2002 Sharp-tailed Grouse Harvest Report

A post-season harvest survey was conducted by phone.

A stratified random sample of 3986 sharp-tailed grouse hunters was drawn from among HIP participants. 2431 (61% response rate) hunters were contacted.

Of those surveyed, 55 or 2% reported they had hunted sharptails. The number of birds harvested ranged from 0 (26 respondents) to 10 (1 respondent), with 11 hunters reporting a harvest of 2 birds.

81% reported being satisfied with their sharp-tailed grouse hunting experience and 74% reported no crowding.

Statewide harvest was estimated at 148 + 37 (110-186).

Comparison with 2001 survey results:

Harvest was down from 2001 when an estimated 393 ± 107 birds were harvested. Hunter numbers decreased to 85 ± 27 down from 236 ± 49 in 2001. Days hunted were also lower with an estimated 317 ± 92 compared to 775 ± 202 in 2001. These differences are statistically significant at the 95% confidence level.

Harvest results are provided by strata, county, and population.

Harvest by Strata, County and Population

Summary tables provide estimates of hunter numbers, days in the field and sharp-tailed grouse harvest by county and population. Estimates are followed by the standard error of the estimate, and 95% upper (UCI) and lower (LCI) confidence intervals around the estimate. For example, the estimated number of sharp-tailed grouse hunters in Moffat County is 21 plus or minus 1.96(SE) or 1.96(4)=8. The range of the estimate is 14 to 29. Estimates of days in the field and harvest are interpreted the same way. State totals are given at the bottom of each column.

(The output wraps around.)

Stg Grouse Harvest 2002

stgstrata	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Will not hunt	191	7.86	191	7.86
Somewhat likely	1597	65.69	1788	73.55
Very likely	643	26.45	2431	100.00

Hunted during Season?

			Cumulative	Cumulative
hunt	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
yes	55	2.26	55	2.26
no	2375	97.74	2430	100.00

Table of stgstrata by Hunt

stgstrata	hunt(Hun	ted during	g season?)
Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	 yes	no	Total
	усь +	+	IUCAI
Will not hunt	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	191 7.86 100.00 8.04	191 7.86
Somewhat likely	19 0.78 1.19 34.55	+ 1577 64.90 98.81 66.40	+ 1596 65.68
Very likely	36 1.48 5.60 65.45	607 24.98 94.40 25.56	+ 643 26.46
Total	+ 55 2.26	+2375 97.74	+ 2430 100.00

Satisfied with Hunt?

sat	isfy	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	
Strongly	Dis.	4	7.27	4	7.27
Somewhat	Dis.	3	5.45	7	12.73
Slightly	Dis.	2	3.64	9	16.36
Neutral		1	1.82	10	18.18
Slightly	Sat.	3	5.45	13	23.64
Somewhat	Sat.	20	36.36	33	60.00
Strongly	Sat.	22	40.00	55	100.00

Feel Crowded?

crowded	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	1.82	1	1.82
Not at all	41	74.55	42	76.36
Slightly	7	12.73	49	89.09
Moderately	6	10.91	55	100.00

Possibly hunting Other Species

			Cumulative	Cumulative
other	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
0 0	0	0	0	

Total Harvest

totharv	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	27	49.09	27	49.09
1	3	5.45	30	54.55
2	11	20.00	41	74.55
3	4	7.27	45	81.82
4	3	5.45	48	87.27
5	2	3.64	50	90.91
7	1	1.82	51	92.73
8	2	3.64	53	96.36
9	1	1.82	54	98.18
10	1	1.82	55	100.00

Counties Hunted in Sample

The FREQ Procedure

County Hunted

county	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent	
Moffat	13	23.21	13	23.21	
Rio Blanco	1	1.79	14	25.00	
Routt	42	75.00	56	100.00	

Estimated Harvest by Strata

						Estimated		
	Est	imated		LCI	UCI	Days	SE(Days	LCI(Days
stgstrata	Hu	inters SE(H	Hunters)	(Hunters)	(Hunters)	Hunted	Hunted)	Hunted)
Somewhat like	.1	40	7	27	53	188	44	102
Very likely	ΞТУ	40	3	39	53	129	15	99
			-				= •	
Total		85	7	71	100	317	47	226
UCI(Days Est	imated		LCI	UCI	Not	: SE(Not	LCI(Not	. UCI(Not
Hunted) Ha	arvest	SE(Harvest)) (Harves	t) (Harve	st) Retrie	eved Ret.)	Ret.)	Ret.)
275	52	15	23	8	2	2 2	-1	5
158	96	12	72	11	9	0 0	0	0
408	148	19	110	18	5	2 2	-1	5

Estimated Harvest by Counties

					Estimate	ed		
	Estimated		LCI	UCI	Days	SE(Days	LCI(Days	UCI(Days
County	Hunters	SE(Hunters)	(Hunters)	(Hunters)	Hunted	Hunted)	Hunted)	Hunted)
Moffat	21	4	14	29	66	17	33	99
Rio Blanco	1	1	0	2	4	2	0	7
Routt	63	6	50	75	247	41	168	327
State Total	85	7	71	100	317	47	226	408
Estimated		LCI	UC:	Ľ	Not	SE(Not	LCI(Not.	UCI(Not.
Harvest	SE(Harvest) (Harvest	z) (Harve	est) Ret	rieved	Ret.)	Ret.)	Ret.)
15	7	2	:	28	0	0	0	0
0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
133	18	98	10	59	2	2	-1	5
148	19	110	18	36	2	2	-1	5

Estimated Harvest by Population 11=North Routt 12=South Routt 13=Moffat 14=Rio Blanco

	Estimated		LCI	UCI	Estimate Days		LCI(Days	IICT (Dave
Population	Hunters	SE(Hunters)	-		Hunted		Hunted)	Hunted)
North Routt	42	5	31	52	200	40	123	278
South Routt	21	4	14	28	47	9	30	64
Moffat	21	4	14	29	66	17	33	99
Rio Blanco	1	1	0	2	4	2	0	7
State Total	85	7	71	100	317	47	226	408
Estimated		LCI	UCI	I	Not	SE(Not	LCI(Not.	UCI(Not.
Harvest	SE(Harves	t) (Harvest	c) (Harve	est) Ret	rieved	Ret.)	Ret.)	Ret.)
104	17	70	13	37	2	2	-1	5
30	6	18	4	42	0	0	0	0
15	7	2		28	0	0	0	0
0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
148	19	110	18	86	2	2	-1	5