



COLORADO

Department of Local Affairs



YOUTH HOMELESSNESS IN COLORADO

**Advisory Council for Homeless Youth
& Office of Homeless Youth Services**

**2020 Point in Time
Youth Supplemental Report**

Acknowledgements

The 2020 Point-in-Time Youth Supplemental Survey (PIT YSS) Report and the accompanying data are the result of multiple partners across Colorado coming together with a willingness to provide additional time and effort toward the supplemental survey. The data in this report can help identify the needs and areas of improvement by the state and local providers to improve programs and strategies towards addressing and preventing homelessness for youth in our state.

This year marks the first year for data to be called out for the newly formed Northern Colorado Continuum of Care (CoC). In previous years, this data was included within the Balance of State CoC. The Office of Homeless Youth Services (OHYS) acknowledges all four Colorado CoC regions for their efforts to provide data for this report. In particular, the CoC partners were integral in training volunteers, incorporating the supplemental questions into the broader Point-in-Time Count survey, and providing additional coordination.

Special thanks to Christina Best, AmeriCorps VISTA member, for assisting in completing this report by compiling and sorting PIT and YSS data collected from CoC partners. Christina brought thoroughness and dedication to this report.

This report would not be possible without the partners and volunteers who engaged in the process of surveying people experiencing homelessness. Each volunteer who participated in the count brings a perspective or level of experience with homelessness, from those working with homeless populations to those who interact with people without homes on this one day. Regardless of prior experience, each volunteer was crucial in connecting with the community to ensure that people who are homeless truly count.

For a second year, the CoCs and OHYS engaged several Colorado School Districts in the count process. Several Colorado School District/McKinney-Vento liaisons helped ensure families and youth without housing were counted.

Lastly and most importantly, thank you to the youth of Colorado. Youth chose to share their lives by completing the survey, allowing their voices to be represented in this report in an effort to identify gaps and impact youth homelessness.

Thank you.

2020 POINT IN TIME/YOUTH SUPPLEMENTAL SURVEY

According to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the Point in Time (PIT) count is “a count of sheltered and unsheltered people experiencing homelessness on a single night in January. Each count is planned, coordinated, and carried out locally.”

The Youth Supplemental Survey (YSS) is an additional survey that occurs in conjunction with the PIT in Colorado. The YSS is not a PIT count, does not use the same definitions, and does not get reported to Congress through the same reporting measures as the PIT data. Instead, the YSS uses the foundational basis of the PIT process to obtain additional life experience information, specifically on youth under 25, who are experiencing homelessness. This information is collected throughout Colorado and is used to raise awareness of the need throughout Colorado communities. The data also guides our collective responses to resolve homelessness for youth, including prevention strategies, new resources, and further data collection and analysis.

Purpose

The PIT provides nationwide data on the number of people experiencing homelessness on an annual basis. Like many tools used to collect data, the PIT provides a piece of the picture. Adding the additional YSS survey to the PIT captures specific information about the life experiences of youth experiencing homelessness.

Starting in 2017, OHYS and the Advisory Council for Homeless Youth (ACHY) worked in conjunction with the CoCs to implement the YSS concurrent with the PIT. This is the fourth consecutive year the YSS was completed. Each year, it is conducted in conjunction with the PIT to provide robust, inclusive, and additional data about the needs of youth without housing. Towards the end of each calendar year, HUD publishes the Annual Homeless Assessment Report to Congress (AHAR) using PIT data from across the country. This reporting combines count data from every CoC to analyze and share homeless data and trends.

This report, created by OHYS, is not intended to match the data presented within the AHAR, as this report includes youth beyond HUD’s PIT homeless definition. Therefore, this report should be seen as a compliment to the AHAR to provide more information regarding youth experiencing homelessness in Colorado.

Methodology


CoC Coordination: PIT counts are planned and conducted by CoCs. HUD nationally identifies communities through regional planning and coordinating bodies, known as CoCs. Currently, Colorado is divided into four: Balance of State (54 counties), El Paso/Pikes Peak Region (one county), the Metropolitan Denver Homeless Initiative (MDHI) (seven counties), and Northern Colorado (two counties). The following map indicates which counties are covered by each CoC.

(1) CoC - Continuum of Care

(2) <https://files.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/2018-AHAR-Part-1.pdf>

The map below indicates which counties are covered by each CoC.

State of Colorado Continuum of Care Boundaries



Questions Development

The initial list of questions were formed by reviewing previous youth specific questions, such as those included in the Voices of Youth Count, HUD- proposed youth questions, and questions related to the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness (USICH) framework to end youth homelessness (i.e. questions regarding education, employment, health care access, permanent connections, etc.).

Youth Voice in Survey Questions The initial questions were then given to youth to provide feedback on the questions and the length of the survey. In 2020, the survey was reviewed by members from Colorado’s Youth Action Boards. In an effort to ensure youth are involved in the process as partners, they were given the previous questions as a framework, but were given the guidance that they could start from scratch if they had other ideas or structural changes. The result was centered around wording changes and some additional clarity questions from the previous year.

Training on the Surveys Each CoC trained and encouraged their volunteers to use the YSS. Collected data was provided to OHYS to begin analysis and the development of this report.

Conducting the Survey

Data Types

Point In Time The PIT data collected includes demographic data: gender, age, race/ethnicity, disability (and type), as well as any current experience of domestic violence and the number of people in the family. In some cases, the PIT data was the only data collected. For a variety of logistical reasons, there were 54 who completed only the PIT survey. The data collected provides a valuable level of introductory data. This is the most prevalent data type received, as this information is required and sent directly to HUD for their AHAR to Congress.

Youth Supplemental Survey The YSS data collected includes life experience data: public systems involvement (foster care and justice involvement); age at first homelessness episode; if the respondent is responsible for minors; education and highest educational level; barriers to services; and any income earnings. The YSS is not required, and in some cases was not completed. There were 39 respondents who completed only this survey.

Both Surveys: 313 Respondents Total completed both surveys out of 406 total respondents.

Data Collection

Each CoC conducted the survey in different ways. Balance of State used paper survey format. A significant portion of rural areas in Colorado struggle with reliable access to the internet, especially free wireless. This created a challenge to implement an electronic survey widely and consistently.

MDHI encouraged a paperless process by putting the PIT, YSS, and elective Veterans Survey into a mobile app format to be used by volunteers on personal mobile devices.

Pikes Peak enabled both electronic and paper surveys to be available to volunteers for both PIT and YSS survey questions.

Northern Colorado used paper survey format. This was the CoC's first year coordinating the surveys directly, and therefore focused on the implementation of paper surveys which have been used in the previous years in the region.

Even vs. Odd Years

HUD requires CoCs to complete a PIT count every year. While the count is required to occur, the type of count varies by "Even Years" and "Odd Years." This report covers the 2020 PIT Count, which is an Even Year. Counts in Even Years only require surveys for individuals experiencing homelessness in a shelter or other eligible housing program. This is often referred to as a Sheltered Count.

Odd Years are counts often referred to as an Unsheltered Count and include everyone residing in shelters, housing programs, and persons experiencing literal (or street/unsheltered) homelessness. MDHI and Pikes Peak CoCs conduct both an Unsheltered and Sheltered Count every year. Conducting an unsheltered count takes extensive resources, planning, and a volunteer base, and therefore is often not feasible for many communities to complete every year.

As a result of the differences in sheltered and unsheltered counting, data varies in certain areas of the state across years. Conducting an unsheltered count takes extensive resources, planning, and a volunteer base, and therefore is often not feasible for many communities to complete every year. As a result of the differences in sheltered and unsheltered counting, data varies in certain areas of the state across years. The YSS surveys follow the PIT efforts and therefore only count sheltered if the CoC only counts sheltered. This may impact data variations across years.

Definition Types

The YSS uses a broader definition of homelessness compared to the HUD definition used for the PIT. The two definitions are described below:

PIT Definition the definition of homelessness that is used to collect data that gets reported to HUD is an “Individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence, meaning:

- (i) Has a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not meant for human habitation;
- (ii) Is living in a publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including congregate shelters, transitional housing, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, state and local government programs);
- (iii) Is exiting an institution where (s)he has resided for 90 days or less and who resided in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately before entering that institution”

YSS Definition the definition of homelessness includes youth-headed (13-24 years old) households eligible to be reported to HUD as described above in addition to the definition that is intended to align with the McKinney-Vento Act which identifies youth who are: sharing the housing of other persons due to loss of housing, economic hardship, or a similar reason (sometimes referred to as doubled-up); living in motels, hotels, trailer parks, or camping grounds due to lack of alternative adequate accommodations; living in emergency or transitional shelters; abandoned in hospitals; or awaiting foster care placement.

The description of a youth-headed household is intended to include a situation where the unaccompanied youth is not in the physical custody of a parent/guardian/other family member regardless of legality of the placement. For example, if a youth is stable with an aunt, even if the aunt hasn’t been recognized as a guardian, the youth would not be counted for the purpose of this report.

The YSS definition is intended to align with the McKinney-Vento Act definition of homeless youth and promote participation with school districts, however the legality of guardianship is not aligned with the definition. This divergence comes from the difference between reporting about family compared to youth homelessness.

Although the PIT and YSS homelessness definitions differ, both the PIT and YSS surveys were provided to anyone under 25, even if they are not eligible to be reported to HUD with the AHAR. The information is still valuable and prevents us from asking the same questions twice to those who are eligible.

(a) vs. (b) Charts

For the second year in a row, this report presents the data through two distinct populations: (a) those who self reported **not** being enrolled in school and (b) those who self reported being enrolled in school. Comparing school status helps identify potential trends in demographics, social determinants, homelessness history, or other barriers and life experiences. Students experiencing homelessness in a school setting have educational rights allowed to them under the federal McKinney-Vento Act.

The McKinney-Vento Act requires every school district to have a McKinney-Vento Liaison, a staff member responsible for identifying students who are experiencing homelessness and ensuring they have access to education. The school districts often report higher numbers than other data sources on the number of youth experiencing homelessness as they report on housing instability for students throughout the year compared to the PIT single count of literal homelessness only.

School Districts often face barriers to participating in PIT through privacy laws. Privacy laws serve the purpose of keeping information on vulnerable populations safe. These laws pose a challenge in collecting and sharing data collected in schools about students. In most cases, schools have great relationships with students experiencing homelessness and schools often know where the youth is to make surveying easier. Schools are covered under two primary laws: Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) which states individualized data cannot be reported on students and the Protection of Pupil Rights Amendment (PPRA) which protects students from being surveyed that will reveal certain types of personal information without the permission of a parent or legal guardian. These laws often result in schools not participating in the counts. As schools and CoCs work to identify ways to coordinate data on youth homelessness, the report aims to utilize multiple data sources to provide a more comprehensive picture of youth in need of housing assistance.

YSS Data Limitations

While PIT and YSS help identify needs and trends, this annual snapshot of a single day provides partial information. When combined with other data sources, it can help create a more comprehensive measurement of the ongoing need and impact of systems responding to homelessness.

Participation Impacts Data

New communities join in conducting the PIT and YSS surveys each year. With each new community comes an improvement in identifying new youth in need. Each survey gives a youth a voice and helps a community secure housing for youth. This is especially important for service providers outside of housing specifically, because youth often disclose housing instability to a trusted adult rather than seek services. To participate in future counts, please contact the Office of Homeless Youth Services within the Colorado Division of Housing or your Regional CoC. Go to: cdola.colorado.gov/office-of-homeless-youth-services.

Data Highlights

The data captured through the YSS surveys highlighted the following needs and gaps in the area of youth homelessness:

- Lack of affordable housing options and income continue to be the two top barriers youth experiencing homelessness face when attempting to secure housing.
 - ◇ Some communities that have had success securing housing for youth have increased the stock of affordable housing by expanding development of youth specific permanent supportive housing and increasing the availability of rental assistance for low-income youth.
- Similar to previous years, youth of color, namely American Indian, Black, and Multiple Races, continue to be overrepresented in the homeless youth population.




- ◇ Some organizations that have had success have expanded the number of youth, especially those with lived experience and diverse races to serve on committees, boards, or other decision making groups to help reduce the overrepresentation by guiding program implementation to be more inclusive and effective for all.
- Youth who reported systems involvement (such as Child Welfare or Juvenile Justice) are overrepresented in the homeless youth population when compared with the general population of Colorado youth. The questions do not address whether the experiences with the systems are a result of homelessness (e.g., being arrested for an offense likely related to the homeless experience like trespassing) or if the systems involvement contributed to the experience of homelessness (i.e., it is difficult to secure an apartment with a criminal background). However, both situations may exist.
 - ◇ Some communities that have had success securing housing for youth have been able to develop or increase programs dedicated to providing resources for youth with involvement in Child Welfare and Juvenile Justice systems, as well as prevention. These intervention programs and preventative measures can range from education and employment services, connections to adult and community supports, and dedicated housing assistance.
- Of the youth who disclosed sexual orientation, nearly 30 percent identified as LGBTQ+. This reflects the nationwide estimates of up to 40 percent of unaccompanied homeless youth who identify as LGBTQ+ and a significant over representation compared to the general population.
 - ◇ Some organizations that have had success have included LGBTQ+ youth input in developing and operating programs which provide safe, supportive, and inclusive environments with access to resources without barriers.
 - ◇ Some agencies, service providers, and stakeholders have been successful in increasing assistance to LGBTQ+ youth through outreach and education to landlords, vendors, and partners regarding the unique challenges and needs of LGBTQ+ youth in order to provide fair and equitable treatment.

Trends


The 2020 YSS had the least amount of unaccompanied homeless youth participants (youth), 401, compared to the previous three years. The inaugural YSS in 2017 had the highest number of youth counted with 731. Due to differences in methodology (Even vs Odd Years) and changes among participating counties across years, it is difficult to clearly identify if the data differences are the result of changes in areas covered or the difference in actual youth experiencing homelessness. Further analysis of the number of volunteers and geographic coverage within counties could provide additional information regarding potential impacts on the number of youth counted compared to the actual change in need. While many homeless youth service and housing providers state an increase in youth experiencing homelessness, it is important to note that while this count provides a baseline of information, it is an undercount of all youth experiencing homelessness in Colorado.

Trend: Number of Youth Counted




The number of counties that participated in the 2020 YSS decreased to 24 counties from 27 counties in 2019. However, 2020 did have three more counties participate compared to 2017, the first year, which had 21 counties participate. It is important to consider that participation of certain counties each year can impact the count, such as rural vs metropolitan or populated vs less populated.

Trend: Number of Counties




There have been 12 counties who have participated in the YSS for all four years of this effort. Inconsistencies in county participation over the years may impact tracking trends. The consistency of participation helps track changes within those communities, as demonstrated in the Community Table Section.

Trend: Number of Counties per Years of Participation



Respondent data is analyzed to see if they are currently older than when their first homelessness experience was reported. This is significant because this data points to the fact that the majority of the youth (85% for 2020) experiencing homelessness are either not first time homeless or have been in a prolonged period of homelessness. There is a 4.5% decrease from last year, which may reveal a slight decrease in the number of youth who are experiencing multiple episodes of homelessness or prolonged periods of homelessness. This data also points to a need to look closer at the age of experiencing initial homelessness to determine interventions to prevent unaccompanied youth homelessness inflow.

Trend: Older at Time of Survey Than First Experience




Location

When looking at the youth counted within each CoC region, both YSS data and McKinney Vento data appear closely aligned with similar percentages, although the volume of YSS survey responses is fewer than the school data.


CoC Responses

388 Responses



McKinney Vento Data by CoC


2662 Responses



The number of counties participating in the 2020 YSS had decreased by 11% (or three counties) from the previous year. However, the number of counties that participated in 2020 was greater than the first two years of this effort. It is important to consider that participation of certain counties each year can impact the count, such as rural vs metropolitan or populated vs less-populated.

Number of Youth Per County


401 Responses



Mobility The majority (44%) of youth experiencing homelessness in Colorado had their last stable address in Colorado. This is especially true for youth who report attending school (69%), which could suggest that being enrolled in school has a correlation with the likelihood of staying in your community even if there is housing instability.


Mobility (a)

163 Responses



Mobility (b)

49 Responses



For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

Office of Homeless Youth Services

Definition

HUD Definition As mentioned in the Methodology section, the YSS and this report, have an extended definition of homelessness when compared with the PIT survey, these charts demonstrate how many of the youth counted did meet the HUD definition and were reported to HUD and subsequently, Congress. The chart shows, youth not enrolled in school were more likely to meet the HUD definition when compared with their peers who are enrolled in school (77% vs 48%).

HUD Definition (a)


248 Responses

Unknown

13.3%

No

10.1%



HUD Definition (b)


92 Responses

Unknown

8.7%

No


43.5%



Location Slept Sleeping in a Shelter or sleeping Outside are the two most common places for youth not enrolled in school. Whereas, for those who are enrolled, the two most common places for sleep is with Family (other than parents/guardians) or in a Shelter. This exemplifies the continued need for schools and shelters to collaborate on providing transportation services and support for education for their shared populations.

Location Slept (a)


228 Responses



Location Slept (a)

Location Slept (b)

87 Responses





Location Slept (b)





For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

Demographics

Age The percentage of youth under 18 (17%) enrolled in school is similar to those 18 years old (16%) who are enrolled in school and much higher than the percentage of youth under 18 (1%) not enrolled in school and those 18 years old (8%) not enrolled in school. The percentage of youth under 18 enrolled in school is much lower this count (17%) than last year's (45%). This data also points to a need to look closer into both YSS and McKinney-Vento data to determine factors for the large disparity from last year to this year



Gender Youth enrolled in school were slightly more likely (9.8% vs 5.8%) than those not in school to report being a minority gender identity (Gender Fluid, Gender Non-Conforming, Non-Binary, and Two-Spirit). However, for both populations, Male is the predominant gender identity.




For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

Office of Homeless Youth Services

Sexual Orientation Nationwide, homeless service providers report approximately 40% of youth identify as LGBTQ+, the PIT/YSS found that of youth who were not enrolled in school, 27% reported being LGBTQ+ and that of youth who were enrolled in school 29% reported being LGBTQ+. While this appears lower than the national average, coordination with service providers to collect data on those served should be analyzed to determine the accuracy of the data collected during YSS survey efforts. The data may vary by national estimates because of the data collection methodology in self report and short term interview style.


Sexual Orientation (a)

187 Responses



Sexual Orientation (b)


58 Responses




Race & Ethnicity While white youth continue to represent the largest number of youth experiencing homelessness, youth of color are overrepresented when compared to the general population of Colorado. This overrepresentation is true for both youth enrolled and youth not enrolled in school. These charts exemplify the overrepresentation especially for American Indian, Black, Multiracial, and Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander youth. In addition, the same overrepresentation is seen for Hispanic youth. Communities across the state are beginning to look at the data associated with service provision and how it relates to equitable access for all, especially as it concerns race.

Race (a)

160 Responses




Ethnicity (a)




Race (b)

49 Responses




Ethnicity (b)




Disabling Condition Having a disabling condition can be a barrier to accessing services or maintaining stable housing (at least 18 respondents reported the presence of a disability as a barrier to accessing and maintaining services). For youth enrolled in school, the presence of a disabling condition is slightly greater than youth not currently enrolled in school. Of the youth who responded “yes” to having a disabling condition, youth not enrolled in school reported a much greater presence of a physical health disability (62%) compared to youth enrolled in school, of whom none reported having a physical disability. For youth not enrolled in school, the presence of a mental health disability was closely followed by substance use. For youth enrolled in school, the presence of a substance use disability (5%) far trailed mental health (95%). Because youth could respond to having multiple disabling conditions, this chart also demonstrates the presence of multiple conditions, approximately 27 respondents have multiple types of conditions.


Disability (a)
139 Responses




Disability (b)
49 Responses




Type of Disability (a)
86 Responses




Disability (b)
49 Responses



Number of Disabling Conditions (a)
64 Responses



Number of Disabling Conditions (b)
18 Responses




For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6
Office of Homeless Youth Services

Life Experiences

Domestic Violence Prevalence of Domestic Violence appears to be decreasing from 21% in 2017 to 8% in 2020, or at least was reporting less. This marked decrease will need to be looked at more closely and compared with other data with the understanding that unsheltered homeless women face a higher risk of sexual victimization.¹


Domestic Violence Experience (a)

156 Responses



Domestic Violence Experience (b)


48 Responses



Foster Care The rate of youth experiencing homelessness with a history of Foster Care has maintained around 40% (43-38%) in the four years of this additional survey. Nationally, four in 10 youth experiencing homelessness also have a history of foster care. The manner in which youth exited Foster Care is similar between youth enrolled in school and those not enrolled in school. Respondent data shows higher rates of youth reunified with family when exiting Foster Care than any other type of exit. Further research and data into how and why youth who reunite with family after Foster Care still become homeless may be useful, as well as determining ways to support families when a youth rejoins the household.


Foster Care Experience (a)

178 Responses




How Those With Foster Care Experience Left (a)

51 Responses




Foster Care Experience (b)

60 Responses



How Those With Foster Care Experience Left (b)

25 Responses




(1) https://www.nsvrc.org/sites/default/files/publications_NSVRC_ResearchBrief_housing-and-sexual-violence_0.pdf

For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

Justice Involvement Youth enrolled in school are slightly less likely than their peers not enrolled in school to have experience with the justice system (41% vs 45%). If youth from either group did have justice system involvement, it most likely occurred with the Division of Youth Services and may be eligible to be expunged from their records. Ensuring access to legal resources to help in the expungement process may help communities circumvent negative outcomes for justice-involved youth.


Justice Involvement (a)

176 Responses




What Type of Justice Involvement (a)

79 Responses




Justice Involvement (b)

58 Responses



What Type of Justice Involvement (b)


24 Responses



Overall Systems Involvement The majority of youth have had some form of systems involvement (63% not enrolled in school and 60% youth enrolled in school). If youth did have system's involvement, they were likely to experience both Foster Care and justice involvement. For youth enrolled in school, the system involvement rates for Foster Care only and justice involvement only were equal. Alternatively, for youth not enrolled in school, the systems involvement rates are highest for justice involvement only.


Overall Systems Involvement (a)

166 Responses



Overall Systems Involvement (b)

58 Responses



For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

Office of Homeless Youth Services


Connection To An Adult A majority of respondents from both groups felt there was an existing connection between them and an adult. The reported type of connection between youths and adults for both groups were highest for family members at an identical rate of 50%.

For youth not enrolled in school, respondents had a higher connection to a Case Manager (15%) and friends (27%) when compared to their peers enrolled in school (0% and 20% respectively). The difference in connection with Case Managers between youth not enrolled in school and those enrolled in school may suggest that youth not enrolled in school seek support from Case Managers more than youth enrolled in school with access to a McKinney-Vento Liaisons.

For youth not enrolled in school, respondents felt connected to multiple adults at a rate of only 3%, which is much fewer than the rate of youth enrolled in school who are connected to multiple adults at 23%. This data may suggest that youth not enrolled in school depend more on Case Managers as adult contacts outside of family than youth enrolled in school who have a larger support network of multiple adults other than family. (Category of person includes: Case Manager, Family, Friend, Other, etc.)


Connection to Adult (a)

175 Responses




Type of Adult Connection (a)

121 Responses




Connection to Adult (b)

57 Responses



Type of Adult Connection (b)

40 Responses




For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

Homelessness Experience The youngest person to self report homelessness without a parent or guardian was 11 years old. In the previous three YSS reports, the youngest respondent whose first experience with homelessness without a parent or guardian ranged from 10-12 years old.

Similar to last year, youth not enrolled in school experienced homelessness without a parent in more cases when compared with their peers enrolled in school (51% vs 39%). For youth enrolled in school, respondent data shows the rate of youth experiencing homelessness for the first time to be much higher for those ages 12 and younger. For youth not enrolled in school, respondent data shows the rate of youth experiencing homelessness for the first time at a higher rate for those age 18. This data appears to align with other sources that state youth who are not enrolled in school and aging out of Foster Care at 18 years of age face increased rates of homelessness. unaccompanied.


Experienced Homelessness With a Parent (a)

178 Responses




Age at First Homeless Experience (a)

176 Responses




Experienced Homelessness With a Parent (b)

59 Responses



Age at First Homeless Experience (b)

64 Responses



For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6


Office of Homeless Youth Services

Parenting Youth Respondent data from youth not in school and those in school are similar in regards to youth caring for children; either a sibling, their own child, or a spouse’s child (17% vs 18%). Data from both groups demonstrate that youth experiencing homelessness while caring for children will likely care for their own child.

Majority of youth enrolled in school and parenting their own child were likely to sleep in a housing program (54.5%) compared to their peers not enrolled in school (39%). It is possible that parenting youth enrolled in school, who took part in a housing program, received some sort of support to allow them to continue attending school.


Youth with Children (a)

189 Responses




Youth with Children (b)

60 Responses




Relationship to Child (a)

32 Responses




Relationship to Child (b)

11 Responses




Location Slept for Parenting Youth (a)

31 Responses



Location Slept for Parenting Youth (b)

11 Responses




For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

Education The respondent data for youth not in school shows a majority (54%) have earned a basic education (High School Graduation or GED). Statewide, the four-year graduation rate was 81% for the class of 2019². In comparison, the rate of respondents who do not have a basic education (39%) is high. The likely correlation between lower educational attainment and homelessness is one which communities may benefit from learning more about to address barriers in attaining a basic education, and in turn, lessening experiences of homelessness for youth. For those youth who are currently receiving income, it is more likely that a youth has achieved a basic level of education (66%). Youth earning money are more likely to have a basic level of education. However, slightly more than half of the youth not earning income have a basic level of education (54%). The data suggests that if a youth has a basic level of education they still have a 35% chance of not earning money. These findings may suggest that additional skills, experience, and even permanent housing may be needed in addition to a basic level of education to increase the likelihood of earning income.


Graduation Rate for Those Not in School (a)

192 Responses



Earning Money v. Education Level (a)


173 Responses



Income Lack of Income is a major issue for both groups (as demonstrated in the Barriers Faced section), with the majority of youth having no income. Of the youth reporting income, the majority of the income came from an earned source. This data not only highlights the lack of income for youth but may represent the need for jobs with livable wages and access to additional sources of income.


Youth with Income (a)

183 Responses



Income Source (a)

62 Responses



(2) <https://www.cde.state.co.us/cdereval/gradratecurrent pdfdocuments/2018-AHAR-Part-1.pdf>


For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

Office of Homeless Youth Services

Income (cont.)


Youth With Income (b)

68 Responses



Income Source (b)

29 Responses



Barriers Youth not enrolled in school had an average of 4 barriers per youth, whereas youth enrolled in school had an average of 3 barriers per youth. Both groups had the same top three barriers:


- Lack of Income
- Affordable Housing
- Lack of Transportation

Youth from both groups differ in whether age is a significant barrier to accessing housing and services. Respondents from youth not enrolled in school show that only 4% view age as a barrier, while 14% of respondents from youth enrolled in school believe age is a barrier.

When it comes to Numbers of Barriers Faced, youth enrolled in school have a mostly downward trend with one barrier faced as the highest and the lowest at seven or more barriers faced. The trend for youth not enrolled in school seems to also follow a downward trend with one barrier faced as the highest to six barriers faced as the lowest. However, this downward trend sharply increased from six barriers at a rate of 2% to seven or more barriers at a rate of 12%.


Number of Barriers Faced (a)

190 Responses




Barriers Faced when Accessing Housing/Services (a)

569 Responses




Number of Barriers Faced (b)

61 Responses



Barriers Faced when Accessing Housing/Services (b)

167 Responses



For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

Continuum of Care Data

Balance of State

HUD Definition	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	63.75%	11.11%	35.46%	32	27.12%	19.12%
Unknown	N/A	N/A	7.17%	39	33.05%	12.06%
Yes	36.25%	88.89%	57.37%	47	39.83%	68.82%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	118	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.31%	1.64%	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.24%
Female	45.14%	37.70%	39.92%	52	44.07%	35.63%
Male	53.92%	59.02%	57.20%	64	54.24%	57.49%
Transgender	0.63%	1.64%	1.23%	0	0.00%	1.62%
Minority Gender ID	N/A	N/A	1.65%	2	1.69%	2.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	98.35%	118	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Bisexual	N/A	7.14%	5.84%	17	14.29%	21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	3.57%	1.95%	1	0.84%	2.45%
Straight	N/A	85.71%	83.77%	99	83.19%	72.65%
Minority Orientation	N/A	3.57%	8.44%	2	1.68%	3.67%
Total	N/A	100.00%	100.00%	119	100.00%	100.00%

Age by Number	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
11-17	25.57%	12.50%	29.86%	17	18.68%	10.26%
18	21.80%	29.17%	15.97%	19	20.88%	18.59%
19	18.80%	16.67%	18.75%	14	15.38%	13.46%
20	8.27%	4.17%	7.64%	14	15.38%	16.67%
21	6.02%	0.00%	5.56%	13	14.29%	16.67%
22	6.77%	4.17%	6.94%	8	8.79%	8.97%
23	6.02%	25.00%	6.94%	3	3.30%	3.21%
24	6.77%	8.33%	8.33%	3	3.30%	12.18%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	91	100.00%	100.00%

Balance of State

Race	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	5.94%	6.45%	6.01%	6	4.03%	2.87%
Asian	0.31%	0.00%	0.00%	1	0.67%	0.96%
Black	5.94%	4.84%	5.58%	7	4.70%	15.79%
Multiple Races	3.75%	16.13%	11.16%	8	5.37%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	1.88%	0.00%	0.86%	3	2.01%	13.88%
White	82.19%	72.58%	76.39%	124	83.22%	65.06%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	149	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Hispanic	35.98%	21.67%	34.07%	55	39.29%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	64.02%	78.33%	65.93%	85	60.71%	77.00%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	140	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	75.23%	62.50%	46.58%	77	53.47%	60.11%
Yes	24.77%	37.50%	53.42%	67	46.53%	39.89%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	144	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Mental Health	77.33%	75.00%	45.14%	59	67.82%	67.61%
Physical Health	22.67%	10.00%	5.56%	11	12.64%	18.10%
Both	N/A	15.00%	8.33%	6	6.90%	N/A
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	40.97%	11	12.64%	14.29%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	87	100.00%	100.00%

Domestic Violence	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	80.63%	83.61%	89.27%	126	85.14%	92.16%
Yes	19.37%	16.39%	10.73%	22	14.86%	7.84%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	148	100.00%	100.00%

Balance of State

Foster Care	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	62.11%	62.07%	69.62%	73	63.48%	60.92%
Yes	37.89%	37.93%	30.38%	43	36.52%	39.08%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	116	100.00%	100.00%

Corrections	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	62.11%	61.29%	56.58%	77	59.69%	55.98%
Yes	37.89%	38.71%	43.42%	52	40.31%	44.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	129	100.00%	100.00%

With Children	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	83.95%	80.00%	80.36%	101	86.32%	82.73%
Yes	16.05%	20.00%	19.64%	16	13.68%	17.27%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	117	100.00%	100.00%

In School	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	58.13%	72.97%	57.86%	62	52.54%	12.72%
Yes	41.88%	27.03%	42.14%	56	47.46%	87.28%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	118	100.00%	100.00%

High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	64.04%	63.16%	62.96%	38	35.19%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	35.96%	36.84%	37.04%	70	64.81%	41.90%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	108	100.00%	100.00%

Currently Earning Money	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS %	2020 BoS #	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	60.65%	51.35%	49.36%	69	58.47%	64.74%
Yes	39.35%	48.65%	50.64%	49	41.53%	35.26%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	118	100.00%	100.00%



Balance Of State County Data

Mesa

HUD Def	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	N/A	100.00%	47.46%	0	0.00%	27.12%	19.12%
Unknown	N/A	0.00%	5.08%	4	15.38%	33.05%	12.06%
Yes	N/A	0.00%	47.46%	22	84.62%	39.83%	68.82%
Total	N/A	100.00%	100.00%	26	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Doesn't Identify	1.35%	0.00%	0.00%	1	3.85%	0.00%	3.24%
Female	45.95%	38.89%	41.07%	9	34.62%	44.07%	35.63%
Male	51.35%	61.11%	50.00%	15	57.68%	54.24%	57.49%
Transgender	1.35%	0.00%	3.57%	0	0.00%	0.00%	1.62%
Minority Gender ID	N/A	0.00%	5.36%	1	3.85%	1.69%	2.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	26	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Bisexual	N/A	12.50%	13.04%	6	27.27%	14.29%	21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	12.50%	2.17%	0	0.00%	0.84%	2.45%
Straight	N/A	75.00%	65.22%	15	68.18%	83.19%	72.65%
Minority Orientation	N/A	0.00%	19.57%	1	4.55%	1.68%	3.67%
Total	N/A	100.00%	100.00%	22	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
11-17	21.61%	23.08%	7.32%	1	4.76%	18.68%	10.26%
18	20.27%	30.77%	12.20%	4	19.05%	20.88%	18.59%
19	21.62%	7.69%	26.83%	5	23.81%	15.38%	13.46%
20	6.76%	7.69%	17.07%	4	19.05%	15.38%	16.67%
21	8.11%	0.00%	12.20%	5	23.81%	14.29%	16.67%
22	8.11%	0.00%	7.32%	2	9.52%	8.79%	8.97%
23	8.11%	23.08%	7.32%	0	0.00%	3.30%	3.21%
24	5.41%	7.69%	9.76%	0	0.00%	3.30%	12.18%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	21	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Mesa

Race	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6.85%	0.00%	1.82%	0	0.00%	4.03%	2.87%
Asian	1.37%	0.00%	0.00%	1	4.00%	0.67%	0.96%
Black	4.11%	0.00%	1.82%	1	4.00%	4.70%	15.79%
Multiple Races	4.11%	15.79%	7.27%	2	8.00%	5.37%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	1.37%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	2.01%	13.88%
White	82.19%	84.21%	89.09%	21	84.00%	83.22%	65.06%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	25	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Hispanic	14.86%	16.67%	7.55%	2	8.00%	39.29%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	85.14%	83.33%	92.45%	23	92.00%	60.71%	77.00%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	25	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	62.16%	71.43%	11.11%	2	8.00%	53.47%	60.11%
Yes	37.84%	28.57%	88.89%	23	92.00%	46.53%	39.89%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	25	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Mental Health	70.59%	75.00%	26.41%	20	62.49%	67.82%	67.61%
Physical Health	29.41%	25.00%	1.89%	1	3.13%	12.64%	18.10%
Both	N/A	0.00%	5.66%	1	3.13%	6.90%	N/A
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	66.04%	10	31.25%	12.64%	14.29%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	32	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Domestic Violence	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	60.81%	94.74%	92.45%	4	16.67%	85.14%	92.16%
Yes	39.19%	5.26%	7.55%	20	83.33%	14.86%	7.84%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	24	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Mesa

Foster Care	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	62.34%	55.56%	58.70%	12	57.14%	63.48%	60.92%
Yes	37.66%	44.44%	41.30%	9	42.86%	36.52%	39.08%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	21	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Corrections	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	55.84%	75.00%	30.23%	10	41.67%	59.69%	55.98%
Yes	44.16%	25.00%	69.77%	14	58.33%	40.31%	44.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	24	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

With Children	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	84.42%	94.44%	84.78%	18	90.00%	86.32%	82.73%
Yes	15.58%	5.56%	15.22%	2	10.00%	13.68%	17.27%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	20	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

In School	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	62.34%	71.43%	71.74%	13	61.90%	52.54%	12.72%
Yes	37.66%	28.57%	28.26%	8	38.10%	47.46%	87.28%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	21	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	68.09%	40.00%	71.43%	8	40.00%	35.19%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	31.91%	60.00%	28.57%	12	60.00%	64.81%	41.90%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	20	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Currently Earning Money	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa %	2020 Mesa #	2020 Mesa %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	67.53%	64.29%	63.04%	13	59.09%	58.47%	64.74%
Yes	32.47%	35.71%	36.96%	9	40.91%	41.53%	35.26%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	22	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Morgan

HUD Def	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	50.00%	10	90.91%	27.12%	19.12%
Unknown	12.50%	0	0.00%	33.05%	12.06%
Yes	37.50%	1	9.09%	39.83%	68.82%
Total	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.24%
Female	28.57%	4	36.36%	44.07%	35.63%
Male	71.43%	7	63.64%	54.24%	57.49%
Transgender	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	1.62%
Minority Gender ID	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.69%	2.02%
Total	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Bisexual	0.00%	2	18.18%	14.29%	21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	8.33%	1	9.09%	0.84%	2.45%
Straight	83.33%	8	72.73%	83.19%	72.65%
Minority Orientation	8.33%	0	0.00%	1.68%	3.67%
Total	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
11-17	28.57%	2	33.33%	18.68%	10.26%
18	21.43%	1	16.67%	20.88%	18.59%
19	7.14%	2	33.33%	15.38%	13.46%
20	7.14%	0	0.00%	15.38%	16.67%
21	0.00%	1	16.67%	14.29%	16.67%
22	7.14%	0	0.00%	8.79%	8.97%
23	14.29%	0	0.00%	3.30%	3.21%
24	14.29%	0	0.00%	3.30%	12.18%
Total	100.00%	6	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Morgan

Race	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6.25%	0	0.00%	4.03%	2.87%
Asian	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.67%	0.96%
Black	50.00%	0	0.00%	4.70%	15.79%
Multiple Races	0.00%	1	9.09%	5.37%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	0	0.00%	2.01%	13.88%
White	43.75%	10	90.91%	83.22%	65.06%
Total	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Hispanic	18.75%	7	63.64%	39.29%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	81.25%	4	36.36%	60.71%	77.00%
Total	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	87.50%	4	40.00%	53.47%	60.11%
Yes	12.50%	6	60.00%	46.53%	39.89%
Total	100.00%	10	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Mental Health	100.00%	6	100.00%	67.82%	67.61%
Physical Health	0.00%	0	0.00%	12.64%	18.10%
Both	0.00%	0	0.00%	6.90%	N/A
Substance Use	0.00%	0	0.00%	12.64%	14.29%
Total	100.00%	6	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Morgan

Domestic Violence	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	93.75%	11	100.00%	85.14%	92.16%
Yes	6.25%	0	0.00%	14.86%	7.84%
Total	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Foster Care	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	76.92%	9	81.82%	63.48%	60.92%
Yes	23.08%	2	18.18%	36.52%	39.08%
Total	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	83.33%	9	81.82%	59.69%	55.98%
Yes	16.67%	2	18.18%	40.31%	44.02%
Total	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	84.62%	10	90.91%	86.32%	82.73%
Yes	15.38%	1	9.09%	13.68%	17.27%
Total	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	71.43%	2	18.18%	52.54%	12.72%
Yes	28.57%	9	81.82%	47.46%	87.28%
Total	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	87.50%	1	9.09%	35.19%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	12.50%	10	90.91%	64.81%	41.90%
Total	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currently Earning Money	2019 Morgan %	2020 Morgan #	2020 Morgan %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	30.77%	6	60.00%	58.47%	64.74%
Yes	69.23%	4	40.00%	41.53%	35.26%

Pueblo

HUD Def	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	N/A	13.33%	6	35.29%	27.12%	19.12%
Unknown	N/A	0.00%	0	0.00%	33.05%	12.06%
Yes	N/A	86.67%	11	64.71%	39.83%	68.82%
Total	N/A	100.00%	17	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.24%
Female	43.75%	40.00%	7	41.18%	44.07%	35.63%
Male	55.63%	60.00%	10	58.82%	54.24%	57.49%
Transgender	0.63%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	1.62%
Minority Gender ID	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.69%	2.02%
Total	100.01%	100.00%	17	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Bisexual	N/A	0.00%	2	11.76%	14.29%	21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.84%	2.45%
Straight	N/A	100.00%	15	88.24%	83.19%	72.65%
Minority Orientation	N/A	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.68%	3.67%
Total	N/A	100.00%	17	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
11-17	N/A	0.00%	3	21.42%	18.68%	10.26%
18	N/A	0.00%	2	14.29%	20.88%	18.59%
19	N/A	33.33%	2	14.29%	15.38%	13.46%
20	N/A	0.00%	1	7.14%	15.38%	16.67%
21	N/A	33.33%	2	14.29%	14.29%	16.67%
22	N/A	33.33%	1	7.14%	8.79%	8.97%
23	N/A	0.00%	1	7.14%	3.30%	3.21%
24	N/A	0.00%	2	14.29%	3.30%	12.18%
Total	N/A	100.00%	14	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Pueblo

Race	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6.40%	17.24%	2	12.50%	4.03%	2.87%
Asian	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.67%	0.96%
Black	6.40%	6.90%	1	6.25%	4.70%	15.79%
Multiple Races	1.16%	3.45%	3	18.75%	5.37%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	2.33%	0.00%	0	0.00%	2.01%	13.88%
White	83.72%	72.41%	10	62.50%	83.22%	65.06%
Total	100.01%	100.00%	16	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Hispanic	41.76%	46.43%	1	33.33%	39.29%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	58.24%	53.57%	2	66.67%	60.71%	77.00%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	3	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	88.24%	44.83%	8	50.00%	53.47%	60.11%
Yes	11.76%	55.17%	8	50.00%	46.53%	39.89%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	16	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Mental Health	N/A	54.54%	8	72.73%	67.82%	67.61%
Physical Health	N/A	4.55%	1	9.09%	12.64%	18.10%
Both	N/A	9.09%	0	0.00%	6.90%	N/A
Substance Use	N/A	31.82%	2	18.18%	12.64%	14.29%
Total	N/A	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Domestic Violence	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	N/A	92.45%	16	100.00%	85.14%	92.16%
Yes	N/A	7.55%	0	0.00%	14.86%	7.84%
Total	N/A	100.00%	16	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Pueblo

Foster Care	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	N/A	58.70%	7	41.18%	63.48%	60.92%
Yes	N/A	41.30%	10	58.82%	36.52%	39.08%
Total	N/A	100.00%	17	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	N/A	30.23%	11	64.71%	59.69%	55.98%
Yes	N/A	69.77%	6	35.29%	40.31%	44.02%
Total	N/A	100.00%	17	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	N/A	84.78%	16	94.12%	86.32%	82.73%
Yes	N/A	15.22%	1	5.88%	13.68%	17.27%
Total	N/A	100.00%	17	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	N/A	71.74%	11	64.71%	52.54%	12.72%
Yes	N/A	28.26%	6	35.29%	47.46%	87.28%
Total	N/A	100.00%	17	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	N/A	71.43%	10	62.50%	35.19%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	N/A	28.57%	6	37.50%	64.81%	41.90%
Total	N/A	100.00%	16	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currently Earning Money	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo %	2020 Pueblo #	2020 Pueblo %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	N/A	63.04%	10	58.82%	58.47%	64.74%
Yes	N/A	36.96%	7	41.18%	41.53%	35.26%
Total	N/A	100.00%	17	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Yuma

HUD Def	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	7	100.00%	27.12%	19.12%
Unknown	0	0.00%	33.05%	12.06%
Yes	0	0.00%	39.83%	68.82%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Doesn't Identify	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.24%
Female	5	71.43%	44.07%	35.63%
Male	2	28.57%	54.24%	57.49%
Transgender	0	0.00%	0.00%	1.62%
Minority Gender ID	0	0.00%	1.69%	2.02%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Bisexual	0	0.00%	14.29%	21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	0	0.00%	0.84%	2.45%
Straight	7	100.00%	83.19%	72.65%
Minority Orientation	0	0.00%	1.68%	3.67%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
11-17	4	66.66%	18.68%	10.26%
18	0	0.00%	20.88%	18.59%
19	1	16.67%	15.38%	13.46%
20	1	16.67%	15.38%	16.67%
21	0	0.00%	14.29%	16.67%
22	0	0.00%	8.79%	8.97%
23	0	0.00%	3.30%	3.21%
24	0	0.00%	3.30%	12.18%
Total	6	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%



Yuma

Race	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0.00%	4.03%	2.87%
Asian	0	0.00%	0.67%	0.96%
Black	0	0.00%	4.70%	15.79%
Multiple Races	0	0.00%	5.37%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.00%	2.01%	13.88%
White	6	100.00%	83.22%	65.06%
Total	6	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Hispanic	7	100.00%	39.29%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	0	0.00%	60.71%	77.00%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	7	100.00%	53.47%	60.11%
Yes	0	0.00%	46.53%	39.89%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
Mental Health	0	0.00%	67.82%	67.61%
Physical Health	0	0.00%	12.64%	18.10%
Both	0	0.00%	6.90%	N/A
Substance Use	0	0.00%	12.64%	14.29%
Total	0	0.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Yuma

Domestic Violence	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	7	100.00%	85.14%	92.16%
Yes	0	0.00%	14.86%	7.84%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Foster Care	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	7	100.00%	63.48%	60.92%
Yes	0	0.00%	36.52%	39.08%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Corrections	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	7	100.00%	59.69%	55.98%
Yes	0	0.00%	40.31%	44.02%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

With Children	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	5	71.43%	86.32%	82.73%
Yes	2	28.57%	13.68%	17.27%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

In School	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	1	14.29%	52.54%	12.72%
Yes	6	85.71%	47.46%	87.28%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	1	14.29%	35.19%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	6	85.71%	64.81%	41.90%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Currently Earning Money	2020 Yuma #	2020 Yuma %	2020 BoS %	2020 State %
No	6	14.29%	58.47%	64.74%
Yes	1	85.71%	41.53%	35.26%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Northern Colorado

HUD Definition	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	23	35.94%	19.12%
Unknown	24	37.50%	12.06%
Yes	17	26.56%	68.82%
Total	64	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
Doesn't Identify	0	0.00%	3.24%
Female	32	50.00%	35.63%
Male	32	50.00%	57.49%
Transgender	0	0.00%	1.62%
Minority Gender ID	0	0.00%	2.02%
Total	64	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
Bisexual	4	9.76%	21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	0	0.00%	2.45%
Straight	36	87.80%	72.65%
Minority Orientation	1	2.44%	3.67%
Total	41	100.00%	100.00%

Age by Number	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
11-17	10	16.00%	10.26%
18-24	54	84.00%	89.74%
18	N/A	N/A	18.59%
19	N/A	N/A	13.46%
20	N/A	N/A	16.67%
21	N/A	N/A	16.67%
22	N/A	N/A	8.97%
23	N/A	N/A	3.21%
24	N/A	N/A	12.18%
Total	1	100.00%	100.00%

Northern Colorado

Race	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	3	4.69%	2.87%
Asian	0	0.00%	0.96%
Black	3	4.69%	15.79%
Multiple Races	4	6.25%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	2	3.13%	13.88%
White	52	81.25%	65.06%
Total	64	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
Hispanic	26	41.94%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	36	58.06%	77.00%
Total	62	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	38	59.38%	60.11%
Yes	26	40.63%	39.89%
Total	64	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
Mental Health	20	57.14%	67.61%
Physical Health	7	20.00%	18.10%
Both	4	11.43%	N/A
Substance Use	4	11.43%	14.29%
Total	35	100.00%	100.00%

Domestic Violence	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	50	84.75%	92.16%
Yes	9	15.25%	7.84%
Total	59	100.00%	100.00%



Northern Colorado

Foster Care	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	27	71.05%	60.92%
Yes	11	28.95%	39.08%
Total	38	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	29	70.73%	55.98%
Yes	12	29.27%	44.02%
Total	41	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	38	92.68%	82.73%
Yes	3	7.32%	17.27%
Total	41	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	22	53.66%	12.72%
Yes	19	46.34%	87.28%
Total	41	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	14	35.00%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	26	65.00%	41.90%
Total	40	100.00%	100.00%
Currently Earning Money	2020 NoCo #	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	19	46.34%	64.74%
Yes	22	53.66%	35.26%
Total	41	100.00%	100.00%

Larimer

HUD Def	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	N/A	23.08%	35.56%	5	17.24%	35.94%	19.12%
Unknown	N/A	N/A	0.00%	18	62.07%	37.50%	12.06%
Yes	N/A	76.92%	64.44%	6	20.69%	26.56%	68.82%
Total	N/A	100.00%	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.24%
Female	40.00%	38.46%	28.89%	12	41.38%	50.00%	35.63%
Male	60.00%	61.54%	71.11%	17	58.62%	50.00%	57.49%
Transgender	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	1.62%
Minority Gender ID	N/A	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	2.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
Bisexual	N/A	14.29%	0.00%	4	13.79%	9.76%	21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	2.45%
Straight	N/A	71.43%	95.83%	25	86.21%	87.80%	72.65%
Minority Orientation	N/A	14.29%	4.17%	0	0.00%	2.44%	3.67%
Total	N/A	100.00%	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
11-17	40.74%	0.00%	16.67%	3	10.34%	16.00%	10.26%
18-24	N/A	N/A	N/A	26	89.66%	84.00%	89.74%
18	33.33%	66.67%	16.67%	N/A	N/A	N/A	18.59%
19	18.52%	33.33%	22.22%	N/A	N/A	N/A	13.46%
20	7.41%	0.00%	11.11%	N/A	N/A	N/A	16.67%
21	0.00%	0.00%	11.11%	N/A	N/A	N/A	16.67%
22	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.97%
23	0.00%	0.00%	22.22%	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.21%
24	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	12.18%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Race	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.00%	0.00%	2.78%	2	6.90%	4.69%	2.87%
Asian	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.96%
Black	7.89%	0.00%	2.78%	0	0.00%	4.69%	15.79%
Multiple Races	13.16%	23.08%	22.22%	3	10.34%	6.25%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	2.63%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.13%	13.88%
White	76.32%	76.92%	72.22%	24	82.76%	81.25%	65.06%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
Hispanic	33.33%	16.67%	37.14%	9	31.03%	41.94%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	66.67%	83.33%	62.86%	20	68.97%	58.06%	77.00%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Larimer

Disability	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	65.91%	53.85%	39.47%	11	37.93%	59.38%	60.11%
Yes	34.09%	46.15%	60.53%	18	62.07%	40.63%	39.89%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
Mental Health	87.50%	83.33%	66.67%	14	53.85%	57.14%	67.61%
Physical Health	12.50%	0.00%	3.70%	6	23.08%	20.00%	18.10%
Both	N/A	16.67%	7.41%	4	15.38%	11.43%	N/A
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	22.22%	2	7.69%	11.43%	14.29%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	26	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Domestic Violence	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	83.72%	100.00%	89.47%	21	77.78%	84.75%	92.16%
Yes	16.28%	0.00%	10.53%	6	22.22%	15.25%	7.84%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	27	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Foster Care	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	61.22%	62.50%	50.00%	6	54.55%	71.05%	60.92%
Yes	38.78%	37.50%	50.00%	5	45.45%	28.95%	39.08%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Corrections	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	67.35%	77.78%	29.17%	6	50.00%	70.73%	55.98%
Yes	32.65%	22.22%	70.83%	6	50.00%	29.27%	44.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	12	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

With Children	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	86.00%	100.00%	68.00%	12	100.00%	92.68%	82.73%
Yes	14.00%	0.00%	32.00%	0	0.00%	7.32%	17.27%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	12	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

In School	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	38.10%	77.78%	83.33%	8	66.67%	53.66%	12.72%
Yes	61.90%	22.22%	16.67%	4	33.33%	46.34%	87.28%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	12	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	42.11%	57.14%	40.91%	4	33.33%	35.00%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	57.89%	42.86%	59.09%	8	66.67%	65.00%	41.90%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	12	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Currently Earning Money	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer %	2020 Larimer #	2020 Larimer %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	51.06%	66.67%	54.17%	5	41.67%	46.34%	64.74%
Yes	48.94%	33.33%	45.83%	7	58.33%	53.66%	35.26%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	12	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Weld

HUD Def	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	N/A	65.71%	18	51.43%	35.94%	19.12%
Unknown	N/A	8.57%	6	17.14%	37.50%	12.06%
Yes	N/A	25.71%	11	31.43%	26.56%	68.82%
Total	N/A	100.00%	35	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.24%
Female	40.00%	40.00%	20	57.14%	50.00%	35.63%
Male	60.00%	57.14%	15	42.86%	50.00%	57.49%
Transgender	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	1.62%
Minority Gender ID	0.00%	2.86%	0	0.00%	0.00%	2.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	35	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
Bisexual	N/A	3.23%	0	0.00%	9.76%	21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	3.23%	0	0.00%	0.00%	2.45%
Straight	N/A	93.55%	11	91.67%	87.80%	72.65%
Minority Orientation	N/A	0.00%	1	8.33%	2.44%	3.67%
Total	N/A	100.00%	12	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
11-17	40.74%	43.75%	7	20.00%	16.00%	10.26%
18-24	N/A	N/A	28	80.00%	84.00%	89.74%
18	33.33%	21.88%	N/A	N/A	N/A	18.59%
19	18.52%	15.63%	N/A	N/A	N/A	13.46%
20	7.41%	3.13%	N/A	N/A	N/A	16.67%
21	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	16.67%
22	0.00%	3.13%	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.97%
23	0.00%	3.13%	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.21%
24	0.00%	9.38%	N/A	N/A	N/A	12.18%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	35	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Weld

Race	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.00%	2.86%	1	2.86%	4.69%	2.87%
Asian	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.96%
Black	7.89%	0.00%	3	8.57%	4.69%	15.79%
Multiple Races	13.16%	11.43%	1	2.86%	6.25%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	2.63%	2.86%	2	5.71%	3.13%	13.88%
White	76.32%	82.86%	28	80.00%	81.25%	65.06%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	35	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
Hispanic	33.33%	57.14%	17	51.52%	41.94%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	66.67%	42.86%	16	48.48%	58.06%	77.00%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	33	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	65.91%	60.00%	27	77.14%	59.38%	60.11%
Yes	34.09%	40.00%	8	22.86%	40.63%	39.89%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	35	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
Mental Health	87.50%	66.67%	6	42.86%	57.14%	67.61%
Physical Health	12.50%	0.00%	1	7.14%	20.00%	18.10%
Both	N/A	11.11%	0	0.00%	11.43%	N/A
Substance Use	N/A	22.22%	2	14.29%	11.43%	14.29%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	9	64.29%	115.20%	126.89%

Domestic Violence	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	83.72%	80.00%	29	90.63%	84.75%	92.16%
Yes	16.28%	20.00%	3	9.38%	15.25%	7.84%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	32	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Weld

Foster Care	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	80.00%	78.13%	21	77.78%	71.05%	60.92%
Yes	20.00%	21.88%	6	22.22%	28.95%	39.08%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	27	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Corrections	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	60.00%	25.81%	23	79.31%	70.73%	55.98%
Yes	40.00%	74.19%	6	20.69%	29.27%	44.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

With Children	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	66.67%	87.50%	26	89.66%	92.68%	82.73%
Yes	33.33%	12.50%	3	10.34%	7.32%	17.27%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

In School	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	66.67%	28.13%	14	48.28%	53.66%	12.72%
Yes	33.33%	71.88%	15	51.72%	46.34%	87.28%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	80.00%	62.50%	10	35.71%	35.00%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	20.00%	37.50%	18	64.29%	65.00%	41.90%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	28	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Currently Earning Money	2017 Weld %	2019 Weld %	2020 Weld #	2020 Weld %	2020 NoCo %	2020 State %
No	45.45%	38.71%	14	48.28%	46.34%	64.74%
Yes	54.55%	61.29%	15	51.72%	53.66%	35.26%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

El Paso

HUD Definition	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	13.51%	13.08%	18.84%	0	0.00%	19.12%
Unknown	N/A	0.00%	0.00%	4	7.69%	12.06%
Yes	86.49%	86.92%	81.16%	48	92.31%	68.82%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	52	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	3.08%	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.24%
Female	34.00%	41.54%	28.99%	16	28.57%	35.63%
Male	64.00%	53.08%	68.12%	39	69.64%	57.49%
Transgender	2.00%	1.54%	1.45%	1	1.79%	1.62%
Minority Gender Id	N/A	0.77%	1.45%	0	0.00%	2.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	56	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
Bisexual	N/A	9.43%	15.38%	9	16.36%	21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	0.00%	0.00%	2	3.64%	2.45%
Straight	N/A	77.36%	78.85%	44	80.00%	72.65%
Minority Orientation	N/A	13.21%	5.77%	0	0.00%	3.67%
Total	N/A	100.00%	100.00%	55	100.00%	100.00%

Age by Number	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
11-17	6.45%	1.56%	2.90%	1	1.79%	10.26%
18	17.74%	8.59%	13.04%	6	10.71%	18.59%
19	22.58%	9.38%	13.04%	5	8.93%	13.46%
20	20.97%	12.50%	14.49%	4	7.14%	16.67%
21	14.52%	17.19%	15.94%	17	30.35%	16.67%
22	8.06%	17.97%	13.04%	8	14.29%	8.97%
23	6.45%	14.06%	13.04%	5	8.93%	3.21%
24	3.23%	18.75%	14.49%	10	17.86%	12.18%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	56	100.00%	100.00%

El Paso

Race	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2.00%	3.36%	2.90%	3	5.26%	2.87%
Asian	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1	1.75%	0.96%
Black	24.00%	18.49%	17.39%	12	21.05%	15.79%
Multiple Races	8.00%	3.36%	13.04%	8	14.04%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	2.52%	0.00%	0	0.00%	13.88%
White	64.00%	72.27%	66.67%	33	57.89%	65.06%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	57	99.99%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
Hispanic	24.49%	14.96%	23.19%	6	10.71%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	75.51%	85.04%	76.81%	50	89.29%	77.00%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	56	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	28.57%	37.39%	24.64%	20	66.67%	60.11%
Yes	71.43%	62.61%	75.36%	10	33.33%	39.89%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	30	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
Mental Health	82.93%	90.28%	51.43%	19	82.61%	67.61%
Physical Health	17.07%	1.39%	4.29%	2	8.70%	18.10%
Both	N/A	8.33%	12.86%	1	4.35%	N/A
Substance Use	N/A		31.43%	12	52.17%	14.29%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	34	147.83%	100.00%

Domestic Violence	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	29.79%	88.06%	89.47%	0	0.00%	92.16%
Yes	70.21%	11.94%	10.53%	56	100.00%	7.84%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	56	100.00%	100.00%

El Paso

Foster Care	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	69.77%	64.00%	50.91%	35	68.63%	60.92%
Yes	30.23%	36.00%	49.09%	16	31.37%	39.08%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	51	100.00%	100.00%

Corrections	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	51.22%	35.42%	31.48%	27	51.92%	55.98%
Yes	48.78%	64.58%	68.52%	25	48.08%	44.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	52	100.00%	100.00%

With Children	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	92.50%	81.13%	83.02%	47	88.68%	82.73%
Yes	7.50%	18.87%	16.98%	6	11.32%	17.27%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	53	100.00%	100.00%

In School	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	78.05%	89.09%	83.93%	52	98.11%	12.72%
Yes	21.95%	10.91%	16.07%	1	1.89%	87.28%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	53	100.00%	100.00%

High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	43.33%	58.54%	50.00%	30	57.69%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	56.67%	41.46%	50.00%	22	42.31%	41.90%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	52	100.00%	100.00%

Currently Earning Money	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso %	2020 EI Paso #	2020 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	78.95%	57.14%	49.12%	25	71.43%	64.74%
Yes	21.05%	42.86%	50.88%	10	28.57%	35.26%

Metro Denver Homeless Initiative

Foster Care	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	50.93%	54.48%	57.23%	98	60.87%	60.92%
Yes	49.07%	45.52%	42.77%	63	39.13%	39.08%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	161	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	40.25%	55.86%	42.21%	88	56.05%	55.98%
Yes	59.75%	44.14%	57.79%	69	43.95%	44.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	157	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	93.08%	81.72%	73.22%	130	79.27%	82.73%
Yes	6.92%	18.28%	26.78%	34	20.73%	17.27%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	164	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	80.75%	63.82%	72.46%	132	80.49%	12.72%
Yes	19.25%	36.18%	27.54%	32	19.51%	87.28%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	164	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	70.87%	61.70%	56.41%	80	49.69%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	29.13%	38.30%	43.59%	81	50.31%	41.90%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	161	100.00%	100.00%
Currenting Receiving Income	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	61.74%	39.49%	32.74%	87	55.41%	64.74%
Yes	38.26%	60.51%	67.26%	70	44.59%	35.26%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	157	100.00%	100.00%



Metro Denver Homeless Initiative

Race	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2.59%	3.00%	6.97%	4	2.76%	2.87%
Asian	2.59%	1.12%	0.82%	0	0.00%	0.96%
Black	19.26%	23.60%	16.80%	26	17.93%	15.79%
Multiple Races	11.85%	10.86%	18.44%	28	19.31%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	0.75%	1.64%	5	3.45%	13.88%
White	63.70%	60.67%	55.33%	82	56.55%	65.06%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	145	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Hispanic	20.96%	26.69%	32.92%	46	31.29%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	79.04%	73.31%	67.08%	101	68.71%	77.00%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	147	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	49.65%	60.14%	46.38%	91	67.91%	60.11%
Yes	50.35%	39.86%	53.62%	43	32.09%	39.89%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	134	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Mental Health	72.93%	89.66%	34.71%	33	62.26%	67.61%
Physical Health	27.07%	5.17%	5.29%	15	28.30%	18.10%
Both	N/A	5.17%	15.29%	5	9.43%	N/A
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	44.71%	0	N/A	14.29%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	53	100.00%	126.89%

Domestic Violence	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	85.56%	93.13%	89.74%	108	80.60%	92.16%
Yes	14.44%	6.87%	10.26%	26	19.40%	7.84%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	134	100.00%	100.00%

Metro Denver Homeless Initiative

Foster Care	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	50.93%	54.48%	57.23%	98	60.87%	60.92%
Yes	49.07%	45.52%	42.77%	63	39.13%	39.08%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	161	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	40.25%	55.86%	42.21%	88	56.05%	55.98%
Yes	59.75%	44.14%	57.79%	69	43.95%	44.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	157	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	93.08%	81.72%	73.22%	130	79.27%	82.73%
Yes	6.92%	18.28%	26.78%	34	20.73%	17.27%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	164	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	80.75%	63.82%	72.46%	132	80.49%	12.72%
Yes	19.25%	36.18%	27.54%	32	19.51%	87.28%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	164	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	70.87%	61.70%	56.41%	80	49.69%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	29.13%	38.30%	43.59%	81	50.31%	41.90%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	161	100.00%	100.00%
Currenting Receiving Income	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI %	2020 MDHI #	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	61.74%	39.49%	32.74%	87	55.41%	64.74%
Yes	38.26%	60.51%	67.26%	70	44.59%	35.26%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	157	100.00%	100.00%

METRO Denver Homeless Initiative County Data

Adams

HUD Def	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	9.52%	15.79%	2	14.29%	15.25%	19.12%
Unknown	N/A	5.26%	0	0.00%	12.43%	12.06%
Yes	90.48%	78.95%	12	85.71%	72.32%	68.82%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	14	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.24%
Female	47.62%	38.89%	6	42.86%	34.52%	35.63%
Male	52.38%	50.00%	8	53.33%	55.36%	57.49%
Transgender	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.57%	1.62%
Minority Gender ID	0.00%	11.11%	0	0.00%	6.55%	2.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	14	96.19%	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Bisexual	0.00%	0.00%	1	7.69%	19.75%	21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	0.00%	0.00%	2	15.38%	4.32%	2.45%
Straight	100.00%	75.00%	6	46.16%	70.37%	72.65%
Minority Orientation	0.00%	25.00%	4	30.77%	5.56%	3.67%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	13	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
11-17	6.25%	0.00%	0	0.00%	6.00%	10.26%
18	6.25%	0.00%	0	0.00%	26.00%	18.59%
19	12.50%	7.14%	1	33.33%	12.00%	13.46%
20	12.50%	21.43%	1	33.33%	26.00%	16.67%
21	12.50%	7.14%	0	0.00%	2.00%	16.67%
22	6.25%	21.43%	0	0.00%	0.00%	8.97%
23	18.75%	28.57%	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.21%
24	25.00%	14.29%	1	33.33%	28.00%	12.18%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	3	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Adams

Race	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	2.76%	2.87%
Asian	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.96%
Black	30.77%	7.14%	2	18.18%	17.93%	15.79%
Multiple Races	0.00%	28.57%	3	27.27%	19.31%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.45%	13.88%
White	69.23%	64.29%	6	54.55%	56.55%	65.06%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Hispanic	53.33%	53.85%	6	42.86%	31.29%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	46.67%	46.15%	8	57.14%	68.71%	77.00%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	14	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	53.33%	64.29%	7	77.78%	67.91%	60.11%
Yes	46.67%	35.71%	2	22.22%	32.09%	39.89%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	9	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Mental Health	85.71%	60.00%	1	100.00%	62.26%	67.61%
Physical Health	14.29%	0.00%	0	0.00%	28.30%	18.10%
Both	N/A	0.00%	0	0.00%	9.43%	N/A
Substance Use	N/A	100.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	14.29%
Total	100.00%	160.00%	1	100.00%	100.00%	126.89%

Domestic Violence	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	100.00%	85.71%	0	0.00%	80.60%	92.16%
Yes	0.00%	14.29%	13	100.00%	19.40%	7.84%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	13	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Adams

Foster Care	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	50.00%	87.50%	10	76.92%	60.87%	60.92%
Yes	50.00%	12.50%	3	23.08%	39.13%	39.08%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	13	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Corrections	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	83.33%	62.50%	8	57.14%	56.05%	55.98%
Yes	16.67%	37.50%	6	42.86%	43.95%	44.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	14	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

With Children	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	71.43%	60.00%	11	84.62%	79.27%	82.73%
Yes	28.57%	40.00%	2	15.38%	20.73%	17.27%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	13	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

In School	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	20.00%	87.50%	10	76.92%	80.49%	12.72%
Yes	80.00%	12.50%	3	23.08%	19.51%	87.28%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	13	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	100.00%	28.57%	5	38.46%	49.69%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	0.00%	71.43%	8	61.54%	50.31%	41.90%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	13	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Currenting Receiving Income	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams %	2020 Adams #	2020 Adams %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	33.33%	37.50%	5	41.67%	55.41%	64.74%
Yes	66.67%	62.50%	7	58.33%	44.59%	35.26%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	12	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Arapahoe

HUD Def	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 %	MDHI	2020 %	State
No	N/A	N/A	6.67%	3	37.50%		15.25%		19.12%
Unknown	N/A	N/A	0.00%	0	0.00%		12.43%		12.06%
Yes	N/A	N/A	93.33%	5	62.50%		72.32%		68.82%
Total	N/A	N/A	100.00%	8	100.00%		100.00%		100.00%

Gender	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 %	MDHI	2020 %	State
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%		3.24%
Female	33.33%	16.67%	46.67%	3	37.50%		34.52%		35.63%
Male	66.67%	75.00%	53.33%	4	50.00%		55.36%		57.49%
Transgender	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1	12.50%		3.57%		1.62%
Minority Gender ID	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%		6.55%		2.02%
Total	100.00%	91.67%	100.00%	8	100.00%		100.00%		100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 %	MDHI	2020 %	State
Bisexual	N/A	14.29%	20.00%	2	25.00%		19.75%		21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	28.57%	0.00%	1	12.50%		4.32%		2.45%
Straight	N/A	57.14%	80.00%	5	62.50%		70.37%		72.65%
Minority Orientation	N/A	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%		5.56%		3.67%
Total	N/A	100.00%	100.00%	8	100.00%		100.00%		100.00%

Age by #	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 %	MDHI	2020 %	State
11-17	5.26%	0.00%	7.14%	1	50.00%		6.00%		10.26%
18	15.79%	8.33%	7.14%	0	0.00%		26.00%		18.59%
19	15.79%	16.67%	0.00%	0	0.00%		12.00%		13.46%
20	15.79%	16.67%	21.43%	1	50.00%		26.00%		16.67%
21	5.26%	20.83%	14.29%	0	0.00%		2.00%		16.67%
22	5.26%	8.33%	14.29%	0	0.00%		0.00%		8.97%
23	21.05%	16.67%	14.29%	0	0.00%		0.00%		3.21%
24	15.79%	12.50%	21.43%	0	0.00%		28.00%		12.18%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	2	100.00%		100.00%		100.00%

Race	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 %	MDHI	2020 %	State
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%		2.76%		2.87%
Asian	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%		0.96%
Black	50.00%	28.57%	33.33%	1	12.50%		17.93%		15.79%
Multiple Races	31.25%	21.43%	26.67%	3	37.50%		19.31%		1.44%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%		3.45%		13.88%
White	18.78%	50.00%	40.00%	4	50.00%		56.55%		65.06%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	8	100.00%		100.00%		100.00%

Ethnicity	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 %	MDHI	2020 %	State
Hispanic	11.76%	37.50%	21.43%	0	0.00%		31.29%		23.00%
Non Hispanic	88.24%	62.50%	78.57%	6	100.00%		68.71%		77.00%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	6	100.00%		100.00%		100.00%

Arapahoe

Disability	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	42.11%	37.50%	53.33%	6	85.71%	67.91%	60.11%
Yes	57.89%	62.50%	46.67%	1	14.29%	32.09%	39.89%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Mental Health	71.43%	90.00%	37.50%	1	100.00%	62.26%	67.61%
Physical Health	28.57%	0.00%	12.50%	0	0.00%	28.30%	18.10%
Both	N/A	10.00%	12.50%	0	0.00%	9.43%	N/A
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	37.50%	N/A	N/A	N/A	14.29%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	1	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Domestic Violence	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	84.21%	87.50%	94.44%	4	66.67%	80.60%	92.16%
Yes	15.79%	12.50%	5.56%	2	33.33%	19.40%	7.84%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	6	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Foster Care	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	33.33%	62.50%	77.78%	5	62.50%	60.87%	60.92%
Yes	66.67%	37.50%	22.22%	3	37.50%	39.13%	39.08%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Corrections	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	50.00%	87.50%	88.89%	4	50.00%	56.05%	55.98%
Yes	50.00%	12.50%	11.11%	4	50.00%	43.95%	44.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

With Children	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	83.33%	100.00%	77.78%	7	87.50%	79.27%	82.73%
Yes	16.67%	0.00%	22.22%	1	12.50%	20.73%	17.27%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

In School	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	83.33%	75.00%	100.00%	7	87.50%	80.49%	12.72%
Yes	16.67%	25.00%	0.00%	1	12.50%	19.51%	87.28%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	40.00%	100.00%	55.56%	3	42.86%	49.69%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	60.00%	0.00%	44.44%	4	57.14%	50.31%	41.90%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Currenting Receiving Income	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe %	2020 Arapahoe #	2020 Arapahoe %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	66.67%	28.57%	66.67%	3	37.50%	55.41%	64.74%
Yes	33.33%	71.43%	33.33%	5	62.50%	44.59%	35.26%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Boulder

HUD Def	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	N/A	N/A	6.98%	7	22.58%	15.25%	19.12%
Unknown	N/A	N/A	9.30%	0	0.00%	12.43%	12.06%
Yes	N/A	N/A	83.72%	24	77.42%	72.32%	68.82%
Total	N/A	N/A	100.00%	31	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Doesn't Identify	1.35%	0.00%	2.33%	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.24%
Female	25.68%	44.44%	34.88%	12	37.50%	34.52%	35.63%
Male	72.97%	55.56%	53.49%	19	59.38%	55.36%	57.49%
Transgender	0.00%	0.00%	2.33%	0	0.00%	3.57%	1.62%
Minority Gender ID	0.26%	0.00%	6.98%	1	3.13%	6.55%	2.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	32	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Bisexual	N/A	20.00%	0.00%	4	12.50%	19.75%	21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	4.32%	2.45%
Straight	N/A	80.00%	83.33%	27	84.38%	70.37%	72.65%
Minority Orientation	N/A	0.00%	16.67%	1	1.82%	5.56%	3.67%
Total	N/A	100.00%	100.00%	32	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
11-17	21.34%	0.00%	4.88%	1	14.29%	6.00%	10.26%
18	12.36%	20.00%	14.63%	3	42.86%	26.00%	18.59%
19	11.24%	24.00%	17.07%	1	14.29%	12.00%	13.46%
20	17.98%	16.00%	17.07%	1	14.29%	26.00%	16.67%
21	6.74%	16.00%	7.32%	0	0.00%	2.00%	16.67%
22	12.36%	8.00%	9.76%	0	0.00%	0.00%	8.97%
23	4.49%	4.00%	7.32%	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.21%
24	14.61%	8.00%	21.95%	1	14.29%	28.00%	12.18%
Total	100.00%	96.00%	100.00%	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Race	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	4.11%	10.00%	4.88%	0	0.00%	2.76%	2.87%
Asian	0.00%	5.00%	2.44%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.96%
Black	4.11%	10.00%	4.88%	3	10.34%	17.93%	15.79%
Multiple Races	6.85%	15.00%	26.83%	6	20.69%	19.31%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	0.00%	0	1	3.45%	3.45%	13.88%
White	84.93%	60.00%	60.98%	19	65.52%	56.55%	65.06%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Boulder

Ethnicity	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Hispanic	21.43%	43.48%	40.48%	13	40.63%	31.29%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	78.57%	56.52%	59.52%	19	59.38%	68.71%	77.00%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	32	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	72.00%	56.52%	61.90%	17	68.00%	67.91%	60.11%
Yes	28.00%	43.48%	38.10%	8	32.00%	32.09%	39.89%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	25	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Mental Health	61.54%	80.00%	42.11%	8	88.89%	62.26%	67.61%
Physical Health	38.46%	20.00%	0.00%	1	11.11%	28.30%	18.10%
Both	N/A	0.00%	21.05%	0	0.00%	9.43%	N/A
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	36.84%	N/A	N/A	N/A	14.29%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	9	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Domestic Violence	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	90.67%	91.30%	88.10%	24	92.31%	80.60%	92.16%
Yes	9.33%	8.70%	11.90%	2	7.69%	19.40%	7.84%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	26	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Foster Care	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	63.83%	70.00%	56.00%	19	59.37%	60.87%	60.92%
Yes	36.17%	30.00%	44.00%	13	40.63%	39.13%	39.08%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	32	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Corrections	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	54.17%	25.00%	52.17%	18	58.06%	56.05%	55.98%
Yes	45.83%	75.00%	47.83%	13	41.94%	43.95%	44.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	31	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Boulder

With Children	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	89.58%	85.19%	67.65%	23	74.19%	79.27%	82.73%
Yes	10.42%	14.81%	32.35%	8	25.81%	20.73%	17.27%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	31	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

In School	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	82.98%	100.00%	72.00%	22	70.97%	80.49%	12.72%
Yes	17.02%	0.00%	28.00%	9	29.03%	19.51%	87.28%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	31	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	71.79%	20.00%	61.11%	13	39.39%	49.69%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	28.21%	80.00%	38.89%	20	60.61%	50.31%	41.90%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	33	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Currenting Receiving Income	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder %	2020 Boulder #	2020 Boulder %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	54.55%	50.00%	24.00%	14	48.28%	55.41%	64.74%
Yes	45.45%	50.00%	76.00%	15	51.72%	44.59%	35.26%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Denver

HUD Def	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	N/A	N/A	4.79%	14	11.86%	15.25%	19.12%
Unknown	N/A	N/A	2.40%	22	18.64%	12.43%	12.06%
Yes	N/A	N/A	92.81%	82	69.50%	72.32%	68.82%
Total	N/A	N/A	100.00%	118	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Doesn't Identify	2.21%	1.93%	0.60%	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.24%
Female	22.10%	31.60%	33.53%	35	32.11%	34.52%	35.63%
Male	73.48%	64.86%	61.08%	59	54.13%	55.36%	57.49%
Transgender	2.21%	1.54%	2.99%	5	4.59%	3.57%	1.62%
Minority Gender ID	N/A	0.00%	1.80%	10	9.17%	6.55%	2.02%
Total	100.00%	99.93%	100.00%	109	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Bisexual	N/A	8.42%	11.11%	25	24.04%	19.75%	21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	9.47%	2.78%	4	3.85%	4.32%	2.45%
Straight	N/A	76.84%	77.78%	71	68.26%	70.37%	72.65%
Minority Orientation	N/A	5.26%	8.33%	4	3.85%	5.56%	3.67%
Total	N/A	100.00%	100.00%	104	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
11-17	3.76%	2.04%	3.03%	1	2.86%	6.00%	10.26%
18	13.44%	8.16%	5.45%	10	28.57%	26.00%	18.59%
19	12.37%	13.88%	11.52%	4	11.43%	12.00%	13.46%
20	19.35%	10.61%	17.58%	10	28.57%	26.00%	16.67%
21	15.05%	13.47%	9.09%	1	2.86%	2.00%	16.67%
22	11.29%	17.14%	19.39%	0	0.00%	0.00%	8.97%
23	11.83%	15.92%	18.79%	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.21%
24	12.90%	18.78%	15.15%	9	25.71%	28.00%	12.18%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	35	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Race	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2.30%	2.88%	8.43%	3	3.30%	2.76%	2.87%
Asian	4.02%	0.96%	0.60%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.96%
Black	23.56%	25.96%	18.67%	19	20.88%	17.93%	15.79%
Multiple Races	12.07%	9.62%	15.06%	16	17.58%	19.31%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	0.96%	2.41%	4	4.40%	3.45%	13.88%
White	58.05%	59.62%	54.82%	49	53.84%	56.55%	65.06%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	91	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Hispanic	21.59%	22.17%	30.72%	24	26.97%	31.29%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	78.41%	77.83%	69.28%	65	73.03%	68.71%	77.00%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	89	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Denver

Disability	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	42.31%	61.99%	40.38%	58	66.67%	67.91%	60.11%
Yes	57.69%	38.01%	59.62%	29	33.33%	32.09%	39.89%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	87	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability Type	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Mental Health	74.81%	91.67%	31.78%	22	59.46%	62.26%	67.61%
Physical Health	25.19%	3.57%	5.43%	11	29.73%	28.30%	18.10%
Both	N/A	4.76%	16.28%	4	10.81%	9.43%	N/A
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	46.51%	N/A	N/A	N/A	14.29%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	37	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Domestic Violence	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	82.97%	93.67%	89.68%	75	89.29%	80.60%	92.16%
Yes	17.03%	6.33%	10.32%	9	10.71%	19.40%	7.84%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	84	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Foster Care	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	46.23%	44.94%	59.62%	62	59.62%	60.87%	60.92%
Yes	53.77%	55.06%	40.38%	42	40.38%	39.13%	39.08%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	104	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	32.04%	55.95%	35.79%	55	55.00%	56.05%	55.98%
Yes	67.96%	44.05%	64.21%	45	45.00%	43.95%	44.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	95.15%	77.91%	73.68%	85	79.44%	79.27%	82.73%
Yes	4.85%	22.09%	26.32%	22	20.56%	20.73%	17.27%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	107	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	80.19%	76.67%	80.19%	89	83.18%	80.49%	12.72%
Yes	19.81%	23.33%	19.81%	18	16.82%	19.51%	87.28%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	107	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	71.95%	66.67%	57.32%	56	54.37%	49.69%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	28.05%	33.33%	42.68%	47	45.63%	50.31%	41.90%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	103	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currenting Receiving Income	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver %	2020 Denver #	2020 Denver %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	65.63%	26.09%	36.79%	62	60.19%	55.41%	64.74%
Yes	34.38%	73.91%	63.21%	41	39.81%	44.59%	35.26%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	103	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Jefferson

HUD Def	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	53.85%	4.55%	1	16.67%	15.25%	19.12%
Unknown	N/A	4.55%	0	0.00%	12.43%	12.06%
Yes	46.15%	90.91%	5	83.33%	72.32%	68.82%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	6	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.24%
Female	46.15%	9.52%	2	40.00%	34.52%	35.63%
Male	53.85%	80.95%	3	60.00%	55.36%	57.49%
Transgender	0.00%	9.52%	0	0.00%	3.57%	1.62%
Minority Gender ID	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	6.55%	2.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Bisexual	2.50%	0.00%	0	0.00%	19.75%	21.23%
Gay/Lesbian	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	4.32%	2.45%
Straight	95.00%	95.00%	5	100.00%	70.37%	72.65%
Minority Orientation	2.50%	5.00%	0	0.00%	5.56%	3.67%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
11-17	36.84%	76.19%	0	0.00%	6.00%	10.26%
18	5.26%	0.00%	0	0.00%	26.00%	18.59%
19	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	12.00%	13.46%
20	15.79%	0.00%	0	0.00%	26.00%	16.67%
21	0.00%	4.76%	0	0.00%	2.00%	16.67%
22	21.05%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	8.97%
23	10.53%	14.29%	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.21%
24	10.53%	4.76%	3	100.00%	28.00%	12.18%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	3	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Race	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.00%	14.29%	1	16.67%	2.76%	2.87%
Asian	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.96%
Black	0.00%	28.57%	1	16.67%	17.93%	15.79%
Multiple Races	23.08%	14.29%	0	0.00%	19.31%	1.44%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.45%	13.88%
White	76.92%	42.86%	4	66.67%	56.55%	65.06%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	6	100.01%	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Hispanic	28.57%	28.57%	3	50.00%	31.29%	23.00%
Non Hispanic	71.43%	71.43%	3	50.00%	68.71%	77.00%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	6	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Jefferson

Disability	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	73.33%	42.86%	3	50.00%	67.91%	60.11%
Yes	26.67%	57.14%	3	50.00%	32.09%	39.89%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	6	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability Type	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
Mental Health	100.00%	60.00%	1	20.00%	62.26%	67.61%
Physical Health	0.00%	20.00%	3	60.00%	28.30%	18.10%
Both	0.00%	0.00%	1	20.00%	9.43%	N/A
Substance Use	N/A	20.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	14.29%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	5	100.00%	134.92%	126.89%
Domestic Violence	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	93.33%	100.00%	5	100.00%	80.60%	92.16%
Yes	6.67%	0.00%	0	0.00%	19.40%	7.84%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Foster Care	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	74.19%	25.00%	2	50.00%	60.87%	60.92%
Yes	25.81%	75.00%	2	50.00%	39.13%	39.08%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	4	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	50.00%	30.00%	3	75.00%	56.05%	55.98%
Yes	50.00%	70.00%	1	25.00%	43.95%	44.02%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	4	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	94.23%	89.47%	4	80.00%	79.27%	82.73%
Yes	5.77%	10.53%	1	20.00%	20.73%	17.27%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	26.32%	10.53%	4	80.00%	80.49%	12.72%
Yes	73.68%	89.47%	1	20.00%	19.51%	87.28%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
HS Grad/GED	44.44%	50.00%	3	60.00%	49.69%	58.10%
HS Drop Out	55.56%	50.00%	2	40.00%	50.31%	41.90%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currenting Receiving Income	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson %	2020 Jefferson #	2020 Jefferson %	2020 MDHI %	2020 State %
No	70.73%	5.00%	3	60.00%	55.41%	64.74%
Yes	29.27%	95.00%	2	40.00%	44.59%	35.26%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%



COLORADO
Department of Local Affairs