

A Report to the State Board of Equalization and the General Assembly

THE ESTIMATED RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE FOR 2013 – 2014

Pursuant to § 39-1-104.2(6), C.R.S.

January 14, 2013

SUMMARY

Section 39-1-104.2(3), C.R.S., is amended by the General Assembly during years of general reassessment by the adoption of a new residential target percentage and residential assessment rate. The figures enacted into law are the product of a study conducted in accordance with § 39-1-104.2(5)(c), C.R.S., by staff of the Division of Property Taxation (Division) under the direction of the Property Tax Administrator. This report documents the preliminary findings of the Residential Assessment Rate Study used for determining the 2013-2014 residential target percentage and the residential assessment rate. The preliminary findings of the study are as follows:

- The 2013-2014 residential target percentage is 45.86 percent.
- The 2013-2014 projected residential assessment rate is 9.09 percent.
- The residential assessment rate for 2011 and 2012 was **7.96 percent**. Section 20(4), art. X, COLO. CONST. (TABOR), requires voter approval for an increase to the assessment rate of a property class.

The target percentage listed above is based on known values that were reported by assessors in 2011 and 2012 on their Abstracts of Assessment. As such, the 45.86 percent figure is not anticipated to change. The projected residential assessment rate however, is based largely on estimated 2013 values, and the figure is likely to change prior to our final report in April.

Section 3(1)(b) of article X of the Colorado Constitution and § 39-1-104.2(5)(a), C.R.S., require an adjustment in the residential assessment rate in order to maintain a consistent relationship between the total assessed value of residential compared to non-residential property. The General Assembly must adjust the residential assessment rate to ensure that the percentage of residential real property assessed value, when compared to the assessed value of all property, remains essentially the same as it was the preceding year (2010). The adjustment is intended to stabilize residential real property's share of the property tax base. The study includes three major calculations.

- 1. Using the total actual 2011 assessed value for nonresidential property, calculate what the total 2011 residential real property value should have been to exactly achieve the 2011 residential real property target percentage of 46.53 percent. Then, adjust the 46.53 percent target percentage to account for 2011 and 2012 net changes in new construction and the production volumes of producing metallic mines, producing coal mines, oil and gas wells, and earth and stone operations as reported on county Abstracts of Assessment. Upon completing the adjustments, the 2013-2014 residential real property target percentage is 45.86 percent.
- Estimate 2013 values and determine residential real property's share of the tax base assuming the residential assessment rate remains at 7.96 percent. The estimated 2013 tax base share for residential real property at an assessment rate of 7.96 percent is 43.3 percent.
- Calculate the residential assessment rate that is estimated to achieve a statewide total taxable assessed value consisting of 45.86 percent residential property.

At one time, the State Board of Equalization (state board) had the authority to adjust the residential rate during its fall hearings if the valuations actually implemented by the counties, as reflected in the Abstracts of Assessment, showed that the estimated residential rate was incorrect. Because of a conflict with provisions in section 20 of article X of the Colorado Constitution (TABOR), the statute giving the state board that authority, § 39-1-104.2(7), C.R.S., was repealed in 1993. As a result, the Division reports an amended figure to the General Assembly in April. The amended report contains revised value estimates provided by county assessors after much of their revaluation work is completed.

The following table lists the estimated changes in assessed value by class of property between 2012 and 2013.

COMPARISON OF 2012 AND ESTIMATED 2013 ASSESSED VALUES BY CLASS										
Class of Property	2012 Assessed	Estimated 2013	% Change	% of Total						
Vacant	4,478,806,528	4,092,041,214	-8.6%	4.5%						
Residential	39,198,222,341	38,317,263,917	-2.2%	42.6%						
Commercial	24,614,936,601	25,078,210,917	1.9%	27.9%						
Industrial	3,364,377,877	3,378,018,697	0.4%	3.8%						
Agricultural	952,016,102	1,043,648,970	9.6%	1.2%						
Natural Resources	387,701,764	382,643,687	-1.3%	0.4%						
Producing Mines	672,327,320	811,122,623	20.6%	0.9%						
Oil and Gas	9,746,582,769	10,639,386,184	9.2%	11.8%						
State Assessed	5,979,002,877	6,218,162,992	4.0%	6.9%						
Total	89,393,974,179	89,960,499,201	0.6%	100.0%						

Note: The comparison between 2012 and 2013 is based upon the current residential assessment rate of 7.96 percent. If the 2013 estimated residential rate of 9.09 percent is used, the "% of Total" associated with residential would equal the target percentage of 45.86 percent.

THE TABOR / GALLAGHER CONFLICT AND SCHOOL FUNDING

This is the sixth time that the study resulted in a residential assessment rate calculation greater than the rate most recently enacted into law. Such an occurrence makes relevant a conflict in the Colorado Constitution. Section 3(1)(b) of article X of the Colorado Constitution, and § 39-1-104.2(5)(a), C.R.S., require that the rate be adjusted (up or down) to achieve the residential target percentage, but section 20(4)(a) of article X of the Colorado Constitution (TABOR), requires voter approval for an assessment ratio increase for a property class. When this conflict occurred in 1999, 2005, 2007, 2009 and 2011, the General Assembly reenacted the previous residential assessment rate. The rate enacted into law for assessment years 2011 and 2012 was 7.96 percent.

The impact of TABOR's prohibition against raising the residential assessment rate without voter approval is difficult to estimate across the board for all property taxing jurisdictions. However, due to the passage of SB 07-199, most school districts' total program mill levies are fixed. Consequently, this TABOR impact can be estimated as it relates to the total program school mill levy. If the residential assessment rate were increased to 9.09 percent, as the Gallagher amendment originally anticipated, Colorado's 2013 total taxable value would increase from an estimated \$88,512,975,701 to \$93,952,481,099, generating approximately \$121,332,051 additional property tax revenue from the total program mill levies of school districts.

RECAP OF RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATES

<u>Years</u>	Rate enacted into law	Rate calculated by RAR Study
1983-1986	21.00%	
1987	18.00%	
1988	16.00%	
1989-90	15.00%	
1991-92	14.34%	
1993-94	12.86%	
1995-96	10.36%	
1997-98	9.74%	
1999-2000	9.74%	9.83%
2001-02	9.15%	
2003-04	7.96%	
2005-06	7.96%	8.17%
2007-08	7.96%	8.19%
2009-10	7.96%	8.85%
2011-12	7.96%	8.77%

RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE ESTIMATION METHODOLOGY

In September through November of the year prior to the effective year of the new rate, data reported in each county's Abstract of Assessment is reviewed, corrected where necessary, and entered into the Residential Assessment Rate Model. County assessors are asked to provide the Division with an electronic version of their qualified residential, commercial, industrial, and vacant land sales that occurred over the 24-months that preceded the new appraisal date. (The appraisal date for tax years 2013 and 2014 is June 30, 2012.) The data requested includes the sale price, sale date, actual value (from the prior base year), and property class code for each qualified sale. Division staff "time-trends" the sales data using a weighted monthly median sales ratio regression analysis to develop preliminary value change estimates for each county submitting data.

In December, Division staff meets with county assessors and appropriate staff to review the time trending results and mutually develop value change estimates for the vacant land, residential, commercial, and industrial property classes. Assessors are also asked to provide estimates for agricultural land, natural resources and producing mines if they are ready to do so. By this time, the county has often begun its own time trending studies, and has been analyzing other appraisal information, such as property income, vacancy rates, and capitalization rates. For the commercial and industrial classes, the percentage change estimates developed with assessors are for real property only. The Division calculates weighted averages between these projections and a statewide change estimate developed for commercial and industrial personal

property. The Division has estimated a 1.9 percent increase for 2013 commercial and industrial personal property.

Division staff members also develop statewide change estimates for oil and gas and state assessed property, and for the three smallest classes, agricultural land, natural resources, and producing mines. Oil and gas is an expanding and volatile class of property that is best approached at a statewide level. Division staff members estimate the change in this class based upon data obtained from the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission and the Colorado Department of Revenue, after consulting with oil and gas experts and county assessors. For state assessed property, the same appraisers who value the property for tax purposes are asked to project future values based upon financial indicators for the various public utility sectors. The statewide estimates for agricultural land, natural resources, and producing mines are used when the county did not provide its own estimate or when the value of the class is small. The agricultural land estimate is based on changes to the 10-year averages of commodity prices, yields and expenses, while the estimates for natural resources and producing mines are based on estimates provided by some counties and on economic factors.

Pursuant to § 39-1-104(6), C.R.S., the preliminary study results are reported to the State Board of Equalization and the General Assembly by January 15 of the year of revaluation (2013). The Division will submit a final report to the General Assembly in April 2013 after receiving updated value projections from county assessors.

<u>ADDENDA</u>

The following addenda are provided with this report.

<u>Addendum A:</u> Target percentage calculation.

Addendum B: Residential assessment rate calculation.

Addendum C: 2012 assessed values from county abstracts.

Addendum D: Percentage change estimates from assessors and Division studies.

Addendum E: Estimated 2013 values - The product of Addenda C and D.

Addendum F: A summary of Addendum E values (Used in the rate calculation).

Addendum G: 2011 and 2012 new construction (Used in target percentage

calculation).

Addendum H: Net increase in metallic mines production (Used in target

percentage calculation).

Addendum I: Net increase in coal mines production (Used in target percentage).

Addendum J: Net increase in earth and stone production (Used in target

percentage).

Addenda K – O: Net increase in production of each subclass of oil and gas

leaseholds and land. The sum of these changes is used in the

target percentage calculation.

Addenda P: History of the residential assessment rate.

2013 RESIDENTIAL TARGET PERCENTAGE CALCULATION

ADDENDUM A

STEP #1: Calculate the 2011 residential assessed value that would have achieved the 2011 target percentage.

ESTIMATED 2011 RESIDENTIAL ASSESSED	X	0.465259629
ACTUAL 2011 NON-RESIDENTIAL ASSESSED	= 48,927,105,631	0.534740371
		1.000000000
ESTIMATED 2011 RESIDENTIAL ASSESSED X =	42,569,830,625	

The target percentages shown in step #1 are the non-rounded versions of the target percentages enacted into law in 2011.

The figure \$42,559,830,625 in cell E11 is the hypothetical residential assessed value that would have exactly achieved the 2011 target percentage.

STEP #2: Add 2011 + 2012 net new construction and increased production to the 2011 res. and non-res. totals.

2011 Net Residential New Construction	370,341,019	Addendum G
2012 Net Residential New Construction	306,171,769	Addendum G
TOTAL RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION	676,512,788	
ESTIMATED 2011 RESIDENTIAL	42,569,830,625	
ADJUSTED TOTAL RESIDENTIAL	43,246,343,413	
2011 Net Other New Construction	529,938,369	Addendum G
2012 Net Other New Constuction	532,876,783	Addendum G
2012 - 2010 Net Mines	189,181,327	Addendum H
2012 - 2010 Net Coal	5,084,681	Addendum I
2012 - 2010 Net Earth & Stone	-2,511,920	Addendum J
2012 - 2010 Net Oil & Gas	869,564,256	Sum of Addenda K - O
TOTAL NON-RESIDENTIAL NEW CONST. & PROD.	2,124,133,496	
ACTUAL 2011 NON-RESIDENTIAL	48,927,105,631	
		92,173,449,044
ADJUSTED TOTAL NON-RESIDENTIAL	51,051,239,127	

STEP #3: Calculate the 2013 target percentages from 2011 values adjusted for new construction and production.

ADJ 2011 RES ASSESSED	43,246,343,413	45.86156108%		45.86%
	=		OR	
ADJ 2011 OTHER ASSESSED	51,051,239,127	54.13843892%		54.14%

The figure \$48,927,105,631 in cell E8 is the total non-residential taxable value reported on county abstracts in 2011.

2013 RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE CALCULATION

÷

ADDENDUM B

Estimated 2013

Non-Residential Assessed \$51,643,235,284

Addendum F

Non-Res Target % 54.13843892%

Addendum A

Total Assessed Target Value

\$95,391,068,368

Total Assessed Target Value

\$95,391,068,368 X

Residential Target %

45.86156108% Addendum A Residential Assessed Target Value

\$43,747,833,084

Residential Assessed Target Value

\$43,747,833,084 ÷

Estimated 2013 Residential Actual Value

\$481,372,662,274

=

Addendum F

Residential Assessment Rate

9.088142412819%

9.09% rounded

TOTALS	FROM 2012	COUNTY AE	STRACTS C	F ASSESSM	ENT				A	DDENDUM C
COUNTY	VAC	RES	COM	IND	AGR	NAT	MIN	O&G	STA	TOTAL
Adams	125,037,700	1,983,416,840	1,679,186,270	297,521,960	21,558,550	8,358,190	-	63,920,720	443,808,600	4,622,808,830
Alamosa	14,627,821	51,319,469	49,116,275	2,013,838	16,296,013	134,713	-	-	17,127,300	150,635,429
Arapahoe	207,018,570	3,794,965,820	2,987,918,207	32,586,470	11,859,870	634,320	-	8,542,386	418,212,800	7,461,738,443
Archuleta	80,319,090	142,763,050	47,761,520	1,533,640	6,483,000	399,380	-	28,615,670	9,142,000	317,017,350
Baca	339,320	6,263,699	5,589,079	76,279	17,587,920	1,229,536	-	6,433,734	36,739,900	74,259,467
Bent	426,996	7,611,589	19,042,034	257,255	16,653,689	672,516	-	940,378	28,864,200	74,468,657
Boulder	153,339,612	3,115,642,561	1,706,655,455	441,952,398	12,364,638	1,822,625	37,616	34,184,868	175,000,800	5,641,000,573
Broomfield	38,540,310	438,500,572	430,853,370	76,082,610	416,030	9,310	-	21,970,690	55,196,600	1,061,569,492
Chaffee	63,011,510	174,145,820	93,329,810	9,212,680	4,915,180	4,410,970	-	-	19,039,200	368,065,170
Cheyenne	262,959	4,125,742	3,521,309	302,213	18,306,548	1,888,172	-	111,909,421	18,069,175	158,385,539
Clear Creek	23,030,290	98,225,980	26,364,880	711,720	113,990	6,944,630	415,562,400	-	19,755,700	590,709,590
Conejos	9,454,206	29,780,934	5,163,625	829,581	11,016,735	66,228	-	-	4,898,300	61,209,609
Costilla	93,909,895	14,441,880	4,210,554	206,073	9,122,180	310,100	-	-	7,340,300	129,540,982
Crowley	330,844	6,066,137	21,193,021	92,545	4,176,345	394,728	-	-	4,369,800	36,623,420
Custer Delta	26,153,890	54,911,420	7,535,980	242,540	5,864,500	605,840	-	1,457,660	4,158,200	99,472,370
Denver	25,355,100 189,457,930	164,560,680	61,129,040 5,183,682,440	4,280,110 218,458,870	13,142,230	18,991,660	-	1,457,000	31,337,400 862,995,100	320,253,880
Dolores	7,175,354	4,351,170,550 13,268,239	3,653,668	1,676,688	54,780 2,883,134	616,302	-	60,940,790	13,009,600	10,805,819,670 103,223,775
Douglas	231,199,150	2,635,364,110	1,361,585,780	107,508,080	16,851,740	435,350	-	00,940,790	209,659,400	4,562,603,610
Eagle	174,330,120	1,913,674,330	593,343,460	11,570,700	6,324,440	893,620	55,420	_	75,581,300	2,775,773,390
El Paso	301,058,930	3,507,345,120	2,002,738,130	236,666,950	13,208,430	5,757,760	33,420	_	260,801,400	6,327,576,720
Elbert	17,264,740	173,416,690	22,902,430	1,117,320	15,514,060	1,187,030		5,242,870	23,308,600	259,953,740
Fremont	48,965,870	194,644,660	79,949,010	65,080,070	6,951,000	4,641,780	_	19,535,560	33,098,200	452,866,150
Garfield	133,988,820	471,369,050	341,560,760	12,580,330	11,600,370	2,691,730	334,040	2,870,914,810	86,893,100	3,931,933,010
Gilpin	46,161,830	57.716.510	229,291,460	156,780	239.880	10.213.750	283.330		7,834,300	351,897,840
Grand	144,737,570	357,702,110	90,917,870	97,593,550	7,730,830	325,050	74,465,050	_	35,616,100	809,088,130
Gunnison	162,751,730	298,487,580	100,615,170	3,786,800	7,908,740	94,510,990	234,710	9,077,480	11,800,600	689,173,800
Hinsdale	19,643,920	32,341,500	7,595,930	135,300	533,000	570,170	84,440	-	727,700	61,631,960
Huerfano	16,401,582	38,558,675	16,021,353	216,731	6,935,855	440,356		7,262,387	28,661,000	114,497,939
Jackson	1,865,433	9,421,867	3,890,903	2,278,964	10,420,491	133,833	-	11,661,171	2,662,490	42,335,152
Jefferson	182,096,923	4,126,025,665	2,130,590,653	241,104,597	13,208,888	3,486,871	176,534	-	296,941,300	6,993,631,431
Kiowa	73,230	2,093,150	1,091,340	-	15,274,090	1,222,800	-	18,857,100	4,407,000	43,018,710
Kit Carson	962,421	23,535,001	31,520,295	1,191,853	37,453,055	1,014,158	-	1,253,899	36,908,200	133,838,882
La Plata	165,008,240	582,113,590	370,909,320	16,025,990	13,064,170	10,449,900	-	1,045,070,690	75,630,300	2,278,272,200
Lake	23,438,786	53,353,134	11,380,398	594,352	217,937	1,519,141	36,562,670	-	12,519,500	139,585,918
Larimer	202,000,540	2,207,936,750	1,297,017,780	273,963,630	19,085,030	4,331,210	-	12,239,922	108,915,200	4,125,490,062
Las Animas	16,628,470	56,689,680	34,664,280	3,238,580	17,631,660	12,433,300	-	251,466,080	80,779,500	473,531,550
Lincoln	1,436,010	11,567,032	13,468,496	320,744	17,489,382	1,656,898	-	33,464,457	28,219,605	107,622,624
Logan	2,603,560	58,773,520	39,632,750	14,418,370	35,981,100	333,550	-	18,126,360	101,262,700	271,131,910
Mesa	123,879,130	852,717,370	564,689,060	110,355,610	25,694,660	1,984,050	204,960	222,885,640	120,084,700	2,022,495,180
Mineral	9,428,070	18,077,120	6,325,630	116,170	1,031,820	321,580	-	-	1,764,600	37,064,990
Moffat	10,637,462	62,770,639	40,188,960	1,786,720	7,969,857	43,097,351	-	102,298,452	215,323,357	484,072,798
Montezuma	24,942,120	138,415,450	65,958,010	10,921,550	13,335,600	820,870		357,327,970	43,021,250	654,742,820
Montrose	52,485,630	246,511,490	158,345,250	24,590,980	20,616,100	4,660,870	386,840	-	57,664,900	565,262,060
Morgan	4,933,520	87,518,200	62,029,910	46,316,150	37,866,450	81,380	-	9,895,050	181,051,300	429,691,960
Otero	1,434,357	42,397,504	26,727,560	4,567,613	18,405,974	196,145	-	-	33,417,400	127,146,553
Ouray	59,396,220 161,679,630	81,059,850	30,604,340 26,425,904	152,610 820,990	3,250,950	2,659,090	13,790 22,860	-	6,691,600 22,956,100	183,828,450 445,238,704
Park Phillips	328,080	221,841,630 14,880,970	12,590,620	820,990 171,240	7,115,100 21,412,420	4,376,490 354,940	22,860	6,679,460	3,891,900	60,309,630
Pitkin	261,525,610	1,917,257,270	547,683,800	744,690	7,090,680	3,847,160	-	-	26,076,100	2.764.225.310
_							-	2,994,001		
Prowers Pueblo	723,601 62,929,731	24,853,319 596,036,622	24,497,247 300,580,438	3,899,530 226,406,271	28,382,198 11,220,637	1,483,809 2,686,175		2,994,001	37,486,400 471,620,801	124,320,105
Rio Blanco	5,880,050	43,655,240	27,553,500	313,752,600	13,251,740	35,733,260	_	835,502,410	107,996,700	1,383,325,500
Rio Grande	30,715,001	64,316,010	45,428,562	2,180,466	20,859,371	311,447	_	-	12,404,300	176,215,157
Routt	137,983,140	604,356,140	234,540,650	5,754,990	23,507,320	48,373,000	-	5,601,410	97,961,800	1,158,078,450
Saguache	18,444,220	19,426,830	5,990,050	286,400	13,901,640	1,235,980	_	-	5,837,300	65,122,420
San Juan	14,977,670	11,938,060	9,160,955	611,063	1,684	8,904,648	-	-	2,773,199	48,367,279
San Miguel	215,774,060	480,681,170	105,641,920	3,965,620	7,666,920	2,733,060	-	25,437,910	15,128,600	857,029,260
Sedgwick	291,870	5,208,110	3,643,860	135,450	14,721,310	103,740	-	362,370	31,827,500	56,294,210
Summit	176,012,680	1,052,991,108	326,686,015	11,559,036	1,388,600	906,801	-	-	32,767,300	1,602,311,540
Teller	75,504,090	182,107,890	101,113,360	4,770,360	1,607,020	2,876,780	143,902,660	-	19,772,300	531,654,460
Washington	209,214	10,722,433	4,057,015	562,497	30,113,551	1,134,961	-	41,176,473	38,814,900	126,791,044
Weld	77,121,290	1,126,180,660	742,391,710	404,068,460	120,061,360	12,302,820	-	3,383,487,090	650,106,300	6,515,719,690
Yuma	1,160,810	28,990,550	25,488,170	8,293,650	55,103,660	776,890	-	109,845,430	51,269,800	280,928,960
TOTALS	4,478,806,528	39,198,222,341	24,614,936,601	3,364,377,877	952,016,102	387,701,764	672,327,320	9,746,582,769	5,979,002,877	89,393,974,179

2013 PERCENTAGE CHANGE ESTIMATES

ADDENDUM D

Red font = Percentage estimates provided by county or developed from consultation with county in December 2012. Green font = Statewide estimates by DPT.

Commercial/Industrial percentages are weighted averages between the real property county estimate and a statewide commercial/industrial personal property estimate of 1.9%.

COUNTY	VAC	RES	СОМ	IND	AGR	NAT	MIN	O&G	STA
Adams	0.1%	1.0%	0.8%	0.0%	8.5%	3.3%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Alamosa	-2.0%	2.0%	-0.5%	0.0%	3.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Arapahoe	0.0%	-0.9%	6.7%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Archuleta	-13.0%	-8.0%	-1.0%	33.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Baca	0.0%	5.8%	6.4%	0.0%	3.7%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Bent	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Boulder	-0.2%	1.1%	1.2%	1.7%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Broomfield	-5.0%	3.0%	0.0%	-2.5%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Chaffee	-7.0%	-2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Cheyenne	0.1%	0.0%	-2.0%	-4.0%	8.0%	130.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Clear Creek	-5.0%	-5.0%	-6.0%	0.0%	8.0%	10.0%	15.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Conejos	0.0%	-2.0%	1.0%	-2.0%	40.0%	-2.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Costilla	9.5%	7.9%	0.0%	1.5%	6.8%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Crowley	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Custer	1.5%	-2.0%	2.0%	0.0%	10.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Delta	-15.0%	-10.0%	-15.0%	-15.0%	2.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Denver	-5.0%	0.1%	7.0%	7.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Dolores	0.0%	-5.0%	5.0%	0.0%	5.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Douglas	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	5.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Eagle	-14.0%	-7.9%	-4.3%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
El Paso	0.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	4.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Elbert	-10.0%	-12.0%	-10.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Fremont	-14.8%	-5.0%	-3.0%	-3.0%	-6.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Garfield	-55.0%	-35.0%	-8.0%	-5.0%	10.0%	-10.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Gilpin	1.0%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-3.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Grand	-19.1%	-6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	15.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Gunnison	-10.0%	-15.0%	-10.0%	-10.0%	-12.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Hinsdale	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Huerfano	9.0%	5.0%	4.0%	0.0%	31.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Jackson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Jefferson	4.0%	4.1%	-1.7%	0.0%	-1.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Kiowa	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-2.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Kit Carson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
La Plata	2.0%	-2.9%	3.0%	3.0%	-1.0%	25.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Lake	-3.6%	-3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	100.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Larimer	-3.5%	2.5%	-2.4%	-1.0%	13.5%	-0.2%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Las Animas	0.0%	0.0%	-3.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Lincoln	1.0%	-1.0%	-4.0%	0.0%	20.0%	-3.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Logan	-1.7%	1.0%	-5.0%	-3.0%	12.8%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Mesa	-14.2%	-4.3%	13.8%	8.4%	12.7%	8.4%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Mineral	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Moffat	-1.6%	-13.4%	-6.9%	-8.3%	2.1%	-7.8%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Montezuma	-5.0%	-10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Montrose	-30.0%	-20.0%	-8.0%	-8.0%	15.0%	-10.0%	-10.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Morgan	-5.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Otero	0.0%	-2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.5%	3.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Ouray	-30.0%	-15.0%	-15.0%	0.0%	15.0%	-1.0%	-100.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Park	-14.6%	-11.9%	-16.9%	-10.0%	8.0%	0.2%	2.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Phillips	5.0%	4.9%	2.0%	1.8%	34.0%	1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Pitkin	-15.0%	-15.0%	5.0%	-5.0%	8.0%	-30.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Prowers	0.0%	-7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.5%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Pueblo	-10.0%	-3.0%	-2.0%	-2.0%	2.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Rio Blanco	-1.0%	-1.4%	0.0%	-1.0%	0.1%	-0.7%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Rio Grande	-3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Routt	-20.0%	-17.0%	-20.0%	-15.0%	8.0%	-7.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Saguache	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
San Juan	-12.8%	-16.4%	-5.0%	-5.0%	8.0%	-5.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
San Miguel	-20.0%	-20.0%	-5.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Sedgwick	0.0%	8.0%	4.0%	4.0%	10.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Summit	-4.0%	-4.0%	-4.3%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Teller	-10.5%	-3.5%	4.0%	0.0%	1.5%	-1.0%	20.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Washington	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Weld	-10.0%	-1.5%	-2.6%	0.0%	15.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Yuma	-1.2%	-0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	9.2%	4.0%
Weighted Avg.	-8.6%	-2.2%	1.9%	0.4%	9.6%	-1.3%	20.6%	9.2%	4.0%

2013 PROJECTED VALUES

ADDENDUM E

Addendum C X Addendum D (With Residential at 7.96 percent)

(With Residential at 7.5	, ,	550	2011	IND	400			000	074	TOT41
COUNTY	VAC	RES	COM	IND	AGR	NAT	MIN	0&G	STA	TOTAL
Adams	125,162,738	2,003,251,008	1,692,619,760	297,521,960	23,391,027	8,634,010	0	69,775,966	461,560,944	4,681,917,413
Alamosa Arapahoe	14,335,265 207,018,570	52,345,858 3,760,811,128	48,870,694 3,188,108,727	2,013,838 32,586,470	16,784,893 12,808,660	133,366 627,977	0	9,324,883	17,812,392 434,941,312	152,296,306 7,646,227,726
Archuleta	69,877,608	131,342,006	47,283,905	2,039,741	7,001,640	395,386	0	31,236,914	9,507,680	298,684,880
Baca	339,320	6,626,994	5,946,780	76,279	18,238,673	1,217,241	0	7,023,075	38,209,496	77,677,857
Bent	426,996	7,611,589	19,042,034	257,255	19,984,427	665,791	0	1,026,518	30,018,768	79,033,378
Boulder	153,032,933	3,149,914,629	1,727,135,320	449,465,589	13,353,809	1,804,399	37,616	37,316,260	182,000,832	5,714,061,387
Broomfield	36,613,295	451,655,589	430,853,370	74,180,545	449,312	9,217	0	23,983,242	57,404,464	1,075,149,034
Chaffee	58,600,704	170,180,520	93,329,810	9,212,680	5,308,394	4,366,860	0	0	19,800,768	360,799,737
Cheyenne	263,143	4,125,742	3,450,883	290,124	19,771,072	4,342,796	0	122,160,513	18,791,942	173,196,214
Clear Creek	21,878,776	93,314,681	24,782,987	711,720	123,109	7,639,093	477,896,760	0	20,545,928	646,893,054
Conejos	9,454,206	29,185,315	5,215,261	812,989	15,423,429	64,903	0	0	5,094,232	65,250,336
Costilla	102,831,335	15,582,789	4,210,554	209,164	9,742,488	306,999	0	0	7,633,912	140,517,241
Crowley	330,844	6,066,137	21,193,021	92,545	4,510,453	390,781	0	0	4,544,592	37,128,372
Custer	26,546,198	53,813,192	7,686,700	242,540	6,450,950	599,782	0	0	4,324,528	99,663,889
Delta	21,551,835	148,104,612	51,959,684	3,638,094	13,405,075	18,801,743	0	1,591,184	32,590,896	291,643,123
Denver	179,985,034	4,355,521,721	5,546,540,211	233,750,991	59,162	0	0	0	897,514,904	11,213,372,022
Dolores	7,175,354	12,604,827	3,836,351	1,676,688	3,027,291	610,139	0	66,523,069	13,529,984	108,983,703
Douglas	231,199,150	2,714,425,033	1,361,585,780	107,508,080	18,199,879	457,118	0	0	218,045,776	4,651,420,816
Eagle	149,923,903	1,762,494,058	567,829,691	11,570,700	6,830,395	884,684	55,420	0	78,604,552	2,578,193,403
El Paso Elbert	301,058,930 15,538,266	3,472,271,669 152,606,687	2,002,738,130 20,612,187	236,666,950 1,117,320	13,472,599 16,755,185	5,988,070 1,175,160	0	5,723,126	271,233,456 24,240,944	6,303,429,804 237,768,874
Fremont	41,718,921	184,912,427	77,550,540	63,127,668	6,533,940	4,595,362	0	21,325,050	34,422,128	434,186,036
Garfield	60,294,969	306,389,883	314,235,899	11,951,314	12,760,407	2,422,557	334,040	3,133,895,447	90,368,824	3,932,653,339
Gilpin	46,623,448	57,716,510	238,463,118	156,780	259,070	9,907,338	283,330	0,100,000,447	8,147,672	361,557,267
Grand	117,092,694	333,378,367	90,917,870	97,593,550	8,349,296	321,800	85,634,808	0	37,040,744	770,329,128
Gunnison	146,476,557	253,714,443	90,553,653	3,408,120	6,959,691	93,565,880	234,710	9,908,992	12,272,624	617,094,671
Hinsdale	19,840,359	32,341,500	7,595,930	135,300	575,640	564,468	84,440	0	756,808	61,894,446
Huerfano	17,877,724	40,486,609	16,662,207	216,731	9,085,970	435,952	0	7,927,634	29,807,440	122,500,268
Jackson	1,865,433	9,421,867	3,890,903	2,278,964	11,254,130	132,495	0	12,729,354	2,768,990	44,342,135
Jefferson	189,380,800	4,295,192,717	2,094,370,612	241,104,597	13,076,799	3,452,002	176,534	0	308,818,952	7,145,573,013
Kiowa	73,230	2,135,013	1,091,340	0	14,968,608	1,210,572	0	20,584,442	4,583,280	44,646,485
Kit Carson	962,421	23,535,001	31,520,295	1,191,853	38,576,647	1,004,016	0	1,368,758	38,384,528	136,543,519
La Plata	168,308,405	565,232,296	382,036,600	16,506,770	12,933,528	13,062,375	0	1,140,800,927	78,655,512	2,377,536,413
Lake	22,594,990	51,325,715	11,380,398	594,352	235,372	1,503,950	73,125,340	0	13,020,280	173,780,396
Larimer	194,930,521	2,263,135,169	1,265,889,353	271,223,994	21,661,509	4,322,548	0	13,361,119	113,271,808	4,147,796,021
Las Animas	16,628,470	56,689,680	33,624,352	3,238,580	19,042,193	12,308,967	0	274,500,797	84,010,680	500,043,718
Lincoln	1,450,370	11,451,362	12,929,756	320,744	20,987,258	1,607,191	0	36,529,858	29,348,389	114,624,928
Logan	2,559,299	59,361,255	37,651,113	13,985,819	40,586,681	330,215	0	19,786,765	105,313,208	279,574,355
Mesa	106,288,294	816,050,523	642,616,150	119,625,481	28,957,882	2,150,710	204,960 0	243,302,340	124,888,088	2,084,084,429
Mineral Moffat	9,522,351 10,467,263	18,257,891 54,359,373	6,388,886 37,415,922	117,332 1,638,422	1,042,138 8,137,224	318,364 39,735,758	0	0 111,669,163	1,835,184 223,936,291	37,482,146 487,359,416
Montezuma	23,695,014	124,573,905	65,958,010	10,921,550	14,402,448	812,661	0	390,059,815	44,742,100	675,165,503
Montrose	36,739,941	197,209,192	145,677,630	22,623,702	23,708,515	4,194,783	348,156	0	59,971,496	490,473,415
Morgan	4,686,844	88,393,382	62,029,910	46,316,150	40,895,766	80,566	0	10,801,453	188,293,352	441,497,423
Otero	1,434,357	41,549,554	26,727,560	4,567,613	21,442,960	202,029	0	0	34,754,096	130,678,169
Ouray	41,577,354	68,900,873	26,013,689	152,610	3,738,593	2,632,499	0	0	6,959,264	149,974,881
Park	138,074,404	195,442,476	21,959,926	738,891	7,684,308	4,385,243	23,317	0	23,874,344	392,182,909
Phillips	344,484	15,610,138	12,842,432	174,322	28,692,643	358,489	0	7,291,310	4,047,576	69,361,394
Pitkin	222,296,769	1,629,668,680	575,067,990	707,456	7,657,934	2,693,012	0	0	27,119,144	2,465,210,984
Prowers	723,601	22,989,320	24,497,247	3,899,530	30,510,863	1,468,971	0	3,268,257	38,985,856	126,343,644
Pueblo	56,636,758	578,155,523	294,568,829	221,878,146	11,445,050	2,659,313	0	0	490,485,633	1,655,829,252
Rio Blanco	5,821,250	43,044,067	27,553,500	310,615,074	13,258,366	35,483,127	0	912,035,839	112,316,568	1,460,127,791
Rio Grande	29,793,551	66,245,490	46,791,419	2,245,880	22,528,121	308,333	0	0	12,900,472	180,813,265
Routt	110,386,512	501,615,596	187,632,520	4,891,742	25,387,906	44,986,890	0	6,114,509	101,880,272	982,895,946
Saguache	18,444,220	19,426,830	5,990,050	286,400	15,013,771	1,223,620	0	0	6,070,792	66,455,683
San Juan	13,060,528	9,980,218	8,702,907	580,510	1,819	8,459,416	0	0	2,884,127	43,669,525
San Miguel	172,619,248	384,544,936	100,359,824	3,965,620	8,280,274	2,705,729	0	27,768,065	15,733,744	715,977,440
Sedgwick	291,870	5,624,759	3,789,614	140,868	16,193,441	102,703	0	395,564	33,100,600	59,639,419
Summit	168,972,173	1,010,871,464 175,734,114	312,638,516	11,559,036	1,499,688	897,733	172 692 102	0	34,077,992	1,540,516,602
Teller Washington	67,576,161 209,214	175,734,114	105,157,894 4,057,015	4,770,360 562,497	1,631,125 31,016,958		172,683,192	0 44,948,307	20,563,192 40,367,496	550,964,050 133,007,531
Weld	69,409,161	1,109,287,950	723,089,526	404,068,460	138,070,564	1,123,611 12,179,792	0	3,693,420,212	676,110,552	6,825,636,217
Yuma	1,146,880	28,729,635	25,488,170	8,293,650	59,511,953	769,121	0	119,907,457	53,320,592	297,167,458
	.,0,000	20,720,000	20, 100, 170	5,255,000	00,011,000	. 50, 121		,	00,020,002	20.,101,400

SUMMARY OF 2013 ESTIMATED VALUES

ADDENDUM F

COUNTY	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	NON-RESIDENTIAL
Adams	4,681,917,413	2,003,251,008	2,678,666,405
Alamosa	152,296,306	52,345,858	99,950,447
Arapahoe	7,646,227,726	3,760,811,128	3,885,416,598
Archuleta	298,684,880	131,342,006	167,342,874
Baca	77,677,857	6,626,994	71,050,864
Bent	79,033,378	7,611,589	71,421,789
Boulder	5,714,061,387	3,149,914,629	2,564,146,757
Broomfield Chaffee	1,075,149,034 360,799,737	451,655,589 170,180,520	623,493,445 190,619,217
Cheyenne	173,196,214	4,125,742	169,070,472
Clear Creek	646,893,054	93,314,681	553,578,373
Conejos	65,250,336	29,185,315	36,065,021
Costilla	140,517,241	15,582,789	124,934,452
Crowley	37,128,372	6,066,137	31,062,235
Custer	99,663,889	53,813,192	45,850,698
Delta	291,643,123	148,104,612	143,538,511
Denver	11,213,372,022	4,355,521,721	6,857,850,302
Dolores	108,983,703	12,604,827	96,378,876
Douglas Eagle	4,651,420,816 2,578,193,403	2,714,425,033 1,762,494,058	1,936,995,783 815,699,345
El Paso	6,303,429,804	3,472,271,669	2,831,158,135
Elbert	237,768,874	152,606,687	85,162,187
Fremont	434,186,036	184,912,427	249,273,609
Garfield	3,932,653,339	306,389,883	3,626,263,457
Gilpin	361,557,267	57,716,510	303,840,757
Grand	770,329,128	333,378,367	436,950,762
Gunnison	617,094,671	253,714,443	363,380,228
Hinsdale	61,894,446	32,341,500	29,552,946
Huerfano	122,500,268	40,486,609	82,013,659
Jackson Jefferson	44,342,135 7,145,573,013	9,421,867	34,920,268
Kiowa	44,646,485	4,295,192,717 2,135,013	2,850,380,296 42,511,472
Kit Carson	136,543,519	23,535,001	113,008,518
La Plata	2,377,536,413	565,232,296	1,812,304,117
Lake	173,780,396	51,325,715	122,454,681
Larimer	4,147,796,021	2,263,135,169	1,884,660,852
Las Animas	500,043,718	56,689,680	443,354,038
Lincoln	114,624,928	11,451,362	103,173,567
Logan	279,574,355	59,361,255	220,213,099
Mesa	2,084,084,429	816,050,523	1,268,033,906
Mineral Moffat	37,482,146 487,359,416	18,257,891 54,359,373	19,224,255 433,000,042
Montezuma	675,165,503	124,573,905	550,591,598
Montrose	490,473,415	197,209,192	293,264,223
Morgan	441,497,423	88,393,382	353,104,041
Otero	130,678,169	41,549,554	89,128,615
Ouray	149,974,881	68,900,873	81,074,009
Park	392,182,909	195,442,476	196,740,433
Phillips	69,361,394	15,610,138	53,751,257
Pitkin	2,465,210,984	1,629,668,680	835,542,304
Prowers Pueblo	126,343,644 1,655,829,252	22,989,320 578,155,523	103,354,324 1,077,673,729
Rio Blanco	1,460,127,791	43,044,067	1,417,083,724
Rio Grande	180,813,265	66,245,490	114,567,775
Routt	982,895,946	501,615,596	481,280,350
Saguache	66,455,683	19,426,830	47,028,853
San Juan	43,669,525	9,980,218	33,689,307
San Miguel	715,977,440	384,544,936	331,432,504
Sedgwick	59,639,419	5,624,759	54,014,660
Summit	1,540,516,602	1,010,871,464	529,645,138
Teller	550,964,050	175,734,114	375,229,936
Washington Weld	133,007,531 6,825,636,217	10,722,433 1,109,287,950	122,285,098 5,716,348,267
Yuma	297,167,458	28,729,635	268,437,823
	,,,	25,. 25,300	200, 10. ,020

89,960,499,201 38,317,263,917 51,643,235,284

NEW CONSTRUCTION ADDENDUM G

COUNTY	2012 NEW CO	OTHER NC	TOTAL NC	COUNTY 2	011 NEW CONSTRU	CTION OTHER NC	TOTAL NC
ADAMS	12,226,520	14,788,990	27,015,510	ADAMS	10,924,680	16,638,550	27,563,230
ALAMOSA	527,619	521,691	1,049,310	ALAMOSA	562,942	1,534,578	2,097,520
ARAPAHOE	19,744,360	18,773,050	38,517,410	ARAPAHOE	24,134,470	25,504,390	49,638,860
ARCHULETA	1,265,650	146,990	1,412,640	ARCHULETA	1,084,140	179,200	1,263,340
BACA	81,632	507,744	589,376	BACA	91,980	153,703	245,683
BENT	13,689	3,300	16,989	BENT	(9,619)	12,191	2,572
BOULDER	18,536,735	9,891,643	28,428,378	BOULDER	15,091,733	8,345,343	23,437,076
BROOMFIELD	5,776,520 1,920,821	5,054,670	10,831,190	BROOMFIELD CHAFFEE	7,878,040	6,851,570 867,860	14,729,610
CHAFFEE CHEYENNE	69,208	880,754 141,506	2,801,575 210,714	CHEYENNE	2,179,010 26,062	415,130	3,046,870 441,192
CLEAR CREEK	501,060	879,040	1,380,100	CLEAR CREEK	174,420	2,485,100	2,659,520
CONEJOS	226,446	321,932	548,378	CONEJOS	497,722	189,164	686,886
COSTILLA	686,360	174,786	861,146	COSTILLA	533,592	44,484	578,076
CROWLEY	8,672	63,177	71,849	CROWLEY	110,252	60,823	171,075
CUSTER	666,160	25,480	691,640	CUSTER	665,500	30,980	696,480
DELTA	918,410	1,348,870	2,267,280	DELTA	1,298,860	94,920	1,393,780
DENVER	33,014,700	47,086,000	80,100,700	DENVER	49,383,220	33,909,090	83,292,310
DOLORES	124,866	28,985	153,851	DOLORES	139,364	73,473	212,837
DOUGLAS	28,055,897	20,613,796	48,669,693	DOUGLAS	27,591,826	17,060,030	44,651,856
EAGLE	7,737,930	4,527,640	12,265,570	EAGLE	43,275,220	6,714,880	49,990,100
EL PASO	48,080,420	13,996,540	62,076,960	EL PASO	46,057,040	20,312,980	66,370,020
ELBERT	1,130,450	1,245,160	2,375,610	ELBERT	1,280,730	871,080	2,151,810
FREMONT	1,544,490	361,070	1,905,560	FREMONT	1,509,190	1,248,990	2,758,180
GARFIELD	1,915,540	5,964,280	7,879,820	GARFIELD	3,146,510	4,951,740	8,098,250
GILPIN	14,896,375	20,761,144	35,657,519	GILPIN	1,792,030	149,920	1,941,950
GRAND	2,889,470	807,920	3,697,390	GRAND	3,439,180	309,590	3,748,770
GUNNISON	3,696,390	388,190	4,084,580	GUNNISON	3,642,250	6,825,500	10,467,750
HINSDALE	133,560	70.374	133,560	HINSDALE	692,980	241,740	934,720
HUERFANO JACKSON	215,079 142,441	79,374 0	294,453 142,441	HUERFANO JACKSON	7,179	298,867	306,046
JEFFERSON	17,418,540	11,686,097	29,104,637	JEFFERSON	62,539 15,734,730	28,039 15,745,176	90,578 31,479,906
KIOWA	1,180	1,524,010	1,525,190	KIOWA	23,660	1,406,980	1,430,640
KIT CARSON	122,586	1,619,562	1,742,148	KIT CARSON	131,106	3,133,571	3,264,677
LA PLATA	4,457,550	16,262,660	20,720,210	LA PLATA	4,653,130	5,592,790	10,245,920
LAKE	185,556	22,334,159	22,519,715	LAKE	432,544	4,773,878	5,206,422
LARIMER	20,478,493	16,668,814	37,147,307	LARIMER	23,201,170	19,204,365	42,405,535
LAS ANIMAS	288,940	1,175,190	1,464,130	LAS ANIMAS	1,036,000	776,550	1,812,550
LINCOLN	112,607	1,699,483	1,812,090	LINCOLN	73,856	364,152	438,008
LOGAN	192,530	656,130	848,660	LOGAN	205,330	17,210	222,540
MESA	4,758,250	13,824,290	18,582,540	MESA	8,655,740	7,587,560	16,243,300
MINERAL	54,330	28,230	82,560	MINERAL	166,930	166,985	333,915
MOFFAT	480,564	2,598,741	3,079,305	MOFFAT	204,971	634,123	839,094
MONTEZUMA	656,257	938,193	1,594,450	MONTEZUMA	735,242	1,502,926	2,238,168
MONTROSE	1,373,210	365,500	1,738,710	MONTROSE	2,226,040	1,174,920	3,400,960
MORGAN	600,960	2,248,190	2,849,150	MORGAN	550,490	2,024,250	2,574,740
OTERO OURAY	81,584	249,065	330,649 1,176,650	OTERO OURAY	8,388	63,188	71,576
PARK	827,560 2,141,010	349,090 791,280	2,932,290	PARK	1,392,850 1,902,686	156,180 127,596	1,549,030 2,030,282
PHILLIPS	80,800	1,325,220	1,406,020	PHILLIPS	132,530	622,720	755,250
PITKIN	11,761,440	1,093,240	12,854,680	PITKIN	13,051,680	543,230	13,594,910
PROWERS	186,123	437,543	623,666	PROWERS	95,255	1,281,899	1,377,154
PUEBLO	2,566,475	108,996,386	111,562,861	PUEBLO	4,116,577	257,211,177	261,327,754
RIO BLANCO	960,250	2,837,850	3,798,100	RIO BLANCO	643,030	1,106,700	1,749,730
RIO GRANDE	659,244	614,451	1,273,695	RIO GRANDE	759,855	660,811	1,420,666
ROUTT	3,785,180	12,393,230	16,178,410	ROUTT	4,142,490	1,856,010	5,998,500
SAGUACHE	929,340	53,860	983,200	SAGUACHE	8,940	183,880	192,820
SAN JUAN	73,043	0	73,043	SAN JUAN	0	0	0
SAN MIGUEL	4,476,760	172,250	4,649,010	SAN MIGUEL	9,969,889	918,016	10,887,905
SEDGWICK	56,045	96,819	152,864	SEDGWICK	167,981	0	167,981
SUMMIT	7,004,366	2,177,034	9,181,400	SUMMIT	17,193,132	4,527,088	21,720,220
TELLER	1,135,370	364,140	1,499,510	TELLER	1,293,368	489,375	1,782,743
WASHINGTON	115,489	413,435	528,924	WASHINGTON	155,633	537,965	693,598
WELD	11,055,757	136,235,339	147,291,096	WELD	9,885,944	38,114,943	48,000,887
YUMA	346,910	1,263,580	1,610,490	YUMA	126,810	1,028,250	1,155,060
TOTAL	306,171,769	532,876,783	839,048,552	TOTAL	370,341,019	529,938,369	900,279,388

METALLIC MINES ANALYSIS WORKSHEET - 2012

ADDENDUM	Н
----------	---

Molybdenum (6110)	2010 \$/TON	2012 TONS	Calculated A.V. 2010	MOLY(6110) 2010	MOLY(6110) 2012	2012 \$/TON
CLEAR CREEK GRAND - COMBINED WITH CLEAR CREEK	\$62.38	8,971,514	\$559,675,221	372,887,970	341,101,950 74,465,050	\$38.02
LAKE	\$0.00	0	\$0		\$0	\$0.00
	-	8,971,514	559,675,221	372,887,970	\$415,567,000	
Precious Metals (6120)	2010 \$/TON	2012 TONS	Calculated A.V. 2010	PREC(6120) 2010	PREC(6120) 2012	2012 \$/TON
HINSDALE	\$0.00	454	\$0	\$0	\$44,570	\$98.28
PARK TELLER	\$1.13 \$2.78	15,704 21,507,403	. ,	\$0 \$57,398,810	\$17,700 \$110,365,080	\$1.13 \$5.13
	-	21,523,561	59,825,287	57,398,810	\$110,427,350	
Base Metals (6130)	2010 \$/TON	2012 TONS	Calculated A.V. 2010	BASE (6130) 2010	BASE (6130) 2012	2012 \$/TON
COSTILLA FREMONT LAKE SAN JUAN	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0			\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
	•	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	•
Strategic Metals (6140)	2010 \$/TON	2012 TONS	Calculated A.V. 2010	STRA(6140) 2010	STRA(6140) 2012	2012 \$/TON
JEFFERSON	\$0.00		\$0			\$0.00
MESA MONTROSE SAN MIGUEL	\$0.00 \$48.29 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$32,400	\$0	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
	-	0	\$0	\$32,400	\$0	
Oil Shale/Retort (6150)	2010 \$/TON	2012 TONS	Calculated A.V. 2010	RETO(6150) 2010	RETO(6150) 2012	2012 \$/TON
GARFIELD	\$0.00		\$0			\$0.00
	-	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Totals		30,495,075	\$619,500,507	\$430,319,180	\$525,994,350	
INCREASE OR DECREASE			\$189,181,327			

COAL MINES (ANALYSIS WORKSHEET - 2012)

ADDENDUM I

	0.0		,				
			CALCULATED	TRUE	TRUE		
	2010	2012	2010 ASSESSED	2010 ASSESSED	2012 ASSESSED	2012	
	\$/TON	TONS	VALUE	VALUE	VALUE	\$/TON	
ADAMS	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
ALAMOSA	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
ARAPAHOE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0.00	
ARCHULETA BACA	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00	
BENT	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00	
BOULDER	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
BROOMFIELD	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
CHAFFEE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
CHEYENNE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
CLEAR CREEK	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
CONEJOS COSTILLA	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00	
CROWLEY	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00	
CUSTER	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
DELTA	\$10.61	2,235,055	\$23,707,068	\$12,924,160	\$4,201,920	\$1.88	
DENVER	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
DOLORES	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
DOUGLAS	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
EAGLE EL PASO	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00	
ELBERT	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00	
FREMONT	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0.00	
GARFIELD	\$4.76	490	\$2,332	\$1,258,730	\$2,200	\$4.49	
GILPIN	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
GRAND	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
GUNNISON	\$2.87	8,917,087	\$25,635,786	\$28,460,200	\$28,425,240	\$3.19	
HINSDALE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0.00	
HUERFANO JACKSON	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00	
JEFFERSON	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00	
KIOWA	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
KIT CARSON	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
LA PLATA	\$4.10	606,680	\$2,486,903	\$2,066,960	\$2,156,010	\$3.55	
LAKE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
LARIMER	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
LAS ANIMAS LINCOLN	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00	
LOGAN	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00	
MESA	\$0.29	0	\$0	\$145,940	\$0	\$0.00	
MINERAL	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
MOFFAT	\$3.28	3,428,658	\$11,260,841	\$16,192,970	\$8,871,770	\$2.59	
MONTEZUMA	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
MONTROSE	\$4.48	360,099	\$1,612,140	\$1,673,290	\$1,554,580	\$4.32	
MORGAN OTERO	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00	
OURAY	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00	
PARK	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
PHILLIPS	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
PITKIN	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
PROWERS	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
PUEBLO	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
RIO BLANCO RIO GRANDE	\$3.18 \$0.00	3,320,070 0	\$10,563,038 \$0	\$7,252,540 \$0	\$10,960,910 \$0	\$3.30 \$0.00	
ROUTT	\$0.00 \$2.67	7,748,909	\$20,681,775	\$20,890,410	\$16,041,120	\$0.00 \$2.07	
SAGUACHE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
SAN JUAN	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
SAN MIGUEL	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
SEDGWICK	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	
SUMMIT	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0 ***	\$0.00	
TELLER	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00	
WASHINGTON WELD	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00	
YUMA	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00	
			•				
Totals		26,617,048	\$95,949,881	\$90,865,200	\$72,213,750		
INCREASE OR D	ECREASE		\$5,084,681		\$72,231,299		

EARTH & STONE ANALYSIS WORKSHEET - 2012

ADDENDUM J

EARTH & OTONE ANALTOIC WORKOTTELT - 2012						LINDOMO
			CALCULATED	TRUE	TRUE	
	2010	2012	2010 ASSESSED	2010 ASSESSED	2012 ASSESSED	2012
	\$/TON	TONS	VALUE	VALUE	VALUE	\$/TON
ADAMS	\$0.62	2,907,967	\$1,805,970	\$2,518,200	\$2,622,970	\$0.90
ALAMOSA	\$0.68	21,535	\$14,659	\$42,205	\$18,303	\$0.85
ARAPAHOE	\$0.43	24,972	\$10,727	\$11,370	\$7,740	\$0.31
ARCHULETA	\$0.70	157,626	\$109,607	\$179,570	\$192,500	\$1.22
BACA BENT	\$0.32 \$0.46	30,103 33,411	\$9,655 \$15,291	\$22,264 \$17,987	\$17,132 \$14,981	\$0.57 \$0.45
BOULDER	\$0.40	884,620	\$354,771	\$344,090	\$699,857	\$0.43
BROOMFIELD	\$0.00	0 .,020	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
CHAFFEE	\$0.64	421,221	\$269,489	\$251,390	\$383,060	\$0.91
CHEYENNE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
CLEAR CREEK	\$0.47	1,802,643	\$840,753	\$725,490	\$953,240	\$0.53
CONEJOS COSTILLA	\$0.67 \$0.63	56,959 53,891	\$38,069	\$51,624	\$47,063 \$46,312	\$0.83 \$0.86
CROWLEY	\$0.63 \$0.77	39,806	\$34,211 \$30,838	\$68,918 \$26,437	\$20,172	\$0.50 \$0.51
CUSTER	\$1.14	8,540	\$9,774	\$10,990	\$8,810	\$1.03
DELTA	\$1.33	158,473	\$211,234	\$191,920	\$201,730	\$1.27
DENVER	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
DOLORES	\$0.00	1,751	\$0	\$0	\$2,663	\$1.52
DOUGLAS	\$1.74	136,212	\$236,710	\$290,670	\$305,100	\$2.24
EAGLE	\$0.50	712,639 2,533,537	\$353,758	\$383,130 \$1,166,470	\$508,850 \$1,465,480	\$0.71
EL PASO ELBERT	\$0.49 \$0.53	2,555,557	\$1,234,843 \$97	\$1,166,470 \$16,750	\$1,465,480 \$28,280	\$0.58 \$152.86
FREMONT	\$0.78	2,275,996	\$1,782,953	\$2,349,260	\$1,986,100	\$0.87
GARFIELD	\$0.44	1,599,229	\$703,701	\$1,026,480	\$939,840	\$0.59
GILPIN	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
GRAND	\$0.60	353,331	\$211,722	\$150,170	\$251,120	\$0.71
GUNNISON	\$3.31	129,858	\$430,245	\$223,200	\$367,300	\$2.83
HINSDALE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
HUERFANO	\$0.65	20,008	\$13,071	\$77,099 \$10,056	\$9,964 \$17,140	\$0.50
JACKSON JEFFERSON	\$0.45 \$0.54	22,334 4,465,116	\$9,949 \$2,433,363	\$10,956 \$2,283,670	\$17,140 \$3,404,222	\$0.77 \$0.76
KIOWA	\$0.16	72,513	\$11,798	\$13,990	\$22,130	\$0.31
KIT CARSON	\$0.32	126,337	\$39,872	\$37,302	\$42,173	\$0.33
LA PLATA	\$0.91	1,042,866	\$947,462	\$1,004,310	\$949,130	\$0.91
LAKE	\$0.59	95,246	\$55,900	\$35,756	\$80,930	\$0.85
LARIMER	\$0.77	1,992,563	\$1,525,334	\$807,580	\$1,156,750	\$0.58
LAS ANIMAS LINCOLN	\$0.68 \$0.36	143,453 46,281	\$97,053 \$16,705	\$112,380 \$83,130	\$74,180 \$14,550	\$0.52 \$0.31
LOGAN	\$0.36	169,372	\$62,590	\$102,690	\$14,550 \$55,310	\$0.33
MESA	\$0.50	1,261,411	\$631,408	\$951,450	\$895,940	\$0.71
MINERAL	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
MOFFAT	\$0.58	462,538	\$269,693	\$295,200	\$398,329	\$0.86
MONTEZUMA	\$0.76	159,142	\$120,626	\$207,600	\$186,640	\$1.17
MONTROSE	\$0.59	343,474	\$202,653	\$317,350	\$363,140	\$1.06
MORGAN	\$0.37	131,913	\$49,117	\$81,470 \$34,510	\$41,230	\$0.31
OTERO OURAY	\$0.47 \$0.29	56,517 19,338	\$26,786 \$5,551	\$34,519 \$8,190	\$28,993 \$17,180	\$0.51 \$0.89
PARK	\$1.07	193,990	\$207,589	\$105,540	\$201,740	\$1.04
PHILLIPS	\$0.46	167,967	\$76,454	\$48,010	\$78,080	\$0.46
PITKIN	\$0.62	98,930	\$60,998	\$39,560	\$87,700	\$0.89
PROWERS	\$0.39	1,208,053	\$475,495	\$420,900	\$525,066	\$0.43
PUEBLO	\$0.69	\$2,108,278	\$1,463,385	\$1,254,440	\$1,628,920	\$0.77
RIO BLANCO	\$2.96	196,107	\$580,478	\$1,974,530	\$1,873,650	\$9.55
RIO GRANDE	\$0.80 \$0.40	37,018 382,403	\$29,520 \$197,670	\$91,451 \$317,560	\$72,052 \$360,330	\$1.95 \$0.94
ROUTT SAGUACHE	\$0.49 \$0.00	362,403	\$187,679 \$0	\$317,560 \$0	\$360,320 \$0	\$0.94 \$0.00
SAN JUAN	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
SAN MIGUEL	\$39.45	0	\$0	\$519,730	\$0	\$0.00
SEDGWICK	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$10,960	\$0	\$0.00
SUMMIT	\$0.60	400,216	\$240,753	\$211,618	\$348,714	\$0.87
TELLER	\$0.73	66,492	\$48,517	\$31,760	\$56,170	\$0.84
WASHINGTON	\$0.26 \$0.56	68,697	\$17,726 \$2,822,465	\$22,864 \$3,305,460	\$19,698 \$4,202,220	\$0.29 \$0.63
WELD YUMA	\$0.56 \$0.17	6,828,857 217,732	\$3,823,465 \$37,389	\$3,305,460 \$101,770	\$4,203,230 \$56,480	\$0.62 \$0.26
Totals	ψυ. 17	36,949,667	\$22,477,460	\$24,989,380	\$28,358,354	Ψ0.20
INCREASE OR D	ECREASE	,,	-\$2,511,920	. ,,	,,	

PRIMARY OIL ANALYSIS WORKSHEET 2012

ADDENDUM K

TRIMART OIL ARAETOID	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L1 2012			אסו	DENIDOW K
	2010	2012	CALCULATED 2010 ASSESSED	TRUE 2010 ASSESSED	TRUE 2012 ASSESSED	Calculated 2012
	\$/BBL	BBLs	VALUE	VALUE	VALUE	\$/BBL
ADAMS	\$61.97	417,730	\$25,887,576	\$11,756,590	\$29,340,690	\$70.24
ALAMOSA	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$2.740.040	\$0.00
ARAPAHOE ARCHULETA	\$31.22 \$94.50	61,536 3,060	\$1,921,015 \$289,163	\$2,048,290 \$246,450	\$3,746,040 \$221,070	\$60.88 \$72.25
BACA	\$47.28	9,726	\$459,871	\$580,773	\$771,345	\$72.23 \$79.31
BENT	\$18.59	6,939	\$129,010	\$19,410	\$200,503	\$28.90
BOULDER	\$46.75	268,508	\$12,552,072	\$8,754,120	\$15,176,617	\$56.52
BROOMFIELD	\$40.81	152,452	\$6,220,974	\$1,815,260	\$10,570,140	\$69.33
CHAFFEE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
CHEYENNE	\$47.71	326,489	\$15,577,952	\$16,980,588	\$24,656,356	\$75.52
CLEAR CREEK CONEJOS	\$0.00	0 0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
COSTILLA	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00
CROWLEY	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
CUSTER	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
DELTA	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
DENVER	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
DOLORES	\$20.19	26,968	\$544,363	\$559,119	\$1,247,104	\$46.24
DOUGLAS	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
EAGLE EL PASO	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
ELBERT	\$0.00 \$42.14	31.423	\$1,324,066	ֆՍ \$1,415,250	\$0 \$1,855,930	\$0.00 \$59.06
FREMONT	\$49.08	248,681	\$12,204,772	\$4,020,570	\$18,927,080	\$76.11
GARFIELD	\$28.96	2,745,455	\$79,497,699	\$63,050,020	\$139,195,410	\$50.70
GILPIN	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
GRAND	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
GUNNISON	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
HINSDALE	\$0.00	0 0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
HUERFANO JACKSON	\$0.00 \$25.20	121,597	\$0 \$3,064,460	\$0 \$3,460,304	\$0 \$7,604,239	\$0.00 \$62.54
JEFFERSON	\$0.00	121,597	\$3,004,400	\$3,460,304 \$0	\$0	\$0.00
KIOWA	\$49.10	213,925	\$10,503,658	\$6,519,510	\$16,242,680	\$75.93
KIT CARSON	\$50.12	10,190	\$510,683	\$517,549	\$540,977	\$53.09
LA PLATA	\$41.17	35,332	\$1,454,592	\$1,107,700	\$2,056,180	\$58.20
LAKE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
LARIMER	\$37.09	80,108	\$2,971,449	\$1,819,562	\$5,444,982	\$67.97
LAS ANIMAS LINCOLN	\$0.00 \$47.71	0 1,198,001	\$0 \$57,155,970	\$0 \$12,232,560	\$0 \$30,688,940	\$0.00 \$25.62
LOGAN	\$37.79	181,876	\$6,872,934	\$8,684,960	\$15,132,110	\$83.20
MESA	\$33.62	96,471	\$3,242,932	\$4,693,210	\$6,314,010	\$65.45
MINERAL	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
MOFFAT	\$33.61	165,324	\$5,555,914	\$8,723,770	\$18,880,801	\$114.20
MONTEZUMA	\$25.30	140,686	\$3,558,957	\$3,859,690	\$8,270,190	\$58.78
MONTROSE MORGAN	\$0.00 \$39.54	0 80,674	\$0 \$3,189,462	\$0 \$1,808,300	\$0 \$5,203,150	\$0.00 \$64.50
OTERO	\$0.00	00,674	\$3,169,462 \$0	\$1,606,300 \$0	\$5,203,150 \$0	\$0.00
OURAY	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
PARK	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
PHILLIPS	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
PITKIN	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
PROWERS	\$47.43	10,905	\$517,239	\$729,400	\$809,173	\$74.20
PUEBLO BIO BLANCO	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$40,305,543	\$0 \$46.776.730	\$0 \$25,430,730	\$0.00
RIO BLANCO RIO GRANDE	\$29.86 \$0.00	645,848 0	\$19,285,512 \$0	\$16,776,730 \$0	\$35,130,720 \$0	\$54.39 \$0.00
ROUTT	\$34.54	65,132	\$2,249,711	\$2,313,059	\$4,616,820	\$70.88
SAGUACHE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
SAN JUAN	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
SAN MIGUEL	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
SEDGWICK	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
SUMMIT	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
TELLER WASHINGTON	\$0.00 \$40.68	0 457,571	\$0 \$18,615,455	\$0 \$21,481,994	\$0 \$30,937,318	\$0.00 \$67.61
WELD	\$40.68 \$44.82	26,471,551	\$1,186,437,602	\$21,481,994 \$788,058,470	\$30,937,318 \$1,930,583,900	\$72.93
YUMA	\$0.00	3,805	\$0	\$0	\$237,340	\$62.38
Totals		34,277,963	\$1,481,795,064	\$994,033,208	\$2,364,601,815	•
INCREASE OR DECREASE		•	\$487,761,856			

SECONDARY OIL ANALYSIS WORKSHEET 2012

ADDENDUM L

	2010 \$/BBL	2012 BARRELS	CALCULATED 2010 ASSESSED VALUE	TRUE 2010 ASSESSED VALUE	TRUE 2012 ASSESSED VALUE	Calculated 2012 \$/BBL
ADAMS	\$86.06	21,492	\$1,849,621	\$463,610	\$829,520	\$38.60
ALAMOSA	\$0.00	21,492	\$1,049,021	\$403,010	\$029,320 \$0	\$0.00
ARAPAHOE	\$40.50	0	\$0	\$30,860	\$0	\$0.00
ARCHULETA	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
BACA	\$43.10	29,000	\$1,249,851	\$1,468,360	\$2,009,848	\$69.31
BENT BOULDER	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00
BROOMFIELD	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00
CHAFFEE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
CHEYENNE	\$44.19	983,496	\$43,456,238	\$47,692,476	\$64,050,607	\$65.13
CLEAR CREEK	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
CONEJOS COSTILLA	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
CROWLEY	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00
CUSTER	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
DELTA	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
DENVER	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
DOLORES	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00
DOUGLAS EAGLE	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00
EL PASO	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
ELBERT	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
FREMONT	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
GARFIELD GILPIN	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
GRAND	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00
GUNNISON	\$31.84	2,063	\$65,694	\$55,440	\$72,280	\$35.04
HINSDALE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
HUERFANO	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
JACKSON	\$27.13	53,638 0	\$1,455,435	\$1,617,943	\$3,016,238	\$56.23
JEFFERSON KIOWA	\$0.00 \$35.11	1,539	\$0 \$54,030	\$0 \$84,960	\$0 \$94,750	\$0.00 \$61.57
KIT CARSON	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
LA PLATA	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
LAKE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
LARIMER LAS ANIMAS	\$28.11 \$0.00	74,876 0	\$2,104,718 \$0	\$1,861,797 \$0	\$4,048,628 \$0	\$54.07 \$0.00
LINCOLN	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
LOGAN	\$10.68	14,791	\$157,923	\$596,790	\$991,800	\$67.05
MESA	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
MINERAL	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
MOFFAT MONTEZUMA	\$24.91 \$30.24	27,480 4,145	\$684,568 \$125,354	\$1,019,030 \$470,510	\$1,447,215 \$429,980	\$52.66 \$103.73
MONTROSE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
MORGAN	\$38.41	34,293	\$1,317,315	\$1,372,170	\$2,241,070	\$65.35
OTERO	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
OURAY PARK	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
PHILLIPS	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00
PITKIN	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
PROWERS	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
PUEBLO	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
RIO BLANCO RIO GRANDE	\$38.88 \$0.00	3,946,827 0	\$153,451,269 \$0	\$178,164,460 \$0	\$253,764,600 \$0	\$64.30 \$0.00
ROUTT	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
SAGUACHE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
SAN JUAN	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
SAN MIGUEL	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
SEDGWICK SUMMIT	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00
TELLER	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00
WASHINGTON	\$26.37	65,177	\$1,718,793	\$500,261	\$4,199,454	\$64.43
WELD	\$31.13	65,627	\$2,043,129	\$2,736,760	\$3,778,720	\$57.58
YUMA	\$0.00	4	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$62.50
Totals	\$8.39	5,324,448	\$209,733,940	\$238,135,427	\$340,974,960	\$14.34
INCREASE OR DI	ECREASE		-\$28,401,487			

PRIMARY GAS ANALYSIS WORKSHEET 2012

ADDENDUM M

TRIBACT GAO ANALTOID WORKSTEEL 2012					LINDOMINI	
	2010 \$/MCF	2012 MCFS	CALCULATED 2010 ASSESSED VALUE	TRUE 2010 ASSESSED VALUE	TRUE 2012 ASSESSED VALUE	2012 \$/MCF
ADAMS	\$3.46	5,817,421	\$20,146,107	\$16,073,210	\$26,900,340	\$4.62
ALAMOSA	\$0.00	0,017,121	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
ARAPAHOE	\$2.69	515,000	\$1,383,308	\$1,099,440	\$2,935,620	\$5.70
ARCHULETA	\$1.56	10,741,717	\$16,784,745	\$16,930,310	\$22,898,240	\$2.13
BACA	\$1.14	1,193,789	\$1,366,360	\$1,690,806	\$1,738,772	\$1.46
BENT	\$1.89	332,485	\$627,866	\$750,520	\$629,022	\$1.89
BOULDER BROOMFIELD	\$6.12 \$3.53	3,074,649 1,893,391	\$18,819,549 \$6,685,498	\$12,450,170 \$3,326,060	\$9,748,630 \$4,895,610	\$3.17 \$2.59
CHAFFEE	\$0.00	0	\$0,085,498 \$0	\$3,320,000	\$4,093,010	\$0.00
CHEYENNE	\$2.05	1,338,915	\$2,744,278	\$3,488,137	\$3,561,154	\$2.66
CLEAR CREEK	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
CONEJOS	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
COSTILLA	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
CROWLEY	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
CUSTER DELTA	\$0.00 \$2.09	0 14,863	\$0 \$30,998	\$0 \$19,200	\$0 \$40,310	\$0.00 \$2.71
DENVER	\$0.00	14,003	\$30,998 \$0	\$19,200 \$0	\$40,310 \$0	\$0.00
DOLORES	\$1.66	406,443	\$674,833	\$1,033,348	\$1,071,895	\$2.64
DOUGLAS	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
EAGLE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
EL PASO	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
ELBERT	\$3.40	160,695	\$545,811	\$611,480	\$1,025,800	\$6.38
FREMONT	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$4 534 443 040	\$0.00
GARFIELD GILPIN	\$2.11 \$0.00	640,570,008 0	\$1,350,739,405 \$0	\$1,155,350,180 \$0	\$1,521,413,940 \$0	\$2.38 \$0.00
GRAND	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
GUNNISON	\$1.89	1,602,983	\$3,033,210	\$2,410,780	\$4,191,930	\$2.62
HINSDALE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
HUERFANO	\$4.91	1,259,917	\$6,187,156	\$2,301,528	\$1,068,243	\$0.85
JACKSON	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
JEFFERSON	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$072.725	\$0	\$0 \$1,214,000	\$0.00
KIOWA KIT CARSON	\$2.30 \$1.27	379,428 57,262	\$873,725 \$72,747	\$1,095,260 \$213,811	\$1,214,060 \$118,013	\$3.20 \$2.06
LA PLATA	\$1.63	413,359,921	\$673,623,372	\$688,780,460	\$763,570,820	\$2.00 \$1.85
LAKE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
LARIMER	\$3.33	369,965	\$1,232,413	\$1,166,779	\$1,668,548	\$4.51
LAS ANIMAS	\$1.37	92,974,228	\$127,832,401	\$143,771,850	\$158,538,450	\$1.71
LINCOLN	\$0.00	47,729	\$0	\$0	\$844,950	\$17.70
LOGAN	\$2.63	92,812	\$244,365	\$356,840	\$697,430	\$7.51
MESA MINERAL	\$1.36 \$0.00	41,391,156 0	\$56,202,954 \$0	\$55,802,090 \$0	\$108,017,660 \$0	\$2.61 \$0.00
MOFFAT	\$1.89	13,323,605	\$25,167,020	\$38,946,140	\$53,392,716	\$4.01
MONTEZUMA	\$2.22	1,346,715	\$2,989,050	\$4,229,180	\$3,630,780	\$2.70
MONTROSE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
MORGAN	\$1.84	77,692	\$143,127	\$416,540	\$284,330	\$3.66
OTERO	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
OURAY	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00
PARK PHILLIPS	\$0.00 \$1.90	1,886,549	\$0 \$3,587,809	\$0 \$2,338,230	\$0 \$4,411,050	\$0.00 \$2.34
PITKIN	\$0.00	1,000,549	\$0,587,609	\$2,336,230	\$4,411,030	\$0.00
PROWERS	\$1.72	696,138	\$1,197,811	\$1,614,040	\$1,607,124	\$2.31
PUEBLO	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
RIO BLANCO	\$1.63	101,089,295	\$164,777,088	\$81,534,210	\$188,423,450	\$1.86
RIO GRANDE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
ROUTT	\$1.76	35,825	\$63,203	\$85,869	\$31,610	\$0.88
SAGUACHE	\$0.00	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.00 \$0.00
SAN JUAN SAN MIGUEL	\$0.00 \$1.52	5,955,625	\$9,069,185	\$15,770,570	\$14,085,410	\$0.00 \$2.37
SEDGWICK	\$2.16	45,166	\$97,382	\$53,070	\$104,620	\$2.32
SUMMIT	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
TELLER	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
WASHINGTON	\$2.17	1,431,027	\$3,102,807	\$3,283,371	\$3,682,837	\$2.57
WELD	\$3.47	232,066,303	\$805,347,097	\$703,799,480	\$1,118,705,670	\$4.82
YUMA Totals	\$1.98	38,456,000 1,614,004,717	\$76,259,989 \$3,381,652,669	\$81,168,980 \$3,041,961,939	\$90,105,180 \$4,115,254,214	\$2.34
INCREASE OR DE	CREASE	1,014,004,717	\$3,381,652,669	ψ υ,υ≒1,3 01,333	φ 4 , ι 10,204,214	
			,			

SECONDARY GAS ANALYSIS WORKSHEET 2012

ADDENDUM N

Part	OLOONDAN I GAG	ANALIGIO	VOICIONELL	2012		ADD	LINDOMIN
NADAMS				CALCULATED	TRUE	TRUE	Calculated
ADAMS							
ALAMOSA ARAPAHOE S0.00 0 0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 ARCHULETA S0.00 0 S0		•					
ARAPAMOE \$0.00 0 \$0.00 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$0.00 \$,	. ,			
ARCHUETA \$0.00 0 \$0 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00		•		·	·	·	
BACA				·	·	·	
BOLLDER \$0.00				·	·	·	
BROOMFIELD \$0.00	BENT	\$0.00		\$0	\$0	·	\$0.00
CH4PENE				·	·	·	
CHEYENNE		•		·	·	·	
CLEAR CREEK				·	·	·	
CONEDICIOS S0.00 O S0 S0 S0.00 S0.00 COSTILLA S0.00 O S0 S0 S0 S0.00 CROWLEY S0.00 O S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S							
CROWLEY		\$0.00		·	·	·	\$0.00
CUSTER	COSTILLA	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
DELTA		•		·	·	·	
DENNER				·	·	·	
DOLORES \$0.00				·	·		
DOUBLAS SO.00		•		·	·	·	
EAGLE EL PASO SO S				·	·	·	
ELBERT \$0.00				·	·		
FREMONT	EL PASO	\$0.00		·	·	·	
GARFIELD					·	·	
GILPIN				·	·	* -	
GRAND \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$		•		·	·	·	
GUINSON \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0				·	·		
HUBRFAND \$0.00				·	·	·	
JACKSON \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	HINSDALE	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
SEPERSON \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0		\$0.00		·	·	·	
KIOWA		•		·	·	·	
RIT CARSON \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0				·	·	·	
LAPLATA \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LAKE \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LAKE \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LARIMER \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LAS ANIMAS \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LINCOLN \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LINCOLN \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LINCOLN \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LINCOLN \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LINCOLN \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LINCOLN \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0				·	·	·	
LAKE \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LARIMER \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LARIMER \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LOGAN \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LINCOLN \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LINCOLN \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LOGAN \$3.07 \$2,187 \$6,706 \$6,660 \$5,510 \$3.89 MESA \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MORFAT \$2.40 \$618 \$1,483 \$0 \$0 \$1,507 \$2.44 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MORGAN \$1.71 \$1,270 \$2,167 \$13,930 \$2.790 \$2.23 MINTROSE \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MORGAN \$1.71 \$1,270 \$2,167 \$13,930 \$2.7790 \$2.20 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MORGAN \$1.71 \$1,270 \$2,167 \$13,930 \$2.7790 \$2.20 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MORGAN \$1.71 \$1,270 \$2.167 \$13,930 \$2.7790 \$2.20 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MORGAN \$1.71 \$1,270 \$2.167 \$13,930 \$2.790 \$2.20 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MORGAN \$1.71 \$1,270 \$2.167 \$13,930 \$2.790 \$2.20 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$				·	·	·	
LAS ANIMAS \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0	LAKE		0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
LINCOLN \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 LOGAN \$3.07 2,187 \$6,706 \$6,660 \$8,510 \$3.89 MESA \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MOFFAT \$2.40 618 \$1,483 \$0 \$1,507 \$2.44 MONTEZUMA \$0.30 \$9.38 \$2,710 \$5,260 \$19,940 \$2.23 MONTROSE \$0.00 0 \$0		•		·	·	·	
LOGAN \$3.07 2,187 \$6,706 \$6,660 \$8,510 \$3.89 MESA \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MOFFAT \$2.40 618 \$1,483 \$0 \$1,507 \$2.44 MONTEZUMA \$0.30 \$9,388 \$2,710 \$5,260 \$19,940 \$2.23 MONTROSE \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 MORGAN \$1.71 1,270 \$2,167 \$13,930 \$2,790 \$2.23 MORGAN \$1.71 1,270 \$2,167 \$13,930 \$2,790 \$2.20 OTERO \$0.00 0 \$0				·	·	·	
MESA \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MOFFAT \$2.40 618 \$1,483 \$0 \$1,507 \$2.44 MONTEZUMA \$0.30 \$,938 \$2,710 \$5,260 \$19,940 \$2.23 MONTROSE \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MORGAN \$1,71 1,270 \$2,167 \$13,930 \$2,790 \$2.20 OTERO \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 OURAY \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PARK \$0.00 0 \$0				·	·	·	
MINERAL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MOFFAT \$2.40 618 \$1,483 \$0 \$1,507 \$2.44 MONTEZUMA \$0.30 8,938 \$2,710 \$5,260 \$19,940 \$2.23 MONTROSE \$0.00 0 \$0			,				
MOFFAT \$2.40 618 \$1,483 \$0 \$1,507 \$2.44 MONTEZUMA \$0.30 8,938 \$2,710 \$5,260 \$19,940 \$2.23 MONTROSE \$0.00 0 \$0				·	·	·	
MONTROSE \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 MORGAN \$1.71 1,270 \$2,167 \$13,930 \$2,790 \$2.20 OTERO \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 OURAY \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PARK \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PHILLIPS \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0				·	·	·	
MORGAN \$1.71 1,270 \$2,167 \$13,930 \$2,790 \$2.20 OTERO \$0.00 0 \$0	MONTEZUMA	\$0.30	8,938	\$2,710	\$5,260	\$19,940	\$2.23
OTERO \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 OURAY \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PARK \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PHILLIPS \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PITKIN \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0							
OURAY \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PARK \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PHILLIPS \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PITKIN \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PROWERS \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0							
PARK \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PHILLIPS \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PITKIN \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PROWERS \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PUEBLO \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0				·		·	
PHILLIPS						·	
PROWERS \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 PUEBLO \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 RIO BLANCO \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 RIO GRANDE \$0.00 0 \$0 </td <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>·</td> <td>·</td> <td>·</td> <td></td>		•		·	·	·	
PUEBLO \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 RIO BLANCO \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 RIO GRANDE \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 ROUTT \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SAGUACHE \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SAN JUAN \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SAN MIGUEL \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SEDGWICK \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SUMMIT \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 WELLER \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0.00 WASHINGTON \$1.30 \$21,934 \$28,540 \$24,466 \$46,852 \$2.14 WELD \$1.67 1,014,659 \$1,691,9		\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
RIO BLANCO \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 RIO GRANDE \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 ROUTT \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SAGUACHE \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0							
RIO GRANDE \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 ROUTT \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SAGUACHE \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SAN JUAN \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SAN MIGUEL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SEDGWICK \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SUMMIT \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 TELLER \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 WASHINGTON \$1.30 21,934 \$28,540 \$24,466 \$46,852 \$2.14 WELD \$1.67 1,014,659 \$1,691,930 \$3,390 \$4,802,570 \$4.73 YUMA \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0							
ROUTT \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SAGUACHE \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SAN JUAN \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SAN MIGUEL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SEDGWICK \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SUMMIT \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0							
SAGUACHE \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SAN JUAN \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SAN MIGUEL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SEDGWICK \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.00 \$0						·	
SAN MIGUEL \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SEDGWICK \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SUMMIT \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 TELLER \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 WASHINGTON \$1.30 21,934 \$28,540 \$24,466 \$46,852 \$2.14 WELD \$1.67 1,014,659 \$1,691,930 \$3,390 \$4,802,570 \$4.73 YUMA \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 Totals 1,360,963 \$2,012,940 \$174,179 \$5,297,550 \$0		•					
SEDGWICK \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 SUMMIT \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 TELLER \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 WASHINGTON \$1.30 \$1,934 \$28,540 \$24,466 \$46,852 \$2.14 WELD \$1.67 1,014,659 \$1,691,930 \$3,390 \$4,802,570 \$4.73 YUMA \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 Totals 1,360,963 \$2,012,940 \$174,179 \$5,297,550 \$1,220,000	SAN JUAN	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
SUMMIT \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 TELLER \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 WASHINGTON \$1.30 21,934 \$28,540 \$24,466 \$46,852 \$2.14 WELD \$1.67 1,014,659 \$1,691,930 \$3,390 \$4,802,570 \$4.73 YUMA \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 Totals 1,360,963 \$2,012,940 \$174,179 \$5,297,550							
TELLER \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 WASHINGTON \$1.30 21,934 \$28,540 \$24,466 \$46,852 \$2.14 WELD \$1.67 1,014,659 \$1,691,930 \$3,390 \$4,802,570 \$4.73 YUMA \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 Totals 1,360,963 \$2,012,940 \$174,179 \$5,297,550 \$1,297,550							
WASHINGTON \$1.30 21,934 \$28,540 \$24,466 \$46,852 \$2.14 WELD \$1.67 1,014,659 \$1,691,930 \$3,390 \$4,802,570 \$4.73 YUMA \$0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Totals 1,360,963 \$2,012,940 \$174,179 \$5,297,550				·	·	·	
WELD YUMA \$1.67 \$1,014,659 \$1,691,930 \$3,390 \$4,802,570 \$4.73 \$4,802,570 \$0.00 Totals \$1,360,963 \$2,012,940 \$174,179 \$5,297,550					·	·	
YUMA \$0.00 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00 Totals 1,360,963 \$2,012,940 \$174,179 \$5,297,550							
INCREASE OR DECREASE \$1,838,761	Totals		1,360,963	\$2,012,940	\$174,179	\$5,297,550	
	INCREASE OR DECF	REASE		\$1,838,761			

OIL & GAS ANALYSIS WORKSHEET 2012 - OTHER

ADDENDUM O

	2010 \$/MCF	2012 MCFS	CALCULATED 2010 ASSESSED VALUE	TRUE 2010 ASSESSED VALUE	TRUE 2012 ASSESSED VALUE	Calculated 2012 \$/MCF
DOLORES (7145)	\$0.42	52,167,838	\$21,873,174	\$22,770,273	\$46,938,986	\$0.90
HUERFANO (7145)	\$4.15	11,599,400	\$48,086,194	\$6,731,093	\$2,270,309	\$0.20
JACKSON (7145)	\$0.08	427,822	\$34,294	\$26,739	\$266,102	\$0.62
MONTEZUMA (7145)	\$0.53	409,867,580	\$218,105,269	\$189,896,430	\$299,424,030	\$0.73
CHEYENNE (7147)	\$0.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00
		474,062,640	\$288,098,931	\$219,424,535	\$348,899,427	
NET ASSESSED DIFFERENCE			\$68,674,396			

SUBCLASS

NET ASSESSED DIFFERENCES

Primary Oil Secondary Oil Primary Gas Secondary Gas Other Gas \$487,761,856 (\$28,401,487) \$339,690,730 \$1,838,761 \$68,674,396

TOTAL NET ASSESSED DIFF

\$869,564,256

ADDENDUM P

HISTORY OF THE RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE

In 1982, the electorate passed Constitutional Amendment Number One. The amendment enacted sweeping changes to Colorado's property tax system, including a provision known as the "Gallagher Amendment," that required an adjustment to the residential assessment rate when there is a change to the level of value. The intent of Gallagher was to stabilize residential real property's share of the property tax base. Residential real property's share of total assessed value had increased from 29 percent in 1958 to 44 percent in 1982. By allowing the residential assessment rate to "float," residential real property would not continue to bear an ever-increasing share of the property tax burden. The floating rate would increase if residential real property's share of total taxable assessed value appreciably declined below 44.60 percent. Similarly, the rate would decrease if residential real property's share of total taxable assessed value appreciably exceeded 44.60 percent. The Gallagher Amendment is found in Section 3(1)(b) of article X of the Colorado Constitution.

The 44.60 percent figure, which is now referred to as the "residential target percentage," was calculated based upon residential real property's share of the total assessed value for 1986. The General Assembly provided for changes to the target percentage based upon new construction and destruction that occurred in each property class, and changes in the volumes of production in the natural resource classes.

The adjustment begins by first calculating what the total assessed value of residential property needed to be during the prior year of reappraisal in order for residential property's share of the total value to exactly match the residential target percentage. Then, the assessed value attributable to residential new construction reported during the prior two years is added to the calculated residential real property total.

Similarly, the assessed value of new construction in all other property classes reported during the same two years is added to the total assessed value of the non-residential property classes as of the last level of value. Then, the changes in the production volumes of producing metallic mines, producing coal mines, oil and gas wells, and earth and stone operations are expressed as assessed values and added to the all other property total. Finally, the adjusted residential real property total is divided by the total of the above-assessed values to arrive at a new residential target percentage.

PRIOR TO AND INCLUDING 1983 TO 1986

Prior to and including 1982 (the 1973 level of value), most property was assessed at 30 percent of actual value. The amendment initially set the residential assessment rate for 1983-1986 (the 1977 level of value) at 29 percent for most property and 21 percent for residential real property. During this period, real property was on a four year reassessment cycle.

1987 AND 1988

In 1986, the state board of equalization (state board) requested that the Division of Property Taxation (Division) estimate the residential assessment rate for 1987 (the 1984 level of value). In 1988, the General Assembly enacted § 39-1-104.2(6), C.R.S., which required that the Division prepare a documented residential assessment rate study for changes in the level of value occurring in 1989 (1988 level of value), 1991 (1990 level of value), and 1993 (1992 level of value). This subsection was later amended to include 1995 (1994 level of value), 1997 (1996 level of value), and for each subsequent year of reappraisal.

Using the methodologies described in the next section of this report, the residential assessment rate has been estimated ten times. In 1986, using a residential target percentage of 44.39 percent, the Division estimated the rate for 1987-1992 to be 16.74 percent (17 percent rounded). The General Assembly chose to enact a residential assessment rate of 18 percent for 1987. In 1988, the General Assembly reconsidered this decision and enacted a residential assessment rate of 16 percent for 1988. A review of historical records, including actual rather than estimated assessed values and adjustments of county boards of equalization indicated the correct rate to be 15.30 percent (15 percent rounded) for 1987 and 1988.

1989 AND 1990

In 1988, using a residential target percentage of 44.51 percent, the Division estimated the rate for 1989-1990 to be 15.04 percent (15 percent rounded). The 44.51 percent residential target percentage and the 15 percent residential assessment rate were enacted into law in 1989, § 39-1-104.2(3)(b), C.R.S. Verification of the estimate using final 1989 assessed values submitted by the county assessors via the Abstracts of Assessment initially indicated a residential assessment rate of 14.42 percent (14 percent rounded). Pursuant to the requirements of § 39-1-104.2(7), C.R.S., the state board changed the rate to 14 percent. However, after the counties' resubmission of assessed values to the Division using the 14 percent residential assessment rate, the Division discovered that Denver County had made a \$150 million keypunch error on its original submission. Correcting for the error resulted in a verified rate of 14.53 percent (15 percent rounded), and also resulted in the assessors having to submit values a third time.

1991 AND 1992

In 1990, using a residential target percentage of 44.57 percent, the Division estimated a residential assessment rate for 1991–1992 of 14.34 percent (14 percent rounded). During the 1991 legislative session, the rounding convention was changed from the nearest whole percentage to the nearest one-hundredth of one percent. The target percentage of 44.57 percent and the estimated residential assessment rate of 14.34 percent were enacted into law, § 39-1-104.2(3)(c), C.R.S. Verification of this estimate using final 1991 assessed values submitted by the assessors indicated a residential assessment rate of 13.78 percent. This rate was outside the one-half percent tolerance permitted by § 39-1-104.2(7)(b), C.R.S., by six one-hundredths of one percent.

Comparison of the estimated 1991 assessed values with the final 1991 assessed values submitted by the county assessors indicated the error in the rate was largely attributable to three counties: Pitkin, Denver, and Arapahoe.

Pitkin County over-estimated the increase in assessed values in the commercial property class.

Denver County over-estimated commercial values because all hotel property surrounding Stapleton Airport was adjusted to conform to a Board of Assessment Appeals' (BAA) decision. The BAA ordered that the capitalization rate be increased and that the future net income estimates be decreased for a petitioner's hotel property near Stapleton Airport. These adjustments were required, in the opinion of the BAA, to account for the greater risk and probable reduction in income associated with the closure of Stapleton after Denver International Airport opened. Rather than lose similar cases one at a time, Denver County decided to lower the valuations of all similar properties.

Concerning Arapahoe County, the Division discovered an unexplained 38 percent decline in vacant land values between 1990 and 1991. Upon further research, procedural irregularities in the application of present worth valuation to vacant land parcels were discovered. The Assessment Auditor's contract required that vacant land values be statistically analyzed only to the adjusted selling price of vacant land property rather than to the final vacant land present worth values. However, upon review of the present worth procedures applied by Arapahoe County, the Assessment Auditor recommended a reappraisal of Arapahoe County's vacant land class. Had Arapahoe County not changed the vacant land valuation procedures after estimating the increase in vacant land values for the assessment rate study, the residential assessment rate estimated for 1991-1992 would have been within its statutory tolerance.

State Board of Equalization Statutory Authority

The state board had no statutory authority to consider information other than the final 1991 assessed values submitted by the county assessors, and the state board was faced with a September 20, 1991, deadline for adjusting the residential assessment rate estimated for 1991-1992.

Fortunately, the General Assembly had reconvened for a 1991 Special Session to revise school finance statutes. A reduction in the residential assessment rate from 14.34 percent to 13.78 percent could have increased the "backfill requirements" for State of Colorado financial aid to school districts. The legislature enacted amendments to § 39-1-104.2(7), C.R.S., that allowed the state board to consider, "any other reliable and relevant information which is based upon generally accepted appraisal methods and which is consistent with section 3 of article X of the Colorado Constitution, including, but not limited to, any valuation for assessment study for such year which is conducted pursuant to § 39-1-104(16), C.R.S. Using this new authority, the state board allowed the 14.34 percent residential assessment rate estimated for 1991-1992 to stand.

In 1992, a review of the 1991 state board ordered reappraisals indicated that the net effect of the reappraisal of vacant land, commercial, and residential properties in all counties under reappraisal orders was a reduction in the verified residential assessment rate to 13.76 percent.

1993 AND 1994

In 1992, the State Auditor reviewed the Division's procedures for estimating the residential assessment rate. No material audit exceptions were noted. Also in 1992, using new assessed value estimation procedures and a residential target percentage of 44.74 percent, the Division estimated a residential assessment rate for 1993-1994 of 12.86 percent (rounded).

In November 1992, the electorate passed Constitutional Amendment Number One creating section 20 of article X of the Colorado Constitution. The amendment constrained the financial authority of state and local governments. Among its provisions were the requirements for elections to authorize increases in property tax mill levies, the assessment rate for a class of property, and the overall entity revenue generation and spending.

Mill levy increases were allowed only if approved by the voters. Mill levies are calculated by dividing the taxing entity's proposed (budgeted) property tax revenue by the total assessed value within the taxing jurisdiction. Taxing entities must know the final assessed values in order to prepare for an election. This effectively prohibited the state board from adjusting the residential assessment rate by September 20, less than

three weeks before elections were to be held. Therefore, in 1993 the General Assembly repealed § 39-1-104.2(7), C.R.S., which contained the state board's authority to adjust the residential assessment rate if it were found to be in error by one-half of one percent or more.

Also in 1993, the target percentage of 44.74 percent and the estimated residential assessment rate of 12.86 percent (rounded) were enacted into law, § 39-1-104.2(3)(d), C.R.S. Verification of the estimate using final 1993 assessed values submitted by the assessors indicated a residential assessment rate of 12.16 percent. The rate was outside the one-half of one-percent tolerance, which had been permitted by § 39-1-104.2(7)(b), C.R.S. The miss was attributable to an under-estimate of residential values statewide.

The under-estimate was due to lack of recognition on the part of the assessors and the Division of the surge in residential values just prior to the June 30, 1992, appraisal date. Generally, the assessors did not complete the trending of sales data to the new level of value in time to be included in the residential assessment rate study conducted in 1992. Previous repeal of the state board's authority to adjust the rate required that the 12.86 percent residential assessment rate stand for 1993-1994.

1995 AND 1996

In December 1994, the Division estimated a residential assessment rate for 1995-1996 of 10.50 percent using new assessed value estimation procedures and a residential target percentage of 45.29 percent. In April 1995, the Division contacted the 16 largest counties, in terms of overall total assessed value, and asked these counties for updated reappraisal value estimates. These values were compared with the counties' initial projections. Due to changes in many of their original estimates, the rate was recalculated and this recalculation produced a residential rate of 10.36 percent. HB 95-1136 was amended to reflect the 10.36 percent prior to its passage. After the Abstracts of Assessment were delivered to the Division in August, the residential rate was again recalculated. The assessor and county board changes reflected in the abstracts revealed that the rate should have been 10.02 percent.

1997 AND 1998

The residential assessment rate was estimated to be 9.71 percent in December 1996. The residential target percentage was established at 46.17 percent. In April 1997, the Division contacted all counties and verified their initial projections or made appropriate changes, as necessary. Due to changes in some of the original estimates, the rate was recalculated. The recalculation produced a residential rate of 9.74 percent. SB 97-026 was amended to reflect the 9.74 percent assessment rate.

After the 1997 Abstracts of Assessment were delivered to the Division in August, the residential rate was again recalculated. The assessor and county board changes reflected in the abstracts revealed that the rate should have been 10.08 percent. In 1998, errors in excess of \$280 million (net) were discovered in Eagle County's 1997 abstract, which changed the true rate from 10.08 to 9.96 percent.

Because the 1997-1998 residential assessment rate was under-estimated, it is essential to understand what has occurred with the residential rate in the past so that its future can be more accurately predicted. All previous rates had two common elements; more residential properties were being built than nonresidential properties, and existing residential properties were increasing in value at a faster rate than non-residential properties. However, as of 1996, nonresidential properties not only closed this gap but also had significantly outpaced residential assessments. With few exceptions, county projections for nonresidential properties were under-estimated; and, in many instances, significantly under estimated as late as April 15, 1997. However, since most counties are now capable of doing multiple regression analysis and accurate time trending, it is expected that future estimates will be more precise.

1999 AND 2000

The procedures used in 1997 were used again to estimate the residential assessment rate for 1999-2000, with two exceptions: 1) oil and gas estimates were based upon actual sales data reported to the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission, and 2) the Division asked county assessors to provide sales data and conducted its own time trending analysis of those sales. Time trending is a linear regression technique that analyzes the rate of change to the ratio between a property's sales price and its actual value.

In January 1999, the residential target percentage was established at 46.49 percent, and the residential assessment rate was estimated to be 9.90 percent. In April, after contacting all county assessors and revising the value estimates, the residential assessment rate was determined to be 9.83 percent. After county Abstracts of Assessment were submitted in August 1999, it was determined that the residential assessment rate should have been calculated at 9.81 percent. This meant that final rate estimate of 9.83 percent was the most accurate estimate that the rate study had produced to this point. However, because of TABOR, the General Assembly chose not to take the issue to voters and reenacted the 9.74 percent residential assessment rate for 1999-2000.

2001 AND 2002

Because of the accuracy of the previous study, the procedures followed in determining the 1999-2000 residential assessment rate were used again in 2001-2002 rate, and they have remained relatively unchanged since that time.

In January, the 2001-2002 residential rate was estimated to be 9.35 percent, and the residential target percentage was established at 46.61 percent. After contacting all county assessors in April and revising the value estimates, the estimated residential assessment rate was adjusted to 9.15 percent. The General Assembly enacted the 9.15 percent residential assessment rate and the 46.61 percent target percentage into law for 2001-2002. The 2001 Abstracts of Assessment submitted in August revealed that the residential assessment rate should have been 9.09 percent.

2003 AND 2004

In January 2003, the rate was estimated to be 8.18 percent, and the residential target percentage was established at 47.08 percent. After contacting county assessors in April, the rate estimate was adjusted to 7.96 percent. The 7.96 percent rate and the 47.08 percent target percentage were enacted into law by the General Assembly for 2003-2004. The 2003 Abstracts of Assessment submitted in August later revealed that the residential rate should have been 7.91 percent.

2005 AND 2006

In January 2005, the rate was estimated at 8.13 percent, and the residential target percentage was established at 47.22 percent. After contacting all county assessors in April, the rate was adjusted to 8.17 percent. Because an increase to the residential assessment rate can only be made with voter approval, the General Assembly chose not to take the issue to voters, and it reenacted the 7.96 percent rate and the new target percentage of 47.22 percent in HB05-1289. The 2005 Abstracts of Assessment submitted in August later revealed that the residential assessment rate had been correctly calculated at 8.17 percent.

2007 AND 2008

In January 2007, the rate was estimated to be 8.00 percent and the residential target percentage was established at 47.43 percent. After contacting all county assessors in April and updating the estimates for each class of property, the rate was adjusted to 8.19 percent. Once again, because an increase to the residential assessment rate can only be made with voter approval, the General Assembly chose to reenact the 7.96 percent residential rate as well as the 47.43 percent target percentage in HB07-1177.

The 2007 Abstracts of Assessment submitted in August later revealed that the calculated residential assessment rate should have been 8.44 percent. The difference between the final estimate of 8.19 percent and 8.44 percent was almost entirely attributable to the estimate for the oil and gas property class.

In April 2007, the Division estimated that the oil and gas class would drop by 15.9 percent, but later that year, county Abstracts of Assessment revealed that the property class dropped by only 1.4 percent. Part of the error may have been caused by a slowdown of the rate at which production volumes were reported on the COGCC's web site, causing an under-estimate in the amount of production that occurred. However, the greater portion of the error is attributable to the fact that unlike prior years, the percentage change to the assessed value of oil and gas land for 2007 did not correlate strongly to the change in the value of production that occurred in the prior year. In 2006, the value of statewide oil gas production (quantity sold per month X Colorado average monthly price) dropped by 14.1 percent, but the corresponding assessed value of oil and gas land in 2007 dropped by only 5.2 percent. In addition, although the Division estimated a 20 percent increase in the value of oil and gas personal property, the statewide assessed value of personal property actually increased by 44 percent. Because this property class had grown to comprise 8.5 percent of the state's total taxable value in 2007, compared to 3.6 percent of the total in 2003, the error in the oil and gas estimate had a much greater effect on the residential assessment rate study than it would have had in prior years.

2009 AND 2010

In January 2009, the rate was estimated to be 8.91 percent and the residential target percentage was established at 46.82 percent. After contacting all county assessors in April and updating the estimates for each class of property, the rate was adjusted to 8.85 percent. Once again, because an increase to the residential assessment rate can only be made with voter approval, the General Assembly chose to reenact the 7.96 percent residential rate as well as the 46.82 percent target percentage in HB09-1360.

The 2009 Abstracts of Assessment submitted in August later revealed that the calculated residential assessment rate should have been 9.20 percent. Again, the difference between the final estimate of 8.85 percent and the correct figure of 9.20 percent was almost entirely attributable to the estimate for the oil and gas property class.

Because of the 2007 experience with the oil and gas estimate, Division staff had decided to place greater emphasis on the opinions of assessors and others knowledgeable with oil and gas. The estimate that had been calculated by the Division using it's traditional approach (using production figures and commodity prices obtained from the COGCC and the Division of Local Government) called for an increase of 38

percent, but the assessors and/or key staff in each of the largest oil and gas counties believed that the increase would be much less than 38 percent. The Division adjusted its estimate downward to an increase of 30 percent, which was also Legislative Council's estimate at the time. Later that year, county Abstracts of Assessment showed that the oil and gas class increased by 54.5 percent. Because the property class had also grown to comprise 12.1 percent of the state's total taxable value, the error to the oil and gas estimate had a much greater effect on the residential assessment rate study than it would have had in prior years.

2011 AND 2012

In January 2011, the rate was estimated to be 8.59 percent and the residential target percentage was established at 46.53 percent. After contacting all county assessors in April and updating the estimates for each class of property, the rate was adjusted to 8.77 percent. Once again, because of TABOR language necessitating voter approval of any assessment rate increase, the General Assembly chose to reenact the 7.96 percent residential rate in HB11-1305.

RECAP OF RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATES

<u>Years</u>	Rate enacted into law	Rate calculated by RAR Study
1983-1986	21.00%	
1987	18.00%	
1988	16.00%	
1989-90	15.00%	
1991-92	14.34%	
1993-94	12.86%	
1995-96	10.36%	
1997-98	9.74%	
1999-2000	9.74%	9.83%
2001-02	9.15%	
2003-04	7.96%	
2005-06	7.96%	8.17%
2007-08	7.96%	8.19%
2009-10	7.96%	8.85%
2011-12	7.96%	8.77%