## 2001 Sharp-tailed Grouse Harvest Report

A post-season harvest survey was conducted by phone.
A stratified random sample of 3999 sharp-tailed grouse hunters was drawn from among HIP participants. 2639 ( $66 \%$ response rate) hunters were contacted.

Of those surveyed, 79 or $3 \%$ reported they had hunted sharptails. The number of birds harvested ranged from 0 (31 respondents) to 8 (1 respondent), with 18 hunters reporting harvest of 2 birds.
$78 \%$ reported being satisfied with their sharp-tailed grouse hunting experience and 77\% reported no crowding.

Statewide harvest was estimated at $393 \pm 105$ (287-499).

## Comparison with 2000 survey results:

Harvest was up from 2000 when an estimated $328 \pm 4$ birds were harvested.
Correspondingly hunter numbers decreased slightly, $236 \pm 49$ in 2001, compared to an estimated $249 \pm 82$ in 2000. Days hunted increased from an estimated $614 \pm 141$ in 2000 to $775 \pm 202$. None of these differences are statistically significant at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

Harvest results are provided by strata, county, and population.

## Harvest by Strata, County and Population

Summary tables provide estimates of hunter numbers, days in the field and sharp-tailed grouse harvest by county and population. Estimates are followed by the standard error of the estimate, and 95\% upper (UCI) and lower (LCI) confidence intervals around the estimate. For example, the estimated number of sharp-tailed grouse hunters in Moffat County is 25 plus or minus 1.96 (SE) or $1.96(9)=17$. The range of the estimate is 7 to 42. Estimates of days in the field and harvest are interpreted the same way. State totals are given at the bottom of each column.

## (The output wraps around.)

## Stg Grouse Harvest 2001

| stgstrata | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Will not hunt | 157 | 6.00 | 157 | 6.00 |
| Somewhat likely | 1685 | 64.36 | 1842 | 70.36 |
| Very likely | 776 | 29.64 | 2618 | 100.00 |

## Hunted during season?

| hunt | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ------------------------------------------------------------ |  |  |  |  |
| yes | 79 | 3.02 | 79 | 3.02 |
| no | 2539 | 96.98 | 2618 | 100.00 |

Table of stgstrata by hunt

| stgstrata | hunt (Hu | ed durin | season?) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Percent |  |  |  |
| Row Pct |  |  |  |
| Col Pct | yes | no | Total |
| Will not hunt | 0 | 157 | 157 |
|  | 0.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  | 0.00 | 100.00 |  |
|  | 0.00 | 6.18 |  |
| Somewhat likely | 20 | 1665 | 1685 |
|  | 0.76 | 63.60 | 64.36 |
|  | 1.19 | 98.81 |  |
|  | 25.32 | 65.58 |  |
| Very likely | 59 | 717 | 776 |
|  | 2.25 | 27.39 | 29.64 |
|  | 7.60 | 92.40 |  |
|  | 74.68 | 28.24 |  |
| Total | 79 | 2539 | 2618 |
|  | 3.02 | 96.98 | 100.00 |

Satisfied with hunt?

| satisfy | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Dis. | 7 | 8.86 | 7 | 8.86 |
| Somewhat Dis. | 7 | 8.86 | 14 | 17.72 |
| Slightly Dis. | 2 | 2.53 | 16 | 20.25 |
| Neutral | 1 | 1.27 | 17 | 21.52 |
| Slightly Sat. | 4 | 5.06 | 21 | 26.58 |
| Somewhat Sat. | 24 | 30.38 | 45 | 56.96 |
| Strongly Sat. | 34 | 43.04 | 79 | 100.00 |

Feel crowded?

| crowded | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not at all | 61 | 77.22 | 61 | 77.22 |
| Slightly | 8 | 10.13 | 69 | 87.34 |
| Moderately | 6 | 7.59 | 75 | 94.94 |
| Extremely | 4 | 5.06 | 79 | 100.00 |

## Possibly hunting another species?

|  | other | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No |  | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 100.00 |

## Total harvest (reported by respondents)

| totharv | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 31 | 39.24 | 31 | 39.24 |
| 1 | 5 | 6.33 | 36 | 45.57 |
| 2 | 18 | 22.78 | 54 | 68.35 |
| 3 | 8 | 10.13 | 62 | 78.48 |
| 4 | 10 | 12.66 | 72 | 91.14 |
| 5 | 2 | 2.53 | 74 | 93.67 |
| 6 | 4 | 5.06 | 78 | 98.73 |
| 8 | 1 | 1.27 | 79 | 100.00 |

## County hunted (reported by respondents)

| county | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Garfield | 1 | 1.27 | 1 | 1.27 |
| Moffat | 7 | 8.86 | 8 | 10.13 |
| Rio Blanco | 3 | 3.80 | 11 | 13.92 |
| Routt | 68 | 86.08 | 79 | 100.00 |

## Estimated Harvest by Strata



## Estimated Harvest by Counties



| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 459 | 4 | 3 | -2 | 11 |
| 499 | 4 | 3 | -2 | 11 |


\section*{Estimated Harvest by Population <br> 11=North Routt 12=South Routt 13=Moffat 14=Rio Blanco <br> |  | Estimated |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | Hunters | SE (Hunters) | LCI | UCI | Estimated <br> Days |
| (Hunters) | (Hunters) | Hunted |  |  |  |}


| Unknown | 4 | 2 | -0 | 9 | 50 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| North Routt | 143 | 19 | 105 | 180 | 457 |
| South Routt | 51 | 10 | 30 | 71 | 195 |
| Moffat | 25 | 9 | 7 | 42 | 49 |
| Rio Blanco | 13 | 7 | -1 | 27 |  |
| State Total | 236 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE (Days | LCI (Days | UCI (Days | Estimated |  | LCI |
| Hunted) | Hunted) | Hunted) | Harvest | SE (Harvest) | (Harvest) |


| 32 | -13 | 114 | 4 | 3 | -2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 82 | 296 | 617 | 241 | 43 | 157 |
| 50 | 97 | 293 | 116 | 27 | 63 |
| 17 | 16 | 81 | 32 | 20 | -7 |
| 13 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 103 | 574 | 977 | 393 | 54 | 287 |


| UCI <br> (Harvest) | Not <br> Retrieved | SE (Not <br> Ret.) | LCI (Not. <br> Ret.) | UCI (Not. <br> Ret.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 324 | 4 | 3 | -2 | 11 |
| 168 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 4 | 3 | -2 | 11 |

