Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

This report presents data collected by the Administrative Review Division (ARD) through the Out-of-Home Review process. The results are grouped by CFSR Outcome and Item.

There are several key components to fully understanding the report. First, any item which is Compliance related will have the question number displayed in BOLD font, while those that are Data oriented (i.e., collected in order to gather more systemic information) will be displayed in normal font.

Also, as the compliance level for achieving Substantial Conformity during the CFSR is now set at 95%, any item falling below this level will be highlighted by the following symbol:

After the end of each quarter, a new report containing the most recent quarter's data will be made available for all stakeholders on the Colorado Department of Human Services Portal.

> First Quarter = July - September **Second Quarter = October - December** Third Quarter = January - March Fourth Quarter = April - June

Report created on: 7/15/2013

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		<u>1st Q</u>	uarter	SFY 20	<u>13</u>	2nd 0	Quarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	3rd	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	<u>4th (</u>	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Safety O	itcome 2																
	Risk of Harm																
Sai	ety																
1709	If there were new allegations of abuse or neglect identified during the review period, were they entered as a referral into Trails?	78	1	188	98.7 %	59	1	132	98.3%	49	2	167	96.1%	70	1	208	98.6%
1712	If a new safety concern was identified regarding this child/youth, were the safety needs of the child/youth adequately addressed during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply)	64	3	200	95.5 %	56	2	134	96.6%	54	2	162	96.4%	83	1	195	98.8%
	No assessment/investigation		:	2			2				1				0		
	No, not addressed			0			0				1				1		
	No, other			1			0				0				0		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013					Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	3rd (<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY	<u>2013</u>	4th (Quarte	r SFY	2013
		Yes No NA %			Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	
Permane	ency Outcome 1																
	Stability of Foster Care Placements																
	se Planning/Services																
1729	At the time of the review, is the child/youth placed in the most appropriate setting to meet his/her individual needs? (Check all No responses that apply)	262	5	0	98.1 %	191	0	1	100.0%	213	1	4	99.5%	275	1	3	99.6%
	No, child/youth's needs not addressed			1			0				0				0		
	No, other			1			0				1				0		
	No, sibling placement issues			3			0				0				0		
	No, unable to determine where child is placed			0			0				0				1		
Pe	rmanency																
1753	If the child/youth experienced one or more moves during the review period, were all of the placement changes planned by the agency in an effort to achieve the child/youth's case goals or to meet the needs of the child/youth? (Check "Yes, in line with case goal + planned" if both Yes answers are appropriate)	53	42	172	55.8 % 🧘	28	45	119	38.4% 🔥	49	31	138	61.3%	44	43	192	50.6%
	Yes, in line with case goal and planned		4				24				41				38		
1754	Yes, to meet youth's specific needs and planned If the child/youth experienced one or more moves during the review period that were not planned, what was/were the reason(s) for the move(s)? (Check all that apply)		1	2			4				8				6		
	More than one move		1	6			14				6				13		
	Other			2			3				4				1		
	Provider abuse or neglect allegations			2			1				4				4		
	Provider quit or closed			7			5				4				1		
	Provider request		2	3			35				13				25		
	Runaway			7			8				4				10		
	Temporary setting			4			7				9				9		
	Youth's behavior		1	4			10				19				18		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

Permanency Outcome 1			1st Quarter SFY 2013			2nd (Quarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	3rd (Quarter	SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	Quarte	SFY	<u> 2013</u>	
Transferonment Tran			Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permanency	Permane	ency Outcome 1																
Permanency	Item 7:	Permanency Goal for Child																
the time of the review, appropriate for this child/youth? If a petition/motion to terminate parental rights has not been filed, and a compelling reason has been identified, in the reviewer's opinion, is the compelling reason appropriate? No No, not completed No sasonable efforts being made to achieve permanency? No reasonable efforts to finalize adoption No reasonable efforts to finalize adoption No reasonable efforts to return home Nor easonable efforts to return home No reasonable efforts to return home No, not completed No, coseload/turnover No, caseload/turnover No, caseload/turnover No, coseload/turnover No, coticl lack of progress No, other No, operent lack of progress No, parent lack of progress No parent lack of progress No progress No parent lack of progress																		
1762 If a petition/motion to terminate parental rights has not been filed, and a compelling reason has been identified, in the reviewer's opinion, is the compelling reason appropriate? No	1760		240	27	0	89.9 %	172	19	1	90.1%	197	21	0	90.4%	256	21	2	92.4%
Compelling reason has been identified, in the reviewer's opinion, is the compelling reason appropriate? No	1762		10	17	240	37.0 %	15	3	174	83.3%	5	7	206	41.7%	10	7	262	58.8%
No				I											4			
No, not completed 14 3 5 7																		
Permanency					3			0				2				0		
At the time of the review, are reasonable efforts being made to achieve permanency? (Check all No responses that apply) No reas efforts to perm. place with relative No reasonable efforts to finalize adoption No reasonable efforts to return home 1756 For a child/youth with a goal of return home, is progress being made toward achieving the goal? (Check all No responses that apply) No housing No, ICPC No, caseload/turnover No, child lack of progress No, court delays No, court delays No, parent incarc. or long term treatment program No, parent lack of progress 45 188 4 0 97.9% 188 4 0 97.9% 216 2 0 99.1% 275 2 2 99.3% 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 0 2 1 0 0 4 4 4 4 7 130 46.6% 64 77 138 45.4% 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		•		1	4			3				5				7		
Permanency? (Check all No responses that apply) No reas. efforts to perm. place with relative 0 0 1 0 No reasonable efforts to interest to finalize adoption 3 2 0 2 No reasonable efforts to return home 0 2 1 0 1756 For a child/youth with a goal of return home, is progress being made toward achieving the goal? (Check all No responses that apply) No housing 1 0 0 0 No, ICPC 4 4 4 0 0 No, caseload/turnover 1 0 3 0 No, child lack of progress 9 8 10 20 No, court delays 2 1 0 0 No, other 3 7 2 14 No, parent incarc. or long term treatment program 4 12 0 0 No, parent lack of progress 45 36 36 56 To a child/youth with a goal of return home, is progress being made 47 61 159 43.5 % 47 61 159 43.5 % 53 57 82 48.2 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 47 61 159 43.5 % 53 57 82 48.2 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 48 49 40 0 0 49 40 40 40 40 40 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 45 45 45 48.2 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 45 45 45 48.2 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 45 45 45 48.2 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 45 45 45 48.2 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 45 45 48.2 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 45 45 48.2 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 45 45 48.2 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 45 45 48.2 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 45 45 48.2 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 45 45 48.2 % 48.2 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 64 77 138 45.4 % 45 45 48.2 % 48.2 % 41 47 130 46.6 % 47 48.2 % 45 48.2 % 48.2 % 48.2 % 48.2 %	Pe	ermanency																
No reas. efforts to perm. place with relative 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0	1755		264	3	0	98.9 %	188	4	0	97.9%	216	2	0	99.1%	275	2	2	99.3%
No reasonable efforts to return home 0					0			0				1				0		
1756 For a child/youth with a goal of return home, is progress being made toward achieving the goal? (Check all No responses that apply) No housing		No reasonable efforts to finalize adoption			3			2				0				2		
toward achieving the goal? (Check all No responses that apply) No housing No, ICPC No, caseload/turnover No, child lack of progress No, court delays No, other No, other No, parent incarc. or long term treatment program No, parent lack of progress 45 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		No reasonable efforts to return home			0			2				1				0		
toward achieving the goal? (Check all No responses that apply) No housing No, ICPC 1	1756	For a child/youth with a goal of return home, is progress being made	47	61	159	43.5 %	53	57	82	48.2%	41	47	130	46.6%	64	77	138	45.4%
No housing 1 0 0 0 No, ICPC 4 4 0 2 No, caseload/turnover 1 0 3 0 No, child lack of progress 9 8 10 20 No, court delays 2 1 0 1 No, other 3 7 2 14 No, parent incarc. or long term treatment program 4 12 0 4 No, parent lack of progress 45 36 36 56			<u> </u>								<u> </u>			101070				
No, caseload/turnover 1 0 3 0 No, child lack of progress 9 8 10 20 No, court delays 2 1 0 1 No, other 3 7 2 14 No, parent incarc. or long term treatment program 4 12 0 4 No, parent lack of progress 45 36 36 56		No housing			1			0				0				0		
No, child lack of progress 9 8 10 20 No, court delays 2 1 0 1 No, other 3 7 2 14 No, parent incarc. or long term treatment program 4 12 0 4 No, parent lack of progress 45 36 36 56		No, ICPC			4			4				0				2		
No, court delays 2 1 0 1 No, other 3 7 2 14 No, parent incarc. or long term treatment program 4 12 0 4 No, parent lack of progress 45 36 36 56		No, caseload/turnover			1			0				3				0		
No, other 3 7 2 14 No, parent incarc. or long term treatment program 4 12 0 4 No, parent lack of progress 45 36 36 56		No, child lack of progress			9			8				10				20		
No, parent incarc. or long term treatment program 4 12 0 4 No, parent lack of progress 45 36 36 56		No, court delays			2			1				0				1		
No, parent lack of progress 45 36 36 56		No, other			3			7				2				14		
		No, parent incarc. or long term treatment program			4			12				0				4		
No, parents whereabouts are unknown 4 8 1 3				4	5			36				36				56		
		No, parents whereabouts are unknown			4			8				1				3		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>)13</u>	2nd	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	3rd	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th	Quart	er SFY	2013
		Yes No NA %					No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permane	ency Outcome 1																
	rmanency																
1758	For a child/youth with a permanency goal of permanent placement with a relative/non-relative through legal guardianship/permanent custody, is progress being made toward the goal? (Check all No responses that apply)	17	12	238	58.6 %	11	7	174	61.1%	14	11	193	56.0%	12	13	254	48.0%
	No, ICPC			0			0				0				1		
	No, child lack of progress			4			0				2				1		
	No, diligent search			0			0				1				0		
	No, other		1	9			4				5				3		
	No, other potential caregiver lack of progress			2			3				1				9		
	No, parent lack of progress			1			0				1				1		
	No, parent(s)/guardian(s) services appropriateness			0			0				0				1		
_	No, placement provider does not support perm. goal			0			0				1				0		
	<u>Adoption</u>																
	rmanency												_				
1757	For a child/youth with a goal of adoption, is progress being made toward finalizing the adoption? (Check all No responses that apply)	37	22	208	62.7 %	12	19	161	38.7%	30	21	167	58.8%	33	26	220	55.9%
	No CARR listing			3			3				0				2		
	No adoptive home		1	2			8				7				9		
	No, ICPC			2			0				0				1		
	No, appeal of termination			3			2				3				4		
	No, child/youth declined			0			0				1				1		
	No, court delays			0			0				4				3		
	No, lack of effort/inadequate supervision/training			0			1				0				1		
	No, lack of recruitment			2			1				1				1		
	No, lack of timely filing of TPR			0			0				0				2		
	No, other		4			9				8				10			
	No, termination denied			1			0				1				0		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		<u>1st Q</u>	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20°	<u>13</u>	2nd 0	Quarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>3rd (</u>	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	<u>Quarte</u>	SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>
Permane	ency Outcome 1				_				_				_				_
	: Other Planned Living Arrangement																
Ca	se Planning/Services				<u> </u>												
1731	For all youth over age 16 years and 60 days, is there a comprehensive ILP that addresses all needs identified from a state-approved assessment? (Check all No responses that apply)	69	27	171	71.9 % 🚹	42	20	130	67.7% <u>^</u>	59	22	137	72.8%	62	25	192	71.3%
	No description or plan of services		()			1				3				2		
	No plan			4			6				3				6		
	No self-sufficiency budget		1:	3			9				5				8		
	No state approved assessment used		10)			3				7				9		
	No, all identified needs not addressed		()			0				3				1		
	No, not timely		;	3			3				6				8		
	No, not updated			1			3				2				0		
	Not all ILP tabs completed			4			2				4				8		
	Not developed with youth		()			0				1				1		
1733	For all youth over age 16 years and 60 days, is the youth receiving services to address all the needs identified in the comprehensive assessment and the FSP 4D? (Check all that apply)	86	7	174	92.5 % 🚹	55	6	131	90.2%	78	3	137	96.3%	83	3	193	96.5%
	No re-assessment of needs		()			1				0				0		
	No referral for Chafee services		;	3			0				2				0		
	No, lack of resources			1			0				0				0		
	No, provider issues			1			0				0				0		
4-0-	No, youth refused services)			0				0				1		
1735	Is there a comprehensive, youth-driven Emancipation Transition Plan (ETP) developed 90 business days before the youth's projected permanency date? (Check all No responses that apply) (Check only "No plan" if there is not ETP plan)	3	2	262	60.0 % <u> </u>	3	3	186	50.0%	2	1	215	66.7%	4	3	272	57.1%
	No plan		:	2			3				1				2		
	No, not all tabs completed		()			0	_			0				1		
1736	Per Volume 7, have all vital documents been obtained for youth with an OPPLA goal 90 business days before their projected permanency date? (Check all No responses that apply)	5	0	262 1	100.0 %	6	0	186 1	00.0%	3	0	215	100.0%	8	0	271	100.0%

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013 Yes No NA %			<u>)13</u>	2nd (Quarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	3rd (Quarter	SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	4th (<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permane	ncy Outcome 1																
	Other Planned Living Arrangement																
Pe	rmanency																
1759	For a child/youth a permanency goal of Other Planned Permanent Living	66	7	194	90.4 % 🔥	25	7	160	78.1% <u> </u>	41	7	165	85.4% 🔥	37	13	229	74.0% 🔥
	Arrangement, is it documented that all other more permanent goals have been considered and appropriately ruled out? (Check all No responses																
	that apply)																
	No documentation			5			4				3				6		
	No, OPPLA goal not in the child's best interest			0			2				0				0		
	No, child/youth is under 16 years of age			0			0				5				1		
	No, documented reasons not appropriate			0			0				0				1		
	No, not reviewed annually			2			1				4				6		
	No, other			0			0				1				0		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>13</u>	2nd 0	<u>Quarte</u> i	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	<u>3rd (</u>	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	<u>4th (</u>	Quarte	r SFY	<u>2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permane	ncy Outcome 2																
Item 11:	Proximity of Placement																
Ca	se Planning/Services																
1726	Is the child/youth placed within close proximity to his/her parents or other potential permanent caregiver's home?	160	17	90	90.4 % 🚹	122	15	55	89.1%	131	7	80	94.9%	200	15	64	93.0% 🚹
1727	If a child/youth is not placed in close proximity to his/her parents or other	19	0	248	100.0 %	18	0	174	100.0%	8	0	210	100.0%	15	0	264	100.0%
	potential permanent caregiver's home, were reasonable efforts made to support or facilitate face-to-face contact with the parents or potential			-		-	-	-	-	-		•		-	_ _	<u>.</u>	.
	permanent caregivers?																
Item 13	Visiting with Parents and Siblings in Foster Care																
	rmanency																
1773	Does the frequency of visitation with the mother/guardian/kin adequately	113	27	127	80.7 % 🚹	107	22	63	82.9%	93	14	111	86.9% 🥂	130	24	125	84.4% <u> </u>
	address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of				_												<u>.</u>
	the relationship? (Check all No responses that apply) No, child/youth			1			4				1				Ο		
	No, court			2			1				3				0		
	No, mother/guardian/kin		2				19				11				24		
	No, other		_	1			1				0				0		
1774	Does the frequency of visitation with the father/guardian/kin adequately	62	23	182	72.9 % 🔥	64	14	114	82.1% 🔥	55	10	153	84.6%	75	29	175	72.1% 🔥
	address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of			Į.		<u> </u>	· ·			·					<u> </u>		
	the relationship? (Check all No responses that apply)			_			_								0		
	No, child/youth No, court			3			0				1				2 3		
	No, father/guardian/kin			2			1.4				l Q				25		
1775	Does the frequency of visitation with the sibling(s) adequately address the	109	4	154	96.5 %	94	14 8	90	92.2%	107	0	110	99.1%	125	11	1/12	91.9%
1110	needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of the	109	4	134	90.5 /6	94	O	90	92.2 /0	107	ı	110	99.170	123	111	143	91.970
	relationship(s)? (Check all No responses that apply)																
	No, GAL			1			0				0				0		
	No, child/youth		(0			1				0				1		
	No, county			1			0				0				0		
	No, court			1			1				0				0		
	No, other No, parent/guardian/kin		•	4			1				0				<u>ا</u>		
	No, parenivguardian/kin No, sibling		(0			4				1				٥ م		
	rvo, sioling			U			ı				U				4		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		<u>1st Q</u>	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>)13</u>	2nd (Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	3rd (Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	Quarte	SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	
Perman	ency Outcome 2		<u>No</u>														
Item 14	: Preserving Connections																
C	ourt																
1705	Were these ICWA requirements met? (Check all that apply)	20	15	232	57.1 % 🔥	20	9	163	69.0% 🔥	6	17	195	26.1% 🔥	13	8	258	61.9% 🔥
	No "active efforts" findings		•	2		•	1	•	•		0	•			0	•	
	No "beyond reasonable doubt" lang. in term. order			1			0				0				0		
	No court order determ. if ICWA does NOT apply			5			5				10				2		
	No docum. of inquiry of Native American heritage			3			1				2				0		
	No notification sent to all identified tribes/BIA			2			1				6				5		
	No response from tribe/BIA			1			1				0				1		
	No, new info obtained during FF portion of review			2			1				0				0		
	No, other			1			0				1				0		
Ca	ase Planning/Services																
1728	Is the department making concerted efforts to maintain the child/youth's connections during the review period?	266	0	1	100.0 %	190	0	2 ′	100.0%	214	1	3	99.5%	277	1	1	99.6%
Item 16	: Relationship of Child in Care with Parents																
	ermanency																
1777	Did the agency promote and support a positive and nurturing relationship between the child/youth and his/her parents? (Check all that apply)	114	11	142	91.2 % 🔥	105	7	80	93.8%	97	12	109	89.0%	160	12	107	93.0% 🔥
	Yes, encouraged attend. at doctors' appointments			3			9				10				21		
	Yes, encouraged attend. at extra-curricular activ.			4			0				1				3		
	Yes, encouraged foster parents to become mentors			4			1				4				7		
	Yes, facil. contact w/parents not in close proxim.			4			2				4				5		
	Yes, facilitated contact w/incarcerated parents			0			9				0				0		
	Yes, other			3			0				2				11		
	Yes, provid. therap. situations to strengthen rel.		9	7			94				86				136		
	Yes, provided transportation/funds			32			30				14				44		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013					Quarter	SFY 2	<u>:013</u>	3rd (Quarter	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		Yes No NA % Y				Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bei	ng Outcome 1																
	: Needs/Services of Child, Parents, and Foster Parents																
	ase Planning/Services																
1721	Does the Family Services Plan treatment plan document services that are directed at the areas of need identified through assessment?	233	34	0	87.3 % 🚹	169	23	0	88.0%	199	19	0	91.3%	242	37	0	86.7% <u> </u>
	No		2	9			14				14				28		
	No, all task time frames expired			5			9				5				9		
1722	Were all required parties addressed in the treatment plan? (Check all No responses that apply)	232	35	0	86.9 % 🔥	156	36	0	81.3%	183	34	1	84.3%	239	40	0	85.7% 🔥
	No, all task time frames expired			5			9				5				9		
	No, child/youth			0			3				0				1		
	No, county			2			5				2				2		
	No, father/guardian			2			2				5				2		
	No, mother/guardian			0			1				0				1		
	No, out of home provider		1	3			16				14				20		
	No, some task time frames expired		1	7			7				17				9		
1723	Does the Family Services Plan treatment plan include objectives and	240	27	0	89.9 % 🔥	168	24	0	87.5% 🔥	202	16	0	92.7% 🔥	261	18	0	93.5% 🔥
	action steps that document clear expectations in order to achieve the																
	permanency goal? (Check all No responses that apply)			_			•				_				0		
	No, all task time frames expired			5			9				5				9		
	No, measurable		1	6			13				9				,		
	No, realistic			U			2				Ü				U		
	No, specific		1	3			1				6				3		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

						2nd (Quarter	SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	<u>3rd (</u>	Quarte	r SFY	<u>2013</u>	4th C	Quarte	SFY	<u>2013</u>
		Yes No NA % Ye				Yes	<u>No</u>	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Re	ing Outcome 1	<u></u>	<u>v</u>	<u> </u>	<u>70</u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u>,,,</u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>,,,</u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u> 70</u>
	7: Needs/Services of Child, Parents, and Foster Parents ase Planning/Services																
1724	-	224	43	0	83.9 % 🔥	154	38	0	80.2%	179	38	1	82.5%	235	43	4	84.5%
1724	requirements? (Check all No responses that apply)	224	43	Ч	03.9 %	154	30	٠	00.2%	179	30	I	02.5%	233	43	- '	04.3%
	No approval		1	6			8				6				11		
	No current 90-day review			2			2				1				5		
	No diligent search		1				16				16				6		
	No, barriers to progress			9			7				8				1		
	No, caregiver/kin provider services and progress			1			0				0				0		
	No, child/youth services and progress			4			2				12				3		
	No, child/youth services appropriateness			0			0				3				0		
	No, child/youth's safety			2			0				2				3		
	No, need for add./diff. svcs. and how provided			0			0				1				0		
	No, parent services and progress			0			0				4				0		
	No, permanency goal			7			2				5				1		
	No, permanency goal date		1	2			3				4				6		
	No, task time frames		1				4				13				16		
	No, timely provision of mandated services			0			0				2				0		
H	ealth			_													
1749	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance																
	abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), what are																
	the substances of use? (Check all that apply)																
	Alcohol		3	1			33				7				25		
	CNS Depressants			0			3				1				3		
	CNS Stimulants			0			2				1				5		
	Cocaine/Crack			8			4				4				9		
	Heroin			3			0				0				3		
	Marijuana		2	2			27				10				35		
	Methamphetamine		2	7			38				19				46		
	Other			1			0				1				0		
	Other Opiates			8			0				1				5		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	13	2	2nd Q	uarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	3rd	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	<u> Luarte</u>	er SFY	<u> 2013</u>
11 7 11 D •		Yes No NA %						<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Ben	ng Outcome 1																	
He	alth																	
1750	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), were substance abuse treatment services provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply)	53	14	200	79.1 %		51	15	126	77.3%	30	5	183	85.7%	56	18	205	75.7%
	No referral by county		(0				1				0				0		
	No sufficient services			1				0				0				0		
	No, parent/guardian refused services		1	1				14				5				17		
	Unable to determine - outside services			2				0				0				1		
1751	If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply)																	
	Alcohol		1	6				5				8				10		
	CNS Depressants			1				0				2				0		
	Cocaine/Crack		:	2				1				1				0		
	Heroin		:	2				1				1				1		
	Marijuana		2	8				16				17				20		
	Methamphetamine		:	2				4				1				2		
	Other			1				1				2				2		
	Other Opiates			1				0				0				2		
1752	If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply)	30	1	236	96.8 %		19	0	173 1	00.0%	19	C	199	100.0%	21	0	258	100.0%
	No, delays of 2 + weeks			1				0				0				0		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013 Yes No NA %				<u>2nd</u>	Quarte	r SFY 2	013	<u>3rd (</u>	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	4th Q	uarter	SFY 2	013
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bein	ng Outcome 1																
	: Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning se Planning/Services																
1713	Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? (Check all responses that apply)	264	0	3	100.0 %	190	0	2 1	00.0%	216	0	2	100.0%	277	1	1	99.6%
	No			0			0				0				1		
1715	Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period?	159	0	108	100.0 %	104	0	88 1	00.0%	133	0	85	100.0%	151	0	128 1	00.0%
1717	Was the mother/guardian/kin engaged in case planning, during the review period?	166	3	98	98.2 %	140	5	47	96.6%	113	5	100	95.8%	169	10	100	94.4%
	No No, efforts made but refused			2 1			2 3				4 1				5 5		
1719	Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period?	101	6	160	94.4 %	95	7	90	93.1%	83	8	127	91.2%	119	18	142	86.9% <u> </u>
	No			4			2				3				12		
	No, efforts made but refused			2			5				5				6		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013					2nd Quarter SFY 2013					r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	4th	<u> 2013</u>		
		<u>Yes No NA %</u>				Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bein	ng Outcome 1																
	: Worker Visits with Child																
	rmanency																
1763	How many months should the assigned worker have made face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period? (Answer for in-state cases only)																
	1			4			4				1				5		
	2		:	2			3				2						
	3		;	3			10				4						
	4			6			27				5				29		
	5		4				57				58				70		
	6		15				85				67				108		
1764	How many months did the assigned worker make face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period? (Within the state of Colorado, not an ICPC case)		3:	2			6				69				54		
	0		(0			0				0				1		
	1		•	4			4				1				4		
	2			2			4				2				2		
	3		(6			12				5				4		
	4		1:				41				9				42		
	5		4				47				59				67		
	0		14				79				69				100 49		
	Of all the months requiring contact, in what percent did agency personnel have contact with the child?		3		98.4%		5		97.1%		61]	98.4%]	49		%97.3
	In what percent of cases did agency personnel have contact with the child every month?	235	18		92.9%	166	26		86.5%	189	17]	91.7%	234	35] [87.0% <u></u>

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>)13</u>	2nd (Quarte	r SFY 201	<u>3</u> <u>3rd</u>	3rd Quarter SFY 2013					4th Quarter SFY 201			
		Yes No NA %				Yes	No	NA 9	% Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>		
Well Beir	ng Outcome 1				_							<u>—</u>				_		
	Worker Visits with Child																	
	rmanency																	
1765	How many months should the worker of either the sending or receiving state make face-to-face contact with the child/youth, placed outside the state, during the review period? (Answer for ICPC cases only)													_				
	1			4			0			0				0				
	2			1			1			2				3				
	3			2			4			2				1				
	4			3			3			1				3				
	0			4			4			1				0				
	0 7			9 5			0			5				9				
1766	How many months did the worker of either the sending or receiving state make face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period, for a child/youth placed outside the state? (Answer for ICPC cases only)																	
	0			3			1			1				1				
	1			4			0			0				0				
	2			0			0			1				4				
	3			2			5			3				3				
	4			5			5			5				6				
	5			0			1			3				2				
	6			7			0			3				2				
	Of all the months requiring contact, in what percent did agency personnel have contact with the child?			5			0			1				1		%74.5		
	In what percent of cases did agency personnel have contact with the child every month?	0	0		.0%	0	0		.0%	0		.0%	8	11		42.1%		
1767	Did the frequency of contact with the child/youth in his/her place of residence occur according to Volume 7?	241	26	0	90.3 % 🔥	174	18	0 90).6% <u> 194</u>	24	1 0	89.0% 🔥	236	43	0	84.6% 🚹		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

		1st Quarter SFY 2013					2nd Quarter SFY 2013				Quarter	SFY 2	4th C	<u> 2013</u>			
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bei	ng Outcome 1																
Item 19	: Worker Visits with Child																
Pe	rmanency																
1768	Was the quality of contacts with the child/youth sufficient to address issues	188	79	0	70.4 % 🚹	149	43	0	77.6% 🔥	151	67	0	69.3% 🔥	219	60	0	78.5% 🔥
	pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and																
	to promote achievement of case goals? (Check all No responses that apply)																
	No		3	4			13				18				19		
	No assessment of safety		4				27				50				48		
	No, outside presence of provider		5				24				47				35		
<u>Item 20</u>	: Worker Visits with Parents																
Pe	rmanency																
1769	Did the frequency of contact with the mother/guardian/kin occur according to Volume 7?	50	64	153	43.9 % 🚹	65	48	79	57.5% <u> 1</u>	36	46	136	43.9%	46	84	149	35.4% 🔥
1770	Was the quality of contacts with the mother/guardian/kin sufficient to	89	20	158	81.7 % 🔥	100	11	81	90.1% 🔥	71	12	135	85.5%	108	16	155	87.1% 🔥
	address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the			.00	· · · · / · · · ·			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1		.00	30.070				0,0
	child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals?																
1771	Did the frequency of contact with the father/guardian/kin occur according to Volume 7?	19	39	209	32.8 % 🚹	30	31	131	49.2% <u> </u>	13	32	173	28.9% 🔥	19	59	201	24.4%
1772	Was the quality of contacts with the father/guardian/kin sufficient to	38	16	213	70.4 % 🔥	49	9	134	84.5% 🔥	37	7	174	84.1% 🔥	56	15	208	78.9% 🔥
	address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the	<u> </u>				<u> </u>				<u> </u>							

child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals?

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013					2nd Quarter SFY 2013				3rd Quarter SFY 2013					4th Quarter SFY 2013			
		Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>		
Well Bein	ng Outcome 2				_				_	<u></u>									
	Educational Needs of Child																		
	ucation																		
	Is the child/youth's education/school record in the case file? (Check all No responses that apply) No GED/Diploma	165	34	68	82.9 % Å	130	24	38	84.4% 🧘	141	24	53	85.5%	181	30	68	85.8% 🚹		
	No address of current school		4	<u> </u>			1 6				2				10				
	No credit count			3			0				1				1				
	No current IEP		1	ĺ			10				14				14				
	No current grade reports No name of current school		23	3			14				14				17 1				
	For children aged 3 - 5: Is the child enrolled in Head Start or another early childhood education program?	17	7	243	70.8 %	15	3	174	83.3%	18	0	200	100.0%	19	8	252	70.4%		
	Information not available		2	2			0				0				0				
	Yes, assessed only						2				2				2 47				
1739	Yes, enrolled	001	10		04.00/	50	13	400	00.00/	7-1	16	400	00.00/	0.7	17	404	70.40/		
	For youth aged 16 or older: Is the youth on track to graduate and/or complete high school?	80	18	169	81.6 %	52	10	130	83.9%	75	10	133	88.2%	67	21	191	76.1%		
	GED GED earned						1				1				1				
	GED earned Graduated		;	3			2				5				1				
	Information not available		,)			10				6				14				
	No GED			 -			0				0				2				
	No, graduate		12)			a				10				19				
1740	Was educational stability provided for the child during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply)	129	66		66.2 % 🚹	80	65	47	55.2% 🧘	105	54	59	66.0%	124	77	78	61.7% 🔥		
	No, changed schools during review period		4	7			38				35				44				
	No, initial placement required change in school		2				35				25				34				
	No, other		()			0				3				4				
	No, req. 504 or IEP spec. ed. svcs. were not prov.		•	l			0				0				2				
	No, schl. distr. refused to provide appropr. svcs.	·		<u> </u>			0				0				1_				
1741	Were the child/youth's educational needs assessed?	211	8	48	96.3 %	163	4	25	97.6%	166	6	46	96.5%	212	5	62	97.7%		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013				2nd Quarter SFY 2013					3rd Quarter SFY 2013					4th Quarter SFY 2013			
		Yes No NA % Ye			Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	NA <u>%</u>		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>			
Well Bei	ng Outcome 3																		
Item 22	Physical Health of Child																		
He	alth																		
1742	Is health information in the case file, including name and address of current health care provider(s), known medical problems and current medications?	256	10	1	96.2 %	177	14	1	92.7% 🔥	207	9	2	95.8%	265	13	1	95.3%		
	(Check all No responses that apply)			_											40				
	No provider address/phone number		10	0			14				9				13				
	No provider name		1	0			13				5				/				
	No, medical problems not documented		(6			0				0				2				
	No, medications not documented			2			0				0				2				
1743	Did the child/youth receive a medical exam, medical screening, or was a medical exam scheduled within two weeks of initial placement? (Check all	40	21	206	65.6 %	50	30	112	62.5%	50	23	145	68.5%	77	27	175	74.0%		
	No responses that apply) (Initial Review Only)			•							0				4				
	No, Medicaid card			0			1				0				1				
	No, late		1:	b			21				11				17				
	No, never occurred			1			5				8				5				
	No, other			5			4				4				4				
	Yes, appointment		•	4			6				/				14				
	Yes, exam		3				44				43				63				
1744	Did the child/youth receive a full dental examination or was a dental exam scheduled within eight weeks of initial placement? (Check all No	39	7	221	84.8 %	55	11	126	83.3%	50	7	161	87.7%	79	12	188	86.8%		
	responses that apply) (Initial Review Only)																		
	No, late		:	2			6				1				6				
	No, never occurred			4			5				5				3				
	No, other			1			0				1				3				
	Yes, appointment			1			2				1				1				
	Yes, exam		3	8			53				49				78				

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		<u>1st Q</u>	uarter	SFY 20	<u>)13</u>	2nd Quarter SFY 2013					3rd Quarter SFY 2013					4th Quarter SFY 2013			
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>		
Well Bei	ng Outcome 3																		
Item 22	: Physical Health of Child																		
He	ealth																		
1745	Has the child/youth received regular health care, including immunizations, and/or treatment for identified health needs? (Services delivered) (Check all No responses that apply)	222	44	1	83.5 % Å	156	35	1	81.7% 🚹	163	53	2	75.5% 🔥	247	32	0	88.5% 🔥		
	No statement from medical examiner		33	3			24				35				20				
	No treatment for identified needs		()			1				0				1				
	No, immunizations		13				11				1				11				
	No, lack of timely referral or follow through No, other		20				12				15				5 5				
1746	Has the child/youth received regular dental care and treatment for	191	40	36	82.7 % 🔥	157	17	10	90.2%	155	32	21	82.9%	216	31	22	87.4%		
1740	identified dental needs? (Services delivered) (Check all No responses that apply)	191	40	30	62.7 % <u>1</u>	157	17	10	90.2%	155	32	31	62.9%	210	31	32	67.4%		
	No treatment for identified needs		()			1				0				0				
	No, Medicaid		()			1				0				0				
	No, lack of timely referral or follow through		3′	1			14				28				23				
	No, other		(9			1				4				8				
	: Mental Health of Child																		
	ealth												<u> </u>						
1747	Were the child/youth's mental health needs assessed?	230	0	37	100.0 %	165	2	25	98.8%	176	1	41	99.4%	229	1	49	99.6%		
1748	Were mental health services provided to meet the child/youth's needs during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply)	126	71	70	64.0 % 🔥	111	39	42	74.0%	108	39	71	73.5%	159	47	73	77.2% 🚹		
	No available services		2	2			0				0				0				
	No referral by county		()			0				1				0				
	No sufficient services		3	3			0				2				0				
	No, OOH provider issue		()			0				1				1				
	No, changed MH provider		57	7			32				33				38				
	No, child refused services			1			1				0				1				
	No, delays of 2 + weeks		20)			10				5				9				
	No, mental health systems issue)			1				0				T A				
	No, other		()			0				1				0				
	Unable to determine		(J			U				U				2				

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

		1st Quarter SFY 2013			2nd Quarter SFY 2013				3rd Quarter SFY 2013					4th Quarter SFY 2013			
Cratomia	Footows	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Systemic	ractors																
Item 25	: Process to Ensure Each Child Has a Written Case Plan Developed Joir	tly witl	n Pare	<u>nts</u>													
Ca	se Planning/Services																
1730	Does the FSP 4 B/C contain a comprehensive description of the type and appropriateness of the homes or facilities in which the child/youth was placed during the review period?	215	51	1	80.8 % <u>^</u>	148	43	1	77.5% 🧘	171	46	1	78.8%	226	52	1	81.3%
Item 27	: Permanency Hearing Every Twelve Months																
	purt																
1703	If a child has been in care for 12 months or longer, is there a court order in the case file that was signed and dated within the last 12 months that contains reasonable efforts to achieve permanency language, and does not contain "nunc pro tunc" language? (Re-Review Only)	201	6	60	97.1 %	111	1	80	99.1%	144	0	74	100.0%	166	7	106	96.0%
	No signed court order			6			1				0				7		
	: Process for Foster Parents, Pre-adoptive Parents, and Relative Caregive Process	vers to	be No	tified of	, and an Op	<u>portunit</u>	y to be	Heard	I, in Any I	Review o	<u>r Heari</u>	ing Hel	d with Re	espect to	the C	<u>hild</u>	
1708	Were all required parties invited to the review and given at least two weeks notice? (Check all that apply)	233	34	0	87.3 % 🔥	161	31	0	83.9% 🧘	172	46	0	78.9%	211	68	0	75.6% 🔥
	No, GAL			2			8				8				16		
	No, OOH Provider			1			4				3				12		
	No, Tribe/BIA (if ICWA applies)			4			1				2				1		
	No, caseworker			2			8				17				20		
	No, child over 12			3			9				7				13		
	No, father/guardian		1	3			8				12				11		
	No most box/ou andian			_							40				0		

7 2 13

0

8

No, mother/guardian

No, not timely

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		<u>1st Q</u>	uarter	SFY 20	<u>13</u>	2nd (Quarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	3rd (SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	4th Quarter SFY 2013				
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>
Miscellar	neous																
Miscella	neous																
Со																	
1701	Is this a court ordered review?	2	265	0	0.7 %	0	192	0	0.0%	1	217	0	0.5%	1	278	0	0.4%
1702	Is there a signed removal order that contains best interest or welfare of the child language, and determines if reasonable efforts were made or an emergency justified lack of reasonable efforts, and does not contain "nunc pro tunc" language? (Check all that apply) (Initial Review Only)	61	0	206 1	00.0 %	72	6	114	92.3% 🗘	66	7	145	90.4%	96	10	173	90.6% 🔥
	No best interest		(0			0				1				0		
	No reasonable efforts/emergency		(0			0				2				0		
	No signed removal order		(0			6				6				9		
	No, contains "nunc pro tunc" language		(0			0				0				1		
	Has the county had authority for placement within the review period? (A Fiscal Sanction may result if the answer is "No.")	266	1	0	99.6 %	188	4	0	97.9%	216	2	0	99.1%	279	0	0	00.0%
IV-	E																
1706	Has IV-E eligibility been determined within 45 days of removal? (A Fiscal Sanction may result if the answer is "No.") (Initial Review Only)	61	0	206 1	00.0 %	80	0	112 1	00.0%	70	3	145	95.9%	103	1	175	99.0%
1707	Has a timely IV-E redetermination been completed during the review period? (Re-Review Only)	52	0	215 1	00.0 %	22	0	170 1	00.0%	33	0	185	100.0%	41	0	238	00.0%
Pe	rmanency																
	Were the previous compliance issues addressed? (Re-Review Only)	80	72	115	52.6 % 🔥	55	29	108	65.5% 🔔	71	42	105	62.8% 🔥	89	45	145	66.4% 🔥
	edit Report		-	<u> </u>	1 P	-	1							-			
1779	If the youth is 16 years and older have the youth and the GAL received a copy of all consumer credit reports annually?	0	0	1 _	0.0 %	0	0	79		0	0	218		0]	0	279	
1780	If the youth is 16 years and older and has a credit report with evidence of inaccuracies, has the county department or the GAL referred the youth to an approved agency to resolve the inaccuracies?	0	0	1	0.0 %	0	0	79		0	0	218		0	0	279	
1781	If the youth is 16 years and older and has a credit report with evidence of inaccuracies, is the county department making efforts to resolve the inaccuracies, or have the inaccuracies been addressed?	0	0	1	0.0 %	0	0	79		0	0	218		0	0	279	