CONTENTS

DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

DIVISION NO. 6

1974 ANNUAL REPORT

I.	Introductory Statement	1
II.	Personnel	3
III.	Water Supply A. Forecast B. Precipitation C. Flooding D. Water Budget E. Ground Water F. Transmountain (Transbasin) G. Reservoir Storage	4 4 4 5 6 6 7
IV.	Agriculture	13
٧.	Compacts	14
VI.	Dams A. Reservoir Projects B. Stock Dams	
VII.	Water Rights A. Tabulation B. Referees Findings and Decrees	
III.	Organizations A. Conservancy Districts B. Ditch Companies and Water and Sanitation Districts	
IX.	Water Commissioner's Summary	18
х.	Division Engineer's Summary A. Direct Flow Diversions B. Storage Report C. Administered Water Right	22
XI.	Recommendations and Suggestions Coal	25

DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES DIVISION NO. 6

1974 ANNUAL REPORT

I. Introductory Statement

The Yampa, North Platte, Green, Little Snake and White River drainage basins comprise Division No. 6 which includes the major portion of the Northwestern corner of Colorado. Elevations range from 14,000 feet in the Eastern portion to around 5,000 feet in the West including rugged mountains, irrigated valleys, farmed mesas, desert ranges and the beautiful canyon country of the Yampa and Green River. The annual precipitation varies from seven inches annually in the western winter ranges to over 40 inches in the high mountains with about 20 inches in the crop producing portions of the Division. The bulk of precipitation is in the form of snow during the winter months with some areas having summer precipitation enough to support small grains and some dry land hay.

Primarily the irrigation is on mountain meadows producing hay and irrigated pasture. This acreage is approximately as follows for various drainages: Yampa River - 100,000 acres, White River - 37,000 acres and 120,000 acres for the North Platte drainage. Dry farming in the North Platte drainage is practically nonexistent due to the short growing season and a minimum elevation of 8,000 feet. The dry crop acreage in the Yampa basin is approximately 131,000 acres and the White River

drainage has approximately 17,000 acres. Dry land crops consist of wheat, oats and barley. The land is generally summer fallowed which for the most part means only 50 per cent of the land is in production annually.

The population in Division No. 6 is sparse with most of the population being in Craig, Steamboat Springs and Meeker. As a result of the national energy crisis, the cities of Craig and Meeker are presently showing the most rapid growth. Several coal mines are being opened in the Craig area and construction has started on a new fossil fuel power plant. Meeker is located near the two tracts of land that were recently leased from the Federal Government for oil shale development which is resulting in population growth in that area. Steamboat Springs has stabilized to some degree and is not at present experiencing the phenomenal growth that it has had in the past.

The major industry in the Division is still agriculture, mainly livestock production. However, the area is rich in coal and oil deposits which are contributing to the growth of the economy. Recreational development is still very essential to the Divisions economic stability and at the present is still one of the major sources of income.

Development of subdivisions during the past year has been on the decrease as a result of the national economic situation. There are plans for new subdivisions in the Craig area which will provide the necessary dwelling for the influx of people expected during the construction of the new power generating plant.

II. <u>Personnel</u>

Α.

NAME	POSITION	DISTRICT	FY 73 MONT WORKED E	THS	FY 73-74 MILEAGE
					
Wesley E. Signs	Division Engineer		Full	Time	\$297.84
Daries C. Lile	Asst. Division Engineer		Full	Time	\$437.52
W. Kent Holt	Hydrographer		Full	Time	\$109.56
Linda L. Fox	Secretary		Full	Time	None
Roy D. Steffen	1042 Water Commissioner		Fu11	Time	\$344.52
William Murray	Deputy Water Commissione	er 43	4	4	\$1047.00
Joe E. Brown	Deputy Water Commissions	er 43	2	1	\$540.46
Clarence Johnson	Water Commissioner 1	43	Full	Time	None
Ben E. Cordle	Water Commissioner 1	44	7 1/2	8	\$1751.52
Neil Black	Water Commissioner 1	47	Full	Time	\$666.48
Samuel Ray	Deputy Water Commission	er 47	0	3	None
Donald C. Gilroy	Water Commissioner 1	54	6	6	\$705.96
Jack Leonard	Water Commissioner 1	55-56	3 1/4	6	\$668.76
	•				
James E. Sellers	Water Commissioner 1	57	8 1/2	7	\$1194.24
Charles Gregory	Water Commissioner 1	58	8 1/2	8	\$928.08
Billy Milner	Water Commissioner 1	58	7	8	\$427.08
Eric H. Wagner	Water Commissioner 1	58	5	3	\$365.04
R. Wayne Light*	Water Commissioner 1	58	1	1	None

^{*} Contract Agreement for Professional Services

III. Water Supply

A. Heavy winter snows in most of Division 6 accounted for average to heavy spring runoffs. The area from Steamboat Springs to Craig along the Yampa and the Little Snake drainage experienced near record to record peak flows. These flood peaks were considerably earlier than normal due to warm weather in conjunction with high snow packs at the lower elevations. Flood damage in some regions was moderately extensive. The Corp of Engineers was called upon to help two of the areas water and sewer plants threatened by high water and river bank erosion.

Summer and fall unlike the early part of the year were drier than normal with several areas having little or no precipitation reported for many weeks. Many near record low flows were reported during these periods. The runoff predications and corresponding actual flows expressed as per cent of average are as follows:

	May 1 Forecast For AprSept.	Actual Flow 1974 Water Year
Yampa River at Steamboat	142 %	131 %
Yampa River at Maybell	132 %	
White River near Meeker	119 %	
Little Snake at Lily	154 %	

Accurate forecasting for the 1975 water year is impossible at this time. However, the fall precipitation and soil moisture figures as of December I are both down indicating a possible low water year unless the snowpack increases at a greater than normal rate during the winter months.

III. Water Supply

D. Water Budget - Water Year 1973

DRAINAGE BASINS

	Yampa Riv. at Maybell	Little Snake Riv. at Lily Park	White Riv. near Watson, Utah	North Platte Riv. at Northgate
Drainage Area Sq. Mi.	3,400	3,700	4,000	1,400
Estimated Irrigated Acres	90,000	12,000	37,000	117,000
Irrigation Diversions A.F.	270,000	39,000	280,000	810,000
Municipal Diversions A.F.	11,430	0	8,480	0
Industrial Diversions A.F.	5,270	0	7,590	0
Transmountain Diversions A.F.	2,780	0	0	2,350
Estimated Irrig (1) Depletion A.F.	67,500	9,750	70,000	162,000
Estimated Munc. Depletion A.F.	1,000	0	500	0
Estimated Ind. Depletion A.F.	2,000	0	7,000	0
Change in Res. Storage A.F.	+1,092	+342	+418	-2,198
Surface Outflow A.F.	1,232,000	519,000	566,000	406,000
Basin Yield A.F	. 1,305,000	550,000 (2)	643,000	568,000
Basin Yield AF/SQ. Mile	384	149	161	406

Notes: 1. Estimated depletion figures on 25% consumptive use for all drainages except North Platte which is figured on 20%.

2. Basin yield for Little Snake estimated due to substantial amount of drainage being in Wyoming.

E. Ground Water - The drilling of wells for domestic purposes has slowed considerably from previous years due to enforcement of subdivision regulations and general economic conditions. Coal, oil, and gas exploration is steadily increasing with many of the core holes being cased and used for water wells. This has caused considerable confusion as connected to the ground water regulation particularly as to the definition of test wells and well permits.

Some very good information has been learned about ground water supplies through this energy exploration. Some good artesian wells with apparently good quality water are showing up in the Hayden and Craig areas out of the sandstone formations. Improper casing and plugging of test holes could, however, contaminate these aquifers with poorer quality water from adjoining strata.

About 100 wells were drilled during the year of 1974. Only two of these were for irrigation purposes. The **remainder** for domestic purposes.

F.	Transmountain Diversion (Transbasin)	Acre Feet
	Stillwater Ditch	581
	Sarvis Ditch	0
	Rich Ditch (Transbasin)	1,916
	Morgan Creek Feeder (Transbasin)	122
	Dome Creek Ditch	170
	Sarvis Ditch	. 0
	Four Counties Ditch	0
	Michigan Ditch	1,790
	Cameron Pass Ditch	296

1973 1974 1 RCE NOV. 1 MAY 1 OCT		ek 6,000. 7,657.86 7,657.0 ek 400. 361.16 291.33 16.06 16.06 16.06 47.0 47.0 47.0 3.5 31.8 11.0 4.41 4.41 61.9 61.9 61.9 Dry Dry Dry Dry Creek 6.66 6.66 6.66 6.66 2.12 2.12 2.12 10.23 10.23 Reek 29.8 77.8 48. Eek Dry	10.1 20.1 0 135.8 113.0 191.48 10 46.2 46.2 1 67.7 67.7 67.7 6 0 1,408.0 32 200.0 240.0 16 112.0 112.9 4 0 52.68 0 52.68 137.0 137.0 133.9
NAME OF RESERVOIR SOURCE	District No. 43	Beaver Lake Reservoir Big Beaver Creek Reservoir Big Lick Reservoir Cabin Lake Reservoir Gregor Reservoir Lady Lake Reservoir Lanson Reservoir McHatton Reservoir Procter Reservoir Coal Creek Seventh Lake Reservoir Coal Creek Seventh Lake Reservoir Mest Miller Reservoir Seventh Reservoir Seventh Reservoir Mest Miller Reservoir Mest Stewart Reservoir Mest Stewart Creek Mest Stewart Creek Milson Reservoir Black Gulch Reservoir Black Gulch	Cotto Biski Bunke Morap Morap Hulle Long oir Millo McLey Clear

ACRE FEET

III. Water Supply
G. Reservoir Storage

1974 11.23 227.0 605.0 0 33. 20. 196. 0 1,012. 757. 0 351. 39.3 39.0 68.0 95.7 36.0 56. 8.0 147. 10.4 298. OCT. 550. 445.1 757. 24.4 351. 39.3 116.5 275. 70.0 98. 47.2 448. 11.23 227.0 605.0 26.0 106. 81. 39.0 68.0 1974 MAY 1 1973 227.0 605.0 0 22. 81. 39.0 68.0 80.9 668. 574. 351. 39.3 56.7 126. 56. 56. 72. 72. 256. 38. NOV. Off Stream (Michigan River) Stream (Michigan River) Seepage T. Michigan River I. No. Fk. North Platte Fortification Creek .ittle Willow Creek Good Springs Creek SOURCE . Beaver Creek Illinois River Illinois River **Tlinois River Suffalo Creek Buffalo Creek Morapos Creek Suffalo Creek** Willow Creek Maddle Creek Beaver Creek Corral Gulch Willow Creek **Seaver Creek** Poose Creek **Burns Draw** Off Stream Off Stream Off Stream ake Creek 3ig Creek J#C Sellers Crowell Reservoir Maddle Creek Reservoir Poose Creek Reservoir Ralph White Reservoir Butte - South Delaney l Reservoir NAME OF RESERVOIR Case No. 2 Reservoir Case No. 3 Reservoir Butte - East Delaney 4qua Fria Reservoir **Soettcher Reservoir** isher Lake & Pump Sennett Reservoir **Buffalo Reservoir** Clayton Reservoir 4ddison Reservoir Pitney Reservoir Shafer Reservoir **Brands Reservoir** Wilson Reservoir **Burns Reservoir** Cowdrey - Lower Cowdrey - Upper Myman Reservoir Coyte Reservoir Jarcy Reservoir Big Creek Lake Roby Reservoir District No. 44 District No. 47 case No.

ACRE FEET

Water Supply G. Reservoir Storage

III.

NAME OF RESERVOIR	SOURCE	1973 NOV. 1	1974 MAY 1	1974 0CT. 31
District No. 47				
Follett Pond No. 1	Pinkham Creek	0	0	0
Follett Pond No. 2		0	0	0
	Pinkham Creek	0	0	0
Fuller Reservoir	Cow Creek	0	& 3	8.0
Gamber Reservoir	Little Grizzly River	(300.0 Est.) 416.	325.
Ginger Quill Reservoir	Three Mile Creek	(15.0 Est.	38.2	38.2
Hap Reservoir	Buffalo Creek	0	0	0
Hecla Reservoir	Arapaho Creek	255.	255.	0
House - Upper	Spring Creek	27.	44.8	32.7
Hunter Reservoir	Three Mile Creek	0	58.	0
Jackson Reservoir	Dry Creek	68.8	119.	33.7
Kettle Reservoir	Newcomb Creek	-	<u></u>	0
Lake John	Lake Creek	•	8,543.	5,615.
Laune Reservoir - North Delaney	Roaring Fork	,275.	2,056.	2,275.
McFarlane Reservoir	Illinois River	1,590.	5,012.	674.0
McGowan Reservoir	Middle Fork Mexican Creek	7.7	39°8	<u>. 5</u>
Mexican Reservoir	Mexican Creek	0	57.	14.
Muddy Pass Reservoir	T. Grizzly Creek	39.	28.	28
Ninegar Reservoir		37.5	31.5	31.5
North Michigan Reservoir	higan Cr	1,250.	1,250.	1,250.
Petry Lake	Unnamed Trib. Little Grizzly	47.5	47.5	71.9
Pole Mountain Reservoir		1,029	1,806.	1,029.
Ridings Reservoir	Buffalo Creek	0	46.1	0
Rock Reservoir	Newcomb Creek	30.2	54.8	0
	Hound Creek	240.	290.	141.
Seymour Reservoir	Ninegar Creek	525.	525.	314.
Shawver Reservoir	Sutton Creek	0	19.4	0
Slack & Weiss Reservoir	Ninegar Creek	152.	144.	144.
Stambaugh Reservoir	Spring & Flood Water	. 09	.09	0
South Arapaho Reservoir	Arapaho Creek	ວິເ	0 6	0 ,
Three Mile Reservoir	w	29.3	32.0	8. cs.
Two Ledge Reservoir	T. Coyote Creek	4.10	b. 1.4	4.10

G. Reservoir Storage NAME OF RESERVOIR District No. 47 Van Valkenburg Reservoir Walden Reservoir West Arapaho Reservoir Cull Reservoir Elk Lake Reservoir	SOURCE Van Valkenburg Draw Illinois River T. Arapaho Creek Trib. Four Mile Creek	1973 NOV. 1 0 3,094.0 125.0	ACRE FEET 1974 MAY 1 2.6 3,746.0 30.2	1974 0CT. 31 2,486.0 13.3 13.3
Elk Lake Reservoir Gold Blossom Reservoir Lake Fork Reservoir Lower Cogdill Reservoir Perkins Fox Reservoir Skunk Creek Reservoir Upper Cogdill Reservoir	Willow Creek Gold Blossom Creek Lake Fork Creek Government Corral Creek Trib. West Willow Creek Skunk Creek Government Corral Creek	0 44.3 173.44 15.32 45.4	199.2 0 44.3 173.44 15.32 45.4	398.4 0 44.3 173.44 15.32 45.4
Ainge Reservoir Bassett Reservoir No. 1 Bassett Reservoir No. 2 Dry Lake Reservoir Haunted Spring Reservoir Massey Reservoir Offield Reservoir T.W. Blevins Reservoir	Flynn Spring Bull Canyon Gulch Bull Canyon Gulch Pot Creek Haunted Spring Gulch Flynn Spring Pot Creek Spring	0.04 7.0 8.0 8.0 9.0	4.46 0 54.0 6.0 8.0 7.0 50.0	.5 0 0 0 0 5.0 6.0
Apple Reservoir Ash Ponds to Hayden Station Basin Reservoir Greasewood Flats Reservoir	Dry Creek Yampa River Buchanan Gulches Dill Gulch	Dry 1,013.3 208 Dry	1,013.3 208 24.8	1,013.3 74.5 Dry

ACRE FEET	1973 1974 1974 1974 NOV. 1 MAY 1 OCT.		6.84 6.84 2.00 87.0 553.0 70.0 Dry 147.0 Dry 51.6 326.0 12.3	20.8 986.5 6.83		1,023, 1,758, 790.		0 30. Creek 0		58.	1,175. 1,842. 1,426. 35.0 35.0 35.	y 7	1,031 1,031 1,031 1,031 1,001	283.	- 2.		• 000	292. 292. 292	4.0
	SOURCE		Trib. to Yampa River Temple Gulch Yoast Creek Morgan Creek	Middle Fish Creek Trout Creek Yoast Creek		Middle Hunt Creek	Deadmans Creek	Lawson Creek West Branch Watson	South Hunt Creek		Fish Creek Wheeler Creek	Jack Creek	willow Creek	Watson Creek		Jack Creek	Jack Creek	Wheeler Creek	rarnswortn Creek Chimney Creek
III. Water Supply G. Reservoir Storage	NAME OF RESERVOIR	District No. 57	Brock Reservoir J.C. Temple Reservoir No. 1 J.M. Yoast Reservoir Morgan Creek Reservoir No. 1	Seaton Reservoir Sheriff Reservoir Yoast Reservoir No. 1, No. 2	District No. 58	Allen Basin Reservoir	Bear Lake Reservoir	Bison Park Reservoir Bull Park No. 2 Reservoir	Burnt Mesa Reservoir	Crowner Reservoir	Fish Creek Reservoir Fish Lake Reservoir No. 2		gardner Reservoir Hahns Peak Reservoir		Reservoir No.	LaForce Reservoir No. 2	•	Lake Creek Reservoir	Lake Windemere Lee Reservoir

1974 MAY 'ellow Jacket Creek Big Creek Big Creek Big Creek Middle Hunt Creek t. Willy Gulch Raspberry Creek Morrision Creek Jinnacle Creek **forrison** Creek Wheeler Creek Whipple Creek ester Creek Spring Creek Villow Creek Matson Creek 'ampa River Yampa River 'oung Creek Elgin Creek **3ruce Creek** rull Creek ish Creek salt Creek Milk Creek Ome Creek Jak Creek Cow Creek Stukey Distribution Reservoir Jpper Willow Creek Reservoir Stillwater Reservoir No. 3 Stillwater Reservoir No. 3 Sandelin Reservoir No. 3 illquist Lake Reservoir Whitney Nelson Reservoir Sandelin Reservoir No. Sandelin Reservoir No. Roland Reid Reservoir ester Creek Reservoir rull Creek Reservoir Wilk Creek Reservoir Moore Park Reservoir NAME OF RESERVOIR Sams Horn Reservoir ong Lake Reservoir fcChivvis Reservoir Jak Creek Reservoir Reservoir Wheeler Reservoir 'ounger Reservoir Summer Reservoir **Jsborn Reservoir** Willey Reservoir Martin Reservoir Simon Reservoir .owry Reservoir lay Reservoir District No. 58

ACRE FEET

Water Supply G. Reservoir Storage

III.

IV. Agriculture

The past season has provided an excellent hay crop, but dry weather conditions did not provide adequate moisture for grain crops. What moisture received came to late to prevent shriveled kernels and to provide for stooling. The yield per acre for dry land grain is down at least 30 per cent below last years production. Beef prices are extremely low and the cost of hay and grain are very high, thus the economic picture for the industry at the present is very gloomy. The average loss per calf sold this fall was between \$120 and \$140. The lamb market has been the most stable during the year, however, prices are somewhat lower than last year.

The White River drainage has almost twice as much irrigated land as dry crop land. Most of the irrigated land is in hay production for livestock feed. This land is probably about equally divided between wild meadow hay and alfalfa. The average production on wild hay is around two to three tons per acre with alfalfa being slightly higher. Alfalfa usually produces two cuttings of hay per season. The dry crop land is almost exclusively planted in grains, wheat, oats and barley. The crop yields vary greatly in proportion to the climatic conditions. The average for wheat is around 26 bushels per acre with oats and barley slightly higher. The bulk of the dry crop land is fallowed in alternating years, which cuts production to something over 50 per cent of the total acreage annually.

The Yampa drainage has about 40 per cent more dry crop land than irrigated. The dry land crops in the Yampa drainage are almost identical

to the White River drainage, with the exception that a small portion of it is in the production of hay. This dry land is mostly alfalfa and generally produces only one cutting. The wheat yield for the Yampa drainage is around 30 bushels per acre. The hay in the Yampa drainage is predominately wild hay with a yield of two to three tons per acre.

The North Platte drainage produces only wild hay with an average yield of around one ton per acre. The elevation of North Park is high and the growing season is short.

V. Compacts

The Upper Colorado River Compact was complied with by delivery of more than 500,000 acre feet in the Yampa River at Maybell.

The Supreme Court stipulations on the North Platte were met with total storage of 9,648 acre feet, 121,837 irrigated acres, and a total transbasin diversion of 2,086 acre feet.

The Pot Creek agreement with Utah has not been satisfied. The State of Utah has only delivered 45 per cent of the total water specified under the agreement as of September 30. This shortage to Colorado seems the rule rather than the exception in most years.

VI. Dams

A. During the year only one reservoir project, Elkhead Reservoir, has been under construction. Work did not start until late in the spring due to the high runoff this season. The project was held up several times due to the shortage of reinforcement steel and replacement

parts for major earth moving equipment. The project has been generally behind schedule and has resulted in having to complete the earth fill during the early winter months. This has caused some difficulty with frozen material.

The Division 6 dam roster was completed in August and submitted to the dam and reservoir section. Early snows last fall prevented work to be completed at that time. As a result, work on the roster had to be done along with the regular scheduled work during the irrigation season.

Last years very heavy snow pack resulted in a record runoff. The soil moisture was very high which resulted in several mud slides in the area. One of these slides traveled down a slope over a mile dumping into Sage Creek Reservoir and filling it with tons of debris. The outlet tube was blocked and the reservoir filled and began to spill. It was very fortunate that recently riprap had been placed on the spillway which prevented the failure of the reservoir.

Greasewood Flat Reservoir almost was lost this spring. The principle spillways were plugged with trash and the reservoir spilled through a secondary earth spillway. The spillway began to erode and cut back past the dam. Only several hours of sand bagging by the owner of the reservoir and the division staff prevented its failure. To make the situation more difficult, the only method of reaching the reservoir was by horseback.

A very interesting situation developed this spring when a road dike that was built across a stream with no outlet culvert in the bottom of the fill. It is estimated that the dike impounded 80 acre feet of water. The reservoir behind it filled and began to run over the road way. Several high capacity pumps were used by the county and a disaster was avoided.

B. More stock dams were built this year than last. A total of 13 have been constructed.

VII. Water Rights

A. All W-Cases through December 1973 have been prepared for inclusion into the water rights tabulation list. Also several corrections have been made to the present tabulation. Because of the time limitations not all corrections which involved objections to the tabulation have been included.

В.	Ref	eree's Findings and Decrees		Rulings	Decrees
	1.	Underground Water Right		30	32
	2.	Change of Water Right		11	22
	3.	Plan of Augmentation		0	0
	4.	Water Right		112	131
	5.	Diligence		18	21
	6.	Water Storage Right		31	34
	7.	Applications Received in Water Court	255		
	8.	Number of Referee Consultations	202		

VIII. Organizations

A. Colorado River Water Conservation District - Glenwood Springs, CO, Mr. Roland C. Fisher, Secretary-Engineer

Upper Yampa Water Conservancy District - Steamboat Springs, CO John Fetcher, Secretary

Yellow Jacket Water Conservancy District - Meeker, CO Frank Cooley, Attorney

Pot Hook Conservancy District - Baggs, WY
Darwin Dunn, President

Lower Yampa Conservancy District - Craig, CO John Sherman,

Great Northern Conservancy District - Craig, CO John Sherman

Northwest Colorado Water Council - Craig, CO Sam Haslem, Chairman

Jackson County Water Conservancy District - Walden, CO Lloyd Hampton, Secretary

B. Bear River Reservoir Company - Yampa, CO

Stillwater Ditch Company - Yampa, CO

Maybell Irrigation District - Maybell, CO

Miller Creek Ditch Company - Meeker, CO

Woodchuck Ditch Company - Steamboat Springs, CO

Mt. Werner Water and Sanitation District - Steamboat Springs, CO

Morrison Creek Water and Sanitation District - Oak Creek, CO

Steamboat Lake Water District - Clark, CO

Riverside Water and Sanitation District - Steamboat Springs, CO

Steamboat II Water and Sanitation District - Steamboat Springs, CO

Tree Haus Water and Sanitation District - Steamboat Springs, CO

IX. Water Commissioner's Summary

District No. 43

Direct Flow Diversions (ac.ft.) Reservoir Storage (ac.ft.) Amount Delivered from Storage Acres Irrigated Number of Ditches Number of Daily Ditch Reports Number of Reservoirs Served Average Demand (ac.ft./ac.) District No. 44	8,217.58 329 36,489.58 502 463 21
Direct Flow Diversions (ac.ft.)	156,665.11 1,930.93 1,746.0 35,721. 407 407 33 4.38 122. 1,438.44
District No. 47	
Direct Flow Diversions (ac.ft.)	18,044.0 11.804.0

District No. 54

Direct Flow Diversions (ac.ft.)	28,426.97 926.56 0 10,200. 95 74 21 2.78
District No. 55	
Direct Flow Diversions (ac.ft.)	7,279.86 0 0 1,142. 20 20 0 6.37
District No. 56	
Direct Flow Diversions (ac.ft.)	51.5 0 2,390. 78 78
District No. 57	
Direct Flow Diversions (ac.ft.)	64,609.95 2,300.0 1,263.6 14,650. 128 128 12 4.11 1,916.36

District No. 58

Direct Flow Diversions (ac.ft.)	143,770.0
Reservoir Storage (ac.ft.)	38,395.0
Amount Delivered from Storage	7,046.0
Acres Irrigated	
Number of Ditches	
Number of Daily Ditch Reports	
Number of Reservoirs Served	4 8
Average Demand (ac.ft./ac.)	3.11
Transmountain	751
Municipalities	4,691.0

			Delivered to Compact Cmtmt Ac. Ft.		i	1	\ 1	1	ı	ı	1		
			No. of Struct. Reported on in Dist.	500	200	440	587	103	, 20	78	500	708	
			Total Diversions Ac. Ft.	303 006	353,030	158,225	848,727	28,427	7,280	19,500	82,744	148,461	
			Transbasin/Transmtn. Diversions Ac. Ft.		ı	122	2,086	ı	ı	i	1,916	ı	
	ON NO. 6	rsions	Recreational Use Ac. Ft.		•	•	ı	ı	t	ı	ı	1	
	DIVISION SUMMARY - DIVISION NO.	Direct Flow Diversions	Municipal & Domestic Ac. Ft.	970	240	1,438	394	ı	1	1	1,300	4,691	87.8
	SUMMARY	Direct	Industrial Use Ac. Ft.		t	•	ı	ı	ı	1	4,918	•	कार्य
	DIVISION	1974 -	Ac. Ft. Per Acre	68 8	0.0	4.38	6.95	2.78	6.37	8.15	4.11	3.11	
5			No. of Acres Irrigated	36 490	20,130	35,721	121,837	10,200	1,142	2,390	14,650	48,450	
,			Direct Diversions Ac. Ft. (Irrig.)	322 150	326,130	156,665	846,870	28,427	7,280	19,500	64,610	143,770	1584218
e A	Je A		Inactive Total	80	0	29	30	21	9	35	28	128	
Table			Ditches Reported Active	502	706	407	503	74	20	78	128	505	
			Water District	43	?	44	47	54	55	26	22	58	

X. Division Engineer's Summary Table A

X. Division Engineer's Summary

)

9	41
80	Feet
~	و
<u> </u>	Acre
DIVISION	
010	ند
•	Report
≿	Re
₹	ā
SUMMARY	Storage
	to to
DIVISION	1
IS	
Ι	1974
	_

Water Dist	Amor 1-73	Amount in Storage Acre Feet	Amount in Storage Acre Feet	Actual Am't. Diverted to Storage	Delivered from Storage	Storage for Industrial	Storage for Municipal	Storage for Recreation	Storage for Drojects
25.	C/-1-11	1111	+/-10-01	but ing season	נות דו ואמרוחוו	000	960	080	ri ojeces
43	6,636	9,117	9,117 8,218	2,481	668		ı		ı
44	1,823	3,816 1,931	1,931	1,893	1,886	•	i	696	ı
47	20,345	29,466	18,044	9,648	11,814	•	ı	9,829	1
54	278	727	927	648	0	•	ı	06	
55	1	1	i	1	ı	•	•	i	ŧ
99	120	133	52	13	82	•	ı	ı	t
22	2,563	3,683	2,301	1,120	1,382	1,013	986	į.	ı
28	39,208	42,184	38,394	2,976	3,790	5,000	1,488	24,861	1.

X. Division Engineer's Summary

Table C

DIVISION SUMMARY - DIVISION NO. 6

1974 - ADMINISTERED WATER RIGHTS

Water District	Number Standard Administered	Number Semi - Standard Administered	Total Water Rights	Total Structures
43	502	783	1,285	865
44	323	241	564	451
47	236	684	920	556
54	73	67	140	121
55 & 56	38	72	110	100
57	266	53	319	242
58	622	618	1,240	801

XI. Recommendations and Suggestions

Recommendations for proposed legislation for the monumenting of structures pertaining to water diversions was recommended last year. The State Office has neither approved or disapproved the recommendation. It is still felt that this would be a good idea. Approval or disapproval on this suggestion before the long session of the Legislature would be appreciated.

There is action under way to attempt to bring new understanding to the Colorado River Compact. The most important portion of this is to determine the Virgin Flow of the river. The most discussed method of obtaining this is through the use of existing irrigated acres. This is of concern because of the method by which the acreage is determined in most of our water districts. Aerial photos have been purchased in the North Platte drainage so the Supreme Court ruling can be complied with in obtaining irrigated acreage and has been very successful.

This would be a very worthwhile occupation for the Water Commissioners during the winter months. There are many other reasons for having accurate acreage. Possibly, one of the most important would be for the allotment of water on an acreage basis if an extended water shortage was to take place. This matter was discussed during the change of water law in 1969.

Knowing the logs on wells in the Division would be very helpful. We have many questions from people desiring information on aquifers and depth to various waters. If this could be furnished, the Division office would be extremely greatful.

Coal

As new energy sources develop, it will take considerable effort to manage the associated resources such as water. There is no doubt that as the requirements for energy increase, the water administration will become more complex and more information and expertise must become available in order to solve the problems that will materialize.

Coal production in Northwest Colorado will increase dramatically within the next few years as the demand for this fuel increases. New mines are opening in several areas and with the completion of the new coal-fired generating plants at Hayden and Craig the coal production will be in high gear.

Operation of the power plants required relatively large amounts of water. It is foreseen that the consumptive use of these plants could cause the Yampa River to be put under administration during at least part of the irrigation season. Adequate storage may be the only way to alleviate this potential shortage.

Salinity problems might also appear as this energy production increases. Washing of coal and concentration of dissolved solids by evaporation could increase salts above acceptable levels.

A coal slurry pipeline into Texas is also in the planning stage. The pipeline requiring approximately 3,600 g.p.m. of water would cause further depletion of existing water supplies and would no doubt have some effect on downstream salinity due to concentration of salts.

Piceance Basin

It appears that fuel from oil shale as a major source of energy is still a long way off. The pilot projects on the government tracts are still scheduled, however, there are many planned studies associated with these leases. The Piceance basin will probably become the most heavily instrumented watershed in the world. The state is currently under contract by the USGS to study the springs in the basin. Approximately 50 new springs will be monitored in addition to the present network that has been in existance since 1958. Clarence Johnson, District 43 Water Commissioner, has been promoted for this project and will work directly for Jeris Danielson.