DIVISION NO. 1 ANNUAL REPORT 1993 IRRIGATION YEAR

INDEX

1. WATER ADMINISTRATION

Α.	Current Water Year	3
В.	Coming Water Year	14

II. WATER ADMINISTRATION DATA SUMMARIES

A .	Augmentation Releases by Districts	16
В.	Transmountain Diversions	17
C.	Storage Summaries	18
D.	Water Diversions	30
E.	Water Court Activities	33
F.	River Call	34

III. OFFICE ADMINISTRATION AND WORKLOAD MEASURES

Α.	Staffing	37
B.	Statistics	37



WATER ADMINISTRATION

Current Water Year

Accomplishments

Water Administration

The daily administration of water rights was considered to be successfully accomplished for the 1993 irrigation year. During the year another 385 new water rights were decreed to add to the previously existing rights. Presently there are 458 plans for augmentation and 72 substitute supply plans that add to the complexity of administration.

The year was generally a good year for water supply. The above average snowpack for the basin got the irrigation season started well. However, the past year did not have the widespread May-June general rainstorms over the basin that have been experienced in the past. The absence of rain led to lower sustained peak flows. Thus, most of the snow runoff was utilzed in the upper areas of the basin by the more senior water rights and less water was available to the lower part of the basin, mainly in district 64.

Dam Safety

The Dam Safety Branch for Division One successfully completed inspections of all Class I and II dams, despite being one person short for five months. A significant number of Class III dams were also inspected for a total of 341 inspections. Several design plans were reviewed as time permitted. Over 100 emergency preparedness plans (EPPs) were completed by dam owners with the assistance of dam safety engineers from the Division One office. Presently, only two dams remain which do not have an EPP. Several dam outlet inspections were conducted with the SLED which is designed to access outlets not capable of being entered by people. The engineers plan to complete the majority of required outlet inspections this coming summer and fall. Finally, a concerted effort was initiated to complete hydrologic analyses for all higher hazard dams to determine the ability of spillways to pass design flood flows. This project is scheduled for completion this coming year.

Hydrography

Hydrographers in Division One measured and kept records for 94 gaging stations in the 1993 irrigation year. These records and measurements provide the basic information of water flow which is used for daily water allocation throughout the basin as well as serve as historic data for analysis and planning. Of these stations, 28 are record stations for which a completed and verified record of water flow is turned in to the United States Geological Survey (USGS) for their publication. The remaining 65 stations are records kept solely by the Division of Water Resources (DWR) and are published separately by the DWR. Additionally, numerous flow measurements were made to verify flow-stage relationships in flumes and ditches throughout the division.

Funding received from the Colorado Water Conservation Board's construction fund has allowed the staff to repair and replace stream gages that have fallen into disrepair in recent years. During the past year, manometers were installed at the Big Thompson River at the mouth of the canyon and on the Buckhorn Creek station. These installations have significantly improved the quality of records at those locations. A new station was installed on the South Platte River at South Platte and will be utilized beginning this spring when equipment can be transferred and hooked up. A cableway inspection was conducted in conjunction with the USGS for the cableways at South Platte at South Platte and for South Platte at Weldona. Both cableways were condemned as a result of the tour and inspections. A new cableway will be installed at the South Platte station this spring.

Groundwater

Groundwater administration and well permitting activities progressed normally over the past year. Going into 1994 there are 108,294 valid well permits in Division One, including 24,302 valid nonexempt well permits and 14,387 irrigation only well permits. Statute changes eliminated the ability to include domestic animals on exempt in-house use only well permits beginning last July.

Gravel pit administration is continuing at a steady pace. Most of the existing wet pits have been field inspected. Field and division office personnel continue to work with pit owners to bring them into compliance without having to initiate formal legal action.

Water Records and Information

As explained in more detail in following paragraphs, significant progress has been made in the development of the South Platte Water Rights Management System (SPWRMS) to provide up-to-date water information to water users and water officials. Such information will be available through computer modem.

Long Range Plan

During the past year the Division of Water Resources has drafted a long range plan that incorporates the input of the entire staff. Implementation of the long range plan will begin in 1994. Six goals were identified in the plan as follows:

- 1. To Develop and Maintain Staff Professionalism.
- 2. To Acquire the Technology and Support for Collection of High Quality Data Describing Water Resource Uses.
- 3. To Improve Water Rights Administration Through Increased Efforts.
- 4. To Allocate Fiscal and Human Resources in Order to Meet Statutory Requirements and Mission-Related Activities.
- 5. To Reduce Well Permit and Subdivision Review Turnaround Times.
- 6. To Improve Public Opinion of the Division of Water Resources.

South Platte Water Rights Management Support System (SPWRMS)

Division Personnel continue to be heavily involved in the SPWRMS which is being developed for the South Platte River and its tributaries in conjunction with several municipal and other governmental entities. The goal of the plan is to develop management tools that increase the efficiency of water distribution and to enhance information transfer and user participation. While the program has been continuing for several years, the appropriation of 350,000 dollars this year by the Colorado Water Conservation Board from its construction funds has allowed considerable progress to be achieved this year. This appropriation along with support of other participants has provided funding for the necessary data collection and computer programming by the Project consultant, the University of Colorado's Center for Advanced Decision Support for Water and Environmental systems (CADSWES). The Conservation Board appropriation has also allowed the purchase of two UNIX computer workstations and lap top computers for lead water commissioners.

The ultimate goal for next year (Phase VI) is for the water commissioners to enter information concerning flows, diversions, and releases daily on water information sheets and transfer this information via lap top

computers into a wide area network. This will allow real time diversion and flow information to be accessible to the Denver and Division staff and to other water users. To accomplish this goal, the communication link between the water commissioner lap top computers and the UNIX workstations in Denver and Greeley is being developed, the daily water information sheets are being finalized, basic GIS information concerning rivers, ditches etc is being input into the UNIX workstations, and water commissioner training is being completed. CADSWES is also developing a prototype curtailment analysis based on real time data concerning flows and diversions which may be a tool in administration in the future.

South Platte Well Study

A cooperative pilot program to evaluate the accuracy of various methods of measuring well pumping is underway. The agencies cooperating with the Division are the Ground Water Appropriators of the South Platte (GASP), Central Colorado Water Conservancy District (CCWCD) and Lower South Platte Water Conservancy District (LSPWCD). As part of this project, well efficiency measurement training was provided to approximately 15 people from GASP, LSPWCD, DWR Denver Office and Water Divisions 1, 2 and 3 in April, 1993. In June, 24 field well efficiency tests were performed. Also, data on power consumption, hours of operation and, where available, flow meter readings were collected on 28 wells for the irrigation season. Preliminary results indicate only a small difference in the estimated volume of water pumped using these three data sources, as long as the well is not surging. For the 1994 irrigation season, we expect to gather another season of data on the same wells and perform another set of efficiency tests late in the irrigation season in an attempt to determine if the well efficiency changes seasonally.

Metropolitan Water Supply Investigation

Through an executive order of the governor, the state has initiated an effort to identify water supply projects that will increase the amount of water available to the metro area using existing facilities and options. A consultant has been hired to scope out possible projects by interviewing and exchanging information with metro area entities and to produce a model which has the capability to analyze the technical aspects of the proposed projects. Presently there are three areas of interest: conjunctive use in the southern metropolitan area, management of effluent from the Denver metro treatment plant, and identification of other alternatives using existing facilities.

Lysimeter Study

The small lysimeter-lawn grass return flow study being conducted at Colorado State University (CSU) is continuing. The cooperating entities are DWR, the City of Colorado Springs (CCS) and the Colorado Water Resources Research Institute (CWRRI). The monitoring of the small and large lysimeters continued through the 1993 season. Irrigation scheduling and sprinkler problems in 1993 are making the results difficult to interpret, but this task should be completed prior to this season. The previous monitoring results from the 1992 season indicate that the small lysimeter design may not be a significant factor in the amount of return flow. The detailed analysis of the City of Colorado Springs return flow data indicate that user type (e.g., domestic, commercial etc...) appears to be the largest single factor influencing return flow and that soil type appears to be insignificant. The largest task anticipated for this year is replacing the sprinkler system at CSU to achieve a uniform application prior to this season. This should make future data analysis much easier and more straightforward.

Data Quality Project

One of the outcomes of the long range planning efforts held during the past year is the increased emphasis placed upon data acquisition and record keeping. The Division has begun efforts to implement the DWR quality management program. Some of the data efforts already accomplished through SPWRMS fit into the enhanced data program. Through SPWRMS, Division 1 has located, identified and verified key structures within the Division. By the end of this spring, the division will complete investigation of the location, ID numbers, priorities and total diversions through these structures from 1975 to the present.

Hydrobase

The entire water data base for the Division of Water Resources (DWR) is being restructured by the Denver office into a system called hydrobase which will allow for better data storage and retrieval. The new database will run in a UNIX language environment and will provide access to all water related data within the DWR.

Important Court Decisions

Forest Service (W-8439-76 et.al.)

The Division 1 Water Court ruled on the United States Reserved Water Rights applications for the Pike, Roosevelt, San Isabel and Arapaho National Forest. The United States had requested reserved water rights to maintain favorable flows within the forest and also for some limited administrative sites and for fire fighting purposes. The Court denied the application except for the limited administrative and fire fighting purposes. The judge concluded from the evidence that the purpose of maintaining favorable flows was for irrigation and domestic purposes and the quantification proposed by the United States would impede these purposes. He also concluded that the Forest Service had other means of protecting favorable flows if minimum flows were necessary and that the methodology employed by the United States failed to identify the minimum flows necessary for channel maintenance. The United States has recently appealed the ruling of the judge to the Colorado Supreme Court (93SA227).

Rocky Mountain National Park (W-8439-76)

The Water Judge also issued a Memorandum of Decision and Order concerning the United States application for reserved rights in Rocky Mountain National Park. In contrast to his Ruling on the Forest Service Case, the judge ruled that Congress in setting aside Rocky Mountain National Park intended to reserve all unappropriated water in the National Park for park purposes. He further stated that reserved water rights are only for park purposes which are essentially non-consumptive. After the waters leave the National Park, they are subject to appropriation in accordance with Colorado Water Law.

Thornton (86CW401 et.al.)

The Division 1 Water Court also issued a Memorandum of Decision on August 16, 1993 concerning Thornton's application for new direct flow and exchange water rights in the Cache la Poudre Basin and application to transfer approximately one half of the Water Supply and Storage Company irrigation water rights from use in the Poudre basin to use for municipal purposes in Thornton. In the memorandum, the Water Judge ruled that Thornton had met the "can and will" doctrine for the transfer. that Thornton's appropriation dates for junior rights on the Poudre is December 31, 1986, that Thornton could not use Colorado Big Thompson (CBT) water either directly or by exchange outside the Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District in Thornton, that Thornton could not reuse its transbasin water from the Grand River Ditch and other sources, and that Thornton must maintain return flows as they have historically been made including maintaining ground water returns. The judge made this last ruling based on his conclusion that the Water Supply and Storage Company never intended this use, or if they had originally intended such use had abandoned their reuse of this water. The judges rulings had the effect of reducing the firm yield to Thornton of the project by several thousand acre-feet annually. The ruling on the return flow issue will also require that Thornton develop a plan to make returns via ground water recharge ponds to maintain historic ground water returns in the District.

After making his ruling in the Thornton case, the judge has had numerous Decree Conferences to resolve technical issues and remaining legal issues. Of note, the court determined that the overall historic irrigation efficiency of Thornton's Water Supply and Storage Company water is 57%. This efficiency controls the transferrable consumptive use since the ditch system is water short. The court also required a reduction in the claimed junior water rights on the Poudre and determined that the notice Thornton had provided was adequate to allow storage of their new direct flow rights.

The decree will be very difficult to administer due to all the limitations in the decree, the accounting required, and the necessity of trying to deliver water down the mainstem of the South Platte to the confluence with the Poudre river (a stretch of 50 miles where many headgates dry up the river) and then exchanging that water to the headgate of the Larimer County Canal (another 50 mile stretch). The judge has ruled that a hearing will be held prior to implementation of the project in approximately 10 years to determine whether Thornton must pay for additional state staff to assist in the decree's administration.

Consolidated Mutual (91CW062)

The court also ruled in a case involving the transfer of a part of the Lee Stewart and Eskins ditch to Consolidated Mutual, a water supplier for the northwestern Denver metropolitan area. This case was precipitated by administrative actions taken by the Division Engineer to stop winter time use of this irrigation water right for municipal purposes without a court approved transfer of the water right. The trial took several weeks due to the level of objector participation. Consolidated Mutual was able to obtain a decree which allows annual diversion of approximately 865 acre-feet annually, significantly less than the over 2000 acre-feet they had hoped to obtain. Of significance, the court ruled in this case that historic returns could be made downstream at the Metro waste water treatment plant rather than being required near their historic irrigation location even though there are intervening water rights which would be impacted. This decision appears to be based on the facts of this specific case.

City of Boulder and Colorado Water Conservation Board (90CW193)

The Water Court also approved the transfer of several irrigation and storage rights owned by the City of Boulder to instream flow purposes. This case involved the joint application by the City of Boulder and Colorado Water Conservation Board. The decree in this case will allow the City to leave its water in the stream in lieu of using the water for municipal use. In times of drought, the City may use the water subject to this case for other municipal uses.

Castle Meadows and Castle Pines Metropolitan District (92SA163 and 92SA164)

The Supreme Court of Colorado heard these appeals together (Castle Meadows Case No. 86CW281 and Castle Pines Case No. 86CW469) since they involved the same question. The question before the Supreme Court was whether an augmentation plan was inadequate because it failed to compensate for stream depletions that would accrue to the surface stream after the applicants ceased their withdrawals from not non-tributary Denver basin wells. This was the second appeal on this issue in the Castle Meadows case. The Supreme Court ruled that the court erred in allowing the offsetting of these stream depletions by anticipated increases in urban runoff, but declined to hold that holders of other water rights will be injured as a matter of law and therefore remanded the cases for determinations of whether such injury will result. Upon remand, the

Water Judge once again has ruled that there will not be injury from post pumping depletions and the State and Division Engineer have appealed this ruling again. Legislative action this year may resolve this long standing question.

Willows Water District (92SA304)

The Supreme Court of Colorado affirmed the trial courts ruling in Case No. 90CW156, Willows Water District, confirming the reuse of irrigation return flows in that case based upon the District's steps to maintain dominion and control of the irrigation returns. Public Service Company had appealed the original ruling in Willows indicating that the accounting and other steps taken by Willows were not adequate to maintain control of the water.

Clear Creek Water Users Alliance (92SA410)

The Supreme Court also affirmed the Trial courts ruling in Case No. 88CW34, application by the Clear Creek Water Users alliance. This case involved the transfer of conditional storage rights on Clear Creek to include additional sites to store up to 110,000 acre-feet of water. The trial court and then the Supreme Court found that the Alliance could transfer the conditional right.

Important On-Going Water Issues

U.S. Forest Service Special Use Permit Renewal

Seven entities (cities and ditch companeis) that operate water resources facilities pursuant to special use permits which are issued and periodically renewed by the Forest Service faced renewal of those permits during the past year. In their efforts to determine the conditions under which the permits must be issued, the Forest Service has been reviewing the impact of the projects with respect to the goals and objectives of the forest plan for the Arapahoe and Roosevelt National Forests (local impacts) as well as evaluating the impacts of the projects on threatened and endangered species located on the Platte River in central Nebraska (far reaching impacts).

Under the forest plan, the Forest Service policy calls for the maintenance of sufficient water in the stream to fulfill the environmental purposes and needs of the stream. Some of the permit holders have dams located on streams in the forests which store, and have stored for many years, the entire flows of the streams during the winter months. The Forest Service indicates that the lack of water flowing past these structures during the winter does not meet the newer Forest Service policies that deal with maintenance of the ecosystem at all points within the stream system, and they are considering the requirement of a by-pass flow during these winter months. Water users feel that to require water by-pass flows during the winter is a form of taking valuable water rights from those owners. The issurance of the final permits is due early in 1994 with much at stake for the water users.

Endangered Species Act (ESA)/ Section 7 Consultations

The ESA contains a requirement (section 7) that mandates that all water projects which have potential impacts on threatened and endangered species and that require a federal permit must consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to determine mitigation, if any is necessary, to prevent the degradation of threatened or endangered species and/or their habitat. As was done in the review of the special use permits controlled by the Forest Service outlined in the previous section, a consultation is requested from the USFWS. The USFWS studies the particular situation and issues a biological opinion delineating the impacts of the project on the federally listed endangered and threatened species. Included in such an opinion is a list of reasonable and prudent alternatives that they feel must be included to enhance and protect the recovery of the species. As in the case of the seven entities referred to above, the requirement was to provide for replacement flows to the South Platte River near Julesburg in timing and amount. Because this replacement requirement can be on the order of thousands of acre feet, the impact of this act upon water use is very significant. The states of Nebraska, Wvoming, and Colorado have been working towards a basinwide approach to solving the threatened and endangered species issue.

Water Carriage Through the Natrual Stream System

More and more emphasis is being given to the use of the natural stream system to transport water owned by an entity to the final place of use. In some cases the reach of stream being used is about 100 miles. Such uses make it very difficult in a stream system such as the South Platte River and tributaries. While the perception is that the stream operates like any pipeline, that is very far from the real case. Existing structures that have been in operation for over 100 years in most cases are not designed to by-pass the small flows that some entities want to run. Consequently, it is very difficult to measure water by a structure that is not properly designed and has not historically been required to by-pass such flows. Many times on the South Platte the amount of water desired to be transported is tantamount to putting a layer of water on top of the stream that is not much more than paper thick. The measurement of this is nearly impossible and is also difficult to explain to the ditch company who is asked to by-pass water that can't be measured. Because the stream system is impacted by wells, flows in the rivers are also affected by them. Finally, inadvertant diversions that are unmeasureable impact the transport of water through the system. These factors are made worse when tributaries and other inflows which are highly variable in flow are part of the reach water is being delivered through.

Other Environmental Issues

Related to the issues above is the management of effluent that is treated by the larger cities. The Denver Metropolitan Wastewater District will be spending large amounts of money to comply with water standards in the Platte River below Denver. Exactly how the solution will unfold remains to be seen. It does appear that some reregulation of the outflows from the sewer will occur and will make it easier to administer water in that stretch of stream where daily fluctuations presently vary about 200 cfs daily.

Impacts from federal water quality laws are coming with the reauthorization of the Clean Water Act. Wetlands and wildlife refuges are national agendas which will affect water use in Colorado. For the next decade or two, these issues will concern Colorado water users as they try to deal with the many ramifications of these federal initiatives. Many dollars will most likely be spent on these issues to try to protect water rights of Coloradoans.

Administration

If nothing changes upon appeal to the Supreme Court, the decision and decree issued by the water court in the Thornton case will create a significant increase in complexity of water administration. The decree calls for the Division Engineer to be involved in many of the operations of the water plan. Areas of involvement include monitoring of the recharge program (including changes to the format of the program based upon data submitted by the applicant), monitoring of water quality in relation to exchanges and water usage within the ditch, overseeing the establishment of dry land farming practices or other acceptable land use methods in the areas of dry up of irrigated land, monitoring and verifying exchanges, checking the many limitations on water diversions, replacements, storage, volumes, and evaluation of water share transfers within the districts. Plans are to prepare an administrative manual which outlines and explains the provisions that must be monitored and completed by the division office. Future complex decrees may require similar involvement.

Growth Impacts

The growth of population along the front range will continue to put pressure on water resources and their use. Cities will increase their quest for water supplies. New residents to the area will have to be educated on water policies as they adjust to the area. Initiatives like Go Colorado will initiate projects that use and compete for water resources. Environmental uses will gain popularity.

As competition for water resources increases, more emphasis will be exerted to pass statutes that force more efficient water use by water users. This may come about as a result of federal laws or from Colorado interests who need water sources.

Involvement with Water Users

Division personnel are involved with water users in a variety of settings. Water allocation and enforcement activities bring continual interaction. Additionally, the staff has been working with the metropolitan entities to develop the South Platte Water Rights Management System which will bring much more information about water conditions and activities to water users. The Division staff has also been part of the Metropolitan Water Supply Investigation in which metro water users are examining additional ways to increase water supplies and a well monitoring study being conducted in cooperation with the Lower South Platte and Central Colorado Water Conservancy Districts and Groundwater Appropriators of the South Platte. Negotiations are constantly being held with water users concerning new water right applications Educationally, Division staff are also involved in water festivals and seminars which educate young and old persons about water in Colorado.

Workload Changes and Impacts

Position Description Questionaires (PDQ's)

The personnel department initiated efforts to change the way that jobs are categorized over a year ago with the introduction of the System Maintenance Questionaire (SMQ). Presently each position in the state is being re-evaluated and put into job categories based on information filled out on individual position description questionaires (PDQ,s) which have replaced the old PC-8 forms. Much effort was put into filling out these forms since the forms would be used to place jobs in appropriate classes based upon the information contained in the PDQs. At the present time the evaluation of jobs has not been completed. Implementation of the results is scheduled to begin on January 1, 1995.

Due to the potential to change people's current classification, interest and concern is running high among employees within the division. It is hoped that the transformation is fair and adequately recognizes job responsibilities at appropriate levels. Significant changes in an individual's job description could greatly impact pay levels and overall employee morale. In a division where there are many people that work over and beyond the call of duty, potential ramifications are viewed very seriously and anxiously. It will be difficult to assume the increasing workload in the division if personnel feel they have been treated unfairly.



Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA)

The division was notified this year that provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) were applicable to water commissioners and technicians. As a result, any time worked over 40 hours in a week must be compensated by time and one-half rates and be approved ahead of time by the supervisor. A large number of commissioners work many hours over the 40 hour limit in the irrigation season. So that services to water users are not severely limited, the division requested a supplemental appropriation from the legislature to pay for some of the overtime hours. At this writing, the bill has been approved by the joint budget committee on a unanimous vote. It is uncertain what adjustments will be necessary if the supplemental funds are not authorized. There is some chance that services will have to be cut resulting in reduced levels of water administration.

Complex Water Rights Transfers

The recent change of water rights filed by Thornton (northern project) may be an indication of the time and resources that will be required to be expended in the future with complex transfers of water. The amount of manipulation and movement of water that is involved with such transfers requires significant resources in order to administer them in accordance with their decrees. As in the Thornton case, personnel may be able to be acquired to help in such administration. The manner in which such manpower will be procured in future transfers remains in question; however, the need for the resources will surely be real.

Coming Water Year

Goals and Objectives

South Platte Water Rights Management System (SPWRMS)

One of the highest priorities for the coming year is to complete Phase VI of the study. This will include additional training of the water commissioners in use of the custom computer applications and in verification of the system that is finally designed. Significant efforts will be made during the upcoming year to become accustomed to the system and make it useable to the water officials and the water users.

Dam Safety

The goals for dam safety include the inspection of all dams pursuant to the inspection schedule set by the State Engineer. In addition, it is expected that all required hydrologic analyses of dams will be completed by the scheduled deadline.

Hydrography

The goal for the upcoming year will be to improve the data capture and accuracy of the satellite monitoring system so that becomes even more useful for water administrators. New gaging stations are planned to be installed on the Cache la Poudre River at the canyon mouth, Boulder Creek at Orodell, and on the Middle Fork of St. Vrain Creek. Additional effort will go towards analyzing historic flow records on the South Platte River as they relate to the flow regime of the river since settlement of the plains region.

Federal Issues

Continued efforts will be made to deal with the federal water issues that currently face the basin. Time will be spent to explore the possiblities of looking for tradeoffs in the forests that will minimize impacts to water users that face by-pass flow requirements. Input on solutions to the issues surrounding the threatened and endangered species will be critical in minimizing the impacts of these issues on the water users in Colorado.

Personnel

The oncoming implementation of the PDQ's at the start of 1995 requires that every effort be put on creating a meaningful PDQ for all positions that is useful to personnel in their evaluation of the positions. Also, coming into compliance with the FLSA provisions with minimal stress and change will be a priority for the coming year. Hopefully, the legislature will provide funds to pay for at lease some of the overtime put in by the water commissioners. Without that money or some other viable solution, a significant cutback in services is possible. The provisions related to personnel outlined in the long range plan will be be initiated.

Data Enhancement

The division will complete investigation of the location, ID numbers, priorities, and diversions through the structures since 1975 in the coming year. Additional diversion information through wells will be added to the diversion records. Although the Thornton northern project has finally been decreed, an appeal is surely imminent. In addition, accounting forms and reports are needed to be finalized over the next two years.

Thornton Decree

Although the Thornton norther project has finally been decreed, an appeal probably requiring our participation is imminent. In addition, accounting forms and reports are needed to be finished over the next two years.



-16-

	į	
	l	
- U)	1	
>	ł	
>		
n	ļ	
U.		
11	1	
_ <u>L</u>		
Z	1	
=	1	
	Ì	
	1	
~		
- 24	ļ	
œ	-	
7	1	
	ļ	
5	ł	
=	ł	
>	ļ	
	I	
ີ	ļ	
c n	I	
	5	
-7	j	
~	1	
\mathbf{n}	1	
\simeq	1	
- 70	1	
~~/	i	
e	i	
	i	
ய	1	
>	ļ	
-	1	
\mathbf{n}	i	
	I	
7	I	
<u> </u>	1	
~	ļ	
्य	I	
- H	l	
	I	
~	Ì	
\supset	J	
~~	J	
U U	ł	
Ē	ļ	
2		
Ō	I	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1	
Z	l	
1	1	
	1	
· CC	ł	
	J	
	ł	

											:
	HOMESTAKE CREEK	4644	37	88	13972	113	11825.3	SOUTH PLATTE RIVER	AURORA HOMESTAKE	4490	53
	BLUE RIVER	4683	36	151	11040	138.7	9038.3	ARKANSAS RIVER	HOOSIER PASS DITCH	4612	33
	INDIANA CREEK	4685	36	73	258	26	56.9	SOUTH PLATTE RIVER	BOREAS PASS DITCH	4611	23
	BLUE RIVER	4684	36	319	124200	163.1	47835.9	SOUTH PLATTE RIVER	ROBERTS TUNNEL	653	8
- 14. - 4											
	MONTEZUMA CREEK	4626	36	63	761	99.1	750.6	CLEAR CREEK	VIDLER TUNNEL	4626	7
	FRASER RIVER	4625	51	112	1260	100.1	793.9	CLEAR CREEK	BERTHOUD PASS DITCH	4625	~
	FRASER RIVER	4655	12	206	34470	344.1	61690	SOUTH PLATTE RIVER	MOFFAT TUNNEL	4655	9
	COLORADO RIVER	4634	51	350	206400	354.3	234900	<b>BIG THOMPSON RIVER</b>	ADAMS TUNNEL	4634	4
	COLORADO RIVER	4602	51	0	0	35.6	37.7	<b>BIG THOMPSON RIVER</b>	EUREKA DITCH	911	4
	COLORADO RIVER	4601	15	130	24770	132.5	20443.7	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	<b>GRAND RIVER DITCH</b>	4601	e
	MICHIGAN RIVER	4603	47	174	5910	187.4	2820	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	MICHIGAN DITCH	4603	e
	MICHIGAN RIVER	4602	47	0	0	16.3	64	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	CAMERON PASS DITCH	4602	e
	LARAMIE RIVER	4605	48	0	0	5.2	326.9	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	SKYLINE DITCH	4605	8
	LARAMIE RIVER	4600	48	110	19690	100.4	17094.3	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	LARAMIE-POUDRE TUNNEL	4600	e
	DEADMAN CREEK	4607	48	0	0	0	0	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	COLUMBINE DITCH	4607	9
	NUNN CREEK	4606	48	0	0	0	0	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	BOB CREEK DITCH	4606	e
	DEADMAN CREEK	4608	48	0	0	21.9	382.42	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	DEADMAN DITCH	4608	9
	SAND & DEADMAN CR.	4604	48	17	1410	34.4	1243	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	WILSON SUPPLY DITCH	4604	e
	STREAM	Ō	QM	DAYS	AF.	BAYS	ÂF	STREAM	NAME	ġ	GŴ
				TYEAR	CURREN	R AVG	VEX 01				
	OURCE	Ō	,					RECIPIENT			

-17-



WATEF	<b>A DISTR</b>	ICT 1						
						AMOUNT IN STC	DRAGE (AF)	
ΩM N	Ω,	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCESIREAM	AININ AF	DATE	AF	DATE	END OF YEAR
-	3653	BIJOU #2	SOUTH PLATTE	184	2/28/93	4620	10/31/93	4620
+	3816	EMPIRE	SOUTH PLATTE	1889	9/30/93	35208	4/30/93	7137
1	3817	JACKSON	SOUTH PLATTE	11633	9/30/93	27149	4/30/93	11856
-	3651	RIVERSIDE	SOUTH PLATTE	8287	9/30/93	63492	3/31/93	16438
		OTHERS		4103.4		24432.5		7802
		TOTALS		26096.4		154901.5		47853

WATER DISTRICT 2

23560.8		127656.8		58866.8		TOTALS		
2300.0								
		2718.8		1525.8		OTHERS		~
35554	7/31/93	41800	10/31/93	35554	WOMAN CREEK	STANDLEY	3903	~
2583	4/30/93	2679	11/30/92	2099	SOUTH PLATTE	QUINCY	3375	7
1766	2/28/93	4779	9/30/93	0	SOUTH PLATTE	PROSPECT	3877	~
15462	5/31/93	18205	8/31/93	6122	SOUTH PLATTE	MILTON	3876	~
5300	1/31/93	6023	7/31/93	5100	SOUTH PLATTE	LOWER LATHAM	3858	~
0	4/30/93	439	11/30/92	0	SOUTH PLATTE	LORD	3902	~
1931	6/30/93	15041	6/30/93	1876	SOUTH PLATTE	HORSE CREEK	3878	~
1793	7/31/93	3222	4/30/93	974	WALNUT CREEK	GREAT WESTERN	3861	~
696	9/30/93	969	8/31/93	211	LITTLE DRY CREEK	COAL RIDGE	3890	~
836	6/30/93	3339	86/08/6	534	CLEAR CREEK	BULL CANAL #8	3351	~
25273	4/30/93	28715	8/31/93	4871	SOUTH PLATTE	OASIS RES/BARR	3837	~
	DATE	AF	DATE	AF				
END OF YEAR	kimum -	MA	umum .	MIN	SOURCE STREAM	RESERVOIR NAME	<u>∩</u> ,	Ø Å
	AGE (AF)	MOUNT IN STORY	A					

WATER DISTRICT 3

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES BY DISTRICT

		//	31	-	_						_										_			
	END OF YEAR		9288	369	0	1940	2170	479	2940	c	3948	5562	13680	3010	404	1112	1534	2345	2730	13660	101833	5059	684	173361
AGE (AF)	XIMUM	DATE	2/28/93	5/31/93	5/31/93	1/31/93	4/30/93	7/31/93	5/31/93	11/1/92	4/30/93	6/30/93	7/31/93	7/31/93	5/31/93	10/31/93	6/30/93	5/31/93	6/30/93	4/30/93	6/30/03	7/31/93	6/30/93	
NOUNT IN STOR	- Mi	ÅF	10375	6428	1906	3363	2889	692	4166	0	5579	7063	16610	3336	898	1112	2157	2363	7161	14871	137883	7383	1041	237276
A	mum	pate	11/1/92	10/31/93	10/31/93	10/31/93	5/31/93	6/30/93	8/31/93	11/1/92	11/1/92	9/30/93	11/30/92	4/30/93	11/1/92	9/30/93	9/30/93	7/31/93	6/00/6	9/30/93	10/31/93	9/30/93	9/30/93	
	MI	AF	3434	369	0	1940	1926	450	2273	0	2651	2971	4093	2001	253	1038	812	1898	2547	13660	101533	5034	684	149567
	SOURCE STREAM		FOSSIL CREEK	N FK POUDRE RIVER	INDIAN CREEK	N FK POUDRE RIVER	N FK POUDRE RIVER	N FK POUDRE RIVER	N FK POUDRE RIVER	N FK POUDRE RIVER	N FK POUDRE RIVER	PARK CREEK	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	N FK POUDRE RIVER	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	ROLLARD DRAW	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	DIXON CANYON CREEK	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	
	RESERVOIR NAME		FOSSIL CREEK	HALLAGAN	INDIAN CREEK/MTN SUPPLY	NORTH POUDRE #2/DEMMEL LAKE	NORTH POUDRE #3/HACKEL LAKE	NORTH POUDRE #4	NORTH POUDRE #5/BEE LAKE	NORTH POUDRE #6	NORTH POUDRE #15	PARK CREEK	COBB LAKE	SEAMAN/MILTON SEAMAN	CLAYMORE	SEELEY	WARREN	WOOD	JOE WRIGHT/CAMERON	RAWHIDE	HORSETOOTH	DOUGLASS	WINDSOR RESERVOIR #8	SUBTOTALS
	<u>a</u>		3774	3712	3707	3697	3702	3704	3698	3699	3716	3715	3730	3713	3780	3772	3804	3786	3678	3952	3732	3725	3770	
	a S		m	е П	e	m	e	ы	n	ы	m	e	ы	e	6	ю	т	е.	m	м	6	с,	е	

-20-

	END OF TEAR	173361	1218	9260	1045	4851	4171	790	793	2949	2935	3628	423	3718	0	3134	684	2428	9010.9	224398.9
	kae (AF), XiMUM DATE		6/30/93	6/30/93	6/30/93	6/30/93	5/31/93	8/31/93	10/31/93	6/30/93	1/31/93	6/30/93	3/31/93	6/30/93	5/31/93	6/30/93	6/30/93	9/30/93		
	DUNT IN STOR	237276	3570	16967	7883	10519	4936	850	793	3149	3607	4301	782	8145	3750	10070	1041	2430	20671.9	340740.9
	AMI MUM DATE		9/30/93	8/31/93	11/1/92	11/1/92	6/30/93	4/30/93	11/1/92	8/31/93	8/30/93	3/31/93	6/30/63	9/30/93	8/31/93	6/30/93	9/30/93	3/31/93		
	MINI	149567	1218	4055	815	4548	3373	380	586	2128	2315	176	344	2400	0	2496	684	942	8103.1	184130.1
	SOURCE STREAM		CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	JOE WRIGHT CREEK	LONG DRAW CREEK	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	LONG POND RESERVOIR	LONG POND RESERVOIR	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	SHEEP CREEK	DUCK SLOUGH	CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER	BARNES MEADOWS CREEK					
CT 3 (CONTINUED)	- RESERVOIR NAME	BALANCE FROM PREVIOUS PAGE	NO. 8 ANNEX	WINDSOR RESERVOIR	CHAMBERS	LONG DRAW/GRAND RIVER	BLACK HOLLOW	CURTIS	KLUVER	LONG POND/WATER SUPPLY #5,6,7	ROCKY RIDGE/WATER SUPPLY #1	WATER SUPPLY #3	WATER SUPPLY #4	TERRY/LARIMER WELD	WORSTER	TIMNATH	WINDSOR LAKE	BARNES	OTHERS	TOTALS
<b>R</b> DISTRIC	e		3728	3738	3679	3676	3744	3735	3740	3742	3736	3737	3739	3805	3726	3775	3770	3683		
WATEF	ğ		e	e	e	e	ы	e	ы	e	e	ч	с	n	e	٣	e	ы	6	

WATE	R DISTR	ICT 4						
					AM	OUNT IN STORAC	aë (AF)	
Q	ē	RESERVOR NAME	sourcestream	MN AF	MUM DATE	MAX AF	RINUM DATE	END OF YEAR
4	4156	BOULDER & LARIMER/ISH		1289	9/30/93	4684	5/31/93	1306
4	4110	BOYD LAKE	BIG THOMPSON	23818	6/30/93	40907	6/30/93	24030
4	4513	CARTER	BIG THOMPSON	53027	8/31/93	108569	2/28/93	64323
4	4116	DONATH	BIG THOMPSON	539	4/30/93	1153	5/31/93	673
4	4166	HERTHA RESERVOIR	DRY CREEK HERTHA	804	11/30/92	1657	5/31/93	940
4	4123	HORSETOOTH RESERVOIR	BIG THOMPSON	2777	3/31/93	7668	2/31/93	5545
4	4487	LAKE LOVELAND	BIG THOMPSON	8899	11/30/92	11772	6/30/93	9836
4	4136	LON HAGLER	BIG THOMPSON	882	8/31/93	3987	6/30/93	1817
4	4137	LONE TREE	BIG THOMPSON	2661	8/31/93	8919	5/31/93	3854
4	4133	LOVELAND LAKE	BIG THOMPSON	1010	11/30/92	2148	7/31/93	1160
4	4134	BOEDECKER LAKE/MARINO	BIG THOMPSON	764	9/30/93	5571	5/31/93	3136
4	4146	WELCH LAKE	BIG THOMPSON	3254	8/31/93	6147	6/30/93	5322
4		OTHERS		1143		2682		2051
		TOTALS		100867		205864		124093

WATER DISTRICT 5

,									
	40023		54025.3		29502.3		TOTALS		
	891	6/30/93	949	11/30/92	863	LEFT HAND CREEK	LAGERMANN	4081	S
	1970	4/30/93	2254	9/30/93	1939	ST. VRAIN	NEW THOMAS	4379	S
	15976	6/30/93	16197.2	4/30/93	11940.3	ST. VRAIN	BUTTON ROCK	4010	2
	1404	5/31/93	1462.5	11/30/92	0	LEFT HAND CREEK	LEFT HAND VALLEY	4488	2
	1228	6/30/93	1548	11/30/92	795	LEFT HAND CREEK	LEFT HAND PARK	4076	S
	6686	5/31/93	12768	9/30/93	5056	ST. VRAIN	UNION	3905	2
	1545	5/31/93	1737	2/28/93	1146	ST. VRAIN	OLIGARCHY RESERVOIR #1	4067	S
	2429	5/31/93	3009	8/31/93	1794	ST. VRAIN	PLEASANT VALLEY	4063	5
	1324	6/30/93	2434	86/02/6	1324	ST. VRAIN	MCINTOSH	4073	5
	1324	2/28/93	1491	8/31/93	350	ST. VRAIN	HIGHLAND #3	4038	5
	2859	5/31/93	3536	11/30/92	2224	ST. VRAIN	HIGHLAND #2	4032	5
	774	5/31/93	874	7/31/93	506	ST. VRAIN	HIGHLAND #1	4037	5
	1116	6/30/93	3604	11/30/92	1068	ST. VRAIN	FOOTHILLS	4071	5
	497	5/31/93	2161.6	8/31/93	497	BEAVER CREEK	BEAVER POND	4020	5
		DATE	AF	DAITE	AF				
	END OF YEAR	omum 👔	MA	MUM	NIM	SOURCE STREAM	RESERVOIR NAME	ō	, ew
		GE (AF)	GUNT IN STORA	AN AN					

WATE	R DISTR	ICT 6						
9M	e	HESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	4	A	AOUNT IN STOR	AGE (AF)	
÷				ÄF	DATE	AF AF	AIMUM	END OF TEAR
မ	4269	ALBION	ALBION CREEK	•	4/30/93	1070	11/30/92	1011
g	4172	BARKER	BOULDER CREEK	1789	5/31/93	11448	8/31/93	9956
ω	4173	BASELINE	BOULDER CREEK	3118	4/30/93	5237	7/31/93	3141
ဖ	4515	BOULDER	BOULDER CREEK	5941	9/30/93	11579	7/31/93	6798
ω	4489	GOOSE	NORTH BOULDER CREEK	200	4/30/93	1036	10/31/93	1036
Q	4199	GROSS	SOUTH BOULDER CREEK	29835	4/30/93	41588	7/31/93	39357
9	4178	HILLCREST	BOULDER CREEK	1701	11/30/92	1945	7/31/93	1807
9	4180	LEGGETT	BOULDER CREEK	1224	11/30/92	1408	7/31/93	1275
9	4212	MARSHALL	SOUTH BOULDER CREEK	5085	11/30/92	9580	7/31/93	5772
9	4214	MCKAY	SOUTH BOULDER CREEK	593	5/31/93	1245	6/30/93	1245
9	4185	PANAMA	BOULDER CREEK	538	6/30/83	4000	5/31/93	1500
ဖ	4238	SILVER	NORTH BOULDER CREEK	270	5/31/93	3996	7/31/93	3996
ဖ	4187	SIX MILE	BOULDER CREEK	200	11/30/92	1200	5/31/93	006
9	4230	VALMONT	SOUTH BOULDER CREEK	6598	11/30/92	7397	7/31/93	6949
		TOTALS		58092		102729		84743



. · •

WATE	R DISTR	ICT 8						
Ŵ	è.	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	MIN AF	AMO MUM DATE	JUNT IN STORA MA AF	kde (AF) XIMUM DATE	END.OF YEAR
80	3514	CHATFIELD	SOUTH PLATTE	20129	6/30/93	27046	3/31/93	20939
8	3532	CHERRY CREEK	CHERRY CREEK	11889	8/31/93	13217	1/31/93	12123
8	3832	MCLELLAN	DAD CLARK DITCH	4425.3	8/31/93	5773.8	6/30/93	5360.8
		TOTALS		36443.3		46036.8		38422.8
			-					



WATE	R DISTR	ICT 9						
					AM	OUNT IN STOR	4GE (AF)	
D N	<u>0</u>	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	MINI	Mem	MA	XIMUM	END.OF YEAR
				AF	DATE	AF	DATE	
6	4315	SODA #1, #2	BEAR CREEK	778	8/31/93	1680	4/30/93	1118
ი	4281	BOWLES	BEAR CREEK	1607	10/31/93	2062	4/30/93	1607
თ	4314	PATRICK	BEAR CREEK	1097	8/31/93	1166	1/31/93	1126
6	3999	BEAR CREEK RESERVOIR	BEAR CREEK	1984	8/31/93	2077	4/30/93	2000
თ	4310	MARSTON	SOUTH PLATTE	15017	6/30/93	19034	4/30/93	18571
6		OTHERS		1653.7		2532		1891.3
		TOTALS		22136.7		28551		26313.3



### RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES BY DISTRICT WATER DISTRICT 23

١



8	
2	
בצ	and the second se
ц —	

WATE	R DISTR	ICT 64						
ŴŪ	*9	RESERVOIR NAME	source stream	AF	MUM DATE	AOUNTIN STOR MA	AGE (AF) XIÑUM DATE	END OF YEAR
64	3552	PREWITT	SOUTH PLATTE	15200	1/31/93	28134	6/30/93	16601
64	3551	NORTH STERLING	SOUTH PLATTE	4760	9/30/93	72299	4/39/93	18240
64	3906	JULESBURG	SOUTH PLATTE	2286	10/31/93	22521	4/30/93	2286
		TOTALS		22246		122954		37127



J	Ш
	Н
5	1
	报
r	lt
_	11
n	l
ב	1
r	l
IJ	1
_	H
	_

§	<u>0</u>	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	MIN	MOM pate	AF MA	XIMUM DATE	END OF YEAR
8	3550	CHEESMAN	S FK SOUTH PLATTE	52868	2/28/93	67992	10/31/93	67992
80	3829	WELLINGTON	N FK SOUTH PLATTE	2355	9/30/93	4227	6/30/93	2355
80		OTHERS		20		610		00
		TOTALS		55243		72829		70367

						-				_		<b>.</b>							_	
	AVG	AF PER	ACRE	1.44	2.0	1.5	1.35	66.	1.12	1.10	5.42	3.83	2.55	5.25	4.13	2.18	1.71	0	2.31	36.88
IRRIGATION	NO. OF	ACRES	IRRIGATED	198225	176814	310890	107706	111780	100331	51250	9781	2000	9742	4176	1555	132778	4720	0	1914	1223662
<u>то</u>	TOTAL	DIVERSIONS	(AF)	285500	354051	467883	145481	111225	113097	56704	53029	7660	24889	21934	6427	289510	8094	0	4440	1949924
TOTAL	DIVERSIONS	þ	STÖRÅGE	289359	56507	312036	63582	20090	67961	9722	107387	2295	63429	0	0	24685	1242	0	64117	1082412
	TOTAL	DIVERSIONS	(AE)	576535	446995	631893	22268	149656	258058	211402	440579	12657	126396	21934	6427	319450	10623	0	68889	3503762
ESTIMATED	NUMBER OF	DITCH	VISITS	2840	1512	1556	1675	1665	3914	734	1359	420	699	1735	2466	2352				22897
ERS	QN	RECORDS		4787	4291	2637	1206	1190	1659	1375	4766	1447	1206	65	40	1723	83	11	823	27309
oth oth	NO INFO	AVAIL	(4)	52	22	15	Ŧ	10	54	54	38	7	-	0	0	12	0	0	6	285
ING	NO WATER	TAKEN	(3)	83	32	46	34	18	60	151	159	6	114	27	0	20	16	D	48	817
ITCHES REPORT	NO WATER	AVAIL.	<ul> <li>(2)</li> </ul>	o	0	0	14	0	2	2	29	0	29	0	0	4	0	0	5	85
	WITH	RECORD	(t)	108	122	137	45	83	74	43	167	42	214	48	4	87	7	•	96	1277
	ş			-	2	5	4	2	9	~		5	53	48	49	64	65	76	80	тот

DISTRICT 9 DITCH VISITS COMBINED WITH DISTRICT 80 DISTRICT 48 DITCH VISITS COMBINED WITH DISTRICT 76 DISTRICT 49 DITCH VISITS COMBINED WITH DISTRICT 65 -30-



WATER DIVERSION SUMMARIES

### WATER DIVERSION SUMMARIES TO VARIOUS USE

کر	TRANS-MOUNTAIN	TRANS-BASIN	MUNICIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	RECREATION	FISHERY	DOMESTIC &	STOCK
	OUTFLOW	OUTFLOW						HOUSEHOLD	and a second
1			0	0	8592				
2			3255	0	0			15	9
3			32562	0	1172			96	
4			12124	0	0				
5			17417	53	0			28	
6			61141	1	5497				
7			19227	0	51597	33		9	
8			261816	580	4098		4125	10812	3
9			2227	19	61			2	
23			1406	0	683	3620	107		241
48			0	0	0				
49			O	0	0				
64			0	999	0				23
65			0	0	0		1287		
76			0	0	0				
80			101	0	0			288	
тот			411276	1652	71700	3653	5519	11250	276

WD	AUGMENTATION	EVAPORATION	GEOTHERMAL	SNOWMAKING	MINIMUM	POWER	WILDLIFE	RECHARGE	OTHER
					STREAMFLOW	GENERATION			
1	21668	364						64333	
2	8470							7947	
3	3401								
4	4532								
5	10095				601				
6	1004				1451				
7	19255								
8	9382	820			8				
9	846								
23	4410							1	
48	0								
49	O								
64	7368							8192	
65	0								
76	0								
	196							3	
t	90627	1184			2060			80476	



### WATER COURT ACTIVITIES Calendar Year 1993

Applications made to water court this year	
Consultations with Referee this year	
Decrees Issued by Court this year	
Dismissals	27
Complaints	

### TYPES OF RULINGS

TYPE OF RULING	NUMBER OF CASES	NUMBER OF STRUCTURES
Findings of Diligence on Conditional Rights	7	7
Cancellations of Conditional Rights	8	12
Conditional Rights Made Absolute	5	11
Surface Water Rights Adjudicated	24	98
Underground Water Rights Adjudicated	49	246
Water Storage Rights Adjudicated	21	35
Plans for Augmentation Adjudicated	28	628
Changes of Water Rights Adjudicated	55	185
Instream Flow Rights Adjudicated	6	6

CALLING PF	RIORITY						
Date Call	Date Call						
Initiated	Released	Structure Name	Appropriation	Administration	District	Person	Districts
1992-1993	1992-1993		Date	Number		Placing Call	Affected
11/2/1992	11/23/1992	Barr Lake	11/20/1885	13108.00000	2	Ken Timmerman	8,9,23,80
11/23/1992	1/10/1993	Chatfield	12/28/1977	46748.00000	8	Jim McClure	8
11/23/1992	1/14/1993	Denver Intake	12/06/1910	22254.00000	8	Jim McClure	9,2,3,80
11/24/1992	3/16/1993	Cheesman	6/27/1889	14423.00000	8	Jim McClure	80,9,23
1/14/1993	3/31/1993	Denver Intake	5/1/1899	18018.00000	œ	Jim McClure	80,9,23
3/16/1993	4/2/1993	Cheesman	9/24/1893	15973.00000	8	Jim McClure	80,9,23
3/31/1993	4/22/1993	Denver Intake	12/06/1910	22254.00000	8	Jim McClure	80,9,23
4/2/1993	4/22/1993	Cheesman	6/27/1889	14423.00000	8	Jim McClure	23
4/22/1993	5/13/1993	Burlington	11/20/1885	13108.00000	2	Manuel Montoya	8,80,9,23
5/4/1993	5/11/1993	Highline Canal	1/18/1879	10610.00000	8	Jim McClure	23,8,80
5/12/1993	5/13/1993	Harmony	4/28/1895	16554.00000	64	Jim Hanrahan	1,2,3,4,5,6
5/12/1993	5/13/1993	Farmers Independent	11/20/1876	9128.00000	2	Keith Delventhal	2,7,8,9,80,23
5/13/1993	5/19/1993	Lowline	10/14/1882	11975.00000	64	Jim Hanrahan	1,2,3,4,5,6
5/13/1993	5/17/1993	Evans No. 2	10/05/1871	7948.00000	2	Keith Delventhal	2,7,8,9,80,23
5/17/1993	5/17/1993	Farmers Independent	11/20/1876	9128.00000	2	Bob Stahl	2,7,8,9,80,23
5/19/1993	5/20/1993	Ft. Morgan	10/18/1882	11979.00000	1	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,23,80
5/20/1993	5/21/1993	Upper Platte & Beaver	4/15/1888	13985.00000	1	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,23,80
5/21/1993	5/24/1993	Bijou	10/1/1888	14154.00000	1	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,23,80
5/24/1993	5/28/1993	Riverside Direct	5/31/1907	20969.00000	1	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,80
5/24/1993	5/25/1993	Cheesman	6/27/1889	14423.00000	8	Jim McClure	23
5/25/1993	6/3/1993	Cheesman Bypass to Burlington	6/27/1889	14423.00000	8	Jim McClure	23,9,80
5/28/1993	6/3/1993	Prewitt Reservoir	5/25/1910	22059.00000	1	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6,7
6/3/1993	6/15/1993	District 1 Reservoir	12/31/1929	31423.29219	1	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
6/3/1993	6/4/1993	Cheesman	6/27/1889	14423.00000	8	Jim McClure	23
6/4/1993	6/6/1993	Cheesman Bypass to Burlington	6/27/1889	14423.00000	8	Jim McClure	23,9,80
6/6/1993	6/18/1993	Burlington Direct	11/20/1885	13108.00000	2	Ken Timmerman	8,9,80,23

-34-

CALLING PF	RIORITY (con	itinued)					
Date Call	Date Call						
Initiated	Released	Structure Name	Appropriation	Administration	District	Person	Districts
1992-1993	1992-1993		Date	Number		Placing Call	Affected
6/15/1993	6/18/1993	Riverside Direct	5/31/1907	20969.00000	٢	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6,7
6/18/1993	6/22/1993	Cheesman	6/27/1889	14423.00000	8	Jim McClure	23
6/18/1993	6/19/1993	Intake	12/06/1910	22254.00000	8	Jim McClure	8,9,23
6/18/1993	6/22/1993	Chatfield	12/28/1977	16748.00000	8	Jim McClure	8,9
6/22/1993	6/24/1993	Cheesman Bypass	6/27/1889	14423.00000	80	Jim McClure	9,23,80
6/24/1993	6/28/1993	Cheesman	6/27/1889	14423.00000	æ	Jim McClure	23
6/24/1993	6/28/1993	Intake Bypass to Burlington	12/6/1910	22254.00000	8	Jim McClure	8,9,80
6/28/1993	6/28/1993	Bijou	10/1/1888	14154.00000	1	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6,7
6/28/1993	6/29/193	Burlington Direct	11/20/1885	14423.00000	2	Ken Timmerman	2,8,9,80,23
6/28/1993	6/29/1993	Upper & Lower Platte & Beaver	4/15/1888	13985.00000	2	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6,7
6/29/1993	7/14/1993	Ft. Morgan	10/18/1882	11979.00000	2	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,23,80
7/8/1993	7/14/1993	Farmers Independent	11/20/1876	9128.00000	2	Keith Delventhal	2,7,8,9,80,23
7/14/1993	7/15/1993	lliff & Platte Valley	10/1/1883	12327.00000	64	Jim Hanrahan	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,23,80
7/15/1993	7/21/1993	Bijou	10/1/1888	14154.00000	÷	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,23,80
7/16/1993	7/21/1993	Burlington	11/20/1885	14423.00000	2	Keith Delventhal	2,8,9,80,23
7/21/1993	8/2/1993	Upper Platte & Beaver	4/15/1888	13985.00000	٢	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6,7
7/21/1993	7/22/1993	Burlington Bypass	11/20/1885	14423.00000	2	Keith Delventhal	2,7,8,9,80,23
7/22/1993	7/26/1993	Farmers Independent	11/20/1876	9128.00000	7	Keith Delventhal	2,7,8,9,80,23
7/26/1993	7/27/1993	Platteville	10/15/1873	8689.00000	2	Keith Delventhal	2,7,8,9,80,23
7/27/1993	8/6/1993	Evans No. 2	10/5/1871	7948.00000	2	Keith Delventhal	2,7,8,9,23,80
8/2/1993	8/11/1993	Deuel & Snyder	4/7/1884	12516.00000	1	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6
8/6/1993	8/7/1993	Platteville	10/15/1873	8689.00000	2	Keith Delventhal	2,7,8,9,23,80
8/7/1993	9/13/1993	Evans No. 2	10/5/1871	7948.00000	2	Bob Stahl	2,7,8,9,23,80
8/11/1993	8/8/1993	Upper Platte & Beaver	4/15/1888	13985.00000	1	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6
9/8/1993	9/9/1993	District 1 Recharge	6/12/1972	44723.00000	1	Mae Cunning	1,2,3,4,5,6
9/13/1993	9/14/1993	Burlington Direct	11/20/1885	14423.00000	2	Keith Delventhal	2,8,9,23,80

-

~	
Ð	l
ō	j
	1
<u> </u>	ļ
E	1
ጉ	
- 8	
Ľ	1
>	
F	ĺ
~	I
Ĕ	I
O	I
$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	I
¥-	I
ц.	
C	ļ
ž	i
<u>_</u>	I
<u> </u>	l
1	I
∢	I
$\mathbf{O}$	

Date Call Initiated 1992-1993	Date Call Released 1992-1993	Structure Name	Appropriation Date	Administration	District	Person Placing Call	Districts Affected
9/14/1993	10/18/1993	Barr Lake	1/13/1909	21502.00000	2	Keith Delventhal	2,8
9/14/1993	9/16/1993	Cheesman	6/27/1889	14423.00000	ø	Jim McClure	80,23
9/14/1993	9/15/1993	Denver Intake	5/1/1899	18018.00000	æ	Jim McCture	9,80
9/16/1993	9/17/1993	Denver Intake	6/30/1880	11139.00000	ø	Jim McClure	8,80,23
9/17/1993	11/4/1993	Denver Intake	5/1/1899	18018.00000	æ	Jim McClure	9,80,23
9/28/1993	11/4/1993	Cheesman	6/27/1889	14423.00000	80	Jim McClure	80,23
10/18/1993	11/4/1993	Chatfield	12/28/1977	10748.00000	8	Jim McClure	8

### OFFICE ADMINISTRATION AND WORKLOAD

### S) KILLE

Dam Safety Engineers	4
Water Resource Engineers	6
Hydrographers	4
Clerical Staff	2
Full-Time Water Commissioners	14
Permanent Part-Time Water Commissioners	3
Temporary Water Commissioners	_5_
TOTAL STAFF	38

Statistics

Decreed Surface Rights	11,969
Number of Well Permits	108,294
Number of Plans for Augmentation	458
Number of Dams	748
Number of Active Substitute Supply Plans	72
Number of Meetings with Water Users	185
Number of Public Meetings	46
Number of Contacts to give Public Assistance	20,000

Field inspections regarding abandonments, water right applications, and well replacements will also be costly, time consuming, and necessary.

Quality control and data handling capability with systems design for user-supplied information is becoming increasingly important and will receive attention.

The Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) is being imposed with unknowns on how it will affect us. Hopefully, a system and money will be adequate to offset any reduction in time or efficiencies.

### B. 1994 WATER YEAR

### 1. Key Objectives

Our objectives are quite broad, yet simply stated are as follows:

- ★ Water Rights Management
  - -- Establish the capability to administer a total river call prompted by either in-state priorities or an interstate water compact requirement.
  - -- Uphold all other statutory duties of the State Engineer's Office.
- ★ Water Records and Information
  - -- Provide the public with service regarding water usage.
  - -- Address the public's needs in water resources.

In order to fulfill these Objectives, the following Goals must be attained:

- -- It is imperative that we have a complete and reliable tabulation of water rights. (We should have a complete and reliable tabulation of permitted wells and, likewise, a complete and reliable dams database.)
- -- All water usage and consumption must be inventoried and we need to possess the ability to monitor the same on a real-time basis.
- -- We need to know where augmentation and exchanges are taking place and in what amounts.
- -- We must know the locations and amounts of the water supply at any given time.
- -- We have to fully develop our personnel and must have an educated public willing to cooperate with us. We must also work with the legislature and other governmental agencies in order to provide for our needs.

We can begin to reach these goals as more of the Work Projects below are completed.

a. Projected Work Items for 1994

The usual business of: Administration of water rights, Collecting and recording diversion data, Reservoir inspections, Well inspections, Reviewing water rights applications.

The following are specialized Work Items for 1994 and beyond:

- (1) Train Water Commissioners in:
  - -- Standardization of municipal record keeping.
  - -- Field inspecting augmentation plans.
  - -- Creating schematics and coding for augmentation plans.
- (2) Inventory all fee wells and generate records. (Proposal to spend SB-200 funds to accomplish)
  - -- Determine locations and establish mapping accordingly.
  - -- Determine usage.
  - -- Determine compliance with permit and decree.
  - -- Prepare ownership directory.
  - -- Send orders.
- (3) Lower the "NUC No Information Available" level by 30 in each Water District.
- (4) For Augmentation Plans:
  - -- Finish tabulation of augmentation plans.
  - -- Establish an augmentation plan database that can be used for administration.
  - -- Establish an accounting system for each active augmentation plan (for one major and five minor augmentation plans per district each year).
  - -- Install control structures and measuring devices as necessary.
  - -- Obtain field data.
  - -- Administer.

- (5) Develop computer accounting spreadsheets for:
  - -- Blue River Diversion Project
  - -- Continental-Hoosier System
- (6) Organize and implement program for hydrographic data collection for Division 5.
- (7) Inventory and perform an on-site inspection of all test wells and monitoring holes. (Proposal to spend SB-200 funds to accomplish)

(a) Take steps necessary to bring them into compliance with State regulations.(b) Insure proper abandonment where necessary.

- (8) Design system to solicit user-supplied information.
- (9) Complete backlog of hydrographic records.
- (10) CRDSS Irrigated Acreage 85% complete.
- (11) Color-code all pending court cases with SEO-opposition.

### b. Problems, Concerns, Limitations to Overcome

The main concern is the reduced ability of the staff to accomplish all that needs to be done in almost any area. The continuing areas of concern are:

- -- Existing mapping is being replaced as it wears out.
- -- Do not have the hydrographic staff to handle the river accounting.
- -- Number and complexity of Augmentation Plans are prohibitive to administer with existing staff until software and databases are developed along with appropriate accounting sheets.
- -- Some work is still needed on the tabulation. We need to include and/or revise augmentation entries.

- -- Ten percent of diversion structures have no record at all, while others are very minimal with a smattering of user-supplied data.
- -- Active administration of springs, wells, and gravel pits will be difficult as well as counterproductive if water volume were the main criteria.
- -- Staff gages and capacity tables are still needed for many reservoirs.
- -- Well inspections need to be increased as inconsistencies are increasingly evident.
- -- Budget constraints are deepening.
- -- Judicial decisions (while much better) continue to be made with immediate caseload efficiency in mind rather than astute sensitivity to water laws wherein stipulated settlements are reached.
- -- There has been a large conversion of agricultural lands and waters to commercial and municipal development in Water District 36 and the decretal information and the data-gathering network is just now beginning with a new Water Commissioner.

### 2. Changes That Will Impact The Division

The people, the governor, and the legislature all talk of water planning and management, public benefits, and water quality. The discussion of these issues has been fragmented and unfocused--even ill-informed. The debate is laced with buzz words that mean different things to different people, with confused analyses which mix the ends to be achieved with the means of achieving those ends, and with misunderstandings and misconceptions about Colorado's current laws and policies. Whatever one's point of view about those issues, Colorado clearly has yet to reach a consensus on how they should be addressed. In the meantime as administrators we make many decisions with regard to beneficial use and waste of water. Clearly, change is inevitable and decisions made can help to shape the future.

TRANSMOUNTAIN DIVERSION SUMMARY - INFLOWS

		RECI	PIENT 1983-19	92						SOURCE
CM M	Ĥ	Мате	Stream	10-Y. Aver	ear :age	Curren Year	ц.	CIM	£	Stream
				AF	Days	AF	Days			
36	4905	STEVENS & LEITER WELL	TENMILE CREEK	32.6	61	222.00	325	11		ARKANSAS RIVER
38	4682	ROARING FORK BYPASS FLOW	ROARING FORK RIVER	1,763.8	216	2,102.00	365	11		TWIN LAKES
45	577	DIVIDE-HIGHLINE FEEDER	DIVIDE CREEK	1,318.9	61	1,134.00	33	40		CLEAR FORK MUDDY CREEK
50	4600	SARVIS CREEK DITCH	RED DIRT CREEK	1,328.8	173	769.00	152	58		SARVIS CREEK
53	4716	DOME CREEK DITCH	EGERIA CREEK	264.3	44	350.00	59	58		BEAR CREEK
53	4715	STILLWATER DITCH	EGERIA CREEK	2,154.2	95	2,220.00	105	58		BEAR CREEK
72	4713	REDLANDS POWER CANAL	COLORADO RIVER	513,221.0	345	521,168.00	358	42		GUNNISON RIVER
72	4711	<b>GRAND JUNCTION MUNICIPAL</b>	COLORADO RIVER	7,517.8	365	7,115.00	365	42		KANNAH CREEK
72	4712	FRUITA WATER WORKS	COLORADO RIVER	125.7	110	0	0	73		LITTLE DOLORES RIVER
				λL	OTAL:	535,080.00				

1993

OUTFLOWS
1
SUMMARY
DIVERSION
TRANSMOUNTAIN

					LNUOMA	IN STORAGE (A	LF)	
СМ	A	<b>RESERVOIR NAME</b>	SOURCE STREAM	Mini	urn ur	Maxim	aum au a	
				AF	Date	AF	Date	End Of Year
36	3533	BLACK LAKE	BLACK CREEK	1,997	11/01/92	1,997	7/01/93	1,997
	3535	BUFFEHR RESERVOIR	TENMILE CREEK	62	3/31/93	99.3	6/30/93	74.5
	3538	CATARACT LAKE	CATARACT CREEK	1,652	11/01/92	1,652	7/01/93	1,652
	3575	CLINTON GULCH RESERVOIR	TENMILE CREEK	3,650	6/17/93	4,450	8/10/93	4,400
	4512	DILLON RESERVOIR BRDP	BLUE RIVER	203,070	4/20/93	259,279	6/24/93	231,117
	3542	GOOSE PASTURE TARN	BLUE RIVER	222	11/01/92	922	6/30/93	922
	3543	<b>GREEN MOUNTAIN RES</b>	BLUE RIVER	64,452	5/11/93	153,005	7/31/93	131,412
	3548	HOAGLAND RESERVOIR NO 1	ELLIOTT CREEK	30	7/16/93	100	6/02/93	50
	3606	OFFICER GULCH POND	TENMILE CREEK	100	11/01/92	100	7/01/93	100
	3565	REYNOLDS RESERVOIR	SODA CREEK	157	11/01/92	157	7/01/93	157
	3569	UPPER BLACK CREEK RES	BLACK CREEK	1,672	11/01/92	1,672	7/01/93	1,672
	3570	UPPER BLUE LAKE RES CHS	BLUE RIVER	0	11/01/92	2,119.3	7/18/93	0
	3571	WAY RESERVOIR	BEAVER CREEK	45	7/21/93	94	6/02/93	60
36		Total of All Others < 50 AF		198		273		206
36		Total For District 36		278,007.00		425,919.60		373,819.50

1993

Page 18

					AMOUN	T IN STORAGE (1	LF)	
GM	A	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	rutM	พาพ	Maxi	urinu .	
				AF	Date	AF	Date	End Of Year
37	3600	BENCHMARK LAKE	EAGLE RIVER	130	11/01/92	130	5/01/93	130
	3608	BLACK LAKE	GORE CREEK	7	11/01/92	326	6/01/93	326
	3510	BLACK LAKE NO 2	GORE CREEK	90	11/01/92	90	6/17/93	06
	3698	BOLTS LAKE	CROSS CREEK	38	10/31/92	78	6/01/93	38
	3513	CHALK MOUNTAIN RESERVOIR	EAGLE RIVER	204.1	11/01/92	204.1	6/16/93	204.1
	3699	CLIMAX MOLY NO 4 RES	EAGLE RIVER	15	10/31/93	500	11/01/92	15
	3517	G G RESERVOIR	EBY CREEK	0	11/01/92	177	5/01/93	0
	4516	HOMESTAKE RESERVOIR	HOMESTAKE CREEK	16,785	5/01/93	42,881	8/06/93	42,447
	3520	L E D E RESERVOIR	GYPSUM CREEK	10	11/01/92	355	7/12/93	324
	3522	NOECKER RESERVOIR	EBY CREEK	55	10/31/93	159	6/30/93	55
	3527	ROBINSON RESERVOIR	EAGLE RIVER	1,900	11/01/92	2,200	7/27/93	2,100
	3524	SYLVAN LAKE	BRUSH CREEK	452	11/01/92	452	6/01/93	452
	3530	WELSH RESERVOIR	ALKALI CREEK	10	11/01/92	105	5/24/93	75
37		Total of All Others $< 50 \text{ AF}$		95.4		121.4		95.4
37		Total for District 37		19,791.50		47,778.50		46,351.50

1993

1993								
					AMOUN	r in Storage (1	AF)	
QM	Ð	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	Mini	unu	Maxi	mum	
				AF	Date	AF	Date	End Of Year
38	3711	ALICIA LAKE RESERVOIR	LIME CREEK	673	11/01/92	673	6/01/93	673
	4000	BEAVER LAKE	CRYSTAL RIVER	73	11/01/92	73	6/01/93	73
	3722	CONSOLIDATED RESERVOIR	WEST COULTER CREEK	0	11/01/92	880	5/01/93	711
	3774	<b>CRAWFORD DAM NO 1</b>	BLUE CREEK	160	11/01/92	160	6/01/93	160
	3773	<b>CRAWFORD DAM NO 2</b>	BLUE CREEK	56	11/01/92	56	6/01/93	56
	3721	CROOKED CREEK RES	LIME CREEK	40	11/01/92	40	6/01/93	40
	4095	FLANNERY RESERVOIR	THREE MILE CREEK	52.1	11/01/92	52.1	6/01/93	52.1
	3727	HIMMELAND RESERVOIR	FRYINGPAN RIVER	92	11/01/92	92	6/01/93	92
	3729	HUGHES RESERVOIR	THREE MILE CREEK	0	11/01/92	78	9/10/93	78
	3732	IVANHOE RESERVOIR	FRYINGPAN RIVER	0	11/01/92	926	6/19/93	0
	3832	IACOBSEN LAKES & PONDS	ROARING FORK RIVER	225	11/01/92	225	6/01/93	225
	3736	LAKE ANN RESERVOIR	SOPRIS CREEK	0	11/01/92	436	6/23/93	123
	3713	RUEDI RESERVOIR	FRYINGPAN RIVER	49,234	5/14/93	102,493	8/14/93	95,135
	3744	SPRING PARK RESERVOIR	CATTLE CREEK	1,168	4/26/93	1,700	5/05/93	1,200
	3747	THOMAS RESERVOIR	THOMAS CREEK	160	11/01/92	160	6/01/93	160
	3753	UPPER CHAPMAN RES	FRYINGPAN RIVER	119	11/01/92	119	6/01/93	119
	3750	VAN-CLEVE FISHER RES	MESA CREEK	1	I	ł	1	1
	3752	VON SPRINGS RES NO 2	COULTER CREEK	0	11/01/92	80	6/08/93	0
	3759	WILDCAT RESERVOIR	SNOWMASS CREEK	1,100	11/01/92	1,100	6/01/93	1,100
	3760	WOODS LAKE RESERVOIR	LIME CREEK	300	11/01/92	300	6/01/93	300
38		Total of All Others $< 50 \text{ AF}$		316		603		335
38		Total for District 38		53,768.10		110,246.10		100,632.10

ł

11,377.00 End Of Year 100 4,116 100 886 5 6,037 85 46 Date 6/01/93 5/01/93 8/24/93 6/01/93 6/01/93 3/15/93 6/01/93 ٨ Maximum AMOUNT IN STORAGE (AF) 20,150.00 12,713 112 5,800 200 100 152 984 89 Ł 11/01/92 11/01/92 11/01/92 11/01/92 11/01/92 11/01/92 11/01/92 Date Minimum 3,466.00 1,519 770 100 65 0 886 101 25 AF SOURCE STREAM PARACHUTE CREEK COLORADO RIVER RIFLE CREEK RIFLE CREEK RIFLE CREEK **ELK CREEK ELK CREEK** MEADOW CREEK RESERVOIR **GRASS VALLEY RESERVOIR CITY OF RIFLE POND NO 1** MIDDLE FORK RESERVOIR RESERVOIR NAME Total of All Others < 50 AF RIFLE GAP RESERVOIR HARRIS RESERVOIR Total For District 39 PARK RESERVOIR 3508 3927 3505 3506 3940 3941 3507 A ß 39 39 39

1993

Y DISTRICT
SUMMARIES B
STORAGE
RESERVOIR

					AMOUN	T IN STORAGE (1	4F)	
QW	A	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	hini	mum	Maxi	mum	
				AF	Date	AF	Date	End Of Year
45	3524	ANDERSON POND NO 1	COLORADO RIVER	-	-	-	ł	-
	3642	<b>CENTENIAL LAKE</b>	COLORADO RIVER				-	1
	3603	PORTER RESERVOIR	THREE MILE CREEK	16.00	11/01/92	222.00	5/31/93	47.30
45		Total of All Others $< 50 \text{ AF}$		5.00		82.00		39.00
45		Total For District 45		21.00		304.00		86.30

993								
					AMOUNT	TIN STORAGE (2	LF)	
СM	A	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	hini	urnu	Maxi	unu	
				AF	Date	AF	Date	End Of Year
50	3644	ALBERT RESERVOIR	ALBERT CREEK	0	7/25/93	125	6/03/93	0
	3606	ANTELOPE RESERVOIR	ANTELOPE CREEK	9	7/22/93	368	5/28/93	104
	3651	BASIN RESERVOIR	MUDDY CREEK	0	11/01/92	110	6/01/93	10
	3645	BINCO RESERVOIR	TROUBLESOME CREEK	0	8/08/93	516	6/01/93	10
	3616	HEINI RESERVOIR	PINTO CREEK	40	6/11/93	65	6/01/93	40
	3618	HINMAN RESERVOIR	PASS CREEK	300	11/01/92	611	6/01/93	350
	3623	LAKE AGNES	MUDDY CREEK	400	8/31/93	431	6/24/93	405
	3648	LEWIS RESERVOIR	PINTO CREEK	0	11/01/92	50	6/09/93	0
	3646	MARTIN RESERVOIR	MUDDY CREEK	0	8/09/93	181	5/04/93	25
	3625	MATHESON RESERVOIR	TROUBLESOME CREEK	0	11/01/92	1,074	6/08/93	700
	3627	MC ELROY RESERVOIR	PASS CREEK	0	11/01/92	240	6/06/93	0
	3629	MC MAHON RESERVOIR NO 2	RED DIRT CREEK	250	11/01/92	3,509	6/01/93	600
	3655	MILK CREEK RESERVOIR	MUDDY CREEK	25	11/01/92	100	6/01/93	30
	3656	NORTH MEADOW RESERVOIR (AKA MARTIN LILY POND)	MUDDY CREEK	0	11/01/92	200	6/03/93	0
50	3631	OAKS RESERVOIR	MUDDY CREEK	6	10/31/93	40	6/06/93	6
	3632	PARSONS RESERVOIR	MUDDY CREEK	3	11/01/92	107	6/04/93	10
	3642	WHITELEY PEAK RESERVOIR	MUDDY CREEK	11	11/01/92	773	6/03/93	300
	3643	WOODS RESERVOIR	MUDDY CREEK	18	10/31/93	67	6/05/93	18
50		Total of All Others $< 50 \text{ AF}$		72.00		200.00		74.00
50		Total For District 50		1,134.00		8,767.00		2,685.00

DISTRICT
BZ
SUMMARIES
STORAGE
RESERVOIR

					AMOUN	<b>F IN STORAGE (</b>	(AF)	
СM	A	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	Min:	mum	Max:	imum	
				AF	Date	AF	Date	End Of Year
51	4006	BULL RUN RESERVOIR	WILLIAMS FORK RIVER	75	10/31/93	100	6/04/93	75
	4012	COTTONWOOD RESERVOIR	GARDINER CREEK	65	11/01/92	129.4	5/01/93	65
	3715	EAST BRANCH RESERVOIR	WILLIAMS FORK RIVER	1,450	10/31/93	2,000	6/01/93	1,450
	3660	F W LINKE NO 2 RESERVOIR	TEN MILE CREEK	0	11/01/92	61.2	5/10/93	0
	3665	HANKINSON RESERVOIR	FRASER RIVER	116	11/01/92	116.7	10/31/93	116.7
	4009	JACK ORR RESERVOIR	COLORADO RIVER	245	11/01/92	245	6/01/93	245
	3752	KINGS RESERVOIR	BUFFALO CREEK	256	11/01/92	352	6/01/93	300
	4055	LAKE GRANBY	COLORADO RIVER	241,663	2/28/93	484,310	7/21/93	437,986
	3679	LANGHOLEN RESERVOIR	BATTLE CREEK	13	11/01/92	65	6/03/93	18
	3686	MEADOW CREEK RES	RANCH CREEK	1,694	11/01/92	5,642	6/17/93	3,985
	3687	MOORE RESERVOIR	WILLIAMS FORK RIVER	60	10/31/93	100	6/16/93	60
	3688	MUSGRAVE RESERVOIR	CORRAL CREEK	5	11/01/92	350	6/05/93	5
	3693	ROCK CREEK RESERVOIR	ROCK CREEK	0	11/01/92	0	6/01/93	0
	3694	SCHOLL RESERVOIR	CORRAL CREEK	0	11/01/92	250	6/18/93	0
	3695	SHADOW MOUNTAIN RES	COLORADO RIVER	16,714	6/16/93	18,057	12/10/92	17,892
	4051	SUN VALLEY RESERVOIR	NO. FORK OF COLO RIVER	72.5	11/01/92	72.5	6/01/93	72.5
	3701	SYLVAN RESERVOIR	LITTLE MUDDY CREEK	0	11/01/92	1,133	6/03/93	0
	3738	UTE CREEK RESERVIOR	WILLIAMS FORK RIVER	65	8/13/93	100	6/11/93	70
	3709	WILLIAMS FORK RES	WILLIAMS FORK RIVER	58,376	5/12/93	97,279	7/11/93	82,305
_	3710	WILLOW CREEK RESERVOIR	WILLIAMS FORK RIVER	7,167	11/20/92	10,260	6/03/93	9,421
51		Total of All Others $< 50 \text{ AF}$		168		319		211
51		Total for District 51		328,205.20		620,941.80		554,277.20

Page 24

DISTRICT	
ВΥ	
SUMMARIES	
STORAGE	
RESERVOIR	

					AMOUN	T IN STORAGE (1	AF)	
СM	A	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	t <b>niM</b>	wnw	Maxi	wnw	
				AF	Date	AF	Date	End Of Year
52	3940	JONES RESERVOIR	HENRY CREEK	42.5	11/01/92	69.2	6/11/93	42.5
	3949	ROCK GAP DAM	HARTMAN GULCH	21.0	11/01/92	51.7	7/01/93	38.6
52		Total of All Others < 50 AF		122.3		186.4		122.3
52		Total For District 52		185.80		307.30		203.40

1993

1993			RESERVOIR STOR	LAGE SUMMARIES	BY DISTRICT			
					AMOUN	T IN STORAGE	(AF)	
СM	Ĥ	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	Mini	mum	Max	Lmum	
				AF	Date	AF	Date	End Of Year
53	3959	CLYDE RESERVOIR	EGERIA CREEK	0	11/01/92	66	6/01/93	0
	3960	CRESENT LAKE RESERVOIR	DERBY CREEK	75	10/31/93	237	7/16/93	75
	3961	ED W HARPER RESERVOIR	EGERIA CREEK	68	10/31/93	194	6/01/93	68
	3962	EGERIA RESERVOIR	EGERIA CREEK	0	11/01/92	168	6/01/93	0
	3966	GRIMES BROOKS RESERVOIR	RED DITCH CREEK	79	11/01/92	426	6/16/93	186
	3968	HADLEY RESERVOIR	EGERIA CREEK	0	10/31/93	169	6/01/93	0
	3971	HEART LAKE RESERVOIR	DEEP CREEK	2,785	10/31/93	3,275	7/01/93	2,785
	3972	HIDDEN SPRINGS RESERVOIR	HORSE CREEK	50	11/01/92	50	6/01/93	50
	3974	JONES NO 1 RESERVOIR	SHEEP CREEK NO 2	0	11/01/92	250	6/19/93	0
	3975	JONES NO 2 RESERVOIR	SHEEP CREEK NO 2	72	11/01/92	400	5/23/93	133
	3978	KELLY RESERVOIR	EGERIA CREEK	71	10/31/93	190	5/07/93	71
	3982	LUARK RESERVOIR	SPRING CREEK	10	11/01/92	96	6/14/93	20
	4020	MACKINAW LAKE RES	DERBY CREEK	0	11/01/92	138	7/16/93	18
	3986	MORRIS RESERVOIR	TOPONAS CREEK	0	11/01/92	75	6/12/93	0
	3988	NEWTON GULCH RES	KING CREEK	0	11/01/92	114	6/03/93	0
	3992	<b>REID NO 3 RESERVOIR</b>	EGERIA CREEK	93	11/01/92	93	6/14/93	93
	3995	STERNER RESERVOIR	EGERIA CREEK	0	11/01/92	194	6/14/93	0
	3997	SWEETWATER RESERVOIR	SWEETWATER CREEK	490	11/01/92	490	5/06/93	490
	3999	TONIER GULCH RES	TOPONAS CREEK	20	11/01/92	60	6/01/93	20
	4001	TOPONAS ROCK NO 2 RES	TOPONAS CREEK	0	9/14/93	197	6/14/93	0
	4004	WOHLER RESERVOIR	ELK CREEK	10	11/01/92	82.6	6/01/93	67.6
53		Total of All Others < 50 AF		100.2		336.6		127.2
53		Total for District 53		3,923.20		7,295.20		4,203.80

DISTRICT
ВΥ
SUMMARIES
STORAGE
RESERVOIR

					АМОШ	NT IN STORAGE (	(AF)	
QM	A	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	Mini	mum	ГжW	นาน	
				AF	Date	AF	Date	End Of Year
70								
							.	
70		Total of All Others < 50 AF		0		39.00		0
70		Total For District 70		0.00		39.00		0.00

Page 27

DISTRICT
В
SUMMARIES
STORAGE
RESERVOIR

					AMOUN	T IN STORAGE (1	\F)	
GM	<b>A</b> I	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	tnim	mum	Maxi	שרוש	
				AF	Date	AF	Date	End Of Year
72	3833	ANDERSON BROS RES NO 1	LEON CREEK	0	11/01/92	216.30	6/04/93	0
	3887	BIG BEAVER RESERVOIR	BULL CREEK	0	11/01/92	126.70	5/06/93	0
	3904	<b>BIG CREEK NO 1 RESERVOIR</b>	BIG CREEK	0	11/01/92	0	6/01/93	0
	3905	<b>BIG CREEK NO 3 RESERVOIR</b>	BIG CREEK	558.10	4/26/93	1,549.40	6/01/93	1,549.40
	3906	<b>BIG CREEK NO 4 RESERVOIR</b>	BIG CREEK	0	11/01/92	188.40	6/11/93	49.40
	3907	BIG CREEK NO 5 RESERVOIR	BIG CREEK	26.90	10/31/93	104.60	6/01/93	26.90
	3909	<b>BIG CREEK NO 7 RESERVOIR</b>	BIG CREEK	550.30	3/01/93	1,222.60	5/24/93	954.00
	3841	BOB MC KELVIE RESERVOIR	PLATEAU CREEK	30.00	11/01/92	248.00	5/15/93	248.00
	3888	BULL BASIN NO 1 RES	BULL CREEK	4.90	11/01/92	124.20	4/20/93	124.20
	3889	BULL BASIN NO 2 RES	BULL CREEK	41.70	11/01/92	94.90	6/14/93	68.20
	3890	BULL CREEK NO 1 RES	BULL CREEK	0	11/01/92	83.20	4/20/93	0
	3891	BULL CREEK NO 2 RES	BULL CREEK	0	11/01/92	69.80	4/20/93	12.40
	3892	BULL CREEK NO 3 RES	BULL CREEK	0	11/01/92	59.20	4/29/93	0
	3893	BULL CREEK NO 4 RES	BULL CREEK	0	11/01/92	202.50	4/20/93	0
	3894	BULL CREEK NO 5 RES	BULL CREEK	17.60	11/01/92	260.00	4/20/93	22.50
	3834	COLBY HORSE PARK RES	LEON CREEK	137.70	9/30/93	490.10	5/31/93	137.70
	3883	COON CREEK NO 1 RES	COON CREEK	60.10	11/01/92	391.20	6/01/93	131.00
	3884	COON CREEK NO 2 RES	COON CREEK	0	11/01/92	195.00	6/05/93	57.60
	3885	COON CREEK NO 3 RES	COON CREEK	0	11/01/92	158.30	6/05/93	158.30
			Subtotal this page	1,427.30		5,784.40		3,539.60

continued
DISTRICT,
ВҮ
SUMMARIES
STORAGE
RESERVOIR

m	
σ	
σ	
ч	

					AMOU	NT IN STORAGE	(AF)	
<b>G</b> M	A	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	Minim	Ę	Maximu	Ħ	
				AF	Date	AF	Date	End Of Year
72	3923	COTTONWOOD LAKE RES NO 1	COTTONWOOD CREEK	793.30	1/25/93	1,939.60	7/06/93	1,496.60
	3924	COTTONWOOD LAKE RES NO 2	COTTONWOOD CREEK	0	11/01/92	172.60	6/10/93	105.60
	3925	COTTONWOOD LAKE RES NO 4	COTTONWOOD CREEK	0	11/01/92	303.00	6/14/93	286.80
	3926	COTTONWOOD LAKE RES NO 5	COTTONWOOD CREEK	0	1/22/93	342.30	6/17/93	342.30
	4065	CURRIER RES NO 2	BUZZARD CREEK	0	11/01/92	222.50	5/15/93	222.50
	3910	DAWSON RESERVOIR	BIG CREEK	0	11/01/92	220.00	6/01/93	0
	3914	GROVE CREEK RES NO 1	GROVE CREEK	0	11/01/92	159.00	5/31/93	0
	3915	<b>GROVE CREEK RES NO 2</b>	GROVE CREEK	0	11/01/92	76.40	5/31/93	0
	3849	HAWXHURST RESERVOIR	HAWXHURST CREEK	0	11/01/92	140.00	8/15/93	0
	3957	HIGHLINE RESERVOIR	MACK WASH	2,640.00	10/31/93	3,208.00	3/24/93	2,640.00
	3929	JENSEN RESERVOIR	COTTONWOOD CREEK	0	11/01/92	92.70	6/10/93	78.00
	3961	JERRY CREEK RES NO 1	PLATEAU CREEK	1,068.00	11/01/92	1,157.00	7/01/93	1,120.00
	3962	JERRY CREEK RES NO 2	PLATEAU CREEK	6,696.00	11/01/92	7,054.00	6/01/93	7,054.00
	3837	KENDALL RESERVOIR	LEON CREEK	0	11/01/92	87.00	8/04/93	12.20
	3838	KIRKENDALL RESERVOIR	LEON CREEK	0.00	11/01/92	110.00	7/01/93	110.00
	3839	LEON LAKE RESERVOIR	LEON CREEK	307.55	11/01/92	2,316.62	7/25/93	882.31
	3895	LOST LAKE RESERVOIR	BULL CREEK	13.50	11/01/92	111.00	7/15/93	111.00
	4077	MACK MESA RESERVOIR	MACK WASH	130.90	11/01/92	130.90	5/01/93	130.90
			Subtotals this page:	11,649.25		17,842.62		14,592.21

1993			RESERVOIR STORAGE	SUMMARIES BY	DISTRICT	<u>, continued</u>		
						AMOUNT IN ST	ORAGE (AF)	
đ	A	RESERVOIR NAME	SOURCE STREAM	Minim	m	Maxir	urnu	
				AF	Date	AF	Date	End Of Year
72	3871	MESA CREEK NO 1 RES	MESA CREEK	66.70	11/01/92	280.20	4/20/93	166.60
	3872	MESA CREEK NO 2 RES	MESA CREEK	48.00	11/01/92	48.00	6/01/93	48.00
	3873	MESA CREEK NO 3 RES	MESA CREEK	0	11/01/92	234.50	6/20/93	94.10
	3874	MESA CREEK NO 4 RES	MESA CREEK	0	11/01/92	432.70	6/14/93	0
	3842	MONUMENT NO 1 RES	LEON CREEK	0	11/01/92	572.00	8/24/93	0
	3843	MONUMENT NO 2 RES	LEON CREEK	0	11/01/92	111.00	8/24/93	0
	3854	PALISADE CABIN RES	RAPID CREEK	785.50	4/01/93	1,150.00	6/01/93	1,140.00
	3855	PALISADE STORAGE RES 1	RAPID CREEK	0	11/01/92	15.00	6/01/93	0
	3856	<b>PALISADE STORAGE RES 2</b>	RAPID CREEK	0	11/01/92	0	6/01/93	0
	3932	PARKER BASIN RES NO 1	COTTONWOOD CREEK	0	11/01/92	271.60	6/07/93	268.90
	3933	<b>PARKER BASIN RES NO 2</b>	COTTONWOOD CREEK	0	11/01/92	60.00	6/01/93	15.10
	3934	<b>PARKER BASIN RES NO 3</b>	COTTONWOOD CREEK	0	11/01/92	0	6/01/93	0
	3858	RAPID CREEK NO 1 RES	RAPID CREEK	210.00	11/01/92	603.00	6/01/93	217.00
	3859	RAPID CREEK NO 2 RES	RAPID CREEK	5.00	11/01/92	442.00	6/01/93	5.00
	3901	STUBBS MCKINNEY CLARK RES	SPRING CREEK	25.10	11/01/92	230.50	6/14/93	55.90
	3931	T E KITSON RESERVOIR	COTTONWOOD CREEK	0	1/25/93	184.30	7/06/93	184.30
	3902	TWIN BASIN RESERVOIR	BULL CREEK	0	11/01/92	116.20	6/20/93	0
	3844	VEGA RESERVOIR	PLATEAU CREEK	6,588.00	11/01/92	35,488.00	6/16/93	13,427.00
	3919	Y T RESERVOIR	GROVE CREEK	0	11/01/92	133.10	8/09/93	131.10
			Subtotals this page:	7,728.30		40,372.10		15,753.00
		Subtotals Other WI	D 72 Pages:	13,076.55		23,627.02	:	18,131.81
72		Total of All Others < 50 AF:		40.00		292.00		96.00
72		Total for District 72		20,844.85		64,291.12		33,980.81

WATER DIVERSION SUMMARIES

1993

*

.

	Struc	tures Rep	orting	All ( Struc	)ther tures	Estimated Number of	Total Diversions	Total Diversions	ол
μ <b>ά</b>	With ecord (1)	NO Water Avail (2)	No Water Taken (3)	NO Info Avail (4)	No Record (5)	Visits to Structure	- AF -	to Storage - AF -	Total Diversions - AF
	345	1	146	106	179	2,010	739,334	147,285	82,397
	338	3	266	109	372	1,937	166,782	27,475	95,172
	1139	9	146	851	747	2604	753,415	59,924	326,595

6.66

14,295 40,457 21,901

8.1

6.4

12,868

Average AF per Acre

Number of Acres Irrigated

To Irrigation

4.39 5.76 3.75

27,631

4,669

910,749 55,818

1,678 775 4,517

64 63

331

26 7 2

25 79

e 4 δ

191 479

52 53 20

51

m

45,313 103,619

161

3.82

22,373 39,208 7,872

85,463 172,187

7,694 319,776

93,630

891,637 45,921

212 59

201

27,585

126,551

549

149,425

2789 1,156 15,676

84

134

26 151

-

11

6.0 4.6

131,148

15,781

198,020

660

183

113 14 33

151

4 19

476

39 45 ŝ

565 222 326 6.64

129,434 350,343

859,774

60,110

1,880,872

302 2,276

374

102

23

416

5

196

3

1,890

1,286

76

4,693

OTAL

2,081,753

643,463

5,885,603

34,133

5.83

8.0

6,719

53,534

39

Definitions:

- h CIU=A and NUC=blank h CIU=A and NUC-B h CIU=A and NUC={A,C,D} + h CIU=A and NUC={E,F} h CIU=U structures with C Count Count Count Count Count
- + CIU=I

1

-993			WATER D	IVERSION SUMM	ARIES TO VARI(	OUS USES			
Ŗ	TRANSMOUNTAIN OUTFLOW	TRANSBASIN OUTFLOW	MUNICIPAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	RECREATION	FISHERY	DOMESTIC & HOUSEHOLD	STOCK
36	138,114	0	6,055	35	0	6,217	54	344	19
37	36,121	0	6,268	0	190	0	0	63	1
38	158,129	663	7725	20	340	72	71,933	2693	4,672
39	0	0	1823	3	43	0	39,571	957	1,831
45	0	0	1341	2	17	0	0	583	19,030
50	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	16	353
51	275,694	2,358	2,015	58	2,030	884	144	274	5,067
52	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	83	283
53	0	0	3,435	0	2	7	792	344	226
70	0	0	54	0	6	0	0	11	1,347
72	1,711	626	17,867	0	0	0	2,463	94	5,367
TOTAL	609,769	3,647	46,583	169	2,632	7,180	114,974	5,462	38,196
ΦŴ	AUGMENTATION	EVAPORATION	GEOTHERMAL	SNOWMAK ING	*MOTA NIW	POWER	WILDLIFE	RECHARGES	OTHER
36	1,150	8,926	0	1,049	0	347,727	0	2	0
37	0	1,214	0	278	0	0	0	0	0
38	0	2651	0	11	0	104,833	1	0	12,512
39	0	170	0	0	0	11	14	0	6,608
45	0	158	0	0	0	44	0	0	1,146
50	0	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
51	1,162	23,547	0	87	0	86,354	0	0	0
52	0	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	0	966	0	0	0	796,659	0	0	0
70	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	784
72	0	2,124	0	0	0	930,736	0	0	0
TOTAL	2,312	39,988	0	1,425	0	2,266,424	15	2	21,050

Page 32

•

Revised 3/18/94

### WATER COURT ACTIVITIES

### Calendar Year 1993

Applications Made to Water Court	337
Decrees Issued by Court	559
Dismissals	27

### **TYPES OF DECREES**

TYPE OF DECREE	NUMBER OF CASES	NUMBER OF STRUCTURES
Findings of Diligence on Conditional Rights	107	249
Cancellations of Conditional Rights	15	21
Conditional Rights Made Absolute	78	135
Surface Water Rights Adjudicated	116	235
Underground Water Rights Adjudicated	29	66
Water Storage Rights Adjudicated	45	75
Plans for Augmentation Adjudicated	85	154
Changes of Water Rights Adjudicated	80	186
Changes of Use	4	15
Instream Flow Rights Adjudicated	0	0
TOTAL:	559	1,136

### 1993 WATER YEAR

### COLORADO RIVER MAINSTEM GOVERNING CALL ABOVE SHOSHONE POWER PLANT (Districts 36, 37, 50, 51, 52, 53)

<u>DATE ON</u>	DATE OFF	CALLING WATER RIGHT	DECREED <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ADMIN NO.</u>
11/01/92	01/04/93	Shoshone Power Plant	1250.0 cfs	20427.18999
02/19/93	04/14/93	Shoshone Power Plant	1250.0 cfs	20427.18999
04/14/93	04/21/93	Shoshone Power Plant	158.0 cfs	33023.28989
08/22/93	09/03/93	Shoshone Power Plant	158.0 cfs	33023.28989
09/03/93 (only rights	09/17/93 with Admin No gra	Shoshone Power Plant eater than or equal to 31258.00000 were	1250.0 cfs curtailed)	20427.18999
09/17/93	09/30/93	Shoshone Power Plant	158.0 cfs	33023.18999
09/30/93	10/18/93	Shoshone Power Plant	1250.0 cfs	20427.18999
10/18/93	10/31/93	Shoshone Power Plant	158.0 cfs	33023.28989

### NO CALLS OCCURRED AT CAMEO DURING THE 1993 WATER YEAR

### III. OFFICE ADMINISTRATION AND WORKLOAD MEASURES

A. NUMBER OF WATER COURT APPLICATIONS: 93CW001 through 93CW337

Division 5 = 308 Division 6 = 29

### B. NUMBER OF WATER COURT APPLICATIONS BY DISTRICT:

District $36 = 19$	District $45 = 11$	District $53 = 7$
District $37 = 44$	District $50 = 9$	District $70 = 2$
District $38 = 116$	District $51 = 22$	District $72 = 39$
District $39 = 34$	District $52 = 5$	

C. NUMBER OF STRUCTURES IN WATER COURT APPLICATIONS BY DISTRICT:

District $36 = 117$	District $45 = 19$	District $53 = 14$
District $37 = 125$	District $50 = 13$	District $70 = 23$
District $38 = 271$	District $51 = 48$	District $72 = 70$
District $39 = 94$	District $52 = 6$	

### D. NUMBER OF PROTESTS TO THE 1992 ABANDONMENT LIST BY DISTRICT:

District 36 = 1District 45 = 1District 53 = 0District 37 = 1District 50 = 0District 70 = 0District 38 = 0District 51 = 0District 72 = 1District 39 = 0District 52 = 0

### E. ORDERS FOR INSTALLATION AND/OR REPAIR OF HEADGATES BY DISTRICT:

District $36 = 0$	District $45 = 2$	District $53 = 0$
District $37 = 0$	District $50 = 0$	District $70 = 0$
District $38 = 11$	District $51 = 0$	District $72 = 5$
District $39 = 4$	District $52 = 0$	

### F. CALENDAR YEAR 1993:

### PERSONAL REIMBURSABLE MILEAGE (2-WHEEL AND 4-WHEEL) (P):

### **OFFICE STAFF:**

NAME	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>MILEAGE</u>
Bell, Orlyn	Division Engineer	790 P
Martellaro, Alan	Assistant Division Engineer	1,289 P
McCabe, Robert	Water Resource Engineer	760 P
Schieldt, Wayne	Water Resource Engineer (Hydro)	0 P
Blair, John	Water Resource Engineer (Dam Safety)	253 P
Whitehead, Dwight	Water Commissioner (Wells)	30 P
Hitchcock, Nancy	Secretary	0 P

### FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES IN FIELD:

NAME	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>DISTRICT</u>	N	<u>/ILEAC</u>	<u>JE</u>
Hummer, Scott	Water Commissioner C	36		11,719	Ρ
Bergquist, Joe	Water Commissioner C	38	(+)	9,311	Р
Cerise, Alvin	Water Commissioner C	38/39/45	(+)	7,011	Ρ
Klenda, Robert	Senior Water Commissioner	45	(+)	1,635	Ρ
Thompson, William	Senior Water Commissioner	50		9,915	Р
Wells, L. Wayne	Senior Water Commissioner	72	(+)	400	Р
(+) means plus lease veh mileage					

PERMANENT PART-TIME EMPLOYEES IN THE FIELD:

NAME	<b>POSITION</b>	<b>DISTRICT</b>	<b>MILEAGE</b>
McEwen, William	Water Commissioner C	37	0 P
Lemon, James	Water Commissioner B	39	3,152 P
Nelson, Glen	Water Commissioner B	45	1,397 P
Daxton, James	Water Commissioner B	51	9,732 P
Schaffner, Frank	Water Commissioner A	52/53	6,738 P
Comerer, Alan *	Water Commissioner A	70	6,039 P
Cox, Tom	Water Commissioner B	72	3,115 P
Greene, Ronald	Water Commissioner B	72	7,210 P
Brigham, Tom	Water Commissioner B	72	8,711 P
Nostrand, John *	Water Commissioner A	72	4,790 P
Linn, Paul *	Water Commissioner A	72	4,681 P
* (Temporary) - Comer	er Hired 4/93; Linn & Nostrand	Hired 5/93	

### TOTAL OFFICE STAFF AND FIELD PERSONAL MILES DRIVEN: 98,678 P Page Revised 2/28/94 98,678 P

### G. CALENDAR YEAR 1993:

MILEAGE FOR LEASE VEHICLES ASSIGNED TO DIVISION 5 (L):

<u>VEHICLE</u>	PRINCIPAL DRIVER	<u>COMMENT</u>	<u>MILEAGE</u>	
01-8416	McEwen, William		12,558	L
01-8795	Whitehead, Dwight		18,069	L
01-8796	Schieldt, Wayne		14,961	L
01-9145	Blair, John		15,992	L
01-9153	Wells, L. Wayne		19,967	L
01-9243	Bell, Orlyn		17,920	L
13-0426	Hummer, Scott	Turned in 7/16/93	2,638	L
TOTAL LEAS	SE VEHICLE MILES DRIVE	EN:	<u>91,105</u>	L
TOTAL MILE	S DRIVEN (PERSONAL +	LEASE) 1993:	<u>189,783</u>	Т

### STATE OF COLORADO

### **DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES**

WATER DIVISION FIVE Office of the State Engineer Department of Natural Resources

50633 U.S. Hwy 6 & 24 P.O. Box 396 Glenwood Springs, CO 81602 Phone (303) 945-5665 FAX (303) 945-8741

### RECEIVED

MAR 21 '94

WATER RESOURCES STATE ENGINEED COLO.



Roy Romer Governor

KerxSulazarxJim Lochhead Executive Director

4

Hal D. Simpson State Engineer

Orlyn J. Bell Division Engineer

### MEMORANDUM

TO:

Ż

Hal Simpson Alan Berryman Steve Witte Steve Vandiver Ken Knox Ed Blank Ken Beegles Alan Martellaro Wayne Wells Dwight Whitehead Bob Klenda

FROM: Orlyn J. Bell, Division Engineer

March 18, 1994

RE: 1993 Annual Report - Revisions

Please replace pages 31, 32, and 36 of the Division 5 1993 Annual Report with the enclosed pages. Thank you.

OJB:nch Enc.