage of Total	27.6%
OTHER SPECIAL PURPOSES AND FUNDS	
Adolescent Substance Abuse Surcharges (for Div. of Alcohol & Drug Abuse)	\$ 60,663
Alcohol Evaluation/Supervision Fees	\$ 4,716,571
Animal Cruelty Surcharges (for Dept. of Agriculture)	\$ 1,839
Attorney Fee Reimbursements (Cost Recovery)	\$ 130,397
Child Abuse Investigation Surcharge (for Div. of Criminal Justice)	\$ 101,603
Collaborative Management Incentive Fund (for Dept. of Human Services; divorce fees; formerly "Family Stabilization")	\$ 2,854,549
Colorado Children's Trust Fund (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 370,896
Commercial Vehicle Enterprise Tax Fund (for Dept. of Revenue - Share of Excess Vehicle Wgt Penalties)	\$ 86,887
Continuing Legal Education Fund	\$ 339,454
Court Security Fund	\$ 3,054,014
Disabled Parking Education and Enforcement Fund (for Dept. of Revenue)	\$ 450
Displaced Homemaker Fee (for Dept. of Labor and Employment)	\$ 111,619
Domestic Abuse Program Fund (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 159,697
Drug Offender Surcharge Cash Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 4,126,617
Drug Offender Treatment Fund Interest	\$ 12,927
Family Friendly Courts Surcharge	\$ 281,270
Family Violence Justice Fund	\$ 161,182
Felony, Misdemeanor Fines (Judicial Fines Collection Cash Fund)	\$ 1,595,400
Fines - Parks and Outdoor Recreation Fund	\$ 21,001
Fines - Wildlife Cash Fund	\$ 65,830
Illegal Alien - Bond Forfeitures (for Dept. of Corrections and County Jails)	\$ 19,945
Judicial Information Technology Fund	\$ 5,678,771
Judicial Performance Fund	\$ 666,583
Judicial Stabilization Fund	\$ 42,566,095
Justice Center Fund	\$ 18,212,705
Juvenile Offender Fund (Youthful Offender Surcharge)	\$ 59
Law Enforcement Assistance Fund (for Dept. of Health and Environment, Transportation Safety, Human Services)	\$ 1,838,188
Law Examiner Board Fund	\$ 1,072,322
Misc. Cost Recoveries (Various Trial Court and Probation costs recovered, incl. court share of OJW)	\$ 2,947,904
Municipalities & Counties Share of Fees & Fines Collected, DMV's share of OJW	\$ 9,722,223
Offender ID Fund (for Dept. of Public Safety and Judicial Dept.)	\$ 1,471,594
Office of Dispute Resolution Fund	\$ 1,544
Persistent Drunk Driver Surcharge (for Dept. of Transportation, Revenue, Human Services)	\$ 1,449,821
Probation Supervision Fees (for Judicial Offender Services Fund)	\$ 11,789,980
Rural Alcohol and Substance Abuse Fund	\$ 71,259
Sex Offender Surcharge Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 437,101
Supreme Court Committee Fund (Attorney Regulation)	\$ 6,524,666
Supreme Court Law Library Fund	\$ 483,015
Tax- Vital Statistics (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 80,754
Time Payment/Late Fees (Judicial Collection Enhancement Fund)	\$ 2,860,705
Traumatic Brain Injury Surcharges (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 949,776
Useful Public Service Fees Collected (Judicial Operated Programs only)	\$ 250,490
Subtotal	\$ 127,348,366
Percentage of Total	64.5%
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	\$ 197,435,142

COLORADO JUDICIAL BRANCH COLLECTIONS

In Fiscal Year 2011, the state courts throughout Colorado collected \$197.4 million in fines, fees, surcharges, and costs. Over fifty different statutory funds and purposes are supported by these revenues including the General Fund, Highway Users Trust Fund, Judicial Stabilization Fund, Offender Services Fund, and many others. Of the total revenues, \$54.6 million went to crime victim related programs, \$25.4 million of which were recoveries of restitution for losses incurred by individual victims. Collection efforts are concentrated in Collections Investigator offices in the local courts and probation departments. Under this statewide program, the Judicial Branch has implemented a variety of proactive strategies and procedures. Examples include: investigating offenders' assets and earnings; issuing garnishments and attachments; locating offenders who are attempting to avoid their obligations; intercepting state income tax refunds, lottery winnings, unclaimed property, and gambling winnings; suspending drivers licenses; and referring accounts to private collection agencies. In addition to the efforts of Collections Investigators, other system personnel also play key roles in the enforcement of payment including judges, clerks, probation officers, court administrators, district attorneys, and other criminal justice agencies.

Helping make victims whole through the recovery of restitution continues to be a major area of emphasis for the Colorado courts. The chart below shows restitution recoveries over the last ten years.

Chart 5



FY 2011 Final Appropriations

Chart 6
FY2011 Statewide
Total Appropriations




Chart 7
FY 2011 Judicial Appropriation
By Agency




Chart 8
FY2011 Judicial Appropriation
by Major Program





Chart 9
FY 2011 Judicial Appropriation
By Major Cost Category



Section VIII:
Colorado Probation



CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS

**FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Cheryl Lammers
Jeannette Haskins, Deputy

**SECOND ADULT
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Fran Jamison
Cheryl Frenette, Deputy

**SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
JUVENILE**

Shawn Cohn

**THIRD
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Bernie Fay

**FOURTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Ellen Walker

**FIFTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Laurel Lamont

**SIXTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Chad McInnis

**SEVENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Carrol Warner

**EIGHTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Chris Gastelle

**NINTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

William Sightler

**TENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

David Simental

**ELEVENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Michael O'Rourke

**TWELFTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Bill Gurule

**THIRTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Steven Proctor

**FOURTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Dennis Martinez

**FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Byron Hall

**SIXTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Tobin Wright

**SEVENTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Mike Garcia
Jerry Green, Deputy

**EIGHTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Robin Leaf
Shelly Sack, Deputy

**NINETEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Kevin Nelan
Mary Nagler, Deputy

**TWENTIETH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Greg Brown

**TWENTY-FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Susan Gilbert

**TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL
DISTRICT**

Sonia Buffington

Updated 9/11

COLORADO PROBATION

Colorado Probation is Committed to Public Safety, Victim and Community Reparation through Offender Accountability, Skill and Competency Development and Services to the Communities of Colorado.

The above Statement of Common Ground was developed to clearly identify the critical functions of justice that unify all probation departments in carrying out their mission. Probation's commitment to these practices requires the implementation of innovative approaches to offender assessment, supervision, victim involvement and services to the community. As new research becomes available and as best practices evolve it is necessary to adapt Probation's practices to better serve the public and increase public safety for the residents of Colorado.

This year's statistical report reflects our commitment to improve results, protect the public, reduce the overall cost of the correctional system and increase services to victims of crime.

Probation Programs (Table 38, Table 47, Table 73)

The Colorado Judicial Department administers adult and juvenile probation in the state's 22 judicial districts. This includes 23 probation departments with over 50 separate probation locations throughout the state. The Division of Probation Services develops and publishes guidelines and standards for regular probation supervision and all intensive probation programs. Within the limits of statute and these state standards, each district is free to develop and structure programs that address the needs of the local court and the community. In all districts, offenders are assessed on risk and need factors. Based on this assessment, those placed on regular probation are put on minimum, medium or maximum supervision.

The number of adult offenders sentenced to state probation in FY 2011 was 28,903 and on June 30, 2011 there were 43,705 adult offenders on regular supervision. In FY 2011, 68 percent of adults completed regular state probation successfully. An additional 2,083 adult offenders were sentenced to an intensive program and 13,131 were sentenced to private probation supervision.

In Fiscal Year 2011, 4,637 juvenile offenders were sentenced to probation and on June 30, 2011 there were 5,676 juvenile offenders on supervision. In FY 2011, 74 percent of these juveniles completed regular state probation successfully. An additional 402 juvenile offenders were sentenced to Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP).

Intensive Offender Programs

Intensive programs are created in statute or otherwise established through a specific appropriation and provide the court with community sentencing options for high-risk offenders. Whereas this was a notable approach to the development of the intensive programs, over time it has proven to be limiting as probation has recognized other high risk populations, such as serious economic crime and mentally ill offenders for who dedicated programs would be beneficial, as well as cost effective. Colorado Probation continues to explore options to manage these populations effectively. Intensive programs are offered only through state probation and parole. Three main goals drive intensive probation programs. They are to assess criminal risk and provide enhanced levels of supervision; target offenders' service needs that relate to ongoing criminal activity; and identify and make referrals for appropriate treatment and services to reduce criminal behavior. Offenders must meet certain criteria, based on assessed risk and need, before being screened for placement in an intensive probation program. These programs offer specialized assessments, offense specific treatment, electronic monitoring, cognitive skills training, educational assessments, and literacy and employment programs. Due to the significant number of supervision requirements, and the level of risk, the number of offenders assigned to an intensive programs' probation officer are capped at 18-30 offenders, depending on the program. These intensive offender programs include Adult Intensive Supervision; Juvenile Intensive Supervision; the Female Offender Program; and Sex Offender Intensive Supervision for Adults.

Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (Table 38, Table 80)

The Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP) Program was implemented statewide in FY 1982, as a community sentencing alternative to incarceration for selected high risk adult offenders with extensive criminal histories. In FY 1997, as a result of its proven effectiveness, the General Assembly approved expansion of the program's average daily population from 750 to 1,500 offenders annually. The program is designed to deliver intensive case management that includes daily contact with the offender, increased levels of drug testing, curfews, electronic monitoring, home visits and required employment or educational/vocational efforts and attendance in treatment, as deemed necessary. The number of offenders assigned to an AISP officer is capped at 25. In FY2011 there were 1,379 offenders sentenced to AISP and on June 30, 2011 there were 1,443 on AISP. In FY 2011, 700 offenders (67%) successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences to the Department of Corrections.

Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (Table 38, Table 92)

The Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) Program was implemented in FY 1991 as a community sentencing option for selected high risk juvenile offenders. As a result of its proven effectiveness the General Assembly approved expansion of the program sufficient to allow its existence in all 22 judicial districts. The program is designed to deliver intensive case management to include monitoring of school progress, referral for remedial educational assistance, home visits, electronic monitoring, drug testing, skill building and treatment services, as required. The number of juveniles assigned to a JISP officer is capped at 18. In FY2011 there were 402 juveniles sentenced to JISP and on June 30, 2011 there were 386 on JISP. In FY 2011, 223 juveniles (50%) successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Division of Youth Corrections.

Female Offender Program (Table 38, Table 88)

The Female Offender Program (FOP) was initially a grant funded pilot project developed in FY 1991, to intervene in the lives of high risk, substance abusing female offenders. In FY 1995 the General Assembly, based on the results of the pilot program, provided state funding. The program is designed to deliver intensive gender based case management to include frequent contact, skill building, regular employment or vocational/educational efforts, drug testing, home visits, electronic monitoring and participation in treatment, as required. The program was terminated in FY 2004 as a result of required budget reductions. Prior to the programs termination there was a 0% recidivism rate, for one year following termination from probation, for those offenders that successfully completed the program in FY 2001 and FY 2002. The FOP was re-funded to its previous level in FY 2005. The number of women assigned to each FOP officer is capped at 30. In FY 2011 there were 218 female offenders sentenced to FOP and on June 30, 2011 there were 258 on FOP. In FY 2011, 112 women (70%) successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Department of Corrections.

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (Table 38, Table 84)

The Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) Program is designed to provide the highest level of supervision to adult sex offenders who are placed on probation. Although initially created in statute in FY 1998 primarily for lifetime supervision cases, based on the risk posed by those offenders, the legislature made a significant change to the statute in FY 2001. All felony sex offenders convicted on or after July 1, 2001, are statutorily mandated to be supervised by the SOISP program. The program design includes a capped caseload of 25 offenders per SOISP officer.

Adult sex offending behavior is a considered to be a life-long problem in which the goal is not "curing" the offender, but rather management or control of the assaultive behavior. The State of Colorado has adopted a model of containment in the supervision and management of sex offenders. Depending on the offender, elements of containment may include severely restricted activities, daily contact with a probation officer, curfew

checks, home visitation, employment visitation and monitoring, drug and alcohol screening, and/or sex offense specific treatment to include the use of polygraph testing.

SOISP consists of three phases, each with specific criteria that must be met prior to a reduction in the level of supervision. In FY 2011 there were 491 offenders sentenced to SOISP and on June 30, 2011 there were 1,416 on SOISP. In FY 2011 the program successfully terminated 135 offenders (46%) from the SOISP program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Department of Corrections. It is important to note that approximately half of the SOISP probationers are serving indeterminate sentences (minimum of 10 years), so fewer in this group are eligible for termination each year.

Other Probation Programs

Private Probation (Table 38, Table 60)

Chief Justice Directive 04-03 (originally CJD 96-05), defines the priority use of probation resources and defines the circumstances under which districts may contract with private probation. Part III of the directive states that districts may enter into agreements with public or private entities for the provision of probation services, including investigation services and the supervision of lower risk adult probationers. Although not every judicial district has access to or utilizes a private provider, Colorado Probation has contracted for private probation services since FY 1996, due in part to the inadequacy of staff resources to supervise all offenders sentenced to probation at the level required by Standard. In FY2011 there were 13,131 offenders sentenced to private probation. Of these 7,998 were on supervision for a DUI/DWAI while 5,133 were sentenced for some other criminal offense. On June 30, 2011 there were 19,992 offenders being managed under private probation service contracts. Of these, 12,055 were on supervision for a DUI/DWAI while 7,937 were on supervision for some other criminal offense. In FY2011 private probation successfully terminated 8,582 DUI/DWAI offenders (85%) and another 4,202 criminal offenders (80%). By utilizing private probation for these lower risk offenders, State probation departments can concentrate their resources on the higher risk offenders.

DUI/DWAI Offenders (Table 38, Table 101)

In FY 2007 the Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety (ADDS) Program was fully integrated within each probation department. This integration provides an enhanced ability to deliver supervision for the higher risk portion of the Drinking/Driving offending population. The ADDS program conducts alcohol/drug evaluations and makes treatment recommendations for offenders convicted of driving under the influence of, or impaired by, drugs or alcohol. In FY 2011 the program completed 22,260 DUI/DWAI Evaluations for Colorado's county courts, excluding Denver County. In FY2011 there were 5,379 offenders sentenced to monitoring. On June 30, 2011 there were 7,420 DUI/DWAI offenders being monitored by State Probation. In FY2011 state probation successfully terminated 5,533 (74%) DUI monitored offenders. Other DUI/DWAI offenders who were sentenced to probation supervision are included in this report in the Adult Probation section but are not separately identified.

Victim Services (Table 96)

In FY 1993 Colorado's General Assembly enacted victims' rights legislation for victims of personal crime. In 1996, the Victims' Rights Act was amended to require Colorado Probation departments to provide victim services. Each of the state's 23 probation departments is providing services to victims when the offender is placed on probation. In addition to provision of referrals and general assistance, in FY 2011 Probation Victim Assistance Coordinators sent 16,482 letters to victims of crime informing them of their right to receive notification; of these victims seventeen percent (2,807) requested receipt of the statutorily required notification. There were a total of 12,417 critical probation notification events in which victims were notified.

TABLE 38

**Grand Totals - Probation
Adult Regular, Private, Juvenile Regular, Intensive Programs, Monitoring and Investigations for
FY2010 and FY2011**

	Investigations/DUI Evaluations		New Clients		Closures		Active as of June 30 (Supervision/Monitoring)	
	FY2010	FY2011	FY2010	FY2011	FY2010	FY2011	FY2010	FY2011
State Adult Regular	14,133	13,625	26,336	28,903	20,891	21,353	41,107	43,705
Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP)			1,434	1,379	1,558	1,331	1,408	1,443
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP)			473	491	409	342	1,301	1,416
Female Offender Program (FOP)			218	218	196	213	262	258
Private Probation Non-DUI/DWAI			5,767	5,133	5,983	5,280	9,067	7,937
Private Probation DUI/DWAI			9,858	7,998	10,706	10,084	14,126	12,055
State Monitored DUI/DWAI	25,454	22,260	8,436	5,379	9,049	7,653	11,448	7,420
Grand Total Adult Population	39,587	35,885	52,522	49,501	48,792	46,256	78,719	74,234

	Investigations		New Clients		Closures		Active as of June 30 (Supervision)	
	FY2010	FY2011	FY2010	FY2011	FY2010	FY2011	FY2010	FY2011
State Juvenile	2,151	2,261	4,746	4,637	4,527	4,019	5,946	5,676
Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP)			524	402	464	456	452	386
Grand Total Juvenile Population	2,151	2,261	5,270	5,039	4,991	4,475	6,398	6,062

**FY 2011
Colorado Adult Probation
State Regular and Non-DUI/DWAI Private Combined
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

Note: Because adult state and private programs are reported separately, this summary table was created to identify termination rates for all non-DUI adult probationers. A similar table was not created for juveniles because they are not supervised by private.

DISTRICT	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS						UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS						Total Terminations					
	State			Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)			State			Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)			State			Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)		
	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	%
1	1,025	579	68%	1,604	304	16%	378	74	19%	262	99	36%	361	1,591	752	15%	2,343	100%
2	1,143	0	61%	1,143	313	17%	313	0	0%	415	0	0%	415	1,871	0	22%	1,871	100%
3	166	0	82%	166	15	7%	15	0	0%	22	0	0%	22	203	0	11%	203	100%
4	775	425	63%	1,200	256	17%	316	60	17%	193	189	38%	382	1,224	674	20%	1,898	100%
5	452	42	75%	494	63	10%	64	1	1%	98	3	10%	101	613	46	15%	659	100%
6	248	23	71%	271	60	16%	60	0	0%	51	2	14%	53	359	25	14%	384	100%
7	273	43	83%	316	29	8%	29	0	0%	33	2	9%	35	335	45	9%	380	100%
8	1,025	430	73%	1,455	224	14%	275	51	14%	204	67	14%	271	1,453	548	14%	2,001	100%
9	241	67	81%	308	14	4%	14	0	0%	40	17	4%	57	295	84	15%	379	100%
10	471	87	61%	558	216	61%	222	6	2%	125	8	15%	133	812	101	15%	913	100%
11	419	63	72%	482	127	26%	130	3	2%	58	4	9%	62	604	70	9%	674	100%
12	197	50	67%	247	73	29%	77	4	5%	42	3	7%	45	312	57	12%	369	100%
13	286	66	81%	352	34	12%	51	17	33%	32	1	3%	33	352	84	8%	436	100%
14	217	0	83%	217	23	9%	23	0	0%	21	0	0%	21	261	0	8%	261	100%
15	91	0	82%	91	12	11%	12	0	0%	8	0	7%	8	111	0	7%	111	100%
16	116	0	74%	116	29	18%	29	0	0%	12	0	12%	12	157	0	8%	157	100%
17	2,178	405	74%	2,583	425	13%	455	30	6%	367	63	12%	430	2,970	498	12%	3,468	100%
18	1,617	994	70%	2,611	558	16%	598	40	6%	469	39	14%	508	2,644	1,073	14%	3,717	100%
19	526	184	67%	710	220	24%	250	30	12%	81	18	9%	99	827	232	9%	1,059	100%
20	442	498	74%	940	123	16%	198	75	16%	72	65	13%	137	637	638	11%	1,275	100%
21	362	228	77%	590	58	10%	77	19	10%	70	29	13%	99	490	276	13%	766	100%
22	137	18	64%	155	46	20%	48	2	4%	39	2	17%	41	222	22	17%	244	100%
STATE	12,407	4,202	70%	16,609	3,222	15%	3,634	412	11%	2,714	611	14%	3,325	18,343	5,225	14%	23,568	100%

Key: Successful Terminations: This number includes the state successful terminations and private (Non-DUI/DWAI) successful terminations.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who became fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: This table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of probation closures are included in Table 48 and Table 61.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Regular Adult Probation



**FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
Investigations**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre-Sentence Investigations		DUI/DWAI Evaluations ¹		Updated Pre- Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	250	6%	1,212	31%	2,206	56%	40	1%	0	0%	33	1%	165	4%	3,906	100%
2	214	14%	1,173	74%	21	1%	0	0%	0	0%	95	6%	74	5%	1,577	100%
3	1	0%	65	28%	154	65%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%	8	3%	236	100%
4	145	3%	875	20%	2,957	69%	5	0%	62	1%	123	3%	119	3%	4,286	100%
5	18	2%	115	12%	816	82%	2	0%	1	0%	14	1%	31	3%	997	100%
6	21	3%	375	46%	394	49%	1	0%	0	0%	3	0%	18	2%	812	100%
7	5	1%	101	13%	610	78%	11	1%	16	2%	7	1%	36	5%	786	100%
8	65	2%	598	21%	1,808	64%	85	3%	0	0%	55	2%	223	8%	2,834	100%
9	2	0%	172	16%	601	55%	24	2%	261	24%	5	0%	34	3%	1,099	100%
10	36	3%	330	25%	828	63%	18	1%	43	3%	0	0%	58	4%	1,313	100%
11	26	5%	104	21%	315	63%	8	2%	4	1%	17	3%	24	5%	498	100%
12	12	1%	173	20%	379	44%	2	0%	277	32%	3	0%	9	1%	855	100%
13	42	6%	221	30%	387	53%	17	2%	1	0%	7	1%	53	7%	728	100%
14	14	2%	106	17%	403	67%	6	1%	11	2%	6	1%	60	10%	606	100%
15	5	4%	24	18%	101	75%	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	2	1%	135	100%
16	4	3%	41	26%	81	52%	6	4%	12	8%	4	3%	9	6%	157	100%
17	154	3%	1,149	25%	3,202	69%	0	0%	17	0%	48	1%	102	2%	4,672	100%
18	166	4%	486	11%	3,505	80%	0	0%	0	0%	116	3%	107	2%	4,380	100%
19	100	4%	1,051	39%	1,292	48%	2	0%	0	0%	14	1%	232	9%	2,691	100%
20	17	1%	299	19%	1,193	75%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	91	6%	1,600	100%
21	70	5%	293	23%	814	63%	4	0%	0	0%	21	2%	86	7%	1,288	100%
22	14	3%	204	48%	193	45%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	16	4%	429	100%
STATE	1,381	4%	9,167	26%	22,260	62%	231	1%	705	2%	584	2%	1,557	4%	35,885	100%

Denver County²	0	0%	0	0%	2,722	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2,722	100%
STATE TOTAL (with Denver County)	1,381	4%	9,167	24%	24,982	65%	231	1%	705	2%	584	2%	1,557	4%	38,607	100%

¹DUI/DWAI Evaluations: In previous annual reports this data was in Table 94. As of FY2008 this information is now included in this table.

²Denver County: Colorado Judicial contracts with the Denver County Court for alcohol evaluations. Denver County Court is not part of the State Court data system. Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 41

FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Offense Type

TYPE: DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class)		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	944	29%	2,179	68%	16	0%	18	1%	55	2%	3,212	100%
2	1,715	72%	621	26%	0	0%	0	0%	36	2%	2,372	100%
3	70	23%	227	73%	1	0%	2	1%	9	3%	309	100%
4	1,139	46%	1,244	50%	5	0%	2	0%	113	5%	2,503	100%
5	209	25%	603	72%	6	1%	6	1%	10	1%	834	100%
6	188	34%	354	65%	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	545	100%
7	182	36%	302	60%	4	1%	0	0%	15	3%	503	100%
8	636	36%	1,046	59%	22	1%	11	1%	57	3%	1,772	100%
9	148	44%	163	49%	6	2%	3	1%	13	4%	333	100%
10	353	32%	703	64%	2	0%	5	0%	34	3%	1,097	100%
11	149	22%	483	73%	10	2%	3	0%	20	3%	665	100%
12	88	19%	342	73%	11	2%	3	1%	23	5%	467	100%
13	204	40%	289	57%	3	1%	4	1%	6	1%	506	100%
14	93	26%	252	70%	4	1%	3	1%	10	3%	362	100%
15	44	33%	84	63%	1	1%	0	0%	4	3%	133	100%
16	83	30%	184	67%	4	1%	1	0%	3	1%	275	100%
17	1,041	22%	3,508	74%	142	3%	11	0%	54	1%	4,756	100%
18	1,487	33%	2,873	64%	17	0%	25	1%	97	2%	4,499	100%
19	709	47%	741	49%	7	0%	6	0%	35	2%	1,498	100%
20	368	29%	818	65%	23	2%	1	0%	49	4%	1,259	100%
21	359	48%	364	49%	0	0%	3	0%	22	3%	748	100%
22	104	41%	144	56%	2	1%	1	0%	4	2%	255	100%
STATE	10,313	36%	17,524	61%	286	1%	109	0%	671	2%	28,903	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Court of Origin

TYPE: DISTRICT	District Court		County Court		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,359	42%	1,805	56%	48	1%	3,212	100%
2	2,330	98%	11	0%	31	1%	2,372	100%
3	107	35%	195	63%	7	2%	309	100%
4	1,287	51%	1,107	44%	109	4%	2,503	100%
5	323	39%	504	60%	7	1%	834	100%
6	265	49%	278	51%	2	0%	545	100%
7	244	49%	246	49%	13	3%	503	100%
8	768	43%	950	54%	54	3%	1,772	100%
9	179	54%	141	42%	13	4%	333	100%
10	609	56%	463	42%	25	2%	1,097	100%
11	237	36%	411	62%	17	3%	665	100%
12	202	43%	250	54%	15	3%	467	100%
13	307	61%	193	38%	6	1%	506	100%
14	155	43%	198	55%	9	2%	362	100%
15	89	67%	41	31%	3	2%	133	100%
16	121	44%	151	55%	3	1%	275	100%
17	1,830	38%	2,875	60%	51	1%	4,756	100%
18	1,685	37%	2,737	61%	77	2%	4,499	100%
19	857	57%	606	40%	35	2%	1,498	100%
20	545	43%	676	54%	38	3%	1,259	100%
21	453	61%	277	37%	18	2%	748	100%
22	137	54%	114	45%	4	2%	255	100%
STATE	14,089	49%	14,229	49%	585	2%	28,903	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 43

FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type

TYPE: DISTRICT	Probation		Probation Re-sentenced		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Courtesy Supervision from Other Colorado Probation Districts		Direct Sentence Community Corrections		Deferred Judgment and Sentence		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2,732	85%	102	3%	48	1%	173	5%	130	4%	27	1%	3,212	100%
2	1,574	66%	101	4%	31	1%	154	6%	131	6%	381	16%	2,372	100%
3	154	50%	1	0%	7	2%	33	11%	5	2%	109	35%	309	100%
4	1,698	68%	56	2%	109	4%	247	10%	111	4%	282	11%	2,503	100%
5	502	60%	8	1%	7	1%	116	14%	12	1%	189	23%	834	100%
6	371	68%	4	1%	2	0%	35	6%	7	1%	126	23%	545	100%
7	269	53%	11	2%	13	3%	79	16%	11	2%	120	24%	503	100%
8	1,156	65%	30	2%	54	3%	182	10%	55	3%	295	17%	1,772	100%
9	208	62%	3	1%	13	4%	28	8%	13	4%	68	20%	333	100%
10	634	58%	15	1%	25	2%	145	13%	50	5%	228	21%	1,097	100%
11	362	54%	9	1%	18	3%	76	11%	6	1%	194	29%	665	100%
12	284	61%	9	2%	15	3%	49	10%	11	2%	99	21%	467	100%
13	322	64%	6	1%	6	1%	84	17%	14	3%	74	15%	506	100%
14	197	54%	5	1%	9	2%	60	17%	7	2%	84	23%	362	100%
15	89	67%	0	0%	3	2%	20	15%	4	3%	17	13%	133	100%
16	180	65%	0	0%	3	1%	58	21%	5	2%	29	11%	275	100%
17	3,844	81%	85	2%	51	1%	374	8%	179	4%	223	5%	4,756	100%
18	3,048	68%	81	2%	77	2%	256	6%	151	3%	886	20%	4,499	100%
19	914	61%	16	1%	35	2%	178	12%	70	5%	285	19%	1,498	100%
20	919	73%	27	2%	38	3%	115	9%	28	2%	132	10%	1,259	100%
21	548	73%	19	3%	18	2%	61	8%	50	7%	52	7%	748	100%
22	164	64%	3	1%	4	2%	27	11%	3	1%	54	21%	255	100%
STATE	20,169	70%	591	2%	586	2%	2,550	9%	1,053	4%	3,954	14%	28,903	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Gender

TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	812	25%	2,395	75%	5	0%	3,212	100%
2	543	23%	1,824	77%	5	0%	2,372	100%
3	73	24%	236	76%	0	0%	309	100%
4	602	24%	1,900	76%	1	0%	2,503	100%
5	141	17%	693	83%	0	0%	834	100%
6	138	25%	407	75%	0	0%	545	100%
7	116	23%	385	77%	2	0%	503	100%
8	393	22%	1,379	78%	0	0%	1,772	100%
9	71	21%	260	78%	2	1%	333	100%
10	306	28%	791	72%	0	0%	1,097	100%
11	171	26%	494	74%	0	0%	665	100%
12	100	21%	366	78%	1	0%	467	100%
13	117	23%	389	77%	0	0%	506	100%
14	82	23%	278	77%	2	1%	362	100%
15	31	23%	102	77%	0	0%	133	100%
16	67	24%	208	76%	0	0%	275	100%
17	1,220	26%	3,535	74%	1	0%	4,756	100%
18	1,228	27%	3,271	73%	0	0%	4,499	100%
19	391	26%	1,107	74%	0	0%	1,498	100%
20	310	25%	948	75%	1	0%	1,259	100%
21	174	23%	570	76%	4	1%	748	100%
22	72	28%	183	72%	0	0%	255	100%
STATE	7,158	25%	21,721	75%	24	0%	28,903	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 45

**FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Age**

TYPE: DISTRICT	12-17 Years*		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	25	1%	280	9%	571	18%	875	27%	518	16%	942	29%	1	0%	3,212	100%
2	2	0%	330	14%	408	17%	646	27%	344	15%	641	27%	1	0%	2,372	100%
3	2	1%	39	13%	50	16%	65	21%	43	14%	109	35%	1	0%	309	100%
4	8	0%	229	9%	429	17%	712	28%	426	17%	699	28%	0	0%	2,503	100%
5	7	1%	54	6%	158	19%	226	27%	154	18%	235	28%	0	0%	834	100%
6	0	0%	68	12%	104	19%	157	29%	69	13%	147	27%	0	0%	545	100%
7	1	0%	55	11%	88	17%	114	23%	76	15%	169	34%	0	0%	503	100%
8	6	0%	249	14%	368	21%	510	29%	208	12%	431	24%	0	0%	1,772	100%
9	1	0%	29	9%	63	19%	90	27%	51	15%	99	30%	0	0%	333	100%
10	2	0%	151	14%	205	19%	318	29%	148	13%	273	25%	0	0%	1,097	100%
11	5	1%	66	10%	92	14%	163	25%	92	14%	247	37%	0	0%	665	100%
12	4	1%	56	12%	82	18%	138	30%	56	12%	131	28%	0	0%	467	100%
13	0	0%	79	16%	82	16%	130	26%	84	17%	131	26%	0	0%	506	100%
14	0	0%	43	12%	51	14%	97	27%	53	15%	118	33%	0	0%	362	100%
15	0	0%	18	14%	25	19%	38	29%	15	11%	37	28%	0	0%	133	100%
16	1	0%	22	8%	46	17%	75	27%	47	17%	84	31%	0	0%	275	100%
17	13	0%	445	9%	849	18%	1,444	30%	785	17%	1,220	26%	0	0%	4,756	100%
18	28	1%	540	12%	767	17%	1,209	27%	719	16%	1,236	27%	0	0%	4,499	100%
19	1	0%	209	14%	232	15%	445	30%	234	16%	377	25%	0	0%	1,498	100%
20	14	1%	136	11%	298	24%	311	25%	176	14%	324	26%	0	0%	1,259	100%
21	7	1%	91	12%	121	16%	192	26%	109	15%	228	30%	0	0%	748	100%
22	0	0%	25	10%	48	19%	62	24%	46	18%	74	29%	0	0%	255	100%
STATE	127	0%	3,214	11%	5,137	18%	8,017	28%	4,453	15%	7,952	28%	3	0%	28,903	100%

*12-17 Years: DUI/DWI and underage consumption convictions for offenders under the age of 18 are captured as "adult offenses".

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 46

FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Ethnic Group

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2,630	82%	116	4%	40	1%	383	12%	26	1%	17	1%	3,212	100%
2	1,236	52%	613	26%	20	1%	476	20%	15	1%	12	1%	2,372	100%
3	215	70%	3	1%	1	0%	83	27%	4	1%	3	1%	309	100%
4	1,693	68%	386	15%	28	1%	355	14%	15	1%	26	1%	2,503	100%
5	681	82%	9	1%	2	0%	138	17%	3	0%	1	0%	834	100%
6	422	77%	10	2%	1	0%	51	9%	56	10%	5	1%	545	100%
7	432	86%	11	2%	1	0%	53	11%	3	1%	3	1%	503	100%
8	1,577	89%	42	2%	10	1%	126	7%	9	1%	8	0%	1,772	100%
9	304	91%	3	1%	2	1%	22	7%	0	0%	2	1%	333	100%
10	642	59%	50	5%	2	0%	371	34%	12	1%	20	2%	1,097	100%
11	623	94%	9	1%	1	0%	18	3%	6	1%	8	1%	665	100%
12	216	46%	10	2%	0	0%	227	49%	13	3%	1	0%	467	100%
13	422	83%	14	3%	0	0%	66	13%	2	0%	2	0%	506	100%
14	333	92%	5	1%	2	1%	18	5%	1	0%	3	1%	362	100%
15	95	71%	5	4%	0	0%	33	25%	0	0%	0	0%	133	100%
16	178	65%	6	2%	0	0%	89	32%	0	0%	2	1%	275	100%
17	4,059	85%	345	7%	40	1%	284	6%	13	0%	15	0%	4,756	100%
18	3,228	72%	722	16%	85	2%	407	9%	20	0%	37	1%	4,499	100%
19	1,215	81%	32	2%	3	0%	244	16%	3	0%	1	0%	1,498	100%
20	1,113	88%	36	3%	10	1%	85	7%	4	0%	11	1%	1,259	100%
21	598	80%	26	3%	4	1%	95	13%	10	1%	15	2%	748	100%
22	156	61%	4	2%	0	0%	23	9%	64	25%	8	3%	255	100%
STATE	22,068	76%	2,457	9%	252	1%	3,647	13%	279	1%	200	1%	28,903	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

TYPE: DISTRICT	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,025	64%	304	19%	262	16%	1,591	100%
2	1,143	61%	313	17%	415	22%	1,871	100%
3	166	82%	15	7%	22	11%	203	100%
4	775	63%	256	21%	193	16%	1,224	100%
5	452	74%	63	10%	98	16%	613	100%
6	248	69%	60	17%	51	14%	359	100%
7	273	81%	29	9%	33	10%	335	100%
8	1,025	71%	224	15%	204	14%	1,453	100%
9	241	82%	14	5%	40	14%	295	100%
10	471	58%	216	27%	125	15%	812	100%
11	419	69%	127	21%	58	10%	604	100%
12	197	63%	73	23%	42	13%	312	100%
13	286	81%	34	10%	32	9%	352	100%
14	217	83%	23	9%	21	8%	261	100%
15	91	82%	12	11%	8	7%	111	100%
16	116	74%	29	18%	12	8%	157	100%
17	2,178	73%	425	14%	367	12%	2,970	100%
18	1,617	61%	558	21%	469	18%	2,644	100%
19	526	64%	220	27%	81	10%	827	100%
20	442	69%	123	19%	72	11%	637	100%
21	362	74%	58	12%	70	14%	490	100%
22	137	62%	46	21%	39	18%	222	100%
STATE	12,407	68%	3,222	18%	2,714	15%	18,343	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number is exclusively state successful terminations.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who became fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: This table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of state adult probation closures are included in Table 48.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
All Probation Supervision Closure Types

TYPE: DISTRICT	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,025	52%	304	15%	262	13%	297	15%	16	1%	21	1%	54	3%	1,979	100%
2	1,143	49%	313	13%	415	18%	252	11%	126	5%	15	1%	75	3%	2,339	100%
3	166	78%	15	7%	22	10%	7	3%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	213	100%
4	775	50%	256	17%	193	12%	195	13%	40	3%	13	1%	75	5%	1,547	100%
5	452	67%	63	9%	98	14%	17	3%	42	6%	2	0%	3	0%	677	100%
6	248	63%	60	15%	51	13%	24	6%	1	0%	2	1%	6	2%	392	100%
7	273	74%	29	8%	33	9%	17	5%	3	1%	5	1%	8	2%	368	100%
8	1,025	65%	224	14%	204	13%	89	6%	3	0%	13	1%	20	1%	1,578	100%
9	241	74%	14	4%	40	12%	23	7%	3	1%	4	1%	0	0%	325	100%
10	471	50%	216	23%	125	13%	113	12%	2	0%	15	2%	1	0%	943	100%
11	419	67%	127	20%	58	9%	10	2%	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%	622	100%
12	197	55%	73	20%	42	12%	38	11%	1	0%	3	1%	5	1%	359	100%
13	286	68%	34	8%	32	8%	51	12%	8	2%	4	1%	3	1%	418	100%
14	217	80%	23	8%	21	8%	8	3%	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%	272	100%
15	91	79%	12	10%	8	7%	3	3%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	115	100%
16	116	66%	29	17%	12	7%	14	8%	0	0%	4	2%	0	0%	175	100%
17	2,178	64%	425	12%	367	11%	391	11%	46	1%	7	0%	14	0%	3,428	100%
18	1,617	53%	558	18%	469	15%	309	10%	28	1%	38	1%	20	1%	3,039	100%
19	526	50%	220	21%	81	8%	145	14%	72	7%	6	1%	7	1%	1,057	100%
20	442	60%	123	17%	72	10%	55	7%	9	1%	5	1%	28	4%	734	100%
21	362	68%	58	11%	70	13%	29	5%	2	0%	7	1%	6	1%	534	100%
22	137	57%	46	19%	39	16%	11	5%	4	2%	2	1%	0	0%	239	100%
STATE	12,407	58%	3,222	15%	2,714	13%	2,098	10%	406	2%	175	1%	331	2%	21,353	100%

Courtesy Transfers ¹		DISTRICT
Number		
145		1
191		2
25		3
174		4
83		5
21		6
79		7
181		8
53		9
138		10
52		11
53		12
51		13
68		14
28		15
45		16
306		17
223		18
159		19
115		20
49		21
28		22
2,267		STATE

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 49

FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
Type of Revocation

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	55	18%	66	22%	183	60%	304	100%
2	61	19%	46	15%	206	66%	313	100%
3	0	0%	3	20%	12	80%	15	100%
4	45	18%	34	13%	177	69%	256	100%
5	10	16%	19	30%	34	54%	63	100%
6	8	13%	11	18%	41	68%	60	100%
7	2	7%	8	28%	19	66%	29	100%
8	44	20%	68	30%	112	50%	224	100%
9	3	21%	4	29%	7	50%	14	100%
10	22	10%	44	20%	150	69%	216	100%
11	12	9%	27	21%	88	69%	127	100%
12	3	4%	14	19%	56	77%	73	100%
13	5	15%	10	29%	19	56%	34	100%
14	3	13%	5	22%	15	65%	23	100%
15	0	0%	4	33%	8	67%	12	100%
16	5	17%	8	28%	16	55%	29	100%
17	62	15%	111	26%	252	59%	425	100%
18	72	13%	181	32%	305	55%	558	100%
19	37	17%	84	38%	99	45%	220	100%
20	19	15%	24	20%	80	65%	123	100%
21	23	40%	13	22%	22	38%	58	100%
22	3	7%	5	11%	38	83%	46	100%
STATE	494	15%	789	24%	1,939	60%	3,222	100%

Key:

New Felony: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
Length of Stay

DISTRICT	0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	683	35%	734	37%	278	14%	284	14%	1,979	100%
2	810	35%	910	39%	303	13%	316	14%	2,339	100%
3	107	50%	75	35%	25	12%	6	3%	213	100%
4	527	34%	480	31%	260	17%	280	18%	1,547	100%
5	306	45%	244	36%	74	11%	53	8%	677	100%
6	162	41%	139	35%	49	13%	42	11%	392	100%
7	124	34%	142	39%	56	15%	46	13%	368	100%
8	587	37%	593	38%	241	15%	157	10%	1,578	100%
9	76	23%	119	37%	77	24%	53	16%	325	100%
10	358	38%	351	37%	146	15%	88	9%	943	100%
11	287	46%	208	33%	69	11%	58	9%	622	100%
12	164	46%	127	35%	48	13%	20	6%	359	100%
13	139	33%	170	41%	50	12%	59	14%	418	100%
14	78	29%	113	42%	39	14%	42	15%	272	100%
15	49	43%	37	32%	14	12%	15	13%	115	100%
16	67	38%	75	43%	20	11%	13	7%	175	100%
17	1,688	49%	1,134	33%	355	10%	251	7%	3,428	100%
18	963	32%	1,142	38%	495	16%	439	14%	3,039	100%
19	378	36%	371	35%	163	15%	145	14%	1,057	100%
20	226	31%	279	38%	122	17%	107	15%	734	100%
21	126	24%	205	38%	122	23%	81	15%	534	100%
22	115	48%	89	37%	25	10%	10	4%	239	100%
STATE	8,020	38%	7,737	36%	3,031	14%	2,565	12%	21,353	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2011

Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Domestic Violence ¹		Sex Offender ²		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE PROBATION CLIENTS		
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
DISTRICT	91	2%	395	9%	1,088	24%	1,085	24%	527	12%	353	8%	576	13%	214	5%	177	4%	4,506	100%	
1	37	1%	782	18%	1,124	25%	1,071	24%	857	19%	344	8%	112	3%	40	1%	73	2%	4,440	100%	
2	16	4%	64	15%	135	31%	145	33%	26	6%	8	2%	18	4%	12	3%	11	3%	435	100%	
3	124	3%	474	11%	882	21%	850	20%	577	14%	56	1%	827	20%	158	4%	231	6%	4,179	100%	
4	42	5%	89	10%	173	19%	243	27%	122	13%	36	4%	137	15%	16	2%	46	5%	904	100%	
5	23	3%	66	9%	139	19%	127	17%	87	12%	17	2%	152	20%	40	5%	98	13%	749	100%	
6	17	2%	139	16%	201	23%	279	32%	74	8%	56	6%	52	6%	30	3%	37	4%	885	100%	
7	80	3%	313	12%	717	27%	553	21%	272	10%	283	11%	172	6%	69	3%	194	7%	2,653	100%	
8	16	3%	67	13%	103	20%	98	19%	82	16%	34	7%	32	6%	17	3%	66	13%	515	100%	
9	60	4%	205	12%	465	27%	278	16%	265	16%	111	7%	251	15%	39	2%	28	2%	1,702	100%	
10	55	6%	50	6%	217	25%	226	26%	90	10%	19	2%	179	20%	18	2%	30	3%	884	100%	
11	21	4%	94	17%	156	28%	135	24%	44	8%	23	4%	70	13%	4	1%	11	2%	558	100%	
12	27	3%	104	12%	168	20%	182	21%	107	13%	75	9%	102	12%	27	3%	60	7%	852	100%	
13	24	4%	50	8%	122	18%	190	29%	50	8%	38	6%	116	18%	11	2%	59	9%	660	100%	
14	4	2%	39	16%	58	24%	66	27%	32	13%	14	6%	10	4%	9	4%	9	4%	241	100%	
15	8	2%	80	18%	107	25%	94	22%	51	12%	22	5%	55	13%	8	2%	8	2%	433	100%	
16	143	2%	401	6%	1,216	19%	2,281	35%	1,023	16%	447	7%	803	12%	63	1%	118	2%	6,495	100%	
17	240	4%	493	8%	2,225	35%	856	13%	927	14%	474	7%	745	12%	179	3%	307	5%	6,446	100%	
18	45	2%	198	9%	498	23%	435	20%	263	12%	192	9%	406	19%	38	2%	93	4%	2,168	100%	
19	18	1%	283	17%	343	21%	332	20%	319	20%	104	6%	48	3%	90	6%	98	6%	1,635	100%	
20	27	1%	133	7%	475	23%	503	25%	205	10%	323	16%	196	10%	53	3%	131	6%	2,046	100%	
21	5	2%	38	12%	64	20%	83	26%	19	6%	8	3%	68	21%	15	5%	19	6%	319	100%	
22	1,123	3%	4,557	10%	10,676	24%	10,112	23%	6,019	14%	3,037	7%	5,127	12%	1,150	3%	1,904	4%	43,705	100%	

¹Domestic Violence: A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 52.

²Sex Offender: A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 52.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2011

Risk Level:	Domestic Violence Offenders										TOTAL DV OFFENDERS	
	DV Maximum		DV Medium		DV Minimum		DV Administrative					
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	250	43%	209	36%	89	15%	28	5%	576	100%		
2	59	53%	36	32%	14	13%	3	3%	112	100%		
3	4	22%	10	56%	4	22%	0	0%	18	100%		
4	280	34%	298	36%	185	22%	64	8%	827	100%		
5	21	15%	63	46%	43	31%	10	7%	137	100%		
6	65	43%	62	41%	18	12%	7	5%	152	100%		
7	8	15%	27	52%	17	33%	0	0%	52	100%		
8	79	46%	83	48%	10	6%	0	0%	172	100%		
9	17	53%	6	19%	7	22%	2	6%	32	100%		
10	113	45%	86	34%	19	8%	33	13%	251	100%		
11	48	27%	98	55%	33	18%	0	0%	179	100%		
12	24	34%	25	36%	21	30%	0	0%	70	100%		
13	34	33%	39	38%	28	27%	1	1%	102	100%		
14	55	47%	30	26%	27	23%	4	3%	116	100%		
15	3	30%	5	50%	2	20%	0	0%	10	100%		
16	10	18%	26	47%	14	25%	5	9%	55	100%		
17	348	43%	342	43%	88	11%	25	3%	803	100%		
18	94	13%	592	79%	41	6%	18	2%	745	100%		
19	92	23%	117	29%	184	45%	13	3%	406	100%		
20	15	31%	11	23%	11	23%	11	23%	48	100%		
21	38	19%	122	62%	26	13%	10	5%	196	100%		
22	17	25%	45	66%	6	9%	0	0%	68	100%		
STATE	1,674	33%	2,332	45%	887	17%	234	5%	5,127	100%		

Risk Level:	Sex Offenders (Non-SOISP)*										TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS	
	Sex Offender Maximum		Sex Offender Medium		Sex Offender Minimum		Sex Offender Administrative					
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	60	28%	74	35%	77	36%	3	1%	214	100%		
2	24	60%	8	20%	2	5%	6	15%	40	100%		
3	6	50%	3	25%	3	25%	0	0%	12	100%		
4	39	25%	71	45%	44	28%	4	3%	158	100%		
5	6	38%	7	44%	3	19%	0	0%	16	100%		
6	7	18%	14	35%	16	40%	3	8%	40	100%		
7	4	13%	15	50%	10	33%	1	3%	30	100%		
8	28	41%	12	17%	5	7%	24	35%	69	100%		
9	2	12%	8	47%	6	35%	1	6%	17	100%		
10	19	49%	9	23%	10	26%	1	3%	39	100%		
11	8	44%	6	33%	4	22%	0	0%	18	100%		
12	2	50%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%		
13	6	22%	8	30%	9	33%	4	15%	27	100%		
14	2	18%	7	64%	2	18%	0	0%	11	100%		
15	8	89%	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	9	100%		
16	3	38%	1	13%	4	50%	0	0%	8	100%		
17	27	43%	28	44%	7	11%	1	2%	63	100%		
18	49	27%	65	36%	36	20%	29	16%	179	100%		
19	12	32%	17	45%	6	16%	3	8%	38	100%		
20	28	31%	31	34%	29	32%	2	2%	90	100%		
21	16	30%	19	36%	16	30%	2	4%	53	100%		
22	12	80%	3	20%	0	0%	0	0%	15	100%		
STATE	368	32%	407	35%	291	25%	84	7%	1,150	100%		

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

*SOISP's (Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation) active client numbers can be found in Table 96

FY 2011
Colorado State Adult and All Private Adult Probation
Active Clients on June 30, 2011

DISTRICT	TOTAL STATE SUPERVISED CRIMINAL CLIENTS		TOTAL NON-DUI/DWAI CRIMINAL PRIVATE CLIENTS		TOTAL DUI/DWAI PRIVATE CLIENTS		TOTAL COLORADO PROBATION POPULATION	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	4,506	57%	1,203	15%	2,194	28%	7,903	100%
2	4,440	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4,440	100%
3	435	100%	0	0%	0	0%	435	100%
4	4,179	69%	943	16%	958	16%	6,080	100%
5	904	86%	70	7%	74	7%	1,048	100%
6	749	88%	56	7%	44	5%	849	100%
7	885	88%	70	7%	50	5%	1,005	100%
8	2,653	58%	777	17%	1,127	25%	4,557	100%
9	515	62%	236	28%	78	9%	829	100%
10	1,702	73%	116	5%	525	22%	2,343	100%
11	884	79%	54	5%	175	16%	1,113	100%
12	558	69%	53	7%	192	24%	803	100%
13	852	88%	102	11%	10	1%	964	100%
14	660	100%	0	0%	0	0%	660	100%
15	241	100%	0	0%	0	0%	241	100%
16	433	100%	0	0%	0	0%	433	100%
17	6,495	78%	595	7%	1,250	15%	8,340	100%
18	6,446	59%	1,526	14%	3,044	28%	11,016	100%
19	2,168	69%	501	16%	469	15%	3,138	100%
20	1,635	39%	1,126	27%	1,409	34%	4,170	100%
21	2,046	71%	491	17%	348	12%	2,885	100%
22	319	72%	18	4%	108	24%	445	100%
STATE	43,705	69%	7,937	12%	12,055	19%	63,697	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Private Probation



**FY 2011
Colorado Private Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type**

NON- DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION						
TYPE: DISTRICT	Direct Sentence ¹		Transfer from State Probation ²		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	59	7%	772	93%	831	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	506	100%	506	100%
5	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%
6	0	0%	33	100%	33	100%
7	0	0%	29	100%	29	100%
8	0	0%	732	100%	732	100%
9	3	1%	198	99%	201	100%
10	0	0%	34	100%	34	100%
11	18	42%	25	58%	43	100%
12	1	2%	58	98%	59	100%
13	101	99%	1	1%	102	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	584	100%	584	100%
18	0	0%	471	100%	471	100%
19	0	0%	291	100%	291	100%
20	511	66%	267	34%	778	100%
21	2	0%	414	100%	416	100%
22	15	83%	3	17%	18	100%
STATE	710	14%	4,423	86%	5,133	100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION						
TYPE: DISTRICT	Direct Sentence ¹		Transfer from State Probation ²		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	14	2%	877	98%	891	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	721	100%	721	100%
5	1	4%	25	96%	26	100%
6	0	0%	29	100%	29	100%
7	0	0%	13	100%	13	100%
8	0	0%	1,299	100%	1,299	100%
9	4	8%	49	92%	53	100%
10	0	0%	531	100%	531	100%
11	114	87%	17	13%	131	100%
12	0	0%	180	100%	180	100%
13	5	71%	2	29%	7	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	2	0%	961	100%	963	100%
18	0	0%	1,696	100%	1,696	100%
19	0	0%	336	100%	336	100%
20	23	3%	813	97%	836	100%
21	1	1%	179	99%	180	100%
22	97	92%	9	8%	106	100%
STATE	261	3%	7,737	97%	7,998	100%

¹**Direct Sentence:** A probationer ordered by the court to be supervised by a private provider. This is not a uniform practice among courts.

²**Transfer from State Probation:** A probationer originally sentenced to state probation but later determined appropriate for and supervised by a private provider.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2011
Colorado Private Probation
New Clients by Gender**

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION									
TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS		
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
1	248	30%	575	69%	8	1%	831	100%	
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
4	151	30%	355	75%	0	0%	506	105%	
5	2	40%	3	60%	0	0%	5	100%	
6	8	24%	25	76%	0	0%	33	100%	
7	8	28%	21	72%	0	0%	29	100%	
8	221	30%	511	70%	0	0%	732	100%	
9	52	26%	148	74%	1	0%	201	100%	
10	10	29%	24	71%	0	0%	34	100%	
11	10	23%	33	77%	0	0%	43	100%	
12	18	31%	41	69%	0	0%	59	100%	
13	30	29%	72	71%	0	0%	102	100%	
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
17	213	36%	371	64%	0	0%	584	100%	
18	153	32%	318	68%	0	0%	471	100%	
19	78	27%	213	73%	0	0%	291	100%	
20	211	27%	567	73%	0	0%	778	100%	
21	115	28%	300	72%	1	0%	416	100%	
22	4	22%	14	78%	0	0%	18	100%	
STATE	1,532	30%	3,591	70%	10	0%	5,133	100%	

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION									
TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS		
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
1	280	31%	610	68%	1	0%	891	100%	
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
4	189	26%	532	74%	0	0%	721	100%	
5	5	19%	21	81%	0	0%	26	100%	
6	10	34%	19	66%	0	0%	29	100%	
7	3	23%	10	77%	0	0%	13	100%	
8	362	28%	937	72%	0	0%	1,299	100%	
9	10	19%	43	81%	0	0%	53	100%	
10	174	33%	357	67%	0	0%	531	100%	
11	41	31%	90	69%	0	0%	131	100%	
12	51	28%	129	72%	0	0%	180	100%	
13	1	14%	6	86%	0	0%	7	100%	
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
17	283	29%	680	71%	0	0%	963	100%	
18	558	33%	1,138	67%	0	0%	1,696	100%	
19	69	21%	267	79%	0	0%	336	100%	
20	251	30%	585	70%	0	0%	836	100%	
21	46	26%	134	74%	0	0%	180	100%	
22	45	42%	61	58%	0	0%	106	100%	
STATE	2,378	30%	5,619	70%	1	0%	7,998	100%	

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2011
Colorado Private Probation: DUI/DWAI
New Clients by Age**

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION																
TYPE:	12-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	56	6%	146	16%	246	28%	136	15%	307	34%	0	0%	891	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	2	0%	54	7%	150	21%	231	32%	98	14%	186	26%	0	0%	721	100%
5	0	2%	1	2%	3	12%	8	31%	5	19%	9	35%	0	0%	26	100%
6	0	0%	2	15%	4	14%	11	38%	1	3%	11	38%	0	0%	29	100%
7	0	0%	1	8%	2	15%	3	23%	2	15%	5	38%	0	0%	13	100%
8	10	1%	142	11%	346	27%	341	26%	134	10%	326	25%	0	0%	1,299	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	8	15%	12	23%	9	17%	24	45%	0	0%	53	100%
10	3	1%	54	10%	112	21%	129	24%	79	15%	154	29%	0	0%	531	100%
11	0	0%	7	5%	21	16%	26	20%	22	17%	55	42%	0	0%	131	100%
12	5	3%	21	12%	46	26%	43	24%	23	13%	42	23%	0	0%	180	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	1	14%	2	29%	3	43%	0	0%	7	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	6	1%	93	10%	208	22%	316	33%	139	14%	201	21%	0	0%	963	100%
18	1	0%	112	7%	329	19%	474	28%	277	16%	503	30%	0	0%	1,696	100%
19	0	0%	27	8%	70	21%	98	29%	53	16%	88	26%	0	0%	336	100%
20	9	1%	81	10%	198	24%	222	27%	93	11%	233	28%	0	0%	836	100%
21	0	0%	20	11%	34	19%	41	23%	24	13%	61	34%	0	0%	180	100%
22	1	1%	8	8%	13	12%	33	31%	22	21%	29	27%	0	0%	106	100%
STATE	37	0%	679	8%	1,691	21%	2,235	28%	1,119	14%	2,237	28%	0	0%	7,998	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2011
Colorado Private Probation: Non-DUI/DWAI
New Clients by Ethnic Group

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION														
TYPE:	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT														
1	708	85%	42	5%	11	1%	53	6%	6	1%	11	1%	831	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	356	70%	79	16%	8	2%	56	11%	4	1%	3	1%	506	100%
5	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
6	29	88%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	2	6%	0	0%	33	100%
7	26	90%	1	3%	0	0%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	29	100%
8	680	93%	24	3%	4	1%	18	2%	4	1%	2	0%	732	100%
9	182	91%	2	1%	1	0%	13	6%	1	0%	2	1%	201	100%
10	22	65%	0	0%	0	0%	10	29%	2	6%	0	0%	34	100%
11	43	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	43	100%
12	32	54%	2	3%	0	0%	22	37%	3	5%	0	0%	59	100%
13	96	94%	2	2%	1	1%	3	3%	0	0%	0	0%	102	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	525	90%	20	3%	17	3%	20	3%	2	0%	0	0%	584	100%
18	361	77%	62	13%	8	2%	31	7%	4	1%	5	1%	471	100%
19	244	84%	4	1%	0	0%	43	15%	0	0%	0	0%	291	100%
20	711	91%	22	3%	9	1%	31	4%	1	0%	4	1%	778	100%
21	340	82%	7	2%	5	1%	45	11%	2	0%	17	4%	416	100%
22	9	50%	0	0%	0	0%	3	17%	6	33%	0	0%	18	100%
STATE	4,369	85%	268	5%	64	1%	351	7%	37	1%	44	1%	5,133	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2011
Colorado Private Probation: DUI/DWAI
New Clients by Ethnic Group**

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION														
DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	733	82%	16	2%	13	1%	118	13%	5	1%	6	1%	891	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	501	69%	83	12%	7	1%	118	16%	5	1%	7	1%	721	100%
5	25	96%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
6	19	66%	0	0%	0	0%	5	17%	4	14%	1	3%	29	100%
7	11	85%	0	0%	0	0%	2	15%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%
8	1,234	95%	23	2%	4	0%	35	3%	1	0%	2	0%	1,299	100%
9	47	89%	0	0%	0	0%	5	9%	1	2%	0	0%	53	100%
10	343	65%	12	2%	0	0%	169	32%	1	0%	6	1%	531	100%
11	126	96%	1	1%	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	131	100%
12	89	49%	3	2%	1	1%	83	46%	4	2%	0	0%	180	100%
13	6	86%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	859	89%	42	4%	16	2%	44	5%	1	0%	1	0%	963	100%
18	1,351	80%	140	8%	32	2%	148	9%	6	0%	19	1%	1,696	100%
19	264	79%	2	1%	1	0%	68	20%	0	0%	1	0%	336	100%
20	781	93%	18	2%	10	1%	24	3%	2	0%	1	0%	836	100%
21	154	86%	0	0%	0	0%	25	14%	1	1%	0	0%	180	100%
22	58	55%	0	0%	0	0%	5	5%	43	41%	0	0%	106	100%
STATE	6,601	83%	340	4%	84	1%	855	11%	74	1%	44	1%	7,998	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2011
Colorado Private Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION									
TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		TOTAL TERMINATIONS				
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%			
1	579	77%	74	10%	752	100%			
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
4	425	63%	60	9%	674	100%			
5	42	91%	1	2%	46	100%			
6	23	92%	0	0%	25	100%			
7	43	100%	0	0%	45	100%			
8	430	78%	51	9%	548	100%			
9	67	80%	0	0%	84	100%			
10	87	86%	6	6%	101	100%			
11	63	90%	3	4%	70	100%			
12	50	88%	4	7%	57	100%			
13	66	79%	17	20%	84	100%			
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
17	405	81%	30	6%	498	100%			
18	994	93%	40	4%	1,073	100%			
19	184	79%	30	13%	232	100%			
20	498	78%	75	12%	638	100%			
21	228	83%	19	7%	276	100%			
22	18	82%	2	9%	22	100%			
STATE	4,202	80%	412	8%	5,225	100%			

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION									
TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		TOTAL TERMINATIONS				
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%			
1	1,057	85%	112	9%	1,249	100%			
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
4	287	64%	72	16%	447	100%			
5	64	85%	7	9%	75	100%			
6	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%			
7	15	88%	1	6%	17	100%			
8	1,294	86%	74	5%	1,513	100%			
9	19	63%	0	0%	30	100%			
10	346	82%	30	7%	423	100%			
11	174	88%	12	6%	197	100%			
12	232	83%	30	11%	280	100%			
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
17	1,383	83%	109	7%	1,661	100%			
18	2,368	93%	90	4%	2,558	100%			
19	95	60%	38	24%	159	100%			
20	1,019	88%	80	7%	1,158	100%			
21	145	81%	15	8%	178	100%			
22	78	79%	5	5%	99	100%			
STATE	8,582	85%	675	7%	10,050	100%			

Key: Successful Terminations: This number is exclusively private successful terminations.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes probationers supervised by private who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconders refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: This table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of private probation closures are included in Tables 61 and 62.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2011
Colorado Private Probation: Non- DUI/DWAI
New Clients by Age

TYPE:		12-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT																	
1	0	0%	105	13%	140	17%	232	28%	128	15%	225	27%	1	0%	831	100%	
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
4	0	0%	58	11%	115	23%	167	33%	63	12%	103	20%	0	0%	506	100%	
5	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	2	40%	1	20%	0	0%	5	100%	
6	0	0%	5	15%	8	24%	11	33%	3	9%	6	18%	0	0%	33	100%	
7	0	0%	6	24%	5	19%	4	14%	5	17%	9	19%	0	0%	29	100%	
8	1	0%	112	15%	165	23%	217	30%	91	12%	146	20%	0	0%	732	100%	
9	1	0%	25	12%	40	20%	51	25%	30	15%	54	27%	0	0%	201	100%	
10	0	0%	7	21%	4	12%	6	18%	6	18%	11	32%	0	0%	34	100%	
11	1	2%	10	23%	6	14%	9	21%	7	16%	10	23%	0	0%	43	100%	
12	0	0%	12	20%	18	31%	16	27%	3	5%	10	17%	0	0%	59	100%	
13	0	0%	15	15%	16	16%	24	24%	18	18%	29	28%	0	0%	102	100%	
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
17	0	0%	85	15%	108	18%	149	26%	108	18%	134	23%	0	0%	584	100%	
18	0	0%	82	17%	84	18%	89	19%	80	17%	136	29%	0	0%	471	100%	
19	0	0%	44	15%	51	18%	82	28%	48	16%	66	23%	0	0%	291	100%	
20	3	0%	158	20%	157	20%	181	23%	111	14%	168	22%	0	0%	778	100%	
21	0	0%	60	14%	105	25%	105	25%	69	17%	77	19%	0	0%	416	100%	
22	0	0%	1	6%	1	6%	11	61%	2	11%	3	17%	0	0%	18	100%	
STATE	6	0%	786	15%	1,023	20%	1,355	26%	774	15%	1,188	23%	1	0%	5,133	100%	

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010
Colorado Private Probation: Non- DUI/DWAI
All Closure Types**

TYPE:		NON- DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION										TOTAL CLOSURES				
		Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported				Death		Administrative Closure
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	579	76%	74	10%	99	13%	0	0%	0	0%	5	1%	9	1%	766	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	425	62%	60	9%	189	28%	3	0%	1	0%	4	1%	5	1%	687	100%
5	42	91%	1	2%	3	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	46	100%
6	23	92%	0	0%	2	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	25	100%
7	43	100%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	46	100%
8	430	78%	51	9%	67	12%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	0	0%	552	100%
9	67	79%	0	0%	17	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	85	100%
10	87	85%	6	6%	8	8%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	102	100%
11	63	90%	3	4%	4	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	70	100%
12	50	86%	4	7%	3	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	58	100%
13	66	78%	17	20%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	85	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	405	81%	30	6%	63	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	501	100%
18	994	92%	40	4%	39	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3	0%	0	0%	1,076	100%
19	184	78%	30	13%	18	8%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	1	0%	235	100%
20	498	77%	75	12%	65	10%	0	0%	5	1%	3	0%	0	0%	646	100%
21	228	82%	19	7%	29	10%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	278	100%
22	18	82%	2	9%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%
STATE	4,202	80%	412	8%	611	12%	3	0%	8	0%	24	0%	20	0%	5,280	100%

COURTESY TRANSFERS ¹	
Number	DISTRICT
60	1
0	2
0	3
59	4
8	5
9	6
3	7
21	8
33	9
36	10
3	11
1	12
5	13
0	14
0	15
0	16
11	17
52	18
57	19
75	20
44	21
1	22
478	STATE

¹Courtesy Transfers: Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 62

**FY 2010
Colorado Private Probation: DUI/DWAI
All Closure Types**

TYPE:		DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION												TOTAL CLOSURES												
		Successful				Revoked				Absconded						Community Corrections				Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,057	84%	112	9%	80	6%	0	0%	1	0%	3	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1,254	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	287	64%	72	16%	88	20%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	449	100%
5	64	85%	7	9%	4	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	75	100%
6	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
7	15	88%	1	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%
8	1,294	85%	74	5%	145	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1,517	100%
9	19	63%	0	0%	11	37%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	30	100%
10	346	81%	30	7%	47	11%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	425	100%
11	174	87%	12	6%	11	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	200	100%
12	232	83%	30	11%	18	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	281	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1,383	83%	109	7%	169	10%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1,663	100%
18	2,368	92%	90	4%	100	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	2,562	100%
19	95	59%	38	24%	26	16%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	161	100%
20	1,019	88%	80	7%	59	5%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1,164	100%
21	145	80%	15	8%	18	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	0	0%	181	100%
22	78	79%	5	5%	16	16%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	99	100%
STATE	8,582	85%	675	7%	793	8%	0	0%	1	0%	26	0%	7	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10,084	100%

¹Courtesy Transfers: Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of

**FY 2011
Colorado Private Probation
Type of Revocation**

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION										TOTAL	
TYPE:	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		REVOCACTIONS for Non-DUI/DWAI Private Cases			Number	%
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%			
1	12	16%	14	19%	48	65%	74	100%			
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
4	13	22%	4	7%	43	72%	60	100%			
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%			
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
8	0	0%	8	16%	43	84%	51	100%			
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
10	0	0%	1	17%	5	83%	6	100%			
11	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%			
12	1	25%	2	50%	1	25%	4	100%			
13	1	6%	3	18%	13	76%	17	100%			
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
17	2	7%	8	27%	20	67%	30	100%			
18	1	3%	21	53%	18	45%	40	100%			
19	8	27%	9	30%	13	43%	30	100%			
20	5	7%	21	28%	49	65%	75	100%			
21	3	16%	7	37%	9	47%	19	100%			
22	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%			
STATE	47	11%	98	24%	267	65%	412	100%			

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION										TOTAL	
TYPE:	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		REVOCACTIONS for Private DUI/DWAI Cases			Number	%
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%			
1	7	6%	45	40%	60	54%	112	100%			
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
4	3	4%	14	19%	55	76%	72	100%			
5	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	7	100%			
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
7	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%			
8	1	1%	12	16%	61	82%	74	100%			
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
10	1	3%	10	33%	19	63%	30	100%			
11	0	0%	1	8%	11	92%	12	100%			
12	1	3%	9	30%	20	67%	30	100%			
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
17	8	7%	42	39%	59	54%	109	100%			
18	5	6%	49	54%	36	40%	90	100%			
19	3	8%	12	32%	23	61%	38	100%			
20	2	3%	29	36%	49	61%	80	100%			
21	2	13%	6	40%	7	47%	15	100%			
22	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%			
STATE	33	5%	229	34%	413	61%	675	100%			

Key:

New Felony: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (i.e. drug use, non-compliance).

Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2011
Colorado Private Probation
Length of Stay

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION												
TYPE: 0-12 months 13-24 months 25-36 months 37 + months												TOTAL
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	408	53%	275	36%	48	6%	35	5%	766	100%		
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
4	219	32%	385	56%	62	9%	21	3%	687	100%		
5	13	28%	22	48%	8	17%	3	7%	46	100%		
6	11	44%	7	28%	3	12%	4	16%	25	100%		
7	10	22%	32	70%	3	7%	1	2%	46	100%		
8	396	72%	151	27%	3	1%	2	0%	552	100%		
9	58	68%	24	28%	2	2%	1	1%	85	100%		
10	44	43%	46	45%	6	6%	6	6%	102	100%		
11	47	67%	21	30%	0	0%	2	3%	70	100%		
12	52	90%	6	10%	0	0%	0	0%	58	100%		
13	45	53%	34	40%	2	2%	4	5%	85	100%		
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
17	357	71%	137	27%	6	1%	1	0%	501	100%		
18	293	27%	582	54%	129	12%	72	7%	1,076	100%		
19	77	33%	125	53%	22	9%	11	5%	235	100%		
20	304	47%	295	46%	29	4%	18	3%	646	100%		
21	149	54%	112	40%	13	5%	4	1%	278	100%		
22	16	73%	3	14%	3	14%	0	0%	22	100%		
STATE	2,499	47%	2,257	43%	339	6%	185	4%	5,280	100%		

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION												
TYPE: 0-12 months 13-24 months 25-36 months 37 + months												TOTAL
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	392	31%	823	66%	33	3%	6	0%	1,254	100%		
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
4	155	35%	260	58%	32	7%	2	0%	449	100%		
5	40	53%	29	39%	4	5%	2	3%	75	100%		
6	2	33%	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%		
7	4	24%	10	59%	3	18%	0	0%	17	100%		
8	1,187	78%	312	21%	17	1%	1	0%	1,517	100%		
9	15	50%	12	40%	3	10%	0	0%	30	100%		
10	282	66%	136	32%	5	1%	2	0%	425	100%		
11	134	67%	62	31%	3	2%	1	1%	200	100%		
12	191	68%	90	32%	0	0%	0	0%	281	100%		
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
16	0	0%	0	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
17	1,013	61%	614	37%	33	2%	3	0%	1,663	100%		
18	1,390	54%	1,029	40%	123	5%	20	1%	2,562	100%		
19	83	52%	64	40%	12	7%	2	1%	161	100%		
20	664	57%	453	39%	43	4%	4	0%	1,164	100%		
21	73	40%	89	49%	17	9%	2	1%	181	100%		
22	58	59%	37	37%	4	4%	0	0%	99	100%		
STATE	5,683	56%	4,024	40%	332	3%	45	0%	10,084	100%		

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 65

FY 2011
Colorado Private Probation
Active NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE Clients Risk Levels on June 30, 2011

Risk Levels:		New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Domestic Violence		Interstate		TOTAL PRIVATE NON-DUI/DWAI PROBATION CLIENTS	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	8	1%	139	12%	631	52%	95	8%	0	0%	330	27%	0	0%	1,203	100%	
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
4	5	1%	9	1%	101	11%	177	19%	112	12%	2	0%	534	57%	3	0%	943	100%	
5	0	0%	0	0%	11	16%	53	76%	2	3%	0	0%	4	6%	0	0%	70	100%	
6	1	2%	1	2%	8	14%	41	73%	1	2%	0	0%	4	7%	0	0%	56	100%	
7	0	0%	1	1%	24	34%	43	61%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	70	100%	
8	21	3%	6	1%	67	9%	642	83%	41	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	777	100%	
9	5	2%	5	2%	23	10%	145	61%	12	5%	0	0%	46	19%	0	0%	236	100%	
10	0	0%	1	1%	15	13%	55	47%	3	3%	1	1%	41	35%	0	0%	116	100%	
11	0	0%	0	0%	9	17%	36	67%	2	4%	0	0%	7	13%	0	0%	54	100%	
12	1	2%	0	0%	3	6%	37	70%	3	6%	0	0%	9	17%	0	0%	53	100%	
13	8	8%	0	0%	3	3%	15	15%	6	6%	0	0%	70	69%	0	0%	102	100%	
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
17	23	4%	1	0%	68	11%	279	47%	75	13%	0	0%	149	25%	0	0%	595	100%	
18	18	1%	1	0%	79	5%	1,044	68%	38	2%	0	0%	346	23%	0	0%	1,526	100%	
19	9	2%	5	1%	68	14%	217	43%	33	7%	0	0%	168	34%	1	0%	501	100%	
20	35	3%	15	1%	157	14%	597	53%	172	15%	0	0%	149	13%	1	0%	1,126	100%	
21	2	0%	6	1%	98	20%	334	68%	50	10%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	491	100%	
22	0	0%	1	6%	4	22%	11	61%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%	
STATE	128	2%	60	1%	877	11%	4,357	55%	647	8%	3	0%	1,860	23%	5	0%	7,937	100%	

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 66

FY 2011
Colorado Private Probation
Active DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2011

Risk Levels:		DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION												TOTAL DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION CLIENTS		
		New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Interstate		Number
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	4	0%	154	7%	1,968	90%	68	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2,194	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	2	0%	5	1%	121	13%	351	37%	479	50%	0	0%	0	0%	958	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	7	9%	62	84%	5	7%	0	0%	0	0%	74	100%
6	1	2%	0	0%	7	16%	36	82%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	44	100%
7	0	7%	2	0%	18	36%	30	60%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	50	103%
8	44	4%	9	1%	142	13%	879	78%	53	5%	0	0%	0	0%	1,127	100%
9	3	4%	1	1%	7	9%	63	81%	4	5%	0	0%	0	0%	78	100%
10	7	1%	3	1%	118	22%	371	71%	26	5%	0	0%	0	0%	525	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	33	19%	132	75%	10	6%	0	0%	0	0%	175	100%
12	13	7%	1	1%	6	3%	152	79%	20	10%	0	0%	0	0%	192	100%
13	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	9	90%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	2	0%	163	13%	903	72%	182	15%	0	0%	0	0%	1,250	100%
18	4	0%	3	0%	93	3%	2,885	95%	59	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3,044	100%
19	13	3%	10	2%	83	18%	336	72%	27	6%	0	0%	0	0%	469	100%
20	23	2%	24	2%	140	10%	1,061	75%	161	11%	0	0%	0	0%	1,409	100%
21	1	0%	4	1%	53	15%	252	72%	38	11%	0	0%	0	0%	348	100%
22	6	6%	6	6%	21	19%	72	67%	3	3%	0	0%	0	0%	108	100%
STATE	118	1%	74	1%	1,166	10%	9,562	79%	1,135	9%	0	0%	0	0%	12,055	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Regular Juvenile Probation



TABLE 67

FY 2011
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Investigations

TYPE: DISTRICT	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre-Sentence Investigations		Updated Pre-Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	17	8%	176	79%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	28	13%	223	100%
2	29	6%	459	91%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	12	2%	504	100%
3	0	0%	15	65%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	6	26%	23	100%
4	19	5%	340	81%	0	0%	0	0%	14	3%	49	12%	422	100%
5	1	6%	7	44%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	7	44%	16	100%
6	5	7%	65	90%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	72	100%
7	1	2%	37	60%	6	10%	2	3%	1	2%	15	24%	62	100%
8	6	3%	79	40%	3	2%	12	6%	10	5%	87	44%	197	100%
9	0	0%	60	88%	4	6%	0	0%	0	0%	4	6%	68	100%
10	2	25%	5	63%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	8	100%
11	2	33%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	6	100%
12	1	3%	28	90%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	31	100%
13	2	6%	20	63%	4	13%	0	0%	0	0%	6	19%	32	100%
14	2	4%	36	65%	1	2%	3	5%	1	2%	12	22%	55	100%
15	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
16	0	0%	12	75%	1	6%	0	0%	1	6%	2	13%	16	100%
17	32	24%	77	59%	0	0%	2	2%	3	2%	17	13%	131	100%
18	61	32%	100	53%	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	26	14%	190	100%
19	22	17%	45	35%	0	0%	0	0%	6	5%	54	43%	127	100%
20	1	3%	15	52%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	45%	29	100%
21	17	63%	3	11%	0	0%	0	0%	7	26%	0	0%	27	100%
22	0	0%	19	95%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	20	100%
STATE	220	10%	1,602	71%	22	1%	19	1%	55	2%	343	15%	2,261	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 68

FY 2011
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Offense Type

DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class)		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	127	28%	280	61%	15	3%	0	0%	35	8%	457	100%
2	201	39%	279	54%	7	1%	0	0%	33	6%	520	100%
3	4	18%	15	68%	1	5%	0	0%	2	9%	22	100%
4	143	35%	241	59%	8	2%	1	0%	18	4%	411	100%
5	19	29%	37	57%	3	5%	0	0%	6	9%	65	100%
6	25	38%	33	50%	2	3%	0	0%	6	9%	66	100%
7	7	10%	56	84%	1	1%	0	0%	3	4%	67	100%
8	60	15%	252	61%	52	13%	1	0%	48	12%	413	100%
9	25	37%	32	47%	5	7%	0	0%	6	9%	68	100%
10	39	33%	57	48%	3	3%	0	0%	20	17%	119	100%
11	18	22%	50	61%	7	9%	0	0%	7	9%	82	100%
12	25	33%	34	45%	8	11%	0	0%	8	11%	75	100%
13	32	35%	43	47%	4	4%	0	0%	13	14%	92	100%
14	8	20%	30	75%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	40	100%
15	7	29%	17	71%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	24	100%
16	8	16%	42	82%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	51	100%
17	146	36%	215	53%	18	4%	1	0%	27	7%	407	100%
18	243	35%	367	53%	47	7%	0	0%	38	5%	695	100%
19	100	19%	378	70%	27	5%	0	0%	33	6%	538	100%
20	33	14%	154	67%	26	11%	0	0%	18	8%	231	100%
21	46	26%	106	61%	1	1%	0	0%	22	13%	175	100%
22	5	26%	13	68%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	19	100%
STATE	1,321	28%	2,731	59%	236	5%	3	0%	346	7%	4,637	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 69

FY 2011
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type

TYPE: DISTRICT	Probation		Probation Revoked and Resentenced		Deferred Adjudication		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Change of Venue		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	372	81%	4	1%	40	9%	4	1%	37	8%	457	100%
2	145	28%	8	2%	222	43%	5	1%	140	27%	520	100%
3	11	50%	0	0%	10	45%	0	0%	1	5%	22	100%
4	331	81%	5	1%	44	11%	14	3%	17	4%	411	100%
5	48	74%	1	2%	8	12%	1	2%	7	11%	65	100%
6	33	50%	0	0%	30	45%	0	0%	3	5%	66	100%
7	35	52%	0	0%	26	39%	0	0%	6	9%	67	100%
8	98	24%	2	0%	285	69%	9	2%	19	5%	413	100%
9	15	22%	0	0%	48	71%	2	3%	3	4%	68	100%
10	52	44%	1	1%	42	35%	4	3%	20	17%	119	100%
11	37	45%	0	0%	32	39%	1	1%	12	15%	82	100%
12	18	24%	0	0%	48	64%	0	0%	9	12%	75	100%
13	27	29%	0	0%	45	49%	1	1%	19	21%	92	100%
14	29	73%	0	0%	10	25%	0	0%	1	3%	40	100%
15	13	54%	0	0%	8	33%	0	0%	3	13%	24	100%
16	30	59%	0	0%	14	27%	1	2%	6	12%	51	100%
17	219	54%	6	1%	69	17%	7	2%	106	26%	407	100%
18	400	58%	10	1%	188	27%	5	1%	92	13%	695	100%
19	460	86%	3	1%	46	9%	2	0%	27	5%	538	100%
20	64	28%	2	1%	138	60%	4	2%	23	10%	231	100%
21	141	81%	2	1%	13	7%	4	2%	15	9%	175	100%
22	13	68%	0	0%	3	16%	0	0%	3	16%	19	100%
STATE	2,591	56%	44	1%	1,369	30%	64	1%	569	12%	4,637	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

FY 2011
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Gender

TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	104	23%	353	77%	0	0%	457	100%
2	103	20%	417	80%	0	0%	520	100%
3	7	32%	15	68%	0	0%	22	100%
4	101	25%	309	75%	1	0%	411	100%
5	16	25%	49	75%	0	0%	65	100%
6	12	18%	54	82%	0	0%	66	100%
7	11	16%	56	84%	0	0%	67	100%
8	114	28%	299	72%	0	0%	413	100%
9	14	21%	52	76%	2	3%	68	100%
10	20	17%	99	83%	0	0%	119	100%
11	13	16%	69	84%	0	0%	82	100%
12	26	35%	49	65%	0	0%	75	100%
13	20	22%	72	78%	0	0%	92	100%
14	5	13%	35	88%	0	0%	40	100%
15	4	17%	20	83%	0	0%	24	100%
16	8	16%	43	84%	0	0%	51	100%
17	85	21%	322	79%	0	0%	407	100%
18	163	23%	532	77%	0	0%	695	100%
19	156	29%	382	71%	0	0%	538	100%
20	60	26%	169	73%	2	1%	231	100%
21	56	32%	119	68%	0	0%	175	100%
22	4	21%	15	79%	0	0%	19	100%
STATE	1,102	24%	3,530	76%	5	0%	4,637	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 71

FY 2011
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Age

TYPE: DISTRICT	10-14 Years		15 Years		16 Years		17 Years		18+ Years ¹		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	101	22%	90	20%	95	21%	101	22%	70	15%	0	0%	457	100%
2	143	28%	72	14%	119	23%	113	22%	73	14%	0	0%	520	100%
3	6	27%	4	18%	4	18%	7	32%	1	5%	0	0%	22	100%
4	87	21%	73	18%	96	23%	89	22%	66	16%	0	0%	411	100%
5	13	20%	13	20%	17	26%	17	26%	5	8%	0	0%	65	100%
6	13	20%	15	23%	12	18%	17	26%	9	14%	0	0%	66	100%
7	13	19%	12	18%	9	13%	16	24%	17	25%	0	0%	67	100%
8	110	27%	78	19%	91	22%	109	26%	25	6%	0	0%	413	100%
9	18	26%	15	22%	19	28%	13	19%	3	4%	0	0%	68	100%
10	23	19%	18	15%	24	20%	37	31%	17	14%	0	0%	119	100%
11	15	18%	17	21%	15	18%	24	29%	11	13%	0	0%	82	100%
12	8	11%	16	21%	18	24%	22	29%	11	15%	0	0%	75	100%
13	26	28%	15	16%	16	17%	23	25%	12	13%	0	0%	92	100%
14	9	23%	5	13%	10	25%	7	18%	9	23%	0	0%	40	100%
15	5	21%	4	17%	6	25%	5	21%	4	17%	0	0%	24	100%
16	16	31%	6	12%	11	22%	12	24%	6	12%	0	0%	51	100%
17	78	19%	77	19%	86	21%	93	23%	73	18%	0	0%	407	100%
18	102	15%	126	18%	164	24%	194	28%	109	16%	0	0%	695	100%
19	151	28%	79	15%	109	20%	135	25%	64	12%	0	0%	538	100%
20	61	26%	50	22%	42	18%	58	25%	20	9%	0	0%	231	100%
21	47	27%	44	25%	26	15%	40	23%	18	10%	0	0%	175	100%
22	3	16%	2	11%	3	16%	7	37%	4	21%	0	0%	19	100%
STATE	1,048	23%	831	18%	992	21%	1,139	25%	627	14%	0	0%	4,637	100%

¹**18+ Years:** Youth in this category committed their crime while still under the age of 18 but were new to probation after they turned 18.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 72

FY 2011
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Ethnic Group

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Asian	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	330	72%	1	0%	5	1%	104	23%	7	2%	10	2%	457	100%
2	203	39%	22	4%	16	3%	268	52%	7	1%	4	1%	520	100%
3	14	64%	0	0%	0	0%	8	36%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%
4	303	74%	9	2%	7	2%	62	15%	1	0%	29	7%	411	100%
5	56	86%	0	0%	0	0%	9	14%	0	0%	0	0%	65	100%
6	47	71%	1	2%	0	0%	7	11%	6	9%	5	8%	66	100%
7	55	82%	0	0%	0	0%	6	9%	0	0%	6	9%	67	100%
8	384	93%	1	0%	1	0%	22	5%	1	0%	4	1%	413	100%
9	50	74%	0	0%	0	0%	6	9%	0	0%	12	18%	68	100%
10	63	53%	0	0%	0	0%	51	43%	2	2%	3	3%	119	100%
11	77	94%	0	0%	1	1%	2	2%	1	1%	1	1%	82	100%
12	60	80%	0	0%	0	0%	14	19%	0	0%	1	1%	75	100%
13	71	77%	0	0%	0	0%	19	21%	0	0%	2	2%	92	100%
14	37	93%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	1	3%	40	100%
15	17	71%	0	0%	0	0%	6	25%	0	0%	1	4%	24	100%
16	34	67%	0	0%	0	0%	16	31%	1	2%	0	0%	51	100%
17	327	80%	2	0%	4	1%	70	17%	0	0%	4	1%	407	100%
18	519	75%	17	2%	22	3%	117	17%	5	1%	15	2%	695	100%
19	463	86%	1	0%	3	1%	67	12%	0	0%	4	1%	538	100%
20	190	82%	1	0%	0	0%	38	16%	2	1%	0	0%	231	100%
21	140	80%	0	0%	0	0%	31	18%	1	1%	3	2%	175	100%
22	10	53%	0	0%	0	0%	3	16%	5	26%	1	5%	19	100%
STATE	3,450	74%	55	1%	59	1%	928	20%	39	1%	106	2%	4,637	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

FY 2011
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT								
1	287	76%	84	22%	6	2%	377	100%
2	292	68%	100	23%	35	8%	427	100%
3	23	85%	3	11%	1	4%	27	100%
4	253	63%	120	30%	31	8%	404	100%
5	38	78%	6	12%	5	10%	49	100%
6	29	67%	13	30%	1	2%	43	100%
7	73	95%	3	4%	1	1%	77	100%
8	257	86%	32	11%	9	3%	298	100%
9	66	85%	11	14%	1	1%	78	100%
10	74	76%	21	22%	2	2%	97	100%
11	65	76%	19	22%	1	1%	85	100%
12	57	75%	15	20%	4	5%	76	100%
13	61	82%	12	16%	1	1%	74	100%
14	24	86%	3	11%	1	4%	28	100%
15	21	88%	3	13%	0	0%	24	100%
16	32	82%	7	18%	0	0%	39	100%
17	288	70%	97	23%	29	7%	414	100%
18	404	68%	148	25%	46	8%	598	100%
19	292	76%	69	18%	22	6%	383	100%
20	183	88%	19	9%	5	2%	207	100%
21	109	64%	51	30%	10	6%	170	100%
22	12	52%	7	30%	4	17%	23	100%
STATE	2,940	74%	843	21%	215	5%	3,998	100%

Key:

Successful Terminations: This number includes those probationers supervised by the state who terminated successfully.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of juvenile probation closures are included in Table 74.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 74

**FY 2011
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
All Probation Supervision Closure Types**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	287	76%	84	22%	6	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	377	100%
2	292	68%	100	23%	35	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	428	100%
3	23	85%	3	11%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	27	100%
4	253	62%	120	29%	31	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	3	1%	410	100%
5	38	78%	6	12%	5	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	49	100%
6	29	67%	13	30%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	43	100%
7	73	91%	3	4%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	2	3%	80	100%
8	257	85%	32	11%	9	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	301	100%
9	66	83%	11	14%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	80	100%
10	74	76%	21	21%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	98	100%
11	65	76%	19	22%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	85	100%
12	57	75%	15	20%	4	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	76	100%
13	61	80%	12	16%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	76	100%
14	24	86%	3	11%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	28	100%
15	21	88%	3	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	24	100%
16	32	82%	7	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	39	100%
17	288	70%	97	23%	29	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	414	100%
18	404	68%	148	25%	46	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	598	100%
19	292	76%	69	18%	22	6%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	385	100%
20	183	88%	19	9%	5	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	207	100%
21	109	64%	51	30%	10	6%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	171	100%
22	12	52%	7	30%	4	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%
STATE	2,940	73%	843	21%	215	5%	0	0%	3	0%	6	0%	12	0%	4,019	100%

¹Changes of Venue: Beginning in FY2008 changes of venue were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the changes of venue between courts and probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

FY 2011
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Type of Revocation

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	6	7%	12	14%	66	79%	84	100%
2	21	21%	13	13%	66	66%	100	100%
3	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	3	100%
4	33	28%	17	14%	70	58%	120	100%
5	1	17%	2	33%	3	50%	6	100%
6	2	15%	1	8%	10	77%	13	100%
7	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	3	100%
8	4	13%	5	16%	23	72%	32	100%
9	0	0%	3	27%	8	73%	11	100%
10	2	10%	6	29%	13	62%	21	100%
11	0	0%	4	21%	15	79%	19	100%
12	0	0%	3	20%	12	80%	15	100%
13	0	0%	3	25%	9	75%	12	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
15	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3	100%
16	1	14%	2	29%	4	57%	7	100%
17	22	23%	25	26%	50	52%	97	100%
18	25	17%	31	21%	92	62%	148	100%
19	8	12%	15	22%	46	67%	69	100%
20	1	5%	5	26%	13	68%	19	100%
21	13	25%	10	20%	28	55%	51	100%
22	0	0%	1	14%	6	86%	7	100%
STATE	140	17%	160	19%	543	64%	843	100%

Key:

New Felony: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 76

FY 2011
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Length of Stay

TYPE: DISTRICT	0-3 months		4-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	17	5%	37	10%	134	36%	87	23%	58	15%	44	12%	377	100%
2	34	8%	35	8%	97	23%	90	21%	69	16%	103	24%	428	100%
3	1	4%	2	7%	13	48%	8	30%	2	7%	1	4%	27	100%
4	14	3%	29	7%	120	29%	78	19%	58	14%	111	27%	410	100%
5	4	8%	10	20%	23	47%	7	14%	1	2%	4	8%	49	100%
6	3	7%	3	7%	16	37%	9	21%	6	14%	6	14%	43	100%
7	4	5%	6	8%	37	46%	13	16%	15	19%	5	6%	80	100%
8	14	5%	45	15%	110	37%	51	17%	58	19%	23	8%	301	100%
9	3	4%	11	14%	26	33%	25	31%	6	8%	9	11%	80	100%
10	9	9%	13	13%	49	50%	15	15%	6	6%	6	6%	98	100%
11	8	9%	15	18%	22	26%	10	12%	13	15%	17	20%	85	100%
12	7	9%	20	26%	26	34%	12	16%	8	11%	3	4%	76	100%
13	7	9%	6	8%	34	45%	11	14%	10	13%	8	11%	76	100%
14	1	4%	3	11%	10	36%	4	14%	7	25%	3	11%	28	100%
15	0	0%	2	8%	8	33%	4	17%	2	8%	8	33%	24	100%
16	4	10%	6	15%	13	33%	5	13%	3	8%	8	21%	39	100%
17	32	8%	28	7%	131	32%	90	22%	56	14%	77	19%	414	100%
18	67	11%	55	9%	191	32%	140	23%	70	12%	75	13%	598	100%
19	36	9%	61	16%	143	37%	63	16%	42	11%	40	10%	385	100%
20	14	7%	96	46%	59	29%	16	8%	17	8%	5	2%	207	100%
21	8	5%	24	14%	49	29%	30	18%	20	12%	40	23%	171	100%
22	3	13%	2	9%	8	35%	7	30%	1	4%	2	9%	23	100%
STATE	290	7%	509	13%	1,319	33%	775	19%	528	13%	598	15%	4,019	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 77

FY 2011
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2011

Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Sex Offender ¹		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE JUVENILE CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
	DISTRICT																	
1	15	3%	86	15%	179	31%	146	26%	80	14%	2	0%	54	9%	8	1%	570	100%
2	19	3%	145	21%	225	33%	161	24%	76	11%	0	0%	54	8%	0	0%	680	100%
3	0	0%	11	37%	11	37%	6	20%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	30	100%
4	3	0%	208	31%	227	34%	106	16%	60	9%	1	0%	52	8%	7	1%	664	100%
5	5	7%	9	13%	23	33%	23	33%	5	7%	0	0%	4	6%	1	1%	70	100%
6	0	0%	14	18%	20	26%	30	39%	1	1%	1	1%	4	5%	7	9%	77	100%
7	1	1%	29	28%	23	22%	40	38%	4	4%	1	1%	2	2%	4	4%	104	100%
8	14	3%	74	18%	155	37%	125	30%	27	6%	1	0%	7	2%	13	3%	416	100%
9	1	2%	13	20%	8	12%	33	50%	7	11%	0	0%	3	5%	1	2%	66	100%
10	1	1%	14	12%	48	40%	39	33%	15	13%	1	1%	0	0%	2	2%	120	100%
11	6	6%	10	10%	41	42%	17	17%	7	7%	0	0%	14	14%	3	3%	98	100%
12	4	6%	11	15%	14	20%	29	41%	7	10%	0	0%	6	8%	0	0%	71	100%
13	2	2%	18	16%	24	22%	32	29%	17	15%	0	0%	12	11%	5	5%	110	100%
14	4	6%	14	19%	18	25%	22	31%	7	10%	1	1%	2	3%	4	6%	72	100%
15	1	3%	8	24%	10	29%	10	29%	2	6%	0	0%	3	9%	0	0%	34	100%
16	3	4%	20	30%	12	18%	23	34%	6	9%	0	0%	1	1%	2	3%	67	100%
17	11	2%	62	12%	138	27%	140	27%	123	24%	0	0%	37	7%	2	0%	513	100%
18	33	4%	101	12%	307	37%	254	30%	69	8%	1	0%	47	6%	29	3%	841	100%
19	4	1%	42	6%	213	33%	318	49%	50	8%	0	0%	23	4%	4	1%	654	100%
20	2	1%	56	29%	47	24%	54	28%	20	10%	2	1%	9	5%	5	3%	195	100%
21	4	2%	7	3%	39	19%	73	36%	50	24%	5	2%	20	10%	7	3%	205	100%
22	0	0%	4	21%	7	37%	5	26%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	19	100%
STATE	133	2%	956	17%	1,789	32%	1,686	30%	637	11%	16	0%	354	6%	105	2%	5,676	100%

¹Sex Offender: A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 78.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percents because of rounding

FY 2011
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Active Sex Offender Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2011

Risk Level:	Juvenile Sex Offenders ¹											
	Sex Offender Maximum		Sex Offender Medium		Sex Offender Minimum		Sex Offender Administrative		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	5	9%	48	89%	1	2%	0	0%	54	100%		
2	14	26%	24	44%	16	30%	0	0%	54	100%		
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
4	45	87%	5	10%	2	4%	0	0%	52	100%		
5	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%		
6	0	0%	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%		
7	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%		
8	4	57%	3	43%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%		
9	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%		
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
11	1	7%	13	93%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%		
12	2	33%	2	33%	2	33%	0	0%	6	100%		
13	5	42%	2	17%	2	17%	3	25%	12	100%		
14	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%		
15	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%		
16	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%		
17	9	24%	18	49%	8	22%	2	5%	37	100%		
18	18	38%	25	53%	4	9%	0	0%	47	100%		
19	3	13%	8	35%	4	17%	8	35%	23	100%		
20	1	11%	2	22%	6	67%	0	0%	9	100%		
21	4	20%	7	35%	9	45%	0	0%	20	100%		
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
STATE	116	33%	169	48%	56	16%	13	4%	354	100%		

¹This chart does not include Juvenile Sex Offenders who have been placed on Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP). Colorado does not currently have an SOLSP program for juvenile sex offenders.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)



FY2011
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
New Clients by Order Type

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	108	61%	51	29%	19	11%	178	100%
2	89	51%	65	37%	21	12%	175	100%
3	13	72%	5	28%	0	0%	18	100%
4	50	38%	70	54%	10	8%	130	100%
5	8	80%	0	0%	2	20%	10	100%
6	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
7	3	19%	12	75%	1	6%	16	100%
8	58	41%	75	52%	10	7%	143	100%
9	3	75%	0	0%	1	25%	4	100%
10	20	45%	20	45%	4	9%	44	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	1	17%	4	67%	1	17%	6	100%
13	13	59%	9	41%	0	0%	22	100%
14	0	0%	3	75%	1	25%	4	100%
15	1	25%	2	50%	1	25%	4	100%
16	1	25%	1	25%	2	50%	4	100%
17	163	57%	107	37%	17	6%	287	100%
18	37	23%	107	67%	15	9%	159	100%
19	30	38%	35	44%	15	19%	80	100%
20	18	33%	31	57%	5	9%	54	100%
21	14	36%	22	56%	3	8%	39	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	630	46%	621	45%	128	9%	1,379	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2011
Colorado State Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

TYPE: DISTRICT	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Number	%	Revoked	Absconded	Number	%
1	91	69%	32	8	131	100%
2	130	58%	66	28	224	100%
3	2	29%	2	3	7	100%
4	52	57%	26	13	91	100%
5	12	75%	4	0	16	100%
6	4	100%	0	0	4	100%
7	5	100%	0	0	5	100%
8	48	64%	20	7	75	100%
9	4	80%	1	0	5	100%
10	13	39%	17	3	33	100%
11	2	100%	0	0	2	100%
12	4	100%	0	0	4	100%
13	16	89%	2	0	18	100%
14	4	100%	0	0	4	100%
15	1	33%	2	0	3	100%
16	1	50%	1	0	2	100%
17	139	81%	19	13	171	100%
18	78	67%	26	13	117	100%
19	50	75%	17	0	67	100%
20	22	69%	4	6	32	100%
21	19	70%	7	1	27	100%
22	3	75%	1	0	4	100%
STATE	700	67%	247	95	1,042	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This category includes those probationers that have terminated from probation while on ISP probation or transition to regular supervision probation.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes AISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from AISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These probationers are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: In this table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of AISP discharges are included in Table 81.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY2011
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
Discharges**

DISTRICT	Successful: Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	90	55%	1	1%	8	5%	30	18%	32	20%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	163	100%
2	105	42%	25	10%	28	11%	22	9%	66	26%	0	0%	2	1%	3	1%	0	0%	251	100%
3	2	29%	0	0%	3	43%	0	0%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
4	48	44%	4	4%	13	12%	17	16%	26	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	108	100%
5	10	59%	2	12%	0	0%	1	6%	4	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%
6	4	80%	0	0%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
7	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
8	44	41%	4	4%	7	6%	29	27%	20	19%	0	0%	1	1%	3	3%	0	0%	108	100%
9	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	6	100%
10	13	38%	0	0%	3	9%	1	3%	17	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	34	100%
11	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
12	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
13	15	48%	1	3%	0	0%	12	39%	2	6%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	31	100%
14	3	60%	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
15	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	2	40%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
16	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
17	135	55%	4	2%	13	5%	70	28%	19	8%	3	1%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	247	100%
18	75	45%	3	2%	13	8%	49	29%	26	15%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	168	100%
19	46	58%	4	5%	0	0%	11	14%	17	21%	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	80	100%
20	21	47%	1	2%	6	13%	2	4%	4	9%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	10	22%	45	100%
21	18	56%	1	3%	1	3%	5	16%	7	22%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	32	100%
22	1	25%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
TOTAL	646	49%	54	4%	95	7%	255	19%	247	19%	4	0%	5	0%	15	1%	10	1%	1,331	100%

Courtesy Transfers ¹	Number	District
	4	1
0	2	2
0	3	3
0	4	4
0	5	5
0	6	6
0	7	7
3	8	8
0	9	9
0	10	10
0	11	11
0	12	12
0	13	13
0	14	14
1	15	15
0	16	16
7	17	17
5	18	18
1	19	19
0	20	20
1	21	21
0	22	22
22	STATE	

¹Courtesy Transfers: Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY2011
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	9%	5	16%	24	75%	32	100%
2	23	35%	2	3%	41	62%	66	100%
3	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
4	14	54%	2	8%	10	38%	26	100%
5	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	4	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	3	15%	7	35%	10	50%	20	100%
9	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
10	6	35%	0	0%	11	65%	17	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
16	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	8	42%	2	11%	9	47%	19	100%
18	6	23%	6	23%	14	54%	26	100%
19	6	35%	4	24%	7	41%	17	100%
20	1	25%	2	50%	1	25%	4	100%
21	4	57%	0	0%	3	43%	7	100%
22	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
TOTAL	77	31%	33	13%	137	55%	247	100%

Key:
New Felony: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).
Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)



FY2011
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
New Clients by Order Type

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence	Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado		Total New Clients
		Probation Districts	Probation Districts	
1	65	4	6%	69
2	82	4	5%	86
3	0	0	0%	0
4	63	11	15%	74
5	2	3	60%	5
6	3	1	25%	4
7	9	2	18%	11
8	18	1	5%	19
9	7	2	22%	9
10	13	2	13%	15
11	10	0	0%	10
12	3	0	0%	3
13	8	2	20%	10
14	0	0	0%	0
15	0	0	0%	0
16	1	0	0%	1
17	56	6	10%	62
18	67	6	8%	73
19	16	4	20%	20
20	2	1	33%	3
21	15	2	12%	17
22	0	0	0%	0
TOTAL	440	51	10%	491

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

Note: Approximately one-half of the SOISP probationers are serving indeterminate sentences (minimum of 10 years). Therefore, it is important to consider terminations within the context of the active SOISP caseload. Many more probationers are being successfully supervised (1,416) on SOISP than are terminating unsuccessfully (160) as reflected below in the 6/30/2011 active caseload and termination table.

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP) Active as of 6/30/2011 ¹		SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
DISTRICT	Number	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	142	40	63%	16	25%	7	11%	63	100%
2	166	3	9%	21	64%	9	27%	33	100%
3	4	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	261	24	41%	29	50%	5	9%	58	100%
5	10	6	86%	1	14%	0	0%	7	100%
6	31	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
7	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	98	8	47%	5	29%	4	24%	17	100%
9	17	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
10	61	7	50%	7	50%	0	0%	14	100%
11	36	1	13%	7	88%	0	0%	8	100%
12	7	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
13	26	6	86%	1	14%	0	0%	7	100%
14	4	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
15	0	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
16	4	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	114	13	50%	10	38%	3	12%	26	100%
18	268	12	32%	22	58%	4	11%	38	100%
19	62	1	17%	5	83%	0	0%	6	100%
20	29	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
21	57	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	6	100%
22	3	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
STATE	1,416	135	46%	128	43%	32	11%	295	100%

Key:
Successful Termination: This category includes those probationers that terminate from probation while on SOISP or transition to regular supervision probation.
Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes SOISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from SOISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.
 A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These probationers are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.
 Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.
Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of SOISP discharges are included in Table 85.

¹Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation: The Non-SOISP sex offender population is included in Regular Adult Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
Discharges**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to: Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	25	35%	15	21%	7	10%	7	10%	16	23%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	71	100%
2	1	2%	2	5%	9	21%	0	0%	21	49%	6	14%	1	2%	3	7%	0	0%	43	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	11	18%	13	22%	5	8%	0	0%	29	48%	1	2%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	60	100%
5	5	71%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
6	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	1	6%	7	39%	4	22%	1	6%	5	28%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
9	3	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
10	1	7%	6	43%	0	0%	0	0%	7	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%
11	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
12	1	50%	1	0%	0	0%	0	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	3	100%
13	6	86%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
14	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
15	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	5	14%	8	23%	3	9%	4	11%	10	29%	4	11%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	35	100%
18	3	7%	9	20%	4	9%	2	4%	22	49%	0	0%	4	9%	1	2%	0	0%	45	100%
19	0	0%	1	10%	0	0%	3	30%	5	50%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	50%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	50%
21	2	22%	3	33%	0	0%	3	33%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
TOTAL	65	19%	70	20%	32	9%	21	6%	128	37%	13	4%	8	2%	5	1%	0	0%	342	100%

¹Courtesy Transfers: Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

FY2011
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	2	13%	14	88%	16	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%	21	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	7	24%	1	3%	21	72%	29	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	1	20%	4	80%	5	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	2	29%	1	14%	4	57%	7	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	7	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	1	10%	9	90%	10	100%
18	1	5%	0	0%	21	95%	22	100%
19	1	20%	0	0%	4	80%	5	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
21	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
TOTAL	13	10%	6	5%	109	85%	128	100%

Key:

New Felony: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Female Offender Program (FOP)



FY2011
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
New Clients by Order Type

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	4	21%	15	79%	0	0%	19	100%
2	8	42%	9	47%	2	11%	19	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	6	33%	12	67%	0	0%	18	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	11	61%	6	33%	1	6%	18	100%
9	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
10	5	19%	18	69%	3	12%	26	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	18	45%	21	53%	1	3%	40	100%
18	0	0%	17	81%	4	19%	21	100%
19	4	15%	21	78%	2	7%	27	100%
20	2	22%	7	78%	0	0%	9	100%
21	9	47%	10	53%	0	0%	19	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	67	31%	138	63%	13	6%	218	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2011
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

TYPE: DISTRICT	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Number	%	Revoked	Absconded	Number	%	Number	%
1	8	67%	2	2	17%	17%	12	100%
2	18	64%	8	2	29%	7%	28	100%
3	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%
4	8	62%	5	0	38%	0%	13	100%
5	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%
8	8	100%	0	0	0%	0%	8	100%
9	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%
10	6	46%	7	0	54%	0%	13	100%
11	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%
17	26	74%	3	6	9%	17%	35	100%
18	9	75%	2	1	17%	8%	12	100%
19	13	72%	5	0	28%	0%	18	100%
20	8	67%	1	3	8%	25%	12	100%
21	8	89%	1	0	11%	0%	9	100%
22	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%
STATE	112	70%	34	14	21%	9%	160	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This category includes those probationers that have terminated from FOP and probation or transition to regular supervision probation.
Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes FOP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from FOP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These probationers are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.
Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.
Total Terminations: This table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of FOP discharges are included in Table 89.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY2011
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Discharges**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Courtesy Transfers ¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	DISTRICT
1	5	22%	3	13%	2	9%	8	35%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	13%	3	13%	3	1
2	10	33%	8	27%	2	7%	2	7%	8	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	2
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	6	23%	2	8%	0	0%	9	35%	5	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	15%	26	100%	0	4
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	7	64%	1	9%	0	0%	3	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	0	8
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	9
10	1	8%	5	38%	0	0%	0	0%	7	54%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	4	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	12
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	22	48%	4	9%	6	13%	10	22%	3	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	46	100%	2	17
18	8	50%	1	6%	1	6%	4	25%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%	5	18
19	12	57%	1	5%	0	0%	3	14%	5	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%	0	19
20	8	57%	0	0%	3	21%	1	7%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	7%	14	100%	0	20
21	7	54%	1	8%	0	0%	4	31%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	1	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	22
TOTAL	86	40%	26	12%	14	7%	44	21%	34	16%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	4%	213	100%	23	TOTAL

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY2011
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
2	2	25%	2	25%	4	50%	8	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	3	60%	0	0%	2	40%	5	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	2	29%	2	29%	3	43%	7	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3	100%
18	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
19	2	40%	0	0%	3	60%	5	100%
20	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
21	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	14	41%	4	12%	16	47%	34	100%

Key: *New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)



FY 2011
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
New Clients by Order Type

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Change of Venue		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	18	34%	33	62%	2	4%	53	100%
2	40	56%	29	40%	3	4%	72	100%
3	0	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
4	3	16%	15	79%	1	5%	19	100%
5	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
6	2	50%	2	50%	0	0%	4	100%
7	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
8	13	21%	49	79%	0	0%	62	100%
9	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
10	5	21%	16	67%	3	13%	24	100%
11	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
13	3	33%	5	56%	1	11%	9	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
15	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%	4	100%
16	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
17	24	39%	33	53%	5	8%	62	100%
18	17	26%	44	67%	5	8%	66	100%
19	0	0%	2	50%	2	50%	4	100%
20	0	0%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%
21	0	0%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
TOTAL	131	33%	249	62%	22	5%	402	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 92

FY 2011
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT						
1	24	49%	22	45%	3	6%
2	37	44%	39	46%	9	11%
3	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%
4	17	68%	8	32%	0	0%
5	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%
6	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	40	51%	33	42%	6	8%
9	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%
10	8	33%	16	67%	0	0%
11	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%
12	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%
13	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%
14	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%
15	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
16	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%
17	32	53%	26	43%	2	3%
18	33	49%	34	51%	0	0%
19	2	29%	4	57%	1	14%
20	9	82%	2	18%	0	0%
21	5	50%	5	50%	0	0%
22	1	20%	4	80%	0	0%
STATE	223	50%	204	46%	21	5%
					448	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This category includes those probationers that have terminated from JISP and probation or transition to regular supervision probation.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes JISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from JISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.

Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation services.

Total Terminations: This table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of JISP discharges are included in Table 93.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY2011
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Discharges**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Changes of Venue ¹	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	Number	DISTRICT
1	23	47%	1	2%	3	6%	0	0%	22	45%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	49	100%	13	1
2	26	29%	11	12%	9	10%	0	0%	39	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	6%	90	100%	13	2
3	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	3
4	14	56%	3	12%	0	0%	0	0%	8	32%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	25	100%	0	4
5	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%	0	7
8	17	22%	23	29%	6	8%	0	0%	33	42%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	79	100%	6	8
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%	0	9
10	0	0%	8	33%	0	0%	0	0%	16	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	24	100%	2	10
11	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	11
12	1	0%	0	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	12
13	2	33%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	2	33%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	13
14	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	14
15	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	15
16	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	16
17	25	41%	7	11%	2	3%	0	0%	26	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	61	100%	8	17
18	26	39%	7	10%	0	0%	0	0%	34	51%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	67	100%	8	18
19	2	29%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	4	57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	0	19
20	4	36%	5	45%	0	0%	0	0%	2	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	0	20
21	5	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%	0	21
22	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	4	80%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	0	22
TOTAL	147	32%	76	17%	21	5%	0	0%	204	45%	0	0%	1	0%	7	2%	456	100%	51	TOTAL		

¹Changes of Venue: Beginning in FY2008 changes of venue were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the changes of venue between courts and probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY2011
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	4	18%	6	27%	12	55%	22	100%
2	8	21%	5	13%	26	67%	39	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
4	3	38%	2	25%	3	38%	8	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
6	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
8	6	18%	8	24%	19	58%	33	100%
9	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
10	3	19%	7	44%	6	38%	16	100%
11	0	0%	2	67%	1	33%	3	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
17	4	15%	5	19%	17	65%	26	100%
18	5	15%	5	15%	24	71%	34	100%
19	1	25%	1	25%	2	50%	4	100%
20	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
21	0	0%	2	40%	3	60%	5	100%
22	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	4	100%
TOTAL	36	18%	45	22%	123	60%	204	100%

Key:

New Felony: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.*New Misdemeanor*: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.*Technical*: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).*Total Revocations*: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Intensive Programs Summary



**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Active Clients Summary on June 30, 2011**

DISTRICT	Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)	Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) ¹	Female Offender Program (FOP)	Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) ²	TOTAL ACTIVE CLIENTS
1	184	142	26	39	391
2A ³	269	166	34	0	469
2J ⁴	0	0	0	63	63
3	38	4	0	1	43
4	167	261	22	17	467
5	15	10	0	0	25
6	2	31	0	4	37
7	17	16	0	2	35
8	163	98	19	57	337
9	6	17	0	3	26
10	48	61	33	24	166
11	2	36	0	3	41
12	4	7	0	0	11
13	19	26	0	10	55
14	6	4	0	1	11
15	6	0	0	10	16
16	6	4	0	8	18
17	207	114	35	55	411
18	130	268	29	62	489
19	73	62	28	7	170
20	44	29	10	13	96
21	36	57	22	2	117
22	1	3	0	5	9
TOTAL	1,443	1,416	258	386	3,503

¹Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation: The Non-SOISP sex offender population is included in the Regular Adult Probation Tables.

²Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation: This number may include sex offenders that have been sentenced to JISP.

³2A: Denver Adult Probation. This is the only district with just adult offenders, all other districts include both adult and juvenile.

⁴2J: Denver Juvenile Probation. This is the only district with just juvenile offenders, all other districts include both adult and juvenile.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Victim Services



**Colorado Probation
Summary of Victims Services¹
FY 2006 to FY 2011**

	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011
VICTIM SERVICES²						
Initial Notifications Sent	13,936	14,949	16,988	16,183	16,669	16,482
Notification Requests Returned	2,165	2,512	3,066	3,224	2,885	2,807
Percent of Victims Requesting Notification	16%	17%	18%	20%	17%	17%
VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS³						
Date of Probation Termination	1,807	1,741	2,209	2,251	2,210	2,314
Early Termination Requests	316	315	318	451	435	453
Probation Revocation Hearing/Disposition	3,710	4,040	4,532	4,738	5,276	5,386
Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision	309	480	678	680	669	874
Change of Venue/Transfer of Jurisdiction	331	481	509	430	604	565
Abscond/Warrant	1,385	1,355	1,565	1,812	2,191	2,793
Death of a Probationer	21	28	15	34	31	32
TOTAL NOTIFICATIONS	7,879	8,440	9,826	10,396	11,416	12,417

¹**Summary of Colorado Probation Victim Services:** Effective in FY2008 the information included in this chart reflects only activities that are statutorily required. In previous years additional courtesy notification events were reported; these were "Change of Probation Officer and Supervision Location" and "Hearing Other than Revocation". Prior to FY07 the VRA stated that probation had to notify victims of domestic violence of "any violation of probation". In the 2006 legislative session this requirement was repealed and the following provision for notification was enacted: "concerning domestic violence cases, any conduct by the defendant that results in an increase in supervision level by the probation department". This new provision replaced the old legislation and became effective in FY07 and is now reported in "Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision".

²**VICTIM SERVICES:** These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.

³**VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS:** These numbers include all of the statutorily (24-4.1-303 (13.5) (a) C.R.S) required notifications of the critical stages of probation supervision. These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.

Colorado Probation: Alcohol/Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)



**FY 2011
Colorado State Probation- ADDS
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients**

DISTRICT	Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed pre-sentence)		Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed post-sentence)		Monitoring Sentence Revoked and Reinstated		Monitoring Deferred Sentence		TOTAL Monitoring	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%	0	0%	8	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	18	45%	0	0%	0	0%	22	55%	40	100%
4	784	66%	68	6%	23	2%	317	27%	1,192	100%
5	89	16%	464	81%	8	1%	13	2%	574	100%
6	56	22%	142	55%	0	0%	58	23%	256	100%
7	195	37%	304	57%	20	4%	11	2%	530	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%	0	0%	9	100%
9	127	22%	427	75%	11	2%	7	1%	572	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
12	4	44%	5	56%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%
13	276	88%	11	4%	2	1%	24	8%	313	100%
14	216	59%	131	36%	20	5%	1	0%	368	100%
15	15	13%	93	83%	0	0%	4	4%	112	100%
16	40	49%	1	1%	0	0%	41	50%	82	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	4	80%	1	20%	5	100%
19	121	14%	702	81%	41	5%	0	0%	864	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	49	11%	387	89%	0	0%	0	0%	436	100%
22	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
STATE	1,992	37%	2,735	51%	153	3%	499	9%	5,379	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

FY 2011
Colorado State Probation- ADDS
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender

TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1	13%	7	88%	0	0%	8	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	10	25%	30	75%	0	0%	40	100%
4	340	29%	852	71%	0	0%	1,192	100%
5	130	23%	444	77%	0	0%	574	100%
6	55	21%	201	79%	0	0%	256	100%
7	134	25%	396	75%	0	0%	530	100%
8	0	0%	9	100%	0	0%	9	100%
9	134	23%	438	77%	0	0%	572	100%
10	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
11	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
12	2	22%	7	78%	0	0%	9	100%
13	61	19%	252	81%	0	0%	313	100%
14	85	23%	283	77%	0	0%	368	100%
15	35	31%	77	69%	0	0%	112	100%
16	23	28%	59	72%	0	0%	82	100%
17	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%	4	100%
18	1	20%	4	80%	0	0%	5	100%
19	207	24%	657	76%	0	0%	864	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	147	34%	288	66%	1	0%	436	100%
22	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
STATE	1,368	25%	4,010	75%	1	0%	5,379	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

**FY 2011
Colorado State Probation
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	7	88%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	31	78%	2	5%	0	0%	7	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	841	71%	113	9%	17	1%	200	17%	8	1%	13	1%	1,192	100%
5	511	89%	5	1%	2	0%	55	10%	0	0%	1	0%	574	100%
6	225	88%	2	1%	0	0%	11	4%	13	5%	5	2%	256	100%
7	458	86%	5	1%	0	0%	66	12%	1	0%	0	0%	530	100%
8	6	67%	1	11%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%
9	533	93%	5	1%	3	1%	30	5%	0	0%	1	0%	572	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
11	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
12	5	56%	0	0%	0	0%	3	33%	1	11%	0	0%	9	100%
13	288	92%	4	1%	1	0%	19	6%	1	0%	0	0%	313	100%
14	351	95%	0	0%	2	1%	13	4%	0	0%	2	1%	368	100%
15	93	83%	4	4%	0	0%	14	13%	1	1%	0	0%	112	100%
16	74	90%	2	2%	0	0%	5	6%	0	0%	1	1%	82	100%
17	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
18	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
19	611	71%	10	1%	4	0%	236	27%	1	0%	2	0%	864	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	367	84%	8	2%	0	0%	50	11%	4	1%	7	2%	436	100%
22	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
STATE	4,413	82%	161	3%	30	1%	713	13%	30	1%	32	1%	5,379	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court which is not a part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 100

FY 2011
Colorado State Probation
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age

TYPE: DISTRICT	0-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS			
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%		
1	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	6	75%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	8	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	1	3%	2	5%	6	15%	10	25%	4	10%	17	43%	0	0%	40	100%
4	9	1%	111	9%	266	22%	365	31%	139	12%	302	25%	0	0%	1,192	100%
5	10	2%	47	8%	133	23%	156	27%	79	14%	149	26%	0	0%	574	100%
6	4	2%	24	9%	60	23%	56	22%	31	12%	81	32%	0	0%	256	100%
7	8	2%	45	8%	94	18%	150	28%	74	14%	159	30%	0	0%	530	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	4	44%	1	11%	3	33%	0	0%	9	100%
9	5	1%	31	5%	103	18%	180	31%	98	17%	155	27%	0	0%	572	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
12	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	3	33%	0	0%	5	56%	0	0%	9	100%
13	4	1%	40	13%	59	19%	67	21%	42	13%	101	32%	0	0%	313	100%
14	4	1%	22	6%	70	19%	100	27%	53	14%	119	32%	0	0%	368	100%
15	1	1%	17	15%	25	22%	20	18%	11	10%	38	34%	0	0%	112	100%
16	0	0%	9	11%	21	26%	20	24%	9	11%	23	28%	0	0%	82	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	4	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%	3	60%	0	0%	5	100%
19	6	1%	107	12%	213	25%	222	26%	113	13%	203	23%	0	0%	864	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	4	1%	60	14%	96	22%	122	28%	58	13%	96	22%	0	0%	436	100%
22	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
STATE	56	1%	517	10%	1,149	21%	1,488	28%	712	13%	1,457	27%	0	0%	5,379	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 101

FY 2011
Colorado State Probation
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT						
1	33	63%	6	12%	13	25%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	46	88%	0	0%	6	12%
4	1,683	63%	961	36%	7	0%
5	503	87%	12	2%	63	11%
6	313	75%	44	10%	63	15%
7	501	88%	4	1%	66	12%
8	6	75%	2	25%	0	0%
9	580	74%	20	3%	182	23%
10	5	71%	2	29%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%
13	273	85%	39	12%	11	3%
14	260	88%	2	1%	32	11%
15	85	87%	2	2%	11	11%
16	106	86%	0	0%	17	14%
17	30	44%	13	19%	25	37%
18	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%
19	826	73%	98	9%	210	19%
20	3	87%	0	0%	1	0%
21	274	88%	0	0%	39	12%
22	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	5,533	74%	1,206	16%	746	10%
					7,485	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number is exclusively state successful terminations.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who became fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: This table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of state adult probation closures are included in Table 103.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

**FY 2011
Colorado State Probation
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Closure Types**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Successful		Revocation		Absconded		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	33	63%	6	12%	13	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	52	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	46	88%	0	0%	6	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	52	100%
4	1,683	63%	961	36%	7	0%	2	0%	5	0%	5	0%	2,663	100%
5	503	86%	12	2%	63	11%	4	1%	1	0%	0	0%	583	100%
6	313	74%	44	10%	63	15%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	422	100%
7	501	82%	4	1%	66	11%	10	2%	0	0%	32	5%	613	100%
8	6	75%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
9	580	74%	20	3%	182	23%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	783	100%
10	5	63%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	8	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	3	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	57%	7	100%
13	273	83%	39	12%	11	3%	1	0%	3	1%	0	0%	327	100%
14	260	74%	2	1%	32	9%	0	0%	2	1%	57	16%	353	100%
15	85	87%	2	2%	11	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	98	100%
16	106	86%	0	0%	17	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	123	100%
17	30	44%	13	19%	25	37%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	68	100%
18	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
19	826	71%	98	8%	210	18%	27	2%	3	0%	4	0%	1,168	100%
20	3	75%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
21	274	86%	0	0%	39	12%	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	317	100%
22	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
STATE	5,533	72%	1,206	16%	746	10%	47	1%	17	0%	104	1%	7,653	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

FY 2011
Colorado State Adult Probation
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Type of Revocation

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	4	67%	2	33%	6	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	6	1%	35	4%	920	96%	961	100%
5	2	17%	4	33%	6	50%	12	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	44	100%	44	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%
8	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%	20	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	4	10%	10	26%	25	64%	39	100%
14	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
15	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	1	8%	12	92%	13	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
19	0	0%	8	8%	90	92%	98	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	13	1%	64	5%	1,129	94%	1,206	100%

Key:

New Felony: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on monitoring.

New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on monitoring.

Technical: Includes revocations for technical violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from monitoring.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

FY2011
Colorado State Probation
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Length of Stay

TYPE: DISTRICT	0-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25-48 months		49+ months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	6%	0	0%	6	12%	25	48%	18	35%	0	0%	52	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	32	62%	14	27%	4	8%	2	4%	0	0%	52	100%
4	1,002	38%	1,123	42%	415	16%	107	4%	15	1%	1	0%	2,663	100%
5	188	32%	199	34%	90	15%	59	10%	42	7%	5	1%	583	100%
6	10	2%	134	32%	200	47%	45	11%	30	7%	3	1%	422	100%
7	110	18%	227	37%	94	15%	126	21%	54	9%	2	0%	613	100%
8	1	13%	2	25%	1	13%	0	0%	4	50%	0	0%	8	100%
9	104	13%	165	21%	120	15%	116	15%	156	20%	122	16%	783	100%
10	2	25%	1	13%	2	25%	0	0%	3	38%	0	0%	8	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	4	57%	1	14%	1	14%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	7	100%
13	44	13%	78	24%	73	22%	115	35%	17	5%	0	0%	327	100%
14	96	27%	98	28%	67	19%	57	16%	33	9%	2	1%	353	100%
15	20	20%	32	33%	22	22%	10	10%	13	13%	1	1%	98	100%
16	50	41%	38	31%	19	15%	10	8%	6	5%	0	0%	123	100%
17	1	1%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	61	90%	4	6%	68	100%
18	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
19	208	18%	343	29%	271	23%	177	15%	158	14%	11	1%	1,168	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%
21	58	18%	116	37%	74	23%	50	16%	19	6%	0	0%	317	100%
22	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
STATE	1,903	25%	2,592	34%	1,470	19%	901	12%	632	8%	155	2%	7,653	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

FY 2011
Colorado State Probation
Total Active DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
on June 30, 2011

DISTRICT	NUMBER
1	19
2 ¹	0
3	57
4	1,080
5	796
6	392
7	708
8	115
9	886
10	33
11	26
12	15
13	517
14	575
15	217
16	96
17	24
18	2
19	1,136
20	6
21	718
22	2
TOTAL STATE	7,420

¹Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filled in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

Section IX: Glossary of Terms



Probation

Absconder:	Refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.
Adjudication:	Refers to a juvenile case indicating that the charges have been proven or the juvenile has pled guilty to committing a delinquent act. The equivalent for adult offenders is "conviction."
Administrative Investigations:	A supplemental report to a Pre- Sentence Report addressing additional cases.
Administrative Closure:	Includes no services provided to an offender (i.e. in custody in another jurisdiction).
Administrative Client Risk Level or Active:	An assigned supervision level indicating lower supervision need by probation because of other more intensive "supervision" being provided elsewhere (such as jail or an inpatient treatment facility) or minimal conditions of the sentence remain and more intensive supervision is no longer necessary.
Change of Venue:	Occurs when a delinquent juvenile has been transferred from one Colorado judicial district, where the offense occurred, to another one where the juvenile will receive supervision. Change of Venue typically occurs because the juvenile resides in a jurisdiction other than where the offense took place.
Client Risk Level:	Probation offenders are classified using a risk assessment instrument. The instrument used for adults are the LSI (Level of Supervision Inventory), the SARA (Spousal Assault Risk Assessment) and the Oregon Sex Offender assessment instrument. The juvenile instrument is the CYO- LSI (Colorado Young Offender Level of Service Inventory) and the O'Brien Sex Offender Assessment is used for juvenile sex offenders. These instruments determine the level of supervision for an offender (e.g. Maximum, Medium, and Minimum).
Courtesy Transfers:	A case that has closed in the supervising jurisdiction and returned to the originating or sentencing district.
Courtesy Supervision:	Occurs when an adult offender has received a probation sentence in one Colorado judicial district but will be supervised by the probation department in another Colorado judicial district because they reside in that jurisdiction.
Community Corrections:	A community-based sentence that is more restricted than probation supervision but less restrictive than a secure facility. A community corrections sentence typically includes both a residential and non-residential component with supervision from a case manager. Because probation maintains jurisdiction over offenders sentenced to community corrections, they are also monitored by a probation officer.
Deferred Judgment and Sentence/Adjudication:	Refers to a case status in which the offender, (adult or juvenile) has entered a plea of guilty and, through an agreement with the district attorney and consent of the court, the case is continued for a set period of time. The offender is usually supervised by probation and upon successful completion of the deferred judgment the case is dismissed.
Direct File:	The District Attorney files a case in which a juvenile is prosecuted as an adult.
Intake:	The initial process for setting up the supervision of probationers in the absence of a pre-sentence investigation. The intake process typically entails a review of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence, an assessment of risk and needs, and initial referral for services.
Interstate:	Refers to offenders who have committed crimes in a state other than where they reside. These offenders apply to have their supervision transferred to their state of residence and are screened by the Interstate Compact Office prior to acceptance of supervision.
Investigations:	An investigative process conducted by probation officers prior to sentencing on a criminal offense. The information (e.g. risk for re-offense, service needs, criminal, social, education and substance abuse history, impact of the offense on victim(s) etc.) gathered during a pre-sentence investigation is used to inform sentencing decisions and supervision strategies.

Length of Stay:	The period of time an offender is on probation supervision.
Monitoring:	DUI/DWAI offenders who as a part of their sentence are required to have their compliance with court orders monitored by the probation department.
Revoked:	The withdrawal of a probation sentence due to a new misdemeanor or felony offense or a technical violation of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence. Following a revocation of probation, the offender is re-sentenced by the court.
Success:	Successful terminations represent those offenders who meet their Terms and Conditions of their probation sentence and the length of their sentence has expired.
Technical Violation:	Occurs when a probationer has been non-compliant with the Term(s) and Condition(s) of the probation sentence (e.g. drug use, missed appointments etc).
Transfer Hearing:	The court makes a determination after a hearing to prosecute a juvenile as an adult.

Supreme Court Glossary of Terms

Civil Appeal:	A written request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a civil case.
Criminal Appeal:	A request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a criminal case.
Habeas Corpus:	(Habeas corpus ad subjiciendum) "An independent civil action to determine not the guilt or innocence of the person held in custody, but whether the custody is unlawful. Common grounds for relief under the writ include a conviction based on illegally obtained evidence, a denial of effective assistance of counsel, or a conviction by a jury that was improperly selected and impaneled. Use of the writ is not limited to criminal matters. It is also available in civil matters, as, for example, to challenge a person's custody of a child or the institutionalization of a person declared incompetent." (http://dictionary.lp.findlaw.com)
Interlocutory:	An interlocutory appeal is one which is not determinable of the controversy, but which is necessary for a suitable adjudication of the merits. Colorado Appellate Rule 4.1 governs interlocutory appeals in criminal cases and provides that the state may file an interlocutory appeal in the Supreme Court from a district court ruling granting a motion in advance of the trial by the defendant for the return of property and to suppress evidence or to suppress an extra-judicial confession or admission. This is only one example of an interlocutory appeal.
Interrogatories:	Pursuant to Article VI, section 3 of the state Constitution, the Court may be required to answer "important questions upon solemn occasions" propounded by the Governor, the Senate, or the House of Representatives. These questions are called "interrogatories."
Judicial Discipline or Disability:	Judges who are alleged to have engaged in misconduct or to be suffering from a disability which is or is likely to become of a permanent character may be subject to judicial discipline. The Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline investigates such allegations and, if it concludes that the judge has engaged in misconduct or is disabled, it may recommend to the Supreme Court a range of sanctions, including removal of the judge from office, retirement of the judge for a disability, reprimand or censure of the judge, or assessment of costs against the judge.
Original Proceedings:	Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure 21 provides the Colorado Supreme Court with discretion to exercise original jurisdiction in extraordinary circumstances where no other remedy is available. Original proceedings are used to test whether the trial court is proceeding without jurisdiction or in excess of its jurisdiction and to review a serious abuse of discretion when an appellate remedy would be inadequate. The remedy in an original proceeding takes the form of a special mandate from the Supreme Court addressed to an individual, official body, or lower court and may be used to restrain or compel the acts of a trial court. The Court issues a "rule to show cause" why the relief requested in the petition should not be granted. After considering the written briefs, the Supreme Court either makes the rule absolute (grants the relief requested) or discharges the rule (denies the relief requested).

Petitions in Certiorari:	A writ of common law origin issued by a superior to an inferior court requiring the latter to produce a certified record of a particular case tried therein. The writ is generally issued so that the issuing court may inspect the proceedings and determine whether there have been any irregularities. Like the United States Supreme Court, the Colorado Supreme Court uses the writ as a discretionary device to choose which cases it wishes to hear. Colorado Appellate Rules 49-58 govern writs of certiorari.
Reapportionment:	Article 5, section 48 of the state Constitution provides that after the decennial federal census, the senatorial districts and representative districts shall be established, revised, or altered, and the members of the Senate and the House of Representatives apportioned among them, by a Colorado reapportionment commission. The commission must publish a preliminary plan for reapportionment of the members of the General Assembly and shall hold public hearings thereon. After the completion of such hearings, the commission must finalize its plan and submit the same to the Colorado Supreme Court for review and determination as to whether the plan meets constitutional standards. The Supreme Court must either approve the plan or return the plan and the court's reasons for disapproval to the commission. If the plan is returned, the commission shall revise and modify it to conform to the court's requirements and resubmit the plan to the court. If the plan is approved by the court, it shall be filed with the secretary of state for implementation.
Request for Stay Pending Appeal:	A stay is a suspension of the case or some designated proceedings within it. Colorado Appellate Rule 8 governs stays pending appeal.
Rule 21.1:	This rule of appellate procedure governs certification of questions of law to the Colorado Supreme Court by the United States Supreme Court, federal courts of appeals, federal district courts, and the federal Court of Claims. Under the rule, a certifying court may request that the Colorado Supreme Court answer a question of law if there is involved in any proceeding before the certifying court a question of state law which may be determinative of the cause pending and to which it appears that there is no controlling precedent in the Colorado Supreme Court's decisions.
Special Concurrences:	An opinion in which a justice expresses agreement with the particular result in a given case while objecting to a line of reasoning. The special concurrence may relate to the majority opinion in its entirety or to the result only.
Statutory Reviews:	Section 1-40-107, C.R.S., provides a mechanism by which registered electors who meet certain criteria and who believe that a ballot title contains multiple subjects may petition the Supreme Court to review the title board's action or decision.
Unauthorized Practice:	The practice of law by one who is not licensed as an attorney in Colorado. The Supreme Court has the exclusive jurisdiction to define the practice of law and to prohibit the unauthorized practice of law within the state (see C.R.C.P. 229 through 240.1).

Trial Court Glossary of Terms

Administrative Support Order:	Cases in which the delegate child support unit (typically the department of social services) files a paternity or child support case (See §26-13.5-101 C.R.S.).
Ancillary Proceedings:	A type of probate filing in which a probate case had been opened in another state but the decedent had property in the state of Colorado.
Breach of Contract:	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims parties made an agreement either written or otherwise and the other side has breached this contract (e.g. §13-80-102 C.R.S.).
Breach of Warranty:	A civil case in which a plaintiff claims that a product does not perform as represented.
C.R.C.C.P.:	Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of County Civil Procedure
C.R.C.P.:	Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure
C.R.S.:	Abbreviation for Colorado Revised Statutes.

Civil Protection Orders:	(Prior to 7/1/03, known as Restraining Orders). District and county courts have original concurrent jurisdiction to issue temporary or permanent civil protection orders for any of the following purposes: 1) to prevent assaults and threatened bodily harm; 2) to prevent domestic abuse; 3) to prevent emotional abuse of the elderly; and 4) to prevent stalking (See 13-14-102 C.R.S. and 13-1-136 C.R.S. and C.R.C.C.P. Rule 365)
Condemnation:	A civil case in which the plaintiff, usually the Department of Transportation but may be a city or state district, is asking that property be condemned and taken so that it may be put to that department's use (See §38-3-105 C.R.S.).
County Court Counter Claim:	A civil case in which a defendant desires to counterclaim against the Plaintiff. In County Court if the counterclaim exceeds the jurisdiction of County Court, a transfer of the case to District Court may be requested by the defendant or made automatically by the court (See C.R.C.P. 313).
County Felony:	Criminal felony cases that have preliminary work done at the County Court level before being bound over (sent) to District Court.
Covenant:	Provisions in a deed limiting the use of the property and prohibiting certain uses. Breach of covenant claims are usually filed by homeowners associations.
Criminal Non-support:	A criminal action for the non-payment of child support.
Declaratory Judgment:	A civil case in which the plaintiff is asking the court to declare (order) something. It is used to settle and gain relief from uncertainty with respect to rights, status, or other legal relations.
Dependency and Neglect:	A child is dependent or neglected if a parent, guardian, or legal custodian has abandoned the child or has subjected the child to mistreatment or abuse, whether the parent is or is not at fault. In addition, a child is dependent or neglected if the child has run away from home or is beyond the control of his or her parents (For other examples, see §19-3-102 C.R.S.).
Determination of Interests:	A civil case in which the plaintiff asks the court to determine his or her interest in something (<i>e.g. two attorneys have been partners in a law practice. Now the partnership is being dissolved, and the attorneys cannot agree on who gets what, for example: furniture, computer, client list, etc.</i>).
Disposition:	The final settlement of a matter. With reference to decisions announced by a court, a judge's ruling is commonly referred to as a disposition.
Distraint Warrant:	A filing by the State Department of Revenue for a judgment for outstanding state taxes that allows for a lien to be placed on the debtor's assets.
Expedited Placement:	A dependency and neglect case in which at least one child of the family is under the age of 6 years on the date the petition is filed qualifies as an Expedited Permanency Planning (EPP) case (§19-3-104 C.R.S.).
Felony:	A crime punishable by death or by imprisonment in a state penal institution.
Forcible Entry and Detainer:	Eviction; a civil case in which the plaintiff is seeking to regain possession of leased real property and possibly money damages (See §13-40-110 C.R.S.).
Foreclosure other than Rule 120:	A legal proceeding to terminate a mortgager's interest in property, instituted by the lender either to gain title or to force a sale in order to satisfy the unpaid debt secured by the property (See §38-38-701 C.R.S.).
Foreign Judgment:	A judgment from any state or federal court granting or denying recovery for a sum of money other than judgments for taxes, a fine or other penalty, or a judgment for support in family or matrimonial matters (See §13-53-102 C.R.S.).
Goods Sold and Delivered:	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that defendant purchased products, not services, but has failed to pay for them.
Incoming Registration of Support:	A domestic case in which a person had a Support Order that was entered in another state and he or she wants to enforce the case here, generally to modify the support order or to issue garnishments or wage assignments.

Infraction:	A breach, violation, or infringement, as of a law, contract, right, or duty. A violation of a statute for which the only sentence authorized is a fine.
Injunctive Relief:	Plaintiff asks the court to restrain or enjoin another party from taking an action that may cause harm or result in injury, loss or damage to the plaintiff. May also include a temporary protection order. (See C.R.C.P. 65)
Intestate-Formal:	Formal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing a petition and, after notice and hearing, the court enters an order, adjudicates intestacy, determines heirs and appoints a personal representative.
Intestate-Informal:	Informal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing an application for informal appointment.
Lien:	A civil case in which the plaintiff has provided goods and/or services to a party, and has not been paid. Plaintiff retains right to hold property until payment for services is received. If services were provided on real property, see Mechanic's Lien. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S.)
Mechanic's Lien:	Plaintiff has provided goods and/or services on a property (real property) for which he or she has not been paid and plaintiff has subsequently filed a lien against the property to ensure payment. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S.)
Misdemeanor:	A criminal offense punishable by a sentence in the county jail.
Money:	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims a demand for money owed.
Note:	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims defendant has signed a note (a borrower's legally binding written promise to repay a debt to a lender on a specified date) and has defaulted on the payment for that note.
Outgoing Registration of UIFSA:	An administrative order filed by the Department of Health Services that it wants to have enforced in another state.
Public Administrator Statement:	A type of probate filing. The public administrator files statements of accounts in small estates.
Public Utilities Commission:	A review of a decision by the Public Utilities Commission for the purpose of having the lawfulness of the final decision inquired into and determined. (See §40-6-115 C.R.S.)
Registration of Foreign Decree:	When a party to a domestic relations case, filed in another state, seeks to register (or file) an out-of-state case into the State of Colorado for purposes of enforcement. (See §14-11-101 C.R.S.)
Replevin:	A civil case in which a party having right to possession is asking to recover from another who has either wrongfully taken or detained property. (See C.R.C.P. 104.)
Restraining Orders:	See Civil Protection Orders
Rule 105 Quiet Title:	Plaintiff asks the court to determine the rights of all parties with respect to real property and its possession (See C.R.C.P. 105 and C.R.C.P. 105.1(b)).
Rule 106 Writs/Contempt:	A civil case brought in District Court that allows for relief to be obtained in the following instances: 1) where any person not being committed or detained for any criminal or supposed criminal matter is illegally confined or restrained; 2) to compel a lower judicial body, governmental body, corporation, board, officer or person to perform an act which the law specially enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to compel the admission of a party to the use and enjoyment of a right or office to which he is entitled, and from which he is unlawfully precluded; 3) when any person usurps, intrudes into, or unlawfully holds or exercises any office or franchise; 4) where any governmental body or officer or any lower judicial body exercising judicial or quasi-judicial functions has exceeded its jurisdiction or abused its discretion, and there is no remedy otherwise provided by law.
Rule 120 Deed of Trust:	A party holding interest in property through an instrument in which there is default on the instrument, may ask the court to order the property sold by the public trustee (See C.R.C.P. Rule 120).

Services Rendered:	A civil case in which a party performs a service but is not compensated as agreed.
Single Transaction:	A type of probate filing in which the court, without appointing a conservator, may enter an order to authorize, direct or ratify any transaction necessary or desirable for the best interests of the protected person.
Special Administrator-Formal:	A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed by the Court on petition of any interested person and finding, after notice and hearing, that appointment is necessary to preserve the estate or secure its proper administration, including when a personal representative cannot or should not act. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.)
Special Administrator-Informal:	A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed informally by the Registrar on application of any interested person when necessary to protect the estate of a decedent prior to the appointment of a general personal representative or if a prior appointment has been terminated. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.) Note there is a general policy in most courts to accept only formal proceedings.
Special District:	Persons proposing the organization of a special district (i.e. water, fire protection, metropolitan, park & recreation, sanitation etc.) may petition the court for the organization of the district. (See §32-1-301 C.R.S.)
Specific Performance:	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that a contract exists between parties and one party has failed to perform the conditions of the contract. The plaintiff asks the court to order that the conditions of the contract be performed.
Testate-Formal:	A probate filing. A formal proceeding for adjudication regarding the priority or qualification of one who is an applicant for appointment as personal representative, or of one who previously has been appointed personal representative in informal proceedings, or if an issue concerning the testacy of the decedent is or may be involved (See §15-12-414 C.R.S.).
Testate-Informal:	A probate filing. An informal probate of will and informal appointment of personal representative.
Termination (terminated case):	A case in which all outstanding issues have been resolved; a case that has reached final resolution.
Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act:	A type of probate filing, commonly referred to as a UVGA case, which applies to beneficiaries of monies from the Veterans Administration. The word guardian and guardianship is used but in essence this is a conservatorship and essentially treated as a conservatorship.
Writ of Habeas Corpus:	Plaintiff claims that he or she has been illegally confined and is asking for an order releasing him or her. (See §13-45-101 C.R.S. and §13-45-102 C.R.S.)