# DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES 

WATER DIVISION I
Alan D. Berryman
Division Engineer
800 8th Ave.-Room 321 ARIX Bldg.
Greeley, Colorado 80631 (303) 352-8712

```
January 15, 1992
```

Dr. Jeris A. Danielson, State Engineer Division of Water Resources Room 818 - Centennial Building 1313 Sherman street Denver, Colorado 80203

Dear Dr. Danielson:

Attached please find the Annual Report for the 1991 irrigation year.

I do appreciate the support that has been extended to me and our staff by you and all of the Denver people. I look forward to the 1992 year and to the challenges that need to be addressed.


ADB: ct


BY

ALAN D. BERRYMAN, DIVISION ENGINEER
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## WATER ADMINISTRATION

## Current Water Year

## Accomplishments

The daily administration of existing water rights was accomplished again without any large problems. Administration of the 8500 direct flow rights, 3150 storage rights, and approximately 14,000 non-exempt and 60,000 exempt wells consumes a large portion of the divisions resources. The 1990 abandonment list was revised and forwarded to the water court.

The Upper South platte management study that is being accomplished in cooperation with several of the Denver metro entities saw the completion of phase one of the study. That phase incorporated several data bases into the computer based prototype model that will allow a user to access water rights information, geographical data, precipitation data, flow data, and perform some administrative analyses. The first phase involved significant input from the water commissioners and engineers from division one. The second phase has begun. This work is directed toward adding routing, real time data access, and allowing interactive communication through the workstation environment.

The physical components of the groundwater recharge project at Julesburg have been completed and 500 plus acre feet of water was run into the facility this fall. Design revisions over the summer allow water to be run to both ponds separately or simultaneously. A new flume and recorder were installed at the site. Over 50 wells have joined the augmentation plan associated with the project and the modelling phase is being finished.

A well pumping efficiency program for South Platte wells was initiated during the year and will be implemented during the coming year. In cooperation with GASP, CCWCD, and LSPWCD the Division of Water Resources plans to run a test program to determine which methods are acceptable in measuring
groundwater withdrawal from the alluvial aquifer. The program will be expanded in the future to develop the data bases necessary to monitor groundwater usage.

Another effort that was initiated during the year is a joint study in cooperation with the St. Vrain-Lt. Hand Water Conservancy District to develop a basin wide capability to provide augmentation resources for small users.

A concentrated effort to bring well users into compliance in old water district 23 was successful. Several users were allowed to amend permits to conform to exempt historical uses and other non-exempt users were placed into augmentation programs. The Upper South Platte Water Conservancy District initiated an augmentation program for the users in that area.

Administration of gravel pits started during the past year. Over 200 inspections of individual pits were made to determine their status. Letters notifying the owners of compliance problems are now being sent out and enforcement actions are anticipated to begin in early 1992.

In the area of hydrography, several accomplishments were made. As a joint effort with the Left Hand Ditch Company and the St. Vrain/Lt. Hand Water Conservancy District, a gaging station was installed in the transmountain diversion ditch and a satellite monitoring station was put in to report both the diversion amount and the amount of water remaining in the South St. Vrain Creek. This will enhance administration greatly. Also, efforts to replace the control structure at the mouth of Clear Creek is nearing completion. Enough cooperators have been found to finance the job. In addition a new gaging station has been installed at the Weldona site on the South Platte river. Finally, the control put in last year at the Denver site has performed well and the rating curve has stabilized.

## Involvement in the Water User Community

Numerous efforts were made in cooperation with the water users to improve water use and management within the basin. Several projects are listed above. Personnel from the division office also participated in water education efforts for young and old students through seminars and presentations. Extensive efforts with applicants for new water rights were made to improve accounting for new, complex decrees. Assistance was given to several water users to enhance streamflow conditions for special activities such as rafting, fishing, and community events. Lastly, help was given to water users to bring their uses into compliance in the area of non-exempt well permits and the need for augmentation plans.

## Key Issues/Impacts

Water rights continue to be impacted by relatively new water interests such as wetlands, recreation, water quality, riparian uses, and federal rights. The U. S. Forest Service appears to be threatening non-renewal of special use permits to procure minimum stream flows in areas where water rights have been exercised for 100 plus years. The water rights in the Boulder watershed which have provided excellent water to the city for years are subjected to relinquishment for minimum stream flow in order to have the special use permit renewed for the area. SB 181 has established a basis for considering water quality in specific areas of water use. Implementation of the bill's provisions is scheduled for the near future. Federal efforts to maintain and establish new wetlands creates problems when water rights issues are considered. Demands for special flow conditions continually increase from recreational uses such as rafting, fishing, duck races, and other community activities.

Water transfers such as being done by the city of Thornton have created concern by water users and also produced some large litigation costs in order to protect existing water uses. Other areas of the state have experienced similar concerns and costs. One related issue with the large transfers is the extensive accounting and burden on administration that are created by such complex decrees.

One last issue that may create a large impact on water use and administration is the deficient state budget. Projected shortfalls could require reductions in personnel and operating that will impair the ability to administer water rights. Due to the existing complexity of rights, proper administration may be difficult to achieve.

## Unresolved Issues

As indicated above the provisions of $S B 181$ regarding water quality are as yet in operation. Rules and regulations will become active in 1992 and application of the rules will ensue.

Gravel pits will continue to be inspected and efforts will be made to bring them into compliance. To date about 250 pits have been field inspected and enforcement letters have begun to go out on those found not in compliance.

Wells near Julesburg that have not been covered by augmentation plans in the past are now coming under the plan put together by the Lower South Platte Water Conservancy District. Over 50 wells have joined with less than 10 still outstanding.

## Workload Changes/Effect on Staff

In the past year, the abandonment list consumed extra time for the staff. The revised list has been forwarded to the water court.

Gravel pit enforcement took a considerable portion of staff time. The large number of pits resulted in extra efforts from both field and division staff. Over 250 pits were researched and inspected by staff.

Finally, several staff changes were made in the past year which required adjustment. Two engineers left division one and one new engineer was acquired. Upcoming retirements in the field staff will result in future changes.

## Budget Impact

The impact of budget is already being felt. Reduction in travel funds have altered the functions of most of the staff within the division. Anticipated future budget reductions have a high likelihood to result in personnel reductions and further operating cutbacks. Because of the increased workload from gravel pits, special projects, and decrees, the ability to adequately address all issues will be increasingly doubtful. Extra time has already been spent in analyzing budget parameters in order to address possible cutbacks.

## COMING WATER YEAR

## Problems/Concerns

At the present time the budget shortfalls in state government create the greatest concern to all members of the staff. Uncertainty about media articles regarding the legislature's problems with the budget and how they will be affect employees is an everyday discussion subject. Associated with this is a genuine concern by staff regarding how they will be able to do the job that is needed under budget constraints. As the year progresses, these concerns will necessarily be resolved as best as is possible.

Retirements and transfers of experienced field staff create concern about filling and training the positions, especially in the light of budget restrictions. Reduced travel constricts field investigations and limits new personnel in getting familiar with the area they are to administer. Special efforts will be necessary to address these situations.

Gravel pits will again require extra efforts from staff. Budget restrictions will make administration of these structures difficult. Due to the large number of pits within division one, it will be extremely difficult to administer all of the pits in the coming year.

Completion of the following special projects will be difficult with the budget situation. Time spent on the Upper South Platte Project may not be as available as in the past. The new well efficiency program will require additional staff time. Time on the Julesburg recharge project will not require as much time as in the past. Extra time will be needed for the installation of the control structure at the mouth of Clear Creek.

With the addition of 4 dam safety engineers, more time will be spent in supervision and management of this function. Also secretarial and record keeping support will be needed for the dam safety program.

## Projected Work items/Staff

One engineer will continue to spend daily time with gravel pits. Field personnel will continue to inspect pit sites as time and resources allow.

Training of water commissioners in the area of well permitting will continue as long as the budget allows. Two commissioners are currently helping Denver. groundwater staff in the permit process. The knowledge gained from this is already paying dividends to the Denver and Greeley operations. This type of training has helped commissioners identify problems with well permit applications and also enables them to assist in correcting the problems.

Dam safety engineers will inspect the 366 dams required for this year and will catch up on some of the class 3 inspections that have fallen behind in the past. Also, about 20 small size outlets will be inspected using the remote photo unit being built in division four.

Staff personnel will continue to attend water court matters, including the consultation process, hearings, negotiations, and investigations.

Existing decrees such as plans for augmentation are being reviewed to evaluate their compliance with decree requirements. Meetings with municipal users regarding their complex accounting needs will continue so that the water officials and the users will understand the requirements of the decrees.

## STATISTICAL INFORMATION

Statistical information for the following categories follows in the order listed:
A. Administration of Plans for Augmentation

Division one has approximately 407 plans for augmentation. In 1991, about 110,515 acre-feet were released for replacement purposes. For a district by district breakdown of the releases made for augmentation, refer to the summary of water diversions for 1991 in section $E$ that follows (2nd page of section E).
B. Transmountain Diversions
C. Storage Water
D. Water Diversions
E. Court Activities
F. Office Administration
G. River Calls
H. Compact Deliveries

DIVISION 1
1991 AUGMENTATION RELEASES

TRANSMOUNTAIN DIVERSIONS SUMMARY - INFLOWS

| RECI PI ENT |  |  | SQURCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WD | NAME | STREAM | $\begin{aligned} & 990 \text { WATER } \\ & \text { AF } \end{aligned}$ | YEAR DAYS | $\begin{aligned} & 991 \text { WATER } \\ & \text { AF } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & \text { DAYS } \end{aligned}$ | WD | STREAM |
| 03 | Wilson Supply Ditch | Cache La Poudre River | 1,640 | 35 | 2,530 | 52 | 48 | Sand \& Deadman Cr. |
| 03 | Deadman Ditch | Cache La Poudre River | 1,656 | 18 | 835 | 45 | 48 | Deadman Creek |
| 03 | Bob Creek Ditch | Cache La Poudre River | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | Nunn Creek |
| 03 | Columbine Ditch | Cache La Poudre River | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | Deadman Creek |
| 03 | Laramie-Poudre Tunnel | Cache La Poudre River | 16,760 | 92 | 16,530 | 109 | 48 | Laramie River |
| 03 | Skyline Ditch | Cache La Poudre River | 355 | 6 | 16,530 | 0 | . 48 | Laramie River |
| 03 | Cameron Pass Ditch | Cache La Poudre River | 93 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 47 | Michigan River |
| 03 | Michigan Ditch | Cache La Poudre River | 2,620 | 290 | 4,600 | 339 | 47 | Michigan River |
| 03 | Grand River Ditch | Cache La Poudre River | 20,980 | 128 | 18,410 | 120 | 51 | Colorado River |
| 04 | Eureka Ditch | Big Thompson River | 88 | 66 | 37 | 88 | 51 | Colorado River |
| 04 | Adams Tunnel | Big Thompson River | 213,700 | 337 | 198,600 | 356 | 51 | Colorado River |
| 06 | Moffat Tunnel | South Platte River | 67,390 | 365 | 64,900 | 343 | 51 | Fraser River |
| 07 | Berthoud Pass Ditch | Clear Creek | 623 | 108 | 624 | 115 | 51 | Fraser River |
| 07 | Vidler Tunnel | Clear Creek | 660 | 114 | 1,240 | 129 | 51 | Montezuma Creek |
| 23- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08 | Roberts Tunnel | South Platte River | 59,420 | 197 | 65,850 | 253 | 36 | Blue River |
| 23 | Boreas Pass Ditch | South Platte River | 0 | 0 | -82 | 52 | 36 | Indiana Creek |
| 23 | Hoosier Pass Ditch | Arkansas River | 11,200 | 136 | 12,380 | 146 | 36 | Blue River |
| 23 | Aurora Homestake | South Platte River | 19,100 | 170 | 13,560 | 126 | 37 | Homestake Creek |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARTES
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WATER DISTRICT 2

| RESERVOIR NAME | STREAM SOURCE | PRE Beg_Ir | S IR | IGATION Y | son | 1990-19 | IRR | ION YEA Beg_Ir |  | End 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AF | \% | AF | \% | AF | \% | AF | \% | Water Yr |
| Barr | South Platte | 17,541 | 55 | 32,152 | 100 | 11,047 | 34 | 28,771 | 89 | 17,968 |
| Bull Canal ${ }^{\text {d }} 8$ | Clear Creek | 1,692 | 19 | 3,526 | 59 | 1,013 | 17 | 2,709 | 46 | 1,265 |
| Coal Ridge | Little Dry Creek | 561 | 86 | 411 | 63 | 680 | 104 | 458 | 70 | 664 |
| Great Western | Walnut Creek | 2,571 | 79 | 1,596 | 49 | 2,732 | 84 | 1,605 | 49 | 2,582 |
| Horse Creek | South Platte | 5,966 | 35 | 15,311 | 90 | 8,674 | 51 | 15,222 | 90 | 5,789 |
| Lord | South Platte | 41 | 0 | 479 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 527 | 14 | 0 |
| Lower Latham | Souch Platte | 5,929 | 95 | 5,976 | 96 | 5,551 | 89 | 5,957 | 96 | 5,740 |
| Milton | South Platte | 16,371 | 78 | 21,016 | 100 | 16,712 | 79 | 22,088 | 104 | 17,417 |
| Prospect | South Platte | 1,332 | 22 | 5,022 | 84 | 2,118 | 35 | 4,922 | 82 | 2,277 |
| Quincy | South Platte | 2,527 | 90 | 2,638 | 94 | 2,514 | 90 | 2,596 | 93 | 2,348 |
| Standley | Woman Creek | 35,074 | 83 | 35,629 | 84 | 34,853 | 82 | 34,686 | 82 | 34,797 |
| Others |  | 2,767 | 54 | 2,906 | 57 | 3,478 | 68 | 3,438 | 67 | 3,312 |

WATER DISTRICT 3
RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES


| Fossil Creek | Fossil Creek | 5，453 | 47 | 10，455 | 91 | 5，953 | 52 | 9，744 | 85 | 3，830 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Halligan | N Fk Poudre River | 817 | 13 | 6，428 | 100 | 976 | 15 | 1，143 | 18 | 1，960 |
| Indian Creek－aka | Indian Creek | 1，673 | 88 | 1，572 | 82 | 1，155 | 61 | 1，051 | 55 | 1，742 |
| Mountain Supply |  |  |  |  |  | 1，15 |  | 1，051 |  | 1，742 |
| North Poudre 非2 | N Fk Poudre River | 495 | 13 | 2，153 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1，597 |
| North Poudre 非3 | N Fk Poudre River | 2，889 | 84 | 3，066 | 89 | 2，045 | 59 | 1，943 | 56 | 0 |
| North Poudre 非4 | N Fk Poudre River | 380 | 23 | 410 | 25 | 791 | 47 | 209 | 13 | 549 |
| North Poudre 非5 | N Fk Poudre River | 4，534 | 54 | 4，398 | 52 | 2，399 | 29 | 3，083 | 37 | 2，940 |
| North Poudre 非6 | N Fk Poudre River | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| North Poudre $⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 一 15$ | N Fk Poudre River | 2，060 | 37 | 3，064 | 55 | 1，115 | 20 | 2，442 | 44 | 3，652 |
| Park Creek | Park Greek | 3，168 | 43 | 6，025 | 82 | 2，293 | 31 | 6，391 | 87 | 1，870 |
| Cobb Lake | Cache La Poudre R | 7，850 | 35 | 13，980 | 62 | 13，650 | 61 | 13；260 | 59 | 7，240 |
| Seaman aka Milton Seaman | N Fk Poudre River | 49 | 0 | 2，485 | 50 | 2，568 | 51 | 2，731 | 55 | 2，663 |
| Claymore | Cache La Poudre R | 371 | 01 | 862 | 85 | 454 | 45 | 756 | 74 | 627 |
| Panhandle | Panhandle Creek | 841 | 36 | 841 | 36 | 841 | 36 | 841 | 36 | 841 |
| Seeley | Cache La Poudre R | 1，007 | 65 | 1，069 | 69 | 1，069 | 69 | 1，069 | 69 | 1，069 |
| Warren | Cache La Poudre R | 1，920 | 05 | 1，667 | 71 | 560 | 24 | － 467 | 20 | 1，453 |
| Wood | Rollard Draw | 1，117 | 36 | 2，166 | 70 | 2，098 | 68 | 2，437 | 78 | 2，037 |
| Joe Wright aka Cameron | Joe Wright Creek | 3，900 | 54 | 4，568 | 64 | 2，604 | 36 | 3，113 | 43 | 3，874 |
| Rawhide | Cache La Poudre R | 15，801 | 89 | 16，000 | 90 | 14，212 | 80 | 14，026 | 79 | 14，445 |
| Horsetooth | Dixon Canyon Cr | 65，614 | 43 | 115，388 | 76 | 86，841 | 57 | 129，317 | 85 | 90，084 |

reservoir storage summaries (Continued)

WATER DISTRICT 5

| RESERVOIR NAME | STREAM <br> SOURCE | Beg I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AF | \％ | AF | \％ | AF | \％ | AF | \％ | End 1991 Water Yr |
| Beaver Pond | Beaver Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 15 | 556 |
| Foothills | St．Vrain | 2，682 | 62 | 3，466 | 80 | 1，305 | 30 | 1，368 | 31 | 951 |
| Highland 非1 | St．Vrain | 874 | 85 | 979 | 95 | 1，588 | 57 | 1，726 | 70 | 589 |
| Highland 非2 | St．Vrain | 3，226 | 87 | 3，642 | 98 | 2，224 | 60 | 2，859 | 77 | 2，511 |
| Highland 非3 | St．Vrain | 1，491 | 92 | 1，670 | 102 | 566 | 35 | 1，491 | 92 | 898 |
| McIntosh | St．Vrain | 2，254 | 88 | 2，460 | 96 | 1，496 | 50 | 1，432 | 56 | 1，282 |
| Pleasant Valley | St．Vrain | 2，492 | 81 | 3，076 | 100 | 2，429 | 79 | 2，790 | 91 | 2，161 |
| Oligarchy Res．\＃1 | St．Vrain | 1，640 | 94 | 1，640 | 94 | 1，545 | 89 | 1，425 | 82 | 1，471 |
| Union | St．Vrain | 8，275 | 65 | 12，715 | 100 | 6，544 | 51 | 9，103 | 72 | 7，580 |
| Left Hand Park＊ | Left Hand Creek | 1，328 | 81 | 1，549 | 94 | 1，228 | 75 |  |  |  |
| Left Hand Valley＊ | Left Hand Creek | 2，596 | 69 | 3，188 | 85 | 2，253 | 60 |  |  |  |
| Button Rock | St．Vrain | 15，223 | 98 | 16，153 | 105 | 15，998 | 104 | 12，327 | 80 | 16，197 |
| New Thomas | St．Vrain | 1，939 | 52 | 2，246 | 60 | 2，020 | 54 | 2，250 | 60 | 2，012 |
| Lagermann | Left Hand Creek | 812 | 64 | －867 | 68 | 2，767 | 61 | 2， 929 | 73 | ＋852 |

＊No Information 1990－1991 Available
RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES

| RESERVOIR NAME | STREAM SOURCE | PREVIOUS IRRIGATION YEAR |  |  |  | 1990-1991 IRRIGATION YEAR |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Beg Irr Yr |  | Beg Irr Season |  | Beg Irr Yr |  | Beg Irr Season |  | End 1991 <br> Water Yr |
|  |  | AF | \% | AF | \% | AF | \% | AF | \% |  |
| Boulder \& Larimer aka Ish | Little Thompson | 1,425 | 19 | 6,987 | 95 | 1,794 | 24 | 1,872 | 25 | 1,755 |
| Boyd Lake | Big Thompson | 21,894 | 37 | 35,049 | 60 | 30,015 | 51 | 30,144 | 52 | 21,308 |
| Carter | Big Thompson | 32,774 | 29 | 96,009 | 86 | 62,664 | 56 | 108,360 | 97 | 57,703 |
| Donath Hertha Reservoir | Big Thompson Dry Cr. Hertha | 986 556 | 86 | , 933 | 81 | 799 | 70 | -767 | 67 | 373 |
| Hertha Reservoir Horseshoe Reservoir | Dry Cr. Hertha Big Thompson | 556 2,848 | 36 35 | 1,498 3,831 | 88 | 572 4,141 | 34 5 | 1,520 | 89 | 1,466 |
| Lake Loveland | Big Thompson | , 0 | 0 | 10,195 | 80 | 4,140 | 0 | 1,000 | 08 | 4,232 6,230 |
| Lon Hagler | Big Thompson | 5,088 | 101 | 5,030 | 100 | 3,220 | 64 | 3,188 | 63 | 3,220 |
| Lone Tree ${ }_{\text {Loveland }}$ Lake | Big Thompson | 4,695 | 50 | 8,623 | 93 | 3,738 | 40 | 7,714 | 83 | 3,212 |
| Loveland Lake Marino | Big Thompson | 629 1,227 | 27 22 | 1,090 5,532 | 47 | 1,173 | 50 50 | 1,388 | 59 | 1,102 |
| Welch Lake | Big Thompson | 5,749 | 85 | 5,534 | 82 | 2,153 | 32 | 2,177 | 32 | 2,642 |
| Others |  | 1,712 | 47 | 2,200 | 61 | 2,109 | 58 | 2,201 | 61 | 1,776 |

WATER DISTRICT 6

| RESERVOIR NAME | STREAM SOURCE | EVIOUS IRRIGATION YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Beg Irr Yr |  | Beg Irr Season |  | Beg Irr Yr |  | Beg Irr Season |  | End 1991 <br> Water Yr |
|  |  | AF | \% | AF | \% | AF | \% | AF | \% |  |
| Albion | Albion Creek. | 1,111 | 100 | 813 | 73 | 1,000 | 90 | 1,111 | 100 | 1,111 |
| Barker | Boulder Creek | 8,057 | 70 | 3,848 | 33 | 8,187 | 71 | 4,094 | 36 | 7,897 |
| Baseline | Boulder Creek | 1,862 | 35 | 3,884 | 73 | 3,555 | 67 | 3,440 | 65 | 2,417 |
| Boulder | Boulder Creek | 7,241 | 42 | 10,134 | 58 | 6,938 | 40 | 7,268 | 42 | 7,984 |
| Goose | North Boulder Cr. | 1,036 | 100 | , 689 | 67 | 1,000 | 97 | 500 | 48 | 900 |
| Gross | South Boulder Cr. | 25,358 | 60 | 14,568 | 35 | 26,522 | 63 | 20,336 | 49 | 28,421 |
| Hillcrest | Boulder Creek | 1,878 | 88 | 2,207 | 103 | 1,947 | 91 | 2,200 | 103 | 1,859 |
| Leggett | Boulder Creek | 1,355 | 87 | 1,601 | 103 | 1,406 | 91 | 1,590 | 102 | 1,341 |
| Marshall | South Boulder Cr. | 3,929 | 38 | 9,193 | 88 | 4,892 | 47 | 6,725 | 64 | 4,350 |
| McKay | South Boulder Cr. | 241 | 28 | 415 | 49 | 515 | 61 | 515 | 61 | 441 |
| Panama | Boulder Creek | 3,585 | 72 | 4,008 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 1,701 | 34 | 2,968 |
| Silver | North Boulder Cr. | 3,595 | 90 | 1,653 | 41 | 3,809 | 96 | 1,000 | 25 | 3,352 |
| Six Mile | Boulder Creek | -902 | 63 | 1,228 | 86 | , 804 | 56 | 1,228 | 86 | 3,636 |
| Valmont | South Boulder Cr. | 6,670 | 90 | 7,426 | 100 | 6,831 | 92 | 7,400 | 100 | 6,627 |

SBIzvFwns govaows yoony

WATER DISTRICT 8

| RESERVOIR NAME | STREAM SOURCE | PREVIOUS IRRIGATION YEARBeg Irr Yr Beg Irr Season |  |  |  | 1990-1991 IRRIGATION YEAR |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AF | \% | AF | \% | AF | \% | AF | \% | End 1991 <br> Water Yr |
| Aurora Rampart | Gulch | 1,068 | 89 | 1,032 | 86 | 1,195 | 100 | 910 | 76 | 1,130 |
| Chatfield | South Platte | 19,958 | 28 | 27,366 | 38 | 20,411 | 28 | 24,384 | 34 | 23,623 |
| Cherry Creek | Cherry Creek | 12,789 | 05 | 14,097 | 06 | 13,020 | 05 | 13,346 | 05 | 11,629 |
| McLellan | Dad Clark Gulch | 4,634 | 77 | 5,611 | 94 | 5,665 | 94 | 5,483 | 91 | 5,478 |
| Platte Canon | South Platte | 918 | 95 | 682 | 71 | 842 | 87 | 608 | 63 | , 806 |
| Quincy | South Platte | 2,527 | 91 | 2,665 | 96 | 2,679 | 97 | 2,583 | 93 | 2,376 |
| Strontia Springs | South Platte | 7,359 | 94 | 7,049 | 90 | 7,349 | 93 | 7,007 | 89 | 7,285 |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES

| RESERVOIR NAME | STREAM SOURCE | EVIOUS IRRIGATION YEAR |  |  |  | 1990-1991 IRRIGATION YEAR |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AF | \% | AF | \% | AF | \% | AF | \% | Water Yr |
| Antero | S Fk South Platte | 20,013 | 23 | 20,059 | 23 | 20,037 | 23 | 20,015 | 23 | 19,454 |
| Montgomery | Mid. Fk. S. Platte | 4,802 | 94 | 1,347 | 26 | 4,354 | 86 | 546 | 11 | 4,786 |
| Eleven Mile | Mid. Fk. S. Platte | 99075 | 101 | 99,761 | 102 | 98,664 | 100 | 99,521 | 102 | 99,452 |
| Spinney Mountain | Mid. Fk. S. Platte | 44,319 | 81 | 30,919 | 57 | 32,813 | 60 | 20,882 | 38 | 39,670 |

RESERVOIR STORAGE SUMMARIES

WATER DISTRICT 80

1991 WATER DIVERSION SUMMARIES BY DISTRICT IN AF

|  | AL DI | HES | REPORTI |  | ESTIMATED | TOTAL | TOTAL |  | ION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WD | WA | NWA | NR | NU | NUMBER OF DITCH/WELL VISITATIONS | DIVERSIONS -AF- | DIVERSIONS TO STORAGE -AF- | TOTAL DIVERSIONS -AF- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUMBER OF } \\ & \text { ACRES } \\ & \text { IRRIGATED } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AVERAGE } \\ \text { AF PER } \\ \text { ACRE } \end{gathered}$ |
| 01 | 239 | 1 | 4,773 | 150 |  | 647,128 | 326,382 | 320,183 | 189,225 | 1.69 |
| 02 | 167 | 2 | 4,285 | 226 |  | 452,522 | 79,221 | 338,386 | 185,718 | 1.82 |
| 03 | 226 |  | 2,622 | 68 |  | 565,948 | 285,105 | 418,764 | 262,425 | 1.60 |
| 04 | 102 | 5 | 1,223 | 35 |  | 199,407 | 67,554 | 126,385 | 107,706 | 1.17 |
| 05 | 95 |  | 1,162 | 34 |  | 153,065 | 24,616 | 113,275 | 111,780 | 1.01 |
| 06 | 163 | 1 | 1,190 | 125 |  | 287,172 | 91,449 | 97,207 | 100,331 | . 97 |
| 07 | 325 |  | 1,469 | 121 |  | 223,344 | 36,453 | 69,761 |  | 1.36 |
| 08 | 366 | 14 | 4,571 | 293 |  | 485, 852 | 208,189 | 45,847 | 51,781 | 4.69 |
| 09 | 73 |  | 1,482 | 43 |  | 13,226 | 3,240 | 7,946 | 1,960 | 4.05 |
| 23 | 326 | 33 | 1,193 | 310 |  | 135,045 | 64,344 | 21,031 | 11,057 | 1.90 |
| 48 | 74 |  | 71 | 8 |  | 22,310 |  | 22,310 | 4,355 | 5.10 |
| 49 | 20 |  | 40 | 19 |  | 3,936 |  | 3,936 | 1,555 | 2.50 |
| 64 | 142 | 5 | 1,756 | 60 |  | 329,388 | 17,907 | 318,153 | 140,470 | 2.27 |
| 65 | 25 |  | 103 | 17 |  | 37,341 | , 647 | 9,536 | 4,720 | 2.02 |
| 80 | 156 |  | 814 | 73 |  | 68,673 | 63,163 | 5,329 | 1,674 | 2.18 |
| TOTALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,299 | 61 | 26,754 | 1,582 |  | 3,624,357 | 1,268,270 | 1,918,049 | 1,184,007 | 2.29 |

1991 (WATER DIVERSION SUMMARIES BY DISTRICT IN AF (CONTINUED)

| WD | TRANSMOUNTAIN OUTFLOW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANSBASIN } \\ & \text { OUTFLOW } \end{aligned}$ | MUNICIPAL | INDUSTRIAL | RECREATIONAL | FISHERY | COMMERCIAL | RECHARGE | AUG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60,136 | 30,929 |
| 02 |  |  | 4,037 | 8,018 |  |  | 8,248 | 5,853 | 7,980 |
| 03 |  |  | 31,804 | 2,036 |  |  | 8,248 | 5,853 | 6,635 |
| 04 |  |  | 5,468 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,768 |
| 05 |  |  | 17,286 |  |  |  | 14 |  | 6,967 |
| 06 |  |  | 89,652 | 1,057 |  |  | 14 |  | 1,584 |
| 07 |  |  | 17,442 | 51,304 |  |  |  |  | 17,931 |
| 08 |  |  | 314,898 | 3,846 | : | 3,211 | 57 |  | 9,886 |
| 09 |  |  | 1,826 |  |  |  | 10 |  | 445 |
| 23 48 |  |  | 12,318 | 1,733 | 3,466 | 172 |  |  | 4,634 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 910 | 7,296 |  |
| 65 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,312 | 910 | 7,296 | 9 |
| 80 |  |  | 175 |  |  |  |  |  | 159 |
| TOT |  |  | 494,731 | 67,994 | 3,466 | 4,695 | 9,239 | 73,285 | 110,515 |
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## WATER COURT ACTIVITIES (CALENDAR YEAR 1991)

No. Applications for Decrees ..... 127
No. Consultations with Referee ..... 154
No. Decrees Issued by Water Court ..... 284
No. Meetings with Applicant/Denver Office Court Preparation ..... 85
No. Resume Reviews Denver Office ..... 12
TYPES OF DECREES
Findings of Diligence on Conditional Rights ..... 24
Conditional Water Rights Made Absolute ..... 16
Augmentation Plans Approved (Including Exchanges) ..... 26
Cases Involving New Surface Water Diversions ..... 40
Cases Involving Alternate Points of Diversion ..... 30
Cases Involving Transfers ..... 5
Cases Awarding Change of Location ..... 17
Cases Awarding Change of Use ..... 42
Cases Involving Reservoir Storage ..... 42
Cases Involving Groundwater (Nontributary/Tributary) ..... 100
Cases Involving Springs ..... 8
Cases Involving In-Stream Flows ..... 2
Number of Cases Denied ..... 2
Number of Cases Dismissed ..... 38
Conditional Water Rights Abandoned ..... 11
Water Rights Abandoned ..... 2
Requests for Withdrawal Allowed ..... 2
TYPE STRUCTURES IN DECREES
No. Ditches ..... 284
No. Reservoirs ..... 85
No. Wells ..... 315
No. Other ..... 50

## ACTIVITY SUMMARY

| ACTIVITY | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: |
| CALENDAR YEAR |  |

Number of professional and technical staff ..... 14.6
Number of clerical staff ..... 2
Number of Water Commissioners ..... Full Time 15.4
Part Time 8
Number of decreed surface rights ..... 11,650
Number of surface rights administered ..... 6,394
Number of wells ..... 74,015
Number of plans for augmentation ..... 422
Number of consultations with Referee ..... 154
Number of Water Court appearances ..... 141
Number of meetings with water users ..... 175
Number of contacts to give public ..... 13,308
assistance on water matters
1661-066I TTVD มgnit
Calling Priority

| Date Call <br> Initiated | Date Call <br> Relleased <br> $1990-1991$ | Structure <br> Name | Appropriation District <br> Date | Person <br> Placing Call | Districts Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1900-1991 |  |  |  |  |  |

RIVER CALL (Continued)
Calling Priority

| Date Call Initiated 1990-1991 | Date Call Released 1990-1991 | Structure Name | Appropriation District Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Person } \\ \text { Placing Call } \end{gathered}$ | Districts Affected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $11 / 20 / 1876$ | 02 | Keith Delventhal | $2,7,8,9,23,80$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $06 / 22 / 1882$ | 64 | Elton Watson | $1,2,3,4$ |
| $10 / 15 / 1873$ | 02 | Keith Delventhal | $7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $11 / 20 / 1876$ | 02 | Keith Delventhal | $7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $01 / 18 / 1879$ | 08 | Ken Salser | $8,9,23,80$ |
| $10 / 01 / 1883$ | 64 | Elton Watson | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9$ |
| $07 / 19 / 1886$ | 64 | Elton Watson | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9$ |
| $11 / 20 / 1876$ | 02 | Keith Delventhal | $7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $10 / 01 / 1883$ | 64 | Elton Watson | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $05 / 03 / 1907$ | 01 | Mae Cunning | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9$ |
| $06 / 27 / 1889$ | 08 | Denver | 23,80 |
| $12 / 31 / 1929$ | 01 | Mae Cunning | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,23$, |
| $03 / 01 / 1895$ | 64 | Elton Watson | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $11 / 20 / 1876$ | 02 | Keith Delventhal | $2,7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $10 / 01 / 1888$ | 01 | Mae Cunning | $2,3,4,5,6$ |
| $04 / 15 / 1888$ | 01 | Mae Cunning | $2,3,4,5,6$ |
| $10 / 15 / 1873$ | 02 | Keith Delventhal | $2,7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $07 / 19 / 1886$ | 64 | Elton Watson | $2,3,4,5,6$ |
| $11 / 20 / 1885$ | 02 | Keith Delventhal | $2,7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $12 / 31 / 1929$ | 01 | Mae Cunning | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $05 / 31 / 1907$ | 01 | Mae Cunning | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $03 / 01 / 1895$ | 64 | Elton Watson | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $11 / 20 / 1885$ | 02 | Keith Delventhal | $7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $07 / 19 / 1886$ | 64 | Elton Watson | $1,2,3,4,5,6$ |
| $11 / 20 / 1876$ | 02 | Keith Delventhal | $7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $11 / 20 / 1885$ | 02 | Keith Delventhal | $7,8,9,23,80$ |
| $11 / 20 / 1876$ | 02 | Keith Delventhal | $7,8,9,23,80$ |

[^0]RIVER CALL (Continued)
Calling Priority

| Date Call <br> Initiated <br> 1990-1991 | Date Call <br> Released $1990-1991$ | Structure Name | Appropriation Date | District | $\stackrel{\text { Person }}{\text { Placing Call }}$ | Districts Affected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09/05/91 | 09/11/91 | U.P. \& Beaver | 04/15/1888 | 01 | Mae Cunning |  |
| 09/05/91 | 09/26/91 | Burlington | 11/20/1885 | 02 | Keith Delventhal | 7,8,9,23,80' |
| 09/11/91 | 10/11/91 | Dist. 1 Recharge | 12/31/1972 | 01 | May Cunning | 1,2,3, $3,5,6,7,8,9,80$ |
| 09/26/91 | 11/01/91 | Cheesman | 06/27/1889 | 80 | Jim McClure | 23,80 ${ }^{\text {2, }}$ |
| 09/26/91 | 09/27/91 | Aurora Direct Intake | 05/15/1964 | 80 | Bruce | 8,80 |
| 09/27/91 | 11/01/91 | Denver Intake | 12/06/1910 | 80 | Jim McClure | 8,80 |

## SOUTH PLATTE RIVER COMPACT

The Colorado-Nebraska Compact on the South Platte provides that Colorado shall have the full use of the river water between the fifteenth of October of any year and the first day of April of the succeeding year but that, between the first day of April and the fifteenth of October of each year, Colorado shall not permit diversion from the river below the Washington-Morgan County line to supply water rights having priority dates junior to. June 14, 1897 to the extent that they would diminish the flow of the river at the Julesburg gaging station below a daily mean flow of 120 cfs.

Normally it is not necessary to curtail any surface diversion in Colorado to honor the compact because stream flows are inadequate to satisfy all the water rights senior to the compact date.

Preliminary flow data for the Julesburg station indicates that during the 198 day period from April 1 to October 15, 1990, the mean daily flow dropped below 120 cfs on 113 days.

## REPUBLICAN RIVER COMPACT

The Republican River Compact allocates water to the signatory states, Colorado, Kansas and Nebraska on the basis of beneficial consumptive use. Colorado's total allocation of 54,100 acre feet is broken down as follows:

North Fork of the Republican River Drainage Basin Arikaree River Drainage Basin South Fork of the Republican River Drainage Basin Beaver Creek Drainage Basin
$10,000 \mathrm{AF}$
$15,400 \mathrm{AF}$
$25,400 \mathrm{AF}$
$3,300 \mathrm{AF}$
and in addition, for beneficial consumptive use in Colorado annually, the entire water supply of the Frenchman Creek (River) Drainage Basin in Colorado and the Red Willow Creek Drainage Basin in Colorado.

The computed annual consumptive use in Colorado in the Republican River Basin for the 1988 water year, the last year for which official figures are available, was an follows:

## STREAM

N. Fk. Republican River
S. Fk. Republican River

Arikaree River
Beaver Creek
3, 700

CONSUMPTIVE

ADJUSTED
ALOCATIONS
8, 180
12, 320
10, 300

USE SURFACE \% OF ADJ.
$\qquad$ ALLOCATION

4,740 57.9
$10,470 \quad 85.0$
6,110 59.3

LARAMIE RIVER AGREEMENT
The 1957 decree of the United States Supreme Court limits the diversions from the Laramie River and its tributaries to 49,375 acre feet annually for the State of Colorado. Of that amount, 19,875 acre feet are allocated to transmountain users and the remaining 29, 500 acre feet to the meadowland users within the river basin. The meadowland users are further restricted to diversions of not more than 1,800 acre feet after July 31 of each year. In the event that the transmountain users do not divert their full allotment, the meadowland users may divert the difference between the 19,875 acre feet and the actual amount if diverted within the same year.

Sand Creek, which arises in Colorado, later becoming tributary to the Laramie River in Wyoming, is not included within the terms of the compact. Instead, Colorado and Wyoming have a working agreement whereby senior water rights on Sand Creek in Wyoming are recognized before junior diversions are made in Colorado through the Wilson Supply Canal, a transbasin diversion.

In 1991, the transmountain diversions under the Laramie River Compact totaled 17,365 acre feet of the 19,875 acre feet compact allowance. The meadowland diversions totaled 22,310 acre feet or some $76 \%$ of the allotment. Total Colorado diversions were 41,891 acre feet or $81 \%$ of the total allotment of 49,375 acre feet.
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