FREDERICK W. PADDOCK IRRIGATION DIVISION ENGINEER P. G. 80X 15

SUBJECT:

Annual Report, 1961

Mr. J. E. Whitten State Engineer Denver, Colorado

Dear Sir:

We herewith submit our annual report for 1961.

As the years go by, one reaches an inescapable opinion that there is no such thing as an average year, nor are the water problems of any water shed ever settled in any manner more than temporarily. We will say, however, that each year we see some very peculiar things in regard to running of water. In Division Four our year of 1961 certainly fits with the above statements.

Snow on the San Niguel and Gunnison Watersheds fell late in the winter and early in the spring. Water content on all snow or water sheds was low, based on the ten or twenty year records of averages. Run off started rather slowly, but by the time irrigation water was really needed, there was plenty. The early summer growing season was excellent, and rather larger than usual first cuttings of hay were made. All crops grew well.

However, in early July the flow dropped off to meager amounts, leaving only the early water rights with an ample water supply. This condition lasted for about three weeks, and it then commenced to rain. The shortage, taken together with the fact that there had been no precipitation during May and June, made this three-week period pretty bad. The striking thing about the above situation was that, once it commenced to rain, it rained intermittently for about sixty days, causing great losses in the harvest period. Third cutting hay, grain and bean crops in particular were hit by the wet weather. There was heavy financial loss to the farmers and ranchers. Cattle and sheep, however, did well.

Return flows from the irrigation of lands under these reservoirs have immeasurably improved and stabilized the flows in the Razor Creek and Hot Springs Creek Ditches.

Pages

STATE OF COLORADO IRRIGATION DIVISION NO. 4

FREDERICK W. PADDOCK IRRIGATION DIVISION ENGINEER P. O. BOX 15

SUBJECT:

Specifically, by districts, we report as follows:

District 28

In this district we had our chronic shortages on Tomichi and Cochetopa Creeks.

Quartz Creek held up well, as it usually does.

The water commissioner reports one new reservoir dam under construction. The Hotsprings and Vouga Reservoirs were visited by Mr. Hicks and myself and found to appear in good order. We noted new concrete work done to check spillway raveling on the Vouga Dam which appears to have effectively checked trouble for that structure. Seepage appeare to have decreased on both the Vouga and Hot Springs as best we can tell from intermittant inspections.

Probably our biggest problem in District 28 is that one of the Reservoir Company attempts about every other year to force the Water Commissioner to be the Company Superintendant in the distribution of the reservoir water from the Vouga Reservoir. On the house by way of expense, of course.

Razor Creek ditch flows have been immeasurably improved as have the Hot Springs Creek ditches with the operation of these reservoirs, by return flows from the additional water at later dates than when the entire irrigation season for the stream was geared solely to direct flow.

In past years Commissioner Hicks has felt that the expence of keeping records was not justified and we have concurred with his reasoning in that matter. This year he has prepared and submitted an annual report, which is incorporated in this report in the statistical section.

The start of the Blue Mesa Unit of the Curecanti Project has made it necessary for us to make this change. Mr. Hicks is doing an excellent job, we believe, as he has always done in the part.

Water District 40 contains the most argumentative group of water users in our

FREDERICK W. PADDOCK IRRIGATION DIVISION ENGINEER P. O. BOX 15

SUBJECT:

Division. Probably 50% of the rulings of the Commissioner and his deputies are appealed to this office, and about half of our rulings are appealed to your office or end up in the District Court. In the past several years since the State Engineer's Office has adopted a policy of resisting actions naming the Water Officials, we would like to state with quite a lot of satisfaction, such actions have largely stopped.

A Grand Mesa Water Conservancy District has been formed and a proposal is being explored to build another dam on Muddy Creek some fifteen miles upstream from the Paonia Dam. The proposal is meeting with great interest in two communities + namely Redlands Mesa and Cederedge. There are several serious problems to solve and costs will probably be quite great if this proposal reaches the construction project stage.

On Leroux Creek it was necessary for the Comissioner to issue some thirty odd

Parshall flume repair or installation orders to the Leroux Creek Water Users Assoc.

and four or five other private individual Reservoir owners. We assisted the Comissioner in this task which required three days of field work and one day of typing. Altogether, Leroux Creek used up ten days of our time in August and September.

The Paonia Reservoir was not completed in time for storage in 1961. In 1962 this reservoir should help greatly in reducing June floods at Paonia and Delta, and alleviate shortage of water for the Fire Mountain Canal in August. If certain exchanges are worked out, there will be flow used above the reservoir. Agreements are not yet completed.

Work on the face of the Beaver Dam Resorvoir Dam in 1960 reduced leakage approximately 50% by Parshall flume measurement. Your detailed reports from Mr. Rees# Brooks will cover the slides that have worried us for the past two years. It appears the major leakage at their sites can be stopped.

Three years ago, an injunction suit was brought (a) on Oak Creek against his

FREDERICK W. PADDOCK IRRIGATION DIVISION ENGINEER P. C. BOX 15

SUBJECT:

neighbor, (b) which neighbor also uses water on an adjoining water shed. The suit was to restrain B from molesting the flow of the Creek up near the rim of Brand Mesa, at which point the creek divides and flows two ways, to Oak and Doughspoon Creeks. The court issued an injunction against both parties, which was appealed by party A to the Supreme Court of Colorado. The District Court was upheld in July of this year. The Comissioner has issued orders for ditch headgates and measuring flumes, and reservoir gage rods and capacity tables. Judging by past experiences there will be about one week a worth of headaches next May, in regard to Oak and Doughspoon Creeks.

The Overland Ditch and Reservoir Company had to reset to ten-feet Parshall flumes this summer which led to a threat of addamage suit against the Division Engineer. When the Company's engineer reported the flumes not in operating order, they were reset as ordered.

An inspection of the Overland Dam showed the riprap ordered by Mr. Rees Brooks of the State Office, about 50% done. A cut was pushed through a soft earthen embankment, leaving a drop of approximately seven to nine feet over a light sandy soil. The situation as now exists will allow approximately 60% of the present storage capacity to drop out of the reservoir basin in a pretty short period of time, should water run through the cut. In our report we are recommending restricted storage. Failure of this reservior since the building of the Paonia Dam will not pose the terrible threat to life and limb it once did. However, three ranchers would be most severly damaged, and in reservoir failure there is always a possibility of some loss of life.

We had some trouble with the Cedaredge--Cedar Mesa Pipeline Company, who so far as we know have never complied with our orders for measuring devices that work. We do not believe we will have any further trouble over this situation.

In Water District No. 42, we had difficulty filling reservoirs on Upper Kannah Creek and at the same time supply early flow decrees down stream. Fortunately, the weather gave us a break and we were able to accomplish both ina short time. This year, we entered the season with some holdover storage.

FREDERICK W. PADDOCK IRRIGATION DIVISION ENGINEER P. D. BOX 15

BUBJECT:

In District 41, we had a reasonably good season until the August rains set in; and as a result there were many thousands of dollars of damage to malt barley, hay, and bean crops. We had no administrative problems this year.

Water District 42 started off with a problem of filling reservoirs on upper Kahnnah Creek, and the early flow decrees at the lower end of the stream. Fortunately, the weather gave us a break and flow enough for the direct flow decrees and to also fill the reservoirs started up liverally in one (actually three) day's time. This year we entered the winter season with some holdover storage.

On Plateau Creek we had the new experience of seeing approximately 25,000 acre feet of storage in the Vega Reservoir. Project and exchange waters were delivered from the Vega Reservoir in the amount of approximately 12,500 acre feet.

Big Greek and Cottonwood Creek are involved in both exchange of Vega storage for Qirect flow and storage in reservoirs on their Grand Mesa headwaters.

Project water is sold and delivered to eight creeks tributary to Plateau Creek, as well as Plateau Creek itself

In 1962, it is planned that the power plants will operate in conjunction with the Vega Dam. The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation is working hard to bring this about, and are starting work, we are informed, on the Sunnyside Irrigation Profect in connection with the Collbran Project. The Ute Conservancy District is proceeding with the domestic water project which it is pushing thick will operate on the waste water from the Collbran Power Plants.

For as much trouble as we have had in the past two seasons, 1961 was a very good one, with no serious problems on Kalinnah Creek and considering the newness and complicated aspects of the Collbran Project, no serious problems there.

FREDERICK W. PADDOCK IRRIGATION DIVISION ENGINEER P. O. BOX 15

SUBJECT:

Water District 59 had a dispute on a small creek near Crested Butte break out into a demand for administration. From our viewpoint, at least, a savsuit resulted from the unreasonable attitude of the senior users on the creek. We believe this will be ironed out with time, headgates and measuring fluxes.

Taylor Park Reservoir stored a total of 94,560 acre feet on July 1, 1961. This is about 12,000 acre feet short of filling. 55,540 acre feet were run to the Uncompanier Valley Project, leaving 39,020 acre feet on September 5, 1961. On November 1, 1961, storage had reached 59,320 acre feet. This amounts to 56% of capacity, a gain of 20,300 acre feet, which is a good start for next year.

Water District 60 operated with no serious problems this year. The San Miguel watershed appeared to have good supplies of vater until the 15th of July. For two weeks it was dry and then they received lots of rain. The Curley Reservior has a pretty good sized holdover storage. Some repairs and maintenance work are planned and we are told approved by the State Office.

Water District 61 did pretty well on rop production this year. A new purping plant was installed on the Dolores River above Bedrock, Colorado, and for three ranchers in that area proved most beneficial. They are purping about 7.5 c f s by Parshall Flume ressurement. There was one serious leak which had to be repaired.

Comissioner Garber of this District along with Comissioner Micks of District 28 share the honor of being the first two to get their reports in for our Division this year, as both care in on the same day's mail.

District 62 had little trouble this year. We had a derend to close off a domestic 1" pipeline, but the party making the complaint had no decree in the creek involved. No action was taken.

FREDERICK W. PADDOCK IRRIGATION DIVISION ENGINEER P. G. BOX 15

BUBJECT:

District 63 has no report of any action from this office. We know of no new reservoirs since 1959 and 1960. Striam flows held up about as usual, which because of reservoirs leakage and return flows from additional water, is better than it used to be. The Comissioner had to shut off a pump on one ranch along with several ditches in July. August rains changed this quite a bit.

District 68 as usual had shortages of water in July, but in August it was wished the water could be turned off for the benefit of all. There were no serious problems this season. There is a great deal of interest in the proposed Ridguay Project, and it will serve several interests if built.

In conclusion, I would like to make some recommendations.

We believe some logislation is needed to stop unjustifiable or false complaints. Possibly this could be done in the new codification of our Colorado Mater Law. We believe Colorado's Decree system is better than the permit system of water rights in other states; however, something should be done to stop extravagant and wasteful and/or fraudulent water filings and claims for decrees. One wastewater law should, we believe, be enlarged and clarified. Last, if at all possible, the criminal statutes for theft and other unlawful use of water should be rewritten and made easier to enforce with stiffer penalties.

If at all possible, we would like to see special deputy attorneys of ability, appointed to serve the Attorney General's office, one for each division. We believe that a part-time attorney for each Division would take a load off both your office and the Attorney General's office as well as assist us.

We have added columns of statistics not hereto reported from our division. We find this necessary, as the use of water for purposes other than irrigation is increasing prodigously. Approximately 25% of the water now run in our division is for purposes

FREDERICK W. PADDOCK
IRRIGATION DIVISION ENGINEER
P. O. BOX 15

SUBJECT:

other than irrigation, out of 3.5 million acre feet. Power and municipal use will increase in Water District 42 by about 25,000 acre feet starting next year with the major portion of this figure.

The eight water comissioners in Division Four are as fine and dedicated a group as one could have to work with, who one and all handle a touchy and difficult job with what I consider great care and integrity. On the behalf of these gentlemen and their deputies, and our office, I wish to extend to you and the members of your office our thanks for your help and cooperation which make it possible for us to solve mutual problems and conclude another successful season. The statistical report is attached.

Very truly yours

Frederick W. Paddock

Frederick W. Paddock

Irrigation Division Engineer #4.

FWP/pep

Annual Tabulation of attact Commissioner Reports
irrigation Division No. 4
State of Colorado

Ditch Report

			×			
Ch De crea	**************************************		Water was		S	
		in Second	3	ci p a i	* 0 7 .	
Water Dietrica				Water Run Acre Feet	A C (0 T 0 0)	
439	157.614	829	83			41,880
			9 4	20 24 3		
	89 593,029	1.586	214	48,756		77,594
	906	-1, x.x.x	2	200	273	
50	524,077	758	214	9,815	803	35,596
	24 C		6.5	6,010		
	34		214	906**		
			21 4	67 N 09		23 4
			***	4,000		
		135	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER			

No Topore

The late was designed the winter menths or off laries and seems on (151 days) and the seems of t

1961

Annual Tabulation of
Water Commissioner Reports for
Irrigation Division No. 4
STATE of COLORADO
Reservoir Report

100	10000	Marin Z		عادة عب	وتبر أونياؤن	<u> </u>					
					•				(#) _.		Secretary Distriction District
	8.8	9	້ອ. ເນ	a T	₩ 6 0	59	4 10	4	• 0	2.6	
			64.4	80 9 2	ar.		7 (2) (2)				
									1.4		00 a de
16							7			1	T
				1	A.	ea	4		4:		1
3	27	1	22	i	78	35	470	O.	439	cn cn	3.5
											5
		*									200
					-	j.			-		1. 196 17 (Table 1)
15	2	•		1	30 .	හ	60	ea .	154	•	35
						<u> </u>					A
										1	Amount Steres June 18 Acre Fe
				سو	10,	8	34		2	800	768 5
34 , 550	2	1,155	4,113	1,261	10,421	96,539	34,919	469	41,737	2,775	2 - 2 - 3
					1.2						7 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
		1.5 1.									ord ord ing Acre
Ŀ						-			Ca		igation orage du ing the Acre Fe
E		jud	3	5	10,4	56,509	22,404	63	36,046	22	0 0 0
E	10 to	Trees.			1 (Marco		1 14-	CAL .	1 42	g . 1995	
		55	60	1,135	121	909	\$	522	46	775	- 000 - 000
		ĕ	60		121	509	104	522	04.6	75	yered been
F		3	60	25	55	909	Q	522	34.6	75	
F		3	60					\$2 2	*6	776	Dome d Munic Stord Feet,
			8					2	A	75	Dome d Munic Stord Feet,
2 200			80 4 53		1,400		9	322 147		75	Dome d Munic Stord Feet,
2000			8					2	***************************************	7.5	Dome d Munic Stord Feet,
2,030			80 4 53					2		75	Dome d Munic Stord Feet,
2000			80 4 53					22		75	Dome d Munic Stord Feet,
		3	80 4 53					2			Dome d Munic Stord Feet,
			80 4 53			808		22		76	Dome d Munic Stord Feet,
8.080 Post			453 ••					22			Domestic and Man Mynicipal Storage in Acre Pow Feet, delivered Acre
			453 ••			808		22			Domestic and Manutageuring A Municipal Storage in Acre Power Storage N Feet, delivered Acre Feet, Deliv-
			453 ••			808		22			Domestic and Manutageuring A Municipal Storage in Acre Power Storage N Feet, delivered Acre Feet, Deliv-
			453 ••		1	808		22		775	Domestic and Manutagsuring Municipal Storage in Acre Power Storage Feet, delivered Acre Feet, Deliv-
			453		1	808		22			Domestic and Manufageuring Amount and Municipal and Storage in Acre Power Storage November Feet, delivered Acre Feet, Deliv- Acre Feet
			453 ••			808		22	5,691		Domestic and Manutageuring A Municipal Storage in Acre Power Storage N Feet, delivered Acre Feet, Deliv-
			453		1	808		22			Domestic and Manufageuring Amount and Municipal and Storage in Acre Power Storage November Feet, delivered Acre Feet, Deliv- Acre Feet

Wranted Subte Reserveir for Municipal water failed during the wister of 1980-19.

Though run from storage dering the winter months (151 days)