

ROY ROMER  
Governor



JERIS A. DANIELSON  
State Engineer

**DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES**  
DARIES C. LILE, P.E.  
DIVISION WATER ENGINEER  
DIVISION 7  
1474 E. Main, P.O. Box 1880  
Durango, Colorado 81302-1880  
Office Phone: (303) 247-1845

RECEIVED

JAN 10 '91

WATER RESOURCES  
STATE ENGINEER  
COLO.

January 10, 1991

Dr. Jeris A. Danielson  
State Engineer  
818 Centennial Building  
1313 Sherman St.  
Denver, Colorado 80203

Dear Dr. Danielson:

Enclosed is the 1990 I.Y.R. Division Engineer's Report for Division 7. We have included those items that you outlined and have added additional information in the appendix which was used in preparing the report.

Sincerely,

Daries C. Lile  
Division Engineer

DCL:alf  
enclosure

## Index



Water Admin.

## I. WATER ADMINISTRATION

### A. CURRENT WATER YEAR

The year 1990 was perhaps one of the most unusual water years that we have experienced in Division 7. The weather patterns for the past two and one-half years have resulted in below normal precipitation, and particularly the winter months of 1989-90 were at only 40% of normal. The dry winter, coupled with the previous two years of less than average water supplies, plunged southwest Colorado into a severe drought.

Lack of moisture had caused a depletion of reservoir storage and ground water supplies. The base flows on all of the streams were below those of the 1976-77 record lows. The Animas River was flowing less than 100 c.f.s. in March. Runoff forecast for all streams were in the 40% or below range.

There were numerous requests to drill replacement wells for domestic use. The ground water table was being depleted, particularly in the marginal aquifers in the Rafter J, Grandview, and the alluvial aquifer in Junction Creek.

Irrigators were analyzing their supplies on a weekly basis. Meetings were held with several groups to develop plans for the short supply. Users were encouraged to not place a call for direct irrigation from the streams until it was absolutely essential to irrigate, in hopes of filling the reservoirs to their maximum potential. Considerable planning and projecting of water needs were made by not only irrigators, but municipalities. Durango had a plan for water restrictions if necessary which was based on the percentage of available storage in their reservoir on College Hill.

Precipitation for the months of April and May improved the situation, but the forecast did not project more than a 60% supply for any of the streams. The soil moisture in most areas was very low and crop requirements were high due to the extremely warm June temperatures. With water supplies low and hot weather crop production, particularly for the first cutting of irrigated hay, is estimated to be 50% of normal. The dry land hay crop was virtually nonexistent.

We were fortunate, however, to receive a late April storm which enhanced the snow pack greater than the forecast reflected and projected amounts were greatly exceeded. Vallecito Reservoir filled, but Lemon, McPhee, Groundhog, and Jackson Gulch Reservoirs did not.

Controversy developed over fish flow releases below McPhee Reservoir. The projected runoff did not allow for an adequate supply for both farmers, municipalities, and fish flows. The Definite Plan Report for the Dolores Project provides that when limited supplies are available, the flows below McPhee were reduced to 20 c. f. s. The fishery was placed in stress and Trout

Unlimited became very active in applying pressure to the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation to increase the releases. Releases were increased to 50 c. f. s. but this amount still did not meet the fishery needs in the opinion of Trout Unlimited and the Colorado Division of Wildlife.

It was not until the first week of July that a change in the weather pattern developed. At that time we began to receive extremely high amounts of rainfall. Precipitation for July in Durango was 3.45 inches, which was 200% of normal, and in August 3.11 inches occurred which was in excess of 130% of normal.

Once the rains began, the water administrative workload decreased and everyone in southwestern Colorado breathed a sigh of relief. The drought cycle had affected irrigators, cities, well owners, and recreational uses including fishing, skiing, rafting and boating. The importance of water was well understood.

The short supply caused curtailment of water users on the Pine, Florida, Mancos, LaPlata, and Dolores Rivers. McElmo Creek was regulated for the first time since 1977. Other streams including the Little Blanco, Elbert Creek, Four Mile Creek and Junction Creek also required daily regulation. The calling period on most of the streams began with the reservoir owners invoking their filling rights in March and continued into September. Even though rains eased the crop demands, base flows in the streams did not adequately meet all the users' requirements.

#### B. U. S. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION PROJECTS

The Dolores Project remains on schedule; the first phase of the Towaoc Canal has been completed and contracts have been approved for the second phase. Water has been delivered to the M. V. I. System and 14,200 acres of new irrigated lands in the Cahone and Pleasant View areas.

The law suit concerning farmers who did not want to be held to their irrigation contracts has been settled. The Dolores Conservancy District agreed to drop their lands from the project, and make the water available to other Project users.

The major controversy surrounding the Project at the present time is the bypass flows for the trout fishery below McPhee Dam. The Project Definite Plan Report calls for a variable release for fish flows depending on the water supply for the Project. The plan sets levels for a low, average, and high year, depending on the forecasted runoff. The releases for the last several years have been at 150 c. f. s. to 70 c. f. s., and a very excellent trout fishery has developed. When the District reduced the flows to 20 c. f. s., fishermen in the area became quite concerned. Trout Unlimited lead an effort to have the flows increased, and they were successful in accomplishing this by applying pressure through the Commissioner of Reclamation in Washington, D. C. Consequently the flows were increased by the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation without the Dolores Conservancy District's approval. This caused a severe strain in relations between the Bureau and the District. As a result a

committee has been formed representing fishermen, the Dolores District, U. S. B. R., Colorado Division of Wildlife, fish biologists, and hydrologists to analyze the available water supplies and to develop a long term solution

The Animas-La Plata Project was scheduled for a construction start in April of 1990. However, an endangered fish has put a hold on the Project. The U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service issued a jeopardy opinion concerning the Colorado River Squaw Fish. They have found 27 fish including young of the year, and this reversed their 1979 opinion of non-jeopardy. The U. S. Fish and Wildlife biologists' opinion has resulted in no further development on the San Juan River and its tributaries not only in Colorado, but also New Mexico. The shut down of development has created problems for the Navajo Indian Irrigation Project, the Jicarilla Apaches, the two Colorado Ute Tribes, the cities of Farmington and Durango, and both the states of Colorado and New Mexico.

A compromise is being worked out which will allow for construction of Ridges Basin Reservoir and the Pumping Station while studies are being made concerning the Squaw Fish, and a recovery program is being developed.

The San Juan-Chama Project is still in the forefront of the minds of the Blanco River water users. The problems with loss of fish habitat, and silt from the diversion structure continues. The advisory group that was formed to work on the problem was successful in obtaining funding from the Southwestern Water Conservation Board, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, and the Rio Blanco Homeowners for a study as to the potential for reclaiming the fishery. Each entity granted \$1,000 for the study which was coordinated by the U. S. Forest Service. The preliminary results indicate that major habitat structures need to be placed in the river along with increased stream flows and reduction of the silt load before the fishery can be greatly improved. Portions of the stream may never support a true cold water fishery since temperature data collected by the Water Commissioner, particularly on the lower reaches, reflect water temperatures in excess of 70° F. during the summer months. The Advisory Committee will be meeting in January 1991 to evaluate the data collected this summer and to make a decision on how to proceed.

### C. INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT

The Division office has completed the very detailed and time consuming inventory of existing uses on both the Southern Ute and Ute Mountain Ute Reservations. There were over 1,000 structures consisting of small springs, stock tanks and ponds, domestic and livestock wells, and small irrigated parcels. The field work was done primarily by retired Water Commissioner Bill Lynn, through a contract with the Attorney General's Office, and was compiled and computerized by Ken Beegles, Assistant Division Engineer.

Once the inventory work was completed the Attorney General's Office was able to prepare the stipulated decrees for submission to the Division 7 water court. Case W-1603B-76 for the San Juan River has been submitted to the water court. However, the remaining cases have not been signed off on by the Indians, and the Department of Justice. The problems concerning endangered species on the San Juan River has raised doubts as to the eventual and timely

construction of the Animas-La Plata Project which is an integral part of the Settlement Agreement, and it appears that the Tribes are being cautious about signing the stipulated decrees until they are confident that the Project will be constructed.

The Colorado Indian Water Rights Settlement Agreement has been the subject of presentations made in both the states of Wyoming and New Mexico by the Division Engineer, and at the American Society of Civil Engineers National Conference. The Agreement is generating interest, particularly with regard to the State administrative procedures agreed to by the Indian tribes.

The state of Wyoming is presently having very serious problems on the Wind River Reservation, and control and regulation of water on the reservation are at the center of the controversy. Unlike Colorado, the state of Wyoming litigated the Indian claims and the tribes were successful in obtaining their water rights from the United States Supreme Court. The issues of state jurisdiction and change of uses were not resolved and consequently, a conflict has resulted between Indians and non-Indians on the reservation as to entitlements. The past water year was also quite dry in Wyoming and non-Indian users were curtailed to meet the senior Indian claims. The entities have returned to court to resolve the jurisdictional issue.

#### D. INTERSTATE COMPACTS

The La Plata River Compact was operated as required this past year with very little problems between the states of Colorado and New Mexico. It was a particularly difficult year to administer the river since there were such extreme variances in weather conditions. During the early part of the season deliveries were affected by very dry and hot weather conditions, and during the late summer they were influenced by cooler weather and rains. These fluctuations in stream flows and conditions affected the workload and made it quite difficult to meet the interstate deliveries on a twenty-four hour basis. There were instances when a futile situation developed until the rains began in July.

There was more controversy than normal among the La Plata River users within Colorado due in part to the daily changing hydrological conditions and their concerns for administration of the water to New Mexico. It is always difficult to explain futile call situations, and for the Colorado water user to understand the need for regulation of the stream is not always a simple matter. The Water Commissioner certainly had to devote many hours this past summer and he did an excellent job of meeting the Compact while balancing the needs of Colorado users.

The San Juan-Chama supplied 71,620 acre feet of water to New Mexico as a portion of Colorado's delivery to New Mexico under the Project's authorizing legislation, and the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact. Problems still remain with the operation of the Project by the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation. These problems revolve around silting and minimum flow requirements. The Bureau needs to analyze the diversion requirements, minimum flows, and diversion structures to reduce the effects on the stream in Colorado while



supplying the water needs in New Mexico. It seems that only a minimal effort is being made to address the operational problems.

#### E. DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

The dam safety field engineer has been extremely active this past year and several improvements have been made to reservoirs in the Division.

The rehabilitation of Bauer Reservoir No. 1 was completed this summer and fall with minor grading of the embankment being the only construction work necessary that was not completed the previous year. Keeler Reservoir on Elbert Creek was drained, and the outlet pipe was slip lined and grouted with a new headgate being installed. This was a major construction effort, but it was well engineered and planned. The slip lining of the outlet conduit prevented the need for a complete breaching of the dam to install a new outlet pipe.

Having a full-time field engineer concentrating on dam safety issues has enhanced the overall safety of dams in Division 7. The presence of an engineer and having him available to assist the owners on day-to-day general maintenance problems, and his ability to gain the cooperation of dam owners has improved the safety of most of our dams while reducing the number of dams being restricted.

#### F. ABANDONMENT LIST

The 1990 Division 7 Abandonment List was prepared and published as required. There have been twenty-six formal objections to date. A total of one hundred sixty-two rights were placed on the list. Owners have until June 30, 1991 to file an objection with the Division Engineer. We have had one letter supporting the abandonment of a water right that was placed on the list. At the present time all objections have been acknowledged, but no formal actions have been taken to remove water rights from the list.

## II. UPCOMING WATER YEAR

The upcoming water year will hopefully be wetter than the 1989-90 season. The fall months of October, November and December have produced better than average precipitation and carryover reservoir storage is above normal, and in most instances is double the amount in storage a year ago. This will perhaps reduce the conflicts over water during 1991.

The Division staff will continue to work with the water users on the Blanco River toward a resolution of the problems associated with the San Juan-Chama Project. There will be assistance provided as required on the bypass flow studies on the Dolores River, and any information and data that can assist the Animas-La Plata Board will be provided.

Resolution of protests to the Abandonment List will be made if possible for those rights which have evidence to support non-intent to abandon.

Budget restrictions will affect the day-to-day travel of the Water Commissioners and Division staff. There has been an increase in the cost of gasoline and consequently, there will need to be a reduction in travel. This will probably impact the water users during May and June. All staff members have been given a travel allocation for the months of December, January, February and March in an effort to save funds for use during the spring administrative period.

The focus on environmental issues will affect the Division in the coming year and will probably have an impact on the workload for the next several years. Water quality issues including the effects from oil and gas exploration in the San Juan Basin are already requiring staff time to investigate contamination from cathodic protection holes. The implementation of S.B. 181 with regard to water quality is just beginning to be developed and meetings were held with water users to define our agency's role in water quality issues. Additionally, endangered species, particularly fish, and the stream flows that are necessary to support them will impact the method of operations of reservoirs and the amount of water that can be developed in a given basin.

The relationship between water use and water quality, although not clearly defined by statute, will become directly related and the environmental effects of division from one basin to another will need to be analyzed, as well as change in water rights from agriculture to municipal uses. Historically only the impact on other water rights have been mitigated. However, the environmental aspects and social concerns are becoming a major factor in the changing of uses. Legislation concerning these issues will be introduced in this legislative session and although it is not known in what form or if the legislation will be passed, in the near future environmental concerns will have an impact on water administration.

## Statistic Info

TRANSMOUNTAIN DIVERSION SUMMARY

DIVISION 7

R RICT	NAME	1990 WATER YEAR		1989 WATER YEAR		DESTINATION
		ACRE-FEET DIVERTED	# OF DAYS DIVERTED	ACRE-FEET DIVERTED	# OF DAYS DIVERTED	
	TREASURE PASS DITCH	53	29	172	47	20 RIO GRANDE RIVER
	CARBON LAKE DITCH	78	95	98	46	68 UNCOMPAHGRE RIVER
	MINERAL POINT DITCH			99	84	68 UNCOMPAHGRE RIVER
	RED MOUNTAIN DITCH	36	45	107	113	68 UNCOMPAHGRE RIVER
	PINE RIVER-WEMINUCHE PASS D.	451	86	460	37	20 RIO GRANDE RIVER
	WEMINUCHE PASS DITCH	962	104	692	30	20 RIO GRANDE RIVER
	WILLIAMS CREEK-SQUAW PASS D.	205	37	238	75	20 RIO GRANDE RIVER
	DON LA FONT #1 (S RIVER PEAK)	32	41	58	81	20 RIO GRANDE RIVER
	DON LA FONT #2 (PIEDRA PASS D.)	106	75	244	95	20 RIO GRANDE RIVER

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES

RESERVOIR NAME	IRRIGATION YEAR 1990				IRRIGATION YEAR 1989			
	BEGINNING IRRIGATION YEAR STORAGE	%	BEGINNING IRRIGATION SEASON STORAGE	END OF IRRIGATION YEAR STORAGE	BEGINNING IRRIGATION YEAR STORAGE	%	BEGINNING IRRIGATION SEASON STORAGE	END OF IRRIGATION YEAR STORAGE
ECHO CANYON RESERVOIR	2149	100	2149	2149	2149	100	2149	2149
HARRIS BROS. & BOONE #2	114	55	141	71	114	55	206	100
TOTALS	2263		2290	2220	2263		2355	
CASCADE RESERVOIR	20739	89	15756	21477	21890	94	9212	39
DURANGO REGULATORY	227	98	227	227	227	98	227	98
JOHNSON RESERVOIR	764	67	915	837	889	78	1136	100
LEMON RESERVOIR	10381	26	32086	22232	30173	75	37968	95
TOTALS	32111		48984	44773	53179		48543	
VALLECITO RESERVOIR	42735	33	125799	82987	74000	57	112362	87
WOMMER RESERVOIR	208	100	208	208	208	100	208	100
TOTALS	42943		126007	83195	74208		112570	
A. M. PUETT RESERVOIR	622	26	1556	658	423	18	2402	100
NARRAGUINNEP RESERVOIR	9940	52	18960	14575	14575	77	18960	100
TOTTEN RESERVOIR	2832	86	3302	2832	2384	72	3064	93
TOTALS	13394		23818	18065	17382		24426	

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES

RESERVOIR PROJECT NAME	SOURCE STREAM	IRRIGATION YEAR 1990			IRRIGATION YEAR 1989					
		BEGINNING IRRIGATION YEAR STORAGE	BEGINNING IRRIGATION SEASON STORAGE	END OF IRRIGATION YEAR STORAGE	BEGINNING IRRIGATION YEAR STORAGE	BEGINNING IRRIGATION SEASON STORAGE	%			
RED MESA WARD RESERVOIR	LA PLATA RIVER	76	6	569	48	296	0	0	1176	100
TOTALS		76		569		296	0		1176	
BAUER RESERVOIR # 1	CRYSTAL CREEK	0	0	115	33	33	98	28	202	58
BAUER RESERVOIR # 2	CRYSTAL CREEK	324	21	966	63	324	966	63	1533	100
JACKSON GULCH RESERVOIR	MANCOS RIVER	2756	28	8409	84	3912	7276	73	9948	100
SELLARS & McCLANE RESV.	MUD CREEK	24	46	24	46	2	24	46	52	100
WEBER RESERVOIR	MIDDLE MANCOS R	66	15	442	100	158	200	45	442	100
TOTALS		3170		9956		4429	8564		12177	
BELMAR LAKE RESERVOIR	RINCONE CREEK	0	0	326	70	300	0	0	326	70
MORRISON RESERVOIR	MORRISON CREEK	100	86	116	100	90	100	86	116	100
TOTALS		100		442		390	100		442	
BIG PINE RESERVOIR	LOST CANYON CREEK	36	8	209	45	21	159	35	209	45
GROUNDHOG RESERVOIR	GROUNDHOG CREEK	13740	63	21358	98	13740	10300	47	21358	98
SUMMIT RESERVOIR	LOST CANYON CREEK	714	12	4795	81	714	1505	25	4795	81
MCPHEE RESERVOIR	DOLORES RIVER	324755	85	381206	100	321628	324956	85	381206	100
TOTALS		339245		407568		336103	336920		407568	

R ICT	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	IRRIGATION YEAR 1990			IRRIGATION YEAR 1989				
			BEGINNING IRRIGATION YEAR STORAGE	BEGINNING IRRIGATION SEASON STORAGE	%	END OF IRRIGATION YEAR STORAGE	BEGINNING IRRIGATION YEAR STORAGE	BEGINNING IRRIGATION SEASON STORAGE	%	
	SAPPINGTON RESERVOIR	COYOTE CREEK	254	330	99	256	185	56	332	100
	SPENCE RESERVOIR	COYOTE CREEK	322	370	84	310	208	47	409	93
	TOTALS		576	700		566	393		741	
	G. S. HATCHER RESERVOIR	STOLLSTEIMER CR.	1285	1735	100	1311	1500	86	1735	100
	LAKE FOREST RESERVOIR	STOLLSTEIMER CR.	347	465	100	375	216	46	465	100
	LINN & CLARK RESERVOIR	DUTTON CREEK	924	1230	96	1202	1175	92	1230	96
	PARGIN RESERVOIR	STOLLSTEIMER CR.	500	540	100	500	506	94	540	100
	STEVENS RESERVOIR	DUTTON CREEK	635	635	100	635	635	100	635	100
	TOWN CENTER RESERVOIR	STOLLSTEIMER CR.	437	600	86	430	360	51	600	86
	WILLIAMS CR. RESERVOIR	WILLIAMS CREEK	10084	10084	100	10084	10084	100	10084	100
	TOTALS		14212	15289		14537	14476		15289	

1990 IRRIGATION YEAR WATER DIVERSION SUMMARIES BY DISTRICT

TOTAL STRUCTURES REPORTING

R ICT	ACTIVE		INACTIVE		ESTIMATED NUMBER OF VISITATIONS	TOTAL DIVERSIONS (ACRE-FEET)	TOTAL DIVERSIONS TO STORAGE (ACRE-FEET)	TOTAL DIVERSIONS TO IRRIGATION (ACRE-FEET)	TOTAL ACRES IRRIGATED	AVERAGE ACRE-FEET PER ACRE
	WA	NWA	NU	NR						
224	10	67	11	4,335	102,544	92	56,130	12,732	4.41	
845	25	291	0	8,239	256,823	38,858	156,757	32,557	4.81	
348	9	80	0	4,989	260,433	92,806	214,375	55,511	3.86	
238	13	54	2	4,449	48,230	0	207,636 <sup>1/</sup>	55,911	3.71	
118	30	17	2	4,113	19,719	569	16,807	6,752	2.49	
83	13	7	2	1,260	32,508	7,569	27,941 <sup>2/</sup>	10,408	2.68	
40	0	6	0	586	6,079	0	4,707	1,070	4.40	
27	3	9	2	131	4,342	123	3,246	1,459	2.22	
117	24	57	3	2,138	157,089	44,503	7,257	1,474	4.92	
90	0	30	0	2,217	59,042	642	18,901	3,095	6.11	
132	7	43	1	2,188	30,334	2,176	22,862	6,567	3.48	
2,262	134	661	23	34,645	977,143	187,338	736,619	187,536	3.93	

Total deliveries from transbasin (Dist. 71) 172,545 A.F., of which 147,494 A.F. were for irrigation.

Total deliveries from transbasin (Dist. 71) 260 A.F., of which 260 A.F. were for irrigation.



1990 WATER YEAR DIVERSION SUMMARIES BY DISTRICT IN ACRE-FEET

DISTRICT	TRANS-MOUNTAIN OUTFLOW	TRANS-BASIN OUTFLOW	STOCK	MUNICIPAL	DOMESTIC	INDUSTRIAL RECREATION	FISH	COMMERCIAL	OTHER	INTER-STATE/COMPACT
	53	1,918	4,411	477	24	0	1,232	1,712	0	37,634 <sup>1/</sup>
	114	0	9,778	4,603	195	3	11,885	756	64	7,046
	1,413	0	172	813	64	67	1,667	19	0	0
	0	0	4,137	4,639 <sup>2/</sup>	14	0	0	5	0	0
	0	751	1,948	0	26	0	0	4	1	1,130 <sup>3/</sup>
	0	0	3,000	1,045	13	83	0	6	0	0
	0	0	12	0	4	0	0	0	0	1,356 <sup>4/</sup>
	0	0	1,127	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	172,248 <sup>5/</sup>	302	351	37	10	3,649	8	7	0
	0	0	923	0	14	0	5,310	2	0	33,985 <sup>1/</sup>
	343	0	1,113	551	11	0	5,880	4	0	0
<hr/>										
	1,923	174,917	26,923	12,479	402	163	29,623	2,516	72	81,151

Diverted through San Juan-Chama Project to New Mexico

4,505 A.F. delivered from transbasin - District 71

Total diverted by Enterprise and Pioneer Ditches only to New Mexico

Water delivered to New Mexico as provided in Pine River Irrigation Project

Diverted to Districts 34 and 32

LA PLATA RIVER COMPACT MONTHLY ADMINISTRATIVE SUMMARY IN ACRE FEET

HESPERUS STATION	LA PLATA & CHERRY CR. DITCH	PINE RIDGE DITCH	HESPERUS TOTAL	STATE LINE STATION	ENTERPRISE (NEW MEXICO)		PIONEER DITCH	DELIVERED STATE LINE TOTAL (1/2 HESPERUS)	REQUIRED TOTAL (1/2 HESPERUS)
					DITCH	DITCH			
BER 229	0	0	229	245	0	0	0	245	---
RY 178	0	0	178	293	0	0	0	293	---
ARY 170	0	0	170	421	0	0	0	421	---
359	0	0	359	587	23	7	7	617	147
1290	153	123	1566	720	23	17	17	760	745
5290	891	566	6747	3060	127	154	154	3341	3312
3450	1231	146	4827	2090	140	187	187	2417	2473
1920	133	29	2082	790	114	139	139	1043	1049
1120	58	0	1178	229	67	60	60	356	593
1130	0	38	1168	546	32	0	0	578	547
1210	124	171	1505	673	40	7	7	720	772
770	0	27	797	321	126	0	0	447	402
S *	16539	2590	20229	9016	692	571	571	10279	10040

: NEW MEXICO REQUESTED REQUIRED AMOUNT UP TO 90 CFS, MARCH 7, 1990

BEGINNING JULY 1, COLORADO DIVERTED ALL STREAM FLOWS AT HESPERUS LEAVING LOWER LA PLATA FLOWS FOR NEW MEXICO

DUE TO HEAVY RAINS JULY 5 & 6, COLORADO RETURNED TO NORMAL RIVER DIVERSION

RIVER FLOWS AGAIN DROPPED AND COLORADO DIVERTED ALL FLOWS AT HESPERUS LEAVING LOWER LA PLATA FLOWS FOR NEW MEXICO JULY 24, 1990

DUE TO RAINFALL AUG 16-18, COLORADO ATTEMPTED TO DELIVER 1/2 OF HESPERUS FLOWS TO STATELINE FROM AUG. 18 TO AUG. 27, 1990

RIVER FLOWS AGAIN DROPPED AND COLORADO DIVERTED ALL FLOWS AT HESPERUS LEAVING LOWER LA PLATA FLOWS FOR NEW MEXICO AUG. 27, 1990

\* ALL TOTALS ARE FOR PERIOD OF COMPACT CALL

UPPER BASIN COMPACT  
SAN JUAN-CHAMA DIVERSIONS

WATER YEAR	RIO BLANCO DIVERSION	LITTLE OSO DIVERSION	OSO DIVERSION	TOTAL COLO. DIVERSION	AZOTEA TUNNEL USGS BOOKS	TEN-YEAR TOTALS (USGS)
1971	25190	1340	24980	51510	59980	
1972	28290	1120	24310	53720	58070	
1973	70900	9720	79810	160430	153300	
1974	25290	1070	18700	45060	47230	
1975	58780	8120	69200	136100	145100	
1976	41000	2420	36950	80370	85230	
1977	13450	37	3930	17417	19390	
1978	44010	2820	50310	97140	104200	
1979	60150	8980	87730	156860	164200	
1980	57760	6970	72460	137190	143600	
1981	25690	1640	22260	49590	53960	980300
1982	48340	6860	63810	119010	127100	974280
1983	46960	8110	69680	124750	134300	1043310
1984	45180	6070	55220	106470	113600	1024310
1985	32700	9630	44630	86960	91800	1090680
1986	35520	4720	43620	83860	1037380	1037380
1987	32120	4380	42360	78860	89180	1041330
1988	29200	972	29780	59952	83050	1104990
1989	20400	672	26630	47702	63530	1064320
1990	37630	1480	32510	71620	48570	948690
AVG.	38,928	4,357	44,944	88,229	93,968	1,030,959

LIMITS: 1,350,000 ACRE-FEET IN TEN CONSECUTIVE YEARS  
270,000 ACRE-FEET IN ANY ONE YEAR

WATER DIVISION NO. 7

P L A N S F O R A U M E N T A T I O N

1990

Water Court Case #	Name	Water Dist.	Stream	Amount of Water to be Released (AF or cfs)	Time of Release	Brief Statement of Plan
90CW60	NoLand Pit Pond	34	Mancos River	4.16 A.F.		Tail water from the Henry Bolen Ditch for storage under approved substitute supply plan, for irrigation when call is placed on the river.
90CW61	Pioneer Ditch	30	Florida R.	1.50 c.f.s.		For use in Mountain Valley Subdivision. Plan calls for change of water right, alternate points for 27 wells, surface, storage, underground, and appropriate right of substitution and exchange.

WATER DIVISION NO. 7

ACTIVITY SUMMARY FY 1990

<u>ACTIVITY</u>	<u>TOTALS</u>
NUMBER OF PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL STAFF	4
NUMBER OF CLERICAL STAFF	1
NUMBER OF WATER COMMISSIONER FTE ASSIGNED	13
NUMBER OF DECREED SURFACE RIGHTS	120
NUMBER OF SURFACE RIGHTS ADMINISTERED/OBSERVATIONS	26,675
NUMBER OF WELLS	896
NUMBER OF PLANS FOR AUGMENTATION	1
NUMBER OF CONSULTATIONS WITH REFEREE	95
NUMBER OF WATER COURT APPEARANCES	8
NUMBER OF MEETINGS WITH WATER USERS	146
NUMBER OF MEETINGS TO RESOLVE WATER RELATED DISPUTES	41
NUMBER OF CONTACTS TO GIVE PUBLIC ASSISTANCE ON WATER MATTERS	16,359

WATER COURT ACTIVITIES

CALENDAR YEAR 1990

NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS FOR DECREES	80
NUMBER OF CONSULTATIONS WITH REFEREE	73
NUMBER OF DECREES ISSUED BY WATER COURT	132

TYPE OF DECREE:

SURFACE WATER	77
GROUND WATER	32
RESERVOIRS	23
TRANSFER	4
ALTERNATE POINT	4
CHANGE IN USE	0
PLANS FOR AUGMENTATION	3
IN-STREAM FLOW	0
OTHER	50

NUMBER OF STRUCTURES IN DECREES:

TYPES OF STRUCTURES:

DITCHES	38
RESERVOIRS	23
WELLS	33
OTHER (SPRINGS, PIPELINES, PUMPS, ETC. )	43

TOTAL STRUCTURES 607

2 Cases Dismissed

OFFICE ADMINISTRATION FYR 1990

<u>NAME</u>	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>FISCAL YEAR</u>		<u>FISCAL YEAR</u>
		<u>MONTHS BUDGETED/</u>	<u>WORKED</u>	
DARIES C. LILE	DIVISION ENGINEER	12	12	3,982 P
KENNETH A. BEEGLES	ASS' T. DIVISION ENGINEER	12	12	2,367 P
SCOTT D. BRINTON	HYDROGRAPHER, W. R. E.	12	12	9,359 S*
FRANK J. KUGEL	DAM SAFETY INSPECTOR, SR. PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER	12**	12	1,144 P
ANN-LOUISE FAUTH	SENIOR SECRETARY	12	12	13,245 S

FULL TIME EMPLOYEES IN FIELD

<u>NAME</u>	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>DISTRICT</u>			
WILLIAM E. BAKER	W. C. C	32	12	12	10,793 P
RICHARD G. BALTZELL	W. C. C	30-Florida	12	12	9,938 P
GLEN E. HUMISTON	SR. W. C.	32, 34, 69, 71	12	12	190 P
J. RUSSELL KENNEDY	SR. W. C.	33	12	12	16,503 S
DAVID A. NELSON (1)	W. C. C	30-Animas	12	12	3,709 P
HAL M. PIERCE (2)	W. C. C	31, 46	12	12	12,882 S
JOHN E. VALENTINE	W. C. B	29, 77, 78	12	12	12,229 P
					9,709 P

PERMANENT PART TIME EMPLOYEES IN FIELD

HAROLD L. BAXSTROM	W. C. A	29, 78	5.0	5.0	7,620 P
ROBERT R. BECKER	W. C. B	69, 71	8.0	8.0	6,133 P
ROBERT E. DANIELS	W. C. A	31, 46	5.0	5.0	7,102 P
MATTHEW A. SCHMITT	W. C. A	33	4.0	4.0	3,397 P
SHERRY L. SCHUTZ	W. C. B	77	7.0	7.0	9,208 P
JOHN J. TAYLOR	W. C. B	78	5.0	5.0	4,164 P
		TOTALS	34.0	34.0	51,989 S
		TOTAL FTE	14.8	14.8	104,987 P

TOTAL MILES DRIVEN

156,976

(1) Received W. C. "C", 7/1/90

(2) Received W. C. "C", 12/1/89

\*Vehicle used by D. E. and A. D. E.

\*\*Mileage, etc., transferred to Div. 7 cost center 7/1/90

DIVISION 7 BUDGET PROJECTIONS

MONTH	FY88-89 TOTAL	PROJECTED FY89-90	EST CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES	ACTUAL FY89-90	ACTUAL CUMULATIVE
JULY	4,882	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
AUGUST	4,356	5,000	10,000	5,325	10,325
SEPTEMBER	3,245	3,000	13,000	3,824	14,149
OCTOBER	3,267	3,000	16,000	3,386	17,535
NOVEMBER	2,495	2,500	18,500	1,957	19,492
DECEMBER	2,755	2,200	20,700	1,985	21,477
JANUARY	3,738	2,200	22,900	1,140	22,617
FEBRUARY	2,154	2,200	25,100	1,829	24,446
MARCH	1,764	2,500	27,600	1,955	26,401
APRIL	4,978	4,000	31,600	2,921	29,322
MAY	5,132	4,950	36,550	4,563	33,885
JUNE	4,936	5,000	41,550	8,175	42,060
TOTAL	43,702	42,346		\$42,060	99.3
REMAINING AMOUNT				\$286	

Original budget was for \$41,550 before dam safety allocation



1990 RIVER CALLS

<u>D.</u>	<u>RIVER</u>	<u>INITIAL CALLING STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PRIORITY</u>	<u>DATE ON CALL</u>	<u>MOST SENIOR CURTAILED STRUCTURE</u>	<u>PRIORITY</u>	<u>DATE OFF CALL</u>	<u>DURATION</u>
29	FOUR MILE CREEK	Mesa Ditch	#58	07/02/90	Mesa Ditch	#3	08/17/90	46 days
29	LITTLE BLANCO R.	Mees Ditch	#7	06/26/90	Echo Ditch	#12	10/13/90	3mo., 18 days
30	FLORIDA RIVER	Florida Farmers D.	F-68	05/13/90	Florida Canal	23	10/05/90	145 days
30	JUNCTION CREEK	Animas City Ditch	J-2	03/25/90	Animas City Ditch	J-2	04/22/90	29 days
31	PINE RIVER	Schroder Ditch	P-38	06/26/90	Various P-26 Prior.	P-26	07/05/90	9 days
31	PINE RIVER	Vallecito Res.	65-R1	05/10/90*	Vallecito Reservoir	65-R1	06/10/90	31 days
32	MC ELMO CREEK			04/16/1990	Wilson Ditch	#5	05/11/90	26 days
33	LA PLATA RIVER	Enterprise Ditch	#46	03/25/90 <sup>1/</sup>	Hay Gulch Ditch	#9	10/31/90	7 mo., 6 days
34	MANCOS RIVER	Webber Ditch	M-36	06/01/90	Lee & Burke Ditch	M-4	09/14/90	3 mo., 14 days
71	DOLORES RIVER	M. V. I.	D-16	06/26/90	M. V. I.	#16	10/01/90	97 days

\* Vallecito Reservoir offered to make up shortages in ditches on 07/16/90

1/ Red Mesa Reservoir took water whenever possible the entire year

## Appendix

WATER COMMISSIONER DISTRICT SUMMARY  
DISTRICT 29--1990

	1990
<b>DIRECT DIVERSIONS</b>	<b>ACRE-FEET</b>
IRRIGATION	56,129
STORAGE	92
STOCKWATER	4,411
MUNICIPAL	472
DOMESTIC	24
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	0
FISH	1,232
OTHER: COMMERCIAL	712
TRANSMOUNTAIN-TRANSBASIN	1,838
INTERSTATE	37,634
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	102,544
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE</b>	
IRRIGATION	1
DOMESTIC	0
MUNICIPAL	5
STOCK	0
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	0
TRANSBASIN-TRANSMOUNTAIN	156
OTHER: FISH	0
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	162
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN</b>	
IRRIGATION	0
STORAGE	0
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	0
TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN.....	0
 <b>DUTY OF WATER:</b>	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION	56,130
ACRES IRRIGATED	12,732
ACRE-FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	4.41
 <b>NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED</b>	<b>312</b>
WATER RUN-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (E CODE)	0
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS-DAILY	176
-INFREQUENT STRUCTURES	48
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS-NO WATER AVAILABLE (B CODE)	10
-NOT USED (A,C,D, CODES)	67
-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (F CODE)	11
 <b>NUMBER OF DITCHES, SURFACE RIGHTS</b>	<b>268</b>
<b>NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>NUMBER OF WELLS</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS</b>	<b>4,335</b>

WATER COMMISSIONER DISTRICT SUMMARY  
DISTRICT 30--1990

	1990 ACRE-FEET
<b>DIRECT DIVERSIONS</b>	
IRRIGATION	138,567
STORAGE	38,858
STOCKWATER	9,778
MUNICIPAL	4,603
DOMESTIC	194
INDUSTRIAL	17,924
RECREATION	3
FISH	11,885
OTHER: COMMERCIAL, RECHARGE, EVAP etc.	820
TRANSMOUNTAIN-TRANSBASIN	114
INTERSTATE	7,046
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	229,792
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE</b>	
IRRIGATION	17,404
DOMESTIC	1
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	0
INDUSTRIAL	12,247
RECREATION	0
TRANSBASIN-TRANSMOUNTAIN	0
OTHER: FISH, COMMERCIAL, etc.	79
SNOWMAKING	82
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	29,813
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN</b>	
IRRIGATION	786
STORAGE	73
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	0
TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN.....	859
 <b>DUTY OF WATER:</b>	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION	156,757
ACRES IRRIGATED	32,557
ACRE-FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	4.81
 <b>NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED</b>	
WATER RUN-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (E CODE)	845
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS-DAILY	0
-INFREQUENT STRUCTURES	269
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS-NO WATER AVAILABLE (B CODE)	576
-NOT USED (A,C,D, CODES)	25
-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (F CODE)	291
	0
 <b>NUMBER OF DITCHES</b>	
NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS	636
NUMBER OF WELLS	98
NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	370
	8,239

WATER COMMISSIONER DISTRICT SUMMARY  
DISTRICT 31--1990

	1990
<b>DIRECT DIVERSIONS</b>	<b>ACRE-FEET</b>
IRRIGATION	163,619
STORAGE	92,806
STOCKWATER	172
MUNICIPAL	636
DOMESTIC	34
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	67
FISH	1,667
OTHER: COMMERCIAL	19
TRANSMOUNTAIN-TRANSBASIN	1,413
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	260,433
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE</b>	
IRRIGATION	50,756
DOMESTIC	30
MUNICIPAL	177
STOCK	0
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	0
TRANSBASIN-TRANSMOUNTAIN	0
OTHER: EVAPORATION	2,550
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	53,513
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN</b>	
IRRIGATION	0
STORAGE	0
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	0
TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN.....	0
 <b>DUTY OF WATER:</b>	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION	214,375
ACRES IRRIGATED	55,511
ACRE-FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	3.86
 <b>NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED</b>	<b>437</b>
WATER RUN-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (E CODE)	0
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS-DAILY	120
-INFREQUENT STRUCTURES	228
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS-NO WATER AVAILABLE (B CODE)	9
-NOT USED (A,C,D, CODES)	80
-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (F CODE)	0
 NUMBER OF DITCHES, OTHER SURFACE RIGHTS	244
NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS	62
NUMBER OF WELLS	145
NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	4,989

WATER COMMISSIONER DISTRICT SUMMARY  
DISTRICT 32--1990

	1990 ACRE-FEET
<b>DIRECT DIVERSIONS</b>	
IRRIGATION	47,901
STORAGE	0
STOCKWATER	176
MUNICIPAL	134
DOMESTIC	14
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	0
FISH	0
OTHER: COMMERCIAL	5
TRANSMOUNTAIN-TRANSBASIN	0
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	48,230
<b>DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE</b>	
IRRIGATION	12,241
DOMESTIC	0
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	1,386
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	0
TRANSBASIN-TRANSMOUNTAIN	0
OTHER: FISH	0
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	13,627
<b>DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN</b>	
IRRIGATION	147,494
STORAGE	17,971
MUNICIPAL	4,505
STOCK	2,575
TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN.....	172,545
<b>DUTY OF WATER:</b>	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION	207,636
ACRES IRRIGATED	55,911
ACRE-FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	3.71
<b>NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED</b>	
WATER RUN-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (E CODE)	307
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS-DAILY	0
-INFREQUENT STRUCTURES	171
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS-NO WATER AVAILABLE (B CODE)	67
-NOT USED (A,C,D, CODES)	13
-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (F CODE)	54
	2
NUMBER OF DITCHES, SURFACE RIGHTS	275
NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS	15
NUMBER OF WELLS	20
NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	4,449

WATER COMMISSIONER DISTRICT SUMMARY  
DISTRICT 33--1990

	1990 ACRE-FEET
<b>DIRECT DIVERSIONS</b>	
IRRIGATION	16,427
STORAGE	569
STOCKWATER	1,943
MUNICIPAL	0
DOMESTIC	25
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	0
FISH	0
OTHER: COMMERCIAL	4
TRANSMOUNTAIN-TRANSBASIN	751
INTERSTATE	1,130
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	19,719
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE</b>	
IRRIGATION	380
DOMESTIC	1
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	5
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	0
TRANSBASIN-TRANSMOUNTAIN	0
OTHER:	1
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	387
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN</b>	
IRRIGATION	0
STORAGE	0
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	0
TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN.....	0
 <b>DUTY OF WATER:</b>	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION	16,807
ACRES IRRIGATED	6,752
ACRE-FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	2.49
 <b>NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED</b>	
WATER RUN-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (E CODE)	0
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS-DAILY	49
-INFREQUENT STRUCTURES	59
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS-NO WATER AVAILABLE (B CODE)	30
-NOT USED (A,C,D, CODES)	17
-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (F CODE)	2
 <b>NUMBER OF DITCHES, SURFACE RIGHTS</b>	
NUMBER OF DITCHES, SURFACE RIGHTS	100
NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS	10
NUMBER OF WELLS	30
NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	4,113

WATER COMMISSIONER DISTRICT SUMMARY  
DISTRICT 34--1990

	1990 ACRE-FEET
<b>DIRECT DIVERSIONS</b>	
IRRIGATION	21,098
STORAGE	7,569
STOCKWATER	3,000
MUNICIPAL	828
DOMESTIC	13
RECREATION	0
FISH	0
OTHER: COMMERCIAL	0
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	32,508
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE</b>	
IRRIGATION	6,583
DOMESTIC	0
MUNICIPAL	217
STOCK	0
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	83
OTHER: COMMERCIAL	6
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	6,889
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN</b>	
IRRIGATION	260
STORAGE	0
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	0
TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN.....	260
 <b>DUTY OF WATER:</b>	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION	27,941
ACRES IRRIGATED	10,408
ACRE-FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	2.68
 <b>NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED</b>	
WATER RUN-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (E CODE)	0
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS-DAILY	62
-INFREQUENT STRUCTURES	21
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS-NO WATER AVAILABLE (B CODE)	13
-NOT USED (A,C,D, CODES)	7
-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (F CODE)	2
 <b>NUMBER OF DITCHES, SURFACE RIGHTS</b>	
NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS	11
NUMBER OF WELLS	8
NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	1,260



WATER COMMISSIONER DISTRICT SUMMARY  
DISTRICT 46--1990

	1990 ACRE-FEET
<b>DIRECT DIVERSIONS</b>	
IRRIGATION	4,707
STORAGE	0
STOCKWATER	12
MUNICIPAL	0
DOMESTIC	4
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	0
FISH	0
OTHER: COMMERCIAL	0
INTERSTATE	1,356
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	6,079
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE</b>	
IRRIGATION	0
DOMESTIC	0
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	0
OTHER: FISH	
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	0
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN</b>	
IRRIGATION	0
STORAGE	0
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	0
TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN.....	0
 <b>DUTY OF WATER:</b>	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION	4,707
ACRES IRRIGATED	1,070
ACRE-FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	4.40
 <b>NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED</b>	46
WATER RUN-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (E CODE)	0
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS-DAILY	37
-INFREQUENT STRUCTURES	3
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS-NO WATER AVAILABLE (B CODE)	0
-NOT USED (A,C,D, CODES)	6
-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (F CODE)	0
 <b>NUMBER OF DITCHES, SURFACE RIGHTS</b>	42
<b>NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS</b>	2
<b>NUMBER OF WELLS</b>	0
<b>NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS</b>	586

WATER COMMISSIONER DISTRICT SUMMARY  
DISTRICT 69--1990

	1990 ACRE-FEET
<b>DIRECT DIVERSIONS</b>	
IRRIGATION	3,096
STORAGE	123
STOCKWATER	1,123
MUNICIPAL	0
DOMESTIC	0
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	0
FISH	0
OTHER: COMMERCIAL	0
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	4,342
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE</b>	
IRRIGATION	150
DOMESTIC	0
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	4
OTHER: FISH	0
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	154
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN</b>	
IRRIGATION	0
STORAGE	0
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	0
TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN.....	0
 <b>DUTY OF WATER:</b>	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION	3,246
ACRES IRRIGATED	1,459
ACRE-FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	2.22
 <b>NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED</b>	
WATER RUN-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (E CODE)	41
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS-DAILY	0
-INFREQUENT STRUCTURES	14
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS-NO WATER AVAILABLE (B CODE)	13
-NOT USED (A,C,D, CODES)	3
-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (F CODE)	9
	2
NUMBER OF DITCHES, SURFACE RIGHTS	31
NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS	8
NUMBER OF WELLS	1
NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	131

WATER COMMISSIONER DISTRICT SUMMARY  
DISTRICT 71--1990

	1990 ACRE-FEET
<b>DIRECT DIVERSIONS</b>	
IRRIGATION	7,257
STORAGE	44,503
STOCKWATER	302
MUNICIPAL	351
DOMESTIC	37
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	10
FISH	3,649
OTHER: COMMERCIAL	8
TRANSMOUNTAIN-TRANSBASIN	100,972
<b>TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....</b>	<b>157,089</b>
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE</b>	
IRRIGATION	0
DOMESTIC	0
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	0
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	0
TRANSBASIN-TRANSMOUNTAIN	71,276
OTHER: AUGMENTATION	7
<b>TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....</b>	<b>71,283</b>
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN</b>	
IRRIGATION	0
STORAGE	0
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	0
<b>TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN.....</b>	<b>0</b>
 <b>DUTY OF WATER:</b>	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION	7,257
ACRES IRRIGATED	1,474
ACRE-FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	4.92
 <b>NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED</b>	<b>201</b>
WATER RUN-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (E CODE)	0
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS-DAILY	50
-INFREQUENT STRUCTURES	67
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS-NO WATER AVAILABLE (B CODE)	24
-NOT USED (A,C,D, CODES)	57
-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (F CODE)	3
 <b>NUMBER OF DITCHES, SURFACE RIGHTS</b>	<b>135</b>
<b>NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>NUMBER OF WELLS</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS</b>	<b>2,138</b>

WATER COMMISSIONER DISTRICT SUMMARY  
DISTRICT 77--1990

	1990 ACRE-FEET
<b>DIRECT DIVERSIONS</b>	
IRRIGATION	18,359
STORAGE	448
STOCKWATER	923
MUNICIPAL	0
DOMESTIC	14
INDUSTRIAL	1
RECREATION	0
FISH	5,310
OTHER: COMMERCIAL	2
INTERSTATE	33,985
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	59,042
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE</b>	
IRRIGATION	299
DOMESTIC	0
STOCK	0
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	0
OTHER: FISH	0
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	299
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN</b>	
IRRIGATION	243
STORAGE	194
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	0
TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN.....	437
 <b>DUTY OF WATER:</b>	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION	18,901
ACRES IRRIGATED	3,095
ACRE-FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	6.11
 <b>NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED</b>	118
WATER RUN-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (E CODE)	0
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS-DAILY	73
-INFREQUENT STRUCTURES	17
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS-NO WATER AVAILABLE (B CODE)	0
-NOT USED (A,C,D, CODES)	30
-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (F CODE)	0
 <b>NUMBER OF DITCHES, SURFACE RIGHTS</b>	88
<b>NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS</b>	17
<b>NUMBER OF WELLS</b>	13
<b>NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS</b>	2,217

WATER COMMISSIONER DISTRICT SUMMARY  
DISTRICT 78--1990

	1990 ACRE-FEET
<b>DIRECT DIVERSIONS</b>	
IRRIGATION	21,119
STORAGE	1,864
STOCKWATER	1,113
MUNICIPAL	0
DOMESTIC	11
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	0
FISH	5,880
OTHER: COMMERCIAL	4
TRANSMOUNTAIN-TRANSBASIN	343
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	30,334
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE</b>	
IRRIGATION	752
DOMESTIC	0
MUNICIPAL	551
STOCK	0
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATION	0
TRANSBASIN-TRANSMOUNTAIN	0
OTHER: FISH	0
TOTAL DIVERSIONS.....	1,303
 <b>DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN</b>	
IRRIGATION	991
STORAGE	312
MUNICIPAL	0
STOCK	0
TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN.....	1,303
 <b>DUTY OF WATER:</b>	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION	22,862
ACRES IRRIGATED	6,567
ACRE-FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	3.48
 <b>NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED</b>	
WATER RUN-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (E CODE)	0
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS-DAILY	86
-INFREQUENT STRUCTURES	46
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS-NO WATER AVAILABLE (B CODE)	7
-NOT USED (A,C,D, CODES)	43
-NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE (F CODE)	1
 <b>NUMBER OF DITCHES, SURFACE RIGHTS</b>	
	148
<b>NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS</b>	
	30
<b>NUMBER OF WELLS</b>	
	17