# 1987

# <u>ANNUAL</u> <u>REPORT</u>

DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES DIVISION III

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUBJECT	PAGE
WATER ADMINI	ISTRATION
Α.	Current Water Year (1986-1987)
	1. Accomplishments
	2. Involvement in the Water User Community 4
	3. Particular Issues of Concern and Their Impact 4
	4. Effect of Work Load Changes 6
	5. Impact of Budget on Division Operation 7
В.	1987–1988
	1. Concerns Which Will Impact Division Operations . 7
	2. Projected Work Items Planned in 1988 8
	3. Goals and Objectives 9
APPENDIX A	Division III Office Staff
APPENDIX B	Division III Activity Summary
APPENDIX C	1987 Rio Grande Compact Report
APPENDIX D	Transmountain Diversions Summary-Inflow
APPENDIX E	Reservoir Storage Summaries
APPENDIX F	Water Diversion Summary by District IY 1987
APPENDIX G	Water Court Report

### WATER ADMINISTRATION

The 1987 runoff proved to continue the extraordinary string of consecutive high water years in Division III. The snowpack and subsequent runoff of the last three years looked like triplets--all three producing over one million acre feet on the Rio Grande River near Del Norte, providing ample water supplies for users, keeping ground water and reservoir storage full and insuring Elephant Butte Reservoir in New Mexico remained full. For the third year in a row Elephant Butte Reservoir spilled which released Colorado from any delivery obligation. Yet, because of the shortage of storage facilities on the Rio Grande system in Colorado, approximately 827,000 a.f. of water crossed the state line, much of which was wasted to the Gulf of Mexico. This overabundance of water in both Colorado and New Mexico in 1987 should insure a spill in the early part of 1988 for an unprecedented fourth consecutive year. The last time a spill occurred prior to 1985 was 1942. Considering the large runoff and all the things that go with it, plus the spill at Elephant Butte Reservoir, 1987 was a very eventful year.

### A. Current Water Year 1986-1987

### 1. Accomplishments

a. Colorado's obligation under the Rio Grande Compact was again met in two ways this year, thanks to an abnormally high runoff. First, provisions in Article VI of the Compact provide that there is no annual obligation to deliver water to New Mexico in the year of a spill of water from Elephant Butte Reservoir. A "paper spill" occurred early in 1987 prior to the irrigation season assuring users that they would not be curtailed for Compact purposes. The date of the spill has yet to be determined by the Commission. Secondly, there was enough water in the Upper Rio Grande system this year to not only provide a large supply to all users but enough excess to meet what would have been our obligation without the spill.

For the third year in a row the Rio Grande mainstem near Del Norte produced in excess of one million acre feet of water. The 1987 runoff was one of only eight years in recorded history producing over one million acre feet, running approximately 1,020,000 a.f. Also, this was the first time it has ever run three such years consecutively. It was also the sixth consecutive above-normal year on both the Conejos and Rio Grande Rivers. This, of course, helped keep ground water tables high, filled reservoirs and kept the call off the rivers during the irrigation season except for a short while during the late summer and early fall.

This third near-record year again caused considerable concern in the town of Alamosa regarding the levee system. The Corps of Engineers, the city, county and state combined to continue a levee rehabilitation project which meets Corps specifications. The second phase was completed early this fall and will help the flood flows move much more easily through the town. The third phase, which is to be done by the Corps, is to start in the summer of 1988. b. The satellite monitoring system worked well during the year and the hydrographic staff was able to handle many problems that came up. This enabled Division III to have a minimal amount of down time. The system provides extremely valuable information to administer rights, track Compact deliveries and monitor flood flows. Several commissioners are hooked into the monitoring system and can more exactly administer their streams because of the excellent data available. Our thanks to Chuck Shaffer and Will Burt for all their help. We are continuing to encourage users to get into the system by showing them the benefits of what it can do.

c. Although we have no claim to the progress on the Closed Basin Project, its construction stands with Stages 1, 2, and 3 basically complete and Stage 4 partially completed. There are, however, critical funding deficiencies which threaten the completion of Stage 4 and a possibility of cancellation of Stage 5. We sincerely hope this will not happen. After the spill of Elephant Butte Reservoir early in the year the Rio Grande Water Conservation Board decided that since there was no need for water to be delivered to the river from the project for Compact purposes, they asked the Bureau to pump only the amount necessary to satisfy the other priorities. The Blanca Wildlife Management Area. In early November the District requested that all the water from the test pumping be delivered to the river. Therefore, from mid-November through the end of the year approximately 12 cfs was released into the Rio Grande River and 894 a.f. was delivered.

d. Division III was fortunate to be fully staffed in 1987. It provided us all an opportunity to begin to build a solid knowledge base to handle problems which lie ahead when three of our veteran commissioners retire. The full staff was able to overcome backlogs in diversion and tabulation records, stream flow records, court cases and well inspections which helped us handle new situations timely.

e. The hydrographic unit progressed well throughout the year and we were able to expand their duties to include some tabulation work, resume review and field inspections. This provided them an excellent opportunity to expand their knowledge and should help them compete for administrative positions. It has worked very well and has helped the front office complete projects more timely. We were able to accomplish this new program because of the top quality people in the hydro section. They were able to complete their hydrographic responsibilities and pick up this additional work as well which we have never been able to do before.

-2-

f. The ability to enter, correct and print out diversion records in the Division office made a tremendous difference this year. Although several "bugs" are still being worked out we have had tremendous success with training and using our commissioners to enter their own data, check it, and make any final adjustments. This has greatly streamlined the diversion record process but has created traffic jams on the computer which is a whole new problem. The commissioners, Bob Plaska, and Sue Edling are to be commended for their initiative and diligence in this area.

g. The tabulation update is perhaps one of the brightest areas in our records. We have finally cleared up the confusion of the '82 - '84 updates and are now finishing up the '87 update in preparation for the published 1988 tabulation mandated by statute.

h. The abandonment cases were decreed by the judge midyear and concluded what proved to be a very controversial process. Approximately one-half were uncontested, approximately one-fourth were resolved in favor of the water right owner, a few got totally or partially abandoned, and the "Freehold" cases were withdrawn but not without a written administrative scheme by the State Engineer to handle those rights. It remains to be seen how that situation works out.

i. Decreed augmentation plans were administered division wide in earnest for the first time this year. We have them all identified and are working with the commissioners to address each plan in their districts.

j. Several reservoirs in Division III were rehabilitated this past year thanks to the efforts of the owners as well as the DWR staff. Dam reconstruction on Rio Grande Reservoir, Fuchs Reservoir, and Lost Lake #1 was finished in 1987, and we sincerely hope the repairs "stick" this time.

k. A few major changes were made in our operating and travel budget expenditures in 1987. Commuting in state vehicles and paying commissioners to travel to and from their homes to the office has been eliminated. It was not a welcome change but was absolutely necessary in order to try and live within our budgeted amounts.

1. Our relationship with the water court has improved substantially in the past year with Judge Ogburn appearing to be comfortable with our investigations, integrity and responsibilities in the adjudication process. I feel we are now "heard" by the judge much more than in the past and it has made a big difference in our influence with the court.

m. Carl Escheman was chosen as the Division III Water Commissioner of the Year for 1987. Carl did an excellent job in all areas and was a very effective commissioner the entire year. 2. Involvement in the Water User Community

a. Our daily routines provide constant involvement with the water user community. Several hundred people a month come in or call the Division office for help solving their particular water problems. Working with the water bar and consulting engineers also keeps us in constant contact with the users.

b. I teach several classes at Adams State College each year for the International Irrigation Center. These classes generally include instruction on water rights and their administration, Compact concerns, and state government structure.

c. Our greatest exposure to the water user community comes with attendance at many of their meetings and Conservation and Conservancy District board meetings. The Rio Grande Water Users Association, the San Luis Valley, Alamosa-LaJara and Conejos Water Conservancy Districts, the Rio Grande Water Conservation Board and the San Luis Valley Well Owners Association are the main organized groups. The public meetings implemented this year, as suggested by the State Engineer, went very well and were generally well attended by users not normally associated with the organized groups. It provided a whole new forum to reach and educate groups which we were never exposed to before.

d. The water commissioners and hydros have constant involvement with the water user community. Ditch administration and measurements, field and dam inspections, and river measurements provide an excellent opportunity for our staff to be involved with the users.

e. The annual "flood fights" of the last few years brings us in contact with most municipal and county administrators and public works staffs in the valley. We have developed a good working relationship from these events by providing these agencies with up-to-date information on flows and movement of flood peaks down the river.

f. We provide information to the public through media releases to try and keep them up-to-date on river conditions and Rio Grande Compact concerns.

## 3. Particular Issues of Concern and Their Impact

a. With the spill of Elephant Butte Reservoir early in 1987, our attention to Compact administration was replaced by trying to formulate plans on how best to administer the river when we go back to an obligation status again. Several meetings were held between Jeris and attorneys representing the major user groups to identify the possibilities for Colorado under a no-debt situation under the Compact and start the process to form a plan to best meet the needs of all concerned.

-4--

b. On January 6, 1987 the State Engineer and I accompanied members of the Conejos Conservancy District to Washington D.C. to meet Commissioner Duvall of the Bureau of Reclamation to discuss the status of Platoro Reservoir on the Conejos River. Since Colorado has gotton out of debt under the Rio Grande Compact, the Conejos has tried to renegotiate their repayment contract with the Bureau. As an afterthought at that meeting an outright purchase of the Reservoir was suggested and has been actively pursued since that time.

Because of the continuing negotiation on the purchase, another temporary contract for the purchase of water from the Reservoir was agreed upon in time for the users to have water for the later part of the season. Approximately 7300 a.f. of water was delivered to users which was a tremendous help in finishing up crops and getting second cuttings of alfalfa. Overall the operation went well and was of great benefit to the users who participated.

Early this fall the District sought and had approved a combination loan and grant from the Colorado Water Conservation Board totalling \$500,000 for the purchase of the Reservoir. By the end of 1987 most all arrangements for the purchase had been agreed to and the deal seemed imminent, when the Colorado Division of Wildlife and the U. S. Forest Service combined to resist transfer of the land under the reservoir to the District and impose absurd operating restrictions for releases from the Reservoir. This caused great concern by the District and our office in that these irrational restrictions would prevent delivery of our Compact obligation at times, withhold water from water right owners, and could cause the Reservoir to fill and spill or be drained simply because passing the actual inflow to the Reservoir is considered detrimental to the fishery below the Reservoir.

This problem is going to be addressed by another meeting with Chief Forester Robertson in Washington, D.C. in mid-January, 1988. It will be difficult at best to keep everything involving the purchase on track while trying to unravel this new development.

c. In our opinion, river channels continue to deteriorate on the Valley floor because of the high water years. The Conejos, Rio Grande and the Alamosa Rivers are of great concern. No comprehensive program exists for those rivers and only spotty work is done to fix the most critical areas. This "spotty" work seldom lasts more than one season and little is done to understand the effects of that work. Poor delivery efficiencies to water users and the Compact will surely result. With today's budget problems in all areas little is expected to change.

d. The diversion and tabulation programs have been cleaned up tremendously over the past year but are still being refined. The 1988 tabulation deadline is of great concern in order that we have the programs in proper shape so necessary checks and reviews can be accomplished. This, coupled with our continually finding older decrees which have not been tabulated by past administrations, points out we have a long way to go to get the tabulation into a useful, reliable tool.

-5-

e. The number of court cases filed in Division III decreased significantly the last year; therefore, the backlog of cases in the Court was reduced to less than 82 cases. This is very good progress considering little was accomplished in this area until the last two years. The complexity of the cases which are being filed has increased and more time is being devoted to fewer cases as a result.

f. Joe Clarke, the referee since 1980, resigned in late 1987. After the Division Engineer had a short tour as a "psuedo" referee, Steve Atencio was appointed as the Division III referee on December 14, 1987. He has worked in the water branch of the State Attorney General's Office and should be an excellent referee with a good understanding of water law and engineering.

g. The funding and inherent water quality problems and the legal and political challenges to the Closed Basin Project could affect several agreements involving the allocation of it production. If for any of these reasons the Project doesn't produce, the need for extensive rules and regulations governing ground water will again become necessary. It remains to be seen what level of production the Project will produce when it is pumped. The Rio Grande Water Conservation District, the sponsor of the Project, recently was granted an emergency mill levee increase by the Department of Local Affairs to help litigate the American Water Development Inc. (AWDI) water court case, 86CW46. The case, if granted as is, would very likely eliminate Stages 4 and 5 of the Project as well as many of the confined wells in the area.

h. The litigation of large cases like the AWDI, USA's "flushing flows" and Traveler's are always a concern. The resources and time required to conclude these matters are costly and take away from many other things, but the importance of them is so great we must stay in the cases to protect senior vested rights from these claims.

i. The lack of a standard program to track our budget status is a particularly frustrating situation. The Department of Administration sheets are still difficult to follow and a program of our own which we control would greatly enhance our ability to meet our budgets.

# 4. Effects of Work Load Changes.

a. The continuous training of the Division III staff in the use of the computer has taken some time but the benefits of that training have been numerous. Most of the commissioners have really taken to the diversion data entry process and we hope to continue and expand on it in the future.

b. Having evaluated all of the the Division III augmentation plans we now have the necessary information to administer these plans correctly. This new task will take the time of commissioners and Division staff and will demand efficient planning in our work load. c. The new program we started this past year that rotated the hydros into the front office (one hydro per week) resulted in more efficient records investigations, resume review, court case analysis, and tabulation updates while Bob Plaska and I were involved in other things. We were able to accomplish this without a reduction in their work product and greatly increased their exposure to other Division responsibilities. The fact that we were able to do these things without their assigned workload suffering comes about because of increased budget restrictions the last few years that prevents them from being in the field as they should be. Under these circumstances the move appeared to be a very good one.

d. The water communissioners have arranged their work to allow for diversion data entry in the computer. As near as we can tell it has not had an effect on their responsibilities for ditch administration.

## 5. Impact of Budget on Division Operation.

a. The 1986-87 operating budget deficiency continued the reduction of necessary field work. Fewer hydrographic investigations, field inspection timeliness, and less frequent dam inspections cause us great concern but there is not money to properly complete these responsibilities.

b. The 1987-88 budget allocation is \$500 less than last year, therefore these areas will continue to suffer even more.

c. The consolidated budget has some advantages in tranferring money between travel and operating but with the severely reduced budget it really doesn't have that much significance.

### B. <u>1987–1988</u>

# 1. Concerns Which Will Impact Division Operations

a. The projected spill of Elephant Butte Reservoir in early 1988 once again takes away the obligation to deliver water to the state line. This will allow the users on both streams a more adequate water supply than normal. Because of another potentially good water year considerable water will undoubtedly reach the state line because of the lack of conservation storage on the Rio Grande River. The water supply study currently underway for possible storage sites on the Rio Grande River sponsored by the San Luis Valley Water Conservancy Disrict will perhaps provide a good basis for the feasibility of a new dam. The study should be completed this year.

b. Repair of the outlet works at Rio Grande Reservoir is also of much concern. The 51,000 a.f. of storage is essential not only to the owners but for taking the peak off of the high flows in the spring. The construction was completed in the fall of 1987 and we certainly hope this will be the last construction for some time. c. Helping the Conejos users gain the ownership of Platoro in 1988 will be a high priority to insure maximum utilization of the Reservoir and the water stored there, as well as solve the question of use of the Reservoir once and for all.

d. As mentioned last year we predicted S.B. 5 would cause an increase in the modeling effort in the San Luis Valley. The Arkansas litigation slowed that project but it got back on track after Maurice Strong of the Baca Corporation filed his claim in court for 200,000 a.f. of non-tributary water under the Luis Maria Baca Grant No. 4 near Crestone. This is the first bonafide claim for non-tributary water in the San Luis Valley and it has generated great concern among the users as well as the State. A tremendous amount of resources of all concerned will be needed to properly evaluate these claims.

e. The administration of the Closed Basin Project water will come into play this year. At this writing the District is having the Bureau run water into the Rio Grande to meet our 1988 commitment and/or encourage a spill. The BLM has requested their 1988 portion of the water immediately and this has generated confusion as to how and when and by whom the water is to be allocated.

f. Vacant positions will impact division operation in 1988 with Wayne Schieldt transferring to Divison 5 and Carl Escheman, Leo Gonzales, and Henry Lamm all planning retirement this year. This will have a serious effect since the budget situation may delay filling those positions and none of these commissioners have deputies to take over their districts except Leo. There is a real need to have these veterans start a new commissioner before they leave to have any kind of reasonable transition at all.

2. Projected Work Items Planned in 1988

a. Plan for 1989 spill of Elephant Butte Reservoir.

b. Help the Conejos as much as possible to gain the use of Platoro Reservoir.

c. Help the Denver office in the evaluation and resolution of the Baca application for non-tributary water in the confined aquifer for the Closed Basin.

d. Draft an outline of the major areas of concern that need to be addressed in the rules and regulations for the administration of ground water in the San Luis Valley.

e. Complete the update of the 1988 tabulation and the diversion record programs and make them completely usable by year's end.

f. Get Bob Plaska and, to a certain extent, the other office engineers updated on administration in the San Luis Valley. g. Merge the water rights data base into the WATER data base.

h. Continue to help the staff develop their ability to utilize the computer.

i. Implement <u>all</u> plans for augmentation.

j. Work with area legislators to provide full funding for the satellite monitoring program and a better budget situation.

k. Work for a full staff considering the number of retirements and transfers we currently know about.

1. Get a well inspector back into our regional area.

m. Get a dam inspector into a field office in our regional area.

n. Continue public meeting program.

# GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

1. Administer water rights according to decree, statute and applicable case law.

2. Develop personnel in their education, background, and develop and/or maintain good working relationships.

3. Serve the public in a helpful, cordial and concientious manner by taking time to educate and assist them with their concerns.

4. Do practical and reasonable planning in writing.

5. Improve coordination of court cases we are involved in with Denver staff and AG's office.

6. Meet deadlines by increasing efficiency even in the face of reduced budget and increased load.

7. Secure a budget and personnel data base.

8. Timely finish 1988 tabulation for Division III.

9. Continue to develop relationship with the water court.

10. Expand satellite monitoring system.

11. Increase records quality; i.e., hydrographic, diversion, tabulation, decree.

# <u>A P P E N D I X A</u>

# <u>DIVISION III</u> Office Staff

Steven E. Vandiv	/er	<b>?</b> •	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Division Engineer
															Principal Water Resource Engineer
Bob Plaska	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Assistant Division Engineer
															Supv. Water Resource Engineer
Sue Edling															
															Sr. Water Resource Engineer
Bruce Whitehead	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	Water Resource Engineer C
															Water Resource Engineer C
Dennis Felmlee.	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Well Commissioner C

# Water Commissioners and Deputies

Max Nash	•			•	•	•			•			•		.Sr. Water Commissioner, District 20
														.Deputy/Water Commissioner C District 20
Ben Cannon	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.Deputy/Water Commissioner B District 20
Leo Gonzales	•				•	•	•					•		.Water Commissioner C, District 21
Jim Sellers	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.Deputy/Water Commissioner B District 21
Paul Clark			•	•			•							.Sr. Water Commissioner, District 22
Jim Horton	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.Deputy/Water Commissioner B
Charlie Quintana														.Water Commissioner B, District 24
														.Water Commissioner C, District 25
														.Water Commissioner C, District 26
														Water Commissioner B, District 27
														Water Commissioner C, District 35

# <u>APPENDIX B</u>

# WATER DIVISION NO. 3

# ACTIVITY SUMMARY

# ACTIVITY

# 1987 CALENDAR YEAR

No. of Professional and Technical Staff	5
No. of Clerical Staff	1
No. of Water Commissioner FTE	
Assigned (Full/Part-Time)	5 to 10.5
No. of Decreed Surface Rights	Approx. 2500 total
No. of Surface Rights Administered	
(water diverted this year)	751
No. of Wells	Approx 22,528
No. of Plans for Augmentation	3 new - 46 total
No. of Consultations with Referee	102
No. of Water Court Appearances	63
No. of Meetings to Resolve Water	
Related Disputes	117
No. of Contacts to Give Public	
Assistance on Water Matters	5649***

\*\*\*Does Not Include Water Commissioners

# APPENDIX C

# 1987 RIO GRANDE COMPACT REPORT Preliminary Figures

1.	Rio Grande River Index	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	Actual Rio Grande Delivery	· · · · · · · · · · · 655,600
	*Required Rio Grande Delivery	
	*Margin Including 7400 AF Credit .	
2.	Combined Conejos Index	
	Actual Conejos Delivery	
	*Required Conejos Delivery	••••• 157,200
	*Margin Including 2600 AF Credit .	
3.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Index
	Total Actual Delivery at Lobatos.	
	*Total Required Delivery at Lobatos	
	*Margin Including 10,000 AF Credit	••••• 228,600
4.	Rio Grande Curtailment	
	Target (% of Index)	Actual (% of Index)
	Jan. 1 100+%	Jan. 1 100%
	Jan. 2 to Nov. 1 0%	Jan. 2 to Nov. 1 0%
	Nov. 2 to Dec. 31 100%	Nov. 2 to Dec. 31 100% less
		stockwater
5.	Conejos Curtailment	
	Target (% of Index)	Actual (% of Index)
	Jan. 1 100+%	Jan. 1 100%
	Jan. 2 to Nov. 1 0%	Jan. 2 to Nov. 1 0%
	Nov. 2 to Dec. 31 100%	Nov. 2 to Dec. 31 100% less
		stockwater

6. Water Available in Platoro Reservoir on December 31, 1987 43,600

\*Assuming Spill had not Occurred

			86					
LW M	2 MAN W	RECIPIENT	LHEVIOUS		<u>I YR</u>	OF RE	RECORD	SOURCE
		STREAM	<u>AF</u>	DAYS	AF	DAYS	QM	STREAM
20	Weminuche Pass Ditch	Weminuche Cr	3170	120	0	0	31	<b>Rincon La Va</b> ca Cr
20	Pine River Weminuche Pass	Weminuche Cr	196	105	575	92	31	N Fork Los Pinos
20	Williams Cr Squaw Pass D	Squaw Creek	242	30	530	16	78	Williams Creek
20	Tabor Ditch	Clear Creek	1300	151	1310	134	62	Cebola Creek
20	Don La Font #1 Ditch	South River	0	0	17	43	78	Trib Piedra River
20	Don La Font #2 Ditch	South River	12.5	26	350	88	78	Trib Piedra River
20	Treasure Pass Ditch	S.F. Rio Grande	411	57	0	0	29	Wolf Creek
20	Tarbell	Saguache Creek	0	0	55	14	28	Cochetopa Creek
		<u>PRELIMINARY</u> TRANSMOUNTAIN DIVERSIONS_SUMMARYOUTFLOWS	PRELIMINARY DIVERSIONS_SU	<u>ARY</u> SUMMARY - OU	TELOWS			

<u>A P P E N D I X D</u> <u>PRELIMINARY</u> <u>TRANSMOUNTAIN DIVERSIONS SUMMARY - INFLOWS</u>

Hudson Branch D 16

Medano Creek

35

NA

198

NA

115

Huerfano

Medano Creek

35

NA

124

NA

190

Huerfano

Medano Ditch 16

				Ā	APENDIX	DIXE							
				RESERVO	IR_STOR	RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES	IES						
MD	RESERVIUR NAME	AME	STREAM SOURCE	-	PREVIOUS I YR	S I YR		1	I YR C	YR OF RECORD			
				<u>BEG I YR</u> AF	*	EG IRR SEAS	N %	BEG I YR AF	*	<u>1987</u> <u>BEG IRR SEAS</u> AF 4/1/87	AS %	END I YR AF	R %
20	Continental	22,679	N Clear Creek	8,266	36	10,700	47	6,145	27	11,000	49	9,126	40
20	Rio Grande	51,113	Rio Grande River	33,993	67	31,689	62	0	0	3,500	7	3,000	9
20	Santa Maria	45,070	N Clear Creek	24,422	54	24,900	55	28,724	64	25,078	56	13,179	29
21	Terrace	15,182	Alamosa River	7,537	50	13,000	86	7,700	51	12,049	79	3,290	22
22	Platoro	59,570	Conejos River	53,500	06	47,000	79	52,300	88	47,000	79	44,150	74
24	Sanchez	103,114	Culebra Creek	41,480	40	39,500	38	41,815	41	42,486	41	45,599	44
35	Mt. Home	17,347	Trinchera Creek	3,239	19	5,220	30	6,134	35	7,532	43	2,377	14
35	Smith	*5,808	Trinchera Creek	5,651	¥97	6,025 *104	104	5,887	101	5,966	103	4,358	75

\*Corrected Reservoir Capacity

Þ

[m]
IXI
нi
a
z
E CA
Ы

# WATER DIVERSION SUMMARY BY DISTRICT

26,629 3,674 3,802 2,546	[AF] 889,920 171,259	[AF]			AVEDAVE
26,629 3,674 3,802 2,546	889,920 171,259		[AF]	IRRIGATED	AVBRAGE AF/ACRE
3,674 3,802 2,546	171,259	62,531	605,355	344,682	1.8
3,802 2,546 1.565		14,710	156,271	59,546	2.6
2,546	242,412	16,070	218,083	87,632	2.5
1 . 565	96, 860	25,688	71,172	22,403	3.2
	106,576	0	106,576	20,312	5.2
1,519	81,245	0	81,245	32,910	2.5
1,060	30,702	0	30,702	2,890	10.6
1,848	88,968	7,592	116,17	27,772	2.8
42,643	1,707,942	126,591	1,347,321	598,147	3.9

\*Excludes All Diversions for Exempt Type and Use Codes

-

continued
E I
×
H
z
ъ
P
P-
<b>A</b> i

# WATER DIVERSION SUMMARY BY DISTRICT

	2	
>		

	MUNICIPAL	INDUSTRIAL	DOMESTIC	AUGMENTATION	RECHARGE
	(AF)	(AF)	(AF)	(AF)	(AF)
20	2084	407	622	165	0
21	0	278	0	0	0
22	434	0	868	0	7182
24	0	0	0	0	0
25	0	0	0	0	0
26	0	0	0	0	0
27	0	0	0	0	0
35	0	0	0	<u>6375</u>	0
TOTAL	2518	685	1521	6540	7182

È

ROBERT W. OGBURN JUDGE OF THE WATER COURT STEPHANE W. ATENCIO WATER REFEREE

CAROL S. REDDING CLERK OF THE WATER COURT

ER COURT-DIVISION 3 /Alt

ALAMOSA COUNTY COURTHOUSE • ALAMOSA, COLORADO 81101 (303) 589-9107

January 11, 1988

Mr. Steven Vandiver Division Engineer 422 Fourth Street P. O. Box 269 Alamosa, CO 81101

Dear Steve:

Enclosed please find information concerning the end of the calendar year.

Number of applications received from January 1, 1987, through December 31, 1987: 87CW1 through 87CW58.

Types of claims received from January 1, 1987, through December 31, 1987:

- 132 Wells
  - l Hydroelectric plant
  - 8 ponds
  - 2 pipelines
  - 8 Reservoirs
  - 15 Lakes
  - 5 Springs
  - 1 Spring-Ditch
  - 44 Ditches
    - 1 Ditch with 2 pts. of diversion (count: 3)
    - 1 Ditch with 3 priorities (count: 3)
  - l Creek
  - 2 Creeks with 3 diversions (count: 5)

# 228 TOTAL

Number of cases terminated from January 1, 1987, through December 31, 1987: 119.

Structures terminated from January 1, 1987, through December 31, 1987:

789 Wells 162 Ditches 18 Ponds

CONTINUED

Mr. Steven Vandiver January 11, 1988 Page 2

CONTINUED - Structure terminated from January 1, 1987, through December 31, 1987:

- 4 Reservoirs
- 250 Springs
  - l Flume
    - 2 Conveyance Channels
  - 3 Rivers
  - 10 Pipelines
  - 2 Pipelines & Springs
  - 8 Lakes
  - 2 Hydroelectric Plants
  - 1 Storage Right
- 379 USA Structures

1,631 TOTAL

Break down of types of cases filed on from January 1, 1987, through December 31, 1987:

Underground Water Right 87CW25 87CW29 87CW34 87CW36 87CW39 5

Conditional Underground Water Right 87CW16 1

Change of Water Right 87CW2 87CW9 87CW12 87CW13 87CW15 -87CW19 87CW20 87CW21 87CW22 87CW23 87CW24 87CW31 87CW32 87CW38 87CW40 87CW41 87CW42 87CW46

Mr. Steven Vandiver January 11, 1988 Page 3

Conditional Change of Water Right 87CW35 1

Surface Water Rights 87CW4 87CW8 87CW10 87CW17 87CW30 87CW43 87CW44 87CW45

Conditional Surface Water Right 87CW3 1

# Water Storage Right

8

87CW6	87CW52
87CW28	87CW53
87CW37	87CW54
87CW48	87CW55
87CW49	87CW56
87CW50	87CW57
87CW51	87CW58
14	

Conditional Water Storage Right 87CW14 87CW47 2

Application to Make Absolute Conditional Water Right 87CW26(81CW80) 87CW27(W-73-79) 87CW33(81CW77) 3

Application for Quadrennial Finding of Reasonable Diligence 87CW5(82CW129) 87CW18(80CW18) 2 Mr. Steven Vandiver January 11, 1988 Page 4

Approval of Plan of Augmentation 87CW1 1

Conditional Application for Approval of Plan for Augmentation 87CW11 1

Application for Conditional & Absolute Water Rights and For Approval of Plan for Augmentation Including Change of Water Rights and Appropriative Right of Exchange 87CW7

The number of cases pending as of December 31, 1987, is approximately 82. You may recall that I am in the process of verifying this particular figure as it does not agree with the open case report.

I am enclosing additional information that you may find helpful.

If you have any questions concerning the tabulations and enclosures, please give me a call.

Sincerely, Carol S. Redding Clerk of the Water Court Water Division 3

csr

Enclosures

xc: file
Judge Robert W. Ogburn