

ENERGY AND MINERAL IMPACT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM GUIDELINES

December 17, 2014



COLORADO

Department of Local Affairs

Division of Local Government

**STATE OF COLORADO
DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL AFFAIRS**

1313 Sherman Street, Room 521 - Denver, Colorado 80203 - 303/866-2156

Program guidelines and application form are available on the Department of Local Affairs web page at
www.dola.state.co.us/LGS/FA/emia.htm

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Overview.....	1
Federal Mineral Lease Funds.....	1
State Severance Tax Funds.....	2
Integrated Administration.....	2
Discretionary Grants and Loans.....	2
Technical Assistance to Prospective Applicants.....	2
Eligible Recipients.....	2
Eligible Activities.....	2
Types of Financial Assistance Available.....	3
Application Deadlines.....	3
Funds Availability.....	3
Project Selection Criteria.....	4
Water and Sewer Loans.....	5
State Agency Guidelines.....	6
Local Review.....	7
State Staff Review.....	8
State Advisory Committee Review.....	8
Funding Decision.....	8
Funding Assistance through Administrative Review.....	9
Contracting.....	9
Emergency Grant Requests.....	10
Direct Distributions.....	11
Severance Tax Credits.....	11

ENERGY AND MINERAL IMPACT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

OVERVIEW

Colorado experiences the boom and bust cycles of the extraction industries. Energy and mineral production is subject to the fluctuations of an international marketplace. There is little local or state government can do to control the forces of an international economy.

Boom/bust cycles profoundly affect Colorado, particularly in rural and mountain communities near the geological resource deposits, although communities statewide are affected to some degree. Through most of the state's history until the mid-1970's, few attempts were made to moderate and assist these communities as they grew and declined with these cycles.

In 1977, the Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance program was created by the General Assembly to provide funds and technical assistance to these communities. Funds for the program were drawn from federal mineral lease royalties and the state severance tax. In the 1977 legislation creating the program, the General Assembly found that “when nonrenewable natural resources are removed from the earth, the value of such resources to the state of Colorado is irretrievably lost.” The legislature declared the severance tax is a means for the state “to recapture a portion of the lost wealth” and expressed its intent “a portion be made available to local governments to offset the impact created by nonrenewable resource development.” Both the mineral lease and severance tax statutes governing the Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance Program are broadly constructed, directing funds to areas socially or economically impacted, not necessarily to mitigating direct energy or mineral development impacts. The relative extent of impact from energy and mineral development, including bust conditions, is considered. The program maintains flexibility to respond to areas throughout the state.

Federal Mineral Lease Funds. Since the 1920s, the federal government has charged royalties on mineral production from federal lands. A portion of the revenues collected have been returned to the states, local governments and school districts from which the minerals were withdrawn. In 1977, the General Assembly enacted a new provision establishing 15% of these federal funds be deposited in a Local Government Mineral Impact Fund to be distributed by the Executive Director of the Department of Local Affairs to “state agencies, public schools and political subdivisions of the state” impacted by mineral and energy development “for planning, construction and maintenance of public facilities and for public services.” In 1982, the General Assembly called for additional federal mineral lease funds to be deposited in the Local Government Mineral Impact Fund. The statute states “priority shall be given to those public schools and political subdivisions socially or economically impacted by the development, processing or energy conversion of fuels and minerals leased under said federal mineral lands leasing act” (C.R.S. 34-63-102(1)(b)). With these funds being a federal royalty payment, many local governments have determined federal mineral lease funds are exempt from TABOR limitations.

State Severance Tax. In 1977, the General Assembly enacted legislation establishing a severance tax on certain minerals and mineral fuels. This legislation included provisions for deposit of a portion of tax revenues into the Local Government Severance Tax Fund, to be distributed by the Executive Director of the Department of Local Affairs. According to the statute, “funds from the Local Government Severance Tax Fund shall be distributed to those political subdivisions socially or economically impacted” by the development, processing, or energy conversion of minerals and mineral fuels subject to severance taxation. Such funds shall be “used for the planning, construction, and maintenance of public facilities and for the provision of public services” (C.R.S. 39-29-101 through 39-29-114).

Integrated Administration. For the convenience of local governments and to minimize administrative overhead expenses, operations of the mineral lease and severance tax local government impact assistance programs have been combined into one integrated program, the Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance program. There are three aspects to the overall program:

- < Discretionary Grants and loans;
- < Direct distributions; and
- < Severance tax credits.

DISCRETIONARY GRANTS AND LOANS

The largest distribution of funds from the Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance Program occurs in the form of discretionary grants and loans.

Technical Assistance to Prospective Applicants. Application forms and technical assistance are available from department regional managers in Loveland, Fort Morgan, Pueblo, Alamosa, Durango, Grand Junction, Frisco and Golden. The application form and program guidelines are also available on the Department of Local Affairs website, www.dola.state.co.us/LGS/FA/emia.htm.

Eligible Recipients. By statute, eligible recipients are “political subdivisions socially or economically impacted by the development, processing or energy conversion of fuels and minerals”. Political subdivisions include municipalities, counties, school districts and most special districts.

State agencies are also eligible recipients (of federal mineral lease funds) provided they have specific spending authority from the General Assembly. (See “State Agency Guidelines” on page 5.)

If eligible local government recipients choose to provide a public service through a non-profit corporation, the local government must assume responsibility for the administration of any funds awarded. The local government will be required to own any real and/or tangible assets acquired with the funds awarded for ten years.

Eligible Activities. By statute, eligible activities consist of the “planning, construction and maintenance of public facilities” and “the provision of public services.” Examples of public facilities include water and sewer infrastructure, town/city halls, county courthouses, community

centers, public roads, and emergency medical and fire protection facilities and equipment. Examples of public services include community development assistance to local governments, internship programs and community revitalization assistance.

Types of Financial Assistance Available. Generally, financial assistance for public facilities and services is provided in the form of a grant. Beginning in July, 2008, the grant program was redesigned to include a three tiered approach based on size of grant request.

Tier I includes grant awards of up to \$200,000. Tier II includes grant awards greater than \$200,000 up to \$2,000,000. The applications for grant awards in Tier I are reviewed by staff. The applications for grant awards in Tier II are reviewed by the Energy Impact Advisory Committee. The Executive Director will make funding decisions as funds are available three times per year for Tiers I and II. Tier III criteria are in the development stage and are planned to go into effect when sufficient revenues become available. It is anticipated that grant requests in this tier will be in the \$1,000,000 to \$10,000,000 range and will be made once yearly. It is anticipated that to be competitive for a Tier III grant, applications will require multi-jurisdictional collaboration requesting assistance to solve a multi-jurisdictional problem.

Energy impact fund receipts are volatile and follow the ups and downs of the industry's development and production cycles. Due to this fluctuation, local government expectations may sometimes exceed the program's revenue stream and capability to fund projects even though project selection criteria are met. In order to manage expectations and remain in a position to help the greatest number of energy impacted applicants, funding amounts for each of the tiers may be adjusted periodically as demand and revenue capability change. Any adjustments to the tier funding thresholds will be appropriately noticed on the department's web site and through information publications and web sites of associations representing local government clients: the Colorado Municipal League, Colorado Counties, Inc. and the Colorado Special District Association.

The department is also authorized to provide assistance in the form of loans for "domestic wastewater treatment works" and "potable water treatment facilities." (More information on loans is provided on page 4 under "Water and Sewer Loans.")

Application Deadlines. Application deadlines are announced annually with the Availability of Funds. Generally, applications are accepted continuously and are considered in the course of three regular funding cycles each year with application deadlines for those cycles occurring April 1, August 1, and December 1. Should sufficient funds not be available for all three cycles, a funding cycle may be eliminated. The application deadline for each funding cycle is announced through the Colorado Municipal League, Colorado Counties, Inc., and the Special District Association and is also posted on the Department of Local Affairs website at www.dola.colorado.gov/impact. The department requires that applications be submitted electronically, unless extenuating circumstances require other arrangements.

Funding Availability. At the beginning of each fiscal year, the department will announce the amount of funds available for each cycle and tier and the planned number of cycles. Any funds remaining after Tier I awards are made will be made available to that cycle's Tier II applicants.

Project Selection Criteria. The department and state advisory committee in reviewing, evaluating and making funding decisions use the following criteria or guidelines:

- a) **Energy/Mineral Relationship:** The degree to which the applicant is socially or economically impacted by the development, processing or energy conversion of fuels and minerals. This includes **current** energy and mineral impacts as determined by metrics (energy worker residency, permits issued and mineral production levels) as well as documentation of **historical** impacts that continue to affect the applicant in a negative manner or **impending** impacts that will potentially affect the applicant negatively in the future.
- b) **Demonstration of Need:** The problem is clearly identified and quantifiable need is adequately described and documented.
- c) **Readiness to Go:** The project can be implemented in a reasonable period of time, i.e., within 6 months following grant award. Funding sources beyond the Impact Assistance grant are pledged and ready for expenditure. The applicant has demonstrated through past performance that it possesses the appropriate professional and technical capacity to initiate and complete the project successfully and within the planned implementation schedule. Preliminary engineering has been completed and plans and permits are approved and the plan is ready for bid.
- d) **Local Commitment:** The amount of local government cash contribution and/or revenue generated from debt financing is of primary importance in demonstrating the importance of the project to the applicant. Secondly, the amount of funding firmly committed from sources other than local government cash match such as in-kind contributions (i.e. the value of local government labor and equipment dedicated for use in the project), state and federal grants, private foundation and industry financial support is also considered. While dollar-for-dollar cash match from the local government applicant is strongly encouraged to improve the application's competitiveness, the minimum local match requirement (which can be composed of local government cash and other sources described above) is 50 percent of the total project cost. If a fifty percent match cannot be committed, the applicant must provide appropriate documentation and justification for its current fiscal constraints which limit the ability to meet the program's minimum matching requirements.
- e) **Ability to Pay:** A review and analysis of the local government's financial status including general and enterprise fund balances, the rates charged for services such as utilities, property tax mill levies, retail sales tax rate and existing debt loads as compared to local government units in the state with similar characteristics (e.g. local government type, population, and geographic region of the state) to determine a local government's ability to show it is providing everything it can to help fund the project.
- f) **Measurable Outcomes:** The degree to which the project provides services that benefit the entire community instead of a relatively small segment of residents and improves the

quality of life in the community in a measurable fashion. Examples of measurable quality of life improvements include: (1) construction of a medical clinic that enhances the health and well-being of the 800 residents who will be served in an area which currently lacks health care providers; (2) county road realignment work resulting in traffic accident rates being lowered; (3) construction of an addition to a community center that provides space for 40 children from energy industry worker families and the community as a whole; (4) replacement of antiquated water mains eliminating or minimizing annual maintenance and operational costs that can be quantified; and (5) energy efficient town hall. If the project is conducive to partnering with other entities to deliver services in a more cost effective manner over a larger service area, the applicant has successfully reached-out to be inclusive with other units of government to participate or has justified why a multi-jurisdictional approach is not feasible.

- g) **Relationship to Community Goals:** The project addresses an identified community need or problem and is specified in documents such as a capital improvement plan, community master plan, engineering report, annual budget and/or other similar planning and development resource publications adopted or otherwise approved by the local governing body. The applicant has adequately compiled and reviewed the goals of the community at-large and has identified the project as a highly ranked need against other initiatives.

Water and Sewer Loans. Senate Bill 35 (C.R.S. 39-29-110) was passed during the 1985 state legislative session and allows Energy and Mineral Impact funds to be distributed to political subdivisions of the state on a loan or combination loan and grant basis for “domestic wastewater treatment works” and “potable water treatment facilities.” Eligible activities under the loan provisions of the law are limited to “planning, design, construction, erection, building, acquisition, alteration, modernization, reconstruction, improvement, or expansion” of such facilities.

The law further defines “domestic wastewater treatment works” as “a system or facility...for treating, neutralizing, stabilizing, collecting, or disposing of domestic wastewater.” The statute requires the system or facility to have a design capacity to receive more than 2,000 gallons of wastewater per day. Improvements to treatment works can include appurtenances to the system or facility, “such as outfall sewers, pumping stations, collection and interceptor sewers and the equipment related to such appurtenances.”

The statutory definition of “potable water treatment facilities” is “a system or facility...for treating water to be supplied to the public for domestic use.” Improvements to treatment facilities can include “water treatment plants, treated water storage facilities, water mains, distribution lines, pumps and appurtenances.”

Guidelines for funding distribution under the Water and Sewer Loan program are:

- a. By statute, the minimum interest rate is 5 percent. The loan term will generally not exceed 20 years. Payments are scheduled on an annual basis, with a principal and interest payment due September 1.
- b. Loans will be authorized for only those parts of a domestic water or sewer system

specified in C.R.S. 39-29-110(1)(b)(II). Raw water storage or transmission to a treatment facility cannot be considered for loans.

- c. Water and sewer loans generally will be the first option considered for projects related to deferred maintenance and for applicants who possess capacity to incur additional debt.
- d. The staff will identify for the Energy Impact Advisory committee those applicants capable of supporting a loan obligation as a reasonable alternative to providing full funding of a grant application.
- e. Loan participation may be used as a means to increase local match for a project when local cash committed is minimal.
- f. Refinancing of existing debt obligations is not eligible.
- g. Loan authority should not deter communities from using conventional funding sources and other more traditional state and federal grant and loan programs.
- h. The department will perform a community fiscal analysis to determine the local government's ability to repay the loan. The analysis will include a review of user rates, tap fees, overall community tax burden and fee structures, population statistics, existing debt service, financial statements, fund balances and anticipated capital improvements.

State Agency Guidelines. State agencies are eligible to apply for and receive assistance from that portion of the Energy and Mineral Impact Fund derived from Federal Mineral Leasing revenues. Pursuant to C.R.S. 34-63-102(7), state agencies must have legislative spending authority if a Federal Mineral Leasing Fund grant application is successful. However, under C.R.S. 34-63-102(7)(b), state-supported institutions of higher education that provide job training or facilities related to energy development for counties or communities with energy impacts may expend moneys from the Local Government Mineral Impact Cash Fund without separate legislative authority.

State agency requests are expected to have the support of benefiting local governments and to meet one or more of the following conditions:

- The project is related to addressing the adverse impacts of energy and mineral development at the local, regional or statewide level;
- The state role is coordination of efforts related to the planning or implementation of mitigation activities to relieve the adverse impacts of energy/mineral development;
- Communities have identified the need for state involvement in the solution of local problems that may extend beyond municipal, county or regional jurisdictional boundaries.

- The project must have a direct benefit or service to local governments.

Local Review. The Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance Program, by design, places the emphasis for problem identification and response on local government decision-makers. With the number of applications and the amount of funds requested often exceeding the funding capacity of the program, a local ranking or prioritization is required if a single jurisdiction submits more than one application in the same funding cycle.

The department may request state and regional applications be prioritized against other applications submitted from a benefiting jurisdiction.

State Staff Review. Upon receipt of an application, a specific department staff member is assigned to be the primary contact for the review period. This is usually the department's regional manager for the area. Prior to the state Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance Advisory Committee review of the funding request, program staff meet with the applicant, review the application and the project, and prepare a project summary sheet which describes the project, presents a budget and provides an analysis of the project with positive and negative comments based on program criteria. Applicants receive a copy of this project summary before the state committee meeting to review funding requests.

State Advisory Committee Review. The state Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance Advisory Committee reviews applications for Tiers II and, if applicable, Tier III in a public meeting. At the state committee's application review meetings, current applicants have the opportunity to present their application, respond to the project summary statements, and answer questions from the committee. Following the presentation, the committee makes its recommendation to the executive director of the department, who makes the final funding decision.

It should be understood the committee is an advisory body. While the executive director gives committee recommendations careful consideration, the applicant should not assume a grant or loan is awarded or denied solely on the basis of the committee's recommendation.

By statute the committee consists of:

- Executive Director, Colorado Department of Local Affairs (Chair)
- Colorado Commissioner of Education
- Executive Director, Colorado Department of Transportation
- Executive Director, Colorado Department of Natural Resources
- Executive Director, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
- Seven residents of areas impacted by energy conversion or mineral resource development.

The residents of impacted areas are appointed and serve at the pleasure of the Governor for terms not exceeding four years. Members are eligible for reappointment. State department directors serving on the committee may designate agency representatives to act in their place.

Funding Decision. Following the meeting of the state advisory committee or the administrative review, the executive director advises each applicant of his/her decision to award or deny grant or loan funding via letter to the chief elected official of the applicant jurisdiction.

Decision letters are usually mailed within fourteen to thirty days after the state advisory committee meeting. A letter from the executive director announcing a decision to provide project funding is not a formal obligation of funds by the State, but rather an offer to enter into a grant contract for the amount and project specified. The award offer is valid for one year. Projects not getting under contract within the year term must formally request an extension of the award offer with a valid reason for extension. If not approved, the offer is withdrawn. Conditions, such as formation of a taxing district, increased local financing share or development of necessary plans, may be stated in the letter. Such conditions must be met either prior to or during the time of performance of the project at the discretion of the department.

Funding Assistance through Administrative Review. In certain instances, administrative reviews may be carried out in lieu of the formal advisory committee review. Administrative reviews resulting in a funding award may be considered for: (a) when a delay in a funding decision may cause undue financial hardship on an applicant, jeopardize leveraging of other funds or otherwise cause an opportunity to be missed; (b) in the event of an emergency that overwhelms the local government's financial capability to respond and recover from the incident without state funding assistance; or (c) preliminary design, engineering or feasibility studies as long as the total request doesn't exceed \$25,000 with a minimum match of 50%.

Contracting. The offer to contract is generally held open for a maximum of twelve months. If the project cannot proceed within that timeframe, the offer to contract will expire unless authorization to extend the offer is provided.

Following receipt of an award letter, department staff and the recipient jurisdiction negotiate a draft contract. In some rare cases, the contract may be sent to the Attorney General's Office for review and comment. After any necessary revision and approval by all parties, the final contract copies are routed for signature, starting with the local government grantee. The contract is then routed to the executive director of the department. The grantee will receive a copy of the contract when it has been fully executed. The contracting procedure generally takes from ten to thirty days from the time the final contract is sent by the grantee to the department's office in Denver. **LOAN/GRANT RECIPIENTS SHOULD NOT ENCUMBER OR EXPEND ANY GRANT OR LOAN FUNDS BEFORE THEY RECEIVE A FULLY EXECUTED CONTRACT FROM THE DEPARTMENT.** Expenses incurred prior to the execution date of a contract are the obligation of the grantee and cannot be reimbursed by the state.

The provisions of the contract generally include the following:

1. **Scope of Project.** Each contract contains a "Scope of Project," which describes the project to be performed using Energy/Mineral Impact funds. This narrative explains the project, payment process, performance schedule and other operational details.
2. **Monitoring.** During the course of the contract, a specific department staff member will periodically review project progress. The assigned staff will be the grantee's primary contact with the department for the duration of the project and will be responsible for review of reports and payment requests as specified in the grant contract. Specific details as to the frequency and form of monitoring will vary depending upon the nature and

scope of the project. In some cases, other state agencies will assist the department with technical monitoring of projects.

3. Payment Schedule. Upon receipt of proper documentation and approval of actual expenditures made during the course of a project, interim payments are issued reimbursing the grantee for eligible expenses incurred. The payment schedule and required performance review is specified in the contract. The grantee initiates the request by submitting documentation of expenditures and a project status report with a description of work completed to date to the assigned state staff monitor. Upon review/approval of the request, the project monitor requests an interim payment be issued to the grantee. It generally takes ten to thirty days from the time a request for payment is received by staff for a payment to be issued.

The state retains a specified amount of the grant funds until such time as the project is completed and the grantee has fulfilled all obligations under the contract. The retainage is released upon project completion and receipt and approval of the final project report. Grantees should plan on covering the final project costs with local funds pending release of the state retainage.

4. Amending a Contract. In the event revision of an existing contract is necessary, the grantee should immediately contact the division staff member responsible for the project. The grantee will be asked to submit a written request justifying an amendment and specifying the desired changes. A staff review of the request will be conducted prior to a contract amendment being prepared. If the amendment requires a change in scope, the director of the Division of Local Government will make the decision to either accept or reject, and a letter will be sent to the local government prior to contract amendment.
5. Supplemental Funding. Supplemental requests for funding, in cases where a funded project has met unavoidable, documentable cost overruns, will be considered on a case by case basis. Requests for additional funds will be required to go through a review cycle and will consist of a review of the entire project. To request supplemental funding, a grantee must submit a written request, including the amount being requested, a justification for the request and a revised budget for the project to include the additional funds. It is expected the grantee will provide a dollar for dollar cash match. If a dollar for dollar match is not possible, the grantee must state in the written request the reason. The grantee cannot expend any supplemental funds until approved by the executive director and a contract amendment is fully executed.
6. Closeout. Final requests for payment are due within 90 days of expiration of the contract.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Background

The Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance Program's general legislative directive is to provide financial assistance to communities socially and economically impacted by energy and mineral development for purposes of planning, construction and maintenance of public facilities, and for provision of public services.

DOLA's intent in administering this grant and loan program is to promote sustainable community development and increase livability of communities through strategic investments in asset-building activities.

Some investments support provision of services to strengthen community governance, such as support for enhanced electronic records management for assessors' offices or law enforcement agencies, support for community strategic plans or development codes, and similar activities. However, the major portion of funding is for investments in the development of capital assets.

The following policy guidelines are presented to assist potential applicants in improving chances of success in achieving funding in an increasingly competitive environment. These guidelines represent efforts to improve the strategic nature of investments, as discussed above. The policies also reflect recent changes in the availability of alternative funding sources for community development projects.

1. Rolling Stock

Rolling stock (road maintenance equipment, firefighting apparatus, ambulances, public safety vehicles and the like) should be routinely replaced by communities on a schedule based on an approved capital improvements program, vehicle replacement program or similar plan. Generally, these purchases should be budgeted for and financed on an ongoing basis through local revenue sources.

Circumstances in which DOLA may be willing to consider funding equipment are as follows:

- Documented evidence of firefighting apparatus shortages in past or reasonably anticipated wildfire response could lead to decisions to fund fire response rolling stock.
- Situations where road maintenance or emergency response capabilities directly related to energy or mineral impact activities require equipment purchases which might otherwise exhaust local financial capability and where local revenue-raising efforts are already strained.

2. K-12 School Facilities

In addition to receiving state financial support through the traditional annual budget appropriation process, school districts are also eligible to apply for grant funds through the Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance Program. While school districts rarely applied for these funds in the past, there has been a significant increase in such applications over the last few years.

School districts have a variety of options to compete for discretionary state funds through a number of programs. Examples of discretionary funding available includes the Colorado Energy Office (CEO) for energy conservation and for the development of renewable energy sources and the BEST program administered through the Colorado Department of Education (CDE) to address capital funding needs for K-12 school facilities. CDE also has funds available for planning. In some cases, CEO and CDE program staff have suggested school district officials also approach DOLA for grant funds to supplement projects supported in part by their programs.

Given the increased demand on limited resources available to support the broad range of local government needs (including school districts) across the state to mitigate energy and mineral impacts, DOLA's policy guidelines regarding funding K-12 facilities will be as follows:

- School districts should approach CDE and CEO for funding, as appropriate, before applying for Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance funds.
- DOLA will give preference to support school facility improvements which create a needed community asset that is made available for broad public use. For example, school buildings often function as community centers for social events, as recreational centers, as community libraries or as service centers for outreach assistance for the elderly or families at-risk. Collaboration with general purpose local governments (municipalities and counties) in the development of these types of projects is strongly encouraged.
- Applications requesting support of capital facilities to be used exclusively for K-12 activities (classrooms, administration buildings and sport complexes) are discouraged.
- Energy and Mineral Impact Program funding for school master plans and other planning and design activities are also discouraged since other sources of funds are available.

3. Higher Education

EIAF discretionary grant funds have typically and traditionally been made available to Colorado political subdivisions. More specifically, eligible recipients are generally considered to be counties, municipalities and special districts, regional councils of governments, and the like.

Severance tax funds are restricted to political subdivisions per CRS 39-29-110 (1)(b)(I) which states "...severance tax fund(s) shall be distributed to those political subdivisions socially or economically impacted by the development, processing, or energy conversion of minerals and mineral fuels subject to taxation under this article and used for the planning, construction, and maintenance of public facilities and for the provision of public services..."

School districts, while political subdivisions, are generally not considered competitive for funding, given other sources of funding available to them. DOLA has occasionally made grants to school districts under a set of adopted policies. (see section on K12 school facilities)

Federal mineral lease funds are restricted per CRS 34-63-102 (1)(a)(II) which states funds “...shall be deposited by the state treasurer into the mineral leasing fund for use by state agencies, public schools, and political subdivisions of the state as described in subsections (5.3) and (5.4) of this section and for transfer to the higher education federal mineral lease revenues fund created in [section 23-19.9-102 \(1\) \(a\)](#), C.R.S., the higher education maintenance and reserve fund created in [section 23-19.9-102 \(2\) \(a\)](#), C.R.S., and the local government permanent fund created in sub-subparagraph (A) of subparagraph (I) of paragraph (a) of subsection (5.3) of this section, as required by this section and [section 23-19.9-102](#), C.R.S.”

As with school districts, state agencies, including institutions of higher education, typically receive funding from other sources and are generally not considered competitive for funding.

Two notable exceptions are long-standing partnerships between DOLA and universities to the direct benefit of local governments:

1. University Technical Assistance Program which supports interns who assist local communities in community development efforts, often involving pre-design and programming for large capital construction projects which may eventually come to the EIAF program for capital construction, and
2. Best and Brightest Management Internship Program which supports management interns in partnership with participating local governments.

Given the demand and intense competition among local governments for limited EIAF grant funds, DOLA’s policy is to not favorably view applications to the EIAF Program from higher education institutions.

In circumstances in which a higher education institution partners with a local government for a facility of benefit to the broader community in addition to its benefit to the higher education institution, the EIAF program would consider the application for funding based on existing program funding criteria.

4. Council of Government and Regional Governmental Cooperatives

COGs are discouraged from applying in regular grant cycles as DOLA does not consider such applications to be competitive. In order to facilitate regional efforts to increase capacity of COGs to provide services to their members, DOLA has set aside \$500k annually for COG competitive grants where applicants will compete annually among themselves. In all cases, dollar for dollar match is required. Match includes cash and to a limited degree, in-kind resources. Eligible expenses typically include the following:

- Mini-grant programs on a cost-sharing basis between local governments and COGs
- Technical Assistance Projects or Programs: activities such as GIS services, organizational facilitation and support, retreat facilitation and support, support for Broadband Local

Technology Planning Groups and project specific support (e.g., grant writing, project development, and documentation.)

- Regional studies and plans: (such as Second Home Study, Regional Benchmark Report, Regional Salary Comparisons, Regional Housing Study, etc.)
- Funding is not available for general administration (the costs associated with the overhead operations and personnel costs of a COG). However, a COG may propose to use existing personnel and the cost of such personnel on a particular project for which the grant money has been requested for an in-house project may be eligible.

5. Road and Street Improvements

Road and street improvements are the responsibility of the local government. Cases in which DOLA will consider funding a local government project are:

- Paving projects on county roads and municipal streets that are directly impacted by the energy and mineral industry will be considered. Projects on roads and streets with little relationship to the impacts of the energy and mineral industry are not considered competitive.
- Road and street paving projects important to economic development such as a Main Street Project will be considered.
- Drainage improvement projects that are part of a street or road project are eligible for grant funds. Curb, gutter, valley pans, culverts, etc are eligible project elements for street and road projects.
- Occasionally local governments will seek Energy and Mineral Impact funds for construction improvements on State Highways. State Highway projects will only be considered under the following conditions: local governments applying for the funds must be financially participating in the costs of construction; financial participation of CDOT is expected; highways directly impacted by the energy and mineral industry will be rated higher than those that are not; rights-of-way are owned and maintained by the local government.

6. Park and Recreation Projects

Due to other funding sources and the limited Impact funds available, local governments interested in funding or planning for outdoor recreation projects will be expected to have applied to Great Outdoors Colorado (GOCO) first. For more information on the type of grants eligible to receive GOCO funding go to:
<http://www.goco.org/grants/about/what-we-fund>

7. Emergency Awards from Energy and Mineral Impact Emergency Fund

The purpose of the Emergency Fund is to reserve \$6 million in energy and mineral impact funds to assist local governments to recover from a disaster or an emergency. These dollars shall be \$3 million from Federal Mineral Lease and \$3 million from Severance Tax Revenue. To qualify, emergencies affecting a local government and community must show a financial crisis constituting a threat to public health, safety or welfare.

Historical Perspective

Between FY-2007-2012, Colorado communities have experienced disasters ranging from the tornado in Windsor and the Salmonella contamination in Alamosa to wildfire affecting communities statewide. Each of these resulted in a threat to health and public safety and the Governor declared a state disaster, or a federal disaster was declared. Other more local emergencies (not Governor declared) sometimes require assistance, such as local flood damage to a water system.

In order to obtain funding, a local government would need to submit a request in writing to the department that explains one or more the items below:

- a. significant negative impact on public health, safety and/or welfare;
- b. a description of the condition encountered resulting from the disaster, and number of people affected;
- c. the request is made due to unforeseen or unanticipated circumstances;
- d. the need for funding is time-sensitive and/or an opportunity to mitigate will be missed, not merely delayed, without emergency funding;
- e. local resources to address the situation have been exhausted;
- f. a federal, Governor or locally declared disaster has been designated.

Prior to awarding and contracting funds, the department will follow the written administrative grant approval process as described in the Impact Policies and Procedures Manual for requests up to \$200,000. For requests exceeding \$200,000, the request would be sent, using the administrative request form, to the Impact Advisory Committee for recommendation and comment prior to being given to the Executive Director to make a funding decision.

If approved, funding will provided only up to the amount necessary to address the immediate threat or alleviate the immediate crisis and allow the local government time to access other funding sources.

DIRECT DISTRIBUTIONS

The Colorado Department of Local Affairs (DOLA) distributes revenue derived from energy and mineral extraction statewide. These revenues come from State Severance Tax receipts and Federal Mineral Lease non-bonus payments.

By statute, C.R.S. 39-29-110 (1)(c), requires thirty percent of the revenues from the Local

Government Severance Tax Fund to be annually distributed directly to municipalities and counties. Fifty percent of the funds deposited in the Local Government Mineral Impact Fund are to be annually distributed directly back to the counties and municipalities per C.R.S. 34-63-102 (3).

Pursuant to statute, employee residence reports, mining and well permits, mineral production, population and road miles are the metrics on which to calculate the Local Government Severance Tax Fund and Local Government Mineral Impact Fund direct distribution payments.

For additional information regarding Federal Mineral Lease and State Severance tax direct distributions, go to <http://www.dola.state.co.us/dlg/fa/eiaf/distributions.html>.

SEVERANCE TAX CREDITS

State statute C.R.S. 39-29-107.5 allows for contributions to be made by severance taxing corporations for local public facility and service projects to be converted into a severance tax credit on new or increased energy/mineral production. These contributions are intended for use in addressing front-end impacts associated with new or expanding production.

In order to create such a credit, the severance taxpayer and local government form an agreement for the contribution and submit it to the Department of Local Affairs for review by the state Energy and Mineral Impact Advisory Committee and an approval/denial decision by the executive director of the department. The agreement must specify the following six items:

1. The taxpayer from which the contribution is being made and credit claimed.
2. The local jurisdiction to which the contribution is being made.
3. The need for such contribution relative to impacts from a new or expanding mineral operation.
4. The nature of the contribution in the form of a specific description of the quantities, term, and conditions which make up the contribution and procedures for conveyance. This may include cash transfers, properly valued materials and services, and losses from the purchase of public bonds issued by the jurisdictions.
5. The purpose of the contribution in the form of a description of the relationship of the contribution to need and its role in local government planning and mitigation programs.
6. The amount of the contribution as determined by fair market value of the contributed property and/or services at the time of the execution of the agreement.

The criteria for review of agreements by the state advisory committee and executive director are similar to the funding guidelines used for the grant and loan program described above except that

there is a greater emphasis on the need for front end financing before the new/expanded ad valorem tax base is established.

Credit agreements must be reviewed and prioritized locally with other credit agreements and grant/loan requests submitted during a grant review cycle. If approved, the contribution agreement is sent to the State Department of Revenue as documentation for future credit claims by the corporation. Municipalities, counties, school districts and other special districts may use such a credit agreement to obtain industry contributions. More complete written information on the severance tax credit is available upon request. Local units of government considering this mechanism are encouraged to contact their Division of Local Government regional manager to discuss procedure and technical requirements.