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Dear Mr. Danielson:
On behalf of the office and field personnel of Irrigation Division IV, I submit herewith the Annual Report for 1983.

Special attention is directed to the Division IV staff who have attended to the various responsibilities of water administration with a high degree of professionalism.

Respectfully submitted,
Ralph ( Kelling
Division Engineer
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# ANNUAL WATER DIVISION EGINEER'S REPORT 

Irrigation Division Four

## I. WATER ADMINISTRATION

A. Water Year 1983

1. Accomplishments for the Year: Division Four began a new era with the Water Court due to the appointment of a new Referee. Results already indicated from this change are much improved--communications, cooperation, better field investigations, improved water right applications, improved water rulings and decrees. The Water Referee relies on the personal knowledge of the division Water Commissioners, and considers all of their concerns and recommendations in Referee Rulings. The mutual respect of the Division Engineer's office and the Water Court has improved many fold during the past year. This change in the Water Court has had significant affect on the division water officials in many aspects of their responsibilities, i.e., thorough field investigations, greater interest in new and old water rights, critical review of applications and rulings and decrees. The water officials are becoming more knowledgeable of their water districts and show enthusiasm toward their various responsibilities.

Division Four continues to better utilize the total personnel of the division. This is through having adjacent district commissioners acquainted with interdistrict problems, constant discussion and direction between the division office, the higher level commissioners and the part-time water officials.
Division Four field personnel and Division Engineers have continued to develop communication between many of the reservoir owners, and it is believed that there were less serious reservoir incidents, failures, etc., due to this communication during an unusually wet spring and heavy winter snow accumulation.

Division Four continues to improve the quality of diversion records throughout the division, and it is considered that the data presented for this year's division records will be of higher quality than last year and considerable improvement of years past.
2. Community Water Users Involvement: Division Four continues to be the prime source for diversion data and decree information. Water users groups, conservancy districts, large canal companies, United States Government, land developers, attorneys and consulting engineers are regular visitors to the division office. Their requests concern all aspects of water administration and water use throughout the division. Division staff members are continually involved in "water meetings" with various entities concerning administration, dam safety, water diversion control structures, and various water conservancy district activities.
3. Policies, Statutes and Administration Practices: Concern is expressed regarding the recent legislation which removes many small dams from jurisdictional authority regarding plans and specifications. Several recently constructed structures appear to be marginally safe at best.

The changed relationship with the Water Court has required that all personnel spend more time, mileage, and effort to address all aspects of applications for water rights. This additional workload impacts mileage, budget, and personnel time.

The position of the United States Bureau of Reclamation relative to the Curecanti Reservoirs and the subgation of a portion of their storage right to upstream development will have significant impact on existing water well permit policies and administrative practices throughout the Upper Gunnison Basin. This matter is still
under review and the outcome is not certain. At the present time, all of the upper Gunnison has been designated over-appropriated and augmentation plans are required on all ground water use for subdivisions.
4. Administration Problems, Issues and Concerns: It continues to remain impossible to thoroughly visit all of the diversion structures during the irrigation season. It is necessary that the water officials priortize their time and in some instances, choices had to be made, and some concerns were left unaddressed. The water official experienced increased demands and very often insufficient time to address all concerns. The water using public is demanding better diversion records, and in some cases due to personnel and budget limitations, it is possible to show a slight improvement each year.
5. Effect of Work-Load Changes: In the past ten years, increase in division staff has been very limited and the field commissioners are not able to always keep up with new water rights. These new decrees have in some instances nearly doubled their field work-load, and commissioner time and staff have not increased accordingly. Many of the new along with some of the old water rights are not identified adequately in the annual diversion records.
6. Budget Impact: Budget conditions have seriously limited off-season attention to water diversions throughout the entire division. Because of anticipated travel deficits for the fiscal year, travel was kept to a minimum until late spring which in turn allowed almost no record-keeping or attention to winter diversions throughout the division. The reduction of the travel budget also limited some of the fulltime employees from working on special projects in the division office during the winter months. The budget allocations for part-time water officials in Division Four are at the minimum need and attention to water related problems at the beginning or ending of the irrigation season are in some instances, foregone because of lack of sufficient personal service budget.

It is possible that some reallocation of full-time positions could alleviate this condition. Also, some combining of responsibilities in various areas could be accomplished to secure additional man-months. However, it is a condition that is difficult to implement unless there is a retirement or resignation. This is also a situation that involves public awareness and public relation work within the water using community in order to assure the water users that the changes made will not materially affect their quality and level of water administration. The division office has the responsibility to convince the affected water commissioners that these improvements will be beneficial both to the employee and the water users.

## B. 1984 Water Year

1. Problems and Concerns to Impact Division Operation: The high volume of water right filings continue to have time and budget impact throughout Division Four. This is not considered a negative impact; however, it will require additional planning and some setting of priorities. This activity has consequences for the majority of the division administration staff. The special attention and administration of water right augmentation plans will add additional responsibilities to field personnel and division office staff. It is anticipated that the status of the Upper Gunnison River Basin and the position of the U.S.B.R., as it relates to their water rights in the Curecanti Project, will generate concerns throughout the entire area.

The Water Rights Tabulation and abandonment lists to be published in 1984 are anticipated to generate wide spread interest and significant concern throughout the entire division. The proposed abandonment of early railroad, mining, and industrial rights should produce considerable activity, especially with the legal communities.

Additional time necessary to attend the tabulation as specified by statutes will impact other activities normally worked on during the off-season.
2. Particular Problems and Concerns That Will Not Be Addressed: Due to the various legally required activities for 1984 (including the Water Rights Tabulation and abandonment), it is anticipated that on some instances the field recording of winter flows and diversions will be at a minimum. It is also anticipated that it may be difficult to address all the opposition that may arise from the forthcoming Water Rights Tabulation. Personnel and budget restrictions appear to be the main reason for these shortcomings.
3. Projected Work Items Planned for 1984 for the Division Staff: In 1984 the division staff will continue to update and correct the Water Rights Tabulation, prepare water rights abandonment lists, and work toward meeting the various deadlines and publishing dates. Division staff hopes to continue the mapping or identification of irrigated acreage throughout the division, and it is planned that at least ten per cent additional acreage could be mapped during the winter season prior to spring water administration.
4. Division Priorities in Terms of Goals and Objectives: To meet all the various deadlines that relate to the 1984 Water Rights Tabulation and abandonment. To keep as current as possible in the ongoing review and consultation of new water right applications with the Water Court. To pick up and conclude water right cases that are outstanding for more than one year. To continue an ongoing instruction process with field personnel concerning their water administrative and record-keeping responsibilities. To continue to be sensitive to the public concerns as they relate to the Division of Water Resources and to respond in an accurate and timely fashion.

## II. RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Policies

1. Water Administration: It is not anticipated that the general direction and policies of Division Four will be changed significantly during 1984. It will be the commitment of the division to carry out the responsibilities of the division office and the directions of the State Engineer to the best of our abilities. During 1984, it is proposed that the division will endeavor to upgrade the overall administration of water rights by at least five per cent. This includes identifying and recording water right diversion records, increasing the number of visits to diversion structures, better coordinating the activities of field personnel, eliminating duplication, working toward closer contact with the division office and field personnel by initiating discussions and instructions concerning water rights, water right administration and responsibilities.
2. Personne1: Division Four is faced with the replacing of three (deputy) water commissioners in Water District 40 during 1984. This is due to resignation, death and retirement of three recently employed commissioners. It is hoped that recruitment for these replacements can be out of the local area with qualified candidates who will be able to work as long-term employees. An additional goal for 1984 includes the improvement of the planning and review of system procedure of "FAPAS" throughout the division personnel.
3. Budget: It continues to be the goal for Division Four to secure sufficient man-months for the nineteen part-time water commissioners in order that they might be able to have annual leave time beyond their normal allocations and also to be able to utilize these commissioners during the "non-active" irrigation season. The total allocation for part-time personnel for Division Four only addresses
the minimum needs of the various water districts throughout the division, and in order to allow time for special concerns (annual leave and any emergencies) necessitates curtailment of the minimum responsibilities of part-time employees. The same concern also exists with mileage allocation although this need is not as severe.
4. Litigation Activities: Division Four's policy is to limit the necessity of litigation as much as possible through close communications with the Water Court, the water users, and the State Engineer's office. This position is addressed with knowledge of the responsibilities of the division office.
B. Personnel Changes: (See Item A.2.) It is possible that the needs of Water District 40 will involve realignment of some of the various part-time employees with the purpose of eliminating one position and allowing the time saved to be distributed throughout the other commissioners in that district. It will be necessary to assign additional responsibilities to several of the adjacent commissioners as necessary.
C. Budgetary Priorities: (See A.3.) The highest priority considering budgetary concerns is the addition of man-months for the part-time employees. Additional concern is for modest increase in the travel budget and consideration for upgrading of several division personnel.
D. Administrative Practices: It is anticipated in 1984 that the division will be more involved in the administration of plans of augmentation, dam safety inspections and reports, better and more accurate diversion records, arbitrators in jurisdictional water disputes, and a general attention to the various responsibilitfes as in past years.

## III.STATISTICAL INFORMATION

A. Transmountain Diversions (See attached.)
B. Storage Water (See attached.)
C. Water Diversions (See attached.)
D. Court Activities (See attached.)
E. Office Administration: It is not anticipated that the office administration shall change significantly from past years. The office is open from eight o'clock to five o'clock to assist the general public in water related matters. We will continue to direct and advise water well applicants, help with routine water right applications, interpret water decrees, and present the position of the State Engineer to the public. The locating of a well inspector in the division office has increased the division secretary-administrator work-load.
F. River Calls: It is anticipated with the present snow-pack that similar conditions to 1983 will exist during the 1984 season. Water was administered during 1983 in the Cedaredge area under the priority system. The remainder of the division had sufficient water to meet all needs and a "call" was not placed on any of the river systems in Division Four. However, it is possible that the Kannah Creek system may be subject to "call" during the 1984 irrigation season.
G. Compact Deliveries: Division Four is under the Colorado River Compact and at the present time, there is no administration involvement in the delivery of water to the Colorado River Water Compacts.
H. Administration of Plans of Augmentation: During 1984, it is not anticipated that the administration of plans of augmentation will be necessary with the exception of one or two instances. In these cases the diverted water will be replaced in a manner in which the senior water right holders will be able to best utilize the stored augmentation water. A radical change in the weather pattern between this writing and the beginning of the irrigation season could have significant effect on the administration of augmentation plans.



| RF．．．LRVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES（page 2）Division IV－ 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 . D$ | RESERVOIR NATE | STREAM SCURCE | PREVIOUS IYR |  |  |  | IYR OF RECORD |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Beg．TYR |  | Reg．Irr．Season |  | Beg．TYR |  | Beg．Irr．Season |  | End IYP |
|  |  |  | AF | \％ | AF | $\%$ | AF | $\%$ | AF | $\%$ | AF |
| 40 | －MAJOR <br> Crawford Reservoir | Iron Creek | 2，506 | 18 | 13，972 | 98 | 8，271 | 58 | 14，300 | 100 | 7，723 |
|  | Daniels Sl．Reservoir | Kiser Creek | 55 | 24 | 228 | 100 | 180 | 79 | 228 | 100 | 140 |
|  | Deep Slough Reservoir | Ward Creek | 120 | 24 | 498 | 100 | 212 | 43 | 498 | 100 | 161 |
|  | Deep Ward Reservoir | Ward Creek | 259 | 15 | 1，102 | 65 | 1，043 | 61 | 1，700 | 100 | 1，213 |
|  | Dog Fish Lake Reservoir | Leroux Creek | 0 | 0 | 243 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 243 | 100 | 0 |
|  | Donnelly Slough Res． | Kiser Creek | 132 | 48 | ＇277 | 100 | 165 | 60 | 277 | 100 | 252 |
|  | Dowdy Reservoir | Leroux Creek | 0 | 0 | 264 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 264 | 100 | 0 |
|  | Dugger Reservoir | Oak Creek | 0 | 0 | 212 | 100 | 117 | 55 | 212 | 100 | 212 |
|  | East Beckwith ⿰⿰三丨⿰丨三一1 Res． | Anthracite Creek | 0 | 0 | 360 | 100 | 156 | 43 | 360 | 100 | 80 |
|  | Eggleston Lake Res． | Kiser Creek | 662 | 24 | 2，645 | 98 | 2，350 | 87 | 2，705 | 100 | 2，143 |
|  | E1k Wallows Reservoir |  | 168 | 77 | 218 | 100 | 218 | 100 | 218 | 100 | 86 |
|  | E11a Reservoir | Leroux Creek | 0 | 0 | 109 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 90 | 0 |
|  | Fruitgrowers Reservoir | Dry Creek | 1，249 | 29 | 4，312 | 100 | 3，503 | 81 | 4，312 | 100 | 1，927 |
|  | Goodenough Reservoir | Kiser Creek | 74 | 50 | 149 | 100 | 90 | 60 | 149 | 100 | 90 |
|  | Goodenough 非2 Res． | Leroux Creek | 116 | 13 | 684 | 78 | 684 | 78 | 872 | 100 | 372 |
|  | Granby \＃11 Reservoir | Dirty George Creek | 39 | 5 | 703 | 91 | 579 | 75 | 775 | 100 | 761 |
|  | Granby \＃12 Reservoir | Dirty George Creek | 212 | 35 | 566 | 93 | 499 | 82 | 610 | 100 | 480 |
|  | Gray Reservoir | Leroux Creek | 24 | 6 | 423 | 100 | 56 | 13 | 424 | 100 | 126 |
|  | Hanson \＃2 Reservoir | Leroux Creek | 0 | 0 | 225 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 100 | 0 |
|  | Holy Terror Reservoir | Terror Creek | 0 | 0 | 146 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 100 | 0 |
|  | Hotel Lake Reservoir | Ward Creek | 177 | 32 | 548 | 100 | 436 | 100 | 548 | 80 | 451 |
|  | Island Lake Reservoir | Ward Creek | 381 | 23 | 1，678 | 100 | 1，251 | 75 | 1，678 | 100 | 1，454 |
|  | Kehmeir Reservoir | Surface Creek | 51 | 16 | 320 | 100 | 177 | 55 | 320 | 100 | 116 |
|  | Kiser Slough Reservoir | Kiser Creek | 24 | 5 | 512 | 100 | 290 | 57 | 512 | 100 | 158 |


|  | RESERVOIR NASE | STREAM SOURCE | PREvious IYR |  |  |  | IYR OF RECORD |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Beg. IYR |  | Beg. Irr. Season |  | Beg. TYR |  | Beg. Irr. Season |  | End IYR$\mathrm{AF}$ |
|  |  |  | AF | \% | AF | $\%$ | AF | \% | AF | \% |  |
| 40 | MAJOR <br> Knox Reservoir Kennicott Slough Res. Lake Brennand Reservoir Leon Lake Reservoir Leon Park Reservoir Little Gem Reservoir Lone Cabin Reservoir Marcott Park Reservoir McKoon Reservoir Military Reservoir Monument Reservoir Onion Valley Reservoir Overland \#1 Reservoir Paonia Reservoir Park Reservoir Patterson \#2 Reservoir Pedro Reservoir Pitcairn Reservoir Porter 非 Reservoir Prebble Reservoir Reynolds Reservoir Rim Rock Lake Reservoir Rockwell Reservoir Sackett Reservoir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Surface Creek | 88 | 37 | 241 | 100 | 138 | 57 | 241 | 100 | 58 |
|  |  | Kiser Creek | 11 | 1 | 526 | 58 | 272 | 30 | 812 | 89 | 324 |
|  |  | Anthracite Creek | 367 | 100 | 367 | 100 | 367 | 100 | 367 | 100 | 367 |
|  |  |  | 758 | 30 | 1,767 | 71 | 1,237 | 49 | 2,324 | 93 | 1,237 |
|  |  | Surface Creek | 0 | 0 | 172 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 100 | 10 |
|  |  | Ward Creek | 105 | 48 | 219 | 100 | 118 | 54 | 219 | 100 | 111 |
|  |  | Minnesota Creek | 0 | 0 | 150 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 100 | 25 |
|  |  | Surface Creek | 0 | 0 | 500 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 447 | 89 | 0 |
|  |  | Youngs Creek | 2 | 1 | 148 | 100 | 121 | 82 | 148 | 100 | 105 |
|  |  | Surface Creek | 49 | 21 | 237 | 100 | 159 | 67 | 237 | 100 | 35 |
|  |  | Minnesota Creek | 0 | 0 | 442 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 461 | 92 | 0 |
|  |  | Crystal Creek | 0 | 0 | 4,416 | 49 | 430 | 5 | 8,671 | 96 | 4,301 |
|  |  | Hubbard Creek | 0 | 0 | 5,608 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 3,360 | 52 | 0 |
|  |  | Muddy Creek | 2,037 | 11 | 18,468 | 100 | 6,319 | 34 | 18,468 | 100 | 3,733 |
|  |  | Surface Creek | 414 | 12 | 3,383 | 100 | 1,930 | 57 | 3,383 | 100 | 1,745 |
|  |  | Leroux Creek | 0 | 0 | 151 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 100 | 151 |
|  |  | Youngs Creek | 88 | 45 | 195 | 100 | 166 | 85 | 195 | 100 | 171 |
|  |  | Doughspoon Creek | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 10 | 10 | 76 | 76 | 60 |
|  |  | Oak Creek | 133 | 66 | 201 | 100 | 201 | 100 | 201 | 100 | 125 |
|  |  | Youngs Creek | 42 | 22 | 195 | 100 | 162 | 83 | 195 | 100 | 138 |
|  |  | Reynolds Creek | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 |
|  |  | Ward Creek | 64 | 60 | 107 | 100 | 37 | 35 | 107 | 100 | 107 |
|  |  | Iron Creek | 0 | 0 | 118 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 100 | 5 |
|  |  | Surface Creek | 52 | 48 | 108 | 100 | 108 | 100 | 108 | 100 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| STORAGE SUMMARIES (Page 5) Division IV - 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VD | RESERVOIR NAEE | STREAM SOURCE | PREVIOUS TYR |  |  |  | IYR OF RECORD |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Beg. IYR |  | Beg. Irr.Season |  | Beg. IYR |  | Beg. Irr. Season |  | End TYT |
|  |  |  | $A F$ | \% | $A F$ | \% | AF | $\%$ | AF | $\%$ | AF |
| 41 | MAJOR <br> Buckhorn Reservoir <br> Fairview Reservoir <br> Garnet Mesa Reservoir <br> OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Beaton Creek | 182.0 | 74 | 247.0 | 100 | 182.0 | 74 | 247.0 | 100 | 206.2 |
|  |  |  | 400.0 | 100 | 382.0 | 96 | 400.0 | 100 | 358.0 | 90 | 400.0 |
|  |  | Uncompahgre River | 1,372.0 | 100 | 1,372.0 | 100 | 1,372.0 | 100 | 1,372.0 | 100 | 1,372.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | Various |  | 0 | 0 | 73.0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 73.0 | 100 | 0 |
|  | MAJOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | Anderson \#1 Reservoir | Kannah Creek | 285 | 61 | 466 | 100 | 298 | 64 | 466 | 100 | 413 |
|  | Anderson \#2 Reservoir | Kannah Creek | 330 | 58 | 568 | 100 | 400 | 70 | 568 | 100 | 165 |
|  | Anderson \#16 Reservoir | Kannah Creek | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 65 | 65 | 100 | 100 | 80 |
|  | Bolen Reservoir | Kannah Creek | 218 | 41 | 535 | 100 | 315 | 59 | 535 | 100 | 256 |
|  | Bolen Anderson Res. | Kannah Creek | 96 | 33 | 293 | 100 | 199 | 68 | 293 | 100 | 60 |
|  | Carson Reservoir | Kannah Creek | 637 | 100 | 637 | 100 | 637 | 100 | 637 | 100 | 637 |
|  | Deep Creek Reservoir \#2 | Kannah Creek | 0 | 0 | 350 | 100 | 80 | 23 | 350 | 100 | 0 |
|  | Dry Cr. Res. (Chambers) | Kannah Creek | 0 | 0 | 230 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 100 | 5 |
|  | Flowing Park Reservoir | Kannah Creek | 50 | 6 | 782 | 100 | 200 | 26 | 782 | 100 | 0 |
|  | Fruita Reservoir \#1 | No. Fk. East Creek | 30 |  | No Record |  | No Record |  | No Record |  | No Record |
|  | Fruita Reservoir \#2 | No. Fk. East Creek | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
|  | Fruita Reservoir \#3 | No. Fk. East Creek | No Record |  | No Record |  | No Record |  | No Record |  | No Record |
|  | Grand Mesa \#1 Res. | Kannah Creek | 6 | 2 | 348 | 100 | 75 | 22 | 348 | 100 | 222 |
|  | Grand Mesa \#6 Res. | Kannah Creek | 0 | 0 | 230 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Grand Mesa \#8 Res. | Kannah Creek | 0 | 0 | 378 | 100 | 45 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Grand Mesa \#9 Res. | Kannah Creek | 0 | 0 | 153 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |




*This includes 282,093 A.F. imported through the Gunnison Tunnel. This amount consists of 5,096 A.F. diverted from WD-62 for municipal and domestic use, 276,997 A.F. diverted from WD-62 for irrigation.


## WATER COURT ACTIVITIES

No. Applications for Decrees ..... 333
No. Consultations with Referee ..... 614
No. Decrees Issued by Water Court ..... 363
Type of Decree
Surface Water ..... 277
Ground Water ..... 44
Reservoir ..... 50
Transfer ..... 2
Alternate Point ..... 2
Change of Use ..... 6
Plan for Augmentation ..... 5
In-Stream Flow ..... 30
No. Structures in Decrees ..... 700
Types of Structures
Ditches ..... 431
Reservoirs ..... 102
We11s ..... 167

TABLE OF ORGANIZATION - PERSONNEL
IRRIGATION DIVISION NO. 4
Division Engineer - Ralph V. Kelling
Assistant Division Engineer - Thomas A. Kelly
Secretary - Jean Kurtz
Hydrographer - Charles G. David

Water District 28
WATER COMMISSIONER
John S. Garber

Water District 42
SR. WATER COMMISSIONER
*Richard Belden
WATER COMMISSTONER
Lester Whiting

Water District 40
PRIN. WATER COMMISSIONER
*Richard L. Drexel
SENIOR WATER COMMISSIONER
*Robert H. Starr
WATER COMMISSIONERS
Willard N. Bull
Lloyd A. Connell
Mack Gorrod
James T. Hanrahan
Henry LeValley
**Kenneth Mahannah
John L. McHugh
James Miller
L. Gregg Scott

Charles E. Stein
Stephen W. Tuck
Charley E. Woolley
David E. Woolley
Water District 61
WATER COMMISSIONER
Clinton L. Oliver
Water District 68

WATER COMMISSIONER
*H. Roger Noble

Water District 41
WATER COMMISSIONER
Crandall Howard

Water District 59
WATER COMMISSIONER
*Edwin S. Hofmann
WATER COMMISSIONER
Robert Drexel

Water District 62
WATER COMMISSIONER
Edwin S. Hofmann
Water District 73
SR. WATER COMMISSIONER
Richard Belden

WELL COMMISSIONER
*Dwayne Mansker

* Annual
** Temporary Employee

