

# Colorado Judicial Branch



Annual Statistical Report  
Fiscal Year 2010

# SUPREME COURT OF COLORADO

STATE JUDICIAL BUILDING  
101 WEST COLFAX AVENUE, SUITE 800  
DENVER, COLORADO 80202

---

(303) 837-3771

MARY J. MULLARKEY  
CHIEF JUSTICE

Each year the Colorado Judicial Branch presents its annual statistical report to the citizens of Colorado. As Chief Justice of the Colorado Supreme Court, I am pleased to present our report for Fiscal Year 2010. This report reflects the efforts of the courts to implement the United States and Colorado Constitutions and laws by fully and fairly resolving disputes of all kinds.

This statistical report provides a detailed look at the cases filed and resolved in our courts during the fiscal year that ended June 30, 2010. It presents detailed data of court activities at the appellate, district, and county court levels, as well as in probation services. Information is presented by category of court filings and probation caseloads, as well as location of filings.

As a result of the local and national economic challenges we faced in fiscal years 2009 and 2010, the Judicial Branch implemented a number of cost-saving measures, which came in the form of departmental reorganizations and an ultimate reduction in our staffing numbers. In total, by delaying two years of judgeships authorized by HB 07-1054, keeping open vacant positions within the branch and continuing our statewide reorganizational efforts, we have accomplished a savings of more than \$16.5 million.

However, during the same time period, case filings in Colorado have remained steady. I am proud of the work our judicial officers and court staff have accomplished despite reduced resources. We have kept the courts open and accessible to people across the state in need of assistance for resolving disputes.

Overall trial court filings brought to our courts in FY 2010 numbered 259,821 criminal and 502,861 civil cases. On the appellate level, 1,518 cases were filed with the Supreme Court, which issued 103 opinions, while the Court of Appeals issued 1,752 published and unpublished opinions with an additional 873 cases either dismissed or transferred to the Supreme Court.

While Colorado's economy has yet to return to best form, it appears to be moving in a more positive direction. As many of you have seen while driving through downtown Denver, the former Colorado Judicial and Historical Society buildings have been razed to make way for development of the new Courthouse and Ralph L. Carr Judicial Center. The construction is under way, on-time and on-budget, and will be home to all of the various state agencies that make up the Judicial Branch, as well as the Executive Branch's Department of Law. It is very exciting to see the progress that is quickly being made and we look forward to Spring 2013 when we expect to move into a new home.

As many of you know, I have decided to retire at the end of November 2010. I have had the privilege of serving as Chief Justice since 1998 and as a member of the Supreme Court since 1987. In my tenure, the three branches of government have accomplished a great deal for which we should all be proud. I am confident my successor and the state's Judiciary will continue to work to ensure the Branch is responsive to the needs of the public and to promote the public's understanding of and confidence in the Colorado courts as the third coequal Branch of government.

Sincerely,



Mary J. Mullarkey  
Chief Justice

## Table of Contents

Foreword .....	i
Judicial District Map .....	ii
Organization Chart .....	iii

### I. Supreme Court

List of Supreme Court Justices .....	1
Narrative .....	3
Table 1 Supreme Court Caseload, FY 1978 to FY 2010 .....	5
Table 2 Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court, FY 1988 to FY 2010 .....	6
Table 3 Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court, FY 2003 to FY 2010 .....	6
Table 4 Distribution of Case Terminations, FY 1988 to FY 2010 .....	7
Table 5 Supreme Court Written Opinions, FY 2003 to FY 2010.....	7
Table 6 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue, End of FY 2010 .....	8
Table 7 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue, End of FY 2010.....	8

### II. Court of Appeals

List of Court of Appeals Judges .....	9
Narrative .....	11
Table 8 Court of Appeals Caseload, FY 1973 to FY 2010.....	13
Table 9 Distribution of Case Filings and Percent Change for FY 2009 and FY 2010.....	14
Table 10 Distribution of Case Terminations and Percent Change for FY 2009 and FY 2010.....	14

### III. District Court

List of District Court Judges .....	15
Narrative .....	17
Chart 1&2 Filing Comparison, FY 2001 and FY 2010.....	19
Table 11 District Court Filings and Terminations Compared to Previous Year .....	21
Table 12 District Court Caseload, FY 2001 to FY 2010.....	22
Table 13 District Court Filings and Percent Change, FY 2009 to FY 2010 .....	23
Table 14 District Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2010 .....	24-27
Table 15a Court and Jury Trials for District Court for FY 2010.....	28-29
Table 15b Domestic Relations Hearings, FY2010 .....	30-31
Table 15c Mental Health Hearings, FY2010.....	32-33
Table 15d Probate Hearings, FY2010 .....	34-35
Table 16 Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2010.....	36-49
Table 17 Domestic Relations Filings by Type of Case for FY 2010 .....	50-53
Table 18 Criminal Filings by Type of Case for FY 2010 .....	54-65
Table 19 Juvenile Filings by Type of Case for FY 2010 .....	66-73
Table 20 Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type of Case for FY 2010 .....	74-83
Table 21 Mental Health Filings by Type of Case for FY 2010 .....	84-85
Table 22 Probate Filings by Type of Case for FY 2010.....	86-93

### IV. County Court

List of County Court Judges.....	95
Narrative.....	97
Chart 3&4 Filing Comparison, FY 2001 and FY 2010.....	99
Table 23 County Court Filings and Terminations Compared to Previous Year .....	101
Table 24 County Court Caseload FY 2001 to FY 2010 .....	102
Table 25 County Court Filings and Percent Change from FY 2009 to FY 2010.....	103
Table 26 County Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2010.....	104-107
Table 27 Court and Jury Trials for County Court for FY 2010 .....	108-109
Table 28 DUI/DWAI Filings by District for FY 2001 to FY 2010.....	110
Table 29 Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2010.....	111-112

Table 30	Misdemeanor Filings by Type of Case for FY 2010.....	113-120
Table 31	Small Claims Filings by Type of Case for FY 2010 .....	121-122
Table 32	Traffic Filings by Type of Case for FY 2010.....	123-124

## V. Water Court

List of Water Court Judges.....	125
Narrative.....	127
Table 33 Filings, Claims and Percent Change for FY 2009 and 2010.....	129

## VI. Office of Dispute Resolution

Narrative.....	131
Table 34 ADR Services Provided by Case Type and Disposition Percentage, FY 2010 .....	133
Table 35 ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type, FY 2010 .....	134
Table 36 Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type, FY 2010 .....	135

## VII. Financial Information

Narrative.....	137
Table 37 Colorado Judicial Branch, Collections .....	138
Chart 5 Judicial Restitution, FY 2001 to FY 2010 .....	139
Chart 6 Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2010 Statewide Total Appropriations .....	141
Chart 7 Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2010 Judicial Appropriation by Agency.....	141
Chart 8 Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2010 Judicial Appropriation by Major Program.....	141
Chart 9 Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2010 Judicial Appropriation by Major Cost Category.....	141

## VIII. Colorado Probation

List of Chief Probation Officers.....	143
Probation Overview.....	145-147
Table 38 Grand Totals – Probation Adult Regular, Private, Juvenile, Intensive Programs, Monitoring and Investigations, FY2009 & FY 2010.....	149
Table 39 State and Private Combined (Non-DUI/DWAI) Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations FY2010.....	150

### Regular Adult State Probation:

Table 40 Adult Probation: FY 2010 Investigations .....	151
Table 41 Adult Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Offense Type.....	152
Table 42 Adult Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Court of Origin .....	153
Table 43 Adult Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Supervision Type.....	154
Table 44 Adult Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Gender.....	155
Table 45 Adult Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Age .....	156
Table 46 Adult Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Ethnic Group.....	157
Table 47 Adult Probation: FY 2010 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations.....	158
Table 48 Adult Probation: FY 2010 All Probation Supervision Closure Types.....	159
Table 49 Adult Probation: FY 2010 Type of Revocation.....	160
Table 50 Adult Probation: FY 2010 Length of Stay .....	161
Table 51 Adult Probation: FY 2010 Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2010 (State Supervised).....	162
Table 52 Adult Probation: FY 2010 Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2010 (State Supervised).....	163
Table 53 Adult Probation: FY 2010 State Active and All Private Clients on June 30, 2010 .....	164

### Private Probation:

Table 54 Private Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Supervision Type.....	165
Table 55 Private Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Gender.....	166
Table 56 Private Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Age: Non-DUI/DWAI.....	167
Table 57 Private Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Age: DUI/DWAI.....	168
Table 58 Private Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Ethnic Group: Non-DUI/DWAI.....	169
Table 59 Private Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Ethnic Group: DUI/DWAI.....	170
Table 60 Private Probation: FY 2010 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations.....	171

Table 61	Private Probation: FY 2010 All Closure Types: Non-DUI/DWAI .....	172
Table 62	Private Probation: FY 2010 All Closure Types: DUI/DWAI .....	173
Table 63	Private Probation: FY 2010 Types of Revocation .....	174
Table 64	Private Probation: FY 2010 Length of Stay.....	175
Table 65	Private Probation: FY 2010 Active Non-DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2010.....	176
Table 66	Private Probation: FY 2010 Active DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2010.....	177

**Regular Juvenile Probation:**

Table 67	Juvenile Probation: FY 2010 Investigations.....	179
Table 68	Juvenile Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Offense Type.....	180
Table 69	Juvenile Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Supervision Type.....	181
Table 70	Juvenile Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Gender.....	182
Table 71	Juvenile Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Age .....	183
Table 72	Juvenile Probation: FY 2010 New Clients by Ethnic Group.....	184
Table 73	Juvenile Probation: FY 2010 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations .....	185
Table 74	Juvenile Probation: FY 2010 All Probation Supervision Closure Types.....	186
Table 75	Juvenile Probation: FY 2010 Type of Revocation.....	187
Table 76	Juvenile Probation: FY 2010 Length of Stay .....	188
Table 77	Juvenile Probation: FY 2010 Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2010 .....	189
Table 78	Juvenile Probation: FY 2010 Active Sex Offender Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2010 .....	190

**Intensive Programs:**

**Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP):**

Table 79	FY 2010 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP) New Clients by Order Type .....	191
Table 80	FY 2010 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations (AISP).....	192
Table 81	FY 2010 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP) Discharges .....	193
Table 82	FY 2010 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP) Type of Revocation .....	194

**Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP):**

Table 83	FY 2010 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP) New Clients by Order Type .....	195
Table 84	FY 2010 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations (SOISP).....	196
Table 85	FY 2010 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP) Discharges .....	197
Table 86	FY 2010 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP) Type of Revocation .....	198

**Female Offender Program (FOP):**

Table 87	FY 2010 Female Offender Program (FOP) New Clients by Order Type .....	199
Table 88	FY 2010 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations (FOP).....	200
Table 89	FY 2010 Female Offender Program (FOP) Discharges.....	201
Table 90	FY 2010 Female Offender Program (FOP) Type of Revocation .....	202

**Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP):**

Table 91	FY 2010 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP) New Clients by Order Type .....	203
Table 92	FY 2010 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations (JISP).....	204
Table 93	FY 2010 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP) Discharges.....	205
Table 94	FY 2010 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP) Type of Revocation.....	206

**Intensive Programs Summary:**

Table 95	FY 2010 Active Clients Summary on June 30, 2010 .....	207
----------	---	-----

**Victim Services:**

Table 96	Summary of Victim Services FY 2004 to FY 2010 .....	209
----------	---	-----

**Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)**

Table 97	ADDS: FY2010 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients.....	211
Table 98	ADDS: FY2010 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender.....	212
Table 99	ADDS: FY2010 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group .....	213
Table 100	ADDS: FY2010 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age .....	214
Table 101	ADDS: FY2010 State Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations .....	215
Table 102	ADDS: FY2010 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Closure Types.....	216

Table 103	ADDS: FY2010 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Type of Revocation.....	217
Table 104	ADDS: FY2010 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Length of Stay.....	218
Table 105	ADDS: FY2010 Active State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients on June 30, 2010.....	219

**IX. Glossary of Terms**

Probation.....	221-222
Supreme Court Glossary of Terms .....	222-223
Trial Court Glossary of Terms .....	223-226

## **Foreword**

The Colorado Judicial Branch joins the Legislative and Executive branches to form the government of the State of Colorado. The Judicial Branch is charged with two responsibilities: resolving disputes and supervising offenders on probation.

The Colorado Judicial Department Annual Statistical Report for Fiscal Year 2010 provides information about the Colorado state courts. Profiled in this report are case load statistics for the Colorado Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, District Courts, County Courts, Water Courts, as well as summarized financial information for the Judicial Department.


All references in this report reflect data gathered for Fiscal Year 2010 (July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010), unless otherwise specified. This report can also be viewed by accessing the Colorado Judicial Department Web site at [www.courts.state.co.us](http://www.courts.state.co.us). If you need additional information regarding this document, please contact the Office of the State Court Administrator, Division of Planning & Analysis or Division of Probation Services at (303) 861-1111.





# Organization Chart of the Judicial Department

The Colorado court system consists of the Supreme Court, an intermediate Court of Appeals, district courts and county courts. Each county has both a district court and a county court. Special probate and juvenile courts created by the Colorado Constitution exist in the City and County of Denver. Colorado statutes also authorize locally funded municipal courts with jurisdiction limited to municipal ordinance violations.



1 - Exclusive to the City and County of Denver. In the rest of the state, the district court is responsible for juvenile and probate matters.

2 – The Denver County Court functions as a municipal as well as a county court and is separate from the state court system.

3 – Created and maintained by local government but subject to Supreme Court rules and procedures.

Section I:  
Supreme Court



# SUPREME COURT

## SUPREME COURT JUSTICES AND APPOINTMENT DATES

Chief Justice Mary J. Mullarkey: Appointed June 29, 1987,  
became Chief Justice: August 3, 1998

Gregory J. Hobbs, Jr.: April 18, 1996

Alex J. Martinez: September 12, 1996

Michael L. Bender: January 2, 1997

Nancy E. Rice: August 5, 1998

Nathan B. Coats: April 24, 2000

Alison Eid: February 15, 2006

## Colorado Supreme Court

The Colorado Supreme Court is the state's court of last resort. Its decisions are binding on all County and District Courts, as well as the Court of Appeals.

The Supreme Court is composed of seven justices who serve renewable 10-year terms. The Chief Justice is selected from the membership of the body and serves at the pleasure of a majority of the justices. The Chief Justice also serves as the executive head of the Colorado Judicial Department and is the ex officio chair of the Supreme Court Nominating Commission. The Chief Justice appoints the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals and the Chief Judge of each of the state's 22 judicial districts, and is vested with the authority to assign judges (active or retired) to perform judicial duties.

Requests to review decisions of the Colorado Court of Appeals constitute a majority of the Supreme Court's filings. The Supreme Court also has direct appellate jurisdiction over cases in which a statute has been held to be unconstitutional, cases involving decisions of the Public Utilities Commission, writs of habeas corpus, cases involving adjudication of water rights, summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code, and prosecutorial appeals concerning search and seizure questions in pending criminal proceedings. All of these appeals are filed directly with the Supreme Court, and, in these cases bypass the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court also has exclusive jurisdiction to promulgate rules governing practice and procedure in civil and criminal actions.

Colorado's attorneys are licensed and disciplined by the Supreme Court. The court's attorney regulation system, funded by attorney registration fees, regulates the profession. In addition, the court oversees the State Court Administrator, Board of Continuing Legal Education, Board of Law Examiners, Commission on Judicial Discipline, and Unauthorized Practice of Law Committee.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are welcome to visit the court. The clerk's office can assist you in planning a court visit. For more information, please contact Susan J. Festag, Clerk of the Colorado Supreme Court, at 101 West Colfax Ave., Suite 800, Denver, Colorado 80202; or call (303) 837-3790 or (800) 888-0001.

Case announcements, oral argument schedules, rules, Chief Justice Directives, protocols, links to committees and commissions, and general information about the court is available on the Judicial Branch's website at:

[http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Supreme\\_Court/Index.cfm](http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Supreme_Court/Index.cfm)

Table 1

## Supreme Court Caseload FY 1978 to FY 2010

Fiscal Year	Cases Pending July 1	Cases Filed	Total Caseload	Cases Terminated(a)	Cases Pending June 30
1977-78	374	854	1,228	893	335
1978-79	350	941	1,291	877	414
1979-80	414	950	1,364	893	471
1980-81	471	966	1,437	979	458
1981-82	458	1,052	1,510	1,006	504
1982-83	504	971	1,475	1,038	437
1983-84	437	1,069	1,506	950	556
1984-85	556	967	1,523	1,011	512
1985-86	512	988	1,500	973	527
1986-87	527	970	1,497	1,036	461
1987-88	461	1,022	1,483	1,001	482
1988-89	482	1,198	1,680	1,215	465
1989-90	465	1,300	1,765	1,261	504
1990-91	504	1,265	1,769	1,326	443
1991-92	443	1,313	1,756	1,286	470
1992-93	470	1,251	1,721	1,261	460
1993-94	460	1,277	1,737	1,290	447
1994-95	447	1,358	1,805	1,316	489
1995-96	489	1,401	1,890	1,368	522
1996-97	522	1,511	2,033	1,432	601
1997-98	601	1,520	2,121	1,561	560
1998-99	560	1,525	2,085	1,609	476
1999-00	476	1,617	2,093	1,563	530
2000-01	530	1,367	1,897	1,425	472
2001-02	472	1,368	1,840	1,415	425
2002-03	425	1,401	1,826	1,441	385
2003-04	385	1,317	1,702	1,319	383
2004-05	383	1,466	1,849	1,451	398
2005-06	398	1,393	1,791	1,400	391
2006-07	391	1,534	1,925	1,450	475
2007-08	475	1,657	2,132	1,760	372
2008-09	372	1,643	2,015	1,554	461
2009-10	461	1,518	1,979	1,554	425

(a) Terminations include cases transferred to the Court of Appeals.

Table 2 Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court FY 1988 to FY 2010					
Fiscal Year	Direct Filings	Transfers		Total Filings	
		from Court of Appeals	Reopened Cases		
1987-88	1,006	14	2	1,022	
1988-89	1,182	15	1	1,198	
1989-90	1,284	15	1	1,300	
1990-91	1,260	4	1	1,265	
1991-92	1,292	21	0	1,313	
1992-93	1,235	16	0	1,251	
1993-94	1,270	7	0	1,277	
1994-95	1,356	2	0	1,358	
1995-96	1,389	11	1	1,401	
1996-97	1,507	4	0	1,511	
1997-98	1,517	3	0	1,520	
1998-99	1,521	4	0	1,525	
1999-00	1,613	4	0	1,617	
2000-01	1,363	4	0	1,367	
2001-02	1,361	7	0	1,368	
2002-03	1,393	8	0	1,401	
2003-04	1,310	7	0	1,317	
2004-05	1,463	3	0	1,466	
2005-06	1,387	6	0	1,393	
2006-07	1,530	4	0	1,534	
2007-08	1,653	4	0	1,657	
2008-09	1,638	5	0	1,643	
2009-10	1,504	14	0	1,518	

Table 3 Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court FY 2003 to FY 2010								
	02-03	03-04	04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10
Criminal Appeals	2	2	2	1	0	2	1	2
Original Proceedings	250	295	297	305	281	305	269	318
Petitions in Certiorari	962	822	936	868	1001	1078	1112	967
Civil Appeals (a)	19	10	17	13	13	10	13	19
Interlocutories	10	11	11	13	15	25	17	19
Statutory Reviews (b)	18	29	3	18	8	24	4	16
Habeas Corpus	17	14	30	19	23	13	16	21
Bail Reductions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Adversary Sentence Reviews	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interrogatories	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1
Reapportionment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reopened Cases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rule 21.1	3	0	1	3	2	0	0	3
Judicial Discipline or Disability	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
Request for Stay Pending Appeal	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Unauthorized Practice	10	19	14	7	5	5	21	12
Original Proceedings								
In Discipline	23	25	20	17	28	26	16	26
Disability	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Continuing Legal Education	62	64	106	101	122	136	155	92
Multi-District Litigation	23	23	29	26	33	33	18	22
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,401</b>	<b>1,317</b>	<b>1,466</b>	<b>1,393</b>	<b>1,534</b>	<b>1,657</b>	<b>1,643</b>	<b>1,518</b>

(a) Includes P.U.C. decisions, water cases, and constitutional questions  
(b) Includes Ballot Title Reviews

<b>Table 4 Distribution of Case Terminations FY 1988 to FY 2010</b>					
<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Disposed of by Written Opinion</b>	<b>Disposed of Without Opinion</b>	<b>Total Dispositions</b>	<b>Transferred to Court of Appeals</b>	<b>Total Terminations</b>
1987-88	244	749	993	8	1,001
1988-89	226	976	1,202	13	1,215
1989-90	237	1,008	1,245	16	1,261
1990-91	227	1,086	1,313	13	1,326
1991-92	216	1,058	1,274	12	1,286
1992-93	181	1,059	1,240	21	1,261
1993-94	192	1,093	1,285	5	1,290
1994-95	233	1,077	1,310	6	1,316
1995-96	193	1,176	1,369	1	1,370
1996-97	214	1,212	1,426	6	1,432
1997-98	187	1,372	1,559	2	1,561
1998-99	196	1,413	1,609	6	1,615
1999-00	111	1,452	1,563	0	1,563
2000-01	128	1,291	1,419	6	1,425
2001-02	121	1,287	1,408	7	1,415
2002-03	85	1,354	1,439	2	1,441
2003-04	89	1,228	1,317	2	1,319
2004-05	93	1,357	1,450	1	1,451
2005-06	78	1,321	1,399	1	1,400
2006-07	86	1,361	1,447	3	1,450
2007-08	99	1,658	1,757	3	1,760
2008-09	67	1,484	1,551	3	1,554
2009-10	75	1,478	1,553	1	1,554

<b>Table 5 Supreme Court Written Opinions FY 2003 to FY 2010</b>					
	<b>Number of Opinions Announcing Judgment of the Court</b>	<b>Number of Partial Concurrences and Dissents</b>	<b>Number of Dissents</b>	<b>Number of Concurrences &amp; Special Concurrences</b>	<b>Total Number of Written Opinions</b>
2002-03	84	1	29	6	120
2003-04	78	6	22	4	110
2004-05	89	5	34	6	134
2005-06	78	7	18	8	111
2006-07	83	2	19	12	116
2007-08	95	6	32	14	147
2008-09	66	3	33	8	110
2009-10	68	9	22	4	103

\*Since FY2001, attorney discipline opinions are issued by the presiding disciplinary judge.

Table 6 <b>Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue</b> <b>End of FY 2010</b>					
	<b>Original</b>	<b>Certiorari</b>	<b>Appeals</b>	<b>Grievances</b>	<b>Total</b>
	<b>Proceedings</b>				
Cases at issue awaiting oral argument	1	16	5	0	22
Cases at issue awaiting submission on briefs	1	0	2	2	5
Cases orally argued awaiting opinion	1	16	2	0	19
Cases submitted without argument	0	0	3	0	3
Opinions announced awaiting action on rehearing	6	5	7	0	18
<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>67</b>

Table 7 <b>Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue</b> <b>End of FY 2010</b>	
<b>Pending Cases</b>	<b>Total</b>
Certiorari granted, awaiting briefs on merits	40
Certiorari pending	255
Appeals pending	11
Original proceedings pending	16
Interlocutory appeals pending	7
Grievance matters pending	5
Unauthorized practice pending	10
Judicial discipline pending	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>344</b>



Section II:  
Court of Appeals



# COURT OF APPEALS

## COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES AND APPOINTMENT DATES

Chief Judge Janice B. Davidson: July 15, 1988;  
became Chief Judge May 1, 2003

Daniel M. Taubman: November 11, 1992

James S. Casebolt: February 12, 1994

Arthur P. Roy: March 4, 1994

John D. Dailey: January 7, 2000

John R. Webb: February 1, 2002

Dennis A. Graham: July 1, 2002

Russell Carparelli: February 7, 2003

Alan M. Loeb: July 2, 2003

Robert M. Russel: January 30, 2004

Robert D. Hawthorne: August 12, 2004

Gilbert M. Roman: August 1, 2005

David Furman: January 1, 2006

Diana Terry: July 1, 2006

Jerry Jones: July 1, 2006

Steve Bernard: July 1, 2006

Nancy Lichtenstein: April 1, 2008

Richard L. Gabriel: July 1, 2008

Sean Connelly: July 16, 2008

David J. Richman: July 16, 2008

Laurie A. Booras: January 13, 2009

Gale T. Miller: January 13, 2009

## Colorado Court of Appeals

The Colorado Court of Appeals is the state's intermediate appellate court. Twenty-two judges, who sit in panels or divisions of three, serve renewable eight-year terms. The mission of the Court of Appeals is to provide the citizens of Colorado with clear, impartial and timely resolution of appealed orders and judgments as provided by law.

The body was created by statute; accordingly, jurisdiction is limited to areas specified by statute, together with the inherent powers granted to all courts in the state. However, in cases of first impression, or where existing law is not dispositive, the Court of Appeals is a law-making court.

The Court of Appeals is generally the first court to hear appeals of judgments and orders in criminal, juvenile, civil, domestic relations and probate matters. In addition, the Court of Appeals has specific appellate jurisdiction over decisions originating from a number of state administrative boards and agencies, including the Industrial Claim Appeals Office. Its determination of an appeal is final unless the Colorado Supreme Court agrees to hear the matter.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are always welcome to visit the court. For more information pertaining to the Colorado Court of Appeals, or to observe oral arguments, contact the Clerk of the Court at (303) 837- 3785 or (800) 888-0001, ext. 785. The Court of Appeals is located at 101 West Colfax Ave., Suite 800, Denver, Colorado 80202.

General information about the court and links to published opinions, oral argument schedules, rules, forms and policies are available on-line at [http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Court\\_Of\\_Appeals/Index.cfm](http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Court_Of_Appeals/Index.cfm).

Table 8

<b>Caseload of Court of Appeals for FY 1973 through FY 2010</b>				
<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Cases Pending July 1</b>	<b>Cases Filed</b>	<b>Cases Terminated</b>	<b>Cases Pending June 30</b>
1972-73	355	468	467	356
1973-74	356	444	441	359
1974-75	359	858	625	592
1975-76	592	915	833	674
1976-77	674	1,128	918	884
1977-78	884	1,119	1,000	1,003
1978-79	1,003	1,214	1,119	1,098
1979-80	1,098	1,207	1,164	1,141
1980-81	1,139	1,285	1,213	1,211
1981-82	1,211	1,512	1,320	1,403
1982-83	1,403	1,453	1,381	1,475
1983-84	1,475	1,580	1,411	1,644
1984-85	1,644	1,631	1,396	1,879
1985-86	1,879	1,917	1,630	2,166
1986-87	2,166	1,930	1,602	2,494
1987-88	2,494	1,946	2,034	2,406
1988-89	2,406	2,012	2,193	2,225
1989-90	2,225	2,270	2,144	2,351
1990-91	2,351	2,147	2,192	2,306
1991-92	2,306	2,201	2,335	2,172
1992-93	2,172	2,109	2,269	2,012
1993-94	2,012	2,287	2,192	2,107
1994-95	2,107	2,179	2,156	2,130
1995-96	2,130	2,289	2,318	2,101
1996-97	2,101	2,245	2,274	2,072
1997-98	2,072	2,410	2,231	2,251
1998-99	2,251	2,647	2,458	2,440
1999-00*	2,440	2,502	2,599	2,343
2000-01	2,343	2,335	2,414	2,264
2001-02	2,264	2,673	2,463	2,474
2002-03*	2,474	2,589	2,510	2,553
2003-04*	2,553	2,558	2,511	2,600
2004-05*	2,600	2,766	2,542	2,824
2005-06	2,824	2,748	2,622	2,950
2006-07	2,950	2,548	2,810	2,688
2007-08	2,688	2,753	2,761	2,680
2008-09	2,680	2,809	2,994	2,495
2009-10	2,495	2,890	2,625	2,760

\*Terminated and pending case totals for FY 2000, and 2003-2005 have been amended to reflect a manual count of open cases.

Table 9

***Distribution of Case Filings and Percent Change  
for FY 2009 and FY 2010***

<b>Case Filings</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Criminal	1,231	1,216	-1.2%
Civil	1,106	1,049	-5.2%
ICAO (1)	261	430	64.8%
Other (juvenile, agency)	211	195	-7.6%
Total	2,809	2,890	2.9%

(1) Industrial Claims Appeals Office

Table 10

***Distribution of Case Terminations and Percent Change  
for FY 2009 and FY 2010***

<b>Case Terminations</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Published Opinions	269	222	-17.5%
Unpublished Opinions	1,794	1,530	-14.7%
Dismissed	925	859	-7.1%
Transferred to Supreme Court	6	14	133.3%
Total	2,994	2,625	-12.3%

Section III:  
District Court



## DISTRICT COURT JUDGES

### FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

R. Brooke Jackson, Chief Judge  
Randall C. Arp  
Jack W. Berryhill  
Brian Boatright  
Margie L. Enquist  
Dennis Hall  
Christopher J. Munch  
Stephen M. Munsinger  
Philip J. McNulty  
Lily Oeffler  
Christie B. Phillips (1)  
Tamara Russell  
Jane A. Tidball

### SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Robert S. Hyatt, Chief Judge  
Edward D. Bronfin  
Martin F. Egelhoff  
Ann B. Frick (2)  
Shelley I. Gilman  
Christina M. Habas  
Norman D. Haglund  
Morris B. Hoffman  
William W. Hood  
Catherine A. Lemon  
John W. Madden, IV  
Anne Mansfield  
Michael A. Martinez  
Robert L. McGahey, Jr.  
R. Michael Mullins  
J. Stephen Phillips  
Sheila A. Rappaport  
Gloria A. Rivera  
William D. Robbins  
Herbert L. Stern, III  
Brian Whitney

### DENVER JUVENILE COURT

Karen Ashby, Presiding Judge  
Donna Jean Schmalberger  
David Brett Woods

### DENVER PROBATE COURT

C. Jean Stewart, Presiding Judge

### THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Claude W. Appel, Chief Judge  
Leslie J. Gerbracht

### FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Kirk S. Samelson, Chief Judge  
Theresa M. Cisneros  
Edward S. Colt  
Ronald G. Crowder  
Jan DuBois  
David A. Gilbert  
Deborah J. Grohs  
Barney Iuppa  
Thomas K. Kane  
James P. Kelly  
Thomas L. Kennedy  
Robert L. Lowrey  
Gilbert A. Martinez  
G. David Miller  
David Prince  
Larry E. Schwartz  
Scott A. Sells  
David L. Shakes  
Timothy Simmons  
Gregory R. Werner

### FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

W. Terry Ruckriegle, Chief Judge  
Frederick W. Gannett  
Russell H. Granger  
R. Thomas Moorhead  
Karen A. Romeo

### SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gregory G. Lyman, Chief Judge  
David L. Dickinson  
Jeffrey R. Wilson

### SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

J. Steven Patrick, Chief Judge  
Charles R. Greenacre  
Jeff B. Herron  
James W. Schum

### EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Stephen J. Schapanski, Chief Judge  
Jolene C. Blair  
Terence Gilmore  
Daniel J. Kaup  
Gregory M. Lammons  
Deven R. Odell (3)  
John D. Williams

### NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

James B. Boyd, Chief Judge  
Denise K. Lynch  
Gail H. Nichols  
Daniel B. Petre

### TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

C. Dennis Maes, Chief Judge  
David W. Crockenberg  
Deborah R. Eyler  
Thomas B. Fleisher  
Jill S. Mattoon  
Victor I. Reyes  
Larry C. Schwartz

### ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Charles M. Barton, Chief Judge  
Stephen A. Groome  
Julie G. Marshall  
David M. Thorson

### TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

O. John Kuenhold, Chief Judge  
Martin A. Gonzales  
Pattie Swift

### THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Michael K. Singer, Chief Judge  
Charles M. Hobbs (4)  
Kevin Hoyer  
Douglas R. Vannoy

### FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Michael A. O'Hara III, Chief Judge  
Shelley A. Hill  
Mary C. Hoak

### FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Stanley A. Brinkley, Chief Judge  
P. Douglas Tallman

### SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

M. Jon Kolomitz, Chief Judge  
Michael A. Schiferl

### SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

C. Vincent Phelps, Jr., Chief Judge  
John T. Bryan  
C. Scott Crabtree  
Katherine R. Delgado  
Thomas R. Ensor  
F. Michael Goodbee  
Chris Melonakis  
Edward Moss  
Patrick T. Murphy  
John E. Popovich, Jr.  
Jill-Ellyn Straus  
Francis C. Wasserman  
Mark D. Warner

### EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

William B. Sylvester, Chief Judge  
Marilyn Leonard Antrim  
Angela R. Arkin  
Richard B. Caschette  
Christopher C. Cross  
Timothy L. Fasing  
J. Mark Hannen  
Jeffrey K. Holmes  
Nancy A. Hopf  
Kurt A. Horton  
Paul A. King  
Charles M. Pratt  
Gerald J. Rafferty  
Robert H. Russell, II  
Carlos Armando Samour Jr.  
Michael Spear  
Valeria Spencer  
Elizabeth A. Weishaupt  
John Wheeler  
Vincent R. White

### NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

James F. Hartmann, Chief Judge  
Gilbert A. Gutierrez  
Julie Hoskins  
Marcelo A. Koppow  
Daniel S. Maus  
Thomas J. Quammen  
Elizabeth B. Strobel  
Dinsmore Tuttle

### TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Roxanne Bailin, Chief Judge  
Maria Berkenkotter  
Carol Glowinsky  
James C. Klein  
Dolores (D.D.) Mallard  
Lael E. Montgomery  
Thomas F. Mulvahill (5)  
Mary Gwyneth Whalen

### TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

David A. Bottger, Chief Judge  
Thomas M. Deister  
Brian J. Flynn  
Dick Gurley  
Valerie Jo Robinson

### TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Sharon L. Hansen, Chief Judge  
Douglas S. Walker

- (1) Replaced Hon. M.J. Menendez  
(2) Replaced Hon. Larry J. Naves  
(3) Replaced Hon. James H. Hiatt  
(4) Replaced Hon. John Curtis Penny, Jr.  
(5) Replaced Hon. Morris W. Sandstead, Jr.

## District Court

Colorado is sectioned into 22 Judicial Districts encompassing all 64 counties. Across the state, district boundaries are aligned with county lines – some districts include just one county, while others include as many as seven. Each district has at least one district court location. The Supreme Court Chief Justice appoints a Chief Judge for each district to serve as the Chief Judicial Officer. In addition, each district has at least one other district court judge who may preside in more than one district court within that judicial district, particularly in rural areas of the state. There were 164 district judges during FY 2010.

District judges preside over felony criminal matters, civil claims in any amount, juvenile matters (including adoption, dependency and neglect matters, juvenile delinquency, and paternity actions), probate, mental health, divorce proceedings, and water cases. Additionally, district judges preside over jury trials, handle appeals from Colorado's municipal and county courts, and review decisions of administrative boards and agencies. District decisions may be appealed to the Colorado Court of Appeals and/or to the Colorado Supreme Court.

In Fiscal Year 2010 there were 236,671 case filings at the district court level (excluding water cases). Colorado's district courts were able to terminate 238,465 cases during that same 12-month period. During the past 10 years, district court filings have increased 52 percent, rising from 155,220 cases to the current level of filings. The greatest area of increase was due to an increase in civil distraint warrant (tax lien) filings during FY 2010.

Information specific to each of the 22 Judicial Districts, including contact information, is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/district/districts.htm>.



**District Case Filings -- Proportion of Cases by Class  
Ten Year Comparison**





Table 11: District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2009, FY 2010

Filings Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY09	FY10	Percent Change
Civil	67,480	116,346	72.42%
Criminal	39,464	36,993	-6.26%
Domestic Relations	33,190	35,624	7.33%
Juvenile	32,165	30,360	-5.61%
Mental Health	4,795	5,159	7.59%
Probate	11,443	12,189	6.52%
<b>Total</b>	<b>188,537</b>	<b>236,671</b>	<b>25.53%</b>

Terminations Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY09	FY10	Percent Change
Civil	65,909	117,836	78.79%
Criminal	40,169	37,905	-5.64%
Domestic Relations	32,426	34,965	7.83%
Juvenile	30,170	29,855	-1.04%
Mental Health	4,865	5,127	5.39%
Probate	11,780	12,777	8.46%
<b>Total</b>	<b>185,319</b>	<b>238,465</b>	<b>28.68%</b>

Table 12: District Court Caseload FY 2001 to FY 2010

Case Class	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10
<b>CIVIL</b>										
New Cases Filed	37,235	41,349	43,976	51,846	55,465	60,546	64,603	64,199	67,480	116,346
Cases Terminated	36,817	41,277	43,000	50,777	54,912	59,146	65,029	64,021	65,909	117,836
<b>CRIMINAL</b>										
New Cases Filed	36,860	39,147	41,257	42,427	45,405	46,501	44,245	40,494	39,464	36,993
Cases Terminated	35,071	37,621	39,725	40,588	42,569	46,127	45,200	43,396	40,169	37,905
<b>DOMESTIC RELATIONS</b>										
New Cases Filed	31,068	32,166	31,771	30,826	31,064	32,481	32,230	33,025	33,190	35,624
Cases Terminated	31,468	33,719	32,282	31,510	31,197	32,316	31,933	32,518	32,426	34,965
<b>JUVENILE</b>										
New Cases Filed	34,481	35,691	36,362	36,078	34,851	33,709	32,500	33,370	32,165	30,360
Cases Terminated	35,910	35,409	35,902	35,561	33,546	32,960	30,993	32,391	30,170	29,855
<b>MENTAL HEALTH</b>										
New Cases Filed	4,216	4,229	4,330	4,528	5,021	4,653	4,459	4,713	4,795	5,159
Cases Terminated	4,290	4,194	4,405	4,308	4,782	4,679	4,626	4,487	4,865	5,127
<b>PROBATE</b>										
New Cases Filed	11,360	11,655	11,762	11,653	11,706	11,525	11,198	11,551	11,443	12,189
Cases Terminated	11,577	13,675	11,946	13,562	12,989	11,164	11,187	12,574	11,780	12,777
<b>TOTAL</b>										
New Cases Filed	155,220	164,237	169,458	177,358	183,512	189,415	189,235	187,352	188,537	236,671
Cases Terminated	155,133	165,895	167,260	176,306	179,995	186,392	188,968	189,387	185,319	238,465

Table 13: District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2009, FY 2010

District	Filings			Terminations		
	FY09	FY10	Percent Change	FY09	FY10	Percent Change
1	18,685	23,780	27.27%	18,501	23,865	28.99%
2	20,757	34,379	65.63%	20,667	35,231	70.47%
2nd Juvenile	4,924	4,348	-11.70%	4,874	4,303	-11.72%
2nd Probate	2,797	2,895	3.50%	2,789	2,945	5.59%
3	1,184	1,380	16.55%	1,227	1,343	9.45%
4	24,416	29,129	19.30%	22,505	28,714	27.59%
5	3,471	4,845	39.59%	3,364	4,807	42.90%
6	2,329	3,019	29.63%	2,389	2,954	23.65%
7	3,677	4,383	19.20%	3,362	4,380	30.28%
8	9,575	10,717	11.93%	9,543	10,623	11.32%
9	2,875	4,023	39.93%	2,841	3,747	31.89%
10	7,305	8,037	10.02%	8,306	8,788	5.80%
11	3,303	3,763	13.93%	3,335	4,880	46.33%
12	2,206	2,379	7.84%	2,173	2,408	10.81%
13	3,048	3,240	6.30%	3,128	3,408	8.95%
14	2,111	2,529	19.80%	2,172	2,582	18.88%
15	743	848	14.13%	744	833	11.96%
16	1,362	1,435	5.36%	1,342	1,462	8.94%
17	18,408	21,563	17.14%	18,220	21,726	19.24%
18	28,249	37,183	31.63%	27,292	36,519	33.81%
19	11,114	12,615	13.51%	10,839	12,879	18.82%
20	9,077	11,507	26.77%	8,955	11,424	27.57%
21	5,860	7,522	28.36%	5,745	7,435	29.42%
22	1,061	1,152	8.58%	1,006	1,209	20.18%
<b>State Total(a)</b>	<b>188,537</b>	<b>236,671</b>	<b>25.53%</b>	<b>185,319</b>	<b>238,465</b>	<b>28.68%</b>

(a) Totals do not include Water Court.

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
1	Gilpin	118	80	131	128	33	33	18	18
	Jefferson	3,499	3,569	11,162	11,261	3,704	3,563	3,078	3,063
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3,617</b>	<b>3,649</b>	<b>11,293</b>	<b>11,389</b>	<b>3,737</b>	<b>3,596</b>	<b>3,096</b>	<b>3,081</b>
2	Denver	4,343	5,057	25,573	26,004	4,463	4,170	n/a	n/a
	Denver Juvenile	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,348	4,303
	Denver Probate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,343</b>	<b>5,057</b>	<b>25,573</b>	<b>26,004</b>	<b>4,463</b>	<b>4,170</b>	<b>4,348</b>	<b>4,303</b>
3	Huerfano	116	123	116	121	61	58	73	67
	Las Animas	218	197	326	313	146	160	198	184
	<b>Total</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>251</b>
4	El Paso	4,710	4,664	11,931	12,065	5,718	5,295	3,834	3,710
	Teller	160	164	543	575	118	118	104	122
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,870</b>	<b>4,828</b>	<b>12,474</b>	<b>12,640</b>	<b>5,836</b>	<b>5,413</b>	<b>3,938</b>	<b>3,832</b>
5	Clear Creek	113	116	236	244	56	61	142	134
	Eagle	437	423	1,626	1,603	238	245	134	132
	Lake	93	98	151	156	66	68	35	32
	Summit	225	258	884	807	136	146	65	63
	<b>Total</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>895</b>	<b>2,897</b>	<b>2,810</b>	<b>496</b>	<b>520</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>361</b>
6	Archuleta	85	79	481	492	102	97	66	63
	La Plata	569	562	900	855	325	345	186	162
	San Juan	9	7	14	12	2	6	2	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>663</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>1,395</b>	<b>1,359</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>229</b>
7	Delta	215	263	390	354	309	318	154	182
	Gunnison	107	100	378	362	74	75	93	93
	Hinsdale	1	3	23	20	2	4	2	4
	Montrose	347	385	725	669	398	364	246	280
	Ouray	9	17	144	147	35	38	11	12
	San Miguel	56	61	291	259	54	60	13	15
<b>Total</b>	<b>735</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>1,951</b>	<b>1,811</b>	<b>872</b>	<b>859</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>586</b>	
8	Jackson	0	2	14	21	6	6	3	5
	Larimer	1,789	1,844	4,014	4,009	1,879	1,791	2,072	1,970
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,789</b>	<b>1,846</b>	<b>4,028</b>	<b>4,030</b>	<b>1,885</b>	<b>1,797</b>	<b>2,075</b>	<b>1,975</b>
9	Garfield	508	556	1,225	1,150	492	498	323	324
	Pitkin	92	90	706	577	101	82	44	32
	Rio Blanco	86	72	79	63	55	49	45	48
	<b>Total</b>	<b>686</b>	<b>718</b>	<b>2,010</b>	<b>1,790</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>629</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>404</b>
10	Pueblo	1,814	1,924	2,930	3,127	1,281	1,331	1,194	1,208
11	Chaffee	144	152	253	253	135	128	62	67
	Custer	18	25	69	70	26	27	15	14
	Fremont	384	447	750	1,002	375	1,145	456	451
	Park	99	99	545	558	90	89	32	34
	<b>Total</b>	<b>645</b>	<b>723</b>	<b>1,617</b>	<b>1,883</b>	<b>626</b>	<b>1,389</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>566</b>

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term
1	Gilpin	4	4	7	7	311	270
	Jefferson	460	511	1,566	1,628	23,469	23,595
	<b>Total</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>515</b>	<b>1,573</b>	<b>1,635</b>	<b>23,780</b>	<b>23,865</b>
2	Denver	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	34,379	35,231
	Denver Juvenile	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,348	4,303
	Denver Probate	1,058	1,079	1,837	1,866	2,895	2,945
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,058</b>	<b>1,079</b>	<b>1,837</b>	<b>1,866</b>	<b>41,622</b>	<b>42,479</b>
3	Huerfano	2	1	52	52	420	422
	Las Animas	10	10	62	57	960	921
	<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>1,380</b>	<b>1,343</b>
4	El Paso	619	573	1,344	1,385	28,156	27,692
	Teller	9	7	39	36	973	1,022
	<b>Total</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>580</b>	<b>1,383</b>	<b>1,421</b>	<b>29,129</b>	<b>28,714</b>
5	Clear Creek	1	1	31	31	579	587
	Eagle	0	1	108	113	2,543	2,517
	Lake	1	1	17	23	363	378
	Summit	4	5	46	46	1,360	1,325
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>4,845</b>	<b>4,807</b>
6	Archuleta	10	8	35	36	779	775
	La Plata	75	71	151	148	2,206	2,143
	San Juan	0	0	7	7	34	36
	<b>Total</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>3,019</b>	<b>2,954</b>
7	Delta	2	3	100	95	1,170	1,215
	Gunnison	3	3	40	31	695	664
	Hinsdale	0	0	4	2	32	33
	Montrose	1	1	129	129	1,846	1,828
	Ouray	0	0	12	13	211	227
	San Miguel	0	0	15	18	429	413
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>4,383</b>	<b>4,380</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	5	30	28	64
	Larimer	322	323	613	622	10,689	10,559
	<b>Total</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>618</b>	<b>652</b>	<b>10,717</b>	<b>10,623</b>
9	Garfield	4	5	131	133	2,683	2,666
	Pitkin	3	2	56	49	1,002	832
	Rio Blanco	51	1	22	16	338	249
	<b>Total</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>4,023</b>	<b>3,747</b>
10	Pueblo	336	287	482	911	8,037	8,788
11	Chaffee	4	4	61	63	659	667
	Custer	0	0	18	18	146	154
	Fremont	26	24	136	144	2,127	3,213
	Park	8	8	57	58	831	846
	<b>Total</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>3,763</b>	<b>4,880</b>

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
12	Alamosa	272	259	204	200	189	183	150	139
	Conejos	91	109	75	77	50	52	72	68
	Costilla	38	45	77	83	19	17	7	19
	Mineral	5	2	23	17	3	4	0	1
	Rio Grande	192	221	152	156	118	113	161	143
	Saguache	52	73	78	81	56	53	39	35
	<b>Total</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>709</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>435</b>	<b>422</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>405</b>
	13	Kit Carson	64	101	56	63	59	55	35
Logan		236	214	247	256	178	189	144	154
Morgan		333	388	366	359	234	228	239	242
Phillips		22	20	53	51	35	39	20	15
Sedgwick		15	6	26	25	10	12	10	6
Washington		29	46	78	81	31	37	24	24
Yuma		56	54	136	134	53	45	43	42
<b>Total</b>		<b>755</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>962</b>	<b>969</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>515</b>	<b>511</b>
14	Grand	168	162	480	515	70	78	50	56
	Moffat	182	233	150	148	170	147	87	94
	Routt	153	168	650	611	145	134	66	74
	<b>Total</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>1,280</b>	<b>1,274</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>359</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>224</b>
15	Baca	46	45	45	58	25	20	26	27
	Cheyenne	13	18	15	13	16	11	7	5
	Kiowa	11	5	29	26	9	7	12	10
	Prowers	191	173	66	76	87	88	133	139
	<b>Total</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>181</b>
16	Bent	43	46	122	121	55	51	77	79
	Crowley	56	60	73	66	30	32	48	45
	Otero	196	192	240	257	161	180	173	184
	<b>Total</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>435</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>308</b>
17	Adams	3,567	3,510	10,330	10,631	2,776	2,653	2,450	2,411
	Broomfield	262	270	596	631	326	320	198	225
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3,829</b>	<b>3,780</b>	<b>10,926</b>	<b>11,262</b>	<b>3,102</b>	<b>2,973</b>	<b>2,648</b>	<b>2,636</b>
18	Arapahoe	3,093	2,649	15,369	15,715	3,635	3,433	2,891	2,586
	Douglas	778	750	4,867	4,902	1,727	1,606	902	886
	Elbert	66	80	947	969	142	158	100	104
	Lincoln	68	63	93	96	33	31	45	51
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,005</b>	<b>3,542</b>	<b>21,276</b>	<b>21,682</b>	<b>5,537</b>	<b>5,228</b>	<b>3,938</b>	<b>3,627</b>
19	Weld	2,204	2,332	5,806	5,903	1,598	1,647	2,357	2,329
20	Boulder	2,071	2,110	5,116	5,122	1,532	1,398	1,613	1,688
21	Mesa	1,798	1,786	2,798	2,737	1,320	1,304	997	995
22	Dolores	8	8	39	37	8	12	5	4
	Montezuma	250	270	334	342	244	258	131	151
	<b>Total</b>	<b>258</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>373</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>36,993</b>	<b>37,905</b>	<b>116,346</b>	<b>117,836</b>	<b>35,624</b>	<b>34,965</b>	<b>30,360</b>	<b>29,855</b>

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term
12	Alamosa	9	10	50	34	874	825
	Conejos	4	2	18	22	310	330
	Costilla	3	3	93	90	237	257
	Mineral	0	0	7	5	38	29
	Rio Grande	4	6	39	53	666	692
	Saguache	3	4	26	29	254	275
	<b>Total</b>	23	25	233	233	2,379	2,408
	13	Kit Carson	7	11	32	28	253
Logan		44	43	58	56	907	912
Morgan		45	44	85	126	1,302	1,387
Phillips		4	5	28	29	162	159
Sedgwick		5	5	10	8	76	62
Washington		3	2	30	31	195	221
Yuma		13	11	44	95	345	381
<b>Total</b>		121	121	287	373	3,240	3,408
14	Grand	3	3	35	36	806	850
	Moffat	0	0	58	64	647	686
	Routt	6	5	56	54	1,076	1,046
	<b>Total</b>	9	8	149	154	2,529	2,582
15	Baca	1	3	28	30	171	183
	Cheyenne	2	2	8	12	61	61
	Kiowa	2	1	21	10	84	59
	Prowers	7	7	48	47	532	530
	<b>Total</b>	12	13	105	99	848	833
16	Bent	4	4	31	41	332	342
	Crowley	0	0	13	13	220	216
	Otero	19	16	94	75	883	904
	<b>Total</b>	23	20	138	129	1,435	1,462
17	Adams	342	375	592	578	20,057	20,158
	Broomfield	33	31	91	91	1,506	1,568
	<b>Total</b>	375	406	683	669	21,563	21,726
18	Arapahoe	562	586	1,265	1,226	26,815	26,195
	Douglas	156	149	356	370	8,786	8,663
	Elbert	10	12	42	64	1,307	1,387
	Lincoln	6	7	30	26	275	274
	<b>Total</b>	734	754	1,693	1,686	37,183	36,519
19	Weld	170	173	480	495	12,615	12,879
20	Boulder	449	444	726	662	11,507	11,424
21	Mesa	214	214	395	399	7,522	7,435
22	Dolores	0	0	18	13	58	74
	Montezuma	16	16	99	98	1,074	1,135
	<b>Total</b>	16	16	117	111	1,152	1,209
<b>State Total</b>		<b>5,159</b>	<b>5,127</b>	<b>12,189</b>	<b>12,777</b>	<b>236,671</b>	<b>238,465</b>



Table 15a: Court and Jury Trials for District Court, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials
1	Gilpin	1	3	1	0	0	0	2	3
	Jefferson	1	129	50	29	17	0	68	158
	<i>Total</i>	2	132	51	29	17	0	70	161
2	Denver	5	143	70	87	52	3	127	233
	Denver Juvenile	0	0	0	0	51	8	51	8
	<i>Total</i>	5	143	70	87	103	11	178	241
3	Huerfano	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	1
	Las Animas	0	2	2	3	0	0	2	5
	<i>Total</i>	0	3	3	3	1	0	4	6
4	El Paso	10	147	34	44	16	4	60	195
	Teller	0	3	1	3	2	0	3	6
	<i>Total</i>	10	150	35	47	18	4	63	201
5	Clear Creek	0	4	1	1	0	0	1	5
	Eagle	0	2	8	2	0	0	8	4
	Lake	0	1	1	0	2	0	3	1
	Summit	0	11	1	3	4	0	5	14
	<i>Total</i>	0	18	11	6	6	0	17	24
6	Archuleta	0	4	2	2	0	0	2	6
	La Plata	0	6	3	1	1	2	4	9
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	10	5	3	1	2	6	15
7	Delta	0	6	4	3	0	1	4	10
	Gunnison	0	5	2	2	0	0	2	7
	Hinsdale	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
	Montrose	1	3	3	2	2	1	6	6
	Ouray	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	San Miguel	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1
	<i>Total</i>	2	15	13	7	2	2	17	24
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Larimer	1	30	31	14	9	2	41	46
	<i>Total</i>	1	30	31	14	10	2	42	46
9	Garfield	0	11	6	2	0	0	6	13
	Pitkin	0	1	6	2	0	0	6	3
	Rio Blanco	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	13	12	4	0	0	12	17
10	Pueblo	0	42	11	16	4	1	15	59
11	Chaffee	1	3	2	0	0	0	3	3
	Custer	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
	Fremont	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Park	0	2	1	4	0	0	1	6
	<i>Total</i>	2	11	4	4	0	0	6	15

Table 15a: Court and Jury Trials for District Court, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials
12	Alamosa	0	3	2	0	1	0	3	3
	Conejos	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	3
	Costilla	0	4	0	0	2	0	2	4
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Saguache	0	2	2	0	1	0	3	2
	<b>Total</b>	0	11	4	1	4	1	8	13
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
	Logan	0	9	1	0	0	0	1	9
	Morgan	0	10	1	0	2	2	3	12
	Phillips	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Yuma	0	2	4	0	0	0	4	2
	<b>Total</b>	0	21	9	1	4	2	13	24
14	Grand	0	1	5	2	1	0	6	3
	Moffat	0	5	1	0	2	0	3	5
	Routt	9	4	0	3	0	0	9	7
	<b>Total</b>	9	10	6	5	3	0	18	15
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
16	Bent	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1
	<b>Total</b>	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	3
17	Adams	9	135	6	6	48	1	63	142
	Broomfield	0	6	4	2	0	1	4	9
	<b>Total</b>	9	141	10	8	48	2	67	151
18	Arapahoe	4	103	25	30	73	4	102	137
	Douglas	1	28	9	10	1	0	11	38
	Elbert	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	3
	Lincoln	1	2	1	0	2	0	4	2
	<b>Total</b>	6	135	35	41	77	4	118	180
19	Weld	4	89	30	6	26	2	60	97
20	Boulder	2	36	10	18	3	0	15	54
21	Mesa	1	43	12	4	14	1	27	48
22	Dolores	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Montezuma	0	3	4	1	0	0	4	4
	<b>Total</b>	0	3	5	1	0	0	5	4
<b>State Total</b>		<b>53</b>	<b>1,059</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>763</b>	<b>1,399</b>

Table 15b: Domestic Relations Hearings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Permanent Orders Hearings	Legal Separation Hearings	Invalidity of Marriage Hearings	Total
1	Gilpin	23	0	0	23
	Jefferson	1,945	66	22	2,033
	<b>Total</b>	1,968	66	22	2,056
2	Denver	1,545	21	33	1,599
3	Huerfano	11	0	0	11
	Las Animas	41	0	1	42
	<b>Total</b>	52	0	1	53
4	El Paso	2,065	36	55	2,156
	Teller	38	0	0	38
	<b>Total</b>	2,103	36	55	2,194
5	Clear Creek	35	1	1	37
	Eagle	92	0	1	93
	Lake	32	0	0	32
	Summit	45	0	1	46
	<b>Total</b>	204	1	3	208
6	Archuleta	20	0	0	20
	La Plata	111	3	3	117
	San Juan	7	1	0	8
	<b>Total</b>	138	4	3	145
7	Delta	104	0	0	104
	Gunnison	19	0	0	19
	Hinsdale	2	0	0	2
	Montrose	122	0	0	122
	Ouray	6	0	0	6
	San Miguel	24	0	2	26
	<b>Total</b>	277	0	2	279
8	Jackson	1	0	0	1
	Larimer	622	3	7	632
	<b>Total</b>	623	3	7	633
9	Garfield	230	1	2	233
	Pitkin	28	0	0	28
	Rio Blanco	26	1	0	27
	<b>Total</b>	284	2	2	288
10	Pueblo	582	5	6	593
11	Chaffee	48	2	0	50
	Custer	12	0	0	12
	Fremont	151	1	0	152
	Park	14	1	1	16
	<b>Total</b>	225	4	1	230

Table 15b: Domestic Relations Hearings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Permanent Orders Hearings	Legal Separation Hearings	Invalidity of Marriage Hearings	Total
12	Alamosa	92	1	1	94
	Conejos	21	0	0	21
	Costilla	7	0	0	7
	Mineral	5	0	0	5
	Rio Grande	50	0	0	50
	Saguache	17	0	1	18
	<b>Total</b>	192	1	2	195
13	Kit Carson	17	0	0	17
	Logan	45	2	1	48
	Morgan	84	0	1	85
	Phillips	8	0	0	8
	Sedgwick	5	0	0	5
	Washington	12	0	0	12
	Yuma	14	0	0	14
	<b>Total</b>	185	2	2	189
14	Grand	26	0	0	26
	Moffat	62	1	4	67
	Routt	50	0	0	50
	<b>Total</b>	138	1	4	143
15	Baca	15	0	0	15
	Cheyenne	4	0	0	4
	Kiowa	3	0	0	3
	Prowers	23	0	0	23
	<b>Total</b>	45	0	0	45
16	Bent	8	0	0	8
	Crowley	6	0	0	6
	Otero	61	0	1	62
	<b>Total</b>	75	0	1	76
17	Adams	1,033	22	13	1,068
	Broomfield	124	3	1	128
	<b>Total</b>	1,157	25	14	1,196
18	Arapahoe	1,359	9	8	1,376
	Douglas	633	0	0	633
	Elbert	43	1	0	44
	Lincoln	17	0	0	17
	<b>Total</b>	2,052	10	8	2,070
19	Weld	616	5	3	624
20	Boulder	437	6	2	445
21	Mesa	454	10	0	464
22	Dolores	5	0	0	5
	Montezuma	130	0	0	130
	<b>Total</b>	135	0	0	135
<b>State Total</b>		<b>13,487</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>13,860</b>

Table15c: Mental Health Hearings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Involuntary Commitment of Alcohol Treatment	Long Term Certification Hearing	Short Term Certification Hearing	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	1	2	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	1	2	0	3
2	Denver	13	51	12	76
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0
4	El Paso	16	0	14	30
	Teller	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	16	0	14	30
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	0	0	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0
	Summit	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0
7	Delta	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	3	0	1	4
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	1	4
9	Garfield	0	0	0	0
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0
10	Pueblo	1	9	7	17
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	0	0
	Park	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0

Table15c: Mental Health Hearings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Involuntary Commitment of Alcohol Treatment	Long Term Certification Hearing	Short Term Certification Hearing	Total
12	Alamosa	1	0	0	1
	Conejos	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		1	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	2	0	2
	Phillips	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	2	0
14	Grand	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	0	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	0	0
16	Bent	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0
	Otero	8	0	0	8
	<i>Total</i>		8	0	0
17	Adams	1	4	7	12
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		1	4	7
18	Arapahoe	0	3	6	9
	Douglas	0	0	0	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	3	6
19	Weld	3	4	0	7
20	Boulder	0	2	1	3
21	Mesa	2	1	8	11
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	0	0
<i>State Total</i>		<b>49</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>183</b>

Table 15d: Probate Hearings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Conservatorship/ Guardian Hearing	Conservatorship Hearing	Contested Will Hearing	Guardianship Hearing	Formal Probate of Will Hearing	Trust Hearing	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	34	44	0	126	0	0	204
	<b>Total</b>	34	44	0	126	0	0	204
2	Denver Probate	65	55	3	234	14	7	378
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
	Las Animas	3	2	0	3	0	0	8
	<b>Total</b>	3	2	0	6	0	0	11
4	El Paso	47	177	2	318	49	6	599
	Teller	0	2	0	7	2	0	11
	<b>Total</b>	47	179	2	325	51	6	610
5	Clear Creek	3	2	0	0	1	0	6
	Eagle	2	3	0	7	0	0	12
	Lake	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Summit	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	6	8	0	7	1	0	22
6	Archuleta	1	2	0	11	3	0	17
	La Plata	8	6	0	12	4	0	30
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	9	8	0	23	7	0	47
7	Delta	1	11	0	12	0	0	24
	Gunnison	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	8	3	0	9	4	3	27
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	San Miguel	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	10	18	0	22	4	3	57
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	20	21	0	55	0	1	97
	<b>Total</b>	20	21	0	55	0	1	97
9	Garfield	4	4	0	10	4	0	22
	Pitkin	4	4	0	5	1	0	14
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	8	8	0	15	5	0	36
10	Pueblo	28	28	4	102	26	0	188
11	Chaffee	2	1	0	2	1	0	6
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	4	10	0	22	0	1	37
	Park	0	1	0	6	0	0	7
	<b>Total</b>	6	12	0	30	1	1	50

Table 15d: Probate Hearings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Conservatorship/ Guardian Hearing	Conservatorship Hearing	Contested Will Hearing	Guardianship Hearing	Formal Probate of Will Hearing	Trust Hearing	Total
12	Alamosa	0	3	0	8	1	0	12
	Conejos	1	2	0	3	0	0	6
	Costilla	6	0	0	5	3	0	14
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	4	0	0	4
	Saguache	0	4	0	3	1	0	8
	<b>Total</b>	7	9	0	23	5	0	44
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	2	0	0	7	0	0	9
	Morgan	4	0	0	5	0	0	9
	Phillips	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Yuma	2	1	0	3	4	0	10
	<b>Total</b>	9	1	0	16	4	0	30
14	Grand	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Moffat	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
	Routt	1	2	0	2	0	0	5
	<b>Total</b>	1	2	0	8	0	0	11
15	Baca	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	1	9	0	0	10
	<b>Total</b>	0	3	1	9	0	0	13
16	Bent	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
	Crowley	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Otero	3	4	0	11	0	0	18
	<b>Total</b>	3	4	0	17	0	0	24
17	Adams	48	42	0	81	5	0	176
	Broomfield	10	10	0	11	0	0	31
	<b>Total</b>	58	52	0	92	5	0	207
18	Arapahoe	46	34	0	195	6	0	281
	Douglas	16	37	0	38	0	0	91
	Elbert	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	62	71	0	234	6	0	373
19	Weld	11	25	0	57	2	0	95
20	Boulder	20	30	0	59	1	0	110
21	Mesa	18	27	0	37	3	1	86
22	Dolores	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
	Montezuma	5	7	1	19	2	0	34
	<b>Total</b>	5	7	1	20	2	1	36
<b>State Total</b>		<b>430</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1,517</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2,729</b>



Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Condemnation	Confirm Arbitration Award	County Court or Municipal Appeal
1	Gilpin	0	8	0	0	0	4
	Jefferson	480	5	0	21	4	66
	<i>Total</i>	480	13	0	21	4	70
2	Denver	538	15	18	12	28	99
3	Huerfano	3	0	0	0	0	2
	Las Animas	22	0	0	0	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	25	0	0	0	1	3
4	El Paso	455	2	22	1	7	85
	Teller	27	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	482	2	22	1	7	89
5	Clear Creek	3	0	0	0	1	2
	Eagle	87	0	0	0	1	7
	Lake	3	0	0	0	0	1
	Summit	41	0	0	1	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	134	0	0	1	3	12
6	Archuleta	12	0	0	1	0	1
	La Plata	67	0	1	3	0	6
	San Juan	2	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	81	0	1	4	0	7
7	Delta	19	0	0	4	0	5
	Gunnison	17	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	35	0	0	1	1	6
	Ouray	7	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	29	0	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	108	0	1	5	1	11
8	Jackson	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Larimer	252	2	5	4	1	22
	<i>Total</i>	252	2	5	5	1	22
9	Garfield	63	2	3	1	2	8
	Pitkin	65	1	4	0	0	1
	Rio Blanco	3	0	0	1	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	131	3	7	2	2	11
10	Pueblo	106	0	1	1	0	21
11	Chaffee	21	0	0	0	0	3
	Custer	3	0	0	0	0	2
	Fremont	19	0	0	0	0	4
	Park	10	0	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	53	0	0	0	0	11

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Declaratory Judgment	Determination of Interests	Distrain Warrant	Foreclosure Other Than RL 120	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Fraud
1	Gilpin	1	0	15	0	1	0	1
	Jefferson	36	5	4,650	67	81	42	17
	<i>Total</i>	37	5	4,665	67	82	42	18
2	Denver	123	6	14,826	95	137	106	72
3	Huerfano	2	0	16	1	0	0	0
	Las Animas	2	0	95	9	0	2	1
	<i>Total</i>	4	0	111	10	0	2	1
4	El Paso	54	5	4,029	53	35	83	25
	Teller	1	0	145	3	0	3	0
	<i>Total</i>	55	5	4,174	56	35	86	25
5	Clear Creek	1	0	76	1	1	1	1
	Eagle	11	3	629	122	10	12	5
	Lake	1	1	63	3	0	1	0
	Summit	7	0	251	85	6	12	1
	<i>Total</i>	20	4	1,019	211	17	26	7
6	Archuleta	2	1	130	33	0	4	0
	La Plata	11	3	365	13	0	9	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	13	4	495	47	0	13	0
7	Delta	4	3	69	1	0	2	1
	Gunnison	1	0	77	15	0	4	2
	Hinsdale	0	0	6	1	0	0	0
	Montrose	3	0	211	6	1	4	0
	Ouray	1	0	43	1	2	0	1
	San Miguel	2	0	90	8	0	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	11	3	496	32	3	11	5
8	Jackson	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	19	7	969	26	20	24	6
	<i>Total</i>	19	7	973	26	20	24	6
9	Garfield	6	1	402	8	2	7	7
	Pitkin	9	0	210	31	14	11	6
	Rio Blanco	1	0	4	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	16	1	616	39	16	18	13
10	Pueblo	9	1	719	15	6	17	6
11	Chaffee	1	0	84	1	1	2	0
	Custer	2	0	18	1	0	0	0
	Fremont	3	0	176	5	1	3	0
	Park	2	0	155	8	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	8	0	433	15	2	6	0

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Goods Sold and Delivered	Injunctive Relief	Landlord-Tenant	Lien	Malpractice	Mechanics Lien	Money
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	0	3	4
	Jefferson	32	61	5	1	17	23	739
	<i>Total</i>	32	62	5	1	17	26	743
2	Denver	144	223	52	2	99	58	880
3	Huerfano	0	2	0	0	0	0	9
	Las Animas	1	2	0	1	0	2	20
	<i>Total</i>	1	4	0	1	0	2	29
4	El Paso	39	31	4	3	31	29	786
	Teller	1	2	0	0	0	1	33
	<i>Total</i>	40	33	4	3	31	30	819
5	Clear Creek	0	1	0	0	0	0	13
	Eagle	6	3	0	4	2	16	93
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Summit	3	15	2	0	1	9	36
	<i>Total</i>	9	19	2	4	3	25	154
6	Archuleta	0	1	0	0	0	3	32
	La Plata	2	2	0	0	2	2	57
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	2	3	0	0	2	5	92
7	Delta	1	1	0	0	3	5	38
	Gunnison	1	5	0	1	2	3	21
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Montrose	1	2	1	0	3	7	67
	Ouray	0	5	0	0	0	3	18
	San Miguel	0	4	0	1	2	8	13
	<i>Total</i>	3	17	1	2	10	26	159
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Larimer	8	15	0	4	15	17	314
	<i>Total</i>	8	15	0	4	15	17	316
9	Garfield	2	12	1	5	2	14	110
	Pitkin	3	6	4	2	2	29	54
	Rio Blanco	1	1	0	0	0	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	6	19	5	7	4	43	169
10	Pueblo	8	5	0	0	7	5	198
11	Chaffee	0	5	0	0	2	2	18
	Custer	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Fremont	0	2	0	0	0	1	59
	Park	0	3	0	0	0	3	29
	<i>Total</i>	0	11	0	0	2	6	107

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement	Name Change	Negligence	Note	Other	Out of State Subpoena	Personal Injury	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	1	6	0	3	3
	Jefferson	18	29	46	84	121	19	91	268
	<i>Total</i>	18	29	46	85	127	19	94	271
2	Denver	24	25	110	278	266	101	291	620
3	Huerfano	1	0	0	1	11	1	1	4
	Las Animas	1	0	2	1	1	0	6	8
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	2	2	12	1	7	12
4	El Paso	26	0	56	98	182	43	123	519
	Teller	0	0	2	1	7	2	3	11
	<i>Total</i>	26	0	58	99	189	45	126	530
5	Clear Creek	0	0	2	1	6	1	2	7
	Eagle	0	0	19	18	21	4	14	8
	Lake	1	0	0	0	5	0	1	1
	Summit	0	0	12	10	10	1	15	8
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	33	29	42	6	32	24
6	Archuleta	1	0	3	11	9	2	11	0
	La Plata	2	0	12	8	26	1	2	17
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	15	19	36	4	13	17
7	Delta	0	4	3	0	2	2	4	3
	Gunnison	0	0	1	11	12	0	3	2
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Montrose	2	2	6	3	12	2	3	8
	Ouray	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	0
	San Miguel	0	0	3	7	11	1	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	2	6	14	21	42	6	12	15
8	Jackson	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
	Larimer	16	22	35	79	39	12	39	115
	<i>Total</i>	16	22	35	80	40	12	40	115
9	Garfield	0	11	13	4	8	2	16	12
	Pitkin	0	0	8	6	55	3	12	4
	Rio Blanco	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	0	11	23	10	65	5	28	19
10	Pueblo	5	18	23	11	63	2	29	178
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	3	9	0	3	2
	Custer	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
	Fremont	3	3	0	1	35	3	0	22
	Park	4	0	2	2	4	0	2	7
	<i>Total</i>	7	3	2	7	48	3	5	34

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Petition to Seal Criminal Conviction	Possession	Prohibition	Property Damage	Public Nuisance	Public Utilities	Replevin
1	Gilpin	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Jefferson	410	8	2	0	8	27	0	68
	<i>Total</i>	416	9	2	0	8	27	0	69
2	Denver	723	64	0	0	6	56	4	80
3	Huerfano	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Las Animas	7	0	0	0	2	0	0	7
	<i>Total</i>	13	0	0	0	2	0	0	10
4	El Paso	418	7	3	0	0	74	0	58
	Teller	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	433	7	3	0	0	74	0	59
5	Clear Creek	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
	Eagle	32	3	2	0	1	0	0	7
	Lake	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Summit	33	0	0	0	2	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	78	3	2	0	4	0	0	17
6	Archuleta	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	4
	La Plata	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	8
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	20	0	0	0	1	2	0	13
7	Delta	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Gunnison	10	0	0	0	3	0	0	2
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Montrose	11	0	0	0	1	0	0	12
	Ouray	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	San Miguel	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	47	1	0	0	5	0	0	21
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Larimer	116	5	2	0	3	18	0	53
	<i>Total</i>	116	5	2	0	4	18	0	53
9	Garfield	24	1	0	0	2	0	0	23
	Pitkin	19	0	0	0	1	1	0	3
	Rio Blanco	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	45	1	0	0	3	1	0	27
10	Pueblo	95	0	0	0	1	0	0	16
11	Chaffee	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Custer	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1
	Fremont	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
	Park	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	40	0	2	0	2	0	0	13

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Restraining Order	Review - Other		Rule 106 - Writs / Contempt	Rule 120 Deed of Trust	Services Rendered	Sexual Harassment
			Local Government / Person	Rule 105 Quiet Title				
1	Gilpin	0	0	3	0	69	0	0
	Jefferson	0	26	35	14	3,517	7	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	26	38	14	3,586	7	0
2	Denver	0	68	39	38	4,893	165	1
3	Huerfano	2	0	12	0	39	0	0
	Las Animas	0	4	12	4	111	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	4	24	4	150	0	0
4	El Paso	2	15	33	15	4,434	23	1
	Teller	0	3	10	2	266	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	18	43	17	4,700	23	1
5	Clear Creek	0	0	2	0	102	0	0
	Eagle	1	15	10	1	451	6	0
	Lake	0	0	3	0	47	0	0
	Summit	0	4	5	1	304	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	19	20	2	904	6	0
6	Archuleta	0	3	4	0	207	0	0
	La Plata	0	6	8	1	242	1	0
	San Juan	0	0	1	1	3	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	9	13	2	452	1	0
7	Delta	0	4	4	3	186	0	0
	Gunnison	0	1	9	0	175	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	9	0	0
	Montrose	1	6	2	8	293	0	1
	Ouray	0	0	1	0	50	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	2	0	94	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	11	18	11	807	1	1
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Larimer	3	6	23	5	1,677	4	1
	<i>Total</i>	3	6	23	5	1,680	4	1
9	Garfield	0	4	3	1	432	2	0
	Pitkin	0	3	9	3	125	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	2	1	48	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	7	14	5	605	2	0
10	Pueblo	2	3	18	15	1,313	6	0
11	Chaffee	0	1	2	10	68	0	0
	Custer	0	0	1	0	31	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	12	47	317	0	0
	Park	2	4	6	0	274	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	5	21	57	690	1	0

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Special District	Specific Performance	Wages	Workman's Compensation	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	131
	Jefferson	4	0	5	1	0	2	0	11,162
	<i>Total</i>	4	0	5	1	0	2	0	11,293
2	Denver	3	9	36	82	34	19	5	25,573
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	116
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	326
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	442
4	El Paso	2	2	2	1	6	5	4	11,931
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	543
	<i>Total</i>	2	2	2	1	6	5	4	12,474
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	236
	Eagle	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1,626
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	151
	Summit	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	884
	<i>Total</i>	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2,897
6	Archuleta	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	481
	La Plata	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	900
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	0	1	0	3	0	1,395
7	Delta	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	390
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	378
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	725
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	144
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	291
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1,951
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	Larimer	4	0	3	1	0	2	1	4,014
	<i>Total</i>	4	0	3	1	0	2	1	4,028
9	Garfield	0	1	2	0	3	2	1	1,225
	Pitkin	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	706
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	79
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	2	0	4	2	1	2,010
10	Pueblo	1	0	2	0	0	4	0	2,930
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	253
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	69
	Fremont	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	750
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	545
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	1,617

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Condemnation	Confirm Arbitration Award	County Court or Municipal Appeal
12	Alamosa	3	0	0	0	0	5
	Conejos	2	0	0	0	0	1
	Costilla	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Mineral	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	6	1	0	0	0	2
	Saguache	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	15	1	0	0	0	9
13	Kit Carson	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	7	0	0	0	1	5
	Morgan	10	0	1	2	0	8
	Phillips	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	3	0	0	0	0	4
	Yuma	4	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	31	0	1	2	1	17
14	Grand	24	0	1	0	1	7
	Moffat	6	0	0	0	0	2
	Routt	45	1	0	2	0	7
	<i>Total</i>	75	1	1	2	1	16
15	Baca	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	5	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	9	0	0	0	0	1
16	Bent	3	0	0	0	0	1
	Crowley	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	4	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	10	0	0	0	0	5
17	Adams	335	2	0	9	5	41
	Broomfield	56	1	0	0	0	10
	<i>Total</i>	391	3	0	9	5	51
18	Arapahoe	545	3	0	4	9	87
	Douglas	327	3	1	6	4	35
	Elbert	22	0	0	0	0	2
	Lincoln	2	1	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	896	7	1	10	13	127
19	Weld	208	1	8	11	2	47
20	Boulder	310	4	10	5	3	54
21	Mesa	74	0	0	3	0	38
22	Dolores	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	12	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	14	0	0	0	0	1
<i>State Total</i>		<b>4,423</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>722</b>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>



Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Declaratory Judgment	Determination of Interests	Distrain Warrant	Foreclosure Other Than RL 120	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Fraud
12	Alamosa	1	0	105	1	0	4	1
	Conejos	0	1	25	1	0	1	0
	Costilla	1	0	22	22	0	1	0
	Mineral	0	0	4	0	1	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	36	4	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	19	3	1	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>
13	Kit Carson	1	0	5	0	0	1	0
	Logan	1	0	71	1	2	1	0
	Morgan	0	1	49	1	0	2	1
	Phillips	1	0	25	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	10	0	0	1	0
	Washington	0	0	22	0	1	0	0
	Yuma	3	0	82	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	
14	Grand	2	1	95	34	3	3	2
	Moffat	0	1	22	1	0	1	1
	Routt	1	0	191	21	2	7	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>
15	Baca	0	0	23	3	0	2	0
	Cheyenne	1	0	7	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	17	0	0	0	1
	Prowers	0	0	1	1	0	2	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>
16	Bent	0	0	58	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Otero	1	0	80	2	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
17	Adams	27	1	3,494	88	68	26	8
	Broomfield	1	0	0	9	12	5	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3,494</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>10</b>
18	Arapahoe	41	4	6,613	171	113	60	17
	Douglas	20	1	787	63	39	33	19
	Elbert	0	0	570	4	1	4	2
	Lincoln	1	0	14	2	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7,984</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>38</b>
19	Weld	13	2	1,770	20	16	17	5
20	Boulder	24	3	2,000	22	41	29	16
21	Mesa	8	1	654	6	8	18	5
22	Dolores	2	0	13	0	0	1	0
	Montezuma	5	0	116	3	1	5	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>470</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>45,528</b>	<b>1,097</b>	<b>627</b>	<b>578</b>	<b>237</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Goods Sold and Delivered	Injunctive Relief	Landlord-Tenant	Lien	Malpractice	Mechanics Lien	Money
12	Alamosa	0	2	0	0	2	1	9
	Conejos	0	1	0	0	0	2	5
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Rio Grande	0	1	0	0	0	0	12
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Logan	1	2	0	0	1	2	16
	Morgan	1	1	0	0	0	0	27
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>67</b>	
14	Grand	0	2	0	0	0	6	27
	Moffat	0	4	0	2	0	1	14
	Routt	1	2	2	1	1	3	48
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>89</b>
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	2	8
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>
16	Bent	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Otero	0	1	1	0	0	0	16
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>
17	Adams	23	21	5	3	19	16	585
	Broomfield	2	2	0	0	0	6	93
	<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>678</b>
18	Arapahoe	32	32	7	5	43	34	897
	Douglas	18	13	5	2	7	23	496
	Elbert	1	1	0	0	0	1	39
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>1,436</b>
19	Weld	17	51	1	1	6	13	364
20	Boulder	22	25	2	1	18	22	310
21	Mesa	9	8	0	2	6	10	193
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Montezuma	1	1	0	1	0	0	24
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>381</b>	<b>583</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>6,905</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>6%</b>

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement	Name Change	Negligence	Note	Other	Out of State Subpoena	Personal Injury	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	1	3	0	2	11
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	10
	Costilla	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	1
	Rio Grande	0	2	0	0	7	0	2	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	1	11	0	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>23</b>
13	Kit Carson	0	1	1	0	2	1	1	1
	Logan	0	1	2	3	6	1	3	2
	Morgan	0	8	6	2	7	1	3	9
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>
14	Grand	0	0	9	3	6	1	8	3
	Moffat	0	1	3	2	4	0	0	5
	Routt	0	1	10	3	7	4	8	6
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>14</b>
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	5	2	2	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2
	Crowley	0	0	2	0	6	0	1	0
	Otero	3	3	2	0	4	0	3	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>
17	Adams	17	24	60	57	84	8	81	251
	Broomfield	3	0	8	9	9	7	7	26
	<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>277</b>
18	Arapahoe	19	38	62	81	107	30	92	310
	Douglas	2	5	28	62	45	18	33	115
	Elbert	1	4	1	5	7	0	3	3
	Lincoln	1	0	0	0	12	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>428</b>
19	Weld	12	15	14	20	70	9	26	83
20	Boulder	0	0	43	59	49	24	60	192
21	Mesa	9	7	22	8	72	12	19	47
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Montezuma	2	1	3	3	7	0	0	6
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>173</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>640</b>	<b>963</b>	<b>1,484</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>1,035</b>	<b>2,928</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3%</b>

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Petition to Seal Criminal Conviction	Possession	Prohibition	Property Damage	Public Nuisance	Public Utilities	Replevin
12	Alamosa	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Costilla	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Saguache	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>
13	Kit Carson	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Logan	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Morgan	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Phillips	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>
14	Grand	10	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Moffat	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Routt	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>
15	Baca	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
17	Adams	147	3	1	0	4	5	0	88
	Broomfield	36	0	0	0	1	0	0	10
	<b>Total</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>98</b>
18	Arapahoe	341	1	0	0	7	24	0	67
	Douglas	186	0	0	0	1	5	0	44
	Elbert	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	Lincoln	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>116</b>
19	Weld	128	3	0	0	5	0	0	42
20	Boulder	474	3	0	0	2	2	0	27
21	Mesa	124	3	1	0	1	0	0	28
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	8
	<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>3,596</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>722</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Restraining Order	Review - Other		Rule 106 - Writs / Contempt	Rule 120 Deed of Trust	Services Rendered	Sexual Harassment
			Local Government / Person	Rule 105 Quiet Title				
12	Alamosa	0	1	1	0	44	0	0
	Conejos	2	2	1	1	13	0	0
	Costilla	0	1	5	0	14	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	1	2	4	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	3	0	70	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	6	0	26	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		2	4	17	3	171	0
13	Kit Carson	1	0	1	6	16	0	0
	Logan	0	0	1	19	82	0	0
	Morgan	0	1	4	1	193	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	11	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	8	1	0
	Washington	1	0	5	0	27	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	4	0	23	0	0
<b>Total</b>		2	1	15	26	360	1	0
14	Grand	0	4	6	2	217	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	6	0	68	0	0
	Routt	1	3	7	4	223	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	1	7	19	6	508	0	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	7	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	1	0	4	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	1	0	7	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	4	0	28	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	6	0	46	0	0
16	Bent	0	0	4	15	20	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	2	25	15	0	0
	Otero	0	0	5	0	96	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	11	40	131	0	0
17	Adams	0	17	21	13	4,651	6	2
	Broomfield	0	1	0	1	278	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	18	21	14	4,929	7	2
18	Arapahoe	2	1	25	29	5,386	13	0
	Douglas	1	7	20	14	2,361	9	0
	Elbert	0	1	5	1	249	1	0
	Lincoln	0	0	2	10	30	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	3	9	52	54	8,026	23	0
19	Weld	0	7	23	3	2,760	3	0
20	Boulder	1	12	20	11	1,196	3	1
21	Mesa	2	0	8	3	1,376	2	0
22	Dolores	0	0	1	1	13	0	0
	Montezuma	5	2	5	3	108	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	5	2	6	4	121	0	0
<b>State Total</b>		<b>29</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>39,404</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Special District	Specific Performance	Wages	Workman's Compensation	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	204
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	152
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	78
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>609</b>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	56
	Logan	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	247
	Morgan	0	0	1	0	3	0	1	366
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
	Washington	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	78
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	136
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>962</b>
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	480
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	150
	Routt	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	650
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,280</b>
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	29
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	66
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>155</b>
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	122
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	73
	Otero	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	240
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>435</b>
17	Adams	2	0	0	0	5	4	3	10,330
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	596
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10,926</b>
18	Arapahoe	0	0	5	0	3	8	1	15,369
	Douglas	4	3	0	0	0	0	2	4,867
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	947
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	93
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>21,276</b>
19	Weld	6	0	1	0	0	2	1	5,806
20	Boulder	0	0	11	0	0	3	2	5,116
21	Mesa	3	0	2	0	4	2	0	2,798
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	334
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>373</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>116,346</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Administrative Support Order	Child Custody	Dissolution of Marriage	Incoming Registration of Support	Invalidity of Marriage
1	Gilpin	0	4	23	1	0
	Jefferson	42	443	2,654	160	44
	<i>Total</i>	42	447	2,677	161	44
2	Denver	557	0	3,189	97	68
3	Huerfano	0	13	43	0	0
	Las Animas	0	36	101	5	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	49	144	5	2
4	El Paso	9	651	4,243	426	84
	Teller	1	8	82	6	1
	<i>Total</i>	10	659	4,325	432	85
5	Clear Creek	0	2	49	2	1
	Eagle	0	30	184	0	4
	Lake	5	7	42	1	0
	Summit	4	6	111	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	9	45	386	3	8
6	Archuleta	1	17	67	2	2
	La Plata	28	40	229	15	3
	San Juan	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	29	57	297	17	5
7	Delta	0	54	231	8	5
	Gunnison	0	3	60	2	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	2	0	0
	Montrose	0	77	274	4	5
	Ouray	0	3	30	0	1
	San Miguel	0	8	38	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	145	635	15	13
	8	Jackson	0	1	5	0
Larimer		0	292	1,475	32	22
<i>Total</i>		0	293	1,480	32	22
9	Garfield	10	47	388	22	4
	Pitkin	0	9	88	0	1
	Rio Blanco	1	12	38	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	11	68	514	22	5
10	Pueblo	0	370	793	1	11
11	Chaffee	4	11	106	3	0
	Custer	1	1	19	0	0
	Fremont	1	46	300	15	2
	Park	7	7	72	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	13	65	497	18	3

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Legal Separation	Limited Access Case	Other	Outgoing Registration of UIFSA	Registration of Foreign Decree	Total
1	Gilpin	3	0	0	0	2	33
	Jefferson	146	0	197	0	18	3,704
	<b>Total</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>3,737</b>
2	Denver	99	0	304	0	149	4,463
3	Huerfano	3	0	0	0	2	61
	Las Animas	0	0	1	0	1	146
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>207</b>
4	El Paso	179	0	53	0	73	5,718
	Teller	7	7	2	0	4	118
	<b>Total</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>5,836</b>
5	Clear Creek	1	0	0	0	1	56
	Eagle	5	0	1	0	14	238
	Lake	0	0	4	0	7	66
	Summit	8	0	1	1	2	136
	<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>496</b>
6	Archuleta	4	0	1	0	8	102
	La Plata	3	0	1	0	6	325
	San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>429</b>
7	Delta	8	0	0	0	3	309
	Gunnison	3	0	0	0	5	74
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Montrose	11	0	3	0	24	398
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	1	35
	San Miguel	2	0	0	0	4	54
	<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>872</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Larimer	44	0	2	0	12	1,879
	<b>Total</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1,885</b>
9	Garfield	10	0	1	0	10	492
	Pitkin	1	0	0	0	2	101
	Rio Blanco	1	0	1	0	2	55
	<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>648</b>
10	Pueblo	13	0	21	0	72	1,281
11	Chaffee	5	0	0	0	6	135
	Custer	0	0	2	0	3	26
	Fremont	6	0	0	0	5	375
	Park	0	0	0	0	3	90
	<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>626</b>



Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Administrative Support Order	Child Custody	Dissolution of Marriage	Incoming Registration of Support	Invalidity of Marriage
12	Alamosa	0	49	130	1	2
	Conejos	0	10	35	0	2
	Costilla	0	5	12	1	0
	Mineral	0	1	2	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	35	70	0	4
	Saguache	0	15	34	4	2
	<i>Total</i>		0	115	283	6
13	Kit Carson	0	10	42	0	1
	Logan	0	31	132	9	1
	Morgan	0	56	158	4	1
	Phillips	0	5	26	2	2
	Sedgwick	0	1	9	0	0
	Washington	1	4	20	2	0
	Yuma	0	6	41	6	0
<i>Total</i>		1	113	428	23	5
14	Grand	2	8	50	0	1
	Moffat	0	28	120	0	4
	Routt	0	13	116	3	1
	<i>Total</i>	2	49	286	3	6
15	Baca	0	4	20	0	0
	Cheyenne	1	2	11	1	0
	Kiowa	0	2	7	0	0
	Prowers	2	18	60	3	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	26	98	4	0
16	Bent	2	11	39	2	1
	Crowley	1	3	23	1	1
	Otero	0	36	119	2	1
	<i>Total</i>	3	50	181	5	3
17	Adams	11	588	2,019	44	26
	Broomfield	3	32	258	10	2
	<i>Total</i>	14	620	2,277	54	28
18	Arapahoe	20	555	2,664	197	44
	Douglas	4	103	1,454	45	17
	Elbert	1	12	112	5	1
	Lincoln	0	3	30	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	25	673	4,260	247	62
19	Weld	0	296	1,130	123	8
20	Boulder	0	211	1,212	14	21
21	Mesa	0	157	959	0	10
22	Dolores	1	0	5	2	0
	Montezuma	1	33	175	16	4
	<i>Total</i>	2	33	180	18	4
<i>State Total</i>		<b>721</b>	<b>4,541</b>	<b>26,231</b>	<b>1,300</b>	<b>423</b>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<b>2%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1%</b>

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Legal Separation	Limited Access Case	Other	Outgoing Registration of UIFSA	Registration of Foreign Decree	Total
12	Alamosa	7	0	0	0	0	189
	Conejos	3	0	0	0	0	50
	Costilla	1	0	0	0	0	19
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	9	118
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	1	56
	<i>Total</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>435</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	6	59
	Logan	5	0	0	0	0	178
	Morgan	4	0	0	0	11	234
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	35
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Washington	1	0	3	0	0	31
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	53
<i>Total</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>600</i>	
14	Grand	2	0	0	0	7	70
	Moffat	5	0	0	0	13	170
	Routt	5	0	1	0	6	145
	<i>Total</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>385</i>
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	1	25
	Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	0	16
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	9
	Prowers	2	0	1	0	1	87
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>137</i>
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	55
	Crowley	0	0	1	0	0	30
	Otero	2	0	0	0	1	161
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>246</i>
17	Adams	66	0	15	1	6	2,776
	Broomfield	8	0	12	0	1	326
	<i>Total</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>3,102</i>
18	Arapahoe	100	0	10	1	44	3,635
	Douglas	74	0	1	0	29	1,727
	Elbert	6	0	1	0	4	142
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	33
	<i>Total</i>	<i>180</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>5,537</i>
19	Weld	30	0	2	0	9	1,598
20	Boulder	55	0	1	0	18	1,532
21	Mesa	21	0	20	0	153	1,320
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Montezuma	8	0	0	0	7	244
	<i>Total</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>252</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<b>969</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>663</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>766</b>	<b>35,624</b>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Bribery-Tampering	Burglary	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief
1	Gilpin	3	7	0	0	3	0	6
	Jefferson	2	304	17	5	258	16	74
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>80</b>
2	Denver	7	337	2	10	364	9	84
3	Huerfano	0	14	1	1	10	2	2
	Las Animas	0	20	0	0	31	2	6
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>
4	El Paso	8	318	7	42	424	7	82
	Teller	1	14	1	0	13	1	5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>437</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>87</b>
5	Clear Creek	0	10	8	0	8	0	3
	Eagle	0	24	4	0	36	2	7
	Lake	0	15	6	0	7	1	1
	Summit	1	18	4	0	17	1	9
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>20</b>
6	Archuleta	0	14	4	0	12	0	1
	La Plata	0	37	59	1	40	0	14
	San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>
7	Delta	0	8	14	0	21	3	4
	Gunnison	1	9	7	0	11	1	0
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	2	27	5	0	13	0	10
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	San Miguel	0	5	0	0	2	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	7	120	17	6	153	7	26
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>26</b>
9	Garfield	2	27	3	0	36	3	12
	Pitkin	0	11	2	0	5	0	3
	Rio Blanco	0	9	1	0	5	2	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>19</b>
10	Pueblo	5	182	14	3	206	5	56
11	Chaffee	0	12	1	2	18	0	2
	Custer	0	5	1	0	3	0	1
	Fremont	0	37	0	0	14	2	10
	Park	1	12	5	0	11	2	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Cruelty to					Fugitive from	Habitual	Habitual
		Animals	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Justice	Criminal	Traffic
1	Gilpin	0	19	0	8	2	6	0	4
	Jefferson	2	714	100	147	21	79	0	50
	<b>Total</b>	2	733	100	155	23	85	0	54
2	Denver	1	1,381	302	89	12	46	0	79
3	Huerfano	0	22	1	1	0	3	0	5
	Las Animas	1	37	1	3	6	12	0	13
	<b>Total</b>	1	59	2	4	6	15	0	18
4	El Paso	7	900	204	73	314	157	4	114
	Teller	0	32	0	0	3	6	0	4
	<b>Total</b>	7	932	204	73	317	163	4	118
5	Clear Creek	1	33	1	5	2	5	0	5
	Eagle	0	125	1	26	9	9	0	8
	Lake	1	8	1	1	0	0	3	5
	Summit	0	48	0	5	5	10	0	4
	<b>Total</b>	2	214	3	37	16	24	3	22
6	Archuleta	1	4	0	1	1	4	0	1
	La Plata	0	69	9	4	8	57	1	9
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	1	73	9	5	10	61	1	11
7	Delta	0	34	2	3	2	12	0	12
	Gunnison	0	13	0	5	3	2	0	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	93	3	9	7	11	0	16
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
	San Miguel	0	16	0	1	0	5	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	0	157	5	18	12	31	0	30
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	2	341	40	41	26	58	3	53
	<b>Total</b>	2	341	40	41	26	58	3	53
9	Garfield	1	125	1	34	8	19	1	13
	Pitkin	0	14	0	14	7	5	0	1
	Rio Blanco	0	40	0	2	1	4	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	1	179	1	50	16	28	1	17
10	Pueblo	1	318	78	27	16	27	3	64
11	Chaffee	1	30	10	1	4	9	0	1
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Fremont	0	107	1	3	9	20	0	6
	Park	3	12	1	1	1	3	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	4	149	12	5	14	33	0	10

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing	Criminal Non-Support
1	Gilpin	1	4	0	1	1	0
	Jefferson	30	48	1	25	141	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>0</b>
2	Denver	57	22	1	42	111	0
3	Huerfano	1	2	0	0	13	0
	Las Animas	0	8	0	0	15	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>
4	El Paso	88	82	1	60	252	0
	Teller	2	2	0	0	6	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>258</b>	<b>0</b>
5	Clear Creek	1	4	1	1	4	0
	Eagle	1	50	2	0	18	0
	Lake	0	9	0	1	8	0
	Summit	0	18	0	6	5	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>
6	Archuleta	0	3	0	0	7	0
	La Plata	3	36	0	4	22	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>
7	Delta	0	5	0	1	11	0
	Gunnison	1	2	0	1	7	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	12	0	0	23	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	1	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	2	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>0</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	11	60	2	16	58	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0</b>
9	Garfield	0	53	0	3	29	0
	Pitkin	0	2	0	0	5	0
	Rio Blanco	1	2	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>
10	Pueblo	52	51	0	12	114	0
11	Chaffee	1	0	0	1	7	0
	Custer	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	4	4	0	2	26	0
	Park	0	2	0	1	8	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Obstruction of Public Justice	Other	Perjury	Pornography	Prostitution
1	Gilpin	0	5	0	0	0
	Jefferson	3	309	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	314	0	0	0
2	Denver	24	69	4	0	2
3	Huerfano	3	9	0	0	0
	Las Animas	2	17	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	5	26	0	0	0
4	El Paso	22	318	0	0	3
	Teller	0	10	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	22	328	0	0	3
5	Clear Creek	0	5	0	0	0
	Eagle	2	16	0	0	0
	Lake	0	11	0	0	0
	Summit	0	14	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	46	0	0	0
6	Archuleta	0	2	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	38	1	2	0
	San Juan	0	4	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	44	1	2	0
7	Delta	7	7	0	0	0
	Gunnison	3	3	2	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	28	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	9	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	11	48	2	0	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	5	107	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	5	107	1	0	0
9	Garfield	1	13	0	0	0
	Pitkin	0	2	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	3	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	18	0	0	0
10	Pueblo	13	86	0	0	0
11	Chaffee	0	6	0	0	0
	Custer	0	2	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	47	1	0	0
	Park	1	5	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	60	1	0	0

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Sealed Case	Sex Offenses	Suppressed
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	26	0
	Jefferson	1	15	62	0	290	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	15	62	0	316	0
2	Denver	1	49	199	0	243	0
3	Huerfano	0	8	0	0	2	0
	Las Animas	0	3	0	0	5	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	11	0	0	7	0
4	El Paso	2	14	175	0	213	0
	Teller	0	1	8	0	9	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	15	183	0	222	0
5	Clear Creek	0	2	0	0	2	0
	Eagle	0	4	3	0	21	0
	Lake	0	0	2	0	1	0
	Summit	0	0	1	0	11	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	6	6	0	35	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	2	0	14	0
	La Plata	0	0	4	0	30	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	6	0	44	0
7	Delta	0	3	2	0	23	0
	Gunnison	0	2	0	0	1	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	1	3	0	25	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	3	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	6	5	0	52	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	31	39	0	84	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	31	39	0	84	0
9	Garfield	0	2	6	0	26	0
	Pitkin	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	3	6	0	27	0
10	Pueblo	0	8	57	0	59	0
11	Chaffee	0	4	0	0	5	0
	Custer	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	5	0	30	0
	Park	0	2	0	0	5	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	6	6	0	40	0

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Carrying Concealed Weapon	Weapon	Total
1	Gilpin	0	15	5	1	0	0	1	118
	Jefferson	4	629	111	28	3	0	10	3,499
	<b>Total</b>	4	644	116	29	3	0	11	3,617
2	Denver	0	541	159	19	7	0	70	4,343
3	Huerfano	0	9	3	2	0	2	0	116
	Las Animas	0	20	10	2	0	4	0	218
	<b>Total</b>	0	29	13	4	0	6	0	334
4	El Paso	2	557	152	22	6	80	0	4,710
	Teller	0	30	7	2	0	3	0	160
	<b>Total</b>	2	587	159	24	6	83	0	4,870
5	Clear Creek	1	9	1	1	0	0	0	113
	Eagle	0	46	18	3	0	2	0	437
	Lake	1	5	6	0	0	0	0	93
	Summit	1	30	13	2	1	1	0	225
	<b>Total</b>	3	90	38	6	1	3	0	868
6	Archuleta	1	5	5	0	0	3	0	85
	La Plata	6	87	17	2	0	9	0	569
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	9
	<b>Total</b>	7	92	22	2	0	13	0	663
7	Delta	1	24	14	1	0	1	0	215
	Gunnison	1	13	9	3	0	6	0	107
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	0	38	15	2	0	3	0	347
	Ouray	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	9
	San Miguel	0	6	4	1	0	0	0	56
	<b>Total</b>	2	83	42	8	0	10	0	735
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	4	352	95	0	1	23	0	1,789
	<b>Total</b>	4	352	95	0	1	23	0	1,789
9	Garfield	5	50	27	1	2	5	0	508
	Pitkin	1	15	3	1	0	0	0	92
	Rio Blanco	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	86
	<b>Total</b>	6	70	33	2	2	5	0	686
10	Pueblo	9	260	50	11	0	27	0	1,814
11	Chaffee	0	19	6	0	0	4	0	144
	Custer	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	18
	Fremont	0	42	12	0	0	2	0	384
	Park	1	10	3	2	0	2	0	99
	<b>Total</b>	1	72	22	2	0	8	0	645



Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Bribery-Tampering	Burglary	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief
12	Alamosa	0	19	0	0	22	2	8
	Conejos	0	18	0	0	10	0	1
	Costilla	0	4	0	0	4	0	0
	Mineral	0	2	0	0	2	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	11	0	1	14	3	11
	Saguache	0	2	0	0	4	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>20</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	8	2	1	3	0	1
	Logan	0	28	4	1	16	1	3
	Morgan	1	21	3	0	23	0	6
	Phillips	0	1	1	0	6	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Washington	0	1	0	0	3	0	1
	Yuma	0	4	3	2	10	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>63</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>12</i>
14	Grand	1	18	13	0	14	2	6
	Moffat	0	15	22	2	31	0	2
	Routt	0	22	6	0	6	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>9</i>
15	Baca	0	3	0	0	4	1	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	3	0	0	0	0	2
	Prowers	0	13	0	0	21	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>8</i>
16	Bent	0	9	0	0	3	2	1
	Crowley	0	11	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	32	0	0	17	1	7
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>8</i>
17	Adams	9	272	7	3	246	22	56
	Broomfield	1	8	0	0	22	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>280</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>268</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>61</i>
18	Arapahoe	2	231	13	14	244	16	49
	Douglas	6	59	16	1	57	3	15
	Elbert	0	3	3	0	5	0	0
	Lincoln	0	7	5	0	1	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>300</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>307</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>64</i>
19	Weld	14	139	2	1	147	3	52
20	Boulder	0	205	14	3	187	5	36
21	Mesa	9	99	25	2	147	2	50
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	2	18	1	0	10	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>87</i>	<i>2,882</i>	<i>323</i>	<i>101</i>	<i>3,003</i>	<i>133</i>	<i>751</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>8%</i>	<i>1%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>8%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>2%</i>

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Cruelty to					Fugitive from	Habitual	Habitual
		Animals	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Justice	Criminal	Traffic
12	Alamosa	0	39	21	4	24	6	0	18
	Conejos	0	8	2	1	9	4	1	2
	Costilla	0	12	0	0	1	4	0	3
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	32	2	4	24	1	1	15
	Saguache	0	11	0	2	2	3	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>42</i>
13	Kit Carson	1	18	0	0	0	8	0	1
	Logan	0	54	25	5	1	6	0	5
	Morgan	0	89	1	12	6	11	1	11
	Phillips	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	0
	Washington	0	1	0	3	0	5	0	1
	Yuma	1	8	1	1	3	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>176</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>18</i>
14	Grand	0	35	4	3	3	0	1	4
	Moffat	0	29	5	2	3	0	0	3
	Routt	0	40	0	3	3	7	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>8</i>
15	Baca	0	11	0	4	2	3	0	1
	Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	47	0	5	0	11	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
16	Bent	0	7	1	2	0	1	0	1
	Crowley	0	31	2	1	1	0	0	0
	Otero	0	29	3	9	11	6	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>
17	Adams	1	909	146	92	18	110	0	130
	Broomfield	1	45	2	7	0	1	1	6
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>954</i>	<i>148</i>	<i>99</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>111</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>136</i>
18	Arapahoe	1	690	137	93	22	124	0	53
	Douglas	1	144	0	19	8	27	0	15
	Elbert	0	6	0	0	3	3	0	2
	Lincoln	0	14	7	0	0	3	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>854</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>71</i>
19	Weld	0	402	58	38	16	74	0	89
20	Boulder	1	380	46	59	23	39	0	40
21	Mesa	0	445	30	31	8	46	0	46
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	0	61	1	8	3	26	0	11
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>30</i>	<i>8,139</i>	<i>1,250</i>	<i>913</i>	<i>664</i>	<i>1,105</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>943</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>22%</i>	<i>3%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>3%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>3%</i>

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing	Criminal Non-Support
12	Alamosa	2	22	1	1	14	0
	Conejos	1	0	0	0	11	0
	Costilla	1	0	0	0	2	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	4	11	0	1	13	0
	Saguache	0	3	0	0	7	0
	<i>Total</i>		8	36	1	2	47
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Logan	1	9	0	0	10	0
	Morgan	2	12	0	5	10	0
	Phillips	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Washington	0	1	0	0	2	0
	Yuma	0	2	0	0	3	0
	<i>Total</i>		3	24	1	5	30
14	Grand	2	0	0	0	9	0
	Moffat	0	1	0	2	10	0
	Routt	0	2	1	0	8	0
	<i>Total</i>		2	3	1	2	27
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	2	1	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	2	2	0	0	7	0
	<i>Total</i>		2	3	0	2	8
16	Bent	0	1	0	0	3	0
	Crowley	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Otero	3	6	0	0	15	0
	<i>Total</i>		4	8	0	0	18
17	Adams	61	76	1	40	149	0
	Broomfield	3	10	1	1	13	0
	<i>Total</i>		64	86	2	41	162
18	Arapahoe	41	42	0	31	121	0
	Douglas	0	19	0	7	38	0
	Elbert	0	3	0	0	7	0
	Lincoln	2	1	0	2	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		43	65	0	40	166
19	Weld	14	74	0	18	82	0
20	Boulder	12	76	0	23	82	0
21	Mesa	9	104	7	18	78	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	1	4	0	1	19	0
	<i>Total</i>		1	4	0	1	19
<i>State Total</i>		<b>417</b>	<b>965</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>1,614</b>	<b>0</b>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<b>1%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Obstruction of Public Justice	Other	Perjury	Pornography	Prostitution
12	Alamosa	0	32	0	0	0
	Conejos	0	6	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	1	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	13	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	6	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	2	0	0	0
	Logan	2	16	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	24	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	3	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	1	0	0	0
	Washington	0	5	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	9	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
14	Grand	2	9	0	0	1
	Moffat	2	8	1	0	0
	Routt	0	10	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
15	Baca	0	3	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	3	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	6	0	0	0
	Prowers	1	17	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	0	4	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	3	0	0	0
	Otero	1	9	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
17	Adams	22	165	0	0	3
	Broomfield	0	13	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>178</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>
18	Arapahoe	6	222	0	0	3
	Douglas	0	56	0	0	0
	Elbert	0	8	0	0	0
	Lincoln	5	3	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>289</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>
19	Weld	0	446	0	0	0
20	Boulder	1	149	0	0	0
21	Mesa	0	139	0	0	1
22	Dolores	0	3	0	0	0
	Montezuma	1	13	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>130</i>	<i>2,553</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>7%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Sealed Case	Sex Offenses	Suppressed
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	0	8	0
	Conejos	0	1	0	0	4	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Rio Grande	0	3	0	0	9	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	4	1	0	25
13	Kit Carson	0	5	0	0	6	0
	Logan	0	0	0	0	13	0
	Morgan	0	0	10	0	27	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	5	10	0	53
14	Grand	0	3	0	0	7	0
	Moffat	0	7	1	0	5	0
	Routt	0	0	3	0	3	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	10	4	0	15
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	11	0	0	15	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	11	0	0	20
16	Bent	0	0	2	0	3	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Otero	0	7	0	0	9	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	7	2	0	14
17	Adams	0	31	54	0	220	0
	Broomfield	0	3	4	0	13	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	34	58	0	233
18	Arapahoe	0	2	147	0	171	0
	Douglas	0	0	15	0	49	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Lincoln	0	2	0	0	5	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	4	162	0	228
19	Weld	0	0	36	0	42	0
20	Boulder	0	35	52	0	95	0
21	Mesa	0	0	40	0	43	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Montezuma	0	3	4	0	11	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	3	4	0	13
<i>State Total</i>		<b>4</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>938</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,910</b>	<b>0</b>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Carrying Concealed Weapon	Weapon	Total
12	Alamosa	0	12	12	1	0	3	0	272
	Conejos	0	4	5	3	0	0	0	91
	Costilla	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	38
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Rio Grande	2	10	5	1	0	0	1	192
	Saguache	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	52
	<b>Total</b>	2	29	22	9	1	3	2	650
13	Kit Carson	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	64
	Logan	0	24	11	0	0	0	1	236
	Morgan	0	39	13	2	1	0	3	333
	Phillips	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	22
	Sedgwick	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	15
	Washington	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	29
	Yuma	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	56
	<b>Total</b>	1	73	29	3	2	0	6	755
14	Grand	0	15	12	0	0	0	4	168
	Moffat	0	15	6	0	0	0	10	182
	Routt	0	30	5	2	0	0	0	153
	<b>Total</b>	0	60	23	2	0	0	14	503
15	Baca	1	7	2	0	0	0	0	46
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	13
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Prowers	0	19	13	0	0	0	2	191
	<b>Total</b>	1	27	15	0	0	0	2	261
16	Bent	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	43
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	56
	Otero	0	15	9	1	0	0	3	196
	<b>Total</b>	0	16	11	1	0	0	6	295
17	Adams	2	534	135	18	1	0	34	3,567
	Broomfield	0	86	14	1	0	0	1	262
	<b>Total</b>	2	620	149	19	1	0	35	3,829
18	Arapahoe	4	453	82	15	6	0	58	3,093
	Douglas	1	182	24	8	1	0	7	778
	Elbert	0	12	7	1	0	0	0	66
	Lincoln	0	8	1	0	0	0	0	68
	<b>Total</b>	5	655	114	24	7	0	65	4,005
19	Weld	1	328	105	7	5	0	11	2,204
20	Boulder	6	355	127	7	1	0	12	2,071
21	Mesa	23	260	87	9	0	0	40	1,798
22	Dolores	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	8
	Montezuma	0	32	9	2	1	0	3	250
	<b>Total</b>	0	32	9	3	1	0	3	258
<b>State Total</b>		<b>79</b>	<b>5,275</b>	<b>1,440</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>36,993</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Abandonment	Abuse or Mistreatment	Administrative Paternity Order	Administrative Support Order	Adoption
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	5	0
	Jefferson	0	0	174	681	202
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>174</i>	<i>686</i>	<i>202</i>
2	Denver Juvenile	0	0	688	894	325
3	Huerfano	0	0	8	11	1
	Las Animas	0	0	22	56	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>4</i>
4	El Paso	0	0	384	431	351
	Teller	0	0	0	1	12
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>432</i>	<i>363</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	6	51
	Eagle	0	1	2	24	7
	Lake	0	0	2	4	1
	Summit	0	0	2	17	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>63</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	5	27	5
	La Plata	0	0	12	13	15
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>20</i>
7	Delta	0	0	11	28	13
	Gunnison	0	0	4	5	5
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	0	0	36	46	24
	Ouray	0	0	2	2	1
	San Miguel	0	0	1	2	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>83</i>	<i>45</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	0	0	105	282	173
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>105</i>	<i>282</i>	<i>174</i>
9	Garfield	0	0	22	104	18
	Pitkin	0	0	0	3	3
	Rio Blanco	0	0	5	14	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>23</i>
10	Pueblo	0	0	67	230	86
11	Chaffee	0	0	3	15	2
	Custer	0	0	0	2	3
	Fremont	0	0	42	93	35
	Park	0	0	0	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>111</i>	<i>42</i>

\*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Child in Need of Oversight	Child in Need of Supervision	Confidential Intermediary	Consent for Marriage	Dependency & Neglect	Expedited Placement
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	3	5
	Jefferson	0	0	0	0	125	172
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>177</b>
2	Denver Juvenile	0	0	84	0	169	348
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	5	8
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	7	14
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>22</b>
4	El Paso	0	0	0	2	270	340
	Teller	0	0	0	0	23	9
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>349</b>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	1	4	4
	Eagle	0	0	0	0	9	1
	Lake	0	0	0	0	8	0
	Summit	0	0	0	0	1	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>9</b>
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	1	4
	La Plata	0	0	0	0	6	18
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>22</b>
7	Delta	0	0	0	0	20	11
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	2	4
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	10	17
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	1	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Larimer	0	0	0	0	66	87
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>87</b>
9	Garfield	0	0	0	2	9	10
	Pitkin	0	1	0	0	1	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	9	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>11</b>
10	Pueblo	0	0	0	1	55	108
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	1	0	0	1	0
	Fremont	0	0	0	0	33	26
	Park	0	0	0	0	2	5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>31</b>

\*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.



Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Grandparent Visitation	Juvenile Expungement	Juvenile Other	Juvenile Relinquishment	Lack of Proper Care
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	6	4	52	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>0</i>
2	Denver Juvenile	0	0	40	4	0
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	3	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>
4	El Paso	0	87	1	18	0
	Teller	0	1	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>0</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	55	0
	Eagle	0	0	0	3	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	0	1	1	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>0</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	3	0
	La Plata	0	0	6	18	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>0</i>
7	Delta	0	0	0	7	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	1	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	3	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	1	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>0</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	0	4	51	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>0</i>
9	Garfield	0	0	3	0	0
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	2	5	1	5	0
11	Chaffee	0	2	0	1	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	18	0	2	0
	Park	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>

\*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Petition for Review of Need for				Total	Juvenile Delinquency	
		Paternity	Placement	Support	Truancy		Total*	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	13	5	18
	Jefferson	106	0	27	362	1,911	1,167	3,078
	<b>Total</b>	106	0	27	362	1,924	1,172	3,096
2	Denver Juvenile	44	3	2	408	3,009	1,339	4,348
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	1	34	39	73
	Las Animas	0	0	1	16	122	76	198
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	1	17	156	115	271
4	El Paso	34	7	6	477	2,408	1,426	3,834
	Teller	1	0	4	7	59	45	104
	<b>Total</b>	35	7	10	484	2,467	1,471	3,938
5	Clear Creek	2	0	0	0	123	19	142
	Eagle	1	0	0	0	48	86	134
	Lake	0	0	0	2	17	18	35
	Summit	1	0	0	0	32	33	65
	<b>Total</b>	4	0	0	2	220	156	376
6	Archuleta	4	0	0	2	51	15	66
	La Plata	1	4	0	16	109	77	186
	San Juan	1	0	0	0	1	1	2
	<b>Total</b>	6	4	0	18	161	93	254
7	Delta	1	8	0	0	99	55	154
	Gunnison	2	1	0	0	24	69	93
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Montrose	4	1	0	1	142	104	246
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	7	4	11
	San Miguel	0	1	0	0	5	8	13
	<b>Total</b>	7	11	0	1	279	240	519
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
	Larimer	38	1	2	20	829	1,243	2,072
	<b>Total</b>	38	1	2	20	831	1,244	2,075
9	Garfield	2	1	0	17	188	135	323
	Pitkin	1	0	0	1	10	34	44
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	32	13	45
	<b>Total</b>	3	1	1	18	230	182	412
10	Pueblo	46	11	45	232	894	300	1,194
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	10	33	29	62
	Custer	1	0	2	0	10	5	15
	Fremont	2	0	0	11	262	194	456
	Park	0	0	0	1	11	21	32
	<b>Total</b>	3	0	2	22	316	249	565

\*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Abandonment	Abuse or Mistreatment	Administrative Paternity Order	Administrative Support Order	Adoption
12	Alamosa	0	0	5	13	15
	Conejos	0	0	7	17	1
	Costilla	0	0	1	1	1
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	13	31	5
	Saguache	0	0	0	6	2
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	26	68
13	Kit Carson	0	0	4	9	8
	Logan	0	0	18	33	9
	Morgan	0	0	13	22	25
	Phillips	0	0	0	8	4
	Sedgwick	0	0	1	2	3
	Washington	0	0	0	2	7
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	39	93
14	Grand	0	0	3	8	7
	Moffat	0	0	12	21	7
	Routt	0	0	1	12	8
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	16	41	22
15	Baca	0	0	1	6	2
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	1	0
	Kiowa	0	0	1	5	0
	Prowers	0	0	16	39	6
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	18	51	8
16	Bent	0	0	9	24	0
	Crowley	0	0	5	6	0
	Otero	0	1	10	28	15
	<b>Total</b>	0	1	24	58	15
17	Adams	0	0	189	473	251
	Broomfield	0	0	9	35	16
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	198	508	267
18	Arapahoe	0	0	160	574	372
	Douglas	0	0	14	52	138
	Elbert	0	3	12	0	11
	Lincoln	0	0	1	9	5
	<b>Total</b>	0	3	187	635	526
19	Weld	0	0	90	318	121
20	Boulder	0	0	53	316	120
21	Mesa	0	0	82	260	68
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	1	0	0	1	10
	<b>Total</b>	1	0	0	1	11
<b>State Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2,330</b>	<b>5,346</b>	<b>2,589</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>9%</b>

\*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Child in Need of Oversight	Child in Need of Supervision	Confidential Intermediary	Consent for Marriage	Dependency & Neglect	Expedited Placement
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	0	19	22
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	8	6
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	1	15	9
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	3	6
	<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>45</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	3	1
	Logan	0	0	0	0	12	17
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	15	31
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	4	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	3	3
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	4	5
	<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>41</i>
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	3	2
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	4	3
	Routt	0	0	0	0	2	2
	<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>7</i>
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	1	3
	<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	2	7
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	7	4
	Otero	0	0	0	0	14	31
	<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>42</i>
17	Adams	0	0	12	0	131	287
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	8	5
	<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>292</i>
18	Arapahoe	0	0	0	1	133	207
	Douglas	0	0	0	1	13	16
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	12	13
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	6	2
	<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>164</i>
19	Weld	0	0	0	0	52	103
20	Boulder	0	0	0	1	60	66
21	Mesa	0	0	0	1	77	47
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	2	1
	Montezuma	0	0	0	1	5	8
	<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>97</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>1,462</i>	<i>2,106</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>5%</i>	<i>7%</i>

\*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Grandparent Visitation	Juvenile Expungement	Juvenile Other	Juvenile Relinquishment	Lack of Proper Care
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	14	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	1	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	6	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	0	22
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	1	0
	Logan	0	0	7	4	0
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	2	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	7	7
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	1	0
	Routt	0	0	3	1	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	3	2
15	Baca	0	0	0	2	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	0	2
16	Bent	0	0	1	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	3	0	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	4	0
17	Adams	0	19	34	35	0
	Broomfield	0	15	1	2	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	34	35	37
18	Arapahoe	3	15	6	51	0
	Douglas	0	22	12	31	1
	Elbert	0	0	0	5	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	2	0
	<b>Total</b>		3	37	18	89
19	Weld	0	0	15	21	0
20	Boulder	1	0	39	40	0
21	Mesa	0	0	0	5	1
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	12	0
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	0	12
<b>State Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>

\*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Petition for Review of Need for				Total	Juvenile Delinquency	
		Paternity	Placement	Support	Truancy		Total*	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	14	104	46	150
	Conejos	1	0	2	13	55	17	72
	Costilla	0	0	1	0	7	0	7
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	4	0	9	2	95	66	161
	Saguache	1	0	1	0	20	19	39
	<b>Total</b>		6	0	14	29	281	148
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	26	9	35
	Logan	0	0	0	8	108	36	144
	Morgan	1	8	0	40	155	84	239
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	19	1	20
	Sedgwick	0	1	0	0	7	3	10
	Washington	1	0	0	0	16	8	24
	Yuma	0	1	0	1	35	8	43
<b>Total</b>		2	11	0	49	366	149	515
14	Grand	4	0	0	0	27	23	50
	Moffat	4	0	0	8	60	27	87
	Routt	2	0	7	0	38	28	66
	<b>Total</b>	10	0	7	8	125	78	203
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	14	12	26
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	1	6	7
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	7	5	12
	Prowers	5	0	0	26	96	37	133
	<b>Total</b>	5	1	0	26	118	60	178
16	Bent	3	1	1	8	56	21	77
	Crowley	2	1	9	1	35	13	48
	Otero	1	0	4	13	120	53	173
	<b>Total</b>	6	2	14	22	211	87	298
17	Adams	61	0	23	218	1,733	717	2,450
	Broomfield	3	1	0	22	117	81	198
	<b>Total</b>	64	1	23	240	1,850	798	2,648
18	Arapahoe	75	0	35	312	1,944	947	2,891
	Douglas	16	3	1	7	327	575	902
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	56	44	100
	Lincoln	2	0	7	1	35	10	45
	<b>Total</b>	93	3	43	320	2,362	1,576	3,938
19	Weld	68	6	129	375	1,298	1,059	2,357
20	Boulder	20	0	0	179	895	718	1,613
21	Mesa	8	0	13	98	660	337	997
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	4	1	5
	Montezuma	2	0	10	13	63	68	131
	<b>Total</b>	2	0	10	13	67	69	136
<b>State Total</b>		<b>576</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>2,943</b>	<b>18,720</b>	<b>11,640</b>	<b>30,360</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Burglary	Change of Venue After Adjudication	Change of Venue Converted Case	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Curfew Violation
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Jefferson	8	172	66	72	0	5	95	0
	<b>Total</b>	8	173	66	72	0	5	96	0
2	Denver Juvenile	2	174	196	180	9	1	204	0
3	Huerfano	0	8	0	3	0	0	1	0
	Las Animas	0	8	1	1	0	1	5	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	16	1	4	0	1	6	0
4	El Paso	12	175	132	48	0	4	108	0
	Teller	0	11	6	1	0	0	3	0
	<b>Total</b>	12	186	138	49	0	4	111	0
5	Clear Creek	0	4	2	2	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	1	7	11	3	0	0	10	0
	Lake	0	5	2	0	0	0	1	0
	Summit	0	4	3	6	0	0	2	0
	<b>Total</b>	1	20	18	11	0	0	13	0
6	Archuleta	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
	La Plata	0	9	11	2	0	0	4	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	11	12	2	0	0	5	0
7	Delta	1	7	2	8	0	0	4	0
	Gunnison	0	1	3	1	0	0	20	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	6	18	3	2	0	0	10	0
	Ouray	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	7	28	9	11	0	0	34	0
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	10	126	35	39	0	1	98	0
	<b>Total</b>	11	126	35	39	0	1	98	0
9	Garfield	0	12	18	8	0	0	8	0
	Pitkin	0	5	0	2	0	0	5	0
	Rio Blanco	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	0
	<b>Total</b>	1	18	18	11	0	0	15	0
10	Pueblo	1	49	24	25	0	0	20	0
11	Chaffee	0	5	4	1	0	0	4	0
	Custer	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	0
	Fremont	0	17	4	5	0	0	12	0
	Park	0	2	4	0	0	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	25	12	7	0	0	19	0

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Delinquent Case Remanded from							
		Cruelty to Animals	Criminal Court	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Harassment	Homicide
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	0	64	9	13	1	50	1
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	64	9	13	1	50	1
2	Denver Juvenile	0	0	75	7	0	2	19	7
3	Huerfano	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0
	Las Animas	0	0	9	0	0	0	13	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	12	0	0	0	14	0
4	El Paso	2	0	73	7	3	2	94	4
	Teller	1	0	1	0	0	1	6	0
	<b>Total</b>	3	0	74	7	3	3	100	4
5	Clear Creek	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Eagle	0	0	5	0	0	0	3	0
	Lake	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
	Summit	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	8	0	0	0	8	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	10	0	0	2	4	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	11	0	0	2	4	0
7	Delta	0	0	3	0	0	0	4	0
	Gunnison	0	0	10	0	1	0	2	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	6	5	0	1	4	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	21	5	1	1	10	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	5	152	0	1	0	102	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	5	152	0	1	0	102	0
9	Garfield	0	0	12	0	1	0	5	0
	Pitkin	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	23	0	1	0	5	0
10	Pueblo	0	0	23	5	0	0	5	5
11	Chaffee	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	24	0	0	0	13	0
	Park	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	30	1	0	0	13	0



Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing	Municipal Charge Other Than Curfew Violation	Other	Perjury
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Jefferson	2	8	1	29	0	168	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	8	1	30	0	168	0
2	Denver Juvenile	5	2	3	34	0	71	0
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	2	0	7	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	2	0	8	0
4	El Paso	6	0	0	45	0	173	0
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	6	0	0	45	0	174	0
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	3	0	4	0
	Eagle	1	0	0	2	0	6	0
	Lake	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	1	6	0	15	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	La Plata	0	1	0	2	1	6	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	0	2	1	9	0
7	Delta	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	6	0	16	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	10	0	19	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	2	0	0	12	0	148	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	0	12	0	148	0
9	Garfield	0	0	0	8	0	1	0
	Pitkin	1	0	0	0	0	4	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	8	0	6	0
10	Pueblo	3	0	1	17	0	24	0
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	0	6	0	20	0
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	7	0	21	0

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Possession of Alcohol by Minor	Pornography	Prostitution	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Runaway
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	0	0	0	13	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	13	0
2	Denver Juvenile	0	0	3	5	60	13
3	Huerfano	13	0	0	1	0	0
	Las Animas	11	0	0	6	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	24	0	0	7	0	0
4	El Paso	2	0	2	12	33	0
	Teller	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	2	12	33	0
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Eagle	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	2	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	0	3	1	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	0	1	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	1	0	0
7	Delta	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	7	0	0	5	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	4	0	0	0	1	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	14	0	0	5	1	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	152	0	0	14	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	152	0	0	14	2	0
9	Garfield	8	0	0	9	0	0
	Pitkin	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	10	0	0	9	0	0
10	Pueblo	3	0	0	12	8	0
11	Chaffee	6	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Fremont	39	0	0	0	0	0
	Park	4	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	49	0	0	0	1	0

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
	Jefferson	55	8	182	113	1	0	31	1,167
	<b>Total</b>	55	8	183	114	1	0	31	1,172
2	Denver Juvenile	50	0	89	76	1	0	51	1,339
3	Huerfano	0	0	4	2	1	0	1	39
	Las Animas	0	0	7	4	0	0	1	76
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	11	6	1	0	2	115
4	El Paso	86	6	250	85	0	0	62	1,426
	Teller	4	0	7	1	0	0	1	45
	<b>Total</b>	90	6	257	86	0	0	63	1,471
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	19
	Eagle	2	0	17	16	0	0	0	86
	Lake	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	18
	Summit	1	0	4	4	0	0	0	33
	<b>Total</b>	3	0	22	22	0	0	1	156
6	Archuleta	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	15
	La Plata	2	0	9	8	1	0	4	77
	San Juan	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	8	0	10	8	1	0	5	93
7	Delta	4	0	9	7	1	0	1	55
	Gunnison	1	0	10	2	0	0	3	69
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	6	0	11	3	0	0	2	104
	Ouray	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	San Miguel	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	8
<b>Total</b>	12	0	32	13	1	0	6	240	
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	15	3	233	67	1	0	25	1,243
	<b>Total</b>	15	3	233	67	1	0	25	1,244
9	Garfield	7	0	12	20	0	0	6	135
	Pitkin	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	34
	Rio Blanco	0	1	5	0	0	0	1	13
	<b>Total</b>	7	1	21	20	0	0	7	182
10	Pueblo	8	0	42	13	0	0	12	300
11	Chaffee	1	0	2	1	0	0	2	29
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Fremont	10	0	27	13	0	0	4	194
	Park	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	21
	<b>Total</b>	12	0	32	14	0	0	6	249

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Burglary	Change of Venue After Adjudication	Change of Venue Converted Case	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Curfew Violation
12	Alamosa	0	3	1	7	0	1	1	0
	Conejos	0	4	2	4	0	0	1	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	7	8	1	0	0	6	0
	Saguache	0	3	2	0	0	0	5	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>
13	Kit Carson	0	2	3	0	0	0	1	0
	Logan	0	12	3	0	0	0	4	0
	Morgan	0	14	12	10	0	0	5	0
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>
14	Grand	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	8	0	2	0	0	4	0
	Routt	0	1	6	1	0	0	3	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>
15	Baca	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Cheyenne	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0
	Prowers	0	7	2	0	0	0	4	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>
16	Bent	0	4	1	3	0	0	6	0
	Crowley	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Otero	0	15	7	5	0	0	5	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>
17	Adams	8	79	90	158	0	10	29	0
	Broomfield	1	8	4	16	1	0	4	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>0</b>
18	Arapahoe	9	146	94	143	0	2	27	0
	Douglas	9	97	32	22	0	1	28	0
	Elbert	1	4	4	8	1	0	4	0
	Lincoln	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>0</b>
19	Weld	7	158	58	72	0	1	51	0
20	Boulder	12	82	37	51	0	1	40	0
21	Mesa	4	43	34	19	0	3	25	0
22	Dolores	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	17	2	3	0	0	4	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>96</b>	<b>1,557</b>	<b>938</b>	<b>938</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>890</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>1%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Delinquent Case Remanded from							
		Cruelty to Animals	Criminal Court	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Harassment	Homicide
12	Alamosa	0	0	11	0	0	0	1	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	0	6	0	0	0	5	1
	Saguache	1	0	4	0	0	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
	Morgan	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
14	Grand	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0
	Routt	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	5	0	0	0	6	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Otero	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>
17	Adams	0	1	35	0	6	0	16	2
	Broomfield	0	0	4	0	0	0	5	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>3</b>
18	Arapahoe	0	0	71	7	5	0	26	0
	Douglas	0	0	78	1	1	0	28	0
	Elbert	1	1	5	0	0	0	1	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>1</b>
19	Weld	0	0	75	0	2	0	21	1
20	Boulder	3	0	87	1	1	0	44	0
21	Mesa	0	0	14	0	1	0	8	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>900</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing	Municipal Charge Other Than Curfew Violation	Other	Perjury
12	Alamosa	1	0	0	2	0	3	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	2	0	0	2	0	4	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		3	0	0	4	0	7
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Logan	0	1	0	3	0	2	0
	Morgan	1	0	0	4	0	13	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		1	1	0	13	0	18
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	0	0	0	4	0
15	Baca	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	<i>Total</i>		1	0	0	0	0	3
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Otero	0	1	0	3	0	3	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	1	0	6	0	3
17	Adams	2	1	3	30	0	28	0
	Broomfield	0	0	0	4	0	7	0
	<i>Total</i>		2	1	3	34	0	35
18	Arapahoe	4	0	4	19	0	104	0
	Douglas	1	0	0	15	0	65	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>		5	0	4	34	0	173
19	Weld	18	0	1	18	0	234	0
20	Boulder	7	0	2	15	0	83	0
21	Mesa	11	2	0	7	0	38	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	7	0	4	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	0	0	7	0	4
<i>State Total</i>		<b>68</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,265</b>	<b>0</b>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>0%</b>

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Possession of Alcohol by Minor	Pornography	Prostitution	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Runaway
12	Alamosa	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	18	0	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
14	Grand	2	0	0	1	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Otero	0	0	0	3	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
17	Adams	0	0	0	7	12	0
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>0</i>
18	Arapahoe	0	0	0	4	32	0
	Douglas	10	0	0	9	8	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>0</i>
19	Weld	3	0	0	0	6	0
20	Boulder	7	0	0	12	17	0
21	Mesa	0	0	1	0	5	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	1	0	0	2	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<b>299</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>13</b>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	4	10	0	0	0	46
	Conejos	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	17
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	66
	Saguache	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	19
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>148</b>
13	Kit Carson	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	Logan	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	36
	Morgan	3	0	14	0	0	0	3	84
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Washington	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	8
	Yuma	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>149</b>
14	Grand	1	0	4	1	0	0	0	23
	Moffat	5	0	3	0	0	0	0	27
	Routt	0	0	11	1	0	0	0	28
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>78</b>
15	Baca	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	12
	Cheyenne	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Kiowa	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5
	Prowers	0	0	7	1	0	0	1	37
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>60</b>
16	Bent	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	21
	Crowley	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	13
	Otero	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	53
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>87</b>
17	Adams	43	1	78	56	3	0	19	717
	Broomfield	4	0	16	3	0	0	1	81
	<b>Total</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>798</b>
18	Arapahoe	34	1	147	44	0	0	24	947
	Douglas	40	2	84	31	0	0	13	575
	Elbert	2	0	2	7	0	0	0	44
	Lincoln	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	10
	<b>Total</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>1,576</b>
19	Weld	23	0	235	58	1	0	16	1,059
20	Boulder	32	0	140	32	0	0	12	718
21	Mesa	14	8	58	28	0	0	14	337
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	0	0	17	6	0	0	1	68
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>467</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1,777</b>	<b>734</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>11,640</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>100%</b>



Table 21: District Court Mental Health Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Evaluation	Involuntary Medication Procedure	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of Venue	Other	Short Term	72 Hour Hold	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4
	Jefferson	18	3	5	0	4	0	0	430	0	460
	<b>Total</b>	18	3	5	0	4	0	0	431	3	464
2	Denver Probate	49	0	7	0	1	0	3	998	0	1,058
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	12
4	El Paso	20	2	0	0	1	0	0	596	0	619
	Teller	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	1	9
	<b>Total</b>	20	2	1	0	1	0	0	603	1	628
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Eagle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	6
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	10
	La Plata	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	32	41	75
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	34	49	85
7	Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Gunnison	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	6
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	307	8	322
	<b>Total</b>	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	307	8	322
9	Garfield	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	50	51
	<b>Total</b>	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	51	58
10	Pueblo	8	7	3	86	6	0	62	159	5	336
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	26
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	8
	<b>Total</b>	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	28	9	38

Table 21: District Court Mental Health Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Evaluation	Involuntary Medication Procedure	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of Venue	Other	Short Term	72 Hour Hold	Total
12	Alamosa	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	2	9
	Conejos	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
	Saguache	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
	<b>Total</b>		1	0	6	0	0	0	0	10	6
13	Kit Carson	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	2	7
	Logan	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	34	44
	Morgan	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	13	25	45
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5
	Washington	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
	Yuma	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	7	3	13
<b>Total</b>		11	0	8	0	1	0	1	29	71	121
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	6
	<b>Total</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	9
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	7
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	5	12
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	19
	<b>Total</b>	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	23
17	Adams	6	3	3	0	11	0	0	319	0	342
	Broomfield	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	33
	<b>Total</b>	7	3	3	0	11	0	0	351	0	375
18	Arapahoe	10	1	5	0	6	1	4	535	0	562
	Douglas	2	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	150	156
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	10
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	6
	<b>Total</b>	12	3	6	0	6	1	5	539	162	734
19	Weld	10	0	0	0	3	0	0	157	0	170
20	Boulder	3	2	5	0	6	0	2	422	9	449
21	Mesa	2	3	0	2	1	0	0	206	0	214
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	16
	<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	16
<b>State Total</b>		<b>153</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>4,335</b>	<b>393</b>	<b>5,159</b>
<b>Percent State Total</b>		<b>3%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Ancillary Proceedings	Conservatorship/ Adult	Conservator/ Guardian	Conservatorship/ Minor	Determination of Heirship
1	Gilpin	2	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	16	24	41	23	10
	<i>Total</i>	18	24	41	23	10
2	Denver Probate	13	27	61	25	6
3	Huerfano	11	0	0	0	1
	Las Animas	9	0	4	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	20	0	4	0	3
4	El Paso	23	22	40	28	1
	Teller	5	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	28	22	40	28	1
5	Clear Creek	3	1	0	2	0
	Eagle	25	0	2	3	0
	Lake	1	0	0	1	0
	Summit	14	0	0	3	0
	<i>Total</i>	43	1	2	9	0
6	Archuleta	9	1	1	0	0
	La Plata	20	4	6	0	2
	San Juan	4	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	33	5	7	0	3
7	Delta	2	5	2	1	1
	Gunnison	10	1	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	4	3	1	3
	Ouray	3	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	6	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	23	10	5	2	4
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	17	11	13	11	0
	<i>Total</i>	17	11	13	11	1
9	Garfield	3	2	3	1	4
	Pitkin	10	4	1	0	0
	Rio Blanco	3	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	16	6	4	1	7
10	Pueblo	11	8	17	18	4
11	Chaffee	3	0	3	0	0
	Custer	5	1	0	0	1
	Fremont	8	3	2	1	0
	Park	13	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	29	4	5	2	1

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Disclaimer	Estate	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor	Insurance Benefit	Intestate Formal	Intestate Informal	Other
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0
	Jefferson	0	1	70	61	0	15	217	5
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	70	61	0	17	218	5
2	Denver Probate	1	8	110	93	0	39	213	25
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	3	0	0	12	0
	Las Animas	0	0	4	0	0	2	14	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	4	3	0	2	26	0
4	El Paso	0	1	104	121	0	21	182	3
	Teller	0	4	5	1	0	1	9	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	5	109	122	0	22	191	3
5	Clear Creek	0	2	1	0	0	0	11	0
	Eagle	0	7	2	12	0	1	12	1
	Lake	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	13	4	12	0	1	32	2
6	Archuleta	0	0	4	1	0	0	5	0
	La Plata	0	0	6	7	0	2	18	1
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	10	8	0	2	25	1
7	Delta	0	1	9	1	0	1	13	0
	Gunnison	0	4	1	2	0	1	6	1
	Hinsdale	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	4	11	5	0	0	20	1
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	11	22	8	0	2	40	2
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Larimer	0	0	36	17	0	6	56	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	36	17	0	6	57	1
9	Garfield	0	1	9	5	0	2	21	1
	Pitkin	0	1	5	1	0	2	4	0
	Rio Blanco	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	3	14	6	0	5	31	2
10	Pueblo	0	1	40	12	0	18	92	2
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	0
	Custer	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	0
	Fremont	0	0	14	6	0	1	29	0
	Park	0	19	3	1	0	0	10	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	20	17	8	0	2	48	0

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Personal Injury Settlement - Insurance	Personal Injury Settlement	Public Administrator Statement	Registration of Foreign Order	Single Transaction	Small Estates	Special Administrator Formal
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	39	5	7	0	0	51	4
	<i>Total</i>	39	5	7	0	0	51	4
2	Denver Probate	25	19	60	0	3	0	1
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
4	El Paso	35	33	0	0	3	3	0
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	35	33	0	0	3	3	0
5	Clear Creek	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	1	2	0	0	1	1	3
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	4	2	0	0	1	1	4
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	La Plata	4	2	0	0	0	0	1
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	2	0	0	1	0	1
7	Delta	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	9	12	9	0	6	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	9	12	9	0	6	0	2
9	Garfield	1	2	0	0	0	0	1
	Pitkin	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	2	0	0	0	0	1
10	Pueblo	6	0	9	0	2	4	1
11	Chaffee	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Park	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	3	0	0	0	1	0

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Special Administrator Informal	Testate Formal	Testate Informal	Trust	Trust Registrations	Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act	Will Transfer	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
	Jefferson	4	44	833	21	65	0	10	1,566
	<b>Total</b>	4	44	834	21	65	0	10	1,573
2	Denver Probate	5	60	802	59	171	2	9	1,837
3	Huerfano	0	1	21	0	1	2	0	52
	Las Animas	0	0	22	0	3	0	0	62
	<b>Total</b>	0	1	43	0	4	2	0	114
4	El Paso	2	30	605	26	53	4	4	1,344
	Teller	0	2	11	0	1	0	0	39
	<b>Total</b>	2	32	616	26	54	4	4	1,383
5	Clear Creek	0	1	8	0	1	0	0	31
	Eagle	2	1	25	5	2	0	0	108
	Lake	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	17
	Summit	0	1	12	0	4	0	0	46
	<b>Total</b>	2	3	53	6	7	0	0	202
6	Archuleta	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	35
	La Plata	0	6	65	0	7	0	0	151
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	<b>Total</b>	0	6	78	0	7	0	0	193
7	Delta	0	4	54	1	3	0	0	100
	Gunnison	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	40
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Montrose	0	7	62	5	0	0	1	129
	Ouray	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	12
	San Miguel	0	1	5	0	1	0	0	15
	<b>Total</b>	0	13	142	7	4	0	1	300
8	Jackson	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	5
	Larimer	0	18	339	9	39	0	2	613
	<b>Total</b>	0	18	341	10	39	0	2	618
9	Garfield	0	5	60	4	6	0	0	131
	Pitkin	0	2	16	2	5	0	0	56
	Rio Blanco	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	22
	<b>Total</b>	0	7	83	6	11	0	0	209
10	Pueblo	2	27	197	3	6	2	0	482
11	Chaffee	0	0	43	1	3	0	0	61
	Custer	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	18
	Fremont	1	3	62	1	0	1	0	136
	Park	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	57
	<b>Total</b>	1	3	120	2	3	1	0	272

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Ancillary Proceedings	Conservatorship/ Adult	Conservator/ Guardian	Conservatorship/ Minor	Determination of Heirship
12	Alamosa	2	3	1	1	0
	Conejos	1	0	1	2	2
	Costilla	44	2	0	1	4
	Mineral	2	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	6	0	0	0	1
	Saguache	7	1	0	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>
13	Kit Carson	4	0	0	0	3
	Logan	6	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	4	0	2	0	3
	Phillips	1	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	1
	Washington	2	0	1	0	0
	Yuma	2	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	
14	Grand	4	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	7	0	1	0	1
	Routt	9	2	1	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
15	Baca	6	1	0	0	2
	Cheyenne	2	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	8	0	0	0	3
	Prowers	0	1	0	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>
16	Bent	5	0	0	0	8
	Crowley	1	0	0	0	3
	Otero	2	0	2	3	3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>14</b>
17	Adams	2	8	33	24	2
	Broomfield	0	2	1	3	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>2</b>
18	Arapahoe	8	15	55	17	2
	Douglas	4	3	13	19	0
	Elbert	1	0	2	1	0
	Lincoln	4	0	0	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>4</b>
19	Weld	23	6	13	20	4
20	Boulder	16	16	24	5	2
21	Mesa	5	12	22	10	6
22	Dolores	1	0	0	0	2
	Montezuma	9	2	6	2	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>449</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>4%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1%</b>

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Disclaimer	Estate	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor	Insurance Benefit	Intestate Formal	Intestate Informal	Other
12	Alamosa	0	5	3	4	0	3	5	0
	Conejos	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	1
	Costilla	0	3	2	1	0	2	14	0
	Mineral	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Rio Grande	0	4	1	0	0	1	5	1
	Saguache	0	0	1	0	0	1	5	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	15	8	5	0	8	34
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0
	Logan	0	0	6	2	0	0	10	0
	Morgan	0	0	5	1	0	6	10	1
	Phillips	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	0
	Sedgwick	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Washington	0	4	1	0	0	3	3	0
	Yuma	0	1	2	1	0	0	10	0
<i>Total</i>		0	10	14	5	0	12	43	1
14	Grand	0	2	1	3	0	0	7	0
	Moffat	0	1	2	3	0	0	13	0
	Routt	0	12	2	1	0	1	7	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	15	5	7	0	1	27
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Prowers	0	4	3	4	0	4	8	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	5	3	4	0	5	17
16	Bent	0	0	2	3	0	1	4	0
	Crowley	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0
	Otero	0	1	5	12	0	3	17	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	2	8	15	0	4	23
17	Adams	0	0	25	30	0	17	94	1
	Broomfield	0	20	4	7	0	4	8	1
	<i>Total</i>		0	20	29	37	0	21	102
18	Arapahoe	0	3	68	71	0	15	168	5
	Douglas	0	13	17	20	0	3	36	2
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	0
	Lincoln	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	16	86	91	0	19	218
19	Weld	0	1	22	13	0	13	70	1
20	Boulder	0	0	32	18	0	13	68	1
21	Mesa	0	0	23	7	0	11	56	0
22	Dolores	0	1	0	2	0	0	7	0
	Montezuma	0	1	2	6	0	2	13	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	2	2	8	0	2	20
<i>State Total</i>		<i>1</i>	<i>149</i>	<i>668</i>	<i>560</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>1,651</i>	<i>57</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>1%</i>	<i>5%</i>	<i>5%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>14%</i>	<i>0%</i>



Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Personal Injury Settlement - Insurance	Personal Injury Settlement	Public Administrator Statement	Registration of Foreign Order	Single Transaction	Small Estates	Special Administrator Formal
12	Alamosa	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Logan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
<i>Total</i>		<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>
14	Grand	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
17	Adams	24	11	3	0	1	1	4
	Broomfield	2	2	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>4</i>
18	Arapahoe	30	25	0	1	1	0	1
	Douglas	11	8	0	0	0	0	0
	Elbert	0	3	0	0	0	1	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	10	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>1</i>
19	Weld	8	10	0	0	0	0	1
20	Boulder	10	12	1	0	5	0	2
21	Mesa	5	3	4	0	2	0	1
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<b>225</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>27</b>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<b>2%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Special Administrator Informal	Testate Formal	Testate Informal	Trust	Trust Registrations	Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act	Will Transfer	Total
12	Alamosa	0	1	19	0	0	1	0	50
	Conejos	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	18
	Costilla	0	7	13	0	0	0	0	93
	Mineral	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
	Rio Grande	1	1	16	0	0	1	0	39
	Saguache	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	26
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>233</b>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	32
	Logan	0	1	32	0	1	0	0	58
	Morgan	0	7	36	5	3	0	0	85
	Phillips	0	3	16	0	2	0	0	28
	Sedgwick	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	10
	Washington	0	1	15	0	0	0	0	30
	Yuma	0	6	17	0	0	0	0	44
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>287</b>	
14	Grand	0	0	12	1	3	0	0	35
	Moffat	0	3	23	3	1	0	0	58
	Routt	0	3	14	1	0	0	0	56
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>149</b>
15	Baca	0	0	12	1	1	0	0	28
	Cheyenne	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
	Kiowa	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	21
	Prowers	0	0	20	1	0	0	0	48
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>105</b>
16	Bent	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	31
	Crowley	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	13
	Otero	0	8	31	1	3	1	1	94
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>138</b>
17	Adams	0	40	253	6	13	0	0	592
	Broomfield	0	1	31	0	4	0	0	91
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>683</b>
18	Arapahoe	0	50	628	14	83	0	5	1,265
	Douglas	0	12	150	7	36	0	2	356
	Elbert	0	1	21	1	0	0	0	42
	Lincoln	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	30
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>807</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1,693</b>
19	Weld	1	14	240	8	12	0	0	480
20	Boulder	0	24	395	24	56	0	2	726
21	Mesa	0	21	191	15	0	1	0	395
22	Dolores	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	18
	Montezuma	0	3	46	1	2	0	0	99
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>5,600</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>12,189</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Section IV:  
County Court



## COUNTY COURT JUDGES

### FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gilpin Frederic B. Rodgers  
 Jefferson Bradley A. Burback  
 Verna L. Carpenter  
 John DeVita  
 Susan L. Fisch  
 Tammy K. Green  
 Charles T. Hoppin  
 K.J. Moore  
 Thomas E. Vance

### DENVER COUNTY COURT

Denver Mary A. Celeste,  
 Presiding Judge  
 Andrew S. Armatas  
 Johnny C. Barajas  
 Larry L. Bohning  
 James B. Breese  
 Doris E. Burd  
 Brian T. Campbell  
 Robert B. Crew, Jr.  
 Herbert H. Galchinsky  
 Clarisse M. Gonzales  
 Kerry S. Hada  
 Alfred C. Harrell  
 Claudia J. Jordan  
 John M. Marcucci  
 Aleene Ortiz-White  
 Andre L. Rudolph  
 Raymond N. Satter

### THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Huerfano Gary R. Stork  
 Las Animas Bruce Billings

### FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

El Paso Christopher E. Acker  
 Karla J. Hansen  
 Larry D. Martin  
 Marla R. Prudek  
 Ann M. Rotolo  
 Stephen J. Sletta  
 Jonathan L. Walker  
 Regina Walter  
 Daniel S. Wilson  
 Teller Jackson L. Peters, Jr.

### FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Clear Creek Rachel Olguin-Fresquez  
 Eagle Katharine T. Sullivan  
 Lake Wayne Patton  
 Summit Edward J. Casias

### SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Archuleta James E. Denvir  
 La Plata Martha T. Minot  
 San Juan Lyndon K. Skinner

### SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Delta Sandra K. Miller  
 Gunnison Ben F. Eden  
 Hinsdale Alvin K. Lutz  
 Montrose Diana Barber  
 John J. Mitchel  
 Ouray David S. Westfall  
 San Miguel Sharon E. Shuteran

### EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Jackson Rex A. Shaw  
 Larimer Christine A. Carney  
 Cynthia M. Hartman  
 Robert A. Rand (1)  
 Peter Schoon  
 Ronald L. Schultz

### NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Garfield Jason D. Jovanovich  
 Paul Metzger  
 Pitkin Erin Fernandez-Ely  
 Rio Blanco Laurie Anne Noble

### TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Pueblo Steven Bruce Fieldman  
 Kathleen K. Hearn  
 Ernest J. Ruybalid

### ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Chaffee William P. Alderton  
 Custer Peter F. Michaelson  
 Fremont Norman C. Cooling  
 Park Brian L. Green

### TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Alamosa Michael A. Gonzales  
 Conejos Mary E. Garcia  
 Costilla Kimberly Wood  
 Mineral Frank S. Lentz  
 Rio Grande Michael H. Trujillo  
 Saguache Amanda K. Pearson

### THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Kit Carson Michael Grinnan  
 Logan Robert B. Smith  
 Morgan Michael J. Schingle  
 Phillips David O. Colver  
 Sedgwick Max E. Carlson  
 Washington Carl S. McGuire  
 Yuma Sara Marie Wagers-  
 Johnson

### FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Grand Ben W. McClelland  
 Moffat Sandra Gardner  
 Routt James H. Garrecht

### FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Baca W. Michael Porter  
 Cheyenne Clifford E. Mays  
 Kiowa Gary W. Davis  
 Prowers Larry E. Stutler

### SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Bent Mark A. MacDonnell  
 Crowley Suzanne A. Grant  
 Otero Douglas R. Manley

### SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Adams Michael A. Cox  
 Robert S. Doyle  
 Bryon L. Howell  
 Leroy D. Kirby (2)  
 Dianna Roybal  
 Sabino E. Romano  
 Jeffrey L. Romeo  
 Broomfield Amy Bockman

### EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Arapahoe Addison L. Adams  
 Alex R. Bencze  
 Christine M. Chauche  
 Ethan D. Feldman  
 Dana E. Murray  
 Anne M. Ollada  
 Robert C. Tobias  
 Darren L. Vahle  
 Douglas Monica Jo Gomez  
 Michelle A. Marker  
 Susanna Meissner-Cutler  
 Elbert Palmer L. Boyette  
 Lincoln Truston Lee Fisher

### NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Weld Timothy G. Kerns  
 Michele L. Meyer  
 Dana J. Nichols  
 Charles S. Unfug

### TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Boulder David A. Archuleta  
 Noel E. Blum  
 Carolyn Hoye Enichen  
 Thomas J. B. Reed  
 John F. Stavely

### TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Mesa Craig P. Henderson  
 Gretchen Buck Larson  
 Bruce R. Raaum

### TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Dolores Ernest Dale Boyd  
 Montezuma Todd J. Plewe

(1) Replaced Hon. C. Edward Stirman  
 (2) Replaced Hon. Cindy H. Bruner

## County Court

Colorado's county courts serve the citizens of each of the state's 64 counties. Every county has a county court served by one or more judges. County judges handle cases involving serious public safety issues such as misdemeanor cases, felony advisements, setting bonds, and preliminary hearings. County judges also issue search warrants and protection orders in cases involving domestic violence, preside over traffic cases, civil actions involving no more than \$15,000, and conduct jury trials. Appeals from the county court may be made to the district court.


Small claims courts are divisions of county court. Individuals are allowed to argue their own cases and to have speedy decisions on civil matters involving no more than \$7,500. Court sessions are held during the day or evening to accommodate the public. There are no jury trials in small claims courts, and magistrates sometimes hear these cases rather than a judge. No plaintiff may file more than two claims per month or eighteen claims per year in small claims court.

In Fiscal Year 2010, Colorado county courts had 541,591 cases filed and were able to terminate 527,946 cases during that same period. During the past 10 years, county court filings have increased 21.81 percent, rising from 444,629 to the current level of cases filed, with the greatest area of increase occurring in civil filings.


Specific information regarding each of the county courts is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/district/counties.htm>.

**County Court Case Filings -- Proportion of Cases by Type  
Ten Year Comparison**

**Chart 3: Filings, FY 2001**  
**Total Number of Filings: 444,629**



**Chart 4: Filings, FY 2010**  
**Total Number of Filings: 541,591**



**Table 23: County Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2009, FY 2010**  
(Does not include Denver County Court)

<b>Filings Compared to Previous Year</b>			
<b>Case Class</b>	<b>FY09</b>	<b>FY10</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
Civil	202,958	206,954	1.97%
Infractions	100,804	95,557	-5.21%
Misdemeanors	73,605	69,695	-5.31%
Small Claims	12,266	11,097	-9.53%
Traffic	155,235	141,493	-8.85%
Felony Complaints	17,235	16,795	-2.55%
<b>Total</b>	<b>562,103</b>	<b>541,591</b>	<b>-3.65%</b>

<b>Terminations Compared to Previous Year</b>			
<b>Case Class</b>	<b>FY09</b>	<b>FY10</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
Civil	200,895	205,545	2.31%
Infractions	99,055	95,786	-3.30%
Misdemeanors	74,147	69,232	-6.63%
Small Claims	12,337	11,010	-10.76%
Traffic	160,307	146,373	-8.69%
Felony Complaints	17,235	16,795	-2.55%
<b>Total with Felony Complaints</b>	<b>563,976</b>	<b>544,741</b>	<b>-3.41%</b>
<b>Total without Felony Complaints</b>	<b>546,741</b>	<b>527,946</b>	<b>-3.44%</b>

**Table 24: County Court Caseload FY 2001 to FY 2010**  
(Does not include Denver County Court)

	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10
<b>CIVIL</b>										
New Cases Filed	139,919	151,905	165,210	165,324	175,847	176,244	184,994	198,229	202,958	206,954
Cases Terminated	138,581	151,773	162,492	165,761	174,773	176,714	181,463	193,836	200,895	205,545
<b>INFRACTIONS</b>										
New Cases Filed	70,090	69,800	74,947	82,732	107,780	101,386	95,421	96,483	100,804	95,557
Cases Terminated	73,560	72,824	73,597	82,382	103,978	105,440	95,218	96,681	99,055	95,786
<b>MISDEMEANORS</b>										
New Cases Filed	72,354	72,973	74,367	74,779	72,607	75,703	74,094	74,136	73,605	69,695
Cases Terminated	71,727	75,212	72,932	74,168	71,386	74,938	73,451	78,886	74,147	69,232
<b>SMALL CLAIMS</b>										
New Cases Filed	14,961	15,591	15,438	14,292	13,588	13,380	12,880	12,600	12,266	11,097
Cases Terminated	14,587	15,624	15,036	15,113	14,005	13,329	12,933	12,778	12,337	11,010
<b>TRAFFIC</b>										
New Cases Filed	133,860	138,439	149,720	159,413	167,488	168,155	165,298	162,729	155,235	141,493
Cases Terminated	139,866	139,995	144,555	156,139	161,433	165,823	162,482	174,678	160,307	146,373
<b>FELONY COMPLAINTS (a)</b>	13,445	21,285	18,833	17,554	18,137	21,268	18,510	18,393	17,235	16,795
<b>TOTAL</b>										
<b>New Cases Filed</b>	<b>444,629</b>	<b>469,993</b>	<b>498,515</b>	<b>514,094</b>	<b>555,447</b>	<b>556,136</b>	<b>551,197</b>	<b>580,963</b>	<b>562,103</b>	<b>541,591</b>
<b>Cases Terminated (b)</b>	<b>438,321</b>	<b>455,428</b>	<b>468,612</b>	<b>493,563</b>	<b>525,575</b>	<b>536,244</b>	<b>525,547</b>	<b>556,859</b>	<b>546,741</b>	<b>527,946</b>

(a) Felony complaints represent the number of criminal cases, docketed as (CR), that begin in county court. The processing of felony cases varies between locations. The counties processing CR cases hear advisements. Some counties do preliminary hearings in county court before moving the case to district court for completion of the felony process. The case can also be reduced to a misdemeanor and remain in county court. The cases retain the same docket number in either county or district court.

(b) Does not include felony complaints.



Table 25: County Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2009, FY 2010

District	Filings			Terminations(b)		
	FY09	FY10	Percent Change	FY09	FY10	Percent Change
1	67,209	62,593	-6.87%	63,658	60,644	-4.73%
2(a)	206,932	207,567	0.31%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3	5,681	5,647	-0.60%	5,524	5,377	-2.66%
4	81,682	78,894	-3.41%	85,931	85,366	-0.66%
5	17,980	16,471	-8.39%	16,584	15,584	-6.03%
6	7,480	7,594	1.52%	7,049	7,211	2.30%
7	13,140	12,153	-7.51%	11,858	11,911	0.45%
8	31,542	29,649	-6.00%	31,570	29,871	-5.38%
9	12,367	10,748	-13.09%	11,497	10,713	-6.82%
10	21,519	20,984	-2.49%	21,088	21,289	0.95%
11	13,120	10,952	-16.52%	13,729	11,081	-19.29%
12	9,177	9,645	5.10%	8,499	8,787	3.39%
13	12,015	11,390	-5.20%	11,933	10,924	-8.46%
14	8,058	7,783	-3.41%	7,308	7,563	3.49%
15	5,398	5,811	7.65%	5,289	5,522	4.41%
16	4,922	4,408	-10.44%	4,814	4,587	-4.72%
17	69,220	69,844	0.90%	67,606	67,112	-0.73%
18	102,390	99,758	-2.57%	96,241	89,560	-6.94%
19	30,138	30,039	-0.33%	29,737	29,363	-1.26%
20	25,968	24,723	-4.79%	24,381	23,090	-5.30%
21	19,347	18,769	-2.99%	18,958	18,923	-0.18%
22	3,750	3,736	-0.37%	3,487	3,468	-0.54%
<b>State Total Without Denver County Court</b>	<b>562,103</b>	<b>541,591</b>	<b>-3.65%</b>	<b>546,741</b>	<b>527,946</b>	<b>-3.44%</b>
<b>State Total</b>	<b>769,035</b>	<b>749,158</b>	<b>-2.58%</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>

(a) Denver County Court statistics are based on calendar year 2010

(b) Does not include felony complaints.

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
1	Gilpin	161	181	103	103	534	487	206	192
	Jefferson	25,893	26,004	3,398	3,398	6,671	7,021	8,900	9,126
	<b>Total</b>	<b>26,054</b>	<b>26,185</b>	<b>3,501</b>	<b>3,501</b>	<b>7,205</b>	<b>7,508</b>	<b>9,106</b>	<b>9,318</b>
2	Denver(a)	38,887	n/a <sup>(b)</sup>	5,440	n/a <sup>(b)</sup>	17,328	n/a <sup>(b)</sup>	n/a <sup>(c)</sup>	n/a <sup>(b)</sup>
3	Huerfano	267	273	20	20	316	307	600	533
	Las Animas	633	655	18	18	642	601	953	974
	<b>Total</b>	<b>900</b>	<b>928</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>958</b>	<b>908</b>	<b>1,553</b>	<b>1,507</b>
4	El Paso	34,187	33,963	528	528	9,665	11,262	12,547	12,902
	Teller	716	760	10	10	414	455	543	578
	<b>Total</b>	<b>34,903</b>	<b>34,723</b>	<b>538</b>	<b>538</b>	<b>10,079</b>	<b>11,717</b>	<b>13,090</b>	<b>13,480</b>
5	Clear Creek	255	254	98	98	349	343	1,046	1,051
	Eagle-Basalt	47	50	0	0	80	84	188	191
	Eagle	1,018	1,003	418	418	753	753	1,640	1,719
	Lake	318	339	99	99	361	399	203	207
	Summit	712	694	219	219	768	771	1,520	1,540
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,350</b>	<b>2,340</b>	<b>834</b>	<b>834</b>	<b>2,311</b>	<b>2,350</b>	<b>4,597</b>	<b>4,708</b>	
6	Archuleta	334	311	68	68	163	193	485	486
	La Plata	1,385	1,244	93	93	922	885	1,199	1,160
	San Juan	11	12	6	6	61	62	39	26
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,730</b>	<b>1,567</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>1,146</b>	<b>1,140</b>	<b>1,723</b>	<b>1,672</b>
7	Delta	1,104	1,177	255	255	658	658	903	934
	Gunnison	384	400	102	102	322	308	566	577
	Hinsdale	18	18	2	2	19	17	48	44
	Montrose-Nucla	79	71	11	11	45	45	28	28
	Montrose	1,474	1,581	362	362	978	1,049	751	790
	Ouray	97	89	17	17	64	61	173	181
	San Miguel	182	178	51	51	154	166	118	115
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3,338</b>	<b>3,514</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>2,240</b>	<b>2,304</b>	<b>2,587</b>	<b>2,669</b>
8	Jackson	28	30	0	0	29	38	67	77
	Larimer	8,670	8,714	43	43	3,434	3,557	3,967	4,021
	Larimer-Loveland	3,027	3,024	0	0	1,881	1,866	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>11,725</b>	<b>11,768</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>5,344</b>	<b>5,461</b>	<b>4,034</b>	<b>4,098</b>
9	Garfield	1,063	1,089	138	138	513	518	1,067	1,025
	Garfield-Rifle	1,076	1,082	0	0	689	692	597	604
	Pitkin	291	293	4	4	220	203	158	160
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	148	141	18	18	150	185	534	584
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	13	5	0	0	145	155	173	183
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,591</b>	<b>2,610</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>1,717</b>	<b>1,753</b>	<b>2,529</b>	<b>2,556</b>	
10	Pueblo	10,340	10,279	0	0	2,995	3,180	2,632	2,665
11	Chaffee	483	472	6	6	611	641	2,160	2,138
	Custer	95	89	1	1	98	89	149	143
	Fremont	1,560	1,577	20	20	762	767	1,108	1,104
	Park	528	537	11	11	367	422	700	710
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,666</b>	<b>2,675</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>1,838</b>	<b>1,919</b>	<b>4,117</b>	<b>4,095</b>

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2010.

(b) Termination numbers are not available.

(c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(d) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaint.

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.(d)
1	Gilpin	16	17	610	600	1,630	1,477
	Jefferson	1,188	1,114	14,913	15,902	60,963	59,167
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,204</b>	<b>1,131</b>	<b>15,523</b>	<b>16,502</b>	<b>62,593</b>	<b>60,644</b>
2	Denver(a)	1,714	n/a <sup>(b)</sup>	144,198	n/a <sup>(b)</sup>	207,567	0
3	Huerfano	27	29	733	661	1,963	1,803
	Las Animas	54	60	1,384	1,284	3,684	3,574
	<b>Total</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>2,117</b>	<b>1,945</b>	<b>5,647</b>	<b>5,377</b>
4	El Paso	1,382	1,410	18,130	23,158	76,439	82,695
	Teller	47	47	725	831	2,455	2,671
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,429</b>	<b>1,457</b>	<b>18,855</b>	<b>23,989</b>	<b>78,894</b>	<b>85,366</b>
5	Clear Creek	24	28	1,544	1,311	3,316	2,987
	Eagle-Basalt	34	37	161	173	510	535
	Eagle	266	249	2,139	1,992	6,234	5,716
	Lake	19	20	428	511	1,428	1,476
	Summit	154	161	1,610	1,704	4,983	4,870
	<b>Total</b>	<b>497</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>5,882</b>	<b>5,691</b>	<b>16,471</b>	<b>15,584</b>
6	Archuleta	42	36	325	340	1,417	1,366
	La Plata	146	164	2,293	2,267	6,038	5,720
	San Juan	1	2	21	23	139	125
	<b>Total</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>2,639</b>	<b>2,630</b>	<b>7,594</b>	<b>7,211</b>
7	Delta	131	164	836	890	3,887	3,823
	Gunnison	57	58	434	423	1,865	1,766
	Hinsdale	0	0	14	14	101	93
	Montrose-Nucla	10	11	53	62	226	217
	Montrose	173	175	1,093	1,192	4,831	4,787
	Ouray	18	18	131	148	500	497
	San Miguel	35	43	203	226	743	728
	<b>Total</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>2,764</b>	<b>2,955</b>	<b>12,153</b>	<b>11,911</b>
8	Jackson	7	15	64	87	195	247
	Larimer	528	492	4,670	4,791	21,312	21,575
	Larimer-Loveland	91	109	3,143	3,050	8,142	8,049
	<b>Total</b>	<b>626</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>7,877</b>	<b>7,928</b>	<b>29,649</b>	<b>29,871</b>
9	Garfield	161	177	1,247	1,242	4,189	4,051
	Garfield-Rifle	93	130	1,053	1,015	3,508	3,523
	Pitkin	18	99	353	379	1,044	1,134
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	129	25	475	487	1,454	1,422
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	29	31	193	209	553	583
	<b>Total</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>462</b>	<b>3,321</b>	<b>3,332</b>	<b>10,748</b>	<b>10,713</b>
10	Pueblo	481	517	4,536	4,648	20,984	21,289
11	Chaffee	56	63	327	331	3,643	3,645
	Custer	15	16	41	44	399	381
	Fremont	113	103	1,179	1,220	4,742	4,771
	Park	38	41	524	574	2,168	2,284
	<b>Total</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>2,071</b>	<b>2,169</b>	<b>10,952</b>	<b>11,081</b>

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2010.

(b) Termination numbers are not available.

(c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(d) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaint.

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
12	Alamosa	550	544	291	291	651	596	705	657
	Conejos	232	185	88	88	164	148	298	291
	Costilla	100	107	38	38	147	157	597	613
	Mineral	15	14	2	2	22	24	369	364
	Rio Grande	419	418	229	229	467	437	642	610
	Saguache	140	141	81	81	189	205	346	339
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,456</b>	<b>1,409</b>	<b>729</b>	<b>729</b>	<b>1,640</b>	<b>1,567</b>	<b>2,957</b>	<b>2,874</b>
13	Kit Carson	263	250	51	51	157	160	548	554
	Logan	944	908	11	11	370	412	985	997
	Morgan	1,552	1,501	28	28	668	680	1,047	1,014
	Phillips	146	140	1	1	30	35	28	25
	Sedgwick	95	107	0	0	20	19	112	120
	Washington	287	140	1	1	77	69	263	244
	Yuma	329	313	5	5	125	128	126	128
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,616</b>	<b>3,359</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>1,447</b>	<b>1,503</b>	<b>3,109</b>	<b>3,082</b>	
14	Grand	427	433	188	188	448	501	522	538
	Moffat	539	576	234	234	390	418	421	453
	Routt	529	526	152	152	776	747	881	892
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,495</b>	<b>1,535</b>	<b>574</b>	<b>574</b>	<b>1,614</b>	<b>1,666</b>	<b>1,824</b>	<b>1,883</b>
15	Baca	183	180	2	2	66	79	267	256
	Cheyenne	62	68	3	3	32	34	461	432
	Kiowa	46	42	0	0	25	30	493	513
	Prowers	543	526	11	11	435	430	1,097	1,075
	<b>Total</b>	<b>834</b>	<b>816</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>573</b>	<b>2,318</b>	<b>2,276</b>
16	Bent	190	190	3	3	119	128	301	312
	Crowley	129	131	0	0	83	83	281	276
	Otero	944	937	22	22	574	619	617	639
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,263</b>	<b>1,258</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>776</b>	<b>830</b>	<b>1,199</b>	<b>1,227</b>
17	Adams	29,320	29,319	3,174	3,174	6,349	5,993	8,135	8,191
	Broomfield	2,040	2,004	246	246	472	466	550	566
	<b>Total</b>	<b>31,360</b>	<b>31,323</b>	<b>3,420</b>	<b>3,420</b>	<b>6,821</b>	<b>6,459</b>	<b>8,685</b>	<b>8,757</b>
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	6,667	7,230	2,656	2,656	5,032	1,483	7,720	6,525
	Arapahoe-Littleton	27,318	26,484	0	0	604	1,221	723	886
	Douglas	8,538	8,317	682	682	2,611	2,675	7,687	7,890
	Elbert	625	591	64	64	191	217	1,476	1,755
	Lincoln	161	155	55	55	110	124	537	541
	<b>Total</b>	<b>43,309</b>	<b>42,777</b>	<b>3,457</b>	<b>3,457</b>	<b>8,548</b>	<b>5,720</b>	<b>18,143</b>	<b>17,597</b>
19	Weld	11,545	11,101	138	138	3,865	3,909	4,612	4,550
20	Boulder	6,620	6,635	1,845	1,845	3,571	3,630	2,131	2,173
	Boulder-Longmont	1,774	1,795	0	0	981	993	587	613
	<b>Total</b>	<b>8,394</b>	<b>8,430</b>	<b>1,845</b>	<b>1,845</b>	<b>4,552</b>	<b>4,623</b>	<b>2,718</b>	<b>2,786</b>
21	Mesa	6,137	5,981	125	125	3,417	3,516	3,440	3,418
22	Dolores	55	49	5	5	31	36	113	111
	Montezuma	893	918	245	245	593	590	471	457
	<b>Total</b>	<b>948</b>	<b>967</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>624</b>	<b>626</b>	<b>584</b>	<b>568</b>
<b>State Total Without Denver County Court</b>		<b>206,954</b>	<b>205,545</b>	<b>16,795</b>	<b>16,795</b>	<b>69,695</b>	<b>69,232</b>	<b>95,557</b>	<b>95,786</b>
<b>State Total With Denver County Court</b>		<b>245,841</b>	<i>n/a</i> <sup>(b)</sup>	<b>22,235</b>	<i>n/a</i> <sup>(b)</sup>	<b>87,023</b>	<i>n/a</i> <sup>(b)</sup>	<i>n/a</i> <sup>(c)</sup>	<i>n/a</i> <sup>(b)</sup>

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2010.  
 (b) Termination numbers are not available.  
 (c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.  
 (d) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaint.

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.(d)
12	Alamosa	54	50	691	689	2,942	2,536
	Conejos	13	13	205	228	1,000	865
	Costilla	10	11	214	262	1,106	1,150
	Mineral	4	3	155	150	567	555
	Rio Grande	37	32	1,031	943	2,825	2,440
	Saguache	16	16	433	540	1,205	1,241
	<b>Total</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>2,729</b>	<b>2,812</b>	<b>9,645</b>	<b>8,787</b>
13	Kit Carson	23	19	367	299	1,409	1,282
	Logan	40	42	643	656	2,993	3,015
	Morgan	94	94	1,279	1,252	4,668	4,541
	Phillips	20	20	66	56	291	276
	Sedgwick	15	13	60	60	302	319
	Washington	21	21	203	169	852	643
	Yuma	43	40	247	239	875	848
<b>Total</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>2,865</b>	<b>2,731</b>	<b>11,390</b>	<b>10,924</b>	
14	Grand	67	64	585	728	2,237	2,264
	Moffat	80	79	543	556	2,207	2,082
	Routt	110	113	891	939	3,339	3,217
	<b>Total</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>2,019</b>	<b>2,223</b>	<b>7,783</b>	<b>7,563</b>
15	Baca	29	35	193	260	740	810
	Cheyenne	6	5	95	77	659	616
	Kiowa	0	0	152	131	716	716
	Prowers	55	51	1,555	1,298	3,696	3,380
	<b>Total</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>1,995</b>	<b>1,766</b>	<b>5,811</b>	<b>5,522</b>
16	Bent	23	29	172	164	808	823
	Crowley	13	15	99	106	605	611
	Otero	108	98	730	860	2,995	3,153
	<b>Total</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>1,001</b>	<b>1,130</b>	<b>4,408</b>	<b>4,587</b>
17	Adams	921	743	17,007	18,050	64,906	62,296
	Broomfield	107	105	1,523	1,675	4,938	4,816
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,028</b>	<b>848</b>	<b>18,530</b>	<b>19,725</b>	<b>69,844</b>	<b>67,112</b>
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	2	3	15,202	10,507	37,279	25,748
	Arapahoe-Littleton	1,371	1,362	1,862	3,529	31,878	33,482
	Douglas	480	505	6,451	6,683	26,449	26,070
	Elbert	32	41	438	436	2,826	3,040
	Lincoln	16	20	447	380	1,326	1,220
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,901</b>	<b>1,931</b>	<b>24,400</b>	<b>21,535</b>	<b>99,758</b>	<b>89,560</b>
19	Weld	599	579	9,280	9,224	30,039	29,363
20	Boulder	429	412	4,858	4,889	19,454	17,739
	Boulder-Longmont	154	179	1,773	1,771	5,269	5,351
	<b>Total</b>	<b>583</b>	<b>591</b>	<b>6,631</b>	<b>6,660</b>	<b>24,723</b>	<b>23,090</b>
21	Mesa	450	465	5,200	5,543	18,769	18,923
22	Dolores	7	6	66	65	277	267
	Montezuma	65	66	1,192	1,170	3,459	3,201
	<b>Total</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>1,258</b>	<b>1,235</b>	<b>3,736</b>	<b>3,468</b>
<b>State Total Without Denver County Court</b>		<b>11,097</b>	<b>11,010</b>	<b>141,493</b>	<b>146,373</b>	<b>541,591</b>	<b>527,946</b>
<b>State Total With Denver County Court</b>		<b>12,811</b>	<b>n/a<sup>(b)</sup></b>	<b>285,691</b>	<b>n/a<sup>(b)</sup></b>	<b>749,158</b>	<b>527,946</b>

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2010.  
 (b) Termination numbers are not available.  
 (c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.  
 (d) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaint.

Table 27: Court and Jury Trials for County Court, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims	Traffic		Infractions	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Final Hearings	Court Trials	Jury Trials
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	3	2	0	2	9	2	5
	Jefferson	130	5	24	94	306	23	73	266	483	172
	<b>Total</b>	130	5	24	97	308	23	75	275	485	177
3	Huerfano	3	0	1	2	14	1	1	36	19	3
	Las Animas	3	0	4	3	17	7	0	17	31	3
	<b>Total</b>	6	0	5	5	31	8	1	53	50	6
4	El Paso	153	2	38	71	178	6	25	2,127	375	98
	Teller	5	0	2	8	14	3	1	73	24	9
	<b>Total</b>	158	2	40	79	192	9	26	2,200	399	107
5	Clear Creek	1	0	3	7	1	8	3	45	13	10
	Eagle-Basalt	10	0	1	1	11	0	0	1	22	1
	Eagle	12	0	5	4	28	0	1	74	45	5
	Lake	4	0	0	0	5	0	1	8	9	1
	Summit	9	1	12	14	14	9	9	72	44	24
<b>Total</b>	36	1	21	26	59	17	14	200	133	41	
6	Archuleta	9	0	2	6	21	1	1	71	33	7
	La Plata	2	0	0	9	36	1	7	176	39	16
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	11	0	2	15	57	2	8	256	72	23
7	Delta	9	0	2	4	33	1	4	110	45	8
	Gunnison	2	0	3	1	26	5	1	75	36	2
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Montrose	7	0	21	4	111	11	2	70	150	6
	Montrose-Nucla	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	4	1
	Ouray	0	0	0	1	4	2	0	14	6	1
	San Miguel	1	0	2	1	6	1	3	21	10	4
	<b>Total</b>	21	0	28	12	182	20	10	293	251	22
8	Jackson	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Larimer	40	2	7	33	216	5	13	317	268	48
	Larimer-Loveland	15	0	1	8	52	1	7	0	69	15
	<b>Total</b>	55	2	8	43	268	6	20	317	337	65
9	Garfield	10	1	3	8	8	2	2	63	23	11
	Garfield-Rifle	7	0	6	5	39	0	1	74	52	6
	Pitkin	2	0	0	1	40	0	0	24	42	1
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	23	4	1
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	2	0	1	8	1	2	70	9	5
<b>Total</b>	19	3	9	15	99	3	6	254	130	24	
10	Pueblo	85	0	14	29	202	13	3	637	314	32
11	Chaffee	1	0	1	2	3	0	0	25	5	2
	Custer	4	0	0	0	6	0	0	13	10	0
	Fremont	11	0	2	10	9	3	4	128	25	14
	Park	2	0	3	4	20	0	0	24	25	4
	<b>Total</b>	18	0	6	16	38	3	4	190	65	20

\*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

Table 27: Court and Jury Trials for County Court, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims	Traffic		Infractions	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Final Hearings	Court Trials	Jury Trials
12	Alamosa	1	0	1	2	5	0	2	49	7	4
	Conejos	3	0	1	0	5	4	0	18	13	0
	Costilla	0	0	1	3	5	2	3	36	8	6
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	19	3	0
	Rio Grande	1	0	0	0	7	0	0	51	8	0
	Saguache	4	0	3	0	5	0	0	29	12	0
	<b>Total</b>	9	0	6	5	28	8	5	202	51	10
13	Kit Carson	2	0	0	0	8	0	0	131	10	0
	Logan	3	0	1	11	15	2	2	42	21	13
	Morgan	11	0	5	8	22	0	3	23	38	11
	Phillips	2	0	0	2	11	0	0	3	13	2
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	1	3	2
	Washington	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	12	4	1
	Yuma	3	0	0	4	4	0	0	8	7	4
		<b>Total</b>	22	0	6	26	66	2	7	220	96
14	Grand	5	0	3	6	10	2	6	36	20	12
	Moffat	7	0	0	1	24	1	5	25	32	6
	Routt	9	0	3	1	46	0	3	42	58	4
		<b>Total</b>	21	0	6	8	80	3	14	103	110
15	Baca	0	0	1	1	3	2	0	17	6	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	12	2	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0
	Prowers	7	0	0	1	34	1	0	21	42	1
		<b>Total</b>	7	0	1	2	39	3	0	60	50
16	Bent	2	0	0	1	21	1	1	25	24	2
	Crowley	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	20	4	0
	Otero	9	0	2	2	27	0	1	29	38	3
		<b>Total</b>	14	0	2	3	49	1	2	74	66
17	Adams	137	0	13	132	277	13	82	513	440	214
	Broomfield	6	0	2	17	8	2	12	11	18	29
		<b>Total</b>	143	0	15	149	285	15	94	524	458
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	116	1	3	65	1	9	47	661	129	113
	Arapahoe-Littleton	105	0	3	4	243	1	13	95	352	17
	Douglas	55	0	15	53	112	15	26	1,092	197	79
	Elbert	10	0	2	2	15	0	3	411	27	5
	Lincoln	0	0	0	1	3	0	2	31	3	3
		<b>Total</b>	286	1	23	125	374	25	91	2,290	708
19	Weld	121	1	2	57	436	4	36	231	563	94
20	Boulder	19	2	6	32	190	2	27	106	217	61
	Boulder-Longmont	8	0	6	19	70	2	7	39	86	26
		<b>Total</b>	27	2	12	51	260	4	34	145	303
21	Mesa	100	0	44	51	60	6	29	470	210	80
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0
	Montezuma	6	0	1	7	23	1	4	59	31	11
		<b>Total</b>	6	0	1	7	26	1	4	59	34
	<b>State Total</b>	<b>1,295</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>821</b>	<b>3,139</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>483</b>	<b>9,053</b>	<b>4,885</b>	<b>1,321</b>

\*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

Table 28: DUI/DWAI Filings<sup>(b)</sup> by District  
FY 2001 to FY 2010

District	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10
1	2,845	3,124	3,023	3,109	3,012	2,914	2,835	2,539	2,659	2,218
Denver County <sup>(a)</sup>	3,523	2,822	3,050	2,424	2,209	2,146	3,130	3,491	4,283	3,249
3	348	316	287	255	240	217	201	178	207	242
4	3,532	3,420	3,480	3,548	3,552	3,852	3,748	4,177	4,129	3,530
5	1,434	1,504	1,400	1,394	1,269	1,222	1,238	1,082	1,016	936
6	803	737	815	660	799	634	562	476	536	504
7	768	759	658	659	673	712	734	724	749	697
8	2,123	2,391	2,574	2,390	2,398	2,409	2,086	2,387	2,173	2,008
9	1,044	930	875	798	726	930	941	832	907	672
10	1,420	1,249	1,374	1,316	1,079	960	942	945	965	887
11	558	530	530	538	565	577	445	471	393	377
12	837	712	507	560	729	609	414	446	463	391
13	745	732	773	575	526	566	466	448	453	415
14	552	582	527	444	434	507	606	597	540	531
15	281	306	292	201	160	150	178	132	157	127
16	309	301	251	234	214	220	173	188	199	178
17	3,513	3,496	3,717	3,920	3,670	3,957	3,538	3,123	3,347	3,252
18	4,133	3,912	4,053	3,848	3,916	3,703	3,874	3,960	3,792	3,930
19	1,895	1,668	1,665	1,471	1,624	1,712	1,914	1,791	1,663	1,533
20	3,353	3,291	2,777	2,304	2,396	2,220	1,960	1,838	1,614	1,587
21	902	1,093	902	977	1,065	934	864	851	994	987
22	317	229	178	227	186	244	203	282	233	188
<b>State Totals Without Denver County Court</b>	<b>31,712</b>	<b>31,282</b>	<b>30,658</b>	<b>29,428</b>	<b>29,233</b>	<b>29,249</b>	<b>27,925</b>	<b>27,467</b>	<b>27,189</b>	<b>25,190</b>
<b>State Totals</b>	<b>35,235</b>	<b>34,104</b>	<b>33,708</b>	<b>31,852</b>	<b>31,442</b>	<b>31,395</b>	<b>31,055</b>	<b>30,958</b>	<b>31,472</b>	<b>28,439</b>

(a) Denver County Court figures based on a calendar year, 2010

(b) Filings do not include DUI charges filed with Misdemeanor or Criminal Case classes



Table 29: County Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Change of Venue	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Money	Name Change	Other	Replevin	Restraining Order	Restraining Order Domestic Abuse	Total
1	Gilpin	0	24	1	112	1	1	0	22	0	161
	Jefferson	0	5,326	5	18,927	191	219	52	594	579	25,893
	<b>Total</b>	0	5,350	6	19,039	192	220	52	616	579	26,054
3	Huerfano	0	19	0	210	0	1	0	33	4	267
	Las Animas	0	58	0	468	6	0	1	73	27	633
	<b>Total</b>	0	77	0	678	6	1	1	106	31	900
4	El Paso	0	8,075	3	22,515	218	7	87	526	2,756	34,187
	Teller	0	73	0	524	9	0	0	37	73	716
	<b>Total</b>	0	8,148	3	23,039	227	7	87	563	2,829	34,903
5	Clear Creek	0	52	0	167	2	2	0	21	11	255
	Eagle-Basalt	0	14	0	22	2	0	0	7	2	47
	Eagle	0	123	1	784	22	0	1	81	6	1,018
	Lake	0	74	0	215	2	1	0	22	4	318
	Summit	0	105	0	556	10	2	0	16	23	712
	<b>Total</b>	0	368	1	1,744	38	5	1	147	46	2,350
6	Archuleta	0	40	0	222	5	18	4	21	24	334
	La Plata	0	120	0	998	11	1	1	244	10	1,385
	San Juan	0	2	0	7	0	0	0	2	0	11
	<b>Total</b>	0	162	0	1,227	16	19	5	267	34	1,730
7	Delta	0	94	0	838	9	3	8	89	63	1,104
	Gunnison	0	40	0	281	9	2	0	31	21	384
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	9	0	0	1	6	1	18
	Montrose-Nucla	0	5	0	60	1	2	0	3	8	79
	Montrose	0	144	0	1,134	17	3	4	74	98	1,474
	Ouray	0	16	0	68	0	1	0	4	8	97
	San Miguel	0	32	0	125	2	0	1	10	12	182
	<b>Total</b>	0	332	0	2,515	38	11	14	217	211	3,338
8	Jackson	0	2	0	25	0	0	0	1	0	28
	Larimer	0	1,231	2	6,785	90	9	13	374	166	8,670
	Larimer-Loveland	0	297	0	2,701	23	1	5	0	0	3,027
	<b>Total</b>	0	1,530	2	9,511	113	10	18	375	166	11,725
9	Garfield	0	106	0	843	9	2	2	58	43	1,063
	Garfield-Rifle	0	119	0	899	11	1	2	22	22	1,076
	Pitkin	0	19	0	211	10	3	1	38	9	291
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	0	128	5	0	0	8	7	148
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	1	0	4	0	2	0	2	4	13
<b>Total</b>	0	245	0	2,085	35	8	5	128	85	2,591	
10	Pueblo	0	1,128	0	8,451	41	15	0	259	446	10,340
11	Chaffee	0	30	0	412	4	0	0	27	10	483
	Custer	0	3	0	73	3	0	1	13	2	95
	Fremont	0	136	0	1,233	13	1	4	49	124	1,560
	Park	0	68	0	358	3	43	2	31	23	528
	<b>Total</b>	0	237	0	2,076	23	44	7	120	159	2,666

\*As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 29: County Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Change of Venue	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Money	Name Change	Other	Replevin	Restraining Order	Restraining Order Domestic Abuse	Total
12	Alamosa	0	33	0	408	4	0	1	64	40	550
	Conejos	0	5	0	193	1	1	1	13	18	232
	Costilla	0	0	0	74	0	1	0	15	10	100
	Mineral	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	2	0	15
	Rio Grande	0	22	1	342	7	0	0	43	4	419
	Saguache	0	12	0	104	3	0	0	16	5	140
	<b>Total</b>		0	72	1	1,134	15	2	2	153	77
13	Kit Carson	0	12	0	228	4	1	1	12	5	263
	Logan	0	99	0	809	3	0	0	6	27	944
	Morgan	0	124	0	1,331	6	2	2	32	55	1,552
	Phillips	0	4	0	130	2	0	0	3	7	146
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	87	1	0	0	4	3	95
	Washington	0	3	1	275	2	1	0	3	2	287
	Yuma	0	5	2	286	2	2	0	30	2	329
	<b>Total</b>		0	247	3	3,146	20	6	3	90	101
14	Grand	0	44	0	355	4	3	0	17	4	427
	Moffat	0	52	0	443	0	1	2	20	21	539
	Routt	0	52	0	421	8	2	1	40	5	529
	<b>Total</b>		0	148	0	1,219	12	6	3	77	30
15	Baca	0	1	0	177	1	0	0	2	2	183
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	58	0	0	0	2	2	62
	Kiowa	0	2	0	37	2	0	0	4	1	46
	Prowers	0	13	2	468	2	1	0	28	29	543
	<b>Total</b>		0	16	2	740	5	1	0	36	34
16	Bent	0	2	0	158	3	1	0	12	14	190
	Crowley	0	4	0	110	3	0	0	11	1	129
	Otero	0	57	0	735	10	1	0	70	71	944
	<b>Total</b>		0	63	0	1,003	16	2	0	93	86
17	Adams	0	8,287	1	19,582	133	54	82	524	657	29,320
	Broomfield	0	598	0	1,320	28	1	1	49	43	2,040
	<b>Total</b>		0	8,885	1	20,902	161	55	83	573	700
18	*Arapahoe-Aurora	0	2,546	0	3,431	80	17	28	180	385	6,667
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	7,574	3	18,378	153	13	147	602	448	27,318
	Douglas	0	1,523	0	6,522	79	31	12	190	181	8,538
	Elbert	0	69	0	497	12	3	1	21	22	625
	Lincoln	0	5	0	123	0	0	0	21	12	161
	<b>Total</b>		0	11,717	3	28,951	324	64	188	1,014	1,048
19	Weld	0	1,648	0	9,193	50	18	16	269	351	11,545
20	Boulder	0	1,168	1	5,062	85	17	6	212	69	6,620
	Boulder-Longmont	0	265	0	1,234	35	15	5	96	124	1,774
	<b>Total</b>		0	1,433	1	6,296	120	32	11	308	193
21	Mesa	0	820	3	4,767	35	1	32	280	199	6,137
22	Dolores	0	4	0	38	0	0	0	11	2	55
	Montezuma	0	59	1	671	9	2	3	75	73	893
	<b>Total</b>		0	63	1	709	9	2	3	86	75
<b>State Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>42,689</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>148,425</b>	<b>1,496</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>531</b>	<b>5,777</b>	<b>7,480</b>	<b>206,954</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Alcohol	Animal Violation	Arson	Assault	Child Abuse	Criminal Attempt	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Curfew Violation
1	Gilpin	11	31	0	9	5	0	6	0	0
	Jefferson	51	250	2	415	340	0	173	23	302
	<b>Total</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>302</b>
3	Huerfano	23	0	0	23	4	0	11	2	0
	Las Animas	50	0	0	18	7	0	11	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
4	El Paso	110	68	7	474	282	0	234	316	33
	Teller	2	48	0	19	18	0	6	8	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>33</b>
5	Clear Creek	22	44	0	9	3	0	2	0	0
	Eagle-Basalt	0	21	0	5	0	0	2	0	0
	Eagle Lake	87	23	1	32	12	0	13	1	0
	Lake	17	16	0	19	6	0	9	0	0
	Summit	19	26	1	36	13	0	15	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
6	Archuleta	7	11	0	13	1	0	1	9	0
	La Plata	39	111	0	46	14	0	26	0	1
	San Juan	7	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>
7	Delta	49	6	1	22	11	0	6	1	0
	Gunnison	11	18	0	23	9	0	20	2	0
	Hinsdale	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	1	1	0	1	5	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	10	42	2	54	27	0	23	1	0
	Ouray	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	4	2	0	11	5	0	7	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
8	Jackson	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	178	83	0	245	45	0	87	0	0
	Larimer-Loveland	140	47	2	57	43	0	44	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
9	Garfield	41	15	0	28	9	0	10	0	0
	Garfield-Rifle	50	78	0	23	8	8	0	0	0
	Pitkin	18	7	0	37	1	0	10	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	21	4	0	5	6	0	2	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	3	3	0	4	2	0	2	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
10	Pueblo	74	301	1	103	58	0	40	10	2
11	Chaffee	41	20	1	14	8	0	6	0	0
	Custer	14	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	5	27	0	41	13	0	27	5	0
	Park	14	56	0	11	8	0	4	6	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Domestic		Escape	Fare		Fraud	Gambling	Habitual	
		Violence	Drugs		Evasion	Forgery			Traffic	Harassment
1	Gilpin	65	36	0	0	56	41	92	7	18
	Jefferson	698	1,058	19	0	0	22	0	367	274
	<b>Total</b>	<b>763</b>	<b>1,094</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>292</b>
3	Huerfano	38	48	0	0	0	0	0	11	18
	Las Animas	89	28	1	0	0	14	0	10	30
	<b>Total</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>48</b>
4	El Paso	2,769	1,396	20	0	2	31	0	325	345
	Teller	95	24	1	0	0	2	45	0	17
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,864</b>	<b>1,420</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>362</b>
5	Clear Creek	38	100	0	0	0	0	0	16	10
	Eagle-Basalt	15	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	8
	Eagle	130	113	0	0	1	2	0	24	22
	Lake	61	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
	Summit	136	146	0	0	0	1	0	1	16
	<b>Total</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>84</b>
6	Archuleta	39	9	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
	La Plata	222	103	0	0	1	5	0	10	32
	San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	<b>Total</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>43</b>
7	Delta	155	62	2	0	0	3	0	20	16
	Gunnison	50	58	0	0	1	4	0	2	11
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	10	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	171	85	3	0	0	3	0	32	20
	Ouray	5	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	San Miguel	10	30	0	0	3	1	0	2	10
	<b>Total</b>	<b>402</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>62</b>
	8	Jackson	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer		618	392	3	0	5	4	0	114	133
Larimer-Loveland		334	331	0	0	0	4	0	77	52
<b>Total</b>		<b>954</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>190</b>
9	Garfield	116	81	0	0	1	1	0	6	18
	Garfield-Rifle	168	66	0	0	2	0	0	27	37
	Pitkin	14	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	17
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	18	35	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	17	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	<b>Total</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>86</b>
10	Pueblo	669	152	11	0	0	6	7	152	38
11	Chaffee	59	39	1	0	0	13	0	1	12
	Custer	8	1	0	0	0	8	0	0	5
	Fremont	144	78	0	0	0	33	0	0	52
	Park	51	57	0	0	0	0	0	6	16
	<b>Total</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>85</b>

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Menacing	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Other	Perjury	Prostitution	Protection Order Violation	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order
1	Gilpin	2	0	79	1	0	48	0	1
	Jefferson	13	0	479	0	5	479	31	166
	<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>527</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>167</b>
3	Huerfano	4	2	35	0	0	31	2	15
	Las Animas	8	0	50	0	0	67	0	90
	<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>105</b>
4	El Paso	32	0	526	0	128	528	33	339
	Teller	0	0	43	0	0	15	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>341</b>
5	Clear Creek	3	0	14	0	0	25	1	5
	Eagle-Basalt	0	0	6	0	0	2	0	0
	Eagle	3	0	35	1	0	66	0	48
	Lake	5	0	80	0	0	7	0	0
	Summit	0	0	41	0	0	33	0	64
	<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>117</b>
6	Archuleta	0	0	9	0	0	14	0	1
	La Plata	2	0	45	0	0	67	0	12
	San Juan	1	0	34	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>
7	Delta	0	2	47	0	0	140	1	7
	Gunnison	0	0	10	0	0	11	0	25
	Hinsdale	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2
	Montrose-Nucla	1	0	5	0	0	6	0	0
	Montrose	3	2	55	0	2	257	2	3
	Ouray	0	2	9	0	0	4	0	1
	San Miguel	0	0	12	0	0	19	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>437</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>38</b>
	8	Jackson	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Larimer		7	0	327	0	2	74	6	135
Larimer-Loveland		4	0	147	0	1	79	6	88
<b>Total</b>		<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>224</b>
9	Garfield	9	0	15	0	0	46	2	21
	Garfield-Rifle	4	0	54	0	0	42	1	10
	Pitkin	3	0	10	0	0	25	0	12
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	15	0	0	13	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	2	0	13	0	0	36	1	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>45</b>
10	Pueblo	3	4	93	0	3	663	3	85
11	Chaffee	2	0	233	0	0	29	1	6
	Custer	0	0	36	0	0	4	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	57	0	0	63	10	4
	Park	0	0	20	0	0	21	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Theft	Trespass	Under Age	Violation	Weapon	Wildlife	Total
					Alcohol Offense	Bail Conditions			
1	Gilpin	6	16	4	0	0	0	0	534
	Jefferson	107	487	72	644	0	82	112	6,671
	<b>Total</b>	113	503	76	644	0	82	112	7,205
3	Huerfano	0	14	3	25	0	0	7	316
	Las Animas	4	57	3	74	0	15	16	642
	<b>Total</b>	4	71	6	99	0	15	23	958
4	El Paso	76	542	174	678	0	155	42	9,665
	Teller	1	27	2	22	2	7	8	414
	<b>Total</b>	77	569	176	700	2	162	50	10,079
5	Clear Creek	0	6	4	21	3	1	22	349
	Eagle-Basalt	1	2	2	8	0	0	3	80
	Eagle	1	46	15	56	2	6	13	753
	Lake	0	6	24	25	8	0	28	361
	Summit	3	62	27	117	4	2	5	768
	<b>Total</b>	5	122	72	227	17	9	71	2,311
6	Archuleta	2	2	4	16	7	2	6	163
	La Plata	3	48	15	25	71	14	10	922
	San Juan	0	1	4	3	0	0	0	61
	<b>Total</b>	5	51	23	44	78	16	16	1,146
7	Delta	8	8	6	60	9	8	8	658
	Gunnison	2	11	6	26	8	3	11	322
	Hinsdale	1	0	2	0	0	1	5	19
	Montrose-Nucla	1	0	0	2	0	2	2	45
	Montrose	2	21	11	125	5	13	4	978
	Ouray	0	1	1	5	0	0	18	64
	San Miguel	1	1	12	18	1	0	5	154
	<b>Total</b>	15	42	38	236	23	27	53	2,240
8	Jackson	1	0	1	4	0	0	10	29
	Larimer	32	231	128	500	13	39	33	3,434
	Larimer-Loveland	9	153	23	210	5	13	12	1,881
	<b>Total</b>	42	384	152	714	18	52	55	5,344
9	Garfield	2	21	7	50	0	6	8	513
	Garfield-Rifle	5	18	11	45	0	6	26	689
	Pitkin	2	20	6	22	1	1	6	220
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	4	1	2	0	5	13	150
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	1	0	3	18	0	1	5	145
	<b>Total</b>	10	63	28	137	1	19	58	1,717
10	Pueblo	24	114	10	243	1	29	96	2,995
11	Chaffee	0	12	3	63	2	5	40	611
	Custer	0	3	4	0	0	1	10	98
	Fremont	3	46	25	94	0	9	26	762
	Park	1	3	4	14	10	6	58	367
	<b>Total</b>	4	64	36	171	12	21	134	1,838

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Alcohol	Animal Violation	Arson	Assault	Child Abuse	Criminal Attempt	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Curfew Violation
12	Alamosa	37	0	9	15	10	0	2	0	0
	Conejos	2	1	1	12	8	0	2	0	0
	Costilla	10	0	1	5	2	0	1	0	0
	Mineral	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	14	0	1	17	4	0	6	0	0
	Saguache	8	1	1	8	5	0	3	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
13	Kit Carson	18	1	0	15	4	0	2	1	0
	Logan	25	3	0	15	14	0	8	7	0
	Morgan	50	18	0	13	14	0	1	2	0
	Phillips	1	0	0	4	4	0	1	0	0
	Sedgwick	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	7	3	0	12	1	0	1	0	0
	Yuma	8	2	0	15	9	0	3	2	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	
14	Grand	49	15	2	21	4	0	2	0	0
	Moffat	3	23	0	19	12	0	4	1	0
	Routt	12	49	1	22	6	0	11	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
15	Baca	7	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
	Kiowa	0	3	0	4	1	0	0	1	0
	Prowers	10	6	0	12	5	0	13	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
16	Bent	1	8	0	7	1	0	1	0	0
	Crowley	2	3	0	4	3	0	4	1	0
	Otero	34	20	0	38	8	0	18	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
17	Adams	603	147	2	167	307	79	0	5	8
	Broomfield	41	0	1	13	19	0	9	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>644</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	177	55	1	122	331	0	38	4	116
	Arapahoe-Littleton	11	6	0	1	3	0	0	0	0
	Douglas	110	118	0	86	76	0	33	2	3
	Elbert	3	27	0	4	8	0	2	4	0
	Lincoln	1	0	0	4	2	0	8	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>420</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>119</b>
19	Weld	296	140	0	172	75	0	43	3	0
20	Boulder	190	13	3	112	44	0	72	0	0
	Boulder-Longmont	12	24	0	43	45	0	16	1	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
21	Mesa	123	308	1	140	84	0	77	3	0
22	Dolores	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	55	8	0	58	10	0	17	4	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>3,048</b>	<b>2,374</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>2,990</b>	<b>2,083</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>1,198</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>465</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>4%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Domestic		Escape	Fare		Fraud	Gambling	Habitual	
		Violence	Drugs		Evasion	Forgery			Traffic	Harassment
12	Alamosa	154	68	0	0	0	105	0	26	14
	Conejos	17	17	0	0	0	27	0	2	10
	Costilla	22	52	0	0	0	6	0	2	4
	Mineral	2	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	66	29	1	0	1	193	0	3	19
	Saguache	20	34	0	0	0	1	0	1	20
	<b>Total</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>67</b>
13	Kit Carson	27	21	0	0	0	3	0	0	7
	Logan	68	31	1	0	0	1	0	18	19
	Morgan	102	93	0	0	1	8	0	32	5
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	2
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Washington	10	9	0	0	0	1	0	1	3
	Yuma	17	6	0	0	2	3	0	1	10
	<b>Total</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>52</b>
14	Grand	45	81	0	0	0	3	0	9	9
	Moffat	76	47	0	0	0	1	0	0	7
	Routt	68	170	0	0	0	0	0	1	21
	<b>Total</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>37</b>
15	Baca	12	12	0	0	0	1	0	3	2
	Cheyenne	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
	Kiowa	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	1
	Prowers	77	66	0	0	0	35	0	12	23
	<b>Total</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>28</b>
16	Bent	25	7	1	0	0	0	0	9	10
	Crowley	11	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Otero	124	25	0	0	0	7	0	31	25
	<b>Total</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>
17	Adams	1,316	791	3	0	4	1	0	489	69
	Broomfield	151	28	0	0	1	0	0	31	8
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,467</b>	<b>819</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>520</b>	<b>77</b>
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	619	450	9	559	3	10	0	282	80
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	15	0	391	0	0	0	25	0
	Douglas	433	260	1	30	2	1	0	42	63
	Elbert	48	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	9
	Lincoln	13	24	0	0	0	6	0	3	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,113</b>	<b>765</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>980</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>154</b>
19	Weld	978	388	46	0	1	3	0	470	69
20	Boulder	447	819	6	1	3	2	0	43	80
	Boulder-Longmont	304	75	5	0	0	0	0	67	21
	<b>Total</b>	<b>751</b>	<b>894</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>101</b>
21	Mesa	569	598	0	0	2	0	0	16	83
22	Dolores	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	120	60	0	0	0	7	0	28	16
	<b>Total</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>12,968</b>	<b>8,914</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>981</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>626</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>2,872</b>	<b>2,016</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>19%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3%</b>

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.



Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Menacing	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Other	Perjury	Prostitution	Protection Order Violation	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order
12	Alamosa	3	0	46	0	0	64	1	1
	Conejos	1	0	11	0	0	12	0	10
	Costilla	1	0	20	0	0	0	0	2
	Mineral	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
	Rio Grande	6	0	25	0	0	22	1	11
	Saguache	2	0	23	1	0	6	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>26</b>
13	Kit Carson	1	0	8	0	0	21	0	1
	Logan	2	0	16	0	0	71	1	1
	Morgan	0	2	44	0	1	128	1	2
	Phillips	1	0	7	0	0	2	0	2
	Sedgwick	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
	Washington	0	0	4	0	0	11	0	1
	Yuma	1	0	10	0	0	14	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>
14	Grand	2	0	31	0	0	12	0	15
	Moffat	4	0	15	0	0	26	0	8
	Routt	2	0	60	0	0	24	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>
15	Baca	2	0	4	0	0	5	1	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
	Prowers	0	0	19	0	0	29	0	56
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>58</b>
16	Bent	1	0	16	0	0	5	0	1
	Crowley	1	0	14	0	0	10	0	2
	Otero	5	0	30	0	0	68	0	27
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>
17	Adams	7	0	798	0	30	399	101	116
	Broomfield	0	0	32	0	1	45	0	45
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>830</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>161</b>
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	11	0	469	0	6	561	9	11
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	0	18	0	0	7	1	0
	Douglas	6	0	304	0	0	263	7	50
	Elbert	0	0	4	0	0	26	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	6	0	0	11	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>801</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>61</b>
19	Weld	7	2	270	0	2	177	1	21
20	Boulder	13	0	35	0	1	150	1	253
	Boulder-Longmont	4	0	53	0	1	99	1	63
	<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>316</b>
21	Mesa	8	0	252	0	0	170	12	4
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	40	0	0	75	0	14
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>202</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>5,333</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>5,434</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>1,870</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Theft	Trespass	Under Age	Violation	Weapon	Wildlife	Total
					Alcohol Offense	Bail Conditions			
12	Alamosa	5	23	14	51	0	3	0	651
	Conejos	2	5	0	20	0	1	3	164
	Costilla	0	3	3	2	0	4	7	147
	Mineral	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
	Rio Grande	2	11	4	27	0	0	4	467
	Saguache	1	4	2	28	0	1	17	189
	<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>1,640</b>
13	Kit Carson	1	17	1	8	0	0	0	157
	Logan	3	10	4	24	0	5	23	370
	Morgan	1	11	8	77	1	6	47	668
	Phillips	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	30
	Sedgwick	0	2	6	0	0	0	1	20
	Washington	1	1	1	4	0	3	3	77
	Yuma	0	2	2	13	0	1	4	125
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>1,447</b>
14	Grand	0	11	22	76	4	3	32	448
	Moffat	0	26	9	68	3	8	30	390
	Routt	2	47	8	236	16	5	12	776
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>1,614</b>
15	Baca	0	1	0	8	0	1	1	66
	Cheyenne	0	1	1	12	0	0	1	32
	Kiowa	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	25
	Prowers	1	27	7	27	0	2	7	435
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>558</b>
16	Bent	2	4	0	14	0	0	6	119
	Crowley	0	1	1	10	0	1	1	83
	Otero	2	35	15	51	3	7	1	574
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>776</b>
17	Adams	58	149	92	540	0	50	18	6,349
	Broomfield	1	37	6	0	1	2	0	472
	<b>Total</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>540</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>6,821</b>
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	50	189	37	750	1	36	46	5,032
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	1	0	122	0	3	0	604
	Douglas	15	176	25	378	5	33	89	2,611
	Elbert	2	5	1	28	1	1	0	191
	Lincoln	1	8	0	12	3	1	4	110
	<b>Total</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>1,290</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>8,548</b>
19	Weld	29	191	34	363	0	30	54	3,865
20	Boulder	36	428	84	686	12	23	14	3,571
	Boulder-Longmont	13	75	27	11	3	8	10	981
	<b>Total</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>697</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>4,552</b>
21	Mesa	10	205	88	569	6	35	54	3,417
22	Dolores	0	3	2	8	0	1	3	31
	Montezuma	0	14	10	57	0	0	0	593
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>624</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>538</b>	<b>3,707</b>	<b>1,131</b>	<b>7,499</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>706</b>	<b>1,160</b>	<b>69,695</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>1%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>100%</b>

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 31: County Court Small Claims Filings by Type: FY2010

District	Court Location	Change of		Landlord Tenant	Money	Other	Total
		Covenant	Venue				
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	16	0	16
	Jefferson	21	0	217	950	0	1,188
	<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>966</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,204</b>
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	27	0	27
	Las Animas	0	0	0	54	0	54
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81</b>
4	El Paso	0	0	181	1,201	0	1,382
	Teller	1	0	0	45	1	47
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>1,246</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,429</b>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	24	0	24
	Eagle-Basalt	0	0	2	32	0	34
	Eagle	0	0	0	266	0	266
	Lake	0	0	0	19	0	19
	Summit	0	0	34	118	2	154
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>459</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>497</b>
6	Archuleta	0	0	2	40	0	42
	La Plata	0	0	28	118	0	146
	San Juan	0	0	0	1	0	1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>189</b>
7	Delta	0	0	0	131	0	131
	Gunnison	0	0	9	48	0	57
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	10	0	10
	Montrose	0	0	6	166	1	173
	Ouray	0	0	0	18	0	18
	San Miguel	0	0	4	30	1	35
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>424</b>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	7	0	7
	Larimer	0	0	0	528	0	528
	Larimer-Loveland	0	0	0	91	0	91
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>626</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>626</b>
9	Garfield	5	0	18	138	0	161
	Pitkin	0	0	2	90	1	93
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	0	18	0	18
	Garfield-Rifle	0	0	1	128	0	129
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	29	0	29
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>430</b>
10	Pueblo	4	0	96	380	1	481
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	55	1	56
	Custer	0	0	0	15	0	15
	Fremont	1	0	21	90	1	113
	Park	0	0	1	37	0	38
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>222</b>

Table 31: County Court Small Claims Filings by Type: FY2010

District	Court Location	Change of			Money	Other	Total
		Covenant	Venue	Landlord Tenant			
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	54	0	54
	Conejos	0	0	0	13	0	13
	Costilla	0	0	0	10	0	10
	Mineral	0	0	1	3	0	4
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	37	0	37
	Saguache	0	0	0	16	0	16
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	1	133	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	23	0	23
	Logan	0	0	10	30	0	40
	Morgan	0	0	12	82	0	94
	Phillips	0	0	4	16	0	20
	Sedgwick	0	0	2	13	0	15
	Washington	0	0	0	21	0	21
	Yuma	0	0	0	43	0	43
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	28	228	0
14	Grand	0	0	2	65	0	67
	Moffat	0	0	6	73	1	80
	Routt	0	0	3	107	0	110
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	11	245	1
15	Baca	0	0	0	29	0	29
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	6	0	6
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	3	52	0	55
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	3	87	0
16	Bent	0	0	0	23	0	23
	Crowley	0	0	0	13	0	13
	Otero	0	0	7	101	0	108
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	7	137	0
17	Adams	0	0	133	787	1	921
	Broomfield	0	0	0	107	0	107
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	133	894	1
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Arapahoe-Littleton	7	0	63	1,279	22	1,371
	Douglas	0	0	17	460	3	480
	Elbert	2	0	0	30	0	32
	Lincoln	0	0	0	16	0	16
<b>Total</b>		9	0	80	1,787	25	1,901
19	Weld	0	0	49	543	7	599
20	Boulder	0	0	19	407	3	429
	Boulder-Longmont	0	0	0	154	0	154
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	19	561	3
21	Mesa	0	0	49	401	0	450
22	Dolores	0	0	0	7	0	7
	Montezuma	0	0	1	64	0	65
	<b>Total</b>		0	0	1	71	0
<b>State Total</b>		<b>41</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,003</b>	<b>10,007</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>11,097</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 32: County Court Traffic Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Driving Under	Driving	Driving Under	Motor	Municipal	Total
		Suspension, Revocation or Denial	While Ability Impaired			the Influence	
1	Gilpin	194	18	121	277	0	610
	Jefferson	5,231	54	2,025	7,601	2	14,913
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5,425</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>2,146</b>	<b>7,878</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>15,523</b>
3	Huerfano	198	13	81	441	0	733
	Las Animas	217	16	132	1,019	0	1,384
	<b>Total</b>	<b>415</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>1,460</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,117</b>
4	El Paso	5,700	113	3,205	9,112	0	18,130
	Teller	180	40	172	333	0	725
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5,880</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>3,377</b>	<b>9,445</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18,855</b>
5	Clear Creek	326	9	125	1,084	0	1,544
	Eagle-Basalt	37	0	44	80	0	161
	Eagle	548	11	381	1,199	0	2,139
	Lake	93	8	75	252	0	428
	Summit	368	16	267	959	0	1,610
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,372</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>892</b>	<b>3,574</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,882</b>	
6	Archuleta	89	1	40	195	0	325
	La Plata	483	19	444	1,347	0	2,293
	San Juan	1	0	0	20	0	21
	<b>Total</b>	<b>573</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>484</b>	<b>1,562</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,639</b>
7	Delta	255	7	148	426	0	836
	Gunnison	97	9	131	197	0	434
	Hinsdale	0	0	2	12	0	14
	Montrose-Nucla	25	0	10	18	0	53
	Montrose	329	29	259	476	0	1,093
	Ouray	32	0	20	79	0	131
	San Miguel	41	5	77	80	0	203
<b>Total</b>	<b>779</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>647</b>	<b>1,288</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,764</b>	
8	Jackson	11	0	16	37	0	64
	Larimer	1,310	62	1,222	2,076	0	4,670
	Larimer-Loveland	1,069	61	647	1,366	0	3,143
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,390</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>1,885</b>	<b>3,479</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,877</b>
9	Garfield	320	22	242	663	0	1,247
	Garfield-Rifle	346	11	139	557	0	1,053
	Pitkin	72	2	179	100	0	353
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	97	7	45	326	0	475
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	44	0	25	124	0	193
<b>Total</b>	<b>879</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>630</b>	<b>1,770</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,321</b>	
10	Pueblo	1,815	34	853	1,834	0	4,536
11	Chaffee	0	8	81	238	0	327
	Custer	12	0	12	17	0	41
	Fremont	417	9	204	549	0	1,179
	Park	197	5	58	264	0	524
<b>Total</b>	<b>626</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>1,068</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,071</b>	

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Table 32: County Court Traffic Filings by Type, FY 2010

District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving While Ability Impaired	Driving Under the Influence	Motor Vehicle	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Total
12	Alamosa	255	12	142	282	0	691
	Conejos	69	2	40	93	1	205
	Costilla	64	3	25	122	0	214
	Mineral	19	0	7	129	0	155
	Rio Grande	299	10	104	618	0	1,031
	Saguache	92	9	37	293	2	433
	<b>Total</b>	<b>798</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>1,537</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2,729</b>
13	Kit Carson	121	3	34	209	0	367
	Logan	223	8	102	310	0	643
	Morgan	366	14	181	718	0	1,279
	Phillips	19	0	11	36	0	66
	Sedgwick	11	0	8	41	0	60
	Washington	56	0	24	123	0	203
	Yuma	71	2	28	146	0	247
	<b>Total</b>	<b>867</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>1,583</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,865</b>
14	Grand	157	6	134	288	0	585
	Moffat	140	2	119	282	0	543
	Routt	209	21	249	411	1	891
	<b>Total</b>	<b>506</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>502</b>	<b>981</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2,019</b>
15	Baca	60	3	32	97	1	193
	Cheyenne	8	0	4	83	0	95
	Kiowa	32	3	2	115	0	152
	Prowers	204	7	76	1,268	0	1,555
	<b>Total</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>1,563</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,995</b>
16	Bent	61	1	20	89	1	172
	Crowley	44	1	9	45	0	99
	Otero	279	15	132	304	0	730
	<b>Total</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,001</b>
17	Adams	5,812	121	2,934	8,140	0	17,007
	Broomfield	659	3	194	667	0	1,523
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,471</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>3,128</b>	<b>8,807</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18,530</b>
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	5,246	46	2,445	7,465	0	15,202
	Arapahoe-Littleton	594	8	324	936	0	1,862
	Douglas	1,627	24	986	3,814	0	6,451
	Elbert	107	2	67	262	0	438
	Lincoln	78	2	26	341	0	447
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7,652</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>3,848</b>	<b>12,818</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24,400</b>
19	Weld	3,516	99	1,434	4,230	1	9,280
20	Boulder	1,166	72	1,199	2,421	0	4,858
	Boulder-Longmont	653	10	306	804	0	1,773
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,819</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>1,505</b>	<b>3,225</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6,631</b>
21	Mesa	1,638	77	910	2,575	0	5,200
22	Dolores	17	0	14	35	0	66
	Montezuma	270	5	169	748	0	1,192
	<b>Total</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>783</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,258</b>
<b>State Total</b>		<b>44,396</b>	<b>1,180</b>	<b>24,010</b>	<b>71,898</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>141,493</b>
<b>Percent of State Total</b>		<b>31%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>

As of FY2010, county court dockets in Arapahoe County were reorganized resulting in the closure of the Arapahoe-Aurora location. Arapahoe-Aurora cases were moved to a new location, Arapahoe-Englewood, which will be reflected in future Annual Reports.

Section V:  
Water Court



## WATER COURT JUDGES

Division One	Greeley	James Hartmann, Jr. (1)
Division Two	Pueblo	C. Dennis Maes
Division Three	Alamosa	O. John Kuenhold
Division Four	Montrose	J. Steven Patrick
Division Five	Glenwood Springs	James B. Boyd (2)
Division Six	Steamboat Springs	Michael A. O'Hara, III
Division Seven	Durango	Gregory G. Lyman

(1) Replaced Hon. Roger A. Klein  
(2) Replaced Hon. Daniel B. Petre



## **Water Court**

The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 created seven water divisions based upon the drainage patterns of major rivers in Colorado: the South Platte, Arkansas, Rio Grande, Gunnison, Colorado, White and San Juan rivers. Each water division is staffed by a division engineer appointed by the state engineer; a water judge appointed by the Colorado Supreme Court; a water referee appointed by the water judge; and a water clerk assigned by the district court.

Water judges are district judges who have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, the use and administration of water, and all other water matters within the jurisdiction of the water division. There are no jury trials in water courts, and all appeals are filed directly with the Colorado Supreme Court.

All water courts operate under a standard case definition approved by the Colorado Supreme Court in 1981. This made possible the establishment of water court filing standards, which have been reported annually by water divisions since July 1, 1981.

Specific information regarding each of the seven water divisions is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/supct/supctwaterctindex.htm>.

Table 33: Water Court Filings, Claims, and Percent Change: FY 2009, FY 2010

<b>Division</b>	<b>FY09</b>	<b>FY10</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
<b>One - Weld County, Greeley</b>			
Filings	509	368	-28%
Claims	2,219	1,692	-24%
<b>Two - Pueblo County, Pueblo</b>			
Filings	161	104	-35%
Claims	600	2,459	310%
<b>Three - Alamosa County, Alamosa</b>			
Filings	35	33	-6%
Claims	96	83	-14%
<b>Four - Montrose County, Montrose</b>			
Filings	203	203	0%
Claims	599	624	4%
<b>Five - Garfield County, Glenwood Springs</b>			
Filings	195	315	62%
Claims	897	1,190	33%
<b>Six - Routt County, Steamboat Springs</b>			
Filings	79	84	6%
Claims	147	194	32%
<b>Seven - La Plata County, Durango</b>			
Filings	86	108	26%
Claims	241	4,937	1949%
<b>State Total</b>			
<b>Filings</b>	<b>1,268</b>	<b>1,215</b>	<b>-4%</b>
<b>Claims</b>	<b>4,799</b>	<b>11,179</b>	<b>133%</b>

Section VI:  
Office of Dispute Resolution



# The Office of Dispute Resolution

The Office of Dispute Resolution (ODR) was created by the Colorado Dispute Resolution Act in 1983. The ODR's mission is to establish or make available dispute resolution programs and related services throughout the state, as designated by the Chief Justice of Colorado's Supreme Court. The courts were encouraged to expand use of the Dispute Resolution Act by HJR 97-1020.

ODR assists the court in designing, implementing, and administering dispute resolutions programs, including multi-door courthouse programs which provide case screening to assist in matching cases to an appropriate dispute resolution process. ODR also provides dispute resolution services, including mediation, parenting coordination/decision making, parenting plan facilitation, family group conferencing, settlement conferences, med-arb and arbitrations. In addition to providing services, ODR helps establish Colorado's dispute resolution policies; provides information to the public regarding dispute resolution and Colorado's dispute resolution programs; collaborates with other individuals and organizations, including government units, such as bar associations, community mediation centers, schools, private and public dispute resolution programs, and others to increase access to dispute resolution services; consults with state and local governments regarding the design, implementation, and administration of dispute resolution programs; and provides dispute resolution education and training.

ODR neutrals first began mediating cases in fiscal year 1985, with 54 domestic relations cases. In fiscal year 2010, an estimated 7160 cases were reported from Colorado's 22 judicial districts. These cases included domestic relations, civil, criminal, juvenile, dependency and neglect, child support enforcement, county court, small claims, and probate. Mediation services constituted approximately 96 percent of ODR's services in fiscal year 2010.

ODR receives a General Fund appropriation for program/administrative costs, and the parties pay the mediator directly for their services. ODR continues to provide subsidized ADR services for indigent parties based on its General Fund appropriation and its continuing receipt of the Federal Access and Visitation Program grant funds. General information regarding the Office of Dispute Resolution is available at [www.coloradoODR.org](http://www.coloradoODR.org).

**Table 34: ADR Services Provided by Case Type and Disposition Percentage for FY2010**

Case Type	Cases partially or completely resolved	Cases not resolved <sup>1</sup>	Cases served left with proposal <sup>2</sup>	Cases inappropriate for ADR	Cases ongoing	ADR not provided <sup>3</sup>	Total # of cases reported
<b>Domestic</b>	3,283 60%	1,095 20%	526 10%	69 1%	123 2%	380 7%	5,476
<b>District Civil</b>	115 41%	81 29%	53 19%	6 2%	6 2%	22 8%	283
<b>Juvenile</b>	113 66%	31 18%	7 4%	3 2%	3 2%	15 9%	172
<b>Criminal</b>	91 70%	10 8%	0 0%	21 16%	8 2%	0 0%	130
<b>County Civil</b>	174 40%	148 34%	42 10%	0 0%	4 1%	67 15%	435
<b>Dependency &amp; Neglect</b>	306 75%	34 8%	26 6%	0 0%	1 0%	43 10%	410
<b>Child Support Enforcement</b>	119 60%	14 7%	9 5%	0 0%	6 1%	52 26%	200
<b>Probate</b>	6 75%	2 25%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	8
<b>Small Claims</b>	25 69%	8 22%	3 8%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	36
<b>Restraining Orders</b>	9 90%	0 0%	1 10%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	10
<b>Total Cases Provided</b>	<b>4,241</b> 59.2%	<b>1,423</b> 19.9%	<b>667</b> 9.3%	<b>99</b> 1.4%	<b>151</b> 2.1%	<b>579</b> 8.1%	<b>7,160</b>

<sup>1</sup> No agreement was reached or award entered at time of service. The parties may or may not have settled subsequent to ADR service; this information is not available.

<sup>2</sup> Parties left with proposed agreement. Information is not available as to whether this agreement was finalized.

<sup>3</sup> ADR was not provided for a variety of reasons, including settlement prior to ADR service, refusal by one party, decision to use another provider, and other.

**Table 35: ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type for FY2010\***

Judicial District	District										Child				Total Cases Served
	Domestic	Civil	Juvenile	Criminal	Civil	County Civil	Dependency & Neglect	Support Enforcement	Probate	Small Claims	Restraining Orders				
1	361	7	10	0	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	389			
2	360	18	72	0	2	200	68	0	0	1	0	721			
3	38	2	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	45			
4	1,249	56	18	130	249	190	0	0	0	0	10	1,902			
5	27	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	34			
6	10	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	15			
7	7	2	0	0	65	0	0	0	0	2	0	76			
8	535	10	11	0	0	10	7	0	0	0	0	573			
9	37	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	40			
10	445	45	4	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	503			
11	254	15	1	0	22	0	0	2	29	0	0	323			
12	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4			
13	173	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	175			
14	18	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26			
15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3			
16	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27			
17	165	6	4	0	0	0	22	0	2	0	0	199			
18	969	87	17	0	56	8	84	1	0	0	0	1,222			
19	209	3	12	0	0	2	18	0	0	0	0	244			
20	214	19	6	0	10	0	0	2	0	0	0	251			
21	366	2	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	376			
22	8	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	12			
<b>State Total</b>	<b>5,476</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>435</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7,160</b>				

Truancy and Court of Appeals Domestic Mediation programs pilot programs concluded in FY09

\*Mediation services constituted approximately 96% of ODR's services in FY2010, see table 35 for details.

**Table 36: Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type for FY2010**

Judicial District	Domestic			District		Juvenile		Criminal		Civil		County Dependency & Neglect		Child Support Enforcement		Probate		Small Claims		Restraining Orders		Total Cases Mediated
				Civil	Juvenile	Criminal	Civil	Juvenile	Civil	Juvenile	Civil	Juvenile	Civil	Juvenile	Civil	Juvenile	Civil	Juvenile	Civil	Juvenile	Civil	
1	361	7	6	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	385
2	335	16	61	0	0	0	2	85	0	0	68	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	568
3	38	2	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
4	1,224	56	18	130	0	0	249	190	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	1,877
5	27	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	34
6	10	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	15
7	7	2	0	0	0	0	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	76
8	528	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	565
9	37	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	40
10	445	45	4	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	503
11	251	14	1	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	29	0	0	0	0	318
12	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
13	170	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	172
14	18	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
16	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
17	165	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	198
18	949	56	17	0	0	0	56	0	0	0	84	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1,163
19	207	3	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	241
20	200	19	5	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	236
21	366	2	6	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	376
22	8	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
<b>State Total</b>	<b>5,376</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6,883</b>

Truancy and Court of Appeals Domestic Mediation programs pilot programs concluded in FY09

# Section VII: Financial Information





## FINANCIAL INFORMATION

In Fiscal Year 2010, the Judicial Branch had a budget of \$345.1 million (\$227.7 million in general funds and \$117.4 million in cash and federal funds) and 3,373.9 full-time equivalent staff. The increase over FY2009 reflects \$7.1 in salary-related statewide common policy adjustments, \$2.2 for new probation and trial court staff, \$0.8 in cash-funds to allow for the third year of HB07-1054 judges and staff, and \$2.9 in cash fund spending authority increases.

In FY2010, personnel costs of \$241.3 million comprised the largest portion of the budget. Benefits accounted for \$26.3 million, operating costs \$11.5 million, victims' compensation and assistance programs \$27.2 million dollars and \$18.9 million was appropriated to mandated/interpreter costs for court appointed counsel, jury, interpreter and court costs. The remaining \$19.0 million was appropriated in various areas including federal funds and grants, the collections program, training, courthouse furnishings, leased space and other miscellaneous items.

Personal Services (non Judge)	\$205,207,294
Personal Services (Judge)	\$36,125,006
Benefits	\$26,311,620
Operating	\$11,588,546
Mandated Costs/Interpreter Costs	\$18,990,920
Victims Comp/Assistance	\$27,215,160
Other	\$19,758,144
	345,196,690

The Judicial Branch's FY2010 appropriation represented 2.3% of the State of Colorado's total appropriated budget.


**COLORADO JUDICIAL BRANCH**  
**Collections**  
**Fiscal Year 2009-10**

CATEGORY	Amount
<b>GENERAL FUND</b>	
Court Registry Interest and Other Miscellaneous Fees	\$ 28,706
Miscellaneous Civil/Probate Docket Fees and Related	\$ 14,793,422
Miscellaneous Criminal Fees and Related	\$ 1,627,890
Public Defender Fees	\$ 216,943
Seized Asset Forfeitures: 1% Statutory Share	\$ 5,547
Supreme Court Docket Fee Tax (Non-Appeal Filings)	\$ 242
Unclaimed Funds	\$ 344,683
Victims Assistance (General Fund Portion)	\$ 548,983
Water Case Filing & Mailing Fees	\$ 181,991
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 17,748,407</b>
<b>Percentage of Total</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
<b>HIGHWAY USER'S TRUST FUND</b>	
D.U.I. Fines	\$ 1,599,445
Traffic Fines & Forfeits	\$ 10,632,828
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 12,232,273</b>
<b>Percentage of Total</b>	<b>6.3%</b>
<b>VICTIM FUNDS</b>	
Restitution (Reimbursements to Victims of Crime for Losses Incurred)	\$ 26,243,931
Victims Assistance Surcharges (for Local and State Victims Assistance Grant Programs)	\$ 15,959,616
Victims Compensation Costs (for Local Victims Compensation Programs)	\$ 13,502,855
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 55,706,402</b>
<b>Percentage of Total</b>	<b>28.7%</b>
<b>OTHER SPECIAL PURPOSES AND FUNDS</b>	
Adolescent Substance Abuse Surcharges (for Div. of Alcohol & Drug Abuse)	\$ 72,909
Alcohol Evaluation/Supervision Fees	\$ 5,177,623
Animal Cruelty Surcharges (for Dept. of Agriculture)	\$ 1,363
Attorney Fee Reimbursements	\$ 167,491
Child Abuse Investigation Surcharge (for Div. of Criminal Justice)	\$ 76,767
Colorado Children's Trust Fund (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 362,917
Continuing Legal Education Fund	\$ 335,116
Court Security Fund	\$ 3,257,138
Displaced Homemaker Fee (for Dept. of Labor and Employment)	\$ 110,732
Domestic Abuse Program Fund (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 153,858
Drug Offender Surcharge Cash Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 4,310,032
Family Friendly Courts Surcharge	\$ 321,770
Family Stabilization Fees (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 2,795,647
Family Violence Justice Fund	\$ 154,816
Felony, Misdemeanor Fines (Judicial Fines Collection Cash Fund)	\$ 1,696,868
Fines - Parks and Outdoor Recreation Fund	\$ 21,574
Fines - Wildlife Cash Fund	\$ 79,410
Highway Constr. Workers Safety Fund (for Dept. of Transportation)	\$ 65,717
Illegal Alien - Bond Forfeitures (for Dept. of Corrections and County Jails)	\$ 12,272
Judicial Information Technology Fund	\$ 3,053,309
Judicial Performance Fund	\$ 739,811
Judicial Stabilization Fund	\$ 28,688,980
Justice Center Cash Fund	\$ 16,573,167
Juvenile Offender Fund (Youthful Offender Surcharge)	\$ 1,733
Law Enforcement Assistance Fees (for Dept. of Health and Environment, Transportation Safety, Human Services)	\$ 1,991,877
Law Examiner Board Fund	\$ 748,212
Misc. Cost Recoveries (Various Trial Court and Probation costs recovered, incl. court share of OJW)	\$ 2,838,077
Municipalities & Counties Share of Fees & Fines Collected, DMV's share of OJW	\$ 9,813,170
Offender ID Fund (for Dept. of Public Safety and Judicial Dept.)	\$ 1,417,894
Office of Dispute Resolution Fund	\$ 2,342
Persistent Drunk Driver Surcharge (for Dept. of Transportation, Revenue, Human Services)	\$ 1,240,433
Probation Supervision Fees (for Judicial Offender Services Fund)	\$ 10,900,361
Rural Alcohol and Substance Abuse Cash Fund	\$ 12,181
Sex Offender Surcharge Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 419,266
Supreme Court Committee Fund (Attorney Regulation)	\$ 6,314,296
Supreme Court Law Library Fund	\$ 469,410
Tax- Vital Statistics (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 78,844
Time Payment/Late Fees (Judicial Collection Enhancement Fund)	\$ 2,923,689
Traumatic Brain Injury Surcharges (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 912,526
Victim Address Confidentiality Surcharges (for Secy of State)	\$ 120,381
Useful Public Service Fees Collected (Judicial Operated Programs only)	\$ 293,198
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 108,727,177</b>
<b>Percentage of Total</b>	<b>55.9%</b>
<b>TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES</b>	<b>\$ 194,414,259</b>

## JUDICIAL BRANCH COLLECTIONS


In Fiscal Year 2010, the state courts throughout Colorado collected \$26 million in restitution for victims of crime along with \$168 million in other statutory fines, fees, and costs. Collection efforts are concentrated in Collections Investigator offices in the local courts and probation departments. Under this statewide program, the Judicial Branch has implemented a variety of proactive strategies and procedures to maximize the collection of restitution, fines and fees. Examples include: investigating offender assets and earnings; issuing wage attachments; locating offenders who are attempting to avoid their financial obligations to the court; intercepting state income tax refunds, lottery winnings, and property previously unclaimed; bank account garnishments; suspensions of drivers licenses; and referral of accounts to private collection agencies. In addition to the efforts of Collections Investigators, other system personnel also play key roles in enforcing payment including judges, clerks, probation officers, court administrators, district attorneys and other criminal justice agencies.

Helping make victims of crime whole through the recovery of restitution continues to be a major emphasis. The chart below shows the restitution recoveries over the last ten years.




# FY 2010 Final Appropriations

FY2010 Statewide Total Appropriations




- Executive Branch
- Legislative Branch
- Judicial Branch

FY 2010 Judicial Appropriation By Agency




- Judicial
- Public Defender
- Alternate Defense Counsel
- Office of the Child's Representative
- District Attorney's Mandated Costs

FY2010 Judicial Appropriation by Major Program



- Appellate Courts
- Courts Administration
- Trial Courts
- Probation

FY 2010 Judicial Appropriation By Major Cost Category



- Personal Services (non Judge)
- Personal Services (Judge)
- Benefits
- Operating
- Mandated Costs/Interpreter Costs
- Victims Comp/Assistance
- Other

Section VIII:  
Colorado Probation



# CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS

**FIRST**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Cheryl Lammers

**SECOND ADULT**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Fran Jamison  
Vacant, Deputy

**SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
**JUVENILE**  
Shawn Cohn

**THIRD**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Bernie Fay

**FOURTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Ellen Walker

**FIFTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Laurel Lamont

**SIXTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Vic Blasi

**SEVENTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Carrol Warner

**EIGHTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Paul Cooper

**NINTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Shawnee Barnes

**TENTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
David Simental

**ELEVENTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Chris Gastelle

**TWELFTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Bill Gurule

**THIRTEENTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Steven Proctor

**FOURTEENTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Dennis Martinez

**FIFTEENTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Byron Hall

**SIXTEENTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Tobin Wright

**SEVENTEENTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Mike Garcia

**EIGHTEENTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Robin Leaf  
Shelly Sack, Deputy

**NINETEENTH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Kevin Nelan  
Mary Nagler, Deputy

**TWENTIETH**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Greg Brown

**TWENTY-FIRST**  
**JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
Susan Gilbert

**TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL**  
**DISTRICT**  
Vic Blasi

Updated 9/10

# **COLORADO PROBATION**

*Colorado Probation is Committed to Public Safety, Victim and Community  
Reparation through Offender Accountability, Skill and Competency Development  
and Services to the Communities of Colorado.*

The above Statement of Common Ground was developed to clearly identify the critical functions of justice that unify all probation departments in carrying out their mission. Probation's commitment to these practices requires the implementation of innovative approaches to offender assessment, supervision, victim involvement and services to the community. As new research becomes available and as best practices evolve it is necessary to adapt Probation's practices to better serve the public and increase public safety for the residents of Colorado.

This year's statistical report reflects our commitment to improve results, protect the public, reduce the overall cost of the correctional system and increase services to victims of crime.

## ***Probation Programs***

The Colorado Judicial Department administers adult and juvenile probation in the state's 22 judicial districts. This includes 23 probation departments with over 50 separate probation locations throughout the state. The Division of Probation Services develops and publishes guidelines and standards for regular probation supervision and all intensive probation programs. Within the limits of statute and these state standards, each district is free to develop and structure programs that address the needs of the local court and the community. In all districts, offenders are assessed on risk and need factors. Based on this assessment, those placed on regular probation are put on minimum, medium or maximum supervision.

The number of adult offenders sentenced to state probation in FY 2010 was 26,336 and on June 30, 2010 there were 41,107 adult offenders on supervision. In FY 2010, 66 percent of adults completed regular state probation successfully. An additional 2,125 adult offenders were sentenced to an intensive program and 15,625 were sentenced to private probation supervision.

In Fiscal Year 2010, 4,746 juvenile offenders were sentenced to probation and on June 30, 2010 there were 5,946 juvenile offenders on supervision. In FY 2010, 73 percent of juveniles completed regular state probation successfully. An additional 508 juvenile offenders were sentenced to Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP).

## ***Intensive Offender Programs***

Intensive programs are created in statute or otherwise established through a specific appropriation and provide the court with community sentencing options for high-risk offenders. These programs are offered only through state probation. Three main goals drive intensive probation programs. They are to assess criminal risk and provide enhanced levels of supervision; target offenders' service needs that relate to ongoing criminal activity; and identify and make referrals for appropriate treatment and services to reduce criminal behavior. Offenders must meet certain criteria, based on assessed risk and need, before being screened for placement in an intensive probation program. These programs offer specialized assessments, offense specific treatment, electronic monitoring, cognitive skills training, educational assessments, and literacy and employment programs. These intensive offender programs include adult intensive supervision; juvenile intensive supervision; the female offender program; and sex offender intensive supervision for adults.

### ***Adult Intensive Supervision Probation***

The Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP) Program was implemented statewide in FY 1982, as a community sentencing alternative to incarceration for selected high risk adult offenders. In FY 1997, as a result of its proven effectiveness, the General Assembly approved expansion of the program's average daily population from 750 to 1,500 offenders annually. The program is designed to deliver

intensive case management that includes daily contact with the offender, increased levels of drug testing, curfews, electronic monitoring, home visits and required employment or educational/vocational efforts and attendance in treatment, as deemed necessary. There are 59.75 FTE AISP officers and the number of offenders assigned to an AISP officer is capped at 25. In FY2010 there were 1,434 offenders sentenced to AISP and on June 30, 2010 there were 1,408 on AISP. In FY 2010, 809 (66%) offenders successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences to the Department of Corrections.

### ***Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation***

The Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) Program was implemented in FY 1991 as a community sentencing option for selected high risk juvenile offenders. As a result of its proven effectiveness the General Assembly approved expansion of the program sufficient to allow its existence in all 22 judicial districts. The program is designed to deliver intensive case management to include monitoring of school progress, referral for remedial educational assistance, home visits, electronic monitoring, drug testing, skill building and treatment services, as required. There are 26.75 FTE JISP officers and the number of juveniles assigned to a JISP officer is capped at 18. In FY2010 there were 508 juveniles sentenced to JISP and on June 30, 2010 there were 452 on JISP. In FY 2010, 217 (46%) juveniles successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Division of Youth Corrections.

### ***Female Offender Program***

The Female Offender Program (FOP) was initially a grant funded pilot project developed in FY 1991, to intervene in the lives of high risk, substance abusing female offenders. In FY 1995 the General Assembly, based on the results of the pilot program, provided state funding. The program is designed to deliver intensive gender based case management to include frequent contact, skill building, regular employment or vocational/educational efforts, drug testing, home visits, electronic monitoring and participation in treatment, as required. The program was terminated in FY 2004 as a result of required budget reductions. Prior to the programs termination there was a 0% recidivism rate, for one year following termination from probation, for those offenders that successfully completed the program in FY 2001 and FY 2002. The FOP was re-funded to its previous level in FY 2005 and increased by additional 3.5 FTE. There are 9.5 FTE FOP officers (located in the 10 judicial districts with the highest percent of women sentenced to DOC). The number of women assigned to each FOP officer is capped at 30. In FY 2010 there were 218 female offenders sentenced to FOP and on June 30, 2010 there were 287 on FOP. In FY 2010, 99 (69%) women successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences to the Department of Corrections.

### ***Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation***

The Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) Program is designed to provide the highest level of supervision to adult sex offenders who are placed on probation. Although initially created in statute in FY 1998 primarily for lifetime supervision cases, based on the risk posed by those offenders, the legislature made a significant change to the statute in FY 2001. All felony sex offenders convicted on or after July 1, 2001, are statutorily mandated to be supervised by the SOISP program. The initial staffing appropriated (46 FTE) in FY 1999 and FY 2000 was judged to be sufficient to meet the supervision requirements for the period necessary to achieve full program implementation. The program design includes a capped caseload of 25 offenders per SOISP officer.

Adult sex offending behavior is a considered to be a life-long problem in which the goal is not "curing" the offender, but rather management or control of the assaultive behavior. The State of Colorado has adopted a model of containment in the supervision and management of sex offenders. Depending on the offender, elements of containment may include severely restricted activities, daily



contact with a probation officer, curfew checks, home visitation, employment visitation and monitoring, drug and alcohol screening, and/or sex offense specific treatment to include the use of polygraph testing. SOISP consists of three phases, each with specific criteria that must be met prior to a reduction in the level of supervision. In FY 2010 there were 473 offenders sentenced to SOISP and on June 30, 2010 there were 1,301 on SOISP. In FY 2010 the program successfully terminated 138 (39%) offenders from the SOISP program who might otherwise have served sentences to the Department of Corrections. It is important to note that approximately half of the SOISP probationers are serving indeterminate sentences (minimum of 10 years), so fewer in this group are eligible for termination each year.

## ***Other Probation Programs***

### ***Private Probation***

Chief Justice Directive 04-03 (originally CJD 96-05), defines the priority use of probation resources and defines the circumstances under which districts may contract with private probation. Part III of the directive states that districts may enter into agreements with public or private entities for the provision of probation services, including investigation services and the supervision of lower risk adult probationers. Although not every judicial district has access to or utilizes a private provider, Colorado Probation has contracted for private probation services since FY 1996, due in part to the inadequacy of staff resources to supervise all offenders sentenced to probation at the level required by Standard. In FY2010 there were 15,625 offenders sentenced to private probation. Of these 9,858 were on supervision for a DUI/DWAI while 5,767 were sentenced for some other criminal offense. On June 30, 2010 there were 23,193 offenders being managed under private probation service contracts. Of these, 14,126 were on supervision for a DUI/DWAI while 9,067 were on supervision for some other criminal offense. In FY2010 private probation successfully terminated 8,762 (82%) DUI/DWAI offenders and another 4,590 (78%) criminal offenders. By utilizing private probation for these lower risk offenders, State probation departments can concentrate their resources on the higher risk offenders.

### ***DUI/DWAI Offenders***

In FY 2007 the Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety (ADDS) Program was fully integrated within each probation department. This integration provides an enhanced ability to deliver supervision for the higher risk portion of the Drinking/Driving offending population. The ADDS program conducts alcohol/drug evaluations and makes treatment recommendations for offenders convicted of driving under the influence of, or impaired by, drugs or alcohol. In FY 2010 the program completed 24,454 DUI/DWAI Evaluations for Colorado's county courts, excluding Denver County. The total number of monitored offenders is now included in this report. In FY2010 there were 8,436 offenders sentenced to monitoring. On June 30, 2010 there were 11,448 DUI/DWAI offenders being monitored by State Probation. In FY2010 state probation successfully terminated 6,407 (73%) DUI monitored offenders. Other DUI/DWAI offenders who were sentenced to probation supervision are included in this report in the Adult Probation section but are not separately identified.

### ***Victim Services***

In FY 1993 Colorado's General Assembly enacted victims' rights legislation for victims of personal crime. In 1996, the Victims' Rights Act was amended to require Colorado Probation departments to provide victim services. Each of the state's 23 probation departments is providing services to victims when the offender is placed on probation. In addition to provision of referrals and general assistance, in FY 2010 Probation Victim Assistance Coordinators sent 16,669 letters to victims of crime informing them of their right to receive notification; of these victims seventeen percent (2,885) requested receipt of the statutorily required notification. There was a total of 11,416 critical probation notification events (defined in Table 97) in which victims were notified.

TABLE 38

**Grand Totals - Probation  
Adult Regular, Private, Juvenile Regular, Intensive Programs, Monitoring and Investigations for  
FY2009 and FY2010**

	Investigations/DUI Evaluations		New Clients		Closures		Active as of June 30 (Supervision/Monitoring)	
	FY2009	FY2010	FY2009	FY2010	FY2009	FY2010	FY2009	FY2010
<b>State Adult Regular</b>	14,659	14,133	28,275	26,336	19,651	20,891	40,968	41,107
<b>Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP)</b>			1,498	1,434	1,536	1,558	1,512	1,408
<b>Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP)</b>			492	473	323	409	1,296	1,301
<b>Female Offender Program (FOP)</b>			186	218	249	196	265	287
<b>Private Probation Non-DUI/DWAI</b>			6,547	5,767	6,090	5,983	10,121	9,067
<b>Private Probation DUI/DWAI</b>			9,040	9,858	8,257	10,706	14,157	14,126
<b>State Monitored DUI/DWAI</b>	26,340	25,454	9,882	8,436	12,945	9,049	13,782	11,448
<b><u>Grand Total Adult Population</u></b>	<b>40,999</b>	<b>39,587</b>	<b>55,920</b>	<b>52,522</b>	<b>49,051</b>	<b>48,792</b>	<b>82,101</b>	<b>78,744</b>

	Investigations		New Clients		Closures		Active as of June 30 (Supervision)	
	FY2009	FY2010	FY2009	FY2010	FY2009	FY2010	FY2009	FY2010
<b>State Juvenile</b>	2,153	2,151	5,707	4,746	4,753	4,527	6,947	5,946
<b>Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP)</b>			512	524	555	464	440	452
<b><u>Grand Total Juvenile Population</u></b>	<b>2,153</b>	<b>2,151</b>	<b>6,219</b>	<b>5,270</b>	<b>5,308</b>	<b>4,991</b>	<b>7,387</b>	<b>6,398</b>

**FY 2010  
Colorado Adult Probation  
State Regular and Non-DUI/DWAI Private Combined  
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

Note: Because adult state and private programs are reported separately, this summary table was created to identify termination rates for all non-DUI adult probationers. A similar table was not created for juveniles because they are not supervised by private.

DISTRICT	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				Total Terminations					
	State		Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)		State		Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)		State		Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)		TOTAL	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	979	68%	656	68%	301	17%	106	17%	250	130	380	16%	1,530	100%
2	1,036	58%	0	58%	329	19%	0	19%	407	0	407	23%	1,772	100%
3	156	84%	0	84%	12	6%	0	6%	18	0	18	10%	186	100%
4	777	60%	376	60%	258	18%	89	18%	262	155	417	22%	1,297	100%
5	429	73%	41	73%	81	3%	3	3%	89	3	92	14%	599	100%
6	277	70%	39	70%	71	7%	7	7%	54	1	55	12%	402	100%
7	267	78%	18	78%	38	0%	0	10%	41	0	41	11%	346	100%
8	1,148	73%	385	73%	201	13%	63	13%	219	82	301	14%	1,568	100%
9	200	75%	100	75%	32	8%	1	8%	45	20	65	16%	277	100%
10	402	64%	98	64%	174	23%	7	23%	90	10	100	13%	666	100%
11	419	73%	61	73%	110	13%	13	13%	55	2	57	9%	584	100%
12	171	60%	45	60%	86	25%	4	25%	50	4	54	15%	307	100%
13	320	78%	55	78%	52	16%	23	16%	21	8	29	6%	393	100%
14	208	79%	0	79%	25	10%	0	10%	30	0	30	11%	263	100%
15	87	75%	0	75%	17	15%	0	15%	12	0	12	10%	116	100%
16	158	82%	0	82%	25	13%	0	13%	9	0	9	5%	192	100%
17	1,668	72%	546	72%	419	15%	35	15%	336	73	409	13%	2,423	100%
18	1,601	71%	1,115	71%	539	15%	55	15%	486	51	537	14%	2,626	100%
19	532	69%	212	69%	161	19%	41	19%	96	41	137	13%	789	100%
20	414	70%	545	70%	121	18%	119	18%	91	71	162	12%	626	100%
21	289	74%	282	74%	57	12%	39	12%	60	45	105	14%	406	100%
22	140	65%	16	65%	35	18%	9	18%	33	6	39	16%	208	100%
STATE	11,678	69%	4,590	69%	3,144	16%	614	16%	2,754	702	3,456	15%	17,576	100%

Key: Successful Terminations: This number includes the state successful terminations and private (Non-DUI/DWAI) successful terminations.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who became fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of probation closures are included in Table 48 and Table 62.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# Colorado Probation: Regular Adult Probation



TABLE 40

**FY 2010  
Colorado State Adult Probation  
Investigations**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre-Sentence Investigations		DUI/DWAI Evaluations <sup>1</sup>		Updated Pre- Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	267	6%	1,179	28%	2,526	61%	29	1%	0	0%	52	1%	118	3%	4,171	100%
2	175	9%	1,491	80%	18	1%	0	0%	0	0%	85	5%	102	5%	1,871	100%
3	2	1%	86	33%	154	59%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	17	7%	261	100%
4	144	3%	824	16%	3,776	74%	0	0%	90	2%	114	2%	121	2%	5,069	100%
5	16	1%	158	14%	874	78%	12	1%	0	0%	23	2%	35	3%	1,118	100%
6	34	4%	305	39%	430	55%	4	1%	0	0%	2	0%	11	1%	786	100%
7	0	0%	160	16%	717	72%	7	1%	65	7%	13	1%	27	3%	989	100%
8	60	2%	526	17%	2,151	71%	77	3%	0	0%	45	1%	188	6%	3,047	100%
9	5	0%	158	13%	618	51%	48	4%	329	27%	13	1%	39	3%	1,210	100%
10	41	3%	349	25%	825	58%	23	2%	116	8%	0	0%	64	5%	1,418	100%
11	37	7%	110	20%	375	68%	6	1%	1	0%	2	0%	19	3%	550	100%
12	8	1%	155	18%	379	45%	2	0%	285	34%	1	0%	12	1%	842	100%
13	37	4%	295	34%	437	50%	21	2%	0	0%	8	1%	70	8%	868	100%
14	5	1%	172	21%	538	65%	9	1%	14	2%	13	2%	77	9%	828	100%
15	3	2%	16	9%	151	85%	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	4	2%	177	100%
16	2	1%	58	34%	90	53%	3	2%	11	6%	0	0%	7	4%	171	100%
17	124	3%	1,070	23%	3,281	71%	0	0%	1	0%	38	1%	80	2%	4,594	100%
18	187	4%	480	10%	4,030	83%	0	0%	0	0%	98	2%	67	1%	4,862	100%
19	91	3%	1,062	37%	1,501	52%	14	0%	2	0%	6	0%	205	7%	2,881	100%
20	24	1%	356	20%	1,243	71%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	126	7%	1,749	100%
21	75	4%	339	20%	1,114	66%	4	0%	0	0%	16	1%	136	8%	1,684	100%
22	8	2%	188	43%	226	51%	1	0%	0	0%	8	2%	10	2%	441	100%
STATE	1,345	3%	9,537	24%	25,454	64%	261	1%	914	2%	541	1%	1,535	4%	39,587	100%

<b>Denver County<sup>2</sup></b>	0	0%	0	0%	3,240	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3,240	100%
<b>STATE TOTAL (with Denver County)</b>	1,345	3%	9,537	22%	28,694	67%	261	1%	914	2%	541	1%	1,535	4%	42,827	100%

<sup>1</sup>DUI/DWAI Evaluations: In previous annual reports this data was in Table 94. As of FY2008 this information is now included in this table.

<sup>2</sup>Denver County: Colorado Judicial contracts with the Denver County Court for alcohol evaluations. Denver County Court is not part of the State Court data system. Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 41

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**New Clients by Offense Type**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class)		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	948	35%	1,644	61%	13	0%	11	0%	59	2%	2,675	100%
2	1,867	73%	649	25%	0	0%	0	0%	46	2%	2,562	100%
3	64	20%	244	76%	1	0%	5	2%	8	2%	322	100%
4	892	58%	557	36%	0	0%	0	0%	88	6%	1,537	100%
5	269	32%	527	64%	8	1%	2	0%	22	3%	828	100%
6	173	40%	246	57%	0	0%	2	0%	7	2%	428	100%
7	221	40%	306	56%	3	1%	2	0%	14	3%	546	100%
8	607	37%	981	59%	15	1%	11	1%	45	3%	1,659	100%
9	176	56%	112	35%	16	5%	0	0%	12	4%	316	100%
10	373	34%	674	62%	2	0%	6	1%	30	3%	1,085	100%
11	184	34%	329	61%	6	1%	1	0%	15	3%	535	100%
12	109	28%	254	65%	7	2%	1	0%	17	4%	388	100%
13	252	56%	187	42%	2	0%	1	0%	8	2%	450	100%
14	158	40%	223	56%	6	2%	0	0%	13	3%	400	100%
15	43	31%	89	64%	0	0%	1	1%	7	5%	140	100%
16	87	30%	192	66%	4	1%	0	0%	6	2%	289	100%
17	1,067	26%	2,827	70%	98	2%	10	0%	61	2%	4,063	100%
18	1,378	30%	3,083	67%	18	0%	35	1%	117	3%	4,631	100%
19	658	52%	550	44%	12	1%	6	0%	37	3%	1,263	100%
20	418	35%	704	59%	16	1%	7	1%	42	4%	1,187	100%
21	447	56%	321	40%	1	0%	2	0%	33	4%	804	100%
22	69	30%	152	67%	0	0%	0	0%	7	3%	228	100%
STATE	10,460	40%	14,851	56%	228	1%	103	0%	694	3%	26,336	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010  
Colorado State Adult Probation  
New Clients by Court of Origin**

TYPE: DISTRICT	District Court		County Court		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,257	47%	1,365	51%	53	2%	2,675	100%
2	2,512	98%	9	0%	41	2%	2,562	100%
3	113	35%	204	63%	5	2%	322	100%
4	1,000	65%	456	30%	81	5%	1,537	100%
5	370	45%	446	54%	12	1%	828	100%
6	232	54%	189	44%	7	2%	428	100%
7	276	51%	258	47%	12	2%	546	100%
8	720	43%	895	54%	44	3%	1,659	100%
9	201	64%	103	33%	12	4%	316	100%
10	624	58%	437	40%	24	2%	1,085	100%
11	264	49%	264	49%	7	1%	535	100%
12	202	52%	182	47%	4	1%	388	100%
13	337	75%	105	23%	8	2%	450	100%
14	220	55%	170	43%	10	3%	400	100%
15	86	61%	48	34%	6	4%	140	100%
16	137	47%	148	51%	4	1%	289	100%
17	1,729	43%	2,274	56%	60	1%	4,063	100%
18	1,641	35%	2,920	63%	70	2%	4,631	100%
19	771	61%	456	36%	36	3%	1,263	100%
20	589	50%	566	48%	32	3%	1,187	100%
21	524	65%	252	31%	28	3%	804	100%
22	110	48%	112	49%	6	3%	228	100%
STATE	13,915	53%	11,859	45%	562	2%	26,336	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 43

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**New Clients by Supervision Type**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Probation		Probation Revoked and Re-sentenced		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Courtesy Supervision from Other Colorado Probation Districts		Direct Sentence Community Corrections		Deferred Judgment and Sentence		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2,205	82%	88	3%	53	2%	179	7%	133	5%	17	1%	2,675	100%
2	1,647	64%	122	5%	41	2%	158	6%	153	6%	441	17%	2,562	100%
3	156	48%	8	2%	5	2%	34	11%	8	2%	111	34%	322	100%
4	1,000	65%	59	4%	82	5%	198	13%	72	5%	126	8%	1,537	100%
5	513	62%	6	1%	12	1%	122	15%	11	1%	164	20%	828	100%
6	280	65%	9	2%	7	2%	22	5%	6	1%	104	24%	428	100%
7	323	59%	6	1%	12	2%	103	19%	9	2%	93	17%	546	100%
8	1,105	67%	24	1%	43	3%	169	10%	74	4%	244	15%	1,659	100%
9	151	48%	5	2%	12	4%	44	14%	16	5%	88	28%	316	100%
10	535	49%	22	2%	24	2%	157	14%	71	7%	276	25%	1,085	100%
11	279	52%	15	3%	7	1%	76	14%	7	1%	151	28%	535	100%
12	231	60%	2	1%	4	1%	68	18%	6	2%	77	20%	388	100%
13	249	55%	3	1%	8	2%	61	14%	21	5%	108	24%	450	100%
14	219	55%	3	1%	10	3%	63	16%	12	3%	93	23%	400	100%
15	66	47%	0	0%	6	4%	25	18%	3	2%	40	29%	140	100%
16	186	64%	4	1%	4	1%	55	19%	5	2%	35	12%	289	100%
17	3,246	80%	79	2%	60	1%	317	8%	225	6%	136	3%	4,063	100%
18	3,285	71%	80	2%	70	2%	257	6%	116	3%	823	18%	4,631	100%
19	782	62%	26	2%	36	3%	123	10%	68	5%	228	18%	1,263	100%
20	848	71%	17	1%	32	3%	109	9%	40	3%	141	12%	1,187	100%
21	570	71%	17	2%	28	3%	51	6%	71	9%	67	8%	804	100%
22	143	63%	2	1%	6	3%	31	14%	7	3%	39	17%	228	100%
STATE	18,019	68%	597	2%	562	2%	2,422	9%	1,134	4%	3,602	14%	26,336	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



**FY 2010  
Colorado State Adult Probation  
New Clients by Gender**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	709	27%	1,964	73%	2	0%	2,675	100%
2	612	24%	1,949	76%	1	0%	2,562	100%
3	86	27%	236	73%	0	0%	322	100%
4	331	22%	1,205	78%	1	0%	1,537	100%
5	163	20%	665	80%	0	0%	828	100%
6	80	19%	345	81%	3	1%	428	100%
7	124	23%	422	77%	0	0%	546	100%
8	353	21%	1,304	79%	2	0%	1,659	100%
9	65	21%	251	79%	0	0%	316	100%
10	307	28%	778	72%	0	0%	1,085	100%
11	137	26%	398	74%	0	0%	535	100%
12	80	21%	308	79%	0	0%	388	100%
13	108	24%	342	76%	0	0%	450	100%
14	92	23%	305	76%	3	1%	400	100%
15	33	24%	107	76%	0	0%	140	100%
16	104	36%	185	64%	0	0%	289	100%
17	1,014	25%	3,048	75%	1	0%	4,063	100%
18	1,285	28%	3,346	72%	0	0%	4,631	100%
19	292	23%	971	77%	0	0%	1,263	100%
20	280	24%	905	76%	2	0%	1,187	100%
21	198	25%	605	75%	1	0%	804	100%
22	57	25%	171	75%	0	0%	228	100%
STATE	6,510	25%	19,810	75%	16	0%	26,336	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 45

**FY 2010  
Colorado State Adult Probation  
New Clients by Age**

TYPE: DISTRICT	12-17 Years*		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	22	1%	283	11%	475	18%	720	27%	430	16%	745	28%	0	0%	2,675	100%
2	0	0%	353	14%	469	18%	684	27%	375	15%	680	27%	1	0%	2,562	100%
3	4	1%	38	12%	57	18%	79	25%	41	13%	103	32%	0	0%	322	100%
4	1	0%	145	9%	249	16%	488	32%	248	16%	406	26%	0	0%	1,537	100%
5	9	1%	69	8%	170	21%	224	27%	142	17%	214	26%	0	0%	828	100%
6	1	0%	58	14%	73	17%	111	26%	72	17%	113	26%	0	0%	428	100%
7	1	0%	52	10%	106	19%	128	23%	87	16%	170	31%	2	0%	546	100%
8	2	0%	234	14%	338	20%	459	28%	217	13%	409	25%	0	0%	1,659	100%
9	1	0%	42	13%	50	16%	98	31%	41	13%	84	27%	0	0%	316	100%
10	9	1%	146	13%	200	18%	290	27%	173	16%	267	25%	0	0%	1,085	100%
11	1	0%	80	15%	79	15%	142	27%	73	14%	160	30%	0	0%	535	100%
12	3	1%	59	15%	77	20%	100	26%	65	17%	84	22%	0	0%	388	100%
13	1	0%	71	16%	83	18%	113	25%	69	15%	113	25%	0	0%	450	100%
14	2	1%	46	12%	81	20%	105	26%	58	15%	108	27%	0	0%	400	100%
15	0	0%	19	14%	19	14%	28	20%	24	17%	50	36%	0	0%	140	100%
16	0	0%	30	10%	54	19%	88	30%	40	14%	77	27%	0	0%	289	100%
17	28	1%	419	10%	781	19%	1,201	30%	686	17%	948	23%	0	0%	4,063	100%
18	49	1%	562	12%	868	19%	1,221	26%	739	16%	1,191	26%	1	0%	4,631	100%
19	1	0%	160	13%	258	20%	344	27%	198	16%	302	24%	0	0%	1,263	100%
20	11	1%	162	14%	247	21%	295	25%	162	14%	310	26%	0	0%	1,187	100%
21	6	1%	108	13%	120	15%	229	28%	115	14%	226	28%	0	0%	804	100%
22	0	0%	21	9%	38	17%	66	29%	39	17%	64	28%	0	0%	228	100%
STATE	152	1%	3,157	12%	4,892	19%	7,213	27%	4,094	16%	6,824	26%	4	0%	26,336	100%

\*12-17 Years: DUI/DWAI and underage consumption convictions for offenders under the age of 18 are captured as "adult offenses".

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 46

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**New Clients by Ethnic Group**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2,177	81%	111	4%	39	1%	318	12%	21	1%	9	0%	2,675	100%
2	1,238	48%	671	26%	25	1%	592	23%	17	1%	19	1%	2,562	100%
3	222	69%	4	1%	2	1%	91	28%	3	1%	0	0%	322	100%
4	1,035	67%	243	16%	17	1%	216	14%	8	1%	18	1%	1,537	100%
5	648	78%	6	1%	3	0%	166	20%	1	0%	4	0%	828	100%
6	326	76%	10	2%	2	0%	33	8%	52	12%	5	1%	428	100%
7	450	82%	14	3%	2	0%	75	14%	4	1%	1	0%	546	100%
8	1,468	88%	53	3%	6	0%	120	7%	2	0%	10	1%	1,659	100%
9	275	87%	4	1%	1	0%	33	10%	0	0%	3	1%	316	100%
10	659	61%	37	3%	2	0%	372	34%	7	1%	8	1%	1,085	100%
11	488	91%	2	0%	1	0%	35	7%	1	0%	8	1%	535	100%
12	190	49%	6	2%	1	0%	181	47%	9	2%	1	0%	388	100%
13	354	79%	9	2%	0	0%	78	17%	4	1%	5	1%	450	100%
14	372	93%	11	3%	1	0%	13	3%	1	0%	2	1%	400	100%
15	112	80%	2	1%	0	0%	26	19%	0	0%	0	0%	140	100%
16	203	70%	3	1%	1	0%	79	27%	2	1%	1	0%	289	100%
17	3,412	84%	341	8%	50	1%	236	6%	14	0%	10	0%	4,063	100%
18	3,358	73%	730	16%	85	2%	398	9%	17	0%	43	1%	4,631	100%
19	1,023	81%	22	2%	2	0%	209	17%	2	0%	5	0%	1,263	100%
20	1,062	89%	37	3%	14	1%	58	5%	4	0%	12	1%	1,187	100%
21	646	80%	31	4%	1	0%	104	13%	15	2%	7	1%	804	100%
22	147	64%	2	1%	1	0%	16	7%	60	26%	2	1%	228	100%
STATE	19,865	75%	2,349	9%	256	1%	3,449	13%	244	1%	173	1%	26,336	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT								
1	979	64%	301	20%	250	16%	1,530	100%
2	1,036	58%	329	19%	407	23%	1,772	100%
3	156	84%	12	6%	18	10%	186	100%
4	777	60%	258	20%	262	20%	1,297	100%
5	429	72%	81	14%	89	15%	599	100%
6	277	69%	71	18%	54	13%	402	100%
7	267	77%	38	11%	41	12%	346	100%
8	1,148	73%	201	13%	219	14%	1,568	100%
9	200	72%	32	12%	45	16%	277	100%
10	402	60%	174	26%	90	14%	666	100%
11	419	72%	110	19%	55	9%	584	100%
12	171	56%	86	28%	50	16%	307	100%
13	320	81%	52	13%	21	5%	393	100%
14	208	79%	25	10%	30	11%	263	100%
15	87	75%	17	15%	12	10%	116	100%
16	158	82%	25	13%	9	5%	192	100%
17	1,668	69%	419	17%	336	14%	2,423	100%
18	1,601	61%	539	21%	486	19%	2,626	100%
19	532	67%	161	20%	96	12%	789	100%
20	414	66%	121	19%	91	15%	626	100%
21	289	71%	57	14%	60	15%	406	100%
22	140	67%	35	17%	33	16%	208	100%
STATE	11,678	66%	3,144	18%	2,754	16%	17,576	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number is exclusively state successful terminations.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who became fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

*Total Terminations*: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of probation closures are included in Table 48.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010  
Colorado State Adult Probation  
All Probation Supervision Closure Types**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	979	51%	301	16%	250	13%	258	14%	69	4%	13	1%	33	2%	1,903	100%
2	1,036	44%	329	14%	407	17%	328	14%	156	7%	31	1%	78	3%	2,365	100%
3	156	79%	12	6%	18	9%	5	3%	0	0%	2	1%	4	2%	197	100%
4	777	49%	258	16%	262	16%	187	12%	73	5%	15	1%	16	1%	1,588	100%
5	429	64%	81	12%	89	13%	10	1%	55	8%	3	0%	2	0%	669	100%
6	277	63%	71	16%	54	12%	24	5%	7	2%	1	0%	8	2%	442	100%
7	267	71%	38	10%	41	11%	11	3%	12	3%	5	1%	4	1%	378	100%
8	1,148	61%	201	11%	219	12%	286	15%	5	0%	15	1%	10	1%	1,884	100%
9	200	65%	32	10%	45	15%	25	8%	2	1%	1	0%	1	0%	306	100%
10	402	51%	174	22%	90	11%	120	15%	3	0%	3	0%	1	0%	793	100%
11	419	69%	110	18%	55	9%	10	2%	0	0%	4	1%	6	1%	604	100%
12	171	50%	86	25%	50	15%	28	8%	0	0%	7	2%	2	1%	344	100%
13	320	70%	52	11%	21	5%	42	9%	14	3%	5	1%	4	1%	458	100%
14	208	76%	25	9%	30	11%	11	4%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	275	100%
15	87	66%	17	13%	12	9%	13	10%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	131	100%
16	158	76%	25	12%	9	4%	13	6%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	208	100%
17	1,668	57%	419	14%	336	12%	383	13%	79	3%	8	0%	14	0%	2,907	100%
18	1,601	53%	539	18%	486	16%	345	11%	28	1%	22	1%	13	0%	3,034	100%
19	532	53%	161	16%	96	10%	142	14%	60	6%	5	0%	14	1%	1,010	100%
20	414	55%	121	16%	91	12%	84	11%	18	2%	8	1%	14	2%	750	100%
21	289	68%	57	13%	60	14%	2	0%	8	2%	5	1%	5	1%	426	100%
22	140	64%	35	16%	33	15%	2	1%	6	3%	3	1%	0	0%	219	100%
STATE	11,678	56%	3,144	15%	2,754	13%	2,329	11%	595	3%	162	1%	229	1%	20,891	100%

Courtesy Transfers <sup>1</sup>		DISTRICT
Number	DISTRICT	
129	1	
193	2	
19	3	
192	4	
67	5	
17	6	
82	7	
184	8	
56	9	
119	10	
50	11	
69	12	
57	13	
50	14	
21	15	
40	16	
322	17	
200	18	
144	19	
84	20	
49	21	
26	22	
2,170	STATE	

<sup>1</sup>**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 49

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**Type of Revocation**

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	44	15%	61	20%	196	65%	301	100%
2	81	25%	42	13%	206	63%	329	100%
3	2	17%	2	17%	8	67%	12	100%
4	61	24%	35	14%	162	63%	258	100%
5	12	15%	21	26%	48	59%	81	100%
6	10	14%	7	10%	54	76%	71	100%
7	9	24%	9	24%	20	53%	38	100%
8	43	21%	58	29%	100	50%	201	100%
9	10	31%	9	28%	13	41%	32	100%
10	21	12%	36	21%	117	67%	174	100%
11	7	6%	26	24%	77	70%	110	100%
12	7	8%	17	20%	62	72%	86	100%
13	8	15%	22	42%	22	42%	52	100%
14	3	12%	5	20%	17	68%	25	100%
15	3	18%	1	6%	13	76%	17	100%
16	1	4%	4	16%	20	80%	25	100%
17	80	19%	125	30%	214	51%	419	100%
18	88	16%	188	35%	263	49%	539	100%
19	34	21%	46	29%	81	50%	161	100%
20	21	17%	23	19%	77	64%	121	100%
21	19	33%	12	21%	26	46%	57	100%
22	6	17%	7	20%	22	63%	35	100%
STATE	570	18%	756	24%	1,818	58%	3,144	100%

**Key:**

*New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

*New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

*Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

*Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010  
Colorado State Adult Probation  
Length of Stay**

DISTRICT	0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	674	35%	637	33%	336	18%	256	13%	1,903	100%
2	864	37%	860	36%	371	16%	270	11%	2,365	100%
3	122	62%	52	26%	15	8%	8	4%	197	100%
4	556	35%	527	33%	247	16%	258	16%	1,588	100%
5	318	48%	239	36%	75	11%	37	6%	669	100%
6	163	37%	163	37%	69	16%	47	11%	442	100%
7	120	32%	157	42%	64	17%	37	10%	378	100%
8	676	36%	709	38%	250	13%	249	13%	1,884	100%
9	103	34%	103	34%	49	16%	51	17%	306	100%
10	347	44%	282	36%	90	11%	74	9%	793	100%
11	243	40%	207	34%	82	14%	72	12%	604	100%
12	164	48%	131	38%	27	8%	22	6%	344	100%
13	156	34%	171	37%	65	14%	66	14%	458	100%
14	92	33%	109	40%	37	13%	37	13%	275	100%
15	42	32%	35	27%	23	18%	31	24%	131	100%
16	82	39%	74	36%	29	14%	23	11%	208	100%
17	1,351	46%	1,022	35%	314	11%	220	8%	2,907	100%
18	1,026	34%	1,090	36%	536	18%	382	13%	3,034	100%
19	322	32%	423	42%	166	16%	99	10%	1,010	100%
20	249	33%	286	38%	125	17%	90	12%	750	100%
21	124	29%	169	40%	69	16%	64	15%	426	100%
22	96	44%	91	42%	23	11%	9	4%	219	100%
STATE	7,890	38%	7,537	36%	3,062	15%	2,402	11%	20,891	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 51

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2010**

Risk Levels: DISTRICT	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Domestic Violence <sup>1</sup>		Sex Offender <sup>2</sup>		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE PROBATION CLIENTS		
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	68	2%	346	9%	868	23%	789	21%	461	12%	399	10%	508	13%	201	5%	166	4%	3,806	100%	
2	58	1%	685	15%	1,121	25%	1,286	28%	780	17%	369	8%	153	3%	36	1%	74	2%	4,562	100%	
3	16	4%	50	12%	114	28%	130	31%	37	9%	9	2%	36	9%	10	2%	12	3%	414	100%	
4	82	2%	393	11%	725	21%	600	17%	518	15%	36	1%	702	20%	166	5%	249	7%	3,471	100%	
5	28	3%	50	5%	206	21%	251	25%	148	15%	52	5%	193	19%	17	2%	58	6%	1,003	100%	
6	6	1%	77	12%	120	18%	97	15%	62	9%	29	4%	159	24%	37	6%	80	12%	667	100%	
7	24	2%	155	16%	246	25%	283	29%	80	8%	57	6%	49	5%	40	4%	41	4%	975	100%	
8	60	2%	279	10%	763	29%	536	20%	334	13%	281	11%	135	5%	80	3%	194	7%	2,662	100%	
9	20	3%	66	11%	143	23%	119	19%	105	17%	40	6%	60	10%	13	2%	55	9%	621	100%	
10	51	3%	197	11%	436	25%	266	15%	265	15%	175	10%	287	16%	35	2%	36	2%	1,748	100%	
11	23	3%	46	6%	188	23%	216	26%	109	13%	23	3%	168	20%	31	4%	25	3%	829	100%	
12	17	3%	70	14%	143	28%	145	29%	31	6%	31	6%	47	9%	11	2%	9	2%	504	100%	
13	9	1%	80	10%	168	21%	175	22%	122	15%	72	9%	67	8%	27	3%	71	9%	791	100%	
14	9	1%	56	8%	121	17%	220	31%	71	10%	55	8%	108	15%	7	1%	58	8%	705	100%	
15	2	1%	20	9%	64	28%	58	25%	48	21%	10	4%	8	3%	9	4%	10	4%	229	100%	
16	5	1%	66	17%	74	19%	100	25%	43	11%	23	6%	69	17%	8	2%	12	3%	400	100%	
17	136	3%	353	7%	1,104	21%	1,569	29%	909	17%	483	9%	694	13%	68	1%	65	1%	5,381	100%	
18	205	3%	570	9%	2,301	36%	816	13%	829	13%	504	8%	767	12%	176	3%	312	5%	6,480	100%	
19	34	2%	206	11%	468	25%	303	16%	218	12%	173	9%	351	19%	36	2%	75	4%	1,864	100%	
20	20	1%	239	14%	362	22%	355	21%	356	21%	104	6%	73	4%	81	5%	89	5%	1,679	100%	
21	21	1%	119	6%	371	19%	542	27%	214	11%	390	19%	172	9%	44	2%	132	7%	2,005	100%	
22	7	2%	43	14%	67	22%	55	18%	18	6%	13	4%	69	22%	4	1%	35	11%	311	100%	
STATE	901	2%	4,166	10%	10,173	25%	8,911	22%	5,758	14%	3,328	8%	4,875	12%	1,137	3%	1,858	5%	41,107	100%	

<sup>1</sup>**Domestic Violence:** A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 52.

<sup>2</sup>**Sex Offender:** A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 52.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads**  
**Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2010**

Risk Level:	Domestic Violence Offenders										TOTAL DV OFFENDERS	
	DV Maximum		DV Medium		DV Minimum		DV Administrative					
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	266	52%	136	27%	82	16%	24	5%	508	100%		
2	66	43%	54	35%	29	19%	4	3%	153	100%		
3	3	8%	21	58%	11	31%	1	3%	36	100%		
4	250	36%	283	40%	109	16%	60	9%	702	100%		
5	46	24%	55	28%	71	37%	21	11%	193	100%		
6	58	36%	77	48%	19	12%	5	3%	159	100%		
7	9	18%	18	37%	22	45%	0	0%	49	100%		
8	49	36%	76	56%	7	5%	3	2%	135	100%		
9	16	27%	23	38%	16	27%	5	8%	60	100%		
10	151	53%	110	38%	13	5%	13	5%	287	100%		
11	49	29%	57	34%	61	36%	1	1%	168	100%		
12	17	36%	16	34%	11	23%	3	6%	47	100%		
13	20	30%	29	43%	16	24%	2	3%	67	100%		
14	45	42%	42	39%	19	18%	2	2%	108	100%		
15	3	38%	4	50%	1	13%	0	0%	8	100%		
16	18	26%	26	38%	23	33%	2	3%	69	100%		
17	274	39%	303	44%	86	12%	31	4%	694	100%		
18	99	13%	578	75%	62	8%	28	4%	767	100%		
19	71	20%	108	31%	165	47%	7	2%	351	100%		
20	18	25%	26	36%	21	29%	8	11%	73	100%		
21	33	19%	111	65%	18	10%	10	6%	172	100%		
22	11	16%	45	65%	13	19%	0	0%	69	100%		
STATE	1,572	32%	2,198	45%	875	18%	230	5%	4,875	100%		

Risk Level:	Sex Offenders (Non-SOISP)*										TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS	
	Sex Offender Maximum		Sex Offender Medium		Sex Offender Minimum		Sex Offender Administrative					
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	52	26%	94	47%	54	27%	1	0%	201	100%		
2	20	56%	10	28%	3	8%	3	8%	36	100%		
3	6	60%	1	10%	3	30%	0	0%	10	100%		
4	38	23%	56	34%	68	41%	4	2%	166	100%		
5	5	29%	6	35%	6	35%	0	0%	17	100%		
6	7	19%	15	41%	12	32%	3	8%	37	100%		
7	12	30%	16	40%	11	28%	1	3%	40	100%		
8	39	49%	18	23%	1	1%	22	28%	80	100%		
9	2	15%	7	54%	4	31%	0	0%	13	100%		
10	8	23%	12	34%	12	34%	3	9%	35	100%		
11	12	39%	13	42%	5	16%	1	3%	31	100%		
12	1	9%	4	36%	4	36%	2	18%	11	100%		
13	10	37%	6	22%	7	26%	4	15%	27	100%		
14	0	0%	3	43%	3	43%	1	14%	7	100%		
15	5	56%	4	44%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%		
16	4	50%	2	25%	2	25%	0	0%	8	100%		
17	32	47%	26	38%	5	7%	5	7%	68	100%		
18	45	26%	72	41%	38	22%	21	12%	176	100%		
19	15	42%	13	36%	7	19%	1	3%	36	100%		
20	28	35%	25	31%	27	33%	1	1%	81	100%		
21	16	36%	10	23%	15	34%	3	7%	44	100%		
22	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%		
STATE	360	32%	414	36%	287	25%	76	7%	1,137	100%		

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

\*SOISP's (Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation) active client numbers can be found in Table 96

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Adult and All Private Adult Probation**  
**Active Clients on June 30, 2010**

DISTRICT	TOTAL STATE SUPERVISED CRIMINAL CLIENTS		TOTAL NON-DUI/DWAI CRIMINAL PRIVATE CLIENTS		TOTAL DUI/DWAI PRIVATE CLIENTS		TOTAL COLORADO PROBATION POPULATION	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3,806	51%	1,344	18%	2,303	31%	7,453	100%
2	4,562	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4,562	100%
3	414	100%	0	0%	0	0%	414	100%
4	3,471	59%	1,439	25%	945	16%	5,855	100%
5	1,003	86%	63	5%	105	9%	1,171	100%
6	667	90%	59	8%	19	3%	745	100%
7	975	89%	96	9%	30	3%	1,101	100%
8	2,662	55%	702	14%	1,494	31%	4,858	100%
9	621	74%	170	20%	50	6%	841	100%
10	1,748	69%	225	9%	573	23%	2,546	100%
11	829	69%	92	8%	278	23%	1,199	100%
12	504	58%	58	7%	306	35%	868	100%
13	791	85%	123	13%	14	2%	928	100%
14	705	100%	0	0%	0	0%	705	100%
15	229	100%	0	0%	0	0%	229	100%
16	400	100%	0	0%	0	0%	400	100%
17	5,381	67%	628	8%	2,066	26%	8,075	100%
18	6,480	55%	1,736	15%	3,493	30%	11,709	100%
19	1,864	65%	551	19%	472	16%	2,887	100%
20	1,679	39%	1,180	28%	1,431	33%	4,290	100%
21	2,005	67%	570	19%	431	14%	3,006	100%
22	311	68%	31	7%	116	25%	458	100%
STATE	41,107	64%	9,067	14%	14,126	22%	64,300	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# Colorado Probation: Private Probation



**FY 2010  
Colorado Private Probation  
New Clients by Supervision Type**

NON- DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION						
TYPE: DISTRICT	Direct Sentence <sup>1</sup>		Transfer from State Probation <sup>2</sup>		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	319	32%	672	68%	991	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	861	100%	861	100%
5	1	20%	4	80%	5	100%
6	0	0%	35	100%	35	100%
7	0	0%	37	100%	37	100%
8	0	0%	659	100%	659	100%
9	0	0%	174	100%	174	100%
10	0	0%	101	100%	101	100%
11	33	46%	39	54%	72	100%
12	1	2%	54	98%	55	100%
13	86	95%	5	5%	91	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	0%	597	100%	598	100%
18	0	0%	524	100%	524	100%
19	0	0%	299	100%	299	100%
20	422	56%	338	44%	760	100%
21	0	0%	473	100%	473	100%
22	27	84%	5	16%	32	100%
STATE	890	15%	4,877	85%	5,767	100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION						
TYPE: DISTRICT	Direct Sentence <sup>1</sup>		Transfer from State Probation <sup>2</sup>		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	11	1%	1,294	99%	1,305	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	594	100%	594	100%
5	2	5%	38	95%	40	100%
6	0	0%	20	100%	20	100%
7	0	0%	13	100%	13	100%
8	0	0%	1,699	100%	1,699	100%
9	0	0%	41	100%	41	100%
10	0	0%	569	100%	569	100%
11	216	91%	22	9%	238	100%
12	0	0%	279	100%	279	100%
13	1	9%	10	91%	11	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	100%	0	100%
17	4	0%	1,616	100%	1,620	100%
18	0	0%	1,679	100%	1,679	100%
19	0	0%	341	100%	341	100%
20	13	1%	968	99%	981	100%
21	0	0%	311	100%	311	100%
22	110	94%	7	6%	117	100%
STATE	357	4%	9,501	96%	9,858	100%

<sup>1</sup>**Direct Sentence:** A probationer ordered by the court to be supervised by a private provider. This is not a uniform practice among courts.

<sup>2</sup>**Transfer from State Probation:** A probationer originally sentenced to state probation but later determined appropriate for and supervised by a private provider.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010  
Colorado Private Probation  
New Clients by Gender**

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION						
TYPE:	Female		Male		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	291	29%	695	70%	991	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	219	25%	641	75%	861	100%
5	2	40%	3	60%	5	100%
6	8	23%	27	77%	35	100%
7	6	16%	31	84%	37	100%
8	206	31%	453	69%	659	100%
9	46	26%	128	74%	174	100%
10	31	31%	70	69%	101	100%
11	20	28%	52	72%	72	100%
12	15	27%	40	73%	55	100%
13	36	40%	55	60%	91	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	228	38%	370	62%	598	100%
18	197	38%	327	62%	524	100%
19	91	30%	208	70%	299	100%
20	188	25%	572	75%	760	100%
21	151	32%	320	68%	473	100%
22	8	25%	24	75%	32	100%
STATE	1,743	30%	4,016	70%	5,767	100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION						
TYPE:	Female		Male		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	386	30%	919	70%	1,305	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	144	24%	450	76%	594	100%
5	9	23%	31	78%	40	100%
6	3	15%	17	85%	20	100%
7	3	23%	10	77%	13	100%
8	475	28%	1,224	72%	1,699	100%
9	10	24%	31	76%	41	100%
10	168	30%	401	70%	569	100%
11	66	28%	172	72%	238	100%
12	71	25%	208	75%	279	100%
13	4	36%	7	64%	11	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	449	28%	1,171	72%	1,620	100%
18	552	33%	1,126	67%	1,679	100%
19	54	16%	287	84%	341	100%
20	270	28%	710	72%	981	100%
21	68	22%	242	78%	311	100%
22	34	29%	83	71%	117	100%
STATE	2,766	28%	7,089	72%	9,858	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado Private Probation: Non- DUI /DWAI**  
**New Clients by Age**

<b>NON- DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION</b>																
TYPE: DISTRICT	*12-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	110	11%	200	20%	262	26%	147	15%	271	27%	1	0%	991	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	139	16%	174	20%	227	26%	119	14%	202	23%	0	0%	861	100%
5	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	1	20%	2	40%	0	0%	5	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	11	31%	8	23%	7	20%	9	26%	0	0%	35	100%
7	0	0%	9	24%	7	19%	6	16%	8	22%	7	19%	0	0%	37	100%
8	1	0%	114	17%	151	23%	189	29%	79	12%	125	19%	0	0%	659	100%
9	0	0%	24	14%	30	17%	40	23%	25	14%	55	32%	0	0%	174	100%
10	0	0%	13	13%	22	22%	25	25%	22	22%	19	19%	0	0%	101	100%
11	1	1%	20	28%	9	13%	19	26%	8	11%	15	21%	0	0%	72	100%
12	1	2%	11	20%	14	25%	9	16%	5	9%	15	27%	0	0%	55	100%
13	0	0%	13	14%	24	26%	21	23%	14	15%	19	21%	0	0%	91	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	0%	84	14%	108	18%	166	28%	115	19%	124	21%	0	0%	598	100%
18	1	0%	114	22%	95	18%	99	19%	70	13%	145	28%	0	0%	524	100%
19	0	0%	37	12%	66	22%	82	27%	50	17%	64	21%	0	0%	299	100%
20	2	0%	160	21%	163	21%	191	25%	91	12%	152	20%	1	0%	760	100%
21	0	0%	82	17%	90	19%	120	25%	78	16%	102	22%	1	0%	473	100%
22	1	3%	7	22%	6	19%	7	22%	5	16%	6	19%	0	0%	32	100%
STATE	8	0%	939	16%	1,170	20%	1,471	26%	844	15%	1,332	23%	3	0%	5,767	100%

\*12-17 Years: Adults in the 12-17 year category are the result of direct file or transfer hearing.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado Private Probation : DUI/DWAI**  
**New Clients by Age**

<b>DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION</b>																
<b>TYPE:</b>	<b>*12-17 Years</b>		<b>18-20 Years</b>		<b>21-24 Years</b>		<b>25-32 Years</b>		<b>33-39 Years</b>		<b>40 and above</b>		<b>Unknown</b>		<b>TOTAL NEW CLIENTS</b>	
	<b>DISTRICT</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Number</b>
1	6	0%	82	6%	201	15%	323	25%	207	16%	486	37%	0	0%	1,305	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	1	0%	34	6%	100	17%	181	30%	83	14%	195	33%	0	0%	594	100%
5	1	2%	1	2%	5	13%	7	18%	10	25%	16	40%	0	0%	40	100%
6	0	0%	3	15%	4	20%	4	20%	3	15%	6	30%	0	0%	20	100%
7	0	0%	2	15%	2	15%	3	23%	2	15%	4	31%	0	0%	13	100%
8	20	1%	207	12%	472	28%	450	26%	174	10%	376	22%	0	0%	1,699	100%
9	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	18	44%	2	5%	20	49%	0	0%	41	100%
10	4	1%	64	11%	115	20%	131	23%	79	14%	176	31%	0	0%	569	100%
11	1	0%	14	6%	29	12%	59	25%	35	15%	100	42%	0	0%	238	100%
12	8	3%	47	17%	53	19%	61	22%	36	13%	74	27%	0	0%	279	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%	4	36%	0	0%	6	55%	0	0%	11	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	3	0%	130	8%	292	18%	517	32%	258	16%	420	26%	0	0%	1,620	100%
18	4	0%	104	6%	268	16%	517	31%	281	17%	505	30%	0	0%	1,679	100%
19	3	1%	25	7%	57	17%	86	25%	55	16%	115	34%	0	0%	341	100%
20	12	1%	113	12%	231	24%	261	27%	117	12%	247	25%	0	0%	981	100%
21	0	0%	22	7%	46	15%	89	29%	54	17%	100	32%	0	0%	311	100%
22	1	1%	11	9%	29	25%	31	26%	12	10%	33	28%	0	0%	117	100%
STATE	64	1%	860	9%	1,905	19%	2,742	28%	1,408	14%	2,879	29%	0	0%	9,858	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010  
Colorado Private Probation: Non- DUI/DWAI  
New Clients by Ethnic Group**

<b>NON- DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION</b>														
<b>TYPE:</b>	<b>Caucasian</b>		<b>African American</b>		<b>Asian</b>		<b>Hispanic</b>		<b>Native American</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>TOTAL NEW CLIENTS</b>	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
<b>DISTRICT</b>														
1	881	89%	34	3%	10	1%	54	5%	7	1%	5	1%	991	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	600	70%	139	16%	7	1%	102	12%	6	1%	7	1%	861	100%
5	4	80%	0	0%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
6	26	74%	2	6%	0	0%	3	9%	2	6%	2	6%	35	100%
7	35	94%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	37	100%
8	611	93%	11	2%	4	1%	29	4%	2	0%	2	0%	659	100%
9	151	87%	5	3%	0	0%	18	10%	0	0%	0	0%	174	100%
10	72	71%	4	4%	0	0%	24	24%	0	0%	1	1%	101	100%
11	67	93%	0	0%	0	0%	4	6%	0	0%	1	1%	72	100%
12	20	36%	2	4%	1	2%	30	55%	2	4%	0	0%	55	100%
13	88	97%	1	1%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	91	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	532	89%	24	4%	15	3%	26	4%	0	0%	1	0%	598	100%
18	379	72%	74	14%	14	3%	50	10%	1	0%	6	1%	524	100%
19	241	81%	5	2%	1	0%	52	17%	0	0%	0	0%	299	100%
20	686	90%	28	4%	12	2%	29	4%	2	0%	3	0%	760	100%
21	399	84%	9	2%	2	0%	43	9%	5	1%	15	3%	473	100%
22	19	59%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	10	31%	1	3%	32	100%
<b>STATE</b>	<b>4,811</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>338</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>470</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>5,767</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



**FY 2010  
Colorado Private Probation: DUI/DWAI  
New Clients by Ethnic Group**

<b>DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION</b>														
<b>DISTRICT</b>	<b>Caucasian</b>		<b>African American</b>		<b>Asian</b>		<b>Hispanic</b>		<b>Native American</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>TOTAL NEW CLIENTS</b>	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,094	84%	27	2%	24	2%	149	11%	8	1%	3	0%	1,305	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	432	73%	54	9%	2	0%	100	17%	3	1%	3	1%	594	100%
5	37	93%	0	0%	0	0%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	40	100%
6	17	85%	0	0%	0	0%	2	10%	1	5%	0	0%	20	100%
7	11	85%	0	0%	0	0%	2	15%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%
8	1,606	95%	31	2%	10	1%	39	2%	1	0%	12	1%	1,699	100%
9	39	95%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	41	100%
10	383	67%	13	2%	0	0%	169	30%	2	0%	2	0%	569	100%
11	222	93%	3	1%	1	0%	9	4%	2	1%	1	0%	238	100%
12	132	47%	3	1%	1	0%	130	47%	9	3%	4	1%	279	100%
13	9	82%	0	0%	0	0%	2	18%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1,430	88%	66	4%	29	2%	86	5%	6	0%	3	0%	1,620	100%
18	1,330	79%	123	7%	43	3%	159	9%	9	1%	15	1%	1,679	100%
19	255	75%	5	1%	0	0%	79	23%	1	0%	1	0%	341	100%
20	926	94%	9	1%	11	1%	30	3%	3	0%	2	0%	981	100%
21	280	90%	0	0%	0	0%	24	8%	2	1%	5	2%	311	100%
22	68	58%	0	0%	0	0%	12	10%	37	32%	0	0%	117	100%
STATE	8,271	84%	334	3%	121	1%	997	10%	84	1%	51	1%	9,858	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION									
TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS		
	Number	%	Revoked	Absconded	Number	%	Number	%	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
1	656	74%	106	12%	130	15%	892	100%	
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
4	376	61%	89	14%	155	25%	620	100%	
5	41	87%	3	6%	3	6%	47	100%	
6	39	83%	7	15%	1	2%	47	100%	
7	18	100%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%	
8	385	73%	63	12%	82	15%	530	100%	
9	100	83%	1	1%	20	17%	121	100%	
10	98	85%	7	6%	10	9%	115	100%	
11	61	80%	13	17%	2	3%	76	100%	
12	45	85%	4	8%	4	8%	53	100%	
13	55	64%	23	27%	8	9%	86	100%	
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
17	546	83%	35	5%	73	11%	654	100%	
18	1,115	91%	55	5%	51	4%	1,221	100%	
19	212	72%	41	14%	41	14%	294	100%	
20	545	74%	119	16%	71	10%	735	100%	
21	282	77%	39	11%	45	12%	366	100%	
22	16	52%	9	29%	6	19%	31	100%	
STATE	4,590	78%	614	10%	702	12%	5,906	100%	

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION									
TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS		
	Number	%	Revoked	Absconded	Number	%	Number	%	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
1	898	79%	138	12%	107	9%	1,143	100%	
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
4	284	66%	73	17%	72	17%	429	100%	
5	32	76%	4	10%	6	14%	42	100%	
6	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	
7	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%	
8	1,383	85%	83	5%	157	10%	1,623	100%	
9	20	87%	0	0%	3	13%	23	100%	
10	364	78%	37	8%	64	14%	465	100%	
11	209	85%	32	13%	6	2%	247	100%	
12	277	75%	57	15%	37	10%	371	100%	
13	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
16	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	
17	1,578	84%	101	5%	206	11%	1,885	100%	
18	2,240	87%	171	7%	154	6%	2,565	100%	
19	91	50%	50	28%	40	22%	181	100%	
20	1,135	84%	137	10%	77	6%	1,349	100%	
21	118	77%	19	12%	17	11%	154	100%	
22	117	71%	20	12%	27	16%	164	100%	
STATE	8,762	82%	922	9%	973	9%	10,657	100%	

**Key:** *Successful Terminations* : This number is exclusively private successful terminations.

*Unsuccessful Terminations* : This column includes probationers supervised by private who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconders refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

*Total Terminations* : In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of private probation closures are included in Table 62 and 63.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010  
Colorado Private Probation: Non- DUI/DWAI  
All Closure Types**

TYPE: DISTRICT		Community Corrections										Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES		Courtesy Transfers <sup>1</sup>		
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	656	72%	106	12%	130	14%	0	0%	0	0%	8	1%	7	1%	907	100%	60	1
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	2
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	376	58%	89	14%	155	24%	2	0%	4	1%	10	2%	7	1%	643	100%	39	4
5	41	87%	3	6%	3	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	47	100%	9	5
6	39	83%	7	15%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	47	100%	12	6
7	18	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%	2	7
8	385	72%	63	12%	82	15%	0	0%	0	0%	5	1%	0	0%	535	100%	35	8
9	100	81%	1	1%	20	16%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	123	100%	28	9
10	98	84%	7	6%	10	9%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	116	100%	36	10
11	61	80%	13	17%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	76	100%	7	11
12	45	85%	4	8%	4	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	53	100%	1	12
13	55	63%	23	26%	8	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	88	100%	3	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	546	83%	35	5%	73	11%	0	0%	0	0%	3	0%	2	0%	659	100%	6	17
18	1,115	91%	55	4%	51	4%	0	0%	0	0%	5	0%	0	0%	1,226	100%	60	18
19	212	70%	41	14%	41	14%	0	0%	8	3%	0	0%	1	0%	303	100%	88	19
20	545	73%	119	16%	71	10%	0	0%	3	0%	4	1%	0	0%	742	100%	69	20
21	282	76%	39	11%	45	12%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	1	0%	369	100%	43	21
22	16	52%	9	29%	6	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	31	100%	1	22
STATE	4,590	77%	614	10%	702	12%	2	0%	16	0%	39	1%	20	0%	5,983	100%	499	STATE

<sup>1</sup>Courtesy Transfers: Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 62

**FY 2010  
Colorado Private Probation: DUI/DWAI  
All Closure Types**

<b>DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION</b>																
TYPE: DISTRICT	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	898	78%	138	12%	107	9%	0	0%	0	0%	11	1%	0	0%	1,154	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	284	66%	73	17%	72	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	432	100%
5	32	76%	4	10%	6	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	42	100%
6	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
7	9	100%	0	0%	0	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%
8	1,383	85%	83	5%	157	10%	0	0%	0	0%	5	0%	1	0%	1,629	100%
9	20	87%	0	0%	3	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%
10	364	78%	37	8%	64	14%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	467	100%
11	209	84%	32	13%	6	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	249	100%
12	277	74%	57	15%	37	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	374	100%
13	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	1,578	83%	101	5%	206	11%	0	0%	0	0%	4	0%	1	0%	1,890	100%
18	2,240	87%	171	7%	154	6%	0	0%	0	0%	4	0%	0	0%	2,569	100%
19	91	49%	50	27%	40	22%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	1	1%	184	100%
20	1,135	84%	137	10%	77	6%	0	0%	3	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1,354	100%
21	118	75%	19	12%	17	11%	0	0%	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%	158	100%
22	117	71%	20	12%	27	16%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	165	100%
STATE	8,762	82%	922	9%	973	9%	0	0%	6	0%	36	0%	7	0%	10,706	100%

<sup>1</sup>**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of

**FY 2010  
Colorado Private Probation  
Type of Revocation**

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION										TOTAL	
TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		REVOCACTIONS for Non-DUI/DWAI Private Cases		Number	%	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%			
1	10	9%	16	15%	80	75%	106	100%			
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
4	20	22%	17	19%	52	58%	89	100%			
5	2	67%	0	0%	1	33%	3	100%			
6	1	14%	0	0%	6	86%	7	100%			
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
8	2	3%	9	14%	52	83%	63	100%			
9	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%			
10	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	7	100%			
11	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	13	100%			
12	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	4	100%			
13	1	4%	7	30%	15	65%	23	100%			
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
17	3	9%	11	31%	21	60%	35	100%			
18	12	22%	20	36%	23	42%	55	100%			
19	1	2%	7	17%	33	80%	41	100%			
20	4	3%	41	34%	74	62%	119	100%			
21	8	21%	15	38%	16	41%	39	100%			
22	1	11%	2	22%	6	67%	9	100%			
STATE	65	11%	146	24%	403	66%	614	100%			

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION										TOTAL	
TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		REVOCACTIONS for Private DUI/DWAI Cases		Number	%	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%			
1	12	9%	42	30%	84	61%	138	100%			
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
4	6	8%	11	15%	56	77%	73	100%			
5	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%			
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
8	2	2%	19	23%	62	75%	83	100%			
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
10	0	0%	4	11%	33	89%	37	100%			
11	0	0%	2	6%	30	94%	32	100%			
12	0	0%	16	28%	41	72%	57	100%			
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
17	4	4%	44	44%	53	52%	101	100%			
18	4	2%	85	50%	82	48%	171	100%			
19	2	4%	13	26%	35	70%	50	100%			
20	3	2%	44	32%	90	66%	137	100%			
21	0	0%	6	32%	13	68%	19	100%			
22	1	5%	2	10%	17	85%	20	100%			
STATE	34	4%	288	31%	600	65%	922	100%			

**Key:**  
*New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (i.e. drug use, non-compliance).  
*Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2010  
Colorado Private Probation  
Length of Stay

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION												
TYPE: 0-12 months 13-24 months 25-36 months 37 + months												TOTAL
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	571	63%	244	27%	55	6%	37	4%	907	100%		
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
4	191	30%	368	57%	68	11%	16	2%	643	100%		
5	29	62%	14	30%	3	6%	1	2%	47	100%		
6	27	57%	14	30%	6	13%	0	0%	47	100%		
7	4	22%	12	67%	2	11%	0	0%	18	100%		
8	415	78%	115	21%	5	1%	0	0%	535	100%		
9	74	60%	45	37%	4	3%	0	0%	123	100%		
10	67	58%	38	33%	7	6%	4	3%	116	100%		
11	45	59%	27	36%	3	4%	1	1%	76	100%		
12	44	83%	8	15%	1	2%	0	0%	53	100%		
13	53	60%	33	38%	0	0%	2	2%	88	100%		
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
17	497	75%	151	23%	9	1%	2	0%	659	100%		
18	378	31%	602	49%	177	14%	69	6%	1,226	100%		
19	113	37%	155	51%	24	8%	11	4%	303	100%		
20	378	51%	320	43%	36	5%	8	1%	742	100%		
21	212	57%	126	34%	21	6%	10	3%	369	100%		
22	25	81%	5	16%	1	3%	0	0%	31	100%		
STATE	3,123	52%	2,277	38%	422	7%	161	3%	5,983	100%		

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION												
TYPE: 0-12 months 13-24 months 25-36 months 37 + months												TOTAL
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	487	42%	602	52%	60	5%	5	0%	1,154	100%		
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
4	164	38%	244	56%	22	5%	2	0%	432	100%		
5	22	52%	16	38%	4	10%	0	0%	42	100%		
6	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%		
7	3	33%	5	56%	1	11%	0	0%	9	100%		
8	1,299	80%	322	20%	6	0%	2	0%	1,629	100%		
9	14	61%	8	35%	1	4%	0	0%	23	100%		
10	286	61%	173	37%	8	2%	0	0%	467	100%		
11	161	65%	83	33%	5	2%	0	0%	249	100%		
12	255	68%	118	32%	1	0%	0	0%	374	100%		
13	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%		
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
16	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%		
17	1,359	72%	511	27%	18	1%	2	0%	1,890	100%		
18	1,352	53%	1,075	42%	133	5%	9	0%	2,569	100%		
19	91	49%	82	45%	10	5%	1	1%	184	100%		
20	754	56%	559	41%	39	3%	2	0%	1,354	100%		
21	76	48%	74	47%	7	4%	1	1%	158	100%		
22	97	59%	56	34%	7	4%	5	3%	165	100%		
STATE	6,424	60%	3,931	37%	322	3%	29	0%	10,706	100%		

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 65

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**Active NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE Clients Risk Levels on June 30, 2010**

Risk Levels:		NON- DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION																		TOTAL PRIVATE NON-DUI/DWAI PROBATION CLIENTS	
		New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Domestic Violence		Interstate		Number	%		
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
1	5	0%	9	1%	154	11%	685	51%	98	7%	0	0%	392	29%	1	0%	1,344	100%			
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
4	8	1%	11	1%	193	13%	204	14%	460	32%	2	0%	559	39%	2	0%	1,439	100%			
5	0	0%	1	2%	6	10%	50	79%	3	5%	0	0%	3	5%	0	0%	63	100%			
6	0	0%	0	0%	7	12%	41	69%	4	7%	0	0%	7	12%	0	0%	59	100%			
7	0	0%	1	1%	30	31%	63	66%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	96	100%			
8	19	3%	9	1%	65	9%	577	82%	32	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	702	100%			
9	1	1%	1	1%	15	9%	71	42%	15	9%	0	0%	67	39%	0	0%	170	100%			
10	0	0%	1	0%	20	9%	108	48%	12	5%	1	0%	83	37%	0	0%	225	100%			
11	2	2%	0	0%	9	10%	55	60%	7	8%	0	0%	19	21%	0	0%	92	100%			
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	48	83%	4	7%	0	0%	6	10%	0	0%	58	100%			
13	7	6%	0	0%	0	0%	34	28%	2	2%	0	0%	80	65%	0	0%	123	100%			
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
17	6	1%	1	0%	64	10%	295	47%	112	18%	0	0%	149	24%	1	0%	628	100%			
18	10	1%	3	0%	148	9%	1,131	65%	28	2%	0	0%	413	24%	3	0%	1,736	100%			
19	6	1%	4	1%	95	17%	259	47%	33	6%	0	0%	154	28%	0	0%	551	100%			
20	19	2%	19	2%	157	13%	645	55%	174	15%	1	0%	165	14%	0	0%	1,180	100%			
21	10	2%	7	1%	98	17%	394	69%	59	10%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	570	100%			
22	0	0%	2	6%	4	13%	18	58%	7	23%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	31	100%			
STATE	93	1%	69	1%	1,065	12%	4,678	52%	1,050	12%	4	0%	2,100	23%	8	0%	9,067	100%			

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 66

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado Private Probation**  
**Active DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2010**

Risk Levels:		DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION												TOTAL DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION CLIENTS				
		New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Interstate		Number	%	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	0%	11	0%	236	10%	1,974	86%	79	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2,303	100%		
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
4	1	0%	1	0%	16	2%	37	4%	890	94%	0	0%	0	0%	945	100%		
5	0	0%	1	1%	5	5%	91	87%	8	8%	0	0%	0	0%	105	100%		
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	95%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	19	100%		
7	2	7%	0	0%	6	20%	21	70%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	30	100%		
8	52	3%	24	2%	220	15%	1,137	76%	61	4%	0	0%	0	0%	1,494	100%		
9	0	0%	0	0%	8	16%	36	72%	6	12%	0	0%	0	0%	50	100%		
10	7	1%	3	1%	136	24%	398	69%	29	5%	0	0%	0	0%	573	100%		
11	7	3%	0	0%	42	15%	210	76%	19	7%	0	0%	0	0%	278	100%		
12	7	2%	0	0%	13	4%	219	72%	67	22%	0	0%	0	0%	306	100%		
13	0	0%	0	0%	2	14%	12	86%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%		
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
17	1	0%	1	0%	239	12%	1,466	71%	359	17%	0	0%	0	0%	2,066	100%		
18	2	0%	2	0%	185	5%	3,246	93%	58	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3,493	100%		
19	17	4%	27	6%	120	25%	278	59%	30	6%	0	0%	0	0%	472	100%		
20	12	1%	20	1%	173	12%	1,099	77%	127	9%	0	0%	0	0%	1,431	100%		
21	8	2%	2	0%	69	16%	303	70%	49	11%	0	0%	0	0%	431	100%		
22	5	4%	3	3%	29	25%	64	55%	15	13%	0	0%	0	0%	116	100%		
STATE	124	1%	95	1%	1,499	11%	10,609	75%	1,799	13%	0	0%	0	0%	14,126	100%		

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



# Colorado Probation: Regular Juvenile Probation



TABLE 67

**FY 2010  
Colorado State Juvenile Probation  
Investigations**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre- Sentence Investigations		Updated Pre- Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	21	9%	177	78%	2	1%	0	0%	4	2%	23	10%	227	100%
2J*	30	6%	427	91%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	10	2%	471	100%
3	0	0%	19	86%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	14%	22	0%
4	1	0%	323	82%	1	0%	0	0%	12	3%	59	15%	396	100%
5	3	9%	22	65%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	8	24%	34	100%
6	2	5%	37	88%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	1	2%	42	100%
7	0	0%	71	90%	5	6%	1	1%	2	3%	0	0%	79	100%
8	7	5%	70	53%	2	2%	0	0%	4	3%	50	38%	133	100%
9	2	2%	75	83%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	11	12%	90	100%
10	1	14%	3	43%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	2	29%	7	100%
11	6	43%	4	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	29%	14	100%
12	0	0%	18	75%	3	13%	2	8%	0	0%	1	4%	24	100%
13	11	19%	27	47%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	17	30%	57	100%
14	3	6%	35	73%	0	0%	1	2%	3	6%	6	13%	48	100%
15	0	0%	4	57%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	2	29%	7	100%
16	2	20%	7	70%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	10	0%
17	22	16%	91	67%	0	0%	1	1%	15	11%	6	4%	135	100%
18	45	25%	111	62%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	22	12%	180	100%
19	17	18%	28	29%	0	0%	0	0%	10	11%	40	42%	95	100%
20	0	0%	25	68%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	32%	37	100%
21	14	78%	3	17%	0	0%	0	0%	1	6%	0	0%	18	100%
22	3	12%	21	84%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	25	100%
STATE	190	9%	1,598	74%	16	1%	6	0%	63	3%	278	13%	2,151	100%

\*2J: Denver Juvenile Probation

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 68

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**New Clients by Offense Type**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class)		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	178	31%	324	57%	23	4%	0	0%	48	8%	573	100%
2J*	197	42%	241	51%	3	1%	0	0%	33	7%	474	100%
3	5	14%	22	59%	1	3%	0	0%	9	24%	37	100%
4	126	28%	281	63%	9	2%	1	0%	27	6%	444	100%
5	19	35%	26	47%	2	4%	0	0%	8	15%	55	100%
6	18	45%	20	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	40	100%
7	23	20%	74	64%	5	4%	1	1%	12	10%	115	100%
8	44	16%	167	61%	26	9%	2	1%	37	13%	276	100%
9	32	48%	29	44%	2	3%	0	0%	3	5%	66	100%
10	49	35%	76	55%	3	2%	0	0%	11	8%	139	100%
11	25	27%	45	48%	6	6%	0	0%	18	19%	94	100%
12	8	13%	32	51%	7	11%	0	0%	16	25%	63	100%
13	27	27%	66	65%	1	1%	0	0%	7	7%	101	100%
14	17	37%	28	61%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	46	100%
15	8	29%	18	64%	0	0%	1	4%	1	4%	28	100%
16	2	4%	42	86%	3	6%	0	0%	2	4%	49	100%
17	187	38%	259	52%	10	2%	0	0%	41	8%	497	100%
18	296	42%	341	48%	32	5%	0	0%	39	6%	708	100%
19	95	18%	354	68%	40	8%	1	0%	27	5%	517	100%
20	48	20%	159	65%	24	10%	0	0%	15	6%	246	100%
21	64	40%	82	51%	0	0%	0	0%	16	10%	162	100%
22	5	31%	10	63%	0	0%	0	0%	1	6%	16	100%
STATE	1,473	31%	2,696	57%	197	4%	6	0%	374	8%	4,746	100%

\*2J: Denver Juvenile Probation

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010  
Colorado State Juvenile Probation  
New Clients by Supervision Type**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Probation		Revoked and Resentenced		Deferred Adjudication		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Change of Venue		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	437	76%	3	1%	90	16%	4	1%	39	7%	573	100%
2	200	42%	13	3%	138	29%	5	1%	118	25%	474	100%
3	22	59%	0	0%	11	30%	0	0%	4	11%	37	100%
4	352	79%	3	1%	42	9%	15	3%	32	7%	444	100%
5	41	75%	1	2%	5	9%	1	2%	7	13%	55	100%
6	22	55%	0	0%	15	38%	1	3%	2	5%	40	100%
7	52	45%	2	2%	53	46%	1	1%	7	6%	115	100%
8	89	32%	2	1%	157	57%	7	3%	21	8%	276	100%
9	22	33%	0	0%	37	56%	0	0%	7	11%	66	100%
10	50	36%	2	1%	70	50%	1	1%	16	12%	139	100%
11	38	40%	1	1%	51	54%	1	1%	3	3%	94	100%
12	11	17%	0	0%	46	73%	1	2%	5	8%	63	100%
13	34	34%	0	0%	58	57%	2	2%	7	7%	101	100%
14	26	57%	0	0%	18	39%	0	0%	2	4%	46	100%
15	16	57%	0	0%	9	32%	1	4%	2	7%	28	100%
16	33	67%	1	2%	10	20%	0	0%	5	10%	49	100%
17	290	58%	7	1%	70	14%	10	2%	120	24%	497	100%
18	411	58%	9	1%	190	27%	3	0%	95	13%	708	100%
19	374	72%	11	2%	93	18%	2	0%	37	7%	517	100%
20	80	33%	4	2%	128	52%	6	2%	28	11%	246	100%
21	145	90%	2	1%	1	1%	3	2%	11	7%	162	100%
22	11	69%	0	0%	4	25%	0	0%	1	6%	16	100%
STATE	2,756	58%	61	1%	1,296	27%	64	1%	569	12%	4,746	100%

\*2J: Denver Juvenile Probation

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 70

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**New Clients by Gender**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	117	20%	454	79%	2	0%	573	100%
2J*	96	20%	378	80%	0	0%	474	100%
3	18	49%	19	51%	0	0%	37	100%
4	76	17%	365	82%	3	1%	444	100%
5	12	22%	43	78%	0	0%	55	100%
6	8	20%	32	80%	0	0%	40	100%
7	20	17%	94	82%	1	1%	115	100%
8	65	24%	211	76%	0	0%	276	100%
9	9	14%	57	86%	0	0%	66	100%
10	34	24%	105	76%	0	0%	139	100%
11	16	17%	78	83%	0	0%	94	100%
12	20	32%	43	68%	0	0%	63	100%
13	15	15%	86	85%	0	0%	101	100%
14	4	9%	42	91%	0	0%	46	100%
15	0	0%	28	100%	0	0%	28	100%
16	7	14%	42	86%	0	0%	49	100%
17	97	20%	400	80%	0	0%	497	100%
18	161	23%	547	77%	0	0%	708	100%
19	165	32%	351	68%	1	0%	517	100%
20	53	22%	193	78%	0	0%	246	100%
21	44	27%	118	73%	0	0%	162	100%
22	2	13%	14	88%	0	0%	16	100%
STATE	1,039	22%	3,700	78%	7	0%	4,746	100%

\*2J: Denver Juvenile Probation

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 71

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**New Clients by Age**

TYPE: DISTRICT	10-14 Years		15 Years		16 Years		17 Years		18+ Years <sup>1</sup>		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	139	24%	111	19%	121	21%	128	22%	72	13%	2	0%	573	100%
2J*	115	24%	80	17%	117	25%	94	20%	68	14%	0	0%	474	100%
3	7	19%	7	19%	7	19%	11	30%	5	14%	0	0%	37	100%
4	101	23%	62	14%	94	21%	106	24%	81	18%	0	0%	444	100%
5	7	13%	12	22%	14	25%	15	27%	7	13%	0	0%	55	100%
6	7	18%	5	13%	7	18%	13	33%	8	20%	0	0%	40	100%
7	28	24%	12	10%	24	21%	32	28%	19	17%	0	0%	115	100%
8	53	19%	58	21%	68	25%	81	29%	16	6%	0	0%	276	100%
9	9	14%	13	20%	11	17%	20	30%	13	20%	0	0%	66	100%
10	27	19%	22	16%	31	22%	42	30%	17	12%	0	0%	139	100%
11	26	28%	19	20%	17	18%	22	23%	10	11%	0	0%	94	100%
12	20	32%	4	6%	14	22%	17	27%	8	13%	0	0%	63	100%
13	30	30%	15	15%	25	25%	22	22%	9	9%	0	0%	101	100%
14	13	28%	8	17%	6	13%	10	22%	9	20%	0	0%	46	100%
15	4	14%	4	14%	11	39%	5	18%	4	14%	0	0%	28	100%
16	15	31%	5	10%	12	24%	10	20%	7	14%	0	0%	49	100%
17	80	16%	79	16%	126	25%	133	27%	79	16%	0	0%	497	100%
18	119	17%	129	18%	149	21%	192	27%	119	17%	0	0%	708	100%
19	154	30%	91	18%	106	21%	127	25%	39	8%	0	0%	517	100%
20	54	22%	42	17%	62	25%	69	28%	19	8%	0	0%	246	100%
21	32	20%	29	18%	35	22%	39	24%	27	17%	0	0%	162	100%
22	5	31%	2	13%	4	25%	2	13%	3	19%	0	0%	16	100%
STATE	1,045	22%	809	17%	1,061	22%	1,190	25%	639	13%	2	0%	4,746	100%

\*2J: Denver Juvenile Probation

<sup>1</sup>18+ Years: Youth in this category committed their crime while still under the age of 18 but were new to probation after they turned 18.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 72

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**New Clients by Ethnic Group**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Asian	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	418	73%	24	4%	6	1%	108	19%	10	2%	7	1%	573	100%
2J*	103	22%	116	24%	4	1%	237	50%	10	2%	4	1%	474	100%
3	32	86%	0	0%	0	0%	5	14%	0	0%	0	0%	37	100%
4	274	62%	96	22%	4	1%	40	9%	2	0%	28	6%	444	100%
5	45	82%	0	0%	0	0%	10	18%	0	0%	0	0%	55	100%
6	28	70%	0	0%	0	0%	7	18%	2	5%	3	8%	40	100%
7	87	76%	0	0%	0	0%	18	16%	1	1%	9	8%	115	100%
8	248	90%	9	3%	0	0%	16	6%	1	0%	2	1%	276	100%
9	54	82%	1	2%	0	0%	11	17%	0	0%	0	0%	66	100%
10	81	58%	4	3%	0	0%	49	35%	0	0%	5	4%	139	100%
11	90	96%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	2	2%	94	100%
12	47	75%	0	0%	0	0%	16	25%	0	0%	0	0%	63	100%
13	65	64%	5	5%	0	0%	28	28%	0	0%	3	3%	101	100%
14	40	87%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	5	11%	46	100%
15	22	79%	1	4%	0	0%	5	18%	0	0%	0	0%	28	100%
16	31	63%	0	0%	0	0%	17	35%	1	2%	0	0%	49	100%
17	339	68%	43	9%	8	2%	98	20%	5	1%	4	1%	497	100%
18	414	58%	169	24%	12	2%	91	13%	7	1%	15	2%	708	100%
19	444	86%	5	1%	2	0%	65	13%	0	0%	1	0%	517	100%
20	208	85%	6	2%	3	1%	28	11%	1	0%	0	0%	246	100%
21	119	73%	5	3%	2	1%	30	19%	1	1%	5	3%	162	100%
22	10	63%	0	0%	0	0%	2	13%	4	25%	0	0%	16	100%
STATE	3,199	67%	484	10%	41	1%	883	19%	46	1%	93	2%	4,746	100%

\*2J: Denver Juvenile Probation

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

**FY 2010  
Colorado State Juvenile Probation  
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Number	%	Revoked	Absconded	Number	%	Number	%
<b>DISTRICT</b>			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	309	70%	122	28%	11	2%	442	100%
*2J	296	67%	102	23%	46	10%	444	100%
3	17	81%	2	10%	2	10%	21	100%
4	264	66%	112	28%	21	5%	397	100%
5	48	77%	7	11%	7	11%	62	100%
6	36	65%	16	29%	3	5%	55	100%
7	73	80%	12	13%	6	7%	91	100%
8	245	81%	51	17%	8	3%	304	100%
9	51	64%	25	31%	4	5%	80	100%
10	124	78%	27	17%	8	5%	159	100%
11	90	71%	33	26%	4	3%	127	100%
12	78	80%	17	18%	2	2%	97	100%
13	72	89%	8	10%	1	1%	81	100%
14	46	92%	4	8%	0	0%	50	100%
15	13	87%	2	13%	0	0%	15	100%
16	24	73%	9	27%	0	0%	33	100%
17	352	74%	89	19%	32	7%	473	100%
18	460	68%	175	26%	42	6%	677	100%
19	377	77%	101	21%	13	3%	491	100%
20	190	84%	30	13%	5	2%	225	100%
21	110	70%	39	25%	9	6%	158	100%
22	10	53%	6	32%	3	16%	19	100%
<b>STATE</b>	<b>3,285</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>989</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>4,501</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*2J: Denver Juvenile Probation

**Key:** *Successful Terminations:* This number includes those probationers supervised by the state who terminated successfully.

*Unsuccessful Terminations:* This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

*Total Terminations:* In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of juvenile probation closures are included in Table 75.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding



TABLE 74

**FY 2010  
Colorado State Juvenile Probation  
All Probation Supervision Closure Types**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES		Changes of Venue <sup>1</sup>	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	309	70%	122	27%	11	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	444	100%	49	1
2J*	296	66%	102	23%	46	10%	0	0%	2	0%	1	0%	1	0%	448	100%	62	2J*
3	17	81%	2	10%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%	4	3
4	264	66%	112	28%	21	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	398	100%	12	4
5	48	75%	7	11%	7	11%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	64	100%	3	5
6	36	64%	16	29%	3	5%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	56	100%	3	6
7	73	80%	12	13%	6	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	91	100%	8	7
8	245	80%	51	17%	8	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	306	100%	9	8
9	51	64%	25	31%	4	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	80	100%	1	9
10	124	78%	27	17%	8	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	159	100%	14	10
11	90	71%	33	26%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	127	100%	8	11
12	78	80%	17	17%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	98	100%	6	12
13	72	88%	8	10%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	82	100%	7	13
14	46	92%	4	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	50	100%	2	14
15	13	87%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15	100%	4	15
16	24	73%	9	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33	100%	4	16
17	352	74%	89	19%	32	7%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	2	0%	476	100%	85	17
18	460	67%	175	26%	42	6%	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	3	0%	683	100%	87	18
19	377	76%	101	20%	13	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	493	100%	31	19
20	190	84%	30	13%	5	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	226	100%	8	20
21	110	70%	39	25%	9	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	158	100%	10	21
22	10	53%	6	32%	3	16%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	19	100%	1	22
STATE	3,285	73%	989	22%	227	5%	0	0%	6	0%	6	0%	14	0%	4,527	100%	418	STATE

\*2J: Denver Juvenile Probation

<sup>1</sup>Changes of Venue: Beginning in FY2008 changes of venue were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the changes of venue between courts and probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**Type of Revocation**

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	14	11%	30	25%	78	64%	122	100%
2J*	19	19%	10	10%	73	72%	102	100%
3	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
4	17	15%	15	13%	80	71%	112	100%
5	2	29%	0	0%	5	71%	7	100%
6	1	6%	4	25%	11	69%	16	100%
7	0	0%	1	8%	11	92%	12	100%
8	6	12%	8	16%	37	73%	51	100%
9	2	8%	6	24%	17	68%	25	100%
10	7	26%	6	22%	14	52%	27	100%
11	2	6%	4	12%	27	82%	33	100%
12	1	6%	3	18%	13	76%	17	100%
13	3	38%	0	0%	5	63%	8	100%
14	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	4	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
16	0	0%	4	44%	5	56%	9	100%
17	17	19%	22	25%	50	56%	89	100%
18	24	14%	30	17%	121	69%	175	100%
19	9	9%	25	25%	67	66%	101	100%
20	2	7%	4	13%	24	80%	30	100%
21	9	23%	8	21%	22	56%	39	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	6	100%
STATE	135	14%	183	19%	671	68%	989	100%

\*2J: Denver Juvenile Probation

Key:

New Felony: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 76

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**Length of Stay**

TYPE: DISTRICT	0-3 months		4-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	38	9%	50	11%	141	32%	80	18%	51	11%	84	19%	444	100%
*2J	37	8%	40	9%	118	26%	88	20%	66	15%	99	22%	448	100%
3	2	10%	5	24%	5	24%	5	24%	2	10%	2	10%	21	100%
4	11	3%	21	5%	111	28%	85	21%	71	18%	99	25%	398	100%
5	4	6%	13	20%	18	28%	10	16%	10	16%	9	14%	64	100%
6	1	2%	3	5%	16	29%	20	36%	6	11%	10	18%	56	100%
7	7	8%	13	14%	35	38%	15	16%	14	15%	7	8%	91	100%
8	3	1%	43	14%	133	43%	83	27%	30	10%	14	5%	306	100%
9	4	5%	15	19%	29	36%	12	15%	15	19%	5	6%	80	100%
10	12	8%	25	16%	71	45%	26	16%	18	11%	7	4%	159	100%
11	10	8%	26	20%	32	25%	21	17%	16	13%	22	17%	127	100%
12	14	14%	17	17%	31	32%	17	17%	12	12%	7	7%	98	100%
13	5	6%	16	20%	37	45%	8	10%	10	12%	6	7%	82	100%
14	4	8%	1	2%	15	30%	8	16%	11	22%	11	22%	50	100%
15	2	13%	1	7%	2	13%	2	13%	5	33%	3	20%	15	100%
16	1	3%	1	3%	11	33%	10	30%	4	12%	6	18%	33	100%
17	46	10%	35	7%	153	32%	100	21%	55	12%	87	18%	476	100%
18	57	8%	80	12%	229	34%	134	20%	85	12%	98	14%	683	100%
19	56	11%	100	20%	154	31%	78	16%	48	10%	57	12%	493	100%
20	27	12%	83	37%	59	26%	27	12%	22	10%	8	4%	226	100%
21	9	6%	22	14%	36	23%	37	23%	23	15%	31	20%	158	100%
22	0	0%	2	11%	8	42%	5	26%	1	5%	3	16%	19	100%
STATE	350	8%	612	14%	1,444	32%	871	19%	575	13%	675	15%	4,527	100%

\*2J: Denver Juvenile Probation

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 77

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2010**

Risk Levels: DISTRICT	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Sex Offender <sup>1</sup>		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE JUVENILE CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	21	3%	102	16%	227	35%	129	20%	99	15%	1	0%	55	9%	7	1%	641	100%
*2J	6	1%	131	20%	249	37%	143	21%	83	12%	0	0%	57	9%	1	0%	670	100%
3	1	3%	5	13%	15	39%	14	37%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	38	100%
4	7	1%	146	21%	245	35%	173	25%	75	11%	2	0%	54	8%	2	0%	704	100%
5	1	2%	12	20%	16	26%	22	36%	5	8%	0	0%	3	5%	2	3%	61	100%
6	1	2%	8	14%	20	34%	18	31%	2	3%	1	2%	4	7%	4	7%	58	100%
7	3	2%	31	22%	33	23%	65	46%	8	6%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	141	100%
8	15	4%	81	21%	117	30%	60	16%	90	23%	3	1%	6	2%	13	3%	385	100%
9	0	0%	26	30%	18	20%	31	35%	5	6%	0	0%	6	7%	2	2%	88	100%
10	3	2%	16	11%	42	30%	53	38%	24	17%	1	1%	0	0%	2	1%	141	100%
11	1	1%	12	10%	61	50%	12	10%	16	13%	0	0%	14	12%	5	4%	121	100%
12	4	6%	10	14%	7	10%	36	51%	9	13%	0	0%	3	4%	2	3%	71	100%
13	0	0%	16	14%	25	22%	40	34%	21	18%	1	1%	10	9%	3	3%	116	100%
14	1	1%	12	17%	16	23%	26	38%	4	6%	1	1%	4	6%	5	7%	69	100%
15	2	5%	5	13%	10	25%	14	35%	4	10%	1	3%	4	10%	0	0%	40	100%
16	4	6%	15	21%	20	28%	23	32%	7	10%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	71	100%
17	26	4%	96	16%	181	30%	164	27%	114	19%	0	0%	26	4%	1	0%	608	100%
18	42	5%	82	9%	338	37%	292	32%	90	10%	2	0%	36	4%	25	3%	907	100%
19	28	5%	47	8%	178	32%	229	41%	51	9%	0	0%	24	4%	8	1%	565	100%
20	4	2%	44	22%	55	27%	40	20%	40	20%	2	1%	16	8%	1	0%	202	100%
21	6	3%	18	8%	49	22%	94	42%	27	12%	5	2%	18	8%	9	4%	226	100%
22	0	0%	5	22%	8	35%	7	30%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	23	100%
STATE	176	3%	920	15%	1,930	32%	1,685	28%	779	13%	20	0%	342	6%	94	2%	5,946	100%

\*2J: Denver Juvenile Probation

<sup>1</sup>Sex Offender: A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 79.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percents because of rounding

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Juvenile Probation**  
**Active Sex Offender Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2010**

Risk Level:	** Juvenile Sex Offenders											
	Sex Offender Maximum		Sex Offender Medium		Sex Offender Minimum		Sex Offender Administrative		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	5	9%	50	91%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	55	100%
*2J	5	9%	30	53%	22	39%	0	0%	0	0%	57	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	46	85%	8	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	54	100%
5	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
6	0	0%	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
9	1	17%	4	67%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	1	7%	12	86%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%
12	0	0%	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
13	2	20%	5	50%	1	10%	2	20%	2	20%	10	100%
14	1	25%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	4	100%
15	1	25%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	4	100%
16	0	0%	1	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
17	5	19%	13	50%	4	15%	4	15%	4	15%	26	100%
18	7	19%	26	72%	2	6%	1	3%	1	3%	36	100%
19	2	8%	12	50%	0	0%	10	42%	10	42%	24	100%
20	0	0%	4	25%	12	75%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%
21	2	11%	8	44%	8	44%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	86	25%	183	54%	54	16%	19	6%	19	6%	342	100%
*2J: Denver Juvenile Probation												

\*\* Juvenile Sex Offenders: This chart does not include Juvenile Sex Offenders who have been placed on Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP). Colorado does not currently have an ISP program for juvenile sex offenders.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# Colorado Probation: Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)



**FY2010**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)**  
**New Clients by Order Type**

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	91	53%	68	40%	13	8%	172	100%
2A*	146	50%	112	38%	34	12%	292	100%
3	6	35%	9	53%	2	12%	17	100%
4	50	48%	48	46%	7	7%	105	100%
5	10	59%	6	35%	1	6%	17	100%
6	6	86%	1	14%	0	0%	7	100%
7	5	71%	2	29%	0	0%	7	100%
8	64	54%	51	43%	3	3%	118	100%
9	5	42%	5	42%	2	17%	12	100%
10	21	48%	20	45%	3	7%	44	100%
11	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
12	4	44%	4	44%	1	11%	9	100%
13	11	30%	23	62%	3	8%	37	100%
14	2	40%	3	60%	0	0%	5	100%
15	3	43%	4	57%	0	0%	7	100%
16	0	0%	2	67%	1	33%	3	100%
17	94	49%	72	38%	26	14%	192	100%
18	40	19%	140	67%	29	14%	209	100%
19	32	36%	44	49%	13	15%	89	100%
20	23	38%	30	50%	7	12%	60	100%
21	8	31%	14	54%	4	15%	26	100%
22	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>625</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>659</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>1,434</b>	<b>100%</b>

2A\*: Denver Adult Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Intensive Programs**  
**Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Absconded Number	Absconded %	Number	%
DISTRICT								
1	107	69%	43	28%	5	3%	155	100%
2A*	121	50%	95	39%	26	11%	242	100%
3	5	63%	3	38%	0	0%	8	100%
4	59	60%	24	24%	16	16%	99	100%
5	16	80%	1	5%	3	15%	20	100%
6	6	75%	2	25%	0	0%	8	100%
7	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
8	75	73%	19	18%	9	9%	103	100%
9	9	64%	4	29%	1	7%	14	100%
10	22	52%	14	33%	6	14%	42	100%
11	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	5	100%
12	6	86%	0	0%	1	14%	7	100%
13	27	84%	5	16%	0	0%	32	100%
14	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
15	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	5	100%
16	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%
17	103	66%	33	21%	21	13%	157	100%
18	109	71%	29	19%	15	10%	153	100%
19	60	73%	20	24%	2	2%	82	100%
20	44	75%	12	20%	3	5%	59	100%
21	19	76%	6	24%	0	0%	25	100%
22	2	50%	2	50%	0	0%	4	100%
STATE	809	66%	317	26%	108	9%	1,234	100%

2A\*: Denver Adult Probation

Key: Successful Terminations: This category includes those probationers that have terminated from probation while on ISP probation or transition to regular supervision probation.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes AISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from AISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconders refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of AISP discharges are included in Table 82.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



TABLE 81

**FY2010  
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs  
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)  
Discharges**

DISTRICT	Successful: Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	101	53%	6	3%	5	3%	28	15%	43	23%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	5	3%	190	100%
2A*	98	35%	23	8%	26	9%	33	12%	95	34%	1	0%	2	1%	1	0%	1	0%	280	100%
3	3	30%	2	20%	0	0%	1	10%	3	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	10	100%
4	54	47%	5	4%	16	14%	15	13%	24	21%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	114	100%
5	13	59%	3	14%	3	14%	2	9%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%
6	5	63%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
7	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
8	70	49%	5	3%	9	6%	37	26%	19	13%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	2	1%	143	100%
9	7	44%	2	13%	1	6%	0	0%	4	25%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	1	6%	16	100%
10	17	29%	5	9%	6	10%	16	28%	14	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	58	100%
11	3	60%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
12	6	55%	0	0%	1	9%	3	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	11	100%
13	26	60%	1	2%	0	0%	10	23%	5	12%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	43	100%
14	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
15	2	40%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
16	0	0%	3	50%	0	0%	1	17%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	6	100%
17	94	45%	9	4%	21	10%	49	24%	33	16%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	208	100%
18	105	47%	4	2%	15	7%	68	30%	29	13%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	223	100%
19	60	61%	0	0%	2	2%	11	11%	20	20%	2	2%	0	0%	2	2%	2	2%	99	100%
20	41	58%	3	4%	3	4%	5	7%	12	17%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	6	8%	71	100%
21	19	63%	0	0%	0	0%	4	13%	6	20%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	30	100%
22	1	20%	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>735</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1,558</b>	<b>100%</b>

2A\*: Denver Adult Probation.

<sup>1</sup>**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY2010**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)**  
**Type of Revocation**

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	9	21%	2	5%	32	74%	43	100%
2A*	24	25%	15	16%	56	59%	95	100%
3	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3	100%
4	6	25%	1	4%	17	71%	24	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	7	37%	6	32%	6	32%	19	100%
9	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	4	100%
10	1	7%	2	14%	11	79%	14	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	3	60%	2	40%	5	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
16	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
17	9	27%	8	24%	16	48%	33	100%
18	3	10%	7	24%	19	66%	29	100%
19	8	40%	2	10%	10	50%	20	100%
20	5	42%	2	17%	5	42%	12	100%
21	4	67%	1	17%	1	17%	6	100%
22	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>100%</b>

2A\*: Denver Adult Probation.

Key:

*New Felony*: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

*New Misdemeanor*: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

*Technical*: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

*Total Revocations*: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# Colorado Probation: Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)



**FY2010**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)**  
**New Clients by Order Type**

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence	Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado		Total New Clients
		Probation Districts	Probation Districts	
1	89	6	6%	95
2A*	63	2	3%	65
3	0	0	0%	0
4	71	3	4%	74
5	7	2	22%	9
6	8	0	0%	8
7	2	2	50%	4
8	16	1	6%	17
9	2	3	60%	5
10	9	3	25%	12
11	0	0	0%	0
12	2	1	33%	3
13	7	3	30%	10
14	0	0	0%	0
15	0	0	0%	0
16	0	0	0%	0
17	37	6	14%	43
18	74	12	14%	86
19	18	2	10%	20
20	2	1	33%	3
21	15	2	12%	17
22	2	0	0%	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>473</b>

2A\*: Denver Adult Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP)**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

*Note: Approximately one-half of the SOISP probationers are serving indeterminate sentences (minimum of 10 years). Therefore, it is important to consider terminations within the context of the active SOISP caseload. Many more probationers are being successfully (1,301) supervised on SOISP than are terminating unsuccessfully (215) as reflected below in the 6/30/2010 active caseload and termination table.*

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP) Active as of 6/30/2010 <sup>1</sup>		SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
DISTRICT	Number	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	154	44	62%	21	30%	71	100%
2A	118	3	10%	25	86%	29	100%
3	4	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
4	245	22	35%	37	59%	63	100%
5	11	4	57%	1	14%	7	100%
6	30	1	20%	4	80%	5	100%
7	6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	91	7	30%	13	57%	23	100%
9	13	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
10	67	9	43%	12	57%	21	100%
11	16	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
12	6	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
13	21	5	71%	2	29%	7	100%
14	6	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
15	1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	98	13	50%	12	46%	26	100%
18	264	17	28%	35	58%	60	100%
19	58	3	23%	10	77%	13	100%
20	35	2	25%	5	63%	8	100%
21	52	4	50%	3	38%	8	100%
22	4	1	25%	3	75%	4	100%
STATE	1,301	138	39%	188	53%	353	100%
				27	8%		

**Key:**  
*Successful Termination:* This category includes those probationers that terminate from probation while on SOISP or transition to regular supervision probation.  
*Unsuccessful Terminations:* This column includes SOISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from SOISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.  
*A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section.* These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.  
 Absconder refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.  
*Total Terminations:* In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of SOISP discharges are included in Table 86.

<sup>1</sup>Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation: The Non-SOISP sex offender population is included in Regular Adult Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY2010**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)**  
**Discharges**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to: Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	31	34%	13	14%	6	7%	18	20%	21	23%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	91	100%
2A*	1	3%	2	6%	1	3%	0	0%	25	69%	7	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	36	100%
3	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
4	12	17%	10	14%	4	6%	1	1%	37	54%	3	4%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	69	100%
5	4	57%	0	0%	2	29%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
6	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	4	80%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	5	21%	2	8%	3	13%	0	0%	13	54%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	24	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
10	1	5%	8	38%	0	0%	0	0%	12	57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
12	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
13	3	43%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	6	17%	7	19%	1	3%	6	17%	12	33%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	36	100%
18	8	12%	9	13%	8	12%	5	7%	35	52%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	67	100%
19	1	6%	2	13%	0	0%	1	6%	10	63%	0	0%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%
20	0	0%	2	25%	1	13%	0	0%	5	63%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
21	2	22%	2	22%	1	11%	1	11%	3	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%
22	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>409</b>	<b>100%</b>

2A\*: Denver Adult Probation.

<sup>1</sup>Courtesy Transfers: Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

**FY2010**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)**  
**Type of Revocation**

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	14%	0	0%	18	86%	21	100%
2A*	1	4%	0	0%	24	96%	25	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	7	19%	0	0%	30	81%	37	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
6	3	75%	0	0%	1	25%	4	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	13	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
10	2	17%	1	8%	9	75%	12	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	2	17%	1	8%	9	75%	12	100%
18	1	3%	4	11%	30	86%	35	100%
19	1	10%	1	10%	8	80%	10	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%
21	2	67%	0	0%	1	33%	3	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>100%</b>

2A\*: Denver Adult Probation.

**Key:**  
*New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.  
*Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).  
*Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# Colorado Probation: Female Offender Program (FOP)





**FY2010**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Female Offender Program (FOP)**  
**New Clients by Order Type**

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	8	40%	11	55%	1	5%	20	100%
2A*	3	12%	14	54%	9	35%	26	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	8	38%	13	62%	0	0%	21	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	5	45%	5	45%	1	9%	11	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	3	19%	10	63%	3	19%	16	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	23	41%	31	55%	2	4%	56	100%
18	1	7%	13	87%	1	7%	15	100%
19	6	25%	15	63%	3	13%	24	100%
20	12	50%	10	42%	2	8%	24	100%
21	1	100%	4	0%	0	0%	5	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>100%</b>

2A\*: Denver Adult Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Female Offender Program (FOP)**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
<b>DISTRICT</b>						
1	12	80%	3	20%	15	100%
2A*	12	55%	6	27%	22	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	10	91%	1	9%	11	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	7	88%	1	13%	8	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	4	33%	8	67%	12	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	16	70%	3	13%	23	100%
18	6	40%	5	33%	15	100%
19	6	60%	4	40%	10	100%
20	17	100%	0	0%	17	100%
21	9	90%	1	10%	10	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>STATE</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>100%</b>

2A\*: Denver Adult Probation

**Key:**  
*Successful Terminations:* This category includes those probationers that have terminated from FOP and probation or transition to regular supervision probation.  
*Unsuccessful Terminations:* This column includes FOP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from FOP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.  
 Absconders refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.  
*Total Terminations:* In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of FOP discharges are included in Table 90.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY2010  
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs  
Female Offender Program (FOP)  
Discharges**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Courtesy Transfers <sup>1</sup>	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%		#
1	8	33%	4	17%	0	0%	5	21%	3	13%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	3	13%	24	100%	4	1
2A*	2	8%	10	40%	4	16%	3	12%	6	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	25	100%	5	2A*
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	10	71%	0	0%	0	0%	3	21%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%	0	4
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	6	46%	1	8%	0	0%	4	31%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	13	100%	1	8
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	9
10	3	23%	1	8%	0	0%	1	8%	8	62%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	1	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	12
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	12	31%	4	10%	4	10%	15	38%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	39	100%	3	17
18	4	19%	2	10%	4	19%	6	29%	5	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%	1	18
19	6	43%	0	0%	0	0%	4	29%	4	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%	1	19
20	17	77%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	18%	22	100%	0	20
21	9	82%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	11	100%	0	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	22
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

2A\*: Denver Adult Probation.

<sup>1</sup>Courtesy Transfers: Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY2010**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Female Offender Program (FOP)**  
**Type of Revocation**

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3	100%
2A*	3	50%	1	17%	2	33%	6	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	2	25%	0	0%	6	75%	8	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
18	1	20%	2	40%	2	40%	5	100%
19	2	50%	0	0%	2	50%	4	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>100%</b>

2A\*: Denver Adult Probation.

**Key:** *New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

*New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

*Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

*Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation:  
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation  
(JISP)



**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)**  
**New Clients by Order Type**

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Change of Venue		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	20	34%	36	61%	3	5%	59	100%
2J*	42	58%	26	36%	4	6%	72	100%
3	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
4	15	38%	24	60%	1	3%	40	100%
5	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	5	100%
6	1	17%	5	83%	0	0%	6	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	29	35%	54	65%	0	0%	83	100%
9	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	5	100%
10	9	39%	12	52%	2	9%	23	100%
11	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
12	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
13	2	50%	2	50%	0	0%	4	100%
14	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
15	5	63%	3	38%	0	0%	8	100%
16	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%	4	100%
17	18	24%	42	56%	15	20%	75	100%
18	27	30%	58	64%	6	7%	91	100%
19	0	0%	10	91%	1	9%	11	100%
20	7	54%	6	46%	0	0%	13	100%
21	1	10%	9	90%	0	0%	10	100%
22	5	71%	2	29%	0	0%	7	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>100%</b>

2J\*: Denver Juvenile Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

TYPE: DISTRICT	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	37	54%	29	43%	2	3%
2J*	27	42%	32	49%	6	9%
3	2	40%	1	20%	2	40%
4	17	71%	7	29%	0	0%
5	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%
6	3	38%	5	63%	0	0%
7	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%
8	14	33%	28	67%	0	0%
9	3	38%	4	50%	1	13%
10	15	48%	14	45%	2	6%
11	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%
12	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
13	3	38%	5	63%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%
16	1	25%	2	50%	1	25%
17	33	55%	19	32%	8	13%
18	19	24%	58	74%	1	1%
19	10	63%	6	38%	0	0%
20	13	62%	8	38%	0	0%
21	9	47%	8	42%	2	11%
22	2	40%	3	60%	0	0%
STATE	217	46%	232	49%	25	5%
					474	100%

2J\*: Denver Juvenile Probation

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This category includes those probationers that have terminated from JISP and probation or transition to regular supervision probation.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: This column includes JISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from JISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.

Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation services.

*Total Terminations*: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of JISP discharges are included in Table 94.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY2010**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)**  
**Discharges**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Changes of Venue <sup>1</sup>		
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	30	44%	7	10%	2	3%	0	0%	29	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	68	100%	15	1	
2J*	23	34%	4	6%	6	9%	0	0%	32	48%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	67	100%	17	2J*	
3	0	0%	2	40%	2	40%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	0	3	
4	12	48%	5	20%	0	0%	0	0%	7	28%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	25	100%	0	4	
5	0	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	1	5	
6	1	13%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	5	63%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%	0	6	
7	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	7	
8	7	17%	7	17%	0	0%	0	0%	28	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	42	100%	1	8	
9	2	25%	1	13%	1	13%	0	0%	4	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%	0	9	
10	4	13%	11	35%	2	6%	0	0%	14	45%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	31	100%	1	10	
11	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	0%	0	11	
12	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	12	
13	2	22%	1	11%	0	0%	1	11%	5	56%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%	1	13	
14	0	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14	
15	0	0%	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	15	
16	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	1	16	
17	28	46%	5	8%	8	13%	0	0%	19	31%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	61	100%	12	17	
18	16	21%	3	4%	1	1%	0	0%	58	74%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	78	100%	17	18	
19	9	56%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	6	38%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%	1	19	
20	4	18%	9	41%	0	0%	0	0%	8	36%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	22	100%	0	20	
21	9	45%	0	0%	2	10%	1	5%	8	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%	1	21	
22	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	3	60%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	0	22	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>481</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	

2J\*: Denver Juvenile Probation.

<sup>1</sup>Changes of Venue: Beginning in FY2008 changes of venue were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the changes of venue between courts and probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



**FY2010**  
**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs**  
**Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)**  
**Type of Revocation**

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	4	14%	5	17%	20	69%	29	100%
2J*	8	25%	5	16%	19	59%	32	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
4	6	86%	0	0%	1	14%	7	100%
5	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
6	2	40%	0	0%	3	60%	5	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	3	11%	10	36%	15	54%	28	100%
9	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	4	100%
10	1	7%	2	14%	11	79%	14	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	1	20%	2	40%	2	40%	5	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
16	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
17	5	26%	5	26%	9	47%	19	100%
18	10	17%	14	24%	34	59%	58	100%
19	0	0%	1	17%	5	83%	6	100%
20	0	0%	2	25%	6	75%	8	100%
21	2	25%	0	0%	6	75%	8	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>100%</b>

2J\*: Denver Juvenile Probation.

Key:

*New Felony*: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

*New Misdemeanor*: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

*Technical*: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

*Total Revocations*: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# Colorado Probation: Intensive Programs Summary



**Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs  
Active Clients Summary on June 30, 2010**

DISTRICT	Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)	Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) <sup>1</sup>	Female Offender Program (FOP)	Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) <sup>2</sup>	TOTAL ACTIVE CLIENTS
1	165	154	34	43	396
2A <sup>3</sup>	326	118	45	0	489
2J <sup>4</sup>	0	0	0	80	80
3	27	4	0	5	36
4	152	245	34	27	458
5	17	11	0	2	30
6	4	30	0	6	40
7	12	6	0	0	18
8	125	91	11	80	307
9	8	13	0	4	25
10	47	67	28	23	165
11	6	16	0	2	24
12	7	6	0	2	15
13	28	21	0	5	54
14	9	6	0	4	19
15	8	1	0	7	16
16	8	1	0	11	20
17	162	98	31	57	348
18	134	264	22	57	477
19	79	58	26	8	171
20	47	35	17	13	112
21	32	52	14	7	105
22	5	4	0	9	18
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,408</b>	<b>1,301</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>452</b>	<b>3,423</b>

<sup>1</sup>Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation: The Non-SOISP sex offender population is included in the Regular Adult Probation Table.

<sup>2</sup>Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation: This number may include sex offenders that have been sentenced to JISP.

<sup>3</sup>2A: Denver Adult Probation. This is the only district with just adult offenders, all other districts include both adult and juvenile.

<sup>4</sup>2J: Denver Juvenile Probation. This is the only district with just juvenile offenders, all other districts include both adult and juvenile.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

# Colorado Probation: Victim Services



**Colorado Probation**  
**<sup>1</sup> Summary of Victims Services**  
**FY 2005 to FY 2010**

	FY2005	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010
<b>VICTIM SERVICES<sup>2</sup></b>						
Initial Notifications Sent	12,077	13,936	14,949	16,988	16,183	16,669
Notification Requests Returned	2,363	2,165	2,512	3,066	3,224	2,885
Percent of Victims Requesting Notification	20%	16%	17%	18%	20%	17%
<b>VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS<sup>3</sup></b>						
Date of Probation Termination	1,914	1,807	1,741	2,209	2,251	2,210
Early Termination Requests	300	316	315	318	451	435
Probation Revocation Hearing/Disposition	3,392	3,710	4,040	4,532	4,738	5,276
Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision	307	309	480	678	680	669
Change of Venue/Transfer of Jurisdiction	429	331	481	509	430	604
Abscond/Warrant	1,494	1,385	1,355	1,565	1,812	2,191
Death of a Probationer	36	21	28	15	34	31
<b>TOTAL NOTIFICATIONS</b>	<b>14,307</b>	<b>7,879</b>	<b>8,440</b>	<b>9,826</b>	<b>10,396</b>	<b>11,416</b>

<sup>1</sup>**Summary of Colorado Probation Victim Services:** Effective in FY2008 the information included in this chart reflects only activities that are statutorily required. In previous years additional courtesy notification events were reported; these were "Change of Probation Officer and Supervision Location" and "Hearing Other than Revocation". Prior to FY07 the VRA stated that probation had to notify victims of domestic violence of "any violation of probation". In the 2006 legislative session this requirement was repealed and the following provision for notification: "concerning domestic violence cases, any conduct by the defendant that results in an increase in supervision level by the probation department" was added. This new provision replaced the old legislation and became effective in FY07 and is now reported in "Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision".

<sup>2</sup>**VICTIM SERVICES:** These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.

<sup>3</sup>**VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS:** These numbers include all of the statutorily (24-4,1-303 (13.5) (a) C.R.S) required notifications of the critical stages of probation supervision. These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.

Colorado Probation:  
Alcohol/Drug Driving Safety Program  
(ADDS)



**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Probation- ADDS**  
**New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients**

DISTRICT	Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed pre-sentence)		Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed post-sentence)		Monitoring Sentence Revoked and Reinstated		Monitoring Deferred Sentence		TOTAL Monitoring	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2	22%	1	11%	6	67%	0	0%	9	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	14	27%	6	12%	2	4%	29	57%	51	100%
4	1,854	62%	320	11%	35	1%	794	26%	3,003	100%
5	133	19%	561	79%	7	1%	12	2%	713	100%
6	119	28%	260	61%	0	0%	45	11%	424	100%
7	316	45%	352	50%	20	3%	13	2%	701	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%	0	0%	8	100%
9	170	25%	495	72%	14	2%	11	2%	690	100%
10	0	0%	1	13%	7	88%	0	0%	8	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%
12	3	27%	6	55%	1	9%	1	9%	11	100%
13	354	87%	7	2%	1	0%	44	11%	406	100%
14	306	65%	147	31%	20	4%	1	0%	474	100%
15	30	19%	121	78%	0	0%	5	3%	156	100%
16	81	61%	1	1%	0	0%	50	38%	132	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
19	211	19%	855	77%	50	4%	0	0%	1,116	100%
20	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
21	97	19%	416	81%	1	0%	0	0%	514	100%
22	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	3	100%
STATE	3,691	44%	3,551	42%	188	2%	1,006	12%	8,436	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Probation- ADDS**  
**New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1	11%	8	89%	0	0%	9	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	18	35%	33	65%	0	0%	51	100%
4	847	28%	2,156	72%	0	0%	3,003	100%
5	156	22%	557	78%	0	0%	713	100%
6	110	26%	311	73%	3	1%	424	100%
7	161	23%	540	77%	0	0%	701	100%
8	2	25%	6	75%	0	0%	8	100%
9	138	20%	552	80%	0	0%	690	100%
10	1	13%	7	88%	0	0%	8	100%
11	2	33%	4	67%	0	0%	6	100%
12	0	0%	11	100%	0	0%	11	100%
13	84	21%	322	79%	0	0%	406	100%
14	127	27%	347	73%	0	0%	474	100%
15	45	29%	111	71%	0	0%	156	100%
16	38	29%	94	71%	0	0%	132	100%
17	1	17%	5	83%	0	0%	6	100%
18	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
19	252	23%	864	77%	0	0%	1,116	100%
20	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
21	161	31%	353	69%	0	0%	514	100%
22	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
STATE	2,144	25%	6,289	75%	3	0%	8,436	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

\*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.



TABLE 99

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Probation**  
**New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS			
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%		
1	8	89%	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	41	80%	0	0%	0	0%	10	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	51	100%
4	2,138	71%	275	9%	36	1%	497	17%	27	1%	30	1%	30	1%	3,003	100%
5	628	88%	4	1%	0	0%	80	11%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	713	100%
6	337	79%	6	1%	2	0%	39	9%	39	9%	1	0%	1	0%	424	100%
7	604	86%	5	1%	0	0%	92	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	701	100%
8	7	88%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
9	652	94%	2	0%	1	0%	33	5%	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%	690	100%
10	5	63%	0	0%	0	0%	3	38%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
11	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
12	4	36%	0	0%	0	0%	7	64%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
13	370	91%	12	3%	0	0%	24	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	406	100%
14	454	96%	4	1%	1	0%	12	3%	3	1%	0	0%	0	0%	474	100%
15	119	76%	2	1%	0	0%	34	22%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	156	100%
16	118	89%	3	2%	0	0%	11	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	132	100%
17	5	83%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
18	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
19	801	72%	21	2%	4	0%	283	25%	4	0%	3	0%	3	0%	1,116	100%
20	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
21	448	87%	12	2%	0	0%	49	10%	3	1%	2	0%	2	0%	514	100%
22	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
STATE	6,751	80%	346	4%	44	1%	1,178	14%	78	1%	39	0%	39	0%	8,436	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

\*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court which is not a part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 100

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Probation**  
**New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age**

TYPE: DISTRICT	0-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	7	78%	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	9	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	4	8%	6	12%	8	16%	4	8%	28	55%	1	2%	51	100%
4	26	1%	293	10%	723	24%	864	29%	343	11%	754	25%	0	0%	3,003	100%
5	11	2%	52	7%	140	20%	208	29%	120	17%	182	26%	0	0%	713	100%
6	5	1%	42	10%	86	20%	106	25%	41	10%	144	34%	0	0%	424	100%
7	6	1%	68	10%	108	15%	161	23%	96	14%	262	37%	0	0%	701	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	2	25%	3	38%	1	13%	2	25%	0	0%	8	100%
9	3	0%	48	7%	117	17%	198	29%	113	16%	211	31%	0	0%	690	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	38%	1	13%	4	50%	0	0%	8	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	1	17%	4	67%	0	0%	6	100%
12	1	9%	3	27%	0	0%	3	27%	2	18%	2	18%	0	0%	11	100%
13	7	2%	59	15%	69	17%	97	24%	60	15%	114	28%	0	0%	406	100%
14	7	1%	36	8%	97	20%	128	27%	72	15%	134	28%	0	0%	474	100%
15	3	2%	21	13%	33	21%	33	21%	19	12%	47	30%	0	0%	156	100%
16	2	2%	11	8%	28	21%	33	25%	13	10%	45	34%	0	0%	132	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	1	17%	2	33%	2	33%	0	0%	6	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
19	6	1%	114	10%	239	21%	302	27%	162	15%	293	26%	0	0%	1,116	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
21	4	1%	63	12%	121	24%	137	27%	57	11%	132	26%	0	0%	514	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
STATE	81	1%	814	10%	1,772	21%	2,294	27%	1,110	13%	2,364	28%	1	0%	8,436	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

\*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 101

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Probation**  
**New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients**  
**Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations**

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS		
	Number	%	Number	Revocation	Absconded	Number	%	Number	%
<b>DISTRICT</b>									
1	312	75%	20	5%	85	20%	417	100%	
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
3	67	85%	0	0%	12	15%	79	100%	
4	1,941	64%	1,078	36%	9	0%	3,028	100%	
5	614	82%	20	3%	116	15%	750	100%	
6	232	71%	35	11%	59	18%	326	100%	
7	511	89%	4	1%	56	10%	571	100%	
8	68	54%	28	22%	31	24%	127	100%	
9	434	67%	20	3%	194	30%	648	100%	
10	13	57%	3	13%	7	30%	23	100%	
11	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	
12	15	83%	1	6%	2	11%	18	100%	
13	295	79%	58	15%	22	6%	375	100%	
14	305	88%	2	1%	41	12%	348	100%	
15	149	94%	0	0%	10	6%	159	100%	
16	112	88%	0	0%	15	12%	127	100%	
17	269	58%	44	10%	148	32%	461	100%	
18	2	50%	1	25%	1	25%	4	100%	
19	741	74%	96	10%	170	17%	1,007	100%	
20	7	87%	1	13%	0	0%	8	100%	
21	313	97%	0	0%	9	3%	322	100%	
22	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	
<b>STATE</b>	<b>6,407</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>1,411</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>987</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>8,805</b>	<b>100%</b>	

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number includes the state successful terminations.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: This column includes revocations and absconsions. A revocation is defined as a termination from monitoring for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. Absconction refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with monitoring.

*Total Terminations*: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconsions and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of monitoring closures are included in Table 103.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

**FY 2010  
Colorado State Probation  
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Closure Types**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Successful		Revocation		Absconded		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	312	74%	20	5%	85	20%	0	0%	1	0%	4	1%	422	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	67	84%	0	0%	12	15%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	80	100%
4	1,941	64%	1,078	35%	9	0%	3	0%	9	0%	5	0%	3,045	100%
5	614	81%	20	3%	116	15%	3	0%	2	0%	1	0%	756	100%
6	232	70%	35	11%	59	18%	1	0%	3	1%	3	1%	333	100%
7	511	74%	4	1%	56	8%	10	1%	4	1%	101	15%	686	100%
8	68	54%	28	22%	31	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	127	100%
9	434	67%	20	3%	194	30%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	650	100%
10	13	57%	3	13%	7	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%
11	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
12	15	60%	1	4%	2	8%	0	0%	0	0%	7	28%	25	100%
13	295	78%	58	15%	22	6%	1	0%	2	1%	1	0%	379	100%
14	305	77%	2	1%	41	10%	1	0%	3	1%	44	11%	396	100%
15	149	93%	0	0%	10	6%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	161	100%
16	112	87%	0	0%	15	12%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	129	100%
17	269	58%	44	10%	148	32%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	462	100%
18	2	40%	1	20%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	1	20%	5	100%
19	741	72%	96	9%	170	17%	10	1%	4	0%	9	1%	1,030	100%
20	7	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
21	313	96%	0	0%	9	3%	2	1%	1	0%	0	0%	325	100%
22	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
STATE	6,407	71%	1,411	16%	987	11%	32	0%	34	0%	178	2%	9,049	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 103

**FY 2010**  
**Colorado State Adult Probation**  
**State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients**  
**Type of Revocation**

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	5	25%	15	75%	20	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	21	2%	78	7%	979	91%	1,078	100%
5	4	20%	4	20%	12	60%	20	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	35	100%	35	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%
8	4	14%	4	14%	20	71%	28	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%	20	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
13	4	7%	17	29%	37	64%	58	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	2	5%	4	9%	38	86%	44	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
19	6	6%	24	25%	66	69%	96	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	41	3%	136	10%	1,234	87%	1,411	100%

Key:

*New Felony*: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on monitoring.

*New Misdemeanor*: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on monitoring.

*Technical*: Includes revocations for technical violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

*Total Revocations*: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from monitoring.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

\*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

**FY2010**  
**Colorado State Probation**  
**State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients**  
**Length of Stay**

TYPE: DISTRICT	0-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25-48 months		49+ months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	6	1%	100	24%	220	52%	75	18%	21	5%	0	0%	422	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	2	3%	55	69%	14	18%	4	5%	5	6%	0	0%	80	100%
4	1,057	35%	1,361	45%	480	16%	124	4%	21	1%	2	0%	3,045	100%
5	234	31%	263	35%	136	18%	75	10%	46	6%	2	0%	756	100%
6	17	5%	137	41%	114	34%	35	11%	28	8%	2	1%	333	100%
7	147	21%	253	37%	78	11%	128	19%	59	9%	21	3%	686	100%
8	0	0%	1	1%	21	17%	39	31%	62	49%	4	3%	127	100%
9	107	16%	194	30%	158	24%	86	13%	101	16%	4	1%	650	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	91%	2	9%	23	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
12	8	32%	1	4%	3	12%	4	16%	8	32%	1	4%	25	100%
13	56	15%	82	22%	60	16%	134	35%	46	12%	1	0%	379	100%
14	118	30%	102	26%	62	16%	78	20%	36	9%	0	0%	396	100%
15	42	26%	47	29%	36	22%	18	11%	16	10%	2	1%	161	100%
16	60	47%	41	32%	12	9%	4	3%	8	6%	4	3%	129	100%
17	2	0%	0	0%	106	23%	188	41%	161	35%	5	1%	462	100%
18	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3	60%	5	100%
19	156	15%	309	30%	248	24%	164	16%	149	14%	4	0%	1,030	100%
20	1	13%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	3	38%	3	38%	8	100%
21	72	22%	163	50%	66	20%	22	7%	1	0%	1	0%	325	100%
22	2	50%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
STATE	2,088	23%	3,110	34%	1,817	20%	1,179	13%	794	9%	61	1%	9,049	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

\*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

**FY 2010  
Colorado State Probation  
Total Active DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients  
on June 30, 2010**

DISTRICT	NUMBER
1	101
2*	0
3	79
4	2,779
5	890
6	616
7	856
8	136
9	1,429
10	86
11	26
12	14
13	569
14	686
15	226
16	145
17	102
18	1
19	1,660
20	281
21	763
22	3
<b>TOTAL STATE</b>	<b>11,448</b>

\*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filled in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

# Section IX: Glossary of Terms





## Probation

<b>Absconder:</b>	Refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.
<b>Adjudication:</b>	Refers to a juvenile case indicating that the charges have been proven or the juvenile has pled guilty to committing a delinquent act. The equivalent for adult offenders is "conviction."
<b>Administrative Investigations:</b>	A supplemental report to a Pre- Sentence Report addressing additional cases.
<b>Administrative Closure:</b>	Includes no services provided to an offender (i.e. in custody in another jurisdiction).
<b>Administrative Client Risk Level or Active:</b>	An assigned supervision level indicating lower supervision need by probation because of other more intensive "supervision" being provided elsewhere (such as jail or an inpatient treatment facility) or minimal conditions of the sentence remain and more intensive supervision is no longer necessary.
<b>Change of Venue:</b>	Occurs when a delinquent juvenile has been transferred from one Colorado judicial district, where the offense occurred, to another one where the juvenile will receive supervision. Change of Venue typically occurs because the juvenile resides in a jurisdiction other than where the offense took place.
<b>Client Risk Level:</b>	Probation offenders are classified using a risk assessment instrument. The instrument used for adults are the LSI (Level of Supervision Inventory), the SARA (Spousal Assault Risk Assessment) and the Oregon Sex Offender assessment instrument. The juvenile instrument is the CYO- LSI (Colorado Young Offender Level of Service Inventory) and the O'Brien Sex Offender Assessment is used for juvenile sex offenders. These instruments determine the level of supervision for an offender (e.g. Maximum, Medium, and Minimum).
<b>Courtesy Transfers:</b>	A case that has closed in the supervising jurisdiction and returned to the originating or sentencing district.
<b>Courtesy Supervision:</b>	Occurs when an adult offender has received a probation sentence in one Colorado judicial district but will be supervised by the probation department in another Colorado judicial district because they reside in that jurisdiction.
<b>Community Corrections:</b>	A community-based sentence that is more restricted than probation supervision but less restrictive than a secure facility. A community corrections sentence typically includes both a residential and non-residential component with supervision from a case manager. Because probation maintains jurisdiction over offenders sentenced to community corrections, they are also monitored by a probation officer.
<b>Deferred Judgment and Sentence/Adjudication:</b>	Refers to a case status in which the offender, (adult or juvenile) has entered a plea of guilty and, through an agreement with the district attorney and consent of the court, the case is continued for a set period of time. The offender is usually supervised by probation and upon successful completion of the deferred judgment the case is dismissed.
<b>Direct File:</b>	The District Attorney files a case in which a juvenile is prosecuted as an adult.
<b>Intake:</b>	The initial process for setting up the supervision of probationers in the absence of a pre-sentence investigation. The intake process typically entails a review of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence, an assessment of risk and needs, and initial referral for services.
<b>Interstate:</b>	Refers to offenders who have committed crimes in a state other than where they reside. These offenders apply to have their supervision transferred to their state of residence and are screened by the Interstate Compact Office prior to acceptance of supervision.
<b>Investigations:</b>	An investigative process conducted by probation officers prior to sentencing on a criminal offense. The information (e.g. risk for re-offense, service needs, criminal, social, education and substance abuse history, impact of the offense on victim(s) etc.) gathered during a pre-sentence investigation is used to inform sentencing decisions and supervision strategies.

<b>Length of Stay:</b>	The period of time an offender is on probation supervision.
<b>Monitoring:</b>	DUI/DWAI offenders who as a part of their sentence are required to have their compliance with court orders monitored by the probation department.
<b>Revoked:</b>	The withdrawal of a probation sentence due to a new misdemeanor or felony offense or a technical violation of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence. Following a revocation of probation, the offender is re-sentenced by the court.
<b>Success:</b>	Successful terminations represent those offenders who meet their Terms and Conditions of their probation sentence and the length of their sentence has expired.
<b>Technical Violation:</b>	Occurs when a probationer has been non-compliant with the Term(s) and Condition(s) of the probation sentence (e.g. drug use, missed appointments etc).
<b>Transfer Hearing:</b>	The court makes a determination after a hearing to prosecute a juvenile as an adult.

### Supreme Court Glossary of Terms

<b>Civil Appeal:</b>	A written request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a civil case.
<b>Criminal Appeal:</b>	A request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a criminal case.
<b>Habeas Corpus:</b>	(Habeas corpus ad subjiciendum) "An independent civil action to determine not the guilt or innocence of the person held in custody, but whether the custody is unlawful. Common grounds for relief under the writ include a conviction based on illegally obtained evidence, a denial of effective assistance of counsel, or a conviction by a jury that was improperly selected and impaneled. Use of the writ is not limited to criminal matters. It is also available in civil matters, as, for example, to challenge a person's custody of a child or the institutionalization of a person declared incompetent." ( <a href="http://dictionary.lp.findlaw.com">http://dictionary.lp.findlaw.com</a> )
<b>Interlocutory:</b>	An interlocutory appeal is one which is not determinable of the controversy, but which is necessary for a suitable adjudication of the merits. Colorado Appellate Rule 4.1 governs interlocutory appeals in criminal cases and provides that the state may file an interlocutory appeal in the Supreme Court from a district court ruling granting a motion in advance of the trial by the defendant for the return of property and to suppress evidence or to suppress an extra-judicial confession or admission. This is only one example of an interlocutory appeal.
<b>Interrogatories:</b>	Pursuant to Article VI, section 3 of the state Constitution, the Court may be required to answer "important questions upon solemn occasions" propounded by the Governor, the Senate, or the House of Representatives. These questions are called "interrogatories."
<b>Judicial Discipline or Disability:</b>	Judges who are alleged to have engaged in misconduct or to be suffering from a disability which is or is likely to become of a permanent character may be subject to judicial discipline. The Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline investigates such allegations and, if it concludes that the judge has engaged in misconduct or is disabled, it may recommend to the Supreme Court a range of sanctions, including removal of the judge from office, retirement of the judge for a disability, reprimand or censure of the judge, or assessment of costs against the judge.
<b>Original Proceedings:</b>	Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure 21 provides the Colorado Supreme Court with discretion to exercise original jurisdiction in extraordinary circumstances where no other remedy is available. Original proceedings are used to test whether the trial court is proceeding without jurisdiction or in excess of its jurisdiction and to review a serious abuse of discretion when an appellate remedy would be inadequate. The remedy in an original proceeding takes the form of a special mandate from the Supreme Court addressed to an individual, official body, or lower court and may be used to restrain or compel the acts of a trial court. The Court issues a "rule to show cause" why the relief requested in the petition should not be granted. After considering the written briefs, the Supreme Court

either makes the rule absolute (grants the relief requested) or discharges the rule (denies the relief requested).

- Petitions in Certiorari:** A writ of common law origin issued by a superior to an inferior court requiring the latter to produce a certified record of a particular case tried therein. The writ is generally issued so that the issuing court may inspect the proceedings and determine whether there have been any irregularities. Like the United States Supreme Court, the Colorado Supreme Court uses the writ as a discretionary device to choose which cases it wishes to hear. Colorado Appellate Rules 49-58 govern writs of certiorari.
- Reapportionment:** Article 5, section 48 of the state Constitution provides that after the decennial federal census, the senatorial districts and representative districts shall be established, revised, or altered, and the members of the Senate and the House of Representatives apportioned among them, by a Colorado reapportionment commission. The commission must publish a preliminary plan for reapportionment of the members of the General Assembly and shall hold public hearings thereon. After the completion of such hearings, the commission must finalize its plan and submit the same to the Colorado Supreme Court for review and determination as to whether the plan meets constitutional standards. The Supreme Court must either approve the plan or return the plan and the court's reasons for disapproval to the commission. If the plan is returned, the commission shall revise and modify it to conform to the court's requirements and resubmit the plan to the court. If the plan is approved by the court, it shall be filed with the secretary of state for implementation.
- Request for Stay Pending Appeal:** A stay is a suspension of the case or some designated proceedings within it. Colorado Appellate Rule 8 governs stays pending appeal.
- Rule 21.1:** This rule of appellate procedure governs certification of questions of law to the Colorado Supreme Court by the United States Supreme Court, federal courts of appeals, federal district courts, and the federal Court of Claims. Under the rule, a certifying court may request that the Colorado Supreme Court answer a question of law if there is involved in any proceeding before the certifying court a question of state law which may be determinative of the cause pending and to which it appears that there is no controlling precedent in the Colorado Supreme Court's decisions.
- Special Concurrences:** An opinion in which a justice expresses agreement with the particular result in a given case while objecting to a line of reasoning. The special concurrence may relate to the majority opinion in its entirety or to the result only.
- Statutory Review:** Section 1-40-107, C.R.S., provides a mechanism by which registered electors who meet certain criteria and who believe that a ballot title contains multiple subjects may petition the Supreme Court to review the title board's action or decision.
- Unauthorized Practice:** The practice of law by one who is not licensed as an attorney in Colorado. The Supreme Court has the exclusive jurisdiction to define the practice of law and to prohibit the unauthorized practice of law within the state (see C.R.C.P. 229 through 240.1).

#### **Trial Court Glossary of Terms**

- Administrative Support Order:** Cases in which the delegate child support unit (typically the department of social services) files a paternity or child support case (See §26-13.5-101 C.R.S.).
- Ancillary Proceedings:** A type of probate filing in which a probate case had been opened in another state but the decedent had property in the state of Colorado.
- Breach of Contract:** A civil case in which the plaintiff claims parties made an agreement either written or otherwise and the other side has breached this contract (e.g. §13-80-102 C.R.S.).
- Breach of Warranty:** A civil case in which a plaintiff claims that a product does not perform as represented.

<b>C.R.C.C.P.:</b>	Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of County Civil Procedure
<b>C.R.C.P.:</b>	Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure
<b>C.R.S.:</b>	Abbreviation for Colorado Revised Statutes.
<b>Civil Protection Orders:</b>	(Prior to 7/1/03, known as Restraining Orders). District and county courts have original concurrent jurisdiction to issue temporary or permanent civil protection orders for any of the following purposes: 1) to prevent assaults and threatened bodily harm; 2) to prevent domestic abuse; 3) to prevent emotional abuse of the elderly; and 4) to prevent stalking (See 13-14-102 C.R.S. and 13-1-136 C.R.S. and C.R.C.C.P. Rule 365)
<b>Condemnation:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff, usually the Department of Transportation but may be a city or state district, is asking that property be condemned and taken so that it may be put to that department's use (See §38-3-105 C.R.S.).
<b>County Court Counter Claim:</b>	A civil case in which a defendant desires to counterclaim against the Plaintiff. In County Court if the counterclaim exceeds the jurisdiction of County Court, a transfer of the case to District Court may be requested by the defendant or made automatically by the court (See C.R.C.P. 313).
<b>County Felony:</b>	Criminal felony cases that have preliminary work done at the County Court level before being bound over (sent) to District Court.
<b>Covenant:</b>	Provisions in a deed limiting the use of the property and prohibiting certain uses. Breach of covenant claims are usually filed by homeowners associations.
<b>Criminal Non-support:</b>	A criminal action for the non-payment of child support.
<b>Declaratory Judgment:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff is asking the court to declare (order) something. It is used to settle and gain relief from uncertainty with respect to rights, status, or other legal relations.
<b>Dependency and Neglect:</b>	A child is dependent or neglected if a parent, guardian, or legal custodian has abandoned the child or has subjected the child to mistreatment or abuse, whether the parent is or is not at fault. In addition, a child is dependent or neglected if the child has run away from home or is beyond the control of his or her parents (For other examples, see §19-3-102 C.R.S.).
<b>Determination of Interests:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff asks the court to determine his or her interest in something ( <i>e.g. two attorneys have been partners in a law practice. Now the partnership is being dissolved, and the attorneys cannot agree on who gets what, for example: furniture, computer, client list, etc.</i> ).
<b>Disposition:</b>	The final settlement of a matter. With reference to decisions announced by a court, a judge's ruling is commonly referred to as a disposition.
<b>Distrain Warrant:</b>	A filing by the State Department of Revenue for a judgment for outstanding state taxes that allows for a lien to be placed on the debtor's assets.
<b>Expedited Placement:</b>	A dependency and neglect case in which at least one child of the family is under the age of 6 years on the date the petition is filed qualifies as an Expedited Permanency Planning (EPP) case (§19-3-104 C.R.S.).
<b>Felony:</b>	A crime punishable by death or by imprisonment in a state penal institution.
<b>Forcible Entry and Detainer:</b>	Eviction; a civil case in which the plaintiff is seeking to regain possession of leased real property and possibly money damages (See §13-40-110 C.R.S.).
<b>Foreclosure other than Rule 120:</b>	A legal proceeding to terminate a mortgager's interest in property, instituted by the lender either to gain title or to force a sale in order to satisfy the unpaid debt secured by the property (See §38-38-701 C.R.S.).
<b>Foreign Judgment:</b>	A judgment from any state or federal court granting or denying recovery for a sum of money other than judgments for taxes, a fine or other penalty, or a judgment for support in family or matrimonial matters (See §13-53-102 C.R.S.).

<b>Goods Sold and Delivered:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that defendant purchased products, not services, but has failed to pay for them.
<b>Incoming Registration of Support:</b>	A domestic case in which a person had a Support Order that was entered in another state and he or she wants to enforce the case here, generally to modify the support order or to issue garnishments or wage assignments.
<b>Infraction:</b>	A breach, violation, or infringement, as of a law, contract, right, or duty. A violation of a statute for which the only sentence authorized is a fine.
<b>Injunctive Relief:</b>	Plaintiff asks the court to restrain or enjoin another party from taking an action that may cause harm or result in injury, loss or damage to the plaintiff. May also include a temporary protection order. (See C.R.C.P. 65)
<b>Intestate-Formal:</b>	Formal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing a petition and, after notice and hearing, the court enters an order, adjudicates intestacy, determines heirs and appoints a personal representative.
<b>Intestate-Informal:</b>	Informal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing an application for informal appointment.
<b>Lien:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff has provided goods and/or services to a party, and has not been paid. Plaintiff retains right to hold property until payment for services is received. If services were provided on real property, see Mechanic's Lien. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S.)
<b>Mechanic's Lien:</b>	Plaintiff has provided goods and/or services on a property (real property) for which he or she has not been paid and plaintiff has subsequently filed a lien against the property to ensure payment. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S.)
<b>Misdemeanor:</b>	A criminal offense punishable by a sentence in the county jail.
<b>Money:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims a demand for money owed.
<b>Note:</b>	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims defendant has signed a note (a borrower's legally binding written promise to repay a debt to a lender on a specified date) and has defaulted on the payment for that note.
<b>Outgoing Registration of UIFSA:</b>	An administrative order filed by the Department of Health Services that it wants to have enforced in another state.
<b>Public Administrator Statement:</b>	A type of probate filing. The public administrator files statements of accounts in small estates.
<b>Public Utilities Commission:</b>	A review of a decision by the Public Utilities Commission for the purpose of having the lawfulness of the final decision inquired into and determined. (See §40-6-115 C.R.S.)
<b>Registration of Foreign Decree:</b>	When a party to a domestic relations case, filed in another state, seeks to register (or file) an out-of-state case into the State of Colorado for purposes of enforcement. (See §14-11-101 C.R.S.)
<b>Replevin:</b>	A civil case in which a party having right to possession is asking to recover from another who has either wrongfully taken or detained property. (See C.R.C.P. 104.)
<b>Restraining Orders:</b>	See Civil Protection Orders
<b>Rule 105 Quiet Title:</b>	Plaintiff asks the court to determine the rights of all parties with respect to real property and its possession (See C.R.C.P. 105 and C.R.C.P. 105.1(b)).
<b>Rule 106 Writs/Contempt:</b>	A civil case brought in District Court that allows for relief to be obtained in the following instances: 1) where any person not being committed or detained for any criminal or supposed criminal matter is illegally confined or restrained; 2) to

compel a lower judicial body, governmental body, corporation, board, officer or person to perform an act which the law specially enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to compel the admission of a party to the use and enjoyment of a right or office to which he is entitled, and from which he is unlawfully precluded; 3) when any person usurps, intrudes into, or unlawfully holds or exercises any office or franchise; 4) where any governmental body or officer or any lower judicial body exercising judicial or quasi-judicial functions has exceeded its jurisdiction or abused its discretion, and there is no remedy otherwise provided by law.

- Rule 120 Deed of Trust:** A party holding interest in property through an instrument in which there is default on the instrument, may ask the court to order the property sold by the public trustee (See C.R.C.P. Rule 120).
- Services Rendered:** A civil case in which a party performs a service but is not compensated as agreed.
- Single Transaction:** A type of probate filing in which the court, without appointing a conservator, may enter an order to authorize, direct or ratify any transaction necessary or desirable for the best interests of the protected person.
- Special Administrator-Formal:** A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed by the Court on petition of any interested person and finding, after notice and hearing, that appointment is necessary to preserve the estate or secure its proper administration, including when a personal representative cannot or should not act. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.)
- Special Administrator-Informal:** A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed informally by the Registrar on application of any interested person when necessary to protect the estate of a decedent prior to the appointment of a general personal representative or if a prior appointment has been terminated. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.) Note there is a general policy in most courts to accept only formal proceedings.
- Special District:** Persons proposing the organization of a special district (i.e. water, fire protection, metropolitan, park & recreation, sanitation etc.) may petition the court for the organization of the district. (See §32-1-301 C.R.S.)
- Specific Performance:** A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that a contract exists between parties and one party has failed to perform the conditions of the contract. The plaintiff asks the court to order that the conditions of the contract be performed.
- Testate-Formal:** A probate filing. A formal proceeding for adjudication regarding the priority or qualification of one who is an applicant for appointment as personal representative, or of one who previously has been appointed personal representative in informal proceedings, or if an issue concerning the testacy of the decedent is or may be involved (See §15-12-414 C.R.S.).
- Testate-Informal:** A probate filing. An informal probate of will and informal appointment of personal representative.
- Termination (terminated case):** A case in which all outstanding issues have been resolved; a case that has reached final resolution.
- Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act:** A type of probate filing, commonly referred to as a UVGA case, which applies to beneficiaries of monies from the Veterans Administration. The word guardian and guardianship is used but in essence this is a conservatorship and essentially treated as a conservatorship.
- Writ of Habeas Corpus:** Plaintiff claims that he or she has been illegally confined and is asking for an order releasing him or her. (See §13-45-101 C.R.S. and §13-45-102 C.R.S.)