

DRAFT 2011-2012 Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report

### State of Colorado Department of Local Affairs

Reeves Brown, Executive Director Tony Hernandez, Director, Division of Local Government Pat Coyle, Director, Division of Housing

### Table of Contents

GENERAL	3
Executive Summary	3
General Questions	.10
Managing the Process	.21
Citizen Participation	.21
Institutional Structure	.22
Gaps in Institutional Structure:	.22
Strategy to Overcome Gaps	.22
Monitoring	.23
DOLA Monitoring of Consolidated Plan Goals and Objectives	.26
Lead-Based Paint	.31
Energy-Efficient Design and Construction	.33
HOUSING	.35
Housing Needs	.35
Specific Housing Objectives	.35
Public Housing Strategy	.36
Barriers to Affordable Housing	.36
HOME Program	.37
HOMELESS	.40
Homeless Needs	.40
Specific Homeless Prevention Elements	.40
Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG)	.41
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	.44
Community Development	.44
Antipoverty Strategy	.48
NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS	.50
Specific HOPWA Objectives	.50
OTHER NARRATIVE	.52
Appendix AHOME Program Annual Performance Report	
Appendix BHOME Match Report	
Appendix C	
Appendix DNeighborhood Stabilization Program Report as of 3/31/2012	
Appendix EHomelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-housing Program Report as of 3/31/2012	

Appendix F.....Community Development Block Grant Performance and Evaluation Report, Fiscal Years 2006-2012



## Second Program Year CAPER

The CPMP Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report includes Narrative Responses to CAPER questions that CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, and ESG grantees must respond to each year in order to be compliant with the Consolidated Planning Regulations.

#### GENERAL

#### Executive Summary

#### Introduction

In 2011, the Colorado Department of Local Affairs (DOLA) used a variety of tools to strengthen Colorado communities and enhance sustainability in Colorado. Responding and attending to the changing landscapes of state and local government, economic development, and housing markets are the keys to successfully creating a suitable living environment, expanding economic opportunity and providing decent housing.

Through financial and technical assistance to local governments, economic development strategies and programs addressing affordable housing and homelessness, our Department works in cooperation with local communities. Through those efforts we learn first hand how to build on the strengths, unique qualities and priorities of Colorado and share that knowledge.

#### **Reporting Period**

DOLA is the lead agency overseeing development of the Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plans. This Consolidated Annual Performance Report (CAPER) for the period of April 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012 summarizes progress made towards meeting Plan goals and objectives.

#### **Major Initiatives**

The most commonly cited obstacles to meeting the needs of the under-served are

1) the lack of sustainable grant funding, and

2) the knowledge and capacity to apply for and administer federally regulated programs and projects by the smallest towns and most sparsely populated counties.

To address these obstacles the State continued its collaboration efforts with the Colorado Department of Public and Health and Environment and the USDA Rural Development to address community development opportunities and provide specialized technical assistance for local governments to increase their knowledge of and access to available State and federal community development programs and resources. For sub-recipients the Department provided technical assistance and training with every contract awarded.

DOLA served all rural counties with its Business Loan Funds. The Department reached out to businesses by hosting a regional business finance forum in June, 2011 in Golden, Colorado. The State advertised its infrastructure grants to municipal, county and economic development officials.

DOLA led efforts to fund programs that could become models for communities throughout Colorado. DOLA continues to manage a Community Challenge Grant from HUD and the U.S. Department of Transportation for its Colorado Sustainable Main Streets Program

The Division of Housing (DOH) employed many strategies to meet the needs of the underserved, including the following:

(1) Promoted the development of low-income units by providing HOME and/or CDBG resources to fill gaps on the housing development side of a project, while using project-based Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers to create innovation on the revenue side.

DOH project based section 8 in three locations in the last year and half: 50 vouchers to Colorado Coalition for the Homeless' Westend Flats, 25 VASH vouchers to Fourth Quarter/Bo Matthews Center; and 16 VASH Vouchers to Monteray Apartments operated by Posada.

(2) Established a Veterans Housing Initiative to assist veterans who are experiencing homelessness, providing VASH vouchers as indicated in strategy (1).

Together, CDOH and SHP currently administer 531 vouchers, of which 356 have been leased or issued. In addition, there are 50 vouchers from Aug 2011 for which we have not yet begun getting referrals.

(3) Created an initiative to ensure linkage between housing and Medicaid, SAMHSA, TANF and other mainstream human service assistance;

DOH is partnering with Health Care Policy and Financing (HCPF) to assist persons with disabilities in moving from nursing homes and other long term care into homes in the community as part of a \$22 million dollar grant from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The name of the program is Colorado Choice Transitions (CCT). CCT will be moving at least 500 people into the community in the next five years. The grant provides intensive supportive services and DOH is providing staff and resources to assist with this project.

DOH is partnering with AspenPointe Health Services (the mental health service provider in Colorado Springs) to provide intensive outreach, supportive services and housing to chronically homeless individuals. This project is funded through a grant from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration. The project is currently serving 41 individuals who were previously homeless. More than half of the participants in this project are housed through our Shelter Plus Care Program.

(4) Worked with key stakeholder groups to make progress toward recognizing and treating the long-term effects of addiction and alcoholism as a disabling condition;

Both CCT and the project in partnership with AspenPointe Health Services provide services to persons with disabilities including persons with Substance Issues. It is extremely important to coordinate case management, substance abuse treatment and housing to ensure that the participants in this program

are going successfully transition in to permanent supportive housing in the community.

In another key part of this strategy, DOH created the Colorado - Second Chance Housing and Reentry Program (C-SCHARP), a collaborative effort between the Colorado Department of Local Affairs Division of Housing, Colorado Department of Corrections and local mental health providers. C-SCHARP focuses on high-risk prisoners suffering with co-occurring substance abuse and mental illness that are reentering their communities without subsequent housing. Using the Housing First and Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) models, this program provides immediate rental assistance and intensive case management to parolees. The coupling of housing with wrap-around supportive services works to stabilize the program participants, resulting in reducing recidivism. To date, this program has served 56 parolees. A new grant application has been submitted to the Department of Justice to expand the program to serve an additional 40 parolees. With state prison costs driven mainly by recidivism, programs like C-SCHARP, which quide newly released prisoners to the supportive services they need in addition to providing them with stable housing, are a key component to reducing the recidivism rate.

(5) Coordinated HEARTH ACT services with Continuums of Care and local jurisdictions to ensure that it funds projects and programs to meet the greatest homeless needs.

As part of this strategy, DOH created the Next Step Housing Program as a pilot project designed to increase the housing stability, independence and well-being of families identified as homeless by the McKinney-Vento Act.

Mesa County/Grand Junction in northwestern Colorado and Boulder County near Denver are the programs selected as vanguards for this effort. This cutting-edge collaboration includes school districts, housing authorities, workforce centers and homeless services agencies that will provide stable housing, case management services, employment training and educational opportunities to the families. Boulder County, the newest program, expects to achieve outcomes similar to those of Mesa County which enrolled forty-one (41) families from October 2010 through March 2012 and achieved the following results:

- 61% of children in the Next Step program increased their grades;
- 55% of children in the Next Step program increased their attendance;
- 14 clients secured employment;
- 22 clients secured other income including Social Security or child support;
- Six (6) clients are working towards a GED; and,
- Seven (7) clients are enrolled in higher education.

A primary housing program designed to reduce dependency on public assistance is the Housing Choice Voucher program. Prior to July 1, 2011, Colorado's Housing Choice Vouchers were administered by two separate PHAs, one in the Department of Local Affairs and one in the Dept. of Human Services. During the reporting period, these two administrative groups were combined under the Department of Local

Affairs Division of Housing. This new section, still consisting of 2 separate PHAs, administers a total of 7,067 Housing Choice, Shelter Plus Care, VASH and Family Unification Program Vouchers statewide.

During the reporting period Governor Hickenlooper's office created an new statewide approach to deal with homelessness called Pathways Home Colorado. As part of this initiative, the Division of Housing has a new team to deal with homelessness prevention. The team will oversee and coordinate homelessness prevention programs including programs for youth, for people with disabilities, for families and for people with special needs such as veterans and ex-offenders.

The Division of Housing (CDOH), worked to create and preserve units of affordable housing in Colorado through workshops designed to provide technical assistance and build capacity among nonprofit housing developers, local governments and housing authorities, workshops to promote appropriate implementation of HUD regulation; and training that enables grantees to maximize program effectiveness and funding to projects that meet our underwriting requirements.

Major changes in the Colorado housing market this year were marked by lower numbers of both foreclosure filings and foreclosures sales, and an increase in the number of households seeking rental housing.

During 2007, Colorado's foreclosure rate increased substantially. While the most obvious effect of the foreclosures is that people are driven out of their homes and that lenders lose money on foreclosed properties, there are wider effects as well. New home building and multi-family rental construction slowed substantially. Lenders greatly tightened their lending criteria making credit scarce, and low-income housing tax credits lost about 25% of their value. Some apartment owners faced foreclosure as well, with the result that some of their tenants lost places to live. Property values in areas with high foreclosure rates were reduced, a situation exacerbated as foreclosed properties were allowed to physically deteriorate. Local governments lost income based on lower property value as well as from fees normally charged for new development. People who lost their houses to foreclosure were driven into the rental market or, in some cases, temporary homelessness.

To address the single-family home foreclosure issue, CDOH developed the capacity of the Foreclosure Prevention Hotline through the Colorado Foreclosure Prevention Task Force, a collaborative effort by government, industry and community groups. The Hotline was designed at the highest level to increase borrower contact with their lender and create positive outcomes for clients dealing with foreclosure. The Task Force launched the Hotline on October 11, 2006. From inception to May 2012, more than 155,000 calls had been placed to the Hotline. Four out of five of those who proceeded with face-to-face counseling reached a positive resolution.

In addition to the Foreclosure Hotline, DOLA prepared Substantial Amendments to the 2008 Consolidated Plan Action Plan to participate in the Neighborhood Stabilization Program, the Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program, and CDBG Recovery Act program. Our strong working relationships with local governments and housing organizations enabled us to create coordinated efforts to use these additional funds as effectively as possible. Results of these efforts are reported in the Appendices.

As homeownership became a less attractive and accessible housing option, overall rental vacancy rates in Colorado decreased. According to the Colorado Statewide Vacancy and Rent Survey, the combined statewide vacancy rate declined to 5.2% in the first quarter of 2012 from 5.5% in the first quarter of 2011. This is the lowest first-quarter vacancy rate recorded since 2001, and the third quarter of 2011 remains the only quarter since 2001 to post a statewide vacancy rate below 5.2 percent. During the same period, the statewide average rent in Colorado increased 4.6 percent from 2011's first quarter to 2012's first quarter, rising from \$873 to \$914.

The Division emphasized increasing affordable housing inventory, and actively sought to increase the number of units available to those in the below 40% of AMI income bracket.

CDOH provided one-on-one technical assistance to increase the capacity of Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs) and other housing providers.

At the same time, CDOH worked to increase the agency capacity of homeless shelters and service providers that assist families and individuals in need, and worked to prevent homelessness.

As a result of these efforts, the Division of Housing funded the production of affordable housing with HOME and CDBG as summarized below:

- New construction of rental units = 294 units
- Rental Acquisition and Rehabilitation = 180 units
- Single family Rehabilitation = 81 households
- Homebuyer Assistance = 59 households
- New Construction of Ownership Units = 76 homes
- Community Housing Development Organization Operating Grants = 10 grants

See the table on pages 10 and 11 to compare all Annual Action Plan Housing Goals with actual accomplishments for the program year.

HOME funds totaling \$ 6,209,558 were used to create new affordable housing, to rehabilitate rental units, create senior housing, to fund tenant-based rental assistance, assist homebuyers, and fund capacity-building activities for community development housing organizations (CHDOs).

ESG provided \$1,002,626 in funding for shelters, transitional housing and homeless prevention to 62 nonprofit agencies across the state.

HOPWA provided \$411,965 in funds for tenant-based rental assistance and supportive services for people living with HIV/AIDS to regions of the state outside the Denver Metro Area.

**Community Development Block Grant State Program (CDBG):** Colorado received its 2011 CDBG allocation of \$8,702,775, of which \$8,341,692 was available for local projects. The State set aside \$2,780,564 each for housing, public facilities and economic development projects. All projects receiving funds are awarded on a competitive basis.

The State contracted \$3,698,500 in public facility projects and \$1,483,000 in economic development projects during this reporting period. A detailed list of these projects is included in the program report. The Division of Housing contracted \$1,733,779 for rental and single-family owner-occupied home rehabilitation programs.

#### Other Programs Administered by the Department

**Community Services Block Grant Program (CSBG):** During this reporting period, the State received its 2011 CSBG allocation of \$5,876,415, of which \$5,288,774 was distributed to 40 local governments serving all 64 Colorado counties.

**Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance Fund (EIAF):** In 2011, the program was identified as a source of funding to help offset the budget challenges facing Colorado. Our ability to award grants has been suspended temporarily since August 2010. \$

**Local Limited Gaming Impact Fund (LLGIF):** In 2011, the awarded over \$3.8 million to 35 grantees.

**State Housing Development Grants and Loans:** DOH received Housing Development Grant (HDG) funds of \$2,200,000 for the state fiscal year July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012. These State funds are the most flexible of the Division's resources, and allow tailored community solutions to help ensure that the poorest families in Colorado have an increasing supply of rental units affordable to them. During the time period that overlapped the reporting period, DOH used \$944,164 to rehabilitate 67 rental units. Thirty-four of the units provide supportive housing for people with disabilities, twenty are housing for seniors, and 13 are part of a housing project that has been severely damaged by moisture. All but 8 of these units are affordable at or below 50% of AMI.

**Housing Choice Voucher Program:** Beginning July 1, 2011, the two stateoperated Public Housing Authorities that distribute Housing Choice Vouchers were combined under the Division of Housing. Eight related programs are administered by this new section of CDOH: Family Unification Program for homeless youth 18-21; Section 8 Homeownership; SRO Moderate Rehabilitation; VASH-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing for homeless veterans; Welfare to Work for homeless families; the Project-Based Assistance Program; Project Access for persons exiting nursing homes or other institutions and the Shelter Plus Care program.

**Colorado Second Chance Housing and Re-Entry Program (C-SCHARP):** The Division of Housing used HOME funds to provide tenant based rental assistance to newly released prisoners receiving re-entry services through a U.S. Dept. of Justice Second Chance program grant. The re-entry model used provides prisoners released from state prisons "as homeless," and who have also been diagnosed with serious mental health and substance abuse issues, with housing and supportive services based on the "Housing First" model. Sixty-one formerly incarcerated persons are

receving housing and services through this program. Additional funding was supplied by state Housing Development Grant funds and the Department of Justice.

**Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program:** The Division of Housing received a grant of more than \$8,000,000 through the HPRP portion of the ARRA program. These funds were granted to the three Continua of Care in Colorado to be used statewide for homeless prevention and rapid re-housing. The most recent annual report shows that over 7,500 people from 2,961 households have benefitted from this program through March 31, 2012. Over 90% of the homeless who received assistance and left the program found permanent, stable housing, as did 79% of the households that received homelessness prevention assistance.

#### Neighborhood Stabilization Program I and III:

In 2009, Colorado was awarded Through NSP1, the State of Colorado was granted over \$53 million. These funds are to be used to mitigate the neighborhood effects of foreclosures in areas of greatest need. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act allocated more than \$17 million in NSP3 funding to the State of Colorado in 2011. The State program's portion of these funds was \$39,11,875.

The Neighborhood Stabilization program is an effort to mitigate the neighborhood effects of foreclosures in areas of greatest need. NSP funds help stabilize the property values in targeted communities by decreasing the rate of decline in property values. Information on NSP performance during the reporting period is included in the appendices.

#### **General Questions**

#### 1. Assessment of the one-year goals and objectives:

a. Describe the accomplishments in attaining the goals and objectives for the reporting period.

The Colorado Department of Local Affairs established 14 goals in its 2011-2012 Consolidated Plan Action Plan. Those goals and our progress toward them are listed below:

- 1. Preserve the existing statewide supply of affordable rental or homeownership housing.
- 2. Increase the statwide supply of affordable "workforce" rental housing and homeownership in high need areas.
- 3. Increase the capacity and stability of local housing and service providers statewide.
- 4. Increase statewide pre-purchase homeownership counseling for low/moderate income and minority households.
- 5. Prevent and eliminate homelessness.
- 6. Increase statewide supply of housing coupled with services to increase or maintain independence of persons with special needs.
- 7. Provide rental subsidies statewide for low-income households who would otherwise have to pay more than 30% of their household income for housing.
- 8. Project base Section 8 vouchers to provide a revenue source for housing units and HOME and CDBG funding to fill gaps in development of units for the homeless and people with disabilities.
- 9. Assist low-income renters and owners with energy-efficiency upgrades.
- 10. Ensure the statewide safety and habitability of factory built/manufactured structures through program services that are efficient and effective.
- 11. Provide assistance to qualified small businesses to start or expand operations, and partner with local banks to fill gaps in financing packages, so that 51% of jobs are created or retained by persons of low-to moderate-income.
- 12. Assist communities with the installation of public infrastructure that will benefit start-up and expanding businesses that create or retain jobs, at least 51% of which will be or are filled by persons of low- to moderate income.
- 13. Provide financial assistance to rural communities to implement community development and capital improvement activities.
- 14. Increase the capacity of local governments to administer Federal grants that facilitate the development of sustainability activities.

b. Provide a breakdown of the CPD formula grant funds spent on grant activities for each goal and objective.

HUD Program Goal & Objective	DOLA Strategy	DOLA Annual Target	Actual Perfomance 2011-2012	Funding Amount and Sources
Decent Housing/ Availabilty	Preserve the existing statewide supply of affordable rental or home- ownership housing.	348 rental 140 owner units	188 rental 103 owner units	X CDBG \$1,253,779 x HOME \$2,003,670 ESG \$ HOPWA \$
Decent Housing/ Affordability	Increase the statewide supply of affordable "workforce" rental housing and home-ownership in high need areas.	425 rental 190 owner Units	302 Rental 135 Owner Units	XCDBG \$480,000 XHOME \$3,776,190 ESG \$ HOPWA \$
Decent Housing/ Sustainability	Increase the capacity and stability of local housing and service providers statewide.	5% of HOME funds used for CHDO operating	5% of HOME funds used for CHDO operating	CDBG \$ HOME \$ 412,698 ESG \$ HOPWA \$
Decent Housing/ Affordability	Increase statewide pre- purchase homeownership counseling for low/moderate income and minority households.	10 programs	7, including all homeownership programs.	x CDBG \$ 86,000 HOME \$ ESG \$ HOPWA \$
Suitable Living Environment/ Availability	Prevent and eliminate homelessness	10 new shelter beds; Operations/Essential Services for 4000 persons; Homeless prevention 700 households; 75 units permanent supportive housing	0 shelter beds; Ops/Ess.Svcs for 24,483 Homeless Prev for 15,718 92 Units	CDBG \$ xHOME \$1,250,000 x ESG \$1,005,346 HOPWA \$
Decent Housing/ Affordability	Increase statewide supply of housing for persons with special needs coupled with services that increase or maintain independence.	95 housing units 90 persons with HIV/AIDS maintain housing stability	262 Units 69 persons	CDBG \$ xHOME \$1,840,000 ESG \$ xHOPWA \$411,965
Decent Housing/ Affordability	Provide rental subsidies statewide for low-income households who would otherwise pay more than 30% of their household income for housing.	140 households	61 households	CDBG \$ x HOME \$162,500 ESG \$ HOPWA \$
Decent Housing/ Affordability	Project base Section 8 vouchers to provide a revenue source for housing units and HOME and CDBG funding to fill gaps in development of units for the homeless and disabled populations	Project base at least 50 Section 8 vouchers	50 vouchers	Non-CPD Funds
Decent Housing/ Affordability	Assist low-income renters and owners with energy- efficiency upgrades.	75% of units produced will meet energy star or other efficiency standards.	80% of all rental units	CDBG \$ HOME \$ ESG \$ HOPWA \$

#### 2011-2012 Production and Grant Funds Spent Compared to Targets

HUD Program Goal & Objective	DOLA Strategy	DOLA Annual Target	Actual Perfomance 2011-2012	Funding Amount and Sources
Decent Housing/ Affordability	Ensure the statewide safety and habitability of factory built/manufactured structures through program services that are efficient and effective.	Reduce commercial and residential plan review turn-around time (days) Benchmark: 15 days Meet manufacturer plant inspection request dates Benchmark: 100%	Both Benchmarks were met.	Non-CPD Funds
Economic Opportunity/ Sustainability	Provide assistance to qualified small businesses to start or expand their operations, and partner with local banks to fill gaps in financing packages, so that 51% of jobs are created or retained by persons of low-to moderate-income.	# of jobs created or retained Benchmark: 100	During this program year, 31 businesses, 153 jobs have been created, 126 were low/mod, or 82.35% LMI	x CDBG \$3,242,000 HOME \$ ESG \$ HOPWA \$
Economic Opportunity/ Sustainability	Assist communities with the installation of public infrastructure that will benefit start-up and expanding businesses that create or retain jobs, at least 51% of which will be or are filled by persons of low- to moderate income.	# of jobs created or retained Benchmark: 100	No projects funded this year, no requests received	CDBG \$ HOME \$ ESG \$ HOPWA \$
Suitable Living Environment/ Sustainability	Provide financial assistance to rural communities to implement community development and capital improvement activities.	Number of persons served as a result of the public facility improvements or construction Benchmark: 400	Project funded are benefitting 3,300 persons, 2,298 are lowmod income	x CDBG \$2,018,666 HOME \$ ESG \$ HOPWA \$
Suitable Living Environment/ Sustainability	Increase the capacity of local governments to administer federal grants that facilitate the development of sustainability activities.	Number of local government that increased their capacity to administer federal grants	179 local governments participated in trainings offered by DLG	x CDBG \$ HOME \$ ESG \$ HOPWA \$

### c. If applicable, explain why progress was not made towards meeting the goals and objectives.

- Suitable Living Environment/Availability No new emergency shelter beds were created because we received no requests for funding during the reporting period.
- *Economic Opportunity/ Sustainability* No requests for funding were received for public infrastructure to improve economic opportunity.

### Describe the manner in which the recipient would change its program as a result of its experiences.

We would better coordinate the Consolidated Planning and Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing (AI) processes so that the AI could serve as a resource for the planning process and result in better coordinated goals and objectives.

#### Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing:

- d. Provide a summary of impediments to fair housing choice.
- e. Identify actions taken to overcome effects of impediments identified.

#### **Summary of Impediments**

The Division of Housing designated a Fair Housing Coordinator during the reporting period, and drafted a new Analysis of Impediments that was finalized and submitted to HUD in October, 2011. The list of impediments listed below is taken from that update.

#### Lack of Fair Housing Education and Coordination

Review of survey responses and Analyses of Impediments from entitlement areas indicates that many residents and property managers do not have access to information about fair housing rights and responsibilities. Most of the following impediments appear to be related to lack of knowledge, so this appears to be an underlying problem.

#### High Housing Costs Combined with Low Income/Wages

Survey responses, entitlement-area Analyses of Impediments, and Colorado Division of Housing Rental Housing Mismatch report and American Community Survey data most frequently name the shortage of affordable units for households with low and very low incomes. The lack of affordable housing has a disparate impact on Black/African Americans, Hispanics, American Indians, women and people with disabilities because higher percentages of these protected classes are low income.

However, high housing cost is not, in and of itself, an impediment to fair housing. It is the actions that communities take to limit the types and locations of affordable housing that can represent impediments to fair housing when they cause or exacerbate existing segregation, whether or not that is the community's intent.

The shortage and cost of housing specifically suited to people with disabilities is an additional impediment, as is a shortage of apartments with more than three bedrooms, which makes it difficult to house large families who need to rent.

Among the causes of high housing costs are those imposed by local governments, such as impact development fees and planning, zoning and building regulations. Other causes involve high land costs, the cost of shipping building materials through the mountains.

#### Impediments Specific to People with Disabilities

This was the largest single source of fair housing complaints filed from 2006-2009, accounting for nearly half of all filings:

- Lack of appropriate, accessible housing that is also affordable.
- Failure of landlords/property managers to provide reasonable accommodations for tenants with disabilities.
- Communication issues, especially for people with mental disabilities.

#### **Community Resistance to Affordable and Special Needs Housing**

The "Not in My Back Yard Syndrome" is an impediment to fair housing because it discourages or may even prevent development of affordable housing that would

provide fair housing choice to protected classes. Twelve of the fourteen communities whose Analyses of Impediments were reviewed cited this as a major impediment.

#### Lack of Fair Housing Enforcement

The economic downturn and subsequent reductions in State and Federal revenue have led to a lack of funding for fair housing testing and enforcement. No non-profit organizations in Colorado have received fair housing Initiatives Program (FHIP) funding since 2007. Comments in our survey noted lack of enforcement and difficulty in assistance when experiencing discrimination. The Colorado Civil Rights Division is the lead state agency for fair housing enforcement.

#### **Predatory Lending and Foreclosures**

A study by the Colorado Civil Rights Division found that minorities, especially Blacks and Latinos, were targeted for subprime mortgage loans and that these groups consequently have experienced a disproportionate number of foreclosures.

#### Language and Cultural Issues

Persons who do not speak English well may be vulnerable to discrimination or unfair acts. Language barriers especially complicate landlord/tenant issues. Colorado's population of low-English proficiency Spanish-speakers is 7.3%.

#### Transportation

Lack of public transit in many areas of the state as well as lack of affordable housing along existing transit routes impede fair housing choice. Housing patterns, location of employment opportunities and public transit are not coordinated so as to enable minorities and low income people to hold a job without having a car.

#### Local Government Regulations

Planning and zoning, definitions of "family," land use plans, development fees, growth management programs and housing design specifications may increase the cost of housing and otherwise create impediments to fair housing choice.

#### Actions taken to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing:

Steps that DOLA has taken to affirmatively further fair housing since the last Analysis of Impediments include:

Action	Agency	Expected	Specific Action and
		Outcome	Timeline
Develop program to educate	DOH	Improved	Workshop presented
landlords & property managers	Fair Housing	compliance	at Colorado HouSing
about Fair Housing, especially	Coordinator/	resulting in fewer	Now Conference Oct.
as it affects people with	Management	complaints	13, 2011
disabilities.	-	-	
Provide information on web site	DOH	Higher attendance	Ongoing
and through listserv on Fair	Fair Housing	at training; more	
Housing training provided by	Coordinator/Web	applications for	
others, and about funding	site staff	FH funding	
available for Fair Housing			
(NOFAs).			

#### Lack of Fair Housing Education and Coordination

Train DOH staff to improve fair housing service to the public and technical assistance to housing providers.	DOH Fair Housing Coordinator/ Management	Increased staff awareness resulting in improved provider compliance	One training for staff program presented 6/14/2011.
Further development of Fair Housing web page	DOH Fair Housing Coordinator/Web site staff	Continually improved access to Fair Housing information	Ongoing

#### High Housing Costs Combined with Low Income/Wages:

Action	Agency	Expected Outcome	Specific Actions and Timeline
Annually, publish "Affordable Housing: A Guide for Local Officials" as a tool for local governments in creating affordable housing and reducing regulatory barriers.	DOH Housing Initiatives Coordinator	Keep current AH and FH information available for local governments	Updated version is being prepared
Emphasize job creation under CDBG Section 3 requirements when working with developers to underwrite new projects.	DOH and DLG Housing Development Staff and DLG Regional Managers	Improved job creation for low/mod residents resulting in better access to housing	Ongoing

#### Impediments Specific to People with Disabilities

Action	Agency	Expected Outcome	Specific Actions and Timeline
Provide technical assistance to property managers on how to fill accessible units with people who need them.	DOH Housing Development Specialists and Asset Managers	Increased tenancy by people with disabilities in appropriate units	Have provided TA to
Encourage local housing and disability service agencies to conduct tenant training programs to increase client knowledge of fair housing rights.	DOH Asset Managers	Improved tenant understanding of Fair Housing rights.	As part of ongoing monitoring and technical assistance.
Combine administration of all housing voucher programs by transferring administration of HCVs for people with disabilities from Dept. of Human Services to the Division of Housing	DOH Legislature/ Department Managers	Improved delivery of vouchers to people in need, increased capacity of HCV contractors	SHHP and Division of Housing HCV programs were combined as of 7/1/2011; legislation was passed permitting consolidation of 2 PHAs in the 2012 legislative session.
Improve accessibility of	DOH	Improved access to	Large print copies of
information from Division of Housing by making key documents available in large print and	Fair Housing Coordinator	information about Division of Housing affordable and fair	Consolidated Plan, AI, PHA plans have been provided to people

accessible by screen readers; web site to meet ADA accessibility standards.	housing efforts; higher citizen participation in processes.	with disabilities upon request. The web site has been updated to meet ADA accessibility standards.
---	--	---

#### Community Resistance to Affordable and Special Needs Housing

Action	Agency	Expected Outcome	Specific Actions and Timeline
Direct housing developers to Knowledgeplex.com for information on working with neighbors to allay unfounded fears about the economic and social effects of affordable and special needs housing.	DOH Housing Development Specialists & Web site coordinator	Improved ability for housing providers to respond to community resistance.	Done

#### Lack of Fair Housing Enforcement

Action	Agency	Expected Outcome	Specific Actions and
			Timeline
Seek out new sources of funding	DOH	New sources will be	Ongoing
for testing	Fair Housing	found and applied	
	Coordinator	for.	
Increase access to information	DOH	More complaints will	Information posted on
and assistance about filing Fair	Fair Housing	result in increased	web site, brochures
Housing complaints.	Coordinator	enforcement.	available at front desk.

#### Language and Cultural Issues

Action	Agency	Expected Outcome	Specific Actions and Timeline
Develop a list of Department employees who are bilingual and competent to act as interpreters and/or translators	DOH Fair Housing Coordinator	DOLA staff will have more ready access to interpreters when needed.	List developed and distributed on May 11, 2011.
Analyze needs of Limited English Proficiency persons in Colorado and adopt a Language Assistance Plan if the analysis proves it is needed.	DOH Fair Housing Coordinator	Need for a Language Assistance Plan will be determined and plan developed and implemented if needed	4-Step Analysis was completed. Language group size requires written translation. Volume of LEP calls does not warrant full Language assistance plan.
Offer access to translations of fair housing information into Spanish and other languages through web site links to HUD and CCRD.	DOH Fair Housing Coordinator/ Web site staff	Information will be readily available to LEP persons.	Web links already provided.
Release key documents in Spanish as well as English.	DOH/DLG Fair Housing Coordinator	Information will be readily available to Spanish speaking LEP persons.	Process of procurement for translation services has been started.

#### Transportation

Action	Agency	Expected Outcome	Specific Actions and Timeline
Continue to promote Sustainable Communities, which encompass transportation, and economic development as well as affordable housing.	DOLA/DOH and DLG staff members	Continued and improved access to transportation from affordable housing	Ongoing
Encourage development of affordable housing close to public transit, especially where it provides access to better employment opportunities.	DOH Housing Development Specialists	Continued and improved access to transportation from affordable housing	Ongoing
Encourage the development of affordable housing close to services and employment in places where public transportation is not economically feasible.	DOH Housing Development Specialists	Continued and improved access to transportation from affordable housing	Ongoing

#### Local Government Regulations

Action	Agency	Expected Outcome	Specific Actions and Timeline
Continue to educate local governments about barriers to affordable and Fair Housing.	DOH Initiatives and Research work group.	Reduction in regulatory barriers to affordable/fair housing.	Updated version of Affordable Housing: A guide for Local Officials by 7/1/2012.
Ensure that local government applicants have Fair Housing Plans in place and that they enforce them.	DOH Housing Development Specialists & Asset Managers	Compliance with AFHMP requirements	Ongoing

To address language and cultural barriers, Division of Housing has taken the following actions:

- Fair Housing posters/flyers are available in Spanish on our web site and in our offices, and are made available to all of our grantees.
- Emergency Shelter Grant agencies are provided with Fair Housing flyers with contact information in both English and Spanish
- The "Puzzle of Homeownership" training on the Division of Housing web site is available in Spanish.

To address segregation and concentration of poverty, the Department of Local Affairs has made efforts to revitalize known areas of racial segregation and high poverty. Out of 56 new rental construction projects undertaken since 2006, fourteen were undertaken in census tracts that exceeded the MSA's median income and twenty-six in tracts with minority populations below 25%. Twelve of these projects were built in census tracts that are both low minority and high income.

Eight projects in high-minority census tracts (minority percentage greater than 75%) were in entitlement areas in Denver and Adams counties. Eight projects carried out in very low income census tracts (below 50% of MSA median) were also in

entitlement areas. Only six projects were located in census tracts that are both high-minority and very low income using 75% minority and 50% of MSA income as the cutoff points.

An analysis of the racial and ethnic composition of the initial residents of Division of Housing-funded projects that were completed during the reporting period is as follows:



The chart above shows raw numbers of beneficiaries reported at close out by our HOME and CDBG grantees. Broken down by percentages, 79% of the beneficiaries are white, 13% are Other mixed race, 5% are Black/African American, and 2% are Asian. The American Indian/Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander categories each represent 1% of the beneficiaries.

Of 1,642 reported beneficiaries, 380 or 23% were of Hispanic ethnicity. 87% of those reported as Hispanic are White, 12% are Other Mixed Race.

Compared to the racial and ethnic make up of Colorado's population in the American Community Survey 2006-2010 5-year estimates, Colorado's population is 83.5% White, 3.9% Black/African American, 1% American Indian/Alaska Native, 2.7% Asian and .1% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific islander. 20.1% of the population is Hispanic (of any race) and 6.4% of the ACS respondents self-identified as "some other race."

Thus, beneficiaries of CDOH's HOME and CDBG programs do not directly reflect Colorado's population as a whole. We serve a greater percentage of Black/African Americans, Hispanics and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islanders than their percentage of the population, and fewer Whites and Asians.

The Hispanic/Latino ethnic group is modestly over-represented among initial residents of CDOH funded housing. Out of 1,642 initial residents reported in IDIS, 380 (23.1%) were persons of Hispanic/Latino descent, compared to 20.1% of the general population.

Beneficiaries of Emergency Shelter Grant services diverge even more racially and ethnically from Colorado's population as a whole. The chart below displays the racial and composition of our ESG beneficiaries:



White ESG clients make up 73.2% of CDOH's ESG clients relative to 83.6% of the the state's population, while 15.6% of ESG clients are Black/African American compared to 3.9% of the the general population. All other racial categories combined constitute less than 12% of the state program's ESG beneficiaries.

The Hispanic/Latino ethnic group is also modestly over-represented among clients of emergency shelters. Out of 44,019 ESG beneficiairies reported in IDIS, 10,303 (23.4%) were persons of Hispanic/Latino descent, compared to 20.1% of the general population.

### 4. Describe Other Actions in Strategic Plan or Action Plan taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs.

CDOH led efforts to fund programs that can become models for communities throughout Colorado. Because the Division's funding is primarily discretionary, it served as the catalyst for other supportive housing efforts. The Division of Housing financed hard assets such as housing construction or rehabilitation, and soft costs such as rental subsidies. The direct impact of housing development is improved housing quality and additional construction jobs for a community.

CDOH and CHFA, as well as other funding agencies, often coordinate their efforts in order to make affordable housing projects successful. CHFA and CDOH are also working in a collaborative manner to preserve affordable housing projects that have experienced financial issues due to the economic slow down, resultant vacancy issues and intense market competition.

CDOH received \$2,225,000 in Housing Development Grant funds for State fiscal year 2011 (July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011.) When available, these state funds are the most flexible of the Division's resources, and allow tailored community solutions to help ensure that the poorest families in Colorado have an increasing supply of rental units affordable to them.

The Colorado Community Interagency Council on Homelessness (CCICH) creates statewide collaboration among nonprofit corporations, state and Federal agencies. CDOH will actively participate in this collaboration to better link housing and services for low-income residents and homeless persons. Other topics of the CCICH include job training, education, employment, childcare, transportation, housing and food stamp benefits to assist poverty-stricken families in achieving economic self-sufficiency.

#### 5. Leveraging Resources

- f. Identify progress in obtaining "other" public and private resources to address needs.
- **g.** How Federal resources from HUD leveraged other public and private resources.
- h. How matching requirements were satisfied.

During this Consolidated Plan Year, the Division of Housing awarded \$7,510,639 in HOME and CDBG funds, leveraging \$81,523,099 in private and other public funding, a ratio of 10.85:1. See the table below for details.

PROJECT NAME	LEVERAGING	CONTRACT AMT	FUND SOURCE
Fremont County/North Park II	\$6,342,969	\$480,000	CDBG
San Miguel County/Village Court Apartments	\$635,064	\$744,936	CDBG
Delta County/SFOO Rehab Program	\$246,729	\$105,226	CDBG
Boulder County/SFOO Rehab Program	\$109,918	\$40,593	CDBG
San Juan County/SFOO Rehab	\$72,800	\$363,024	CDBG
Longmont HDC/Hearthstone Rental NC	\$8,072,701	\$400,000	HOME
Housing & Work Connection Project	\$511,228	\$175,000	HOME
Douglas County/Down Payment Assistance	\$5,799,636	\$479,920	HOME
La Plata Homes Fund/Down Payment Assistance	\$609,557	\$381,770	HOME
Colo. Housing Assistance/Down Payment Asst.	\$3,470,900	\$210,000	HOME
Habitat for Humanity/Homeownership Const.	\$9,397,128	\$770,000	HOME
Rocky Mtn Community Land Trust/Homeowner	\$122,280	\$91,500	HOME
ACCESS Housing/Holly Gardens Acq. & Rehab	\$973,246	\$200,000	HOME
Pikes Peak Senior Apartments Rental New Const	\$9,151,900	\$350,000	HOME
Yale Station Rental New Constr	\$11,035,245	\$200,000	HOME
Accessible Space/Greeley Supportive Housing	\$2,660,201	\$340,000	HOME
Boulder County H.A./Josephine Commons	\$15,778,804	\$550,000	HOME

Totals	\$81,523,079	\$7,510,639	
Loveland HDC/SFOO Rehab	\$127,000	597,000	HOME
Housing Resources of Western CO/SFOO Rehab	\$550,000	\$156,670	HOME
Longmont H.A./The Suites Rental Rehab	\$5,855,773	\$875,000	HOME

#### Managing the Process

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to ensure compliance with program and comprehensive planning requirements.

The Department of Local Affairs took the following steps to ensure compliance:

- Extensively expanded our Oracle database to capture a greater amount of compliance information.
- Provided training to DOH Staff. DOH staff members attended the following training programs during the reporting period:
  - Fair Housing and the HOME program, 1 person
  - Building HOME, 6 people
  - o 2011 NAHRO Conference, 7 people
  - National Development Council Rental Housing trainings, 3 people
  - COSCDA Homeless, Housing & Community Development, 1 person
  - NAHRO Housing Choice Voucher Training, 8 people
  - HOME Certified Specialist Regulations Certification, 8 people
  - NSP/DRGR training, 1 person
  - o ECS Users Connect, 1 person
  - o 2011 Housing Colorado NOW conference, 12 people
  - NAHRO Housing Choice Voucher Homeownership, 1 person
- Reviewed and revised the DOH funding application.
- Reviewed and revised our contract templates
- Reviewed and revised our monitoring procedures
- Improved the CDBG monitoring tool
- Conducted monitoring training for staff.
- Provided training for grantees in the areas of financing affordable housing, ESG compliance, HCV compliance

#### Citizen Participation

#### 1. Provide a summary of citizen comments.

A draft of the Annual Performance and Evaluation Report was posted on the Dept. of Local Affairs web site for public comment from May 24, 2012 through June 25, 2012. Notice of the posting was posted on the Division of Housing's blog and distributed through CDOH's newsletter listserv.

An official public hearing notice was placed in the Denver Post and run on June 10 and June 17, 2012. The public hearing notice was also posted on the DOLA web site in both English and Spanish.

The hearing was held at 10:00 a.m. on June 25 at 1313 Sherman St., Denver, CO. No member of the public attended the hearing and no public comments were received.

#### Institutional Structure

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to overcome gaps in institutional structures and enhance coordination.

#### Gaps in Institutional Structure:

Colorado State government works with local governments, private industry, and nonprofit organizations to tackle the issues involved in providing affordable housing. The gaps remaining in the institutional structure in Colorado are educating the public and reducing the Not In My Backyard (NIMBY) phenomenon; developing better coordination and cooperation between special needs providers and the organizations that produce housing units; and continuing to educate local agencies to increase the production of affordable housing units statewide.

**Local nonprofit organizations and housing authorities**: Many nonprofits lack not only the funding to meet their community's housing demands, but also the staff expertise to expand or diversify existing services. CDOH works to improve agency capacity through technical assistance, workshops, training and monitoring efforts. These efforts will result in retention of existing housing and additional production of housing units where they are needed.

**NIMBY:** The problem of finding suitable sites for affordable housing continues to be a problem in Colorado. Many neighborhoods are unwilling to have even mixed income rental units nearby, let alone housing for persons with special needs. This lack of understanding about, and fear of affordable housing residents, also hampers efforts to expand Colorado's affordable housing inventory.

To overcome this issue, DOH development staff members routinely work with affordable housing providers and local jurisdictions to encourage early communications and informational meetings about affordable housing projects in their communities. In addition, DOH provides free copies of *Housing Colorado: A Guide for Local Officials* to elected and appointed officials. The Guide has a chapter about ameliorating the "Not in My Backyard" syndrome.

#### Strategy to Overcome Gaps

The Colorado Department of Local Affairs continues to increase the coordination and involvement of state and Federal agencies, public and private nonprofits and others in the leveraging of funding sources, the planning and delivery of housing-related services, and the development of special initiatives to increase and preserve affordable housing. CDOH staff works with local governments and housing providers to increase their capacity to create new affordable housing units. The Division supplements this one-on-one technical assistance by statewide training including the Developer's Toolkit, *Affordable Housing: a guide for local officials*, Creative Finance, Managing Nonprofits in Tough Times, Basic Underwriting, Advanced Financing, application workshops and other interactive presentations that increase the capacity of Colorado's housing providers.

The Division of Housing also works with the Department of Human Services and local special-needs providers to encourage partnerships between special population service providers and housing development agencies. These partnerships are essential to increasing the supply of affordable, accessible housing for special-needs populations. Public education efforts increase the awareness of the need for rental

units affordable for those whose incomes are at or below 30% of AMI. The new Neighborhood Stabilization Program provides an opportunity for local governments and nonprofit agencies to stabilize housing markets through purchase and rehabilitation of foreclosed homes.

The state's interagency "Housing Pipeline" is comprised of key agencies that include the Colorado Division of Housing, Colorado Housing Finance Authority, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development. These bi-monthly meetings provide coordination around multiple agency rules, various funding sources and an annual targeting of specific priority areas of the State in order to address immediate housing needs.

#### **Governmental Coordination**

The Department of Local Affairs (DOLA) is the one agency in Colorado that deals almost exclusively with local governments on all levels of its mission. DOLA promotes cooperation and coordination and involves other state agencies in its efforts.

#### Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC)

The Colorado Housing and Finance Authority (CHFA) has the authority to allocate the LIHTC in Colorado. CHFA and the Division of Housing work closely together in using LIHTC to develop affordable housing. The staff of both agencies is in constant contact to discuss new and existing projects, and meet formally on a quarterly basis to update each other on pending projects. This system will continue during the next year. The annual plan for allocation of tax credits in 2010, approved by CHFA's Board of Directors and by the Governor, is on CHFA's website at <u>www.chfainfo.com</u>. CHFA and the Division of Housing will continue their close coordination in using LIHTC, Federal, State, and private funds for project funding.

#### Monitoring

### 1. Describe how and the frequency with which you monitored your activities.

Colorado Division of Housing (CDOH) monitors to ensure that the affordable housing units it funds comply with applicable State and Federal guidelines. During the course of grant and or loan administration, Asset Managers (AMs) and other CDOH staff monitor project performance in a variety of ways. CDOH's monitoring plan describes the monitoring methods for the HOME, CDBG, and ESG programs. Monitoring for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) takes place in accordance with CDBG standards, plus new requirements of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008. In addition, NSP 3 requires compliance with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (2010).

#### Project Performance Plan

The Project Performance Plan (PPP) is individually developed for each project. It sets forth the goals and milestones that a project must meet for it to be successful and comply with Federal and State requirements. The PPP sets time lines and milestones to complete and manage the project. The PPP forms the basis for tracking and measuring the grantee's performance throughout the term of the contract. The PPP can include:

- Housing agency management capacity and production
- Construction time lines

- Appropriateness of financial management systems
- Development of a marketing plan
- Leasing and occupancy policies
- Risk management implementation

The PPP is an assessment of the project needs, milestones and goals, as determined by the CDOH Housing Development Specialist (DEVO) and Asset Manager (AM). The DEVO develops a first draft of the PPP; the AM then reviews it and suggests modifications. The grantee participates in the preparation of the PPP by providing feedback and input; the PPP is considered a working document.

Project Performance Plans vary, as do the different types of projects that CDOH funds. To ensure that the PPP includes all major milestones, CDOH has developed templates covering the different types of developments and projects. The templates are not intended to be all-inclusive, and each development team (DEVO and AM) has the ability to tailor the PPP to the individual projects. The PPP template contains a column that the Grantee can use to track quarterly performance. Because the PPP covers all critical milestones a project must meet, AM's are able to easily determine if a project is on track or if its plan needs revision. Some projects will have limited performance measures because the project has a high-functioning developer and/or another organization such as CHFA, Mercy Loan Fund, Rural Development, HUD or a private lender is involved in the project. These organizations often provide project oversight in such areas as construction monitoring, maintenance plans and property inspections. When other monitoring systems are in place, CDOH does not duplicate these efforts. Other projects will have a comprehensive and detailed PPP because they involve a first-time developer and/or there has been staff turnover.

CDOH also uses the PPP to plan when to provide training and technical assistance. A change in the PPP does not warrant a change letter or contract amendment. However, a contract amendment does require a change in the PPP.

#### Project Monitoring

CDOH requires each project it funds to submit quarterly reports (monthly for NSP). The reports provide AMs and CDOH staff with a project update and alert the staff to potential or anticipated problems. The reports include a financial report and a report on milestones achieved or soon to be achieved. The financial report lists the full financial status of the project including fund balances of the loan or grant provided. The Grantee reports on Project Performance Plan milestones within the PPP document. The milestones to be completed in the near future are also listed and any problems or issues that have been encountered. AMs reconcile the performance reports against the PPP for the project to track milestones that need completion. AMs often contact the grantee or borrower on a monthly basis to track the project performance.

#### Contract Monitoring

Near the end of the contract term, AMs monitor CDOH projects to ensure that the projects comply with the applicable Federal and State requirements. Because some projects need more attention than others, CDOH has developed a Risk-Based Monitoring approach. CDOH Risk-Based monitoring allows Asset Managers to focus more time on new grantees and on projects that are at higher risk of encountering problems during the project development.

The CDOH Development Specialist and Asset Manager determine the level of monitoring for the project. The Development Specialist and Asset Manager discuss the administrative capacity of each grantee and determine the level of monitoring. The PPP attached to the grantee's contract lists the level of monitoring. The monitoring level may change during the term of the contract, if needed, and a change does not warrant a change letter to be routed for signature. Projects are placed in one of the following three categories: Full, Partial or Minimal monitoring.

<u>FULL</u> - A FULL monitoring determination requires an Asset Manager to address all identified areas pertaining to the project with areas listed in CDOH monitoring documents. The Asset Manager will also have to visit the project site and complete a housing quality standards inspection on a minimum 5% of the units. The Development Specialist and Asset Manager will recommend a FULL monitoring if the project contains the following:

- New Grantee Grantee who has never received funding from CDOH and/or Grantee that have not received funding in the last three years
- New activity for existing grantee
- Complicated project
- Unresolved findings or concerns on last contract
- Repeat instances of findings or concerns
- Existing Grantee with new staff in key positions
- Staff recommendation due to unexpected problems occurring during the project

<u>PARTIAL</u> - A PARTIAL monitoring requires the Asset Manager to complete a program specific monitoring form, including a file review and an administrative review. CDOH may ask the grantee to supply reports such as rent rolls and financial statements. The Development Specialist and Asset Manager will recommend a PARTIAL monitoring if the project has the following characteristics:

- Uncomplicated project
- Repeat grantee-same/similar type project
- Grantee had no findings during last monitoring
- Grantee is considered moderate in administrative capacity

<u>MINIMAL</u> - A MINIMAL monitoring can only apply to a continuing program such as single-family owner-occupied rehabilitation, down payment assistance, or ESG. With Minimal monitoring, grantee technical assistance is given only if needed and the grantee provides the contractual monthly/quarterly reporting documents. CDOH may delay an on-site visit for up to two years for a very high-functioning grantee. The Asset Manager and Developer will only approve this type of monitoring if the project contains the following:

- Grantee has not received any findings or concerns in the past two years.
- Grantee is considered a high-functioning project administrator.

Under the MINIMAL monitoring category, the Asset Manager can perform a Self-Certification monitoring. The grantee completes a Self-Certification monitoring form specific to the use of the funding award, has it notarized, and sends it back to the Asset Manager.

The Division of Local Government and Governor's Office of Economic Development and International Trade conduct a full monitoring of all their CDBG grantees using the state's standardized monitoring tool to ensure that the requirements of the grant

have been met. These monitoring visits occur on site between the state, grantee, and, if applicable, the sub-grantee. Projects will not be considered closed until all compliance requirements have been met and documented and findings, if any, have been adequately addressed.

#### **Project Close Out**

DOLA closes out all projects upon the completion. Required closeout reports include the following:

- Project description
- Actual accomplishments
- Remaining actions
- Audits or name and address of firm selected to do the audit(s) and expected completion date.
- Total actual expenditures for the activity
- Project beneficiaries and outcome-based funding requirements
- Program income
- Actions taken to affirmatively further Fair Housing
- Section 3 outcomes
- National Objective (CDBG)

#### HOME Long-Term Monitoring

HOME-funded rental projects are required to comply with HOME regulations appropriate to the number of HOME-assisted units occupied by income eligible families with appropriate rents charged throughout the term of affordability. CDOH conducts on-site monitoring of these projects based on the number of HOME units funded.

- At least every three years for projects containing one to four HOME-assisted units;
- At least every two years for projects containing five to twenty-five HOMEassisted units;
- At least once a year for projects containing more than twenty-five HOMEassisted units.

CDOH requires yearly rent rolls and eligibility certification by mail in the years between on-site monitoring.

#### DOLA Monitoring of Consolidated Plan Goals and Objectives

DOLA monitors its progress in achieving goals and objectives of the Consolidated Plan through its Oracle database, which captures housing units, projects and leverage; through HUD's Integrated Disbursement Information System (IDIS); through periodic reports on housing to the State Legislature; and by completing the Annual Performance Evaluation Reporting System report for HUD. CDOH continually assesses compliance with program requirements, including timeliness of expenditures, both programmatically and through accounting and internal audit functions of DOLA.

#### 2. Describe the results of your monitoring including any improvements.

During this period CDOH monitored 98 HOME rental projects; 89 of the porjects had no findings and 9 had findings. The majority of findings were because of missing documents in family files. These findings are listed below:

PROJECT NUMBER	PROJECT NAME	DATE RESOLVED	FINDING COMMENTS
06-004	Loveland Housing Authority Mirasol Rental/New Construction	20-Jun-11	All files missing residency declaration
09-062	Eagle Co.Riverview Apartments Rental New Construction	31-May-11	Need proof of income or survivor statement for one of the family files.
06-014	Renaissance 88 Apartments	In process	Some incorrect income documentation in the file. All HQS passed
10-027	Colo. SprsC.S. Pike Senior LP-Pikes Peak Senior Apts Rental New Const	In process	Family File Review - missing SSN or affidavit; and missing legal resident affidavit
10-042	Denver CoDHA Park Avenue 5B-New Construction	In process	rents set above FMR
10-011	Colorado Springs- Garden Housing-Rental Acq. and Rehabilitation	18-Jan-12	<ul> <li>Three family files contained expired ID, which the residents must replace with current ID.</li> <li>1 unit FAILED HQS inspection due to missing bathroom tile on shower and missing window screens</li> <li>Building Exterior Missing door plate in boiler room for building.</li> <li>Corrective Action: Repair and/or replace the items listed above by December 31, 2011.</li> </ul>
10-014	Larimer CO Care Housing Inc Provincetowne Green Communities-Rental New Construction	In process	Financial statements and bid documents unavailable during monitoring visit, and agency has not responded to subsequent requests for these documents.

PROJECT NUMBER	PROJECT NAME	DATE RESOLVED	FINDING COMMENTS
06-056	Villa Andrea Apartments	30-Mar-12	Missing last audited financials, asset verification and social security number verifications

#### 3. Self Evaluation

### a. Describe the effect programs have in solving neighborhood and community problems.

The Colorado Department of Local Affairs, through its Housing and Local Government Divisions, uses HUD funding to address neighborhood and community problems throughout the state, but especially outside of the Denver metropolitan area. The funding is used to build or rehabilitate homes for low income families and households; to provide shelter and a path to self-sufficiency to those who have lost their homes; to build or improve aging water and sewer systems and other infrastructure in low income communities; to help build business and job opportunities and to create communities where people will want to live and work. Our objective is to help communities become economically, socially and environmentally healthy and sustainable.

#### b. Describe progress in meeting priority needs and specific objectives and help make community's vision of the future a reality.

Needs identified in the Consolidated Plan include rental housing for very-low income people (those whose incomes are less than 40% of the area median income); permanent supportive housing for the homeless and for people disabilities or other special needs; the preservation and stabilization of homeownership; affordable housing specifically for the elderly, people with disabilities and domestic violence survivors.

The table on page 11 is a profile of our consolidated plan activities and the progress made in meeting our goals. That progress has been slower than we would like it to be, primarily because of the economic downturn of the last few years and its aftereffects. The need for affordable housing and supportive services has increased as people have lost their jobs and/or lost their homes to foreclosure. Foreclosures have increased the number of people who need rental housing, resulting in very low vacancy rates and driving up rents. This is occurring even as the unemployment rate continues to be high and wages have stagnated or even been reduced.

At the same time, federal and state funding for affordable housing is being cut back. In short, the need greatly exceeds our ability to meet it and the gap is growing.

For example, the 2012 *Housing Need and Rent Burden in Colorado and ilts Metropolitan Areas* report produced by the Division of Housing shows that Colorado had 622,000 renter households. Of these, about 298,000 households (48%) pay more than 30% of their income toward housing. Roughly 150,500 - half - of these households have incomes of less than \$20,000 per year. Statewide, there are 2 families for every housing unit affordable to this income range. During the most recent reporting period, the Division was able to fund 40 new units to serve this population. c. Describe how you provided decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanded economic opportunity principally for low and moderate-income persons.

During this reporting period, DOLA and its divisions used HUD funds to help finance the construction of 294 affordable rental homes, 76 newly built single-family homes, rehabilitation of 81 single-family homes, down payment on 59 single family homes, provided shelter or other assistance to 24,438 homeless people and helped prevent homelessness for another 19,581. formerly homeless people received rental assistance and 69 people with HIV/AIDS received rental assistance and supportive services for themselves and their families.

#### d. Indicate any activities falling behind schedule.

Programs related to homeownership, i.e., single-family owner-occupied rehabilitation programs and down payment assistance programs, are having difficulty meeting their numeric goals on time. One project listed in last year's CAPER, 10-076, Mercy Housing's Bluff Lake Apartments, has been delayed because of construction delays but is now 78% built and expected to be completed before the end of 2012.

### e. Describe how activities and strategies made an impact on identified needs.

Needs identified in our Consolidated Plan included 1) affordable housing for very low income households – those with incomes at or below 40% of the area median, 2) Permanent supportive housing for the homeless and for people with disabilities. During the reporting period, Division of Housing helped in the creation of 99 units of housing affordable to those earning less than 40% of the area median. CDBG, HOME, NSP and State funds were used to provide 37 units of permanent supportive housing for people with disabilities and 92 units for people who were formerly homeless.

#### f. Identify indicators that would best describe the results.

In this Annual Performance Evaluation report we use the number of units produced and the area median income at which those units will be affordable. However, the indicator that would best describe the results would be the income and demographic characteristics of the people who occupy the housing when it is completed. Affordability at certain levels of area median income (AMI) represents the maximum income level of those who will be eligible to live there; in reality, the housing is often occupied by those earning less than the maximum and their rents are adjusted accordingly.

### g. Identify barriers that had a negative impact on fulfilling the strategies and overall vision.

Lack of Low-Income Housing Tax Credits created complications and delays in getting new construction and rehabilitation projects off the ground. Tighter underwriting standards for single family home purchases and rehabilitation have made it difficult for low and moderate income families to qualify for loans. Lack of qualified borrowers means that our programs have trouble meeting their numeric goals.

### h. Identify whether major goals are on target and discuss reasons for those that are not on target.

Major goals are on target, being achieved through State funding as well as other funding not reported through the CAPER, such as NSP. For example, 3 projects

providing transitional housing with supportive services were built or renovated using \$776,051 in Colorado State funding.

### i. Identify any adjustments or improvements to strategies and activities that might meet your needs more effectively.

Since most major goals are on target and most challenges are the result of lingering economic issues that have resulted in high unemployment, tighter credit standards, lower government revenues, or reduced values of single-family homes, we are not able to identify any adjustments or improvements at this time

#### Lead-Based Paint

#### 1. Describe actions taken during the last year to evaluate and reduce leadbased paint hazards.

DOLA/DOH recognizes the serious health risks for children from lead poisoning due to contact with untreated lead-based paint and dust in the State's housing stock. To help protect children from these health risks, DOH works closely with subgrantees, contract agencies, and the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) to assure that the State's housing programs and projects comply with current requirements of Title X of the Community Development Act of 1992.

An estimated 661,282 housing units (+/-10%) in Colorado were built prior to 1978. Of these, approximately 65% or 431,736 (+/-10%) may contain lead based paint.

As of September 10, 2001, all provisions of Title X became enforceable in Colorado. These provisions include the regulations found in HUD's Lead Safe Housing Rule (24 CFR part 35). The staff of DOH reviews each proposed housing development program or project to ensure ongoing compliance with all applicable sections of Title X. The review is based on the type of project, the type, amount, and duration of financial assistance, and the age of the property. In addition, DOH makes all applicable training and technical resources available to local housing providers and developers.

CDPHE has statutory responsibility for the ongoing implementation of the statewide comprehensive plan to reduce childhood lead poisoning. The Environmental Protection Agency has authorized the CDPHE to provide training, certification, and enforcement programs surrounding lead poisoning and lead-based paint in the State. CDPHE is also responsible for compiling information on the number and location of to have elevated lead blood levels (great children found than 10 micrograms/deciliter). During the period 1996-2002, approximately 2.5% of all children between the ages of 6 months and 6 years of age tested statewide had elevated blood lead levels. In one Denver neighborhood, over 16% of the children tested had elevated blood lead levels. CDPHE and Medicaid educate parents on the sources and hazards of lead poisoning to increase the number of children tested every year statewide. These efforts resulted in a 40% increase in the number of children tested for possible lead poisoning from 2001-2002 (most recent data available).

Northeast Denver Housing Center (NDHC) is the single Lead Hazard Control Grantee in Colorado. Through its Lead Hazard Control Grant, NDHC responds to reported incidences of elevated blood level in lower in children in lower-income households across the State. In addition, NDHC provides comprehensive lead hazard identification and reduction activities in specific neighborhoods in the City of Denver. Information obtained from the 2000 Census and the Center for Disease Control (CDC) report, "Surveillance for Elevated Blood Lead Levels Among Children – US, 1997-2001" (September 2003), indicates that there are over 21,000 housing units with a lead hazard risk in Colorado. The EPA considers housing units built before 1950 and currently occupied by households living below the poverty level to be at risk.

DOH will implement the following activities during the period of 2010–2015 to ensure statewide compliance with applicable lead-based paint regulations.

#### Activity 1: Enhanced Existing Partnerships

DOH continued to assist public and private efforts to reduce lead-based paint hazards across the State. This includes ongoing involvement in the Colorado Lead Coalition interagency work group, which develops and implements strategies for statewide lead hazard reduction and education efforts. Besides the Division of Housing, this coalition includes the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Denver Health, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Colorado Department of Health and Environment and other agencies. Over the years, DOH has provided on-going technical assistance and support to assist in the integration of the various services offered to lower-income households, including participation in current healthy home projects in the state. This includes the Denver Green and Healthy Homes Initiative (GHHI) that promotes the provision of comprehensive housing rehabilitation and household services to lower-income households. The goal of the GHHI is to maximize the interventions for each household in regards to their overall well-being, including linking housing rehabilitation and weatherization services with medical care and hazard mitigation programs. DOH intends to continue to participate in the GHHI to better understand the best approaches to the integration of household services for use in programs statewide.

The Colorado Division of Housing is also active in the newly formed Colorado Healthy Homes Coalition whose mission is to promote the incorporation of healthy homes principles into ongoing programs and practices, focus attention on the relationships between housing and health impacts, and coordinate and leverage state-wide healthy homes activities.

### Activity 2: Provided Lead Hazard Information to Housing Providers, Local Officials and Assisted Households

DOH provided all sub-grantees, contractors and local housing and service providers with the most current required publications for distribution to occupants of housing units assisted with Division funds. For example, DOH distributes the EPA Pamphlet, "Protect Your Family from Lead in Your Home" to local housing and service providers that, in turn, distribute this publication to all applicable households. DOH-funded programs that received lead hazard information include the Single-Family Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program, the Housing Choice Voucher Program, down payment assistance programs, and programs that support the acquisition and rehabilitation of rental properties.

The DOH staff has a working knowledge of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Renovation, Repair, and Painting Rule (RRP) that requires that all rehabilitation contractors to be certified in lead-based paint procedures for compensated construction activities. DOH has administrative and field processes in place to confirm that contractors compensated through DOH funded activities are properly RRP certified.

#### Activity 3: Enhanced Existing Delivery System and Technical Capacity

To comply with the regulations in the most effective and economical way, DOH increased its involvement in CDPHE's lead-based paint education activities and sponsored additional lead-safe work practice trainings around the State. DOH will continue to provide technical assistance to subgrantees, contractors, and local housing and service providers about Title X requirements through web-based

training, on-site visits, project underwriting and the distribution of best practice methods. In addition, DOH continues to search for funding opportunities to provide the state with additional resources concerning lead-based paint and other home hazards. This includes funding through HUD's Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control grants.

DOH intends to coordinate applications for funding under the Lead Hazard Reduction Program – Healthy Homes Initiative on behalf of the entire state.

#### **Energy-Efficient Design and Construction**

#### Overview

The Department of Local Affairs places a high priority on energy efficiency and sustainable design in pursuing its goal of livable communities. At the same time, the State Housing Board has stated their objective to increase affordability and long-term sustainability of Colorado's affordable housing by using sustainable and energy-efficient design. Given these common goals, the Board approved a policy that supports energy efficient design in 2007, amending the policy in 2008 to require projects to substantially meet one of the energy-efficiency standards listed below.

In 2012, the Division of Housing (DOH) will encourage inclusion of energy-efficient design methods early in the project planning process and provide training opportunities to developers, project owners and project managers on the benefits of efficient design. DOH staff members present energy-efficiency information to the State Housing Board as part of each project summary.

#### Minimum Energy Code Requirement

- For single family and low-rise (up to 3 stories) housing projects: Projects funded shall substantially meet Low-Water Landscaping (e.g. Denver Water Board Standards), and one of the following (listed in order of preference):
  - Enterprise Community Partners, Green Communities Criteria 2011 or later
  - U.S. Green Building Council, LEED for Homes, Silver or above
  - The most recently released International Energy Efficiency Codes (IECC)
  - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Energy Star 2011 for New Homes
  - U.S. Green Building Council, LEED for Homes, Certified
  - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Energy Star for New Homes
- For commercial and residential projects above 3 stories: Projects funded shall substantially meet Low-Water Landscaping (e.g. Denver Water Board Standards), and one of the following (listed in order of preference):
  - Enterprise Community Partners, Green Communities Criteria 2011 or later
  - U.S. Green Building Council, LEED for New Construction version 2.2 or later, Silver or above
  - The most recently released International Energy Efficiency Codes (IECC)
  - U.S. Green Building Council, LEED for New Construction version 2.2 or later, Certified

#### Enterprise Green Communities

The Colorado Department of Local Affairs, Division of Housing, in coordination with the Colorado Housing and Finance Authority, and the City of Denver, has adopted the *Enterprise Green Communities* as the preferred sustainable design criteria for funded affordable housing projects. The *Enterprise Green Communities* criteria are a multifaceted approach to the design and construction that includes meeting the Energy Star construction requirements and a concentration on the long term

performance of the building. In addition, the goal is for the individual household to receive the direct benefits of the increased energy efficiency and sustainability through lower utility bills and a healthier built environment.

Since October 2008, the DOH has assisted in the construction and/or renovation of over 2,200 (or 75% of all funded units) affordable housing units that meet or exceed the *Enterprise Green Communities* criteria. During the 2012 Program year, the Division of Housing will be taking steps to measure and confirm the actual performance of these more efficient buildings and the net impact on the ownership and the individual households.

#### Partner Programs

DOH works closely with the Governor's Energy Office (GEO) and Energy Outreach Colorado (EOC) to assist project developers and property owners with access to technical assistance and funding for energy-efficiency improvements. In addition, the Division's single-family housing rehabilitation programs assist in improving the efficiency of the existing housing stock by using low-interest loans to homeowners.

#### 2009 International Energy Conservation Code

The Division of Housing, through an Interagency Agreement with the Governor's Energy Office (GEO) is providing Energy Code adoption, training and compliance support to local jurisdictions in Colorado. This support is designed to increase the minimum energy code in the State to the 2009 International Energy Conservation Code in order to enhance the efficiency of newly constructed and renovated residential and commercial buildings in the State.

#### Energy Star Building Performance Standards

In 2002, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) entered into a memorandum of understanding to promote the use of Energy Star Building Performance Standards in HUD's affordable housing programs. DOH encourages the use of the Colorado Energy Star Standards Program in affordable housing projects. More information concerning the Colorado Energy Star Program is available at <u>http://www.e-star.com/index.html</u>. DOH funding applicants indicate the number of proposed housing units that meet the Colorado Energy Star Standards Program criteria in their application submission documents.

As noted above, the DOH has produced a significant number of affordable housing units that meet the Energy Star Performance Standard through the *Enterprise Green Communities* criteria. However, few of these projects have been officially provided an Energy Star certificate and therefore DOH has not counted these units in IDIS as Energy Star units. DOH will continue to track the number of energy-efficient units (with or without the Energy Star certification) in future Program years.

#### HOUSING

#### Housing Needs

1. Describe Actions taken during the last year to foster and maintain affordable housing.

The 2011 housing priorities and specific objectives listed below involved commitment and expenditure of both current and prior year HOME, CDBG, ESG and HOPWA funds, since the majority of activities and projects are multi-year funded.

#### Specific Housing Objectives

1. Evaluate progress in meeting specific objective of providing affordable housing, including the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income renter and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.

During the reporting period, written agreements were executed to produce the following unit counts. The Division of Housing contracted for 724 total affordable housing units. Three hundred fifty-nine of those units are intended for people with special housing needs.

	Percentage of Area Median Income				
Project Type	0 - 30%	31-40%	41 – 50%	51 - 60%	61 - 80%
New Homeowners	0	0	70	0	63
Single Family Home Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	121
Rental Units	40	59	273	98	0
Total Units	40	59	343	98	184
The units above include the following totals for people with special needs:					
Homeless	23	0	64	4	0
People with Disabilities	0	0	16	0	20
Senior Housing	5	51	145	31	0
TOTAL	28	51	225	35	20

# 2. Evaluate progress in providing affordable housing that meets the Section 215 definition of affordable housing for rental and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.

The Division of Housing did not set numeric goals for production by percent of AMI, but rather has a policy of including as many 30 to 50% AMI units as economically possible in each project.

<30% AMI	ACTUAL
Tenant Based Rental Assistance for Homeless	61
Rental Units for Homeless	23
Rental Units for People with Disabilities	0
Senior Housing	5
Other < 30% Rental Units	<u>12</u>
Subtotal	<u>101</u>
31-50% AMI	
Rental Units for Homeless	64
Rental Units for People with Disabilities	16
Senior Housing	196
Other 31-50% Rental Units	126
Subtotal	<u>402</u>
TOTAL Units for <50% AMI	<u>503</u>

### 3. Describe efforts to address "worst-case" housing needs and housing needs of persons with disabilities.

Worst case housing needs (WCN) are experienced by unassisted very low-income renters (below 50 percent of the local area median income) who either (1) pay more than one-half of their monthly income for rent; or (2) live in severely inadequate conditions, or both.

During the reporting period, the Colorado Division of Housing used HUD funds to create 442 new units of housing affordable to households with incomes below 50% AMI. Of these, 217 were created to meet the needs of people with disabilities or seniors. Another 61 households in this income range received tenant-based rental assistance to make their rents more affordable.

#### Public Housing Strategy

### 1. Describe actions taken during the last year to improve public housing and resident initiatives.

The Colorado Division of Housing does not own or operate public housing, so this section is not applicable.

#### **Barriers to Affordable Housing**

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to eliminate barriers to affordable housing.

#### Local Regulatory Barriers

CDOH identified five categories of land use regulations frequently cited as barriers to affordable housing. These include: (1) infrastructure financing, (2) zoning and subdivision controls, (3) building codes, (4) permitting and procedural rules, and (5) environmental regulations. DOLA/DOH provides technical workshops on land use planning and on affordable housing to show communities how local governments could modify regulations to reduce their impact on affordable housing. DOLA/DOH also works with each developer to negotiate a reduction in local regulatory cost during our application review process.
The CDOH publication *Affordable Housing: A Guide for Local Officials*, which addresses these same issues, is available free on the DOLA/DOH web site.

# Effectiveness in Reducing Impact of Land Use Regulation

The Division of Housing (DOLA/DOH) provided technical assistance to local governments that want to modify land use regulations in order to encourage affordable housing development. During our application review process, DOLA/DOH made it a priority to assess a local government's financial contribution compared to the impact its regulations and policies have on the total project cost.

# Technical Assistance

DOLA/DOH provided training classes for affordable housing developers on The Developers Toolkit," providing an overview of all of the steps to be taken and issues to consider before attempting to develop affordable housing. Another training course presented by DOLA/DOH in partnership with ??? is the "Advanced Finance Academy," which covers financing options, maximizing affordability, and project phasing. This class is designed for housing developers who want to use more complex financing sources. DOH provided technical assistance to its ESG grantees in June, 2011 in the form of a workshop covering various aspects of compliance.

The Division provides online training interactive training in Housing Quality Standards, preparation for homeownership, and Colorado Energy Codes.

DOLA/DOH staff members discuss regulatory barriers with local governments during project funding.

Please see the "Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing" section beginning on page 13.

# HOME Program

- 1. Assessment of Relationship of HOME Funds to Goals and Objectives
  - a. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using HOME funds, including the number and types of households served.

Use of HOME funds was essential to CDOH's efforts to provide affordable housing. HOME funds were used to produce 543 units of affordable housing, 384 (71%) of which are affordable to households at or below 50% of AMI.

The State of Colorado received \$7,304,221 for the 2011-2012 program year. That total was sub funded as follows: \$730,422.10 (10%) for administrative costs; \$1,095,633.15 (15%) for CHDO reserve; and \$365,211.00for CHDO operating and predevelopment loans.

CDOH contracted \$5,776,860.46 in HOME funds during the program year. HOME grantees that generate program income through down payment assistance or single-family owner-occupied rehabilitation programs and retain it to be used for the same purpose had net program income of \$206.12. The state's revolving loan fund received program income of \$177,159.27.

The projects that we funded and the income levels of households they benefit are listed in the following table.

2011-2012 HOME-Funded Proje							<sup>i</sup> AMI
GRANTEE	HOME Funds	30%	40%	50%	60%	80%	Total
Homeownership Assistance							
Douglas County Housing Partnership	\$479,920	0	0	0	0	22	22
La Plata County Homes Fund	\$381,770	0	0	0	0	15	15
Colorado Housing Assistance Corp.	\$210,000	0	0	0	0	20	20
Homeownership New Construction							
Habitat for Humanity of Colorado	\$770,000	0	0	70	0	0	70
Land Trust							
Rocky Mountain Community Land Trust	\$91,500	0	0	0	0	6	6
<b>Rental Acquisition and Rehabilitation</b>							
Access Housing/Holly Gardens Apts.	\$200,00	2	0	6	0	0	8
Rental Rehabilitation							
Longmont Housing Authority/The Suites	\$875,000	8	0	58	4	0	70
Del Norte NDC/Housing & Work	¢175.000	10	0	0	0	0	10
Connection Project	\$175,000	13	0	0	0	0	13
Rental New Construction							
Longmont Housing Development	\$400,000	0	0	49	0	0	49
Corp./Hearthstone at Hover Crossing	Ψ <del>4</del> 00,000	0	0	47	0	0	47
C.S. Pike Senior LP/Pikes Peak Senior	\$350,000	0	25	45	0	0	70
Apts							10
Yale Station LLC	\$200,000	0	5	33	11	0	49
Accessible Space, Inc./Greeley	\$340,000	0	0	16	0	0	16
Supportive Housing							
Boulder County Housing	\$550,000	15	21	18	22	0	76
Authority/Josephine Commons							
Single-Family Owner-Occupied Rehab Housing Resources of Western Colorado	\$51,861	0	0	0	0	3	3
Loveland Housing Development	\$597,000	0	0	0	0	42	42
		0	0	0	0	14	14
Housing Resources of Western Colorado TOTALS	\$105,009	-	-	-	-		
IUTALS	\$5,402,260	38	51	295	37	122	543

### 2. HOME Match Report

a. Use HOME Match Report HUD-40107-A to report on match contributions for the period covered by the Consolidated Plan program year.

Please see attached report.

- 3. HOME MBE and WBE Report
  - a. Use Part III of HUD Form 40107 to report contracts and subcontracts with Minority Business Enterprises (MBEs) and Women's Business Enterprises (WBEs).

A total of \$8,450,330 in HOME funding was contracted to 17 sub-contractors through 8 projects. Two contracts went to woman-owned enterprises and nine to minority-owned businesses. Please see attached report for greater detail.

### 4. Assessments

- a. Detail results of on-site inspections of rental housing.
- b. Describe the HOME jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions.

The CDOH loan/grant application requires that all applicants certify that they will affirmatively further fair housing and comply with the civil rights act of 1964 and 1968. Applicants must also address the requirements for handicapped accessible units in their project application and a public hearing must be conducted to gather public and private comments on the proposed project. The meetings must be handicapped accessible and outreach must be done to non-English speaking citizens.

CDOH contracts require compliance with all applicable civil rights laws, including Section 504, Section 3 and the Age Discrimination Act.

CDOH project performance plans often list outreach and affirmative marketing plan requirements. When needed, CDOH staff will provide technical assistance to a grantee so that they may comply with the civil rights requirements. CDOH asset managers monitor each project to further ensure civil rights compliance. The CDOH Project Close-Out (PCO) process requires the reporting of direct benefit activities in order to track those who have been served with Federal/state funding. The PCO also requires the grantee to list in writing the actions they have undertaken to affirmatively further fair housing.

CDOH maintains monitoring records and project close out data demonstrating that it has reviewed the civil rights performance of each grantee it funds.

CDOH grant recipients document the actions they have carried out to affirmatively further fair housing.

• Rental projects of 5 units or more funded with HOME dollars are required to develop an Affirmative Marketing Plan (Plan). CDOH staff monitors projects to ensure that the Plans have been developed and implemented.

At project close out, CDOH requires each grant recipient to track beneficiary information on the individuals/families that they serve. The grantee must list in writing the project beneficiaries by area median income, race, ethnicity, disability and head of household gender. The grantee is also asked to report on all contracts and sub-contracts with Minority Business Enterprises (MBEs) and Women Business Enterprises (WBEs). They must also list minority owners of rental property.

The following examples demonstrate CDOH's efforts to affirmatively further fair housing in the past year during its monitoring visits:

- CDOH requires that Fair Housing logos be placed on all agency publications
- CDOH requires that Colorado Relay Service be used if an agency does not have TDD service
- CDOH provides documentation on 504 Self-Assessment requirements and requires that grantees implement them
- Grantee procurement policies are reviewed and recommendations are made on doing outreach to minority/women owned businesses.
- Handicapped accessible units are inspected during monitoring visits

### c. Describe outreach to minority and women owned businesses.

The Division of Housing reviews each Grantee's procurement policies and makes recommendations on doing outreach to minority and women-owned businesses. When an affordable housing project or program is awarded funding from CDOH, the funding recipient receives guidance on the Federal/state civil rights compliance requirements. Guidance provided is set forth within the terms of the grantee's

contract, the contract project performance plan, monitoring compliance requirements and technical assistance given to grantees by CDOH staff. CDOH funding recipients know early on that they will be required to demonstrate how they comply with the civil rights requirements and how their organization affirmatively furthers fair housing.

These procedures resulted in subcontracts worth \$1,079,376 being awarded to nine minority-owned businesses during the reporting period. Eleven women-owned businesses received subcontracts worth\$166,386.

# HOMELESS

# **Homeless Needs**

- 1. Identify actions taken to address needs of homeless persons.
- 2. Identify actions to help homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living.
  - CDOH coordinated its efforts with the three Colorado Continuums of Care (CoCs): Metropolitan Denver Homeless Initiative (MDHI); Homeward Pikes Peak and the Balance of State.
  - CDOH provided financial assistance to projects that created permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless individuals or families in coordination with those Continuums of Care;
  - CDOH continued to fund nonprofit organizations using HOME, ESG, HOPWA, HPRP and CDBG funding to assist with supportive services for chronically homeless persons.

Obstacles to completing these action steps include lack of adequate funding and agency capacity to develop housing solutions.

3. Identify new Federal resources obtained from Homeless SuperNOFA.

Federal Resources from SuperNOFA						
Metropolitan Denver Homeless Initiative CoC	\$15,140,015					
Homeward Pikes Peak CoC	\$1,868,716					
Balance of State CoC	\$3,172,936					
TOTAL McKinney Vento Homeless Assistance (SHP)	\$20,181,667.00					

# **Specific Homeless Prevention Elements**

1. Identify actions taken to prevent homelessness.

CDOH provided 26 agencies with homeless prevention dollars through the Emergency Shelter Grant Program. The Division continued to work with a

collaborative endeavor to prevent foreclosures and strengthened a housing counseling program to assist families in maintaining their homeownership. Research indicates that many low-income families are victims of or at risk of predatory lending practices.

In addition, CDOH continued to administer a Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing award of \$8,154,036. This funding was allocated statewide by distributing it by Continuum of Care as follows:

GEOGRAPHIC AREA	GRANTEE	AWARD ALLOCATION
Metropolitan Denver Homeless Initiative area	Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	\$5,209,163
Homeward Pikes Peak area	City of Colorado Springs	\$ 795,668
Balance of State area	Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	\$2,011,165

The State of Colorado selected a lead agency in each Continuum of Care (Coc) area to collaborate with local government and nonprofit partners to provide short-term and medium-term rental assistance, security and utility deposits, utility payments, moving cost assistance, motel and hotel vouchers, case management, outreach, housing search and placement services, legal services to help people stay in their homes and credit repair services. The State retained \$407,702 to cover administrative costs and allocated \$630,000 to upgrade the Homeless Management Information data system to collect and report accomplishment information required by the program.

This program serves both families and individuals and combines and coordinates with direct HPRP grants of local governments in Adams County, the City of Aurora, the City of Colorado Springs, the City and County of Denver, and the City of Pueblo. DOLA/DOH was able to combine this funding with a TANF Supplemental grant of \$4.7M from the State of Colorado to augment the array of services made available through the HPRP program.

# **Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG)**

1. Identify actions to address emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless individuals and families (including significant subpopulations such as those living on the streets).

During the period of April 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, the Division funded 1,150 homeless shelter beds and 175 transitional housing beds through Emergency Shelter Grants.

A dollar-for dollar match is required for the ESG program which our agencies met through foundations, local government match, private contributions, and volunteer hours. Please see the attached ESG Match Report.

# 2. Assessment of Relationship of ESG Funds to Goals and Objectives

### a. Evaluate progress made in using ESG funds to address homeless and homeless prevention needs, goals, and specific objectives established in the Consolidated Plan.

Of the \$1,040,658 received by the State, \$988,625 was distributed by a competitive application process to 71 agencies and local governments located in twelve different State planning regions. The State retained \$52,032 for state administration and allocated \$14,000 for local administration. Homeless prevention activities accounted for\$261,463, \$224,796 went to essential services, \$46,000 to staff operations, and the balance of \$442,364 went to local operating costs.

# b. Detail how ESG projects are related to implementation of comprehensive homeless planning strategy, including the number and types of individuals and persons in households served with ESG funds.

The Division of Housing has a comprehensive set of strategies to decrease homelessness in Colorado that include the ESG program, Community Development Block Grant funding for shelters and homeless services; creation of transitional housing units and permanent supportive housing (utilizing HOME dollars), and Colorado Housing Development Grants to create housing for special needs, homelessness and affordable housing.

ESG projects allow homeless shelters providers to have access to a funding stream that provides for operations, staff operations and essential services that stabilize clients. Approximately 26,050 homeless persons received assistance that helped provide this stability. This grant also provided homelessness prevention assistance to an additional 11,800 persons.

# 3. Matching Resources

a. Provide specific sources and amounts of new funding used to meet match as required by 42 USC 11375(a)(1), including cash resources, grants, and staff salaries, as well as in-kind contributions such as the value of a building or lease, donated materials, or volunteer time.

Please see the ESG Matching report attached.

# 4. State Method of Distribution

a. States must describe their method of distribution and how it rated and selected its local government agencies and private nonprofit organizations acting as subrecipients.

The State's method of distribution is consistent with details published in the 2011-2012 Action Plan and substantial amendment.

- (1) A NOFA was issued to invite ESG participation by interested agencies;
- (2) ESG application kits were mailed to previously-funded local governments and nonprofit organizations, with other homeless providers receiving application kits upon request.
- (3) A scoring system was implemented for the application process; evaluation of all projects occurred using criteria published in the Action Plan.

# 5. Activity and Beneficiary Data

a. Completion of attached Emergency Solutions Grant Program Performance Chart or other reports showing ESGP expenditures by type of activity. Also describe any problems in collecting, reporting, and evaluating the reliability of this information.

Regarding collecting, reporting and evaluating the reliability of this information, we note that we must constantly "retrain" subgrantees due to turnover, capacity issues, etc. We have now instituted a competitive grant process which includes accuracy, timeliness and completeness of reporting as scoring factors.

### b. Homeless Discharge Coordination

i. As part of the government developing and implementing a homeless discharge coordination policy, ESG homeless prevention funds may be used to assist very-low income individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless after being released from publicly funded institutions such as health care facilities, foster care or other youth facilities, or corrections institutions or programs.

The State works with the Community and Interagency Council on Homelessness as a partner in improving and coordinating discharge from institutions.

ESG Homeless Prevention Funds do sometimes assist individuals released from publicly funded institutions such as health care facilities, foster care or other youth facilities, or corrections institutions or programs.

An example of a program tailored to this purpose is The Empowerment, which works with women released from prison to ensure that they have access to housing through either their transitional program or their homeless prevention program. Program clients also receive job training and counseling as well as other esteembuilding services.

# c. Explain how your government is instituting a homeless discharge coordination policy, and how ESG homeless prevention funds are being used in this effort.

The Community and Interagency Council on Homelessness is developing a discharge coordination policy for State-funded institutions to be performed with the improved HMIS system.

# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

# Community Development

\*Please also refer to the Community Development Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

- 1. Assessment of Relationship of CDBG Funds to Goals and Objectives
  - a. Assess use of CDBG funds in relation to the priorities, needs, goals, and specific objectives in the Consolidated Plan, particularly the highest priority activities.

The Colorado Department of Local Affairs (DOLA) has achieved its goal of responding to the needs of the local governments as illustrated by the types of projects it has funded during the program year.

- b. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using CDBG funds, including the number and types of households served.
- c. Indicate the extent to which CDBG funds were used for activities that benefited extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons.

DOLA has consistently exceeded the expectation of awarding a minimum of 70% of its funds over a three year period to projects that primarily benefit low and moderate-income persons. As shown in Part I of the project annual summaries, in 2011, 100% of its projects were funded under the national objective of low and moderate–income benefit.

### 2. Changes in Program Objectives

a. Identify the nature of and the reasons for any changes in program objectives and how the jurisdiction would change its program as a result of its experiences.

In an effort to improve the state's expenditure ratio, DOLA is focusing on awarding its public facility funds to projects that have all other revenue sources committed and are ready to move forward.

### 3. Assessment of Efforts in Carrying Out Planned Actions

- a. Indicate how grantee pursued all resources indicated in the Consolidated Plan.
- b. Indicate how grantee provided certifications of consistency in a fair and impartial manner.

All requests for certifications of consistency were compared to the Consolidated Plan and approved if the Plan contained a provision for the type of activity proposed.

c. Indicate how grantee did not hinder Consolidated Plan implementation by action or willful inaction.

No actions were taken that hindered the implementation of the Consolidated Plan.

### 4. Funds Not Used for National Objectives

- a. Indicate how use of CDBG funds did not meet national objectives.
- b. Indicate how did not comply with overall benefit certification.

All CDBG funds used during the report period met National Objectives.

5. **Anti-displacement and Relocation** – for activities that involve acquisition, rehabilitation or demolition of occupied real property.

# a. Describe steps actually taken to minimize the amount of displacement resulting from the CDBG-assisted activities.

The Colorado Department of Local Affairs (DOLA) requires that any CDBG recipient adhere to the Residential Antidisplacement and Relocation Assistance Act. Applicants must have in place a formal antidisplacement and relocation assistance plan with signatures from appropriate county and/or municipal officials.

b. Describe steps taken to identify households, businesses, farms or nonprofit organizations who occupied properties subject to the Uniform Relocation Act or Section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, and whether or not they were displaced, and the nature of their needs and preferences.

DOLA ensures that its activities do not trigger the Uniform Relocation Act by (1) educating grant participants of antidisplacement requirements, (2) by screening projects, (3) by requiring timely issuance of information notices to tenants, residents, or potentially displaced households concerning their rights, needs and preferences. All of these steps are monitored by our Asset Management Team and Regional Managers to ensure that they occur in an accurate and timely manner.

c. Describe steps taken to ensure the timely issuance of information notices to displaced households, businesses, farms, or nonprofit organizations.

DOLA staff monitors the process of issuing information notices and ensures that it occurs in a timely fashion.

- Low/Mod Job Activities for economic development activities undertaken where jobs were made available but not taken by low- or moderate-income persons
  - a. Describe actions taken by grantee and businesses to ensure first consideration was or will be given to low/mod persons.

Grantee, subgrantee, and businesses work in cooperation with the local Job Service Center, and the local Workforce Development Center to screen potential employees for low- and moderate-income status, skills, and abilities, and provide appropriate training, if necessary, to selected individuals for the jobs being offered by the businesses.

b. List by job title of all the permanent jobs created/retained and those that were made available to low/mod persons.

Nine categories of job titles are noted on the quarterly employment report format required on all CDBG economic development projects, and permanent jobs created or retained in a project are entered in the appropriate category.

c. If any of jobs claimed as being available to low/mod persons require special skill, work experience, or education, provide a description of steps being taken or that will be taken to provide such skills, experience, or education.

Not applicable, other than such training provided by the Job Service center or the Workforce Development Center.

7. Low/Mod Limited Clientele Activities – for activities not falling within one of the categories of presumed limited clientele low and moderate income benefit

### a. Describe how the nature, location, or other information demonstrates the activities benefit a limited clientele at least 51% of whom are low- and moderate-income.

For activities that benefit a limited clientele, grantees are required to provide documentation prior to funding that their clientele are at least 51% low and moderate income. If funded, documentation is required at time of project monitoring and again at project close-out using HUD established income levels to verify the low and moderate income benefit. These income levels are included in the grantee contracts.

# 8. Program income received

a. Detail the amount of program income reported that was returned to each individual revolving fund, e.g., housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other type of revolving fund.

Single-Family Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation programs and homeownership assistance programs generated \$1,347,002.32 in program income, all of which was retained by local agencies to be reused for the same purposes. There was no program income received or reported for public facility and economic development projects.

b. Detail the amount repaid on each float-funded activity.

There were no float-funded activities during the reporting period.

c. Detail all other loan repayments broken down by the categories of housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other.

DOLA did not make any loans out of CDBG funds and there were no loan repayments.

d. Detail the amount of income received from the sale of property by parcel.

DOLA did not own or sell any property during the report period.

- 9. **Prior period adjustments** where reimbursement was made this reporting period for expenditures (made in previous reporting periods) that have been disallowed, provide the following information:
  - a. The activity name and number as shown in IDIS;
  - b. The program year(s) in which the expenditure(s) for the disallowed activity(ies) was reported;
  - c. The amount returned to line-of-credit or program account; and
  - d. Total amount to be reimbursed and the period over which the reimbursement is to be made, if the reimbursement is made with multi-year payments.

There were no prior period adjustments during this reporting period.

# 10. Loans and other receivables

a. List the principal balance for each float-funded activity outstanding as of the end of the reporting period and the date(s) by which the funds are expected to be received.

There were no float-funded activities during the reporting period.

- b. List the total number of other loans outstanding and the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period.
- c. List separately the total number of outstanding loans that are deferred or forgivable, the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period, and the terms of the deferral or forgiveness.

d. Detail the total number and amount of loans made with CDBG funds that have gone into default and for which the balance was forgiven or written off during the reporting period.

The Department of Local Affairs makes only grants with CDBG. Therefore, there are no loans outstanding or in default.

e. Provide a List of the parcels of property owned by the grantee or its subrecipients that have been acquired or improved using CDBG funds and that are available for sale as of the end of the reporting period.

Colorado statutes prohibit the Department from owning any property; therefore this question does not apply.

### 11.Lump sum agreements

- a. Provide the name of the financial institution.
- b. Provide the date the funds were deposited.
- c. Provide the date the use of funds commenced.
- d. Provide the percentage of funds disbursed within 180 days of deposit in the institution.

The Department of Local Affairs did not enter into any lump sum agreements

- 12. **Housing Rehabilitation** for each type of rehabilitation program for which projects/units were reported as completed during the program year
  - a. Identify the type of program and number of projects/units completed for each program.
  - b. Provide the total CDBG funds involved in the program.
  - c. Detail other public and private funds involved in the project.

2011-2012 SINGLE-FAMILY OWNER-OCCOPIED REHABILITATION							
Grantee	CDBG Funds	Number of	Other				
	Awarded	Units	Funding				
Boulder County	\$40,593	10	\$246,749				
Delta County	\$105,226	15	\$246,749				
San Juan County	\$363,024	14	\$72,900				
Totals	\$508,843	39	\$566,398				

# 2011-2012 SINGLE-FAMILY OWNER-OCCUPIED REHABILITATION

- 13. **Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies** for grantees that have HUDapproved neighborhood revitalization strategies
  - a. Describe progress against benchmarks for the program year. For grantees with Federally-designated EZs or ECs that received HUD approval for a neighborhood revitalization strategy, reports that are required as part of the EZ/EC process shall suffice for purposes of reporting progress.

The Department of Local Affairs implemented a pilot program called the Colorado Sustainable Main Streets Initiative (CSMSI). The goal of the CSMSI is to maximize efficiencies through strong intra-agency communications and planning in order to be most effective in meeting outcomes for communities. Solutions may involve land use, economic development and redevelopment, workforce housing, and sustainable energy policy among other efforts. The CSMSI pilot program has technically ended, but DOLA is still helping to coordinate and/or be involved in implementation work in the four pilot communities (three rural and one urban neighborhood). DOLA is still involved in implementation work in each community, especially through a HUD Challenge/DOT TIGER II combination grant, in which funding was granted to each of

the four communities. Additionally, DOLA is administering the Colorado Main Street program, which helps communities statewide reach their downtown revitalization goals. This program incorporates many of the lessons learned from the CSMSI program.

### Program Engagement

- A. Demography, Division of Housing (DOH) and the Workforce Development Office help to identify trends and opportunities to link jobs, housing, transportation, education and environment. Housing assessments funded by DOH (and even CHPG) may prove especially useful in this effort.
- B. Each division of DOLA will evaluate how it can augment assistance to selected communities.
- C. DLG Regional Managers will chair the DOLA Sustainability Teams.
- D. A local champion chairs local community teams.

# Antipoverty Strategy

# 1. Describe actions taken during the last year to reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level.

CDOH believes that supportive services linked to housing are the key to helping homeless families escape poverty. DOLA worked with other state agencies, local governments and non-profit service providers to coordinate supportive services to help families escape poverty. The coordinated linking of job training, education, employment opportunities, childcare, transportation, housing and food stamps enabled families in poverty to receive a full benefits package to assist them in getting off the welfare rolls.

Through the Colorado Works Program, the Colorado Dept. of Human Services coordinates the State's Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) across 64 counties. Each Colorado county designs how it will administer its TANF funds to help reduce poverty. The TANF system provides households with job training, housing, childcare, transportation, family health care, educational support and continuous employment to help them achieve self-sufficiency and escape from poverty. Many counties in Colorado had difficulty providing employment opportunities to TANF recipients because of limited job availability. In accordance with Federal statutes, the Colorado Works Program imposes a 60-month cumulative lifetime limit for receipt of basic cash assistance and requires most adult recipients to be in a work activity within 24 months of being deemed job-ready.

CDOH was able to use its Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing funding in conjunction with TANF funds through an interagency agreement with the Colorado Department of Health and Human Services, enabling non-profits to provide a wider range of coordinated services than would have been possible through either program by itself.

The Division of Housing used its HOME, CDBG and NSP funding as a catalyst for other sustainable housing efforts. The Division allocated Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) funding to local governments for activities to stabilize neighborhoods in areas highly impacted by foreclosures. Twenty-five percent of the DOH NSP allocation is going to assist persons who earn less than 50 percent of the Area Median Income (AMI).

The Division of Housing financed housing construction or rehabilitation and soft costs including rental subsidies through its other housing programs. The direct impact of housing development is quality housing and additional construction jobs for a community.

Colorado Housing Finance Authority (CHFA) also explores ways to provide lowinterest loans for housing development that serves families at 30% of AMI. The Division and CHFA, as well as other housing agencies, often coordinate their funding in order to make affordable housing projects successful. CHFA and DOH are also collaborating to preserve affordable housing projects that have financial problems due to the economic slow down, resultant vacancy issues and intense market competition.

CDOH received \$2,200,000 in Housing Development Grant funds for State fiscal year 2012 (July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012) for affordable housing, homeless shelters or transitional housing units. These state funds are the most flexible of the Division's funding, and allowed the creation of tailored community solutions to help ensure that the poorest families in Colorado have an increasing supply of rental units affordable to them.

CDOH, Supportive Housing and Homeless Programs (SHHP) and the Colorado Interagency Council on Homelessness actively work to promote independence by connecting housing with supportive services. These services may include job training, education, employment, childcare, transportation, housing and food stamps.

The Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program is a major Federal government program for assisting very low-income families, the elderly, and the disabled to afford decent, safe, and sanitary housing in the private market. Based on Federal requirements, DOH must provide 75 percent of its vouchers to applicants whose incomes are less than 30 percent of the area median income.

CDOH is one of the designated agencies for the administration of the HCV Program in Colorado. CDOH currently administers 2693 vouchers in 48 counties statewide of which,

- 85 families in the Family Self Sufficiency program (FSS).
- 200 families in the Family Unification program (FUP) that provide housing and case management to families and homeless youth.
- 50 Veterans Administration Supportive Housing vouchers (VASH) that provide permanent housing to homeless veterans.
- 25 Project Based VASH vouchers that link housing and services for homeless Veterans.
- 100 families utilizing their voucher towards Homeownership.

Annually, CDOH conducts a statewide housing survey to determine the number of families on Colorado's PHA waiting lists. The survey, although a snapshot in time, indicates that in January 2011, roughly 49,757 families were waiting for government rental assistance. This substantiates there is not enough deep subsidy rental assistance available to the lowest income renters in Colorado.

# NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS

1. Identify actions taken to address special needs of persons that are not homeless but require supportive housing, (including persons with HIV/AIDS and their families).

During the Report year, CDOH used HOME funds to help create four rental projects for seniors, adding 242 new units of affordable supportive housing to the state's inventory. In addition, one project supplied 17 affordable rental units with supportive services for people with disabilities. Three projects provided 92 units of permanent supportive housing for the homeless.

Using HOPWA funds, the Division of Housing provided rental assistance and supportive services to 69 households containing 135 individuals; 11 households were able to leave the program because they attained permanent housing. More information on the HOPWA program's outcomes is contained in the HOPWA CAPER, Appendix C.

# **Specific HOPWA Objectives**

- 1. Overall Assessment of Relationship of HOPWA Funds to Goals and Objectives Grantees should demonstrate through the CAPER and related IDIS reports the progress they are making at accomplishing identified goals and objectives with HOPWA funding. Grantees should demonstrate:
  - a. That progress is being made toward meeting the HOPWA goal for providing affordable housing using HOPWA funds and other resources for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families through a comprehensive community plan;
  - b. That community-wide HIV/AIDS housing strategies are meeting HUD's national goal of increasing the availability of decent, safe, and affordable housing for low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS;
  - c. That community partnerships between State and local governments and community-based non-profits are creating models and innovative strategies to serve the housing and related supportive service needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families;
  - d. That through community-wide strategies Federal, State, local, and other resources are matched with HOPWA funding to create comprehensive housing strategies;
  - e. That community strategies produce and support actual units of housing for persons living with HIV/AIDS; and finally,
  - f. That community strategies identify and supply related supportive services in conjunction with housing to ensure the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families are met.
- 2. This should be accomplished by providing an executive summary (1-5 pages) that includes:
  - a. Grantee Narrative
    - i. Grantee and Community Overview
      - (1) A brief description of your organization, the area of service, the name of each project sponsor and a broad overview of the range/type of housing activities and related services
      - (2) How grant management oversight of project sponsor activities is conducted and how project sponsors are selected

- (3) A description of the local jurisdiction, its need, and the estimated number of persons living with HIV/AIDS
- (4) A brief description of the planning and public consultations involved in the use of HOPWA funds including reference to any appropriate planning document or advisory body
- (5) What other resources were used in conjunction with HOPWA funded activities, including cash resources and in-kind contributions, such as the value of services or materials provided by volunteers or by other individuals or organizations
- (6) Collaborative efforts with related programs including coordination and planning with clients, advocates, Ryan White CARE Act planning bodies, AIDS Drug Assistance Programs, homeless assistance programs, or other efforts that assist persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.
- ii. Project Accomplishment Overview
  - (1) A brief summary of all housing activities broken down by three types: emergency or short-term rent, mortgage or utility payments to prevent homelessness; rental assistance; facility based housing, including development cost, operating cost for those facilities and community residences
  - (2) The number of units of housing which have been created through acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction since 1993 with any HOPWA funds
  - (3) A brief description of any unique supportive service or other service delivery models or efforts
  - (4) Any other accomplishments recognized in your community due to the use of HOPWA funds, including any projects in developmental stages that are not operational.
- iii. Barriers or Trends Overview
  - (1) Describe any barriers encountered, actions in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement
  - (2) Trends you expect your community to face in meeting the needs of persons with HIV/AIDS, and
  - (3) Any other information you feel may be important as you look at providing services to persons with HIV/AIDS in the next 5-10 years
- b. Accomplishment Data
  - i. Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 1 of Actual Performance in the provision of housing (Table II-1 to be submitted with CAPER).
  - ii. Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 2 of Comparison to Planned Housing Actions (Table II-2 to be submitted with CAPER).

# **HOPWA Summary**

Using HOPWA funds, the Division of Housing provided rental assistance and supportive services to 69 households comprised of 135 individuals. Please see the HOPWA CAPER, Appendix C for more details of our annual performance for the HOPWA program.

# OTHER NARRATIVE

# Include any CAPER information that was not covered by narratives in any other section.

In addition to the accomplishments narrated above, the Colorado Department of Local Affairs administered funds granted through the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP), the Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program, and the CDBG-R program. Copies of their accomplishment reports as of March 31, 2012 are attached in the appendices.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 2.5 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

The HOME statute imposes a significant number of data collection and reporting requirements. This includes information on assisted properties, on the owners or tenants of the properties, and on other programmatic areas. The information will be used: 1) to assist HOME participants in managing their programs; 2) to track performance of participants in meeting fund commitment and expenditure deadlines; 3) to permit HUD to determine whether each participant meets the HOME statutory income targeting and affordability requirements; and 4) to permit HUD to determine compliance with other statutory and regulatory program requirements. This data collection is authorized under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act or related authorities. Access to Federal grant funds is contingent on the reporting of certain project-specific data elements. Records of information collected will be maintained by the recipients of the assistance. Information on activities and expenditures of grant funds is public information and is generally available for disclosure. Recipients are responsible for ensuring confidentiality when public disclosure is not required.

This form is intended to collect numeric data to be aggregated nationally as a complement to data collected through the Cash and Management Information (C/MI) System. Participants should enter the reporting period in the first block. The reporting period is October 1 to September 30. Instructions are included for each section if further explanation is needed.

Submit this form on or before December 31.	This report is for period (	mm/dd/yyyy)	Date Submitted (mm/dd/yyyy)
Send one copy to the appropriate HUD Field Office and one copy to:	Starting	Ending	
HOME Program, Rm 7176, 451 7th Street, S.W., Washington D.C. 20410			

### Part I Participant Identification

1. Participant Number

2. Participant Name

3. Name of Person completing this report

4. Phone Number (Include Area Code)

8. Zip Code

7. State

5. Address

### Part II Program Income

Enter the following program income amounts for the reporting period: in block 1, enter the balance on hand at the beginning; in block 2, enter the amount generated; in block 3, enter the amount expended; and in block 4, enter the amount for Tenant-Based rental Assistance.

6. City

1.	Balance on hand at Beginning of Reporting Period	2. Amount received during Reporting Period	3.	Total amount expended during Reporting Period	4.	Amount expended for Tenant- Based Rental Assistance	5.	Balance on hand at end of Reporting Period $(1 + 2 - 3) = 5$

#### Part III Minority Business Enterprises (MBE) and Women Business Enterprises (WBE)

In the table below, indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period.

		a. Total	b. Alaskan Native or American Indian	c. Asian or Pacific Islander	d. Black Non-Hispanic	e. Hispanic	f. White Non-Hispanic
A. (	Contracts						
	1. Number						
2	2. Dollar Amount						
В. \$	Sub-Contracts						
	1. Number						
2	2. Dollar Amount						
		a. Total	b. Women Business Enterprises (WBE)	c. Male		·	
C. (	Contracts						
	1. Number				+		
2	2. Dollar Amount						
D. \$	Sub-Contracts				-		
	1. Number				_		
2	2. Dollar Amounts						
	Amounts shown for		iram income a	re estimates	<u>.</u>		

pending review by accounting.

### Part IV Minority Owners of Rental Property

In the table below, indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total dollar amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted during the reporting period.

			Minority Property Owners						
	a. Total	b. Alaskan Native or American Indian	c. Asian or Pacific Islander	d. Black Non-Hispanic	e. Hispanic	f. White Non-Hispanic			
1. Number									
2. Dollar Amount									

### Part V Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

6. Households Displaced - Cost

Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition. The data provided should reflect only displacements and acquisitions occurring during the reporting period.

		a. Number	b. Cost			
1. Parcels Acquired						
2. Businesses Displaced						
3. Nonprofit Organizations Displaced	d					
4. Households Temporarily Relocate	ed, not Displaced					
			Minority Business	Enterprises (MBE)		
Households Displaced	a. Total	b. Alaskan Native or American Indian	c. Asian or Pacific Islander	d. Black Non-Hispanic	e. Hispanic	f. White Non-Hispanic
5. Households Displaced - Number						

# HOME Match Report

Match Contributions for

rt   Participant Id							Federal Fis		
Participant No. (assigned	by HUD) 2. Name of	of the Participating Jurisd	iction			3. Name of Contact (	person complet	ng this report	)
Street Address of the Par	ticipating Jurisdiction	n				4. Contact's Phone N	umber (include	area code)	
City									
rt II Fiscal Year S	ummary								
1. Excess mat	ch from prior Fe	ederal fiscal year				\$			
2. Match contr	ributed during cu	urrent Federal fiscal	year (see Part III.9.)			\$			
3. Total match	available for cu	irrent Federal fiscal	year (line 1 + line 2)					\$	
4. Match liabil	ity for current Fe	ederal fiscal year						\$	
			al year (line 3 minus line	: 4)				\$	
t III Match Contril	oution for the F	ederal Fiscal Year				7. Site Preparation,			
1. Project No. or Other ID	2. Date of Contribution	3. Cash (non-Federal source	4. Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	5. Appraised Land / Real Property	6. Required C Infrastructure	onstruction Materials, Donated labor	8. Bo Financ		9. Total Match
	(mm/dd/yyyy)								
	•	•		none 1 of 4 nones	1			fa	

1. Project No. or Other ID	2. Date of Contribution	3. Cash (non-Federal sources)	4. Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	5. Appraised Land / Real Property	6. Required Infrastructure	7. Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	8. Bond Financing	9. Total Match
	(mm/dd/yyyy)		Tees, charges		mastructure		Tinancing	Watch
	_							

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 45 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

The HOME statute imposes a significant number of data collection and reporting requirements. This includes information on assisted properties, on the owners or tenants of the properties, and on other programmatic areas. The information will be used: 1) to assist HOME participants in managing their programs; 2) to track per formance of participants in meeting fund commitment and expenditure deadlines: 3) to permit HUD to determine whether each participant meets the HOME statutory income targeting and affordability requirements; and 4) to permit HUD to determine compliance with other statutory and regulatory program requirements. This data collection is authorized under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act or related authorities. Access to Federal grant funds is contingent on the reporting of certain project-specific data elements. Records of information collected will be maint ained by the recipients of the assistance. Information on activities and expenditures of grant funds is public information and is generally available for disclosure. Recipients are responsible for en suring confidentiality when public disclosure is not required.

### Instructions for the HOME Match Report

### **Applicability:**

The HOME Match Report is part of the HOME APR and must be filled out by every participating jurisdiction that incurred a match liability. Match liability occurs when FY 1993 funds (or subsequent year funds) are drawn down from the U.S. Treasury for HOME projects. A Participating Jurisdiction (PJ) may start counting match contributions as of the beginning of Federal Fiscal Year 1993 (October 1, 1992). A jurisdiction not required to submit this report, either because it did not incur any match or because it had a full match reduction, may submit a HOME Match Report if it wishes. The match would count as excess match that is carried over to subsequent years. The match reported on this form must have been contributed during the reporting period (between October 1 and September 30).

### **Timing:**

This form is to be submitted as part of the HOME APR on or before December 31. The original is sent to the HUD Field Office. One copy is sent to the

Office of Affordable Housing Programs, CGHF Room 7176, HUD, 451 7th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20410.

The participating jurisdiction also keeps a copy.

### **Instructions for Part II:**

- 1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year: Excess match carried over from prior Federal fiscal year.
- 2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal vear: The total amount of match contributions for all projects listed under Part III in column 9 for the Federal fiscal year.

- 3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal 5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal **vear:** The sum of excess match carried over from the prior Federal fiscal year (Part II. line 1) and the total match contribution for the current Federal fiscal year (Part II. line 2). This sum is the total match available for the Federal fiscal year.
- 4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year: The amount of match liability is available from HUD and is provided periodically to PJs. The match must be provided in the current year. The amount of match that must be provided is based on the amount of HOME funds drawn from the U.S. Treasury for HOME projects. The amount of match required equals 25% of the amount drawn down for HOME projects during the Federal fiscal year. Excess match may be carried over and used to meet match liability for subsequent years (see Part II line 5). Funds drawn down for administrative costs, CHDO operating expenses, and CHDO capacity building do not have to be matched. Funds drawn down for CHDO seed money and/or technical assistance loans do not have to be matched if the project does not go forward. A jurisdiction is allowed to get a partial reduction (50%) of match if it meets one of two statutory distress criteria, indicating "fiscal distress," or else a full reduction (100%) of match if it meets both criteria, indicating "severe fiscal distress." The two criteria are poverty rate (must be equal to or greater than 125% of the average national family poverty rate to qualify for a reduction) and per capita income (must be less than 75% of the national average per capita income to qualify for a reduction). In addition, a jurisdiction can get a full reduction if it is declared a disaster area under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Act.
- **year:** The total match available for the current Federal fiscal year (Part II. line 3) minus the match liability for the current Federal fiscal year (Part II. line 4). Excess match may be carried over and applied to future HOME project match liability.

### **Instructions for Part III:**

1. Project No. or Other ID: "Project number" is assigned by the C/MI System when the PJ makes a project setup call. These projects involve at least some Treasury funds. If the HOME project does not involve Treasury funds, it must be identified with "other ID" as follows: the fiscal year (last two digits only), followed by a number (starting from "01" for the first non-Treasury-funded project of the fiscal year), and then at least one of the following abbreviations: "SF" for project using shortfall funds, "PI" for projects using program income, and "NON" for non-HOME-assisted affordable housing. Example: 93.01.SF, 93.02.PI, 93.03.NON, etc.

Shortfall funds are non-HOME funds used to make up the difference between the participation threshold and the amount of HOME funds allocated to the PJ; the participation threshold requirement applies only in the PJ's first year of eligibility. [§92.102]

Program income (also called "repayment income") is any return on the investment of HOME funds. This income must be deposited in the jurisdiction's HOME account to be used for HOME projects. [§92.503(b)]

Non-HOME-assisted affordable housing is investment in housing not assisted by HOME funds that would qualify as "affordable housing" under the HOME Program definitions. "NON" funds must be contributed to a specific project; it is not sufficient to make a contribution to an entity engaged in developing affordable housing. [§92.219(b)]

- 2. **Date of Contribution:** Enter the date of contribution. Multiple entries may be made on a single line as long as the contributions were made during the current fiscal year. In such cases, if the contributions were made at different dates during the year, enter the date of the last contribution.
- 3. Cash: Cash contributions from non-Federal resources. This means the funds are contributed permanently to the HOME Program regardless of the form of investment the jurisdiction provides to a project. Therefore all repayment, interest, or other return on investment of the contribution must be deposited in the PJ's HOME account to be used for HOME projects. The PJ, non-Federal public entities (State/local governments), private entities, and individuals can make contributions. The grant equivalent of a below-market interest rate loan to the project is eligible when the loan is not repayable to the PJ's HOME account. [§92.220(a)(1)] In addition, a cash contribution can count as match if it is used for eligible costs defined under §92.206 (except administrative costs and CHDO operating expenses) or under §92.209, or for the following non-eligible costs: the value of non-Federal funds used to remove and relocate ECHO units to accommodate eligible tenants, a project reserve account for replacements, a project reserve account for unanticipated increases in operating costs, operating subsidies, or costs relating to the portion of a mixed-income or mixed-use project not related to the affordable housing units. [§92.219(c)]
- 4. Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges: Taxes, fees, and charges that are normally and customarily charged but have been waived, foregone, or deferred in a manner that achieves affordability of the HOME-assisted housing. This includes State tax credits for low-income housing development. The amount of real estate taxes may be based on the

post-improvement property value. For those taxes, fees, or charges given for future years, the value is the present discounted cash value. [§92.220(a)(2)]

- 5. **Appraised Land/Real Property:** The appraised value, before the HOME assistance is provided and minus any debt burden, lien, or other encumbrance, of land or other real property, not acquired with Federal resources. The appraisal must be made by an independent, certified appraiser. [§92.220(a)(3)]
- 6. **Required Infrastructure:** The cost of investment, not made with Federal resources, in on-site and off-site infrastructure directly required for HOME-assisted affordable housing. The infrastructure must have been completed no earlier than 12 months before HOME funds were committed. [§92.220(a)(4)]
- 7. Site preparation, Construction materials, Donated labor: The reasonable value of any site-preparation and construction materials, not acquired with Federal resources, and any donated or voluntary labor (see §92.354(b)) in connection with the site-preparation for, or construction or rehabilitation of, affordable housing. The value of site-preparation and construction materials is determined in accordance with the PJ's cost estimate procedures. The value of donated or voluntary labor is determined by a single rate ("labor rate") to be published annually in the Notice Of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the HOME Program. [§92.220(6)]
- 8. **Bond Financing:** Multifamily and single-family project bond financing must be validly issued by a State or local government (or an agency, instrumentality, or political subdivision thereof). 50% of a loan from bond proceeds made to a multifamily affordable housing project owner can count as match. 25% of a loan from bond proceeds made to a single-family affordable housing project owner can count as match. Loans from all bond proceeds, including excess bond match from prior years, may not exceed 25% of a PJ's total annual match contribution. [§92.220(a)(5)] The amount in excess of the 25% cap for bonds may carry over, and the excess will count as part of the statutory limit of up to 25% per year. Requirements regarding

bond financing as an eligible source of match will be available upon publication of the implementing regulation early in FY 1994.

9. **Total Match:** Total of items 3 through 8. This is the total match contribution for each project identified in item 1.

### Ineligible forms of match include:

- 1. Contributions made with or derived from Federal resources e.g. CDBG funds [§92.220(b)(1)]
- 2. Interest rate subsidy attributable to the Federal taxexemption on financing or the value attributable to Federal tax credits [§92.220(b)(2)]
- 3. Contributions from builders, contractors or investors, including owner equity, involved with HOME-assisted projects. [§92.220(b)(3)]
- 4. Sweat equity [§92.220(b)(4)]
- 5. Contributions from applicants/recipients of HOME assistance [§92.220(b)(5)]
- 6. Fees/charges that are associated with the HOME Program only, rather than normally and customarily charged on all transactions or projects [§92.220(a)(2)]
- 7. Administrative costs



# Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) Program

# Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Measuring Performance Outcomes

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 10/31/2014)

The CAPER report for HOPWA formula grantees provides annual information on program accomplishments that supports program evaluation and the ability to measure program beneficiary outcomes as related to: maintain housing stability; prevent homelessness; and improve access to care and support. This information is also covered under the Consolidated Plan Management Process (CPMP) report and includes Narrative Responses and Performance Charts required under the Consolidated Planning regulations. The public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 42 hours per manual response, or less if an automated data collection and retrieval system is in use, along with 60 hours for record keeping, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Grantees are required to report on the activities undertaken only, thus there may be components of these reporting requirements that may not be applicable. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

**Overview.** The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) provides annual performance reporting on client outputs and outcomes that enables an assessment of grantee performance in achieving the housing stability outcome measure. The CAPER, in conjunction with the Integrated Disbursement Information System (IDIS), fulfills statutory and regulatory program reporting requirements and provides the grantee and HUD with the necessary information to assess the overall program performance and accomplishments against planned goals and objectives.

HOPWA formula grantees are required to submit a CAPER, and complete annual performance information for all activities undertaken during each program year in the IDIS, demonstrating coordination with other Consolidated Plan resources. HUD uses the CAPER and IDIS data to obtain essential information on grant activities, project sponsors, Subrecipient organizations, housing sites, units and households, and beneficiaries (which includes racial and ethnic data on program participants). The Consolidated Plan Management Process tool (CPMP) provides an optional tool to integrate the reporting of HOPWA specific activities with other planning and reporting on Consolidated Plan activities.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### PART 1: Grantee Executive Summary

- 1. Grantee Information
- 2. Project Sponsor Information
- 3. Administrative Subrecipient Information
- 4. Program Subrecipient Information
- 5. Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment
  - a. Grantee and Community Overview
  - b. Annual Performance under the Action Plan
  - c. Barriers or Trends Overview
  - d. Assessment of Unmet Housing Needs

### PART 2: Sources of Leveraging and Program Income

- 1. Sources of Leveraging
- 2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments

# PART 3: Accomplishment Data: Planned Goals and Actual Outputs

### PART 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes

- 1. Housing Stability: Permanent Housing and Related Facilities
- Prevention of Homelessness: Short-Term Housing Payments
   Access to Care and Support: Housing Subsidy Assistance with Supportive Services

#### PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes PART 6: Annual Certification of Continued Use for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (Only)

### PART 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities

- A. Information on Individuals, Beneficiaries and Households Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, PHP,Facility Based Units, Master Leased Units ONLY)
- B. Facility-Based Housing Assistance

**Continued Use Periods**. Grantees that received HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitations are required to operate their facilities for HOPWA-eligible beneficiaries for a ten (10) years period. If no further HOPWA funds are used to support the facility, in place of completing Section 7B of the CAPER, the grantee must submit an Annual Certification of Continued Project Operation throughout the required use periods. This certification is included in Part 6 in CAPER. The required use period is three (3) years if the rehabilitation is non-substantial.

In connection with the development of the Department's standards for Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS), universal data elements are being collected for clients of <u>HOPWA-funded homeless</u> <u>assistance projects</u>. These project sponsor/subrecipient records would include: Name, Social Security Number, Date of Birth, Ethnicity and Race, Gender, Veteran Status, Disabling Conditions, Residence Prior to Program Entry, Zip Code of Last Permanent Address, Housing Status, Program Entry Date, Program Exit Date, Personal Identification Number, and Household Identification Number. These are intended to match the elements under HMIS. The HOPWA program-level data elements include: Income and Sources, Non-Cash Benefits, HIV/AIDS Status, Services Provided, and Housing Status or Destination at the end of the operating year. Other suggested but optional elements are: Physical Disability, Developmental Disability, Chronic Health Condition, Mental Health, Substance Abuse, Domestic Violence, Date of Contact, Date of Engagement, Financial Assistance, Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services, Employment, Education, General Health Status, Pregnancy Status, Reasons for Leaving, Veteran's Information, and Children's Education. Other HOPWA projects sponsors may also benefit from collecting these data elements.

**Final Assembly of Report.** After the entire report is assembled, please number each page sequentially.

Filing Requirements. Within 90 days of the completion of each program year, grantees must submit their completed CAPER to the CPD Director in the grantee's State or Local HUD Field Office, and to the HOPWA Program Office: at <u>HOPWA@hud.gov</u>. Electronic submission to HOPWA Program office is preferred; however, if electronic submission is not possible, hard copies can be mailed to: Office of HIV/AIDS Housing, Room 7212, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, D.C.

**Record Keeping.** Names and other individual information must be kept confidential, as required by 24 CFR 574.440. However, HUD reserves the right to review the information used to complete this report for grants management oversight purposes, except for recording any names and other identifying information. In the case that HUD must review client level data, no client names or identifying information will be retained or recorded. Information is reported in aggregate to HUD without personal identification. Do not submit client or personal information in data systems to HUD.

### **Definitions**

**Adjustment for Duplication:** Enables the calculation of unduplicated output totals by accounting for the total number of households or units that received more than one type of HOPWA assistance in a given service category such as HOPWA Subsidy Assistance or Supportive Services. For example, if a client household received both TBRA and STRMU during the operating year, report that household in the category of HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance in Part 3, Chart 1, Column [1b] in the following manner:

HO	DPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	[1] Outputs: Number of Households
1.	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	69
2a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units	0
2b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies	0
3a.	<b>Permanent Housing Facilities:</b> Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year	0
3b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year	0
4.	Short-term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance	0
5.	Adjustment for duplication	0

	(subtract)	
6.	TOTAL Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1-4 minus Row 5)	69

Administrative Costs: Costs for general management, oversight, coordination, evaluation, and reporting. By statute, grantee administrative costs are limited to 3% of total grant award, to be expended over the life of the grant. Project sponsor administrative costs are limited to 7% of the portion of the grant amount they receive.

**Beneficiary(ies):** All members of a household who received HOPWA assistance during the operating year including the one individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance as well as any other members of the household (with or without HIV) who benefitted from the assistance.

**Central Contractor Registration (CCR):** The primary registrant database for the U.S. Federal Government. CCR collects, validates, stores, and disseminates data in support of agency acquisition missions, including Federal agency contract and assistance awards. Both current and potential federal government registrants (grantees) are required to register in CCR in order to be awarded contracts by the federal government. Registrants must update or renew their registration at least once per year to maintain an active status. Although recipients of direct federal contracts and grant awards have been required to be registered with CCR since 2003, this requirement is now being extended to indirect recipients of federal funds with the passage of ARRA (American Recovery and Reinvestment Act). Per ARRA and FFATA (Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act) federal regulations, all grantees and sub-grantees or subcontractors receiving federal grant awards or contracts must have a DUNS (Data Universal Numbering System) Number.

Chronically Homeless Person: An individual or family who : (i) is homeless and lives or resides individual or family who: (i) Is homeless and lives or resides in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter; (ii) has been homeless and living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter continuously for at least 1 year or on at least 4 separate occasions in the last 3 years; and (iii) has an adult head of household (or a minor head of household if no adult is present in the household) with a diagnosable substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability (as defined in section 102 of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 15002)), post traumatic stress disorder, cognitive impairments resulting from a brain injury, or chronic physical illness or disability, including the co-occurrence of 2 or more of those conditions. Additionally, the statutory definition includes as chronically homeless a person who currently lives or resides in an institutional care facility, including a jail, substance abuse or mental health treatment facility, hospital or other similar facility, and has resided there for fewer than 90 days if such person met the other criteria for homeless prior to entering that facility. (See 42 U.S.C. 11360(2))This does not include doubled-up or overcrowding situations.

**Disabling Condition:** Evidencing a diagnosable substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability, chronic physical illness, or disability, including the co-occurrence of two or more of these conditions. In addition, a disabling condition may limit an individual's ability to work or perform one or more activities of daily living. An HIV/AIDS diagnosis is considered a disabling condition.

Facility-Based Housing Assistance: All eligible HOPWA Housing expenditures for or associated with supporting facilities including community residences, SRO dwellings, short-term facilities, project-based rental units, master leased units, and other housing facilities approved by HUD.

**Faith-Based Organization:** Religious organizations of three types: (1) congregations; (2) national networks, which include national denominations, their social service arms (for example, Catholic Charities, Lutheran Social Services), and networks of related organizations (such as YMCA and YWCA); and (3) freestanding religious organizations, which are incorporated separately from congregations and national networks.

**Grassroots Organization:** An organization headquartered in the local community where it provides services; has a social services budget of \$300,000 or less annually, and six or fewer full-time equivalent employees. Local affiliates of national organizations are not considered

#### "grassroots."

**HOPWA Eligible Individual:** The one (1) low-income person with HIV/AIDS who qualifies a household for HOPWA assistance. This person may be considered "Head of Household." When the CAPER asks for information on eligible individuals, report on this individual person only. Where there is more than one person with HIV/AIDS in the household, the additional PWH/A(s), would be considered a beneficiary(s).

**HOPWA Housing Information Services:** Services dedicated to helping persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families to identify, locate, and acquire housing. This may also include fair housing counseling for eligible persons who may encounter discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, familial status, or handicap/disability.

HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance Total: The unduplicated number of households receiving housing subsidies (TBRA, STRMU, Permanent Housing Placement services and Master Leasing) and/or residing in units of facilities dedicated to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families and supported with HOPWA funds during the operating year.

**Household:** A single individual or a family composed of two or more persons for which household incomes are used to determine eligibility and for calculation of the resident rent payment. The term is used for collecting data on changes in income, changes in access to services, receipt of housing information services, and outcomes on achieving housing stability. Live-In Aides (see definition for Live-In Aide) and nonbeneficiaries (e.g. a shared housing arrangement with a roommate) who resided in the unit are not reported on in the CAPER.

**Housing Stability:** The degree to which the HOPWA project assisted beneficiaries to remain in stable housing during the operating year. See *Part 5: Determining Housing Stability Outcomes* for definitions of stable and unstable housing situations.

**In-kind Leveraged Resources:** These involve additional types of support provided to assist HOPWA beneficiaries such as volunteer services, materials, use of equipment and building space. The actual value of the support can be the contribution of professional services, based on customary rates for this specialized support, or actual costs contributed from other leveraged resources. In determining a rate for the contribution of volunteer time and services, use the rate established in HUD notices, such as the rate of ten dollars per hour. The value of any donated material, equipment, building, or lease should be based on the fair market value at time of donation. Related documentation can be from recent bills of sales, advertised prices, appraisals, or other information for comparable property similarly situated.

**Leveraged Funds:** The amount of funds expended during the operating year from non-HOPWA federal, state, local, and private sources by grantees or sponsors in dedicating assistance to this client population. Leveraged funds or other assistance are used directly in or in support of HOPWA program delivery.

**Live-In Aide:** A person who resides with the HOPWA Eligible Individual and who meets the following criteria: (1) is essential to the care and wellbeing of the person; (2) is not obligated for the support of the person; and (3) would not be living in the unit except to provide the necessary supportive services. See the Code of Federal Regulations Title 24, Part 5.403 and the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide for additional reference.

**Master Leasing:** Applies to a nonprofit or public agency that leases units of housing (scattered-sites or entire buildings) from a landlord, and subleases the units to homeless or low-income tenants. By assuming the tenancy burden, the agency facilitates housing of clients who may not be able to maintain a lease on their own due to poor credit, evictions, or lack of sufficient income.

**Operating Costs:** Applies to facility-based housing only, for facilities that are currently open. Operating costs can include day-to-day housing

function and operation costs like utilities, maintenance, equipment, insurance, security, furnishings, supplies and salary for staff costs directly related to the housing project but not staff costs for delivering services.

**Outcome:** The degree to which the HOPWA assisted household has been enabled to establish or maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, (per the regulations at 24 CFR 574.310(b)) and to reduce the risks of homelessness, and improve access to HIV treatment and other health care and support.

**Output:** The number of units of housing or households that receive HOPWA assistance during the operating year.

**Permanent Housing Placement:** A supportive housing service that helps establish the household in the housing unit, including but not limited to reasonable costs for security deposits not to exceed two months of rent costs.

**Program Income:** Gross income directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. See grant administration requirements on program income for state and local governments at 24 CFR 85.25, or for non-profits at 24 CFR 84.24.

**Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA):** A rental subsidy program that is tied to specific facilities or units owned or controlled by a project sponsor or Subrecipient. Assistance is tied directly to the properties and is not portable or transferable.

**Project Sponsor Organizations:** Any nonprofit organization or governmental housing agency that receives funds under a contract with the grantee to provide eligible housing and other support services or administrative services as defined in 24 CFR 574.300. Project Sponsor organizations are required to provide performance data on households served and funds expended. Funding flows to a project sponsor as follows:

**Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility (STRMU) Assistance:** A time-limited, housing subsidy assistance designed to prevent homelessness and increase housing stability. Grantees may provide assistance for up to 21 weeks in any 52 week period. The amount of assistance varies per client depending on funds available, tenant need and program guidelines.

**Stewardship Units**: Units developed with HOPWA, where HOPWA funds were used for acquisition, new construction and rehabilitation that no longer receive operating subsidies from HOPWA. Report information for the units is subject to the three-year use agreement if rehabilitation is non-substantial and to the ten-year use agreement if rehabilitation is substantial.

**Subrecipient Organization:** Any organization that receives funds from a project sponsor to provide eligible housing and other support services and/or administrative services as defined in 24 CFR 574.300. If a subrecipient organization provides housing and/or other supportive services directly to clients, the subrecipient organization must provide performance data on household served and funds expended. Funding flows to subrecipients as follows:

HUD Funding  $\longrightarrow$  Grantee  $\longrightarrow$  Project Sponsor  $\longrightarrow$  Subrecipient

**Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA):** TBRA is a rental subsidy program similar to the Housing Choice Voucher program that grantees can provide to help low-income households access affordable housing. The TBRA voucher is not tied to a specific unit, so tenants may move to a different unit without losing their assistance, subject to individual program rules. The subsidy amount is determined in part based on household income and rental costs associated with the tenant's lease.

**Transgender**: Transgender is defined as a person who identifies with, or presents as, a gender that is different from his/her gender at birth.

**Veteran:** A veteran is someone who has served on active duty in the Armed Forces of the United States. This does not include inactive military reserves or the National Guard unless the person was called up to active duty.

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 10/31/2014)

### **Part 1: Grantee Executive Summary**

As applicable, complete the charts below to provide more detailed information about the agencies and organizations responsible for the administration and implementation of the HOPWA program. Chart 1 requests general Grantee Information and Chart 2 is to be completed for each organization selected or designated as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3. In Chart 3, indicate each subrecipient organization with a contract/agreement of \$25,000 or greater that assists grantees or project sponsors carrying out their administrative or evaluation activities. In Chart 4, indicate each subrecipient organization with a contract/agreement to provide HOPWA-funded services to client households. These elements address requirements in the Federal Funding and Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282).

*Note:* Please see the definition section for distinctions between project sponsor and subrecipient. *Note:* If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A. Do not leave any section blank.

1. Grantee Information						
HUD Grant Number		1 8	ar for this report (yy) 04/01/2011 <b>T</b>	o (mm/dd/y	<b>v</b> ) 03/31/2012	
COH10F999 and COH11F999			557	. (	,,	
Grantee Name State of Colorado						
Business Address	1313 Sherman St., Room 50	00				
City, County, State, Zip	Denver	Denver		CO	80203	
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)						
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):			Central Contractor Is the grantee's CC Yes No If yes, provide CCF	R status cu		
*Congressional District of Grantee's Business Address	1					
*Congressional District of Primary Service Area(s)						
*City(ies) <u>and</u> County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities:		Counties:			
Organization's Website Address www.Colorado.gov/DOLA		Is there a waiting list(s) for HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance Services in the Grantee service Area? ⊠ Yes □ No If yes, explain in the narrative section what services maintain a waiting list and how this list is administered.				

\* Service delivery area information only needed for program activities being directly carried out by the grantee.

### 2. Project Sponsor Information

Please complete Chart 2 for each organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3. Use this section to report on organizations involved in the direct delivery of services for client households. These elements address requirements in the Federal Financial Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282). *Note: Please see the definitions for distinctions between project sponsor and subrecipient. Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.* 

Project Sponsor Agency Name         Northern Colorado AIDS Project         Southern Colorado AIDS Project         Western Colorado AIDS Project         Name and Title of Contact at Project         Sponsor Agency         Email Address         Business Address	Parent Company Name, if applicable         Colorado Health Network, Inc. DBA Colorado AIDS Project         Robert George, DCAP Regional Director         Tracie Smith, DCAP Housing and Resources Manager         robertg@DenverCAP.org         tracies@DenverCAP.org         2490 W. 26 <sup>th</sup> Ave. #300A				
City, County, State, Zip,	Denver, CO 80211				
Phone Number (with area code)	303-837-1501				
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	84-0961159		Fax Nu: 303-83	mber (with area code) 7-0388	
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	149553331				
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	1				
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	3,4,5				
City(ies) <u>and</u> County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Sedgwick, El Paso, ( Kit Carson, Huerfan Baca, Conejos, Costi Archuletta, Delta, De	Custer, Lincoln, C 10, Mineral, Otero 111a, Prowers, Las elores, Eagle, Gar ake, Mesa, Moffa	Crowley , Kiowa Animas field, G t, Mont uel, and		nt,
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$33,222.74 from 2009 gra \$424,707.00 from 2010 gra	ant	Counti		
Organization's Website Address www.coloradoaidsproject.org	Does your organization m If yes, explain in the narra			_	
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization?					

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Name, if applicable			
Boulder County AIDS Project		None			
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Ana Hopperstadt, Executive Director				
Email Address	ana@bcap.org				
Business Address	2118 14 <sup>th</sup> St.	2118 14 <sup>th</sup> St.			
City, County, State, Zip,	Boulder, CO 80302				
Phone Number (with area code)	303-444-6121				
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	74-2442032		Fax Number (with area code)		
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	303-444-0260 845731454				
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	2,4				
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	2,4				
City(ies) <u>and</u> County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Boulder, Broomfield				
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year			Count	ies:	
Organization's Website Address	<b>Does your organization maintain a waiting list?</b> X Yes No				
www.coloradoaidsproject.org	If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.				
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No		Does your organizatio	on maint	tain a waiting li	ist? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization. Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.		If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.			

### 3. Administrative Subrecipient Information

Use Chart 3 to provide the following information for <u>each</u> subrecipient with a contract/agreement of \$25,000 or greater that assists project sponsors to carry out their administrative services but no services directly to client households. Agreements include: grants, subgrants, loans, awards, cooperative agreements, and other forms of financial assistance; and contracts, subcontracts, purchase orders, task orders, and delivery orders. (Organizations listed may have contracts with project sponsors) These elements address requirements in the Federal Funding and Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282).

*Note: Please see the definitions for distinctions between project sponsor and subrecipient. Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.* 

Subrecipient Name	Colorado Health Network, Inc DBA Colorado AIDS Project Pa		arent Company Name, <i>if applicable</i>			
Name and Title of Contact at Subrecipient	Ruth Pederson, Chief Ope	rating Offi	cer			
Email Address	ruthp@coloradoaidsproject.or	<u>g</u>				
Business Address	2490 W. 26 <sup>th</sup> Ave. Ste. 300A					
City, State, Zip, County	Denver	CO	80211	USA		
Phone Number (with area code)	303-837-1510			Fax Number (include area code)         303-837-0388		
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	84-0961159					
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	149553331					
North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code						
Congressional District of Subrecipient's Business Address	1 <sup>st</sup>					
Congressional District of Primary Service Area	1 <sup>st</sup>					
City (ies) <u>and</u> County (ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: Denver, Aurora, L Centennial, Aravada, W Castle Rock, Northglenn	heatridge,	Westmins	Counties: Denver, Arapahoe, Adams, ter, Jefferson, Douglas, Broomfield		
Total HOPWA Subcontract Amount of this Organization for the operating year	\$20353.49					

### 4. Program Subrecipient Information

Complete the following information for each subrecipient organization providing HOPWA-funded services to client households. These organizations would hold a contract/agreement with a project sponsor(s) to provide these services. For example, a subrecipient organization may receive funds from a project sponsor to provide nutritional services for clients residing within a HOPWA facility-based housing program. Please note that subrecipients who work directly with client households must provide performance data for the grantee to include in Parts 2-7 of the CAPER.

*Note*: *Please see the definition of a subrecipient for more information.* 

*Note:* Types of contracts/agreements may include: grants, sub-grants, loans, awards, cooperative agreements, and other forms of financial assistance; and contracts, subcontracts, purchase orders, task orders, and delivery orders.

*Note:* If any information is not applicable to the organization, please report N/A in the appropriate box. Do not leave boxes blank.

Sub-recipient Name		Parent Con	npany Name,	if applicable
Name <u>and</u> Title of Contact at Contractor/ Sub-contractor Agency				
Email Address				
Business Address				
City, County, State, Zip				
Phone Number (included area code)		Fax Numbe	r (include are	ea code)
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)				
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs)				
North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code				
Congressional District of the Sub-recipient's Business Address				
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area				
City(ies) <u>and County(ies)</u> of Primary Service Area	Cities:	Counties:		
Total HOPWA Subcontract Amount of this Organization for the operating year				

### 5. Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment

### a. Grantee and Community Overview

Provide a one to three page narrative summarizing major achievements and highlights that were proposed and completed during the program year. Include a brief description of the grant organization, area of service, the name(s) of the program contact(s), and an overview of the range/type of housing activities provided. This overview may be used for public information, including posting on HUD's website. *Note: Text fields are expandable.* 

Southern Colorado AIDS Project (SCAP), Northern Colorado AIDS Project (NCAP) and Western Colorado AIDS Project (WestCAP) merged with Denver Colorado AIDS Project (DCAP) this year, and all are now part of Colorado Health Network. Inc, dba Colorado AIDS Project (CAP). Boulder County AIDS Project (BCAP), while not part of the merger, continued to take part in the HOPWA CHAMP program and CAP continued as project administrative subrecipient. The merged organization, along with BCAP, provides CHAMP assistance to all counties associated with the balance of the state outside of DCAP's eligible metropolitan service area (EMSA). These statewide counties include: Boulder, Larimer, Weld, Morgan, Logan, Phillips, Washington, Yuma, Sedgwick, El Paso, Custer, Lincoln, Crowley, Chaffee, Sagauche, Alamosa, Kit Carson, Huerfano, Mineral, Otero, Kiowa, Fremont, Rio Grande, Bent, Baca, Conejos, Costilla, Prowers, Las Animas, Cheyenne, Pueblo, Teller, Archuletta, Delta, Delores, Eagle, Garfield, Grand, Gunnison, Hinsdale, Jackson, La Plata, Lake, Mesa, Moffat, Montezuma, Montrose, Ouray, Pitkin, Rio Blanco, Routt, San Juan, San Miguel, and Summit.

SCAP Program Contact: Richard Blair, Regional Director Sandra Dunlap, Medical Case Manager/HOPWA Coordinator

NCAP Program Contact: Jeff Basinger, Regional Director Jennifer Case, Client Services Director

WCAP Program Contact: Mary Beth Luedtke, Regional Director Martha Monroe, Lead Case Manager/HOPWA Coordinator

BCAP Program Contact: Ana Hopperstad, Executive Director Conlaodh Guy, Bilingual Medical Case Manager/HOPWA Coordinator

Supportive services included: housing counseling, medical case management including linkage to care, adherence counseling, risk reduction counseling, employment and self-sufficiency/budgeting assistance, and benefits navigation. All clients in the HOPWA CHAMP subsidy program received on-going bio-psycho-social assessments of need, creation of a treatment plan with related referrals to agency and community resources, and on-going support. Each housing client developed a permanent housing plan with their case manager and were required to apply for other permanent housing programs (i.e. Section 8) when available.

### **b.** Annual Performance under the Action Plan

Provide a narrative addressing each of the following four items:

1. Outputs Reported. Describe significant accomplishments or challenges in achieving the number of housing units supported and the number households assisted with HOPWA funds during this operating year compared to plans for this assistance, as approved in the Consolidated Plan/Action Plan. Describe how HOPWA funds were distributed during your program year among different categories of housing and geographic areas to address needs throughout the grant service area, consistent with approved plans.

Historically, we have been able use this grant to provide permanent housing (PH) assistance, along with short term mortgage, rent and utility (STRMU) assistance. However, due to increasing rental costs and limited funded, statewide CAP agencies and BCAP chose to transfer all available funding directly into tenant based rental assistance (TBRA) subsidies and supportive services. This year 69 households received ongoing subsidy assistance and all participants received wrap around supportive services.

2. Outcomes Assessed. Assess your program's success in enabling HOPWA beneficiaries to establish and/or better maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, and improve access to care. Compare current year results

to baseline results for clients. Describe how program activities/projects contributed to meeting stated goals. If program did not achieve expected targets, please describe how your program plans to address challenges in program implementation and the steps currently being taken to achieve goals in next operating year. If your program exceeded program targets, please describe strategies the program utilized and how those contributed to program successes.

It is the goal of this program to assist clients in obtaining and maintaining permanent, affordable and safe/sanitary housing. Stable housing is critical for clients when it comes to accessing and staying engaged in medical care. At year end, 58 clients maintained stable housing on this program, and continue to receive supportive services appropriate to their needs. While funding limitations are always present the participating agencies have made a priority of connecting participants with other housing opportunities, in order to maximize their ability to help as many participants as possible with a dwindling budget. 11 participants transitioned off of the program. Seven of these participants ultimately received local Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers, or other subsidies, 3 participants were able to move into private housing, and 1 participant disconnected from service without further contact.

**3. Coordination**. Report on program coordination with other mainstream housing and supportive services resources, including the use of committed leveraging from other public and private sources that helped to address needs for eligible persons identified in the Consolidated Plan/Strategic Plan.

Each participating agency partners with local housing authorities and landlord's to offer participants as many stable housing options as possible. Throughout the year, each agency strongly encourages or requires participants to apply for any and all housing opportunities that are appropriate, including Section 8 HCV vouchers, Project-Based Section 8. sliding-scale tax credit properties and other affordable housing options. Medical Case Managers/HOPWA Coordinators work one-on-one with participants to navigate the application processes and advocate on their behalf with any and all community housing organizations.

4. Technical Assistance. Describe any program technical assistance needs and how they would benefit program beneficiaries.

While DCAP staff routinely provides technical assistance to the statewide CHAMP HOPWA agencies, we could always benefit from additional HUD sponsored trainings and on-going updates pertaining to any changes to the HOPWA program at the federal level. Additional information pertaining to other federally funded housing opportunities is always welcome and much needed, especially in the state's rural areas where safe and affordable housing is scarce and participants have difficulty utilizing their subsidies due to Fair Market Rent restrictions.

### c. Barriers and Trends Overview

Provide a narrative addressing items 1 through 3. Explain how barriers and trends affected your program's ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed in the previous section.

1. Describe any barriers (including regulatory and non-regulatory) encountered in the administration or implementation of the HOPWA program, how they affected your program's ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed, and, actions taken in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement. Provide an explanation for each barrier selected.

HOPWA regulations pertaining to initial one-year term leases can be problematic for participants. Potential landlords are often uncomfortable signing a one-year, or even a 6-month (if that is the prevailing trend in the community), lease with someone who has little to no income, despite their program participation and likely on-going subsidy. Many local landlords have had negative experiences with previous subsidy programs and are hesitant to engage in rental agreements with low-income tenants. As a result, participating agencies spend a lot of time advocating on behalf of their clients and explaining the program to landlords without compromising confidentiality.

HOPWA/HUD Regulations	Planning	☑ Housing Availability	Rent Determination and Fair Market Rents
☑ Discrimination/Confidentiality	Multiple Diagnoses	Eligibility	Technical Assistance or Training
☑ Supportive Services	Credit History	☑ Rental History	☑ Criminal Justice History
☑ Housing Affordability	Geography/Rural Access	Other, please explain further	

Due to increased funding limitations, all agencies had to be prudent when considering moving people off their waitlists and into housing. Unfortunately, this resulted in extensive waitlists and very little movement onto the

program, even though several participants moved off of the program. Increasing local rental rates, specifically in the resort areas, along with a shortage of affordable housing options, have made it difficult to find suitable units within the published Fair Market Rents (FMR's). In some cases, given the disabled population we work with exclusively, 110% of HUD published FMR is being used as the payment standard, or statewide agencies are defaulting to their local Housing Authority FMR's, which are often permitted a locality exception increase in FMR by HUD.

From a supportive services perspective, it is always challenging assisting clients with multiple diagnosis, and often some criminal history in their past. When coupled with poor credit and rental history, finding suitable housing can be difficult. Medical Case Managers (MCM) and HOPWA Coordinators work diligently to assist clients with budgeting and cleaning up their financial background, as well as providing supportive documentation to landlords regarding successes in overcoming and addressing previous criminal background and/or substance abuse issues. Additionally, program participants routinely work on developing wellness plans with their MCM's to ensure that all appropriate referrals to mental health, patient advocates, medical providers, workforce centers, and other community resources continue to be made as participant needs change.

2. Describe any trends in the community that may affect the way in which the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS are being addressed, and provide any other information important to the future provision of services to this population.

Trends in the community affecting people living with HIV/AIDS are very similar to those faced by individuals and families across the country. With the continued increase in cost of living, and the lack of available jobs, it is difficult for our clients to secure gainful employment sufficient enough to support their households. More and more HIV+ individuals and their families, especially in the rural and resort areas, are at an extreme risk of increased poverty, marginalization and homelessness. In the non-metro areas of the state there is a definite lack of mass transit options, making it difficult for HIV+ individuals/families to get to and from their home to engage in medical care, employment, and other supportive services. Despite our best efforts to create resource lists and a referral safety net for our clients, other community based organizations and human service agencies are feeling the financial crunch as well. In the end, those living with or affected by HIV/AIDS in our communities still face an abundance of unmet needs such as transportation, job readiness training, education, housing/utility assistance, and the need for on-going supportive services. With the aging of our population we anticipate a need for specialized assisted living and long-term care. At present, these resources do not exist. Further development of a plan to address the needs of aging clients and their families is critical.

3. Identify any evaluations, studies, or other assessments of the HOPWA program that are available to the public.

Reports on the CHAMP program statistics and expenditures are compiled and submitted on a monthly basis. Quarterly reports are also provided to the Colorado Department of Local Affairs. Financial audits are performed regularly and each agency submits IRS 990 forms, as required. These are reports are available to the public. Additionally, several agencies participate in community outreach forums to educate interested citizens about the services they offer, including the HOPWA program.

Regional/Executive Directors at all agencies respond to public queries pertaining to HOPWA and other agency programs, as appropriate.

### d. Unmet Housing Needs: An Assessment of Unmet Housing Needs

In Chart 1, provide an assessment of the number of HOPWA-eligible households that require HOPWA housing subsidy assistance but are not currently served by any HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance in this service area.

In Row 1, report the total unmet need of the geographical service area, as reported in *Unmet Needs for Persons with HIV/AIDS*, Chart 1B of the Consolidated or Annual Plan(s), or as reported under HOPWA worksheet in the Needs Workbook of the Consolidated Planning Management Process (CPMP) tool.

*Note:* Report most current data available, through Consolidated or Annual Plan(s), and account for local housing issues, or changes in HIV/AIDS cases, by using combination of one or more of the sources in Chart 2.

If data is collected on the type of housing that is needed in Rows a. through c., enter the number of HOPWA-eligible households by type of housing subsidy assistance needed. For an approximate breakdown of overall unmet need by type of housing subsidy assistance refer to the Consolidated or Annual Plan (s), CPMP tool or local distribution of funds. Do not include clients who are already receiving HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance.

Refer to Chart 2, and check all sources consulted to calculate unmet need. Reference any data from neighboring states' or municipalities' Consolidated Plan or other planning efforts that informed the assessment of Unmet Need in your service area. *Note:* In order to ensure that the unmet need assessment for the region is comprehensive, HOPWA formula grantees should include those unmet needs assessed by HOPWA competitive grantees operating within the service area.

1. Total number of households that have unmet housing subsidy assistance need.	5,398
<ol> <li>From the total reported in Row 1, identify the number of households with unmet housing needs by type of housing subsidy assistance:</li> </ol>	
a. Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)	1,889
b. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility payments (STRMU)	486
<ul> <li>Assistance with rental costs</li> <li>Assistance with mortgage payments</li> <li>Assistance with utility costs.</li> </ul>	486 0 0
c. Housing Facilities, such as community residences, SRO dwellings, other housing facilities	0

### 1. Planning Estimate of Area's Unmet Needs for HOPWA-Eligible Households

### 2. Recommended Data Sources for Assessing Unmet Need (check all sources used)

X	= Data as reported in the area Consolidated Plan, e.g. Table 1B, CPMP charts, and related narratives
	= Data established by area HIV/AIDS housing planning and coordination efforts, e.g. Continuum of Care
	= Data from client information provided in Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS)
	= Data from project sponsors or housing providers, including waiting lists for assistance or other assessments on need including those completed by HOPWA competitive grantees operating in the region.
	= Data from prisons or jails on persons being discharged with HIV/AIDS, if mandatory testing is conducted
	= Data from local Ryan White Planning Councils or reported in CARE Act Data Reports, e.g. number of clients with permanent housing
	= Data collected for HIV/AIDS surveillance reporting or other health assessments, e.g. local health department or CDC surveillance data

### End of PART 1
#### **PART 2: Sources of Leveraging and Program Income**

#### 1. Sources of Leveraging

Report the source(s) of cash or in-kind leveraged federal, state, local or private resources identified in the Consolidated or Annual Plan and used in the delivery of the HOPWA program and the amount of leveraged dollars. In Column [1], identify the type of leveraging. Some common sources of leveraged funds have been provided as a reference point. You may add Rows as necessary to report all sources of leveraged funds. Include Resident Rent payments paid by clients directly to private landlords. Do NOT include rents paid directly to a HOPWA program as this will be reported in the next section. In Column [2] report the amount of leveraged funds expended during the operating year. Use Column [3] to provide some detail about the type of leveraged contribution (e.g., case management services or clothing donations). In Column [4], check the appropriate box to indicate whether the leveraged contribution was a housing subsidy assistance or another form of support. *Note: Be sure to report on the number of households supported with these leveraged funds in Part 3, Chart 1, Column d.* 

#### A. Source of Leveraging Chart

[1] Source of Leveraging	[2] Amount of Leveraged Funds	[3] Type of Contribution	[4] Housing Subsidy Assistance or Other Support
Public Funding	T unus	Contribution	Assistance of Other Support
Ryan White-Housing Assistance	\$56,585	Financial Assistance	Housing Subsidy Assistance
Ryan White-Other	\$208,364	Case Management	Housing Subsidy Assistance
Housing Choice Voucher Program			Housing Subsidy Assistance
Low Income Housing Tax Credit			Housing Subsidy Assistance
НОМЕ			Housing Subsidy Assistance
Shelter Plus Care			Housing Subsidy Assistance
Emergency Solutions Grant			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support Housing Subsidy Assistance
Other Public:			Other Support
Other Public:			Other Support
Other Public:			Other Support
Other Public:			Housing Subsidy Assistance
Other Public:			Housing Subsidy Assistance
Private Funding			
Grants	\$16,500	Financial Assistance	Housing Subsidy Assistance
In-kind Resources			Housing Subsidy Assistance
Other Private:			Housing Subsidy Assistance
Other Private:			Housing Subsidy Assistance
Other Funding			
Grantee/Project Sponsor/Subrecipient (Agency) Cash			Housing Subsidy Assistance
Resident Rent Payments by Client to Private Landlord			
TOTAL (Sum of all Rows)	\$281,449		

#### 2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments

In Section 2, Chart A., report the total amount of program income and resident rent payments directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. Include resident rent payments collected or paid directly to the HOPWA program. Do NOT include payments made directly from a client household to a private landlord.

*Note:* Please see report directions section for definition of <u>program income</u>. (Additional information on program income is available in the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide).

#### A. Total Amount Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Collected During the Operating Year

	Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Collected	Total Amount of Program Income (for this operating year)
1.	Program income (e.g. repayments)	0
2.	Resident Rent Payments made directly to HOPWA Program	0
3.	Total Program Income and Resident Rent Payments (Sum of Rows 1 and 2)	0

#### B. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Expended To Assist HOPWA Households

In Chart B, report on the total program income and resident rent payments (as reported above in Chart A) expended during the operating year. Use Row 1 to report Program Income and Resident Rent Payments expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance Programs (i.e., TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Master Leased Units, and Facility-Based Housing). Use Row 2 to report on the Program Income and Resident Rent Payment expended on Supportive Services and other non-direct Housing Costs.

	gram Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on PWA programs	Total Amount of Program Income Expended (for this operating year)
1.	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance costs	0
2.	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Supportive Services and other non- direct housing costs	0
3.	Total Program Income Expended (Sum of Rows 1 and 2)	0

#### End of PART 2

#### PART 3: Accomplishment Data Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

In Chart 1, enter performance information (goals and actual outputs) for all activities undertaken during the operating year supported with HOPWA funds. Performance is measured by the number of households and units of housing that were supported with HOPWA or other federal, state, local, or private funds for the purposes of providing housing assistance and support to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.

**Note:** The total households assisted with HOPWA funds and reported in PART 3 of the CAPER should be the same as reported in the annual year-end IDIS data, and goals reported should be consistent with the Annual Plan information. Any discrepancies or deviations should be explained in the narrative section of PART 1.

1. HOPWA Performance Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

	I) Output: Households [2] Output: Funding						
		[1] Output: Housenoids		[2] Output: Funding			
			HOPWA		everaged		
	<b>HOPWA Performance</b>	Assi	istance	Ho	ouseholds	HOPW	A Funds
	Planned Goal	a.	b.	с.	d.	e.	f.
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		_		_	-	1
	and Actual	-	Goal Actual	Goal	Actual	HOPWA	HOPWA Actual
		(	¥ ک	Ū	A	)H H	HC Ac
	HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance		[1] Outp	ut: Hou	seholds	[2] Output	t: Funding
	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	69	69	0	0	\$345,278	\$313.846.50
2a.	Permanent Housing Facilities:	07	0)		0	\$515,270	¢313.010.30
	Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served)	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Transitional/Short-term Facilities:						
	Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served) (Households Served)	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Permanent Housing Facilities:	Ŭ		5	~	•	•
	Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year						
21	(Households Served)	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year						
	(Households Served)	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	Permanent Housing Placement Services	0	0	0	6	0	0
6.	Adjustments for duplication (subtract)	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance						
	(Columns a. – d. equal the sum of Rows 1-5 minus Row 6; Columns e. and f. equal	<b>CO</b>	60		0	<b>#0 1 7 0 - 0</b>	#212 0 / C = 2
	the sum of Rows 1-5) Housing Development (Construction and Stewardship of facility based housing)	69	69	μ	μ	\$345,278	\$313.846.50
		[1	] Output	t: Hous	ing Units	[2] Output: Funding	
	Facility-based units;						
	Capital Development Projects not yet opened (Housing Units)	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Stewardship Units subject to 3 or 10 year use agreements	0	0				
	Total Housing Developed (Sum of Rows 78 & 9)	0	0			0	0
	Supportive Services	0				0	-
	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors/subrecipient that also delivered		[1] Outp	ut Hous	senoids	[2] Outpu	t: Funding
	HOPWA housing subsidy assistance	69	69			\$42,688.00	\$17,337.27
	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors/subrecipient that only provided	0	0				
	supportive services. Adjustment for duplication (subtract)	0	0			0	0
		0	0			0	0
	Total Supportive Services (Columns a. – d. equal the sum of Rows 11 a. & b. minus Row 12; Columns e. and f.						
	equal the sum of Rows 11a. & 11b.)	69	69			\$42,688.00	\$17,337.27
	Housing Information Services		[1] Outp	out Hou	seholds		t: Funding
14.	Housing Information Services	0	0			0	0
15.	Total Housing Information Services	0	0			0	U
		0	0			0	0

Grant Administration and Other Activities	[1] Output Households	[2] Output: Funding
16. Resource Identification to establish, coordinate and develop housing assistance resource:		0 0
<ol> <li>Technical Assistance (if approved in grant agreement)</li> </ol>		0 0
<ol> <li>Grantee Administration (maximum 3% of total HOPWA grant)</li> </ol>		\$12,453 \$10,161
<ol> <li>Project Sponsor Administration (maximum 7% of portion of HOPWA grant awarded)</li> </ol>		\$27,157 \$23,999
20. Total Grant Administration and Other Activities (Sum of Rows 17 – 20)		\$39,610 \$34,160
Total Expended		[2] Outputs: HOPWA Funds Expended
		Budget Actual
21. Total Expenditures for program year (Sum of Rows 7, 10, 13, 15, and 20)		\$427,576 \$365,344

#### 2. Listing of Supportive Services

Report on the households served and use of HOPWA funds for all supportive services. Do NOT report on supportive services leveraged with non-HOPWA funds.

Data check: Total unduplicated households and expenditures reported in Row 17 equal totals reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 13.

	Supportive Services	[1] Output: Number of <u>Households</u>	[2] Output: Amount of HOPWA Funds Expended
1.	Adult day care and personal assistance	0	0
2.	Alcohol and drug abuse services	0	0
3.	Case management	69	\$17,337.27
4.	Child care and other child services	0	0
5.	Education	0	0
6.	Employment assistance and training	0	0
	Health/medical/intensive care services, if approved	0	0
7.	Note: Client records must conform with 24 CFR §574.310		
8.	Legal services	0	0
9.	Life skills management (outside of case management)	0	0
10.	Meals/nutritional services	0	0
11.	Mental health services	0	0
12.	Outreach	0	0
13.	Transportation	0	0
14.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement). Specify:	0	0
15.	Sub-Total Households receiving Supportive Services (Sum of Rows 1-14)	69	
16.	Adjustment for Duplication (subtract)	0	
17.	TOTAL Unduplicated Households receiving Supportive Services (Column [1] equals Row 15 minus Row 16; Column [2] equals sum of Rows 1-14)	69	\$17,337.27

#### 3. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance (STRMU) Summary

In Row a., enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended on Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility (STRMU) Assistance. In Row b., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with mortgage costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row c., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both mortgage and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row d., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with rental costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row e., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both rental and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row f., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households. In Row e., enter the total number of stream of stream of formation of stream of stream of stream of stream of stream of the amount expended assisting these households. In Row f., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with utility costs only (not including rent or mortgage costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In row g., report the amount of STRMU funds expended to support direct program costs such as program operation staff.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Row a., column [1] and the total amount of HOPWA funds reported as expended in Row a., column [2] equals the household and expenditure total reported for STRMU in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Columns b. and f., respectively.

**Data Check:** The total number of households reported in Column [1], Rows b., c., d., e., and f. equal the total number of STRMU households reported in Column [1], Row a. The total amount reported as expended in Column [2], Rows b., c., d., e., f., and g. equal the total amount of STRMU expenditures reported in Column [2], Row a.

н	ousing Subsidy Assistance Categories (STRMU)	[1] Output: Number of <u>Households</u> Served	[2] Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended on STRMU during Operating Year
a.	Total Short-term mortgage, rent and/or utility (STRMU) assistance	0	0
b.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage costs ONLY.	0	0
c.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage and utility costs.	0	0
d.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental costs ONLY.	0	0
e.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental and utility costs.	0	0
f.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with utility costs ONLY.	0	0
g.	Direct program delivery costs (e.g., program operations staff time)		0

#### End of PART 3

#### **Part 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes**

In Column [1], report the total number of eligible households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, by type. In Column [2], enter the number of households that continued to access each type of housing subsidy assistance into next operating year. In Column [3], report the housing status of all households that exited the program.

**Data Check**: The sum of Columns [2] (Number of Households Continuing) and [3] (Exited Households) equals the total reported in Column[1]. **Note**: Refer to the housing stability codes that appear in Part 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes.

# Section 1. Housing Stability: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Maintaining Housing Stability (Permanent Housing and Related Facilities)

A. Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance

	[1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year	[3] Assessment: 1 Households that HOPWA Program; Status after 1	exited this their Housing	[4] HOPWA Client Outcomes	
			1 Emergency Shelter/Street	s 0	Unstable Arrangements	
			2 Temporary Housing	0	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	
			3 Private Housing	3		
Tenant-Based Rental	69	58	4 Other HOPWA	0		
Assistance			5 Other Subsidy	7	- Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)	
			6 Institution	0		
			7 Jail/Prison	0		
			8 Disconnected/Unknown	1	Unstable Arrangements	
			9 Death	0	Life Event	
			1 Emergency Shelter/Street	s 0	Unstable Arrangements	
			2 Temporary Housing	0	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	
			3 Private Housing	0	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)	
Permanent Supportive	0	0	4 Other HOPWA	0		
Housing	Ū		5 Other Subsidy	0		
Facilities/ Units			6 Institution	0		
			7 Jail/Prison	0		
			8 Disconnected/Unknown	0	Unstable Arrangements	
			9 Death	0	Life Event	
B. Transitional	l Housing Assistance					
	[1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year	[3] Assessment: Nu Households that exi HOPWA Program Housing Status after	ited this ; their	4] HOPWA Client Outcomes	
		0	1 Emergency Shelter/Streets	0	Unstable Arrangements	
			2 Temporary Housing	0	Temporarily Stable with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	
Transitional/ Short-Term			3 Private Housing	0		
Housing	0		4 Other HOPWA	0		
Facilities/ Units			5 Other Subsidy	0	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)	
			6 Institution	0		
			7 Jail/Prison	0	Ilastable Americanista	
			8 Disconnected/unknown	0	Unstable Arrangements	
			9 Death	0	Life Event	

# Section 2. Prevention of Homelessness: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Reduced Risks of Homelessness (Short-Term Housing Subsidy Assistance)

Report the total number of households that received STRMU assistance in Column [1].

In Column [2], identify the outcomes of the households reported in Column [1] either at the time that they were known to have left the STRMU program or through the project sponsor or subrecipient's best assessment for stability at the end of the operating year.

Information in Column [3] provides a description of housing outcomes; therefore, data is not required. At the bottom of the chart:

- In Row 1a., report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the prior operating year.
- In Row 1b., report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the two prior operating years.

*Data Check:* The total households reported as served with STRMU in Column [1] equals the total reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Column b.

Data Check: The sum of Column [2] should equal the number of households reported in Column [1].

[1] Output: Total number of households	number of		[3] HOPWA Client Outcomes	
	Maintain Private Housing <u>without</u> subsidy (e.g. Assistance provided/completed and client is stable, not likely to seek additional support)	0		
	Other Private Housing without subsidy			
	(e.g. client switched housing units and is now stable, not likely to seek additional support)	0	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)	
	Other HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	0		
	Other Housing Subsidy (PH)	0		
0	Institution (e.g. residential and long-term care)	0		
0	Likely that additional STRMU is needed to maintain current housing arrangements	0		
	Transitional Facilities/Short-term	0	Temporarily Stable, with	
	(e.g. temporary or transitional arrangement)		Reduced Risk of Homelessness	
	Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangement	0		
	(e.g. gave up lease, and moved in with family or friends but expects to live there less than 90 days)			
	Emergency Shelter/street	0		
	Jail/Prison	0	Unstable Arrangements	
	Disconnected	0		
	Death	0	Life Event	
	ouseholds that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year or rior operating year (e.g. households that received STRMU assistan			
	ouseholds that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year wo prior operating years (e.g. households that received STRMU as			

#### Assessment of Households that Received STRMU Assistance

#### Section 3. HOPWA Outcomes on Access to Care and Support

#### 1a. Total Number of Households

Line [1]: For project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based, PHP and Master Leasing) and HOPWA funded case management services. Use Row c. to adjust for duplication among the service categories and Row d. to provide an unduplicated household total.

Line [2]: For project sponsors/subrecipients that did <u>NOT</u> provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA funded case management services.

**Note:** These numbers will help you to determine which clients to report Access to Care and Support Outcomes for and will be used by HUD as a basis for analyzing the percentage of households who demonstrated or maintained connections to care and support as identified in Chart 1b. below.

<b>Total Number</b>	of Households					
	<ol> <li>For Project Sponsors/Subrecipients that provided HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of household received the following HOPWA-funded services:</li> </ol>					
a.	Housing Subsidy Assistance (duplicated)-TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Facility-Based Housing, and Master Leasing	69				
b.	Case Management	69				
с.	Adjustment for duplication (subtraction)	69				
d.	Total Households Served by Project Sponsors/Subrecipients with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows a.b. minus Row c.)	69				
received	the following <u>HOPWA-funded</u> service:					
a.	HOPWA Case Management					
b.	Total Households Served by Project Sponsors/Subrecipients without Housing Subsidy Assistance					

#### 1b. Status of Households Accessing Care and Support

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a., Row 1d. above, report the number of households that demonstrated access or maintained connections to care and support within the program year.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a., Row 2b., report the number of households that demonstrated improved access or maintained connections to care and support within the program year.

Note: For information on types and sources of income and medical insurance/assistance, refer to Charts below.

Categories of Services Accessed	[1] For project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	[2] For project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	Outcome Indicator
1. Has a housing plan for maintaining or establishing stable on- going housing	68		Support for Stable Housing
2. Had contact with case manager/benefits counselor consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan (may include leveraged services such as Ryan White Medical Case Management)	68		Access to Support
3. Had contact with a primary health care provider consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan	67		Access to Health Care
4. Accessed and maintained medical insurance/assistance	68		Access to Health Care
5. Successfully accessed or maintained qualification for sources of income	65		Sources of Income

# Chart 1b., Line 4: Sources of Medical Insurance and Assistance include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

- MEDICAID Health Insurance Program, or use local program name
- MEDICARE Health Insurance Program, or use local program name
- Veterans Affairs Medical Services AIDS Drug Assistance Program • (ADAP)
- State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP), or use local program name
- Ryan White-funded Medical or Dental Assistance

#### Chart 1b., Row 5: Sources of Income include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

•

•	Earned Income	Child Support	General Assistance (GA), or use
•	Veteran's Pension	<ul> <li>Social Security Disability Income</li> </ul>	local program name
•	Unemployment Insurance	(SSDI)	<ul> <li>Private Disability Insurance</li> </ul>
•	Pension from Former Job	<ul> <li>Alimony or other Spousal Support</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Temporary Assistance for Needy</li> </ul>
•	Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	<ul> <li>Veteran's Disability Payment</li> </ul>	Families (TANF)
		Retirement Income from Social	Other Income Sources
		Security	
		<ul> <li>Worker's Compensation</li> </ul>	

#### 1c. Households that Obtained Employment

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a., Row 1d. above, report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or related case management/counseling services.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a., Row 2b., report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

**Note:** This includes jobs created by this project sponsor/subrecipients or obtained outside this agency. Note: Do not include jobs that resulted from leveraged job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

Categories of Services Accessed	[1 For project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	[2] For project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	
Total number of households that obtained an income-producing job	0		

#### End of PART 4

#### PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes (optional)

Permanent	Stable Housing	Temporary Housing	Unstable	Life Event
Housing Subsidy	(# of households	(2)	Arrangements	(9)
Assistance	remaining in program		(1+7+8)	
	plus 3+4+5+6)			
Tenant-Based	68		1	
Rental Assistance				
(TBRA)				
Permanent Facility-				
based Housing				
Assistance/Units				
Transitional/Short-				
Term Facility-based				
Housing				
Assistance/Units				
Total Permanent				
HOPWA Housing				
Subsidy Assistance				
Reduced Risk of	Stable/Permanent	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of	Unstable	Life Events
Homelessness:	Housing	Homelessness	Arrangements	Life Events
Short-Term	Housing	Homelessness	Arrangements	
Assistance				
Short-Term Rent,				
Mortgage, and				
mongage, and			1	
Utility Assistance				
Utility Assistance (STRMU)				
(STRMU)	68		1	
	68		1	

**1.** This chart is designed to assess program results based on the information reported in Part 4 and to help Grantees determine overall program performance. Completion of this worksheet is optional.

#### Background on HOPWA Housing Stability Codes Stable Permanent Housing/Ongoing Participation

3 = Private Housing in the private rental or home ownership market (without known subsidy, including permanent placement with families or other self-sufficient arrangements) with reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed.

- 4 = Other HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance (not STRMU), e.g. TBRA or Facility-Based Assistance.
- 5 = Other subsidized house or apartment (non-HOPWA sources, e.g., Section 8, HOME, public housing).
- 6 = Institutional setting with greater support and continued residence expected (e.g., residential or long-term care facility).

#### Temporary Housing

2 = Temporary housing - moved in with family/friends or other short-term arrangement, such as Ryan White subsidy, transitional housing for homeless, or temporary placement in institution (e.g., hospital, psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility, substance abuse treatment facility or detox center).

#### **Unstable Arrangements**

1 = Emergency shelter or no housing destination such as places not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station, or anywhere outside).

7 = Jail /prison.

8 = Disconnected or disappeared from project support, unknown destination or no assessments of housing needs were undertaken.

#### Life Event

9 = Death, i.e., remained in housing until death. This characteristic is not factored into the housing stability equation.

**Tenant-based Rental Assistance**: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as reported under: 3, 4, 5, and 6. <u>Temporary Housing</u> is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item: 2. <u>Unstable Situations</u> is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

**Permanent Facility-Based Housing Assistance**: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Temporary <u>Housing</u> is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. <u>Unstable Situations</u> is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

**Transitional/Short-Term Facility-Based Housing Assistance:** <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that (i) continue in the residences (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Other <u>Temporary Housing</u> is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. <u>Unstable Situations</u> is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

**Tenure Assessment**. A baseline of households in transitional/short-term facilities for assessment purposes, indicate the number of households whose tenure exceeded 24 months.

**STRMU Assistance**: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period and there is reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed in order to maintain permanent housing living situation (as this is a time-limited form of housing support) as reported under housing status: Maintain Private Housing with subsidy; Other Private with Subsidy; Other HOPWA support; Other Housing Subsidy; and Institution. <u>Temporarily Stable</u>, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period or left their current housing arrangement for a transitional facility or other temporary/non-permanent housing arrangement and there is reasonable expectation additional support will be needed to maintain housing arrangements in the next year, as reported under housing status: Likely to maintain current housing arrangements. <u>Unstable Situation</u> is the sum of number of households reported under housing status: Emergency Shelter; Jail/Prison; and Disconnected.

End of PART 5

#### PART 6: Annual Certification of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY)

The Annual Certification of Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units is to be used in place of Part 7B of the CAPER if the facility was originally acquired, rehabilitated or constructed/developed in part with HOPWA funds but no HOPWA funds were expended during the operating year. Scattered site units may be grouped together on one page.

Grantees that used HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation are required to operate their facilities for HOPWA eligible individuals for at least ten (10) years. If non-substantial rehabilitation funds were used they are required to operate for at least three (3) years. Stewardship begins once the facility is put into operation.

Note: See definition of Stewardship Units.

#### 1. General information

HUD Grant Number(s)	Operating Year for this report         From (mm/dd/yy) To (mm/dd/yy)         Image: Final Yr
	□ Yr 1; □ Yr 2; □ Yr 3; □ Yr 4; □ Yr 5; □ Yr 6;
	□ Yr 7; □ Yr 8; □ Yr 9; □ Yr 10;
Grantee Name	Date Facility Began Operations (mm/dd/yy)

#### 2. Number of Units and Non-HOPWA Expenditures

Facility Name:	Number of Stewardship Units Developed with HOPWA funds	Amount of Non-HOPWA Funds Expended in Support of the Stewardship Units during the Operating Year
Total Stewardship Units		
(subject to 3- or 10- year use periods)		

#### 3. Details of Project Site

Project Sites: Name of HOPWA-funded project	
Site Information: Project Zip Code(s)	
Site Information: Congressional District(s)	
Is the address of the project site confidential?	☐ Yes, protect information; do not list
	Not confidential; information can be made available to the public
If the site is not confidential:	
Please provide the contact information, phone,	
email address/location, if business address is	
different from facility address	

I certify that the facility that received assistance for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction from the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program has operated as a facility to assist HOPWA-eligible persons from the date shown above. I also certify that the grant is still serving the planned number of HOPWA-eligible households at this facility through leveraged resources and all other requirements of the grant agreement are being satisfied.

I hereby certify that all the information stated herein, as well as any information provided in the accompaniment herewith, is true and accurate.				
Name & Title of Authorized Official of the organization that continues to operate the facility:	Signature & Date (mm/dd/yy)			
<b>Name &amp; Title of Contact at Grantee Agency</b> (person who can answer questions about the report and program)	Contact Phone (with area code)			

#### End of PART 6

Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities

A. Information on Individuals, Beneficiaries, and Households Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based Units, Permanent Housing Placement and Master Leased Units ONLY)

*Note:* Reporting for this section should include ONLY those individuals, beneficiaries, or households that received and/or resided in a household that received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 7, Column b. (e.g., do not include households that received HOPWA supportive services ONLY).

#### Section 1. HOPWA-Eligible Individuals who Received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

#### a. Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Living with HIV/AIDS

In Chart a., provide the total number of eligible (and unduplicated) <u>low-income individuals living with HIV/AIDS</u> who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year. This total should include only the individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance, NOT all HIV positive individuals in the household.

Individuals Served with Housing Subsidy Assistance	Total
Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.	69

#### **Chart b. Prior Living Situation**

In Chart b., report the prior living situations for all Eligible Individuals reported in Chart a. In Row 1, report the total number of individuals who continued to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance from the prior operating year into this operating year. In Rows 2 through 17, indicate the prior living arrangements for all new HOPWA housing subsidy assistance recipients during the operating year.

**Data Check:** The total number of eligible individuals served in Row 18 equals the total number of individuals served through housing subsidy assistance reported in Chart a. above.

	Category	Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Receiving Housing Subsidy Assistance
1.	Continuing to receive HOPWA support from the prior operating year	69
New	Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance support during Operating Year	
2.	Place not meant for human habitation (such as a vehicle, abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport, or outside)	0
3.	Emergency shelter (including hotel, motel, or campground paid for with emergency shelter voucher)	0
4.	Transitional housing for homeless persons	0
5.	Total number of new Eligible Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance with a Prior Living Situation that meets HUD definition of homelessness (Sum of Rows 2 – 4)	0
6.	Permanent housing for formerly homeless persons (such as Shelter Plus Care, SHP, or SRO Mod Rehab)	0
7.	Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0
8.	Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0
9.	Hospital (non-psychiatric facility)	0
10.	Foster care home or foster care group home	0
11.	Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0
12.	Rented room, apartment, or house	0
13.	House you own	0
14.	Staying or living in someone else's (family and friends) room, apartment, or house	0
15.	Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	0
16.	Other	0
17.	Don't Know or Refused	0
18.	TOTAL Number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals (sum of Rows 1 and 5-17)	69

#### c. Homeless Individual Summary

In Chart c., indicate the number of eligible individuals reported in Chart b., Row 5 as homeless who also are homeless Veterans and/or meet the definition for Chronically Homeless (See Definition section of CAPER). The totals in Chart c. do <u>not</u> need to equal the total in Chart b., Row 5.

Category	Number of Homeless Veteran(s)	Number of Chronically Homeless
HOPWA eligible individuals served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	3	1

#### Section 2. Beneficiaries

In Chart a., report the total number of HOPWA eligible individuals living with HIV/AIDS who received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (*as reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a.*), and all associated members of their household who benefitted from receiving HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (resided with HOPWA eligible individuals).

Note: See definition of <u>HOPWA Eligible Individual</u>

Note: See definition of Transgender.

Note: See definition of <u>Beneficiaries</u>.

*Data Check:* The sum of <u>each</u> of the Charts b. & c. on the following two pages equals the total number of beneficiaries served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as determined in Chart a., Row 4 below.

#### a. Total Number of Beneficiaries Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

Individuals and Families Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	<b>Total Number</b>
1. Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified the household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (equals the number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a.)	69
2. Number of ALL other persons <b>diagnosed</b> as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individuals identified in Row 1 and who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance	0
3. Number of ALL other persons <b>NOT diagnosed</b> as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individual identified in Row 1 and who benefited from the HOPWA housing subsidy	66
4. TOTAL number of ALL <u>beneficiaries</u> served with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1,2, & 3)	135

#### b. Age and Gender

In Chart b., indicate the Age and Gender of all beneficiaries as reported in Chart a. directly above. Report the Age and Gender of all HOPWA Eligible Individuals (those reported in Chart a., Row 1) using Rows 1-5 below and the Age and Gender of all other beneficiaries (those reported in Chart a., Rows 2 and 3) using Rows 6-10 below. The number of individuals reported in Row 11, Column E. equals the total number of beneficiaries reported in Part 7, Section 2, Chart a., Row 4.

	HOPWA Eligible Individuals (Chart a, Row 1)							
		А.	B.	C.	D.	Е.		
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)		
1.	Under 18	0	0	0	0	Ø		
2.	18 to 30 years	2	3	Ø	Q	5		
3.	31 to 50 years	28	15	0	Ø	43		
4.	51 years and Older	15	6	0	Ø	21		
5.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 1-4)	42	27	0	0	69		
		A	l Other Beneficia	aries (Chart a, Rows 2	and 3)			
	A. B. C. D. E.							
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)		
6.	Under 18	21	23					
7.	18 to 30 years	6	7					
8.	31 to 50 years	5	3					
9.	51 years and Older	1	0					
10.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 6-9)	33	33					
			Total Benefic	ciaries (Chart a, Row 4				
11.	TOTAL (Sum 75 60 0 0 135							

#### c. Race and Ethnicity\*

In Chart c., indicate the Race and Ethnicity of all beneficiaries receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Section 2, Chart a., Row 4. Report the <u>race</u> of all HOPWA eligible individuals in Column [A]. Report the <u>ethnicity</u> of all HOPWA eligible individuals in column [B]. Report the <u>race</u> of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [C]. Report the <u>ethnicity</u> of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [D]. The summed total of columns [A] and [C] equals the total number of beneficiaries reported above in Section 2, Chart a., Row 4.

		HOPWA Eligi	HOPWA Eligible Individuals		All Other Beneficiaries	
Category		[A] Race [all individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a., Row 1]	[B] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino]	[C] Race [total of individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a., Rows 2 & 3]	[D] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino]	
1.	American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	0	5	0	
2.	Asian	0	0	0	0	
3.	Black/African American	15	0	22	0	
4.	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	
5.	White	52	12	26	7	
6.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & White	Ø	Ø	0	Ø	
7.	Asian & White	0	0	0	0	
8.	Black/African American & White	0	0	0	0	
9.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African American	Ø	D	O	Ø	
10.	Other Multi-Racial	1	0	13	0	
11.	Column Totals (Sum of Rows 1-10)	69	12	47	7	
Data Check: Sum of Row 11 Column A and Row 11 Column C equals the total number HOPWA Beneficiaries reported in Part 3A, Section 2, Chart a., Row 4.						

\*Reference (data requested consistent with Form HUD-27061 Race and Ethnic Data Reporting Form)

# Section 3. Households

#### **Household Area Median Income**

Report the area median income(s) for all households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.

**Data Check**: The total number of households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance should equal Part 3C, Row 7, Column b and Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a. (Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance).

*Note: Refer to <u>http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/il/il2010/select\_Geography\_mfi.odn</u> for information on area median income in your community.* 

	Percentage of Area Median Income	Households Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance
1.	0-30% of area median income (extremely low)	63
2.	31-50% of area median income (very low)	6
3.	51-80% of area median income (low)	0
4.	Total (Sum of Rows 1-3)	69

#### Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities B. Facility-Based Housing Assistance

Complete one Part 7B for each facility developed or supported through HOPWA funds.

#### Do not complete this Section for programs originally developed with HOPWA funds but no longer supported with

**HOPWA funds.** If a facility was developed with HOPWA funds (subject to ten years of operation for acquisition, new construction and substantial rehabilitation costs of stewardship units, or three years for non-substantial rehabilitation costs), but HOPWA funds are no longer used to support the facility, the project sponsor or subrecipient should complete Part 6: Annual Certification of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY).

Complete Charts 2a., Project Site Information, and 2b., Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units, for all Development Projects, including facilities that were past development projects, but continued to receive HOPWA operating dollars this reporting year.

#### 1. Project Sponsor/Subrecipient Agency Name (Required)

#### 2. Capital Development

# 2a. Project Site Information for HOPWA Capital Development of Projects (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this reporting year)

*Note:* If units are scattered-sites, report on them as a group and under type of Facility write "Scattered Sites."

De	Type of velopment s operating year	HOPWA Funds Expended this operating year ( <i>if applicable</i> )	Non-HOPWA funds Expended ( <i>if applicable</i> )	Name of Facility:
	w construction	\$	\$	Type of Facility [Check <u>only one</u> box.]
Rehabilitation     Acquisition		\$	\$	<ul> <li>Short-term Shelter or Transitional housing</li> <li>Supportive services only facility</li> </ul>
Op	Operating \$		\$	
a.	Purchase/lease of	f property:		Date (mm/dd/yy):
b.	Rehabilitation/Co	onstruction Dates:		Date started: Date Completed:
c.	Operation dates:			Date residents began to occupy:
d.	d. Date supportive services began:			Date started:
e.	Number of units in the facility:			HOPWA-funded units = Total Units =
f.	Is a waiting list maintained for the facility?		,	$\Box Yes \Box No$ <i>If yes, number of participants on the list at the end of operating year</i>
g.	What is the addre	ess of the facility (if differ	ent from business address)?	
h.	Is the address of	the project site confidentia	al?	<ul> <li>Yes, protect information; do not publish list</li> <li>No, can be made available to the public</li> </ul>

# 2b. Number and Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this Reporting Year)

For units entered above in 2a. please list the number of HOPWA units that fulfill the following criteria:

	Number Designated for the Chronically Homeless	Number Designated to Assist the Homeless	Number Energy- Star Compliant	Number 504 Accessible
Rental units constructed				
(new) and/or acquired with or without rehab				
with or without renab				
Rental units rehabbed				
Homeownership units constructed (if approved)				

#### 3. Units Assisted in Types of Housing Facility/Units Leased by Project Sponsor or Subrecipient

<u>Charts 3a., 3b. and 4 are required for each facility</u>. In Charts 3a. and 3b., indicate the type and number of housing units in the facility, including master leased units, project-based or other scattered site units leased by the organization, categorized by the number of bedrooms per unit.

*Note: The number units may not equal the total number of households served.* 

Please complete separate charts for each housing facility assisted. Scattered site units may be grouped together.

#### **3a.** Check one only

Permanent Supportive Housing Facility/Units

Short-term Shelter or Transitional Supportive Housing Facility/Units

#### **3b. Type of Facility**

Complete the following Chart for all facilities leased, master leased, project-based, or operated with HOPWA funds during the reporting year.

#### Name of Project Sponsor/Agency Operating the Facility/Leased Units:

Type of housing facility operated by the project sponsor/subrecipient		Total Number of <u>Units</u> in use during the Operating Year Categorized by the Number of Bedrooms per Units					
		SRO/Studio/0 bdrm	1 bdrm	2 bdrm	3 bdrm	4 bdrm	5+bdrm
a.	Single room occupancy dwelling						
b.	Community residence						
с.	Project-based rental assistance units or leased units						
d.	Other housing facility Specify:						

#### 4. Households and Housing Expenditures

Enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended by the project sponsor/subrecipient on subsidies for housing involving the use of facilities, master leased units, project based or other scattered site units leased by the organization.

Н	ousing Assistance Category: Facility Based Housing	Output: Number of Households	Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended during Operating Year by Project Sponsor/subrecipient
a.	Leasing Costs		
b.	Operating Costs		
с.	Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA) or other leased units		
d.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement) Specify:		
e.	Adjustment to eliminate duplication (subtract)		
f.	TOTAL Facility-Based Housing Assistance (Sum Rows a. through d. minus Row e.)		

Grantee: State of Colorado

Grant: B-08-DN-08-0001

January 1, 2012 thru March 31, 2012 Performance Report





#### Grant Number:

B-08-DN-08-0001

Grantee Name: State of Colorado

Grant Amount: \$37,918,555.00

Estimated PI/RL Funds: \$8,802,039.00

**Total Budget:** \$46,720,594.00

# **Disasters:**

#### **Declaration Number**

No Disasters Found

#### **Narratives**

#### Areas of Greatest Need:

**Obligation Date:** 

Award Date:

Review by HUD: Reviewed and Approved

**QPR Contact:** Alison O'Kelly

Contract End Date: 03/12/2013

Grant Status: Active

Colorado began to experience significant foreclosure increases in the fall of 2005. From 2005 to 2006, new foreclosure filings increased 30 percent, and from 2006 to 2007, new filings increased 40 percent. Foreclosure activity fell slightly during 2008 as compared to 2007, but since the first quarter of 2009, foreclosure activity has increased, with new foreclosure filings hitting new highs with 13,000 new filings during the third quarter of 2009. As of January 2010, foreclosure counseling and an improved real estate market contributed to declines in single family foreclosures in the

As of January 2010, foreclosure counseling and an improved real estate market contributed to declines in single family foreclosures in the state. Home prices and home sales totals have improved in recent months, as the private sector has aggressively invested in the market, having a positive impact in stabilizing property values. Completed foreclosures fell for the second year in a row as more homeowners were able to take advantage of loss mitigation services in Colorado. Unemployment has moderated in the past year and vacancy rates have increased as job losses and negative income growth have driven down occupancy levels and average rents. The result has been increased financial pressure on small apartment owners who are more likely to foreclose or allow properties to become blighted as they deteriorate. The demand for rental housing as a substitute for for sale housing increases as job growth and income levels stagnate in Colorado, yet in the highest areas, average rents have fallen, and vacancy rates have risen.

In December 2009 CDOH evaluated the overall state foreclosure and abandonment data, and determined that a shift in focus of NSP activities (from a predominance of single family to multi-family projects) would best utilize the program dollars to stabilize Colorado communities in the existing, recovering market. The State of Colorado is adjusting its strategy and priorities for activity use of NSP dollars, shifting to work directly with lenders to identify troubled and foreclosing properties, particularly in the multi family market. The State and its grantees will work through lenders to restructure ownership and financing, investing minimal NSP dollars in acquiring and rehabilitating, instead leveraging new debt where needed and feasible.

On March 31, 2010, CDOH will conduct the first in a series of quarterly assessments of progress for each NSP contract to ensure 100% obligation of allocated NSP funds prior to the 18 month deadline of September 10, 2010. Accomplishment of sufficient progress at this time will be demonstrated by obligation of no less than 30% of contracted grant funds through execution of property purchase or rehabilitation contracts, or pro forma analyses demonstrating project carrying costs. This assessment will utilize pay requests or copies of executed purchase or rehabilitation contracts submitted to CDOH by this date to demonstrate fund obligation. Grantees not having accomplished sufficient prog

#### Areas of Greatest Need:

ress on March 31, 2010 must have secured by April 30, 2010 purchase contracts closing by June 30, 2010, or be subject to recapture on April 30, 2010. Funds not obligated prior to the June 30, 2010 deadline are subject to recapture and redistribution. Action Plan Activities will continue to reflect currently proposed projects until the March assessment is completed, after which progressive modifications to the Action Plan will be made as funds are recaptured and redistributed.

#### Distribution and and Uses of Funds:

1. Assist in stabilizing the property values in targeted communities by decreasing the rate of decline in property values in the nine of the twelve counties with the highest foreclosure rates.

2. Quickly purchase and rehabilitate housing in the most highly impacted areas to reduce the extended negative impact of foreclosed and blighted properties in neighborhoods.

3. Acquire foreclosed properties to provide housing for the most severely cost burdened households and maintain affordability for the greatest



period of time.

**Definitions and Descriptions:** 

Low Income Targeting:

Acquisition and Relocation:

**Public Comment:** 

Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources	This Report Period N/A	<b>To Date</b> \$47,671,594.91
Total Budget	\$8,650,566.36	\$46,636,594.91
Total Obligated	\$8,589,806.36	\$46,575,834.91
Total Funds Drawdown	\$204,910.73	\$28,923,424.76
Program Funds Drawdown	\$204,910.73	\$28,923,424.76
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$715,329.92	\$1,085,670.31
Total Funds Expended	\$204,910.73	\$20,910,983.80
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

# **Progress Toward Required Numeric Targets**

Requirement	Required	To Date
Overall Benefit Percentage (Projected)		0.00%
Overall Benefit Percentage (Actual)		0.00%
Minimum Non-Federal Match	\$0.00	\$0.00
Limit on Public Services	\$5,687,783.25	\$0.00
Limit on Admin/Planning	\$3,791,855.50	\$1,587,404.50
Limit on State Admin	\$0.00	\$1,587,404.50

# **Progress Toward Activity Type Targets**

# **Progress Toward National Objective Targets**

National Objective	Target	Actual
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	\$9,479,638.75	\$13,333,102.02



# **Overall Progress Narrative:**

A great deal of progress has been made by grantees in this quarter, including the sale of single family homes, acquisition of additional single family homes with program income, and rehabilitation work on properties already owned. Additionally, several multi-family properties are ready to be transfered to new nonprofit organization owners, and leased up in the coming quarters. File review and on-site monitoring of several projects has been conducted by State staff in this quarter, and additional projects will be monitored through the remainder of 2012. Several grantee projects are close to completing activities and reports in anticipation of closing out their projects in 2012, following the anticipated release of project closeout toolkit materials on the NSP Resource Exchange Website.

Following the expiration of Program Income Reuse Plans (Agreements) in the first quarter, a number of grantees will return excess program income to the State, which will re-program these funds into additional NSP-eligible projects throughout the state, targeting the lowest-possible income levels with rental housing where possible.

# **Project Summary**

Project #, Project Title	This Report Period	To Date		
	Program Funds Drawdown	Project Funds Budgeted	Program Funds Drawdown	
01, Multi-family Acquisition and Rehab	\$0.00	\$10,938,185.00	\$8,155,925.73	
02, Single Family Acquisition and Rehab	\$25,920.83	\$30,983,070.00	\$18,242,433.08	
03, Land Banking	\$0.00	\$814,251.45	\$814,251.45	
04, Homeownership Assistance	\$0.00	\$123,410.00	\$123,410.00	
06, Program Administration	\$178,989.90	\$3,861,677.55	\$1,587,404.50	



# Activities

# Grantee Activity Number:09-301 City of Aurora (25%)Activity Title:Use B - Acquisition and Rehab SF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	habilitation/reconstruction of residential structures Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
02	Single Family Acquisition and R	ehab	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
09/11/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type: Direct ( HouseHold )	Completed Activity Actual	End Date:	
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	City of Aurora		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$743,365.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$743,365.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$743,365.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$17,248.00	\$638,694.84	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$17,248.00	\$638,694.84	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$17,248.00	\$482,710.53	
City of Aurora	\$17,248.00	\$482,710.53	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

### **Activity Description:**

The City of Aurora has been awarded and NSP grant in the amount of \$1,801,927 to purchase, rehabilitate, demolition, redevelopment and resale 11 homeownership units. Eight (8) of these units will be sold to households with incomes at or below 50% of Area Median Income (AMI). Up to three (3) of these units will serve households at or below 120% of AMI. 72% of these funds will serve households at or below 50% of AMI. This project will benefit the State by stabilizing communities through the purchase, rehabilitation, demolition, redevelopment and and resale of abandoned or foreclosed properties. The units will be located within a Division of Housing (DOH) designated census block group(s) serving one of the areas of greatest need within the State. The properties will be purchased for an average discount of at least 1% from the appraised value. The City of Aurora will enter into a sub-recipient agreement with Habitat for Humanity of Metro Denver to carry out the project for no less than 8 of the units. Habitat for Humanity of Metro Denver will acquire up to 8 foreclosed or abandoned, vacant residential properties to acquire and rehabilitate, or demolish and construct new single family homes using the established sweat equity model by July 2013. The City of Aurora on behalf of Habitat for Humanity of Metro Denver has requested and was formally approved to be exempt from returning program income to the State. The justification for the waiver is that Habitat for Humanity of Metro Denver will provide first mortgages at a 0% interest rate for 23-30 years to households at or below 50% of AMI. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers. Colorado Housing Assistance Corporation (CHAC) will provide the home buyer counseling.

The acquisition and rehabilitation of the additional three (3) homes will be completed by Aurora&rsquos in-house rehabilitation staff. These additional properties purchased will not be associated with Habitat for Humanity. Also, these additional funds may serve households up to 120% of AMI, as the City of Aurora will income-qualify the buyers when the homes are re-sold. This activity qualifies under 24 CFR 570.201(a) and 570.202.

### **Location Description:**



Scattered sites in high risk census block groups in original Aurora

#### Activity Progress Narrative:

Funds drawn in this quarter were for reimbursement of demolition, construction and property carrying costs for properties underway using Habitat for Humanity program model.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	0/8
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/8

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

		This Report Period			Cumulative Actual Total / Expected		
	Low	Low Mod Total		Low	Mod	Total I	_ow/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/8	0/0	0/8	0

# **Activity Locations**

#### No Activity Locations found.

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

#### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources	Amount
Neighborhood Stabilization Program	\$0.00
Total Other Funding Sources	\$0.00



# 09-301 City of Aurora (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Administration	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
06	Program Administration		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
09/11/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
N/A	City of Aurora		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$39,319.00	
Total Budget	\$9,260.00	\$39,319.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$30,059.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$1,149.29	\$15,250.16	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$1,149.29	\$15,250.16	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$1,149.29	\$15,250.16	
City of Aurora	\$1,149.29	\$15,250.16	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

### **Activity Description:**

### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

Administrative funds drawn in this quarter cover administration of completion of rehabilitation and sale of properties, and acquisition of new properties using program income.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.



# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

# No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources Amount



# 09-301 City of Aurora (LMMI) Use B - Acqusition and Rehab SF

# Activitiy Category: Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures Project Number: 02 Projected Start Date: 09/11/2009 Benefit Type: Direct ( HouseHold ) National Objective: NSP Only - LMMI Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown

Activity Status: Under Way Project Title: Single Family Acquisition and Rehab Projected End Date: 03/10/2013 Completed Activity Actual End Date:

### Responsible Organization:

City of Aurora

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$1,449,073.55
Total Budget	\$453,740.00	\$1,449,073.55
Total Obligated	\$453,740.00	\$1,449,073.55
Total Funds Drawdown	\$8,672.83	\$734,913.72
Program Funds Drawdown	\$8,672.83	\$734,913.72
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$8,672.83	\$608,255.89
City of Aurora	\$8,672.83	\$608,255.89
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

# **Activity Description:**

The City of Aurora has been awarded and NSP grant in the amount of \$1,801,927 to purchase, rehabilitate, demolition, redevelopment and resale 11 homeownership units. Eight (8) of these units will be sold to households with incomes at or below 50% of Area Median Income (AMI). Up to three (3) of these units will serve households at or below 120% of AMI. 72% of these funds will serve households at or below 50% of AMI. This project will benefit the State by stabilizing communities through the purchase, rehabilitation, demolition, redevelopment and and resale of abandoned or foreclosed properties. The units will be located within a Division of Housing (DOH) designated census block group(s) serving one of the areas of greatest need within the State. The properties will be purchased for an average discount of at least 1% from the appraised value. The City of Aurora will enter into a sub-recipient agreement with Habitat for Humanity of Metro Denver to carry out the project for no less than 8 of the units. Habitat for Humanity of Metro Denver will acquire up to 8 foreclosed or abandoned, vacant residential properties to acquire and rehabilitate, or demolish and construct new single family homes using the established sweat equity model by July 2013. The City of Aurora on behalf of Habitat for Humanity of Metro Denver has requested and was formally approved to be exempt from returning program income to the State. The justification for the waiver is that Habitat for Humanity of Metro Denver will provide first mortgages at a 0% interest rate for 23-30 years to households at or below 50% of AMI. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers. Colorado Housing Assistance Corporation (CHAC) will provide the home buyer counseling.

The acquisition and rehabilitation of the additional three (3) homes will be completed by Aurora&rsquos in-house rehabilitation staff. These additional properties purchased will not be associated with Habitat for Humanity. Also, these additional funds may serve households up to 120% of AMI, as the City of Aurora will income-qualify the buyers when the homes are re-sold. This activity qualifies under 24 CFR 570.201(a) and 570.202.

# **Location Description:**

Scattered sites in high risk census block groups in original Aurora



#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

Funds drawn in this quarter were for reimbursement of rehabilitation and property carrying costs for properties completed, and those underway, using the City of Aurora's rehabilitation program.

## **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	0/5
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/5
# of Singlefamily Units	0	0/5

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		Cumulative	Actual Total / Ex	xpected		
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	/lod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/5	0

#### **Activity Locations**

#### No Activity Locations found.

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

#### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

# Other Funding Sources

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources Amount



# 09-302 Jefferson County (25%) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab MF

A stiniting Optomore	A stinite Otstus		
Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Acquisition - general	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
01	Multi-family Acquisition and Rehab		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
08/20/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual En	d Date:	
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	Jefferson County		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources	<b>Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012</b> N/A	<b>To Date</b> \$770,700.00	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$770,700.00	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget	N/A \$0.00	\$770,700.00 \$770,700.00	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00	\$770,700.00 \$770,700.00 \$770,700.00	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$770,700.00 \$770,700.00 \$770,700.00 \$770,000.00	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$770,700.00 \$770,700.00 \$770,700.00 \$770,000.00 \$770,000.00	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown Program Income Drawdown	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$770,700.00 \$770,700.00 \$770,700.00 \$770,000.00 \$770,000.00 \$0.00	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown Program Income Drawdown Program Income Received	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$770,700.00 \$770,700.00 \$770,700.00 \$770,000.00 \$770,000.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	

### **Activity Description:**

Jefferson County is requesting a \$1,109,092 NSP grant to assist in the purchase of a vacant property zoned for multi-family residential development located in Golden, Colorado. At acquisition, Jefferson County will convey the ownership to the property to Jefferson County Housing Authority (JCHA). It is anticipated that JCHA will redevelop and manage the property within 2 to 4 years, creating an estimated 50 units of affordable rental housing serving seniors < 50% AMI.

#### **Location Description:**

2200 Jackson Street, Golden, CO 80401

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

Using the proceeds of the sale of Nine (9) percent Low-Income Housing Tax Credits in 2011, Jefferson County Housing Authority and their contractors are currently under construction of the 50-unit senior rental property at 2200 Jackson Street in Golden. Following completion of construction, and certificate of occupancy, the property will be inspected by NSP Specialist and Codes section manager, after which the property will be leased-up, anticipated in the fall of 2012.

# **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	1/1



# of buildings (non-residential)	0	0/0
# of Parcels acquired by	0	0/0
# of Parcels acquired by admin	0	0/0
# of Parcels acquired voluntarily	0	0/1
Total acquisition compensation to	0	0/0

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/36

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		Cumulative	Actual Total / E	xpected		
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/I	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/36	0/0	0/36	0

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

# No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources Amount



# 09-302 Jefferson County (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Administration	Under Way	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
06	Program Administration	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
08/20/2009	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual	End Date:
()		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	
N/A	Jefferson County	
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$8,092.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$8,092.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$8,092.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$4,493.23
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$4,493.23
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$1,695.75
Jefferson County	\$0.00	\$1,695.75
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

## **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

## **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.



Activity Locations					
Address	City	County	State	Zip	Status / Accept
			Colorado	-	Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgete No Other Match Funding Sources I					
Other Funding Sources					Amount
No Other Funding Sources Found					
Total Other Funding Sources					



# 09-302 Jefferson County (LMMI) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab MF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:			
Acquisition - general	Under Way			
Project Number:	Project Title:			
01	Multi-family Acquisition and Rehab			
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:			
08/20/2009	03/10/2013			
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual End Date:			
Direct ( HouseHold )				
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:			
NSP Only - LMMI	Jefferson County			
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date		
Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources	<b>Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012</b> N/A	<b>To Date</b> \$330,300.00		
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$330,300.00		
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget	N/A \$0.00	\$330,300.00 \$330,300.00		
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00	\$330,300.00 \$330,300.00 \$330,300.00		
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$330,300.00 \$330,300.00 \$330,300.00 \$330,000.00		
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$330,300.00 \$330,300.00 \$330,300.00 \$330,000.00 \$330,000.00		
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown Program Income Drawdown	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$330,300.00 \$330,300.00 \$330,300.00 \$330,000.00 \$330,000.00 \$0.00		
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown Program Income Drawdown Program Income Received	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$330,300.00 \$330,300.00 \$330,300.00 \$330,000.00 \$330,000.00 \$0.00 \$0.00		

#### **Activity Description:**

Jefferson County is requesting a \$1,109,092 NSP grant to assist in the purchase of a vacant property zoned for multi-family residential development located in Golden, Colorado. At acquisition, Jefferson County will convey the ownership to the property to Jefferson County Housing Authority (JCHA). It is anticipated that JCHA will redevelop and manage the property within 2 to 4 years, creating an estimated 50 units of affordable rental housing serving seniors < 50% AMI.

#### **Location Description:**

2200 Jackson St, Golden, CO 80401

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

Using the proceeds of the sale of Nine (9) percent Low-Income Housing Tax Credits in 2011, Jefferson County Housing Authority and their contractors are currently under construction of the 50-unit senior rental property at 2200 Jackson Street in Golden. Following completion of construction, and certificate of occupancy, the property will be inspected by NSP Specialist and Codes section manager, after which the property will be leased-up, anticipated in the fall of 2012.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	0/1



	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/14

## **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period			Cumulative Actual Total / Expected			
	Low Mod Total		Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/M	/lod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/14	0/14	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

## No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources Amount



# 09-303 City of Pueblo (25%) Use B - Purchase and Rehab MF

Activitiv Cotogony	Activity Statuce		
Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
01	Multi-family Acquisition and Rehab		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
09/11/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual En	d Date:	
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	City of Pueblo		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$1,835,059.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$1,835,059.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$1,835,059.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$253,184.90	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$253,184.90	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$2,259.64	
City of Pueblo	\$0.00	\$2,259.64	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

#### **Activity Description:**

The City of Pueblo is requesting a \$1,834,059 NSP grant to assist in the purchase and rehabilitation of three (3) multi-family buildings in the 800 block of East Fourth Street, Pueblo, Colorado for use as permanent affordable rental housing for Veterans at 50% AMI or below (rent level set at 25% AMI). The properties are currently abandoned and vacant and are in need of extensive rehabilitation. The City of Pueblo will complete the acquisition and rehabilitation and then convey the ownership of this affordable rental project to Posada, Inc. Posada Inc. is a Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) that currently owns and manages a number of affordable rental projects. In addition, Posada, Inc. will provide a range of services to these Veteran households including access to medical and dental assistance, mental health treatment, and job training programs.

### **Location Description:**

815, 823 and 827 East 4th Street, Pueblo, Colorado

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant in this quarter. Rehabilitation work is underway by the grantee, with draws anticipated in future quarters, following completion of scheduled work.

The majority of funds will be drawn in the 2nd Quarter for substantial rehabilitation costs.



# **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	0/3
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units		
# of Housing Units	0	0/16

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period			Cumulative Actual Total / Expected			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/I	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/16	0/0	0/16	0

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

# No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources Amount


## 09-303 City of Pueblo (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Administration	Under Way	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
06	Program Administration	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
09/11/2009	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type: ()	Completed Activity Actual	End Date:
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	
N/A	City of Pueblo	
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$30,684.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$30,684.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$30,684.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$11,947.47
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$11,947.47
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$11,058.91
City of Pueblo	\$0.00	\$11,058.91
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.



Activity Locations					
Address	City	County	State	Zip	Status / Accept
			Colorado	-	Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgete No Other Match Funding Sources I					
Other Funding Sources					Amount
No Other Funding Sources Found					
Total Other Funding Sources					



## 09-305 Douglas County (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Administration	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
06	Program Administration		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
11/16/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
N/A	Douglas County		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$8,675.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$8,675.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$8,675.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$5,952.45	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$5,952.45	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$5,952.45	
Douglas County	\$0.00	\$5,952.45	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

#### **Activity Description:**

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.



Activity Locations					
Address	City	County	State	Zip	Status / Accept
			Colorado	-	Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgete No Other Match Funding Sources I					
Other Funding Sources					Amount
No Other Funding Sources Found					
Total Other Funding Sources					



## 09-305 Douglas County (Homeowner Asst) Use B - Homeowner Assistance

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Homeownership Assistance to low- and moderate-income	Under Way	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
04	Homeownership Assistance	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
11/16/2009	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual E	nd Date:
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	
NSP Only - LMMI	Douglas County	
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$123,410.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$123,410.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$123,410.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$123,410.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$123,410.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$123,410.00
Douglas County	\$0.00	\$123,410.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

Douglas County on behalf of Douglas County Housing Partnership (DCHP) has been awarded an NSP grant in the amount of \$250,397 to provide shared equity loans to 6 households with incomes at or below 120% of Area Median Income (AMI). When the household sells or chooses to refinance the home, the household must repay the original loan from DCHP plus a proportion of the appreciation acquired during the ownership. Households do not make any payments to the DCHP during the term of the loan but rather proportionally split the appreciated value during their ownership of the property in the same proportion as the funds they received from DCHP. Each borrower will identify the property and obtain approval from a lender. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers through the DCHP. DCHP is a current provider of a HUD approved home buyer counseling program. This project will benefit the State by stabilizing communities through the purchase of abandoned or foreclosed properties. The properties will be located within a Division of Housing (DOH) designated census block group(s) serving of one of the areas of greatest need within the State. The properties will be purchased for an average discount of at least 1% from the appraised value. The properties will meet current local code requirements to qualify for assistance. This activity qualifies under 24 CFR 570.201(a)(n).

NOTE: The activity is in fact a homeowners assistance program, and should originally have been created as that type of activity. All funds not yet drawn on this activity as Single Family Acquisition Rehab are hereby (as of 7/8/2010) being moved in the proper homeowner assistance activity.

#### **Location Description:**

Varies in allowable block groups

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**



No funds were drawn on the grant for this project in this quarter because all funds have been drawn and activities have been completed. Following on-site file review monitoring completed this quarter, one homeowner reported their anticipated sale of the home, which will result in the return of program income to the program in the second quarter of 2012, preventing the closeout of the activity. One or more additional Shared Equity loans will be issued using the program income, and thereafter beneficiaries will be reported prior to the contract expiration date.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	1/3
# of Singlefamily Units	0	1/3

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		Cumula	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/3	0/3	0
# Owner Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/3	0/3	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

## **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

#### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources





#### Activitiy Category:

Homeownership Assistance to low- and moderate-income

Project Number:

02

**Projected Start Date:** 

11/16/2009

Benefit Type: Direct ( HouseHold )

### National Objective:

NSP Only - LMMI

ne	Under Way
	Project Title:
	Single Family Acquisition and Rehab
	Projected End Date:
	03/10/2013
	Completed Activity Actual End

**Activity Status:** 

#### **Responsible Organization:**

End Date:

**Douglas County** 

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$118,312.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$118,312.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$118,312.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$118,312.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$118,312.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$118,312.00
Douglas County	\$0.00	\$118,312.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

## **Activity Description:**

Douglas County on behalf of Douglas County Housing Partnership (DCHP) has been awarded an NSP grant in the amount of \$336,397 to provide shared equity loans to 8 households with incomes at or below 120% of Area Median Income (AMI). When the household sells or chooses to refinance the home, the household must repay the original loan from DCHP plus a proportion of the appreciation acquired during the ownership. Households do not make any payments to the DCHP during the term of the loan but rather proportionally split the appreciated value during their ownership of the property in the same proportion as the funds they received from DCHP. Each borrower will identify the property and obtain approval from a lender. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers through the DCHP. DCHP is a current provider of a HUD approved home buyer counseling program. This project will benefit the State by stabilizing communities through the purchase of abandoned or foreclosed properties. The properties will be located within a Division of Housing (DOH) designated census block group(s) serving of one of the areas of greatest need within the State. The properties will be purchased for an average discount of at least 1% from the appraised value. The properties will meet current local code requirements to qualify for assistance. This activity qualifies under 24 CFR 570.201(a)(n).

NOTE: The activity is in fact a homeowners assistance program, and should originally have been created as that type of activity. All funds not yet drawn on this activity as Single Family Acquisition have been moved (7/8/2010) to the homeowner assistance activity.

## **Location Description:**

Varies in allowable block groups

## **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on the grant for this project in this quarter because all funds have been drawn and activities have been completed. Following on-site file review monitoring completed this quarter, one homeowner reported their anticipated sale of the home, which will result in the return of program income to the program in the second quarter of 2012, preventing the



closeout of the activity. One or more additional Shared Equity loans will be issued using the program income, and thereafter beneficiaries will be reported prior to the contract expiration date.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
Total	Total
0	0/0
This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
Total	Total
0	0/3
	Total 0 This Report Period Total

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period			Cumula	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected		
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total I	_ow/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/3	0/3	0
# Owner Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/3	0/3	0

#### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

## **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

#### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



## 09-306 Adams County (Admin) **Use - Administration**

\$0.00

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Administration	Under Way	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
06	Program Administration	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
09/11/2009	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type: ()	Completed Activity Actual I	End Date:
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	
N/A	Adams County	
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources	<b>Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012</b> N/A	<b>To Date</b> \$57,625.
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$57,625.
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget	N/A \$29,000.00	\$57,625. \$57,625.
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated	N/A \$29,000.00 \$0.00	\$57,625. \$57,625. \$28,625.
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown	N/A \$29,000.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$57,625. \$57,625. \$28,625. \$25,643.
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown	N/A \$29,000.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$57,625. \$57,625. \$28,625. \$25,643. \$25,643.
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown Program Income Drawdown	N/A \$29,000.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$57,625. \$57,625. \$28,625. \$25,643. \$25,643. \$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

**Match Contributed** 

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

**To Date** 

\$57,625.00 \$57,625.00

\$28,625.00 \$25,643.35 \$25,643.35 \$0.00

\$0.00 \$43,574.05 \$43,574.05

\$0.00



## **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

## No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



## 09-306 Adams County (LMMI) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab SF

Activitiy Category:
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures
Project Number:
02
Projected Start Date:
09/11/2009
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)
National Objective:
NSP Only - LMMI
Overall
Total Projected Budget from All Sources
Total Budget
Total Obligated
Total Funds Drawdown
Program Funds Drawdown
Program Income Drawdown
Program Income Received

Activity Status: Under Way Project Title: Single Family Acquisition and Rehab Projected End Date: 03/10/2013 Completed Activity Actual End Date:

#### **Responsible Organization:**

Adams County

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$3,368,357.00
Total Budget	\$1,421,000.00	\$3,368,357.00
Total Obligated	\$1,421,000.00	\$3,368,357.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,677,965.81
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,677,965.81
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$715,329.92	\$939,975.18
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$1,015,945.63
Adams County	\$0.00	\$1,015,945.63
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Activity Description:

Adams County is requesting a \$1,947,357 NSP grant to assist in the purchase, rehabilitation and resale of 24 homes for households at 120% AMI and below. The properties are located in high-risk census block groups of greatest need in Westminster, Commerce City and Thornton. Homes will be purchased at a discount from the appraised value. Homes will be rehabilitated to meet current local code and will use Energy Star appliances and upgrades and will be sold for no more than the cost of the purchase and rehabilitation.

Adams County will subcontract the responsibility to manage and deliver this project to Adams County Housing Authority, who will oversee a competitive bid request for proposal (RFP) process for the rehabilitation. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers through this activity. Adams County has an existing partnership with Colorado Housing and Finance Authority (CHFA) and is a HUD-Approved Housing Counseling agency to provide homebuyer education.

#### **Location Description:**

Scattered sites in high risk census block groups in Westminster, Commerce City and Thornton

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant or program income accounts in this quarter. Rehabilitation work and purchasing of additional properties is underway by the grantee using program income at this time.



## **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	8/24
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	8/24
# of Singlefamily Units	0	8/24

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This	Report Period		Cumulative	Actual Total / E	xpected	
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/24	0

## **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

## **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

## No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



#### **Grantee Activity Number:**

#### 09-307 Rocky Mountain Community Land Trust (LMMI)

**To Date** \$2,056,652.00 \$2,056,652.00 \$2,056,652.00

Activity Ti	tle:
-------------	------

# Use B - Acquisition and Rehab SF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
02	Single Family Acquisition and Re	ehab
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
09/01/2009	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual E	End Date:
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	
NSP Only - LMMI	Rocky Mountain Community Lan	d Trust
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$2,056,6
Total Budget	\$842,526.45	\$2,056,6
Total Obligated	\$842,526.45	\$2,056,6
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,146,0

Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,146,079.95
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,146,079.95
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$145,695.13
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$992,117.50
Rocky Mountain Community Land Trust	\$0.00	\$992,117.50
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

Rocky Mountain Community Land Trust (RMCLT) is requesting a NSP grant of \$1,135,636 to purchase, rehabilitate and resell foreclosed homes in the identified block groups located in the City of Colorado Springs. These funds will be used to assist with the acquisition of a total of eight (9) properties that will be marketed through the existing RMCLT land trust homeownership model. Through the Community Land Trust model, the RMCLT acquires and permanently holds title to the land and grants use of the land investment to the homeowner via a 99-year land lease that can be renewed for an additional 99 years. The RMCLT connects with potential homebuyers through the Realtor community, the City of Colorado Springs acquisition/rehabilitation program, and new homebuilders. Approximately \$428,000 in program income is expected from this project and the RMCLT requests that those funds be recycled into this project to create additional home ownership opportunities.

#### **Location Description:**

Varies in allowable block groups

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant or program income accounts in this guarter. Rehabilitation work and purchasing of additional properties is underway by the grantee using program income at this time.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period Total

**Cumulative Actual Total / Expected** Total





0

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	8/9
# of Singlefamily Units	0	8/9

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This	Report Period		Cumulative	Actual Total / E	kpected	
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/I	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/9	0

#### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

## **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

## No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources Amount



32



## 09-308 City of Englewood (25%) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab SF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
02	Single Family Acquisition and Reha	ab
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
11/16/2009	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual En	d Date:
Direct ( HouseHold )		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	City of Englewood	
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$529,000.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$529,000.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$529,000.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$528,903.27
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$528,903.27
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$380,206.42
City of Englewood	\$0.00	\$380,206.42
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

This project is one of two projects to be submitted by Arapahoe County. The other project will be a collaborative between Arapahoe and Douglas counties; the balance of the Arapahoe County funding will be used for the joint project.

Project ReBuild is requesting a Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) Tier I grant \$1,229,379 for the following activity:

• Activity 2: Purchase/Rehabilitation of Abandoned or Foreclosed Single-Family (SF) Properties 8/2/2010-Per amendment, updated budget for 25% set aside to \$526,013.70

#### **Location Description:**

To be identified. All properties will be located in eligible census tracts in Englewood, Colorado (Arapahoe County)

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant or program income accounts in this quarter. Rehabilitation work and purchasing of additional properties is underway by the grantee using program income at this time.

#### Accomplishments Performance Measures

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	2/4



	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	2/4
# of Singlefamily Units	0	2/4

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period			<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/I	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/4	0/0	0/4	0

## **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

# Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

## No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



## 09-308 City of Englewood (Admin) **Use - Administration**

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:			
Administration	Under Way			
Project Number:	Project Title:			
06	Program Administration			
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:			
11/16/2009	03/10/2013			
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual	End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	:		
N/A	City of Englewood			
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date		
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$50,000		
Total Budget	\$15,000.00	\$50,000		
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$35,000		
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$32,192		
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$32,192		
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00		

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$50,000.00
Total Budget	\$15,000.00	\$50,000.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$35,000.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$32,192.63
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$32,192.63
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$32,192.63
City of Englewood	\$0.00	\$32,192.63
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

8/2/2010 - Per amendment, increased Admin budget to \$35,000.00

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.



## **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

## No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



## 09-308 City of Englewood (LMMI) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab SF

Activitiy Category:
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures
Project Number:
02
Projected Start Date:
11/16/2009
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)
National Objective: NSP Only - LMMI
Overall
Total Projected Budget from All Sources
Total Budget
Total Obligated
Total Funds Drawdown
Program Funds Drawdown
Program Income Drawdown
Program Income Received
Total Funds Expended

Activity Status: Under Way Project Title: Single Family Acquisition and Rehab Projected End Date: 03/10/2013 Completed Activity Actual End Date:

#### **Responsible Organization:**

City of Englewood

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$1,924,379.00
Total Budget	\$735,000.00	\$1,924,379.00
Total Obligated	\$735,000.00	\$1,924,379.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$986,338.98
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$986,338.98
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$827,144.17
City of Englewood	\$0.00	\$827,144.17
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Activity Description:

This project is one of two projects to be submitted by Arapahoe County. The other project will be a collaborative between Arapahoe and Douglas counties; the balance of the Arapahoe County funding will be used for the joint project.

Project ReBuild is requesting a Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) Tier I grant \$1,229,379 for the following activity: 8/2/2020 - Per amendment, increased LMMI budget to 1,192,365.30.

· Activity 2: Purchase/Rehabilitation of Abandoned or Foreclosed Single-Family (SF) Properties

#### **Location Description:**

To be identified. All properties will be located in eligible census tracts in Englewood, Colorado (Arapahoe County)

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant or program income accounts in this quarter. Rehabilitation work and purchasing of additional properties is underway by the grantee using program income at this time.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	7/6



	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	7/6
# of Singlefamily Units	0	7/6

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		Cumulative Actual Total / Expected				
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/6	0

## **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

# Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

## No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



## 09-309 Jefferson County (25%) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab SF

Activitiy Category: Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures Project Number:
02
Projected Start Date:
08/14/2009
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)
National Objective:
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside
Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources
Total Budget

Activity Status: Under Way Project Title: Single Family Acquisition and Rehab Projected End Date: 03/10/2013 Completed Activity Actual End Date:

#### **Responsible Organization:**

Jefferson County

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$0.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00
Jefferson County	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

Jefferson County has been awarded an NSP grant in the amount of \$5,021,672 to purchase, rehabilitate, and make available up to 21 homeownership units. These units will be sold to households with incomes at or below 120% of Area Median Income (AMI). This Project will benefit the State by stabilizing communities through the purchase, demolition, rehabilitation and sale of abandoned or foreclosed properties. The units will be located within a Division of Housing (DOH) designated census block group(s) serving one of the areas of greatest need within the State in Lakewood, Wheat Ridge and Arvada. The properties will be purchased for an average discount of at least 1% from the appraised value. Homes will be rehabilitated to meet current local code and will use Energy Star appliances and upgrades and will be sold for no more than the cost of the purchase and rehabilitation. Assistance must be provided in the form of a secured debt. The loan documents must include the amount of assistance, the primary residency requirement and the requirement that the note is due upon resale or transfer of the property. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers through this activity. Jefferson County has an existing partnership with Colorado Housing Assistance Corporation (CHAC) to provide homebuyer education.

#### **Location Description:**

Twenty one (21) scattered site properties to be identified in Lakewood, Arvada and Wheat Ridge.

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

This activity was canceled in a previous reporting period, and is no longer in use.



## **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	0/0
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/0

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>				
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/I	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

## Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources





## 09-309 Jefferson County (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Administration	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
06	Program Administration		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
08/14/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
N/A	Jefferson County		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$110,119.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$110,119.00	
Total Budget Total Obligated	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$110,119.00 \$110,119.00	
-	• • • •	. ,	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$110,119.00	
Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$110,119.00 \$60,654.23	
Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$110,119.00 \$60,654.23 \$60,654.23	
Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$110,119.00 \$60,654.23 \$60,654.23 \$0.00	
Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown Program Income Drawdown Program Income Received	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$110,119.00 \$60,654.23 \$60,654.23 \$0.00 \$0.00	

#### **Activity Description:**

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.



Activity Locations					
Address	City	County	State	Zip	Status / Accept
			Colorado	-	Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgete No Other Match Funding Sources I					
Other Funding Sources					Amount
No Other Funding Sources Found					
Total Other Funding Sources					



## 09-309 Jefferson County (LMMI) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab SF

Activitiy Category:
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures
Project Number:
02
Projected Start Date:
08/14/2009
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)
National Objectives
National Objective: NSP Only - LMMI
NSP Only - LMMI Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget
NSP Only - LMMI Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources

Activity Status: Under Way Project Title: Single Family Acquisition and Rehab Projected End Date: 03/10/2013 Completed Activity Actual End Date:

#### **Responsible Organization:**

Jefferson County

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$7,528,088.00
Total Budget	\$2,616,535.00	\$7,528,088.00
Total Obligated	\$2,616,535.00	\$7,528,088.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$3,680,637.98
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$3,680,637.98
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$2,308,727.35
Jefferson County	\$0.00	\$2,308,727.35
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

Jefferson County has been awarded an NSP grant in the amount of \$5,021,672 to purchase, rehabilitate, and make available 21 homeownership units. These units will be sold to households with incomes at or below 120% of Area Median Income (AMI). This Project will benefit the State by stabilizing communities through the purchase, demolition, rehabilitation and sale of abandoned or foreclosed properties. The units will be located within a Division of Housing (DOH) designated census block group(s) serving one of the areas of greatest need within the State in Lakewood, Wheat Ridge and Arvada. The properties will be purchased for an average discount of at least 1% from the appraised value. Homes will be rehabilitated to meet current local code and will use Energy Star appliances and upgrades and will be sold for no more than the cost of the purchase and rehabilitation. Assistance must be provided in the form of a secured debt. The loan documents must include the amount of assistance, the primary residency requirement and the requirement that the note is due upon resale or transfer of the property. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers through this activity. Jefferson County has an existing partnership with Colorado Housing Assistance Corporation (CHAC) to provide homebuyer education.

#### **Location Description:**

Twenty one scattered site units will be purchased in Lakewood, Arvada and Wheat Ridge.

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant or program income accounts in this quarter. Rehabilitation work and purchasing of additional properties is underway by the grantee using program income at this time.



## **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	15/21
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	15/21
# of Singlefamily Units	0	15/21

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>				
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/21	0

## **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

## **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

## No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



## 09-310 City and County of Denver (25%) Use B - Single Family Acquisition/Rehab/Resale

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
02	Single Family Acquisition and Reh	ab	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
12/11/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	City and County of Denver		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$1,087,355.65	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$1,087,355.65	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$1,087,355.65	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,087,355.65	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,087,355.65	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$712,418.57	
City and County of Denver	\$0.00	\$712,418.57	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

#### **Activity Description:**

The City and County of Denver ("Denver") is requesting a \$3,541,520 NSP grant to assist in the purchase, rehabilitation and resale of 22 single-family homes for households at or below 120% area median income (AMI), including 6 homes for households at or below 50% AMI. The properties are located in the census block groups of greatest need throughout the City and will be purchased for an average discount of at 1-5% from the appraised value. Homes will be rehabilitated to meet current local code and will use Energy Star appliances and upgrades and will be sold for no more than the cost of the purchase and rehabilitation.

#### **Location Description:**

Scattered site in high risk census block groups in Denver

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No grant funds were drawn on this activity in this quarter. A Revolving Loan Fund project and account will be set up for this grantee/project, from which funds are being recycled for the completion of rehab on currently-held properties, and to acquired and rehab additional properties.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	7/6



	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	7/6
# of Singlefamily Units	0	7/6

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>				
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/I	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/6	0/0	0/6	0

## **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

# Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

## No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



## 09-310 City and County of Denver (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Administration	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
06	Program Administration		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
12/11/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
N/A	City and County of Denver		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$78,656.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$78,656.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$78,656.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$7,780.18	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$7,780.18	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
		¢7 700 40	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$7,780.18	
Total Funds Expended City and County of Denver	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$7,780.18 \$7,780.18	

#### **Activity Description:**

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.



Activity Locations					
Address	City	County	State	Zip	Status / Accept
			Colorado	-	Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgete No Other Match Funding Sources I					
Other Funding Sources					Amount
No Other Funding Sources Found					
Total Other Funding Sources					



## 09-310 City and County of Denver (LMMI) Use B - Single Family Acquisition/Rehab/Resale

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
02	Single Family Acquisition and Reha	ab	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
12/11/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual En	d Date:	
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
NSP Only - LMMI	City and County of Denver		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$2,454,164.35	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$2,454,164.35	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$2,454,164.35	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$2,042,726.22	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$2,042,726.22	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$1,475,808.87	
City and County of Denver	\$0.00	\$1,475,808.87	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

#### **Activity Description:**

The City and County of Denver ("Denver") is requesting a \$3,541,520 NSP grant to assist in the purchase, rehabilitation and resale of 22 single-family homes for households at or below 120% area median income (AMI), including 6 homes for households at or below 50% AMI. The properties are located in the census block groups of greatest need throughout the City and will be purchased for an average discount of at 1-5% from the appraised value. Homes will be rehabilitated to meet current local code and will use Energy Star appliances and upgrades and will be sold for no more than the cost of the purchase and rehabilitation.

#### **Location Description:**

Scattered site in high risk census block groups in Denver

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No grant funds were drawn on this activity in this quarter. A Revolving Loan Fund project and account will be set up for this grantee/project, from which funds are being recycled for the completion of rehab on currently-held properties, and to acquired and rehab additional properties.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period

Total

Cumulative Actual Total / Expected Total



0

11/16

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	11/16
# of Singlefamily Units	0	11/16

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		Cumulative Actual Total / Expected				
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/16	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

## **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

## No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources





Grantee Activity Number: Activity Title:

## 09-311 Adams County (25%) Use B - Purchase and Rehab MF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way	
	•	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
01	Multi-family Acquisition and Rehab	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
12/23/2009	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type:	<b>Completed Activity Actual En</b>	d Date:
Direct ( HouseHold )		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	Adams County	
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$802,451.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$802,451.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$802,451.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$802,450.70
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$802,450.70
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$802,450.70
Adams County	\$0.00	\$802,450.70
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

Adams County, through the Adams County Housing Authority will allocate \$816,200.00 in NSP funding to purchase Suusan Kay Apartments, a multi-family rental property in Westminster, an impacted area in Adams County. The Adams County Housing Authority will be lead developer for this project and will partner with local non-profit housing organizations for the long-term property ownership and management. The purchase and rehabilitation of distressed multi-family property in Adams County permits foreclosed property to return to the rental inventory in the community and provide long-term affordable rental property for residents of the community.

#### **Location Description:**

Susan Kay Apartments, 3145 Craft Way, Westminster, CO 80030

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant in this quarter. Rehabilitation and occupancy of property has been completed, and monitoring and inspection has been completed. Beneficiaries will be reported and remaining funds will be drawn prior to closeout of grant/activity.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	1/1



	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	16/16
# of Multifamily Units	0	16/16

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		Cumulative	xpected			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/I	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/16	0/0	0/16	0

## **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

# Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

## No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



## 09-311 Adams County (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Administration	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
06	Program Administration		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
12/23/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual	End Date:	
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
N/A	Adams County		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$13,749.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$13,749.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$13,749.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Adams County	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

#### **Activity Description:**

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.



Activity Locations					
Address	City	County	State	Zip	Status / Accept
			Colorado	-	Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgete No Other Match Funding Sources I					
Other Funding Sources					Amount
No Other Funding Sources Found					
Total Other Funding Sources					


### 09-312 Greeley Urban Renewal Authority (25%) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab SF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
02	Single Family Acquisition and Re	ehab
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
11/17/2009	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual	End Date:
National Objective:	<b>Responsible Organization:</b>	
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	Greeley Urban Renewal Authorit	y
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$872,97
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$872,97
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$872,97
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$651,67
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$651,67
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$479,75

Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources	<b>Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012</b> N/A	<b>To Date</b> \$872,978.40
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$872,978.40
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$872,978.40
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$651,671.60
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$651,671.60
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$479,757.51
Greeley Urban Renewal Authority	\$0.00	\$479,757.51
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

The Weld County Housing Authority will use the \$3,358,975 in NSP funds to purchase approximately 22 foreclosed upon and vacant single-family homes in Weld County including the cities of Greeley, Evans and Ft. Lupton; a minimum of 25% of the homes will be sold to households with incomes at or below 50% of the Area Median Income. Three local housing development organizations have joined together to implement this homeownership program in Weld County: Greeley Weld Housing Authority (GWHA); Greeley Urban Renewal Authority (GURA); and Greeley Area Habitat for Humanity (GAHFH). The GWHA will provide oversight of the project for properties outside Greeley city limits and GURA will provide the oversight for properties within the city of Greeley. The homes targeted for households at or below 50% of the Area Median Income will be developed by the GAHFH. All organizations, with the exception of GAHFH, will use local Realtor to identify end homeowners for these projects. Purchasers will use conventional, FHA or VA loans for permanent mortgages for their purchase. All loans will be for a 30 year term with a fixed interest rate. The homes developed by GAHFH will have mortgages carried back by GAHFH at 0% interest for a term of 20-30 years. GAHFH, like all Habitat for Humanity organizations, calculates the monthly mortgage payment based on 30% of the households income and does not charge interest on the loan. It is anticipated that the CDOH NSP funding will be repaid upon the sale of the home to an eligible homebuyer, with the exception of the Habitat mortgages; however, in a few instances, the Applicant may need to leave a portion of the NSP funding in the property as a "soft second" for the homebuyer. In instances where NSP funding remains in the home after sale to the homeowner, the appropriate affordability period and recapture provisions will be included in the loan documents.

#### **Location Description:**

To be identified. All properties will be located in eligible census tracts in Weld County including Greeley, Evans, and Ft. Lupton

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**



No funds were drawn on grant or program income accounts in this quarter. Rehabilitation work and purchasing of additional properties is underway by the grantee using program income at this time.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	5/5
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	5/5
# of Singlefamily Units	0	5/5

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This	This Report Period			Actual Total / E	xpected	
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/5	0/0	0/5	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

#### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



# 09-312 Greeley Urban Renewal Authority (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Administration	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
06	Program Administration		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
11/17/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
N/A	Greeley Urban Renewal Authority		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$87,297.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$87,297.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$87,297.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$55,521.45	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$55,521.45	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$55,521.45	
Greeley Urban Renewal Authority	\$0.00	\$55,521.45	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

#### **Activity Description:**

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**



Activity Locations					
Address	City	County	State	Zip	Status / Accept
			Colorado	-	Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgete No Other Match Funding Sources I					
Other Funding Sources					Amount
No Other Funding Sources Found					
Total Other Funding Sources					



#### **Grantee Activity Number:**

### 09-312 Greeley Urban Renewal Authority (Homeowner) **Use B - Homeowner Assistance**

#### **Activity Title:**

#### **Activitiy Category:**

Homeownership Assistance to low- and moderate-income

#### **Project Number:**

04

### **Projected Start Date:**

11/17/2009

#### **Benefit Type:** Direct (HouseHold)

# National Objective:

NSP Only - LMMI

# C

**Activity Status:** Cancelled **Project Title:** Homeownership Assistance **Projected End Date:** 03/10/2013 **Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

#### **Responsible Organization:**

Greeley Urban Renewal Authority

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$0.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00
Greeley Urban Renewal Authority	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

This activity was canceled in a previous reporting period, and is no longer in use.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/0

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period			Cumulative Actua	al Total / Expect	ted
L	ow I	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/Mod%



# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
# Owner Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources





### 09-312 Greeley Urban Renewal Authority (LMMI) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab SF

Activitiy Category:
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures
Project Number:
02
Projected Start Date:
11/17/2009
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)
National Objective:
NSP Only - LMMI
Overall
Total Projected Budget from All Sources
Total Budget
Total Obligated
Total Funds Drawdown
Program Funds Drawdown
Program Income Drawdown
Program Income Received

**Activity Status:** Under Way **Project Title:** Single Family Acquisition and Rehab **Projected End Date:** 03/10/2013 **Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

#### **Responsible Organization:**

Greeley Urban Renewal Authority

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$4,603,881.60
Total Budget	\$1,111,968.00	\$4,603,881.60
Total Obligated	\$1,111,968.00	\$4,603,881.60
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$3,068,019.85
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$3,068,019.85
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$2,467,287.31
Greeley Urban Renewal Authority	\$0.00	\$2,467,287.31
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

The Weld County Housing Authority will use the \$3,358,975 in NSP funds to purchase approximately 22 foreclosed upon and vacant single-family homes in Weld County including the cities of Greeley, Evans and Ft. Lupton; a minimum of 25% of the homes will be sold to households with incomes at or below 50% of the Area Median Income. Three local housing development organizations have joined together to implement this homeownership program in Weld County: Greeley Weld Housing Authority (GWHA); Greeley Urban Renewal Authority (GURA); and Greeley Area Habitat for Humanity (GAHFH). The GWHA will provide oversight of the project for properties outside Greeley city limits and GURA will provide the oversight for properties within the city of Greeley. The homes targeted for households at or below 50% of the Area Median Income will be developed by the GAHFH. All organizations, with the exception of GAHFH, will use local Realtor to identify end homeowners for these projects. Purchasers will use conventional, FHA or VA loans for permanent mortgages for their purchase. All loans will be for a 30 year term with a fixed interest rate. The homes developed by GAHFH will have mortgages carried back by GAHFH at 0% interest for a term of 20-30 years. GAHFH, like all Habitat for Humanity organizations, calculates the monthly mortgage payment based on 30% of the households income and does not charge interest on the loan. It is anticipated that the CDOH NSP funding will be repaid upon the sale of the home to an eligible homebuyer, with the exception of the Habitat mortgages; however, in a few instances, the Applicant may need to leave a portion of the NSP funding in the property as a "soft second" for the homebuyer. In instances where NSP funding remains in the home after sale to the homeowner, the appropriate affordability period and recapture provisions will be included in the loan documents.

#### **Location Description:**

To be identified. All properties will be located in eligible census tracts in Weld County including Greeley, Evans, and Ft. Lupton

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**



No funds were drawn on grant or program income accounts in this quarter. Rehabilitation work and purchasing of additional properties is underway by the grantee using program income at this time.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	23/19
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	23/19
# of Singlefamily Units	0	23/19

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>				
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	/lod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/19	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

#### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



# 09-313 Greeley Urban Renewal Authority (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Administration	Cancelled		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
06	Program Administration		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
11/17/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type: ()	Completed Activity Actual E	ind Date:	
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
N/A	Greeley Urban Renewal Authority		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$0.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Greeley Urban Renewal Authority	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

#### **Activity Description:**

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

This activity was canceled in a previous quarter.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**



Activity Locations					
Address	City	County	State	Zip	Status / Accept
			Colorado	-	Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgete No Other Match Funding Sources I					
Other Funding Sources					Amount
No Other Funding Sources Found					
Total Other Funding Sources					



Grantee Activity Number: Activity Title:

# 09-313 Greeley Urban Renewal Authority (LMMI) Use C - Acquisition and Demo of Blighted

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Land Banking - Acquisition (NSP Only)	Cancelled		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
03	Land Banking		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
11/17/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type: Area()	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
NSP Only - LMMI	Greeley Urban Renewal Authority		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$0.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Greeley Urban Renewal Authority	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

#### **Activity Description:**

Weld County will use the \$1,005,917 in NSP funds to purchase approximately nine (9) foreclosed, vacant and blighting structure in Weld County. It is anticipated that six (6) of the properties will be in the city of Greeley and three (3) will be in the county. Greeley and Weld County have been significantly impacted by the foreclosure crisis and many of the properties now in the foreclosure inventory have either been neglected for such a long period of time that they are blighting on the community and do not present a viable financial investment. Other properties in the foreclosure inventory have outlived their usefulness or have become functionally obsolete. The ability for these properties to be acquired and demolished will provide a positive impact in the community and will allow for future development and redevelopment without the stigma of an abandoned property. By land banking these properties, the city and county will be able to more effectively and efficiently plan for future development in these communities and will all the residents in the impacted communities to have a say in future development.

#### **Location Description:**

To be identified. All properties will be located in eligible census tracts in Weld County including the cities of Greeley, Evans and Ft. Lupton

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

This activity was canceled in a previous reporting period, and is no longer in use.



# **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	0/0
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/0

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

#### No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

### **Activity Locations**

Address	City	County	State Colorado	Zip -	Status / Accept Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgeted - No Other Match Funding Sources Fou					

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources





# 09-314 Greeley Urban Renewal Authority (25%) Activity B Acquisition and Rehab MF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
01	Multi-family Acquisition and Reha	ıb
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
11/17/2009	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual E	nd Date:
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	Greeley Urban Renewal Authority	,
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$1,000,000.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$1,000,000.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$1,000,000.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$891,070.64
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$891,070.64
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$597,237.78
Greeley Urban Renewal Authority	\$0.00	\$597,237.78
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

Weld County will use \$1,000,000 in NSP funding to purchase one multi-family rental property in Greeley or another location in Weld County. The applicant's goal would be to purchase an 8-12 unit rental property. Once the property has been purchased, title to the property will be transferred to the Greeley-Weld Housing Authority who will be the owner as well as the manager of the property. The purchase and rehabilitation of a multi-family property will allow the GWHA to achieve two goals: First, to return a foreclosed property to the rental inventory in the community, and, second, to provide a long-term affordable rental property for residents of the community.

#### **Location Description:**

To be identified. The property will be located in Weld County including the cities of Greeley, Evans and Ft. Lupton

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant in this quarter. Transfer of ownership for both multi-family properties to occur in 2nd Quarter; lease up schedule to follow.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	2/2



	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	13/13
# of Multifamily Units	0	13/13

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		Cumulative Actual Total / Expected				
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/I	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/13	0/0	0/13	0

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

# Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



# 09-314 Greeley Urban Renewal Authority (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Administration	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
06	Program Administration		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
11/17/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type: ()	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
N/A	Greeley Urban Renewal Authority		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$20,000.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$20,000.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$20,000.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$16,325.59	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$16,325.59	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$16,325.59	
Greeley Urban Renewal Authority	\$0.00	\$16,325.59	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

#### **Activity Description:**

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**



Activity Locations					
Address	City	County	State	Zip	Status / Accept
			Colorado	-	Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgete No Other Match Funding Sources I					
Other Funding Sources					Amount
No Other Funding Sources Found					
Total Other Funding Sources					



# 09-317 City of Aurora (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Administration	Under Way	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
06	Program Administration	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
09/03/2009	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual	End Date:
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	
N/A	City of Aurora	
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$21,000.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$2,078.23	\$20,806.21
Program Funds Drawdown	\$2,078.23	\$20,806.21
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$2,078.23	\$27,727.55
City of Aurora	\$2,078.23	\$27,727.55
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

Funds drawn in this reporting period covered expenses for administrative oversight of completion of project activities prior to closeout.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**



# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



Grantee Activity Number: Activity Title:

# 09-317 City of Aurora (LMMI) Use C - Acquisition and Demo of Blighted

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Land Banking - Acquisition (NSP Only)	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
03	Land Banking		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
09/03/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
Area ( )			
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
NSP Only - LMMI	City of Aurora		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31. 2012	To Date	
Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources	<b>Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012</b> N/A	<b>To Date</b> \$814,251.45	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$814,251.45	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget	N/A \$0.00	\$814,251.45 \$814,251.45	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00	\$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown Program Income Drawdown	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$0.00	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown Program Income Drawdown Program Income Received	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$814,251.45 \$0.00 \$0.00	

#### **Activity Description:**

The City of Aurora requests \$1,024,386 to acquire foreclosed homes and residential properties, demolish blighted structures, and land bank the properties up to 10 years until the re-development of 60-70 new multi-family rental units is feasible. This activity will be performed in partnership with the Aurora Housing Corporation and its subsidiary East Metro Communities, LLC; for-profit developer New Communities, LLC; and non-profit developer Urban Land Conservancy. Aurora's partners will bear all carrying costs associated with land banking the properties.

#### **Location Description:**

Scattered sites in high risk census block groups in original Aurora

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

All funds for this activity have been drawn as work has been completed on this activity objectives. Following completion of close-out project paperwork/reports this project will be closed out in 2012.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	4/3



	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	60/60
# of Multifamily Units	0	60/60

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

Activity Locations	City	County	State Colorado	Zip -	Status / Accept Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgeted No Other Match Funding Sources Fo					
Other Funding Sources No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources					Amount



# 09-318 City of Pueblo (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Administration	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
06	Program Administration		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
09/11/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
N/A	City of Pueblo		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$24,183.00	
Total Budget	\$7,500.00	\$24,183.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$16,683.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$9,045.25	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$9,045.25	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
r rogram meome Necerved			
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$8,103.36	
-	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$8,103.36 \$8,103.36	

#### **Activity Description:**

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**



# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



**Grantee Activity Number: Activity Title:** 

# 09-318 City of Pueblo (Homeowner Asst) **Use B - Homeowner Assistance**

#### **Activitiy Category:**

Homeownership Assistance to low- and moderate-income

**Project Number:** 

04

**Projected Start Date:** 09/11/2009

**Benefit Type:** Direct (HouseHold)

#### National Objective: NSP Only - LMMI

**Activity Status:** Cancelled **Project Title:** Homeownership Assistance **Projected End Date:** 03/10/2013 **Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

#### **Responsible Organization:**

City of Pueblo

Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources	<b>Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012</b> N/A	<b>To Date</b> \$0.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received Total Funds Expended	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
City of Pueblo	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

This activity was canceled in a previous reporting period, and is no longer in use.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected		
	Total	Total		
# of Housing Units	0	0/0		

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		Cumulative Actual Total / Expected				
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0



0

0/0

0/0

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

# Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources





# 09-318 City of Pueblo (LMMI) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab SF

Activitiy Category:
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures
Project Number:
02
Projected Start Date:
09/11/2009
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)
National Objective:
National Objective.
NSP Only - LMMI
-
NSP Only - LMMI
NSP Only - LMMI Overall
NSP Only - LMMI Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources
NSP Only - LMMI Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget

**Activity Status:** Under Way **Project Title:** Single Family Acquisition and Rehab **Projected End Date:** 03/10/2013 **Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

#### **Responsible Organization:**

City of Pueblo

Overall	<b>Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012</b>	<b>To Date</b>
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$1,392,051.00
Total Budget	\$367,500.00	\$1,392,051.00
Total Obligated	\$367,500.00	\$1,392,051.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$179,893.55
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$179,893.55
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$169,105.86
City of Pueblo Match Contributed	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$169,105.86 \$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

The City of Pueblo is requesting a \$1,057,818 NSP grant to assist in the purchase and redevelopment of five (5) blighted homes for households at 120% AMI and below and the purchase (for use by Habitat for Humanity), rehabilitation and resale of three (3) homes for households at 120% AMI and below for a total of eight (8) homes. The properties are located in the area of greatest need census tracks and will be purchased for an average discount of at least 15% from appraised value. The City of Pueblo will directly operate this program and use a variety of local professionals (appraisers, real estate agents, title companies, contractors) to complete this program. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers through Catholic Charities and Neighborhood Housing Services. Homes will be rehabilitated to meet current local code and Energy Star requirements and will be sold for no more than the cost of the purchase and rehabilitation. Down payment assistance will be provided by through Neighborhood Housing Services on an as needed basis.

#### **Location Description:**

Various in allowable block groups

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant or program income accounts in this quarter. Rehabilitation work and purchasing of additional properties is underway by the grantee using program income at this time. Draws anticipated in future quarters, following completion of scheduled work.



### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	0/8
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/8
# of Singlefamily Units	0	0/8

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period			<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/8	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

# No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



# 10-321 Greccio Housing Unlimited Inc (25%) Use B - Purchase and Rehabilitation MF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
01	Multi-family Acquisition and Rehab	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
09/03/2009	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual En	d Date:
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	Greccio Housing Unlimited, Inc.	
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$1,025,000.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$1,025,000.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$1,025,000.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$989,264.51
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$989,264.51
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$1,014,745.22
Greccio Housing Unlimited, Inc.	\$0.00	\$1,014,745.22
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### **Activity Description:**

Greccio Housing Unlimited, Inc. (Greccio) is requesting a NSP grant of \$2,050,000 to purchase and rehabilitate the Bentley Commons Apartments located just southeast of the intersection of the Sand Creek and Hancock Expressway in Colorado Springs, Colorado. Greccio will partner with Partners In Housing (PIH) and Rocky Mountain Community Land Trust (RMCLT) to form a single asset entity called GPR Properties II, LLC. By partnering in this endeavor, the three entities expect to draw on specific expertise within each organization for various components of the long term use of the property.

The 24-unit bank-owned property was developed in 2006 and intended for sale as condominiums but was never occupied. All units are approximately 1,100 square feet with two bedrooms and two bathrooms. The site also includes a 2,000 square foot clubhouse and a swimming pool and hot tub (to be filled in to build a playground). Slightly more than half of the site remains vacant land and is expected to ultimately be developed into additional affordable housing when the market allows.

Greccio will limit 2 units (5%) to 30%AMI, 5 each to 40%, 50% and 60%AMI, and 7 units to 120% AMI. However, given that the average rent in the project's market area is \$550/month (approximately 40%AMI levels), the rents are expected to stay well below maximum rent levels for the foreseeable future. The current proforma is projecting actual rents to be at 2 bedroom 30% and 40% AMI rents. Greccio also intends to lease all or a portion of the clubhouse space to a compatible nonprofit service provider, though the proforma is not dependent on this lease income.

The \$2,265,500 total project budget includes minor unit repairs, extensive energy efficiency upgrades, landscaping, fencing, and the fill and re-design of the swimming pool and hot-tub into a playground. Greccio anticipates a \$15,500 grant from the City of Colorado Springs to pay for a guard rail that will allow pedestrian traffic to cross Sand Creek and the organization will seek a \$200,000 loan from the El Paso County Housing Authority (EPCHA) to offset the acquisition cost and leverage the \$2,050,000 NSP investment.

#### **Location Description:**



#### Bentley Commons Apartments 2610 - 2770 Bentley Point, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant in this quarter. Rehabilitation and occupancy of property has been completed, and project awaits full monitoring and inspection prior to closeout of grant/activity.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	1/1
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/12

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period			<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/12	0/0	0/12	0

#### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

#### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources Amount



82



# 10-321 Greccio Housing Unlimited Inc (LMMI) Use B - Purchase and Rehabilitation MF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:			
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way			
Project Number:	Project Title:			
01	Multi-family Acquisition and Rehab			
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:			
09/03/2009	03/10/2013			
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual End Date:			
Direct ( HouseHold )				
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:			
NSP Only - LMMI	Greccio Housing Unlimited, Inc.			
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date		
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$1,025,000.00		
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$1,025,000.00		
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$1,025,000.00		
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$989,264.50		
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$989,264.50		
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$156,995.21		
Greccio Housing Unlimited, Inc.	\$0.00	\$156,995.21		
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00		

#### **Activity Description:**

Greccio Housing Unlimited, Inc. (Greccio) is requesting a NSP grant of \$2,050,000 to purchase and rehabilitate the Bentley Commons Apartments located just southeast of the intersection of the Sand Creek and Hancock Expressway in Colorado Springs, Colorado. Greccio will partner with Partners In Housing (PIH) and Rocky Mountain Community Land Trust (RMCLT) to form a single asset entity called GPR Properties II, LLC. By partnering in this endeavor, the three entities expect to draw on specific expertise within each organization for various components of the long term use of the property.

The 24-unit bank-owned property was developed in 2006 and intended for sale as condominiums but was never occupied. All units are approximately 1,100 square feet with two bedrooms and two bathrooms. The site also includes a 2,000 square foot clubhouse and a swimming pool and hot tub (to be filled in to build a playground). Slightly more than half of the site remains vacant land and is expected to ultimately be developed into additional affordable housing when the market allows.

Greccio will limit 2 units (5%) to 30%AMI, 5 each to 40%, 50% and 60%AMI, and 7 units to 120%AMI. However, given that the average rent in the project's market area is \$550/month (approximately 40%AMI levels), the rents are expected to stay well below maximum rent levels for the foreseeable future. The current proforma is projecting actual rents to be at 2 bedroom 30% and 40% AMI rents. Greccio also intends to lease all or a portion of the clubhouse space to a compatible nonprofit service provider, though the proforma is not dependent on this lease income.

The \$2,265,500 total project budget includes minor unit repairs, extensive energy efficiency upgrades, landscaping, fencing, and the fill and re-design of the swimming pool and hot-tub into a playground. Greccio anticipates a \$15,500 grant from the City of Colorado Springs to pay for a guard rail that will allow pedestrian traffic to cross Sand Creek and the organization will seek a \$200,000 loan from the El Paso County Housing Authority (EPCHA) to offset the acquisition cost and leverage the \$2,050,000 NSP investment.

#### **Location Description:**



#### Bentley Commons Apartments 2610 - 2770 Bentley Point, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant in this quarter. Rehabilitation and occupancy of property has been completed, and project awaits full monitoring and inspection prior to closeout of grant/activity.

#### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	1/1
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/12

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period			Cumulative	Actual Total / E	xpected	
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/I	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/5	0/12	0

#### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

#### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources





# 10-322 Greccio Housing Unlimited Inc (25%) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab MF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
01	Multi-family Acquisition and Rehab	1
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
07/24/2009	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual En	d Date:
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	Greccio Housing Unlimited, Inc.	
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$625,000.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$625,000.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$625,000.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$589,722.39
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$589,722.39
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$206,241.46
Greccio Housing Unlimited, Inc.	\$0.00	\$206,241.46

# Match Contributed

#### **Activity Description:**

Greccio Housing Unlimited, Inc. (Greccio) is requesting a NSP grant of \$625,000 to purchase and rehabilitate the Citadel Arms Apartments located at 3631 Marion Drive Colorado Springs, Colorado 80904. In addition, HUD Supportive Housing funds will be used to assist with the acquisition of this twenty-one (21) unit apartment property with fifteen one bedroom and six two bedroom units. Greccio intends to contract with the Pikes Peak Partnership for case management services for the chronically homeless households. Rehabilitation of the property includes extensive energy performance improvements and general unit upgrades (paint, carpet, etc.)

\$0.00

#### **Location Description:**

Citadel Arms Apartments. 3631 Marion Drive, Colorado Springs 80909-4253.

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant in this quarter. Rehabilitation and occupancy of property has been completed, and project awaits full monitoring and inspection prior to closeout of grant/activity. >Activity Progress Narrative:

#### Accomplishments Performance Measures

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	22/1

\$0.00



	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/21

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period			Cumulative Actual Total / Expected			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/21	0/0	0/21	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
02	Single Family Acquisition and Rehab		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
11/16/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	El Paso County		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Overall Total Projected Budget from All Sources	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012 N/A	<b>To Date</b> \$347,924.66	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated	N/A	\$347,924.66	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget	N/A \$0.00	\$347,924.66 \$347,924.66	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00	\$347,924.66 \$347,924.66 \$347,924.66	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$347,924.66 \$347,924.66 \$347,924.66 \$343,606.66	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$347,924.66 \$347,924.66 \$347,924.66 \$343,606.66 \$343,606.66	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown Program Income Drawdown	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$347,924.66 \$347,924.66 \$347,924.66 \$343,606.66 \$343,606.66 \$0.00	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources Total Budget Total Obligated Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown Program Income Drawdown Program Income Received	N/A \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$347,924.66 \$347,924.66 \$347,924.66 \$343,606.66 \$343,606.66 \$0.00 \$0.00	

#### **Activity Description:**

El Paso County has been awarded an NSP grant in the amount of \$1,421,551 to purchase, rehabilitate and resale 9 homeownership units. Six (6) of these units will be sold to households with incomes at or below 120% of Area Median Income (AMI). No less than three (3) units, and no less than 25% of these funds will be used to serve households at or below 50% of AMI. This Project will benefit the State by stabilizing communities through the purchase, rehabilitation and sale of abandoned or foreclosed properties. The units will be located within a Division of Housing (DOH) designated census block group(s) serving one of the areas of greatest need within El Paso County. The properties will be purchased for an average discount of at least 1% from the appraised value. The properties will be rehabilitation. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers. El Paso County will sub-contract with Rocky Mountain Community Land Trust to acquire and rehabilitate the homes. El Paso County Housing Authority may service the second mortgages on these homes to ensure continued affordability. All program income from this project will be returned to the State. This activity qualifies under 24 CFR 570.201(a) and 570.202.

#### **Location Description:**

To be identified. All properties will be located in eligible census tracts in El Paso County, excluding the city of Colorado Springs

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant or program income accounts in this quarter. Rehabilitation work and purchasing of additional properties is underway by the grantee using program income at this time.



### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	2/3
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/3

#### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		Cumulative	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	/lod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/3	0/0	0/3	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



# 10-323 El Paso County (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Administration	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
06	Program Administration		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
11/16/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
N/A	El Paso County		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$23,560.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$23,560.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$23,560.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$7,991.56	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$7,991.56	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$7,991.56	
El Paso County	\$0.00	\$7,991.56	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

#### **Activity Description:**

#### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**



Activity Locations					
Address	City	County	State	Zip	Status / Accept
			Colorado	-	Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgete No Other Match Funding Sources I					
Other Funding Sources					Amount
No Other Funding Sources Found					
Total Other Funding Sources					


Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
02	Single Family Acquisition and F	Rehab	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
11/16/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual End Da		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization	:	
NSP Only - LMMI	El Paso County		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$2,091,6	
Total Budget	\$1,041,536.91	\$2,091,6	
Total Obligated	\$1,041,536.91	\$2,091,6	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,016,3	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,016,3	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$1,011,3	

#### u Mar 31, 2012 **To Date** \$2,091,603.25 6.91 \$2,091,603.25 6.91 \$2,091,603.25 \$1,016,365.00 \$1,016,365.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$1,011,365.00 \$1,011,365.00 \$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

Match Contributed

El Paso County

El Paso County has been awarded an NSP grant in the amount of \$1,421,551 to purchase, rehabilitate and resale 9 homeownership units. Six (6) of these units will be sold to households with incomes at or below 120% of Area Median Income (AMI). No less than three (3) units, and no less than 25% of these funds will be used to serve households at or below 50% of AMI. This Project will benefit the State by stabilizing communities through the purchase, rehabilitation and sale of abandoned or foreclosed properties. The units will be located within a Division of Housing (DOH) designated census block group(s) serving one of the areas of greatest need within El Paso County. The properties will be purchased for an average discount of at least 1% from the appraised value. The properties will be rehabilitated to meet current local code and Energy Star requirements and will be sold for no more than the cost of the purchase and rehabilitation. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers. El Paso County will sub-contract with Rocky Mountain Community Land Trust to acquire and rehabilitate the homes. El Paso County Housing Authority may service the second mortgages on these homes to ensure continued affordability. All program income from this project will be returned to the State. This activity qualifies under 24 CFR 570.201(a) and 570.202.

\$0.00

\$0.00

### **Location Description:**

To be identified. All properties will be located in eligible census tracts in El Paso County, excluding the city of Colorado Springs

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant or program income accounts in this guarter. Rehabilitation work and purchasing of additional properties is underway by the grantee using program income at this time.



### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	6/6
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/6

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		Cumulative	xpected			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/6	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



### 10-324 City and County of Broomfield (25%) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab SF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
02	Single Family Acquisition and Reh	ab	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
02/25/2010	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	City and County of Broomfield		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$340,948.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$340,948.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$340,948.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$340,948.00	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$340,948.00	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$340,948.00	
City and County of Broomfield	\$0.00	\$340,948.00	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

### **Activity Description:**

The City and County of Broomfield has been awarded an NSP grant to purchase, rehabilitate and resale two (2) single-family units. These units will be sold to households with incomes at or below 50% of Area Median Income (AMI). 100% of these funds will be used for households at or below 50% of AMI. This Project will benefit the State by stabilizing communities through the purchase, rehabilitation and sale of abandoned or foreclosed properties. The units will be located within a Division of Housing (DOH) designated census block group(s) serving the areas of greatest need within the City and County of Broomfield. The properties will be purchased for an average discount of at least 1% from the appraised value. The properties will be rehabilitated to meet current local code and Energy Star requirements and will be sold for no more than the cost of the purchase and rehabilitation. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers. The City and County of Broomfield will enter into a subgrantee agreement with Flatirons Habitat for Humanity (FHFH) to acquire, rehabilitate and resale the units. The NSP funds will remain in the project as permanent mortgages to the households along with the FHFH mortgages. If NSP funding remains in the home after sale to the home buyer, the appropriate affordability period and recapture provisions will be included in the loan documents. The City and County of Broomfield has requested and was formally approved to be exempt from returning program income to the State. The justification for the waiver is that Habitat for Humanity will provide the first mortgages at a 0% interest rate for 30 years to households at or below 50% of AMI.

### **Location Description:**

Scattered sites in high risk census block groups in City and County of Broomfield

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

All acquisition, rehabilitation and sale of properties has been completed. Following reporting of beneficiaries, completion of monitoring reports and closeout reports/materials this project will be closed out.



### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	2/2
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	2/2
# of Singlefamily Units	0	2/2

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		Cumulative Actual Total / Expected				
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/2	0/0	0/2	0
# Owner Households	0	0	0	0/2	0/0	0/2	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



### 10-324 City and County of Broomfield (Admin) Use - Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Administration	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
06	Program Administration		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
02/25/2010	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
()			
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
N/A	City and County of Broomfield		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$8,595.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$8,595.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$8,595.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$8,595.00	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$8,595.00	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$9,779.00	
City and County of Broomfield	\$0.00	\$9,779.00	

### **Activity Description:**

### **Location Description:**

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.



Activity Locations					
Address	City	County	State	Zip	Status / Accept
			Colorado	-	Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgete No Other Match Funding Sources I					
Other Funding Sources					Amount
No Other Funding Sources Found					
Total Other Funding Sources					



### 10-325 Arapahoe Mental Health Center, Inc. (25%) Use B - Purchase and Rehab MF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
01	A Multi-family Acquisition and Ref	nab	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
11/16/2009	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type: Direct ( HouseHold )	Completed Activity Actual End Da		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	Arapahoe Mental Health Center	, Inc.	
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$828,00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$828,00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$828,00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$734,96	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$734,96	
- Dreater Income Dreudeum	<u> </u>	¢0.00	

#### \$828,000.00 \$828,000.00 \$734,963.24 \$734,963.24 **Program Income Drawdown** \$0.00 \$0.00 **Program Income Received** \$0.00 \$0.00 **Total Funds Expended** \$0.00 \$560,359.05 \$0.00 Arapahoe Mental Health Center, Inc. \$560,359.05 Match Contributed \$0.00 \$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

Arapahoe/Douglas Mental Health Network (ADMHN), a Colorado nonprofit corporation, is requesting \$900,000 in Neighborhood Stabilization Funding (NSP) on behalf of Arapahoe County for the acquisition and rehabilitation of a 12 unit multifamily rental property in Aurora, Colorado. ADMHN is a community mental health center than provides professional, comprehensive mental health care and substance abuse treatment mainly to communities of Arapahoe and Douglas counties. Founded in 1955, the organization offers adult out-patient services; child and family services; residential treatment services; substance abuse treatment services for adults and adolescents; recovery/rehabilitation services; case management; medication services and a pharmacy. Additionally, ADMHN has staff dedicated to management of the organization's residential facilities and independent living apartments. Their in-house property management provides services such as administration of the Section 8 program, screening of potential residents, and on-going maintenance and repairs of the facilities owned by the organization.

Community Housing Development Association (CHDA), a Colorado nonprofit corporation, is the development entity in this property. CHDA is a partnership among Arapahoe/Douglas Mental Health Network; Arapahoe Housing which provides alcohol and drug treatments services; and Developmental Pathways which provides community-based alternatives to persons with developmental disabilities. CHDA had developed three residential rental properties and their housing model provides for a setaside of 20% of the units for clients of the three organizations and the balance of the units are available to income gualified households.

### **Location Description:**

10288 East 6th Avenue, Aurora, Colorado 80010

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

**To Date** \$828,000.00



No funds were drawn on grant in this quarter. Rehabilitation and occupancy of property has been completed, and project awaits full monitoring and inspection prior to closeout of grant/activity.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	1/1
	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/11
# of Housing Units		

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		Cumulative Actual Total / Expected				
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/11	0/0	0/11	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



### **Grantee Activity Number:**

### 10-325 Arapahoe Mental Health Center, Inc. (Admin) Use - Administration

**Activity Status:** 

Under Way

03/10/2013

**Project Title:** 

**Program Administration** 

**Projected End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

Arapahoe Mental Health Center, Inc.

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

### Activity Title:

Activitiy Category: Administration Project Number: 06 Projected Start Date: 11/16/2009 Benefit Type: ( ) National Objective:

#### N/A

#### **Overall** Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012 **To Date Total Projected Budget from All Sources** N/A \$18,000.00 \$18,000.00 **Total Budget** \$0.00 **Total Obligated** \$0.00 \$18,000.00 **Total Funds Drawdown** \$0.00 \$18,000.00 **Program Funds Drawdown** \$0.00 \$18,000.00 **Program Income Drawdown** \$0.00 \$0.00 **Program Income Received** \$0.00 \$0.00 **Total Funds Expended** \$0.00 \$18,000.00 \$18,000.00 Arapahoe Mental Health Center, Inc. \$0.00 **Match Contributed** \$0.00 \$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

### **Location Description:**

#### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

#### No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.



Activity Locations					
Address	City	County	State	Zip	Status / Accept
			Colorado	-	Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgete No Other Match Funding Sources I					
Other Funding Sources					Amount
No Other Funding Sources Found					
Total Other Funding Sources					



### 10-325 Arapahoe Mental Health Center, Inc. (LMMI) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab MF

Activitiy Category:
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures
Project Number:
01
Projected Start Date:
11/16/2009
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)
National Objective:
NSP Only - LMMI
Overall
Total Projected Budget from All Sources
Total Budget
Total Obligated
Total Funds Drawdown
Program Funds Drawdown
Program Income Drawdown
Program Income Peceived

Activity Status: Under Way Project Title: Multi-family Acquisition and Rehab Projected End Date: 03/10/2013 Completed Activity Actual End Date:

#### **Responsible Organization:**

Arapahoe Mental Health Center, Inc.

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$72,000.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$72,000.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$72,000.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$63,909.85
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$63,909.85
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$19,926.87
Arapahoe Mental Health Center, Inc.	\$0.00	\$19,926.87
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

Arapahoe/Douglas Mental Health Network (ADMHN), a Colorado nonprofit corporation, is requesting \$900,000 in Neighborhood Stabilization Funding (NSP) on behalf of Arapahoe County for the acquisition and rehabilitation of a 12 unit multifamily rental property in Aurora, Colorado. ADMHN is a community mental health center than provides professional, comprehensive mental health care and substance abuse treatment mainly to communities of Arapahoe and Douglas counties. Founded in 1955, the organization offers adult out-patient services; child and family services; residential treatment services; substance abuse treatment services for adults and adolescents; recovery/rehabilitation services; case management; medication services and a pharmacy. Additionally, ADMHN has staff dedicated to management of the organization's residential facilities and independent living apartments. Their in-house property management provides services such as administration of the Section 8 program, screening of potential residents, and on-going maintenance and repairs of the facilities owned by the organization.

Community Housing Development Association (CHDA), a Colorado nonprofit corporation, is the development entity in this property. CHDA is a partnership among Arapahoe/Douglas Mental Health Network; Arapahoe Housing which provides alcohol and drug treatments services; and Developmental Pathways which provides community-based alternatives to persons with developmental disabilities. CHDA had developed three residential rental properties and their housing model provides for a set-aside of 20% of the units for clients of the three organizations and the balance of the units are available to income qualified households.

### **Location Description:**

10288 East 6th Avenue, Aurora, Colorado 80010

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**



No funds were drawn on grant in this quarter. Rehabilitation and occupancy of property has been completed, and project awaits full monitoring and inspection prior to closeout of grant/activity.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	0/1

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This	s Report Period		Cumulative	Actual Total / E	xpected	
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/1	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources



### 10-327 Arapahoe Douglas Mental Health (25%) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab MF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
01	Multi-family Acquisition and Reh	ab	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
07/02/2010	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	Arapahoe Douglas Mental Health Network		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$1,490,320.31	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$1,490,320.31	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$1,490,320.31	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$663,839.06	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$663,839.06	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$663,839.06	
Arapahoe Douglas Mental Health Network	\$0.00	\$663,839.06	
	<b>Aa aa</b>	<b>*</b> • • •	

### **Activity Description:**

Match Contributed

Arapahoe/Douglas Mental Health Network (ADMHN) received a grant of \$1,609,675 in Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) funds to acquire and rehabilitate Fox Street Apartments. The property is located at 5386-5388 S. Fox Street in Littleton, Arapahoe County. It has 2 buildings with 8 units each, with 8 one bedroom apartments and 8 two bedroom apartments. One unit will be set aside for an on-site manager earning up to 120% AMI, and the other 15 units will serve households at 50% AMI or lower. Some of these apartments will be available to those who receive services at ADMHN and who have demonstrated their readiness for independent living. ADMHN management will provide building supervision, and in particular, support for ADMHN clients to ensure their success in this environment. Rehab work will include new boilers and new windows to improve the buildings&rsquo energy efficiency. This contract is undertaken as a State's Direct Action per the Housing and Economic Recovery Act, 2008 [HERA] (Pub. L. 110-289), Division B, Part III, Vol. 73., No. 194, G. State's Direct Action.

\$0.00

### **Location Description:**

5386-5388 S. Fox Street >Littleton, CO 80120

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant in this quarter. Rehabilitation and occupancy of property has been completed, and project awaits full monitoring and inspection prior to closeout of grant/activity.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period Total Cumulative Actual Total / Expected Total

\$0.00



2/2

	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	15/15
# of Multifamily Units	0	15/15

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This	Report Period		Cumulative	Actual Total / Ex	cpected	
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	/lod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/15	0/0	0/15	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources





### 10-327 Arapahoe Douglas Mental Health (Admin) Use- Administration

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:		
Administration	Under Way		
Project Number:	Project Title:		
06	Program Administration		
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:		
07/02/2010	03/10/2013		
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual End Date:		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:		
N/A	Arapahoe Douglas Mental Health Network		
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date	
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$20,000.00	
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$20,000.00	
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$20,000.00	
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Arapahoe Douglas Mental Health Network	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00	

### **Activity Description:**

### **Location Description:**

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No administrative funds were drawn in this quarter.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.



Activity Locations					
Address	City	County	State	Zip	Status / Accept
			Colorado	-	Not Validated / N
Other Funding Sources Budgete No Other Match Funding Sources I					
Other Funding Sources					Amount
No Other Funding Sources Found					
Total Other Funding Sources					



### 10-327 Arapahoe Douglas Mental Health (LMMI) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab MF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Statu
	-
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way
Project Number:	Project Title:
01	Multi-family Acc
Projected Start Date:	Projected En
07/02/2010	03/10/2013
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed A
National Objective:	Responsible
NSP Only - LMMI	Arapahoe Doug
Overall	Jan 1 thru Ma
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A
Total Budget	\$0.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00

us: :: cquisition and Rehab nd Date: Activity Actual End Date:

#### • Organization:

glas Mental Health Network

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$99,354.69
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$99,354.69
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$99,354.69
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$44,255.94
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$44,255.94
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$44,255.94
Arapahoe Douglas Mental Health Network	\$0.00	\$44,255.94
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

Arapahoe/Douglas Mental Health Network (ADMHN) received a grant of \$1,609,675 in Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) funds to acquire and rehabilitate Fox Street Apartments. The property is located at 5386-5388 S. Fox Street in Littleton, Arapahoe County. It has 2 buildings with 8 units each, with 8 one bedroom apartments and 8 two bedroom apartments. One unit will be set aside for an on-site manager earning up to 120% AMI, and the other 15 units will serve households at 50% AMI or lower. Some of these apartments will be available to those who receive services at ADMHN and who have demonstrated their readiness for independent living. ADMHN management will provide building supervision, and in particular, support for ADMHN clients to ensure their success in this environment. Rehab work will include new boilers and new windows to improve the buildings&rsquo energy efficiency. This contract is undertaken as a State's Direct Action per the Housing and Economic Recovery Act, 2008 [HERA] (Pub. L. 110-289), Division B, Part III, Vol. 73., No. 194, G. State's Direct Action.

### **Location Description:**

5386 - 5388 S. Fox Street >Littleton, CO 80120

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant in this guarter. Rehabilitation and occupancy of property has been completed, and project awaits full monitoring and inspection prior to closeout of grant/activity.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

**This Report Period** Total

**Cumulative Actual Total / Expected** Total



	This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	1/1
# of Multifamily Units	0	1/1

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>		<b>kpected</b>		
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/I	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/1	0

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources





### 10-328 Jefferson County Housing Authority (25%) Use B - Acquisition and Rehab MF

Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures	Under Way	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
01	Multi-family Acquisition and Rehat	)
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
08/03/2010	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold)	Completed Activity Actual En	d Date:
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	
NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside	Jefferson County Housing Authorit	у
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$1,035,000.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$1,035,000.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$1,035,000.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,034,000.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,034,000.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$1,034,000.00
Jefferson County Housing Authority	\$0.00	\$1,034,000.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

Jefferson County Housing Authority (JCHA) received a grant of \$1,035,000 in Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) funds to acquire and rehabilitate Kendall Street Apartments. The property is located at 3905-4035 Kendall Street in Wheat Ridge, Jefferson County. It has 7 buildings with 3 units each, and all are one bedroom apartments with individual entrances. All 21 units will serve households at or below 50% AMI and some of these apartments will be available to people who receive services at Jefferson Center for Mental Health and that have demonstrated their readiness for independent living. JCHA management will provide building supervision, and JCMH will support their consumers to ensure their success in this environment. Rehabilitation work will include new furnaces, windows and doors to improve the buildings&rsquo energy efficiency. This contract is undertaken as a State's Direct Action per the Housing and Economic Recovery Act, 2008 [HERA] (Pub. L. 110-289), Division B, Part III, Vol. 73., No. 194, G. State's Direct Action

### **Location Description:**

3905, 3915, 3925, 4005, 4015, 4025, 4035 Kendall St, Wheat Ridge, CO 80033

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

No funds were drawn on grant in this quarter. Rehabilitation and occupancy of property has been completed, and project awaits full monitoring and inspection prior to closeout of grant/activity.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period Total Cumulative Actual Total / Expected Total



# of Housing Units	0	0/21
# of Multifamily Units	0	0/21

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>				
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total Low/	Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/21	0/0	0/21	0

## **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources	Amount
Neighborhood Stabilization Program	\$1,035,000.00
Total Other Funding Sources	\$0.00



Activitiy Category:	Activity Status:	
Administration	Under Way	
Project Number:	Project Title:	
06	Program Administration	
Projected Start Date:	Projected End Date:	
10/06/2008	03/10/2013	
Benefit Type:	Completed Activity Actual E	Ind Date:
()		
National Objective:	Responsible Organization:	
N/A	Colorado Department of Local Af	fairs
Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2012	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$3,233,061.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$3,233,061.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$3,233,061.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$175,762.38	\$1,287,205.74
Program Funds Drawdown	\$175,762.38	\$1,287,205.74
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$175,762.38	\$776,516.35
Colorado Department of Local Affairs Division of Housing	\$175,762.38	\$776,516.35
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

The Colorado Department of Local Affairs, Division of Housing's number one priority for this fiscal year is implementing the federal Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP). The NSP is part of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act recently adopted by Congress. Colorado ranks 19th in foreclosures and was awarded \$34,013,566. This money needs to be committed and spent on eligible projects within an eighteen month period which began when Colorado's NSP plan was approved by HUD March 10, 2009. The Colorado NSP will target areas of the state that have been hit the hardest by foreclosures. Important facets of this plan include integration with local efforts, leveraging of public funds with innovative financing, accessing Real Estate Owned (REO) properties for a discounted purchase price, providing quality pre-and post purchase counseling, vacant land-banking/reutilization, and public private partnerships. DOLA/DOH will employ strategies to absorb inventory and stabilize the market through homeownership. It will also purchase as many multifamily properties as possible and make those units available to renter households with incomes at 50% AMI or less, and, in certain instances, remove blight and develop vacant parcels of land for households with incomes at or below 50% AMI. DOLA/DOH will pass the low-income set-aside requirement on to its subgrantees and will also meet this requirement through acquisition and rehabilitation of multifamily structures.

### **Location Description:**

Colorado Department of Local Affairs, Division of Housing ("DOLA/DOH") analyzed HUD and local data to determine those areas most highly impacted by foreclosures. According to HUD's analysis of foreclosure and abandonment risk factors, areas of greatest need include Adams, Arapahoe, Broomfield, Denver, Douglas, Jefferson, Pueblo and Weld Counties and the Cities of Aurora and Colorado Springs.

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

Funds drawn for State Administration in this quarter covered costs associated with on-site file review monitoring and property inspections by State NSP Specialist and Codes section manager, and delivery of materials/reports to grantees preparing to close out completed activities. Additionally, tracking and approval of use of program income was completed using Excel in



preparation for DRGR 7.3 release allowing creation of program income accounts and receipt/draw >functions, which started being entered in 1st quarter 2012.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

## Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

### No Other Match Funding Sources Found

#### **Other Funding Sources**

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources





## **QPR Q11 Grantee State**

In which state is the grantee located? Colorado (for multiple state selections hold CTRL+Key)

HPRP Quarterly Performance Report Q11	Page 1	04/13/2012
---------------------------------------	--------	------------

## **QPR Q11 Grantee Information**

Grantee Name	CO State Program
Name of Organization or Department Department of Local Affairs, Division of H Administering Funds	
Organizational DUNS#:	878192483
Grant Number	S09-DY-08-0001
Grant Amount	\$8,154,036
Identify the Field Office	Denver
Identify CoC(s) in which the grantee and/or subgrantee(s) will provide HPRP assistance.	CO-500 - Colorado Balance of State CoC, CO- 503 - Metropolitan Denver Homeless Initiative, CO-504 - Colorado Springs/El Paso County CoC

HPRP Contact Name	
Prefix	Ms.
First Name	Lisa
Middle Name	
Last Name	Stearns
Suffix	
Title	HPRP Program Manager
HPRP Contact Address	
Street Address 1	1313 Sherman St., Room 518
Street Address 2	
City	Denver
State	Colorado
ZIP Code	80203
Phone Number	303-866-2018
Format: 123-456-7890	
Extension	
Fax Number	303-866-4077

HPRP Quarterly Performance Report Q11Page 204/13/2012

Email Address lisa.stearns@state.co.us

Format: 123-456-7890

### Confirm Email Address lisa.stearns@state.co.us

### **OPTIONAL: HPRP Secondary Contact**

First Name	Mary
Last Name	Miller
Title	Program Assistant
Phone Number Format: 123-456-7890	303-866-2987
Extension	
Email Address	mary.miller@state.co.us
Confirm Email Address	mary.miller@state.co.us

HPRP Quarterly Performance Report Q11	Page 3	04/13/2012
---------------------------------------	--------	------------

## **QPR Q11 Report Period and Status**

### Select the Reporting Period for this Performance Report Indicate Report Type QPR

HPRP Quarterly Performance Report Q11	Page 4	04/13/2012
---------------------------------------	--------	------------

## **QPR Q11 Persons and Households Served**

In the first row ("Total Served"), enter the total unduplicated number of persons and households determined eligible and served with HPRP Homelessness Prevention Assistance and HPRP Homeless Assistance (Rapid Re-Housing) in the current quarter and for the grant to date. In the "Total" rows under "Total Served by Activity (#)," enter the total unduplicated number of persons and households served with Financial Assistance and with Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services. For the "Total - Financial Assistance" row and the "Total - Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services" row: the unduplicated amount entered in each cell in these rows is not necessarily the sum of the cells for each activity above it.

Note: Eligibility determination for HPRP is either a Case Management or Outreach and Engagement activity. If HPRP funds were used for eligibility determination, these persons and households must be reported under the appropriate activity below.

Both eligible and ineligible persons and households may be reported in the Outreach and Engagement row if HPRP funds were used to pay for the eligibility determination. Please note, however, in the "Total Served" row at the top of this screen, report only persons and households who were determined to be eligible and received financial assistance or housing relocation and stabilization services with HPRP funds. Do not include persons and households who were determined to be ineligible in the "Total Served" row.

Remember that the number of persons and households served that you enter in the Grant to Date columns must be unduplicated over time. If a household is served and reported on in both a prior quarter and the current quarter, they should only be counted once in the Grant to Date column, not twice. That is, do not add up the totals of persons served in each quarter to arrive at the total for the Grant to Date columns.

					* Total Served			]							
	Homelessness Preve	ention		Homeless Assistance			TOTAL								
Tot	ons ds ons ds os os   Total Served Qua Gra Qua Gra Qua Gra Qua Gra			Pers ons Qua rter	Gra nt to Date		Gra nt to Date								
Total Served (Unduplicated)		650	6,09 1	243	2,30 9	225	1,42 3	100	652	875	7,51 4	343	2,96 1		

HPRP Quarterly Performance Report Q11	Page 5	04/13/2012
---------------------------------------	--------	------------

			* Tot	al Ser	ved b	y Activ	vity (#	)					
Homelessness Preventi	on		Н	omele	ess As	sistar	nce						
	Pers ons		Hshl ds		Pers ons		Hshl ds		Pers ons		Hshl ds	] [	
Activities	Qua rter	Gra nt to Date		Gra nt to Date	Qua rter	Gra nt to Date							
Financial Assistance		•		•		•		•	•	•	•		
Rental assistance	542	5,50 1	205	2,07 6	174	1,15 3	72	480	716	6,65 4	277	2,55 6	
Security and utility deposits	68	540	25	225	73	619	30	304	141	1,15 9	55	529	
Utility payments	92	939	37	354	42	181	18	69	134	1,12 0	55	423	
Moving cost assistance	9	57	5	22	12	40	4	16	21	97	9	38	
Motel & hotel vouchers	0	10	0	3	5	40	3	18	5	50	3	21	
Total-Financial Assistance (Unduplicated)	559	5,66 2	212	2,12 6	205	1,32 2	88	593	764	6,98 4	300	2,71 9	
Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services					_								
Case management	517	2,88 4	198	1,06 9	158	712	75	312	675	3,59 6	273	1,38 1	
Outreach and engagement	219	2,08 0	80	824	69	585	28	276	288	2,66 5	108	1,10 0	
Housing search and placement	87	588	29	255	30	309	14	135	117	897	43	390	
Legal services	4	6	1	3	0	5	0	1	4	11	1	4	
Credit repair	4	15	1	4	0	3	0	3	4	18	1	7	
Total-Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services (Unduplicated)	525	3,15 2	200	1,18 2	184	830	87	376	709	3,98 2	287	1,55 8	

### Additional comments:

Optional: If needed, use this space to provide a note explaining the above data

HPRP Quarterly Performance Report Q11	Page 6	04/13/2012
---------------------------------------	--------	------------

## QPR Q11 Housing Outcomes of Persons Served with Homelessness Prevention Assistance

In the cells below, enter the number of persons who resided in each of the destinations provided after HPRP Homelessness Prevention Assistance ended, in the current quarter and the total for the grant to date.

### Housing Outcomes (All Leavers Only)

Hom	elessness Pre	evention					
		Quarter				Grant to Date	
Destination	Persons	%	% of Total		Persons	%	% of Total
Permanent Destinations							
Permanent supportive housing for formerly homeless persons (such as SHP, S+C, or SRO Mod Rehab)	0	0.00%	0.00%		15	1.48%	1.17%
Rental by client, no housing subsidy	219	96.48%	85.55%		886	87.64%	69.11%
Rental by client, VASH housing subsidy	2	0.88%	0.78%		5	0.49%	0.39%
Rental by client, other (non-VASH) housing subsidy	4	1.76%	1.56%		65	6.43%	5.07%
Owned by client, no housing subsidy	0	0.00%	0.00%		12	1.19%	0.94%
Owned by client, with housing subsidy	1	0.44%	0.39%	11	4	0.40%	0.31%
Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	1	0.44%	0.39%		17	1.68%	1.33%
Staying or living with friend, permanent tenure	0	0.00%	0.00%		7	0.69%	0.55%
Total Persons Leaving for Permanent Destinations	227	100.00%	88.67%		1,011	100.00%	78.86%
Temporary Destinations Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher	0	0.00%	0.00%		2	2.86%	0.16%
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	0	0.00%	0.00%		17	24.29%	1.33%
Staying or living with family, temporary tenure	4	100.00%	1.56%		26	37.14%	2.03%
Staying or living with friend, temporary tenure	0	0.00%	0.00%		10	14.29%	0.78%
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	0	0.00%	0.00%		10	14.29%	0.78%
Place not meant for human habitation	0	0.00%	0.00%		5	7.14%	0.39%
Safe Haven	0	0.00%	0.00%		0	0.00%	0.00%
Total Persons Leaving for Temporary Destinations	4	100.00%	1.56%		70	100.00%	5.46%
Institutional Destinations							
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	1	33.33%	0.39%		4	44.44%	0.31%
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0.00%	0.00%		1	11.11%	0.08%
Hospital (non-psychiatric)	0	0.00%	0.00%		0	0.00%	0.00%
Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	2	66.67%	0.78%		2	22.22%	0.16%
HPRP Quarterly Performance Report Q11	Pa	ge 7			04/1	3/2012	

### Project: Statewide Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Rehousing

Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0.00%	0.00%	[	2	22.22%	0.16%
Total Persons Leaving for Institutional Destinations	3	100.00%	1.17%		9	100.00%	0.70%
				_			
Miscellaneous							
Other Destinations	0	0.00%	0.00%		9	4.69%	0.70%
Deceased	0	0.00%	0.00%		2	1.04%	0.16%
Don't know / refused	13	59.09%	5.08%		147	76.56%	11.47%
Missing this information	9	40.91%	3.52%		34	17.71%	2.65%
Total for Miscellaneous	22	100.00%	8.60%		192	100.00%	14.98%
TOTAL PERSONS WHO LEFT THE PROGRAM	256		100.00%		1,282		100.00%

### **Additional Comments:**

Optional: If needed, use this space to provide a note explaining the above data.

HPRP Quarterly Performance Report Q11	Page 8	04/13/2012
---------------------------------------	--------	------------

## QPR Q11 Housing Outcomes of Persons Served with Homeless Assistance

In the cells below, enter the number of persons who resided in each of the destinations provided after HPRP Homeless Assistance ended, in the current quarter and the total for the grant to date.

### Housing Outcomes (All Leavers Only)

Home	less Assista	nce				
		Quarter			Grant to Date	
Destination	Persons	%	% of Total	Persons	%	% of Total
Permanent Destinations						
Permanent supportive housing for formerly homeless persons (such as SHP, S+C, or SRO Mod Rehab)	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Rental by client, no housing subsidy	10	90.91%	83.33%	80	84.21%	76.19%
Rental by client, VASH housing subsidy	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Rental by client, other (non-VASH) housing subsidy	0	0.00%	0.00%	7	7.37%	6.67%
Owned by client, no housing subsidy	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Owned by client, with housing subsidy	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	1	9.09%	8.33%	8	8.42%	7.62%
Staying or living with friend, permanent tenure	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Total Persons Leaving for Permanent Destinations	11	100.00%	91.67%	95	100.00%	90.48%
Temporary Destinations Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher	1	100.00%	8.33%	1	14.29%	0.95%
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Staying or living with family, temporary tenure	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Staying or living with friend, temporary tenure	0	0.00%	0.00%	1	14.29%	0.95%
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Place not meant for human habitation	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Safe Haven	0	0.00%	0.00%	5	71.43%	4.76%
Total Persons Leaving for Temporary Destinations	1	100.00%	8.33%	7	100.00%	6.67%
Institutional Destinations						
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Hospital (non-psychiatric)	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%

HPRP Quarterly Performance Report Q11	Page 9	04/13/2012
---------------------------------------	--------	------------

87-8192483 HPRP\_019166

Total Persons Leaving for Institutional Destinations	0	100.00%	0.00%	0	100.00%	0.00%
Miscellaneous						
Other Destinations	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Deceased	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Don't know / refused	0	0.00%	0.00%	3	100.00%	2.86%
Missing this information	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Total for Miscellaneous	0	100.00%	0.00%	3	100.00%	2.86%
TOTAL PERSONS WHO LEFT THE PROGRAM	12		100.00%	105		100.00%

### **Additional Comments:**

Optional: If needed, use this space to provide a note explaining the above data.

HPRP Quarterly Performance Report Q11Page 1004/13/2012
--

## **QPR Q11 Expenditures by Activity**

In the cells below, enter the amount of funds expended (costs incurred, not necessarily drawn down) for each activity type, in the current quarter and for the grant to date. If an expenditure is incurred and reported in the grantee's financial system for the current quarter, report it below. This data will not necessarily reflect draws in IDIS.



### **Additional Comments:**

Optional: If needed, use this space to provide a note explaining the above data.

HPRP Quarterly Performance Report Q11	Page 11	04/13/2012
---------------------------------------	---------	------------

## QPR Q11 Authorizing Information and Certification

The Name of the Authorized Grantee Official should be the same as submitted in the HPRP Substantial Amendment, unless there has been a change.

Name of Authorized Grantee Official Reeves Brown

Title/Position Executive Director, Dept. of Local Affairs

I hereby certify that all the information stated herein is true and accurate. I understand that HUD will prosecute false claims and statements and that conviction may result in criminal and/or civil penalties (pursuant to 18 USC 1001, 1010, 1012; 31 USC 3729, 3802).

Check for Certification X

HPRP Quarterly Performance Report Q11	Page 12	04/13/2012
---------------------------------------	---------	------------

# **QPR Q11 Summary**

Part	Last Updated	
Grantee State	04/12/2012	
Grantee Information	04/12/2012	
Report Period and Status	04/12/2012	
Persons and Households Served	04/12/2012	
Housing Outcomes Homelessness Prevention	04/12/2012	
Housing Outcomes Homeless Assistance	04/12/2012	
Expenditures by Activity	04/12/2012	
Authorizing Information and Certification	04/12/2012	

HPRP Quarterly Performance Report Q11	Page 13	04/13/2012
---------------------------------------	---------	------------

APPENDIX F

# State of Colorado Colorado Department of Local Affairs



## COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT

## FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2005 - 2011




#### COLORADO PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT

#### HIGHLIGHTS OF CUMULATIVE ACCOMPLISHMENTS FOR FFY 2005 THROUGH 2011

The CDBG program is designed to help smaller communities meet their greatest community development and housing needs. The needs of low and moderate income persons generally receive priority consideration.

The following are some of the cumulative accomplishments of the program for federal fiscal years 2005 through 2011.

- > Over \$61.8 million has been obligated by the state to 170 local projects.
- > More than 121,000 people are benefiting from these 170 local projects.
- Over 77% of all persons benefiting from local projects are low and moderate income persons.
- About 99.7% of obligated funds have been awarded for local projects in which a majority of beneficiaries are low and moderate income persons. (Approximately .3% have been committed for local projects that eliminate slums or blight.)
- More than \$260 million in other public and private funds has been leveraged into local projects. Over \$156 million has come from private sources and over \$104 million from various public sources.
- About 10.4% of funds have been used for local and state administration expenses. (Federal law allows up to 20% to be used for administration.)
- 81.8% of all projects have been outside of the "Front Range" counties (Regions 2, 3, 4 and 7).
- 48.54% of all projects have been in the state's most economically distressed "southern tier" from the Kansas/Oklahoma border on the east to the Utah border on the west (Regions 6, 7, 8, 9 and 14).
- Since 2005, the number of projects, by type, has been:

Economic development	19	(11.2%)
Housing	64	(37.6%)
Public Facilities	76	(44.7%)
Public Service	11	(6.5%)

> The amount of funding, by project type, has been:

Economic Development	\$11,867,503.79	(19.17%)
Housing	\$16,829,344.24	(27.19%)
Public Facilities	\$32,312,068.26	(52.20%)
Public Service	\$889,066.59	(1.44%)











# Program Year 2011 PF, ED and H contracts and Supplementals Contracted April 1, 2011 through March 31, 2012

Project				Amount		
#	PROJECT	Grantee	Date	Contracted	Туре	Region
11-503	Hinsdale Co Childcare Facility	Hinsdale County	10/27/11	\$300,000	PF	10
11-506	Montrose Hilltop Community Resources	Montrose	03/06/12	\$187,316	PF	10
11-512	Saguache Downtown Revitalization Project	Saguache, Town	06/17/11	\$500,000	PF	8
11-513	Costilla Co Wastewater Improvements	Costilla County	03/01/12	\$162,650	PF	8
11-515	Victor Wastewater Collection System	Victor, City of	10/27/11	\$600,000	PF	4
	TOTAL PUBLIC FACILITIES			\$1,749,966		
11-581	La Plata County BLF	La Plata County	05/23/11	\$747,000	ED	9
11-582	Weld County BLF	Weld County	07/27/11	\$750,000	ED	2
11-583	Yuma County BLF	Yuma County	09/26/11	\$585,000	ED	1
12-584	Rio Grande County BLF	Rio Grande Coun	03/27/12	\$500,000	ED	8
	TOTAL ED			\$2,582,000		
11-011	Mountain Village Rehab	Mountain Village	08/25/11	\$744,936	Н	10
11-015	Bayfield/La Plata Home Planning	Bayfield	04/18/11	\$50,000	Н	9
11-041	Fremont County	Fremont County	10/28/11	\$480,000	Н	13
11-050	Delta County SFOO	Delta County	12/12/11	\$105,226	Н	10
11-051	Boulder County Home rehab Program	Boulder County	03/19/12	\$40,593	Н	3
11-053	San Juan County HSSW SFOO	San Juan County	12/12/11	\$363,024	Н	9
12-001	Crowley County Tri-Cnty Rehab	Crowley County	10/24/11	\$132,317	Н	6
12-002	Fowler/Otero County SF Self-Help	Town of Fowler	10/24/11	\$177,878	Н	6
	TOTAL HOUSING			\$2,093,974		
	SUPPLEMENTALS					
08-018	Seibert Water & Wastewater System Imp.	Seibert, Town of	05/20/11	\$125,000	PF	5
09-007	Oak Creek Potable Water Tank	Oak Creek, Town	04/28/11	\$143,700	PF	11
09-085	Lincoln County RLF	Lincoln County	08/11/11	\$320,000		5
09-085	Lincoln County RLF	Lincoln County	03/27/12	\$350,000	ED	5
	TOTAL WITH SUPPLEMENTALS			\$938,700		
	TOTAL CONTRACTS and SUPPs.			\$7,364,640		

#### PART I

STATE: Colorado GRANT NUMBER: B-05-DC-08-001 REPORT ON: Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2005 Funds DATA AS OF: March 31, 2012

1. FINANCIAL STATUS:										
A. GRANT AMOUNT:	<b>\$12,428,946.00</b> 12,428,946.00	<b>Total</b> Initial Amount								
	0.00									
	0.00	Reallocation								
	0.00	Program Income								
TOTAL GRANT AMOUNT:	\$12,428,946.00	Total								
	11,956,079.00	Available for Local Projects and Administration								
	348,578.00									
	124,289.00	Available for Technical Assistance								
B. AMOUNT OBLIGATED BY STATE TO GRANTEES FOR LOCAL PROJECTS AND ADMINISTRATION:										
	\$11,956,079.00	lotal								
C. AMOUNT EXPENDED BY ST	ATE:									
	\$12,428,946.00	Total								
		Local Projects and Administration								
		State Administration								
	\$124,289.00	Technical Assistance								
D. AMOUNT BUDGETED OR EX	PENDED FOR ADM	NISTRATION:								
		Total – 12.40% of Grant Amount								
	\$1,192,734.10	Local								
	\$348,578.00	State								

#### 2. NATIONAL OBJECTIVES:

A. PERIOD SPECIFIED FOR BENEFIT: FFY 2003-2004-2005

B. AMOUNTS OBLIGATED TO GRANTER	ES FOR:	2003	2004	2005							
BENEFIT LOW/MODERATE INCOME PER	RSONS (L/M)										
Total –99.4% of Amount Obligated:	\$36,554,890.94	\$12,298,540.00	\$12,363,785.00	\$11,892,565.94							
Local Projects:	33,787,616.01	11,862,085.69	11,162,185.45	10,763,344.90							
Administration:	2,767,274.90	436,454.31	1,201,599.55	1,129,221.04							
PREVENT/ELIMINATE SLUMS OR BLIGHT (S/B):											
Total – .6% of Amount Obligated:	\$218,267.06	\$0.00	\$154,754.00	\$63,513.06							
Local Projects:	154,754.00	0.00	154,754.00	0.00							
Administration:	63,513.06	0.00	0.00	63,513.06							
MEET URGENT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMEN	T NEEDS (UN):										
Total – 0.0% of Amount Obligated:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00							
Local Projects:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
Local Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
ACQUISITION/REHABILITATION NON-COUN	TABLE (NC):										
Total – 0.0% of Amount Obligated:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00							
Local Projects:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
Local Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							

### Assessment of the relationship of the use of funds to the State's objectives

The State's overall goal for the Federal Fiscal Year 2005 program is "to establish a program which is responsive to local community development needs, strategies and priorities, and which produces a measurable improvement in the communities served by the program." The State's primary objective, which parallels the statutory national objective, is "the development of viable urban communities, by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, **principally for persons of low and moderate income**. The state's objectives are also being enhanced through funding made available to the state from the Rural Economic and Community Development Program and Environmental Protection Agency in the form of jointly funded projects. Consistent with this primary objective, not less than seventy percent (70%) of federal FY 2003, 2004 and 2005 funds shall be used for the support of activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income."

- As of March 31, 2012, a total of 34 projects have been awarded with 2005 funds: 4 economic development, 19 public facilities and 8 housing projects and 3 public services.
- The State's primary objective is being achieved. As shown in Part I, \$11,892,565.94 (99.46%) of 2005 funds obligated to grantees is for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons. For FY 2003, 2004, and 2005, 99.4% of funds have been used for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons.
- When the projects for which funds have been obligated have been completed, it is estimated that 24,339 of 30,485 (80%) of project beneficiaries will be low and moderate income persons.
- No written or verbal comments concerning the program have been received from citizens.
- No change is anticipated to the state's CDBG program.
- No changes have been made to the program objectives.

### 2005 FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY 2005) COLORADO STATE CDBG FUNDS as of March 31, 2012

			Sta-	sta-		Pur-	Nat.	Proposed	Accomplish # of Units	Total Person: L/M Persons			Project funded out of which	
Grantee	#	Description		Activity	Amount	pose	Obj.	or Actual		or Jobs	or Jobs	% L/M	FFY's	
La Plata County		RLF for job creation in 5 counties		Administration	\$103,000.00		L/M	NC			F		'00-03-04-05	
La Plata County		RLF for job creation in 5 counties	č	Planning	\$80,000.00		L/M	NC					00-03-04-05	
La Plata County		RLF for job creation in 5 counties	Č	Business asst	\$277,115.87		L/M	Actual		232	118	51%	'00-03-04-05	
La Plata County		RLF for job creation in 5 counties		Administration	\$119,520.00		L/M	NC					'05-08	
La Plata County		RLF for job creation in 5 counties		Business asst	\$974,152.00		L/M	See 2008					'05-08	
Mesa County		RLF for job creation in 1 county	М	Business asst	\$0.00			NC					'04	
Morgan County		Infrastructure assistance to business		Administration	\$22,100.00		L/M	NC					'05	
Morgan County	06-081	Infrastructure assistance to business		Business asst	\$267,686.41	ED	L/M	Proposed		20	20	100%	'05	
Prowers County	05-080	RLF for job creation in 5 counties	С	Administration	\$97,300.00	ED	L/M	NC					'03-04-05	
Prowers County	05-080	RLF for job creation in 5 counties	С	Business asst	\$197,737.44	ED	L/M	Actual		49	34	69%	'03-04-05	
Rio Grande County	02-080	RLF for job creation in 6 counties	С	Administration	\$25,000.00	ED	L/M	NC					'01-02-04-05	
Rio Grande County		RLF for job creation in 6 counties	С	Business asst	\$111,400.00	ED	L/M	Actual		86	66	77%	'01-02-04-05	
Rio Grande County	09-083	RLF for job creation in 6 counties		Administration	\$120,000.00	ED	L/M	NC					'05-10	
Rio Grande County	09-083	RLF for job creation in 6 counties		Business asst	\$789,119.69	ED	L/M	See 2010					'05-10	
		Total Economic Development (ED)			\$3,184,131.41					387	238	61%	4 Projects	
Alamosa County		SFOO Housing rehab		Administration	\$24,000.00								'05	
Alamosa County		SFOO Housing rehab		Housing rehab	\$203,598.54		L/M	Proposed	14	14	14	100%		
Alamosa County		First time homebuyers downpayment		Administration	\$2,700.00		L/M	NC					'05-09	
Alamosa County		First time homebuyers downpayment		Downpayment	\$63,000.00		L/M	see 2009					'05-09	
Bent County		Housing Needs Assessment	С	Planning	\$32,050.00		L/M	NC					'05	
Boulder County		RLF for Housing rehab		Administration	\$9,244.00		L/M	NC					'05	
Boulder County		RLF for Housing rehab		Housing rehab	\$190,756.00		L/M	Proposed	16	16	16	100%		
Boulder County		SFOO Housing rehab		Housing rehab	\$240,912.00		L/M	Proposed	10	10	10	100%		
Boulder County		SFOO Housing rehab	NR	Administration	\$9,088.00		L/M	NC					'05	
Delta County		SFOO Housing rehab		Administration	\$33,836.00		L/M	NC		10		1000/	'05	
Delta County		SFOO Housing rehab	~	Housing rehab	\$50,867.00			Proposed	10	10	10	100%		
Estes Park, Town of		Housing Needs Assessment	С	Planning	\$44,000.00		L/M	NC					'05	
Fremont County		Housing Needs Assessment	С	Planning	\$47,000.00		L/M	NC					'05	
Fremont County		SFOO Housing rehab in 5 counties		Administration	\$88,000.00		L/M	NC	40	10	10	1000/	'05	
Fremont County		SFOO Housing rehab in 5 counties	~	Housing rehab	\$88,414.64			Proposed	16	16	16	100%		
Fremont County		Downpayment asst in 5 counties	С	Administration	\$0.00		L/M	NC					'05	
Fremont County		Downpayment asst in 5 counties	С	Downpayment	\$0.00	Н	L/M	cancelled					'05	
Gilpin County Grand County		Housing Needs Assessment	C	Planning	\$52,460.00		L/M L/M	NC NC					'05 '05	
		Housing Needs Assessment Downpayment assistance	C	Planning	\$64,210.00		L/IVI		26	26	36	100%		
Larimer County Larimer County		Downpayment assistance		Downpayment Downpayment	\$373,400.00 \$32.92		L/IVI	Proposed See 2007	36	36	30	100%	05-07	
Las Animas County	07.050	SFOO Housing Rehab in 2 counties	С	Administration	\$18,600.00		L/M	NC					05-07	
Las Animas County		SFOO Housing Rehab in 2 counties	c	Housing rehab	\$145,925.00		L/M	See 2006					05-06	
Monte Vista, City of		Apartment building for affordable hsg	U I	Acquisition	\$265,000.00		L/M	Proposed	32	64	64	100%		
Morrison, Town of		Perform Homeless counts for Colorado	С	Planning	\$4,722.51		L/M	NC	52	0-	0-	10070	04-05	
Prowers County		SFOO Housing Rehab	A	Housing rehab	\$280,309.02		L/M	Actual	25	25	25	100%	04-05	
Ridgeway, Town of		Housing Needs Assessment		Planning	\$39,967.02		L/M	NC	25	20	25	10070	04-03	
San Juan County		SFOO housing rehab in 4 counties		Housing rehab	\$76,302.88		L/M	See 2006					05-06	
Silverton, Town of		Housing Needs Assessment	-	Planning	\$24,923.51			NC					05 00	
Sterling, City of		Housing Needs Assessment-multi juris		Planning	\$42,000.00		L/M	NC					05	
sterning, only of	0.000	Total Housing Projects (H)	_	9	\$2,515,319.04				159	191	191	100%	8 Projects	
					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,									
Alamosa County	05-009	Water treatment facility	С	Water Imp.	\$438,000.00	PF	L/M	Actual		6868	3915	57%	'04-05	
Branson, Town of		Water system replacements	Č	Administration	\$8,000.00		L/M	NC					'05	
Branson, Town of		Water system replacements		Public Facility	\$252,000.00		L/M	Actual		96	80	83%		
Costilla County	05 040	Preschool and Head Start facility	à	Administration	\$5,000.00	00	1 /8 4	NC			20		'05	
Costilla County		Human Svcs and nursing facility		Public Facility	\$561,000.00			Actual		3492	3492		'03-05	
Costilla County		Preschool and Head Start facility		Public Facility	\$251,250.00			Actual		26	26	100%		
Denver, City & County		Expansion of Homeless Shelter		Public Facility	\$350,000.00		_	Actual		75	75	100%		
Durango, City of		Child care center in regional learning	Č	Public Facility	\$449,600.63			See 2006					'05-06	
Durango, City of				Relocation	\$25,000.00		L/M	See 2006					05-06	
Fremont County		Human Svcs and Workforce Center		Public Facility	\$88,000.00					617	617	100%	'98-00-01-03-04	
Fremont County		Early Childhood Learning Center		Public Facility	\$237,500.00			Actual		1190	824	69%		
Garfield County		Family Health Center		Public Facility	\$115,443.63								'05-06-07-09	
Gunnison County		Child care facility		Public Facility	\$160,000.00			Actual	1	129	70	54%		

Haswell, Town of	04-008	Water system improvements	С	Administration	\$5,000.00	PF	L/M	NC				'05
Haswell, Town of	04-008	Water system improvements	С	Water Imp.	\$297,320.00	PF	L/M	Actual	7	7 42	55%	'05
Hillrose, Town of	05-013	Water system improvements	С	Water Imp.	\$593,457.79	PF	L/M	Actual	26	2 166	63%	'03-05
Larimer County	05-006	Medical & dental clinic for indigent	С	Public Facility	\$260,000.00	PF	L/M	See 2006				'05-06
Longmont, City of	07-049	Domestic Violence Shelter		Public Facility	\$239,724.06	PF	L/M	Proposed	2	3 23	100%	'03-05
Manitou Springs, City	05-010	Slum/Blight Study	С	Planning	\$63,513.06	PF	SB	NC				'05
Monte Vista, City of	05-003	Drainage, curb and gutter improvements	С	Drainage imp	\$132,283.00	PF	L/M	Actual	52	2 349	67%	'04-05
Morgan County	06-060	Domestic Violence Shelter rehab	С	Public Facility	\$4,960.00	PF	L/M	NC				'05
Morgan County	06-060	Domestic Violence Shelter Expansion	С	Acquisition	\$115,040.00	PF	L/M	Actual	1	4 14	100%	'05
Red Cliff, Town of	09-005	Construction of wastewater system		Sewer imp	\$213,620.00	PF	L/M	Proposed	31	4 173	55%	'05
Rio Grande County	05-002	Social Services building expansion		Public Facility	\$97,500.00	PF	L/M	Proposed	664	0 6640	100%	'04-05
Routt County	05-008	Construction of child care center		Public Facility	\$330,000.00	PF	L/M	Proposed	4	3 28	65%	'05
Victor, City of	04-014	Construction of water treatment facility		Water Imp.	\$37,223.58	PF	L/M	Proposed	44	7 264	59%	'04-05
Walsenburg, City of	03-007	Spanish Peaks Library expansion	С	Public Facility	\$225,000.00	PF	L/M	Actual	337	2 2312	69%	'01-03-05
Weld County	07-012	Mental health facility renovation	С	Public Facility	\$100,000.00	PF	L/M	Actual	450	0 3600	80%	'05
Wiggins, Town of	07-003	Construction of child care center		Public Facility	\$169,475.00	PF	L/M	See 2007				'05-07
		Total Public Facility Projects (PF)			\$5,824,910.75				2870	7 22710	79%	19 Projects
Alamosa County		Support of Homeless Services		Public Service	\$43,633.00			Proposed	120	0 1200	100%	
Larimer County		Homeless services support		Administration	\$4,500.00			NC				'05-06
Larimer County		Homeless services support		Public Service	\$87,653.00		L/M	See 2006				'05-06
Prowers County	07-045	Housing Services to tornado victims	М	Public Service	\$248,010.31		L/M	Not Avail				'04-05
Pueblo County	07-042	Homeless services support		Administration	\$3,000.00	PS	L/M	NC				'05
Pueblo County	07-042	Homeless services support		Public Service	\$43,644.00	PS	L/M	Not Avail				'05
Trinidad, City of	08-057	Homeless Assistance		Public Service	\$1,277.49	PS	L/M	See 2007				'04-05-06-07
		Total Public Services Projects (PS)			\$431,717.80				120	0 1200	100%	3 Projects
		TOTAL ED, H, PF, PS			\$11,956,079.00				3048	5 24339	80%	

KEY:

Description	CHDO=Community Housing Development Organizaton SFOO=Single Family Owner Occupied
	RLF=Revolving Loan Fund
Status	C=Closed
	NR=New Project
	M=Amount modifed from last report
Purpose	ED=Economic Development
	H=Housing
	PF=Public Facilities
	PS=Public Services
Nat. Obj.	L/M=Low/Moderate Income Benefit
•	S/B=Slum/Blight
	U/N=Urgent Need
Accomplishments	-
Proposed or Actual	NC=Not Counted

\*Accomplishments are reported under the most recent Federal Fiscal Year of Funding If Projects are funded out of more than one FFY, then only counted in most recent year. Beneficiaries are not reported for projects involving only planning or administration If Projects involve two related activities, beneficiaries are only counted once (i.e., Acquisition and Infrastructure)

### PART I

STATE: Colorado GRANT NUMBER: B-06-DC-08-001 REPORT ON: Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2006 Funds DATA AS OF: March 31, 2012

1. FINANCIAL STATUS:										
A. GRANT AMOUNT:	<b>\$11,120,921.00</b> 11,120,921.00	<b>Total</b> Initial Amount								
	0.00	Amendment								
	0.00	Reallocation								
	0.00	Program Income								
TOTAL GRANT AMOUNT:	\$11,120,921.00	Total								
	10,687,294.00	Available for Local Projects and Administration								
		Available for State Administration								
	111,209.00	Available for Technical Assistance								
B. AMOUNT OBLIGATED BY STATE TO GRANTEES FOR LOCAL PROJECTS AND ADMINISTRATION: \$10,656,254.00 Total										
C. AMOUNT EXPENDED BY ST	ATE									
	\$10,780,355.59	Total								
		Local Projects and Administration								
		State Administration								
		Technical Assistance								
D. AMOUNT BUDGETED OR EX		INISTRATION:								
		Total – 11.44% of Grant Amount								
	\$949,958.62									
	\$322,418.00	State								

#### 2. NATIONAL OBJECTIVES:

A. PERIOD SPECIFIED FOR BENEFIT: FFY 2006-2007-2008

ES FOR:	2006	2007	2008								
ERSONS (L/M)											
\$30,686,383.28	\$10,656,963.23	\$10,171,070.51	\$9,858,349.54								
			\$9,380,241.54								
	\$949,958.62	\$494,738.47	\$478,108.00								
PREVENT/ELIMINATE SLUMS OR BLIGHT (S/B):											
( )	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$120,000.00								
120,000.00	0.00	0.00	120,000.00								
-	0.00	0.00	0.00								
NT NEEDS (UN):											
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00								
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
	0.00	0.00	0.00								
NTABLE (NC):											
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00								
	0.00	0.00	0.00								
	0.00	0.00	0.00								
	HT (S/B): \$120,000.00 120,000.00 0.00 NT NEEDS (UN): \$0.00 0.00 NTABLE (NC): \$0.00 0.00	ERSONS (L/M) \$30,686,383.28 \$28,762,868.96 \$1,922,805.09 HT (S/B): \$120,000.00 120,000.00 0.00 NT NEEDS (UN): \$0.00 0.00	ERSONS (L/M)       \$30,686,383.28       \$10,656,963.23       \$10,171,070.51         \$28,762,868.96       \$9,706,295.38       \$9,676,332.04         \$1,922,805.09       \$949,958.62       \$494,738.47         HT (S/B):       \$120,000.00       \$0.00       \$0.00         120,000.00       0.00       0.00       0.00         0.00       0.00       0.00       0.00         NT NEEDS (UN):       \$0.00       \$0.00       0.00         0.00       0.00       0.00       0.00         0.00       0.00       0.00       0.00         NTABLE (NC):       \$0.00       \$0.00       \$0.00         0.00       0.00       0.00       0.00         0.00       0.00       0.00       0.00								

### Assessment of the relationship of the use of funds to the State's objectives

The State's overall goal for the Federal Fiscal Year 2006 program is "to establish a program which is responsive to local community development needs, strategies and priorities, and which produces a measurable improvement in the communities served by the program." The State's primary objective, which parallels the statutory national objective, is "the development of viable urban communities, by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, **principally for persons of low and moderate income**. Consistent with this primary objective, not less than seventy percent (70%) of federal FY 2006, 2007 and 2008 funds shall be used for the support of activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income."

- As of March 31, 2012, a total of 33 projects have been awarded with 2006 funds, 13 housing, 14 public facilities, 1 economic development and 5 public service.
- The State's primary objective is being achieved. As shown in Part I, \$10,656,963, (100%) of 2006 funds obligated to grantees is for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons. For FY 2006, 2007, and 2008, to date, 99.6% of funds have been used for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons.
- When the projects for which funds have been obligated have been completed, it is estimated that over 94.4% of project beneficiaries, or 26,658 of 28,246 persons will be low and moderate income persons.
- No written or verbal comments concerning the program have been received from citizens.
- ✤ No change is anticipated to the state's CDBG program.
- No changes have been made to the program objectives.

#### 2006 FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY 2006) COLORADO STATE CDBG FUNDS as of March 31, 2012

									Accomplish				Project funded
Grantee	#	Description	Sta- tus	Activity	Amount	Pur- pose	Nat. Obj.	Proposed or Actual		Total Persons or Jobs	L/M Persons or Jobs	% L/M	out of which FFY's
Lincoln County		RLF in support of job creation	T	Administration	\$70,000.00		L/M	NC			1	,	'06, '08, '11
Lincoln County		RLF in support of job creation	М	Business asst	\$451,000.00		L/M	See2011					'06,'08, '11
Pueblo County		RLF in support of job creation		Administration	\$48,000.00		L/M	NC					'06
Pueblo County		RLF in support of job creation		Business asst	\$252,000.00	ED	L/M	Proposed		15	8	53.3%	'06
		Total Economic Development (ED)			\$821,000.00					15	8	53.3%	1 Projects
Boulder County		Rental Housing Construction	М	New Construction	\$247,669.33			Proposed	60	60	60	100.0%	'00-01-02-04-06
Chaffee County		Affordable Housing Planning	М	Planning	\$48,529.51		L/M	NC					'06
Cortez, City of		Housing Needs Assessment	М	Planning	\$65,100.00			NC					'06
Crowley County		SFOO Housing rehab in 3 counties	С	Administration	\$144,110.00		L/M	NC					'06
Crowley County		SFOO Housing rehab in 3 counties	С	Housing rehab	\$331,459.00			Proposed	35	35	35	100.0%	
Crowley County		SFOO Housing rehab in 3 counties		Administration	\$78,493.00		L/M	NC					'06-08
Crowley County		SFOO Housing rehab in 3 counties		Housing rehab	\$162,289.01		L/M	See 2008					'06-08
Delta County		Downpayment Assistance		Administration	\$26,233.00		L/M	NC	45	45	45	400.00/	'06
Delta County		Downpayment Assistance		Downpayment	\$17,878.37		L/M	Proposed	15	15	15	100.0%	
Delta County		Rental Housing Construction		New Construction	\$750,000.00		L/M	Proposed	48	48	48	100.0%	
Fowler, Town of		Downpayment Assist in 3 counties	6	Administration	\$12,880.00		L/M	NC			20	100.00/	'06
Fowler, Town of		Downpayment Assist in 3 counties	С	Downpayment Blopping	\$31,030.12			Proposed	23	23	23	100.0%	
Fowler, Town of Grand County		Housing Needs Assessment Multi family housing rehabilitation		Planning	\$25,000.00 \$10,000.00		L/M L/M	NC NC					'06 '06
				Administration	\$19,000.00				24	24	04	100.0%	'06
Grand County		Multi family housing rehabilitation Acquisition/rehab of SF homes	N 4	Multi fam rehab	\$141,926.00		L/M	Proposed	24	24	24	100.0%	
Huerfano/Las Animas Huerfano/Las Animas		Acquisition/rehab of SF homes	M M	Acquisition Administration	\$0.00 \$0.00		L/M L/M	cancelled cancelled					cancelled cancelled
Huerfano/Las Animas		Acquisition/rehab of SF homes	M	Housing rehab	\$0.00		L/M	cancelled					cancelled
La Plata County		Region 9 Downpayment Assistance	IVI	Administration	\$6,875.00		L/M	NC					06
La Plata County		Region 9 Downpayment Assistance		Downpayment	\$109,752.00		L/M	Proposed	25	25	25	100.0%	
Larimer County		SFOO Housing rehab		Administration	\$2,100.00		L/M	NC	25	25	25	100.078	'06
Larimer County		SFOO Housing rehab		Housing rehab	\$200,600.00		L/M	Proposed	15	15	15	100.0%	
Las Animas County		SFOO Housing Rehab in 2 counties	С	Housing rehab	\$164,524.00		L/M	Actual	22	22	22	100.0%	
Las Animas County		Downpayment Assistance	Ĕ	Administration	\$7,100.00		L/M	NC				100.070	06
Las Animas County		Downpayment Assistance		Downpayment	\$35,000.00		L/M	Proposed	7	7	7	100.0%	
Montrose County		Construction of Rental Housing		Acquisition	\$235,000.00		L/M	NC					'06
Montrose County		Construction of Rental Housing		New Construction	\$265,000.00		L/M	Proposed	43	43	43	100.0%	
Rocky Ford, City of		CHDO Operations	М	Planning	\$113,039.11		L/M	NC			-		'06
San Juan County		SFOO Housing Rehab in 4 counties		Administration	\$9,190.00		L/M	NC					'04-05-06
San Juan County		SFOO Housing Rehab in 4 counties		Housing rehab	\$44,628.74	Н	L/M	Proposed	30	30	30	100.0%	'04-05-06
San Juan County	08-054	CHDO Operations	1	Planning	\$120,758.00	Н	L/M	NC					'06
San Juan County	08-070	SFOO Housing Rehab	Î	Administration	\$17,377.00	Н	L/M	NC					'06
San Juan County	08-070	SFOO Housing Rehab	Î	Housing rehab	\$127,365.00	Н	L/M	Proposed	12	12	12	100.0%	'06
Summit County	08-062	SFOO Housing Rehab		Administration	\$45,960.00	Н	L/M	NC					'06-10
Trinidad, City of	08-057	Homeless Assistance		Public Service	\$0.55	Н	L/M	See 2007					'04-05-06-07
Windsor, Town of	08-041	Housing Needs Assessment		Planning	\$34,850.00	Н	L/M	NC					'06
Yampa Valley H.A.	08-055	Housing Needs Assessment		Planning	\$42,564.00	Н	L/M	NC					'06-07
		Total Housing Projects (H)			\$3,683,280.74				359	359	359	100.0%	13 projects
Alamosa, City of		Sewer improvements to Stockton area	С	Sewer imp	\$300,000.00		L/M	Actual		107	94	87.9%	
Alamosa, County		Social services building construction	С	Public Facility	\$735,000.00		L/M	Actual		8846	8846	100.0%	
Cortez, City of		Adult Learning Center Renovation		Public Facility	\$158,100.00		L/M	See 2010					'06-10
Durango, City of		Acquisition for child care facility	С	Acquisition	\$450,000.00		L/M	Actual		65	36	55.4%	
Durango, City of		Regional Learning Center renovation	С	Public Facility	\$50,399.37		L/M	Actual		809	614	75.9%	
Durango, City of	07-063	Domestic Violence Shelter		Public Facility	\$175,000.00	PF	L/M	Proposed		72	72	100.0%	'06
Durango, City of		Child Care Facility Renovation		Public Facility	\$186,000.00			Proposed		30	19	63.3%	
Fort Luption, City of		Health Care for Indigent Renovation	С	Public Facility	\$500,000.00			Actual		9192	9100	99.0%	
Fremont County		Acuisition for Adult Day Care Facility		Acquisition	\$79,231.00			Proposed		164	164	100.0%	
Fruita, City of		Construction Critical Access Facility	С	Public Facility	\$500,000.00			Actual		763	462	60.6%	
Garfield County		Family Health Center	С	Public Facility	\$123,821.08			See 2009					05-06-07-09
La Junta, City of		Nursing Home Renovation		Public Facility	\$479,885.63		L/M	See 2007			10		'06-07
Larimer County		Indigent Health Care Facility	С	Public Facility	\$8,785.00		L/M	Actual		2258	1351	59.8%	
Mesa County		Hospice renovation	С	Public Facility	\$450,000.00			Actual		77	52	67.5%	
Morgan County		Teenage Crisis Shelter Acquisition	_	Acquisition	\$240,100.00			Proposed		10	10	100.0%	
Pagosa Springs, Town of	1 06-002	Construction of Child Care Facility	С	Public Facility	\$500,000.00	۲F	L/M	Actual		23	15	65.2%	00

Weld County	07-013	Acquisition of building for non-profit svcs	2	Acquisition	\$889,130.00	PF	L/M	Actual	5456	5456	100.0%	
		Total Public Facility Projects (PF)			\$5,825,452.08				27872	26291	94.3%	14 Projects
Alamosa County	08-046	Homeless Assistance		Administration	\$2,000.00	PS	L/M	NC				'06
Alamosa County		Homeless Assistance		Public Service	\$49,000.00	PS	L/M	Not Avail				'06
Larimer County	07-044	Homeless Services Support		Public Service	\$50,649.41	PS	L/M	Not Avail				'05-06
Larimer County	08-044	Homeless Services Support		Administration	\$4,800.00	PS	L/M	NC				'06
Larimer County	08-044	Homeless Services Support		Public Service	\$93,500.00	PS	L/M	Not Avail				'06
Pueblo County	08-047	Homeless Services Support		Administration	\$3,000.00	PS	L/M	NC				'06
Pueblo County	08-047	Homeless Services Support		Public Service	\$56,281.00	PS	L/M	Not Avail				'06
Weld County	08-045	Homeless Assistance		Administration	\$3,000.00	PS	L/M	NC				'06
Weld County	08-045	Homeless Assistance		Public Service	\$65,000.00	PS	L/M	Not Avail				'06
		Total Public Services Projects (PS)			\$327,230.41							5 Projects
		TOTAL ED, H, PF, PS			\$10,656,963.23				28246	26658	94.4%	

KEY:

Description	CHDO=Community Housing Development Organizaton SFOO=Single Family Owner Occupied
	RLF=Revolving Loan Fund
Status	C=Closed
	NR=New Project
	M=Amount modifed from last report
Purpose	ED=Economic Development
	H=Housing
	PF=Public Facilities
	PS=Public Services
Nat. Obj.	L/M=Low/Moderate Income Benefit
	S/B=Slum/Blight
	U/N=Urgent Need
Accomplishments	°
Proposed or Actual	NC=Not Counted

\*Accomplishments are reported under the most recent Federal Fiscal Year of Funding If Projects are funded out of more than one FFY, then only counted in most recent year. Beneficiaries are not reported for projects involving only planning or administration If Projects involve two related activities, beneficiaries are only counted once (i.e., Acquisition and Infrastructure)

#### PART I

STATE: Colorado GRANT NUMBER: B-07-DC-08-001 REPORT ON: Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2007 Funds DATA AS OF: March 31, 2011

1. FINANCIAL STATUS:		
A. GRANT AMOUNT:	0.00	<b>Total</b> Initial Amount Amendment Reallocation
	0.00	Program Income
TOTAL GRANT AMOUNT:		<b>Total</b> Available for Local Projects and Administration Available for State Administration
B. AMOUNT OBLIGATED BY STA	ATE TO GRANTEES \$10,171,070.51	FOR LOCAL PROJECTS AND ADMINISTRATION: Total
C. AMOUNT EXPENDED BY STA	TE:	
	\$9,829,953.35	Total
	\$9,406,891.35	Local Projects and Administration
	\$423,062.00	State Administration
D. AMOUNT BUDGETED OR EXI	PENDED FOR ADMI	NISTRATION:
	\$917,800.47	Total – 8.52% of Grant Amount
	\$494,738.47	Local
	\$423,062.00	State

### 2. NATIONAL OBJECTIVES:

A. PERIOD SPECIFIED FOR BENEFIT: FFY 2006-2007-2008

B. AMOUNTS OBLIGATED TO GRANTEI	ES FOR:	2006	2007	2008								
BENEFIT LOW/MODERATE INCOME PEI	BENEFIT LOW/MODERATE INCOME PERSONS (L/M)											
Total – 99.6% of Amount Obligated:	\$30,686,383.28	\$10,656,963.23	\$10,171,070.51	\$9,858,349.54								
Local Projects:	\$28,762,868.96	\$9,706,295.38	\$9,676,332.04	\$9,380,241.54								
Administration:	\$1,922,805.09	\$949,958.62	\$494,738.47	\$478,108.00								
PREVENT/ELIMINATE SLUMS OR BLIGHT (S/B):												
Total – .4% of Amount Obligated:	\$120,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$120,000.00								
Local Projects:	120,000.00	0.00	0.00	120,000.00								
Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
MEET URGENT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMEN	T NEEDS (UN):											
Total – 0% of Amount Obligated:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00								
Local Projects:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
Local Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
ACQUISITION/REHABILITATION NON-COUN	TABLE (NC):											
Total – 0.0% of Amount Obligated:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00								
Local Projects:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
Local Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								

### Assessment of the relationship of the use of funds to the State's objectives

The State's overall goal for the Federal Fiscal Year 2007 program is "to establish a program which is responsive to local community development needs, strategies and priorities, and which produces a measurable improvement in the communities served by the program." The State's primary objective, which parallels the statutory national objective, is "the development of viable urban communities, by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, **principally for persons of low and moderate income**. Consistent with this primary objective, not less than seventy percent (70%) of federal FY 2006, 2007 and 2008 funds shall be used for the support of activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income."

- As of March 31, 2012, a total of 25 projects have been awarded with 2007 funds, 13 public facilities, 10 housing, and 1 economic development and 1 Public Service.
- The State's primary objective is being achieved. As shown in Part I, \$10,171,070.15 (100%) of 2007 funds obligated to grantees is for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons. For FY 2006, 2007, and 2008, to date, 99.6% of funds have been used for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons.
- When the projects for which funds have been obligated have been completed, it is estimated that over 66.4% of project beneficiaries, or 15,452 of 23,256 persons will be low and moderate income persons.
- No written or verbal comments concerning the program have been received from citizens.
- No change is anticipated to the state's CDBG program.
- No changes have been made to the program objectives.

			01-			<b>D</b>	Net	Accomplishments at. Proposed # of Units Total Persons L/M Persons					Project funded out of which	
Grantee	#	Description	Sta-	Activity	Amount	Pur- pose	Nat. Obj.	or Actual		or Jobs	or Jobs		FFY's	
a Junta. City of		Infrastructure in support of business	M	Business Asst	\$481.051.70			Proposed		25		52.0%	'07	
a Plata County		RLF in Support of job creation	M	Business Asst	\$481,051.70			See 2008		20	13	52.0%	07-08	
a Flata County	09-062	Total Economic Development (ED)	IVI	DUSITIESS ASSI	\$1,030,967.17	ED		See 2006		25	13	52.0%	1 Projects	
	_	Total Economic Development (ED)			\$1,030,967.17		-			25	13	52.0%	1 Projects	
amosa County	08-065	Housing Needs Assessment	М	Planning	\$0.00	н	L/M	NC					03-'07	
avfield Town of		Affordable Housing Plan		Planning	\$55,000.00			NC					07	
arbondale, City of		Senior Housing Construction		New Construction	\$225,000.00			Proposed	15	15	15	100.0%	07	
onejos County		Rental Housing Construction	С	New Construction	\$252,482.15			Actual	26		26	100.0%	07	
Crowley County		SFOO Housing rehab	c	Administration	\$15,000.00		L/M		20	20	20	100.070	'07	
Crowley County		SFOO Housing rehab	č	Housing Rehab	\$42,489.00			Actual	7	7	7	100.0%	'07	
Custer County		Land for Affordable Housing	<u> </u>	Acquisition	\$45,960.00			See 2008	'	'	'	100.076	07-08	
		Affordable Housing Plan		Planning	\$50,000.00		L/M						07-08	
Ourango, City of			<u> </u>	U U					0	0	0	100.0%	07	
Ourango, City of		RLF for Downpayment Assistance	C	Downpayment	\$132,798.00			Actual	8	ð	8	100.0%	-	
Ourango, City of		RLF for Downpayment Assistance	С	Administration	\$2,200.00		L/M					100.00/	'07	
remont County		Land for Self Help Home Ownership	<u> </u>	Acquisition	\$1,000.00			Actual	34	34	34	100.0%	'04-07	
remont County	08-063		<u> </u>	Administration	\$90,675.00		L/M	NC	· · · ·			400.00	'07	
remont County		SFOO Housing rehab in 4 counties	<u> </u>	Housing Rehab	\$80,675.00			Proposed	19	19	19	100.0%		
remont County		CHDO Operating	<u> </u>	Planning	\$78,050.00		L/M						'07	
Sunnison County		Housing Needs Assessment		Planning	\$67,110.00		L/M						'07	
luerfano/Las Animas		SFOO Housing Rehab in 2 counties	С	Administration	\$37,200.00		L/M						'07	
luerfano/Las Animas	08-067	SFOO Housing Rehab in 2 counties	С	Housing Rehab	\$312,800.00	Н		Actual	35	35	35	100.0%	'07	
arimer County	08-053	SFOO Housing Rehab		Administration	\$31,008.00	Н	L/M						'07	
arimer County	08-053	SFOO Housing Rehab		Housing Rehab	\$707,559.08	Н	L/M	Proposed	78	78	78	100.0%	'07	
Iontrose County	08-058	Housing Needs Assessment		Planning	\$29,530.00	Н	L/M	NC					'07	
Dtero County	08-068	SFOO Housing Rehab		Administration	\$15,000.00	Н	L/M	NC					'07	
Dtero County	08-068		С	Housing Rehab	\$134,163.25			Actual	8	8	8	100.0%	'07	
Vashington County		Multi-Family Rehab	-	Multi-Family rehab	\$266,085.00			Proposed	6	6	6	100.0%	'07	
ampa Valley HA		Housing Needs Assessment		Planning	\$8,397.45		L/M				-		07-07	
		Total Housing Projects (H)		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	\$2,680,181.93			1	236	236	236	100.0%	10 Projects	
					. , ,	1								
lear Creek County	07-007	Medical Clinic for Uninsured		Acquisition	\$200,000.00	PF	L/M	Actual		5489	3959	72.1%	'07	
Cortez, City of	08-022	Drainage imp. to low/mod areas		Drainage Imp	\$475,581.03	PF	L/M	Actual		1224	681	55.6%	'07	
Custer County		Human Service Center Acquisition		Acquisition	\$214,900.00		L/M	Actual		709	709	100.0%		
Delta, City of		Mental Health facility renovation		Public Facility	\$34,200.47		L/M			1027	965	94.0%		
Delta, City of		Domestic Violence Shelter Acquisition		Acquisition	\$205,000.00		L/M	Actual		150	150	100.0%		
Dolores, Town of	07-004		1	Public Facility	\$700,000.00			Actual	1	813	492	60.5%		
lbert County	07-014		1	Public Facility	\$205,000.00		L/M	See 2008	1	0.0		50.070	07-08	
Garfield County		Family Health Center	С	Public Facility	\$71,319.11		L/M	See 2009					05-06-07-09	
a Junta, City of		Nursing Home Renovation	Ĕ	Public Facility	\$20,114.37			Actual		156	127	81.4%		
a Junta, City of	08-003			Street Imp.	\$500,000.00			Proposed		7390	3922	53.1%		
as Animas, Town of		Water Treatment Plant Improvements			\$500,000.00		L/M			2758	3922 1704			
				Water Imp.				Actual			-			
Ancos, Town of		Wastewater Treatment Facility	<u> </u>	Sewer Imp.	\$1,419,182.00		L/M	Proposed		1146	669	58.4%	'07	
lesa County		Water Improvement to Rosevale area	<u> </u>	Water Imp.	\$218,514.34			Proposed		562	323	57.5%	'07	
Ionte Vista, City of		Health Clinic Construction	<u> </u>	Administration	\$5,000.00		L/M	NC					'07-08	
Nonte Vista, City of		Health Clinic Construction	<u> </u>	Public Facility	\$670,314.34		L/M	See 2008					'07-08	
Dlathe, Town of		Health Clinic Construction	<u> </u>	Public Facility	\$386,150.74		L/M	Actual		1506	1464	97.2%	'07	
Vashington County	08-008			Public Facility	\$102,001.63		L/M	See 2008					'07-08	
Viggins, Town of	07-003	Child Care Facility		Public Facility	\$190,525.00		L/M	Actual		65	38	58.5%	'07	
		Total Public Facility Projects (PF)			\$6,417,803.03					22995	15203	66.1%	13 Projects	
	00.077				<b>440 500 00</b>	<b>D</b> 0							10.4.05.07	
		Homeless Assistance	<u> </u>	Administration	\$10,568.00		L/M						04-05-07	
		Homeless Assistance		Public Service	\$31,550.38		L/M	Not Avail					'04-05-07	
	08-057												A Dudulla Cam	
Trinidad, City of Trinidad, City of	08-057	Total Public Service Projects (PS)			\$42,118.38								1 Public Serv	
	08-057	Total Public Service Projects (PS) TOTAL ED, H, PF, PS			\$42,118.38 \$10,171,070.51					23256	15452	66.4%	1 Public Ser	

#### 2007 FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY 2007) COLORADO STATE CDBG FUNDS as of March 31, 201;

KEY:

CHDO=Community Housing Development Organizaton SFOO=Single Family Owner Occupied RLF=Revolving Loan Fund Description C=Closed

Status

 NR=New Project

 M=Amount modifed from last report

 Purpose
 ED=Economic Development

 H=Housing

 PF=Public Facilities

 PS=Public Services

 Nat. Obj.
 L/M=Low/Moderate Income Benefit

 S/B=Slum/Blight

 U/N=Urgent Need

 Accomplishments

 Proposed or Actual
 NC=Not Counted

\*Accomplishments are reported under the most recent Federal Fiscal Year of Funding If Projects are funded out of more than one FFY, then only counted in most recent year. Beneficiaries are not reported for projects involving only planning or administration If Projects involve two related activities, beneficiaries are only counted once (i.e., Acquisition and Infrastructure)

#### PART I

STATE: Colorado GRANT NUMBER: B-08-DC-08-001 REPORT ON: Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2008 Funds DATA AS OF: March 31, 2012

1. FINANCIAL STATUS:		
A. GRANT AMOUNT:	<b>\$10,546,315.00</b> 10,546,315.00	<b>Total</b> Initial Amount
	0.00	Amendment
	0.00	
	0.00	Program Income
TOTAL GRANT AMOUNT:	\$10,546,315.00	Total
	10,129,926.00	
	416,389.00	Available for State Administration
B. AMOUNT OBLIGATED BY ST	ATE TO GRANTEES	FOR LOCAL PROJECTS AND ADMINISTRATION:
	\$9,978,349.54	Total
C. AMOUNT EXPENDED BY ST		
C. AMOUNT EXPENDED BY ST	\$9,489,201.27	Total
		Local Projects and Administration
	\$410,658.35	
	÷	
D. AMOUNT BUDGETED OR E>		
		Total – 8.48% of Grant Amount
	\$478,108.00	
	\$416,389.00	State

#### 2. NATIONAL OBJECTIVES:

A. PERIOD SPECIFIED FOR BENEFIT: FFY 2006-2007-2008

B. AMOUNTS OBLIGATED TO GRANTE	2006	2007	2008								
BENEFIT LOW/MODERATE INCOME PERSONS (L/M)											
	· · ·										
Total – 99.6% of Amount Obligated:	\$30,686,383.28	\$10,656,963.23	\$10,171,070.51	\$9,858,349.54							
Local Projects:		\$9,706,295.38	\$9,676,332.04	\$9,380,241.54							
Administration:	\$1,922,805.09	\$949,958.62	\$494,738.47	\$478,108.00							
PREVENT/ELIMINATE SLUMS OR BLIGHT (S/B):											
Total – .4% of Amount Obligated:	\$120,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$120,000.00							
Local Projects:	120,000.00	0.00	0.00	120,000.00							
	,			,							
Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
MEET URGENT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMEN	T NEEDS (UN):										
Total – 0% of Amount Obligated:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00							
Local Projects:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
Local Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
ACQUISITION/REHABILITATION NON-COUN											
Total – 0.0% of Amount Obligated:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00							
Local Projects:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
Local Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							

### Assessment of the relationship of the use of funds to the State's objectives

The State's overall goal for the Federal Fiscal Year 2008 program is "to establish a program which is responsive to local community development needs, strategies and priorities, and which produces a measurable improvement in the communities served by the program." The State's primary objective, which parallels the statutory national objective, is "the development of viable urban communities, by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, **principally for persons of low and moderate income**. Consistent with this primary objective, not less than seventy percent (70%) of federal FY 2006, 2007 and 2008 funds shall be used for the support of activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income."

- As of March 31, 2012, a total of 24 projects have been awarded with 2008 funds, 16 public facilities, 6 housing and 2 economic development.
- The State's primary objective is being achieved. As shown in Part I, \$9,858,349.54 (98.8%) of 2008 funds obligated to grantees is for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons. For FY 2006, 2007, and 2008, to date, 99.6% of funds have been used for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons.
- When the projects for which funds have been obligated have been completed, it is estimated that over 64.2% of project beneficiaries, or 13,427 of 20,923 persons will be low and moderate income persons.
- No written or verbal comments concerning the program have been received from citizens.
- ✤ No change is anticipated to the state's CDBG program.
- No changes have been made to the program objectives.

#### 2008 FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY 2008) COLORADO STATE CDBG FUNDS as of March 31, 2012

						1-	<b>1</b>		Accomplish				Project funde
			Sta-			Pur-	Nat.			Total Persons			out of which
Grantee		Description	tus	Activity	Amount	pose		or Actual	or Loans	or Jobs	or Jobs	% L/M	FFY's
haffee County		RLF in support of jobs		Business Asst	\$250,000.00		L/M	See 2010					'08, '10
Chaffee County		RLF in support of jobs		Administration	\$40,000.00		L/M	NC					'08, '10
Clear Creek County	09-086	RLF in support of jobs		Business Asst	\$504,000.00		L/M	Proposed		30	16	53.3%	
Clear Creek County		RLF in support of jobs		Administration	\$96,000.00		L/M	NC					'08
a Plata County		RLF in support of jobs in 5 counties	М	Business Asst	\$270,636.22	ED	L/M	Proposed		63	33		'07-08
incoln County RLF		RLF in support of jobs		Administration	\$20,000.00	ED	L/M	NC					06, '08, '11
Lincoln County RLF	09-085	RLF in support of jobs		Business Asst	\$249,000.00		L/M	See 2011					06, '08, '11
		Total Economic Development (ED)			\$1,429,636.22					93	49	52.7%	2 Projects
lamosa,City of	09-042	CHDO Admin Grant	С	Administration	\$49,000.00	Н	L/M	NC					'08
oulder County	08-078	SFOO Rehab	С	Housing rehab	\$7,723.00	Н	L/M	Actual	10	10	10	100.0%	05-'08
Crowley County		SFOO Housing rehab in 3 counties	C	Housing rehab	\$131,413.99		L/M	Actual	29	29		100.0%	
Custer County	09-040	Acquisition for Affordable Housing		Acquisition	\$192,040.00		L/M	Proposed	12	12	12	100.0%	'07-08
Delta County		SFOO Housing rehab		Housing rehab	\$56,900.01		L/M	Proposed	12	12	12	100.0%	
Delta County		SFOO Housing rehab	Ī	Administration	\$34,633.00		L/M	NC					'08
Fremont County		Housing Needs Assessment	М	Planning	\$1,000.00		L/M	NC					05-'08
Gilpin County		Housing Needs Assessment		Planning	\$500.00		L/M	NC					'05-'08
Iontrose County		Acquisition & Infrastructure for Housing		Acquisition	\$208,000.00		L/M	NC					'08
Nontrose County		Acquisition & Infrastructure for Housing		Infrastructure	\$363,696.00		L/M	Proposed	30	30	30	100.0%	
Park County		Housing Needs Assessment	С	Planning	\$49,975.00		L/M	NC					'08
Rio Blanco County	08-080		C	Planning	\$48,000.00		L/M	NC					'08
		Total Housing Projects (H)	-	<u>.</u>	\$1,142,881.00				83	83	83	100.0%	6 projects
					-								
Arriba, Town of		Water system improvements		Water Imp.	\$985,000.00		L/M	Actual		251	168	66.9%	
Costilla County		Wastewater Improvements	NR	Water Imp.	\$136,165.42		L/M	See 2010					'08-'10
Ibert County		County Health Facility		Public Facility	\$35,000.00		L/M	Actual		9071	6355		'07-08
Estes Park, Town of		Domestic Violence Shelter Acquisition		Public Facility	\$210,000.00		L/M	Actual		300	222	74.0%	
remont County		Sewer improvements to septic users		Sewer imp.	\$702,000.00		L/M	Proposed		1016	565	55.6%	
Hinsdale County			NR	Public Facility	\$304,000.00		L/M	Proposed		15	8	53%	
ogan County	08-004	Head Start facility renovation		Public Facility	\$229,137.90		L/M	Actual		83	78	94.0%	
Logan County		Head Start facility renovation		Administration	\$5,000.00		L/M	NC					'08
Monte Vista, City of		Drainage improvements to town		Drainage Imp.	\$1,300,000.00		L/M	Actual		4348	2563	58.9%	
Nonte Vista, City of		Health Clinic Construction		Public Facility	\$324,685.66		L/M	Proposed		2227	1782		'07-08
Ionument, Town of		Downtown slum/blight improvements		Drainage Imp.	\$120,000.00		S/B	Actual		1983	713	36.0%	
Dak Creek, Town of		New Water Tank		Water Imp.	\$22,209.97		L/M	See 2010				-	'08-09-10
Rockvale, Town of		Water system improvements		Water Imp.	\$650,000.00		L/M	Actual		370	203	54.9%	
Rockvale, Town of		Water system improvements		Administration	\$100,000.00		L/M	NC					'08
Seibert, Town of		Water system improvements		Water Imp.	\$1,065,113.00		L/M	Proposed		173	101	58.4%	
Seibert, Town of		Water system improvements		Administration	\$23,000.00		L/M	NC					'08
ictor, City of		Sewer improvements		Sewer imp.	\$495,000.00	PF	L/M	Proposed		447	264	59.1%	
ictor, City of		Sewer improvements		Administration	\$5,000.00	PF	L/M	NC					'08
/ictor, City of	08-023	Streets and Drainage Improvements		Drainage Imp.	\$495,500.00		L/M	Proposed		447	264	59.1%	'08
/ictor, City of		Streets and Drainage Improvements		Administration	\$4,500.00		L/M	NC					'08
Vashington County		Otis Preschool Facility		Public Facility	\$194,520.37		L/M	Actual	1	16	9	56.3%	'07-08
ž (		Total Public Facilities (PF)			\$7,405,832.32					20747	13295	64.1%	16 Projects
	1	TOTAL - ED. H. PF			\$9,978,349.54		1			20923	13427	64.2%	

KEY:

Description	CHDO=Community Housing Development Organizaton SFOO=Single Family Owner Occupied RLF=Revolving Loan Fund
Status	C=Closed
	NR=New Project
	M=Amount modifed from last report
Purpose	ED=Economic Development
	H=Housing
	PF=Public Facilities
	PS=Public Services
Nat. Obj.	L/M=Low/Moderate Income Benefit
	S/B=Slum/Blight
	U/N=Urgent Need
Accomplishments	NC=Not Counted

#### Proposed or Actual

\*Accomplishments are reported under the most recent Federal Fiscal Year of Funding If Projects are funded out of more than one FFY, then only counted in most recent year. Beneficiaries are not reported for projects involving only planning or administration If Projects involve two related activities, beneficiaries are only counted once (i.e., Acquisition and Infrastructure)

#### PART I

STATE: Colorado GRANT NUMBER: B-09-DC-08-001 REPORT ON: Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2009 Funds DATA AS OF: March 31, 2012

1. FINANCIAL STATUS:		
A. GRANT AMOUNT:	<b>\$8,327,836.00</b> 8,327,836.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	<b>Total</b> Initial Amount Amendment Reallocation Program Income
TOTAL GRANT AMOUNT:	<b>\$8,327,836.00</b> 7,978,001.00 349,835.00	<b>Total</b> Available for Local Projects and Administration Available for State Administration
B. AMOUNT OBLIGATED BY STATE		FOR LOCAL PROJECTS AND ADMINISTRATION: Total
C. AMOUNT EXPENDED BY STATE	:	
	\$6,968,464.34	Local Projects and Administration
D. AMOUNT BUDGETED OR EXPEN	NDED FOR ADMI	NISTRATION:
	\$966,392.00	Total – 11.6% of Grant Amount
	\$616,557.00	Local
	\$349,835.00	State

#### 2. NATIONAL OBJECTIVES:

A. PERIOD SPECIFIED FOR BENEFIT: FFY 2009-2010-2011

B. AMOUNTS OBLIGATED TO GRANTE	2009	2010	2011									
BENEFIT LOW/MODERATE INCOME PERSONS (L/M)												
Total – 100% of Amount Obligated:	\$19,135,521.00	\$7,978,001.00	\$9,944,496.00	\$1,213,024.00								
Local Projects:	17,404,295.00	\$7,361,444.00	\$8,983,663.00	1,059,188.00								
Administration:	1,731,226.00	616,557.00	960,833.00	153,836.00								
PREVENT/ELIMINATE SLUMS OR BLIGHT (S/B):												
Total – 0% of Amount Obligated:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00								
Local Projects:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
MEET URGENT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMEN	T NEEDS (UN):											
Total – 0% of Amount Obligated:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00								
Local Projects:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
Local Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
ACQUISITION/REHABILITATION NON-COUN	TABLE (NC):											
Total – 0.0% of Amount Obligated:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00								
Local Projects:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
Local Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								

### Assessment of the relationship of the use of funds to the State's objectives

The State's overall goal for the Federal Fiscal Year 2009 program is "to establish a program which is responsive to local community development needs, strategies and priorities, and which produces a measurable improvement in the communities served by the program." The State's primary objective, which parallels the statutory national objective, is "the development of viable urban communities, by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, **principally for persons of low and moderate income**. Consistent with this primary objective, not less than seventy percent (70%) of federal FY 2009, 2010 and 2011 funds shall be used for the support of activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income."

- As of March 31, 2012, a total of 20 projects have been awarded with 2009 funds, 3 public facilities, 14 housing and 3 economic development.
- The State's primary objective is being achieved. As shown in Part I, \$7,978,001.00(100%) of 2009 funds obligated to grantees is for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons. For FY 2009, 2010, and 2011, to date, 100% of funds have been used for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons.
- When the projects for which funds have been obligated have been completed, it is estimated that over 76.8% of project beneficiaries, or 8,689 of 11,312 persons will be low and moderate income persons.
- No written or verbal comments concerning the program have been received from citizens.
- ✤ No change is anticipated to the state's CDBG program.
- No changes have been made to the program objectives.

#### 2009 FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY 2009) COLORADO STATE CDBG FUNDS as of March 31, 2012

										nplishments			Project funded
			Sta-			Pur-	Nat.			Total Persons			out of which
Grantee	#	Description	tus	Activity	Amount	pose	Obj.		or Loans	or Jobs	or Jobs	% L/M	FFY's
Mesa County		RLF in support of job creation		Administration	\$120,000.00		L/M	NC					'09
Mesa County	09-088	RLF in support of job creation		Business Asst.	\$630,000.00			Proposed	11	38	20	52.6%	'09
Montrose County	09-090	RLF in support of job creation		Administration	\$80,000.00	ED	L/M	NC					'09
Montrose County	09-090	RLF in support of job creation	М	Business Asst.	\$534,388.91	ED	L/M	Proposed	6	25	13	52.0%	09
Prowers County	09-089	RLF in support of job creation		Administration	\$80,000.00	ED	L/M	NC					'09
Prowers County	09-089	RLF in support of job creation		Business Asst.	\$420,000.00	ED	L/M	Proposed	6	25	13	52.0%	'09
		Total Economic Development (ED)			\$1,864,388.91				23	88	46	52.3%	3 Projects
Alamosa County		Downpayment Assistance	С	Downpayment	\$50,403.00	Н	L/M	Actual	9	9	9	100.0%	05-'09
Alamosa County		SFOO Housing Rehab	С	Administration	\$15,588.00	Н	L/M	NC					'09
Alamosa County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Housing rehab	\$209,700.00	Н		Actual	15	15	15	100.0%	'09
Bayfield, Town of	11-015	La Plata Home Funding	NR	Planning	\$50,000.00	H		NC					09
Boulder County		Boulder Cnty Home Rehab		Housing rehab	\$23,137.00		L/M	Proposed	10		10	100%	
Boulder County		Boulder Cnty Home Rehab		Administration	\$17,456.00			Proposed	10		10	100%	
Boulder County	11-005	Rental Asst to Fire Victims		Rental Asst	\$14,499.66	H	L/M	Not Avail					'09
Breckenridge, Town of	08-079	Infrastructure in support of afford. Hsg		Infrastructure	\$750,000.00	Н	L/M	Proposed	22	22	22	100.0%	'09
Cortez, City of	09-011	Multi-family rental housing	С	New Construction	\$950,000.00	Н	L/M	Actual	48	48	48	100.0%	'09
Delta County		SFOO Housing Rehab	С	Administration	\$20,585.00			NC					'09
Delta County		SFOO Housing Rehab	С	Housing rehab	\$77,481.79	Н	L/M	Actual	12	12	12	100.0%	'09
Delta County	10-067	SFOO Housing Rehab	С	Housing rehab	\$69,254.00	Н	L/M	Actual	15	15	15	100.0%	'09
Delta County	10-067	SFOO Housing Rehab	С	Administration	\$30,819.00	Н	L/M	NC					'09
Durango, City of	09-044	Elderly multi-family housing	С	New Construction	\$256,768.00	Н	L/M	Actual	24	24	24	100.0%	'09
Huerfano County	09-080	SFOO Housing Rehab	С	Administration	\$42,590.00	H		NC					'09
Huerfano County		SFOO Housing Rehab	С	Housing rehab	\$178,410.00	Н	L/M	Actual	27	27	27	100.0%	'09
Otero County		SFOO Housing Rehab	С	Administration	\$89,755.00	H	L/M	NC					'09
Otero County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Housing rehab	\$96,000.00		L/M	Actual	8	8	8	100.0%	'09
Prowers County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Administration	\$36,681.00		L/M	NC					'09
Prowers County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Housing rehab	\$68,526.00		L/M	Proposed	10	10	10	100.0%	
Salida, City of		Downpayment Assistance		Downpayment	\$75,000.00			Proposed	5	5	5	100.0%	
San Juan County		SFOO Housing Rehab	С	Administration	\$21,083.00			NC					'09
San Juan County	10-005	SFOO Housing Rehab	С	Housing rehab	\$263,551.64		L/M	Actual	15		15	100.0%	
		Total Housing Projects (H)			\$3,407,288.09	)			230	210	230	109.5%	14 Projects
	140 565					155	1. 4.4	1	1	10000	7000	30.000	105 00 07 00
Garfield County		Family Health Center		Public Facility	\$139,416.18			Actual	I	10000	7800	78.0%	05-06-07-09
Kit Carson, Town of	08-012	Wastewater plant construction	1	Administration	\$12,000.00	PF	L/M	NC					'09

Carrield County	10-303	r anning riealth Center	U	T ublic T achity	ψ15 <del>3</del> , <del>4</del> 10.10	11		Actual	10000	7000	10.070	03-00-07-03
Kit Carson, Town of	08-012	Wastewater plant construction		Administration	\$12,000.00	PF	L/M	NC				'09
Kit Carson, Town of	08-012	Wastewater plant construction		Water Imp.	\$1,958,000.00	PF	L/M	Proposed	245	140	57.1%	'09
Oak Creek, Town of	09-007	Water Tank Construction		Water Imp.	\$96,907.82	PF	L/M	See 2010				'08-09-10
Pierce, Town of	10-506	Wastewater plant construction	С	Sewer Imp.	\$500,000.00		L/M	Actual	769	473	61.5%	
		Total Public Facility Projects (PF)			\$2,706,324.00				11014	8413	76.4%	3 Projects
		TOTAL ED, H, PF			\$7,978,001.00				11312	8689	76.8%	

KEY:

Description	CHDO=Community Housing Development Organizaton SFOO=Single Family Owner Occupied RLF=Revolving Loan Fund
Status	C=Closed
	NR=New Project
	M=Amount modifed from last report
Purpose	ED=Economic Development
	H=Housing
	PF=Public Facilities
	PS=Public Services
Nat. Obj.	L/M=Low/Moderate Income Benefit
	S/B=Slum/Blight
	U/N=Urgent Need
Accomplishments	
Proposed or Actual	NC=Not Counted

\*Accomplishments are reported under the most recent Federal Fiscal Year of Funding Beneficiaries are not reported for projects involving only planning or administration If Projects involve two related activities, beneficiaries are only counted once (i.e., Acquisition and Infrastructure)

#### PART I

STATE: Colorado GRANT NUMBER: B-10-DC-08-001 REPORT ON: Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2010 Funds DATA AS OF: March 31, 2012

1. FINANCIAL STATUS:		
A. GRANT AMOUNT:	<b>\$10,355,150.00</b> 10,355,150.00 0.00	Initial Amount
	0.00 0.00	Reallocation Program Income
TOTAL GRANT AMOUNT:	\$10,355,150.00	
	9,944,496.00 410,654.00	
B. AMOUNT OBLIGATED BY ST	ATE TO GRANTEES \$9,944,496.00	FOR LOCAL PROJECTS AND ADMINISTRATION: Total
C. AMOUNT EXPENDED BY STA	ATE:	
	\$5,807,735.71	Total
	5,719,961.15 \$87,774.56	
D. AMOUNT BUDGETED OR EX	PENDED FOR ADMI	NISTRATION:
		Total – 13.24% of Grant Amount
	\$960,833.00	Local
	\$410,654.00	State

#### 2. NATIONAL OBJECTIVES:

A. PERIOD SPECIFIED FOR BENEFIT: FFY 2009-2010-2011

B. AMOUNTS OBLIGATED TO GRANTE	ES FOR:	2009	2010	2011						
BENEFIT LOW/MODERATE INCOME PERSONS (L/M)										
Total – 100% of Amount Obligated:	\$19,135,521.00	\$7,978,001.00	\$9,944,496.00	\$1,213,024.00						
Local Projects:	17,404,295.00	\$7,361,444.00	\$8,983,663.00	1,059,188.00						
Administration:	1,731,226.00	616,557.00	960,833.00	153,836.00						
PREVENT/ELIMINATE SLUMS OR BLIGH	IT (S/B):									
Total – 0% of Amount Obligated:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00						
Local Projects:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
MEET URGENT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMEN	T NEEDS (UN):									
Total – 0% of Amount Obligated:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00						
Local Projects:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
Local Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
ACQUISITION/REHABILITATION NON-COUN	TABLE (NC):									
Total – 0.0% of Amount Obligated:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00						
Local Projects:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
Local Administration:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						

### Assessment of the relationship of the use of funds to the State's objectives

The State's overall goal for the Federal Fiscal Year 2010 program is "to establish a program which is responsive to local community development needs, strategies and priorities, and which produces a measurable improvement in the communities served by the program." The State's primary objective, which parallels the statutory national objective, is "the development of viable urban communities, by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, **principally for persons of low and moderate income**. Consistent with this primary objective, not less than seventy percent (70%) of federal FY 2009, 2010 and 2011 funds shall be used for the support of activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income."

- As of March 31, 2012, a total of 31 projects have been awarded with 2010 funds, 11 public facilities, 12 housing, 6 economic development and 2 public service.
- The State's primary objective is being achieved. As shown in Part I, \$9,944,496.00 (100%) of 2010 funds obligated to grantees is for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons. For FY 2009, 2010, and 2011, to date, 100% of funds have been used for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons.
- When the projects for which funds have been obligated have been completed, it is estimated that over 73.2% of project beneficiaries, or 5024 of 6,864 of persons benefitting are low/moderate income.
- No written or verbal comments concerning the program have been received from citizens.
- ✤ No change is anticipated to the state's CDBG program.
- No changes have been made to the program objectives.

#### 2010 FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY 2010) COLORADO STATE CDBG FUNDS as of March 31, 2012

			C40			D	Net	Dranaaad	Accomplish				Project funded
Grantee	#	Description	Sta-	Activity	Amount	Pur- pose	Nat. Obj.	Proposed or Actual		Total Persons or Jobs	L/M Persons or Jobs	% L/M	out of which FFY's
									UI LUAIIS				
Chaffee County		RLF in support of jobs		Business Asst	\$300,000.00		L/M	Proposed		32	17	53.1%	
Chaffee County		RLF in support of jobs		Administration	\$48,000.00		L/M	NC				50.00/	'08-10
a Plata County		RLF in support of jobs		Business Asst	\$507,660.08		L/M	Proposed	38	20	20	53.0%	10
a Plata County		RLF in support of jobs	NK	Administration	\$119,520.00		L/M	NC		10			10
Ditero County		RLF in support of jobs		Business Asst	\$210,000.00		L/M	Proposed		13	7	53.8%	'10
tero County		RLF in support of jobs	_	Administration	\$40,000.00		L/M	NC					'10
tio Grande County		RLF for job creation in 6 counties		Business asst	\$127,200.00		L/M	Actual		53	28	52.8%	'05-10
Veld County	11-582	BLF in support of jobs		Business Asst	\$650,000.00		L/M	Proposes	38	20	20	53.0%	10
/eld County	11-582	BLF in support of jobs		Business Asst	\$100,000.00		L/M	NC					10
uma County		BLF in support of jobs		Business Asst	\$500,000.00		L/M	Proposed	30	30	16	53.0%	10
uma County	11-583	BLF in support of jobs	NR	Business Asst	\$85,000.00	ED	L/M	NC					10
		Total Economic Development (ED)			\$2,687,380.08					168	108	64.3%	6 Projects
lamosa County	10-074	SFOO Housing Rehab		Housing Rehab	\$255,385.00	Н	L/M	Proposed	14	14	14	100.0%	'10
lamosa County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Administration	\$25,758.00		L/M	NC					'10
rowley County	10-066	SFOO Housing Rehab	С	Housing Rehab	\$42,856.06	Н	L/M	Actual	35	35	35	100.0%	05-'10
rowley County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Administration	\$77,966.00		L/M	NC					'10
rowley County	12-001	SFOO Housing Rehab	NR	Housing Rehab	\$60,738.88	Н	L/M	Proposed	30	30	30	100.0%	'10
rowley County	12-001	SFOO Housing Rehab	NR	Administration	\$74,527.00	Н	L/M	NC					'10
elta County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Housing Rehab	\$69,954.00		L/M	Proposed	15		15	100.0%	10
elta County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Administration	\$35,272.00		L/M	NC					10
owler, Town of		SFOO Housing Rehab		Housing Rehab	\$65,075.00		L/M	Actual	5	5	5	100.0%	'10
owler, Town of		SFOO Housing Rehab		Administration	\$44,624.00		L/M	NC		-			'10
remont County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Housing Rehab	\$98,142.00		L/M	Proposed	19	19	19	100.0%	'10
emont County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Administration	\$50,608.00		L/M	NC		-			'10
remont County		Rental Replacement	NR	Housing Replacen	\$480,000.00		L/M	Proposed	33		33	100.0%	'10
uerfano County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Housing Rehab	\$215,200.00		L/M	Proposed	26	26	26	100.0%	
uerfano County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Administration	\$34,800.00		L/M	NC					'10
		Multi-family Rehab	NR	Housing Rehab	\$744,936.00		LM	Proposed	88		88	100.0%	
Ditero County		Fowler Self-Help Rehab		Housing Rehab	\$130,341.50		L/M	Proposed	8		8		10
tero County		Fowler Self-Help Rehab		Administration	\$56,200.00		L/M	NC	0		0	100.070	10
an Juan County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Housing Rehab	\$283,115.00		L/M	Proposed	12	12	12	100.0%	'10
San Juan County	10-075	SFOO Housing Rehab		Administration	\$13,595.00		L/M	NC	12	12	12	100.078	'10
San Miguel County		Needs Assessment	C	Planning	\$78,500.00		L/M	NC					'10
Summit County		SFOO Housing Rehab	C	Housing Rehab	\$74,908.00			Proposed	16	16	16	100.0%	06-10
Summit County		SFOO Housing Rehab		Administration	\$24,868.00			NC	10	10	10	100.078	'06-10
	00-002	Total Housing Projects (H)		Auministration	\$3,037,369.44	11		INC		157	301		12 Projects
		Total Housing Projects (H)			\$3,037,309.44		_			197	301		12 Projects
ayfield, Town of	10 502	Preschool Construction		Dublic Eccility	\$450,000.00	DE	L/M	Dranagad		120	62	51.7%	'10
				Public Facility				Proposed					
ampo, Town of		Wastewater Treatment Plant		Sewer	\$433,780.00		L/M	Proposed		158	128	81.0%	
ampo, Town of		Wastewater Treatment Plant		Administration	\$16,220.00		L/M	NC		000	000	400.00/	'10 '00 10
ortez, City of		Adult Learning Center Renovation		Public Facility	\$90,000.00		L/M	Actual		300	300	100.0%	06-10
ostilla County		Wastewater Improvements		Sewer	\$26,484.58		L/M	Proposed		2012	1492	74.2%	08-'10
Iontrose,City of		Hilltop Community Center Construction			\$187,316.00		L/M	Proposed		915	826	90.0%	
Iontrose,City of		Hilltop Community Center Construction		Relocation	\$15,000.00		L/M	Proposed					
ak Creek, Town of		Water Tank Construction	М	Water Imp.	\$534,882.21	PF	L/M	Proposed		848	508	59.9%	'08-09-10
Dak Creek, Town of		Water Tank Construction		Administration	\$17,700.00		L/M	NC					'08-09-10
aguache County		Childcare Center Construction		Public Facility	\$530,000.00		L/M	Proposed		34	33	97.1%	'10
aguache, Town of		Downtown Revitalization		Street Imp.	\$495,000.00		L/M	Proposed		578	345	5970.0%	"10
aguache, Town of		Downtown Revitalization	NR	Administration	\$5,000.00		L/M	NC					"10
ilver Plume, Town of		Wastewater Treatment Plant		Sewer	\$544,610.00		L/M	Proposed		203	113	55.7%	'10
ilver Plume, Town of	10-509	Wastewater Treatment Plant		Administration	\$5,890.00	PF	L/M	NC					'10
ictor, City of	11-515	Wastewater Treatment Plant	NR	Sewer	\$600,000.00	PF	L/M	Proposed		445	281	63.1%	10
/estcliffe, Town of		Stormwater Drainage improvements		Drainage	\$179,863.69		L/M	Actual		926	530	57.2%	
		Total Public Facility Projects (PF)		~	\$4,131,746.48	Ī	1	1		6539	4618	70.6%	11 Projects
lamosa, City of	10-061	Homeless Services	С	Public Service	\$49,000.00	PS	L/M	Not Avail					'10
lamosa, City of		Homeless Services	Č		\$3,000.00			NC					'10

Swink, Town of	10-057 Housing Information	Public Service	\$32,215.00 PS	L/I	M	NC				10
Swink, Town of	10-057 Housing Information	Administration	\$3,785.00 PS	LN	ΛI	NC				10
	Total Public Services (PS)		\$88,000.00							2 Project
	TOTAL ED, H, PF and PS		\$9,944,496.00					6864	5027	73.2%
KEY:										
Description	CHDO=Community Housing Development Organ	*Accomplishments are reported	d unc	ler th	ne most rec	ent Federal	Fiscal Year o	f Funding		
	SFOO=Single Family Owner Occupied		Beneficiaries are not reported for projects involving only planning or administration							
	RLF=Revolving Loan Fund		If Projects involve two related a	activit	ties, I	beneficiarie	s are only c	counted once	(i.e., Acquisitio	on and Infrastructure)
Status	C=Closed									
	NR=New Project									
	M=Amount modifed from last report	10								
Purpose	ED=Economic Development									
	H=Housing									
	PF=Public Facilities									
	PS=Public Services									
Nat. Obj.	L/M=Low/Moderate Income Benefit									
	S/B=Slum/Blight									
	U/N=Urgent Need									
Accomplishments	-									
Proposed or Actual	NC=Not Counted									

#### PART I

STATE: Colorado GRANT NUMBER: B-10-DC-08-001 REPORT ON: Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2011 Funds DATA AS OF: March 31, 2012

1. FINANCIAL STATUS:		
A. GRANT AMOUNT:	<b>\$8,702,773.00</b> 8,702,773.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Initial Amount Amendment
TOTAL GRANT AMOUNT:	<b>\$8,702,773.00</b> 8,341,690.00 361,083.00	Available for Local Projects and Administration
B. AMOUNT OBLIGATED BY STATE	TO GRANTEES \$1,213,024.00	FOR LOCAL PROJECTS AND ADMINISTRATION: Total
C. AMOUNT EXPENDED BY STATE	:	
	<b>\$0.00</b> \$0.00 \$0.00	<b>Total</b> Local Projects and Administration State Administration
D. AMOUNT BUDGETED OR EXPEN	NDED FOR ADM	NISTRATION:
	• •	Total – 5.91% of Grant Amount
	\$153,836.00	
	\$361,083.00	State

#### 2. NATIONAL OBJECTIVES:

A. PERIOD SPECIFIED FOR BENEFIT: FFY 2009-2010-2011

ES FOR:	2009	2010	2011							
BENEFIT LOW/MODERATE INCOME PERSONS (L/M)										
\$19,135,521.00	\$7,978,001.00	\$9,944,496.00	\$1,213,024.00							
			1,059,188.00							
	616,557.00	960,833.00	153,836.00							
HT (S/B):										
<b>`</b> \$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00							
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
NT NEEDS (UN):										
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00							
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
NTABLE (NC):										
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00							
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
	\$19,135,521.00 17,404,295.00 1,731,226.00 HT (S/B): \$0.00 0.00 NT NEEDS (UN): \$0.00 0.00 0.00 NTABLE (NC): \$0.00 0.00	ERSONS (L/M) \$19,135,521.00 17,404,295.00 1,731,226.00 HT (S/B): \$0.00 0.00	Sersons (L/M) $\$7,978,001.00$ $\$9,944,496.00$ $$17,404,295.00$ $\$7,361,444.00$ $\$8,983,663.00$ $$1,731,226.00$ $$16,557.00$ $\$0.00$ HT (S/B): $\$0.00$ $\$0.00$ $\$0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ NT NEEDS (UN): $\$0.00$ $\$0.00$ $\$0.00$ $0.00$							

### Assessment of the relationship of the use of funds to the State's objectives

The State's overall goal for the Federal Fiscal Year 2011 program is "to establish a program which is responsive to local community development needs, strategies and priorities, and which produces a measurable improvement in the communities served by the program." The State's primary objective, which parallels the statutory national objective, is "the development of viable urban communities, by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, **principally for persons of low and moderate income**. Consistent with this primary objective, not less than seventy percent (70%) of federal FY 2009, 2010 and 2011 funds shall be used for the support of activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income."

- As of March 31, 2012, a total of 3 projects have been awarded with 2011 funds, 1 housing, and 2 economic development.
- The State's primary objective is being achieved. As shown in Part I, \$1,213,024 (100%) of 2010 funds obligated to grantees is for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons. For FY 2009, 2010, and 2011, to date, 100% of funds have been used for project activities that principally benefit low and moderate income persons.
- When the projects for which funds have been obligated have been completed, it is estimated that over 59% of project beneficiaries, or 59 of 100 persons will be low and moderate income persons.
- No written or verbal comments concerning the program have been received from citizens.
- ✤ No change is anticipated to the state's CDBG program.
- No changes have been made to the program objectives.

#### 2012 FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY 2011 COLORADO STATE CDBG FUNDS as of March 31, 2012

										Accomplishments				Project funded	
			Sta-				Pur-	Nat.	Proposed	# of Units	Total Persons	L/M Persons		out of which	
Grantee	#	Description	tus	Activity		Amount	pose	Obj.	or Actual	or Loans	or Jobs	or Jobs	% L/M	FFY's	
Rio Grande	12-584	BLF in support of jobs		Business Asst	\$	420,000.00			Proposed		25	13			
Rio Grande	12-584	BLF in support of jobs		Administrative	\$	80,000.00	ED	L/M	NR					11	
Lincoln County	09-085	BLF in support of jobs		Business Asst	\$	300,000.00			Proposed		59	30	51.0%	06 '08 '11	
Lincoln County	09-085	BLF in support of jobs	М	Administrative	\$	50,000.00	ED	L/M	NR						
	_	Total Feanomia Development (FD)			*	950 000 00					84	42	E4 20/	2 Drojecto	
	_	Total Economic Development (ED)			\$	850,000.00	_		-		64	43	51.2%	2 Projects	
San Juan County	11-053	SFOO Rehab	NR	Rehab	\$	339,188.00	Н	L/M	Proposed	16	16	16	100.0%	11	
San Juan County		SFOO Rehab		Administrative	\$	23,836.00		L/M						11	
		Total Housing Projects (H)			\$	363,024.00					16	16	100.0%	1 Project	
		Total Public Facility Projects (PF)			\$	-					0	0		0 Projects	
					_										
		Total Public Services (PS)			\$									0 Project	
		TOTAL ED, H, PF and PS			\$	1,213,024.00					100	59	59.0%		

KEY:

Description	CHDO=Community Housing Development Organizaton SFOO=Single Family Owner Occupied
	RLF=Revolving Loan Fund
Status	C=Closed
	NR=New Project
	M=Amount modifed from last report
Purpose	ED=Economic Development
	H=Housing
	PF=Public Facilities
	PS=Public Services
Nat. Obj.	L/M=Low/Moderate Income Benefit
-	S/B=Slum/Blight
	U/N=Urgent Need
Accomplishments	
Proposed or Actual	NC=Not Counted

\*Accomplishments are reported under the most recent Federal Fiscal Year of Funding Beneficiaries are not reported for projects involving only planning or administration If Projects involve two related activities, beneficiaries are only counted once (i.e., Acquisition and Infrastructure)

Preparation Date: 07/06/2012 01:05 PM Prepared By:

М	М	ill	er
1 1 1	1 1 1		<b>U</b> I

07/06/2012	2 01:05 PM					MMiller
Project#	Awarded	Grantee	Matching Type	Quarters Ma	atch Amount	Payment Amt
10-900	\$14,000.00	Otero County Housing Authority	Other	06/30/2011		\$0.00
				Total:		\$0.00
10-901	\$12,000.00	Family Crisis Services, Inc.	Other	06/30/2011	\$2,566.33	\$0.00
				Total:	\$2,566.33	\$0.00
0-902	\$8,000.00	S.H.A.R.E., Inc.	Other Federal	06/30/2011		\$3,493.07
				Total:		\$3,493.07
0-903	\$9,000.00	Family & Intercultural Resource Center	Local Government	06/30/2011		\$2,396.56
				Total:		\$2,396.56
			Other	06/30/2011		\$2,396.56
				Total:		\$2,396.56
			Private	06/30/2011	\$14,000.00	\$2,396.56
				Total:	\$14,000.00	\$2,396.56
0-904 \$23,000	\$23,000.00	Grand Valley Catholic Outreach	Other	06/30/2011		\$737.00
				Total:		\$737.00
0-905	\$26,717.00	Safe Shelter Of St. Vrain Valley	Other	06/30/2011	\$34,500.00	\$6,521.06
				Total:	\$34,500.00	\$6,521.06
0-906	\$16,000.00	Pikes Peak Community Action Agency	Private	06/30/2011		\$7,583.53
				Total:		\$7,583.53
0-908	\$21,000.00	Women'S Crisis Center - Family Outreach	Local Government	06/30/2011	\$21,000.00	\$5,250.00
		Center		Total:	\$21,000.00	\$5,250.00
			Other Federal	06/30/2011		\$5,250.00
				Total:		\$5,250.00
0-909	\$16,000.00	Loaves & Fishes Ministries Of Fremont	Local Government	06/30/2011	\$16,000.00	\$0.00
		County		Total:	\$16,000.00	\$0.00
			Other Federal	06/30/2011		\$0.00
				Total:		\$0.00
0-910	\$23,000.00	Latimer House Counseling & Advocacy	Private	06/30/2011	\$5,470.00	\$5,724.00
		Center		Total:	\$5,470.00	\$5,724.00
0-911	\$14,000.00	San Juan County	Other Federal	06/30/2011		\$3,852.92
				Total:		\$3,852.92
0-912	\$10,000.00	Advocate Safehouse Project	Local Government	06/30/2011	\$1,820.75	\$3,392.92
				Total:	\$1,820.75	\$3,392.92

Preparation Date: 07/06/2012 01:05 PM

07/06/2012	2 01:05 PIM					MMiller
Project#	Awarded	Grantee	Matching Type	Quarters M	atch Amount	Payment Amt
10-913	\$17,000.00	Boulder Shelter For The Homeless	Local Government	06/30/2011		\$1,999.89
				Total:		\$1,999.89
10-914	\$18,000.00	Help For Abused Partners	Other Federal	06/30/2011		\$5,043.59
				Total:		\$5,043.59
			Private	06/30/2011		\$5,043.59
				Total:		\$5,043.59
10-915	\$16,000.00	Inter-Faith Community Services	Other	06/30/2011	\$5,000.00	\$10,864.06
				Total:	\$5,000.00	\$10,864.06
			Other Federal	06/30/2011		\$10,864.06
				Total:		\$10,864.06
10-918	\$21.000.00	Jefferson Center For Mental Health	Other Federal	06/30/2011		\$3,225.00
	<i><b>4</b>21,000100</i>			Total:		\$3,225.00
				rotai.		<i><b>4</b>0,220100</i>
10-919	\$25,000.00	Jeffco Action Center	Other Federal	06/01/2011		\$8,743.21
				Total:		\$8,743.21
10-920	\$10,000.00	Park County Crisis Cntr (Mountain Peace)	Other Federal	06/30/2011		\$0.00
				Total:		\$0.00
10-921	\$13.000.00	Arkansas Valley Resources Center	Local Government	06/30/2011	\$3,250.00	\$5,193.04
	<i><i><i></i></i></i>			Total:	\$3,250.00	\$5,193.04
			Other Federal	06/30/2011	<b>+</b> - ,	\$5,193.04
				Total:		\$5,193.04
10-922	\$12,000,00	The Inn Between Of Longmont, Inc.	Local Government	06/30/2011		\$5,457.82
10-922	ψ12,000.00	The min between of Longmont, inc.	Local Government	Total:		\$5,457.82 \$5,457.82
				TOLAI.		φ <b>3,</b> <del>4</del> 37.02
10-923	\$26,000.00	Family Tree, Inc./Women In Crisis	Local Government	06/30/2011	\$1,202.58	\$4,531.96
				Total:	\$1,202.58	\$4,531.96
10-924	\$23,000.00	Volunteers Of America, Durango Comm.	Local Government	06/30/2011	\$2,291.59	\$6,327.95
		Shelter And Southwest Safehous		Total:	\$2,291.59	\$6,327.95
10-925	\$12 000 00	Advocates Against Battering And Abuse	Local Government	06/30/2011	\$24,000.00	\$4,190.47
10 020	ψ12,000.00	Auvocates Against Battering And Abuse	Local Government	Total:	\$24,000.00	\$4,190.47
			Private	06/30/2011	¥24,000.00	\$4,190.47
				Total:		\$4,190.47
10.020	¢04 000 00	T-i County Decourses		06/20/2044	¢25,050,00	¢0,500,00
10-926	ֆ∠1,000.00	Tri-County Resources	Local Government	06/30/2011	\$25,650.00	\$2,529.00
			Other Federal	<b>Total:</b> 06/30/2011	\$25,650.00	<b>\$2,529.00</b> \$2,529.00
			Outer Feueldi	_		
				Total:		\$2,529.00

Preparation Date: 07/06/2012 01:05 PM Prepared By:

MMiller

Project#	Awarded	Grantee	Matching Type	Quarters Ma	atch Amount	Payment Amt
0-927	\$8,289.04	Castle Rock Community Inter-Church Task	Other	06/30/2011		\$500.00
		Force D/B/A Douglas/Elbert Tf		Total:		\$500.00
0-928	\$16,000.00	Southeast Colorado Homeless Center	Private	06/30/2011		\$0.00
				Total:		\$0.00
0-929	\$6,000.00	Alternatives To Violence	Other	06/30/2011	\$6,000.00	\$1,500.00
				Total:	\$6,000.00	\$1,500.00
0-930 \$17,000.00	Urban Peak	Other Federal	06/30/2011		\$3,331.98	
				Total:		\$3,331.98
0-931	\$63,000.00	Weld County Housing Authority	Other	06/30/2011	\$6,012.47	\$12,498.21
				Total:	\$6,012.47	\$12,498.21
0-932 \$55,000.00	5,000.00 Pueblo County Goverment	Other	06/30/2011	\$7,485.00	\$13,215.00	
				Total:	\$7,485.00	\$13,215.00
0-935	\$5,000.00	000.00 Mountain Family Center	Other Federal	06/30/2011		\$0.00
				Total:		\$0.00
0-936	\$11,000.00	00 The Pinon Project	Other	06/30/2011		\$0.00
				Total:		\$0.00
0-937	\$20,000.00	0 Advocates Against Domestic Assault	Local Government Other Other Federal	06/30/2011	\$3,000.00	\$2,518.00
				Total:	\$3,000.00	\$2,518.00
				06/30/2011		\$2,518.00
				Total:		\$2,518.00
				06/30/2011	\$17,000.00	\$2,518.00
				Total:	\$17,000.00	\$2,518.00
0-939	\$8,000.00	000.00 Safehouse Progressive Alliance For	Local Government	06/30/2011	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00
		Nonviolence		Total:	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00
0-940	\$11,000.00	Interfaith Hospitality Network Of Greater	Other	06/30/2011	\$2,750.00	\$2,750.00
		Denver		Total:	\$2,750.00	\$2,750.00
0-944	\$22,513.00	Arapahoe House, Inc.	Other	06/30/2011	\$8,179.00	\$8,080.00
				Total:	\$8,179.00	\$8,080.00
0-947	\$15,000.00	The Delores Project	Private	06/30/2011	\$1,921.43	\$4,933.50
				Total:	\$1,921.43	\$4,933.50
0-949	\$9,000.00	Urban Peak Colorado Springs	Private	06/30/2011	\$2,315.11	\$2,241.61
				Total:	\$2,315.11	\$2,241.61

Preparation Date: 07/06/2012 01:05 PM

Prepared By:

•		
	MMil	ler

07/06/2012	2 01:05 PM					MMiller	
Project#	Awarded	Grantee	Matching Type	Quarters Match Amount		Payment Amt	
10-950	\$9,000.00	Mountain Resource Center, Inc	Other	06/30/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00	
				Total:	\$0.00	\$0.00	
10-951	\$23,000.00	Neighbor To Neighbor	Other	06/30/2011		\$18,168.02	
				Total:		\$18,168.02	
10-952	\$23,000.00	Catholic Charities And Community Services	Private	06/30/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00	
				Total:	\$0.00	\$0.00	
10-953	\$20,000.00	Crossroads Safehouse, Inc.	oads Safehouse, Inc. Other	06/30/2011	\$5,000.00	\$5,002.60	
				Total:	\$5,000.00	\$5,002.60	
10-954	\$5,000.00	Bright Future Foundation For Eagle County	Local Government	06/30/2011	\$2,702.00	\$1,722.00	
				Total:	\$2,702.00	\$1,722.00	
10-955	)-955 \$13,000.00 <b>H</b>	\$13,000.00 Homewardbound Of The Grand Valley Inc.	Local Government	06/30/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00	
		· · · · · ·		Total:	\$0.00	\$0.00	
			Other Federal	06/30/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00	
				Total:	\$0.00	\$0.00	
10-960	\$18,000.00	Catholic Charities And Community Services,	Private	06/30/2011		\$0.00	
	Samaritan House		Total:		\$0.00		
10-961	\$36,798.76	Family Tree, Inc./House Of Hope	Local Government	06/30/2011		\$14,334.79	
				Total:		\$14,334.79	
10-963	\$11,000.00	The Gathering Place	Private	06/30/2011	\$6,000.00	\$5,500.00	
				Total:	\$6,000.00	\$5,500.00	
10-964	\$26,000.00	St. Francis Center	Private	06/30/2011	\$5,711.92	\$7,090.92	
				Total:	\$5,711.92	\$7,090.92	
10-967	\$8,000.00	The Empowerment Program	Private	06/30/2011	\$5,166.69	\$749.00	
				Total:	\$5,166.69	\$749.00	
10-983	\$9,000.00	Interfaith Hospitality Network Of Colorado	Private	06/30/2011	\$5,000.00	\$2,790.00	
		Springs		Total:	\$5,000.00	\$2,790.00	
10-989	\$10,000.00	City And County Of Broomfield	Local Government	06/30/2011	\$10,000.00	\$5,881.70	
				Total:	\$10,000.00	\$5,881.70	
			Other Federal	06/30/2011	\$5,415.00	\$5,881.70	
				Total:	\$5,415.00	\$5,881.70	
10-991	\$15,000.00	The Bridge Emergency Shelter	Private	06/30/2011		\$4,896.82	
				Total:		\$4,896.82	

Preparatio 07/06/2012	n Date: 2 01:05 PM				Prepared By: MMiller
Project#	Awarded Grantee	Matching Type	Quarters Ma	tch Amount	Payment Amt
11-901	\$10,000.00 Family Crisis Services, Inc.	Private	09/30/2011	\$2,981.98	\$0.00
			12/31/2011	\$2,611.07	\$4,311.09
			03/31/2012	\$2,388.46	\$2,096.25
			Total:	\$7,981.51	\$6,407.34
11-902 \$5	\$5,000.00 S.H.A.R.E., Inc.	Local Government	09/30/2011	\$776.76	\$0.00
			12/31/2011	\$274.51	\$776.76
			03/31/2012	\$1,715.72	\$274.51
			Total:	\$2,766.99	\$1,051.27
11-903	\$14,000.00 Family & Intercultural Resource Center	Other	09/30/2011	\$1,200.00	\$800.00
			12/31/2011	\$1,537.00	\$1,937.00
			03/31/2012		\$2,053.00
			Total:	\$2,737.00	\$4,790.00
11-904	\$18,000.00 Grand Valley Catholic Outreach	Private	09/30/2011	\$5,994.90	\$0.00

			12/31/2011	\$12,005.10	\$5,994.90
			03/31/2012	\$0.00	\$12,005.10
			Total:	\$18,000.00	\$18,000.00
11-905	\$29,000.00 Safe Shelter Of St. Vrain Valley	Local Government	09/30/2011	\$7,250.00	\$0.00
			12/31/2011	\$7,250.00	\$5,384.45
			03/31/2012	\$7,250.00	\$8,882.28
			Total:	\$21,750.00	\$14,266.73
11-906 \$19,000.	\$19,000.00 Pikes Peak Community Action Agency	Private	09/30/2011	\$11,613.88	\$0.00
			12/31/2011	\$3,902.53	\$5,363.71
			03/31/2012	\$12,875.15	\$13,495.43
			Total:	\$28,391.56	\$18,859.14
11-908 \$22,000	\$22,000.00 Women'S Crisis Center - Family Outreach	Other Federal	09/30/2011	\$4,000.00	\$0.00
	Center		12/31/2011	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
			03/31/2012		\$4,842.50
			Total:	\$8,000.00	\$8,842.50
11-909	\$19,000.00 Loaves & Fishes Ministries Of Fremont	Other	09/30/2011	\$4,668.60	\$0.00
	County		12/31/2011	\$1,822.56	\$0.00
			03/31/2012		\$0.00
			Total:	\$6,491.16	\$0.00
11-910	\$17,650.00 Hilltop Community Resources, Inc.	Private	09/30/2011	\$4,412.50	\$0.00
			12/31/2011	\$4,412.50	\$3,204.45
			03/31/2012	\$4,412.00	\$6,495.47
			Total:	\$13,237.00	\$9,699.92

Prepared By: MMiller

Payment Amt

\$0.00 \$760.80 \$2,956.05 **\$3,716.85** 

\$0.00 \$1,600.44 \$1,479.65 **\$3,080.09** 

Project#	Awarded	Grantee	Matching Type	Quarters M	atch Amount
11-911	\$13,000.00	San Juan County	Private	09/30/2011	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$4,200.00
				03/31/2012	\$0.00
				Total:	\$4,200.00
11-912	\$6,000.00	Advocate Safehouse Project	Local Government	09/30/2011	\$1,600.44
				12/31/2011	\$1,479.65
				03/31/2012	\$2,362.41
				Total:	\$5,442.50

11-913	\$22,000.00 Boulder Shelter For The Homeless	Local Government	09/30/2011	\$48,775.00	\$0.00
			12/31/2011	\$0.00	\$9,931.82
			03/31/2012		\$10,401.33
			Total:	\$48,775.00	\$20,333.15
11-914	\$21,000.00 Help For Abused Partners	Private	09/30/2011	\$7,148.69	\$3,761.32
			12/31/2011	\$3,220.74	\$5,985.55
			03/31/2012	\$2,053.40	\$2,027.21
			Total:	\$12,422.83	\$11,774.08
11-915	\$19,000.00 Inter-Faith Community Services	Other	09/30/2011	\$2,700.00	\$0.00
			12/31/2011	\$5,500.00	\$2,700.00
			03/31/2012	\$10,800.00	\$5,500.00
			Total:	\$19,000.00	\$8,200.00
11-918	\$21,000.00 Jefferson Center For Mental Health	Other	09/30/2011	\$18,010.00	\$0.00
			12/31/2011	\$23,734.00	\$7,907.15
			03/31/2012	\$17,946.00	\$11,582.02
			Total:	\$59,690.00	\$19,489.17
11-919	\$25,000.00 Jeffco Action Center	Other	09/30/2011	\$25,000.00	\$0.00
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		12/31/2011	÷ -,	\$8,958.48
			03/31/2012		\$0.00
			Total:	\$25,000.00	\$8,958.48
11-921	\$10,000.00 Arkansas Valley Resources Center	Local Government	09/30/2011	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
			12/31/2011		\$934.29
			03/31/2012	\$5,000.00	\$2,873.94
			Total:	\$10,000.00	\$3,808.23
11-922	\$19,000.00 The Inn Between Of Longmont, Inc.	Local Government	09/30/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00
			12/31/2011	\$0.00	\$6,511.76
			03/31/2012	\$0.00	\$5,060.83
				\$0.00	

Preparation Date:

Prepared By: MMiller

#### 07/06/2012 01:05 PM Matching Type Project# **Awarded Grantee Quarters Match Amount** Payment Amt 11-923 \$24,000.00 Family Tree, Inc./Women In Crisis Local Government 09/30/2011 \$5,760.98 \$0.00 12/31/2011 \$12,587.16 \$5,760.98 03/31/2012 \$5,651.86 \$12,587.18 Total: \$24,000.00 \$18,348.16 11-924 \$24,000.00 Volunteers Of America, Durango Comm. Local Government 09/30/2011 \$2,322.24 \$0.00 Shelter And Southwest Safehous 12/31/2011 \$10,602.04 \$2,322.24 03/31/2012 \$9,700.81 \$10,602.04 \$22,625.09 \$12,924.28 Total: 11-925 \$8,000.00 Advocates Against Battering And Abuse Other 09/30/2011 \$1,337.16 \$0.00 12/31/2011 \$3,152.82 \$1,144.77 03/31/2012 \$3,510.02 \$1,283.78 \$8,000.00 \$2,428.55 Total: 11-926 \$19,650.00 Tri-County Resources Other 09/30/2011 \$4,912.50 \$0.00 12/31/2011 \$4,912.50 \$146.85 03/31/2012 \$4,912.00 \$4,876.39 \$14,737.00 \$5,023.24 Total: \$11,000.00 Castle Rock Community Inter-Church Task 11-927 Other 09/30/2011 \$969.51 \$0.00 Force D/B/A Douglas/Elbert Tf 12/31/2011 \$1,023.00 \$0.00 03/31/2012 \$2,753.52 \$0.00 \$4,746.03 \$0.00 Total: \$4,680.00 Alternatives To Violence Other 09/30/2011 11-929 \$1,170.00 \$0.00 12/31/2011 \$0.00 \$1,170.00 03/31/2012 \$2,340.00 \$1,170.00 \$3,510.00 \$2,340.00 Total: \$30,197.96 Urban Peak 11-930 Private 09/30/2011 \$0.00 \$0.00 12/31/2011 \$7,141.82 \$2,068.23 03/31/2012 \$7,197.27 \$7,141.82 \$9,265.50 Total:

Preparation Date:
07/06/2012 01:05 PM

Project#	Awarded	Grantee	Matching Type	Quarters M	atch Amount	Payment Amt
11-931	\$66,500.00	Weld County Housing Authority	Local Government	09/30/2011	\$5,488.27	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$5,632.99	\$0.00
				03/31/2012		\$48,936.77
				Total:	\$11,121.26	\$48,936.77
			Other	09/30/2011	\$5,741.42	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$723.21	\$0.00
				03/31/2012		\$48,936.77
				Total:	\$6,464.63	\$48,936.77
			Other Federal	09/30/2011	\$7,142.07	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$13,857.93	\$0.00
				03/31/2012		\$48,936.77
				Total:	\$21,000.00	\$48,936.77
11-932 \$53,000.00	Pueblo County Goverment	Other	09/30/2011	\$27,925.00	\$0.00	
				12/31/2011	\$10,250.00	\$16,698.18
			03/31/2012	\$10,250.00	\$15,801.82	
				Total:	\$48,425.00	\$32,500.00
11-935	1-935 \$5,000.00	Mountain Family Center	Private	09/30/2011	\$1,500.00	\$0.00
			12/31/2011	\$3,200.00	\$0.00	
				03/31/2012	\$300.00	\$4,700.00
				Total:	\$5,000.00	\$4,700.00
11-936	\$15,000.00	The Pinon Project	Other	09/30/2011	\$6,795.99	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$8,203.29	\$13,136.28
				03/31/2012	\$0.72	\$1,863.72
				Total:	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00
11-937	\$27,500.00	Advocates Against Domestic Assault	Local Government	09/30/2011	\$2,297.00	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$3,943.00	\$2,997.00
				03/31/2012	\$4,721.00	\$3,243.00
				Total:	\$10,961.00	\$6,240.00
11-938	\$5,168.00	Advocates Victim Assistance Team	Other	09/30/2011	\$911.69	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$1,773.98	\$911.69
				03/31/2012	\$0.00	\$1,773.98
				Total:	\$2,685.67	\$2,685.67
11-939	\$10,000.00	Safehouse Progressive Alliance For	Local Government	09/30/2011	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
		Nonviolence		12/31/2011	\$2,500.00	\$547.85
				03/31/2012		\$4,452.15
				Total:	\$7,500.00	\$5,000.00

Preparation Date:
07/06/2012 01:05 PM

Project#	Awarded	Grantee	Matching Type	Quarters Ma	atch Amount	Payment Amt
11-940		Interfaith Hospitality Network Of Greater	Other	09/30/2011	\$1,750.00	\$0.00
		Denver		12/31/2011	\$1,750.00	\$0.00
				03/31/2012		\$1,750.00
				Total:	\$3,500.00	\$1,750.00
11-949 \$13,906.47 <b>I</b>	Urban Peak Colorado Springs	Private	09/30/2011	\$356.02	\$0.00	
				12/31/2011	\$4,320.58	\$3,508.61
				03/31/2012		\$4,640.60
				Total:	\$4,676.60	\$8,149.21
11-950	1-950 \$10,000.00 <b>Mo</b>	Mountain Resource Center, Inc	Other	09/30/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00
			12/31/2011	\$12,543.21	\$0.00	
				03/31/2012	\$2,116.20	\$10,000.00
				Total:	\$14,659.41	\$10,000.00
11-951 \$18,000.00	\$18,000.00	Neighbor To Neighbor	Other	09/30/2011	\$6,610.00	\$0.00
			12/31/2011	\$10,030.04	\$2,398.99	
				03/31/2012	\$1,856.56	\$8,720.95
			Total:	\$18,496.60	\$11,119.94	
11-952	\$28,000.00	000.00 Catholic Charities And Community Services	Other	09/30/2011		\$0.00
				12/31/2011		\$12,286.81
				03/31/2012		\$11,626.08
				Total:		\$23,912.89
			Private	09/30/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$18,558.02	\$12,286.81
				03/31/2012	\$33,683.91	\$11,626.08
				Total:	\$52,241.93	\$23,912.89
11-953	\$29,000.00	Crossroads Safehouse, Inc.	Other	09/30/2011	\$7,250.00	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$7,250.00	\$4,364.32
				03/31/2012	\$7,250.00	\$7,291.74
				Total:	\$21,750.00	\$11,656.06
11-954	\$5,500.00	Bright Future Foundation For Eagle County	Local Government	09/30/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$2,023.21	\$0.00
				03/31/2012	\$3,112.79	\$2,023.21
				Total:	\$5,136.00	\$2,023.21
11-955	\$18,000.00	Homewardbound Of The Grand Valley Inc.	Private	09/30/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$5,283.01	\$5,283.01
				03/31/2012		\$0.00
				Total:	\$5,283.01	\$5,283.01

## Preparation Date: 07/06/2012 01:05 PM

07/06/2012	2 01:05 PM					MMiller
Project#	Awarded	Grantee	Matching Type	Quarters M	atch Amount	Payment Amt
11-957	\$52,000.00	La Puente Home Inc.	Private	09/30/2011	\$47,500.00	\$0.00
				12/31/2011		\$9,389.59
				03/31/2012	\$7,000.00	\$17,734.06
				Total:	\$54,500.00	\$27,123.65
11-958	\$5,000.00	Attention Homes	Other	09/30/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00
				03/31/2012	\$0.00	\$0.00
				Total:	\$0.00	\$0.00
1-960	\$21,000.00	Catholic Charities And Community Services,	Private	09/30/2011	\$11,146.52	\$0.00
		Samaritan House		12/31/2011	\$21,803.29	\$11,345.17
			03/31/2012		\$9,654.83	
				Total:	\$32,949.81	\$21,000.00
11-961 \$28,000.00 <b>Family Tree,</b>	Family Tree, Inc./House Of Hope	Other	09/30/2011	\$3,721.82	\$0.00	
			12/31/2011	\$12,805.62	\$3,721.82	
			03/31/2012	\$10,746.38	\$12,805.62	
				Total:	\$27,273.82	\$16,527.44
1-963	\$14,000.00	The Gathering Place	Private	09/30/2011		\$0.00
		12/31/2011	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00		
				03/31/2012	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00
				Total:	\$7,000.00	\$7,000.00
11-964	\$28,000.00	St. Francis Center	Other	09/30/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$0.00	\$5,309.32
				03/31/2012	\$0.00	\$7,636.38
				Total:	\$0.00	\$12,945.70
1-967	\$5,000.00	The Empowerment Program	Other	09/30/2011	\$1,003.27	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$0.00	\$1,003.27
				03/31/2012	\$454.54	\$0.00
				Total:	\$1,457.81	\$1,003.27
1-983	\$12,000.00	Interfaith Hospitality Network Of Colorado	Other	09/30/2011	\$10,669.54	\$0.00
		Springs		12/31/2011	\$13,867.14	\$928.88
				03/31/2012	\$10,964.26	\$4,308.14
				Total:	\$35,500.94	\$5,237.02
11-989	\$15,000.00	City And County Of Broomfield	Other	09/30/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00
				12/31/2011	\$0.00	\$0.00
				03/31/2012	\$14,675.12	\$0.00
				Total:	\$14,675.12	\$0.00

### Preparation Date: 07/06/2012

Preparation Date: 07/06/2012 01:05 PM					Prepared By: MMiller
Project#	Awarded Grantee	Matching Type	Quarters Match Amount		Payment Amt
11-991	\$15,000.00 The Bridge Emergency Shelter	Private	09/30/2011	\$3,614.18	\$0.00
			12/31/2011	\$9,825.60	\$9,818.84
			03/31/2012	\$1,560.22	\$3,620.94
			Total:	\$15,000.00	\$13,439.78

\$1,079,313.97

Total:

\$903,741.50