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## WATER ADMINISTRATION

## CURRENT WATER YEAR

Accomplishments

Water Administration
Early winter snowpack and accumulated precipitation forecasts induced a level of confidence in meeting water supply demands for the upcoming 1994 water administration season. However, spring snowstorms that bring the abundance of actual moisture were marginal and the combination with unseasonably dry, hot, and windy weather shifted our attention from distribution of full supplies to distribution within the priority system and curtailment of diversion structures early in the spring runoff season. Anticipated summer rains that usually bolster summer streamflows were virtually non-existent. As with most of Western Colorado, the devastating effects of the drought were punctuated by 210 forest fires which consumed 12,633 acres of land in the Gunnison, Uncompahgre, and San Miguel River Basins. ${ }^{1}$

Strict Administration and curtailment of water rights began upon receipt of the first "river call" on March 28, 1994. Natural streamflows diminished to the extent the number one priority in Water District 40, (south side of Grand Mesa) which carries a December 17, 1881 date of appropriation, was able to receive only a partial amount of its decreed allotment during most of the irrigation season. Reservoir storage releases supplemented the amount of direct flows needed to produce quality hay and fruit crops. Unfortunately, reservoir storage has been severely depleted to now retain only minimum piscatorial or conservation pools. Most irrigation reservoirs were completely drained this fall.

San Miguel River Basin water administration and hydrologic conditions were consistent with the Grand Mesa and North Fork Valley areas. Depth of administration of

[^0]the San Miguel mainstem extended to an 1894 priority. Regulation and distribution of water resources has been further complicated by Tri-State Generation now fully exercising their power generation water rights and reservoix releases within the Appropriation Doctrine.

Active curtailment of the Uncompahgre River and tributary inflows began in March and extended through the irrigation season into September. The Gunnison Tunnel, which imports Gunnison River water into the Uncompahgre Basin, was turned on approximately two weeks ahead of historic operations to meet early demands resulting from the unseasonal warm spring. Cooperation with the Uncompahgre Valley Water Users Association (UVWUA) buffered the late irrigation season shortfall to all Uncompahgre Basin water users to a significant degree. We coordinate available direct streamflows with imported Gunnison Tunnel waters and UVWUA reservoir accounts in Blue Mesa, Taylor Park, and Ridgway Reservoirs on a daily basis to supply UVWUA members a supply meeting 85-90 percent of existing demand, and thus minimizing the depth of call to remaining Uncompahgre River Basin users dependant solely upon priority water.

The mainstem of the Gunnison River was placed on "call" July 28, 1994 by Redlands Power Canal at Grand Junction, Colorado. Low streamflow conditions were induced by a parallel series of events. Natural return flows to the Gunnison River mainstem from the Uncompahgre and North Fork of the Gunnison Rivers were virtually nonexistent due to drought conditions and efficient irrigation application. Coincidental with the poor hydrologic conditions was a scheduled fifteen-day drop in Aspinall Unit releases. Hydropower generation flows were cut to minimum levels ( 300 cfs ) to allow an aquatic study to occur within the Black Canyon of the Gunnison River National Monument. Intent of the study is to quantify the minimum streamflow necessary to encourage and support animal and vegetative biota in the Canyon. Satisfaction of the full decreed amount to Redlands ( 750 cfs ) without "flushing" the scientists working within the canyon instigated creative water administration using all basin water supply infrustructure. Supplemental Ridgway Reservoir releases in conjunction with direct flow and exchange administration on the Gunnison and Uncompahgre Rivers provided sufficient flow to fulfill the deficiency experienced by Redlands Power Canal. Another incidental benefit achieved was to supply sufficient streamflow in the reach below Redlands diversion dam to the Colorado River confluence for protection of endangered fish occupying the critical habitat.

Quality inspections were performed on all scheduled dams prescribed in the $1-2-6$ baseline program by resident Dam Safety Engineer Jim Norfleet. Water Commissioner expertise was again utilized through direct observation and reporting of 30 additional Class III dams. Their supplemental participation is quite helpful in providing an expansion of geographic coverage and timeliness.

Comprehensive review of plans and specifications was performed on seven different dam structures. Intent of the review by the resident dam safety engineer was twofold: first to receive and approve a design for new construction or repair of an existing facility which results in the best/safest structure possible within prevalent economic conditions; and second, to provide this service in a timely manner. Through direct interaction with the dam owner and representative professional engineer, we wexe able to submit the design for final approval by the State Engineer in time to allow construction within the same limited construction season. Supplemental water administration benefits were obtained by including factors such as the amount of water in storage, timing, and quantity of releases necessary to maximize beneficial use of these waters within the design review and construction planning schedules.

Outlet inspections utilizing the camera mounted inspection device (SLED) which provides an accurate visual portrayal were continued this year. Benefits of the device were captured in the revelation of two disjointed outlets that often preclude an imminent catastrophic failure.

Several dam safety program goals were achieved. They include the completion of hydrology studies for all Class $I$ dams below 7,500 feet in elevation; emergency action plans have been completed for all Class I dams; and the dams database maintained in Division IV is both current and complete.

## Hydrography

Hydrographic records compiled in Division IV were submitted to the Denver office and were published in the state publication, Stream Flow Data for Colorado 1993 Water Year. Six records were published, three of which were used in 1994 annual diversion records by adding October and combining the $A B$ Lateral and South Canal for

Water District 41, and Redlands Canal for Water District 72.

Short water supply and the associated administration required more hydrographic measurements at streamflow gaging sites and on individual ditches and canals to calibrate measuring devices/structures. Steve Tuck from Water District 40 assisted the hydrographic program by taking responsibility for ditch measurements and maintenance of two U. S. Geological Survey (USGS) gaging stations on Surface Creek. The USGS publishes these stations and make periodic visits to measure/maintain the stations, but their schedule is not sufficient to meet the intense administration demand of Surface Creek, especially in a critically short water year.

The Surface Creek station at Cedaredge was struck by lightning. Several components of the SMS system were damaged, including both shaft encoders and the DCP which was disabled on a temprorary basis. Benefits from grounding upgrades made last year were in evidence. Efforts to further insulate instruments have been accomplished.

Approximately $70 \%$ of the satellite stations have been converted to external voltage regulators. This has increased the efficiency of the solar panels charging the 12 volt batteries. Long term benefits will be increased battery life, saved work time, and less monetary expenditures. The remaining stations will be converted at routine visits during the spring station openings.

## Groundwater

The implementation of reviewing groundwater well permit applications in the division office continues to be a successful and beneficial endeavor. Efforts continue to educate county planning personnel, real estate agents, attorneys, and the general public regarding applicable statutory laws and permitting guidelines.

Records and Information
Every year progress is made on acquiring hardware and software to allow the office staff to handle their jobs more effectively. Progress in 1994 was significant due to the acquisition of two new Pentium PC's, an HP Laserjet Printer, and the installation of the Local Area Network. Increased capability from the new hardware was most noticeable when the diversion records were being processed. The new PC's have bigger hard drives and the
increased speed makes handling the larger programs much easier. Other PC's in the office are now available for additional water commissioners or staff to coincidentaly process their records which averts time conflicts. The new printer has been a pleasure to use since it is twice as fast as the old one and produces a perfect page every time.

We have been looking forward to the Local Area Network for quite some time and it has proven to be worth the wait. Each person in the office now uses a Windows capable PC tied to the network. The capability to share files from PC to PC is extremely beneficial and has saved us numerous hours not waiting for PC time. We were also able to upgrade the Cedaredge Office with a 386 PC. The Denver office has been extremely cooperative in the acquisition of the PC's and laser printer, and the installation of the LAN system. They also provided support in answering our questions and giving us training to run the system.

## Special Projects

Irrigated Acreage Determination:
The irrigated acreage project during 1994 was a continuation of the extensive effort to identify all acreage in the division. Original project intent was completed in March, 1994 which quantified the irrigated acreage and classified fields according to crop type and method of application. This project was conceived in an attempt to identify the total consumptive use in the Gunnison and San Miguel River basins.

Field identification was performed using mylar maps provided from the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR). The mylars were overlaid on USGS topo maps and orhophotos to identify structure location, stream routes, section lines and field boundaries. The majority of irrigated acreage was visually inspected and the balance verified using extensive knowledge from the water commissioner. Once corrected, the mylars were returned to the USBR for quantification of the acreage per individual field and inclusion within their data base. Stephani Schupbach in our Denver office then utilized the ArcInfo GIS software package to convert the USBR database into the current workable version that is compatible with DWR programs and databases. The corrected version is used in conjunction with a large color plotter to produce
beautiful maps of the entire division, or customized areas, which show in detail every stream and reservoir, irrigated fields in different colors representing the various types of crops, and major diversion structures.

With this database, we are able to determine total acreage amounts in each area, totals for each type of crop, and the total potential consumptive use for Division IV. Although this is a "snapshot" of the conditions in 1992, it establishes a baseline of information and is considered very accurate and we are confident of the results.

The final phase of this project is to assign each of the irrigated fields to an individual or group diversion structure ID. This will correlate the irrigated field to a canal or ditch (or well) and will quantify the total number of acres under each structure. Anticipated completion date is March of 1996.

## Quality Assurance/Quality Control (OA/QC)

An integral part of the Colorado River Decision Support System (CRDSS) is to verify and check the integrity of historic diversion data. To staff the project, dedication of Division IV time from four full time commissioners was made in conjunction with funds allocated to the CRDSS Project to add eight additional months filled by part-time division commissioners.

Period of record to be verified was 1975 to 1992. Diversion records post-1992 are deemed complete and reliable. Due to the volume of historic records, guidelines were given to only check records for structures with decreed flow greater than 10 cfs. The staff in Division IV was eager to complete the task in whole, so direction was given to verify and check all structures.

Records were checked by reviewing two different types of reports. Monthly reports were printed to check for diversion amounts that looked inconsistent, such as an obvious keypunching mistake or an amount that exceeded ditch carrying capacity. Qinfo type reports were very helpful in checking for coding inconsistencies and duplicate or conflicting records. Coding errors were the majority of problems found, due to the lack of coding standards in the past. Also, review of
staffing for an individual water district revealed there often were many different people who worked on the diversion records which had varying opinions as to coding schemes and code definitions. Required coding changes were identified, documented, and implemented to current standards.

Anticipated project completion is scheduled for February 28, 1995. The staff of Division IV has produced an historic diversion record that is both accurate and complete. Sincere appreciation and respect is extended toward their individual and collective efforts.

SIGNIFICANT WATER ISSUES

Foremost in impact to water administration and management in the Gunnison River Basin is the release of Aspinall Unit (Blue Mesa, Morrow Point, and Crystal Reservoirs) water for the protection of four endangered fish species. Authorizing language in the Colorado River Storage Project Act and supportive biological opinions quantify up to 148,000 acre feet of water in the Aspinall Unit to serve as mitigative relief for accrued depletions in the Dolores and Dallas Creek Projects. Designated storage of "endangered fish waters" will be released through the hydropower generation turbines, and subsequently protected against diversion from downstream water users for delivery below the Redlands Power Canal diversion dam located at Grand Junction, Colorado. A forum consisting of representatives from the State Engineer's Office, Colorado Water Conservation Board, United States Bureau of Reclamation, and the Fish and Wildlife Service has been coalesced to produce a five-year interim contract for furnishing water from the Aspinall Unit for the benefit of endangered fishes. specific intent and language contained within the contract serves in conjunction with the Recovery Implementation Program for Endangered Species in the Upper Colorado River Basin to protect and recover the four endangered species while allowing water development to continue in the Upper Colorado River Basin. Final contract approval is anticipated for April, 1995 which coincides with sufficient progress goals outlined in the Recovery plan timetables. The quantity of supplemental Aspinall Unit releases will be that incremental amount necessary in combination with Uncompahgre and North Fork return flows to provide 300 cfs below the Redlands diversion to the confluence with the Colorado River. As specified in the interim contract, release of Aspinall waters for endangered fish will occur during the months of July through October to alleviate natural low flow conditions.

The United States Forest Service completed a travel management plan for the Grand Mesa National Forest in December, 1994. Considerable time and effort was expended by division office and field staff to address many concerns raised by the anticipated closure of several hundred miles of improved access and roadways within forest boundaries. Preliminary planning reports indicated several roads were identified for full closure of all motorized traffic that go to or connect several intermittent reservoirs and diversion structures. The effect would directly hamper, if not preclude, the ability of water commissioners and dam safety engineers to fulfill their statutory and occupational responsibilities. Concern is also expressed by the water user community who own the reservoirs and are responsible for maintenance and repair of the dam structures. Lack of access which would not allow transportation necessary to bring in construction equipment needed to perform annual maintenance or repair work threatens public safety against catastrophic failure of a dam. Diligence of working with the forest service proved advantageous to a degree. In the final decision, state personnel are now exempt from any travel restrictions as long as identification is carried at all times in performance of their duties and they coordinate with Forest Service personnel to outline prescribed routes of travel. We continue to negotiate with the Forest Service to drop the prescribed route language. Often water distribution requires deviation from a strictly routine procedure administer Colorado's water effectively and additional routes may become necessary upon adjudication of new water rights. Reservoir/dam owners have appealed implementation of the plan for several reasons. The foremost concern is the perceived peril associated with annual renewal of a federal special use permit or operating plan.

## INVOLVEMENT WITH THE COMMUNITY

Division IV resolved to implement an increased activity level with the water user community and the public in general. Individual contact and assistance by water commissioners to local water users continues to be evident and strong. The renewed emphasis was for Montrose Division Office staff to meet with water user groups and address community service organizations whenever possible. Division personnel now represent DWR at all scheduled meetings of mutual ditch companies, conservancy districts, and other water related forums. Numerous presentations have been made to local planning departments and County Commissioner meetings to provide information and revelation of DWR responsibilities on topics ranging from administration of water rights to well
permitting critexia.
In an attempt to further enhance the public's awareness and appreciation of DWR duties, Division staff constructed an exhibit at the Montrose County Fair. Several thousand fair visitors were able to view an excellent presentation of hydrology, water administration within the priority system, hydrography, and a water development history that portrayed the significance of water in development of the arid west. Congratulations are extended to Montrose staff earning blue ribbon honors in the commercial display category.

## PERSONNEL/BUDGET

Appreciation is extended to Division IV personnel for their dedication and creativity in service. Although individual workload continues to increase in magnitude and complexity without the relief of additional personnel, innovative priority-task management combined with intrapersonnel cooperation has allowed us to satisfy our statutory obligations and provide quality service to the public in a timely manner.

Division IV underwent a significant change in personnel in 1994. Division Engineer Keith Kepler was reassigned to Division II on March 1st. Former Assistant Division Engineer Ken Knox succeeded Mr. Kepler in the appointment to the Division Engineer position. On October 24, 1994 Mr. Wayne Schieldt retained Assistant Division Engineer responsibilities. Wayne is a welcome addition to Division IV and brings with him a proven reputation in water resources engineering, personnel skills, and strong work ethic.

Budget allocations for general operating and travel remained stable. Effective March 1, 1994 the DWR implemented the new Colorado Department of Personnel opinion regarding compliance with the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA). Adoption of FLSA provisions mandate that all non-exempt employees (primarily water commissioners, technical, and clerical staff) are entitled to compensation in the time or payment at a rate calculated at one and one-half hours for every hour worked that exceeds a standard 40 hour work week. Effort by the State Engineer to convert a portion of overtime compensatory payments to fund additional FTE's will help alleviate the fiscal and time deficiencies now experienced.

COMING WATER YEAR

The quality of effective service to the public centers upon division personnel: Every effort will be made to provide new training and career enhancement opportunities.

Development of a Taylor River accounting spreadsheet is scheduled for spring, 1995. This accounting will be on a daily time step and classify water according to different types of water stored in Taylor Park Reservoir and against the first and second fill priorities. Anticipated benefits will include the ability to manage irrigation water exchanged/owned by the Uncompahgre Valley Water Users Association downstream for storage in Blue Mesa Reservoir; and to provide insight into the quantity and timing of Taylor Park Reservoir releases which may better satisfy vested downstream water rights while enhancing recreational and fishing opportunities.

Recruitment and retention of qualified personnel: Seven permanent part-time water commissioner positions will be filled by April, 1995. Three positions have been staffed by individuals on a temporary basis we hope to convert through appropriate personnel actions to the permanent status. Recruitment efforts have been targeted toward individuals exhibiting high competency, dedication, and diversity benefits through inclusion of women and minorities.

Receive authorization to issue final well permits for exempt structures in the Division IV Office. Public appreciation and knowledge of DWR activities will increase by extending an additional service provided on a local and personal basis while decreasing the amount of time necessary for final permit issuance.

Expand hydrographic coverage to include streamflow measurement and computation of an annual record at two additional locations. Two identified mainstem Gunnison River sites will be critical in the administration of waters attributed to complex exchange agreements and releases for protection of endangered species.

Dedicate personnel and other Division resources toward assisting development of the Colorado River Decision Support System (CRDSS). Conclusion of the last component in the irrigated acreage project is anticipated.

Receive and install a workstation operating under Unix programming language. The increased computational ability will allow us to integrate large volume and complex data
operations such as the CRDSS water rights planning model and the collection of site-specific river accounting spreadsheets in a more expeditious manner. Coordination with technical staff in Denver and other Division personnel will be enhanced through a wide area network (WAN).

Due to the large growth experienced in Western Colorado and also by proximate location to the resort community of Telluride, the Town of Norwood has placed a moratorium on new water taps for a lack of available supplies. The Division Engineer will serve as facilitator between the Town of Norwood and local water users in an attempt to secure a long-term physical and legal water supply for the Town.

Dam Safety program will continue to be service oriented. The dam safety engineer has validated the benefits of public appreciation and confidence in the SEO by aiding individual dam owners in the preparation of Emergency Preparedness Plans, review of plans submitted for repair of dam structures or operating facilities, and the visual inspection of outlets using the SLED device.

We hope to utilize our new computational abilities in developing a standard method of administration and accounting of adjudicated plans for augmentation. Improved formats that transfer water use and amount data from responsible users to Division personnel will be revised and implemented.

## INFLUENTIAL CASE LAW, STATUTES, AND PROJECTS

Anticipated soon in the coming year is the Colorado Supreme Court decision regarding Arapahoe County's intended appropriation, in part, to store 325,000 acre feet in Union Park Reservoir (Reference Cases 82CW340, 86CW226) at the Taylor River headwaters for subsequent transmountain diversion to the Front Range. Aside from the depletive effect the appropriation would have on tributary inflow to the Gunnison River will be the Supreme Court's interpretation of Division IV Judge Robert Brown's adjudication pertaining to conditional water rights. Specifically, in the ruling which quantified the amount of water available for appropriation by Arapahoe County, Judge Brown included the amounts granted to conditional water rights in the computation of downstream demand. It is anticipated the Supreme Court's ruling will thus provide guidance as to the necessity to include conditional decrees in a future water right analysis and adjudications.

City of Grand Junction filed multiple water right
applications to expand the existing storage rights they own and operate beyond irrigation to include municipal use and allow a second fill in high runoff years. Water stored in these 1993 priorities would be available for transbasin export to the Colorado River Basin for municipal use by Grand Junction. Transbasin municipal uses will expand the time of diversion beyond traditional irrigation season April through October to year-round and will be $100 \%$ consumptive by export out of the Kannah Creek watershed.

City of Grand Junction attempts to mitigate injury to vested rights within Kannah Creek by not utilizing their senior irrigation storage rights in the reservoirs in moderate and low water supply years. In effect they will provide annual notice to this office by April lst as to what portion of the senior irrigation priority they wish to not utilize (or fill) for each reservoir. Water will then be available for appropriation by downstream Kannah Creek users that are junior to the City's senior irrigation storage rights. The City will be able to fill under the 1993 municipal priority only after all pre-December 15, 1993 rights have been fulfilled.

Opposers allege that at "face value", the administration scheme contemplated by the City will injure Kannah Creek users. The relatively junior first storage priorities (1941) owned by the City taken in conjunction with the limited time of high spring runoff in the Kannah Creek Basin will result in an effective change of water right. Succinctly, in a low water year, the City will declare on April lst the amount they wish to relinquish of their 1941 priority and then be allowed to store under the 1993. Kannah Creek users allege there will be no recognizable difference in supply to their diversions. Alleged injury will result in the storage and transport of waters from the watershed that historically has benefitted the system as return flows and that which aids in partial contribution of Kannah creek conveyance losses.

Judge Brown recently ruled in favor of Kannah Creek water users to the extent the pending application does indeed constitute a change in water right and the City of Grand Junction must ammend their application accordingly. The more restrictive analysis and protection against downstream injury to vested water rights in the change case will be necessary.
A. TRANSMOUNTAIN DIVERSION SUMMARY--INFLOWS

| RECIPIENT |  |  |  | SOURCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-YR AVERAGE CURRENT YR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WD | ID | NAME | STREAM | AF | DAYS | AF | DAYS | WD | ID | STREAM |
| 68 | N/A | Carbon Lake D | Uncompahgre | 246.2 | 93.1 | 205.0 | 43 | 30 | 4660 | Animas R |
| 68 | N/A | Mineral Pt D | Uncompahgre | 115.0 | 50.4 | 75.0 | 28 | 30 | 4661 | Animas R |
| 68 | N/A | Red Mountain | Uncompahgre | 33.0 | 41.1 | 14.1 | 17 | 30 | 4662 | Animas R |
| 40 | N/A | Leon Lk Tunl | Surface Cr | 1598.0 | 79.7 | 91.0 | 31 | 72 | 4520 | Leon Cr |

B. TRANSMOUNTAIN DIVERSION SUMMARY--OUTFLOWS

| 17 | N/A | Larkspur D | Arkansas R | 168 | 77.5 | 146 | 119 | 28 | 4655 | Tomichi C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | N/A | Tarbell D | Saguache Cr | 158 | 22.7 | 207 | 81 | 28 | 4656 | Cochetopa |
| 20 | N/A | Tabor | Clear Cr | 830 | 143.5 | 633 | 157 | 62 | 774 | Cebolla C |
| 45 | 577 | Divide C Hi | Divide Cr | *1389 | 42.0 | 1168 | 67 | 40 | 4657 | Cl Fk Mud |
| 72 | N/A | City Pipeline | Colorado R | *1601 | 357.5 | 1608 | 362 | 42 | 4710 | Kannah Cr |
| 72 | N/A | Hollenbeck R | Colorado R | *4075 | 364.5 | 674 | 365 | 42 | 3618 | Kannah Cr |
| 72 | N/A | Redlands Can | Colorado R | 526015 | 347.0 | 516500 | 353 | 42 | 4713 | Gunnison |
| 72 | N/A | Fruita Pl | Colorado $R$ |  |  | *** | *** |  | 4712 | East Cr |

[^1]RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARY
IRRIGATION YEAR - 1294

|  |  |  | AMOUNT OF STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MINIMUM |  |  | MAXIMUM |  | END YR |
| WD | ID | RESERVOIR NAME | SOURCE STREAM | AF | DATE | AF | DATE |  |
| 28 | 3590 | Hot Sprgs R | Hot Springs Cr | 131.9 | 09/07/94 | 571.0 | 05/02/94 | Unknown |
| 28 | 3591 | McDonough \#1 | Los Pinos Cr | 368.0 | 07/28/94 | 805.2 | 06/10/94 | Unknown |
| 28 | 3592 | McDonough \#2 | Los Pinos Cr | 221.3 | 07/22/94 | 607.8 | 05/05/94 | Unknown |
| 28 | 3593 | Needle Creek | Needle Cr | 382.8 | 08/02/94 | 811.9 | 05/31/94 | Unknown |
| 28 | 3594 | Upper Dome R | Cochetopa Cr | 519.7 | 07/22/94 | 806.7 | 05/05/94 | Unknown |
| 28 | 3595 | Vouga Res | Razor Cr | 131.0 | 09/13/94 | 925.0 | 05/31/94 | Unknown |
| 40 | 3412 | Ault Res | Muddy Cr | 58.0 | 10/31/93 | 116.0 | 10/31/94 | 2.25 |
| 40 | 3414 | East Beckwith | Anthracite | 368.9 | 10/31/93 | 368.9 | 10/31/94 | 190.90 |
| 40 | 3413 | Bruce Park Res | Hubbard Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 556.0 | 10/31/94 | 80.0 |
| 40 | 3399 | Overland Res 1 | Muddy Cr | 250.0 | 10/31/93 | 6198.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3416 | Paonia Res | Muddy Cr | 6217.0 | 10/31/93 | 17641.0 | 10/31/94 | 1346.0 |
| 40 | 3417 | Spatafora Res | Muddy Cr | 50.0 | 10/31/93 | 75.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3418 | Tomahawk Res | Muddy Cr | 87.3 | 10/31/93 | 87.3 | 10/31/94 | 52.3 |
| 40 | 3419 | Williams Cr R | Muddy Cr | 59.5 | 10/31/93 | 100.0 | 10/31/94 | 9.3 |
| 40 | 3391 | Bald Mt Res | Crystal Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 88.8 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3394 | Don Meek 1 | Crystal Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 45.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARY
IRRIGATION YEAR - 1994

| AMOUNT OF STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINIMUM MAXIMUM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WD | ID | RESERVOIR NAME | SOURCE STREAM | AF | DATE | AF | DATE | END YR |
| 40 | 3395 | Fruitland Res | Crystal Cr | 266.8 | 10/31/93 | 8271.7 | 10/31/94 | 27.3 |
| 40 | 3392 | Bottle Stomp R | Iron Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 17.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3553 | Crawford Res | Iron Cr | 7835.0 | 10/31/93 | 141.36 .0 | 10/31/94 | 3795.0 |
| 40 | 3397 | Meek Res | Iron Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 29.3 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3401 | Rockwell 1 R | Iron Cr | 25.0 | 10/31/93 | 50.8 | 10/31/94 | 15.0 |
| 40 | 3403 | TYler Res | Iron Cr | 70.0 | 10/31/93 | 160.0 | 10/31/94 | 10.0 |
| 40 | 3400 | Poison Spr Res | Gunnison R | 50.0 | 10/31/93 | 75.0 | 10/31/94 | 40.0 |
| 40 | 3402 | Todd Res | McDonald Cr | 60.0 | 10/31/93 | 60.0 | 10/31/94 | 50.0 |
| 40 | 3420 | Bailey Res | Leroux Cr | 95.0 | 10/31/93 | 423.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3421 | Brockman 1 R | Leroux Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 16.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3422 | Brockman 2 R | Leroux Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 41.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3423 | Carl Smith R | Leroux Cr | 406.0 | 10/31/93 | 780.0 | 10/31/94 | 316.0 |
| 40 | 3424 | Dog Fish Res | Leroux Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 243.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3425 | Dowdy Res | Leroux Cr | 49.0 | 10/31/93 | 264.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3426 | Ella Res | Leroux Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 98.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3427 | Elk Wallows R | Leroux Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 218.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARY
IRRIGATION YEAR - 1994

|  |  |  | AMOUNT OF STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MINIMUM |  |  |  | MAXIMUM |  |  |
| WD | ID | RESERVOIR NAME | SOURCE | STREAM | AF | DATE | AF | DATE | END YR |
| 40 | 3428 | Ellington Cook | Leroux |  | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 24.5 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3429 | Fairmont Park | Leroux |  | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 30.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3430 | Fairmont Res | Leroux |  | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 78.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3431 | Fisher Res | Leroux | Cr | 10.0 | 10/31/93 | 10.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3432 | Goodenough Res | Leroux | Cr | 491.0 | 10/31/93 | 633.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3433 | Gray Res | Leroux |  | 56.0 | 10/31/93 | 424.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3435 | Hanson 2 Res | Leroux | Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 225.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3437 | Hunt Res | Leroux |  | 10.0 | 10/31/93 | 124.0 | 10/31/94 | 10.0 |
| 40 | 3438 | Lucky Find Res | Leroux |  | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 66.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3439 | Miller Res | Leroux |  | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 24.4 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3440 | Owens Res | Leroux | Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 92.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3441 | Patterson Res | Leroux |  | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 78.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3442 | Patterson 2 R | Leroux | Cr | 151.0 | 10/31/93 | 151.0 | 10/31/94 | 151.0 |
| 40 | 3443 | Pine Cone Res | Leroux |  | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 37.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3444 | Reynolds Res | Leroux | Cr | 88.0 | 10/31/93 | 176.0 | 10/31/94 | 134.0 |
| 40 | 3446 | Skim Milk | Leroux | Cr | 47.0 | 10/31/93 | 90.0 | 10/31/94 | 25.0 |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARY
IRRIGATION YEAR - 1994

|  |  |  | AMOUNT OF STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MINIMUM |  |  | MAXIMUM |  |  |
| WD | ID | RESERVOIR NAME | SOURCE STREAM | AF | DATE | AF | DATE | END YR |
| 40 | 3447 | Wash Tub Res | Leroux Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 0.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3448 | Water Bug R | Leroux Cr | 48.0 | 10/31/93 | 48.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3449 | Willow Res | Leroux Cr | 128.0 | 10/31/93 | 128.0 | 10/31/94 | 40.0 |
| 40 | 3406 | Beaver Res | Minn Cr | 18.0 | 10/31/93 | 1145.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3407 | Lone Cabin R | Minn Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 163.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3408 | Monument Res | Minn Cr | 63.0 | 10/31/93 | 464.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3410 | Roeber 2 Res | Minn Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 44.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3411 | West Res | Jay Cr | 184.0 | 10/31/93 | 604.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3714 | Lucas Cline R | North Fork R | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 9.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3409 | Reynolds Res | Reynolds Cr | 60.0 | 10/31/93 | 100.0 | 10/31/94 | 40.0 |
| 40 | 3436 | Holy Terror R | Terror Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 146.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3445 | Rex Res | Terror Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 24.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3300 | Alexander Lake | Ward Creek | 157.0 | 10/31/93 | 157.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3302 | Barren Lake | Kiser Cr | 800.0 | 10/31/93 | 800.0 | 10/31/94 | 678.5 |
| 40 | 3450 | Basin \#1 | Dirty George C | 31.8 | 10/31/93 | 96.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3451 | Basin \#2 | Dirty George C | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 26.6 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARY
IRRIGATION YEAR - 1994

|  |  |  | AMOUNT OF STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MINIMUM |  |  | MAXIMUM |  |  |
| WD | ID | RESERVOIR NAME | SOURCE STREAM | AF | DATE | AF | DATE | END YR |
| 40 | 3452 | Battlement 1 | Dirty George C | 87.4 | 10/31/93 | 87.4 | 10/31/94 | 58.58 |
| 40 | 3453 | Battlement 2 | Dirty George C | 86.7 | 10/31/93 | 257.3 | 10/31/94 | 4.38 |
| 40 | 3341 | Bonita | Surface Cr | 278.0 | 10/31/93 | 278.0 | 10/31/94 | 15.29 |
| 40 | 3304 | Bull Finch 1 | Kiser Cr | 56.6 | 10/31/93 | 72.4 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3305 | Bull Finch 2 | Kiser Cr | 17.2 | 10/31/93 | 22.1 | 10/31/94 | 12.34 |
| 40 | 3303 | Boulder Lake 1 | Ward Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 0.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3342 | Cabin Lake | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 27.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3378 | Calumet | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 16.9 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3366 | Carbonate Cmp 3 | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 4.4 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3306 | Carbonate Cmp 6 | Youngs Cr | 56.7 | 10/31/93 | 129.6 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3307 | Carbonate Cmp 7 | Youngs Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 107.6 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3343 | Cedar Mesa | Surface Cr | 263.8 | 10/31/93 | 919.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3379 | Cole 1 | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 22.4 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3380 | Cole 2 | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 55.5 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3381 | Cole 3 (Chy Ln) | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 42.2 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3344 | Cole 4 | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 20.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARY
IRRIGATION YEAR - 1994

|  |  |  | AMOUNT OF STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MINIMUM |  |  | MAXIMUM |  |  |
| WD | ID | RESERVOIR NAME | SOURCE STREAM | AF | DATE | AF | DATE | END YR |
| 40 | 3345 | Cole 5 | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 116.2 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3308 | Daniels Sl | Kiser Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 228.0 | 10/31/94 | 71.2 |
| 40 | 3309 | Deep slough | Ward Cr | 56.0 | 10/31/93 | 498.4 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3310 | Deep Ward | Ward Cr | 1700.0 | 10/31/93 | 1700.0 | 10/31/94 | 70.0 |
| 40 | 3346 | Deserted Park | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 30.7 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3311 | Donnelly Sl | Kiser Cr | 139.2 | 10/31/93 | 277.0 | 10/31/94 | 114.5 |
| 40 | 3382 | Doughty 1 | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 48.2 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3383 | Doughty 2 | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 17.3 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3347 | Dreyfus | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 40.3 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3312 | Eggleston Lake | Kiser Cr | 2528.0 | 10/31/93 | 2705.0 | 10/31/94 | 2054.9 |
| 40 | 3348 | Elk Park | Surface Cr | 96.8 | 10/31/93 | 96.8 | 10/31/94 | 96.8 |
| 40 | 3549 | Eureka 1 | Youngs Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 27.1 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3349 | Eureka 2 | Youngs Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 53.5 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3350 | Trout Lake | Surface Cr | 21.7 | 10/31/93 | 76.9 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3313 | Forrest | Ward Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 64.1 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3314 | Goodenough | Kiser Cr | 42.6 | 10/31/93 | 152.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |

RESERVQIR STORAGE SUMMARY
IRRIGATION YEAR - 1994

|  |  |  | AMOUNT OF STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MINIMUM |  |  | MAXIMUM |  |  |
| WD | ID | RESERVOIR NAME | SOURCE STREAM | AF | DATE | AF | DATE | END YR |
| 40 | 3455 | Granby 6 | Dirty George C | 46.0 | 10/31/93 | 46.0 | 10/31/94 | 44.43 |
| 40 | 3456 | Granby 7 | Dirty George C | 51.3 | 10/31/93 | 76.1 | 10/31/94 | 56.97 |
| 40 | 3457 | Granby 8 | Dirty George C | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 13.3 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3458 | Granby 9 | Dirty George C | 66.3 | 10/31/93 | 72.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3454 | Granby 5-11 | Dirty George C | 454.2 | 10/31/93 | 775.0 | 10/31/94 | 124.80 |
| 40 | 3459 | Granby 12 | Dirty George C | 358.3 | 10/31/93 | 523.0 | 10/31/94 | 309.30 |
| 40 | 3351 | Greenwood | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 56.8 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3384 | Hale | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 32.6 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3315 | Hotel Twin L | Ward Creek | 548.7 | 10/31/93 | 548.7 | 10/31/94 | 236.00 |
| 40 | 3316 | Howard | Kiser Cr | 64.9 | 10/31/93 | 64.9 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3317 | Island Lake | Ward Cr | 1426.3 | 10/31/93 | 1426.4 | 10/31/94 | 322.90 |
| 40 | 3352 | Kehmeier | Surface Cr | 105.1 | 10/31/93 | 319.5 | 10/31/94 | 4.47 |
| 40 | 3319 | Kiser Slough | Surface Cr | 48.2 | 10/31/93 | 512.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3318 | Kennicott Sl | Kiser Cr | 28.6 | 10/31/93 | 811.5 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3353 | Knox | Surface Cr | 67.9 | 10/31/93 | 213.1 | 10/31/94 | 27.63 |
| 40 | 4520 | Leon Lake | Leon Cr | 882.3 | 10/31/93 | 1770.9 | 10/31/94 | 73.18 |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARY
IRRIGATION YEAR - 1994

|  |  |  | AMOUNT OF STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MINIMUM |  |  | MAXIMUM |  | END YR |
| WD | ID | RESERVOIR NAME | SOURCE STREAM | AF | DATE | AF | DATE |  |
| 40 | 3385 | Leon Park | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 117.9 | 10/31/94 | 117.90 |
| 40 | 3320 | Lilly Pad | Youngs Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 20.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3386 | Little Giant 1 | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 26.4 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3387 | Little Giant 2 | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 10.2 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3322 | Little Grouse | Youngs Cr | 52.5 | 10/31/93 | 52.5 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3321 | Little Gem | Ward Cr | 219.0 | 10/31/93 | 219.0 | 10/31/94 | 69.24 |
| 40 | 3388 | Marcott | Surface Cr | 67.4 | 10/31/93 | 431.2 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3323 | McKoon | Youngs Cr | 125.8 | 10/31/93 | 125.8 | 10/31/94 | 6.89 |
| 40 | 3354 | Military | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 236.6 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3355 | Park | Surface Cr | 1868.2 | 10/31/93 | 3383.4 | 10/31/94 | 126.82 |
| 40 | 3324 | P C \& G 1 | Kiser Cr | 19.4 | 10/31/93 | 19.4 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3325 | Pedro | Youngs Cr | 108.8 | 10/31/93 | 195.0 | 10/31/94 | 15.98 |
| 40 | 3326 | Pine | Youngs Cr | 4.2 | 10/31/93 | 11.3 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3327 | Prebble | Youngs Cr | 122.5 | 10/31/93 | 193.1 | 10/31/94 | 88.71 |
| 40 | 3328 | Rim Rock Lake | Ward Cr | 107.9 | 10/31/93 | 107.9 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3329 | Rockland | Ward Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 0.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARY
IRRIGATION YEAR - 1994

|  |  |  | AMOUNT OF STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MINIMUM |  |  | MAXIMUM |  | END YR |
| WD | ID | RESERVOIR NAME | SOURCE STREAM | AF | DATE | AF | DATE |  |
| 40 | 3356 | Round Lake | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 17.2 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3330 | Ryan | Youngs Cr | 40.3 | 10/31/93 | 40.3 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3357 | Sackett | Surface Cr | 69.8 | 10/31/93 | 108.0 | 10/31/94 | 50.78 |
| 40 | 3331 | safety 1 \& 2 | Cottonwood Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 20.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3332 | Scotland Peak | Ward Cr | 120.5 | 10/31/93 | 120.5 | 10/31/94 | 39.20 |
| 40 | 3333 | Sheep Lake | Ward Cr | 82.0 | 10/31/93 | 154.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3358 | Stell | Surface Cr | 49.5 | 10/31/93 | 65.0 | 10/31/94 | 26.55 |
| 40 | 3389 | Trickle | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 32.7 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3359 | Trio | Surface Cr | 62.9 | 10/31/93 | 164.3 | 10/31/94 | 49.42 |
| 40 | 3360 | Twin Lake 1 | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 61.3 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3361 | Twin Lake 2 | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 37.2 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3334 | Upper Hotel L | Ward Cr | 99.7 | 10/31/93 | 106.0 | 10/31/94 | 82.43 |
| 40 | 3362 | Vela | Surface Cr | 227.3 | 10/31/93 | 436.6 | 10/31/94 | 95.45 |
| 40 | 3335 | Ward Cr | Ward Cr | 153.6 | 10/31/93 | 284.4 | 10/31/94 | 160.83 |
| 40 | 3363 | Weir/Johnson 2 | Surface Cr | 473.6 | 10/31/93 | 593.9 | 10/31/94 | 190.83 |
| 40 | 3364 | Weir Park | Surface Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 40.7 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARY
IRRIGATION YEAR - 1994

|  |  |  | AMOUNT OF STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MINIMUM |  |  | MAXIMUM |  | END YR |
| WD | ID | RESERVOIR NAME | SOURCE STREAM | AF | DATE | AF' | DATE |  |
| 40 | 3336 | Womack 1 | Ward Cr | 40.7 | 10/31/93 | 202.4 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3337 | Womack 2 \& 3 | Cottonwood Cr | 101.7 | 10/31/93 | 101.5 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3340 | Womack 5 | Cottonwood Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 18.5 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3338 | Young Cr 1 \& 2 | Youngs Cr | 238.8 | 10/31/93 | 807.5 | 10/31/94 | 246.07 |
| 40 | 3339 | Youngs Cr 3 | Youngs Cr | 200.6 | 10/31/93 | 200.6 | 10/31/94 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 3390 | $Y \& S$ | Surface Cr | 91.6 | 10/31/93 | 189.6 | 10/31/94 | 29.47 |
| 40 | 3365 | Fruitgrowers | Alfallfa Run | 2456.0 | 10/31/93 | 5712.8 | 10/31/94 | 1620.00 |
| 40 | 3368 | Beaver Dam | Escalante Cr | 86.5 | 10/31/93 | 610.0 | 10/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 3370 | Clark Res | Oak Cr | 19.7 | 10/31/93 | 50.8 | 10/31/94 | 13.90 |
| 40 | 3373 | Dugger Res | Oak Cr | 201. 8 | 10/31/93 | 212.1 | 10/31/94 | 168.90 |
| 40 | 3374 | Morris 2 | Oak Cr | 16.3 | 10/31/93 | 16.3 | 10/31/94 | 16.30 |
| 40 | 3375 | Pitcarin Res | Doughspoon Cr | 59.0 | 10/31/93 | 76.0 | 10/31/94 | 55.00 |
| 40 | 3376 | Porter 1 | Oak Cr | 201.8 | 10/31/93 | 201. 8 | 10/31/94 | 163.40 |
| 40 | 3377 | Porter 4 | Oak Cr | 38.0 | 10/31/93 | 38.0 | 10/31/94 | 38.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARY
IRRIGATION YEAR - 1994

|  |  |  | AMOUNT OF STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MINIMUM |  |  | MAXIMUM |  |  |
| WD | ID | RESERVOIR NAME | SOURCE STREAM | AF | DATE | AF | DATE | END YR |
| 42 | 3600 | Anderson R 1 | Kannah Cr | 301.0 | 10/31/93 | 468.0 | 05/31/94 | 160.0 |
| 42 | 3601 | Anderson R 2 | Kannah Cr | 260.0 | 10/31/93 | 461.0 | 06/30/94 | 0.0 |
| 42 | 3602 | Bolen AJ R 2 | Kannah Cr | 0.0 | 10/03/93 | 240.0 | 05/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 42 | 3603 | Bolen Res | Kannah Cr | 32.0 | 10/31/93 | 499.0 | 05/31/94 | 68.0 |
| 42 | 3604 | Carson Lake | Kannah Cr | 681.0 | 10/31/93 | 653.0 | 05/31/94 | 637.0 |
| 42 | 3606 | Deep Cr R 2 | Kannah Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 353.0 | 05/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 42 | 3607 | Dry Cr R Sup | Kannah Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 190.0 | 05/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 42 | 3608 | Flowing Pk R | Kannah Cr | 302.0 | 11/30/93 | 768.0 | 05/31/94 | 190.0 |
| 42 | 3609 | Fruita Res I | East Cr | 80.0 | 10/31/93 | 140.0 | 05/31/94 | 60.0 |
| 42 | 3614 | Grand Mesa 1 | Kannah Cr | 158.0 | 10/31/93 | 373.0 | 05/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 42 | 3615 | Grand Mesa 6 | Kannah Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 195.0 | 05/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 42 | 3616 | Grand Mesa R 8 | Kannah Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 379.0 | 05/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 42 | 3617 | Grand Mesa R 9 | Kannah Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 126.0 | 05/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 42 | 3618 | Hallenbeck R 1 | Kannah Cr | 554.0 | 10/31/93 | 741.0 | 05/31/94 | 379.0 |
| 42 | 3619 | Hallenbeck R 2 | Kannah Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 459.0 | 05/31/94 | 17.0 |
| 42 | 3620 | Juniata Res | Kannah Cr | 5901.0 | 10/31/93 | 6819.0 | 05/31/94 | 5163.0 |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARY
IRRIGATION YEAR - 1994

|  |  |  | AMOUNT OF STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MINIMUM |  |  | MAXIMUM |  |  |
| WD | ID | RESERVOIR NAME | SOURCE STREAM | AF | DATE | AF | DATE | END YR |
| 42 | 3624 | Scales Res 3 | Kannah Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 79.0 | 06/30/94 | 47.0 |
| 42 | 3625 | Somerville R 1 | Whitewater Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 879.0 | 05/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 42 | 3630 | Anderson R 6 | Kannah Cr | 0.0 | 10/31/93 | 83.0 | 05/31/94 | 0.0 |
| 59 | 3666 | Taylor Pk Res | Taylor River | 64472. | 04/07/94 | 100,814 | 06/24/94 | 69,258 |
| 60 | 3507 | Gurley R | Beaver Cr | 510.0 | 11/01/94 | 8,971 | 06/24/94 | 1,1466 |
| 60 | 3511 | Lone Cone R | Bennet Cr | 215.0 | 11/01/94 | 1,840 | 06/01/94 | 365 |
| 60 | 3510 | Lilylands | Naturita Cr | 70.0 | 11/01/94 | 494 | 05/24/94 | 110 |
| 60 | 3512 | Miramonte | W Naturita Cr | 6851.0 | 11/01/94 | 6,851 | 06/01/94 | 685 |
| 60 | 3510 | Paxton Res | Horsefly Cr | 488.0 | 11/01/94 | 805 | 06/10/94 | 488 |
| 61 | 3551 | Buckeye Res | W Paradox Cr | 238.0 | 09/19/94 | 2,069 | 06/04/94 | 348 |
| 62 | 3552 | Blue Mesa | Gunnison R | 558229 | 04/14/94 | 756,586 | 06/27/94 | 601,302 |
| 62 | 3578 | Crystal | Gunnison $r$ | 12100 | 02/26/94 | 17,000 | 08/01/94 | 17,000 |
| 62 | 3545 | Morrow Pt | Gunnison R | 107000 | 04/21/94 | 114,900 | 12/08/94 | 111,300 |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARY
IRRIGATION YEAR - 1994

|  |  |  | AMOUNT OF STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MINIMUM |  |  | MAXIMUM |  |  |
| WD | ID | RESERVOIR NAME | SOURCE STREAM | AF | DATE | AF | DATE | END YR |
| 62 | 3548 | Silverjack | Big Cimarron | 2,561 | 10/24/94 | 13,496 | 07/04/94 | 69,258 |
| 68 | 3675 | Ridgway | Uncompahgre R | 64,287 | 08/30/94 | 83,357 | 06/27/94 | 66,212 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

WATER DIVERSION SUMMARIES TO VARIOUS USES

| WD | TRANS <br> OUNTAIN <br> OUTFLOW | TRANS <br> BASIN <br> OUTFLOW | MUNIC- <br> IPAL | COMMER- <br> CIAL | INDUS- <br> TRIAL | RECRE- <br> ATION | FISH- <br> ERY | DOMES/ <br> HOUSE- <br> HOLD | STOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 40 | 1,168 | 0 | 4,533 | 0 | 367 | 0 | 10,015 | 118 | 5,969 |
| 41 | 0 | 0 | 7,173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,448 | 0 | 1,625 |
| 42 | 524,232 | 287 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1,535 |
| 59 | 0 | 0 | 2,781 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92,463 | 0 | 0 |
| 60 | 0 | 0 | 1,409 | 12,329 | 164 | 385 | 1,400 | 389 | 367 |
| 61 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 1,342 |
| 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,286 | 0 |  |
| 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 68 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 750 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 63 | 7,400 |
| 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,820 |  |  |
| TOT | 525,400 | 287 | 13,085 | 13,085 | 531 | 385 | 112,817 | 648 | 20,100 |

WATER DIVERSION SUMMARIES TO VARIOUS USES, continued

| WD | AUGMEN- <br> TATION | EVAPO- <br> RATION | GEO- <br> THER- <br> MAL | SNOW <br> MAKING | MIN <br> STREAM <br> FLOW | POWER <br> GENERA- <br> TION | WILD- <br> LIFE | RE- <br> CHARG- <br> ES | OTHER |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$|$

WATER DIVERSION SUMMARIES

|  | Structures reporting |  |  | ALL OTHER STRUCTURES |  |  |  |  | TO IRRIGATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WD | With Record (1) | No Water Avail (2) | No Water Taken <br> (3) | No Info Avail <br> (4) | No Record (5) | Estimate \# Visits Structure | Total Diversions AF | Total. <br> Divexsions <br> to Storage $A F$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Diversions } \\ A F \end{gathered}$ | Number of Acres Irrigated | Average AF Per Acre |
| 28 | 249 | 8 | 8 | 34 | 207 | 2,126 | 170,201 | 3,716 | 166,199 | 23,710 | 7.01 |
| 40 | 768 | 11 | 138 | 293 | 797 | 17,274 | 450,344 | 4,006 | 423,469 | 109,005 | 3.88 |
| 41 | 78 | 1 | 16 | 30 | 193 | 1,550 | 1,143,346 | 0 | 1,133,100 | 71,294 | 15.89 |
| 42 | 160 | 2 | 35 | 131 | 130 | 2,992 | 565,199 | 17,910 | 18,369 | 5,517 | 3.33 |
| 59 | 187 | 0 | 18 | 81 | 699 | 2,301 | 341,011 | 36,504 | 205,773 | 31,527 | 6.50 |
| 60 | 266 | 2 | 43 | 95 | 768 | 2,247 | 131,256 | 7,190 | 98,754 | 30,774 | 3.21 |
| 61 | 54 | 2 | 18 | 2 | 7 | 2,470 | 14,454 | 4,249 | 8,632 | 3,383 | 2.55 |
| 62 | 130 | 0 | 28 | 126 | 612 | 1,366 | 3,094,245 | 229,500 | 101,214 | 12,512 | 8.09 |
| 63 | 141 | 1 | 35 | 30 | 51 | 1,216 | 22,775 | 0 | 20,367 | 2,590 | 7.86 |
| 68 | 153 | 1 | 56 | 16 | 544 | 1,918 | 146,028 | 26,105 | 108,316 | 15,808 | 6.85 |
| 73 | 67 | 1 | 10 | 49 | 47 | 226 | 7,176 | 22 | 7,102 | 3,048 | 2.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 329202 | 2291255 | 309168 |  |

[^2]
## WATER CQURT ACTIVITIES

Applications for Decrees ..... 264
Consultations with Referee ..... 208
Decrees Issued by Water Court ..... 191
Dismissals ..... 5
Complaints ..... 0
\#Struc. ..... \#Cases
New Cond. \& Dil. on Cond. Rights ..... 69
Cancellations of Cond. Rights ..... 27
Conditional Rights Made Absolute ..... 4
Underground Water Rights Adjudicated ..... 69 ..... 30
Surface Water Rights Adjudicated ..... 238Water Storage Rights Adjudicated 5454
Plans for Augmentation Adjudicated ..... 7
Change of Water Rights/Location ..... 6
Change of Water Rights/Use Adj. ..... 2
Instream Flow Rights Adjudicated ..... 0
Total ..... 361 ..... 317
















PERSON
PLACING

Bill Kissner
Charlie Lutje
Bud Hawkins
Harry Vaughn Harry Vaughn

Bill Kissner | $n$ |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 1 |

Wanda Gilmore Leonard Mattive George Bertram Archie Peterson Hal Rudisaile Frost







| Water District 41 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ADMIN \# | DATE |
| CALI STRUC | OF CALL |
| 24221.22524 | $6 / 3 / 94$ |
| 24221.22524 | $3 / 28 / 94$ |
| 11628.00000 | $7 / 7 / 94$ |
|  |  |
| Water District 42 |  |
| ADMIN \# | DATE |
| CALL STRUC | OF CALL |
| 22848.21258 | $5 / 20 / 94$ |
| 12724.00000 | $4 / 22 / 94$ |
| 12724.00000 | $6 / 18 / 94$ |
| 22283.20300 | $7 / 29 / 94$ |
|  |  |
| Water District 59 |  |




-
NAME OF
STRUCTURE

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Maverick Draw } \\
& \text { BCD Ditch } \\
& \text { Highline Canal } \\
& \text { Nucla Power Pl } \\
& \text { Hankins D }
\end{aligned}
$$



|  | $\stackrel{H}{4}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ¢ ${ }_{\text {E }}$ |
| 石 | 0 | 仿 | $\otimes 0$ |
| 0 | $\bigcirc$ | O | ¢ ¢ ¢ |
| $\square$ | U | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 何 |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ |  | $\Omega_{1}$ |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ |  | ○日 |



Collier Ditch Schnecker
Johnson


TAME OF NAME OF
STRUCTURE
Mooreland Ditch
Upper Saxbury D． STREAM
AFFECTED
Paradox Cr
－

STREAM
AFFECTED
L．Cimarron 4
4
0
0
3
0
0
3
3
0
0 Trout

STREAM
AFEECTED
Horsefly
Coal Cr
Dallas
Dist． 68

STREAM
AFFECTED
Coates Cr．
Chiquita Dol．

Water District 61


10／07／94


Season $\begin{array}{ll}\text { g } \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \text { N．} \\ \text { on } \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$


 Water District 73

| DURATION |
| :--- |
| QF CAL．L． | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Gig } \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \text { N0 } \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \text { w } & 0\end{array}$

 ADMIN \＃
CALI STRUC
22848.13479
22848.17806

TABLE OF ORGANIZ̈ATION - PERSONNEL IRRIGATION DIVISION NO. IV

Division Engineer - Kenneth W. Knox Assistant Division Engineer - Wayne Schieldt

Administrative Assistant - Jean Kurtz
Well Commissioner - LuAnn Beasley
Dam Safety Engineer - James Norfleet Hydrographer - Jerry Thrush

| Water District 28 | Water District 40 | Water District 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WATER COMMISSIONER ***Wesley Robinson | PR. WATER COMMISSIONER | WATER COMMISSIONER |
|  | Jimmie Boyd | Crandall Howard |
|  | SR. WATER COMMISSIONER |  |
|  | Robert Starr |  |
| Water District 42 | **Gail Brooks | Water District 59 |
|  | *Cliff Davis |  |
| SR. WATER COMMISSIONER | Merritt Denison | WATER COMMISSIONER |
| Richard Belden | **Rod Hamilton | Joel Tuck |
|  | Henry LeValley |  |
| WATER COMMISSIONER <br> **Jack Carter | Albert Mahannah |  |
|  | Kenneth Mahannah |  |
|  | John McHugh |  |
|  | L. Gregg Scott |  |
|  | Charles Stein |  |
|  | Stephen Tuck |  |
| Water District 60 | Water District 61 | Water District 62 |
| SR. WATER COMMISSIONER | WATER COMMISSIONER | WATER COMMISSIONER |
| Lyman Campbell | Clinton Oliver | C. Crandall Howard |
|  |  | *Bud McDonald |
| Water District 63 | Water District 68 | Water District 73 |
| SR. WATER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER | WATER COMMISSIONER | SR. WATER |
|  |  |  |
| Richard Belden | H. Roger Noble | Richard Belden |
| *Temporary <br> **Retired |  |  |
| ***Relieved of Duty |  |  |

III. OFFICE ADMINISTRATION AND WORKLOAD MEASURES
B. ACTIVITY SUMMARY

WATER DIVISION NO. IV
1994 CALENDAR YEAR
ACTIVITY SUMMARY

ACTIVITY
TOTALS

| Professional and Technical Staff | 3 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Clerical Staff | 1 |
| Water Commissioners FTE (Full/Part-Time) | 24 |
| 1995 Decreed Surface Rights | 238 |
| Surface Rights Administered (visits) | 19,200 |
| 1995 Decreed Wells | 69 |
| 1995 Decreed Plans of Augmentation | 7 |
| Consultations with Referee | 208 |
| Water Court Appearances | 58 |
| Meetings with Water Users | 520 |
| Contacts to Give Public Assistance | $* 27,962$ |
|  |  |
| *Includes Water Commissioner Contacts |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source Bureau of Land Management/Forest Service Fire Control Center, Montrose, Colorado.

[^1]:    an
    *NIA for 10 year average (based on $7-10$ years)
    **Days average based on past 2 years
    ***An undetermined amount of water ta
    ****Previously listed as New City PL

[^2]:    (4) Count of structures with CIU=A and $\mathrm{NUC}=(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F})$
    (5) Count of structures with CIU=U

