ANNUAL REPORT

•

1987 - Water Year

Irrigation Division No. Four

ROY ROMER Governor



DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES WATER DIVISION IV

Thomas A. Kelly Division Engineer P.O. Box 456 Montrose, Colorado 81402 (303) 249-6622

January 19, 1988

Mr. Jeris A. Danielson, State Engineer Division of Water Resources 1313 Sherman Street, Room 818 Denver, Colorado 80203

Dear Mr. Danielson:

On behalf of the office and field personnel of Irrigation Division Four, I submit herewith the Annual Report for 1987. It is important to give special recognition to the water officials and staff of Irrigation Division Four who have attended to their responsibilities as employees of the Division of Water Resources in a highly professional manner.

It is important to emphasize that this is the second year in which the total annual diversion records were generated within the division office, and I am pleased to report that the activities necessary to generate these records continue to indicate a tremendous potential for the use of the computers assigned to Division Four.

Respectfully submitted,

Jomos.

Thomas A. Kelly Division Engineer

TAK: jk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

CURRENT WATER YEAR
Accomplishments for the Year
Community Water Users Involvement
Impact from Policies, Statutes and Administration Practices 4
Administration Problems, Issues and Concerns
Budget Impact
1988 WATER YEAR
Problems and Concerns to Impact Division Operations 5, 6
Particular Problems and Concerns That Will Not Be Addressed 6
Projected Work Items Planned for 1986 for the Division Staff 6
Division Priorities in Terms of Goals and Objectives
RECOMMENDATIONS
Water Administration
Miscellaneous
Budgetary Priorities
Administrative Practices
STATISTICAL INFORMATION
Transmountain Diversions
Water Diversions
Summary of Visitations and Structures
Water Court Activities
TABLE OF ORGANIZATION
1986 Water Diversions

1987

ANNUAL DIVISION ENGINEER'S REPORT

IRRIGATION DIVISION FOUR

CURRENT WATER YEAR:

This report outlines Irrigation Division Four's water administration activities for the 1987 irrigation year. This report also speaks to routine and anticipated new water administration activities for 1988. The 1987 report is intended to highlight various functions that are considered beyond the normal day-to-day responsibilities of Division Four staff. During 1987, water administration and all associated activities were met or attended to in such a way to meet the overall duties of the division.

There are several highlights that will be identified as special accomplishments for the 1987 season. These paragraphs briefly speak to these Again, as in 1986, one of the highlights of the 1987 season is the items. emphasis that has been placed by the water users and the division personnel on the upgrading of jurisdictional dams within Division Four. These activities involve special repair and rehabilitation work in nearly every district of the division. In some cases an all-out effort of the water users and significant participation of the Denver dam safety office staff allowed dams to be repaired in an acceptable manner with a minimum amount of red tape. The first reservoir that is noted in this area is the Gurley Reservoir. It developed serious problems that warranted immediate attention. During the winter the Gurley Reservoir people were able to work with the Water months. Conservation Board's private consultants and the dam safety staff in such a way that they were able to make initial repairs, and were allowed to fill their reservoir during the runoff season. This was critical to the farmlands served by the Gurley Reservoir which are the hay and small grain fields from Norwood to Naturita, Colorado. This structure will be needing additional attention, but this is a great step toward improving the structure, and generating a much safer and more usable reservoir. In addition to this, all of the work on the Overland Reservoir was completed in 1987, and it should be ready for full storage for the 1988 irrigation season. Additional reservoirs where work has been completed involves Lake Brennand (Lake Irwin) and the Spring Creek Reservoir. Both of these are located in Water District 59.

During 1987, many of the reservoir companies or ditch and reservoir associations were involved in high hazard dam inspections and repair needs were shown from these inspections. The Leroux Creek Water Users Association worked during the entire season with maintenance and repair of many of their structures, and have spent in excess of \$30,000 in improvement to their reservoirs. This in itself is not a large amount of money, however, they have hired a licensed engineer to work with them in the rehabilitation of their structures, and have probably exceeded their annual expenses by five to seven times in this one year, and have indicated an intent to rehabilitate their many old reservoirs (some more than 80 years old). stations, eleven of which are operated by the Division Four staff, and the rest of them in cooperation with the U.S. Weather Bureau, U.S. Geological Survey and the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. The problems that plagued this system in the earlier days have pretty well been taken care of and normally, the data that is accessed off the satellite system is not only real time, but accurate data for flows at these different locations. The training of at least one water commissioner in using the computer is going as planned. It is hoped that at least one or two additional office personnel will do some of the more elementary activities concerning the computer systems. All of this year's diversion records have been processed on the computer, and all the computations and records have been completed with the exception of District 40. Because of the enormous amount of data that is involved in District 40. these were sent to Denver for processing and have been returned to the Montrose office for final editing and signing.

An additional highlight that should be identified for accomplishments for the 1987 season, was the transfer of Keith Kepler from the Denver office to the Division Four office as Assistant Division Engineer. Keith has been responsible for all the record keeping as far as the diversion records are concerned, and as he becomes better acquainted with what is necessary, we will have an outstanding diversion report resulting. The Denver office continues to make changes in the computer programs and it is necessary that adjustments be made as we complete the 1987 year; however, there seems to be less frustration on the part of the staff involved in this work. The computers have become an integral part of the office equipment and considered a valuable tool in terms of the overall activities of the division office.

Division Four continues to meet the necessary deadlines as required by the Water Court and is usually able to respond in a timely manner to all requests from the State Engineer's office, private consultants, attorneys and water users. The association with the Division Four Water Court remains at a high level of cooperation. Judge Robert Brown, and the Water Referee Aaron Clay, receive our comments well and generally consider them when issuing water rights.

In 1986 the City of Aurora filed a transmountain diversion for a large amount of Upper Gunnison Basin water. This application is slowly working its way through the Water Court. Initially, the Water Referee held two informal conferences but by the end of the second conference, it was evident that the various parties to the case were going to ask the Water Judge to take jurisdiction, and this took place during the winter of 1987. The Water Judge has had two hearings, and at the present time, there are motions back and forth by the applicants and the objectors, and this may continue for another year or so. It is my opinion that the Water Judge will handle this matter to conclusion and is going to try to have some sort of evidentiary hearing its possibly by early 1989. Another aspect of this transmountain diversion is the water right applications by Natural Energy Resource Company (NECO), a holder of a large conditional storage right in the Upper Gunnison Basin near the proposed high reservoir filed on by Aurora. NECO has submitted that this particular project would be an alternative for the Two-Forks Dam on the front and volumes of communications have been sent back and forth between range. these adversarial parties.

During the 1987 irrigation season, the needs of the division water users were handled without a great deal of difficulty and without too many problems.

The Leroux Creek Water Users Association, at their annual meeting, committed approximately \$50,000 for rehabilitation and maintenance for additional reservoirs located in their system. It is interesting to point out that most of these reservoirs were built in the early 1900's, and many were built with a wooden box culvert as their outlet system. These wooden culvert outlet systems are finally wearing out and are beginning to cave in. The Leroux Creek Water Users Association is located on the south side of Grand Mesa and toward the eastern part. Additionally, the reservoirs located in the Surface Creek drainage area (south side of Grand Mesa) have similar concerns and are beginning to experience the same types of problems.

Many of the rehabilitation projects that were begun in 1986 are now complete. For instance, Miramonte Reservoir, Meridian Lake, Grand Mesa #8 Reservoir, and Buckeye Reservoir. Several small reservoirs chose to allow zero storage, or in some cases a non-jurisdictional storage, in order to evaluate whether or not they should be repaired or abandoned. It should also be noted that the Juniata Reservoir, a large reservoir used for the City of Grand Junction, relined their intake and outlet pipe at the beginning of spring of this year, and were able to store water during the runoff season.

The division has several licensed engineers who are helping in the repair; however, on major projects where the Water Conservation Board is involved, engineering companies from Denver with specific specialties are involved in the repair work. The trend that was begun in 1986, as far as repair to division reservoirs continues, and it is anticipated this will slowly put the majority of the reservoirs back in acceptable condition. The division still has approximately 50 reservoirs that are under restriction and in general, during the year, these restrictions were maintained without a great deal of difficulty.

Another matter relative to the reservoir safety concerns the establishment of the resident Dam Safety Engineer, Jim Norfleet. The assignment of this resident engineer has not been without some controversy; however, the goals for the resident engineer are already being identified and realized. These being a consistency in attention with all the jurisdictional structures in Division Four, and also to review and work with the water users toward better dams. The dam improvements are a significant highlight of Division Four This is a complete turn-around of the direction and attitude of activities. the water users and also water officials within the division. There have been some concerns, and public meetings have taken place trying to answer some of these concerns of the water users. Additional activities will be necessary in Even with the great start and order to gain better cooperation. rehabilitation and repair of jurisdictional structures in Division Four, it is anticipated that it is a project that will continue on for many years. With the present farm/ranch economy, it will be a difficult financial task for these reservoir owners.

An additional accomplishment in Division Four in 1987 concerns the ongoing use of the Division Four personal computer. Division Four now has all the responsibility of diversion records, tabulation, satellite monitoring, and budget activities to work through the division computer. The division computer was augmented by a second computer in October of 1987 and at this time of year, both computers are being used on a regular basis eight hours a day or more. The division office continues to monitor 26 satellite monitoring Water supplies in 1987 in many locations turned out to be somewhat less than an average runoff year with water supply not generally reaching 100 per cent of normal. There was sufficient water stored for irrigation, and most areas were able to receive water for their agricultural needs. More streams were placed "on call" or administered by agreement among the water users; however, there was no great controversy generated due to this type of water administration. At this writing, the snowshed areas for Division Four and all the reservoirs are looking much below normal, and there is concern about what may happen if it continues this way for the remainder of this winter season.

The Division Four office is the principal source for diversion data and water decree information. Water user groups, conservancy districts, large canal companies, state and federal governments, land developers, attorneys, and consulting engineers are regular vistors to the field commissioner homes and the division office. Their requests concern all aspects of water administration and water use throughout the division. The division staff is involved in "water meetings" with various entities concerning administration, dam safety, groundwater needs, water diversion control structures, and other miscellaneous activities.

The association with the Division Water Court continues to require considerable time, mileage, and effort on the part of the division staff in order to evaluate and make recommendations through the consultation process. This year's caseload has approximately 300 cases and this has been the long range average of water right cases in the division court. The caseload is up approximatly one-third from 1986 and the Water Court relies strongly on the recommendations of the field water officials. The Water Court normally accepts this office's recommendations without reservation. Again, as in years past, the importance of good, accurate diversion records are emphasized. This need is very important relative to the change of water rights and plans of augmentation reviewed by the Water Court.

It is impossible to visit all diversion structures during the irrigation It is necessary that water user reports be identified as an official season. record, and in some instances, the water official must make choices as it relates to securing diversion records. or attention to other water administrative concerns. Water Commissioners continue to experience increased demands, and very often, insufficient time to attend to all the responsibilities of their jobs. The water-using public is requiring better diversion records, and in some cases, due to personnel and time limitations, little improvement in overall water diversion records was noted in 1987. During 1987, the division was not able to make progress in the mapping of irrigated acreage. However, an inventory of the amount of irrigated acreage was made and the division is close to completion in a number of water The new Assistant Division Engineer is responsible for this districts. activity during the winter of 1987-88, and it is anticpated that several irrigation districts and drainages may be ready to calculate consumptive use for the fall of 1988.

Again, as in past years, the water commissioners were requested to continue identifying new decrees and diversion records. In 1987, there were modest increases in various districts. However, many of the water rights have only a minimal type of diversion record. From 1982 to 1986, average, or above average, water supply was available. Early or late administration needs were during the dryer years. The 1987 season water supply was less than 1986; however, when the season ended, we found there was sufficient personnel resources to complete the year without any undue hardship. In the event of a much less-than-average year, certain adjustments would be necessary to manage the responsibilities of the division and also remain within the allocations for Division Four. Division Four experienced the retirement of two employees during 1987. Mack Gorrod, a very valuable commissioner on Grand Mesa, retired and Charley Woolley, a long-time water commissioner, retired at mid summer, the end of the irrigation season. In addition to that, Tim Crosby submitted his resignation and it became necessary to find two replacements in the spring and additional replacements for 1988. At the present time, these positions have been advertised and it is anticipated that a list will be available before the beginning of the 1988 irrigation season. It should be noted that vacancies developed during 1987 were filled by temporary appointments and these are being reviewed for better usage of manpower of the individual resources.

1988 WATER YEAR

Water right filings continue to have time and budget impact throughout Division Four. This is not considered a negative impact; however, it requires planning and setting of work priorities by all employees. These activities affect the majority of the division staff and require additional field attention in the areas where heavy filings take place, i.e., Upper Gunnison Basin, parts of San Miguel drainage (Telluride area), upper Uncompany drainage, and many areas throughout District 40. The required special attention and administration of water right augmentation plans are adding additional workload to field personnel and division office staff.

The completion of Ridgway Dam and Reservoir was an administrative concern last year that continues to be considered a problem. The Bureau of Reclamation indicated they would be allowing access to a satellite system in which we could determine the flows from Ridgway Reservoir. For many reasons this has taken place, and it appears it will not at this time. not The most recent contact with the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation indicates they are contracting with the Geological Survey to build a gaging station downstream from the reservoir. This gaging station would be operated by the U.S.G.S. and would have one of our satellite data collection platforms installed. This is considered an important point for the administration of the Uncompangre River and their most recent estimate is to have this in operation by fall of 1988. This office will continue to keep in touch with this particular need as it is considered important for administration purposes on the Uncompany River. A special note concerning Ridgway Reservoir, it is the last major project to be built by the U.S.B.R. in Montrose area.

At the end of 1987, one of the more significant concerns of Division Four is the overall dam safety program. As indicated in the earlier part of this report, the activities that have transpired concerning the dam safety work still remain a highlight of 1987. However, there are still nearly 50 reservoirs that are under restriction and this office is regularly monitoring all these reservoirs. The division is concerned with the enforcement of the restrictions, the communications with the reservoir owners, and the correction of the deficiencies in these reservoirs. In most instances, the reservoir owners are willing to make repairs and rehabilitation plans. Also, the reservoir owners are finishing many years of poor revenues and they have had

-5-

difficult times in raising the resources in order to make the necessary It is understood that the safety of the dam is not affected by the repairs. inability of the owner to pay for the repair work; however, in some instances, it is recommended that the dam safety inspectors could prioritize their restricted reservoirs in such a way that the most critical structures be attended to as a first priority. The addition of a new resident field engineer for dam safety has certainly been a welcome addition to the division Reservoir safety in Division Four is one of the more significant staff. concerns, and will be a high priority effort on the part of all the division staff in 1988. Emphasis will be placed on establishing good communications with all the reservoir owners and developing respect of the water users for the dam safety activities of the State Engineer. The impact of having good relations with the majority of the division reservoir owners will make everybody's job easier to perform, besides the most important part, a good beginning of rehabilitation and an overall improvement of the safety of the reservoir systems. This will require ongoing communication with the water users. Public meetings are necessary, especially in locations of Water District 40. We plan to develop specific training sessions and meetings with the District 40 staff in order to set goals that will help to achieve this particular objective.

An always present concern is the ongoing improvements of diversion records, measuring devices and diversion structures. Improvement seems to be appropriate in some areas throughout the division, and as a higher quality of diversion records are demanded, upgrading of these structures becomes more important. Winter diversion records will only be attended to on an as-needed or minimal basis. Hopefully, they will continue to improve. However, due to budget restraints and the lack of work-time for many of the division employees, winter administration activities will continue to be very limited. Other proposed winter acitivites, including the consumptive-use mapping and the checking and entering of new water rights into the tabulation, will be attended to as time and resources are available with the greater priority given to updating the Water Rights Tabulation.

Division Four will continue to work toward improvement of water administration and diversion record-keeping throughout the 1987 season; to keep as current as possible in the ongoing review and consultations of new water right applications with the Water Court; to pick up and conclude water right cases that are outstanding; to continue an ongoing instruction process with field personnel concerning their water administrative and record-keeping responsibilities; and to be sensitive to the public concerns as they relate to the water user, and respond in an accurate and timely fashion.

During 1987, the office had two general staff meetings of the full time, and near full time employees. These were held in a private environment and each individual was encouraged to be very candid in all aspects of the discussion. A fifteen or twenty item agenda was developed by myself and all of the water commissioners were encouraged to participate in every aspect of this particular meeting. The input that was given through this type of meeting has been extremely useful in planning and weeding out certan thoughts and ideas in instituting new directions and programs. The division will continue this type of meeting with the thought of having one prior to the beginning of the irrigation season, and at the conclusion of the irrigation season.

-6--

As indicated in the 1986 report, several of the personnel changes during 1987 involve the resignation of Tim Bacon/Crosby who worked in the Smith Fork drainage in and around the Crawford Reservoir, the retirement of Charley Woolley who worked in the lower reaches of the Surface Creek Valley, and also Mack Gorrod's retirement. Tim Crosby did not begin work, resigning before the Mack Gorrod's retirement was effective July 1st, and irrigation season. Charley Woolley's retirement was effective at the end of the irrigation Temporary appointments were made to replace Tim Crosby and Mack season. Gorrod, and the examination announcements are pending at this time. Mack Gorrod and Charley Woolley represent nearly 50 years of outstanding service. Mack Gorrod is considered one of the more knowledgeable persons on the reservoirs on Grand Mesa. Charley Woolley works in a number of areas and has done an excellent job in all locations. The position that was vacated by Gorrod's retirement was filled by lateral transfer of Albert Mahannah from the Ward Creek Reservoir system to the Surface Creek Reservoir system. We believe this will work out quite well. Replacement for Tim Crosby was a temporary appointment, and our selection hopefully will qualify in the examinations, ลร his first year was outstanding in terms of care and attention to the area. Charley Woolley's job is going to be abolished and will be combined with Chuck This is the lower Surface Creek area, and also down the Gunnison Stein's. River to the point where Water District 42 picks up the Gunnison into Grand It is anticipated that this will affect some savings, and also it Junction. is considered that this will be a better use of manpower in that particular In addition to these retirements in 1988, Willard Bull in District location. 40 has indicated the desire to retire by mid summer. John Garber of District 28 will be retiring at the end of the irrigation season, and I have also been advised that Ed Hofmann will retire at the end of 1988. This will involve approximately 50 per cent of the part-time field commissioners before the total changes are made. Retirements are based primarily on age and desire rather than on the prospects for better jobs. The economy in Division Four still remains very poor and the turnover of water commissioners is very low. It should also be noted that with the combination of the Charley Woolley and Chuck Stein jobs, and the change of Albert Mahannah, the division has been able to generate additional man-months of which we have committed six to a part-time secretary to assist in the office secretarial duties. In addition to all the above personnel activities, the division was pleased to have appointed a new Assistant Division Engineer, Keith Kepler, who began work the And also, the locating of a resident Dam Safety first part of August. Inspector, Jim Norfleet who began work early in the season, but was formally transferred the first of July. These two additional employees have greatly enhanced the overall effectiveness of Division Four.

Attention has been placed on the PACE evaluation system for Division Four. Each employee of the division was evaluated, and various suggestions as far as the improvement and work schedule for 1987 work year was identified. This process still is somewhat cumbersome and is a difficult tool for evaluation. Perhaps with the assignment of the Assistant Division Engineer and the sharing of this responsibility, this may become a more effective tool toward management of the division personnel.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

For several years Division Four has recommended the funding to the division be increased based on the fact that the actual funding is not identified to the

real needs. It should be noted during this time the division has experienced average, or much better than average, water seasons and late and early attention to water administration has not been necessary. The budget at this time still does not allow for any additional needs such as special work during the winter season, or early spring or late fall administration. However, due to re-evaluation of many of the positions assigned to Division Four, I have to make some adjustments that have allowed more attention to been able division needs with the annual budget allocations. If the division can expect allocations similar to the past year or two. I believe that there will be sufficient funding to attend to the average, or better than average, vears. The division full time staff is now better utilized and many of the responsibilities that haven't been met in the past are now being taken care of.

Pending potential personnel changes in the division: Recommendations will be made for the beginning of 1989 which will further consolidate some of the water commissioner positions and will involve the assignment of a state vehicle to the recipient of the consolidation. This individual is now driving an "elderly" Dodge pickup and we would ask that consideration be made in early 1989 to replace this pickup for a new state vehicle. The pickup that is now being driven has in excess of 120,000 miles on it. This office is using commissioner labor and the purchase of vehicle parts to keep one or two of the old vehicles in operation. The pickup stationed at Paradox is regularly repaired by the water commissioner and the division office has purchased parts necessary to make these repairs which should allow overall utilization to the maximum.

LITIGATION:

Division Four's policy is to minimize the necessity of litigation as much as possible through communication with the State Engineer's office, the Water Court, and various water user applicants. Again, as in past years, it is anticipated that only a few cases during 1988 may be subject to the needs of the Attorney General in litigation. The filings of the City of Aurora's transmountain diversions will require some attention from the Attorney General's office, and a filing that was received on the last day of 1986 concerning the Natural Energy Resources Company also proposes transmountain diversions which may require additional Attorney General attention. There continues to be some increase in the number of protests to the Referee's Rulings and in a few cases it will be necessary to request the Attorney General to represent the Division Engineer in these matters. The Water Judge has allowed the Division Engineer to represent the Division of Water Resources in some of these hearings; however, he continues to strongly urge the Division Engineer to be represented by the Attorney General in any matter that is considered legally complex.

MISCELLANEOUS:

It was identified in an earlier part of this report that there will be considerable activity concerning the retirement of past and future water commissioners in Division Four. It is anticipated by the end of the 1988 irrigation season, there will be three additional employees retire and the replacement of these positions will be a concern during the 1988 season. Willard Bull who works in Water District 40 on Surface Creek system will be retiring in June, John Garber, Water Commissioner for Water District 28 requests retirement at the end of the irrigation season, and Ed Hofmann, Water Commissioner for Water District 62, also has indicated he plans to retire January 1 of 1989. It will be recommended that some of these positions be combined at that time.

For the past several years, the Division Four office has been in contact intermittently with the Department of Administration concerning the construction or leasing of a state office building in Montrose. Numerous meetings have taken place, the outcome of which has been no activity whatsoever. Due to the absence of any attention in this matter, in early spring I contacted the Montrose County Commissioners concerning some remodeling work in our division office in the Montrose County courthouse. The County Commissioners were receptive to the requests that were made, and some major remodeling was accomplished this past summer. There is additional work yet to be done and I understand they will be considering that in their next The office has been rearranged to the point that fiscal year's budget. Ι think, at least for the next few years, we can find it adequate for the needs of Division Four. Office rent in the courthouse is \$5.50 per square foot and this is considered a reasonable cost for office space in Montrose. I have indicated in past reports we anticipate the growth and need of additional office space; however, this is not seen as necessary in the near future, and I am pleased to note this concern is no longer a high priority of Division Four.

Efforts have been made in contacting the legislators who represent the areas of Division Four. This has been done by letters and telephone calls, attending public meetings and by the attempt of personal visits. The division office has invited all the legislators to come visit and have shown the satellite monitoring system to one interested legislator. Due to time constraints and distances between various legislators, the visiting of division offices has yet to be made by any division legislators.

OTHER:

Buring the past year, the division has been to a number of local meetings concerning activities of Division Four in water related matters. One meeting was held in Gunnison with a reasonable attendance of 25 or 30. An additional meeting was held in Lake City with 15 to 20 in attendance. A meeting was held in Norwood with a dozen attending, and one held in Cedaredge had an attendance of 25 to 30. The meetings in Lake City and Gunnison dealt primarily with subdivision development, well permits, augmentation plans and matters of that nature. These meetings were advertised and it was felt they were effective and produced good results. The meeting in Norwood was in combination with a meeting of the conservancy district which had a fairly wide audience, and it was a matter of answering questions basically involving the San Miguel Project, a one-time Bureau of Reclamation project which is being studied now with the Water Conservation Board and the San Miguel Conservancy District. There were some water users from the "calling ditch" on the San Miguel and they seeked assurance that their senior water right would be present, protected against all the upstream development, primairly the Telluride area. The meeting in Cedaredge involved the dam safety program entirely. It was a meeting in which the Deputy State Engineer Hal Simpson attended along with the division staff and the resident dam inspector, Jim Norfleet. It generated

-9-

considerable interest and concern over the work and evaluation of dams in the Grand Mesa area. This meeting was attended by a number of the officials for the reservoir companies along with Margy Masson, a state representative of that area, and other interested water users. This meeting was intended to establish communications between the division office, dam safety, and the water users. It is believed there was a positive effect, even though there was a lot of hostility expressed during the course of this meeting. I planned a meeting for the Grand Junction/Kannah Creek area which did not take place, nor was there any meeting in the general Montrose area.

The Division Four office continues to recommend ongoing formal and informal training programs that have been conducted during the past several years. It is recommended that follow-up seminars be held in areas of dam safety, computer instruction, ground water well permits, and other technical and semi-technical areas that relate to the division responsibilities. It is also recommended that the Division of Water Resources conduct annual seminars for the division secretaries to cover particular areas of their responsibilities, afford an opportunity to have contact with the State Engineer's office staff, and spending time with those responsible for the Division of Water Resources major branches.

During 1987, additional plans of augmentation were decreed; however, because of general abundance of water supply, there was little administration of these plans throughout the divison. Each water district spent some time in identifying and inventorying the metered wells within their district, and also augmentation plans. This office has the ability to begin the administration of these plans in the event of a water-short year.

During 1987, many of the tributary streams to the Gunnison River placed "calls" on their tributary systems. Specifically, the Surface Creek area and the Kannah Creek area, and individual tributaries to the Gunnison River, along the North Fork of the Gunnison, and some areas tributary to the San Miguel had "calls" on the stream system. Water administration in many cases went up to the first of November at such time as they curtailed the irrigation, and the division basically went on a non-irrigation status. River "calls" are kept individually on tributaries and a file is kept for future reference in the division office.

	AMOUNT <u>A.F.</u>	No Diversion	No Diversion	No Diversion Structure Not Usable	No Diversion	1,053	95.36	663	55	1,360	1,833	4,971	531, 123
		No	No	No Str Not	No					-	-	7	531
ONS SUMMARY	RECIPIENT AND/ OR CLAIMANT	Ouray Ditch Co. Montrose, CO	Pinon Ditch Co. Colona, CO	Charles, Gunn & Worley c/o W. Worley Olathe, CO	W. Gibbs Ouray, CO	Floyd McPherson Cedaredge, CO	Catlin Canal Co.	Colo.Div. Wildlife Monte Vista, CO	Cochetopa Land & Wtr. Saguache, CO	F.M. Starbuck, Mgr. Silt, CO	City Grand Junction	City Grand Junction	Redlands Water & Power
1987 TRANSMOUNTAIN DIVERSIONS SUMMARY	SOURCE	Mineral Creek	Mineral Creek	E.Fk. Animas River	Burrows Creek, tr. N.Fk. Animas River	Leon Creek	Tr. Tomichi Creek Marshall Creek	Tr. Cebolla Cr.	Cochetopa	Clear Fk. Muddy Cr.	Kannah Creek	Kannah Creek	Gunnison River
1987	NAME	Red Mountain Ditch	Carbon Lake Ditch	St. John Ditch	Mineral Pt. Ditch	Leon Lake	Larkspur Ditch	Tabor	Tarbell	Divide Cr. Highline Feeder Ditch	City Pipeline	New City Pipeline	Redland Canal
		Inflow	Inflow	Inflow	Inflow	Inflow	Outflow	Outflow	Outflow	Outflow	Outflow	Outflow	Outflow
	M	68	68	68	68	40	28	62	28	40	42	42	42

STATISTICAL INFORMATION

•

-11-

1987
SUMMARY
IRRIGATION SU
FOUR
DIVISION
WATER

AVERAGE AF PER ACRE	5.16	3.91	6.05	3.02	6.59	3.82	5.28	3.86	8.30	6.08	3.71	5.07
ESTIMATED ACREAGE	34391	120210	109890	8352	35220	29750	3644	39250	2887	23686	2553	409833
TOTAL TO IRRIGATION	177504	470463	664672	25211	232199	113583	19234	151691	23972	143929	9464	2031922
ALL OTHER SOURCES TO IRRIGATION	0	CI	Ο	0	0	1301	801	24	0	3916	0	6044
STORAGE TO IRRIGATION	0	64531	486	1571	1406	06201	435	18641	72	a	0	97932
STREAM TO IRRIGATION	177504	405930	664186 (4)	23640	230793	101492	17998	133026	23900	140013	9464	1927946
STREAM TO STORAGE ⁽¹⁾	1829	34057	Ο	6575	98804(2)	13213	3492	581026 ⁽³⁾	Ο	792	0	739788
а З	28	40	41	42	59	60	61	62	63	68	73	TOTALS

1. Includes storage for all uses.

Primary storage facility is Taylor Park Reservoir, releases not charged to irrigation in Water District 59. 2.

Includes storage in Curecanti Unit.
 Includes water delivered through Gunnison tunnel.

-12-

	OTHER SOURCES TO ALL USES	0	1713	352365	0	0	1491	1533	602	0	2830	0	360534
	STREAM TO OTHER USES	0	55665	19305	535229	Ο	2636	1239	1429	1965	1542	161	619201
	RESERVOIR TO POWER	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	639714	ο	0	0	639714
	STREAM I TO POWER	O	a	O	o	O	Ο	0	1776094	O	O	O	1776094
1987	GROUNDWATER TO COML. OR IND.	O	Ν	o	٥	0	511	0	0	O	O	O	518
ON SUMMARY	STREAM OR RESERVOIR TO COML. OR IND	0	16	O	664	770	7820	O	0	40	72	37	9494
NON - IRRIGATION	GROUNDWATER TO MUNICIPAL	0	0	0	0	1500	356	0	0	0	0	о	1856
DIVISION FOUR NO	STREAM OR RESERVOIR TO MUNICIPAL	0	5095	3793	58	180	3590	0	181	0	255	Ο	13152
SIVIG	ы В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В	58	40	41	42	59	60	61	62	63	6 8	73	TOTAL

-13-

WATER DIVISION FOUR

SUMMARY OF VISITATIONS AND STRUCTURES

INACTIVE, HISTORIC STRUCTURES	365	674	253	68	148	121	25	96	47	208	17	2,022
ACTIVE STRUCTURES RECORD NOT MAINTAINED	197	568	211	160	667	564	11	544	73	477	75	3,547
ACTIVE STRUCTURES RECORD IS MAINTAINED	221	1,129	94	71	224	382	132	295	54	169	28	2,799
TOTAL STRUCTURES	786	2,375	567	299	1,041	1,083	171	936	176	858	120	8,412
NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	1,872	27,491	4,392	6,027	2,043	2,070	1,212	*4,019	1,011	1,060	183	51,380
WATER DISTRICT	28	40	41	42	59	60	61	62	63	68	73	TOTALS

*Includes U.S.B.R. records for Curecanti Units

-14-

WATER COURT ACTIVITIES

No.	Applicat	tions for Decrees		313						
No.	Consulta	ations with Referee		360						
No.	Decrees	Issued by Water Cou	rt	309						
	Туре	of Decree								
		Surface Water	201							
		Ground Water	46							
		Reservoir	34							
		Transfer	0							
		Alternate Point	3							
		Change of Use	0							
		Plan Augmentation	10							
		In-Stream Flow	7							
		Cancelled	54							
No.	No. Structures in Decrees									
	Турея	s of Structures								
		Ditches	408							
		Reservoirs	87							
		Wells	220							

TABLE OF ORGANIZATION - PERSONNEL

IRRIGATION DIVISION NO. 4

Division Engineer - Thomas A. Kelly Assistant Division Engineer - Keith C. Kepler Secretary - Jean Kurtz Hydrographer - Charles G. David Resident Dam Safety Engineer - James G. Norfleet

M. D. L. L. D. O.		
<u>Water District 28</u>	<u>Water District 40</u>	<u>Water District 41</u>
WATER COMMISSIONER John S. Garber	PR. WATER COMMISSIONER *Richard L. Drexel	WATER COMMISSIONER Crandall Howard
	SR. WATER COMMISSIONER *Robert H. Starr	
	WATER COMMISSIONERS	
<u>Water District 42</u>	Willard N. Bull **Merritt Denison	<u>Water District 59</u>
SR. WATER COMMISSIONER	Mack Gorrod	WATER COMMISSIONER
*Richard Belden	Henry LeValley	Robert Drexel
WATER COMMISSIONER	Albert Mahannah Kenneth Mahannah	
Jack Carter	John L. McHugh	
	James Miller	
	**Andrew Palmer	
	L. Gregg Scott Charles E. Stein	
	Stephen W. Tuck	
	Charley E. Woolley	
<u>Water District 60</u>	<u>Water District 61</u>	Water_District_62
WATER COMMISSIONER	WATER COMMISSIONER	WATER COMMISSIONER
Lyman D. Campbell	Clinton L. Oliver	*Edwin S. Hofmann
<u>Water_District_63</u>	<u>Water_District_68</u>	<u>Water District 73</u>
SR. WATER COMMISSIONER	WATER COMMISSIONER	SR. WATER COMMISSIONER
*Richard Belden	*H. Roger Noble	*Richard Belden
WELL COMMISSIONER *Dwayne Mansker		

*Annual
**Temporary part-time personnel

THE FOLLOWING IS THE SUMMARY OF DIVERSIONS FOR THE 1986 ANNUAL REPORT. THESE WERE VOID IN 1986 DUE TO COMPUTER COMPUTATION PROBLEMS.

TOTAL DITCHES REPORTING	TCHES	REPOF	RTING		TOTAL	TOTAL			
				NUMBER OF	DIVERSIONS	DIVERSIONS	TOTAL	NUMBER OF	
ACTIVE WA NI	'Е NWA	INACTIVE NU NR	T I VE NR	DITCH VISITATIONS	- AF -	TO STORAGE - AF -	DIVERSIONS - AF -	ACRES IRRIGATED	AVERAGE AF PER ACRE
487	٥	52	55	1,712	203,347	3,219	206,566	34,391	6.01
734	0	0	1,504	17,986	417,666	94,818	512,484	120,210	4.26
101	0	0	302	2,614	650,348	1,016	651,364	109,890	5.93
133	٥	0	290	2,551	30,853	2,396	35,549	8,352	4.26
183	0	0	853	2,275	248,954	28,082	416,036	35,220	11.81
269	0	O	580	1,639	136,153	11,026	147,079	29,750	4.94
55	0	0	143	986	17,722	1,081	18,803	3,644	5.16
293	0	0	556	3,096	105,392	476,779	2,919,671	39,250	74.39
81	0	O	96	801	30,697	48	30,745	2,887	10.65
150	0	0	589	1,539	155,071	3,094	128,165	23,686	5.41
74	0	0	49	243	10,921	0	10,921	2,553	4.28
TOTAL2,560	0	52 5	5,017	35,442	2,007,124	621,559	5,077,383	409,833	12.39
	133 183 269 269 150 150 150 560 560				0 0 290 2, 0 0 853 2, 0 0 853 2, 0 0 143 1, 0 0 143 3, 0 0 143 3, 0 0 556 3, 0 0 556 3, 0 0 556 1, 0 0 589 1, 0 52 5,017 35,	0 0 290 2,551 0 0 853 2,275 2 0 0 853 2,275 2 0 0 143 986 1 0 0 143 986 1 0 0 143 986 1 0 0 556 3,096 1 0 0 986 1,539 1 0 0 96 801 1 0 0 589 1,539 1 0 0 243 243 2,0 0 52<5,017	0 0 290 2.551 30,853 2.3 0 0 853 2.275 248,954 28,0 0 0 853 2.275 248,954 28,0 0 0 143 286 17,722 11,0 0 0 143 986 17,722 1,0 0 0 556 3,096 105,392 476,7 0 0 986 1,7,722 1,0 1,0 0 0 556 3,096 105,392 476,7 0 0 980 1,539 1697 30,697 0 0 589 1,539 155,071 3,0 0 0 49 230,697 3,0 10,921 3,0 0 0 289 1,539 155,071 3,0 0 0 0 243 10,921 35,07 476,57 0	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0 2 551 30,853 2,356 35,549 0 0 853 2,275 248,954 28,082 416,036 0 0 853 2,275 248,954 28,082 416,036 0 0 16 86 17,722 11,026 147,079 0 0 143 986 17,722 1,081 18,803 0 0 143 986 17,722 1,081 18,803 0 0 16 30,096 105,392 476,779 2,919,671 0 0 96 801 30,697 48 30,745 0 0 980 1,539 155,071 3,094 128,165 0 0 49 25,017 35,442 25,071 3,073 5,077,383

1986 WATER DIVERSIONS BY DISTRICT

.

-17-

1986 WY DIVERSION SUMMARIES BY DISTRICT IN ACRE FEET (Continued)

COMMERCIAL				1,190	260	10,846		2,724,294				2,736,590
FISHERY	1,441	5,789	181	186		1,485				177		9,259
RECREATION	1,102	89,340	1,514	5,118	62,484	24,725	1,700	954, 382		104		1,140,469
INDUSTRIAL						402						402 1
DOMESTIC		172		176		459	209	305	68	11	13	1,413
MUNICIPAL		4,668	3,558	1,383	9,442	3,759				338		23,148
STOCK		18,696	22,287	1,975		456	1,009		1,944	8,811	205	55,383
ŪM	28	40	41	42	59	60	61	62	63	68	73	TOTAL

-18-