

Eagle
Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews
Administrative Review Division
7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

This report presents data collected by the Administrative Review Division (ARD) through the Out-of-Home Review process. The results are grouped by CFSR Outcome and Item.

There are several key components to fully understanding the report. First, any item which is Compliance related will have the question number displayed in **BOLD** font, while those that are Data oriented (i.e., collected in order to gather more systemic information) will be displayed in normal font.

Also, as the compliance level for achieving Substantial Conformity during the CFSR is now set at 95%, any item falling below this level will be highlighted by the following symbol:

After the end of each quarter, a new report containing the most recent quarter's data will be made available for all stakeholders on the Colorado Department of Human Services Portal.

First Quarter = July - September
Second Quarter = October - December
Third Quarter = January - March
Fourth Quarter = April - June

Report created on: 7/15/2013

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews
Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

Safety Outcome 2

Item 4: Risk of Harm
Safety

- 1709

If there were new allegations of abuse or neglect identified during the review period, were they entered as a referral into Trails?
- 1712

If a new safety concern was identified regarding this child/youth, were the safety needs of the child/youth adequately addressed during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply)

1st Quarter SFY 2013

YesNoNA%

0	0	2	0.0 %
---	---	---	-------

0	0	2	0.0 %
---	---	---	-------

2nd Quarter SFY 2013

YesNoNA%

1	0	2	100.0%
---	---	---	--------

0	0	3	
---	---	---	--

3rd Quarter SFY 2013

YesNoNA%

0	0	4	
---	---	---	--

0	0	4	
---	---	---	--

4th Quarter SFY 2013

YesNoNA%

2	0	1	100.0%
---	---	---	--------

1	0	2	100.0%
---	---	---	--------

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

1st Quarter SFY 2013

2nd Quarter SFY 2013

3rd Quarter SFY 2013

4th Quarter SFY 2013

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Permanency Outcome 1

Item 6: Stability of Foster Care Placements

Case Planning/Services

1729	At the time of the review, is the child/youth placed in the most appropriate setting to meet his/her individual needs? (Check all No responses that apply)	2	0	0	100.0 %	3	0	0	100.0%	4	0	0	100.0%	3	0	0	100.0%
------	--	---	---	---	---------	---	---	---	--------	---	---	---	--------	---	---	---	--------

Permanency

1753	If the child/youth experienced one or more moves during the review period, were all of the placement changes planned by the agency in an effort to achieve the child/youth's case goals or to meet the needs of the child/youth? (Check "Yes, in line with case goal + planned" if both Yes answers are appropriate)	0	0	2	0.0 %	1	0	2	100.0%	2	1	1	66.7%	0	0	3	
------	--	---	---	---	-------	---	---	---	--------	---	---	---	-------	---	---	---	--

Yes, in line with case goal and planned

0

1

0

0

Yes, to meet youth's specific needs and planned

0

0

2

0

1754	If the child/youth experienced one or more moves during the review period that were not planned, what was/were the reason(s) for the move(s)? (Check all that apply)																
------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Youth's behavior

0

0

1

0

Item 7: Permanency Goal for Child

Permanency

1760	In the reviewer's opinion, is the primary court ordered permanency goal, at the time of the review, appropriate for this child/youth?	2	0	0	100.0 %	3	0	0	100.0%	4	0	0	100.0%	3	0	0	100.0%
------	---	---	---	---	---------	---	---	---	--------	---	---	---	--------	---	---	---	--------

1762	If a petition/motion to terminate parental rights has not been filed, and a compelling reason has been identified, in the reviewer's opinion, is the compelling reason appropriate?	0	0	2	0.0 %	0	1	2	0.0%	0	0	4		0	0	3	
------	---	---	---	---	-------	---	---	---	------	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	--

No, not completed

0

1

0

0

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

Permanency Outcome 1

		<u>1st Quarter SFY 2013</u>				<u>2nd Quarter SFY 2013</u>				<u>3rd Quarter SFY 2013</u>				<u>4th Quarter SFY 2013</u>			
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permanency																	
1755	At the time of the review, are reasonable efforts being made to achieve permanency? (Check all No responses that apply)	2	0	0	100.0 %	3	0	0	100.0%	4	0	0	100.0%	3	0	0	100.0%
1756	For a child/youth with a goal of return home, is progress being made toward achieving the goal? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	2	0.0 %	0	2	1	0.0%	2	0	2	100.0%	2	0	1	100.0%
	<i>No, child/youth services appropriateness</i>			0			1				0				0		
	<i>No, parent lack of progress</i>			0			1				0				0		
	<i>No, parents whereabouts are unknown</i>			0			1				0				0		
1758	For a child/youth with a permanency goal of permanent placement with a relative/non-relative through legal guardianship/permanent custody, is progress being made toward the goal? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	2	0.0 %	0	0	3		0	0	4		1	0	2	100.0%

Item 9: Adoption

Permanency																	
1757	For a child/youth with a goal of adoption, is progress being made toward finalizing the adoption? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	1	1	0.0 %	0	0	3		1	0	3	100.0%	0	0	3	
	<i>No adoptive home</i>			1			0				0				0		

Item 10: Other Planned Living Arrangement

Case Planning/Services																	
1731	For all youth over age 16 years and 60 days, is there a comprehensive ILP that addresses all needs identified from a state-approved assessment? (Check all No responses that apply)	1	0	1	100.0 %	0	1	2	0.0% 	1	0	3	100.0%	0	2	1	0.0% 
	<i>No description or plan of services</i>			0			1				0				2		
	<i>No self-sufficiency budget</i>			0			1				0				1		
	<i>No state approved assessment used</i>			0			1				0				1		
	<i>No, all identified needs not addressed</i>			0			1				0				2		
1733	For all youth over age 16 years and 60 days, is the youth receiving services to address all the needs identified in the comprehensive assessment and the FSP 4D? (Check all that apply)	1	0	1	100.0 %	1	0	2	100.0%	1	0	3	100.0%	2	0	1	100.0%

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews
Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

		<u>1st Quarter SFY 2013</u>				<u>2nd Quarter SFY 2013</u>				<u>3rd Quarter SFY 2013</u>				<u>4th Quarter SFY 2013</u>				
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	
<u>Permanency Outcome 1</u>																		
<u>Item 10: Other Planned Living Arrangement</u>																		
Case Planning/Services																		
1735	Is there a comprehensive, youth-driven Emancipation Transition Plan (ETP) developed 90 business days before the youth's projected permanency date? (Check all No responses that apply) (Check only "No plan" if there is not ETP plan)	0	0	2	0.0 %	1	0	2	100.0%	1	0	3	100.0%	0	0	3		
1736	Per Volume 7, have all vital documents been obtained for youth with an OPPLA goal 90 business days before their projected permanency date? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	2	0.0 %	0	1	2	0.0%		1	0	3	100.0%	0	0	3	
<i>No Health Passport/medical records</i>		0				1				0				0				
<u>Item 10: Other Planned Living Arrangement</u>																		
Permanency																		
1759	For a child/youth a permanency goal of Other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement, is it documented that all other more permanent goals have been considered and appropriately ruled out? (Check all No responses that apply)	1	0	1	100.0 %	1	0	2	100.0%	0	1	3	0.0%		0	0	3	
<i>No, other</i>		0				0				1				0				

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

1st Quarter SFY 2013

2nd Quarter SFY 2013

3rd Quarter SFY 2013

4th Quarter SFY 2013

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Permanency Outcome 2

Item 11: Proximity of Placement

Case Planning/Services

1726	Is the child/youth placed within close proximity to his/her parents or other potential permanent caregiver's home?	0	0	2	0.0 %	0	2	1	0.0%		0	2	2	0.0%		1	2	0	33.3%	
1727	If a child/youth is not placed in close proximity to his/her parents or other potential permanent caregiver's home, were reasonable efforts made to support or facilitate face-to-face contact with the parents or potential permanent caregivers?	0	0	2	0.0 %	2	0	1	100.0%		2	0	2	100.0%		2	0	1	100.0%	

Item 13: Visiting with Parents and Siblings in Foster Care

Permanency

1773	Does the frequency of visitation with the mother/guardian/kin adequately address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of the relationship? (Check all No responses that apply) <i>No, other</i>	2	0	0	100.0 %	2	0	1	100.0%		1	2	1	33.3%		2	0	1	100.0%	
		0				0					2					0				
1774	Does the frequency of visitation with the father/guardian/kin adequately address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of the relationship? (Check all No responses that apply) <i>No, father/guardian/kin</i> <i>No, other</i>	1	0	1	100.0 %	1	2	0	33.3%		1	2	1	33.3%		1	1	1	50.0%	
		0				2					0					1				
		0				0					2					0				
1775	Does the frequency of visitation with the sibling(s) adequately address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of the relationship(s)? (Check all No responses that apply)	1	0	1	100.0 %	1	0	2	100.0%		1	0	3	100.0%		1	0	2	100.0%	

Item 14: Preserving Connections

Court

1705	Were these ICWA requirements met? (Check all that apply) <i>No, new info obtained during FF portion of review</i>	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>0.0 %</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">0</td></tr></table>				0	0	2	0.0 %	0				<table><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3">0</td></tr></table>			0	0	3	0			<table><tr><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>0.0%</td><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">2</td><td></td><td colspan="3">0</td></tr></table>							0	2	2	0.0%		0	0	3	2					0		
		0	0	2	0.0 %																																								
0																																													
0	0	3																																											
0																																													
0	2	2	0.0%		0	0	3																																						
2					0																																								

Case Planning/Services

1728	Is the department making concerted efforts to maintain the child/youth's connections during the review period?	2	0	0	100.0 %	3	0	0	100.0%		4	0	0	100.0%		3	0	0	100.0%	
------	--	---	---	---	---------	---	---	---	--------	--	---	---	---	--------	--	---	---	---	--------	--

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews
Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

Permanency Outcome 2

Item 16: Relationship of Child in Care with Parents

Permanency

		1st Quarter SFY 2013				2nd Quarter SFY 2013				3rd Quarter SFY 2013				4th Quarter SFY 2013			
		Yes	No	NA	%	Yes	No	NA	%	Yes	No	NA	%	Yes	No	NA	%
1777	Did the agency promote and support a positive and nurturing relationship between the child/youth and his/her parents? (Check all that apply)	2	0	0	100.0 %	3	0	0	100.0%	3	0	1	100.0%	3	0	0	100.0%
	Yes, encouraged attend. at extra-curricular activ.			1			0				0				0		
	Yes, facil. contact w/parents not in close proxim.			0			0				2				2		
	Yes, other			0			2				1				0		
	Yes, provid. therap. situations to strengthen rel.			1			3				0				3		
	Yes, provided transportation/funds			0			0				0				2		

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

1st Quarter SFY 2013

2nd Quarter SFY 2013

3rd Quarter SFY 2013

4th Quarter SFY 2013

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Well Being Outcome 1

Item 17: Needs/Services of Child, Parents, and Foster Parents

Case Planning/Services

1721	Does the Family Services Plan treatment plan document services that are directed at the areas of need identified through assessment?	2	0	0	100.0 %	3	0	0	100.0%	4	0	0	100.0%	3	0	0	100.0%
1722	Were all required parties addressed in the treatment plan? (Check all No responses that apply) <i>No, some task time frames expired</i>	2	0	0	100.0 %	3	0	0	100.0%	2	2	0	50.0%	3	0	0	100.0%
		0				0				2				0			
1723	Does the Family Services Plan treatment plan include objectives and action steps that document clear expectations in order to achieve the permanency goal? (Check all No responses that apply) <i>No, measurable</i> <i>No, specific</i>	2	0	0	100.0 %	2	1	0	66.7%	4	0	0	100.0%	1	2	0	33.3%
		0				1				0				2			
		0				1				0				1			
1724	Does the most recent 90-day review/Court report in Trails meet Volume 7 requirements? (Check all No responses that apply) <i>No diligent search</i>	2	0	0	100.0 %	0	3	0	0.0%	4	0	0	100.0%	3	0	0	100.0%
		0				3				0				0			

Health

1749	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) <i>Alcohol</i>	0				0				2				0			
------	---	---	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	---	--	--	--

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

1st Quarter SFY 2013

2nd Quarter SFY 2013

3rd Quarter SFY 2013

4th Quarter SFY 2013

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Well Being Outcome 1

Health

1750	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), were substance abuse treatment services provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	2	0.0 %	0	0	3	2	0	2	100.0%	0	0	3		
1751	If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) <i>Alcohol</i>	0				2				0				0			
1752	If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	2	0.0 %	2	0	1	100.0%	0	0	4	0	0	3		

Item 18: Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning

Case Planning/Services

1713	Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? (Check all responses that apply)	2	0	0	100.0 %	3	0	0	100.0%	4	0	0	100.0%	3	0	0	100.0%
1715	Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period?	1	0	1	100.0 %	3	0	0	100.0%	1	0	3	100.0%	3	0	0	100.0%
1717	Was the mother/guardian/kin engaged in case planning, during the review period? <i>No</i>	1	1	0	50.0 %	2	0	1	100.0%	3	0	1	100.0%	3	0	0	100.0%
1719	Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period? <i>No, efforts made but refused</i>	1	0	1	100.0 %	1	2	0	33.3%	3	0	1	100.0%	2	0	1	100.0%
		1				0				0				0			
		0				2				0				0			

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

1st Quarter SFY 2013

2nd Quarter SFY 2013

3rd Quarter SFY 2013

4th Quarter SFY 2013

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Well Being Outcome 1

Item 19: Worker Visits with Child

Permanency

1763	How many months should the assigned worker have made face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period? (Answer for in-state cases only)																		
	3				0					0				2				0	
	5				0					2				0				0	
	6				2					1				2				2	
	7				0					0				0				1	
1764	How many months did the assigned worker make face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period? (Within the state of Colorado, not an ICPC case)																		
	3				0					0				2				0	
	5				0					2				0				0	
	6				2					1				2				2	
	7				0					0				0				1	
	Of all the months requiring contact, in what percent did agency personnel have contact with the child?									100.0%								100.0%	
	In what percent of cases did agency personnel have contact with the child every month?				2		0			100.0%				3		0			100.0%
1765	How many months should the worker of either the sending or receiving state make face-to-face contact with the child/youth, placed outside the state, during the review period? (Answer for ICPC cases only)																		
	3				0					0				2				0	
1766	How many months did the worker of either the sending or receiving state make face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period, for a child/youth placed outside the state? (Answer for ICPC cases only)																		
	3				0					0				2				0	
	Of all the months requiring contact, in what percent did agency personnel have contact with the child?																		%0.0
	In what percent of cases did agency personnel have contact with the child every month?				0		0			.0%				0		0			.0%
1767	Did the frequency of contact with the child/youth in his/her place of residence occur according to Volume 7?				2		0		0	100.0 %				2		1		0	66.7%

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews
Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

1st Quarter SFY 2013

2nd Quarter SFY 2013

3rd Quarter SFY 2013

4th Quarter SFY 2013

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Well Being Outcome 1

Item 19: Worker Visits with Child

Permanency

1768	Was the quality of contacts with the child/youth sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals? (Check all No responses that apply)	1	1	0	50.0 %		1	2	0	33.3%		1	3	0	25.0%		3	0	0	100.0%
	No			0				0					2					0		
	No assessment of safety			1				2					3					0		
	No, outside presence of provider			1				1					2					0		

Item 20: Worker Visits with Parents

Permanency

1769	Did the frequency of contact with the mother/guardian/kin occur according to Volume 7?	0	0	2	0.0 %		1	0	2	100.0%		2	0	2	100.0%		2	0	1	100.0%
1770	Was the quality of contacts with the mother/guardian/kin sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals?	0	0	2	0.0 %		1	0	2	100.0%		2	0	2	100.0%		2	0	1	100.0%
1771	Did the frequency of contact with the father/guardian/kin occur according to Volume 7?	0	0	2	0.0 %		0	1	2	0.0%		2	0	2	100.0%		0	0	3	
1772	Was the quality of contacts with the father/guardian/kin sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals?	0	0	2	0.0 %		1	0	2	100.0%		2	0	2	100.0%		0	0	3	

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

1st Quarter SFY 2013

2nd Quarter SFY 2013

3rd Quarter SFY 2013

4th Quarter SFY 2013

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Well Being Outcome 2

Item 21: Educational Needs of Child

Education

1737	Is the child/youth's education/school record in the case file? (Check all No responses that apply) <i>No current IEP</i>	<table><tr><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>100.0 %</td></tr></table>	2	0	0	100.0 %	<table><tr><td>3</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>100.0%</td></tr></table>	3	0	0	100.0%	<table><tr><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>66.7%</td></tr></table> 	2	1	1	66.7%	<table><tr><td>3</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>100.0%</td></tr></table>	3	0	0	100.0%
2	0	0	100.0 %																		
3	0	0	100.0%																		
2	1	1	66.7%																		
3	0	0	100.0%																		
		0	0	1	0																
1738	For children aged 3 - 5: Is the child enrolled in Head Start or another early childhood education program? <i>Yes, enrolled</i>	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>0.0 %</td></tr></table>	0	0	2	0.0 %	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>3</td><td></td></tr></table>	0	0	3		<table><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>3</td><td>100.0%</td></tr></table>	1	0	3	100.0%	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>3</td><td></td></tr></table>	0	0	3	
0	0	2	0.0 %																		
0	0	3																			
1	0	3	100.0%																		
0	0	3																			
		0	0	1	0																
1739	For youth aged 16 or older: Is the youth on track to graduate and/or complete high school? <i>GED</i>	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>100.0 %</td></tr></table>	1	0	1	100.0 %	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>100.0%</td></tr></table>	1	0	2	100.0%	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>3</td><td>100.0%</td></tr></table>	1	0	3	100.0%	<table><tr><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>100.0%</td></tr></table>	2	0	1	100.0%
1	0	1	100.0 %																		
1	0	2	100.0%																		
1	0	3	100.0%																		
2	0	1	100.0%																		
		0	0	0	1																
1740	Was educational stability provided for the child during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply) <i>No, changed schools during review period</i> <i>No, initial placement required change in school</i>	<table><tr><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>100.0 %</td></tr></table>	2	0	0	100.0 %	<table><tr><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>66.7%</td></tr></table> 	2	1	0	66.7%	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>33.3%</td></tr></table> 	1	2	1	33.3%	<table><tr><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>66.7%</td></tr></table> 	2	1	0	66.7%
2	0	0	100.0 %																		
2	1	0	66.7%																		
1	2	1	33.3%																		
2	1	0	66.7%																		
		0	0	2	0																
		0	1	0	1																
1741	Were the child/youth's educational needs assessed?	<table><tr><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>100.0 %</td></tr></table>	2	0	0	100.0 %	<table><tr><td>3</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>100.0%</td></tr></table>	3	0	0	100.0%	<table><tr><td>4</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>100.0%</td></tr></table>	4	0	0	100.0%	<table><tr><td>3</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>100.0%</td></tr></table>	3	0	0	100.0%
2	0	0	100.0 %																		
3	0	0	100.0%																		
4	0	0	100.0%																		
3	0	0	100.0%																		

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

1st Quarter SFY 2013

2nd Quarter SFY 2013

3rd Quarter SFY 2013

4th Quarter SFY 2013

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Well Being Outcome 3

Item 22: Physical Health of Child Health

1742	Is health information in the case file, including name and address of current health care provider(s), known medical problems and current medications? (Check all No responses that apply) No provider address/phone number No provider name	2	0	0	100.0 %	3	0	0	100.0%	3	1	0	75.0%		3	0	0	100.0%		
1743	Did the child/youth receive a medical exam, medical screening, or was a medical exam scheduled within two weeks of initial placement? (Check all No responses that apply) (Initial Review Only) No, late Yes, exam	0	0	2	0.0 %	2	0	1	100.0%	0	2	2	0.0%		1	0	2	100.0%		
1744	Did the child/youth receive a full dental examination or was a dental exam scheduled within eight weeks of initial placement? (Check all No responses that apply) (Initial Review Only) Yes, appointment Yes, exam	0	0	2	0.0 %	2	0	1	100.0%	2	0	2	100.0%		1	0	2	100.0%		
1745	Has the child/youth received regular health care, including immunizations, and/or treatment for identified health needs? (Services delivered) (Check all No responses that apply) No statement from medical examiner No, lack of timely referral or follow through	2	0	0	100.0 %	3	0	0	100.0%	3	1	0	75.0%		2	1	0	66.7%		
1746	Has the child/youth received regular dental care and treatment for identified dental needs? (Services delivered) (Check all No responses that apply) No, lack of timely referral or follow through No, other	1	1	0	50.0 %		3	0	0	100.0%	3	1	0	75.0%		2	1	0	66.7%	

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

1st Quarter SFY 2013

2nd Quarter SFY 2013

3rd Quarter SFY 2013

4th Quarter SFY 2013

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Well Being Outcome 3

Item 23: Mental Health of Child

Health

1747 Were the child/youth's mental health needs assessed?

1	0	1	100.0 %
---	---	---	---------

3	0	0	100.0%
---	---	---	--------

3	0	1	100.0%
---	---	---	--------

3	0	0	100.0%
---	---	---	--------

1748 Were mental health services provided to meet the child/youth's needs during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply)

1	0	1	100.0 %
---	---	---	---------

1	2	0	33.3%
---	---	---	-------

0	3	1	0.0%
---	---	---	------

2	1	0	66.7%
---	---	---	-------

No, OOH provider issue

0

1

0

0

No, changed MH provider

0

0

3

1

No, delays of 2 + weeks

0

0

2

0

No, mental health systems issue

0

1

0

0

Systemic Factors

Item 25: Process to Ensure Each Child Has a Written Case Plan Developed Jointly with Parents

Case Planning/Services

1730 Does the FSP 4 B/C contain a comprehensive description of the type and appropriateness of the homes or facilities in which the child/youth was placed during the review period?

2	0	0	100.0 %
---	---	---	---------

0	3	0	0.0%
---	---	---	------

1	3	0	25.0%
---	---	---	-------

3	0	0	100.0%
---	---	---	--------

Item 27: Permanency Hearing Every Twelve Months

Court

1703 If a child has been in care for 12 months or longer, is there a court order in the case file that was signed and dated within the last 12 months that contains reasonable efforts to achieve permanency language, and does not contain "nunc pro tunc" language? (Re-Review Only)

2	0	0	100.0 %
---	---	---	---------

1	0	2	100.0%
---	---	---	--------

2	0	2	100.0%
---	---	---	--------

2	0	1	100.0%
---	---	---	--------

Item 29: Process for Foster Parents, Pre-adoptive Parents, and Relative Caregivers to be Notified of, and an Opportunity to be Heard, in Any Review or Hearing Held with Respect to the Child

Due Process

1708 Were all required parties invited to the review and given at least two weeks notice? (Check all that apply)

2	0	0	100.0 %
---	---	---	---------

3	0	0	100.0%
---	---	---	--------

4	0	0	100.0%
---	---	---	--------

0	3	0	0.0%
---	---	---	------

No, Attorneys of Record (Court Ordered)

0

0

0

1

No, mother/guardian

0

0

0

1

No, not timely

0

0

0

2

Eagle

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

1st Quarter SFY 2013

2nd Quarter SFY 2013

3rd Quarter SFY 2013

4th Quarter SFY 2013

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Yes No NA %

Miscellaneous

Miscellaneous

Court

1701	Is this a court ordered review?	0	2	0		0	3	0	0.0%	0	4	0	0.0%	3	0	0	100.0%
1702	Is there a signed removal order that contains best interest or welfare of the child language, and determines if reasonable efforts were made or an emergency justified lack of reasonable efforts, and does not contain "nunc pro tunc" language? (Check all that apply) (Initial Review Only)	0	0	2	0.0 %	2	0	1	100.0%	2	0	2	100.0%	1	0	2	100.0%

1704	Has the county had authority for placement within the review period? (A Fiscal Sanction may result if the answer is "No.")	2	0	0	100.0 %	3	0	0	100.0%	4	0	0	100.0%	2	1	0	66.7% 
------	--	---	---	---	---------	---	---	---	--------	---	---	---	--------	---	---	---	---

IV-E

1706	Has IV-E eligibility been determined within 45 days of removal? (A Fiscal Sanction may result if the answer is "No.") (Initial Review Only)	0	0	2	0.0 %	2	0	1	100.0%	2	0	2	100.0%	1	0	2	100.0%
1707	Has a timely IV-E redetermination been completed during the review period? (Re-Review Only)	1	0	1	100.0 %	0	0	3		0	0	4		0	0	3	

Permanency

1778	Were the previous compliance issues addressed? (Re-Review Only)	1	1	0	50.0 % 	0	1	2	0.0% 	1	1	2	50.0% 	1	1	1	50.0% 
------	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Credit Report

1779	If the youth is 16 years and older have the youth and the GAL received a copy of all consumer credit reports annually?	0	0	0		0	0	1		0	0	4		0	0	3	
1780	If the youth is 16 years and older and has a credit report with evidence of inaccuracies, has the county department or the GAL referred the youth to an approved agency to resolve the inaccuracies?	0	0	0		0	0	1		0	0	4		0	0	3	
1781	If the youth is 16 years and older and has a credit report with evidence of inaccuracies, is the county department making efforts to resolve the inaccuracies, or have the inaccuracies been addressed?	0	0	0		0	0	1		0	0	4		0	0	3	