#### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division**

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

This report presents data collected by the Administrative Review Division (ARD) through the Out-of-Home Review process. The results are grouped by CFSR Outcome and Item.

There are several key components to fully understanding the report. First, any item which is Compliance related will have the question number displayed in BOLD font, while those that are Data oriented (i.e., collected in order to gather more systemic information) will be displayed in normal font.

Also, as the compliance level for achieving Substantial Conformity during the CFSR is now set at 95%, any item falling below this level will be highlighted by the following symbol:

After the end of each quarter, a new report containing the most recent quarter's data will be made available for all stakeholders on the Colorado Department of Human Services Portal.

> First Quarter = July - September **Second Quarter = October - December** Third Quarter = January - March Fourth Quarter = April - June

Report created on: 7/15/2013

### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

#### **Administrative Review Division**

		1st Quarter SFY 2013					Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>013</u>	3rd (	Quarter	SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	4th (	Quarte	SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Safety O	utcome 2																
	Risk of Harm																
Sat	fety																
1709	If there were new allegations of abuse or neglect identified during the review period, were they entered as a referral into Trails?	13	0	94	100.0 %	10	1	86	90.9% 🚹	6	1	110	85.7% 🔥	12	0	75	00.0%
1712	If a new safety concern was identified regarding this child/youth, were the safety needs of the child/youth adequately addressed during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply)	11	2	94	84.6 % Å	10	1	86	90.9%	9	3	105	75.0%	10	1	76	90.9%
	No Safety Plan			1			0				0				0		
	No assessment/investigation			1			0				2				0		
	No referral to law enforcement			1			0				0				0		
	No, not addressed			1			0				0				1		
	No, other			1			1				1				0		

# Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		<u>1st Q</u>	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>13</u>	2nd (	Quarter	SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	<u>3rc</u>	Quarte	r SFY	<u>2013</u>	4th C	Quarter	SFY 20	<u>)13</u>
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permane	ncy Outcome 1																
	Stability of Foster Care Placements																
	se Planning/Services																
1729	At the time of the review, is the child/youth placed in the most appropriate setting to meet his/her individual needs? (Check all No responses that apply)	102	5	0	95.3 %	95	0	2 1	100.0%	110	6 1	С	99.1%	84	1	2 9	8.8%
	No, other			0			0				1				0		
	No, sibling placement issues		,	5			0				0				0		
Poi	No, unable to determine where child is placed rmanency			0			0				0				1		
1753	If the child/youth experienced one or more moves during the review period,	11	19	77	36.7 % 🔥	14	12	71	53.8%	<u> </u>	18	00	33.3%	9	10	60 4	7.4% 🔥
1700	were all of the placement changes planned by the agency in an effort to	11	19	7.7	30.7 %	14	12	7 1	33.6%	<u>:</u> `	7 10	90	J 33.3% <u>**</u>	9	10	00 4	1.470
	achieve the child/youth's case goals or to meet the needs of the child/youth? (Check "Yes, in line with case goal + planned" if both Yes																
	answers are appropriate) Yes, in line with case goal and planned		1	1			1.1				9				9		
	res, in line with case goal and planned		1	ļ			14				9				9		
1754	If the child/youth experienced one or more moves during the review period that were not planned, what was/were the reason(s) for the move(s)? (Check all that apply)																
	More than one move			3			4				4				3		
	Other			1			2				3				1		
	Provider abuse or neglect allegations			1			0				0				2		
	Provider quit or closed			0			0				2				0		
	Provider request		1	2			6				7				6		
	Runaway			3			4				4				2		
	Temporary setting Youth's behavior			4			1				2				0 7		
14am 7. I	Permanency Goal for Child			1			5				9				/		
	rmanency																
	In the reviewer's opinion, is the primary court ordered permanency goal, at	98	9	0	91.6 %	93	4	0	95.9%	106	6 11		90.6%	80	6	1 0	3.0%
	the time of the review, appropriate for this child/youth?	30	9	J	31.0 /0	33	7	J	JJ.J /0	100	<u>′</u> 1 ' '		JU.U /0	00	U	1 3	3.0 /0
1762	If a petition/motion to terminate parental rights has not been filed, and a	3	1	103	75.0 % 🔥	0	0	97		14	1 (	103	100.0%	6	1	80 8	5.7% 🔥
	compelling reason has been identified, in the reviewer's opinion, is the compelling reason appropriate?	=				- 1	- 1	-				,					
	No, not completed			1			0				0				1		

# **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

#### Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>2nd</u> (	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	3rd	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	<u>4th (</u>	Quarter	SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permane	ency Outcome 1																
Pe	rmanency																
1755	At the time of the review, are reasonable efforts being made to achieve permanency? (Check all No responses that apply)	107	0	0	100.0 %	97	0	0	100.0%	116	1	0	99.1%	87	0	0 1	00.0%
	No, other		(	0			0				1				0		
1756	For a child/youth with a goal of return home, is progress being made toward achieving the goal? (Check all No responses that apply)	3	30	74	9.1 %	15	18	64	45.5%	13	26	78	33.3%	16	13	58	55.2%
	No housing			0			0				5				0		
	No, ICPC		(	0			0				1				0		
	No, child lack of progress		(	6			4				8				1		
	No, child/youth services appropriateness		(	0			0				1				0		
	No, other		(	0			0				0				2		
	No, other potential caregiver lack of progress		;	3			0				4				0		
	No, parent incarc. or long term treatment program			5			2				5				0		
	No, parent lack of progress		29	9			14				18				11		
	No, parent(s)/guardian(s) services appropriateness			1			1				2				0		
	No, parents whereabouts are unknown			8			1				3				0		
1758	For a child/youth with a permanency goal of permanent placement with a relative/non-relative through legal guardianship/permanent custody, is progress being made toward the goal? (Check all No responses that apply)	3	5	99	37.5 %	1	3	93	25.0%	9	1	107	90.0%	1	5	81	16.7%
	No, child lack of progress		(	0			0				0				1		
	No, lack of community supports			0			1				0				0		
	No, other			5			3				1				3		
	No, placement provider does not support perm. goal			0			0				0				1		

# **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

**Administrative Review Division** 

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>13</u>	2nd	Quarter	SFY 2	<u>:013</u>	<u>3rd</u>	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th	Quarte	r SFY	2013
		Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>
Permanei	ncy Outcome 1				_								<u> </u>				_
7	Adoption																
	manency																
	For a child/youth with a goal of adoption, is progress being made toward finalizing the adoption? (Check all No responses that apply)  No CARR listing	5	22	80	18.5 %	10	18 0	69	35.7%	21	12 4	84	63.6%	11	20	56	35.5%
	No adoptive home		1	1			13				9				12		
	No, appeal of termination		;	5			3				2				3		
	No, child/youth declined			1			0				2				0		
	No, court delays No, other			1			0				0				3		
	No, other No, placement provider does not support perm. goal			<u> </u>			10				2				0		
	No, subsidy issues			] ว			0				0				0		
Item 10:	Other Planned Living Arrangement		,	3			ı				U				U		
	se Planning/Services																
	For all youth over age 16 years and 60 days, is there a comprehensive ILP that addresses all needs identified from a state-approved assessment? (Check all No responses that apply)	17	16	74	51.5 % 🔥	15	19	63	44.1%	22	19	76	53.7%	18	10	59	64.3%
	No description or plan of services			3			7				6				3		
	No plan			1			1				1				0		
	No self-sufficiency budget			5			5				4				2		
	No state approved assessment used			8			9				10				5		
	No, all identified needs not addressed		(	)			0				1				0		
	No, not timely			1			3				0				1		
	No, not updated			1			3				1				3		
	Not all ILP tabs completed			1			4				3				4		
	Not developed with youth			1			5				4				2		
	For all youth over age 16 years and 60 days, is the youth receiving services to address all the needs identified in the comprehensive assessment and the FSP 4D? (Check all that apply)	29	4	74	87.9 % 🔥	30	4	63	88.2%	38	3	76	92.7%	26		59	92.9%
	No referral for Chafee services		(	)			1				0				0		
	No, wait list		;	2			0				1				1		
	No, youth refused services			2			1				1				0		

### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

#### **Administrative Review Division**

		1st Quarter SFY 2013					Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	3rd (	Quarte	r SFY	<u>2013</u>	4th (	Quarte	r SFY	<u>2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permane	ency Outcome 1																
	: Other Planned Living Arrangement																
Ca	se Planning/Services																
1735	Is there a comprehensive, youth-driven Emancipation Transition Plan (ETP) developed 90 business days before the youth's projected permanency date? (Check all No responses that apply) (Check only "No	2	0	105	100.0 %	2	2	93	50.0% 🔥	2	4	111	33.3%	3	2	82	60.0%
	plan" if there is not ETP plan)			•			•				0				2		
	No plan			0			2				3				2		
4700	No, not timely		. 1	0			0	1		اء	1				0		
1736	Per Volume 7, have all vital documents been obtained for youth with an OPPLA goal 90 business days before their projected permanency date? (Check all No responses that apply)	1	1	105	50.0 %	3	2	92	60.0%	6	0	111	100.0%	2	3	82	40.0%
	No Birth Certificate			0			2				0				2		
	No Social Security card			1			0				0				2		
	No State ID/driver's license			1			1				0				2		
	No educational records			0			0				0				2		
<u>ltem 10</u>	: Other Planned Living Arrangement																
Pe	rmanency																
1759	For a child/youth a permanency goal of Other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement, is it documented that all other more permanent goals have been considered and appropriately ruled out? (Check all No responses that apply)	23	16	68	59.0 % Å	20	11	65	64.5% Å	23	5	82	82.1% 🔥	17	4	66	81.0%
	No documentation			5			1				2				0		
	No, OPPLA goal not in the child's best interest			0			0				0				1		
	No, child/youth is under 16 years of age			0			1				8				0		
	No, documented reasons not appropriate			7			5				1				1		
	No, not reviewed annually			5			5				2				3		

# Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		<u>1st Q</u>	uarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	2nd (	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	3rd	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	Quarter	SFY	<u>2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permane	ency Outcome 2																
	: Proximity of Placement																
Ca	ase Planning/Services																
1726	Is the child/youth placed within close proximity to his/her parents or other potential permanent caregiver's home?	43	5	59	89.6 % 🔥	49	1	47	98.0%	61	4	52	93.8% <u>1</u>	46	2	39	95.8%
1727	If a child/youth is not placed in close proximity to his/her parents or other	5	0	102	100.0 %	1	٥	06	100.0%	7	0	110	100.0%	2		95	100.0%
.,_,	potential permanent caregiver's home, were reasonable efforts made to	5	U I	102	100.0 /6	'	V <sub>I</sub>	90	100.076	/	- 0	110	100.0 /6		υ	65	100.0 /6
	support or facilitate face-to-face contact with the parents or potential																
	permanent caregivers?																
	: Visiting with Parents and Siblings in Foster Care																
	ermanency												<del></del>				
1773		26	16	65	61.9 % 🔔	23	14	60	62.2%	33	10	74	76.7% 🗘	33	3	51	91.7% <u> </u>
	address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of									'							
	the relationship? (Check all No responses that apply)			_							0				0		
	No, OOH Provider			2			0				0				1		
	No, child/youth			0			2				0				1		
	No, court			4			0				1				0		
	No, mother/guardian/kin No, other		1	5			14				10				0		
1774	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	40	40	1	00.5.0(	00	44	00	04.50/	40	- 0	0.4	04.50(	40	40		50.00(
1774	Does the frequency of visitation with the father/guardian/kin adequately address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of	10	16	81	38.5 % <u> </u>	20	11	66	64.5%	16	10	91	61.5%	13	12	62	52.0% <u> </u>
	the relationship? (Check all No responses that apply)																
	No, child/youth			2			1				0				1		
	No, father/guardian/kin		1				11				10				12		
	No, other			1			0				0				0		
1775	Does the frequency of visitation with the sibling(s) adequately address the	34	8	65	81.0 % 🔥	36	9	52	80.0%	42	7	68	85.7% 🔥	33	4	50	89.2% 🔥
	needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of the														I_		
	relationship(s)? (Check all No responses that apply)																
	No, child/youth			0			1				0				0		
	No, county			0			0				1				0		
	No, other			1			3				1				0		
	No, parent/guardian/kin			3			4				7				3		
	No, sibling			4			5				0				1		

### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

#### **Administrative Review Division**

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>113</u>	2nd C	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	<u>3rc</u>	l Quarte	r SFY	<u>2013</u>	4th C	uarte	SFY 2	2013
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permane	ency Outcome 2																
	: Preserving Connections ourt																
1705	Were these ICWA requirements met? (Check all that apply)	8	7	92	53.3 % 🔥	3	10	84	23.1%	•	6 6	103	42.9% 🥖	0	10	77	0.0% 🔥
	No court order determ. if ICWA does NOT apply		•	4		•	0		•	•	1				1		
	No docum. of inquiry of Native American heritage			0			3				1				1		
	No notification sent to all identified tribes/BIA			3			5				5				7		
	No response from tribe/BIA			4			2				2				1		
	No, new info obtained during FF portion of review			0			0				0				1		
	No, tribe not notified of hearings			0			0				1				0		
Ca	se Planning/Services																
1728	Is the department making concerted efforts to maintain the child/youth's connections during the review period?	107	0	0	100.0 %	95	0	2	100.0%	11	7 (	) (	100.0%	87	0	0 1	00.0%
Item 16	: Relationship of Child in Care with Parents																
	rmanency																
1777	Did the agency promote and support a positive and nurturing relationship between the child/youth and his/her parents? (Check all that apply)	47	3	57	94.0 % 🔥	43	10	44	81.1%	<u>^</u> 4	1 8	65	84.6%	36	7	44	83.7% 🔥
	Yes, encouraged attend. at doctors' appointments			3			0				4				4		
	Yes, encouraged attend. at extra-curricular activ.			3			2				3				6		
	Yes, encouraged foster parents to become mentors			3			3				1				9		
	Yes, facil. contact w/parents not in close proxim.			6			9				5				12		
	Yes, facilitated contact w/incarcerated parents			1			1				0				7		
	Yes, other			2			1				2				1		
	Yes, provid. therap. situations to strengthen rel.		3	2			32				32				18		
	Yes, provided transportation/funds		1	3			13				13				11		

### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

#### **Administrative Review Division**

		1st Quarter SFY 2013					Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>3rd (</u>	Quarter	r SFY	<u>2013</u>	4th C	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 2013	
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u> %	
Well Bei	ng Outcome 1																
Item 17	: Needs/Services of Child, Parents, and Foster Parents																
Ca	se Planning/Services																
1721	Does the Family Services Plan treatment plan document services that are directed at the areas of need identified through assessment?	92	15	0	86.0 % 🔥	86	11	0	88.7% 🔥	101	16	C	86.3% 🔥	79	8	0 90.8%	à
	No		1	5			7				16				7		
	No, all task time frames expired			0			4				0				1		
1722	Were all required parties addressed in the treatment plan? (Check all No responses that apply)	97	10	0	90.7 % 🔥	84	13	0	86.6%	102	15	C	87.2% 🚹	78	9	0 89.7%	à
	No, all task time frames expired			0			4				0				1		
	No, child/youth			3			0				1				1		
	No, county			4			1				0				1		
	No, father/guardian			3			0				4				0		
	No, mother/guardian			3			0				1				0		
	No, out of home provider			8			3				6				4		
	No, some task time frames expired			4			5				6				3		
1723	Does the Family Services Plan treatment plan include objectives and action steps that document clear expectations in order to achieve the	96	11	0	89.7 % <u> </u>	73	24	0	75.3% 🔔	107	10	C	91.5% 🔥	73	14	0 83.9%	j.
	permanency goal? (Check all No responses that apply)																
	No, all task time frames expired			0			4				0				1		
	No, measurable			8			16				8				11		
	No, realistic			0			0				0				5		
	No, specific			4			5				3				5		

# Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	13	<u>2nd</u>	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	<u>3rd</u> (	Quarte	r SFY	2013	<u>4th (</u>	Quarte	r SFY	2013
		Yes No NA % Yes					<u>No</u>	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Be	ing Outcome 1				_				_				_				_
	7: Needs/Services of Child, Parents, and Foster Parents ase Planning/Services																
1724	Does the most recent 90-day review/Court report in Trails meet Volume 7	84	22	4	79.2 % 🤌	89	0	0	91.8% 🔥	97	18	,	2 84.3%	70	17	0	80.5% 🔥
1127	requirements? (Check all No responses that apply)	04	22	I	19.2 70	09	0	U	91.0%	97	10	4	2 04.3%	70	17	U	60.5%
	No approval			1			1				1				3		
	No current 90-day review		(	0			1				2				0		
	No diligent search			7			2				6				4		
	No, barriers to progress			0			0				1				1		
	No, caregiver/kin provider services and progress			0			1				0				1		
	No, child/youth services and progress			4			1				2				3		
	No, child/youth services appropriateness			0			0				1				0		
	No, child/youth's safety			2			1				2				0		
	No, need for add./diff. svcs. and how provided			0			0				0				1		
	No, parent services and progress		:	2			1				0				1		
	No, parent(s)/guardian(s) services appropriateness		(	0			1				0				0		
	No, permanency goal			4			3				2				6		
	No, permanency goal date			2			1				1				5		
	No, task time frames			4			4				4				4		
Н	ealth																
1749	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance																
	abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), what are																
	the substances of use? (Check all that apply)																
	Alcohol			8			1				2				4		
	Cocaine/Crack			1			1				4				1		
	Heroin		;	3			1				0				1		
	Marijuana		!	9			13				4				3		
	Methamphetamine 0.4 a.s.		1	0			14				10				8		
	Other			1			0				0				2		
	Other Opiates		;	3			4				1				1		

### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

#### **Administrative Review Division**

		1st Q	uarter	SFY 20	<u>)13</u>	2	2nd Q	uarter	SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	<u>3r</u>	d Quar	ter S	FY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>4th</u>	Quarte	r SFY	<u>2013</u>
		Yes No NA % Yes No						No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	1	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bei	ng Outcome 1				_					<del>_</del>					<del>_</del>				_
	ealth																		
1750	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), were substance abuse treatment services provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply)	8	5	94	61.5 %		11	5	81	68.8%		6	6	105	50.0%	7	4	76	63.6%
	No referral by county		(	1				1				(	)				0		
	No, delays of 2 + weeks		(	)				1					2				1		
	No, parent/guardian refused services		į	5				4					5				4		
	Unable to determine - outside services		(	)				0					1				0		
1751	If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply)			_									_				4		
	Alcohol CNS Stimulanta		(	3				5					5				4		
	CNS Stimulants Cocaine/Crack		,	1				1				(	)				0		
	Marijuana		(	)				3				4	2				Z 7		
	Methamphetamine		}	3				11					5 1				1		
	Other		,	1				0					! 1				ا ع		
	Other Opiates		(	) }				2					1 1				0		
1752	If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply)	5	3	99	62.5 %		5	6	86	45.5%		6	2	109	75.0%	4	3	80	57.1%
	No available services		(	)				2				(	)				0		
	No referral by county		•	1				0				•	1				2		
	No sufficient services		(	)				1					1				0		
	No, child/youth refused services		•	1				3				(	)				0		
	No, delays of 2 + weeks		2	2				1				•	1				1		
	Unable to determine - outside services		(	)				0				(	)				1		

#### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division**

		<u>1st Q</u>	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>)13</u>	2nd (	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>3rd (</u>	Quarter	SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	4th C	uarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bei	ng Outcome 1																
	: Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning se Planning/Services																
1713	Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? (Check all responses that apply)	105	2	0	98.1 %	95	1	1	99.0%	114	0	3	100.0%	87	0	0	100.0%
	No			2			1				0				0		
1715	Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period?	64	0	43	100.0 %	58	1	38	98.3%	71	0	46	100.0%	48	0	39	100.0%
	No			0			1				0				Ü		
1717	Was the mother/guardian/kin engaged in case planning, during the review period?	45	7	55	86.5 % 🔥	40	7	50	85.1% 🚹	40	16	61	71.4% 🦺	38	5	44	88.4% 🔥
	No No, efforts made but refused		:	2 5			5 2				13 3				1 4		
1719	Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period?	22	20	65	52.4 % 🔥	29	9	59	76.3% 🔥	32	13	72	71.1%	23	9	55	71.9% 🔥
	No		1	1			4				8				3		
	No, efforts made but refused			9			5				5				6		

# **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

#### **Administrative Review Division**

		<u>1st Q</u>	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>013</u>	2nd C	Quarter	SFY 2	013	<u>3rd</u>	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY 2	2013	4th C	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bein	ng Outcome 1																
Item 19:	: Worker Visits with Child																
Pe	rmanency																
1763	How many months should the assigned worker have made face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period? (Answer for in-state cases only)																
	1		(	0			1				0				1		
	2		(	0			2				0				0		
	3			2			3				2				1		
	4		:	2			9				6				1		
	5		2	5			24				18				22		
	6		7:	2			43				78				55		
	7			3			9				8				0		
1764	How many months did the assigned worker make face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period? (Within the state of Colorado, not an ICPC case)																
	1		(	0			1				0				1		
	2			1			2				0				0		
	3			1			3				2				1		
	4			2			9				6				2		
	5		2	7			27				20				23		
	6		7				40				77				53		
	7			3			9				7				0		
	Of all the months requiring contact, in what percent did agency personnel have contact with the child?				99.5%		-		99.4%			]	99.5%				%99.3
	In what percent of cases did agency personnel have contact with the child every month?	101	3	[	97.1%	88	3		96.7%	109	3	]	97.3%	77	3		96.3%

### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

#### **Administrative Review Division**

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>13</u>	2nd (	Quarte	r SFY 2013	3rd	Quarte	er SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	4th (	<u>2013</u>		
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u> %	Yes Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bein	ng Outcome 1				<u> </u>							<u>—</u>				<del>_</del>
	Worker Visits with Child															
	rmanency															
1765	How many months should the worker of either the sending or receiving state make face-to-face contact with the child/youth, placed outside the state, during the review period? (Answer for ICPC cases only)															
	1			0			4			1				1		
	2			2			3			4				0		
	3			1			0			0				0		
	4 5			0			2			0				1		
	5			0			1			0				7		
	7			3			5			4				, 0		
1766	How many months did the worker of either the sending or receiving state make face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period, for a child/youth placed outside the state? (Answer for ICPC cases only)															
	0			1			2			1				1		
	1			2			3			0				1		
	2			1			5			6				0		
	3			0			1			0				0		
	4			0			1			0				2		
	5			0			1			0				0		
	0			2			2			2				5		
	Of all the months requiring contact, in what percent did agency personnel have contact with the child?			0			0			1				U		%81.3
	In what percent of cases did agency personnel have contact with the child every month?	0	0		.0%	0	0	.(	0%	0		.0%	5	4	[	55.6% 📤
1767	Did the frequency of contact with the child/youth in his/her place of residence occur according to Volume 7?	102	4	1	96.2 %	87	9	1 90.6	6% 🔥 109	8	8 0	93.2%	79	8	0	90.8%

### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

**Administrative Review Division** 

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

		1st Quarter SFY 2013				2nd 0	Quarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	3rd C	<u>Quarter</u>	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	4th C	<u> 2013</u>		
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Beir	ng Outcome 1																
	Worker Visits with Child																
Pei	manency																
1768	Was the quality of contacts with the child/youth sufficient to address issues	88	17	2	83.8 % 🔥	81	15	1	84.4%	99	18	0	84.6%	64	23	0	73.6% 🔥
	pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and																
	to promote achievement of case goals? (Check all No responses that																
	apply)																
	No		4	4			2				2				5		
	No assessment of safety		(	3			13				12				21		
	No, outside presence of provider		1	•			10				13				17		
Item 20:	Worker Visits with Parents						10				. •						
	rmanency																
1769	Did the frequency of contact with the mother/guardian/kin occur according	22	7	78	75.9 %	20	6	71	76.00/	22	10	0.4	69.7% 🔥	19	0	60	70.49/
1703	to Volume 7?	22	/	70	75.9 %	20	6	71	76.9% 🔼	23	10	04	09.7%	19	٥	60	70.4% <u> </u>
1770	Was the quality of contacts with the mother/guardian/kin sufficient to	25	3	79	89.3 % 🔥	25	1	71	96.2%	26	1	87	86.7% 🔥	25	2	60	92.6% 🔥
	address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the	23	3	19	09.5 /0	23	1	7 1	30.2 /6	20	4	01	00.7 /0	23	2	00	92.070
	child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals?																
1771	Did the frequency of contact with the father/guardian/kin occur according to	<u></u>	40	04	27.5.0/	0	0	04	50 00/ A	_	44	101	24 20/	2	7	77	20.00/
1771	Volume 7?	6	10	91	37.5 % <u> </u>	8	Ö	81	50.0%	၁	11	101	31.3% <u> </u>	3		//	30.0% 🔥
4770	<del>-</del>									[							
1772	Was the quality of contacts with the father/guardian/kin sufficient to	13	3	91	81.3 % 🔼	13	1	83	92.9% <u> </u>	15	0	102 l	00.0%	9	1	77	90.0% 🔥
	address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the																

child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals?

# **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

**Administrative Review Division** 

		1st Quarter SFY 2013				<u>2nd</u>	Quarter	<u> 2013</u>	<u>3rd</u>	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	4th Quarter SFY 2013			
		Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	%
Well Beir	ng Outcome 2	· <del></del>			_			· <u> </u>	_	· <u> </u>			<del>_</del>				<u> </u>
Item 21:	Educational Needs of Child																
	ucation																
1737	Is the child/youth's education/school record in the case file? (Check all No responses that apply)  No GED/Diploma  No address of current school  No credit count  No current IEP	66	23	18 2 2 6 0	74.2 %	65	17 2 2 0 4	15	79.3%	79	15 1 0 3 8	23	84.0% 🔥	59	13 1 0 0 9	15	81.9%
	No current grade reports		1:	2			12				5				4		
1738	For children aged 3 - 5: Is the child enrolled in Head Start or another early childhood education program?  Yes, assessed only Yes, enrolled	10	1	96 3 7	90.9 %	4	1 0 4	92	80.0%	13	4 9	103	92.9%	9	1 0 9	77	90.0%
1739	For youth aged 16 or older: Is the youth on track to graduate and/or complete high school?  GED  GED earned  Graduated  Information not available  No GED  No, graduate	31	4	72 3 0 5 2 0	88.6 %	30	6 2 0 4 0 1 5	61	83.3%	35	1 0 4 0 1 5	76	85.4%	24	5 1 2 3 0 1 4	58	82.8%
1740	Was educational stability provided for the child during the review period?  (Check all No responses that apply)  No, changed schools during review period  No, delays in enrollment  No, delays in record transfer  No, initial placement required change in school  No, other  No, req. 504 or IEP spec. ed. svcs. were not prov.  No, schl. distr. refused to provide appropr. svcs.	59	28	20 0 0 2 1 0 0 1	67.8 %	52	29 20 1 0 9 0 2	16	64.2%	70	15 1 1 10 1 0	24	75.3%	53	17 11 0 0 4 2 0 0	17	75.7%
1741	Were the child/youth's educational needs assessed?	91	0	16	100.0 %	85	1	11	98.8%	94	1	22	98.9%	71	0	16	100.0%

# **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

**Administrative Review Division** 

		1st Q	uarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	2nd Quarter SFY 2013					Quarter	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	4th 0	<u>′ 2013</u>		
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes No NA %</u>				Yes No NA			<u>%</u>
Well Bein	ng Outcome 3																
	: Physical Health of Child																
	alth																
1742	Is health information in the case file, including name and address of current health care provider(s), known medical problems and current medications? (Check all No responses that apply)  No provider address/phone number	104	3	0	97.2 %	93	4	0	95.9%	116	1	0	99.1%	87	0	C	100.0%
	No provider name			2			4				1				0		
1743	Did the child/youth receive a medical exam, medical screening, or was a medical exam scheduled within two weeks of initial placement? (Check all No responses that apply) (Initial Review Only)  No, late	23	0	84	100.0 %	16	5	76	76.2% 🔥	19	2	96	90.5%	17	3	67	85.0%
	No, never occurred			)			1				2				1		
	Yes, appointment		,	)			4				1				7		
	Yes, exam		2	<u>-</u> 1			13				18				10		
1744	Did the child/youth receive a full dental examination or was a dental exam scheduled within eight weeks of initial placement? (Check all No responses that apply) (Initial Review Only)  No, late	20	1	86	95.2 %	14	4	79	77.8% 🔥	18	1	98	94.7%	15	0	72	100.0%
	No, never occurred			)			3				0				0		
	Yes, appointment			1			0				0				1		
	Yes, exam		19	9			14				18				14		
1745	Has the child/youth received regular health care, including immunizations, and/or treatment for identified health needs? (Services delivered) (Check all No responses that apply)	96	11	. 0	89.7 % 🦺	70	26	1	72.9% 🔥	95	22	0	81.2%	70	17	C	80.5%
	No statement from medical examiner  No treatment for identified needs		•	1			11				11				0		
	No, immunizations			)			1				1 5				5		
	No, lack of timely referral or follow through			<u>^</u> 7			12				7				7		
	No, other			<u>'</u>			1 4				0				0		
1746	Has the child/youth received regular dental care and treatment for identified dental needs? (Services delivered) (Check all No responses that apply)	86	12	9	87.8 % 🦺	74	14	9	84.1%	94	14	9	87.0% 🔥	65	14	3	82.3%
	No treatment for identified needs		(	)			0				1				0		
	No, Medicaid No, lack of timely referral or follow through		12	) 2			0 14				1 14				3 14		

### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

#### **Administrative Review Division**

		<u>1st Q</u>	<u>013</u>	2nd (	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	3rd (	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	<u>4th (</u>	4th Quarter SFY 2013				
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
	ng Outcome 3																
	: Mental Health of Child ealth																
1747	Were the child/youth's mental health needs assessed?	98	0	9	100.0 %	87	0	10 1	100.0%	101	0	16	100.0%	78	0	9	100.0%
1748	Were mental health services provided to meet the child/youth's needs during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply)	54	30	23	64.3 % 🔥	55	28	14	66.3%	67	24	26	73.6%	49	18	20	73.1% 🔥
	No available services		0				2				1				3		
	No referral by county			2			1				0				0		
	No sufficient services			1			1				5				0		
	No, Medicaid			0			1				0				1		
	No, OOH provider issue			0			1				0				0		
	No, changed MH provider		2	4			23				18				14		
	No, child refused services			0			5				1				1		
	No, delays of 2 + weeks			8			7				11				4		
	No, mental health systems issue			2			2				7				0		
	No, other			1			0				1				0		
	Unable to determine			0			0				0				1		

### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

**Administrative Review Division** 

		1st Quarter SFY 2013				2nd (	Quarte	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>3rd (</u>	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	<u>2013</u>		
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Systemic	Factors																
Item 25	: Process to Ensure Each Child Has a Written Case Plan Developed Join	tly with	Pare	nts													
	se Planning/Services																
1730	Does the FSP 4 B/C contain a comprehensive description of the type and appropriateness of the homes or facilities in which the child/youth was placed during the review period?	100	7	0	93.5 % Å	91	6	0	93.8% 🗘	114	3	0	97.4%	83	4	0	95.4%
Item 27	: Permanency Hearing Every Twelve Months																
	urt																
1703	If a child has been in care for 12 months or longer, is there a court order in the case file that was signed and dated within the last 12 months that contains reasonable efforts to achieve permanency language, and does not contain "nunc pro tunc" language? (Re-Review Only)	77	4	26	95.1 %	69	4	24	94.5% 🔥	94	0	23	100.0%	66	0	21 1	00.0%
	No reasonable efforts			1			1				0				0		
	No signed court order			า ว			3				0				0		
Item 29	: Process for Foster Parents, Pre-adoptive Parents, and Relative Caregiv	ers to	he No	s Hified of	and an Onr	ortunit	v to he	Heard	in Any Re	eview o	r Heari	na Hel	d with Res	enect to	the Cl	nild	
	e Process	1013 10	<u> </u>	inica oi	, and an Opp	<del>Jortaini</del>	y to be	ricara	, III AII <b>y</b> IX	CVICW C	cari	ng ne	WILLII ILOC	pcot to	1110 01	<del>mu</del>	
1708	Were all required parties invited to the review and given at least two weeks notice? (Check all that apply)  No. GAL	93	14	0	86.9 % 🚹	87	10	0	89.7% 🔥	104	13	0	88.9%	79	8	0	90.8% 🔥
	No, OOH Provider			ა ი			1				1				2		
	No, caseworker			ა ე			0				2				0		
	No, child over 12			Z 1			0				2				0		
	No, father/guardian			<del>4</del>			4				2				2		
	No, mother/guardian			<u>د</u> 0			1				2				3		
	No, not timely			6			8				5				2		

# **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

#### **Administrative Review Division**

		1st Quarter SFY 2013 2nd Quarter SFY 2013						<u>013</u>	3rd (	Quarter	SFY 2	<u>.013</u>	4th Quarter SFY 2013					
		Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	
Miscellar	neous				_								<del></del>				<del></del>	
Miscella Co																		
1701	Is this a court ordered review?	0	107	0		0	97	Λ	0.0%	٥	117	0	0.0%	0	87	Ο	0.0%	
1702	Is there a signed removal order that contains best interest or welfare of the child language, and determines if reasonable efforts were made or an emergency justified lack of reasonable efforts, and does not contain "nunc pro tunc" language? (Check all that apply) (Initial Review Only)	22	0		100.0 %	22	0	75 1	00.0%	16	0		100.0%	19	1	67	95.0%	
	No signed removal order		0	)			0				0				1			
1704	Has the county had authority for placement within the review period? (A Fiscal Sanction may result if the answer is "No.")	107	0	0	100.0 %	97	0	0 1	00.0%	116	1	0	99.1%	86	1	0	98.9%	
IV-																		
1706	Has IV-E eligibility been determined within 45 days of removal? (A Fiscal Sanction may result if the answer is "No.") (Initial Review Only)	22	2	83	91.7 % 🔥	21	1	75	95.5%	21	0	96	100.0%	20	0	67	100.0%	
1707	Has a timely IV-E redetermination been completed during the review period? (Re-Review Only)	26	0	81	100.0 %	15	0	82 1	00.0%	16	0	101	100.0%	16	0	71	100.0%	
Pei	rmanency																	
1778	Were the previous compliance issues addressed? (Re-Review Only)	35	23	49	60.3 % 🔥	34	23	40	59.6% 🧘	24	38	55	38.7% 🧘	22	26	39	45.8% 🔥	
Cre	edit Report				-				•				-		-		-	
1779	If the youth is 16 years and older have the youth and the GAL received a copy of all consumer credit reports annually?	0	0	0		0	0	28		0	0	117		0	0	86		
	No, GAL		0	)			0				0				1			
1780	If the youth is 16 years and older and has a credit report with evidence of inaccuracies, has the county department or the GAL referred the youth to an approved agency to resolve the inaccuracies?	0	0	0		0	0	28		0	0	117		0	1	86	0.0%	
1781	If the youth is 16 years and older and has a credit report with evidence of inaccuracies, is the county department making efforts to resolve the inaccuracies, or have the inaccuracies been addressed?	0	0	0		0	0	28		0	0	117		0	1	86	0.0%	