TABLE OF CONTENTS

			PAGE
ı.	TAW	TER ADMINISTRATION	1
	A.	1984 Water Year	1
		 Accomplishments Involvement in Water User Community Issues of Concern Effect of Workload Changes Impact of Budget 	1 2 3 3 4
	В.	1985 Water Year	4
		 Operational Concerns Projected Work Items Goals and Objectives 	4 4 5
II.	REC	COMMENDATIONS	5
	A.	Water Administration	5
	В.	Personnel .	6
	C.	Budget	7
	D.	Legislation	8
II.	STA	ATISTICAL INFORMATION	,
	Α.	Tansmountain Diversion	9
	В.	Storage Water	12
	С.	Water Diversions	24
	D.	Office Administration	26

ANNUAL REPORT

WATER DIVISION V

WATER ADMINISTRATION

A. 1984 Water Year

1984 concludes the first year's operation in Water Division V under new leadership. The former Division Engineer retired 11/1/1983 and the Assistant was advanced effective 1/1/1984. Many changes have been made and are continuing to develop with time. Division personnel began to involve themselves with Water Court decision, impacting them in a positive direction for the good of all water users. This included meetings with applicants, attorneys, and court personnel, not always without tension, but increasingly effective. The Division office was made more open, friendly, and efficient. With personnel and information more available, the water-using public is provided more and better service. In general the changes seem to be progress.

1. Accomplishments

The Division staff along with a six month temporary employee and approximately four FTE's of manpower loaned from other Water Divisions completed upgrading the Water Rights tabulation for District 37, 39, 45, 50, 52, 53, and 70. Tens of thousands of line item changes or additions were made to the tabulation. It was a massive undertaking to get an adequate tabulation ready for the 1984 July printing. For most Districts even the decrees had to be assimulated, organized, bound into decree books and indexed prior to formulation of the tabulation.

In the month of May, work on the tabulation was interupted in order to put together the abandonment list. 938 Water Rights with a long term history of non-use, all pre-1969 non-use conditional rights and all rights unindated by storage reservoirs.

The upgrading of the tabulation pointed out gaping holes in the Water Commissioners old administrative lists (most of which had not been revised since the 50' or 60's). Inclusion of the new decrees has nearly doubled the size of our structure lists, for those District that have been upgraded.

A final step in the process is the <u>water administration</u> and record keeping or diversion data assimulation for the newly identified water rights as well as for those already known.

Annual Report Page 2

Our 1042 Commissioner developed a well file which will be used as the nucleus for <u>augmentation plan</u> administration and a <u>ground water diversion data bank</u>. Many contacts have been made with well owners and plant managers in anticipation of this. Some owner supplied well diversion data is included in this years records.

There were 404 Water Court Applications in 1983 and 750 in 1984. A majority of the applications have been field inspected, have had Water Referee Consultations written and have been decreed.

Other accomplishments for the year were: Collection of the Hydrographic records, necessary water administration, (very limited due to the record water year), collection of diversion data, and the required reservoir inspections.

A final very important accomplishment was the redesign and negotiated redevelopment of our office space. Utilization of it, by both us and the public, has been greatly enhanced.

2. Involvement in Water User Community

This year was the first in a new era wherein the Division is more involved with the water using community. Statistically water user contacts of all kinds are on the increase. Personnel contacts regarding water administration, augmentation plan development, well permits, dams and reservoirs, historic records and all other aspects of water use are all being handled more effectively. Aiding in this is the redesigned office space wherein the public can better utilize our services. It is also helpful to the public and us to have an accurate tabulation, a set of decree books with indexes, structure lists that include more than the earlies water rights and well permit information.

The personnel are themselves more oriented toward being helpful. They have been tutored at four Division meetings in various aspects of water administration with the idea of training them in their jobs and in their dealings with the public.

A special effort was made to help anyone concerned with the publishing of items in the tabulation and abandonment lists.

A final observation in that Division input at public meetings also seems to be more readily solicited.

Issues of Concern

While we accomplished a lot the past year, we still have a long way to go. There is the <u>inability</u> of the staff to accomplish all that needs to be done in <u>almost any</u> area:

50% of the needed administration is occurring.

Many diversion records are estimated rather than observed.

50% of the structures have no record at all.

Referee consultations are, for the most part, either check off boxes or one liners.

All general updating for new decrees is being handled by the office staff rather than by knowledgeable Water Commissioners.

Many physical problems are also cause for concern, such as the lack of control structures or measuring devices on many diversions. Staff gages and capacity tables are almost non-existant for reservoirs.

The tabulation is in good shape for most of the Districts but 36, 51, and 72 still need some attention. District 38 is totally inadequate and 20 to 30 of the most difficult augmentation plans are not yet tabulated.

A general river call requiring deliveries of Green Mountain water and the accounting of such is still beyond our capabilities to handle at this point. The basic decreetal information, the human resources, the data gathering network and the computer software are not yet functional to the required degree.

4. Effect of Workload Changes

Basically the emphasis in Division V was and is on streamlining all proceedures in order to get more accomplished for the same time and dollars. Water Commissioners are no longer just concerned with turning water on a few key structures but are required to submit more information on a lot more structures. We started gearing up our administrative lists and Water Commissioners knowledge of them in anticipation of attempting to administer a total river call. The taking over of Colorado River accounting procedures from the US Bureau of Reclamation will intail a great deal of extra work. With more involvement in Water Court, better decrees were achieved, that can be administered more efficiently. It took a lot of precious time however. Some low hazard reservoirs have also been inspected by Water Commissioners.



The overall effect is that each person is spending less time on traditional objectives and is spread farther and thinner. Those personnel who are adverse to change are having a difficult time of it. We have to be careful that the basics are still covered. It will be pointed out later under recommendations where that coverage has broken down and where we need help.

5. Impact of Budget

We continue to ask for enough FTE's to put Water Commissioners into each Water District, at least during periods of needed water administration. Secondly, limited supervision in the past combined with seasonal employees for the most part, severely hampered the updating of structure lists, administrative lists, tabulations or any other non-direct water administration activity.

Not only were we short in human resources, but operating funds were precariously low. I felt especially hamstrung when technological advances, obtainable for dollars, judicially chosen, could have reaped many returns.

B. 1985 Water Year

1. Operational Concerns

This year will be more of the same. Playing catch-up on the sizable backlog, continuing to take care of the present as needs dictate, and planning now for the future in water administration. The shear volume of water court applications continue to over load our system creating more demand on a seemingly fixed manpower resource and budget.

2. Projected Work Items

- a. Finish tabulation touchup for Water Districts 36, 51 & 72.
- b. Totally retabulate Water District 38.
- c. Tabulate outstanding augmentation plans.
- d. Tabulate 1984 and 1985 decrees.
- e. Complete FAPAS forms.
- f. Establish detailed Water Commissioner functions pertaining to water right applications and decrees.
- g. Further educate and train Water Commissioners.
- h. Review court cases and write up consultations including augmentation plans.
- i. Review and resolve old objections to 1974 and 1978 tabulation.
- j. Establish reservoir data base including capacity tables.
- k. Establish accounting system for Colorado River via satelite monitoring.
- 1. Install telephone communications system.

Annual Report Page 5

- m. Computerize many aspects of water administration and record keeping.
- n. Identify and monitor augmentation usage.
- o. Facilitate building of more control structures, measuring devices, staff gages.
- p. Administer water.
- q. Collect Diversion Data.
- r. Identify structure location on mapping.
- s. Establish irrigated acreages under structures.
- t. Generate stream mile numbers.

3. Goals and Objectives

A goal is to educate existing employees with the objective of making them more effective. Another goal is to utilize existing monies as carefully as possible with the objective of maximizing efficiency. The primary goal is to take the manpower resource available within the bugetary constraints and be able to administer a total river call including dispersion of Green Mountain replacement water. A final goal is to plan for new occurrances with the objective of being able to administer the water of the State in the future.

II. Recommendations

I have detailed Division V work items above along with philosophical discussions of why, which, in a sense are my recommendations for us. The following are directed more at the overall operation keeping in mind our situation and needs in Division V.

A. Water Administration

I am especially enthusiastic over the satelite monitoring program and the computer system associated with it. It can fulfill one of the main thrusts of last years report. I quote from that report.

"A centralized data base management system organizing stream flow data, storage data, water rights data, and diversion data, with localized input and access is necessary. All Division of Water Resources activites center around water administration necessitated by water use. If this water cannot be monitored, then all else is without basis. Therefore, when this base information is lacking, then policies, personnel changes, budgetary priorities, and even legislation, used to be directed at upgrading the sufficiency of the basis."

I sincerely hope that some education, software, and a communication system will also be implemented which will maximize the utilization of the satelite monitoring system.

Annual Report Page 6

I would also recommend quicker turnaround time on providing us with updated tabulations and structure lists. We are making thousands of corrections and additions but get lost between what we have done and what still needs correcting. We end up working months and months from hand written sheets assuming that the attempted changes have been made. The difficulties in that are many and at times we simply bog down and cannot function. This wastes manpower resources, creates additional backlogs and is plainly undesirable. Once we are caught up and working only the current years inclusions, this should not be such a problem.

Division V personnel are now more responsive to gaining knowledge and improving their output as evidenced by their participation in the Water Commissioner meetings. Denver organization of educational programs such as the dams one last year could be very effective in standardizing and maximizing output.

From the standpoint of usage volumes, we still spend a disproportionate amount of our time and energy on groundwater considerations. I am not criticizing this or recommending a change but will point out that the situation exists. I would recommend that a study be made depicting the present and future impacts of groundwater withdrawal on the western slope drainages.

B Personnel

We still have a backlog of work with the tabulation and need to continue utilizing temporary FTE's to expedite elimination of that problem. The backlog appeared to require 6 FTE's in addition to the regular staff two years ago. We have used six months each of the last two years, robbed Peter to pay Paul and now can clean up the tabulation with 2 FTE's. We will work on paying Peter back next year but need at least one temporary FTE this year.

We need two FTE's for new full time Water Commissioner positions. We have two Water Districts in desperate trouble administratively. The Blue River (Water District 36) with Green Mountain, Dillion, Breckenridge and a dozen plus transmountain diversions does not have a Water Commissioner. Historically the Water Commissioner for the Eagle River took care of both. It seems like half of the Augmentation Plans for the State are in these areas and the one Water Commissioner is not enough. The rest of the water rights being decreed are surely in the Roaring Fork Valley (Water District 38) where we have one part-time Water Commissioner. The total volume of decrees, administration duties, and augmentation plan activity definitely warrents another FTE for this Water District.

We need one FTE full time Engineer Tech to handle the field work and associated office duties concerning the Colorado River Accounting process.

We also need <u>one FTE</u> to add to existing part-time <u>Water Commissioner</u> <u>positions</u> in order to bring them to full time status. The work load has shifted from strictly an irrigation season of twenty years ago to one where most of the augmentation water is being run in the winter season. Court applications review, book work, reservoir operation, and most other new duty items are annual in nature.

C. Budget

In the area of Capital Expenditure we need the following:

- 1 Phone Communication System (7 phones, 3 lines)
- 2 Office Desks
- 2 Side Files
- 2 Book Cases
- 2 Desk Chairs
- 1 4 x 10 Conference Table
- 4 4 Drawer Legal File Cabinets
- 1 Computer Station
- 1 Printer Stand

Our operating fund has historically been a significant curtailment upon our efficiency. We need the supplies, tools and communication with which to work. I have often heard the above statements expressed but did not find it to be true last year. I will take a wait and see attitude for this year knowing that we will need a lot of specialized computer programming and data entry needs fulfilled if the PC's are going to benefit us that greatly.

The travel budget appears to be adequate but once again we have had back to back years of record flows and were concentrating on the tabulation back log. Future demands for travel are bound to be greater. Particularly if the desperately needed FTE's are forthcoming.

D. Legislation

One problem that needs to be addressed is the physical identification of structures. Historically in remote areas with unsurveyed sections correct legal descriptions were hard to come by and many misidentifications were made. The problem has degenerated in the present to many, many complex augmentation plans that have a multiplicity of water rights transferred to or more commonly establishing alternate points at, many overlaping locations. We cannot possible, let alone reasonably, determine what water is being diverted at what structures, nor can the owners or users give you this information. The owners, Engineering Consultants, and Attorneys look at you blankly when asked for the same information and say that it is an administrative problem.

I feel that reviewing the plans and decrees help as does selected objections and court appearances. A proposed legislative solution would be to require monumentation of all points of diversion with all inclusive information in regards to divertable amounts provided at each location prior to the decree being finilized. In the case of conditional proposals and at non-developed alternate points there needs to be some mechanism for abandonment that will purge them from consideration if not acted on.

A second suggestion for legislation would be to devise a process to pass a proposed decree back to the referee for review prior to signing by the Water Judge under 37-92-304(3). What occurs is that an application has opposition, is re-referred back to the Judge by the Referee. The applicant cuts a deal, proposes a decree and gets one without review by the Referee or the Division Engineer under his consultation with the Referee in 37-92-302(4). A written recommendation directly to the court in 37-52-302(4) is generally several years too premature to be helpful, particularly without the proposed ruling to look at.

TRALISMOCKLAIN ÖTVERSTONS SUNBARY - INFLOWS
WATER DIVISION V

MD NAME STREAM 38 Roaring Fork Bypass Flow Roaring Fork River 45 Divide-Highline Feeder Divide Creek 50 Sarvis Creek Ditch Red Dirt Creek 53 Dome Creek Ditch Egeria Creek 53 Stillwater Ditch Egeria Creek 72 Redlands Power Canal Colorado River 72 Grand Junction Municipal Colorado River 72 Fruita Water Works Colorado River 73 DIVISION V TOTALS *Delivered by Exchange with Division 2			RECIPIENT		PREVIOUS		PREVIOUS IYR IYR OF	PREVIOUS IYR IYR	PREVIOUS IYR IYR OF
Roaring Fork Bypass Flow Roaring Fork Divide-Highline Feeder Divide Creek Sarvis Creek Ditch Red Dirt Creek Dome Creek Ditch Egeria Creek Stillwater Ditch Egeria Creek Stillwater Ditch Egeria Creek Fruita Water Works Colorado Rive #Delivered by Exchange with Division 2	WD	NAME	STREAM	AF			IYR IYR OF DAYS AF	IYR IYR OF DAYS AF	IYR IYR OF DAYS AF
Divide-Highline Feeder Divide Cr Sarvis Creek Ditch Red Dirt Dome Creek Ditch Egeria Cr Stillwater Ditch Egeria Cr Redlands Power Canal Colorado Grand Junction Municipal Colorado Fruita Water Works Colorado *DIVISION V TOTALS *Delivered by Exchange with Divisic	Roaring	Bypass	Fork		3,124	1 - 1	,124 11	,124 11 *	,124 11 *
Sarvis Creek Ditch Dome Creek Ditch Egeria Cr Stillwater Ditch Egeria Cr Redlands Power Canal Colorado Grand Junction Municipal Fruita Water Works DIVISION V TOTALS *Delivered by Exchange with Divisic	$\neg \neg \neg \neg$		1 1		1,065	1,065 47	,065	,065 47 1,	,065 47 1,144
Dome Creek Ditch Egeria Cr Stillwater Ditch Egeria Cr Redlands Power Canal Colorado Grand Junction Municipal Fruita Water Works DIVISION V TOTALS *Delivered by Exchange with Division	Sarvis		Dirt		Est 800	Est 800 67		67	67
Stillwater Ditch Egeria Cr Redlands Power Canal Grand Junction Municipal Fruita Water Works DIVISION V TOTALS *Delivered by Exchange with Division	Dome				442	442 74	74	74	74
Redlands Power Canal Colorado Grand Junction Municipal Colorado Fruita Water Works Colorado **DIVISION V TOTALS **Delivered by Exchange with Division	1	i	1		3,035	3,035 141	035	035 141	035 141
Redlands Power Canal Colorado Grand Junction Municipal Colorado Fruita Water Works Colorado DIVISION V TOTALS *Delivered by Exchange with Divisio									
Grand Junction Municipal Colorado Fruita Water Works Colorado DIVISION V TOTALS *Delivered by Exchange with Divisio	Redlands		4		375,680 E	375,580 E570	5,688	5,680 E570 479,	5,680 E550 479,387
Fruita Water Works Colorado DIVISION V TOTALS *Delivered by Exchange with Divisio	Grand		ļ.		10,758 ^t	10,768 ^t 365		365 8,744	365 8,744
V TOTALS ed by Exchange with Division	Fruita	•	ł		Unknown	Unknown -		ı	- 412
V TOTALS ed by Exchange with Division									
by Exchange with Division	! I	, ,			394,246	394,246	394,246 495,917		
by Exchange with Division				1					
	*Delivered	by Exchange	Division						

EARTH AND STOLES OF STORY - EXPORT

_	 	 1		11	11	11	11 (11	11 1	11	 23 1	23	80	7	ð		 	÷
			•	Boustead Tunnel	Busk-Ivanhoe Tunnel	Twin Lakes Tunnel	Columbine Ditch	Ewing Ditch	Wurtz Ditch	Homestake Tunnel	Hoosier Tunnel	Boreas Pass Ditch	Roberts Tunnel	Vidler Tunnel	NAME			
				Lake Fork Creek	Lake Fork Creek	Lake Creek	Tennessee Creek	Tennessee Creek	Tennessee Creek	S. Platte via Arkansas River	M.F. S. Platte River	Tarryall Creek	N.F.S. Platte River	Clear Creek	STREAM		RECIPIENT	STEX I
				87,510	9,374	59,442	2,609	1,994	4,681	22,751	6,180	0	20,780	475	AF	PREVIOUS	LNZ	A NOISIAIG
				144	173	365	 129	152	145	95	 112	0	46	53	DAYS	IYR		
				97,315	9,760	8,760	3,190	2,700	5,730	27,740	8000 EST	0	1,204	752	AF	IYR OF		
				171	208	365	160	132	169	159		0	55		DAYS	RECORD		
				38	38	38	37	37	37	37	36	36	36	36	Ð) ====		
				Fryingpan River	Fryingpan River	Roaring Fork River	E.F. Eagle River	S.F. Eagle River	S.F. Eagle River	Homestake Creek	Blue River	Blue River	Blue River	Snake River	STREAM		SOURCE	

_

CHARLES DIVISIONS SALVERA - EXPORT

()

		- +	Total Division 5 Exports		4 Leon Tunnel Canal	6 Vasquez Pipeline	6 August P. Gimlick Tunnel	7 Berthoud Pass Ditch	6 Moffat Tunnel	4 Alva B. Adams Tunnel	3 Eureka Ditch	3 Grand River Ditch	WD NAME			
	ı				Surface Creek	Boulder via Fraizer R.	Boulder Via Frazer R.	Clear Creek	Boulder Creek	Big Thompson River	Big Thompson River	Cache La Poudre River	STREAM		RECIPIENT	WATER D
			428,281		1,849 98	Included in	Included in	708 132	37,294 318	159,969 365	0 0	12,665 75	AF DAYS	PREVIOUS 1YR	NT .	WATER DIVISION V
			438.384		 1,923	Moffat Tunnel	Moffat Tunnel	760 EST.	57,394	195,500	36	17,620	AF	IYR OF		
		 			 106 72	* 51	* 51	51	345 51	322 51	105 51	111 51	DAYS WD	RECORD		
					2 Creek	1 Williams Fork	1 Williams Fork	l Frazier River	l Frazier River	1 N.F. Colorado River	N.F. Colorado River	1 N.F. Colorado River	STREAM		SOURCE	

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES GREATER THAN 50 AF

			36	36	36	36	36Way	36 1	36 1	36 1	36 1	36 I	36 (36 (36 I		W		
		•	Lower Black Creek Res.	Dillon Reservoir BRDP	Goose Pasture Tarn	Buffehr Enlarged Res.	ay Reservoir	Upper Blue Lake Reservoir	Upper Black Creek Res.	Reynolds Reservoir	Lost Lake	Hogland Reservoir No. 1	Green Mountain Reservoir	Cataract Lake	Black Lake		RESERVOIR NAME		
			Black Creek	Blue River	Blue River	Ten Mile Creek	Springs Creek	Blue River	Black Creek	Keystone Creek	Brush Creek	Elliot Creek	Blue River	Cataract Creek	Black Creek		SOURCE	OTR F AM	MEDITAL O
•	401,414		No Information	255,356	912	106.7	12	0	140	157	125	461	140,494	1,653	1,997	AF %	Beg. IYR	PREVIOUS	STOWER SCHERE
	309,314		ion Available	243,540	912	106.7	72	2,140	140	157	125	800	57,671	1,653	1,997	AF %		OUS IYR	
	384,179		430	241,391	912	106.7	40	0	140	157	125	200	137,027	1,653	1,997	AF 7	Beg. IYR	IYR OF F	300 232
	290,408		522	240,993	912	106.7	94	2,140	140	157	125	900	40,668	1,653	1,997	AF 2	Beg.lrr.Season	RECORD	
	381,566		450	231,410	912	106.7	42	0	140	157	125	400	144,173	1,653	1,997	AF	n End IYF		

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUCCESSION GREATER THAN 50 AF

1ω 	 		 			 												
ļ	 	ļ	 			37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37		S S	
	35			•		Noecker Reservoir	Homestake Reservoir	Robinson Reservoir	Welsch Reservoir	G G Reservoir	0.Z. Reservoir	L.E.D.E. Reservoir	Lower G G Reservoir	Chalk Mountain Reservoir	Black Lake No. 2		RESERVOIR NAME	
						Eby Creek	Homestake Creek	Eagle River	Alkali Creek	Eby Creek	Brush Creek	Gypsum Creek	Eby Creek	Eagle River	Gore Creek		SOURCE	STREAM
					40,975	No Information	37,093	3,136	0	0	452	0	0	204.1	90	AF %	Beg. IYR	1983 PREVIOUS
					5,938	ion Available	1,183	3,136	225	178	452	400	69.6	204.1	90	AF %		983 IOUS IYR
					47,278	0	43,333	3,136	35	0	450	30	0	204.1	90	AF 7	Beg. IYR	1984 IYR OF RECORD
					44,600		39,828	3,136	308	180 EST	450	175	69.6	204.1	90	AF 7	Beg.Irr.Season	ECORD
					30,189	0	26,214	3,136	50	0	450	45	0	204.1	90	AF	End IYR	

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMPERFIES GREATER THAN 50 AF

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES GREATER THAN 50 AF

KESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMEARIES GREATER THAN 50 AF

								٠						 -	45 Po		WD		
					٠										Porter Reservoir		RESERVOIR NAME		
					٠										Three Mile Creek		SOURCE	STREAM	
													,		0	AF	Beg. IYR	PRI	
									 							%		EVI	
															230	AF	Beg.Irr.Scason	1983 PREVIOUS IYR	
																59	nos		
															110	AF	Beg. IYR	1984 IYR OF	
	ļ	ļ	ļ	-	ļ	ļ 	<u> </u>		 	ļ	ļ	 		 		23		RE	
															230	AF 7	Beg.Irr.Season	RECORD	
															115	ĀF	End IYR		

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES GREATER THAN 50 AF

			15 DBEV1	1983	1984 IYR OF REC	984 RECORD
5 8	RESERVOIR NAME	STREAM	Beg. IYR Beg	•	Beg. I	
			7.	AF %	AF	7,
50 Ant	Antelope Reservoir	Colorado River	41.5	320		20
50 Hin	O 1	Muddy Creek	458	325		200
50 Lake	e Agnes	Muddy Creek	432	432		432
50 Mat		Troublesome Creek	804	1,073		1,000
	McElroy Reservoir	Pass Creek	0	240		0
50 McM	McMahon Reservoir #2	Red Dirt Creek	750	2,850		1,000
	Parsons Reservoir	Muddy Creek	20	360		40
50 Whi	Whiteley Peak Reservoir	Muddy Creek	560	773		400
50 Woods	ods Reservoir	Muddy Creek	00	41		10
50 Binco	nco Reservoir	Troublesome Creek	35	278		100
50 Milk	0	Milk Creek	10	50		60
50 A11	Albert Reservoir	Albert Creek	No Informa	rmation Available		0
	Martin Reservoir	Muddy Creek	No Informa	rmation Available		70
	Basin R <i>e</i> servoir	Muddy Creek	No Information	ation Available		0
			3,118.5	6,742	ļ	3,332
						<u> </u>

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUPEGARIES GREATER THAN 50 AF

		プロジロスマピース ジェイ	STORAGE SCHARLES	CO GREATER THAN	N DO AF		
		CHREAM	1983	1983	1984 IYR OF 1	RECORD	
E .	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE	Beg. IYR		YR	Beg.lrr.Season	End IYR
			AF 7	AF %	AF 7	AF %	AF
51	F.W. Linke No. 2 Res.	Frazer River	0	60	0	61	61
51	Hankinson Reservoir	Frazer River	80	0	0	116	0
51	Lake Granby	Colorado River	337,969	197,969	516,909	538,963	530,869
51	LanSholen Reservoir	Battle Creek	0	60	20	65	20
51	Meadow Creek Reservoir	Frazer River	313	4,800	1,029	5,653	2,426
51	Musgrave Reservoir	Corral Creek	20	810	178	840	300
51	Scholl Reservoir	Corral Creek	0	80	95	256	75
51	Shadow Mountain Res.	Colorado River	18,213	18,213	18,075	18,296	17,700
51	Williams Fork Reservoir	Williams Fork River	63,619	29,619	79,763	36,417	84,098
51	Willow Creek Reservoir	W:11ow Creek	9,335	3,835	9,723	10,596	10,298
51	Cottonwood Reservoir	Colorado River	26	65	0	86	86
51	Never Summer Lake	Colorado River	130	130	No Info	formation Available	
51	Sylvan Reservoir	Little Muddy Creek	No Informat	ion Available	75	560	100
	•						
			429,705	244,681	625,867	611,909	646,733
		-					

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUPPARILS GREATER THAN 50 AF

			DION.WIL DC: HERVERO	OKENTER TIME			
) 1983 1983	SIIS TYR	1984 IYR OF REC	RECORD	
	PECEDIOLD NIVE	STREAM	Beg. IYR E	• 1	R	Beg.Irr.Season	End IYR
٤	KEUEKVOLZ ZEE		F. 7	AF %	AF %	AF %	AF
53	Clyde Reservoir	Egeria Creek	50	66.5	36.5	66.5	36.5
53 (nt Lake	Derby Creek	0	230	0	230	0
53	Egeria Reservoir	Egeria Creek	0	200	57	227	167
53	Tonier Gulch Reservoir	Tonier Gulch	0	64	0	64	0
53	Toponas Rock No. 2 Res.	Toponas Creek	0	88	0	80	0
53	Wohler Reservoir		No Information	ion Available	60	85	65
53	Sterner Reservoir		No Information	on Available	28	176	136
53	Grimes Brooks Reservoir	Red Dirt Creek	50	200	140	340	280
53	Kelly Reservoir	Egeria Creek	0	132	52	192	112
53	Luark Reservoir	Spring Creek	0	100	0	92	0
53	Newton Gulch Reservoir	King Creek	0	240	0	240	0
53	Ed W. Harper	Ereria Creek	200	500	200	500	500
53	Hadley No. 2 Reservoir	Egeria Creek	0	178	178	178	178
53	Morris Reservoir	Toponas Creek	0	300	0	0	0
1			300	2,378.5	751.5	2,470.5	1,474,5
1							

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES GREATER THAN 50 AF

GW GW	RESERVOIR NAME	STREAM SOURCE	1983 PREVIOUS Beg. IYR Beg	83 OUS IYR Beg.Irr.Season	1984 IYR OF I	RECORD Beg.Irr.Season
			AF %	AF %	AF 7	AF
72	Big Creek Reservoir No.3	Big Creek	815.9	1,721.8	1549.35	910.34
72	Big Creek Reservoir No. 4	Big Creek	No informat	ion Available	108.93	103.35
72	Fred McCamp Reservoir	Cottonwood Creek	No information	ion Available	38.41	44
72	Cottonwood Lakes Res. #1	Cottonwood Creek	No informat	ion Available	1860.94	517.63
72	Cottonwood Lake Res. #2	Cottonwood Creek	No information	ion Available	138.44	0
72	Big Creek Res. No. 7	Big Creek	No informat	ion Available	1069.89	258.27
72	Big Creek Reservoir #5	Big Creek	No informat	ion Available	0	94.16
72	Parker Basin Res. No. 3	Cottonwood Creek	No informat	ion Available	56.51	0.27
72	T. E. Kitson Reservoir	Cottonwood Creek	No informat	ion Available	0	136.96
72	Dawson Reservoir	Big Creek	No informat	ion Available	220.0	220.0
72	Big Creek Reservoir No.1	Big Creek	No informat	ion Available	745.8	745.8
72	Coon Creek Reservoir No.1	Coon Creek	292.64	518	0	769
72	Coon Creek Reservoir #2	Coon Creek	9.62	185	0	225
72	Coon Creek Reservoir #3	Coon Creek	0	112	0	66.4
72	Mesa Creek Reservoir #1	Mesa Creek	0	82.41	82.5	238.88
72	Mesa Creek Reservoir #2	Mesa Creek	No informat	ion Available	48.8	48.8
72	Mesa Creek Reservoir #3	Mesa Creek	0	290	0	290
72	Mesa Creek Reservoir #4	Mesa Creek	227.72	366.41	0	356.54
72	Mesa Creek Reservoir #5	Mesa Creek	No informat	ion Available	15.75	15.75
		SUB-TOTALS	1,345.93	3,275.62	6007.32	5,041.14

- 													7			1			7				-
PREVIOUS PAGE		72 Hawx Hurst Reservoir	72 Vega Reservoir	72 Monument Reservoir No. 2	72 Monument Reservoir No. 1	72 Colby Horse Park Res.	72 Parker Basin Res. No. 2	72 Parker Basin Res. No. 1	72 Stubb McKinny Clark Res	72 Twin Basin Reservoir	72 Bull Basin No. 2 Res.	72 Bull Basin No. 1 Res.	72 Big Beaver Reservoir	72 Lost Lake Reservoir	72 Bull Creek Reservoir #5	72 Bull Creek Reservoir #4	72 Bull Creek Reservoir #3	72 Bull Creek Reservoir #2	72 Bull Creek Reservoir #1		WD RESERVOIR NAME		
SUB-TOTALS TOTAL	SUB-TOTAL	Hawshurst Creek	Plateau Creek	Plateau Creek	Plateau Creek	Lean Creek	Cottonwood Creek	Cottonwood Creek	Bull Creek	Bull Creek	Bull Creek	Bull Creek	Bull Creek	Bull Creek	Bull Creek	Bull Creek	Bull Creek	Bull Creek	Bull Creek		SOURCE	STREAM	
1,345.93	18,574.05	0	18,373.0	0	0	122.31	No informat	No informat	No informat	0	0	0	0	No informat	0	78.74	0	0	0	AF %	Beg. IYR	1983 PREVIOUS	+
3,275.62	34,456.02	130	32,000.0	111.81	545.72	500.67	ion Available	ion Availabel	ion Available	114.0	57.0	132.2	130.0	ion Available	236.4	312.69	59.2	69.83	83.5	AF 7		83 OUS IYR	
6,007.32 25,035.0	19.028.13	No information	18,148.0	No information	No information	No information	111.03	271.62	230.5	114.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	69.83	83.15	AF %	Beg. IYR	1984 IYR OF RI	
817.	35, 775, 8	ion Available	33,848	ion Available	ion Available	ion Available	205.11	259.82	230.5	114.0	94.9	132.2	130.0	0	236.4	312.69	59.2	69.83	83.15	AF %	Beg.Irr.Season	1984 OF RECORD	
6,110.12 24,243.0	18, 133, 07		17,019				125.12	359.27	230.5	114.0	0	132.2	0	0	0	0	0	69.83	83.15	AF	End IYR		

スピンピスマCF女
ひしてないこと
OCTURN LES

	 	1			10 1	(5.1	15 1			, , I			I	-	<u> </u>		_ !
		72	70	53	52	51	50	45	39	38	37	36	U		¥		
	· DIVISION TOTALS												DISTRICT TOTALS, RESERVOIRS		RESERVOIR NAME		
	<u>.</u>												S LESS THAN 50 AF		SOURCE	STREAM	MEDANTICIN
1	628	0	0	0	0	233	62	2	0	236	0	95		AF S	Beg. IYR	19: PRE	O LONGWIN OOLH BIN ELLO
	1,349	0	0	137	88	503	228	16	0	236	28	113		% AF %	Beg.Irr.Season	1983 PREVIOUS IYR	IN FEE
	367	0	0	0	0	4	23	2	0	231	0	107		AF %	Вев	1984 IYR OF RECORD	
	1,074	0	37	105	26	300	178	16	0	235	22	154.6		AF 7	Beg.Irr.Season	ECORD	
	605	0	0	0	0	189	58	0	0	231	0	119		AF	n End IYR		

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES

				•)	
	STREAM	PREVIOUS	1983 IOUS IYR	IYR OF R	RECORD	
WD RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE	Beg. IYR	• 1	ÝR	Beg.Irr.Season	End IYR
		AF: %	AF %	AF 7	AF %	AF
DISTRICT TOTALS, RESERVOIRS	S GREATER THAN 50 AF					
	•	401,414	309,314	384,179	290,408	381,566
37		40,975	5,938	47,278	44,600	30,189
38		103,167	89,852	99,984	101,172	103,272
39		7,936	18,860	10,768	18,519	14,909
45		0	230	110	230	115
50		3,118	6,742	3,332	8,103	4,218
51		429,705	255,681	625,867	611,909	646033
52		0	0	0	0	0
53		300	2,378	752	2,470	1,474
70		0	0	0	0.	. 0
72		19,920	37,732	25,035	40,817	24,243
DIVISION TOTAL RESERVOIRS GRI	GREATER THAN 50 AF	1,006,535	726,727	1,197,305	1,118,228	1,206,019
TOTAL RESERVOIRS LE	SS THAN 50 AF	628	1,349	367	1,074	605
DIVISION V TOTAL	STORAGE	1,007,200	728,100	1,197,672	1,119,302	1,206,624

WATER DIVERSION SUMMARIES BY DISTRICT DIVISION V

					72	70	53	52	51	50	45	39	38	37	36		₩D	-
				2946	269	58	244	114	292	193	190	160	616	454	356	WA	AC AC	- FC
				6	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	NWA	ACTIVE ACTIVE	יז היהטמנ
		-		1808	257	72	158	49	314	87	127	151	216	132	245	NU	,	
				3294	621	124	267	90	540	29	488	363	66	415	291	NR	INACTIVE	חדוור
				11,621	3,282	733	1,474	475	659	668	1,226	526	597	1,041	940	DITCH VISITATIONS	NUMBER OF	FCTTMATED
				5,618,056	1,504,213	51,906	865,200	59,292	791,664	76,860	143,742	186,646	809,063	220,393	909,077	-AF-	DIVERSION	TOTAL
				460,487	14,204	37	1,784	26	221,280	6,004	134	8,464	63,848	5,058	139,648	TO STORAGE		TOTAL
				2,492,787	953,059	49,462	126,267	58,546	148,528	70,523	120,908	166,895	513,508	167,452	117,634	DIVERSIONS -AF-	TOTAL	
				349,670	103,656	9,185	26,975	8,305	29,662	22,573	31,422	23,275	58,432	20,890	15,295	ACRES IRRIGATED	NUMBER OF	TRRIGATION
				7.13	9.19	5.39	4.68	7.05	5.01	3.12	3.85	7.17	8.79	8.02	7.69	AVERAGE AF PER ACRE		4

WATER DIVERSION SUMMARIES BY DISTRICT IN ACRE FEET (Continued)

-	1				4	72	70	53	52	51 2	50	45	39	38	37	36	o x G
					439,926					271,643				115,835	39,360	13,088	TRANS- MOUNTAIN OUTFLOW
					3,367	2,116			720					531			TRANSBASIN OUTFLOW
			o	^	19,797		2,407				30	17,144	216				STOCK
					46,854	18,142		4,454		3,491		1,391	1,424	8,397	6,559	2,996	MUNICIPAL
					15,751			4			13	1,088	8,922	2,297	1,964	1,463	DOMESTIC
					2.030.345	516,689		732,691		146,722						634,243	INDUSTRIAL
					53.757									53,757			RECREATIONAL
					272.75						290	2,838	725	50,890			FISHERY
					ىر	ω											COMMERCIAL

OFFICE ADMINISTRATION

WATER DIVISION V - 1984

Public Ser 3992	rved Public Consultation 200	Court Appea 23	arances	4 Profe 1 Cler:	of Employees essional & Teclical & Part-Time
District	Employee	Total Mileage	Private	State	Total Distri
36 & 37	Wayne Wells	8668		8668	8,668
38	Stephen Callicotte	6148	6148		6,148
39	James Lemon	5237	5237		5,237
45	Arlen Jackson	11456	11456	Total Pri	v. 16.463
45	Bob Gregory	2956	2956	Total Sta	ite 0
45	Glen Nelson	982	982	Total for	45 16,463
45	Richard Yeoman	1069	1069		
50	William Thompson	13222	13222		13,222
51	James Daxton	7354	7354		7,354
52 & 53	Jim Shelden	13916	13916		13,916
70	George Anderson	4798	4798		4,,798
72	Marcus Klocker	7567		7567	
72	Robert Bieser	3122	3122	Total Priv	. 17,061
72	Robert Klenda	2194	2194	Total Stat	e 7,567
72	Clifford Hill	4647	4647	Total for	72 24,628
72	Ray Hittle	4319	4319		
72	Miles Reed	2779	2779		
Office	Orlyn Bell	15778	1190	15659	
Well Ins	Alvin Cerise	11994	394	11600	
Eng.	John Blair	1620	1620		
Secretary	Mary Bacino	360	360		

WATER COURT ACTIVITIES

WATER DIVISION V

Number of Applications for Decrees 450

Number of Consultations with Referee - 516

Number of Decrees Issued by Water Court - 476

Type of Decree

Surface Water - 113 Ground Water - 187 Reservoir - 87 Combination - 47

Action Of Decree

Transfer - 2
Alternate Point - 10
Change of Use - 10
Plan for Augmentation - 37
Absolute - 83
Conditional - 99
Combination (Absolute/Conditional) - 17
Due Diligence - 132
Conditional to Absolute - 27
Combination (Due Diligence/Make Absolute) - 14
Other - 11

Number of Structures in Decrees

Types of Structures
Ditches - 91
Reservoir - 202
Wells - 322
Springs - 228
Pipelines (Pumping Stations, Etc) - 85
Canals & Tunnels - 11
Conduits - 6
Miscellaneous -13