#### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division**

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

This report presents data collected by the Administrative Review Division (ARD) through the Out-of-Home Review process. The results are grouped by CFSR Outcome and Item.

There are several key components to fully understanding the report. First, any item which is Compliance related will have the question number displayed in BOLD font, while those that are Data oriented (i.e., collected in order to gather more systemic information) will be displayed in normal font.

Also, as the compliance level for achieving Substantial Conformity during the CFSR is now set at 95%, any item falling below this level will be highlighted by the following symbol:

After the end of each quarter, a new report containing the most recent quarter's data will be made available for all stakeholders on the Colorado Department of Human Services Portal.

> First Quarter = July - September **Second Quarter = October - December** Third Quarter = January - March Fourth Quarter = April - June

Report created on: 7/15/2013

## Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013			<u>2nd</u>	<u>Quarte</u>	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	3rd	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	<u>4th (</u>	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Safety O	utcome 2																
Item 4:	Risk of Harm																
Sat	fety																
1709	If there were new allegations of abuse or neglect identified during the review period, were they entered as a referral into Trails?	0	0	3	0.0 %	0	0	0		1	C	2	100.0%	0	0	0	
1712	If a new safety concern was identified regarding this child/youth, were the safety needs of the child/youth adequately addressed during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply)	3	0	0 ′	100.0 %	0	0	0		1	C	2	100.0%	0	0	0	

# Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013				2nd C	uarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>		3rd C	Quarte	er SFY	<u>2013</u>	4th	Quarte	er SFY	<u> 2013</u>	
Permane	ency Outcome 1	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	-	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>es</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Item 6:	Stability of Foster Care Placements ase Planning/Services  At the time of the review, is the child/youth placed in the most appropriate setting to meet his/her individual needs? (Check all No responses that apply)	3	0	0	100.0 %		0	0	0			3	(	) (	00.0%	0	0	0	
Pe 1753	If the child/youth experienced one or more moves during the review period, were all of the placement changes planned by the agency in an effort to achieve the child/youth's case goals or to meet the needs of the child/youth? (Check "Yes, in line with case goal + planned" if both Yes answers are appropriate)  Yes, in line with case goal and planned	2	0	•	100.0 %		0	0	0			1	1	) 2	100.0%	0	0	0	
1754	If the child/youth experienced one or more moves during the review period that were not planned, what was/were the reason(s) for the move(s)? (Check all that apply)																		
	Permanency Goal for Child  ermanency  In the reviewer's opinion, is the primary court ordered permanency goal, at the time of the review, appropriate for this child/youth?  If a petition/motion to terminate parental rights has not been filed, and a compelling reason has been identified, in the reviewer's opinion, is the compelling reason appropriate?	3	0	3	100.0 % 0.0 %		0	0	0			1 2	(		33.3%	0	0	0	

## Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1/1/	2012	- 6/3	00/2013													
					2nd C	uarte	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>3</u>	rd Q	uarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	4th	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	
		Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Ye	s	No	NA	%	Yes	No	NA	%
Permane	ency Outcome 1		· <del></del>		<u> </u>	<del></del>			_									
	rmanency																	
1755	At the time of the review, are reasonable efforts being made to achieve permanency? (Check all No responses that apply)	3	0	O	100.0 %	0	0	0			3	0	0	00.0%	0	0	0	
1756	For a child/youth with a goal of return home, is progress being made toward achieving the goal? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	2	1	0.0 %	0	0	0			0	2	1	0.0%	0	0	0	
	No, parent lack of progress			2			0					2				0		
1758	For a child/youth with a permanency goal of permanent placement with a relative/non-relative through legal guardianship/permanent custody, is progress being made toward the goal? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	3	0.0 %	0	0	0			0	0	3		0	0	0	
Pe	Adoption ermanency For a child/youth with a goal of adoption, is progress being made toward finalizing the adoption? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	3	0.0 %	0	0	0			0	0	3		0	0	0	
	: Other Planned Living Arrangement use Planning/Services																	
1731	For all youth over age 16 years and 60 days, is there a comprehensive ILP that addresses all needs identified from a state-approved assessment? (Check all No responses that apply)	1	0	2	100.0 %	0	0	0			1	0	2	00.0%	0	0	0	
1733	For all youth over age 16 years and 60 days, is the youth receiving services to address all the needs identified in the comprehensive assessment and the FSP 4D? (Check all that apply)	0	1	2	0.0 %	0	0	0			1	0	2	00.0%	0	0	0	
	No, youth refused services			1			0					0				0		

## Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	2nd 0	Quarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>3r</u>	d Quart	er SFY	<u>2013</u>	<u>4th</u>	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permanei	ncy Outcome 1																
Cas	Other Planned Living Arrangement se Planning/Services												_				
1735	Is there a comprehensive, youth-driven Emancipation Transition Plan (ETP) developed 90 business days before the youth's projected permanency date? (Check all No responses that apply) (Check only "No plan" if there is not ETP plan)	1	0	2	100.0 %	0	0	0			0	0 :	3	0	0	0	
1736	Per Volume 7, have all vital documents been obtained for youth with an OPPLA goal 90 business days before their projected permanency date? (Check all No responses that apply)	1	0	2	100.0 %	0	0	0			0	0 :	3	0	0	0	
	Other Planned Living Arrangement manency																
1759	For a child/youth a permanency goal of Other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement, is it documented that all other more permanent goals have been considered and appropriately ruled out? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	1	2	0.0 %	0	0	0			1	0 2	2 100.0%	0	0	0	
	No, documented reasons not appropriate			1			0				(	)			0		

# Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		-					Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>3ı</u>	rd Q	uarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	<u>4th</u>	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>s</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permane	ncy Outcome 2																	
	Proximity of Placement se Planning/Services																	
1726	Is the child/youth placed within close proximity to his/her parents or other potential permanent caregiver's home?	2	0	1	100.0 %	0	0	0			3	0	0	100.0%	0	0	0	ı
1727	If a child/youth is not placed in close proximity to his/her parents or other potential permanent caregiver's home, were reasonable efforts made to support or facilitate face-to-face contact with the parents or potential permanent caregivers?	0	0	3	0.0 %	0	0	0			1	0	2	100.0%	0	0	0	
	Visiting with Parents and Siblings in Foster Care																	
1773	Does the frequency of visitation with the mother/guardian/kin adequately address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of the relationship? (Check all No responses that apply)	3	0	0	100.0 %	0	0	0			3	0	0	100.0%	0	0	0	
1774	Does the frequency of visitation with the father/guardian/kin adequately address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of the relationship? (Check all No responses that apply)	1	0	2	100.0 %	0	0	0			1	0	2	100.0%	0	0	0	
1775	Does the frequency of visitation with the sibling(s) adequately address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of the relationship(s)? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	3	0.0 %	0	0	0			3	0	O	100.0%	0	0	0	
<u>ltem 14:</u> Co 1705	Preserving Connections urt Were these ICWA requirements met? (Check all that apply)	0	0	3	0.0 %	0	0	0			0	0	3	]	0	0	0	
Car	se Planning/Services																	
1728	Is the department making concerted efforts to maintain the child/youth's connections during the review period?	3	0	0	100.0 %	0	0	0			3	0	0	100.0%	0	0	0	ı

## Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

	<u>1st Q</u>	1st Quarter SFY 2013 Yes No NA %					SFY 2	<u>013</u>	3rd	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY 2	013	4th C	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>
	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permanency Outcome 2																
Item 16: Relationship of Child in Care with Parents																
Permanency																
1777 Did the agency promote and support a positive and nurturing between the child/youth and his/her parents? (Check all that	relationship 3 apply)	0	0	100.0 %	0	0	0		3	0	0	00.0%	0	0	0	
Yes, encouraged attend. at doctors' appointments		1				0				0				0		
Yes, other		0	)			0				1				0		
Yes, provid. therap. situations to strengthen rel.		2	2			0				2				0		

### Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

		<u>1st Q</u>	uarter	SFY 20	13	<u>2nd (</u>	Quarte	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>3rd (</u>	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	4th (	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	NA	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Beir	ng Outcome 1																
	: Needs/Services of Child, Parents, and Foster Parents se Planning/Services																
1721	Does the Family Services Plan treatment plan document services that are directed at the areas of need identified through assessment?  No	0	3	0	<u> </u>	0	0	0		1	0	0	33.3% 🔥	0	0	0	
	No, all task time frames expired			)			0				2				0		
1722	Were all required parties addressed in the treatment plan? (Check all No responses that apply)	3	0	0	100.0 %	0	0	0		1	2	0	33.3% 🔥	0	0	0	
	No, all task time frames expired		(	)			0				2				0		
1723	Does the Family Services Plan treatment plan include objectives and action steps that document clear expectations in order to achieve the permanency goal? (Check all No responses that apply)	3	0	0	100.0 %	0	0	0		1	2	0	33.3% 🚹	0	0	0	
	No, all task time frames expired		(	)			0				2				0		
1724	Does the most recent 90-day review/Court report in Trails meet Volume 7 requirements? (Check all No responses that apply)	2	1	0	66.7 %	0	0	0		1	2	0	33.3%	0	0	0	
	No, child/youth services and progress			1			0				0				0		
	No, child/youth's safety			1			0				0				0		
	No, permanency goal date		(	)			0				2				0		
	No, task time frames		(	)			0				2				0		

Health

If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), what are

the substances of use? (Check all that apply)

# Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		7 / 1 /	2012	0/30/	2013													
					<u>2nd (</u>	Quarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>3</u>	Brd Q	uarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>4th</u>	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	
		<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Υe	es	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bei	ng Outcome 1																	
	alth																	
1750	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), were substance abuse treatment services provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	3	0.0 %	0	0	0			0	0	3		0	0	0	
1751	If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply)  Alcohol  Marijuana			1 1			0					1 1				0		
1752	If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply)  No, child/youth refused services	0	1	2	0.0 %	0	0	0			0	1	2	0.0%	0	0	0	
Item 18	: Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning																	
	se Planning/Services																	
1713	Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? (Check all responses that apply)	2	0	1 1	100.0 %	0	0	0			2	0	1 1	00.0%	0	0	0	
1715	Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period?	1	0	2 1	100.0 %	0	0	0			1	0	2	00.0%	0	0	0	
1717	Was the mother/guardian/kin engaged in case planning, during the review period?	3	0	0 1	00.0 %	0	0	0			3	0	0 1	00.0%	0	0	0	
1719	Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period?	1	0	2 1	100.0 %	0	0	0			1	0	2	00.0%	0	0	0	

#### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013					Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>013</u>	3rd	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	4th (	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bein	ng Outcome 1																
	Worker Visits with Child																
Pe	rmanency																
1763	How many months should the assigned worker have made face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period? (Answer for in-state cases only)  6			3			0				3				0		
	ŭ			3			U				3				U		
1764	How many months did the assigned worker make face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period? (Within the state of Colorado, not an ICPC case)																
	6			3			0				1				0		
	Of all the months requiring contact, in what percent did agency personnel have contact with the child?			[	100.0%				0.0%			I	33.3%			[	%0.0
	In what percent of cases did agency personnel have contact with the child every month?	3	0		100.0%	0	0		.0%	1	2	] [	33.3%	0	0		.0% 📤
1765	How many months should the worker of either the sending or receiving state make face-to-face contact with the child/youth, placed outside the state, during the review period? (Answer for ICPC cases only)  6			0			0				2				0		
1766	How many months did the worker of either the sending or receiving state make face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period, for a child/youth placed outside the state? (Answer for ICPC cases only)  2			0			0				2				0		
	Of all the months requiring contact, in what percent did agency personnel have contact with the child?																%0.0
	In what percent of cases did agency personnel have contact with the child every month?	0	0	[	.0%	0	0		.0%	0	0	] [	.0%	0	0		.0% 📤
1767	Did the frequency of contact with the child/youth in his/her place of residence occur according to Volume 7?	3	0	0	100.0 %	0	0	0		3	0	0	100.0%	0	0	0	

## Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013						uarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>3r</u>	d Qua	rter SI	<del>-Y 20</del>	13	<u>4th (</u>	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>
Well Bei	ng Outcome 1	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>N</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Item 19	: Worker Visits with Child  rmanency  Was the quality of contacts with the child/youth sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals? (Check all No responses that	0	3	0		<u> </u>	0	0	0			3	0	0 10	00.0%	0	0	0	
Itam 20	apply)  No assessment of safety  No, outside presence of provider  : Worker Visits with Parents		;	3 1				0					0 0				0 0		
	rmanency																		
1769	Did the frequency of contact with the mother/guardian/kin occur according to Volume 7?	2	0	1	100.0 %		0	0	0			2	0	110	00.0%	0	0	0	
1770	Was the quality of contacts with the mother/guardian/kin sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals?	2	0	1	100.0 %		0	0	0			2	0	110	00.0%	0	0	0	
1771	Did the frequency of contact with the father/guardian/kin occur according to Volume 7?	0	0	3	0.0 %		0	0	0			0	0	3		0	0	0	
1772	Was the quality of contacts with the father/guardian/kin sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals?	0	0	3	0.0 %		0	0	0			0	0	3		0	0	0	

## Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		<u>1st Q</u>	uarter	SFY	<u> 2013</u>	<u>2r</u>	nd Q	uarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u> </u>	3rd C	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	4th	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Ye</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Y</u> 6	<u>es</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Item 21:	ng Outcome 2  Educational Needs of Child ucation																		
1737	Is the child/youth's education/school record in the case file? (Check all No responses that apply)	2	0	,	1 100.0 %		0	0	0			1	(	2	100.0%	0	0	0	
1738	For children aged 3 - 5: Is the child enrolled in Head Start or another early childhood education program?  Yes, enrolled	2	0	2	1 100.0 %		0	0	0			2	2	1	100.0%	0	0	0	
1739	For youth aged 16 or older: Is the youth on track to graduate and/or complete high school?	1	0	,	2 100.0 %		0	0	0			1	(	2	100.0%	0	0	0	
1740	Was educational stability provided for the child during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply)	2	0		1 100.0 %		0	0	0			2	(	1	100.0%	0	0	0	
1741	Were the child/youth's educational needs assessed?	2	0		1 100.0 %		0	0	0			2	C	1	100.0%	0	0	0	

## Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013 2n				2nd (	Quarter	SFY 20	<u>)13</u>	3rd	Quarte	er SFY	<u> 2013</u>	<u>4th (</u>	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>:013</u>
Well Beir	ng Outcome 3	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
	Physical Health of Child alth																
1742	Is health information in the case file, including name and address of current health care provider(s), known medical problems and current medications? (Check all No responses that apply)	3	0	0	100.0 %	0	0	0		3	(	0 0	100.0%	0	0	0	
1743	Did the child/youth receive a medical exam, medical screening, or was a medical exam scheduled within two weeks of initial placement? (Check all No responses that apply) (Initial Review Only)	0	0	3	0.0 %	0	0	0		0	(	) 3	]	0	0	0	
1744	Did the child/youth receive a full dental examination or was a dental exam scheduled within eight weeks of initial placement? (Check all No responses that apply) (Initial Review Only)	0	0	3	0.0 %	0	0	0		0	(	0 3	]	0	0	0	
1745	Has the child/youth received regular health care, including immunizations, and/or treatment for identified health needs? (Services delivered) (Check all No responses that apply)  No statement from medical examiner  No, immunizations  No, lack of timely referral or follow through	3	0	0	100.0 %	0	0 0 0	0		0	2 1 2	3 0	0.0%	<u>1</u> 0	0 0	0	
1746	Has the child/youth received regular dental care and treatment for identified dental needs? (Services delivered) (Check all No responses that apply)  No, lack of timely referral or follow through	1	2	0	33.3 % 🚹	0	0	0		0	2	2 1	0.0%	0	0	0	
			_				-										

#### **Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews**

Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013				2nd 0	2nd Quarter SFY 2013				3rd Quarter SFY 2013				4th Quarter SFY 2013			
		Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	
Well Bein	ng Outcome 3									<del></del>			_		<u> </u>		<del></del>	
	: Mental Health of Child																	
	alth																	
1747	Were the child/youth's mental health needs assessed?	3	0	0	100.0 %	0	0	0		3	(	0 0	100.0%	0	0	0		
1748	Were mental health services provided to meet the child/youth's needs during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	3	0	⚠	0	0	0		1	2	2 0	33.3%	0	0	0		
	No, changed MH provider No, child refused services			2			0				0				0			
	No, delays of 2 + weeks			) 2			0				0				0			
	No, mental health systems issue			)			0				1				Ö			
<b>Systemic</b>	Factors			-			-											
	: Process to Ensure Each Child Has a Written Case Plan Developed Joii	ntly with	n Parer	<u>nts</u>														
	se Planning/Services																	
1730	Does the FSP 4 B/C contain a comprehensive description of the type and appropriateness of the homes or facilities in which the child/youth was placed during the review period?	3	0	0	100.0 %	0	0	0		3	(	0	100.0%	0	0	0		
	: Permanency Hearing Every Twelve Months																	
	purt																	
1703	If a child has been in care for 12 months or longer, is there a court order in the case file that was signed and dated within the last 12 months that contains reasonable efforts to achieve permanency language, and does not contain "nunc pro tunc" language? (Re-Review Only)	3	0	0	100.0 %	0	0	0		3	(	0 0	100.0%	0	0	0		
Itam 20	: Process for Foster Parents, Pre-adoptive Parents, and Relative Caregi	vers to	he Not	ified o	f and an Oni	ortunit	v to he	Heard	in Any R	Peview o	r Hoar	ina Hel	d with Ros	nect to	the C	hild		
	le Process	10.0	20 HOL	ca 0	i, and an Op	<del>Joi tarrit</del>	, 10 00	. icai a,	in Ally I	COTICUTO	. rical	9 1161	~ WILLI 1/63	<del>, 2001 10</del>	1110 0	<u>u</u>		
1708	Were all required parties invited to the review and given at least two weeks notice? (Check all that apply)	3	0	0	100.0 %	0	0	0		3	(	0	100.0%	0	0	0		

### Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

**Administrative Review Division** 

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013 2nd Quarter SFY 2013 1st Quarter SFY 2013 3rd Quarter SFY 2013 4th Quarter SFY 2013 No Yes No No NA Yes Yes Miscellaneous Miscellaneous Court 1701 Is this a court ordered review? 33.3 % 0.0% 2 Is there a signed removal order that contains best interest or welfare of the 0.0 % child language, and determines if reasonable efforts were made or an emergency justified lack of reasonable efforts, and does not contain "nunc pro tunc" language? (Check all that apply) (Initial Review Only) Has the county had authority for placement within the review period? (A 1704 0 100.0 % 0100.0% 3 0 0 Fiscal Sanction may result if the answer is "No.") IV-E Has IV-E eligibility been determined within 45 days of removal? (A Fiscal 0.0 % 0 0 Sanction may result if the answer is "No.") (Initial Review Only) Has a timely IV-E redetermination been completed during the review 0 0.0 % period? (Re-Review Only) Permanency 1778 Were the previous compliance issues addressed? (Re-Review Only) 0.0 % 1100.0% **Credit Report** 1779 If the youth is 16 years and older have the youth and the GAL received a 0 0 copy of all consumer credit reports annually? If the youth is 16 years and older and has a credit report with evidence of 0 inaccuracies, has the county department or the GAL referred the youth to an approved agency to resolve the inaccuracies? If the youth is 16 years and older and has a credit report with evidence of 0 0 inaccuracies, is the county department making efforts to resolve the

inaccuracies, or have the inaccuracies been addressed?