


REG 7.1 / 1928-30

C.1

COLORADO STATE PUBLICATIONS LIBRARY local
 REUBEN B. YOUNG C.2
 Swadens, Mark Population live births

 3 1799 00002 7912

SIXTEENTH AND SEVENTEENTH
 ANNUAL REPORTS
 OF THE
**PUBLIC UTILITIES
 COMMISSION**
 OF THE
 STATE OF COLORADO



V
 065
 Colo
 1930

From December 1, 1928, to November 30, 1930
 (Combined for the Biennial Period)

SIXTEENTH AND SEVENTEENTH
ANNUAL REPORTS
OF THE
**PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION**
OF THE
STATE OF COLORADO



From December 1, 1928, to November 30, 1930
(Combined for the Biennial Period)



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2016

COMMISSIONERS

OTTO BOCK
Chairman

DANIEL S. JONES

WORTH ALLEN

JOHN W. FLINTHAM
Secretary

Office of Commission,
318 State Office Building,
Denver, Colorado.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION I

	Page
GENERAL	7
Letter of Transmittal.....	9
Foreword	10
Formal Complaints.....	11
Motor Vehicle Complaints.....	11
Applications	12
Hearings Held.....	13
Regulation of Motor Vehicle Carriers.....	13
Before the Interstate Commerce Commission.....	14
In United States District Court.....	14
In United States Supreme Court.....	14
Before the Supreme Court.....	15
In District Courts.....	15
DEPARTMENTAL ACTIVITIES—	
Rate Department.....	17
Railway and Hydraulic Engineering Department Railways.....	19
Accidents	19
Railroad Crossings.....	19
Floods and Slides.....	20
New Construction.....	20
Abandonments	21
Water Utilities.....	22
Informal Complaints.....	22
Inspection Department.....	22
Stenographic Department.....	23
Electric, Gas, Telephone and Telegraph Engineering Department.....	23
Auditing and Statistical Department.....	24
Regulation of Air Carriers.....	27
Conclusion	28

SECTION II

	Page
STATISTICS	31
Public Utilities.....	33
Steam Railroads.....	34
Electric Street and Interurban Railways.....	35
Additional Public Utilities Reporting and Operating in the State of Colorado, January 1, 1928.....	35
Statistics of Steam Railroads.....	36
Statistics of Electric Railways.....	42
ELECTRIC UTILITIES, Privately Owned—	
Operating Revenues and Expenses.....	44
ELECTRIC UTILITIES, Municipal—	
Operating Revenues and Expenses.....	48
GAS UTILITIES—	
Operating Revenues and Expenses.....	50
WATER UTILITIES, Privately Owned—	
Operating Revenues and Expenses.....	52
WATER UTILITIES, Municipal—	
Operating Revenues and Expenses.....	54
TELEPHONE UTILITIES—	
Operating Revenues and Expenses.....	60
SUMMARY of Operating Revenues and Expenses of Electric, Gas, Water and Telephone Utilities.....	64
MOTOR VEHICLE CARRIERS Having Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity, November 30, 1928.....	65

SECTION 1. GENERAL

Letter of Transmittal and Introduction

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

Denver, Colorado, January 15, 1931.

To His Excellency,

WILLIAM H. ADAMS,
Governor of Colorado.

Dear Sir:

The Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado has the honor to transmit to you herewith for your consideration and approval its sixteenth and seventeenth annual reports for the fiscal years ended November 30, 1929, and November 30, 1930.

The Commission has endeavored, in as brief a manner as possible consistent with the facts, to convey to you some conception of the vast scope of its activities and the voluminous amount of important and detail matter passing through this department; also the results obtained in the disposition of same and the benefits derived therefrom by the public. To that end it is earnestly hoped the report will prove of interest and value. For the sake of economy, detail of all formal and informal cases and applications disposed of has been omitted from this report.

All important formal orders and decisions are published in a series of reports entitled, "Decisions of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado," now numbering eight volumes. Volumes VI, VII and VIII are now printed, containing decisions from January 1, 1920, to December 31, 1930.

Respectfully,

OTTO BOCK,
DANIEL S. JONES,
WORTH ALLEN,
Commissioners.

Attest:

JOHN W. FLINTHAM, Secretary.

FOREWORD

The biennial period December 1, 1928, to November 30, 1930, shows the activities of the Commission still continue to grow and the business increase, as shown by eight hundred twenty-two cases filed and thirteen hundred five decided as compared to six hundred seventy-eight filed and eleven hundred twenty-one decided for the former biennial period. Eight hundred sixty-one hearings were held as compared to six hundred thirty-eight for the biennial period 1927 and 1928.

In order to give some idea of the work accomplished, it is interesting to glance back over the previous years and make a comparison of the work handled. This can best be done by studying the comparative figures given elsewhere in this report showing the cases and applications filed and disposed of and the hearings held all over the state. The 1927 and 1928 report showed that 25.8% of the formal cases and 54.2% of the applications filed since the inception of the Commission took place in that period. It will be noted that five hundred forty-four cases and applications were filed during that period, while seven hundred eight were filed during 1929 and 1930.

The regulation of motor vehicle carriers takes up the most time of the Commission, practically 75%. In addition to this all other activities, such as rate problems, Interstate Commerce cases, construction and extension of electrical utilities and water complaints take up the balance of time in hearings, decisions and conferences.

Fourteen hundred seventeen decisions in formal cases were rendered as compared to nine hundred eighty-seven for the preceding biennial period, the increase being in formal complaints and applications for certificates of public convenience and necessity filed by motor vehicle operators, of which the latter predominated.

Since August 1, 1927, the effective date of the motor vehicle act, and November 30, 1930, highway taxes of \$262,449.40 were assessed, of which \$248,831.63 were collected, these collections averaging better than 94%. Taxes assessed for October, 1930, amounting to approximately \$8,500, which were not due until December 10, 1930, will account for the greater portion of the difference between the total taxes assessed and collected. Special collections for filing fees, payment for certificates, copies of records, etc., amounted to \$10,982.10, which was paid into the treasury of the state to the credit of the General Fund. During this biennial period the expenses of the Commission were \$54,810.35 for 1929 and \$56,473.89 for 1930, being a total of \$111,284.24 from an appropriation of \$146,358.32 passed by the twenty-seventh General Assembly in 1929 to carry on its work until June 30, 1931. This compares with the total expense of \$99,000.13 for the last biennial period, the increase being due principally to the

filling of the positions of Attorney, \$2,400 per annum, and Inspecting Auditor, \$1,800 per annum, and \$3,000 for the printing of Public Utilities Commission Decisions and greater expenditures in traveling and incidental expenses. Detail of expenditures and disbursements are given elsewhere in this report under the heading of Auditing and Statistical Department.

The following summary comparison with the previous biennial period is self-explanatory:

	FILED		DECIDED	
	Period	Period	Period	Period
	Dec. 1, 1928 Nov. 30, 1930	Dec. 1, 1926 Nov. 30, 1928	Dec. 1, 1928 Nov. 30, 1930	Dec. 1, 1926 Nov. 30, 1928
Formal Complaints.....	199	79	418	110
Applications	498	438	712	824
Investigation and Suspension Cases.....	28	27	78	53
Informal Complaints.....	97	134	97	134
Total	822	678	1,305	1,121

FORMAL COMPLAINTS

During this period four hundred eighteen formal complaints were disposed of, the majority being cases where motor vehicle transportation lines were operating without a certificate of public convenience and necessity. Other cases involved were unreasonable oil rates, unreasonable coal rates, unreasonable fat and stock cattle rates, unreasonable ice rates, unreasonable milk rates, investigation of vegetable rates, stoppage in transit privilege, relief from assessment of demurrage, complaints against steel cattle guards, substitution of gasoline motor for steam cars, abandonment of service, restoration of passenger train service, failure to furnish cars, transporting empty cans free, removing pipe line from right-of-way, new station at Boulder, Colorado, abandonment of street car line, construction of electric line without a certificate, investigation of extension policies of all electric utilities, exercise of franchise rights of gas and electric utilities, unreasonable electric rates and regulations, refusal of telephone service, inadequate water service, discrimination (water rates) practices and service of water utilities, protest against natural gas rates.

MOTOR VEHICLE COMPLAINTS

Operating without a certificate of public convenience and necessity, operating as a contract carrier, failure to file reports and to pay the tax, failure to file schedules, adequacy and efficiency of truck operation, transportation of ice cream in dry packages, failure to operate, operating solid tire truck without paying extra tax, operating beyond scope of certificate, revocation of certifi-

cate, rebating, unfair practices, discrimination, practice of solicitation and securing business, arranging transportation for uncertified carriers, unlawful operations, operating over routes not authorized, petition to deny certificate, unreasonable sightseeing rates, excessive charge for transportation of ice cream, using fare not on file, discontinuance of service, protests against new rates, unreasonable milk rates, failure to pay fee for certificate, unsatisfactory and uncertain service by truck line, violation of terms of certificate and rules and regulations of the Commission, aiding and abetting violation of certificate.

There are thirty-three formal cases and complaints pending, the most important of which are the reasonableness of coal rates, grain and grain products rates, hay rates, livestock rates, fat stock cattle rates, condensed buttermilk rates, sewer pipe rates, oil rates, etc.

APPLICATIONS

A brief classification of applications filed as compared with similar data for the previous biennial period is as follows:

	PERIOD	
	Dec. 1, 1928	Dec. 1, 1926
	Nov. 30, 1930	Nov. 30, 1928
Motor Vehicle Carriers for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity.....	349	278
Air Carriers for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity.....	4	2
Grade Crossings.....	15	20
Remove Tracks, Close Stations, Cessation and Curtailment of Train Service, Steam Roads..	5	11
Waiving of Freight Undercharges and Reparation	146	34
Exercise Franchise Rights of Electrical, Water and Telephone Utilities.....	25	45
Miscellaneous	57	50
Total	601	440

Applications disposed of during this period totaled 858, involving in equal proportion the various classes of applications filed as shown above.

During the same period 655 authorities were issued authorizing changes in schedules on less than statutory notice.

In the Investigation and Suspension Docket 78 cases were closed up.

A satisfactory adjustment of 97 informal complaints filed was accomplished without the necessity of hearings. This involved the operations of the various public utilities within the state in many different phases.

HEARINGS HELD

The Commission has adhered to its established policy of holding its hearings in or near the various localities affected in order to get first hand information to be decided and also save witnesses the expense in coming to Denver. While this practice has kept the Commission away from headquarters in some instances for several days at a time, it feels it has undoubtedly made its work more effective, and has served to bring it in closer touch with the various communities and to make those interested more familiar with the process by which the issues are determined. Hearings held were as follows:

	1929			1930	
	Denver	Outside		Denver	Outside
Dec., 1928.....	8	11	Dec., 1929.....	10	16
Jan., 1929.....	13	3	Jan., 1930.....	33	0
Feb., 1929.....	7	5	Feb., 1930.....	15	17
Mar., 1929.....	22	11	Mar., 1930.....	31	8
Apr., 1929.....	40	8	Apr., 1930.....	28	24
May, 1929.....	31	34	May, 1930.....	41	4
June, 1929.....	13	6	June, 1930.....	19	12
July, 1929.....	38	25	July, 1930.....	11	15
Aug., 1929.....	8	22	Aug., 1930.....	11	16
Sept., 1929.....	30	25	Sept., 1930.....	19	27
Oct., 1929.....	20	11	Oct., 1930.....	33	36
Nov., 1929.....	20	7	Nov., 1930.....	13	4
	<hr/>	<hr/>		<hr/>	<hr/>
	250	168		264	179

From the above it will be noted that 514 hearings were held in Denver and 347 elsewhere in the state, a total of 861 hearings in two years.

REGULATION OF MOTOR VEHICLE CARRIERS

The Commission has now had over three years of regulation of automobile common carriers as provided in H. B. 430 which became effective August 1, 1927, and it has meant much extra work in the Auditing, Rate and Inspection Departments. The Auditing and Rate Departments by strenuous effort, cheerful co-operation and much hard work have endeavored to handle this increase in work with the addition of only one employe, that of an Inspecting Auditor, whose work did not begin until August 1, 1929. The Auditing and Rate Departments have had to work overtime to keep up with this and their other duties.

LEGAL DEPARTMENT

BEFORE THE INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FINANCE DOCKET NO. 7092. Application of the City and County of Denver, Colorado, for a certificate authorizing The Colorado and Southern Railway Company to abandon a part of its Platte Canon line of railroad.

FINANCE DOCKET NO. 7132. Application of The Colorado and Southern Railway Company to abandon a part of its Platte Canon line of railroad.

Hearing on these two dockets was held jointly in Denver before an Examiner of the Interstate Commerce Commission and this Commission. The proposed abandonment was protested by counties, corporations, associations and citizens. The State of Colorado as such formally intervened, participated in the hearing, filed briefs and participated in the oral argument in Washington, D. C. Abandonment was denied, but the applicant is allowed to renew its application within three years.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

People vs. Harvey Cox, No. 9083. Defendant's application for a writ of habeas corpus denied. Citation for appeal to United States Supreme Court signed and bond fixed.

People vs. Harvey Cox, No. 9076. Transcript from State District Court and petition for removal to Federal Court filed by defendant. Petition denied and motion to remand to state court granted. Citation for appeal to United States Supreme Court refused.

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

Harvey Cox vs. People. Appeal from denial of writ of habeas corpus by Colorado Supreme Court in Case No. 12485. Appellee's brief against jurisdiction filed. Oral argument before the Court in Washington, D. C., participated in by attorney for Commission. Appeal dismissed.

Harvey Cox vs. People, et al., No. 525. Appeal from denial of writ of habeas corpus by United States District Court in Case No. 9083. Appellee's brief filed. Appeal dismissed.

Harvey Cox vs. People, et al., No. 526. Appeal from denial of appellant's petition and granting of appellee's motion to remand by United States District Court in Case No. 9076. Appellee's brief filed. Appeal dismissed.

BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT OF COLORADO

In the Matter of the Application of Harvey Cox for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, No. 12485. Defendant's application for a writ of habeas corpus denied. Citation of appeal to United States Supreme Court issued by Colorado Supreme Court.

The Public Utilities Commission, et al., vs. City of Loveland, No. 12254. Review of decree of District Court of Larimer County which reversed findings and order of this Commission in case involving question of fringe line service around Loveland, Colorado. District Court reversed and findings and order of the Commission reinstated.

The Public Utilities Commission, et al., vs. M. B. Swena, No. 12562. The Commission brought an action in the District Court of Denver to test the constitutionality of the statute which gives the Commission authority to impose a fine in case of violation of its orders. Statute declared unconstitutional.

IN STATE DISTRICT COURTS

Town of Georgetown, et al., vs. The Colorado and Southern Railway Company, Public Utilities Commission, et al., No. 8730. In the District Court of Clear Creek County. Complainant charged conspiracy between several defendants, including the Public Utilities Commission, to effectuate discontinuance and curtailment of rail service on the Clear Creek District branch of railroad. Case dismissed.

Charles Dailey, Sr., vs. Public Utilities Commission, et al., No. 2565. In the District Court of Pitkin County. Action to review findings and order of Commission in Case No. 348 concerning rates charged by The Roaring Fork Water, Light and Power Company. The Commission sustained in part and reversed in part.

People vs. Harvey Cox, No. 106046. In the District Court of the City and County of Denver. An injunction was obtained on behalf of the Public Utilities Commission enjoining defendant's operations as a motor vehicle carrier without a certificate. Defendant was cited for contempt for violation of the injunction and sentenced to ninety days imprisonment. Efforts to remove the case to the Federal Courts and to secure a writ of habeas corpus from the Colorado Supreme Court failed, and an appeal to United States Supreme Court was dismissed.

People vs. Johnson, No. 106932. In the District Court of the City and County of Denver. An injunction was obtained on behalf of the Commission enjoining defendant's operations as a motor vehicle carrier without a certificate.

People vs. Robert A. Hazell, No. 104750. In the District Court of the City and County of Denver. An injunction was obtained

on behalf of the Commission enjoining defendant's operations as a motor vehicle carrier without a certificate.

People vs. Swena, No. 105046. In the District Court of the City and County of Denver. Action brought to collect a fine assessed against defendant by the Commission for violation of its orders. This was a test case to determine the constitutionality of Section 2975 (a), C. L. 1921, which confers such authority on the Commission. Judgment for defendant. Writ of error taken to the Supreme Court where the act was declared unconstitutional.

People vs. M. B. Swena. In the District Court of the City and County of Denver, No. 105047. Action on behalf of the Commission to enjoin defendant's operations as a motor vehicle carrier without a certificate. Dismissed.

People vs. P. D. Schwab. In the District Court of the City and County of Denver, No. 107709. Action brought on behalf of the Commission to enjoin defendant's operations as a motor vehicle carrier without a certificate. Temporary injunction issued. Case dismissed on discontinuance of business by defendant.

People vs. F. W. Sullivan. In the District Court of the City and County of Denver, No. 108810. Action brought on behalf of the Commission to enjoin defendant's operations as a motor vehicle carrier without a certificate. Dismissed at cost of defendant upon discontinuance of business.

People vs. Clarence Wright. In the District Court of the City and County of Denver, No. 109417. An injunction was obtained on behalf of the Commission enjoining defendant's operations as a motor vehicle carrier without a certificate.

A. T. Burbridge vs. The Public Utilities Commission, et al. In the District Court of the City and County of Denver, No. 109772. Writ of certiorari to review findings and order of the Commission in Case No. 527. The Commission's findings and order sustained. Pending in the Supreme Court.

People vs. J. D. Perry. In the District Court of the City and County of Denver, No. 109906. An injunction was obtained on behalf of the Commission enjoining defendant's operations as a motor vehicle carrier without a certificate.

People vs. Albert Sehwlke. In the District Court of the City and County of Denver, No. 110066. An injunction was obtained on behalf of the Commission enjoining defendant's operations as a motor vehicle carrier without a certificate.

People vs. James A. Burt. In the District Court of Mesa County, No. 5245. An injunction was obtained on behalf of the Commission enjoining defendant's operations as a motor vehicle carrier without a certificate.

People vs. Elmer Burdick. In the District Court of Mesa County, No. 5251. An injunction was obtained on behalf of the Commission enjoining defendant's operations as a motor vehicle carrier without a certificate.

People vs. Raymond E. Janes. In the District Court of Mesa County, No. 5250. An injunction was obtained on behalf of the Commission enjoining defendant's operations as a motor vehicle carrier without a certificate.

People vs. Edward E. Huls. In the District Court of the City and County of Denver, Criminal Division. Criminal complaint against defendant operating interstate into Wyoming for failure and refusal to obtain a certificate, make road reports and pay highway taxes as provided by Chapter 134, Session Laws of 1927. Verdict of guilty. Fine of \$250.00 imposed on defendant.

DEPARTMENTAL ACTIVITIES

A brief outline of some of the work accomplished and the routine detail handled by the several divisions of the Commission's staff is as follows:

RATE DEPARTMENT

During the biennial period December 1, 1928, to and including November 30, 1930, the Rate Department of the Commission prepared 655 special permissions authorizing the publication of changes in schedules on less than statutory notice, designated as follows:

- 637 to reduce rates or fares on steam, electric and motor vehicle carriers.
- 13 to amend steam or motor vehicle carrier time schedules.
- 1 to amend telephone rates.
- 1 to amend electric rates.
- 2 to amend gas rates.
- 1 to amend aeroplane fares.

During the same period 95 informal reparation orders amounting to \$10,230.57 were issued and 51 orders authorizing the carriers to waive collection of undercharges amounting to \$77,820.86, or a total saving to the shipping public amounting to \$88,051.43.

At the present time there are approximately 2,000 different railroad tariffs on file in this department which are constantly being supplemented by new matter. The Rate Department endeavors to watch the changes which are taking place and to work in connection with the shippers throughout the state in keeping abreast of the changes which are transpiring.

There are on file in this department approximately 300 tariffs covering motor vehicle carriers which has required an unusual

amount of correspondence and a great deal of time in personal contact in advising these carriers in the method of preparing their schedules to comply with the Rules and Regulations Governing Motor Vehicle Carriers.

During this period there was received a tentative report from the Interstate Commerce Commission examiners in the class rates case (Docket 17000—Part 2, Class Rates within the Western Trunk Line Territory) in which case our Rate Expert participated. This report of the examiners was very favorable to the shippers in Colorado, although not dealing so kindly with our sister states to the east of us. In support of the examiners' report our Rate Expert attended the oral argument before the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D. C. Final action is now under consideration by the Interstate Commerce Commission. Should the Interstate Commerce Commission adopt the tentative report of its examiners it will mean a considerable saving to the shippers in Colorado.

Our Rate Expert intervened in a complaint filed by certain Utah coal interests wherein they alleged that their rates should be reduced to points in Colorado, Kansas, Nebraska and Missouri on the lines of the Missouri Pacific Railroad Company. If the Utah interests are successful in reducing their rates it will naturally follow that they will supplant some of the Colorado tonnage with the Utah tonnage. Our position in this case was that the Utah rates were on a certain fixed relationship (established by the Interstate Commerce Commission several years ago) and that no change should be made in the Utah rates which would tend to disrupt that relationship. We have received a tentative report from the Examiner who heard this case wherein he recommends to the Interstate Commerce Commission that no change be made in the Utah rates and that the complaint be dismissed.

Our Rate Expert also intervened in a complaint filed by certain Kansas cement manufacturers wherein they alleged that the cement rates in Colorado should be increased and their rates into Colorado should be reduced. Our position in this case was that the Colorado rates were reasonable rates and should not be increased. This case was heard only recently and no tentative report has been issued.

Our Rate Expert also participated in the "General Investigation of Petroleum Rates on the D. & R. G. W. R. R. Co.," the "Dotsero Cut-Off," and the "Abandonment of the South Park Division of The Colorado and Southern Railway Company," hearings of which were conducted by the Interstate Commerce Commission and this Commission jointly.

In addition to participating in the above mentioned hearings or proceedings our Rate Expert has had the general routine of the Rate Department to handle, such as the reading and filing of tariffs, quoting rates by phone and correspondence, the disposition of all correspondence relating to rates and time schedules on both rail and motor vehicle carriers.

RAILWAY AND HYDRAULIC ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT RAILWAYS

The activities of this department have been directed towards greater safety, comfort and service for the public. During the present biennial period the railroads have been active in the completion of extensive improvements in their track and service. These improvements are reflected in better service and safety for the public. The perfection of bus and truck service has been such as to make serious inroads on the revenues of rail carriers and it is apparent that transportation facilities are being revolutionized since the advent of motor operations and railroads will have to adapt themselves to new traffic conditions and be alert to avail themselves of new methods of power and equipment.

ACCIDENTS

Serious railway accidents have become rarer incidents in railway operation due to better equipment and better operation. The only serious accident during this period occurred on the Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad two and one-half miles west of Stratton, Colorado, on July 18, 1929. Unusually heavy rains in that territory caused the embankment at the east end of bridge No. 474.1 to be washed out and when No. 5 west bound passenger train arrived at the bridge about 3:55 A. M. the train was derailed at the bridge and the derailed train dragged the steel bridge off the abutments and it was precipitated into the creek which at that time was about fifteen feet deep. One Pullman car fell into the creek and was completely submerged. All occupants, ten in all, were drowned or killed. The cause of the accident was investigated by the Commission's representatives and found to have resulted from the washout of the embankment at east end of bridge caused by the flow of a very rapid and heavy body of water coming down the natural water course of the stream against the embankment at that point. The bridge opening was off the natural course or direction of the stream and the place of the washout was in direct line of the water course above the bridge.

GRADE CROSSINGS

In the general interests of safety to the public the Commission has exercised great care in the establishment of new grade crossings. About fifty per cent of the applications were for changes for greater safety or for the abolishment of crossings, and about twenty per cent of the applications were denied for good reasons.

Grade crossing accidents are shown in the following table:

Years	Autos		Trucks		Horse Vehicles		Pedestrians		Totals		Total Acci- dents
	Killed	Injured	Killed	Injured	Killed	Injured	Killed	Injured	Killed	Injured	
1929	26	49	3	6	1	1	4	0	35	56	60
1930	10	29	4	6	0	1	4	1	18	37	42
Differ- ences	—16	—20	1	0	—1	0	0	1	—17	—19	—18

It will be noted with considerable gratification that there have been fewer accidents with less fatalities in 1930 than there were in 1929, notwithstanding there was a considerable increase in motor traffic in each year.

The elimination of dangerous grade crossings is largely responsible for the reduction in accidents, but, of course, some of it is due to other safety measures that have been adopted.

The new flashing type of crossing signals that has met with such favor in other parts of the country has been installed at several grade crossings of The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company and has proven to be very successful.

FLOODS AND SLIDES

During the year 1929, heavy rainfalls caused much damage to railroads and particularly The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad. Some damage resulted between Salida and Buena Vista, but the most serious damage occurred on the Lake City branch of this road when several bridges and several miles of track were washed out. The Commission's engineer estimated that it would cost about \$25,000 to restore service on this line which had been suspended owing to damaged condition of the line. As the mining operations at Lake City had greatly decreased serious consideration was given to the abandonment of the line but on a showing by the mine operators that this industry would likely increase, the line was repaired and again put in operation.

An unprecedented movement of the well known Ames slide on the Rio Grande Southern branch of The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad occurred in May, 1929, and all service over the west portion of this line was abandoned. The railroad company deemed it unwise to reopen this line while the slide continued to move, and on complaint of numerous parties concerned the Commission ordered a hearing in the matter of reopening the line, but before the date of the hearing, or in December, 1929, a receiver was appointed for The Rio Grande Southern Railroad Company and the receiver proceeded at once to reopen the line over the slide which had apparently settled down. This line has since operated without any trouble at the slide, due mainly to favorable weather conditions.

NEW CONSTRUCTION

The only actual new construction during this period was an extension of 4.7 miles of the Ingleside branch of The Colorado and Southern Railway Company in 1929. In 1930, the Interstate Commerce Commission authorized the construction of the Dodge City and Cimarron Valley Railroad, a subsidiary of The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, from Boise City, Oklahoma, in a northwesterly direction through Baca and Las Animas counties to a connection with the Santa Fe at Las Animas, Colorado. This was originally projected through Pritchett but on protests of the people of Springfield and the Commission, the

route was changed to go through Springfield which will be of greater benefit to all concerned. This line when built will be of immense benefit to that part of the state.

On December 2, 1930, the Interstate Commerce Commission authorized the purchase by The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company of a controlling interest in The Denver and Salt Lake Railway Company, conditioned upon the purchase of the minority interest at a stipulated price upon tender of such interest, and that The Denver and Salt Lake Railway Company would provide through routes with any western connection that might thereafter be authorized by the Commission, and that The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company, through The Denver and Salt Lake Railway Company, should construct the Dotsero Cut-Off. When the terms of this order have been completed the Commission will issue its order requiring the commencement of construction of the Cut-Off within six months thereafter.

ABANDONMENTS

The following abandonments of railroad trackage have been made:

6.4	Miles	Engleville branch, D. & R. G. W. R. R., 1929, order of I. C. C.
10.7	Miles	Florissant branch, D. & R. G. W. R. R., 1929, order of I. C. C.
.82	Miles	Sopris to Piedmont branch, Colo. & Wyo. R. R., 1930, order of I. C. C.
17.92	Miles	Total main line
.47	Miles	Side trackage by C. & S. Ry. Co., 1929
1.20	Miles	Side trackage by C. & S. Ry. Co., 1930
1.67	Miles	Total side track mileage
19.59	Miles	Total of all tracks.

Below is given a table showing the main line mileage abandoned in Colorado and near-by states for past years:

Year	Colorado	Louisiana	Texas
1917	79.00	25.00	92.00
1918	18.05	2.02	34.65
1919	34.55	25.00	48.40
1920	46.00	2.02	13.36
1921	438.42	7.00	124.27
1922	44.70	12.89	28.60
1923	23.86	94.61	9.82
1924	60.43	50.33	65.00
1925	30.89	59.13	6.51
1926	46.57	77.10	35.00
192737	19.94	17.65
1928	11.84	32.87	29.61
1929	17.10	2.00	17.65
193082	17.64	16.68
Totals	852.60	427.55	539.20

The Colorado and Southern Railway Company filed an application with the Interstate Commerce Commission to abandon its narrow gauge line from Watertown to Leadville with a trackage of all tracks of 185.05 miles and on June 2, 1930, it issued an order denying the application without prejudice to renewal of the application after thirty-six months from date of order if the carrier can show the situation has not materially improved, but with the further provision that an effort shall be made to effect economies in operation by more modern equipment or otherwise.

The Company, in compliance with this provision of the order, made application to the State Public Utilities Commission to reduce its passenger and freight service. The Commission allowed the reduction of passenger service to a tri-weekly service in each direction but denied any reduction in freight service.

WATER UTILITIES

The Leadville Water Company made application to the Commission for a reduction in its water rates averaging about fifty per cent. The Commission, after several hearings and careful consideration of all the facts in the case, allowed the decreases desired. The matter was taken to the District Court and the case is still pending in the court.

The Altman Water Company was allowed to discontinue its operation because of the reduction in consumers to an insignificant number.

Numerous complaints were received as to insufficient service rendered by the Commonwealth Land Company supplying a residence district adjoining the town of Littleton. The matter was investigated and the service was found to be insufficient, but the Company was financially unable to remedy conditions.

The Brookside Water Company, supplying a suburb of Colorado Springs, filed an application for an adjustment in its water rates and several protests were received as to rates and quality of water. This matter was finally adjusted.

INFORMAL COMPLAINTS

The Commission receives numerous informal complaints that are adjusted to the satisfaction of all concerned without formal action.

INSPECTION DEPARTMENT

The Inspection Department has co-operated with the Attorney General and the District Attorney and aided in securing approximately twenty prosecutions and convictions. Many unlawful operators ceased operation and quit business.

Approximately seventy-five buses, trucks and touring cars were seized and not released until they had paid the tax due the State. Many operators were compelled to pay their highway tax and others made to file applications for certificates of public convenience and necessity.

STENOGRAPHIC DEPARTMENT

The very large increase in the volume of work handled by the Commission as a whole has resulted in an unprecedented increase in the work of this department. Each department has grown, especially those dealing with motor vehicle regulation, until it became necessary to augment the stenographic force with an extra stenographer for the heavy months of June, July and August, and in the absence of appropriation by the Legislature for extra help the Commission was forced to pay for this extra service from its General Incidental Fund. Quite an increase in stenographic work has also been made in the Rate, Accounting and Electrical Engineer's Departments.

All stenographers, including the Assistant Secretary and the Docket Clerk, regardless of their efficiency, length of service, and knowledge of their work with the Commission, some gained after an experience of over eight years, receive the same salary of \$100.00 per month. The Commission feels that some of these salaries should be increased on a sliding scale, according to duties, responsibility and length of service.

ELECTRICAL, GAS, TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH
ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

All matters of a technical engineering character relating to electric, gas, telephone or telegraph utilities receive some attention from this department either as technical information for the Commission or in satisfying informal complaints or in the routine of changing, studying and filing rates or in supplying information requested by other Commissions, public bodies and individuals.

The scope of the work performed by this department is wide; extremely varied and often decidedly technical. It requires thorough engineering education, training and experience.

In the past two-year period there has been an increasing number of rate changes, lately almost of daily occurrence. These changes must be studied and a report upon their effect and application made to the Commission before they become effective. Very few increases in rates have occurred in this period and these were usually due to changes in the type rate which might bring slight increases to some whereas the large majority of consumers were benefited by a reduction. Many of these changes have been made after conference with this department and its influence in promoting changes favorable to the consuming public is generally recognized among utility engineers. Unlike railroad and other common carrier rates, which are referred to the Rate Department, the rates of these utilities are filed, studied and handled entirely by this department because of their technical character.

There have been far more informal complaints in this two-year period than heretofore both upon quality of service and rates charged. Most of these are satisfied if the complainant is found to have reasonable grounds for complaint. The increase in

number of informal complaints is probably due to the fact that the consuming public is learning that it can get results here when there are found to be sufficient grounds and to the fact that these four classes of utilities are steadily increasing the number of patrons and areas served.

This Commission receives numerous requests for data about the utilities operating in Colorado. Many of these requests are made directly to this department by letter or in person. Other requests are referred to it by the Commissioners. Although sometimes delayed, because the State at this time only provides one engineer in this department, such requests usually receive the information desired.

In the latter part of this period there has been an increase in natural gas distributing activities as a result of piping gas into Colorado, from Texas, New Mexico and Kansas. Certificates of public convenience and necessity for these new utilities and their rates have increased the work of this department.

In the electric utility field the Commission initiated a formal proceeding intended to improve the practices of these utilities in making extensions. Several hearings and conferences have occurred. The intention is to eliminate the old practice of requiring prospective consumers to pay part or all of the cost of extensions and donate same to utilities. Instead it appears that utilities should build such extensions whenever the revenue to be received will justify or can be made to justify such new construction. Because this problem is an intricate technical one, the preliminary studies and arguments as well as the results obtained rest squarely upon this department.

It is unfortunate that the Legislature has not increased the staff and funds for this department for many years although the necessity for its activity has increased many fold.

AUDITING AND STATISTICAL DEPARTMENT

The duties of this department have been very thoroughly covered in the biennial report immediately preceding this one and, therefore, it does not appear essential to again enumerate them in detail; however, we do regard it advisable to again make reference to the added duties resulting from the administration of House Bill 430 enacted by the 26th General Assembly which became effective July 30, 1927, and call attention to the condition of the work of the department as a result of our being required to perform these additional duties with no consequent provision for additional help to handle same.

These added duties, which are briefly detailed below, have increased the work of this department more than one hundred per cent; therefore, obviously it has been necessary to defer the accomplishment of some of the work of the department until some relief is granted either in the way of additional help or through some other permanent arrangement. The added duties referred to above are as follows:

1. Receipt and verification of motor vehicle carriers' monthly reports of passengers carried and freight and express hauled; determination of tax applicable thereto; rendering statements of tax due and accounting for collection of same and numerous detail operations in connection therewith.

2. Receipt, examination and filing of public liability, property damage and cargo insurance policies, and indemnity bonds filed by motor vehicle carriers.

3. Receipt, examination and verification of annual reports filed by motor vehicle carriers.

The last General Assembly authorized the creating of a new position on the Commission's staff, viz., Inspecting Auditor, effective July 1, 1929, who was assigned to this department and whose duties were to be principally the auditing of motor vehicle carriers' accounts in the field. The position was filled August 1, 1929; however, for educational purposes and due to the fact that his services were urgently needed at headquarters, very little actual field work has been undertaken; nevertheless we are able to report, as the result of such field work as has been accomplished, the assessment of additional taxes in the amount of \$366.41.

As of December 31, 1929, there were operating in the State of Colorado and reporting to this Commission the following utilities:

Private Electric Utilities.....	36
Municipal Electric Utilities.....	22
Private Gas Utilities.....	9
Municipal Gas Utilities.....	1
Private Water Utilities.....	24
Municipal Water Utilities.....	87
Private Telephone Utilities.....	57
Private Cable Railroads.....	1
Private Street Railways.....	7
Switching and Terminal Railways.....	3
Steam Railroads.....	23
Telegraph Utilities.....	4
Express Companies.....	1
Sleeping Car Companies.....	1

276

Approximately 74 additional municipal utilities operating in the State as of that date did not favor the Commission with a copy of their annual report, they probably exercising their prerogative under a Supreme Court ruling to the effect that municipally operated utilities are without the jurisdiction of the Commission.

This Commission has also issued certificates of public convenience and necessity to four air lines operating in the State as of November 30, 1930.

There were four hundred one motor vehicle carriers operating under certificates from the Commission and seven carriers operating without certificates, or a total of four hundred eight carriers reporting to the Commission as of November 30, 1930. This compares with a total of three hundred sixty-seven carriers reporting to the Commission as of November 30, 1929.

The following data compiled from Annual Reports of motor vehicle carriers for the years ended December 31, 1928 and 1929, as filed with the Commission, is of much interest.

	1929	1928
Cost of Motor Equipment.....	\$2,568,718.78	\$2,092,681.87
Gross Revenue.....	3,206,031.29	2,654,546.95
Total Expense.....	2,832,972.46	2,425,519.43
No. of Passengers Carried.....	1,250,829	1,448,622
No. of Tons of Freight Hauled	109,662	75,996
No. of Vehicles Owned—		
passenger	732	709
No. of Vehicles Owned—		
freight	442	196

No data appertaining to interstate carriers has been included in the foregoing tabulation for the reason that in most instances their reports covered their entire system operations, and it should also be remembered that said tabulation does include sightseeing carriers.

The following statement of tons of revenue freight originating on lines of steam railroads within the State for the years 1928 and 1929, as reflected by carriers' annual reports, is also of interest.

	1929	1928
Products of Agriculture.....	4,173,579	3,967,664
Animals and Products.....	582,132	586,415
Products of Mines.....	9,320,423	9,351,952
Products of Forests.....	200,627	195,717
Manufactures and Miscellaneous	1,624,159	1,543,076
	15,900,920	15,644,824
Total Carload Traffic.....		
Merchandise—all L. C. L.		
freight	226,317	198,421
	16,127,237	15,843,245
Grand Total.....		

The tax collected under the provisions of House Bill 430 for the years ended November 30, 1929, and 1930, was as follows:

1929	\$ 75,407.59
1930	91,806.84
	\$167,214.43
Total	

Special collections made by the Commission and paid into the treasury of the State to the credit of the General Fund for the years ended November 30, 1929 and 1930, were as follows:

1929	\$ 6,088.32
1930	4,893.78
	<hr/>
Total	\$10,982.10

The total expense of the Commission to the State for the biennial period ended November 30, 1930, was as follows:

3 Commissioners	\$ 23,999.76
1 Secretary	4,999.92
1 Rate Expert.....	4,200.00
1 Attorney	4,452.60
1 Auditor and Statistician.....	4,999.92
1 Asst. Auditor and Statistician.....	3,600.00
1 Inspecting Auditor.....	2,400.00
1 Electrical, Gas and Telephone Engineer.....	4,999.92
1 Railway and Hydraulic Engineer.....	4,999.92
1 Asst. Railway and Hydraulic Engineer.....	3,600.00
1 Reporter	4,200.00
4 Inspectors	14,400.00
1 Filing Clerk.....	2,400.00
5 Stenographers	11,990.00
	<hr/>
Total Salaries.....	\$ 95,242.04
Traveling expense.....	6,400.53
Traveling expense (H. B. 430).....	1,816.46
General and Incidental Expense.....	7,825.21
	<hr/>
Grand Total.....	\$111,284.24

REGULATION OF AIR CARRIERS

One activity of the Commission which developed in 1928 and was first reported in our last biennial report is the regulation of common carriers by air.

On September 8, 1928, U. S. Airways, Inc., filed its application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate an airplane line for the transportation of passengers and express between Denver, Colorado, and Kansas City, Missouri. Hearing was held September 21 and an order issued October 2, 1928, granting a certificate.

On October 31, 1928, The Colorado Airways, Inc., filed its application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate airplane service for the transportation of passengers,

freight and express in and about Denver and Colorado points. This application was granted January 18, 1929.

The Midwest Airways, Inc., of Denver and Kansas City, filed its application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity on April 3, 1929, to operate an airplane line for the transportation of passengers and express between Denver, Colorado, and Dallas, Texas, and intermediate points. This application was dismissed on January 14, 1930.

The Pikes Peak Air Commerce, Inc., of Colorado Springs, filed its application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity on April 3, 1929, to operate an airplane passenger line within what is commonly known as the Pikes Peak region and for chartered trips to various points. An order granting a certificate was issued April 27, 1929. On November 15, 1929, an amended application was filed for irregular passenger service from airports and flying fields within the State and occasional passenger service throughout the State. On January 4, 1930, an order was issued denying motion to reopen and modify order heretofore issued.

The Travel Airways, Inc., of Colorado Springs, filed its application June 4, 1929, to operate airplane service for the transportation of passengers, freight and express between Colorado Springs and adjacent cities. An order was issued June 27, 1929, granting certificate.

Pikes Peak Air Commerce, Inc., of Colorado Springs, filed its application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity on December 2, 1929, to operate an airplane line for the transportation of passengers from Pueblo, Colorado, to and from the territory adjacent thereto and for chartered trips to various points. This application was granted February 7, 1930.

Pikes Peak Air Commerce, Inc., of Colorado Springs, filed its application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity on July 14, 1930, to operate an airplane line for the transportation of passengers, freight and express, from Durango to Denver, via Alamosa, Pueblo and Colorado Springs, and from Grand Junction to Alamosa, via Delta, Montrose and Gunnison, Colorado. This application was granted September 13, 1930.

In the determination of applications of airplane common carriers, the Commission has acted in conjunction with the "Colorado Commission of Aeronautics" created by the 26th General Assembly and known as Chapter 64, Session Laws of Colorado, 1927.

CONCLUSION

In concluding the Commission wishes to respectfully suggest the need of more office space and additional filing facilities. When the present offices were planned it was not anticipated that this volume of work would have to be taken care of, and since the work has increased in each department about one hundred per cent with no additional space for filing equipment, the Commis-

sion would appreciate additional office space and facilities for the more efficient conduct of its business.

The Commission has been taking a very active part in hearings before the Interstate Commerce Commission. These hearings involve the level of freight rates charged and collected in our territory by the rail carriers. During the year 1929 one member of the Commission participated in the final arguments in Washington, D. C., in Docket No. 17000, Part 7, involving all the rates on grain and grain products in the western district, and also Docket 17000, Part 9, involving all the rates on livestock in the western district. The time that one commissioner devoted to these two hearings amounted to approximately five weeks, and in addition to this one commissioner actively participated in the rate hearing at New Orleans, Docket 23334, involving rates on sugar from Colorado to points in Oklahoma and Texas. About twelve days were devoted to that hearing.

One member of the Commission attended a hearing at Chicago in Docket 17000, Part 12, involving all the freight rates of the products of non-ferrous metals in the western district, giving thereto fourteen days of his time.

These cases involving the level of freight rates in our jurisdiction are highly important to the public interest and require constant attention.

In the past the Commission's time has been mainly taken up with regulation pertaining to motor vehicle operations. As the ground work for this regulation has now practically been laid, so much time of the Commission should, if possible, not be taken up with these matters as heretofore. A number of other state commissioners have the advantage of having examiners hear the testimony in such cases and make their report to the commission, from which the commission makes its findings. We suggest that since the individual members of the Commission should have more time to devote to the more important phases of regulation, the Public Utilities Act should be so amended as to authorize the use of examiners to take the testimony.

Within the past several years the Federal government has gradually taken over certain phases of state regulation in which the element of interstate commerce is involved, and there is a great danger that further encroachment by the Federal government may be brought about. In order to discourage such encroachment, the state governments should recognize their responsibility in efficiently exercising the powers they now have so that state regulation may progress in such a manner that it will be sufficient to properly protect the public interest.

When state commissions are understaffed, when salaries of the employes of state commissions are unreasonably low, and when sufficient funds are not forthcoming with which to efficiently regulate, it is inevitable that state regulation cannot properly perform its necessary function and the public interest is injuriously affected thereby.

In our budget estimate for the biennial period which began December 1, 1928, the Commission suggested an appropriation to be designated as "Utilities Valuation Fund," to be used in ascertaining the valuation of public utilities. The valuation of a utility is the basis upon which it may expect reasonable earnings. Every hearing involving the reasonableness of utility rates involves a valuation of the system. With price levels having declined since December 1, 1928, it is anticipated that valuations of public utilities in this state may lead to a substantial reduction in rates. These valuations entail considerable detail work and additional expert assistance. A fund should be available for such purposes and should only be used in the event that a valuation, from the public standpoint, becomes necessary in the protection of the public interest. If the Commission is to protect the interest of the public in a rate hearing, it should have an ample fund at its hand which will permit a thorough investigation on its part to properly ascertain what would be a reasonable rate structure.

In our opinion, everything reasonably possible under all the circumstances should be done to strengthen state regulation so that states may not lose such regulatory power as is necessary to properly regulate the public utilities within the state.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

OTTO BOCK,
Chairman.

DANIEL S. JONES,
WORTH ALLEN,
Commissioners.

Attest:

JOHN W. FLINTHAM,
Secretary.

SECTION II

STATISTICS

STEAM RAILROADS, ELECTRIC RAILWAYS, CABLE
ROADS, EXPRESS, SLEEPING CAR, ELECTRIC, GAS,
WATER, TELEPHONE, TELEGRAPH COM-
PANIES AND MOTOR VEHICLE
CARRIERS

PUBLIC UTILITIES

On January 1, 1930, there were two hundred fifty-three public utilities operating in the State of Colorado, excluding motor vehicle common carriers, which filed annual reports with the Commission.

Of motor vehicle carriers operating in the state there were four hundred three holding certificates of public convenience and necessity as of November 30, 1930.

The classification of the utilities is as follows:

Steam Railroads:		
Operating	21	
Switching and Terminal.....	3	
Non-operating, Lessor.....	4	28
Electric Railways.....		7
Cable Roads.....		1
Express Companies.....		1
Sleeping Car Companies.....		1
Electric Utilities:		
Privately-owned or Operated.....	36	
Municipally-owned or Operated.....	20	56
Gas Utilities:		
Privately-owned or Operated.....	8	
Municipally-owned or Operated.....	1	9
Water Utilities:		
Privately-owned or Operated.....	24	
Municipally-owned or Operated.....	80	104
Telephone Utilities.....		42
Telegraph Utilities.....		4
Total		253
Motor Vehicle Carriers.....		403

STEAM RAILROADS

STATEMENT OF MILEAGE OWNED AND OF MILEAGE OPERATED IN
STATE OF COLORADO BY STEAM ROADS, JANUARY 1, 1930.

RAILROADS	Miles of Road	
	Line Owned	Total Line Operated
*The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Ry. Co.....	482.35	554.88
*Chicago, Burlington & Quincy R. R. Co.	395.59	431.31
*The Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Ry. Co.....	167.92	257.70
The Colorado R. R. Co.....	107.14	Included in C. & S. Ry. Mileage Operated.
The Colorado-Kansas Ry. Co.....	22.20	22.69
*The Colorado and Southern Ry. Co....	580.30	808.44
The Colorado & Southeastern R. R. Co.	6.27	20.78
The Colorado & Wyoming Ry. Co.....	34.14	36.26
The Crystal River R. R. Co.....	20.66	Included in C. R. & S. J. R. R. Mileage Operated.
The Crystal River & San Juan R. R. Co.	7.32	27.98
*The Denver and Rio Grande Western R. R. Co.....	1,523.33	1,631.57
The Denver and Salt Lake Ry. Co...	220.17	232.34
*The Dodge City and Cimarron Valley Ry. Co.....	47.24	Included in A. T. & S. F. Ry. Mileage Operated.
The Great Western Ry. Co.....	86.58	86.58
*Laramie, North Park & Western R. R. Co.	43.88	43.88
The Manitou and Pikes Peak Ry. Co...	8.90	8.90
The Midland Terminal Ry. Co.....	56.15	56.15
*Missouri Pacific R. R. Co.....	152.04	152.04
The Rio Grande Junction Ry. Co.....	62.08	Included in D.&R. G. W. R. R. Mileage Operated.
The Rio Grande Southern R. R. Co., Receiver	175.00	174.27
The San Luis Central R. R. Co.....	12.21	15.21
The San Luis Valley Southern Ry. Co.	31.53	31.53
The Silverton Northern R. R. Co.....	21.21	10.04
*The Uintah Ry. Co.....	50.74	50.74
*Union Pacific R. R. Co.....	606.25	609.20
Totals	4,921.20	5,262.49
SWITCHING AND TERMINAL	Main Line, Yard Tracks and Sidings Owned	Operated
The Denver Union Terminal Ry. Co...	5.90	5.90
The Northwestern Terminal R. R. Co. **	10.60	**7.42
The Pueblo Union Depot and R. R. Co.	3.04	3.04
Totals	19.54	16.36

*Interstate Road.

**3.18 Main Line Track Included in D. & S. L. Ry. Mileage Operated.

***2.49 Yard Tracks and Sidings Operated by D. & S. L. Ry.

ELECTRIC STREET AND INTERURBAN RAILWAYS

MILES OF ROAD OPERATED (SINGLE TRACK) IN THE STATE OF COLORADO, JANUARY 1, 1930.

NAME OF COMPANY	Office Address	Miles
The Colorado Springs & Interurban Ry. Co.....	Colorado Springs	22.46
The Denver and Intermountain R. R. Co.....	Denver	41.66
The Denver Tramway Corporation.....	Denver	113.70
The Grand River Valley R. R. Co.....	Grand Junction	22.19
The Manitou Electric Ry. and Casino Co.....	Colorado Springs	.70
Public Service Co. of Colorado (Boulder Street Ry.)...	Denver	6.25
Southern Colorado Power Co.....	Pueblo	20.14
Total		<u>227.10</u>

CABLE ROAD

The Mt. Manitou Park and Incline Ry. Co.....	Colorado Springs	1.25
--	------------------	------

ADDITIONAL PUBLIC UTILITIES REPORTING AND OPERATING IN THE STATE OF COLORADO, JANUARY 1, 1930

TELEGRAPH COMPANIES

Colorado Postal Telegraph-Cable Co.....	Denver, Colo.
The Colorado and Wyoming Telegraph Co.....	Pueblo, Colo.
The Mountain Telegraph Co.....	Denver, Colo.
The Western Union Telegraph Co.....	New York, N. Y.

EXPRESS COMPANIES

Railway Express Agency, Inc.....	Chicago, Ill.
----------------------------------	---------------

SLEEPING CAR COMPANIES

The Pullman Co.....	Chicago, Ill.
---------------------	---------------

STEAM RAILROADS

STATISTICS OF STEAM ROADS OPERATING IN THE STATE OF COLORADO FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1928.

	Atchison,	Chicago,	Chicago,	Colorado- Kansas Ry.	Colorado- Southern Ry. & S. E. R. R.	Colorado & Wyo. Ry. & S. J. R. R.	Crystal Riv.
	T. & S. F. Ry.	B. & Q. R. R.	R. I. & P. Ry.	Kansas Ry.	Southern Ry. & S. E. R. R.	& Wyo. Ry.	& S. J. R. R.
Freight Service:							
Tons—Revenue Freight.....	3,425,691	3,175,837	780,002	34,583	5,224,587	436,569	519,820
Ton-Miles—Revenue Freight....	434,847,244	410,173,980	119,395,556	767,742	628,622,552	5,951,262	4,763,550
Passenger Service:							
Passengers Carried—Revenue...	516,349	261,488	103,759	260,265	13,440	25,705
Passenger-Miles—Revenue	84,994,663	35,711,819	16,988,497	26,263,751	49,320	133,853
Revenues and Expenses:							
Freight Revenue.....	\$5,914,902.00	\$4,778,865.11	\$1,262,793.70	\$ 21,989.16	\$7,219,867.17	\$ 72,699.79	\$ 121,694.63
Passenger Revenue.....	2,632,705.67	1,012,836.64	498,501.69	848,860.30	672.00	4,540.55
Other Operating Revenue.....	1,993,035.26	710,116.26	273,561.96	4,613.05	731,682.89	840.06	841,855.16
(Pass. Service Train Revenue)...	3,601,452.10	1,504,248.94	672,507.33	1,181,906.99	672.00	8,311.42
Railway Operating Revenues.....	\$9,656,642.93	\$6,501,758.01	\$2,034,857.55	\$ 26,602.21	\$8,800,410.36	\$ 74,214.85	\$ 968,090.54
Railway Operating Expenses....	7,510,215.22	4,293,978.58	1,856,322.61	23,240.69	7,014,639.43	49,799.49	(a)861,866.35
Net Railway Operating Revenues..	\$2,140,427.71	\$2,297,779.43	\$ 178,534.74	\$ 3,361.52	\$1,785,770.93	\$ 24,412.36	\$ 106,223.99
Operating Ratio (per cent)	67.68	57.01	98.03	87.36	79.88	67.10	85.81

* Deficit.

(a) Depreciation, Traffic and General Expenses for Entire Line 42.53 Miles Included in This Amount.

STEAM RAILROADS—Continued

STATISTICS OF STEAM ROADS OPERATING IN THE STATE OF COLORADO FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1928.

	Denver & R. G. W. R. R.	Denver & S. L. Ry.	Great Western Ry.	†Laramie N.P. & W.R.R.	Manitou & P. P. Ry.	Midland Term. Ry.	Missouri Pac. R. R.	Rio Grande South. R. R.
Freight Service:								
Tons—Revenue Freight.....	6,379,322	1,093,663	810,043	133,640	533	597,691	1,483,378	179,436
Ton-Miles—Revenue Freight.....	1,212,214.896	202,916,933	11,498,917	111,192,957	2,132	22,832,768	209,944,484	15,297,728
Passenger Service:								
Passengers Carried—Revenue....	481,302	64,769	86	2,796	21,599	38,165	48,687	19,649
Passenger-Miles—Revenue	96,766,027	4,881,143	989	174,417	190,392	612,481	6,507,460	938,591
Revenues and Expenses:								
Freight Revenue.....	\$16,858,438.69	\$3,540,316.37	\$ 524,316.96	\$262,780.15	\$ 3,300.85	\$542,671.52	\$1,828,487.05	\$563,696.61
Passenger Revenue.....	2,599,575.15	254,472.17	37.06	10,580.31	45,363.57	17,498.32	194,902.16	46,370.88
Other Operating Revenue.....	1,680,616.63	216,874.40	25,174.42	16,614.58	9,715.90	30,571.08	95,691.78	39,549.98
(Pass. Service Train Revenue)...	3,599,047.59	404,952.84	292.79	20,988.16	45,363.57	44,508.22	257,736.03	80,741.62
Railway Operating Revenues....	\$21,138,630.47	\$4,011,662.94	\$ 549,528.44	\$289,975.07	\$ 58,380.32	\$580,740.92	\$2,119,080.99	\$649,617.47
Railway Operating Expenses....	15,890,880.84	2,536,322.06	340,601.80	289,206.54	39,777.40	562,856.42	1,849,793.70	574,351.63
Net Railway Operating Revenues...	\$5,247,749.63	\$1,475,340.88	\$ 208,926.64	\$ 768.53	\$ 18,602.92	\$ 27,884.50	\$ 269,287.29	\$ 75,265.84
Operating Ratio (per cent).....	75.17	63.22	61.98	99.73	68.13	95.28	87.29	88.41

†Entire Line; Average Mileage of Road Operated (miles) 111.35.

STEAM RAILROADS—Concluded

STATISTICS OF STEAM ROADS OPERATING IN THE STATE OF COLORADO FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1928.

	San Luis Valley So. Ry.	San Luis Gen. R. R.	Silverton Northern R. R.	Utah Railway	Union Pacific R. R.	Total All Steam Roads
Freight Service:						
Tons—revenue freight.....	9,890	75,584	61,993	59,026	12,338,543	36,836,233
Ton-miles—revenue freight.....	227,160	964,981	499,351	3,146,635	579,022,475	3,874,874,089
Passenger Service:						
Passengers carried—revenue.....	1,025	1,781	1,775	687,181	2,550,848
Passenger-miles—revenue.....	29,580	14,114	74,550	58,529,103	331,974,274
Revenues and Expenses:						
Freight revenue.....	\$18,470.03	\$77,068.36	\$ 81,150.25	\$448,446.83	\$ 8,702,045.48	\$52,864,779.74
Passenger revenue.....	1,450.08	1,018.10	5,040.66	1,610,102.79	9,796,475.01
Other operating revenue.....	4,807.52	673.48	892.16	20,846.73	1,026,035.67	6,824,996.87
(Pass. service train revenue).....	6,025.54	1,018.10	11,973.07	2,104,891.73	13,552,735.81
Railway operating revenues.....	\$24,727.63	\$77,741.84	\$ 83,060.51	\$474,334.22	\$11,338,183.94	\$69,486,251.62
Railway operating expenses.....	19,496.08	66,004.85	65,393.34	396,527.94	8,500,091.67	52,684,310.52
Net railway operating revenues.....	\$ 5,231.55	\$11,736.99	\$ 17,667.17	\$ 77,806.28	\$ 2,838,092.27	\$16,801,941.10
Operating ratio (per cent).....	78.84	84.90	78.73	83.60	74.97	75.82

†Entire line; average mileage of road operated (miles) 68.46.

STEAM RAILROADS

STATISTICS OF STEAM ROADS OPERATING IN THE STATE OF COLORADO FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1929

	Atchison, T. & S. F. Ry.	Chicago, B. & Q. R. R.	Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry.	Colorado- Kansas Ry.	Colorado & Southern Ry. & S. E. R. R.	Colorado Crystal Riv. & Wyo. Ry. & S. J. R. R.	
Freight Service:							
Tons—revenue freight.....	3,584,887	3,487,722	892,865	24,666	370,216	585,180	24,831
Ton-miles—revenue freight.....	459,356,014	470,726,181	133,424,133	546,585	464,192	5,257,459	460,210
Passenger Service:							
Passengers carried—revenue....	517,647	256,490	102,972	3	14,560	12,579	931
Passenger-miles—revenue	87,084,986	35,161,887	16,251,398	66	43,680	67,541	16,290
Revenues and Expenses:							
Freight revenue.....	\$ 6,201,898.55	\$ 5,524,105.47	\$ 1,379,551.45	\$ 16,533.77	\$ 7,167,653.42	\$ 118,235.45	\$ 20,979.92
Passenger revenue.....	2,684,150.39	964,360.12	488,996.79	3.00	794,451.70	2,318.00	1,376.04
Other operating revenue.....	1,356,586.60	742,154.42	297,982.96	5,696.55	764,833.28	2,033.57	4,389.60
(Pass. service train revenue)...	3,885,244.23	1,482,757.85	682,101.88	3.00	1,154,554.80	728.00	11,422.89
Railway operating revenues....	\$10,242,635.54	\$7,230,620.01	\$2,166,531.20	\$ 22,233.32	\$ 8,726,938.40	\$ 66,404.79	\$ 948,773.11
Railway operating expenses....	6,932,070.13	4,122,032.96	2,123,866.82	18,304.66	6,971,089.27	50,022.65	†814,120.55
Net railway operating revenues, \$	3,310,565.41	\$3,108,587.05	\$ 42,664.38	\$ 3,328.66	\$ 1,755,849.13	\$ 16,382.14	\$ *7,880.20
Operating ratio (per cent).....	67.68	57.01	98.03	85.03	79.88	75.33	85.81

*Deficit.

†Depreciation, traffic and general expenses for entire line 42.53 miles included in this amount.

STEAM RAILROADS—Continued

STATISTICS OF STEAM ROADS OPERATING IN THE STATE OF COLORADO FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1929									
	Denver & R. G. W. R. R.	S. L. Ry.	Denver & Western Ry.	Great Western Ry.	Elaromic N. P. & W. R. R.	Manitou & P. P. Ry.	Midland Term. Ry.	Missouri & Rio Grande Pac. R. R.	South. R. R.
Freight Service:									
Tons—revenue freight.....	6,711,204	1,112,653	863,216	128,126	896	506,617	1,537,438	120,053	
Ton-miles revenue freight....	1,315,516,600	203,594,733	12,469,650	9,561,201	3,584	19,895,265	216,205,567	8,197,535	
Passenger Service:									
Passengers carried—revenue....	456,529	48,743	173	2,562	19,178	31,755	50,818	12,056	
Passenger-miles—revenue	94,645,528	3,707,821	2,194	135,816	171,331	537,258	6,961,738	601,211	
Revenues and Expenses:									
Freight revenue.....	\$17,569,253.55	\$3,474,169.43	\$ 558,979.31	\$259,904.51	\$ 5,579.54	\$437,767.87	\$1,397,765.45	\$302,914.71	
Passenger revenue.....	2,161,031.73	198,891.27	109.11	8,350.95	43,029.40	14,856.41	199,952.82	29,376.80	
Other operating revenue.....	1,956,342.98	311,269.97	31,246.58	25,054.26	12,292.80	39,344.39	121,605.60	43,922.48	
(Pass. service train revenue)...	3,711,206.03	461,241.98	3,774.31	28,889.43	13,029.40	50,733.57	289,600.27	69,901.83	
Railway operating revenues....	\$21,986,628.26	\$3,981,430.67	\$ 590,335.03	\$293,309.73	\$60,901.74	\$491,968.67	\$2,269,324.87	\$376,213.99	
Railway operating expenses....	15,970,669.55	2,226,647.15	389,161.96	303,116.25	97,548.55	462,021.78	2,143,676.05	428,138.95	
Net railway operating revenues, \$ 6,015,958.91	\$1,754,483.52	\$ 201,173.07	*\$ 9,806.52						
Operating ratio (per cent).....	72.64	55.93	65.92	103.34	160.17	93.91	94.46	113.80	

* Deficit.

† Entire line; average mileage of road operated (miles) 111.35.

‡ From January 1st to December 15th incl.

STEAM RAILROADS—Concluded

STATISTICS OF STEAM ROADS OPERATING IN THE STATE OF COLORADO FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1929

	Rio Grande		San Luis		San Luis Valley		Silverton		Union		Total All	
	South. R. R.	Receiver	San Luis Cen. R. R.	So. Ry.	Valley So. Ry.	Northern R. R.	Uintah	Pacific R. R.	Steam Roads			
Freight Service:												
Tons—revenue freight.....	1,775		83,698	15,616	61,783		50,714		12,569,386		38,078,044	
Ton-miles—revenue freight.....	73,254		1,065,536	354,136	521,978		3,189,911		597,010,514		4,100,827,633	
Passenger Service:												
Passengers carried—revenue.....	311		663	1,625		1,471		683,822		2,458,877	
Passenger-miles—revenue.....	12,594		14,788	12,544		61,782		58,556,666		329,754,353	
Revenues and Expenses:												
Freight revenue.....	\$ 4,872.08		\$79,536.40	\$24,545.28	\$ 88,343.83		\$455,493.08		\$ 8,897,444.86		\$54,596,172.18	
Passenger revenue.....	630.17		935.55	880.15		4,393.05		1,571,777.74		9,470,399.20	
Other operating revenue.....	1,355.77		1,769.01	5,174.48	2,307.58		27,943.40		1,093,972.25		7,675,498.19	
(Pass. service train revenue)....	1,849.54		1,300.47	5,831.33	880.15		21,386.70		2,098,686.32		14,010,647.12	
Railway operating revenues.....	\$ 6,858.02		\$81,305.41	\$30,655.31	\$ 91,531.56		\$487,829.53		\$11,563,194.85		\$71,742,069.57	
Railway operating expenses.....	10,955.49		61,906.89	25,311.39	67,325.70		408,857.68		8,689,392.96		52,351,462.75	
Net railway operating revenues.*	\$ 4,097.47		\$19,398.52	\$ 5,343.92	\$ 24,205.86		\$ 78,971.85		\$ 2,873,801.89		\$19,390,606.82	
Operating ratio (per cent).....	159.75		76.14	82.56	73.55		83.81		75.15		72.97	

*Deficit.

†From December 16th to December 31st incl.

‡Entire line; average mileage of road operated (miles) 68.46.

ELECTRIC RAILWAYS

STATISTICS OF ELECTRIC STREET AND INTERURBAN RAILWAYS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1928

	Total Passengers Carried	Revenue From Transportation	Railway Operating Revenues	Railway Operating Expenses	Net Revenue Railway Operations	Operating Ratio (Per Cent)
(a) The Colorado Springs & Interurban Ry. Co.....	4,275,735	\$ 289,164.82	\$ 296,725.58	\$ 241,180.44	\$ 55,545.14	81.28
(b) The Denver and Crown Hill Ry. Co.....	17,749	1,318.09	1,318.09	4,052.59	*2,734.50	307.46
The Denver and Intermountain R. R. Co.....	1,935,618	273,789.40	294,217.89	241,867.86	52,350.03	82.21
(a) The Denver Tramway Corporation.....	64,645,003	3,941,986.96	4,120,039.53	2,714,845.47	1,405,194.06	65.89
(a) The Grand River Valley R. R. Co.....	96,589	23,897.82	24,961.07	33,907.04	*8,945.97	135.84
The Manitou Electric Ry. and Casino Co.....	36,089	1,759.27	1,759.27	1,386.83	372.44	78.83
Public Service Co. of Colorado (Boulder).....	357,554	23,014.71	23,414.71	36,495.38	*13,080.67	155.87
Southern Colorado Power Co. (Pueblo).....	5,923,495	349,230.66	351,070.62	(c)255,268.98	95,801.64	72.71
Total 8.....	77,287,832	\$4,904,161.73	\$5,113,506.76	\$3,529,004.59	\$1,584,502.17	69.01
CABLE ROAD						
The Mt. Manitou Park and Incline Ry. Co.....	39,226	\$ 17,764.08	\$ 18,683.01	\$ 15,116.58	\$ 3,566.43	80.91

*Deficit.

(a) Bus operations included.

(b) Railway operations discontinued April 30, 1928.

(c) Exclusive of depreciation.

ELECTRIC RAILWAYS

STATISTICS OF ELECTRIC STREET AND INTERURBAN RAILWAYS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1929

	Total Passengers Carried	Revenue From Transportation	Railway Operating Revenues	Railway Operating Expenses	Net Revenue Railway Operations	Operating Ratio (Per Cent)
(a) The Colorado Springs & Interurban Ry. Co.....	4,159,160	\$ 276,920.19	\$ 284,635.26	\$ 246,162.61	\$ 38,472.65	86.48
The Denver and Intermountain R. R. Co.....	1,868,651	256,623.32	276,265.44	274,543.73	1,721.71	99.38
(a) The Denver Tramway Corporation.....	63,105,393	3,851,102.18	4,041,488.15	2,731,476.15	1,310,012.00	67.59
(a) The Grand River Valley R. R. Co.....	78,866	16,343.33	16,509.33	30,197.89	*13,688.56	194.71
The Manitou Electric Ry. and Casino Co.....	33,800	1,660.55	1,660.55	1,315.83	344.72	79.24
Public Service Co. of Colorado (Boulder).....	310,207	20,403.59	20,803.59	37,910.92	*17,107.33	182.23
Southern Colorado Power Co. (Pueblo).....	5,657,454	329,158.39	331,016.35	(b) 255,725.32	75,291.03	77.25
Total 7.....	75,213,531	\$ 4,752,211.55	\$ 4,972,378.67	\$ 3,577,332.45	\$ 1,395,046.22	71.94
CABLE ROAD						
The Mt. Manitou Park and Incline Ry. Co.....	939	\$ 19,434.21	\$ 20,077.52	\$ 15,201.72	\$ 4,875.80	75.72

* Deficit.

(a) Bus operations included.

(b) Exclusive of depreciation.

ELECTRIC UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED

PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, ELECTRIC UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED, YEAR ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 1928

	Total Number of Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue From Sale of Current	Electric Mdsse. and Misc. Revenue	Operating Expenses	Taxes	Operating Income	Oper- ating Ratio % Invest-	Return Plant Invest- ment %
*Arcular Light and Power Co.-----	340	\$ 28,277.38	\$ 8,321.17	\$ 60.00	\$ 7,651.55	\$ 360.00	\$ 369.62	91.30	1.3
*Arvada Electric Co.-----	1,136	124,792.68	50,777.25	238.04	39,632.99	3,000.00	8,382.30	77.69	6.7
*Broadmoor Hotel Water and Power Co.-----	33	424,659.60	5,702.36	-----	4,284.93	62.85	1,354.58	75.15	5.5
Buena Vista Power Co.-----	213	122,235.89	11,818.93	2,511.59	14,108.14	941.89	1,719.51	98.45	10.6
*Carbondale Light & Power Co.-----	97	25,854.51	4,675.70	-----	3,247.01	325.58	1,103.11	69.44	4.3
Cedarage Electric Light and Power Co.-----	114	15,001.88	6,406.06	274.62	5,232.22	134.10	1,314.36	78.31	8.8
*Colorado Central Power Co.-----	6,888	1,334,948.85	426,381.29	12,533.24	277,063.46	20,876.89	140,974.18	63.12	10.6
Colorado Utilities Corporation-----	1,671	1,102,252.52	129,404.39	9,992.38	63,273.53	16,760.93	59,362.31	45.39	5.4
Commonwealth Utilities Corporation-----	1,421	717,881.46	81,005.61	2,143.36	65,897.48	-----	17,251.49	75.25	2.4
§Cortez Light, Power & Milling Co.-----	226	10,000.00	5,946.01	-----	3,639.15	346.35	1,959.91	61.20	19.6
*Curtis Electric Co.-----	14	1,865.55	353.45	-----	1,763.55	-----	1,410.10	499.72	175.6
*Custer County Electric Co.-----	100	17,772.53	6,216.74	-----	4,409.91	410.80	1,396.03	79.93	7.9
Eagle River Electric Co.-----	210	48,231.76	10,080.37	1,699.56	7,643.91	-----	4,136.02	64.89	8.6
Empire Water & Power Co.-----	30	5	855.23	-----	855.23	-----	100.00	-----	-----
*Evergreen Public Service Co.-----	350	36,942.19	16,151.96	2,285.62	14,988.08	178.11	3,271.39	81.29	8.9
*Gilpin County Light, Heat and Power Co.-----	224	36,963.39	7,748.99	590.43	7,597.11	784.32	42.01	91.10	10.1
Glenwood Light and Water Co.-----	563	148,857.12	51,934.69	3,416.32	37,084.67	6,880.76	11,385.58	67.00	7.6
Gunnison Valley Power Co.-----	205	38,091.33	5,066.80	-----	4,040.90	-----	1,465.90	73.38	3.8
Highland Utilities Co. (Baca County Division)	274	139,679.89	9,315.14	790.65	8,819.47	225.00	1,061.32	87.26	0.8
Highland Utilities Co. (Mesa Verde Division)	544	137,836.09	16,759.72	521.10	13,068.44	575.00	3,637.38	75.62	2.6

*Power purchased.

†From January 1, 1928, to July 2, 1928. Plant sold.

‡Lands and organization expense in additional sum of \$15,368.40 not segregated from water department.

§From January 1, 1928, to June 30, 1928. Plant sold.

||From July 13, 1928.

¶Deficit.

£Plant leased.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ELECTRIC UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED—Concluded
 PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, ELECTRIC UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED, YEAR ENDED
 DECEMBER 31, 1928

	Total Number of Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue of Current	Electric Mdse. and Misc. Revenue	Operating Expenses	Taxes	Operating Income	Oper- ating Ratio % ^a	Return Plant Invest- ment % ^b
Hinsdale Mining and Development Co.....	26	\$ 19,920.21	\$ 1,554.85	-----	\$ 2,734.96	\$ 417.65	\$ 1,597.76	175.88	18.0
*Home Gas & Electric Co.....	5,830	777,179.89	346,852.03	495.14	257,886.35	23,394.67	65,075.87	74.46	8.4
*La Veta Light, Heat & Power Co.....	213	21,884.12	8,917.73	33.35	7,116.28	363.72	1,476.08	79.46	6.7
Meeker Light & Water Co.....	243	45,240.18	14,628.55	-----	6,778.96	30.00	7,819.59	46.34	-----
§Montezuma Electric Co.....	186	8,054.36	2,267.13	-----	2,101.51	408.88	1243.26	92.72	3.0
New Light and Power Co.....	225	27,609.61	14,290.60	431.33	12,313.08	513.02	1,895.83	83.64	6.9
Public Service Company of Colorado.....	115,960	53,414,802.65	8,370,546.93	49,257.58	3,786,108.28	920,167.98	3,713,528.25	44.97	7.0
Roaring Fork Water, Light and Power Co.....	412	586,165.58	20,317.51	1,694.50	34,437.52	2,119.00	14,544.51	156.45	2.5
Silverton Electric Light Co.....	375	£	17,129.25	60.00	16,692.68	-----	496.57	97.11	-----
°Southern Colorado Power Co.....	24,362	15,800,425.42	2,290,899.21	-----	964,428.89	253,408.19	1,073,062.13	42.10	6.8
Geo. H. Sultz.....	48	5,476.61	1,926.57	-----	1,326.95	28.00	571.62	68.86	10.4
Summit County Power Co.....	50	861,984.01	19,928.88	313.15	17,291.53	2,400.00	550.50	85.43	0.1
Trinidad Electric, Transmission, Railway and Gas Co.....	5,230	6,565,230.61	1,053,158.53	°	491,744.52	114,000.00	447,414.01	46.69	6.8
Two Buttes Electric Light Plant.....	33	5,366.73	1,385.50	-----	1,213.53	-----	171.97	87.59	3.2
Western Colorado Power Co.....	6,061	8,100,097.85	604,617.99	1,904.97	305,230.57	68,553.97	232,738.42	50.32	2.9
*Western Public Service Co.....	568	275,305.19	53,753.36	1,333.56	34,227.14	31,483.64	19,381.14	62.13	7.0
Total 35	174,775	\$89,586,787.64	\$13,677,541.48	\$ 91,595.21	\$6,525,934.48	\$1,439,151.90	\$5,804,050.31	47.40	6.5

*Power purchased.

°From January 1, 1928, to June 30, 1928. Plant sold.

||Deficit.

¶Plant leased.

°Includes all departments.

°From January 1, 1928, to August 31, 1928. Plant sold.

°Exclusive of complete depreciation.

°Colorado Corporation tax not included.

°Included in operating revenue from sale of current.

ELECTRIC UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED
PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, ELECTRIC UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED, YEAR ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 1929

	Total Number of Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue From Sale of Current	Electric Mdse. and Misc. Revenue	Operating Expenses	Taxes	Operating Income	Oper- ating Ratio %	Return Plant Invest- ment %
†Arvada Electric Co.-----	1,212	\$ 130,718.66	\$ 55,304.03	\$ 2,434.68	\$ 42,142.28	\$ 3,600.00	\$ 11,996.43	72.99	9.2
†Broadmoor Hotel & Water Co.-----	34	‡27,542.46	5,594.58	-----	4,890.56	161.71	542.31	87.42	2.0
Buena Vista Power Co.-----	187	114,078.56	12,541.73	1,945.23	15,492.94	1,133.52	*2,139.50	106.94	*1.9
†Carbondale Light & Power Co.-----	95	25,883.68	4,667.74	-----	3,520.28	327.02	820.44	75.42	3.2
Citizens Light Co.-----	30	3,507.62	2,059.41	-----	1,479.04	-----	580.37	71.82	16.5
†Colorado Central Power Co.-----	7,255	1,382,747.71	461,366.13	11,738.56	323,404.94	35,774.66	113,925.09	68.36	8.2
Colorado Utilities Corp.-----	1,692	1,117,238.41	163,701.56	9,247.83	114,476.55	18,446.84	40,026.00	66.19	3.6
Commonwealth Utilities Corp.-----	1,733	765,116.32	95,152.28	1,612.61	67,086.56	17,263.83	12,414.50	68.62	1.6
Curtis Electric Co.-----	24	2,025.55	1,726.78	-----	3,431.90	21.00	*1,726.12	198.75	*85.2
Eagle River Electric Co.-----	191	51,500.63	10,676.76	1,837.60	8,728.34	867.02	2,919.00	69.75	5.7
Empire Water & Power Co.-----	33	\$ -----	926.32	-----	926.32	-----	-----	100.00	-----
†Evergreen Public Service Co.-----	450	38,757.48	18,041.23	1,965.16	16,344.85	150.83	3,510.71	81.70	9.1
†Fort Lyon Electric Co.-----	36	2,850.00	1,402.50	-----	1,139.30	31.00	232.20	81.23	8.1
Glenwood Light & Water Co.-----	827	149,849.11	51,231.25	3,409.85	37,554.57	7,858.69	9,227.84	68.73	6.2
Gunnison Valley Power Co.-----	214	39,751.03	11,010.55	697.32	8,170.70	565.02	2,972.15	69.79	7.5
Highland Utilities Co. (Baca County Division)	478	219,880.33	23,224.04	1,353.02	14,050.19	1,564.00	8,962.87	57.17	4.1
Highland Utilities Co. (Mesa Verde Division)	563	135,880.97	33,105.80	1,180.24	26,229.09	1,270.27	6,786.68	76.50	5.0
Hinsdale Mining & Development Co.-----	59	\$19,920.21	826.45	-----	2,817.60	438.47	*2,429.62	34.09	-----
†Home Gas & Electric Co.-----	6,184	1,005,104.05	394,426.33	10,560.06	301,166.15	54,796.99	69,023.25	74.36	6.9
Home Light & Power Co.-----	-----	3,050.00	2,766.35	-----	2,739.32	-----	27.03	99.02	0.9

*Deficit.

†Power purchased.

‡Lands and organization expense in additional sum of \$15,368.40 not segregated from water dept.

§Plant leased.

ELECTRIC UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED—Concluded

PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, ELECTRIC UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED, YEAR ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 1929

	Total Number of Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue of Current	Electric Mdse. and Misc. Revenue	Operating Expenses	Taxes	Operating Income	Oper- ating Ratio %	Return Plant Invest- ment %
Inland Utilities Co. (Holly Division).....	299	\$ 70,623.88	\$ 9,983.03	\$ 52.85	\$ 7,472.13	-----	\$ 2,563.75	74.45	3.6
Inland Utilities Co. (Stratton Division).....	140	25,336.56	6,018.45	-----	6,746.36	-----	*727.91	112.09	*2.9
La Veta Light, Heat & Power Co.....	203	21,188.37	8,983.23	71.41	7,388.54	\$ 388.61	1,282.49	81.45	6.1
Meeker Light & Water Co.....	234	\$6,087.81	15,526.20	-----	8,254.26	23.25	7,248.69	53.16	---
New Light & Power Co.....	215	32,711.08	14,538.45	1,487.55	18,215.03	732.30	*2,921.33	113.66	*8.9
†Park Power Co.....	47	4,000.00	4,013.55	-----	3,876.61	-----	136.94	96.59	3.4
Public Service Company of Colorado.....	122,644	55,645,423.00	9,062,113.09	18,187.00	3,641,144.98	984,389.18	4,454,765.93	40.10	8.0
Roaring Fork Water Light & Power Co.....	290	438,720.00	20,875.70	3,132.40	24,027.58	2,896.72	-2,916.20	100.08	*0.7
Rocky Mountain Utilities Co.....	91	85,386.06	5,139.81	141.53	5,811.02	-----	*529.68	110.03	*0.6
Silverton Electric Light Co.....	425	\$-----	20,419.94	137.50	18,842.65	-----	1,710.79	91.68	---
Strasburg Light & Power Co.....	34	5,830.59	1,961.55	260.96	2,084.32	-----	188.19	93.78	2.4
‡Southern Colorado Power Co.....	24,797	15,946,537.28	2,258,381.82	†-----	*935,375.22	260,299.72	*1,062,706.88	41.41	6.7
Geo. H. Sultz.....	51	5,493.91	2,117.13	-----	1,677.11	30.00	410.02	79.22	7.5
Trinidad Electric, Transmission, Ry. & Gas Co.....	5,360	6,160,847.17	976,913.56	†-----	468,041.08	115,200.00	393,672.48	47.91	6.4
‡Two Buttes Light Plant.....	33	4,176.73	1,303.50	-----	1,134.73	-----	168.77	87.05	4.9
Western Colorado Power Co.....	6,464	8,269,473.43	596,530.10	1,742.14	312,556.12	72,430.91	213,285.21	52.24	2.6
†Western Public Service Co.....	591	276,282.28	37,940.85	1,794.65	36,430.80	*1,816.94	21,487.76	60.99	7.8
Matt R. Williams.....	110	12,000.00	4,643.00	-----	4,345.00	-----	298.00	93.58	2.5
Total 36.....	183,325	\$92,245,020.94	\$14,417,105.78	\$ 74,990.15	\$6,499,165.02	\$1,562,478.50	\$6,430,452.41	44.85	7.0

*Deficit.

†Power purchased.

‡Plant leased.

§Included in oper. rev. from sale of current.

||Incl. all depts.

¶Colo. Corp. tax not included.

*From July 1, 1929.

†From May 1, 1929.

‡Excl. of depreciation.

ELECTRIC UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL
 PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, ELECTRIC UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL, YEAR ENDED
 DECEMBER 31, 1928.

	Total Number of Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue From Sale of Current	Electric Mfse. and Misc. Revenue	Operating Expenses	Operating Income	Operating Ratio %	Return Plant Invest- ment %
†Arriba, Town of.....	113		\$ 4,736.63	\$ 4,035.63	\$ 701.00	85.20
Burlington, Town of.....	420	\$ 101,009.90	42,475.37	\$ 47.00	29,779.78	12,742.59	70.03	12.6
Cheyenne Wells, Town of.....	263	65,644.58	9,292.52	8.90	9,074.00	227.42	97.55	0.3
Colorado Springs, City of.....	13,101	2,573,730.21	715,191.28	3,168.01	345,111.89	373,247.40	48.04	14.5
Eads, Town of.....	165	9,031.00	166.95	7,604.02	1,593.93	82.67
†Eckley, Town of.....	126	37,526.61	4,991.67	5,281.37	*289.70	105.80	*0.8
†Erie, Town of.....	226	5,377.63	5,319.05	138.75	4,825.09	632.71	88.41	11.8
†Firestone, Town of.....	35	1,344.00	745.74	18.00	744.05	19.69	97.42	1.5
Fort Morgan, City of.....	...	327,989.68	87,544.43	3,285.60	49,555.92	41,274.11	54.56	12.6
†Fountain, Town of.....	153	6,486.78	698.85	4,914.17	2,271.46	68.39
†Frederick, Town of.....	...	2,249.47	4,555.33	8.99	3,543.69	1,020.63	77.64	45.4
†Granada, Town of.....	...	25,161.57	3,356.38	3,385.89	*29.51	100.88	*0.1
Gunnison, Town of.....	518	53,089.73	32,873.48	17,617.10	33,648.25	16,842.33	66.64	31.7
Haxtun, Town of.....	290	73,564.69	22,229.84	1,000.00	10,825.16	12,404.68	46.60	16.9
Hugo, Town of.....	232	62,344.53	18,083.36	157.26	16,301.08	1,939.54	89.37	3.1
Lamar, City of.....	1,621	363,960.64	122,997.03	4,040.91	68,964.71	68,073.23	50.33	18.7
Longmont, City of.....	2,414	315,631.24	86,669.96	1,539.25	74,105.44	14,103.77	84.01	4.5
Loveland, City of.....	2,060	539,680.98	86,482.20	893.64	47,839.73	44,586.11	48.97	8.3
Paonia, Town of.....	360	48,353.56	22,799.41	4,811.93	21,210.84	6,400.50	76.82	13.2
†Seibert, Town of.....	86	17,597.16	3,524.31	2,336.35	1,187.96	66.29	6.8
Wray, Town of.....	533	111,100.33	29,603.33	17,379.89	12,223.44	58.71	11.08
Yuma, Town of.....	435	110,705.02	30,771.13	20,435.64	10,335.49	66.41	9.3
Total 22.....	23,151	\$4,856,061.53	\$1,359,760.23	\$37,601.14	\$775,852.59	\$621,508.78	55.52	12.9

*Deficit.

†Power Purchased.

ELECTRIC UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL
DECEMBER 31, 1929.

PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, ELECTRIC UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL, YEAR ENDED

	Total Number of Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue From Sale of Current	Electric Mdse. and Misc. Revenue	Operating Expenses	Operating Income	Operating Ratio %	Return Plant Invest- ment %
†Arriba, Town of.....	109	\$ 4,595.98	\$ 4,546.34	\$ 49.64	98.92
Burlington, Town of.....	350	\$ 101,009.90	43,872.15	\$ 238.01	30,670.65	13,439.51	69.53	13.3
Cheyenne Wells, Town of.....	263	65,644.58	10,405.92	450.88	11,141.21	*284.41	102.62	*0.4
Colorado Springs, City of.....	12,492	2,684,388.85	742,876.37	3,773.29	367,567.73	379,081.33	49.23	14.1
Creede, Town of.....	128	20,860.00	5,356.30	2,621.22	2,735.08	48.94	13.1
Eads, Town of.....	164	7,476.20	5,850.34	1,625.86	78.25
†Eckley, Town of.....	121	22,443.32	5,529.68	5,120.87	408.81	92.61	1.8
†Erie, Town of.....	216	5,532.63	6,185.05	102.00	5,225.91	1,061.14	83.12	19.2
†Firestone, Town of.....	44	1,528.60	954.65	853.40	99.25	90.46	6.5
Fort Morgan, City of.....	...	334,060.93	104,800.75	3,249.44	53,839.04	54,211.15	49.83	16.2
†Fountain, Town of.....	160	7,037.62	149.49	5,372.03	1,815.08	74.75
†Granada, Town of.....	...	25,403.22	5,259.97	2.00	5,382.69	*120.72	102.29	*0.5
†Gunnison, Town of.....	566	51,796.98	39,751.16	9,047.68	29,192.69	19,606.15	59.82	37.9
Haxtun, Town of.....	293	99,852.79	23,292.86	12,236.42	10,966.74	52.74	11.0
Lamar, City of.....	1,797	611,003.75	151,157.16	5,202.02	96,696.06	59,663.12	61.84	9.8
Longmont, City of.....	2,525	375,717.97	101,774.26	2,021.95	57,296.33	46,499.88	55.20	12.4
Loveland, City of.....	2,098	603,476.86	93,142.29	1,357.42	45,274.07	49,225.64	47.91	8.2
Paonia, Town of.....	400	27,732.98	20,374.75	1,383.84	18,659.71	3,008.88	85.76	11.2
Wray, Town of.....	548	111,362.34	31,489.95	1,057.14	16,689.45	15,867.64	51.26	14.2
Yuma, Town of.....	457	111,250.65	32,687.13	21,134.18	11,552.95	64.66	10.4
Total 20.....	22,731	\$5,253,068.35	\$1,437,940.20	\$28,035.16	\$795,372.04	\$670,603.32	54.26	12.8

*Deficit.

†Power Purchased.

GAS UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED

PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, GAS UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED, YEAR ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 1928

	Total Number of Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue from Sale of Gas	Miscel. Revenue	Operating Expenses	Taxes	Operating Income	Oper- Return ating Plant Ratio Invest- ment %
Canon Gas Co.....	603	\$ 75,901.50	\$ 15,460.68	\$ 520.50	\$ 12,374.51	\$ 1,376.57	\$ 2,230.10	77.74
Greeley Gas and Fuel Co.....	2,292	576,740.53	119,518.66	4,921.76	97,054.68	7,968.00	19,417.74	77.99
†Otero County Gas Co.....	1,172	168,221.70	2,536.37	226.18	2,547.49	96.55	118.51	92.18
Public Service Company of Colorado	83,662	18,061,590.93	2,654,622.48	2,109,293.67	232,878.52	312,450.29	79.46
†Public Utilities Consolidated Corp. La Junta Division.....	932	288,311.99	33,004.04	1,530.25	39,456.19	987.17	*2,909.07	105.12
Pueblo Gas & Fuel Co.....	3,895	1,311,957.02	150,470.15	235,110.02	14,496.69	*99,136.56	156.25
§Trinidad Electric Transmission Rail- way and Gas Co.....	669	6,565,230.61	1,053,158.53	491,741.52	114,000.00	447,414.01	46.69
Total 7.....	93,225	\$27,047,953.98	\$4,028,770.91	\$10,198.69	\$2,987,581.08	\$371,803.50	\$679,585.02	73.97
Colorado Springs, City of.....	5,160	\$ 639,933.86	\$ 191,255.65	\$ 2,790.76	\$ 130,190.84	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 53,855.57	67.09

GAS UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL

* Deficit.
† To January 31, 1928. Plant sold.
‡ From February 1, 1928.
§ Includes All Departments.
¶ Included in Oper. Rev. From Sale of Gas.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

GAS UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED

PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, GAS UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED, YEAR ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 1929.

	Total Number of Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue From Sale of Gas	Miscel. Revenue	Operating Expenses	Taxes	Operating Income	Oper- ating Ratio % Invest- ment %	Return Plant Invest- ment %
†Canon City Gas Co.....	641	\$ 196,545.03	\$ 10,691.06	\$ 491.53	\$ 13,125.22	\$ 1,003.67	\$ 2,946.30	117.37	*1.4
‡Durango Natural Gas Co.....	176	141,387.82	17,539.57	4,667.08	34,924.36	1,017.04	*13,734.75	157.27	*9.7
§Greeley Gas & Fuel Co.....	2,525	581,878.63	85,531.97	29,180.80	97,992.26	9,722.73	6,997.78	85.42	1.2
¶Public Service Co. of Colorado.....	85,659	18,718,226.52	3,112,846.35	40,054.34	2,571,945.69	246,023.36	334,931.64	81.57	1.8
Public Utilities Consolidated Corp.									
La Junta Division.....	1,193	364,194.85	40,368.63	12,051.62	52,341.62	1,062.27	*983.64	99.85	*0.3
Pueblo Gas & Fuel Co.....	5,376	1,452,076.34	216,339.08	\$.....	269,945.63	14,834.35	*68,440.90	124.78	*4.7
††Sterling Gas Co.....	325	133,402.70	8,098.48	7,618.68	13,698.25	1,050.00	878.91	87.66	0.7
Trinidad Electric Transmission Rail- way & Gas Co.....	673	347,586.30	34,984.24	7,900.60	33,294.18	4,800.00	4,790.66	77.64	1.4
Total 8.....	96,568	\$21,935,398.19	\$3,526,309.38	\$101,964.65	\$3,087,267.21	\$279,513.42	\$261,493.40	85.09	1.2
(GAS UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL)									
Colorado Springs, City of.....	5,077	\$ 641,805.94	\$ 186,521.87	\$ 1,746.90	\$ 122,027.02	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 56,241.75	64.82	8.8

* Deficit.

† From May 1, 1929.

‡ From July 3, 1929.

§ Included in Oper. Rev. From Sale of Gas.

¶ From May 19, 1929.

WATER UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED

PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, WATER UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED, YEAR ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 1928

	Total Number of Con- sumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue from Sale of Water	Miscel. Revenue	Operating Expenses	Taxes	Operating Income	Oper- ating Ratio %	Return Plant Invest- ment %
Altman Water Co.	58	\$ 169,073.84	\$ 21,469.20	-----	\$ 18,883.36	\$ 1,038.62	\$ 1,547.22	87.96	0.9
†Artesian Water Service Co.	41	3,620.90	114.12	-----	156.48	-----	*42.36	137.12	*1.2
†Batchelor, George A.	98	2,791.65	2,791.65	-----	2,791.65	-----	-----	100.00	-----
†Broadmoor Hotel Water & Power Co.	28	267,583.73	9,703.21	-----	10,412.04	-----	*1,274.44	107.31	*0.5
Broadmoor Water System	368	-----	13,006.95	-----	9,266.24	-----	3,740.71	71.24	-----
Brookside Water Co.	685	135,382.17	10,593.52	\$ 65.00	8,083.46	732.05	1,843.01	75.84	1.4
Buffalo Park Association	70	5,000.00	1,004.00	-----	1,178.00	150.00	*324.00	117.33	*6.5
Cardiff Light & Water Co.	25	13,739.15	797.38	-----	1,878.13	111.01	*1,191.76	235.54	*8.7
Coal Creek Water & Light Co.	170	23,200.00	3,032.85	31.50	2,079.44	274.30	710.61	67.86	3.1
Commonwealth Land Co.	105	17,654.16	2,709.00	-----	2,394.33	82.97	231.70	88.38	1.3
Cripple Creek Water Co.	499	206,202.75	15,311.71	-----	14,808.81	3,955.27	*3,453.37	96.72	*1.7
Cubmont Public Service Co.	4	1,135.00	98.00	-----	56.00	-----	42.00	57.14	3.7
Estes Park Water Co.	---	68,971.28	9,255.75	232.17	4,811.39	1,119.35	3,557.18	50.71	5.2
Evans Addition Pipe Line Co.	33	756.76	616.55	-----	538.61	-----	77.94	87.36	10.3
Evergreen Public Service Co.	260	21,211.20	4,085.15	462.54	4,709.73	178.11	*340.15	103.56	*1.6
Grizzly Water Co.	30	25,000.00	990.76	-----	354.19	156.30	480.27	35.75	1.9
Home Water Supply Co.	25	2,950.00	537.90	-----	456.51	11.00	70.39	84.87	3.0
Leadville Water Co.	1,298	603,736.03	49,637.41	-----	28,834.71	8,648.76	12,153.94	58.09	2.0
†Meeker Light & Water Co.	246	4,850.69	7,760.85	-----	8,230.77	-----	*469.92	106.06	*9.7
Mesa Water Works Co.	37	2,980.00	490.90	-----	355.50	42.73	92.67	72.42	3.5
Northfield Land & Water Co.	395	526,640.36	21,965.90	13.00	7,524.17	3,458.09	10,996.64	34.23	2.1
Pure Spring Water Supply Co.	---	223,592.52	23,699.50	-----	8,255.79	2,986.92	12,456.79	34.84	5.6
Roaring Fork Water, Light & Power Co.	280	91,450.34	8,621.16	25.00	6,442.05	1,361.80	842.31	74.51	0.9
Starbuck, John C.	41	18,236.00	396.50	-----	795.00	-----	*398.50	200.50	*2.2
Total 24	4,796	\$ 2,431,975.98	\$ 208,689.92	\$ 829.21	\$ 143,296.36	\$ 24,872.89	\$ 41,349.88	68.39	1.7

*Deficit.

†From Nov. 1, 1928.

‡Plant leased.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

WATER UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED
 PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, WATER UTILITIES, PRIVATELY OWNED, YEAR ENDED
 DECEMBER 31, 1929

	Total Number of Con- sumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue from Sale of Water	Miscel. Revenue	Operating Expenses	Taxes	Operating Income	Oper- ating Ratio %	Return Plant Invest- ment %
Altman Water Co.	17	\$ 167,410.63	\$ 9,945.36	-----	\$ 10,792.89	\$ 1,022.91	\$ *1,870.44	108.52	*1.1
Artesian Water Service Co.	23	3,870.00	860.16	-----	796.76	12.00	51.40	92.63	1.3
Bachelor, George A.	97	-----	3,022.95	-----	3,022.95	-----	-----	100.00	-----
Broadmoor Hotel Water & Power Co.	30	311,451.60	11,143.82	\$ 198.66	11,607.72	1,455.39	*1,720.63	102.34	*0.6
Broadmoor Water System	370	-----	12,799.52	-----	9,347.29	-----	3,452.23	79.22	-----
Brookside Water Co.	684	135,479.65	9,997.66	80.00	8,570.05	776.98	730.63	85.04	0.5
Buffalo Park Association	70	5,000.00	1,034.00	-----	1,140.00	150.00	*256.00	110.25	*5.1
Cardiff Light & Water Co.	25	13,739.15	789.45	-----	2,129.29	107.83	*1,447.67	269.72	*10.5
Coal Creek Water & Light Co.	170	23,290.00	3,046.90	40.50	2,541.12	338.20	208.08	82.31	0.9
Commonwealth Land Co.	105	17,654.16	2,709.00	-----	2,087.70	82.97	538.33	77.07	3.0
Cripple Creek Water Co.	499	206,292.75	14,800.87	-----	15,043.24	3,885.73	*4,128.10	101.64	*2.0
Cubmont Public Service Co.	6	1,485.00	71.00	-----	74.75	-----	*3.75	105.28	*0.3
Evans Addition Pipe Line Co.	30	756.76	605.65	-----	562.68	-----	42.97	92.91	5.7
Evergreen Public Service Co.	260	21,441.15	3,954.70	113.88	5,260.41	150.83	*1,342.66	129.29	*6.3
Grizzly Water Co.	30	25,000.00	999.77	-----	402.60	152.43	444.74	40.27	1.8
Home Water Supply Co.	25	2,350.00	617.60	-----	464.19	11.00	142.41	75.16	6.1
Inland Utilities Co. (Holly)	143	39,009.06	4,212.91	45.00	3,380.98	-----	876.93	79.40	2.2
Leadville Water Co.	1,240	610,052.63	48,427.98	-----	41,232.16	8,679.76	*1,483.94	85.14	*0.2
Meeker Light & Water Co.	325	6,724.40	7,918.30	-----	7,402.99	23.06	492.25	93.49	7.3
Mesa Water Works Co.	37	2,680.60	595.65	-----	450.32	-----	145.33	75.60	5.4
Northfield Land & Water Co.	400	565,456.91	23,473.18	20.00	21,141.75	4,216.52	*1,865.09	89.99	*0.3
Pure Spring Water Supply Co.	-----	223,502.52	26,996.19	-----	7,803.23	2,649.53	15,643.43	29.90	7.0
Roaring Fork Water, Light & Power Co.	269	150,250.34	7,801.31	-----	11,610.99	1,624.45	*5,334.13	147.55	*3.6
Starbuck, John C.	41	18,736.00	389.00	-----	803.00	-----	*414.00	206.43	*2.2
Total 24	4,896	\$ 2,551,452.71	\$ 195,312.93	\$ 498.04	\$ 167,569.06	\$ 25,339.59	\$ 2,902.32	85.58	0.1

*Deficit.
 †Plant leased.

WATER UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL
PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, WATER UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL, YEAR ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 1928

	Total Number of Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue from Sale of Water	Miscellaneous Revenue	Operating Expenses	Operating Income	Operating Ratio %	Return Plant Invest- ment %
Akron, Town of.....	363	\$ 64,473.97	\$ 8,893.54	\$ 3,974.68	\$ 5,218.86	41.31	8.1
Basalt, Town of.....	44	11,000.00	872.03	521.29	350.74	59.77	3.2
Bayfield, Town of.....	..	17,000.00	1,144.33	635.17	509.16	55.51	3.0
Berthoud, Town of.....	348	64,563.66	7,698.45	\$ 919.00	2,546.95	5,070.50	41.16	7.8
Blackhawk, Town of.....	..	75,000.00	736.85	488.34	248.51	66.27	0.3
Breckenridge, Town of.....	200	52,806.92	2,627.28	2,359.87	267.41	89.82	0.5
Burlington, Town of.....	322	98,482.12	7,604.14	1,736.65	15,656.77	*6,315.98	167.62	*6.4
Canon City, City of.....	..	549,402.69	40,821.95	20,335.35	20,286.60	50.30	3.7
Cedarvale, Town of.....	151	39,915.12	4,798.58	1,255.00	3,563.58	25.74	8.9
Central City, City of.....	157	125,320.13	3,494.40	200.00	2,345.02	1,150.38	68.86	0.9
Cheyenne Wells, Town of.....	212	49,884.27	2,557.41	71.43	1,992.90	635.97	75.81	1.3
Cortez, Town of.....	11,474	3,884,590.12	258,200.34	50,736.29	207,464.05	19.65	5.3
Craig, Town of.....	218	16,309.50	16,309.50	8,096.13	8,213.37	49.64	..
Del Norte, Town of.....	360	109,658.83	10,893.72	4,912.75	5,980.97	45.10	5.5
Del Norte, Town of.....	211	41,205.80	3,201.25	1,470.41	1,730.84	45.93	4.2
Delta, City of.....	884	350,000.00	27,735.84	165.00	4,000.60	23,960.84	14.34	6.8
Denver, City of.....	67,172	26,117,804.42	2,560,747.55	86,895.80	1,274,644.39	1,972,998.96	48.14	5.3
Dolores, Town of.....	158	48,147.31	2,921.45	4,229.48	*1,308.03	144.77	*2.7
Eads, Town of.....	132	75,529.20	8,407.10	287.30	6,417.66	2,276.74	73.81	3.0
Eagle, Town of.....	115	36,114.57	4,189.56	2,875.00	1,314.56	68.62	3.6
East Canon, Town of.....	129	33,264.78	3,544.93	2,849.12	695.81	80.37	2.1
Eckley, Town of.....	..	35,145.30	841.33	1,263.99	*422.66	150.24	*1.2
Empire, Town of.....	32	11,800.00	360.59	193.25	167.34	53.59	1.4
Erie, Town of.....	231	63,400.72	3,022.41	3,579.04	*556.63	118.42	*0.9
Fairplay, Town of.....	51	10,000.00	1,002.50	1,809.98	*807.48	180.55	*8.1
Firestone, Town of.....	52	18,400.00	1,122.25	1,859.37	*737.12	165.68	*4.0
Florence, City of.....	878	325,541.00	21,108.88	600.00	7,000.00	14,708.88	32.23	4.5
Fort Collins, City of.....	3,466	1,965,623.02	85,392.81	10,660.68	27,647.34	68,606.15	32.05	3.5
Fort Lupton, Town of.....	429	64,392.55	10,212.30	8,100.15	2,112.15	79.32	3.3
Fort Morgan, City of.....	1,264	216,116.62	29,523.29	4.25	13,135.12	16,392.42	44.48	7.6

*Deficit.

WATER UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL—Continued
 PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, WATER UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL, YEAR ENDED
 DECEMBER 31, 1928

	Total Number of Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue from Sale of Water	Miscellaneous Revenue	Operating Expenses	Operating Income	Operating Ratio %	Return Plant Invest- ment %
Fountain, Town of.....	220	\$ 61,089.62	\$ 4,214.62	\$ 1,648.74	\$ 2,565.88	39.12	4.2
Frederick, Town of.....	152	27,976.70	3,027.99	96.30	1,462.26	1,662.03	53.20	5.2
Fruita, Town of.....	182,397.66	11,814.31	5,811.53	6,002.73	49.19	2.3
Georgetown, Town of.....	125	50,637.23	1,319.15	1,213.67	1,05.48	92.00	0.2
Granada, Town of.....	82	25,076.00	1,593.45	1,740.92	*146.57	109.20	*0.6
Greeley, City of.....	3,108	782,250.23	129,178.33	732.59	29,248.16	100,662.76	22.51	12.9
Gunnison, Town of.....	423	44,980.43	7,246.84	1,235.97	6,962.87	1,520.77	82.07	2.0
Gypsum, Town of.....	70	19,000.04	1,816.90	432.10	5,827.30	1,666.70	25.80	3.8
Haxton, Town of.....	295	62,762.42	6,293.49	3,851.00	2,444.49	61.17	3.9
Hayden, Town of.....	134	53,500.00	5,091.01	2,336.26	2,494.75	51.00	7.4
Hotchkiss, Town of.....	213	72,000.00	5,594.45	1,626.82	3,967.63	29.08	5.5
Hugo, Town of.....	211	44,301.13	7,838.67	70.50	4,859.22	3,049.92	61.44	6.9
Hill, Town of.....	43	24,500.00	1,165.93	1,151.57	18.36	98.43	0.1
Lafayette, Town of.....	603	167,940.42	7,136.35	4,181.73	2,954.62	58.60	1.8
Lamar, City of.....	777	252,208.34	19,107.42	6,047.01	13,060.41	31.65	5.2
Las Animas, City of.....	402	115,646.98	11,124.37	10,599.06	525.31	95.28	0.5
Longmont, City of.....	462	366,652	65,576.92	94.00	29,064.23	36,606.69	44.26	7.9
Mancos, Town of.....	167	95,992.50	3,821.65	58.30	1,541.91	1,342.04	53.47	5.2
Manitou, Town of.....	1,282	238,892.30	13,004.74	279.75	4,947.34	8,337.15	37.24	3.9
Manzanola, Town of.....	166	51,907.46	5,535.43	4,228.66	1,307.07	76.39	2.5
Minturn, Town of.....	81	20,700.00	2,279.41	828.75	1,450.66	36.36	7.0
New Castle, Town of.....	130	15,471.83	1,950.23	2,211.41	*361.18	113.39	*1.7
Olathe, Town of.....	188	90,872.49	13,384.65	766.50	7,710.80	6,370.35	54.98	7.0
Olney Springs, Town of.....	55	15,500.00	1,931.54	256.42	1,675.12	13.28	10.8
Orchard City, Town of.....	190	53,307.50	7,035.55	1,328.65	5,706.95	18.88	10.7
Pagosa Springs, Town of.....	150	28,268.00	4,047.40	6,362.46	*2,315.06	157.20	*8.2
Paonia, Town of.....	519	65,676.51	7,589.23	93.70	2,397.14	5,275.79	30.03	8.2
Platteville, Town of.....	97	26,732.27	2,140.35	1,180.04	960.81	55.12	2.6
Red Cliff, Town of.....	98	2,220.34	2,220.34	1,455.63	765.21	63.54	3.8
Rico, Town of.....	58	27,000.00	1,123.45	1,045.50	78.25	93.04	0.3

*Deficit.

WATER UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL—Concluded

PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, WATER UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL, YEAR ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 1928

	Total Number of Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue from Sale of Water	Miscellaneous Revenue	Operating Expenses	Operating Income	Operating Ratio %	Return Plant Invest- ment %
Ridgway, Town of.....	130	\$ 26,100.00	\$ 2,845.80	\$ 1,430.15	\$ 1,415.65	50.25	5.4
Rifle, Town of.....	280	85,000.00	10,298.84	2,220.83	8,178.01	21.36	9.6
Rockvale, Town of.....	261	80,255.81	2,875.49	\$ 95.10	1,755.42	1,215.17	59.09	1.5
Rocky Ford, City of.....	762	493,139.07	20,316.04	20.50	11,283.52	9,253.02	54.94	1.9
Salida, City of.....	1,432	343,046.06	24,884.12	9,911.05	14,773.07	40.15	4.3
Seibert, Town of.....	63	43,276.32	1,034.62	151.49	883.13	14.64	2.0
Silverton, Town of.....	336	60,300.24	5,804.78	3,578.55	2,226.23	61.55	3.7
Simla, Town of.....	73	1,605.52	1,605.52	1,311.60	293.92	81.69	...
Sterling, City of.....	1,527	641,122.79	50,329.67	3,168.86	22,878.72	30,619.81	42.77	4.8
Sugar City, Town of.....	150	45,749.68	3,452.71	2,327.79	1,124.92	67.42	2.5
Telluride, City of.....	438	86,709.21	5,828.66	5,236.11	492.55	91.55	0.6
Trinidad, City of.....	3,455	1,567,006.88	113,243.93	938.39	52,762.68	63,419.69	45.41	4.0
Victor, City of.....	450	400,000.00	11,041.04	4,044.48	6,996.56	36.63	1.7
Walsenburg, City of.....	1,114	529,384.38	26,207.85	562.00	3,795.52	22,974.33	14.17	4.3
Ward, Town of.....	12	4,000.00	110.00	260.00	*150.00	236.36	*3.8
Wellington, Town of.....	...	14,269.19	3,490.27	2,649.29	840.98	75.91	5.9
Westminster, Town of.....	127	31,243.38	6,419.25	238.00	2,768.54	3,888.71	41.59	12.4
Windsor, Town of.....	443	48,463.78	11,548.06	12.00	12,965.13	*1,405.07	112.15	*2.9
Wray, Town of.....	400	60,112.05	7,416.69	5,698.82	1,717.87	76.84	2.9
Yuma, Town of.....	434	73,246.35	8,704.97	5,557.39	3,147.58	63.81	4.3
Total 80.....	110,992	\$42,356,703.03	\$ 3,803,960.72	\$110,434.67	\$1,779,017.02	\$2,135,378.37	46.77	5.0

*Deficit.

WATER UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL
PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, WATER UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL, YEAR ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 1929

	Total Number of Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue from Sale of Water	Miscellaneous Revenue	Operating Expenses	Operating Income	Operating Ratio %	Return Plant Invest- ment %
Akron, Town of.....	370	\$ 66,010.42	\$ 8,204.45	\$ 3,184.35	\$ 5,020.10	38.81	7.6
Animas City, Town of.....	98	25,000.00	1,250.74	929.63	321.11	74.33	1.3
Basalt, Town of.....	46	11,000.00	686.00	770.70	*84.70	113.37	*0.8
Berthoud, Town of.....	332	64,563.65	7,938.60	\$ 569.61	3,558.54	4,998.54	41.66	7.7
Blackhawk, Town of.....	75,000.00	634.06	364.20	269.86	57.44	0.4
Breckenridge, Town of.....	200	55,806.92	2,570.36	10,319.55	*7,749.19	401.48	*13.89
Burlington, Town of.....	321	102,266.57	9,046.96	1,678.80	16,079.92	*5,354.16	149.92	*5.2
Canon City, City of.....	550,222.69	44,832.27	23,980.27	20,852.00	53.49	3.8
Cedarvale, Town of.....	156	39,915.12	5,145.06	2,416.29	2,728.77	46.96	6.8
Central City, City of.....	187	125,320.13	4,022.31	1,937.13	2,085.18	48.16	1.7
Cheyenne Wells, Town of.....	215	49,894.27	2,955.88	2,043.63	912.25	69.14	1.8
Colorado Springs, City of.....	11,618	4,028,951.52	275,021.44	52,542.63	222,478.81	19.10	5.5
Cortez, Town of.....	230	70,787.04	4,855.17	2,431.04	2,424.13	50.07	3.4
Craig, Town of.....	365	118,910.69	11,792.64	12,030.33	*237.64	102.02	*0.2
Delta, City of.....	888	350,000.00	31,033.66	260.00	4,000.00	27,313.66	12.77	7.8
Denver, City of.....	68,187	27,089,488.27	2,821,809.09	89,521.22	1,222,629.51	1,688,700.80	42.00	6.2
Eads, Town of.....	143	75,734.20	6,494.00	598.00	5,594.86	1,497.14	78.89	2.0
Eagle, Town of.....	115	41,114.57	3,938.66	2,356.35	1,582.31	59.82	3.8
East Canon, Town of.....	134	33,381.98	3,540.75	3,234.64	306.11	91.35	0.9
Eaton, Town of.....	372	42,760.00	10,849.71	5,059.78	5,789.93	46.64	13.5
Eckley, Town of.....	23,507.16	784.38	15.00	1,113.09	*313.71	139.24	*1.3
Empire, Town of.....	32	11,800.00	331.35	787.99	*456.64	237.81	*3.9
Erie, Town of.....	240	64,577.78	3,922.69	2,324.22	1,598.47	59.25	2.5
†Estes Park, Town of.....	75,411.91	9,739.76	69.08	4,191.94	5,616.90	42.74	7.4
Evans, Town of.....	120	30,650.00	2,257.43	1,723.41	534.02	76.34	1.7
Fairplay, Town of.....	57	10,000.00	1,002.50	1,809.98	*807.48	180.55	*8.1
Firestone, Town of.....	56	18,400.00	1,296.49	63.00	1,107.45	252.04	81.46	1.4
Florence, City of.....	875	355,541.00	21,205.90	7,000.00	14,205.90	33.01	4.1
Fort Collins, City of.....	3,490	2,156,884.44	88,032.36	4,043.75	2,338.29	63,587.82	30.98	2.9
Fort Lupton, Town of.....	420	75,670.00	10,323.07	8,037.54	2,285.53	77.86	3.0

*Deficit

†From June 13, 1929.

WATER UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL—Continued

PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, WATER UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL, YEAR ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 1929

	Total Number of Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue from Sale of Water	Miscellaneous Revenue	Operating Expenses	Operating Income	Operating Ratio %	Return Plant Invest- ment %
Fort Morgan, City of.....	1,284	\$ 222,335.46	\$ 30,501.70	\$ 786.95	\$ 13,676.34	\$ 16,612.31	46.91	7.5
Pountain, Town of.....	234	61,089.62	4,688.32	2,007.96	2,680.36	42.83	4.4
Fruita, Town of.....	1,224	182,447.66	10,834.13	5,059.27	5,774.86	46.70	3.2
Georgetown, Town of.....	125	50,637.23	1,257.75	1,455.89	*198.14	115.75	*0.4
Granada, Town of.....	85	25,924.32	1,668.83	1,614.28	54.55	96.73	0.2
Greeley, City of.....	3,055	821,069.86	134,785.98	1,212.99	43,017.78	92,981.19	51.63	11.3
Gunnison, Town of.....	421	74,592.85	7,085.22	1,185.85	4,276.15	394.32	87.37	1.3
Haxton, Town of.....	298	79,083.28	6,526.40	3,868.17	2,658.23	59.27	3.4
Hayden, Town of.....	124	33,500.00	4,560.03	1,775.24	2,884.79	38.10	8.6
Hugo, Town of.....	214	50,208.08	8,312.85	4,756.22	3,556.63	57.22	7.1
Iliff, Town of.....	42	24,500.00	1,200.32	1,463.14	*262.82	121.90	*1.1
La Junta, City of.....	1,648	293,838.76	37,123.04	15,336.95	21,786.09	41.31	7.4
Lamar, City of.....	812	468,650.42	20,626.29	315.00	5,723.07	15,218.22	27.33	3.2
Las Animas, City of.....	375	115,646.38	10,500.00	7,398.41	3,101.59	70.46	2.7
Lougment, City of.....	465,641.65	64,502.23	43,347.36	21,154.33	67.20	4.5
Manitou, Town of.....	169	25,992.50	3,281.86	2,081.97	1,237.19	62.73	4.8
Manitou, Town of.....	1,290	259,583.21	12,745.64	37.30	4,032.98	8,893.38	31.20	3.4
Manzanola, Town of.....	166	51,907.46	5,206.21	4,445.36	760.85	85.39	1.5
Minturn, Town of.....	80	20,700.00	2,137.61	1,095.63	1,041.98	51.25	5.0
New Castle, Town of.....	145	25,500.00	1,807.16	1,523.51	283.65	84.30	1.1
Olathe, Town of.....	191	92,043.20	13,481.07	957.50	5,866.78	8,571.79	40.63	9.3
Olney Springs, Town of.....	55	15,888.37	1,933.59	2,217.70	1,761.89	11.18	11.1
Orchard City, Town of.....	197	52,307.50	6,988.90	129.00	1,724.31	5,383.59	24.37	10.1
Paonia, Town of.....	519	47,147.86	7,134.65	3,399.96	3,994.72	46.70	8.5
Platteville, Town of.....	97	36,800.77	2,477.05	1,935.80	541.25	78.15	1.5
Pueblo, City of (District No. 1).....	6,650	1,181,343.47	212,785.10	1,225.39	104,718.35	109,292.14	48.93	9.3
Pueblo, City of (District No. 2).....	7,394	1,368,585.52	219,218.99	94,255.52	124,963.47	43.00	9.1
Red Cliff, Town of.....	998	20,000.00	2,672.61	658.67	2,362.29	968.99	70.91	4.8
Rico, Town of.....	65	27,000.00	1,232.55	2,709.18	*1,476.63	219.80	*5.5
Ridgway, Town of.....	115	26,100.00	2,776.60	3,459.94	*683.34	124.61	*2.6

*Deficit.

WATER UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL—Concluded

PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, WATER UTILITIES, MUNICIPAL, YEAR ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 1929

	Total Number of Consumers (Book Value)	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenue from Sale of Water	Miscellaneous Revenue	Operating Expenses	Operating Income	Operating Ratio %	Return on Plant Invest- ment %
Rockvale, Town of.....	259	\$ 67,959.50	\$ 3,221.05	\$ 166.58	\$ 2,033.17	\$ 1,854.46	60.02	2.0
Rocky Ford, City of.....	850	493,139.07	21,958.41	232.00	12,336.38	9,854.03	55.59	2.0
Salida, City of.....	1,432	343,172.06	24,681.91	3,078.26	15,603.65	36.78	4.5
Sedgewick, Town of.....	84	46,466.03	2,497.25	403.57	2,768.20	132.62	95.43	0.3
Selbert, Town of.....	65	43,300.86	1,193.69	304.81	888.88	25.54	2.1
Silverton, Town of.....	493	60,300.65	6,863.00	2,584.25	4,278.75	37.65	7.1
Silver Plume, Town of.....	56	29,000.00	600.50	225.38	374.52	37.63	1.9
Simla, Town of.....	73	1,579.09	1,579.09	1,821.13	*242.04	115.23
Sterling, City of.....	1,563	645,705.56	49,992.59	1,714.63	24,308.95	27,398.27	47.01	1.2
Sugar City, Town of.....	121	45,750.00	4,910.27	2,510.24	2,400.03	51.12	5.2
Swink, Town of.....	101	23,814.42	2,544.85	1,386.33	1,158.52	54.48	4.9
Telluride, City of.....	438	61,900.00	3,046.06	3,615.39	*569.93	111.29	*0.9
Trinidad, City of.....	3,503	1,563,288.44	109,764.37	1,686.62	51,580.71	59,870.71	46.28	3.8
Victor, City of.....	420	400,000.00	10,439.30	4,857.80	5,581.50	46.53	1.4
Walsenburg, City of.....	1,124	529,384.38	30,821.27	340.27	5,038.25	26,123.29	16.17	4.9
Ward, Town of.....	12	4,000.00	112.00	245.00	*133.00	218.75	*3.3
Wellington, Town of.....	14,269.19	3,588.03	3,644.97	*56.94	101.59	*0.4
Westminster, Town of.....	130	30,700.45	6,506.48	140.00	5,184.95	1,461.53	78.01	4.8
Windsor, Town of.....	451	49,829.82	12,582.94	15.00	14,972.16	*2,374.22	118.85	*4.8
Wray, Town of.....	400	58,394.81	8,193.21	7,316.08	877.13	89.29	1.5
Yuma, Town of.....	440	73,544.78	9,747.95	6,266.71	3,481.24	64.29	4.7
Total 80.....	127,124	\$46,611,156.97	\$ 4,553,055.38	\$108,206.50	\$1,988,908.39	\$2,672,353.49	42.67	5.7

* Deficit.

TELEPHONE UTILITIES

PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, TELEPHONE UTILITIES, YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1928

	Total Number of Subscribers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenues	Operating Expenses	Taxes	Operating Income	Oper- ating Ratio Invest- ment %	Return % ment
Arkansas Valley Telephone Co.	36	\$ 1,643.77	\$ 1,100.10	30.87	\$ 512.80	66.93	...
Arriba Telephone Exchange	250	4,330.15	2,642.93	2,746.65	52.34	*156.06	103.92	*3.6
Bowen Telephone Co.	19	2,000.00	473.13	407.26	65.87	86.08	3.3
Box Elder Telephone Assn.	8	360.00	76.00	52.50	8.74	14.76	69.08	4.1
Branson Telephone Co.	25	3,250.00	407.00	657.00	32.00	*282.00	161.43	*8.7
Byers Cooperative Telephone Co.	148	2,911.60	3,126.05	*214.45	107.37	...
Cherry Creek Telephone Co.	87	3,849.55	1,471.98	1,307.75	19.58	144.65	88.84	3.8
Crook Telephone Exchange	159	3,694.36	1,625.00	81.04	1,948.32	44.47	...
Deer Trail Telephone Co.	83	1,418.15	2,847.74	2,451.38	396.36	86.08	27.95
Delta County Cooperative Telephone Co.	1,980	81,947.81	41,173.22	37,383.39	2,308.97	1,480.86	90.80	1.8
Eagle Valley Telephone Co.	163	10,000.00	7,549.45	7,087.65	165.55	316.25	93.62	3.2
Eckley-Happyville Telephone Co.	153	13,031.21	2,840.78	2,889.20	111.63	*160.05	101.70	*1.2
Elbert Telephone Assn.	166	200.00	926.12	926.12	100.00
El Paso County Mutual Telephone Co.	110	972.18	2,177.03	*1,204.85	223.93
Enterprise Telephone Co.	30	610.36	539.14	71.22	88.33
Farmers Cooperative Telephone Co.	43	1,425.00	1,324.00	1,274.75	69.99	*20.74	96.28	*1.5
Fleming Telephone Co.	240	8,756.00	4,896.00	4,438.00	201.60	256.40	90.65	2.9
Gardner Rural Telephone Co.	46	1,932.00	2,182.83	2,456.76	56.59	*30.52	112.55	*16.6
Grover Telephone Co.	625.11	1,509.81	1,492.81	41.04	*24.04	98.87	*3.8
Gunnison County Cooperative Telephone Co.	16	1,195.00	57.00	34.00	22.13	.87	59.65	0.1
Haxton Telephone Co.	620	13,042.50	15,131.41	14,475.55	422.90	532.96	93.68	4.1
Hollandville Telephone Co.	70	3,000.00	1,988.00	817.17	93.79	1,077.04	41.11	35.9
Illinois Telephone Assn.	11	1,000.00	150.00	150.00	100.00
Independent Telephone Co.	98	7,800.00	2,548.93	1,807.98	113.89	627.06	70.93	8.0
Inter-Urban Telephone Co.	100	4,529.00	1,836.34	1,513.70	130.68	191.96	82.43	4.2
Kiowa Telephone Exchange	125	1,250.00	1,500.00	1,250.00	250.00	83.33	20.0
La Garita Telephone Co.	350	17,387.41	9,399.78	8,432.49	410.83	466.46	90.58	2.7
La Jara Telephone Co.	34	2,151.34	428.80	428.0377	99.82	6.04
McCombe, Geo. E., Telephone Co.	10	220.00	216.00	209.24	6.76	96.87
Model Telephone Co.	15	1,700.00	300.00	374.00	*74.00	124.67	*4.4

*Deficit.

TELEPHONE UTILITIES—Concluded

PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, TELEPHONE UTILITIES, YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1928

		Total	Plant	Operating	Operating	Taxes	Operating	Oper-	Return
		Number of	Investment	Revenues	Expenses	Income	Income	ating	Plant
		Subscribers	(Book Value)	Operating	Expenses	Income	Income	Ratio	Invest-
		(Book Value)	Expenses	Revenues	Expenses	Income	Income	%	ment
		(Book Value)	Expenses	Revenues	Expenses	Income	Income	%	%
Montezuma County Telephone Co.....	334	\$ 53,666.45	\$ 12,215.58	\$ 15,845.55	\$ 786.12	\$ 2,843.85	\$ 77.09	5.3	
Mountain States Telephone & Telegraph Co.173,619		31,720,889.42	6,223,874.20	8,171,200.52	808,825.75	1,138,500.57	76.17	3.6	
North Bennett Mutual Telephone Co.....	61	1,825.25	1,003.26	1,308.31	29.27	275.78	76.68	15.1	
Pagosa Springs Telephone Co.....	185	6,200.00	3,815.06	5,198.20	155.42	1,227.72	73.39	19.8	
Paoli Telephone Co.....	94	10,700.00	2,161.07	1,953.22	*207.85	110.64	*1.9	
Peetz Cooperative Telephone Co.....	163	3,030.00	5,404.77	5,671.99	179.84	87.38	95.29	2.9	
Phillips County Telephone Co.....	455	4,700.00	7,114.55	8,570.36	1,455.81	83.01	31.0	
Pitkin Telephone Co.....	5	40.00	186.00	16.02	129.98	21.51	
Rico Telephone Co.....	54	6,350.00	4,972.99	5,036.81	63.82	98.73	1.0	
Rosa & Ignacio Telephone Co.....	36	3,816.09	1,086.53	1,128.31	40.35	1.43	96.30	0.04	
Siloam Telephone Co.....	31	239.17	58.58	12.16	*192.75	408.28	
Strasburg Telephone Exchange.....	70	953.67	953.67	100.00	
Vona & Joes Telephone Co.....	103	4,400.00	2,361.57	2,357.55	*4.02	100.17	*0.1	
Ward Telephone Co.....	15	845.00	700.00	*145.00	120.71	
Total 44.....	180,420	\$22,001,997.44	\$8,369,398.12	\$8,333,748.59	\$814,497.07	\$1,149,853.40	76.43	3.6	

•Deficit.

TELEPHONE UTILITIES

PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, TELEPHONE UTILITIES, YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1929

	Total Number of Subscribers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenues	Operating Expenses	Taxes	Operating Income	Oper- ating Ratio Invest- ment %	Return Plant % ment
Arkansas Valley Telephone Co.....	40	\$ 1,407.23	\$ 944.26	\$ 26.72	\$ 436.25	67.10
Arriba Telephone Exchange.....	228	\$ 4,545.15	2,690.80	2,864.87	53.67	*227.74	106.47	*5.0
Atwood Telephone Co.....	42	1,255.70	1,222.61	14.44	18.65	97.36
Bowen Telephone Co.....	29	2,000.00	332.62	305.95	26.67	91.98	1.3
Box Elder Telephone Assn.....	7	360.00	70.00	47.50	11.13	67.86	3.1
Byers Cooperative Telephone Co.....	162	3,604.08	3,384.03	220.05	93.89
Cherry Creek Telephone Co.....	55	3,849.55	1,387.87	1,322.40	18.38	7.09	98.16	0.2
Crook Telephone Exchange.....	139	3,556.24	1,625.00	123.65	1,801.59	45.77
Deer Trail Telephone Co.....	95	1,878.15	3,320.23	72.40	*608.68	119.26	*32.4
Delta County Cooperative Telephone Co....	1,947	93,942.75	40,389.60	37,883.69	2,323.31	182.60	93.80	0.2
Eagle Valley Telephone Co.....	156	10,000.00	8,135.90	8,118.92	203.92	*186.94	99.79	*1.9
Eckley-Happyville Telephone Co.....	150	13,028.66	5,211.25	3,045.26	99.39	66.60	94.82	0.5
El Paso County Mutual Telephone Co....	114	954.81	222.92	731.89	23.35
Enterprise Telephone Co.....	34	715.30	664.53	50.77	92.90
Farmers Cooperative Telephone Co.....	45	1,425.00	1,436.87	1,351.82	49.27	35.78	2.5
Fleming Telephone Exchange.....	240	8,756.00	4,896.00	4,438.00	201.60	256.40	90.65	2.9
Gardner Rural Telephone Co.....	41	1,942.00	2,044.12	1,823.30	70.62	150.20	89.20	7.5
Grover Telephone Co.....	562.60	1,558.83	1,600.75	41.62	*83.54	102.69	*14.8
Gunnison County Cooperative Telephone Co.	16	1,105.00	50.00	26.00	23.76	24	52.00	0.02
Haxton Telephone Co.....	628	13,616.30	11,318.20	433.64	1,864.46	83.12
Hollandville Telephone Co.....	75	3,000.00	2,084.39	980.56	66.13	1,037.70	47.04	34.6
Illinois Telephone Assn.....	11	1,000.00	60.00	60.00	100.00
Independent Telephone Co.....	96	7,800.00	2,551.83	1,755.18	116.20	680.45	68.78	8.7
Inter-Urban Telephone Co.....	100	4,529.99	1,995.18	1,442.69	135.17	47.32	72.31	9.2
Kiowa Telephone Exchange.....	118	1,250.00	1,425.00	1,250.00	175.00	87.72	14.0
La Garita Telephone Co.....	343	17,815.07	9,888.86	8,801.19	386.10	701.57	89.00	3.9
La Jara Telephone Co.....	34	2,131.34	378.41	299.62	116.80	*38.01	79.18	*1.8
McCone, Geo. E., Telephone Co.....	8	216.00	216.00	209.24	6.76	96.87
Model Telephone Co.....	10	2,000.00	240.00	374.00	*134.00	155.83	*6.7
Mountain States Telephone & Telegraph Co.	178,847	36,746,123.72	8,512,251.22	6,222,678.77	738,257.63	1,551,314.82	73.10	4.2

* Deficit.

TELEPHONE UTILITIES—Concluded

PLANT INVESTMENT, OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES, TELEPHONE UTILITIES, YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1929

	Total Number of Subscribers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenues	Operating Expenses	Taxes	Operating Income	Oper- ating Ratio Invest- ment %	Return Plant Invest- ment %
North Bennett Mutual Telephone Co.....	64	\$ 1,825.25	\$ 1,158.22	\$ 1,004.26	\$ 29.12	\$ 124.84	86.71	6.8
Pagosa Springs Telephone Co.....	190	6,408.55	5,375.62	3,966.21	167.32	1,242.09	73.78	19.4
Peetz Cooperative Telephone Co.....	159	9,509.50	4,462.22	3,900.25	194.84	367.13	87.41	3.9
Phillips County Telephone Co.....	460	6,700.00	10,501.68	9,082.54	148.61	1,270.53	86.49	19.0
Pitkin Telephone Co.....	8	408.00	72.00	18.82	317.18	17.65
†Ramah Telephone Exchange.....	36	300.00	46.60	78.00	*31.40	167.38	*10.5
Rico Telephone Co.....	57	5,500.00	4,406.66	4,033.96	139.19	233.51	91.54	4.2
Rosa & Ignacio Telephone Co.....	36	3,816.09	849.55	917.09	*67.54	107.95	*1.8
Siloam Telephone Co.....	30	110.01	172.37	4.85	*67.21	156.69	*....
Strasburg Telephone Exchange.....	71	971.83	971.83	100.00
Vona-Joes Telephone Co.....	117	4,400.00	2,395.57	2,031.05	100.30	264.22	84.78	6.0
Ward Telephone Co.....	15	675.00	810.00	*135.00	120.00
Total 42.....	1,85,094	\$36,967,794.37	\$8,656,543.32	\$6,350,461.05	\$743,706.37	\$1,562,375.90	73.36	4.2

*Deficit.

†From December 1, 1929.

SUMMARY OF OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES OF ELECTRIC, GAS, WATER AND TELEPHONE UTILITIES FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1928 AND 1929

	Number of Reporting Consumers	Plant Investment (Book Value)	Operating Revenues	Miscellaneous and Revenue	Operating Expenses	Taxes	Operating Income	Operating Ratio %	Return on Investment %
*Electric Utilities									
Privately Owned or Operated—									
1928	35	\$ 89,586,787.64	\$13,677,541.48	\$ 91,595.21	\$ 6,525,934.48	\$1,429,151.90	\$ 5,804,050.31	47.40	6.5
1929	36	92,245,020.94	14,417,105.78	74,990.15	6,499,165.02	1,362,478.50	6,430,452.41	44.85	7.0
Municipal									
1928	22	4,836,061.52	1,359,760.23	37,601.14	775,852.59		621,508.78	55.52	12.9
1929	20	5,253,068.35	1,437,940.20	28,035.16	795,372.04		670,603.32	54.26	12.8
Total All Electric Utilities—									
1928	57	94,422,849.17	15,037,301.71	129,196.35	7,301,787.07	1,439,151.90	6,425,559.09	48.14	6.8
1929	56	97,498,089.29	15,855,045.98	103,025.31	7,294,537.06	1,562,478.50	7,101,055.73	45.71	7.3
*Gas Utilities									
Privately Owned and Municipal—									
1928	8	27,687,887.84	4,220,026.56	12,989.45	3,117,771.92	381,803.50	732,440.59	73.65	2.6
1929	9	22,577,204.13	3,712,831.25	103,711.55	3,209,294.23	289,513.42	317,735.15	84.09	1.1
Water Utilities									
Privately Owned or Operated—									
1928	24	2,431,975.98	208,689.92	829.21	143,296.36	24,872.89	41,349.88	68.39	1.7
1929	24	2,551,452.71	195,312.93	498.04	167,569.06	25,339.59	2,902.32	85.58	0.1
Municipal									
1928	80	42,356,703.03	3,803,960.72	110,434.67	1,779,017.02		2,125,378.37	46.77	5.0
1929	80	46,611,156.97	4,553,055.38	108,206.50	1,988,908.39		2,672,333.49	12.67	5.7
Total all Water Utilities—									
1928	104	44,788,679.01	4,012,650.64	111,263.88	1,922,313.38	24,872.89	2,176,728.25	46.61	4.9
1929	104	49,162,609.68	4,748,368.31	108,704.54	2,156,477.45	25,339.59	2,675,255.81	44.40	5.4
Telephone Utilities									
Privately Owned or Operated—									
1928	44	32,001,997.44	8,323,748.59		6,369,398.12	814,497.07	1,149,853.40	76.42	3.6
1929	42	36,967,794.37	8,656,543.32		6,350,461.05	743,706.37	1,562,375.90	73.36	4.2
*Total Electric, Gas, Water and Tel. Utilities, Reporting									
1928	214	198,901,413.46	31,603,737.50	253,449.68	18,711,270.49	2,660,325.36	10,485,581.33	58.73	5.3
1929	211	206,205,697.47	32,972,738.86	315,441.40	19,010,769.79	2,621,037.88	11,656,422.59	57.11	5.7

*Figures shown for 1928 are not comparable with those shown for 1929 for the reason that the Trinidad Electric Transmission, Ry. and Gas Co. made no segregation as to departments operated in its annual report to the Commission for the year 1928. See foot-notes on detail sheets.

MOTOR VEHICLE CARRIERS HAVING CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

November 30, 1930

Certificate No.	Name	Address	Service
2	The Over-Land Motor Express Co.	Boulder, Colo.	F. E.
5	The Colorado Motor Way, Inc.	Denver, Colo.	P. E.
6	J. W. Hayden	Grand Junction, Colo.	P. E. F.
7	The Montrose Auto Stage & Taxi Co.	Montrose, Colo.	P. E.
8	Weicker Transportation Co.	Denver, Colo.	F.
10	Ridgway Garage	Ridgway, Colo.	P. E.
11	The Denver & Steamboat Springs Stage Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
16	L. W. Parcell	Silverton, Colo.	P. F. E.
17	Dean C. Hamilton	Palisade, Colo.	F. E.
18	Raymond L. Webber	Palisade, Colo.	F. E.
20	Charles Maxday, Sr., Inc.	Trinidad, Colo.	P. E.
23	Fuller Truck Line	Brighton, Colo.	F. E.
26	The Colorado Rapid Transit Co.	Denver, Colo.	F. E.
29	Fouret Brothers	Trinidad, Colo.	P. E.
32	C. H. Williams & Son	Cripple Creek, Colo.	P.
33	Brooks Transfer Co.	Sterling, Colo.	P. F. E.
36	Platte Valley Transportation Co.	Greeley, Colo.	P. E.
37	Charles H. O'Brien	Parker, Colo.	F.
40	The McKie Transfer Co.	Longmont, Colo.	F. E.
43	The Denver & Interurban Motor Co.	Denver, Colo.	P. E.
48	Denver-Colorado Springs-Pueblo Motor Way, Inc.	Denver, Colo.	P. E.
49	Curnow Livery & Transfer Co.	Idaho Springs, Colo.	P. F. E.
50	Denver & South Platte Transportation Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
51	The Consolidated Truck Co.	Denver, Colo.	F. E.
54	Indian Hills Transportation Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
55	The Rocky Mountain Parks Transpor- tation Co.	Denver, Colo.	P. F. E.
56	Denver Cab Co.	Denver, Colo.	P. E.
59	M. B. Stone	Durango, Colo.	P. E. F.
60	Telluride Transfer Co.	Telluride, Colo.	P. E.
62	J. D. MacKenzie	Nederland, Colo.	F.
63	Sitton Brothers	Dove Creek, Colo.	F.
65	Charles Bruce Capron	Johnstown, Colo.	F. E.
66	The Glacier Route, Inc.	Boulder, Colo.	P.
70	The Evergreen Transfer Co.	Evergreen, Colo.	F. E.
72	Foster Truck Lines	Denver, Colo.	F.
73	The Bus Transportation Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
74	D. K. Dannels & Son	Allenspark, Colo.	F.
75	Greeley-Briggsdale Motor Way	Briggsdale, Colo.	P. E.
76	The Champa 3 Auto Livery Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
77	The Brown & White Cab Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
78	The Colorado Cab Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
79	Champa 10 Taxi & Sightseeing Service	Denver, Colo.	P.
80	The Oxford Auto Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
82	The Masterson Auto Service Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
83	The Burke Taxicab Line, Inc.	Denver, Colo.	P.
84	C. W. Whitney	Denver, Colo.	P.
85	Davis Sightseeing Service	Denver, Colo.	P.
86	Ranker & Semler	Denver, Colo.	P.
87	The Arthur Taxi & Sightseeing Service Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
88	The Fallico Auto Livery	Denver, Colo.	P.
89	Otto Peterson	Denver, Colo.	P.
95	The Square Deal Auto Service	Denver, Colo.	P.
97	Western Scenic Auto Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
99	The Schram Scenic Auto Tours	Denver, Colo.	P.
100	Arthur H. Bunnell	Denver, Colo.	P.
101	Jim Carey Auto Livery	Colorado Springs, Colo.	P.
102	Conway Brothers	Colorado Springs, Colo.	P.
103	Almeron Davis	Colorado Springs, Colo.	P.
105	Bryant Auto Livery	Colorado Springs, Colo.	P.
106	C. F. Garriott Sightseeing Co.	Colorado Springs, Colo.	P.
107	G. & W. Garage & Tours Co.	Manitou, Colo.	P.
109	The Yellow Cab Co.	Colorado Springs, Colo.	P.
110	T. E. Anderson	Manitou, Colo.	P.
111	E. E. Nichols Hotel & Realty Co.	Manitou, Colo.	P.
112	Hiawatha Tours Co.	Manitou, Colo.	P.
114	L. E. Dicks	Colorado Springs, Colo.	P.
115	Gray Line Motor Tours	Colorado Springs, Colo.	P.

F. denotes freight; P. denotes passenger; E. denotes express.

MOTOR VEHICLE CARRIERS HAVING CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY—Continued

November 30, 1930

Certificate No.	Name	Address	Service
116	The Pikes Peak Automobile Co.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
117	The Buster & Williams Touring Co.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
118	McCaffery Brothers.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
119	William Irvine.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
120	Mrs. N. S. Nolan.....	Manitou, Colo.....	P.
121	George H. Miller.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
122	Luther C. Johnson.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
123	The Colorado Springs Sightseeing Co.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
124	L. L. Schwartz.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
125	Otto's Scenic Company.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
127	James T. Freeman.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
128	Mrs. D. F. Gaines.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
130	C. W. Kight & E. J. Tarman.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
131	William Olson.....	Manitou, Colo.....	P.
132	Florenz Ordelleide.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
133	T. H. Smith.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
134	F. J. Burghart.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
135	P. P. Turner.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
138	The Cadillac Sightseeing Co.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
139	Antlers Livery & Taxicab Co.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
140	J. G. Shabouh.....	Manitou, Colo.....	P.
142	George E. Bateman.....	Manitou, Colo.....	P.
143	Colorado Springs Scenic Co.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
145	The Manitou Fuel & Transportation Co.....	Manitou, Colo.....	F.
146	The E. E. Nichols Hotel & Realty Co.....	Manitou, Colo.....	P.
148	Colorado Bus Lines, Inc.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
149	The Rio Grande Motor Way, Inc.....	Denver, Colo.....	P. F. E.
150	Molloy Tours.....	Boulder, Colo.....	P.
151	Berwind Auto Lines.....	Berwind, Colo.....	P.
152	Harp Brothers.....	Meeker, Colo.....	P. F. E.
154	Raymond E. James.....	Meeker, Colo.....	F. E.
155	Burns Will.....	Lyons, Colo.....	P.
156	The Williams Livery Co.....	Central City, Colo.....	P.
158	Joe Festi.....	Delagua, Colo.....	P.
159	Frank Barbero.....	Valdez, Colo.....	P.
161	Henry Muscati.....	Manitou, Colo.....	P.
162	Frank W. Hoepner.....	Manitou, Colo.....	P.
164	B. E. Beals.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
165	Mountain Circle Auto Co.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
166	The Seven Falls Company.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
167	O. J. Lepel.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
168	Charles Heter.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
171	W. H. Walker.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
174	Wilson-Walker Mountain Tours, Inc.....	Boulder, Colo.....	P.
175	W. N. Clark.....	Boulder, Colo.....	P.
176	C. L. Elliott.....	Boulder, Colo.....	P.
177	H. F. Brandhorst.....	Boulder, Colo.....	P.
179	Armstead Scenic Tours.....	Boulder, Colo.....	P.
180	Armstead Scenic Company.....	Boulder, Colo.....	P.
181	Out West Sight-Seeing Co.....	Boulder, Colo.....	P.
183	C. R. Davis.....	Boulder, Colo.....	P.
184	C. W. Townsend.....	Boulder, Colo.....	P.
186	Clarence G. Guy.....	Lamar Colo.....	P. E.
187	Ed S. Armentrout.....	Green Mt. Falls Colo.....	E.
188	R. A. Wilson.....	Manitou, Colo.....	F. E.
189	Leslie Sutherland.....	Manitou, Colo.....	F. E.
191	The Premier Sightseeing Co.....	Denver, Colo.....	P.
192	Ed Burrows.....	Denver, Colo.....	P.
193	The Beard Taxi Service.....	Denver, Colo.....	P.
194	David D. Mannison.....	Denver, Colo.....	P.
196	The Rocky Mountain Sightseeing Co.....	Manitou, Colo.....	P.
200	Jesse Taylor.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
202	Martin and Albert Mikelson & Roy E. Woodworth.....	Franktown, Colo.....	F. E.
204	Glen A. Reese.....	Crawford, Colo.....	F.
205	The Denver & Loveland Transportation Co.....	Loveland, Colo.....	F.
207	Art W. Quinlan.....	Boulder, Colo.....	P.
210	The Fitzsimons Bus & Taxi Co.....	Aurora, Colo.....	F.
212	Veryl D. Gibson.....	Collbran, Colo.....	F. E.
213	Harry Fraser.....	Manitou, Colo.....	P.

F. denotes freight; P. denotes passenger; E. denotes express.

MOTOR VEHICLE CARRIERS HAVING CERTIFICATES OF
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY—Continued

November 30, 1930

Certificate No.	Name	Address	Service
214	Placerville-Paradox Stage	Placerville, Colo.	P. F.
216	Clarence McDonald	Pitkin, Colo.	P.
218	Keith Trucking Co.	Canon City, Colo.	F.
219	William R. Housley	Craig, Colo.	P. F. E.
221	Paul Schwank	Denver, Colo.	P.
222	Ernest J. Gottula	Pueblo, Colo.	F.
224	The White Truck Line Co.	Pueblo, Colo.	F. E.
225	Stanley L. Larson	Steamboat Springs, Colo.	E. P. F.
226	Chester D. Apgar	Loveland, Colo.	F. E.
228	Fred Searway	Buffalo Creek, Colo.	P. F.
229	T. F. Williams	Kiowa, Colo.	P. F. E.
234	Henry C. Bukey	Denver, Colo.	P.
235	J. L. Gigar	Nederland, Colo.	F.
236	The Everett Scott Truck Line Co.	Louviers, Colo.	F.
239	Albert J. Walter	Gold Hill, Colo.	P. F. E.
242	Edd D. Harriss	Fowler, Colo.	F.
243	The Vaughn Transfer & Transportation Co.	Rocky Ford, Colo.	F.
244	Jackson Transfer & Storage	Rocky Ford, Colo.	F.
246	H. S. Leach	Greeley, Colo.	F.
247	The Schmitt Arvada Transfer	Arvada, Colo.	F.
248	Oscar E. Diell	Florence, Colo.	F.
249	P. P. Runkle	Florence, Colo.	F.
250	The Cook Truck Line	Hudson, Colo.	F.
251	The Continental Sightseeing Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
253	Charles Robbins	Estes Park, Colo.	P.
254	The Las Animas Transfer Co.	Las Animas, Colo.	F.
255	C. A. Potts & Son	Villa Grove, Colo.	P. E.
256	Denver & Crown Hill Railway Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
257	E. O. Stevens	Hudson, Colo.	F.
258	V. A. Phillips	Cedaredge, Colo.	P. F. E.
259	T. J. Wallendorf	Cedaredge, Colo.	P.
260	N. H. McCormick	Cedaredge, Colo.	F. E.
261	Ernest E. Martin	Grand Junction, Colo.	P. F.
262	Lewis-Enright Scenic Tours	Boulder, Colo.	P.
266	Jake Weiler & Fred Hauf	Lafayette, Colo.	P.
267	Farmers & Merchants Truck Co.	Littleton, Colo.	F.
268	Stegall Truck Line	Canon City, Colo.	F.
270	The Hammond Scenic Auto Co.	Colorado Springs, Colo.	P.
271	The Cheyenne Mountain Co.	Colorado Springs, Colo.	P.
272	Arnold Anderson	Byers, Colo.	F.
273	The Denver-Strasburg Truck Line	Strasburg, Colo.	F.
274	R. A. Spurlock	Fairplay, Colo.	P. F. E.
275	Broadmoor Hotel Garage	Colorado Springs, Colo.	P.
276	Pioneer Southwestern Stages, Inc.	Kansas City, Mo.	P.
279	George W. Scott, Jr.	Cortez, Colo.	P. F. E.
283	Wm. Craig	Cortez, Colo.	F. E.
284	Elmer Burdick	Meeker, Colo.	F. E.
287	Lloyd S. Cooper (Bear Creek Transfer Co.)	Evergreen, Colo.	F. E.
288	Marcus Hight & James Phillips	Gypsum, Colo.	P. F. E.
289	Mildred R. Young	Meeker, Colo.	F. E.
290	LeRoy Sheller	Briggsdale, Colo.	F.
291	Denver-Hugo Transportation Co.	Denver, Colo.	F.
292	Anderson Transport Co.	Trinidad, Colo.	F. E.
293	Taylor Taxi & Transfer	Trinidad, Colo.	P.
294	Albert Powell	Las Animas, Colo.	P.
295	Bennett's Transfer & Storage	Raton, N. M.	F. E.
296	Colorado-Utah Motor Way	Grand Junction, Colo.	P.
297	Glen D. Baird	Estes Park, Colo.	P.
298	Pickwick-Greyhound Lines, Inc.	Kansas City, Mo.	P.
300	C. H. Woodin	Akron, Colo.	F.
301	E. E. Eckels	Greeley, Colo.	P. E.
302	The Exhibitors Film Delivery & Service Co.	Denver, Colo.	E.
303	Hartwell Pilloud	Two Buttes, Colo.	F.
304	Ralph T. Preston	Springfield, Colo.	F.
305	The Myer Brothers Transfer Co.	Las Animas, Colo.	F.
306	Wildon Beach	Eads, Colo.	F. P.
307	Claude R. McKenney	Lamar, Colo.	F.
308	Glen Light	Lamar, Colo.	F.
309	E. M. Humphrey	Campo, Colo.	F.

F. denotes freight; P. denotes passenger; E. denotes express.

MOTOR VEHICLE CARRIERS HAVING CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY—Continued

November 30, 1930

Certificate No.	Name	Address	Service
310	James R. Hobbs.....	Evergreen, Colo.....	P.
311	C. E. Goodrich.....	Evergreen, Colo.....	P.
312	The Greeley Transportation Co.....	Greeley, Colo.....	P.
313	Sterling-Scottsbluff Motor Line.....	Scottsbluff, Neb.....	P.
315	The Col-Mex Transportation Co.....	Walsenburg, Colo.....	P. F. E
317	San Isabel Transportation Co.....	Pueblo, Colo.....	P.
318	San Isabel Forest Tours Co.....	Pueblo, Colo.....	P.
319	Woodward Truck Line.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	F.
320	A. J. Borck.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	F.
321	C. R. Bender.....	Calban, Colo.....	F.
322	Homer M. Jessup.....	Elbert, Colo.....	F.
323	The Camp Tours, Inc.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	P.
324	Raymer Transportation Co.....	New Raymer, Colo.....	F.
325	Percy Klinginsmith.....	Bushnell, Neb.....	F.
326	H. C. Beach.....	Fort Collins, Colo.....	P.
327	Clarence Whitaker.....	Stoneham, Colo.....	F.
328	Walter Adams.....	Morrison, Colo.....	F.
329	Castle Rock Truck Line.....	Castle Rock, Colo.....	F.
330	The Inter City Truck Line.....	Denver, Colo.....	F.
331	Steamboat Transfer & Storage Co.....	Steamboat Springs, Colo.....	F.
332	Amick Transfer & Storage Co.....	Denver, Colo.....	F.
333	Duffy Storage & Moving Co.....	Denver, Colo.....	F.
334	Logan Moving & Storage Co.....	Denver, Colo.....	F.
335	Johnson Storage & Moving Co.....	Denver, Colo.....	F.
336	The Ferrin Van & Storage Co.....	Denver, Colo.....	F.
327	Kennicott-Patterson Warehouse Corp.....	Denver, Colo.....	F.
338	Bekins Moving & Storage Co.....	Denver, Colo.....	F.
339	Swift Moving & Storage Co.....	Denver, Colo.....	F.
340	Buehler Transfer Co.....	Denver, Colo.....	F.
341	Weicker Transfer & Storage Co.....	Denver, Colo.....	F.
342	Wandell & Lowe Transfer & Storage Co.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	F.
343	Pikes Peak Warehousing Co.....	Colorado Springs, Colo.....	F.
344	J. W. Milne Transfer & Storage Co.....	Grand Junction, Colo.....	F.
345	E. W. Terrill Transfer & Storage Co.....	Grand Junction, Colo.....	F.
346	McCune Transfer Co.....	La Junta, Colo.....	F.
347	Wood & Morgan.....	Durango, Colo.....	F.
348	Pope Brothers Construction Co.....	Trinidad, Colo.....	F.
349	Couey Storage & Transfer.....	Trinidad, Colo.....	F.
351	Jellison Transfer & Storage Co.....	Walsenburg, Colo.....	F.
352	W. S. Craghead.....	Boulder, Colo.....	F.
353	W. A. Jones Transfer Co.....	Alamosa, Colo.....	F.
354	Union Delivery Co.....	Greeley, Colo.....	F.
355	Dallas Transfer & Storage Co.....	La Junta, Colo.....	F.
356	Long Horn Coaches, Inc.....	Amarillo, Texas.....	F.
358	M. F. Moore.....	Mancos, Colo.....	F.
359	Renard Auto Service Co.....	Denver, Colo.....	P.
361	J. E. Shaffer Transfer Co.....	Durango, Colo.....	F.
362	C. W. Neal.....	Farmington, N. M.....	F.
363	John R. Trimble.....	Dolores, Colo.....	P.
364	Frank M. Scott.....	Cortez, Colo.....	F.
365	S. G. Dunger.....	Kline, Colo.....	F.
367	Norman S. Payson.....	Bayfield, Colo.....	P. F. E
369	Allumbaugh & Williams.....	Ordway, Colo.....	P.
370	G. R. Pratt.....	Pueblo, Colo.....	F.
371	John C. and Elizabeth Doberty.....	Boulder, Colo.....	P.
372	Pueblo-Salida Truck Line.....	Salida, Colo.....	F. E.
373	Twist Transfer Line.....	Greeley, Colo.....	F. P.
374	North Eastern Motor Freight, Inc.....	Denver, Colo.....	F. E.
375	Austin & Austin.....	Niwot, Colo.....	F.
376	Chris Cristensen.....	Fort Collins, Colo.....	P.
377	Southern Kansas Stage Lines Co.....	Wichita, Kansas.....	P. E.
378	Lee Whitney.....	Kiowa, Colo.....	F.
379	Atlantic-Pacific Stages, Inc.....	St. Louis, Mo.....	P.
380	Pueblo-San Luis Valley Transporta- tion Co.....	Monte Vista, Colo.....	F.
381	Don P. Taylor.....	Hercford, Colo.....	F.
382	O. G. Adkins.....	Stratton Colo.....	F.
383	Rex H. Talcott.....	Cortez, Colo.....	F.
384	R. C. Burton.....	Ignacio, Colo.....	F.
385	W. E. Schaff.....	Yellow Jacket, Colo.....	F.
386	Terenzio Gal.....	Lewis, Colo.....	F.

F. denotes freight; P. denotes passenger; E. denotes express.

MOTOR VEHICLE CARRIERS HAVING CERTIFICATES OF
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY—Continued

NOVEMBER 30, 1930

Certificate No.	Name	Address	Service
387	W. R. Richardson	Corfez, Colo.	F.
388	James A. Baird	Kline, Colo.	F. P.
389	Taylor Mercantile Co.	Breen, Colo.	F.
390	N. J. Fitzmorris	Denver, Colo.	F.
391	Huerfano Freight Line	Gardner, Colo.	F.
392	Gibson & Potter	Pagosa Springs, Colo.	F.
394	Interstate Transit Lines, Inc.	Omaha, Nebraska	P. E.
395	Scott Brothers	Fort Collins, Colo.	P. E.
397	Frank B. Martin	Walden, Colo.	F.
398	Collamer Brothers	Fort Collins, Colo.	P. E.
399	Harold Dodge	Walden, Colo.	F.
400	Genoa Transportation Co.	Genoa, Colo.	F.
401	Howard Truck Line	Burlington, Colo.	F.
402	Fred Matz	Flagler, Colo.	F.
403	Clark Transportation Co.	Arriba, Colo.	F.
404	Agencies Transportation Co.	Denver, Colo.	P.
405	J. V. Rhoades	Denver, Colo.	P.
407	H. B. Miner	Weldona, Colo.	F.
408	Arie Marls	Grover, Colo.	F.
409	C. E. Martin	New Raymer, Colo.	F.
410	H. L. Sloan & Co.	Keenesburg, Colo.	F.
411	Harris Truck Line	Raton, N. M.	F. E.
413	Farmers & Merchants Truck Line	La Junta, Colo.	F.
414	Air Line Truck Service	Cope, Colo.	F.
415	R. J. Bear Transfer & Storage Co.	Denver, Colo.	F.
416	City Transfer Co.	Colorado Springs, Colo.	F.
417	Cowen Transfer & Storage Co.	Colorado Springs, Colo.	F.
418	Verne S. Perrine	Monument, Colo.	F.
419	Baudino Transfer	Aguliar, Colo.	F.
420	W. R. Book	Rush, Colo.	F.
421	Crumb Transfer Co.	Colorado Springs, Colo.	F.
422	Broomfield Milk Line	Broomfield, Colo.	F.
424	Deer Trail Truck Line	Deer Trail, Colo.	F.
425	Merchants Transfer Co.	Denver, Colo.	F.
426	Harry C. Flanders	Bennett, Colo.	F.
427	Peter Rosendale, Jr.	Denver, Colo.	F.
428	Harry L. Wood	Manitou, Colo.	P.
429	Virgil F. Vance	Wiggins, Colo.	F.
430	Hal A. Brown	Green Mt. Falls, Colo.	P.
431	Edgar A. Armentrout	Green Mt. Falls, Colo.	P.
432	Jacob J. Schaefer	Windsor, Colo.	F.
433	U. S. Transfer & Storage Co.	Denver, Colo.	F.
434	P. L. Fowler	Englewood, Colo.	F.
435	Charles P. Blakley	Denver, Colo.	F.
436	Stockyards Livestock Hauling Co.	Denver, Colo.	F.
437	Stockton Bros.	Denver, Colo.	F.
438	Thomas W. West	Niwot, Colo.	F.
439	D. A. Derby	Kit Carson, Colo.	F.
440	A. B. C. Transfer Co.	Denver, Colo.	F.
441	Raymond H. Bodley	La Jara, Colo.	F.
442	C. E. Courtright	Julesburg, Colo.	F.
443	John C. Boulter	Pierce, Colo.	F.
444	Lon H. Kellogg	Agate, Colo.	F.
445	Mulkey Transfer & Storage Co.	Walsenburg, Colo.	F.
446	E. B. Faus	Monte Vista, Colo.	F.
447	Motor Transport Co.	Denver, Colo.	F.
448	Buckley Brothers	Silver Plume, Colo.	F.
450	Martin B. Larson	Boulder, Colo.	F.
451	McMillan Transfer & Storage Co.	Fort Collins, Colo.	F.
452	Bernard H. Bollers	Denver, Colo.	F.
453	Morgan Transfer & Storage Co.	Denver, Colo.	F.
454	Earl E. Donovan	Henderson, Colo.	F.
455	Hill Top & Denver Truck Line	Hilltop, Colo.	F.
456	John J. Godfrey	Fort Collins, Colo.	F.
458	Harry Atteberry	Akron, Colo.	F.
459	Forest Woodard	Kiowa, Colo.	F.
460	Ray R. Mercure	Otis, Colo.	F.
461	Manzanola Transfer Co.	Manzanola, Colo.	F.
462	Kelso Truck Line	Yuma, Colo.	F.
463	Tom Morris	Bennett, Colo.	F.
464	William M. Matthews	Eastlake, Colo.	F.
465	L. J. Russell Transportation Co.	Douglas, Wyo.	F.

F. denotes freight; P. denotes passenger; E. denotes express.

MOTOR VEHICLE CARRIERS HAVING CERTIFICATES OF
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY—Continued

NOVEMBER 30, 1930

Certifi- cate No.	Name	Address	Service
466	La Plata Transfer Co.	Durango, Colo.	F.
467	Alex Laubhan, Jr.	Eastlake, Colo.	F.
468	Henry Laubhan	Brighton, Colo.	F.
469	A. C. Thompson	Ignacio, Colo.	F.
470	Loveland Transfer & Produce Co.	Loveland, Colo.	F.
471	Gateway Coal & Transfer Co.	Loveland, Colo.	F.
472	M. & H. Transfer & Storage Co.	Loveland, Colo.	F.
473	John Malles	Durango, Colo.	F.
474	Clyde Lenocker	La Junta, Colo.	P. F.
475	North Denver Transfer & Storage Co.	Denver, Colo.	F.
477	Schultz Brothers	Holly, Colo.	F.
478	L. L. McMichael	Holly, Colo.	P. F.
479	H. T. Warner	Branson, Colo.	F.
480	C. C. Colvin	Pueblo, Colo.	F.
481	Wallace W. and Theo. J. Eggleston	Salida, Colo.	F.
482	Thomas F. Mulvany	Salida, Colo.	F.
483	C. J. Slater	Center, Colo.	F.
484	S. W. Pickett	Longmont, Colo.	F.
485	C. W. Anderson	Clayton, N. M.	F.
486	H. D. Flowers & W. E. Turner	Buena Vista, Colo.	F.
487	Carl O. Hart	Greeley, Colo.	F.
488	James G. Bunting	Cornish, Colo.	F.
489	Sorenson Truck Service	Longmont, Colo.	F.
490	Arthur F. Woods	Salida, Colo.	F.
491	Hall Truck Co.	Parker, Colo.	F.
492	F. W. Hamilton	Lusk, Wyoming	F.
493	A. W. Earl	Manzanola, Colo.	F.
494	Chester I. Mead	Meeker, Colo.	F.
495	San Luis Valley Southern Railroad Co.	Blanca, Colo.	P. F.
496	Union Truck Line	Casper, Wyoming	F. E.
498	Harry Mattison Truck Service	Loveland, Colo.	F.
499	Denver-Cheyenne Truck Line	Denver, Colo.	F.
500	A. F. Shupp	Walden, Colo.	F.
501	Edgar Brothers	Walden, Colo.	F.
502	Harry L. Crosby	Walden, Colo.	F.
503	T. R. Sears	Laramie, Wyoming	P.
504	Gustav Jabs	Edgewater, Colo.	F.
505	W. O. Timms	Keenesburg, Colo.	F.
506	Charles Petersen	Littleton, Colo.	F.
507	South Side Moving & Storage Co.	Denver, Colo.	F.
508	Walker Transfer Line	Johnstown, Colo.	F.
509	William A. Boze	Longmont, Colo.	F.
510	Golden Transfer & Taxi Co.	Longmont, Colo.	F.
511	S. R. Giddings	Timnath, Colo.	F.
512	Ed E. Cooper	Pueblo, Colo.	F.
513	John A. Myers	Denver, Colo.	F.
514	O. L. Bevard	Fowler, Colo.	F.
515	Ben Tillotson	Fowler, Colo.	F.
516	Craft & Davis	Fowler, Colo.	F.
517	Joseph H. Lee	Fowler, Colo.	F.
518	J. J. Stroh	Greeley, Colo.	F.
519	M. A. Harsch	Greeley, Colo.	F.
520	R. M. Slapper	La Salle, Colo.	F.
521	William J. Goodenberger	Elizabeth, Colo.	F.
522	Harry H. Hudson	Windsor, Colo.	F.
523	Lewis C. Camp	Platteville, Colo.	F.

F. denotes freight; P. denotes passenger; E. denotes express.

RECEIVED

FEB 23 1995

STATE PUBLICATIONS
Colorado State Library