

Colorado Affordable Housing Vacancy and Rent Study

Third Quarter 2006

Sponsored by:

The Colorado Division of Housing &

The Colorado Housing and Finance Authority





Available online: dola.colorado.gov www.colohfa.org

Researched and authored by

Gordon E. Von Stroh, Ph.D.

of the Daniels College of Business at The University of Denver Copyright 2006

Executive Summary

The composite vacancy rate for affordable housing for all the metropolitan areas across the State of Colorado was 4.7 percent for the third quarter of 2006. The vacancy rate was the lowest in Arapahoe County at 1.3 percent and the highest in Colorado Springs at 8.0 percent.

For building size the lowest vacancy rate was in buildings with 51 to 99 units at 3.3 percent and the highest in small buildings at 14.3 percent. Older buildings (built before 1959) had a vacancy rate of 10.8 percent while those built between 1970-1979 had a vacancy rate of 2.2 percent. For all the affordable housing units reporting, efficiencies had 14.3 percent vacant; one bedroom, 3.2 percent; two bedroom, one bath, 4.4 percent; two bedroom, two bath, 4.7 percent; and three bedroom 6.8 percent were vacant.

The lowest average rent rate was in Pueblo at \$401.40 and highest in Adams County at \$739.68. Three bedroom units averaged \$760.41; two bedroom, two bath, \$716.89; two bedroom, one bath, \$593.77; one bedroom, \$555.39; and efficiencies, \$365.52.

Rents are highest in buildings with 200 to 349 units, \$760.32 and lowest in "2 to 8" unit buildings, \$471.43. Rents are highest in buildings constructed between 2000 and 2004, \$725.99 and lowest in buildings constructed between 1960 and 1969, \$488.90.

The median rent was \$661.98 with the lowest in Pueblo at \$464.71, and highest in Boulder/Broomfield with \$756.56. The average rent per square foot was 76 cents.

The AMI housing type with the highest vacancy (6.4 percent) was the 50% Area Medium Income group and the lowest was with the 30% Area Medium Income group (3.3 percent).

More information will be supplied as the sample size increases.

The participation of the various affordable housing communities is appreciated. The Survey will be conducted quarterly and is jointly sponsored by the Colorado Housing and Finance Authority and the Colorado Division of Housing.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the combined effort by the Colorado Housing and Finance Authority and the Colorado Division of Housing to sponsor the Affordable Housing Vacancy and Rental Survey is to show vacancy rates by type of apartment (efficiency; one bedroom; two bedroom, one bath; two bedroom, two bath; three bedroom; and other) and rent levels by location, age, and size of building. The Survey includes all multi-family rental; two units and up.

The Survey covers seventeen major market areas: Colorado Springs, Denver Metro Area (Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder/Broomfield, Denver, Douglas, and Jefferson Counties), Fort Collins/Loveland, Grand Junction, Greeley, and Pueblo.

When no figures are shown, no apartments of the specific size and rent level were reported. With the summary tables, there may be no data or there may be only a limited number of complexes and disclosing the information would reduce confidentiality. All vacancy rates are as of the 10th of March, June, September and December for the Survey. The samples were taken with the assumption that the rates were for unfurnished rental units with tenants paying electricity and gas. Apartment complex/building lists were developed from official lists. Returned survey forms were checked for completeness, then coded and entered into the computer for processing. Tabulations were performed by the use of a computerized program. The cumulative totals have a confidence interval of +/-1 percent at the 95 percent confidence level.

The information for this Survey was obtained from participating apartment managers, owners, and property managers. All information collected on each building/complex is TOTALLY CONFIDENTIAL. Only Survey totals are published. Information furnished by participants is considered reliable. The sponsors and author make no warranty, express or implied, and assume no legal liability or responsibility for the inclusion of data from the participants in the Survey or for the use of the data from the Survey. Any quotations and/or reproductions of the Survey must indicate the **sponsors and the author.** This report is copyrighted by Dr. Gordon E. Von Stroh.

Since 1995 the Division of Housing of the State of Colorado has funded the Multi-Family Housing Vacancy and Rental Survey for various Colorado Communities. These Surveys cover the first and third quarters, except for Colorado Springs, Denver Metro Area, Fort Collins/Loveland, Grand Junction, Greeley, and Pueblo which are conducted quarterly. A public/private partnership has committed to sponsor the Denver Metro Area on a quarterly basis and Colorado Springs Metropolitan Area Apartment Vacancy and Rental Survey for the second and fourth quarters. In the spirit of cooperation, all sponsors share in the credit for this combined effort to provide information on the apartment industry. The intent is to provide information that will be used by all individuals associated with the industry.

The excellent industry cooperation by various apartment associations, county and local officials, owners and

apartment managers is appreciated. Survey management and analysis was done by Dr. Gordon E. Von Stroh of Colorado Economic and Management Associates. Assisting in the Survey was C. M. Von Stroh.

The Colorado Housing and Finance Authority and the Division of Housing will use the Survey as a data source for compiling current market information for updates to its community housing profiles, which are contained in the Consolidated Plan. The Consolidated Plan is a strategic investment plan, which enumerates the actions the State will take to assist communities in meeting their housing and infrastructure needs. Additionally, as federal dollars for public housing decrease, accurate rental market information will be essential in order for public housing authorities to verify local fair market rents established by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

The sponsors and author of this report view it as a work in progress. The intent is to make this Survey consistent in methodology and scope with the <u>Denver Area Apartment Vacancy and Rental Survey</u>, and the <u>Colorado Multi-Family Housing Vacancy and Rent Survey</u>. The ultimate goal is to have multi-family rental market data that is consistent statewide. As the sample size stabilizes and the research procedures become fixed, new market areas will be added to the report. The market areas selected for the report were determined on the basis of market size, perceived regional importance and/or the percentage of renters paying a high share of income for shelter. Changes in format and in the tables and graphs are possible if user recommendation indicate a change is warranted.

The accuracy and reliability of this Survey can be improved by increasing the size of the sample returns for each market area. If you are an owner or manager of multifamily rental housing in the listed market areas and would like to participate in the Survey, please contact Gordon Von Stroh gordon@vonstroh.com or at 303-871-3435 or write to him at the Daniels College of Business, University of Denver, Denver, Colorado, 80208.

Colorado State

Table of Contents

Number of Survey Responses by Market Area	5
Map of Vacancy Rates by Market Area	6
Vacancy Rates by Market Area	7
Vacancy Rates by Apartment Type by Market Area	8
Vacancy Rates by Size of Building by Market Area	10
Vacancy Rates by Age of Building by Market Area	12
Average Rent by Market Area	14
Average Rent by Apartment Type by Market Area	15
Average Rent by Size of Building by Market Area	17
Average Rent by Age of Building by Market Area	19
Median Dont by Market Area	24
Median Rent by Market Area	21 22
Median Rent by Apartment Type by Market Area	
Rent per Square Foot by Apartment Type by Market Area	24
Turnover by Age of Building by Market Area	26
Turnover by Building Size by Market Area	28
, ,	
30% AMI	
Vacancy Rates by Apartment Type by Market Area	8
Average Rent by Apartment Type by Market Area	15
40% AMI	
Vacancy Rates by Apartment Type by Market Area	8
Average Rent by Apartment Type by Market Area	15
50% AMI	
Vacancy Rates by Apartment Type by Market Area	8
Average Rent by Apartment Type by Market Area	15
	·×
60% AMI	
Vacancy Rates by Apartment Type by Market Area	8
Average Rent by Apartment Type by Market Area	15
80% AMI	•
Vacancy Rates by Apartment Type by Market Area	8
Average Rent by Apartment Type by Market Area	15

NUMBER OF TOTAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING SURVEY RESPONSES BY MARKET AREA

	200	06		20	07			20	80			20	09			20	10	
Market Area	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
All Colorado Metro Areas	9151																	
Colorado Springs	851																	
Denver Metro Area	6159																	
Adams County	1159																	
Arapahoe	669																	
Boulder/Broomfield Counties	1055																	
Denver County	2022																	
Douglas County	829																	
Jefferson County	425																	
Fort Collins/Loveland	1291																	
Grand Junction	164																	
Greeley	240																	
Pueblo	446																	

STATE OF COLORADO AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCY RATES BY MARKET AREA

Fort Collins 6.7% Loveland Greeley

8.2% **Greeley**

Denver Metro Area

3.8%

 Adams
 3.2%

 Arapahoe
 1.3%

 Boulder/Broom
 3.9%

 Denver
 3.8%

 Douglas
 6.8%

 Jefferson
 3.8%

Colorado Springs 8.0%

Pueblo 4.0%

Average for all State Metro Areas

Grand Junction

7.9%

4.7%

AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCY RATES BY MARKET AREA

	200	06		20	07			20	08			200	09			20	10	
Market Area	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1nd	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
All Colorado Metro Areas	4.7																	
Colorado Springs	8.0																	
Denver	3.8																	
Adams	3.2																	
Arapahoe	1.3																	
Boulder/Broomfield	3.9																	
Denver	3.8																	
Douglas	6.8																	
Jefferson	3.8																	
Fort Collins/Loveland	6.7																	
Grand Junction	7.9																	
Greeley	2.9																	
Pueblo	4.0																	

AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCIES BY APARTMENT TYPE

(In Dollars)

Market	1	20	06		20	007		I	20	08			20	09		I	20	10	\neg
Area	Apartment Type	3rd		1st			4th	1st			4th	1st			4th	1st			4th
All Colorado	Efficiency	14.3		100	Ziio	010	701	100	2110	010	1 701	100	Ziio	Ord	701	100	ZIIG	010	701
Metro Areas	One bedroom	3.2						l											
INICHO / HCGS	Two bed, one bath	4.4						1											i I
	Two bed, two bath	4.7						1											i I
	Three bedroom							l											
	All	6.8						1											i I
Colorado		4.7						 			_					_			$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
1	Efficiency	9.1						1											i I
Springs	One bedroom	4.1						1											i I
	Two bed, one bath	5.5						1											
	Two bed, two bath	12.3						1											
	Three bedroom	10.0						1											
	All	8.0									_								\vdash
Denver	Efficiency	14.6						1											
Metro Area	One bedroom	3.0						1											
	Two bed, one bath	4.3						1											
	Two bed, two bath	3.2						1											
	Three bedroom	4.7						1											
	All	3.8																	
Adams	Efficiency							1											
	One bedroom	1.9						1											
	Two bed, one bath	4.2						1											
	Two bed, two bath	2.9						1											
	Three bedroom	4.0						1											
	All	3.2						1											
Arapahoe	Efficiency																		
	One bedroom	1.5						1											i I
	Two bed, one bath	0.0						1											
	Two bed, two bath	1.7						1											
	Three bedroom	0.8						1											
	All	1.3						1											
Boulder/	Efficiency	1.0																	
Broomfield	One bedroom	2.6						1											
Broomilieid	Two bed, one bath							1											
	1	0.0						l											
	Two bed, two bath	5.5						1											
	Three bedroom	5.3						1											
	All	3.9																	
Denver	Efficiency	14.6						1											
1	One bedroom	2.3						l											
1	Two bed, one bath	4.8						l											
1	Two bed, two bath	1.1						l											
1	Three bedroom	4.4						l											
	All	3.8																	
Douglas	Efficiency																		
1	One bedroom	8.4						l			1								
1	Two bed, one bath	7.8						l											
1	Two bed, two bath	3.5						l											
1	Three bedroom	10.0						l											
1	All	6.8						l											
Jefferson	Efficiency	1						İ			İ								
	One bedroom	3.9						l			1			l					
1	Two bed, one bath	2.9						l											
	Two bed, one bath							l											
	1	3.5						l			1			l					
1	Three bedroom	6.1						l											
	All	3.8																	

Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying electricity and gas.

Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discounts, concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts.

Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCIES BY APARTMENT TYPE

(In	Dol	lars)

Market	Apartment	20	06		20	07			20	08			20	09			20	10	
Area	Туре	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Fort Collins/	Efficiency																		
Loveland	One bedroom	3.9																	
	Two bed, one bath	4.2																	
	Two bed, two bath	5.0																	
	Three bedroom	12.1																	
	All	6.7																	
Grand Junction	Efficiency																		
	One bedroom	4.2																	
	Two bed, one bath	3.8																	
	Two bed, two bath																		
	Three bedroom	11.5																	
	All	7.9																	
Greeley	Efficiency	0.0																	
	One bedroom	2.2																	
	Two bed, one bath	4.8																	
	Two bed, two bath	0.0																	
	Three bedroom	4.1																	
	All	2.9																	
Pueblo	Efficiency	50.0																	
	One bedroom	4.1																	
	Two bed, one bath	4.7							1	1									
	Two bed, two bath	5.0							1	1									
	Three bedroom	3.0							1	1									
	All	4.0																	

Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying electricity and gas.

Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discounts, concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts.

Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCIES BY SIZE OF BUILDING

Market	Building	20	06		20	07		1	20	08			20	09			20	10	
Area	Size	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st Qtr			3rd qtr	1st Qtr	3rd Qtr		3rd Qtr	1st Qtr	3rd Qtr	_	3rd Qtr
All Colorado	2 to 8	14.3														1			
Metro Areas	9 to 50	5.5																	
	51 to 99	3.3																	
	100-199	3.8																	
	200-349	6.0																	
<u> </u>	350 up	6.6					ļ												
Colorado	2 to 8	10.5																	
Springs	9 to 50	6.3																	
	51 to 99	1.5																	
	100-199																		
	200-349	21.1																	
	350 up																		
Denver	2 to 8	22.2																	
Metro Area	9 to 50	5.7																	
1	51 to 99	4.1					1	l											
	100-199	2.4																	
1	200-349	3.3					l												
1	350 up	6.3					1												
Adama		0.3					<u> </u>	—								-			
Adams	2 to 8						1												
	9 to 50	5.3																	
	51 to 99	0.0																	
	100-199	3.5																	
	200-349	3.2																	
	350 up																		
Arapahoe	2 to 8																		
'	9 to 50	0.0																	
	51 to 99	0.0																	
	100-199	1.7																	
	200-349	1.4																	
		1.4																	
Davidson/	350 up																		
Boulder/	2 to 8																		
Broomfield	9 to 50	3.2																	
	51 to 99	1.6																	
	100-199	3.8																	
	200-349																		
	350 up	5.9																	
Denver	2 to 8	22.2																	
1	9 to 50	9.0					l												
1	51 to 99	4.2					l												
	100-199	1.8					1												
	200-349	'.0					1												
	350 up						1												
Douglas							-	-								-			
Douglas	2 to 8						1												
1	9 to 50	1.9					1												
1	51 to 99	25.4					1												
1	100-199						1												
1	200-349	4.5					1												
	350 up	6.7					L	<u> </u>											
Jefferson	2 to 8																		
1	9 to 50						1												
1	51 to 99	4.3					1	l											
1	100-199	4.5					1	l											
1	200-349	3.0					l												
1	350 up	3.0					1												
	Joo up															1		L	

AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCIES BY SIZE OF BUILDING (In Percent)

Market	Building	20	06		20	07			20	08			20	09			20	10	
Area	Size	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Fort Collins/ Loveland Grand Junction	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100-199 200-349 350 up 2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100-199	1.4 3.2 7.6 5.9 8.0 6.7 4.2 10.5																	
Greeley	200-349 350 up 2 to 8																		
Greeley	9 to 50 51 to 99 100-199 200-349 350 up	1.5 4.8																	
Pueblo	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100-199 200-349 350 up	9.0 1.8 1.9																	

AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCY RATES BY AGE OF BUILDING

Market	Age	2006			20	07			20	08			20	009			20	10	
Area	Building	3rd	4th	1st			4th	1st			4th	1st			4th	1st			4th
All Colorado	To 1959	10.8		100	2.10	0.0	101	100	2.10	0.0		100	2.10	0.0	10.	10.	2.10	0.0	
Metro Areas	1960-69	2.9																	
	1970-79	2.2																	
	1980-89	3.5																	
	1990 -99	4.3																	
	2000-04	6.0																	
	2005+	2.8																	
Colorado Springs	To 1959	18.2																	
1	1960-69	0.0																	
	1970-79																		
	1980-89	3.8																	
	1990 -99																		
	2000-04	10.5																	
	2005+																		
Denver	To 1959	12.5																	
Metro Areas	1960-69	3.0																	
	1970-79	1.3																	
İ	1980-89	2.9													1	I			
İ	1990 -99	4.0													1	I			
İ	2000-04	4.1													1	I			
	2005+	2.8													L				
Adams	To 1959	5.0																	
1	1960-69														1				
	1970-79	0.0																	
	1980-89	1.6																	
	1990 -99	1.6																	
	2000-04	4.3																	
	2005+	2.5																	
Arapahoe	To 1959																		
	1960-69																		
	1970-79	0.0																	
	1980-89																		
	1990 -99																		
	2000-04	1.4																	
	2005+																		
Boulder/	To 1959	9.3																	
Broomfield	1960-69																		
	1970-79	0.0																	
	1980-89	0.0																	
	1990 -99	5.4																	
	2000-04	5.1																	
	2005+	0.0																	
Denver	To 1959	32.4																	
İ	1960-69	3.0													1	I			
1	1970-79	2.7																	
	1980-89 1990 -99	1.4													1				
	2000-04	1.7																	
	2000-04	0.0 4.2																	
Douglas	To 1959	4.2								\vdash					-	—			\vdash
Douglas	1960-69														1				
	1970-79																		
	1980-89	14.7																	
	1990 -99	6.7																	
	2000-04	4.5													1				
1	2005+	4.5													1	I			
Jefferson	To 1959																		
[1960-69														1				
	1970-79	3.0																	
	1980-89	3.0																	
	1990 -99	4.5																	
	2000-04	4.5													1	I			
	2005+														1	I			
				1											I				

AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCY RATES BY AGE OF BUILDING (CONTINUED)

Market Area	Age of	2006			20	007			20	800			20	09			20	10	
	Building	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Fort Collins	To 1959	2.1																	
	1960-69																		1 1
	1970-79	6.9																	1 1
	1980-89																	l	1 1
	1990 -99	5.0 7.7																	1 1
	2000-04	7.7																	1 1
	2005+																		
Grand Junction	To 1959																		
	1960-69																		1 1
	1970-79																		1 1
	1980-89	7.9																	1 1
	1990 -99														1				1 1
	2000-04																		1 1
	2005+																		ldot
Greeley	To 1959	0.0													1				1 1
	1960-69																		1 1
	1970-79																		1 1
	1980-89	1.1													1				1 1
	1990 -99	3.3 4.8																	1 1
	2000-04	4.8													1				1 1
	2005+																		lacksquare
Pueblo	To 1959																		1 1
	1960-69																		1 1
	1970-79	1.9								1					1		l	l	1 1
	1980-89									1					1		l	l	1 1
	1990 -99									1					1		l	l	1 1
	2000-04	5.3								1					1		l	1	
	2005+																		ш

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENT BY MARKET AREA

(In Dollars)

	20	06		20	007			20	800			20	009			20	010	
Market Area	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
All Colorado Metro Areas	637.05																	
Colorado Springs	617.96																	
Denver Metro Area	659.60																	
Adams	739.68																	
Arapahoe	460.30																	
Boulder/Broomfiedl	737.67																	
Denver	602.50																	
Douglas	729.93																	
Jefferson	695.68																	
Fort Collins/Loveland	661.76																	
Grand Junction	495.58																	
Greeley	527.71																	
Pueblo	401.40																	

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENTS BY APARTMENT TYPE

(In Dollars)

Market	I	20	06		20	07			20	08			20	009			201	10	コ
Area	Apartment Type	3rd		1st	2nd		4th	1st	2nd		4th	1st	2nd		4th	1st		3rd	4th
All Colorado	Efficiency	365.52		150	2110	Siu	401	150	2110	Siu	401	150	ZIIU	310	401	130	2110	Jiu	401
Areas	One bedroom	555.39														ll			
7 11 0 40 0	Two bed, one bath	593.77														ll			.
	Two bed, two bath	716.89														ll			.
	Three bedroom	760.41														ll			.
	All	637.05														ll			
Colorado	Efficiency	251.14														Н		\dashv	\dashv
Springs	One bedroom	476.28														ll			
Springs	Two bed, one bath	669.92														ll			
	Two bed, two bath	699.32														ll			
	Three bedroom	849.83														ll			
	All	617.96														ll			
Denver Metro	Efficiency	493.32														Н		\dashv	\dashv
Area	One bedroom	602.59														ll			
Alea	Two bed, one bath	607.64														ll			
	Two bed, two bath	706.49														ll			
	1															ll			
	Three bedroom	788.89														ll			
.	All	659.60																-	\dashv
Adams	Efficiency															ll			
	One bedroom	642.36														ll			
	Two bed, one bath	691.56														ll			
	Two bed, two bath	740.36														ll			
	Three bedroom	855.40														ll			
	All	739.68														Ш		\Box	_
Arapahoe	Efficiency															ll			
	One bedroom	316.21														ll			
	Two bed, one bath	272.92														ll			
	Two bed, two bath	660.38														ll			
	Three bedroom	740.15														ll			
	All	460.30														Ш		\rightarrow	_
Boulder/	Efficiency															ll			
Broomfield	One bedroom	655.26														ll			
	Two bed, one bath	735.62														ll			
	Two bed, two bath	733.22														ll			
	Three bedroom	805.56														ll			
	All	737.67																	
Denver	Efficiency	372.87																	П
	One bedroom	607.45																	
1	Two bed, one bath	500.73																	
	Two bed, two bath	788.83																	
	Three bedroom	798.58																	
	All	602.50																	
Douglas	Efficiency														ĺ	П			\neg
1	One bedroom	635.72																	
1	Two bed, one bath	650.59																	
1	Two bed, two bath	802.78																	
	Three bedroom	947.78																	
	All	729.93																	
Jefferson	Efficiency	. 20.00														Н		\dashv	\dashv
Calcison	One bedroom	602.30																	
1	Two bed, one bath	666.18																	
	Two bed, one bath	704.79																	
	Three bedroom	787.12																	
	All	695.68									i			i	I				

Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying electricity and gas.

Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discounts, concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts.

Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENTS BY APARTMENT TYPE (CONTINUED)

Market	Apartment	20	06		20	07			20	08		2009				2010			
Area	Туре	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Fort Collins/	Efficiency																		
Loveland	One bedroom	564.79		- 1						1									
	Two bed, one bath	621.15		- 1						1									
	Two bed, two bath	665.31		I						1									
	Three bedroom	740.17		I						l									
	All	661.76																	
Grand Junction	Efficiency																		
	One bedroom	387.50		I						l									
	Two bed, one bath	430.42		I						l									
	Two bed, two bath			I						l									
	Three bedroom	565.09		I						l									
	All	495.58																	
Greeley	Efficiency	437.50																	
	One bedroom	558.80		I						l									
	Two bed, one bath	508.93		I						l									
	Two bed, two bath	587.50		I						l									
	Three bedroom	454.34		I						l									
	All	527.71																	
Pueblo	Efficiency	212.50																	
	One bedroom	456.44		- 1						l									
	Two bed, one bath	286.72		- 1						l									
	Two bed, two bath	457.50		l						1									
	Three bedroom	393.18		- 1						1									
	All	401.40																	

Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying electricity and gas.

Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discounts, concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts.

Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENTS BY SIZE OF BUILDING

(In Dollars)

									(In Dollar										
		20	06		20	07			20	800			20	09			20	10	
Market Area		3rd	4th	1s	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
All Colorado Metro Areas	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up	471.43 590.18 578.82 603.25 760.32 743.72																	
Colorado Springs	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up	351.97 587.76 571.12 750.22																	
Denver Metro Area	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up	723.61 633.90 594.51 617.33 760.11 748.75																	
Adams	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up	732.18 707.02 720.41 772.59																	
Arapahoe	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up	455.11 248.57 365.39 684.39																	
Boulder/ Broofield	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up	678.19 710.45 735.77 799.64																	
Denver	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up	723.61 578.51 564.18 626.27																	
Douglas	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up	755.90 393.85 813.94 685.82																	
Jefferson	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 to 199 200 to 349 350 up	688.57 687.18 704.66																	

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENTS BY SIZE OF BUILDING

(In Dollars)

		20	06		20	07			20	08			20	09			20	10	
Market Area		3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Fort Collins/	2 to 8																		
Loveland	9 to 50	651.27										l							
	51 to 99	544.64										l							
	100 to 199	649.78										l							
	200 to 349	781.99										l							
	350 up	723.72																	
Grand Junction	2 to 8																		
	9 to 50	292.50										l							
	51 to 99	412.50										l							
	100 to 199	612.79										l							
	200 to 349											l							
	350 up																		
Greeley	2 to 8																		
	9 to 50	539.89										l							
	51 to 99											l							
	100 to 199	511.78										l							
	200 to 349											l							
	350 up																		
Pueblo	2 to 8											l							
	9 to 50	404.42										l							
	51 to 99	554.77					l				l	l				1			
	100 to 199	367.15	l				1					l				1			
	200 to 349		l				1					l				1			
	350 up																		

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENT BY AGE OF BUILDING

(In Dollars)

								(111	Dollars)										
Market Area	Age of	20			20				20					09		<u> </u>		10	
All 0 1	Building	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	n 1s	t 2nd	3rd	4th
All Colorado	To 1959	527.76																	1
Metro Areas	1960-69	488.90																	1
	1970-79	560.37																	1
	1980-89	570.80																	1
	1990-99	680.89																	1
	2000-04	725.99																	1
	2005+	527.76																	
Colorado Springs	To 1959	414.77																	
	1960-69	265.63																	1
	1970-79																		1
	1980-89	484.65																	1
	1990-99																		1
	2000-04	715.18																	1
	2005+																		1
Denver Metro Area	To 1959	527.65																	
	1960-69	493.32																	1
	1970-79	602.59																	1
	1980-89	607.64																	1
	1990-99	706.49																	1
	2000-04	788.89													l		1		
	2005+	729.91																	1
Adams	To 1959	588.89														1	 		
raamo	1960-69	500.05																	1
	1970-79	678.70																	1
	1980-89	692.54																	1
	1990-99	649.61																	1
	2000-04																		1
	2005+	825.26 792.21																	1
Arapahoe	To 1959	792.21														+	_		
Arapanoe	1960-69																		1
	1970-79	040.00																	1
	1980-89	319.66																	1
	1990-99																		1
																			1
	2000-04	696.93																	1
Davidos/Dasonsfield	2005+ To 1959															<u> </u>	_	_	
Boulder/Broomfield		704.36																	1
	1960-69																		1
	1970-79	668.22																	1
	1980-89	795.50																	1
	1990-99	706.31																	1
	2000-04	791.49																	1
	2005+	600.50																	
Denver	To 1959	276.01			1										1	1	1		
1	1960-69	493.32			1										1	1	1		
1	1970-79	714.41			1										1	1	1		
	1980-89	585.18													l		1		
	1990-99	749.08													l		1		
	2000-04	862.50													l		1		
	2005+	666.07																	
Douglas	To 1959																		1
I	1960-69				1										1	1	1		
1	1970-79														l		1		1
	1980-89	559.27													l		1		l
I	1990-99	685.82			1										1	1	1		ı
	2000-04	813.94													l		1		l
L	2005+			L									L		<u></u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>		
Jefferson	To 1959																		
	1960-69														l		1		l
I	1970-79	704.66			1										1	1	1		ı
I	1980-89				l										1	1	1		ı
1	1990-99	687.61													l		1		1
I	2000-04	557.01			1										1	1	1		ı
1	2005+														l		1		
	∠ 0007					ı										1			1

Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying gas and electricity. Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discounts/concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts. Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AVERAGE RENT BY AGE OF BUILDING (CONTINUED) (In Dollars)

Market Area	Age of	2006	3		20	07			20	08			20	09			20	10	
	Building	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Fort Collins/	To 1959	552.39																	
Loveland	1960-69																		
	1970-79	641.36																	
	1980-89																		
	1990-99	619.63																	
	2000-04	689.35																	
	2005+																		
Grand Junction	To 1959																		
	1960-69																		
	1970-79																		
	1980-89	495.58																	
	1990-99																		
	2000-04																		
	2005+																		
Greeley	To 1959	534.56																	
	1960-69																		
	1970-79																		
	1980-89	570.37																	
	1990-99	452.50																	
	2000-04	511.78																	
	2005+																		
Pueblo	To 1959																		
	1960-69	l I																	
1	1970-79	367.15						l											
	1980-89							l											
	1990-99	212.50								l								l	
	2000-04	473.38						l											
	2005+							l											

Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying gas and electricity.

Average rents do not reflect " rental losses" from discounts/concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts.

Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING MEDIAN RENT BY MARKET AREA

(In Dollars)

	20	006		2	007			20	008			20	009			2	010	
Market Area	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
All Colorado Metro Areas	661.98	3																T
				_														
Colorado Springs	655.75	<u> </u>																
	1 /-										1	1			1	1		
Denver Metro Area	693.15																	
Adams	727.69																	
Arapahoe	418.33																	
Boulder/Broomfield	756.56																	
Denver	637.65																	
Douglas	735.00																	
Jefferson	689.64	1																
						_		_										
Fort Collins/Loveland	648.86	6																
				_														
Grand Junction	510.88	3																
Greeley	510.33	3																
																	•	
Pueblo	464.71																	

AFFORDABLE HOUSING MEDIAN RENT BY APARTMENT TYPE

(In Dollars)

	1	20	00	1	20	07		(III DOIII		00		1	20	00		<u> </u>	20	10	
Market		_	06		20			<u> </u>	20			_		09			20		
Area	Apartment Type	3rd		1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
All Colorado	Efficiency	385.43	ı								l								
Metro Areas	One bedroom	595.69	ı								l								
	Two bed, one bath	621.40									l								
	Two bed, two bath	722.16									l								
	Three bedroom	794.39									l								
	All	661.98																	
Colorado	Efficiency	238.00									l								
Springs	One bedroom	550.00									l								
	Two bed, one bath	726.75									l								İ
	Two bed, two bath	717.24									l								İ
	Three bedroom	841.82									l								İ
	All	655.75																	
Denver	Efficiency	386.29																	
Metro Area	One bedroom	618.56									l								
	Two bed, one bath	655.05	ı								l								
	Two bed, two bath	739.46	ı								l								
	Three bedroom	827.69	ı					1			1				1				ľ
	All	693.15									l								
Adams	Efficiency	555.10																	
, taamie	One bedroom	645.89									l								
	Two bed, one bath	636.67	ı								l								
	Two bed, two bath	741.09	ı								l								
	Three bedroom		ı								l								
	All	854.43									l								
Arapahoe	Efficiency	727.69	<u> </u>					<u> </u>							<u> </u>				
rapanoc	One bedroom	246.27									l								
	Two bed, one bath	150.00	ı								l								
			ı								l								
	Two bed, two bath	672.33	ı								l								
	Three bedroom	752.33									l								
	All	418.33																	
Boulder/	Efficiency										l								
Broomfield	One bedroom	639.09	ı								l								
	Two bed, one bath	695.71	ı								l								
	Two bed, two bath	720.53	ı								l								
	Three bedroom	821.41	ı								l								
	All	756.56																	
Denver	Efficiency	386.29	ı												l				
ĺ	One bedroom	639.28	ı					1			1				1				
	Two bed, one bath	580.00	1					1			1				1				
ĺ	Two bed, two bath	746.49	1					1			1				1				ľ
ĺ	Three bedroom	829.00	1					1			1				1				ľ
	All	637.65	<u> </u>					<u> </u>							l				
Douglas	Efficiency																		
ĺ	One bedroom	621.10	1					1			1				1				
	Two bed, one bath	662.31	1					1			l				l				
ĺ	Two bed, two bath	853.13	1					1			1				1				
	Three bedroom	1004.23					l	l							l				
ĺ	All	735.00	ı					1			1				1				ľ
Jefferson	Efficiency	1																	
	One bedroom	622.60	1					1			1				1				ľ
	Two bed, one bath	663.84	ı					1			l				l				i
	Two bed, two bath	727.87	I				l	l							l				i
	Three bedroom	725.00	ı					1			1				1				ľ
			l				l	l							l				
	All	689.64																	

Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying electricity and gas. Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discounts, concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts. Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING MEDIUM RENTS BY APARTMENT TYPE (CONTINUED) (In Dollars)

Market	Apartment	200)6		200)7			20	08			20	009			20	10	
Area	Туре	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Fort Collins/	Efficiency																		
Loveland	One bedroom	570.32																	
	Two bed, one bath	609.16															1		
	Two bed, two bath	669.14																	
	Three bedroom	794.39																	
	All	648.86																	
Grand Junction	Efficiency																		
	One bedroom	388																	
	Two bed, one bath	443.5															1		
	Two bed, two bath																1		
	Three bedroom	634.87																	
	All	510.88																	
Greeley	Efficiency	438																	
	One bedroom	601.35																	
	Two bed, one bath	490																	
	Two bed, two bath	525															1		
	Three bedroom	460.18																	
	All	510.33																	
Pueblo	Efficiency	112.5																	
	One bedroom	508.6															1		
	Two bed, one bath	160																	
1	Two bed, two bath	425										l				1	1		
1	Three bedroom	434.73										l				1	1		
	All	464.71																	

Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying electricity and gas. Average rents do not reflect "rental losses" from discounts, concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts. Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING RENT PER SQUARE FOOT BY APARTMENT TYPE AND COUNTY

(In Dollars)

	1	20	06		20	07		`	20	108			20	ΛΩ			20	110	ightharpoonup
Market Area	A T	3rd		1st			4th	1st			4th	1st			4th	1st			4th
All Colorado	Apartment Type	3rd	4th	1St	2nd	3rd	4tn	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1St	2nd	3ra	4tn	1St	2nd	3rd	4th
Metro Areas	Efficiency One bedroom	0.86																	
IVIELIO Aleas	Two bed, one bath	0.80																	
1	Two bed, two bath	0.80																	
1	Three bedroom	0.73																	
1	All	0.76																	
Colorado	Efficiency	0.70				-	-												-
Springs	One bedroom	0.75																	
Opinigo	Two bed, one bath	0.73																	i l
1	Two bed, two bath	0.04																	i l
1	Three bedroom																		
1	All	0.80																	
Denver Metro		0.00																	
Area	One bedroom	0.87																	
["]	Two bed, one bath	0.87				l	l	I											
I	Two bed, two bath	0.73				I	l	1	1						l	1			
1	Three bedroom	0.73				l	l	l											
1	All	0.72				l	l	I											
Adams	Efficiency	0.77				 									_				
[One bedroom	0.87				I	l	1	1						l	1			
1	Two bed, one bath	0.87																	i l
1	Two bed, two bath	0.94																	i l
1	Three bedroom																		
1	All	0.88																	i l
Arapahoe	Efficiency	0.85																	
ларапос	One bedroom	0.79																	i l
1	Two bed, one bath	0.79																	
1	Two bed, one bath																		i l
	Three bedroom	0.68 0.67																	
1	All	0.67																	
Boulder/	Efficiency	0.70																	
Broomfield																			ĺ
Biodiffileid	One bedroom Two bed, one bath	0.89																	i l
	Two bed, two bath	0.79																	i l
1	Three bedroom	0.79																	i l
1	All	0.69																	i l
Denver	Efficiency	0.74													_				
Denver	One bedroom																		i l
	Two bed, one bath																		i l
1	Two bed, two bath																		ĺ
	Three bedroom																		ĺ
	All																		ĺ
Douglas	Efficiency							-											
Lougido	One bedroom	0.89				l	l	l											
1	Two bed, one bath	0.89				l	l	I											
1	Two bed, two bath	0.70				l	l	I											
1	Three bedroom	0.74				l	l	I											
1	All	0.00				l	l	I											
Jefferson	Efficiency	0.79				<u> </u>										 			\vdash
Concison	One bedroom	0.86				l	l	I											
1	Two bed, one bath	0.86				l	l	l											
	Two bed, two bath	0.76				l	l	l											
	Three bedroom	0.72				l	l	I											
1	All	0.65				l	l	l											
	All	0.74													I		I		

^{*}Prior to the third quarter of 2004, the Sterling market area was included in the Fort Morgan/Brush market area.

Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying gas and electricity.

Average rents do not reflect " rental losses" from discounts/concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts.

Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING RENT PER SQUARE FOOT BY APARTMENT TYPE AND COUNTY (CONTINUED)

								(In Dolla											
		200	06		20	07			20	80			20	09			20	10	
Market Area	Apartment Type	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Fort Collins/	Efficiency																		
Loveland	One bedroom																		1
	Two bed, one bath																		1
	Two bed, two bath																		1
	Three bedroom																		1 1
	All																		
Grand Junction	Efficiency																		1
	One bedroom																		1
	Two bed, one bath	0.64																	1
	Two bed, two bath																		1
	Three bedroom	0.57																	1
	All	0.58																	
Greeley	Efficiency																		
	One bedroom	0.88																	1
	Two bed, one bath	0.76																	1
	Two bed, two bath	0.64																	1
	Three bedroom	0.53																	1
	All	0.79																	
Pueblo	Efficiency																		
	One bedroom																		1
	Two bed, one bath																		1
	Two bed, two bath																		
	Three bedroom																		1 1
	All																		

Rents are based on the units being unfurnished with tenants paying gas and electricity. Average rents do not reflect " rental losses" from discounts/concessions, models, delinquents, and bad debts. Average rent minus rental losses equals effective rent.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING RESIDENT TURNOVER PER MONTH BY AGE OF BUILDING

Matter M	Market Area	Age of	20	06		20	07		_	20	008			21	009			201	10	
All Colorado Proses 180-09 180	IVIAI KEL AI EA				101			/th	1et			/th	1et			/th	101			4th
Metro Areas 1960-69 0.0 1970-79 1980-99 3.0 0.0 1970-79 1980-99 0.0 0.	All Colorado		Sra	4tn	ı ısı	∠110	, sro	4th	ISI	∠110	ora .	4tn	IST	∠110	ora .	4th	151	<u>∠</u> 110	ora .	40
1970-79 180-99 1			0.0	l																
1900-99	Wello Aleas		0.0																	
200-04																				
2000-44 4.7 2001-15			2.9																	
Deliver Deli																				
Colorado Springs 3-8			4.7																	
Colorado Springs 10-1980 1990-09 1990-			20																	
1990-99	Colorado Springe		3.9																	
1977-79 1990-89 1990-9	Colorado oprings		0.0																	
1990-99 2000-04 2000			0.0	l																
1900-09 1900																				
200-04 200-1 200			0.0																	
2005-																				
Adams																				
Adams To 1959 1900-99 1000-99 1000-99 1000-99 1000-99 1000-99 1000-99 1000-99 1000-99 1000-99 1000-99 1000-99 1000-99 1000-99																				
1806.80 1807.79 1808.80 1809.90 2000.4 4.5 2005.4 4.	Adama		0.0																	
1977-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Arapahoe To 1990 1990-99 1990-90 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-90 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-90 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-90 1990-99 1990-	Adams																			
1898.89 1890.99 2000-04 4.5 2000-14 Average 3.8 Arapahoe 10 1990.99 2000-04 2																				
1990-90 2000-04 2005-1 Average 3.9 Arrapahoe 15 1950 1990-80 1990-80 1990-90 1																				
2000-04 4.5 2005-1 200		1980-89																		
Average 3.9 Average 1.5 1.																				
Arrapahoe			4.5																	
Arapahoe 1099 1990-99																				
1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+	L		3.9																	
1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ 2000-04 2005+ 2000-04 2000-04 2005+ 2000-04 2000-04 2000-04 2000-04 2000-04	Arapahoe																			
1980-89 1990-90 2000-04 2005+																				
1980-99 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0																				
Boulder																				
Boulder/ Average Boulder/ To 1959 190-0-9 190-0-9 2000-04 2005- Average Denver To 1999 190-0-9																				
New range New range New range New range New range New range																				
Boulder/ To 1959 Broomfield 1960-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Denver To 1959 1960-89 1970-79 1970-79 1970-89 1970-79 1970-79 1970-89 1970-79 1970-89 1970-79 1970-89 1970-79 1970-89 1970																				
Broomfield 1980-89 1980-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas 1980-89 1980-																				
1970-79 1980-89 2000-04 2005+ Average Denver To 1959 1980-89 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1980-89 1980-89 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1980-89 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0 2000-																				
1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Denver To 1959 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+	Broomfield																			
1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Denver To 1999 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1999 1990-99 1																				
2000-04 2005+ Average																				
Denver To 1959 1960-69 1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average																				
Denver To 1959 1970-79 1880-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1990-99 1990-99 1900-04 2005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1959 1960-89 1970-79 1880-89 1990-99 1900-04 2005+ Average 3.0																				
Denver To 1959 1960-69 1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1960-69 1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1959 1980-89 1990-99 1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 1900-04 2005+ Average To 1959 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+																				
1960-69 1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1980-89 1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1959 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0																				
1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1959 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1900-04 2005+ Average 3.0	Denver																			
1880-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1999 1960-69 1970-79 1980-89 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1959 1980-99 1970-79 1980-99 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0																				
1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1999 1970-79 1980-89 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1999 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0																				
2000-04 2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1960-69 1970-79 1980-89 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1959 1960-69 1970-79 1880-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0																				
2005+ Average Douglas To 1959 1960-99 1970-79 1980-89 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1959 1960-89 1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average 2005- 2005- Average 2005- 2005																				
Average Douglas To 1999 1990-99 2000-04 2000-4 2000+																				
Douglas To 1959 1960-69 1970-79 1980-89 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1959 1960-69 1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+																				
1990-99 1970-79 1980-89 3.0 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1959 1960-69 1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+																				
1970-79 1980-89 3.0 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1959 1960-69 1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+	Douglas	To 1959																		
1980-89 3.0 1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1999 1990-99 1990-99 1990-99 2000-04 2005+	1		l	l	I	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	1		1	l		I	l	
1990-99 2000-04 2005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1959 1960-69 1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+			I	l	I	l		l	l	l	l	l			l			I	l	
2000-04 20005+ Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1959 1860-69 1870-79 1980-89 1890-99 2000-04 20005+	1		3.0	l	I	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	1		1	l		I	l	
2005- Average 3.0 Jefferson To 1959 1990-99 1990-99 2000-04 2005+			I	l	I	l		l	l	l	l	l			l			I	l	
Average 3.0			I	l	I	l		l	l	l	l	l			l			I	l	
Jefferson To 1959 1860-69 1870-79 1980-89 1890-99 2000-04 2005+	1		l	l	I	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	1		1	l		I	l	
Jefferson To 1959 1960-69 1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+		Average	3.0		L				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			ļ			L	<u> </u>	
1970-79 1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+	Jetterson		I	l	I	l		l	l	l	l	l			l			I	l	
1980-89 1990-99 2000-04 2005+	1		l	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	1		1	l	l	l	l	
1990-99 2000-04 2005+			I	l	I	l		l	l	l	l	l			l			I	l	
2000-04 2005+			I	l	I	l		l	l	l	l	l			l			I	l	
2005+	1		l	l	l	l	l	l	I	l	l	I	1		1	l	l	l	l	
			I	l	I	l		l	l	l	l	l			l			I	l	
Average			I	l	I	l		l	l	l	l	l			l			I	l	
		Average		l .				L		L										

AFFORDABLE HOUSING RESIDENT TURNOVER PER MONTH BY AGE OF BUILDING (CONTINUED)

Market Area	Age of	20	006		20	07			20	08			2	009			201	0	
	Building	3rd	4th	1sst	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1sst	2nd	3rd	4th	1sst	2nd	3rd	4th
Fort Collins/	To 1959																		
Loveland	1960-69																		
	1970-79																		
	1980-89																		
	1990-99																		
	2000-04																		
	2005+																		
	Average																		
Grand Junction	To 1959																		
	1960-69																		
	1970-79																		
	1980-89	4.3																	
	1990-99																		
	2000-04																		
	2005+																		
	Average	3.4																	
Greeley	To 1959																		
	1960-69																		
	1970-79																		
	1980-89	0.0																	
	1990-99																		
	2000-04	4.8																	
	2005+																		
	Average	3.6																	
Pueblo	To 1959	All																	
	1960-69																		
	1970-79																		
	1980-89																		
	1990-99																		
	2000-04																		
	2005+																		
	Average																		

AFFORDABLE HOUSING RESIDENT TURNOVER PER MONTH BY SIZE OF BUILDING

		20	06	2007				2008				2009				2010			
Market Area	Size	3rd	_	1st			4th	1st		_	4th	1st		3rd	4th	1st	_		4th
All Colorado	2 to 8	0.0		130	2110	- Oi u	701	130	Zild	010	701	130	2110	- Olu	701	130	2110	Join	701
Metro Areas	9 to 50	4.0								l					l				
	51 to 99									l					l				
	100 - 199	4.7								l					l				
	200 - 349									l					l				
	350 up									l					l				
	Average	3.9																	
Colorado	2 to 8	0.0								l					l				
Springs	9 to 50	6.6								l					l				
	51 to 99									l					l				
	100 - 199 200 - 349									l					l				
	350 up									l					l				
	Average	5.8								l					l				
Denver	2 to 8	5.0																	
Metro Area	9 to 50	3.9								l					l				
	51 to 99	0.0								l					l				
	100 - 199									l					l				
	200 - 349									l					l				
	350 up									l					l				
	Average	3.9								<u> </u>					<u> </u>				
Adams	2 to 8																		
	9 to 50	4.5								l					l				
	51 to 99									l					l				
	100 - 199									l					l				
	200 - 349									l					l				
	350 up									l					l				
	Average	4.5																	
Arapahoe	2 to 8									l					l				
	9 to 50									l					l				
	51 to 99 100 - 199									l					l				
	200 - 349									l					l				
	350 up									l					l				
	Average									l					l				
Boulder/	2 to 8																		
Broomfield	9 to 50									l					l				
Broomincia	51 to 99									l					l				
	100 - 199									l					l				
	200 - 349									l					l				
	350 up									l					l				
	Average									l					l				
Denver	2 to 8																		
	9 to 50	I	l		I					1	I				1	I	l		l
	51 to 99	l		l	I					I	I		l		I	I		l	1
	100 - 199									l					l				
	200 - 349									l					l				
	350 up	l	l		I					1	I				1	I	l		l
	Average																		
Douglas	2 to 8									l					l				
	9 to 50	3.0	1		I					1	I				1	I	l		l
	51 to 99			l	I					I	I		l		I	I		l	1
	100 - 199									l					l				
	200 - 349									l					l				
	350 up		l		I					1	I				1	I	l		l
	Average	3.0		—						<u> </u>		_	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
Jefferson	2 to 8									l					l				
	9 to 50									l					l				
	51 to 99									l					l				
	100 - 199	1		l	I					I	I		l		I	I		l	1
	200 - 349 350 up	l		l	I					I	I		l		I	I		l	1
	Average									l					l				
	riverage																		

AFFORDABLE HOUSING RESIDENT TURNOVER PER MONTH BY SIZE OF BUILDING

		20	06	2007					20	08			2	009	2010				
Market Area	Size	3rd	4th	n 1st	t 2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Fort Collins/ Loveland	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 - 199 200 - 349 350 up Average																		
Grand Junction	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 - 199 200 - 349 350 up Average	3.3 4.7 3.4																	
Greeley	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 - 199 200 - 349 350 up Average	0.0 4.8 3.6																	
Pueblo	2 to 8 9 to 50 51 to 99 100 - 199 200 - 349 350 up Average																		