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## Executive Summary

The composite vacancy rate for affordable housing for all metropolitan areas across the State of Colorado was 6.0 percent for the first quarter of 2007, compared to 5.2 percent for the fourth quarter of 2006, and 4.7 percent for the third quarter of 2006. The vacancy rate was the lowest in Boulder/Broomfield and Pueblo Counties at 1.4 percent and the highest in Jefferson County at 9.3 percent.

For building size, the lowest vacancy rate was in buildings with " 350 up" units (3.9 percent) and the highest in buildings with "2 to 8 units" ( 10.1 percent). Older buildings, built "1960-1969," had a vacancy rate of 16.3 percent while newer buildings, built "2004-2005," had the lowest vacancy rate of 4.8 percent. For all affordable housing units reporting, efficiencies had 11.3 percent vacant; one bedroom, 5.4 percent; two bedroom, one bath, 6.7 percent; two bedroom, two bath, 6.3 percent; and three bedroom, 5.4 percent were vacant.

The statewide average affordable housing rental rate was $\$ 681.30$. The lowest average rental rate was in Pueblo at $\$ 538.01$ and highest in Douglas County at $\$ 824.20$. Efficiencies averaged $\$ 423.24$; one bedroom, $\$ 609.82$; two bedroom, one bath, \$672.93; two bedroom, two bath, \$728.11; and three bedroom units, $\$ 800.27$.

Rents are highest in buildings with " 200 to 349 " units, $\$ 752.51$ and lowest in " 9 to 50 " unit buildings, $\$ 570.31$. Rents are highest in buildings constructed between "2000 and 2004," \$746.73, and lowest in buildings constructed "1960-1969," \$625.56

The median rent was $\$ 673.77$ with the lowest in Pueblo at $\$ 535.52$, and highest in Douglas County with $\$ 877.52$. The average rent per square foot was 86 cents. The rental loss due to concessions and discounts for all affordable housing units surveyed was 9.3 percent.

The AMI housing category with the highest vacancy was the $50 \%$ Area Median Income group ( 8.1 percent) and the lowest was with the 30\% Area Median Income group (3.4 percent).

For the first quarter of 2007, 10,371 units were reported. More information will be supplied as the sample size increases.

The participation of the various affordable housing communities is appreciated. The Survey is conducted quarterly and is jointly sponsored by the Colorado Housing and Finance Authority, Colorado Division of Housing, Red Stone Agency Lending and Red Stone Partners.

## INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this combined effort by the Colorado Housing and Finance Authority and the Colorado Division of Housing is to sponsor the Affordable Housing Vacancy and Rental Survey to show vacancy rates by type of apartment (efficiency; one bedroom; two bedroom, one bath; two bedroom, two bath; three bedroom; and other) and rent levels by location, age and size of building. The Survey includes all multifamily rental; two units and up. Affordable housing for this survey is defined as those units that are deed-restricted and require residents to have an income that is no higher than the respective Area median Income appropriate to the governmental funding requirements for that property. The Area median Income is determined by the US Department of Housing and Urban Development.

The Survey covers seventeen major market areas: Colorado Springs, Denver Metro Area (Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder/Broomfield, Denver, Douglas, and Jefferson Counties), Fort Collins/Loveland, Grand Junction, Greeley, and Pueblo.

When no figures are shown, no apartments of the specific size and rent level were reported. With the summary tables, there may be no data or there may be only a limited number of complexes and disclosing the information would reduce confidentiality. All vacancy rates are as of the 10th of March, June, September and December for the Survey. The samples were taken with the assumption that the rates were for unfurnished rental units with tenants paying electricity and gas. Apartment complex/building lists were developed from official lists. Returned survey forms were checked for completeness, then coded and entered into the computer for processing. Tabulations were performed by the use of a computerized program. The cumulative totals have a confidence interval of $+/-1$ percent at the 95 percent confidence level.

The information for this Survey was obtained from participating apartment managers, owners, and property managers. All information collected on each building/complex is TOTALLY CONFIDENTIAL. Only Survey totals are published. Information furnished by participants is considered reliable. The sponsors and author make no warranty, express or implied, and assume no legal liability or responsibility for the inclusion of data from the participants in the Survey or for the use of the data from the Survey. Any quotations and/or reproductions of the Survey must indicate the sponsors and the author. This report is copyrighted by Dr. Gordon E. Von Stroh.

Since 1995 the Division of Housing of the State of Colorado has funded the MultiFamily Housing Vacancy and Rental Survey for various Colorado Communities. These Surveys cover the first and third quarters, except for Colorado Springs, Denver Metro Area, Fort Collins/Loveland, Grand Junction, Greeley, and Pueblo which are conducted quarterly. A public/private partnership has committed to sponsor the Denver Metro Area on a quarterly basis and Colorado Springs Metropolitan Area Apartment Vacancy and Rental Survey for the second and fourth quarters. In the spirit of cooperation, all sponsors share in the credit for this combined effort to provide information on the apartment industry. The intent is to provide information that will be used by all individuals associated with the industry.

The excellent industry cooperation by various apartment associations, county and local officials, owners and
apartment managers is appreciated. Survey management and analysis was done by Dr. Gordon E. Von Stroh of Colorado Economic and Management Associates. Assisting in the Survey was C. M. Von Stroh.

The Colorado Housing and Finance Authority and the Division of Housing will use the Survey as a data source for compiling current market information for updates to its community housing profiles, which are contained in the Consolidated Plan. The Consolidated Plan is a strategic investment plan, which enumerates the actions the State will take to assist communities in meeting their housing and infrastructure needs. Additionally, as federal dollars for public housing decrease, accurate rental market information will be essential in order for public housing authorities to verify local fair market rents established by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

The sponsors and author of this report view it as a work in progress. The intent is to make this Survey consistent in methodology and scope with the Denver Area Apartment Vacancy and Rental Survey, and the Colorado Multi-Family Housing Vacancy and Rent Survey. The ultimate goal is to have multi-family rental market data that is consistent statewide. As the sample size stabilizes and the research procedures become fixed, new market areas will be added to the report. The market areas selected for the report were determined on the basis of market size, perceived regional importance and/or the percentage of renters paying a high share of income for shelter. Changes in format and in the tables and graphs are possible if user recommendation indicate a change is warranted.

The accuracy and reliability of this Survey can be improved by increasing the size of the sample returns for each market area. If you are an owner or manager of multifamily rental housing in the listed market areas and would like to participate in the Survey, please contact Gordon Von Stroh gordon@vonstroh.com or at 303-871-3435 or write to him at the Daniels College of Business, University of Denver, Denver, Colorado, 80208.
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# NUMBER OF TOTAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING 

 SURVEY RESPONSES BY MARKET AREA|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Area | 3ra | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3ra | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rg | 4th | 1st | 2nd | d 3ra | d 4tn! | 1st ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | T 2 2nd | व1 3 - | 9 4th |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Colorado Metro Areas | 9151 | 9919 | 10371 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado Springs | 851 | 1056 | 1063 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver Metro Area | 6159 | 7119 | 6973 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adams County | 1159 | 1625 | 1545 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arapahoe | 669 | 1172 | 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder/Broomfield Counties | 1055 | 600 | 488 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver County | 2022 | 2656 | 2434 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Douglas County | 829 | 424 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson County | 425 | 642 | 1306 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Collins/Loveland | 1291 | 959 | 1397 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Junction | 164 | 231 | 257 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greeley | 240 | 198 | 298 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pueblo | 446 | 182 | 349 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## STATE OF COLORADO AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCY RATES BY MARKET AREA



## AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCY RATES BY MARKET AREA

(In Percent)

|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Area | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 1nd | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |
| All Colorado Metro Areas | 4.7 | 5.2 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado Springs | 8.0 | 7.4 | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver | 3.8 | 5.2 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adams | 3.2 | 5.9 | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arapahoe | 1.3 | 6.7 | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder/Broomfield | 3.9 | 2.0 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver | 3.8 | 3.3 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Douglas | 6.8 | 8.3 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson | 3.8 | 9.0 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Collins/Loveland | 6.7 | 5.6 | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Junction | 7.9 | 2.2 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greeley | 2.9 | 2.5 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pueblo | 4.0 | 2.2 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCIES BY APARTMENT TYPE


Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying electricity and gas.
Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discounts, concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts.
Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCIES BY SIZE OF BUILDING

| \|Market | Building | 2006 |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Size | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 1st Qtr | 3rd Otr | 1st Qtr | 3rd atr | 1st Qtr | 3 rd Qtr | 1st Otr | 3 rd Otr | 1st Qtr | 3rd Otr | 1st Qtr | 3rd Otr |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \hline \text { All Colorado } \\ \text { Metro Areas } \end{array}$ | 2 to 8 | 14.3 | 13.3 | 10.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 to 50 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 to 99 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100-199 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-349 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 350 up | 6.6 | 6.4 | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado Springs | 2 to 8 | 10.5 | 50.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 to 50 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 to 99 | 1.5 | 5 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100-199 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-349 | 21.1 | . 9 | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 350 up |  | 10.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver Metro Area | 2 to 8 | 22.2 |  | 11.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 to 50 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 5.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 to 99 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100-199 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-349 | 3.3 | 7.3 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 350 up | 6.3 | 4.9 | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adams | 2 to 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 to 50 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 to 99 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100-199 | 3.5 | . 5 | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-349 | 3.2 | 10.9 | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 350 up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arapahoe | 2 to 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 to 50 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 to 99 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100-199 | 1.7 | 9.6 | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-349 | 1.4 | 4.9 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 350 up |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder/Broomfield | 2 to 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 to 50 | 3.2 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 to 99 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100-199 | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-349 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 350 up | 5.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\text { Denver }}$ | 2 to 8 | 22.2 |  | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 to 50 | 9.0 | 9.7 | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 to 99 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100-199 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-349 |  | 1.0 | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 350 up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Douglas | 2 to 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 to 50 | 1.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 to 99 | 25.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100-199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-349 | 4.5 |  | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 350 up | 6.7 | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson | 2 to 8 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 to 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 to 99 | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100-199 | 4.5 | 7.2 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-349 | 3.0 | 12.7 | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 350 up |  |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCIES BY SIZE OF BUILDING

| Market Area | Building Size | 2006 |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 rd | 4th | ist | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 1 st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |
| Fort Collins/ | 2 to 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loveland | 9 to 50 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 to 99 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100-199 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-349 | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 350 up | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand | 2 to 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junction | 9 to 50 | 6.7 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 to 99 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100-199 | 10.5 | 0.9 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-349 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 350 up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greeley | 2 to 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 to 50 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 to 99 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100-199 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-349 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 350 up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pueblo | 2 to 8 |  | 12.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 to 50 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 to 99 | 1.8 |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100-199 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-349 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 350 up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCY RATES BY AGE OF BUILDING
(In Percent)


AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCY RATES BY AGE OF BUILDING (CONTINUED)


AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENT BY MARKET AREA


## AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENTS BY APARTMENT TYPE



Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying electricity and gas.
Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discounts, concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts.

| Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discou |
| :--- |
| Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent. |

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENTS BY APARTMENT TYPE (CONTINUED)


AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENTS BY SIZE OF BUILDING

|  |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \|Vlarket Area |  | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3ra | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3ra | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |
| AII Colorado Metro Areas | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { to } 8 \\ & 9 \text { to } 50 \\ & 51 \text { to } 99 \\ & 100 \text { to } 199 \\ & 200 \text { to } 349 \\ & 350 \text { up } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 471.43 \\ & 590.18 \\ & 578.82 \\ & 603.25 \\ & 760.32 \\ & 743.72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 543.75 \\ & 631.84 \\ & 699.56 \\ & 647.50 \\ & 715.58 \\ & 711.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 637.17 \\ & 570.31 \\ & 656.17 \\ & 684.78 \\ & 752.51 \\ & 653.07 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado Springs | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \text { to } 8 \\ & 9 \text { to } 50 \\ & 51 \text { to } 99 \\ & 100 \text { to } 199 \\ & 200 \text { to } 349 \\ & 350 \text { up } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 351.97 \\ & 587.76 \\ & 571.12 \\ & \\ & 750.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 587.50 \\ & 523.13 \\ & 664.80 \\ & 433.50 \\ & 755.59 \\ & 490.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 587.50 \\ & 547.78 \\ & 589.27 \\ & 657.13 \\ & 776.39 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver Metro Area | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \text { to } 8 \\ & 9 \text { to } 50 \\ & 51 \text { to } 99 \\ & 100 \text { to } 199 \\ & 200 \text { to } 349 \\ & 350 \text { up } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 723.61 633.90 594.51 617.33 760.11 748.75 | $\begin{aligned} & 712.15 \\ & 716.18 \\ & 676.61 \\ & 710.66 \\ & 792.28 \end{aligned}$ | 648.78 621.18 710.25 715.77 750.06 653.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adams | 2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up | $\begin{aligned} & 732.18 \\ & 707.02 \\ & 720.41 \\ & 772.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 801.38 \\ & 618.94 \\ & 684.04 \\ & 720.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 695.25 \\ & 568.10 \\ & 726.50 \\ & 720.49 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arapahoe | 2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up | $\begin{aligned} & 455.11 \\ & 248.57 \\ & 365.39 \\ & 684.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 587.50 \\ & \\ & 706.61 \\ & 802.06 \\ & 905.10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 582.00 \\ & 515.63 \\ & 710.64 \\ & 802.02 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder/ Broofield | 2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up | $\begin{aligned} & 678.19 \\ & 710.45 \\ & 735.77 \\ & \\ & 799.64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 750.27 \\ 727.18 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 525.00 \\ & 722.42 \\ & \\ & 721.59 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver | 2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up | $\begin{aligned} & 723.61 \\ & 578.51 \\ & 564.18 \\ & 626.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 687.66 \\ & 723.59 \\ & 661.19 \\ & 654.49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 646.91 \\ & 608.04 \\ & 736.62 \\ & 720.58 \\ & 813.59 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Douglas | 2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up | $\begin{aligned} & 755.90 \\ & 393.85 \\ & \\ & 813.94 \\ & 685.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 650.00 \\ & 798.95 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 824.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson | 2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up | $\begin{aligned} & 688.57 \\ & 687.18 \\ & 704.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 699.00 \\ & 664.91 \\ & 639.19 \end{aligned}$ | 675.00 683.27 709.42 582.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENTS BY SIZE OF BUILDING

| Wanetaea |  | ${ }^{\text {add }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | I | ${ }^{3}$ | , | , | ${ }_{20}^{20 .}$ | 3 | 4-4 | , | 20. | ${ }_{\text {ad }}^{4}$ | - | ${ }^{2 n}$ | sad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dend |  | (ex |  | (tay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | cen | cose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{65000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (ex | (tay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENT BY AGE OF BUILDING


Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying gas and electricity.
Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discounts/concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts.
Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENT BY AGE OF BUILDING (CONTINUED)
(In Dollars)


Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying gas and electricity
Average rents do not reflect " rental losses" from discounts/concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts.
Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING MEDIAN RENT BY MARKET AREA


AFFORDABLE HOUSING MEDIAN RENT BY APARTMENT TYPE

| Market |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Apartment Type | 3 rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3 rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3 rd | 4th | 1 st | 2nd | 3 rd | 4th | 1st | 2 nd | 3 rd | 4th |
| All Colorado | Efficiency | 385.43 | 426.83 | 392.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Areas | One bedroom | 595.69 | 641.49 | 626.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, one bath | 621.40 | 637.92 | 643.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, two bath | 722.16 | 711.21 | 721.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Three bedroom | 794.39 | 788.90 | 801.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | 661.98 | 667.87 | 673.77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado | Efficiency | 238.00 | 434.06 | 461.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Springs | One bedroom | 550.00 | 512.35 | 578.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, one bath | 726.75 | 648.39 | 747.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, two bath | 717.24 | 779.38 | 675.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Three bedroom <br> All | $\begin{aligned} & 841.82 \\ & 655.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 909.69 \\ & 537.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 905.50 \\ & 646.89 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver | Efficiency | 386.29 | 392.33 | 403.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metro Area | One bedroom | 618.56 | 658.02 | 645.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, one bath | 655.05 | 648.15 | 716.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, two bath | 739.46 | 747.48 | 752.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Three bedroom | 827.69 | 799.72 | 843.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | 693.15 | 687.31 | 708.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adams | Efficiency |  | 388.63 | 388.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | One bedroom | 645.89 | 539.13 | 552.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, one bath | 636.67 | 609.58 | 619.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, two bath | 741.09 | 818.91 | 803.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Three bedroom | 854.43 | 836.76 | 846.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | 727.69 | 683.84 | 694.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arapahoe | Efficiency |  | 325.00 | 325.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | One bedroom | 246.27 | 659.30 | 637.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, one bath | 150.00 | 731.41 | 756.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, two bath | 672.33 | 827.69 | 773.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Three bedroom | 752.33 | 987.08 | 993.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | 418.33 | 734.56 | 749.68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3oulder/ | Efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broomfield | One bedroom | 639.09 | 693.33 | 649.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, one bath | 695.71 | 813.00 | 808.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, two bath | 720.53 | 639.49 | 638.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Three bedroom | 821.41 | 785.91 | 787.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | 756.56 | 779.13 | 777.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver | Efficiency | 386.29 | 396.47 | 414.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | One bedroom | 639.28 | 664.08 | 672.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, one bath | 580.00 | 665.06 | 772.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, two bath | 746.49 | 671.08 | 806.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Three bedroom | 829.00 | 802.33 | 847.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | 637.65 | 670.61 | 714.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Douglas | Efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | One bedroom | 621.10 | 698.64 | 734.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, one bath | 662.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, two bath | 853.13 | 895.91 | 884.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Three bedroom | 1004.23 |  | 1010.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 735.00 | 769.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson | Efficiency |  |  | 538.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | One bedroom | 622.60 | 565.11 | 558.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, one bath | 663.84 | 651.92 | 648.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Two bed, two bath | 727.87 | 643.20 | 663.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Three bedroom | 725.00 | 803.13 | 816.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | 689.64 | 643.88 | 657.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying electricity and gas.
Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying electricity and gas.
Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discounts, concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts
Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discoun
Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING MEDIAN RENTS BY APARTMENT TYPE (CONTINUED)

| Market | Apartment | 2006 |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Type | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3 r d | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 1s | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |
| Fort Collins/ Loveland | Efficiency <br> One bedroom <br> Two bed, one bath <br> Two bed, two bath <br> Three bedroom <br> All | $\begin{aligned} & 570.32 \\ & 609.16 \\ & 669.14 \\ & 794.39 \\ & 648.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 238.00 \\ & 556.00 \\ & 605.33 \\ & 675.00 \\ & 788.90 \\ & 655.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 263.00 \\ & 558.20 \\ & 609.53 \\ & 691.26 \\ & 801.82 \\ & 655.52 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Junction | Efficiency <br> One bedroom <br> Two bed, one bath <br> Two bed, two bath <br> Three bedroom <br> All | $\begin{aligned} & 388.00 \\ & 443.50 \\ & 634.8 \\ & 510.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 488.00 \\ & 586.50 \\ & 621.52 \\ & 604.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 509.75 \\ & 585.17 \\ & 592.17 \\ & 582.21 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greeley | Efficiency <br> One bedroom <br> Two bed, one bath <br> Two bed, two bath <br> Three bedroom <br> All | 438.00 601.35 490.00 525.00 460.18 510.33 | $\begin{aligned} & 610.45 \\ & 590.00 \\ & 513.00 \\ & 584.57 \\ & 603.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 522.23 \\ & 470.58 \\ & 613.00 \\ & 467.94 \\ & 516.27 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pueblo | Efficiency <br> One bedroom <br> Two bed, one bath <br> Two bed, two bath <br> Three bedroom <br> All | $\begin{aligned} & 112.50 \\ & 508.60 \\ & 160.00 \\ & 425.00 \\ & 434.73 \\ & 464.71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 346.00 \\ & 512.18 \\ & 448.00 \\ & \\ & 785.82 \\ & 511.76 \end{aligned}$ | 288.00 536.36 480.00 475.00 580.88 535.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying electricity and gas.
Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discounts, concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts.
Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

## AFFORDABLE HOUSING RENT PER SQUARE FOOT BY APARTMENT TYPE AND COUNTY



[^0]AFFORDABLE HOUSING RENT PER SQUARE FOOT BY APARTMENT TYPE AND COUNTY (CONTINUED)


Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying gas and electricity.
Average rents do not reflect " rental losses" from discounts/concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts.
Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

## AFFORDABLE HOUSING RESIDENT TURNOVER PER MONTH BY AGE OF BUILDING

(In Percent)


AFFORDABLE HOUSING RESIDENT TURNOVER PER MONTH BY AGE OF BUILDING (CONTINUED)
(In Percent)


AFFORDABLE HOUSING RESIDENT TURNOVER PER MONTH BY SIZE OF BUILDING
(In Percent)

|  |  |  | 1 | \% | - | - | T |  | - | $\cdots$ | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  | " | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $=$ |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E |  | \% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AFFORDABLE HOUSING RESIDENT TURNOVER PER MONTH BY SIZE OF BUILDING


Rental Losses from Discounts and Concessions Discounts andConcessions
(In Percent)

| Building | 2006 |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |
| Size (in units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 8 |  | 1.8 | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9-50 |  | 12.4 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51-99 |  | 2.9 | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100-199 |  | 10.4 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 to 349 |  | 11.6 | 12.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350 up |  | 24.8 | 15.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (year built) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| To 1959 |  | 9.8 | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1960-69 |  | 14.1 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-79 |  | 18.8 | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980-89 |  | 7.2 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990-99 |  | 5.3 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000-04 |  | 15.6 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 up |  | 13.9 | 15.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado Springs |  | 17.0 | 13.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver Metro Area |  | 12.3 | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adams County |  | 14.0 | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arapahoe County |  | 35.8 | 19.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder/Broomfield Countyies |  | 7.2 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver County |  | 8.2 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Douglas County |  | 6.2 | 13.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson County |  | 1.6 | 18.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Collins/Loveland |  | 7.8 | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Junction |  | 5.1 | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greeley |  | 1.5 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pueblo |  | 15.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average |  | 11.8 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying gas and electricity.
    Average rents do not reflect " rental losses" from discounts/concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts
    Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

