Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

This report presents data collected by the Administrative Review Division (ARD) through the Out-of-Home Review process. The results are grouped by CFSR Outcome and Item.

There are several key components to fully understanding the report. First, any item which is Compliance related will have the question number displayed in **BOLD** font, while those that are Data oriented (i.e., collected in order to gather more systemic information) will be displayed in normal font.

Also, as the compliance level for achieving Substantial Conformity during the CFSR is now set at 95%, any item falling below this level will be highlighted by the following symbol:

After the end of each quarter, a new report containing the most recent quarter's data will be made available for all stakeholders on the Colorado Department of Human Services Portal.

First Quarter = July - September Second Quarter = October - December Third Quarter = January - March Fourth Quarter = April - June

Report created on: 7/15/2013

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013				2nd Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 2013	<u>3rc</u>	l Quarte	er SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	<u>4th (</u>	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Safety O	utcome 2																
	Risk of Harm fety																
1709	If there were new allegations of abuse or neglect identified during the review period, were they entered as a referral into Trails?	6	0	15	100.0 %		11	0	9 100.0%	(3 (18	100.0%	2	0	13	100.0%
1712	If a new safety concern was identified regarding this child/youth, were the safety needs of the child/youth adequately addressed during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply)	4	0	17	100.0 %		9	0	11 100.0%	4	1 (17	100.0%	2	0	13	100.0%

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	uarter	SFY 20	<u>13</u>	2nd (Quarter	SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	<u>3rd (</u>	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	Quarter	SFY	2013
		Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	%	Yes	No	NA	%
Permane	ncy Outcome 1		_		_		_										
_	Stability of Foster Care Placements																
	se Planning/Services																
1729	At the time of the review, is the child/youth placed in the most appropriate	21	0	Ο	100.0 %	20	0	ر ا	100.0%	21	0	<u></u> Λ	100.0%	13	2	0	86.7%
0	setting to meet his/her individual needs? (Check all No responses that	21	U	U	100.0 /0	20	V _I	V	100.070	21		0	100.070	13	2	- 0	00.7 /0
	apply)																
	No, sibling placement issues		()			0				0				2		
Pei	rmanency																
1753	If the child/youth experienced one or more moves during the review period,	2	4	15	33.3 % 🔥	5	2	13	71.4% 🔥	2	3	16	40.0%	1	5	9	16.7%
	were all of the placement changes planned by the agency in an effort to				00.0 70	<u> </u>	-	10	7 1.470			10	1 40.070	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>		10:770
	achieve the child/youth's case goals or to meet the needs of the																
	child/youth? (Check "Yes, in line with case goal + planned" if both Yes																
	answers are appropriate)																
	Yes, in line with case goal and planned			2			3				2				1		
4754	Yes, to meet youth's specific needs and planned		()			2				0				0		
1754	If the child/youth experienced one or more moves during the review period																
	that were not planned, what was/were the reason(s) for the move(s)? (Check all that apply)																
	More than one move			1			2				1				0		
	Other))			0				1				0		
	Provider abuse or neglect allegations			1			1				0				0		
	Provider quit or closed			' 1			0				0				1		
	Provider request		()			1				1				1		
	Runaway)			1				1				1		
	Temporary setting			2			1				1				2		
	Youth's behavior			_)			1				0				3		
<u> Item 7: I</u>	Permanency Goal for Child																
Pe	rmanency																
1760	In the reviewer's opinion, is the primary court ordered permanency goal, at	15	6	0	71.4 %	19	1	0	95.0%	19	2	0	90.5%	12	3	0	80.0%
	the time of the review, appropriate for this child/youth?			-		-		-		-		•		-	*		
1762	If a petition/motion to terminate parental rights has not been filed, and a	0	0	21	0.0 %	0	2	18	0.0% <u> </u>	0	1	20	0.0%	1	0	14	100.0%
	compelling reason has been identified, in the reviewer's opinion, is the																
	compelling reason appropriate? No)			0				1				0		
	No, not completed			J 1			0 2				1 0				0		
	rio, rioi completed		,	,			2				J				J		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

			_														
		1st Quarter SFY 2013 2nd Quarter SFY							<u> 2013</u>	<u>3rd</u>	Quarte	er SFY	2013	<u>4th (</u>	Quarter	SFY 2	<u>2013</u>
		Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>
Permane	ency Outcome 1				_	· <u></u>			_				_				_
	ermanency																
1755	At the time of the review, are reasonable efforts being made to achieve permanency? (Check all No responses that apply)	21	0	0	100.0 %	20	0	0 ′	100.0%	21	() (0100.0%	15	0	0 1	00.0%
1756	For a child/youth with a goal of return home, is progress being made toward achieving the goal? (Check all No responses that apply) No, ICPC	4	14	3 n	22.2 %	6	7	7	46.2%	1	0	7 1:	3 12.5%	2	7	6	22.2%
	No, child lack of progress			0			2				1				0		
	No, lack of community supports			0			0				0				1		
	No, lack of effort/inadequate supervision		(0			1				0				0		
	No, other		(0			1				0				0		
	No, parent lack of progress		14	4			6				6				6		
4750	No, placement provider does not support perm. goal		(0			1				0			. 1	0	1.	
1758	For a child/youth with a permanency goal of permanent placement with a relative/non-relative through legal guardianship/permanent custody, is progress being made toward the goal? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	21	0.0 %	[0]	1 _	19	0.0%	1 1		0 20	0 100.0%	[1]	0	14 1	00.0%
	No, child lack of progress		(0			1				0				0		
	Adoption ermanency																
1757	For a child/youth with a goal of adoption, is progress being made toward finalizing the adoption? (Check all No responses that apply)	1	2	18	33.3 %	1	0	19 ′	100.0%	4	1	7 10	36.4%	1	0	141	00.0%
	No adoptive home		2	2			0				1				0		
	No, appeal of termination		(0			0				4				0		
	No, county attorney			1			0				0				0		
	No, court delays No, other		(0			0				1				0		
	NO, Other		(U			U				4				U		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>13</u>		<u>2nd C</u>	uarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>.</u>	<u>3rd Qι</u>	arter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>4th</u>	Quart	er SF	Y 2013
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Y</u> (es es	No.	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	NA	<u>%</u>
Permane	ncy Outcome 1																		
	Other Planned Living Arrangement se Planning/Services																		
1731	For all youth over age 16 years and 60 days, is there a comprehensive ILP that addresses all needs identified from a state-approved assessment? (Check all No responses that apply) No description or plan of services No self-sufficiency budget No state approved assessment used No, not updated Not all ILP tabs completed Not developed with youth	1		20	100.0 %		4	3 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	13	57.1%		0	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	20	0.0%	5	0 0 0 0 0	1	0 100.0%
1733	For all youth over age 16 years and 60 days, is the youth receiving services to address all the needs identified in the comprehensive assessment and the FSP 4D? (Check all that apply)	1	0		100.0 %		7	0	13 1	00.0%		1	0	20	00.0%	5	0	1	0 100.0%
1735	Is there a comprehensive, youth-driven Emancipation Transition Plan (ETP) developed 90 business days before the youth's projected permanency date? (Check all No responses that apply) (Check only "No plan" if there is not ETP plan)	0	0	21	0.0 %		0	0	20			0	0	21		2	0	1	3 100.0%
1736	Per Volume 7, have all vital documents been obtained for youth with an OPPLA goal 90 business days before their projected permanency date? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	21	0.0 %		0	0	20			0	0	21		2	0	1	3 100.0%
	manency			-	i	_										-		1	 1
1759	For a child/youth a permanency goal of Other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement, is it documented that all other more permanent goals have been considered and appropriately ruled out? (Check all No responses that apply) No documentation No, child/youth is under 16 years of age	0		21 0 0	0.0 %		4	1 1 0	15	80.0%	<u> </u>	0	0 0 1	20		4	0 0	1	1 100.0%
	No, documented reasons not appropriate			0				0					1				0		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		<u>1st Q</u>	uarter (SFY 20	<u>)13</u>	2nd (Quarter	SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	3rd	Quarte	r SFY	<u>2013</u>	4th Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permane	ency Outcome 2																
	: Proximity of Placement																
	se Planning/Services																
1726	Is the child/youth placed within close proximity to his/her parents or other potential permanent caregiver's home?	17	2	2	89.5 % 🚹	10	3	7	76.9%	16	1	4	1 94.1%	7	1	7	87.5% 🚹
1727	If a child/youth is not placed in close proximity to his/her parents or other potential permanent caregiver's home, were reasonable efforts made to support or facilitate face-to-face contact with the parents or potential permanent caregivers?	2	0	19	100.0 %	4	0	16 1	100.0%	0	1	20	0.0%	3	0	121	00.0%
	: Visiting with Parents and Siblings in Foster Care																
Pe	rmanency					_		_	_						_	_	_
1773	Does the frequency of visitation with the mother/guardian/kin adequately address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of the relationship? (Check all No responses that apply)	16	3	2	84.2 % 🚹	15	1	4	93.8%	10	4	. 7	7 71.4% 🔥	10	1	4	90.9% 🔥
	No, county		C)			0				1				0		
	No, mother/guardian/kin		3				1				4				1		
1774	Does the frequency of visitation with the father/guardian/kin adequately address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of the relationship? (Check all No responses that apply)	9	2	10	81.8 % 🚹	9	0	11 1	100.0%	8	3	10	72.7% 🔥	7	1	7	87.5% <u> </u>
	No, father/guardian/kin		1				0				3				1		
	No, other		1				0				0				0		
1775	Does the frequency of visitation with the sibling(s) adequately address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of the relationship(s)? (Check all No responses that apply)	9	0	12	100.0 %	10	0	10 1	100.0%	9	C	12	2 100.0%	7	0	8 1	00.0%
Itom 14	: Preserving Connections																
	ourt																
1705	Were these ICWA requirements met? (Check all that apply)	0	6	15	0.0 % 🔥	1	3	16	25.0% 🔥	. 0	5	16	6 0.0%	1	3	11	25.0% 🔥
	No court order determ. if ICWA does NOT apply		<u> </u>		0.0 70		0	.0	20.070		1	1 10	5 0.070 <u></u>	<u>'</u> '	0	- ' '	20.070
	No notification sent to all identified tribes/BIA		2))			0				3				0		
	No response from tribe/BIA		(-)			3				2				3		
	No, new info obtained during FF portion of review		2	,)			0				0				0		
	No, other		2	-)			0				1				2		
Ca	se Planning/Services		2	-			J				•				_		
1728	Is the department making concerted efforts to maintain the child/youth's connections during the review period?	21	0	0	100.0 %	19	0	1 1	100.0%	21	C) (100.0%	15	0	0 1	00.0%

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

	<u>1st Q</u>	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>2nd</u>	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	3rd	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	Quarte	SFY	2013
	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permanency Outcome 2																
Item 16: Relationship of Child in Care with Parents																
Permanency																
Did the agency promote and support a positive and nurturing relationship between the child/youth and his/her parents? (Check all that apply)	19	0	2	100.0 %	17	0	3	100.0%	14	2	5	87.5% <u> </u>	13	0	2	100.0%
Yes, encouraged attend. at doctors' appointments			4			3				1				1		
Yes, encouraged attend. at extra-curricular activ.			1			1				0				1		
Yes, encouraged foster parents to become mentors			1			2				2				4		
Yes, facil. contact w/parents not in close proxim.			2			6				4				5		
Yes, facilitated contact w/incarcerated parents			2			1				0				0		
Yes, other			8			3				4				0		
Yes, provid. therap. situations to strengthen rel.			1			8				5				4		
Yes, provided transportation/funds			5			4				2				4		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>)13</u>	2nd 0	Quarter	SFY 2	013	3rd	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	Quarte	r SFY	<u>2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
_	ng Outcome 1																
	Needs/Services of Child, Parents, and Foster Parents se Planning/Services																
1721	Does the Family Services Plan treatment plan document services that are directed at the areas of need identified through assessment?	16	5	0	76.2 % 🔥	16	4	0	80.0%	20	1	0	95.2%	15	0	0	100.0%
	No		;	5			4				1				0		
1722	Were all required parties addressed in the treatment plan? (Check all No	18	3	0	85.7 % <u> </u>	18	2	0	90.0%	19	2	. 0	90.5%	13	2	0	86.7% 🔥
	responses that apply) No, child/youth			0			1				0				0		
	No, father/guardian			1			0				0				0		
	No, out of home provider			2			1				2				2		
1723	Does the Family Services Plan treatment plan include objectives and	16	5	0	76.2 % <u> </u>	17	3	0	85.0%	18	3	0	85.7% <u>1</u>	15	0	0	100.0%
	action steps that document clear expectations in order to achieve the permanency goal? (Check all No responses that apply)																
	No, measurable			5			3				3				0		
	No, realistic			2			0				0				0		
	No, specific			3			0				2				0		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		<u>1st Q</u>	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>)13</u>	<u>2nd</u>	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	3rd	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	<u>4th</u>	Quarte	er SFY	<u>2013</u>
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bei	ng Outcome 1																
	: Needs/Services of Child, Parents, and Foster Parents																
	se Planning/Services																
1724	Does the most recent 90-day review/Court report in Trails meet Volume 7 requirements? (Check all No responses that apply)	20	1	0	95.2 %	17	3	0	85.0%	18	3	0	85.7%	11	4	0	73.3% 🚹
	No approval			0			0				0				2		
	No current 90-day review			0			0				2				0		
	No, child/youth services and progress			0			1				1				1		
	No, child/youth's safety			1			1				0				1		
	No, parent(s)/guardian(s) services appropriateness			0			1				0				0		
	No, permanency goal			0			1				0				0		
	No, task time frames			0			0				0				2		
He	ealth			J			Ü				· ·						
1749	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply)																
	Alcohol			7			3				2				4		
	CNS Depressants			0			0				2				0		
	Cocaine/Crack			0			1				0				1		
	Marijuana			6			1				2				6		
	Methamphetamine		1	1			6				10				6		
	Other		·	0			1				1				0		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	113	2	2nd Q	uarter	SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	3rd	Quarte	r SFY	<u>2013</u>	<u>4th</u>	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Υ	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	%	Yes	No	NA	%
Well Bei	ng Outcome 1				_	_		_								_		
	alth																	
1750	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), were substance abuse treatment services provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply) No received provider report	5	8	8	38.5 %		4	3	13	57.1%	3	0	1 1	1 30.0%	3	0	9	50.0%
	No received provider report No, parent/guardian refused services Unable to determine - outside services			0 7 1				2 1				6				3		
1751	If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol			1				2				0				0		
	Cocaine/Crack Marijuana Methamphetamine			0 1 1				0 4 1				1 1 1				0 1 0		
1752	If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply) No received provider report No referral by county Unable to determine - outside services	1	0	20	100.0 %		2	1 1 2	16	50.0%	0	0 0	20	0.0%	1	0 0	14	100.0%
Item 18:	Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning			0				0				1				U		
	se Planning/Services																	
1713	Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? (Check all responses that apply)	21	0	0	100.0 %		19	0	1 ′	100.0%	21	() (0100.0%	12	0	3	100.0%
1715	Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period?	3	0	18	100.0 %		11	0	9	100.0%	6	() 15	5100.0%	8	0	7	100.0%
1717	Was the mother/guardian/kin engaged in case planning, during the review period? No	19	1	1	95.0 %		17	3	0	85.0%	15	() (6100.0%	12	1	2	92.3%
	No, efforts made but refused			1				3				0				0		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013 2						SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	3rd (Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bein	ng Outcome 1																
Item 18:	Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning																
	se Planning/Services																
1719	Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review	12	3	6	80.0 % 🔥	9	3	8	75.0% 🔥	13	2	6	86.7% 🔥	10	1	4	90.9% 🔥
	period?													<u> </u>			
	No		:	2			1				0				1		
	No, efforts made but refused			1			2				2				0		
<u>Item 19:</u>	: Worker Visits with Child																
Pe	rmanency																
1763	How many months should the assigned worker have made face-to-face																
	contact with the child/youth during the review period? (Answer for in-state																
	cases only)																
	3		(0			0				1				0		
	4			1			0				4				2		
	5			8			6				4				4		
	6		1:	2			14				11				9		
1764	How many months did the assigned worker make face-to-face contact with																
	the child/youth during the review period? (Within the state of Colorado, not																
	an ICPC case)																
	3		(0			0				1				0		
	4			1			0				4				2		
	5			8			6				4				4		
	6		1:	2			14				11				9		
	Of all the months requiring contact, in what percent did agency personnel have contact with the child?				100.0%				99.2%				100.0%				%100.0
	In what percent of cases did agency personnel have contact with the child every month?	21	0		100.0%	20	0	1	100.0%	20	0		100.0%	15	0	j	100.0%

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013 2nd			2nd (Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>:013</u>	3rd (Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th (Quarte	SFY	<u> 2013</u>	
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bei	ng Outcome 1																
Item 19:	: Worker Visits with Child																
	rmanency																
1765	How many months should the worker of either the sending or receiving state make face-to-face contact with the child/youth, placed outside the state, during the review period? (Answer for ICPC cases only)																
	1			1			0				1				2		
4700	4			0			0				1				0		
1766	How many months did the worker of either the sending or receiving state make face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period, for a child/youth placed outside the state? (Answer for ICPC cases only)																
	0			0			0				1				2		
	1			1			0				1				0		
	Of all the months requiring contact, in what percent did agency personnel have contact with the child?																%0.0
	In what percent of cases did agency personnel have contact with the child every month?	0	0		.0%	0	0		.0%	0	0]	.0%	0	2		.0% 📤
1767	Did the frequency of contact with the child/youth in his/her place of	21	0	0	100.0 %	18	2	0	90.0% 🔥	20	1	0	95.2%	13	2	0	86.7% 🗘
lt a 40.	residence occur according to Volume 7?	-	-	_													
	: Worker Visits with Child rmanency																
1768	Was the quality of contacts with the child/youth sufficient to address issues	11	10	٥	52.4 % 🔥	18	2	٥	90.0%	17	3	1	85.0%	15	0	0	100.0%
	pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and		10	U	JZ.4 /0 1	10		U	90.076	17		1	03.070	13	٠	U	100.078
	to promote achievement of case goals? (Check all No responses that																
	apply)			_							•				0		
	No			8			1				0				0		
	No assessment of safety No, outside presence of provider			გ 2			2				3				0		
	ivo, oatside presence or provider			1			1				U				U		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013 2nd				<u>2nd (</u>	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	<u>3rd (</u>	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	<u>4th (</u>	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bei	ng Outcome 1																
Item 20	: Worker Visits with Parents																
Pe	ermanency																
1769	Did the frequency of contact with the mother/guardian/kin occur according to Volume 7?	18	2	1	90.0 % 🔥	9	4	7	69.2%	12	1	8	92.3% 🔥	5	4	6	55.6% 🔥
1770	Was the quality of contacts with the mother/guardian/kin sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals?	17	3	1	85.0 % 🔥	10	2	8	83.3%	11	2	8	84.6%	8	0	7	100.0%
1771	Did the frequency of contact with the father/guardian/kin occur according to Volume 7?	5	5	11	50.0 % 🔥	4	1	15	80.0%	7	1	13	87.5% 🔥	5	2	8	71.4% 🔥
1772	Was the quality of contacts with the father/guardian/kin sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals?	4	3	14	57.1 % 🔥	3	2	15	60.0% 🧘	8	0	13	100.0%	7	0	8	100.0%

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>13</u>	2nd 0	uarter	SFY 2	013	3rd	Quarte	SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	4th Quarter SFY 2013						
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	
Well Bein	ng Outcome 2																	
Item 21:	Educational Needs of Child																	
Ed	ucation																	
1737	Is the child/youth's education/school record in the case file? (Check all No	8	3	10	72.7 % 🔥	8	3	9	72.7% 🥂	8	3	10	72.7% 🤌	7	1	7	87.5% 🔥	
	responses that apply)															•		
	No credit count		•	1		0						0						
	No current IEP		()			1				3			1				
1720	No current grade reports	1	;	3 L.		.1	2				1		T = 2 = 1		0			
1738	For children aged 3 - 5: Is the child enrolled in Head Start or another early childhood education program?	4	0	17 1	100.0 %	1	1	18	50.0%	1	1	19	50.0%	4	0	11	100.0%	
	Yes, assessed only			1			1				0				1			
	Yes, enrolled			1 3			0				1				3			
1739	For youth aged 16 or older: Is the youth on track to graduate and/or	0	1	20	0.0 %	6	<u></u>	14 1	00.0%	0	1	20	0.0%	4	٥	11	100.0%	
	complete high school?	U	!	20	0.0 70	<u> </u>	υ	17[1	00.070		<u> </u>	20	0.070		U	''	100.070	
	GED		()			0				0				1			
	GED earned		()			0				0				1			
	Graduated		()			0				0				1			
	Information not available			1			0				0				0			
	No, graduate		()			0				1				0			
1740	Was educational stability provided for the child during the review period?	8	1	12	88.9 % 🚹	6	5	9	54.5%	9	1	11	90.0% 🧘	<u> </u>	2	8	71.4% <u> </u>	
	(Check all No responses that apply)				_										0			
	No, changed schools during review period		()			5			1					2			
1711	No, initial placement required change in school	1	, T	1			0	_1.			1	_			U	_ [
1741	Were the child/youth's educational needs assessed?	14	0	7 1	100.0 %	11	0	9 1	00.0%	12	0	9	100.0%	9	0	6	100.0%	

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013					2nd Quarter SFY 2013					uarter	SFY	2013	4th Quarter SFY 2013				
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u> </u>	<u>′es</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	
Well Beir	ng Outcome 3																		
Item 22:	Physical Health of Child																		
Hea	alth																		
1742	Is health information in the case file, including name and address of current health care provider(s), known medical problems and current medications? (Check all No responses that apply)	19	2	0	90.5 % Å	20	0	0	100.0%		20	1	(95.2%	15	0	0 1	100.0%	
	No provider address/phone number No provider name		4	<u>2</u> 2			0 0					1 1				0			
	No, medications not documented	<u> </u>	()			0			_		1				0			
1743	Did the child/youth receive a medical exam, medical screening, or was a medical exam scheduled within two weeks of initial placement? (Check all No responses that apply) (Initial Review Only)	7	2	12	77.8 %	5	1	14	83.3%	<u>^</u>	3	2	16	6 60.0%	5	0	10	100.0%	
	No, late			1			1					1				0			
	No, never occurred			1			0					1				0			
	Yes, exam		7	7			5					3				5			
1744	Did the child/youth receive a full dental examination or was a dental exam scheduled within eight weeks of initial placement? (Check all No responses that apply) (Initial Review Only)	4	1	16	80.0 % 🔥	2	0	18	100.0%		1	1	19	50.0%	4	0	11	100.0%	
	No, late		(1			0					1				0			
	No, never occurred			1			0					0				0			
	Yes, exam		4	1			2					1				4			
1745	Has the child/youth received regular health care, including immunizations, and/or treatment for identified health needs? (Services delivered) (Check all No responses that apply)	17	4	0	81.0 % 🔥	19	1	0	95.0%	<u>.</u>	15	6	(71.4%	15	0	0 1	100.0%	
	No statement from medical examiner			1			0					1				0			
	No, immunizations			1			0					2				0			
	No, lack of timely referral or follow through		3	3			1					4				0			
1746	Has the child/youth received regular dental care and treatment for identified dental needs? (Services delivered) (Check all No responses that apply)	9	4	8	69.2 % 🔥	11	3	6	78.6%	<u>.</u>	9	3	ę	75.0%	9	3	3	75.0%	
	No treatment for identified needs		()			0					0				1			
	No, lack of timely referral or follow through		4	1			3					3				2			

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013			2nd (Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	3rd (Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th Quarter SFY 2013					
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	
Well Bei	ng Outcome 3																	
	: Mental Health of Child																	
	alth																	
1747	Were the child/youth's mental health needs assessed?	16	0	5	100.0 %	13	0	7 1	00.0%	14	0	7	100.0%	12	0	310	00.0%	
1748	Were mental health services provided to meet the child/youth's needs during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply)	6	5	10	54.5 % 🔥	3	8	9	27.3% 🚹	11	0	10	100.0%	5	3	7 (62.5% 🔥	
	No, changed MH provider			3			8				0				1			
	No, delays of 2 + weeks			2			0				0				2			
	No, mental health systems issue			0			1				0				0			
	Unable to determine			0			0				1				1			
Systemic	Factors																	
Item 25: Process to Ensure Each Child Has a Written Case Plan Developed Jointly with Parents																		
	se Planning/Services																	
1730	Does the FSP 4 B/C contain a comprehensive description of the type and appropriateness of the homes or facilities in which the child/youth was placed during the review period?	21	0	0	100.0 %	18	2	0	90.0%	20	1	0	95.2%	14	1	0 9	93.3%	
	: Permanency Hearing Every Twelve Months																	
	urt																	
1703	If a child has been in care for 12 months or longer, is there a court order in the case file that was signed and dated within the last 12 months that contains reasonable efforts to achieve permanency language, and does not contain "nunc pro tunc" language? (Re-Review Only)	7	0	14	100.0 %	14	0	6 1	100.0%	14	0	7	100.0%	9	0	6 10	00.0%	
	: <u>Process for Foster Parents, Pre-adoptive Parents, and Relative Caregi</u> e Process	vers to	be Not	ified of	, and an Op	<u>portunit</u>	y to be	e Heard	l, in Any Re	eview o	<u>r Heari</u>	ng He	ld with Re	espect to	the Ch	<u>ild</u>		
1708	Were all required parties invited to the review and given at least two weeks	21	0	0	100.0 %	18	2	٥	90.0%	21	0	<u> </u>	100.0%	15	٥	010	00.0%	
30	notice? (Check all that apply) No, Tribe/BIA (if ICWA applies)			<u> </u>	100.0 /0	10	2 2	U	30.070	۷۱	0		100.078	13	0	Ojit	70.0 /6	
	·, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•			_				•				-			

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013			2	nd Qı	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	3rd (Quarte	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	<u> 2013</u>					
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Y</u> e	es	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>		
Miscellar	neous																			
Miscellaneous Court																				
1701	Is this a court ordered review?	1	20	0	4.8 %		4	16	0	20.0%	2	19	0	9.5%	3	12	0	20.0%		
1702	Is there a signed removal order that contains best interest or welfare of the child language, and determines if reasonable efforts were made or an emergency justified lack of reasonable efforts, and does not contain "nunc pro tunc" language? (Check all that apply) (Initial Review Only)	8	1	12	88.9 % 🚹		6	0		00.0%	5	0		100.0%	5	0		00.0%		
	No, contains "nunc pro tunc" language	1							0		0				0					
1704	Has the county had authority for placement within the review period? (A Fiscal Sanction may result if the answer is "No.")	21	0	0	100.0 %	2	20	0	0 1	00.0%	21	0	0	100.0%	15	0	0 1	00.0%		
IV-			-		<u>.</u>								_			_				
1706	Has IV-E eligibility been determined within 45 days of removal? (A Fiscal Sanction may result if the answer is "No.") (Initial Review Only)	9	0	12	100.0 %		6	0	14 1	00.0%	5	0	16	100.0%	5	0	101	00.0%		
1707	Has a timely IV-E redetermination been completed during the review period? (Re-Review Only)	1	1	19	50.0 %		4	0	16 1	00.0%	7	0	14	100.0%	2	0	13 1	00.0%		
Pe	rmanency																			
1778	Were the previous compliance issues addressed? (Re-Review Only)	8	3	10	72.7 % 🔥		4	5	11	44.4% 🔥	12	3	6	80.0%	7	1	7	87.5% 🔥		
	edit Report												•	•						
1779	If the youth is 16 years and older have the youth and the GAL received a copy of all consumer credit reports annually?	0	0	0			0	0	10		0	0	21		1	0	14 1	00.0%		
1780	If the youth is 16 years and older and has a credit report with evidence of inaccuracies, has the county department or the GAL referred the youth to an approved agency to resolve the inaccuracies?	0	0	0			0	0	10		1	0	20	100.0%	0	0	15			
1781	If the youth is 16 years and older and has a credit report with evidence of inaccuracies, is the county department making efforts to resolve the inaccuracies, or have the inaccuracies been addressed?	0	0	0			0	0	10		1	0	20	100.0%	0	0	15			