RICHARD D. LAMM Governor



C. J. KUIPER State Engineer

DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

DARIES C. LILE, P.E. DIVISION WATER ENGINEER DIVISION 7 P. O. Drawer 1959 DURANGO, COLORADO 81301 Office Phone: 247-1845

January 10, 1979

Mr. C. J. Kuiper, State Engineer Colorado Division of Water Resources 1313 Sherman Street Denver, Colorado 80203

Dear Mr. Kuiper:

Attached herewith is our Annual Report for the period

i

November 1, 1977 through October 31, 1978.

truly yours erv Daries C. Lile

Division Engineer

DCL:alf

CONTENTS

		PAGE
I.	INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT	1
II.	PERSONNEL	2
III.	WATER SUPPLY	
	A. SNOW PACK	3
	B. PRECIPITATION	3
	B-1 COMPARATIVE STREAM FLOW DATA	4
	C. FLOODS	3
	D. WATER BUDGET	7
	E. UNDERGROUND WATER	8
	F. TRANSMOUNTAIN DIVERSIONS	8
	G. RESERVOIR STORAGE	9
IV.	AGRICULTURE	12
v.	COMPACTS AND COURT STIPULATIONS	
	A. GENERAL	12
	B. SAN JUAN-CHAMA DIVERSION PROJECT	13
	C. LA PLATA RIVER COMPACT	13
	C-1 LA PLATA RIVER COMPACT MONTHLY SUMMARY	14
VI.	A. DAMS	13
	B. LIVESTOCK WATER TANKS	13
VII.	WATER RIGHTS	
	A. TABULATIONS	15
	B. REFEREE'S FINDINGS AND DECREES	15
VIII.	ORGANIZATIONS	
	A. WATER CONSERVATION AND CONSERVANCY DISTRICTS	15
	B. INCORPORATED DITCH COMPANIES	16
IX.	WATER COMMISSIONERS' SUMMARIES	17
х.	DIVISION ENGINEER'S SUMMARIES BY DISTRICTS	
	A. DIRECT FLOW DIVERSIONS	28
	B. STORAGE REPORT, ACRE FEET	29
	C. WORKLOAD AND STATISTICAL INDICATORS	30
	D. DIVISION VII ANNUAL SUMMARY	31
XI.	DIVISION ENGINEER'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	32

I. INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

Irrigation Division 7 is located in the southwest corner of Colorado. The drainage basins include the San Juan and the Dolores Rivers which are both tributaries of the Colorado River system. The geography consists of high mountain ranges, mesas, and valleys. The mountain areas are used for mining, grazing, timber production, and recreational purposes. The mesas and valleys support a large agriculture economy with both irrigated and dry land farming being practiced.

The basin also has potential for becoming one of the state's most energy productive areas. The Mesa Verde formation contains large deposits of coal which is easily available for both open pit and underground mining. The major problems associated with mining, however, include the transportation of the coal to power plants and the availability of water for the location of the power plants near the coal deposits. Until these problems are solved, only limited coal production will be possible.

Presently, there is an increase of subdivisions in the basin. The cost of housing is very high, which in turn, provides an incentive for additional developments of subdivisions. In some instances prime agricultural irrigated lands are being broken up into small ranchett type subdivisions, and timbered and wooded lands are being developed for housing. There seem to be numerous new subdivisions being applied for and this process does require assurances that adequate water be available before lots can be platted or sold. This creates some problems for the Division staff since often the most desirable lands for development do not have water legally or physically available. To solve these problems requires a proceeding through the water court, and often extensive water delivery systems.

The Division does have three operating Bureau of Reclamation projects. In two of these - Pine River Irrigation District and the Jackson Reservoir system - there were provisions for domestic water. However, the Florida River project or Lemon Reservoir system did not allow for domestic water to be supplied. Consequently, senior irrigation water is being transferred for domestic purposes.

There is an additional Bureau project in the planning stage which is the Animas-La Plata Project. This project has been given \$600,000 for planning this fiscal year. To date the draft of the definite plan report is completed, and draft of the Environmental Impact Statement report is nearly finished. The project has been modified to improve the cost benefit ratio and it is hoped that it will receive funding for a construction start by Congress this year.

The Dolores Project is out of the planning phase and into construction status. A contract has been completed for access road construction. In addition, bids are being let for earth work on the dike and further road development. Plans are also being completed for the construction of an office facility to house the Bureau personnel during the construction process. When this project is completed there will be water available for supplemental use, new lands under irrigation, and additional domestic supplies in the Cortez and Dove Creek areas.

-1-

II. PERSONNEL

NAME	POSITION	MONTHS	BUDGETED/ RKED	MILEAGE
Daries C. Lile	Division Engineer	6	6	1,014 P 5,308 S*
Orlyn J. Bell	Asst. Division Engineer	12	12	1,070 P 4,771 S*
Edward W. Blank	Hydrographer	12	12	18,097 S
Ann-Louise Fauth	Secretary	12	12	

FULL TIME EMPLOYEES IN FIELD

NAME	POSTUTON	N.	סדפייפו	ርጥ	MONTHS BUDGE	TED/	MITEACE
1474-115	10011101				WORLED		MILLEAGE
E. Ivan Danielson	Water Co	omm. B	Dist.	30	12	12	7,881 P
George E. Davis	Water Co	omm. B	Dist.	30	12	12	778 P 8,016 S
George Edmonson $\frac{1}{}$	Water Co	omm. A	Dist.	32	12	12	11,525 P
Glen E. Humiston	Water Co	omm. C	Dist.	34	12	12	12,557 s
J. Russell Kennedy	Water Co	omm. B	Dist.	33	12	12	12,717 P
William P. Lynn	Water Co	omm. C	Dist.	29	12	12	10,280 P
Larry Nielsen	Water Co	omm. B	Dist.	77	12	12	10,978 P
Avrit G. Sparks	Water Co	omm. B	Dist.	31	12	12	9,972 P 3,665 S
Wilford E. Speer	Water Co	omm. B	Dist.	69,71	12	12	16,449 P

PERMANENT PART TIME EMPLOYEES IN FIELD ***

Roy M. Brown, Jr.	Water Comm. A	Dist. 29,78	6.4	6.5	11,615 P
Ronald Robinson $\frac{2}{}$	Water Comm. A	Dist. 78	2.3	2.1	570 P
Bob R. Shahan	Water Comm. A	Dist. 77	3.0	3.0	2,117 P
Lawrence J. Shock	Water Comm. A	Dist. 31,46	9.2	9.5	7,142 P 1,584 S
John J. Taylor $\frac{3}{}$	Water Comm. A	Dist. 78	2.1	2.1	1,791 P
		Totals 1	73.0	173.2	105,899 P 53,998 S
		TOTAL MILEAG	E FOR PERIC	D	159 , 897

* Vehicle #5313 used by Division Engineer, Assistant, and Dam Section personnel ** 25,410 miles less than driven in 1976-1977 period

1/ George Edmonson retired as of January 31, 1979

 $\overline{2}$ / Ronald Robinson resigned March 1, 1978

3/ John Taylor Provisional Appointment July 25, 1978, Probationary Status October 1, 1978 to replace Ronald Robinson

P - Personal vehicle

S - State vehicle

*** Permanent Part Time Employees received additional budget time for tabulation work. Also, some time was redistributed due to resignation of Ronald Robinson.

4

III. WATER SUPPLY

A. <u>SNOW PACK (Winter 1977-1978)</u>

The San Juan Basin received an above-normal snow pack for this year which resulted in an above-average runoff. Stream flows were generally low at the beginning of the year due to the short water supply the previous year, but picked up with the increased winter precipitation. The April first snow pack and runoff forecasts were excellent and are listed below. The tabulated figures give a good indication of the water supply in representative drainages throughout the Division.

	NO. OF	THIS YEAR'S WA	ATER CONTENT
SNOW PACK	AVERAGED	AS A PERCEI LAST YEAR	AVERAGE
ANIMAS RIVER	6	486%	137%
DOLORES RIVER	4	652%	159%
SAN JUAN RIVER	5	385%	115%
LA PLATA RIVER	1	1,082%	154% (12 years)
MANCOS RIVER	1	658%	137% (4 years)

WATER SUPPLY	APRIL THRU SEPT (1000 A.F.) FORECAST	• % OF <u>AVERAGE</u>	15 YEAR AVERAGE	THIS YEAR	% OF LAST_YEAR
ANIMAS RIVER AT DURANGO	575	136	423	552	253
DOLORES RIVER AT DOLORES	325	140	232	325	516
LA PLATA RIVER AT HESPERUS	34	142	24	14	555
PIEDRA RIVER AT ARBOLES	225	122	185	204	443

B. PRECIPITATION (Summer 1978)

Precipitation during the winter and spring months was well above normal as recorded at the Durango weather recording station, however, the normal summer precipitation failed to materialize. As a result, stream flows were lower than forecast and non-irrigated agriculture failed to produce as expected. Demand for irrigation water was high during the late summer months and reservoirs had to be pulled down further than desired to fill the demand for water.

The total precipitation for the 1978 water year at the Durango weather recording station was 20.15 inches or 114.5% of normal. The following tables and graphs indicate the comparative stream flows on four representative river gaging stations for water year 1978.

C. FLOODS

Cool temperatures moderated the snow pack runoff such that the stream flows remained below flood stage even at the peaks. There was an absence of flash flooding as there were few summer rains and they were of short duration and generally light.

B-1 COMPARATIVE STREAM FLOW DATA

LA PLATA RIVER AT HESPERUS (ACRE FEET)

				PERCENT
	TEN YEAR		PERCENT	OF
	MONTHLY	1978	OF	CUMULATIVE
	AVERAGE	MONTHLY	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
MONTH	STREAMFLOW	STREAMFLOW	AVERAGE	AVERAGE
October	1,241	394	31.7	31.7
November	751	286	38.1	34.1
December	560	295	52.7	38.2
Januarv	451	263	58.3	41.2
February	466	215	46 1	41 9
March	896	363	40 5	41.6
April	2 966	1 990	169.0	41.0
May	2,500	9 450	115 5	92.0
Tuno	7 057	10 340	146 5	104.0
	2,699	2 200	140.5	11/.0
Jury	2,698	2,200	81.5	114.0
August	1,200	378	31 8	111.4
			·	100.0
LA PLATA RIVER	AT COLORADO-NEW MEXIC	CO STATE LINE (ACRE F	EET)	
October	1,057	10	0.9	0.9
November	575	110	19.1	7.4
December	619	76	12.3	8.7
January	600	153	25.5	12.2
February	787	187	23.8	14.7
March	1,289	836	64.9	27.8
April	4,615	5,580	120.9	72.8
May	6,528	5,490	84.1	77.4
June	4,451	4,430	99.5	82.2
July	1,424	1,160	81.5	82.2
August	624	52	8.3	80.1
September	562	3	0.5	78.2
NAVAJO RIVER A	AT BANDED PEAKS (ACRE)	FEET)		
October	2 525	1 000	56 0	FC 2
Nerromber	2 274	1 490	50.2	50.2
November	2,374	1,480	62.3	58.0
December	1,883	1,290	68.5	61.0
January	1,/14	1,320	77.0	63.9
February	1,651	1,220	73.9	65.4
March	2,464	1,920	//.9	67.7
April	5,403	9,140	169.2	96.5
May	16,552	16,940	102.3	99.2
June	18,988	29,660	156.2	119.1
July	8,742	6,770	77.4	113.3
August	4,363	2,410	55.2	109.6
September	3,653	1,680	46.0	106.3
ANIMAS RIVER A	AT HOWARDSVILLE (ACRE)	FEET)		
October	2,142	1,690	78.9	78.9
November	1,445	1,190	82.4	80.3
December	1,169	1,060	90.7	82.8
January	1,016	873	85.9	83.4
February	850	668	78.6	82.8
March	985	815	82.7	82.8
April	2,096	2,830	153.0	94.1
May	13,886	10,910	78.6	84.9
June	23,547	36,930	156.8	120.8
July	12,518	15,340	122.5	121.2
August	4,267	3,560	83.4	118.7
September	3,432	1,740	50.7	115.2
	•			



-5-



-6-

III. D. WATER BUDGET

FLOWS IN ACRE FEET

DRAINAGE	GAGED FLOW	ACRES IRRIGATED	EST. IRR. DEP.	EST. RES. EVAP.	EST. MUNICIPAL DEP.	FLOW BYPASSED GAGE	TRANS. MT. DEPLETION	STORAGE CORRECTION	ESTIMATED BASIN YIELD
SAN JUAN 1/	372,900	20,813	22,200	2,000	450		97,439 <u>4/</u>	-27	494,962
PIEDRA	233,700	10,324	11,360	500	350	ł	92	. 666	246,668
PINE RIVER ^{Z/}	119,000	58,164	63,980	3,200	140	1	1,463	13,151	200,934
ANIMAS RIVER	614,300	39,433	43,400	1,800	1,100	8,364	152	9,671	678,787
LA PLATA RIVER	18,100	13,621	12,300	100	1	758	!	1	31,258
MANCOS RIVER	31,100	15,990	15,350	200	200	-	1	-1,353	45,497
MC ELMO CANYON	17,900	48,640	102,144	1,500	600		111,252 ^{5/}	-4,625	6,267
DOLORES RIVER ^{3/}	324,100	2,648	2,900	2,200	50	1	8,182 <u>6/</u>	2,585	340,017
DISAPPOINTMENT CREEK	13,070	1,751	2,100	ł	 	1	190	1	14,980

calculated, better values of irrigation depletion can be determined. Also, reservoir evaporation and municipal depletions need additional Figures included in this budget are based on estimates and should only be considered as such. As more accurate irrigated acres are data to improve the accuracy. NOTE

1/ Includes Blanco and Navajo drainages, Dist. 29, 77.

-7-

Combined flow of Pine River at LaBoca and Spring Creek gages and estimate of Siebritas flow and Rock Creek flow. 5

3/ Flow gaged at Town of Dolores and includes Montezuma Valley Irrigation water.

Includes 97,133 A.F., San Juan-Chama into New Mexico, and 306 A.F. into the Rio Grande Basin in Colorado. 4/

5/ Correction of imported water from District 71, Dolores River.

6/ Diverted to Summit and used in District 32.

E. UNDERGROUND WATER

Underground water is not as plentiful in the Division as it is in other areas of the State. Production is generally confined to the shallow aluvium near stream channels, gravel irrigated mesas, or deep aquifers. The yield for the shallow surface gravels is not generally high enough for irrigation, and the deeper wells are not cost effective. Consequently, very little irrigation is done with groundwater. It does, however, supply a considerable amount of the domestic, livestock, and municipal water that is being used.

The Pagosa Springs area is using groundwater presently for geothermal purposes. Many of the businesses and some of the public buildings are heated with hot water from deep wells. Recently a new well was constructed which is producing 151°F at a depth of 831 feet. This well is intended to be used in the school system primarily for heating purposes. There has been a grant of \$750,000 received from the Department of Energy for studying the feasability of utilizing this new supply of energy.

F. TRANSMOUNTAIN DIVERSIONS

NAME OF DITCH	WATER DISTRICT	SOURCE OF SUPPLY	RECIPIENT	AMOUNT A.F.
Pine R. Weminuche Pass (Fuchs Ditch)	31	Pine River	Leland & Harley Fuchs Del Norte, Colorado	67.36
Weminuche Pass Ditch (Raber-Lohr Ditch)	31	Pine River	Hilde Lohr & Leon Raber Del Norte, Colorado	1,395.50
Treasure Pass Diversion	29	San Juan R.	Fred Falk, Del Norte, Co.	306.00
Williams Creek Squaw Pass Diversion Ditch	78	Piedra River	Seaborn Collins, Navajo Development Co., Creede, CO	0
Don LaFont Ditch #1 (South River Peak Ditch)	78	Piedra River	Colorado Div. of Wildlife	61.70
Don LaFont Ditch #2 (Piedra Pass Ditch)	78	Piedra River	Colorado Div. of Wildlife	30.62
Carbon Lake Ditch	30	Animas River	Ouray Ditch Co., Montrose, CO	21.50
Red Mountain Ditch	30	Animas River	Ouray Ditch Co., Montrose, CO	130.00
Mineral Point Ditch	30	Animas River	Warren Gibbs, Ouray, Colorado	No Structure
St. John Ditch	30	Animas River	Charles Gunn & W. Worley Olathe, Colorado	No Structure

III	G.	RESERVOIR	STORAGE	IN	ACRE	FEET
					and the state of the second states	and the second second second

DISTRICT 29	BEGINNING OF SEASON	MAXIMUM	END OF SEASON	
BARROW DITTCH AND RESERVOIR	12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
BLANCO RETAINING DOND	· 1	13	3	
BODNS LAKE DESERVOID		E O	L CO	
RRAMELL DESERVOIR	08	00	68	
BOOM DESERVOIRS, I, Z, J	2	4	.3	
CPESCENT LAVE DESEDUCTD	ى ت	0 25	1	
DRY CHICH DESERVOIR		55	35	
ECHO CANYON RESERVOIR	2 140	2 200	1 050	
ECHO DESERVOIR	2,149	2,200	1,950	
ECHO RESERVOIR	1	2	0	
ECHE MILE DESERVOID	4	/	Ū.	
FAMM CHICH DECEDUATD	1 2	. L	• 0	
FAWN GOLCH RESERVOIR	. 3		0	
CALE DECEDUATE COURTM NO 1	4	4	4	
CALE RESERVOIR SISTEM NO. 1	10	10	10	
GALE RESERVOIR SISTEM NO. 2	/ /	/	7	
GALE RESERVOIR SISTEM NO. 3	11	11	11	
HARRIS BROS. AND BOONE RESERVOIR NO. 1	10	49	49	
HARRIS BROS. AND BOONE RESERVOIR NO. 2	50	205	205	
HARVEY LAKE	4	4	4	
HATCHER RETAINING POND	7		7	
IOE UEDCCU DECEDUCID	2	4	. <u>1</u>	
JOE HERSCH RESERVOIR		2	2	
MC GIRK AND GOMEZ RESERVOIR	0	0	0	
PAGOSA RESERVOIR	25	25	25	
SHOESTKING RESERVOIR	. 3	1	0	
SPILER CANION RESERVOIR	• 5	2	. 0	
SUNCER COMPACES DECEDUOID NO 1	.3	10		
SUNSEL COTTAGES RESERVOIR NO. 1	18	18	18	
THOMAG DESERVOIR NO. 2	23	23		
THOMAS RESERVOIR	20	20	56	
VALLE SECO DESERVOIR	· · ·	с Т	0	
WILLOW DRAW DESERVOIR	• • •	•5	0	
WILSONS LAKE	. 3	1	0	
FOUR MILE RESERVOIR	,	, 0	/ 0	
TOTAL TOTAL	L 2,522	2,786	2,495	
DISTRICT 30				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
ANDREWS LAKE	130	131	125	
CASCADE RESERVOIR	4,017	15,369	11,196	
CLIFTY LODGE RESERVOIR	1	1	1	
DURANGO RESERVOIR NO. 1	450	450	450	
DURANGO RESERVOIR NO. 2	10	10	10	
DURANGO RESERVOIR NO. 3	42	42	42	
DURANGO RESERVOIR NO. 4	120	120	120	

III G. RESERVOIR STORAGE IN ACRE FEET

		BEGINNING OF		END OF
DISTRICT <u>30 (Continued)</u>		SEASON	MAXIMUM	SEASON
FLORIDA CANAL AND RESERVOIR		200	200	200
GREGG RESERVOIR		- 2	2	2
HAVILAND LAKE RESERVOIR		180	220	220
HENDERSON LAKE	Ŷ	52	58	55
HOTTER BROS. LAKE		39	39	39
ICE LAKE RESERVOIR		373	416	415
JOHANSING-VINNEL FISH RESERVOIR		4	4	4
KEELER RESERVOIR		390	487	487
LAKE CAROL		8	.8	8
LAKE OF THE PINES		100	114	105
LAKE SUSAN		17	17	17
LEMON RESERVOIR		4,984	32,010	7,315
LEMON RR RESERVOIR		0	15	15
L-U LAKES		3	3	3
MACY RESERVOIR		0	11	0
PATRICIA A. SHERWOOD RESERVOIR		4	4	4
SHORT RESERVOIR		70	70	0
TURNER PUMP STATION AND PONDS		0	84	0
TURNER RESERVOIR		380	472	410
WARNER RESERVOIRS NO. 1 THRU No. 8		43	47	47
	TOTAL	11,619	50,404	21,290
DISTRICT 31				
BELLFLOWER RETENTION RESERVOIR		15	20	15
FITZGERALD IRRIGATION SYSTEM		3	3	1
FREDRICK RESERVOIR NO. 2		3	3	3
JEFFRIES POND NO. 1		0	1	1
JEFFRIES POND NO. 2		2	3	2
VALLECITO RESERVOIR		13,300	117,750	26,098
WILDORADO RESERVOIR NO. 26		5	14	10
WOMMER RESERVOIR NO. 1		76	186	125
	TOTAL	13,404	117,980	26,255
DISTRICT 32				
A M PUETT RESERVOIR		20	2,537	284
BUTTS RESERVOIR		18	18	18
DUCKS NEST RESERVOIR		0	160	0
LIVELY RESERVOIR		2	2	2
NARRAGUINNEP RESERVOIR		9,939	18,960	4,670
ROBERT LEIGHTON RESERVOIR		19	19	19
TOTTEN RESERVOIR		1,080	3,300	1,460
WEST RESERVOIR		6	6	6
WILKERSON POND NO. 1		11	11	11
	TOTAL	11,095	25,013	6,470

.

-10-

III G. RESERVOIR STORAGE IN ACRE FEET

		BEGINNING		END
DISTRICT 33		SEASON	MAXIMUM	OF SEASON
RED MESA WARD RESERVOIR		253	1,176	253
TAYLOR RESERVOIR		. 86	86	86
	TOTAL	339	1,262	339
DISTRICT 34				
BAUER RESERVOIR NO. 1		54	287	134
BAUER RESERVOIR NO. 2		719	1,533	274
COPPINGER NO. 1 RESERVOIR		19	35	9
COPPINGER NO. 2 RESERVOIR		6	14	4
JACKSON GULCH RESERVOIR		3,683	9,897	2,607
L A BAR RESERVOIR		0	73	20
SELLARS & MC CLANE RESERVOIR		24	52	24
SPENCER RESERVOIR		. 0	13	0
WEBER RESERVOIR		36	442	116
	TOTAL	4,541	12,346	3,188
DISTRICT_69			,	
BELMAR LAKE RESERVOIR		223	409	176
DUNHAM RESERVOIR		80	111	80
GARDNER RESERVOIR		40	67	40
MORRISON RESERVOIR		80	116	85
NORTH DRAW RESERVOIR	• •	0	0	0
	TOTAL	423	734	381
DISTRICT 71				
BIG PINE RESERVOIR		0	460	209
BUCK PASTURE RESERVOIR		90	90	70
ETHEL BELMAR RESERVOIR		6,700	21,800	8,800
LOST CANYON RESERVOIR		20	106	60
R. B. COPPINGER RESERVOIR		0	16	0
SUMMIT RESERVOIR		522	4,795	783
	TOTAL	7,412	27,392	9,997
DISTRICT 77				
		0		-
COENCE DECEDUCTE		0	15 4 4 1	0
STENCE RESERVOIR	ጥር ጥል ד	0	441	0

-11-

III G. RESERVOIR STORAGE IN ACRE FEET

DISTRICT 78 SEASC BENNETT RESERVOIR DEVIL RESERVOIR DUNNAGAN RESERVOIR C. S. HATCHER RESERVOIR LAKE FOREST RESERVOIR J BAR J POND LINN AND CLARK RESERVOIR PARK RESERVOIR PARK RESERVOIR PIEDRA RETAINING POND PALISADE LAKE FINON LAKE RESERVOIR	• <u>MAX IN</u> <u>NO</u> 1 1 1	OF <u>MUM <u>SEASON</u> 1.5 0</u>
BENNETT RESERVOIR DEVIL RESERVOIR DUNNAGAN RESERVOIR G. S. HATCHER RESERVOIR LAKE FOREST RESERVOIR J BAR J POND LINN AND CLARK RESERVOIR PARK RESERVOIR PIEDRA RETAINING POND PALISADE LAKE FINON LAKE RESERVOIR	1 : 0 8	1.5 0
DEVIL RESERVOIR2DUNNAGAN RESERVOIR2G. S. HATCHER RESERVOIR59LAKE FOREST RESERVOIR25J BAR J POND25LINN AND CLARK RESERVOIR97PARK RESERVOIR97PARK RESERVOIR97PARK RESERVOIR97PALISADE LAKE5PINON LAKE RESERVOIR10	0 8	
DUNNAGAN RESERVOIR2G. S. HATCHER RESERVOIR59LAKE FOREST RESERVOIR25J BAR J POND2LINN AND CLARK RESERVOIR97PARK RESERVOIR97PARK RESERVOIR5PIEDRA RETAINING POND5PALISADE LAKE5PINON LAKE RESERVOIR10		8.0 8.0
G. S. HATCHER RESERVOIR59LAKE FOREST RESERVOIR25J BAR J POND25LINN AND CLARK RESERVOIR97PARK RESERVOIR97PARK RESERVOIR5PIEDRA RETAINING POND5PALISADE LAKE5PINON LAKE RESERVOIR10	26	94 0
LAKE FOREST RESERVOIR25J BAR J POND1LINN AND CLARK RESERVOIR97PARK RESERVOIR97PARK RESERVOIR1PIEDRA RETAINING POND5PALISADE LAKE5PINON LAKE RESERVOIR10	95 1,	735 1,735
J BAR J POND LINN AND CLARK RESERVOIR 97 PARK RESERVOIR 97 PARK RESERVOIR 97 PALISADE LAKE 95 PINON LAKE RESERVOIR 10	50 4	400 0
LINN AND CLARK RESERVOIR 97 PARK RESERVOIR 97 PIEDRA RETAINING POND 97 PALISADE LAKE 55 PINON LAKE RESERVOIR 10	5	5 2
PARK RESERVOIR . PIEDRA RETAINING POND . PALISADE LAKE . PINON LAKE RESERVOIR 10	75 9	997 997
PIEDRA RETAINING POND PALISADE LAKE 5 PINON LAKE RESERVOIR 10	.1	.6 0
PALISADE LAKE E PINON LAKE RESERVOIR 10	5	5 5
PINON LAKE RESERVOIR	50	50 40
	06	162 98
POMA RESERVOIR 2	27	27 27
SCHMIEDEN RESERVOIR	36	30 36
SPRING CREEK RESERVOIR	0	46 0
STEVENS RESERVOIR AND DAM 63	35 6	635 614
TOWN CENTER LAKE RESERVOIR 60	00 . 6	600 416
TURKEY SPRING RESERVOIR	1	2 0
WILLIAMS CREEK RESERVOIR 10,08	34 _10,0	084 10,084
TOTAL 13,39		902 14 063

IV AGRICULTURE

Agricultural prospects for 1978 in southwestern Colorado were excellent as the growing season began. There was an above-average snowpack in the mountains, and early Spring rains at the lower elevations had increased soil moisture greatly after the droughth year, 1977. However, there was little summer precipitation to feed the maturing crops and non-irrigated crop production fell short of early expectations. Irrigated acreage had sufficient water available to feed the crops to maturity, but some late Fall plowing had to be delayed as the soil was too dry.

Some representative crop yields for the area are listed below:

CROP <u>YIELD/ACRE</u> NORMAL YIE	ELD/ACRE (8 yr.)
dry land beans 350 lbs. 310 lbs	s.
dry land wheat 15 bushels 24 bush	hels
dry land barley 10 bushels 28 bush	hels
irrigated hay 2.2 tons 2.1 tor	ns

The many springs and wells that went dry during 1977 began producing early in 1978, but the lack of summer precipitation caused them to flow at a greatly reduced rate.

V. COMPACTS AND COURT STIPULATIONS

A. <u>GENERAL</u>

Although the waters of Division Seven fall under the Colorado River, Upper Colorado River and Animas-La Plata Compacts, there was no requirement for administration from Division Seven.

B. SAN JUAN-CHAMA DIVERSION PROJECT

The above-normal snowpack produced some fairly high runoff flows and with the runoff came large amounts of debris. To clear diversion intake rakes, the U.S.B.R. used periodic sluicing procedures that basically dumped the debris back into the river for Colorado water users to contend with. There was a meeting with Bob Weimer of the San Juan-Chama Project, and C. J. Kuiper, State Engineer, on June 8, 1978 to discuss a modified sluicing operation that the U.S.B.R. thought would solve the debris problem. The demonstration on June 9, 1978 on the Blanco River convinced most spectators that the debris problem would not be solved by the modified sluicing operation. The search for a remedy is continuing.

The San Juan-Chama Project diverted 97,300 A.F. during water year 1978, with 44,100 A.F. from the Blanco River, 50,390 A.F. from the Navajo River and 2,810 A.F. from the Little Navajo River. This diversion gave New Mexico the opportunity to realize a portion of the water granted to them by the Upper Colorado River Compact.

C. LA PLATA RIVER COMPACT

Administration of the La Plata River Compact was fairly smooth with only an isolated incident or two of locked headgates that had to be forceably shut down.

The low altitude runoff began March 23, 1978 and New Mexico received water in excess of Compact requirements until May 25, 1978, and in excess of New Mexico requirements until June 18, 1978. From that time the Compact was administered normally until July 27, 1978 when the river dropped below 25.0 c.f.s. at Hesperus and Colorado picked up all the water as the call was considered futile.

A graph showing the monthly summary of the La Plata River Compact is on the following page.

VI. A. DAMS

A new dam construction in the Division included a 100 A.F. capacity reservoir for the town of Dove Creek. This construction is completed and water is being stored. Proposed for construction of a replacement dam on Electra Lake has been submitted to the Plans and Reservoir Branch for review. Construction on this reservoir is planned to begin in the Spring of 1979.

One dam was constructed in excess of ten feet without plans and specifications. It is located on a side tributary of Long Gulch in District 32. Presently, the owners are submitting "as built" plans for review to determine the stability of the structure.

B. LIVESTOCK WATER TANKS

There were eighteen permits issued for livestock water tanks and/or erosion control dams this year. Last year, twenty-four permits were issued.

		LA PLATA	PINE			ENTERPRISE			
HLNOW	HESPERUS STATION	& CHERRY CR. DITCH	RIDGE	HESPERUS TOTAL	STATE LINE STATION	DITCH (N. MEX.)	PIONEER DITCH	STATE LINE TOTAL	1/2 HESPERUS TOTAL
FEBRUARY (1/2 MO.)	112	0	0	112	85	0	Q	91	56
MARCH	402	0	0	402	793	0	72	865	201
APRIL	4,648	0	0	4,648	5,309	0	121	5,430	2,324
МАҮ	9,113	41	154	9,308	5,212	0	142	5,354	4,001 <u>1</u> /
JUNE	10,411	1 , 505	520	12,436	4,520	0	237	4,757	4,682 ^{1/}
JULY	2,198	438	41	2,677	1,170	18	136	1,324	1,338 ^{2/}
AUGUST	762	0	0	762	52	0	10	62	381
SEPTEMBER	378	0	0	378	m	0	0	ς Γ	189
OCTOBER	296	0	72	368	25	0	0	25	184
NOVEMBER	521	0	0	521	235	0	0	235	260
TOTALS	28,841	1,984	787	31,612	17,404	18	724	18,146	13,616

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Adjusted for New Mexico demand under the Compact. 2/ After July 27, call was considered futile.

V. C.1 LA PLATA RIVER COMPACT MONTFLY SUMMARY IN ACPE FERT

VII. WATER RIGHTS

A. TABULATIONS

The 1978 water rights tabulation was published according to law and is available for review by any concerned persons. To date we have had very few inquiries concerning the tabulation, and it is hoped that water users will not wait until the last minute before questioning how a water right is tabulated. We are still finding corrections that need to be made and plan to update it annually.

The additional listings that are available as a result of the tabulation are extremely helpful to the Division staff and we are very pleased that the effort of tabulating the water rights has been put to such excellent use.

B. REFEREE'S FINDINGS AND DECREES

			INVESTIGATED		
		NO. FILED	BY DIVISION VII	REFEREE RULINGS	COURT DECREES
1.	Underground Water Rights	26	26	13	11
2.	Change of Water Rights	24	24	11	10
3.	Plans of Augmentation	2	2	0	0
4.	Surface Water Rights	66	66	44	31
5.	Due Diligence:				
	Quadriennial Findings	35	35	22	26
	Conditional Made Absolute	23	23	6	21
6.	Water Storage Rights	35	85	9	5
	TOTALS	211	211	105	124

VIII. ORGANIZATIONS

A. WATER CONSERVATION AND CONSERVANCY DISTRICTS

NAME	ADDRESS	ATTORNEY	PRESIDENT
La Plata Water Conservation	Box 497, Durango	F. S. Maynes	V. A. Paulek
Dolores Water Conservancy	16 E. Main, Cortez	George Armstrong	Bruce McAfee
Florida Water Conservancy	Box 1157, Durango	L. W. McDaniel	Loyd Hess
Mancos Water Conservancy	Cortez	Guy Dyer	Noland Alexander
Pine River Irrigation Dist.	843 Main, Durango	Robert Duthie	Frank Wommer, Jr.
San Miguel Water Conservancy	Box 497, Durango	F. S. Maynes	George M. Young
Southwest Water Conservation	Box 497, Durango	F. S. Maynes	Fred Kroeger

B. INCORPORATED DITCH COMPANIES

NAME

DISTRICT 29

Echo Ditch Company Park Ditch Company

DISTRICT 30

Animas Ditch Company Animas Consolidated Ditch Co. Florida Canal Company Florida Farmers Ditch Co. Hermosa Ditch Company Pioneer Ditch Company Reid Ditch Company

DISTRICT 31

King Ditch Company Los Pinos Ditch Company Robert Morrison Ditch Company Schroder Irrigation Ditch Company Spring Creek Ditch (Pine River Canal Co. & Spring Cr. Ext.) Sullivan Ditch Company Thompson-Epperson Ditch Co. Vallecito Reservoir (Pine River Irrigation District)

DISTRICT 32

Montezuma Valley Irrigation Co.

DISTRICT 33

Big Stick Ditch Co. Hay Gulch Ditch Co. H.H. Ditch Company Joseph Freed Ditch Co. La Plata River & Cherry Creek Ditch Company Lightner Canal Company Pine Ridge Ditch Company Red Mesa-Ward Reservoir & Ditch Supply Company Reorganized Revival Ditch Co. Slade Ditch Company Townsite Ditch Company Treanor Enterprise Ditch Co.

DISTRICT 34

Bauer Lakes Water Company Ratliff & Root Ditch Company Town of Mancos Ditch Company Webber Ditch Company Webber Reservoir & Ditch Co.

DISTRICT 71

Summit Irrigation System Groundhog Reservoir & Beaver Ditch System Montezuma Valley Irrigation Dist.

DISTRICT 78

Piedra Falls Ditch Company

OFFICER

William Jackson, Pres. Hood Formwalt, Pres.

R. V. Bonds Lois Hood, Sec. T. G. Eggleston Hazel Brown Ann Myers, Sec. Roy Annala Althea Knowlton, Sec. Animas Valley Ditch

John Olbert, Sec. Mrs. Frank Ludwig, Sec. Rex Richmond, Sec. Jim Sitton, Pres. Carl Rainwater, Sec. David Sullivan, Sec. Ruby Bowers, Sec. Earl Canby, Sec.

Steve Newman, Sup't.

Les Nunn, Sup't.

Grant Paulek Lawrence Huntington Bob Willis Nancy Price

Georgia Patcheck V. A. Paulek Colo. Div. of Wildlife

Nancy Price Lila Greer Judy Albrecht Judy Albrecht Ruth Candelaria

Leroy Everett Lloyd Doerfer Geraldine Wallace Lloyd Doerfer Foster Hall

Eddie McRea

Les Nunn, Sup't. Les Nunn, Sup't.

Raymond McWhiter, Mgr.

ADDRESS

Pagosa Springs, Colorado Pagosa Springs, Colorado

Rt. 2, Box B61, Durango, CO 32446 Hiway 550, Durango, CO 135 Riverview Dr., Durango 505 C.R. 234, Durango, CO C.R. 203, Durango, CO 122 C.R. 510, Durango, CO

4315 C.R. 250, Durango, CO

1728 C.R. 501, Ignacio, CO Box 245, Bayfield, CO 399 C.R. 315, Ignacio, CO 40644 Hiway 160, Bayfield, CO Rt. 2, Ignacio, CO Rt. 2, Ignacio, CO 520 C.R. 505, Ignacio, CO 38717 U.S. Hiway 160, Bayfield

277 Vallecito Rd., Bayfield

Cortez, Colorado

Hesperus, Colorado Hesperus, Colorado Hesperus, Colorado Hesperus, Colorado

Mancos, Colorado Hesperus, Colorado Durango, Colorado

Hesperus, Colorado Hesperus, Colorado Hesperus, Colorado Marvel, Colorado

Mancos, Colorado Mancos, Colorado Mancos, Colorado Mancos, Colorado

Dolores, Colorado

Cortez, Colorado Cortez, Colorado

Pagosa Springs, Colorado

WATER DISTRICT 29

DIRECT DIVERSIONS:	ACRE F	EET
IRRIGATION	61,8	360
STORAGE		94
STOCKWATER	7.8	345
MUNICIPAL		249
DOMESTIC	C	142
INDUSTRIAL		
RECREATIONAL		
FISH		386
OTHER: Geothermal		<u>99</u>
TRANSMOUNTAIN - TRANSBASIN	2,3	155
INTERSTATE	_44,0	132_
	TOTAL DIVERSIONS 124.9	∂ 62
DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE:		
IRRIGATION		0
DOMESTIC		0
MUNICIPAL		0
INDUSTRIAL		0
RECREATIONAL		0
TRANSBASIN - TRANSMOUNTAIN		49
OTHER:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
		40
		49
DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN:		
τροταντίον		0
STUDY CE		
MUNICIPAL		
MONICITAL		
· .	TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN	0
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
DUTY OF WATER:		
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION (Direct Divers	sion) <u>61,8</u>	360
ACRES IRRIGATED	17,0)29
ACRE FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	3	3.6
NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED:		
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS - DAILY	1	60
	- -	<u> </u>

INFREQUENT INACTIVE DIVERSIONS - NO WATER AVAILABLE NOT USED	<u> </u>
TOTAL	370
NUMBER OF DITCHES	289_
NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS	52
NUMBER OF WELLS	29

TOTAL NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS

6,216

WATER DISTRICT 30

,		· · ·	
DIRECT	DIVERSIONS:		ACRE FEET
	IRRIGATION	•	126,009
	STORAGE		12,373
	STOCKWATER		9,567
	MUNICIPAL		5,536
	DOMESTIC		_2,571
	INDUSTRIAL		19,642
	RECREATIONAL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	226
	FISH		7,715
	OTHER:		0_
	TRANSMOUNTAIN - TRANSBASIN		152
	INTERSTATE		8,364
		TOTAL DIVERSIONS	192,600
		•	·
DELIVE	RIES FROM STORAGE:		

IRRIGATION	29,880
DOMESTIC	
MUNICIPAL	0_
INDUSTRIAL	14,539
RECREATIONAL	0_
TRANSBASIN - TRANSMOUNTAIN	0
OTHER:	0_
TOTAL FROM STORAGE	44,419
DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN:	
IRRIGATION	0_
STORAGE	0
MUNICIPAL	0
TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN	0_
DUTY OF WATER:	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION (Direct and Storage)	155,889
ACRES IRRIGATED	39,433
ACRE FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	4.0

NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED:

ACTIVE DIVERSIONS - DAILY	235
INFREQUENT	345
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS - NO WATER AVAILABLE	17
NOT USED	186
TOTAL	783
NUMBER OF DITCHES	488
NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS	55
NUMBER OF WELLS	240

TOTAL NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS _____9,365

, ageneral a constant

WATER DISTRICT 31

DIRECT DIVERSIONS:		ACRE FEET
IRRIGATION		130,043
STORAGE		104,937
STOCKWATER		2,663
MUNICIPAL		463
DOMESTIC		86
INDUSTRIAL		5
RECREATIONAL		0
FISH		1,255
OTHER: Commercial		16
TRANSMOUNTAIN - TRANSBASIN		1.463
INTERSTATE		0
	TOTAL DIVERSIONS	240,931
DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE:		

IRRIGATION			90,133
DOMESTIC	•		30
MUNICIPAL			222
INDUSTRIAL			0
RECREATIONAL			0
TRANSBASIN - TRANSMOUNTAIN			0
OTHER: Commercial			12
	TOTAL FROM STORAGE	21	90,397

DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN:

IRF STC MUN	IGATION RAGE ICIPAL			 0 0
		TOTAL FROM	TRANSBASIN	

DUTY OF WATER:

TOTAL TO IRRIGATION (Direct and Storage)	220,176
ACRES IRRIGATED	<u>56,033</u>
ACRE FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	3.9

NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED:

ACTIVE DIVERSIONS - D. I INACTIVE DIVERSIONS -	AILY NFREQUENT NO WATER AVAILABLE NOT USED	<u>108</u> <u>170</u> 0 75
	TOTAL	353
NUMBER OF DITCHES NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS NUMBER OF WELLS	· · ·	247 12 94

TOTAL NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS

17,192

-19-

WATER DISTRICT 32

DIRECT DIVERSIONS:	ACRE FEET
IRRIGATION STORAGE STOCKWATER MUNICIPAL DOMESTIC INDUSTRIAL	
RECREATIONAL FISH OTHER: Commercial TRANSMOUNTAIN ~ TRANSBASIN	
INTERSTATE	0
TOTAL DIVERSIONS	
DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE:	
IRRIGATION DOMESTIC MUNICIPAL INDUSTRIAL RECREATIONAL TRANSBASIN - TRANSMOUNTAIN OTHER: Stock	23,042 0 0 0 0 0 0 79
TOTAL FROM STORAGE	23,121
DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN:	
IRRIGATION STORAGE MUNICIPAL	96,019 12,235 2,998
TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN	111,252
DUTY OF WATER:	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION (Direct and Storage and Transbasin) ACRES IRRIGATED (35,000 M.V.I. & 5,000 Summit + 8,640) ACRE FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	155,461 48,640 3,2
NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED:	
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS - DAILY	127
INFREQUENT INACTIVE DIVERSIONS - NO WATER AVAILABLE NOT USED	<u> </u>
TOTAL	256
NUMBER OF DITCHES NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS NUMBER OF WELLS	<u> 224</u> <u> 12</u> <u> 20</u>
TOTAL NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	9,230

-20-



DIRECT	DIVERSIONS:		ACRE FEET
	IRRIGATION	-	26,464
	STORAGE		1,103
	STOCKWATER		2,376
	MUNICIPAL		10
	DOMESTIC		22
	INDUSTRIAL		0
	RECREATIONAL		0
	FISH		0
	OTHER: Commercial		5
	TRANSMOUNTAIN - TRANSBASIN		
	INTERSTATE		758
		TOTAL DIVERSIONS	30,738

DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE:

IRRIGATION			956
DOMESTIC			0
MUNICIPAL			0
INDUSTRIAL			0
RECREATIONAL			0
TRANSBASIN - TRANSMOUNTAIN	1		0
OTHER: Stock			31
	TOTAL FROM STORAGE	ç.	987

DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN:

IRRIGATION STORAGE MUNICIPAL			0 0 0

TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN

0

DUTY OF WATER:

TOTAL TO IRRIGATION	(Direct and Storage)	_27,451
ACRES IRRIGATED		13,621
ACRE FEET DIVERTED P	ER ACRE	2.0

NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED:

ACTIVE DIVERSIONS -	DAILY INFREQUENT - NO WATER NOT USED	AVAILABLE		78 32 0 48
		TOTAL		158_
NUMBER OF DITCHES NUMBER OF RESERVOIR NUMBER OF WELLS	S			<u> 128 8 </u>
		TOTAL NUME	ER OF OBSERVATIONS	5.785

WATER DISTRICT 34

		• ·	
DIRECT DIVE	RSIONS:		ACRE FEET
	IRRIGATION STORAGE STOCKWATER MUNICIPAL DOMESTIC INDUSTRIAL		27,368 8,784 3,028 840 85 0
	RECREATIONAL FISH OTHER: TRANSMOUNTAIN ~ TRANSBASIN		
	INTERSTATE		40 572
		IOTAL DIVERSIONS	40,573
DELIVERIES	FROM STORAGE:		
	IRRIGATION		8,248
	MUNICIPAL INDUSTRIAL RECREATIONAL		<u> </u>
	TRANSBASIN - TRANSMOUNTAIN OTHER: Stock		0 0 447
		TOTAL FROM STORAGE	8,857
NET TUPDIES	FDOM TRANSBASIN.		
	IRRIGATION STORAGE MUNICIPAL		Q
		TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN	0
DUTY OF WAT	ER:		
	TOTAL TO IRRIGATION (Direct and S ACRES IRRIGATED ACRE FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	torage)	35,616 15,990 2.2
NUMBER OF S	TRUCTURES OBSERVED:		
	ACTIVE DIVERSIONS - DAILY		5821
	INACTIVE DIVERSIONS - NO WATER AV NOT USED	AILABLE	0 36
		TOTAL	115
	NUMBER OF DITCHES NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS NUMBER OF WELLS		96 11 8
		TOTAL NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	1,430

-22

WATER DISTRICT 46

DIRECT DIVE	RSIONS:				AC	RE FEET
	IRRIGATION STORAGE STOCKWATER			•		<u>6,085</u> <u>0</u> <u>0</u>
	DOMESTIC INDUSTRIAL					0
·	RECREATIONAL FISH					<u>610</u>
	TRANSMOUNTAIN - TRANSBAS INTERSTATE	SIN				0 0
· .		. 1	TOTAL DIV	RSIONS		6,695
DELIVERIES :	FROM STORAGE:					
	IRRIGATION DOMESTIC					0
	MUNICIPAL INDUSTRIAL RECREATIONAL					0
	TRANSBASIN - TRANSMOUNTA OTHER:	AIN				<u>0</u> 0
		1	TOTAL FRO	1 STORAGE	*	0
DELIVERIES	FROM TRANSBASIN:					
	IRRIGATION STORAGE				, .	0
	MUNICIPAL	ŋ	TOTAL FROM	1 TRANSBASIN		0
						<u></u>
DUTY OF WAT	ER:					
	TOTAL TO IRRIGATION ACRES IRRIGATED ACRE FEET DIVERTED PER 3	ACRE				6,085 2,131 2.9
NUMBER OF S	TRUCTURES OBSERVED:	. ,				
	ACTIVE DIVERSIONS - DAI INF	LY REQUENT		. · ·		31
	INACTIVE DIVERSIONS - N N	O WATER AVA: OT USED	ILABLE			3
		2	TOTAL			34
	NUMBER OF DITCHES NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS NUMBER OF WELLS					<u>34</u> 0 0
			TOTAL NUM	BER OF OBSER	VATIONS	1,561

-23-

WATER DISTRICT 69

DIRECT DIVERSIONS:	ACRE FEET
IRRIGATION STORAGE	3,571
MUNICIPAL DOMESTIC	.5
INDUSTRIAL RECREATIONAL FISH	0 0
OTHER: TRANSMOUNTAIN - TRANSBASIN INTERSTATE	0
TOTAL DIVERSIONS	4,010
DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE:	
IRRIGATION DOMESTIC	0
MUNICIPAL INDUSTRIAL RECREATIONAL	
TRANSBASIN - TRANSMOUNTAIN OTHER:	0 0
TOTAL FROM STORAGE	0
DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN:	
IRRIGATION STORAGE	<u>190</u> 0
MUNICIPAL	0
TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN	190
DUTY OF WATER:	
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION (Direct and Storage) ACRES IRRIGATED	3,761 1,459
ACRE FEET DIVERTED FER ACRE	2.0
NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED:	
ACTIVE DIVERSIONS - DAILY	23
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS - NO WATER AVAILABLE NOT USED	$\frac{1}{0}$
TOTAL	37
NUMBER OF DITCHES NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS NUMBER OF WELLS	<u>31</u> 5
TOTAL NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	368



WATER DISTRICT 71

DIRECT DIVER	RSIONS:		ACRE FEET
	IRRIGATION STORAGE STOCKWATER MUNICIPAL DOMESTIC INDUSTRIAL RECREATIONAL FISH OTHER: TRANSMOUNTAIN - TRANSBASIN INTERSTATE	TOTAL DIVERSIONS	$ \begin{array}{r} 7,833\\ 26,713\\ 106\\ 2\\ 219\\ 112\\ 0\\ 1,160\\ 616\\ 97,484\\ 0\\ 134,245\\ \end{array} $
DELIVERIES H	FROM STORAGE:		
	IRRIGATION DOMESTIC MUNICIPAL INDUSTRIAL RECREATIONAL TRANSBASIN - TRANSMOUNTAIN OTHER: Stock		$ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 13,489 \\ 327 \\ \end{array} $
		TOTAL FROM STORAGE	13,816
DELIVERIES I	FROM TRANSBASIN:		<u>← ====;;;;,,,,,,</u>
	IRRIGATION STORAGE MUNICIPAL		0 0 0
		TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN	0
DUTY OF WAT	ER:		
	TOTAL TO IRRIGATION ACRES IRRIGATED ACRE FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE		7,833 2,648 3.0
NUMBER OF S'	TRUCTURES OBSERVED:		
	ACTIVE DIVERSIONS - DAILY INFREQUENT		<u> </u>
	INACTIVE DIVERSIONS - NO WATER A NOT USED	AVAILABLE	0 59
		TOTAL	194
	NUMBER OF DITCHES NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS NUMBER OF WELLS		133 17 44
		TOTAL NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	2,904



DIRECT DIVERSIONS:	ACRE FEET
IRRIGATION	20,295
STORAGE	441
STOCKWATER	128
MUNICIPAL	0
DOMESTIC	53
INDUSTRIAL	0
RECREATIONAL	0
FISH	4,441
OTHER: Commercial	2
TRANSMOUNTAIN - TRANSBASIN	102
INTERSTATE	53,101
TOTAL DIVERSIONS	

DELIVERIES FROM STORAGE:

	IRRIGATION		33(
	DOMESTIC		
,	MUNICIPAL		
	INDUSTRIAL		(
	RECREATIONAL		
	TRANSBASIN - TRANSMOUNTAIN		
	OTHER:		
		TOTAL FROM STORAGE	330

DELIVERIES FROM TRANSBASIN:

	0
IRRIGATION	
STORAGE	200
MUNICIPAL	0

TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN

DUTY OF WATER:

TOTAL TO IRRIGATION (Direct and Storage)	20,625
ACRES IRRIGATED	3,784
ACRE FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE	5.4

NUMBER OF STRUCTURES OBSERVED:

ACTIVE DIVERSIONS - DAILY	61
INFREQUENT	37
INACTIVE DIVERSIONS - NO WATER AVAILABLE	5
NOT USED	24
TOTAL	127
NUMBER OF DITCHES	98
NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS	15
NUMBER OF WELLS	14

TOTAL NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS

2,120

Lange and the second

200

WATER DISTRICT 78

DIRFOR DIVER	STONS .		ACRE FEET
	IRRIGATION STORAGE STOCKWATER MUNICIPAL DOMESTIC INDUSTRIAL RECREATIONAL FISH OTHER: Commercial TRANSMOUNTAIN - TRANSBASIN INTERSTATE	TOTAL DIVERSIONS	$ \begin{array}{r} 30,233 \\ 0 \\ 165 \\ 1,769 \\ 54 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 129 \\ 448 \\ 92 \\ 0 \\ 32,890 \\ \end{array} $
DELIVERIES F	ROM STORAGE:		
	IRRIGATION DOMESTIC MUNICIPAL INDUSTRIAL RECREATIONAL TRANSBASIN - TRANSMOUNTAIN OTHER: Commercial		116 0 0 0 0 0 190
		TOTAL FROM STORAGE	306
DELIVERIES H	FROM TRANSBASIN:		
	IRRIGATION STORAGE MUNICIPAL	TOTAL FROM TRANSBASIN	
DUTY OF WATH	ER:		
	TOTAL TO IRRIGATION ACRES IRRIGATED ACRE FEET DIVERTED PER ACRE		30,349 10,324 2.9
NUMBER OF ST	TRUCTURES OBSERVED:		
	ACTIVE DIVERSIONS - DAILY INFREQUENT INACTIVE DIVERSIONS - NO WATER NOT USED	AVAILABLE	81 43 16 55
		TOTAL	195
	NUMBER OF DITCHES NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS NUMBER OF WELLS		154 29 12
•		TOTAL NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	3,003

-27-

SUMMARY
ER'S
ENGINE
NOISIVI
A. D
×

DIRECT FLOW DIVERSIONS TOTAL AMOUNTS IN ACRE FEET USED

	OTHER	1	ł	ł	ł	ł	468	!	ł	616	ł	ł	1,084
	COMPACT	44,032 ^{4/}	8,364 ^{5/}	0	ł	758 ^{6/}	ł	 	ł	1	53,101 <u>9/</u>	1. 1	106,255
TRANS.	BASIN	2,293	1	ŀ	1	 	ł	ł	ł	97,484 ^{7/}	102	•	99,879
TRANS.	.NTM	306	152	1,463		, L T	1	ł	 	1	1	92	2,013
GEO	THERMAL	2,599	ł		ł	ł	ł	ł	ł	ł	!	!	2,599
	COMM.	1.	ł	16	4	ъ		ł	ł	ł	2	448	475
	FISH	2,886	7,715	1,255	!	ł	ļ	ł	ł	1,160	4,441	129	17,586
	REC.	!	226	ł	ł	. 1	ł	610	 	ł	ł	ł	836
	IND.	ł	19,642 ^{8/}	5	1	ł	1	1	1 1	112	ļ	ł	19,760
	DOM.	942	2,571	86	12	22	85	ł	.5	219	53	54	4,044
	MUN.	2,249	5,536	463		10	840	ł	ł	2		1,769	10,869
	STOCK	7,845	9,567	2,663	99	2,376	3,028	ł		106	128	165	25,944
	A.F./ACRE	3.6	4.0	3.9	3.2	2.0	2.2	2.9	2.6	3.0	5.4	2.9	3.2 (Ave.)
ACRES	IRR.	17,029	39,433	56,033	48,640	13,621	15,990	2,131	1,459	2,648	3,784	10,324	211,092
ŗ	IRR	61,860	155,889	220,176	155,461	27,451	35,616	6,085	3,761	7,833	20,625	30,349	725,106
	M.D.	29	30	31	32	33	34	46	69	71	77	78	TOTAL

 $\underline{1}$ Includes water delivered directly plus storage and/or transbasin.

-28-

 $\underline{2}$ / Diverted out of Division 7.

 $\underline{3}$ / Diverted between water districts but remained in Division 7.

 $\underline{4}$ Delivered to New Mexico thru Blanco Tunnel and out of Colorado River Basin.

 $\overline{5}/$ Water diverted in Colorado but used in New Mexico.

6/ Diverted to New Mexico through Colorado ditches.

7/ Used in District 32 under Montezuma Valley Irrigation and Summit Systems.

<u>7</u>/ Used in District 32 under Mol <u>8</u>/ Includes water from storage. <u>9</u>/ Delivered to New Mexico from

Delivered to New Mexico from Navajo and Little Navajo diversion tunnels.

STORAGE IN ACRE FEET

X. B. DIVISION ENGINEER'S SUMMARY

	S	TORAGE				NET							
	BEGINNING		END	INCREASE ^{L/}	DECREASE	CHANGE		DEL	IVERE	DFRC	T S W O	ORAGE	2/
L M	OF SFACON	MIMIXEM	OF CFACON	DURING	DURING	FOR CEACON	TDD	MOG	METNI	TNT		えいつきし	TRANSBASIN/
	NOCUTO	MOLIT WOL	NOCUTO	NOCUTO	NDCATC	NOCHEC	·WNT	- MOO	- NOLI	· UNT	- MIMO	VIOTO	THEINOCHENNENT
29	2,522	2,786	2,495	264	291	-27	0	0	0	0	0	0	49
30	11,619	50,404	21,290	38,785	29,114	+9,671	29,880	0		14,539	0	0	0
31	13,404	117,980	26,555	104,576	91,425	+13,151	90,133	30	222	0	12	0	0
32	11,095	25,013	6,470	13,918	18,543	-4,625	23,042	0	0	0	0	79	0
33.	339	1,262	339	923	923	0	956	0	0	O	0	31	0
34	4,541	12,346	3,188	7,805	9,158	-1,353	8,248	0	157	.u	0	447	0
69	423	734	381	311	353	-42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
71	7,412	27,392	9,997	19,980	17,395	+2,585	0	0	0	0	0	327	13,489
77	0	456	0	456	456	0	330	0	0	0	0	0	0
78	13,396	14,902	14,062	1,506	840	+666	116	0	0	0	190	0	0
TOTALS	64,751	240,929	84,777	188,524	168,498	20,026	152,705	30	379	14,544	202	884	13,538
<u>1</u> / Deci	ease in sto	orage will nc	t equal tot	tal deliveri	es from sto.	rage becaus	e of loss of	storage	during w	inter seas	on.		

Amount delivered from storage is based on diversion records and does not include evaporation and minor losses.

5

-29

X. C. DIVISION ENGINEER'S SUMMARY

WORKLOAD AND STATISTICAL INDICATORS

	(TOTAL	DITCHES	REPORT	ED)					
W.D.	A C T DAILY	I V E INFREQUENT	I N A C 7 NA	T I V E NU	NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	NUMBER OF WELLS	NUMBER OF RESERVOIRS	NUMBER OF DITCHES	TOTAL NUMBER OF STRUCTURES
			 	1					
29	160	63	7	140	6,216	29	52	289	370
30	235	345	17	186	9,365	240	55	488	783
31	108	170	0	75	17,192	94	12	247	353
32	127	6 E	14	76	9,230	20	12	224	256
33	78	32	0	48	5,785	22	œ	128	158
34	58	21	0	36	1,430	ω	11	96	115
46	31	O .	0	m L	1,561	0	0	34	34
69	23	г .	0	13	368	T	ß	31	37
71	60	75	0	59	2,904	44	17	133	194
77	61	37	Ŋ	24	2,120	14	15	98	127
78	81	43	16	55	3,003	12	29	154	. 195
TOTALS	1,022	826	59	715	59,174	484	216	1,922	2,622
	NA - No Wa	iter Available	NU - Non	Use					

-30-

SUMMARY
7 ANNUAL
NOISIVID
О
х.

					Trans-State	Export							106,255	
				AOUNTAIN	to Div.	Import							0	
				TRANS-N	Div.	Export							2,013	
				CURRENT YEAR	Acres	Irrigated							211,092	
		1978 Annual Summary – Divisions	1-77 thru 10-31-78)		Storage To	Irrigation							152,705	
	1978			RIGATION	Diversions	To Storage							188,524	
			ACRE FEET (11-	IRI	Direct Diversions	To Irrigation							572,180	
					Ditch Structures	Reported #							1,922	
					Non-Exempt	Wells #							484	-
•						Divisions	1	2	ŝ	-J.	5	9	7	TOTAL
·														

	# Water Court Applications			a na managan in an ing na mangan ing na m				211					
	≠ Decrees Applications							104					
ACTUAL STORAGE	For Year All Reservoirs							240,929					•
RECREATION	Storage-Wild life Parks							10,084					
STRUAL	sions Drage Hydro-Power			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-		52 34,304					
NDN	rect Divers							,60 11 , 35					
	iorage Diver							379 19,7					
L' CIPAL	Diversions S To Storage Re							1,368					
	Diversions							10,869					
	Divisions]	2	3	4	S	9	7	TOTAL			L	
	-31-												

• ‡

XI. RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Since my assuming responsibilities as Division Engineer in July, I have been very pleased with the cooperation and attitude of the staff. They have worked with me and tried very hard to accomplish any task that was requested of them. For this I am grateful and very appreciative.

We have just completed a reorganization of the Division well file. Presently we have a current location and alphabetical file for well permits. This system in conjunction with the printouts provided by the data bank, enables us to answer efficiently and quickly, many questions from the public concerning wells. The system consists of a looseleaf binder of all permits by location, and a computerized alphabetical list by applicant's name. The file is kept current weekly which makes it very helpful.

Work is starting on two additional systems; one is the Decree index and the other is the alphabetical organization of water court applications. Historically, Decrees have been kept in books with only an index for each proceeding. This system will be kept, but also an alphabetical list on a district-wide basis is being compiled. This will be helpful in checking the water rights tabulation and diversion structures for the data bank.

The alphabetical listing that is being prepared for the water court cases will be kept by applicant's name. Presently, there is no way to locate in the Wcase file an application that has not been decreed. This system is nothing more than a card file, of which the name of the applicant, W-case number, and structure is noted. It is hoped that when this is completed we will have a system that, when coordinated with the data bank tabulation, will provide an up-to-date index of all water court cases filed.

Presently the most pressing need in the Division is for additional staff which would be used in regard to groundwater and subdivision applications. The area is undergoing considerable growth and it seems that everyone wants a well permit, and/or to develop a subdivision. There are over forty plans of augmentation, or transfers, involving subdivisions. To handle the additional workload which development has created, a position on the staff is needed. This should be a full time position and the employee would need a sufficient technical knowledge of water to perform adequately.

With regard to future water development in the Division, it appears that more could be accomplished if the State of Colorado provided the means of financing small projects. Colorado should be looking for ways in which to provide funds through bonding, taxes, or other sources for conservancy districts, which could be used for construction of water facilities. It has become increasingly difficult to obtain financing through the federal government, and the requirements that are imposed often are very time consuming, hence delay in the projects and increased cost.

A few of the water districts have very good records as to the acres irrigated and crops produced, but the majority do not. It would be advantageous for aerial photos to be used to determine the actual acres irrigated. This may be expensive since we have not been able to obtain obsolete photos from other agencies. The work could be performed during the winter months and should require no additional staff, and a few districts each year could be done so that the initial cost would not be substantial.

-32-