STATE OF COLORADO IRRIGATION DIVISION NO. 4 MONTROSE

FREDERICK W. PADDOCK IRRIGATION DIVISION ENGINEER ROOM 7, COURT HOUSE P. D. BOX 15

SUBJECT:

November 23, 1957

Annual Report 1957

Mr. J. E. Whitten State Engineer Denver. Colorado

Dear Sir:

I herewith submit my annual report. Generally speaking 1957 was probably the wettest year known for Irrigation Division #4. In checking with the water commissioners and with various persons in the farming and ranching game in various communities we learned that 1957 was too wet to give maximum production, and high quality in hay and grain crops. Stockmen tell us that lambs came off the high country ranges, and that while they were large in size, they were not fat; and that steers came off the ranges 50 to 150 pounds per animal lighter than usual. Rolling out an occasional head of grain confirms that the grain kernels are not as filled out as they should be. We are the exform forced to conclude that an exceptionally wet year is good to fill reservoirs, and it is also good to alleviate water shortages somewhat; but on the whole it is bad for our communities.

/m Water District #28, there is no report and no bills were submitted to us for work. It is our understanding that no ditches had to be shut off on the Cochetopa Creek and its tributaries in 1957. Water users regulated their own headgates as it suited them.

Water District #40 had an unusual amount of reservoir repair activity this year, as well as some new construction. In all we inspected four new reservoir dams and sites, and four repairs and enlargement jobs. There is no comparison between the jobs turned out now with carryalls and rollers, and the embankments that were put up by dump wagons and rollover type scrapers in the past. All of the work done in Water District #40 in 1957 appeared well done.

We are experiencing trouble with U.S.-S.C.S. personmel trying to write and approve their own specifications on reservoir dams. They even have a man bearing the title of State Engineer. In two cases some of their work has turned out poorly indicating wrong type of personmel orcarelessness on their part.

So far as direct flow and storage were concerned this year, there were no conflictions.

Water District #41 had an unusual year. It is one of our most over appropriated districts. The water commissioner had no ditches to regulate--merely keep records.

Some new measuring devices will be installed in the lower part of the District near Delta prior to the 1958 season.

The Fish and Game Dept., Sweitzer Lake Reserveir Dam appeared in good shape this year. There were no drownings reported in it in 1957. So far there is no appearance of excessive leakage or other trouble. The outlet valve works are housed in a well-like structure where it is located. The commissioner has no lock and key for it, although he has requested one several times.

The Olathe City Reservoir was not visited this year. It was unnicessary to shut off the Gray's Creek Ditch to supply the Olathe City Reservoir in 1957. In any ordinary year this is always done.

Only a pertion of storage of the two Buckhorn Reservoirs was used. The Little Buckhorn filled as usual, while the Big Buckhorn filled to within five feet of the spillway, the highest point of storage on record in 70 years. The operators used 61.6 Ac. Ft. of storage from the larger reservoir, and left the balance for 1958.

Many complaints were made about too much water. The Uncompangre Valley Water Users delivered 100% on demand to all users this season, excepting at a few points in thier system where the supply ditches are too small to make such delivery. The reason for the complaints, of course, was the unusual and ex#cessive rainfall.

Further figures reveal that the Uncompanger River furnished about 46.85% of Mormal at Colona in 1956, and in the irrigation season of 1957 it furnished 151.57% of Mormal; 87,976 acre feet compared to 249,667 acre feet of this year's run.

Water District #42 experienced an excellent water year on Kahnah Creek and on Plateau Creek and tributaries.

There is, however, a small creek known as Indian Creek which has a problem. There have been several decrees written which unless studied over from the first one of 1912 to the last one of 1941 can be quite confusing. After// finding the recordsrstraight and the priority lists in agreement this office made a written ruling, which while not satisfactory to either side has not yet been appealed.

The Callbran Project has been started. Once delivery of water has started under this project, there will be quite a few headaches for the Water Commissioner. The Bureau of Reclamation sold 25% more water than they will have available for delivery. Actually this is their problem, not ours, however we have had experience previously with the projects planned and built by the Bureau of Reclamation. They are quite prone to pass the buck when there is less than 100% of water for delivery. This is going to necessatate two or three more deputies in this district due to exchanges and filling of reservoirs with ditches on four streams. The reason I say this is because they will use four natural streams for carriers, in which there are numerous flow and storage devices decrees.

Water District #59 lest clese to 50 headgates and 11 Parshall measuring devices due to high water. Mr. Koch, Water Commissioner, also reports extensive flood damage, to fields and crops as well as the above ditches.

North of Gunnison the Gunnison cut around and started running heavily in Clarks Stream, a minor branch of the Gunnison River, used with a headgate and measuring device as a decreed ditch for many years. We lost a gaging station on Willow Creek at Taylor Park. This is gage above Taylor Reservoir.

Ne water was run frem Taylor Park Reservoir storage until 1st of October. Totado storage is 107,000 acre feet, and is now approximately 90,000 acre feet.

This effice and the Water Commissioner were called on to make two water measurements in a case involving two litigants. Measurement revealed 26 cfs. was being run to two parties of which one party was using 17 cfs. on 90 acres and the other party was using 8 cfs. on 300 acres. It is not surprising that this case was settled out of court.

While Taylor Reservoir is the principal storage project in Water District #59, there are several small projects. Officially we have no knowledge of storage being used in this district in 1957. All reservoir water run was reaseased for the safety of the impounding structure.

Water District #60 had an exceptional year. Storage reservoirs all filled, all ditches ran a full head almost all season.

The Farmers Water Development Company became involved in three way litigation in 1956. It started out with five parties suing the company. A referee was appointed by the court, who questioned the measuring devices and registers put in under the supervision of the Water Commissioner. The referee admits that much to his surprise, the measuring devices do work. We are, however, going to have to require one now automatic register to replace an old one. This year things have changed-only three of the present plaintiffs are of the original five, and some thirty to forty other parties have been made third party interveners.

The Farmers Water Development Company now plans to make a new improvement by installing another or additional outlet pipe in the Dam of the Gurly Reservoir. No storage was used this year, and about 3000 acre feet were released in September and October to relieve pressure on the dam. This was done voluntarily.

Due to the season there were no ordinary or usual conflicts or battles over water.

Water District #61 ran an unusually great amount of water. As you know it is a small district, and will for the most part run an average of 6000 acre feet of storage and flow. The Buckeye Reservoir filled to capacity of 3800 acre ft. and a little over 6000 acre feet of flow was delivered. It has been several years since we have had any problems in Water District #61. Commissioner Garber does an excellent job.

Water District #62 has the headgate of the Gunnison Tunnel in it. This year for the first time since the Taylor Park Reservoir came into use, no storage water was used. With a capacity of Vcfs., a flow of 500 to 550 cfs. was run a great deal of the season, thru the tunnel.

On the main Gunnison River there were four headgates and Parshall flumes lost due to flood water. The heaviest loss being to the relatively new Blackstock Ditch, which in addition to the headwarks, lost an entire mile from the headgate down on the second of August, 1957, there was 7 cfs. in this ditch running into it selely due to the elevation of the surface of the river.

The commissioner reports 7 large headgates in other parts of Water District #62, we re also lost.

The Fish and Game Department have several reservoirs in this District which apparently they do not intend to get decreed. We have also recommended in writing that they file them with your office, with plans, which we learn has not been done. This is beginning to make administrative problems for us. This may in the future, however, make worse problems for them, however that will be their problem, not ours.

Water District #63 is a small District and is handled by Mr. Saunders, regular commissioner of Water District #42. He has done an excellent job, so well that any person in our department can go into this district and state that he is a member of your department and be well received. All he did was insist right at the start headgates and Parshall flumes for everyone--no exceptions. When his orders were complied with, it was found by all that with adequate spillgates and measuring flumes, all get a fair share of the water. Squabble and complaints never reach this office now, as they did a few years back.

Water District #68 has a new Water Commissioner and we now get reports, at # least this year at any rate. There were no shortages of water for this district this season, which in turn aids in furnishing water for Water District #41. We are glad the new commissioner, Mr. Howard Noble of Rigeway, had an easy year to start. Present appearances indicate next season should be easy too. We hope so. We are very happy he is getting off to a good start.

In conclusion we will state that our present eight water commissioners are a fine group of men to work with, and that their successes are our successes and their troubles our troubles. It has to be that way in order that they as well as myself are to be enabled to do a very successful job. This office can begin to see some tangible accomplishments in our Division #4, of which the Water Commissioners and myself are justly proud.

We wish to thank your office and personmes for your help and cooperation. A list of tabulations of the Water Commissioners follows.

Very truly yours,

Frederick W. Paddock

Irrigation Division Engineer #4

Frederick W. Paddock

STATE OF COLORADO

IRRIGATION DIVISION NO. 4

MONTROSE

FREDERICK W. PADDOCK
IRRIGATION DIVISION ENGINEER
ROOM 7, COURT HOUSE
P. O. BOX 15

ħ

SUBJECT:

# # !!	` 				-	İ	1.	-			
No. of Acres r Water District	29,253	152,600	90,194	110,874	45,430	41,386	6,291	28,570	2,300	ZI, 168 Kanjorka	528,086
Daily No. of Amount of Days water Water run in Was run Second feet	s season	365	365	365	214	214	244	214	365	244	365
Daily Amount of Water run in Second feet	werking this	595	750	843	1848	246 *	15.5	677*	12,4	199*	4.553
Amount of Water in Acre Feet	o Water Commisioner not working this	434,215	547,204	614,863	576.320	105,202*	6,654	290.330*	9,074	97,153*	2,681,015
No. of Ditches Administered	due to Water C	482	89	206	80	138	14	76	48	137	1270 2
No. of t Decreed D ditches in Water District	Ne 1957 record	1132	222	760	303	265	46	200	81	310	n 3319
Water District	82	40	41	42	59	09	ંહેલા	62	63	89	Division Tetals

These figures may possibly be subject to change at a later date.

STATE OF COLORADO

IRRIGATION DIVISION NO. 4 MONTROSE

FREDERICK W. PADDOCK IRRIGATION DIVISION ENGINEER ROOM 7, COURT HOUSE P. D. BOX 15

SUBJECT:

Irrigation Division No. 4 25 State of Colorado / γ Tabulations of the Water Commissioner Reports $^{\circ}$

none 2 No report from Water Comm 247 140 56900 27805 6 4 724 724 100 50 13350 9455 100 50 13350 9455 22 107500 none none 1 3800 3800 6 5 1676 1075 12 10 930 510 12 10 930 510 396 219 195,679 45,369		Decreed Reserveirs in Water Di≇trict	Keservolrs Administered	June 15 Acre feet	during the season acre feet	notaver prerage Nov. 1 acré feet
140 56900 27805 2 4 724 724 724 50 13350 9455 9 2 107500 none 9 e 1 3800 2800 n e 1 3800 3800 n f 1675 1075 6 f 4 4 f 4 4 219 195,679 45,369 124	28	none	82	por	from	issioner
6 4 724 724 100 50 13350 9455 2 107500 none 9 22 2 10800 2000 none 1 3800 3800 n 6 5 1675 1075 6 12 10 930 510 4 ∞	40	247	140	56900	27805	21128
100 50 13350 9455 3 2 107500 none 9 none 1 3800 2000 none 9 6 5 1675 1075 6 4 12 10 930 510 4 4 ∞ 396 219 45,369 124	41	9	4	724	724	200
3 2 107500 none 9 none 1 3800 3800 n 6 5 1675 1075 6 12 10 930 510 4 ∞n 396 219 45,369 124	42	100	50	13350	9455	4395
22 2 10800 2000 none 1 3800 3800 n 6 5 1675 1075 6 12 10 930 510 4 ∞n 396 219 195,679 45,369 124	29	23	2	107500	none	00006
none 1 3800 3800 6 5 1675 1075 12 10 930 510 at \$€ \$€ on 396 45,369	09	22	2	10800	2000	7800
6 5 1675 1075 12 10 930 510 ∞n 396 219 195,679 45,369	61	none	7	3800	3800	none
12 10 950 510 → * *	62	9	5	1675	1075	900
on 396 219 195,679 45,369	80	12	10	930	510	420
on 396 219 195,679 45,369	- Land	*	: 79 4; ≪a;	***	i santa	3 49 3-
)ivision ‰£als	396		195,679	45,369	124,543