Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013

This report presents data collected by the Administrative Review Division (ARD) through the Out-of-Home Review process. The results are grouped by CFSR Outcome and Item.

There are several key components to fully understanding the report. First, any item which is Compliance related will have the question number displayed in BOLD font, while those that are Data oriented (i.e., collected in order to gather more systemic information) will be displayed in normal font.

Also, as the compliance level for achieving Substantial Conformity during the CFSR is now set at 95%, any item falling below this level will be highlighted by the following symbol:

After the end of each quarter, a new report containing the most recent quarter's data will be made available for all stakeholders on the Colorado Department of Human Services Portal.

> First Quarter = July - September **Second Quarter = October - December** Third Quarter = January - March Fourth Quarter = April - June

Report created on: 7/15/2013

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		<u>1st Q</u>	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>2nd Q</u>	uarter	SFY 20	<u>13</u>	<u>3rd</u>	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	<u>4th</u>	<u>Quarter</u>	SFY 2	<u>2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Safety O	utcome 2																
	Risk of Harm fety																
1709	If there were new allegations of abuse or neglect identified during the review period, were they entered as a referral into Trails?	1	0	17	100.0 %	1	0	6 10	0.0%	0	0	11		1	0	7 1	00.0%
1712	If a new safety concern was identified regarding this child/youth, were the safety needs of the child/youth adequately addressed during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply)	7	0	11	100.0 %	2	0	5 10	0.0%	1	0	10	100.0%	2	0	6 1	00.0%

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	2nd 0	<u>Quarter</u>	SFY	2013	<u>3</u> ı	d Qua	rter S	FY 20	<u>013</u>	4th C	uarter	SFY 2013	<u>}</u>
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u> No</u>	<u>1</u> c	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA %</u>	
Permane	ency Outcome 1																	
	Stability of Foster Care Placements use Planning/Services																	
1729	At the time of the review, is the child/youth placed in the most appropriate setting to meet his/her individual needs? (Check all No responses that apply)	18	0	0	100.0 %	7	0	0	100.0%		11	0	0 1	00.0%	8	0	0 100.0)%
Pe	rmanency																	
1753	If the child/youth experienced one or more moves during the review period, were all of the placement changes planned by the agency in an effort to achieve the child/youth's case goals or to meet the needs of the child/youth? (Check "Yes, in line with case goal + planned" if both Yes answers are appropriate)	5	5	8	50.0 %	1	0	6	100.0%		1	1	9	50.0% 🗘	1	0	7 100.0)%
	Yes, in line with case goal and planned			5			0					0				0		
1754	Yes, to meet youth's specific needs and planned If the child/youth experienced one or more moves during the review period that were not planned, what was/were the reason(s) for the move(s)? (Check all that apply)		()			1					1				1		
	More than one move		4	1			0					0				0		
	Provider abuse or neglect allegations		4	1			0					0				0		
	Provider quit or closed		4	1			0					0				0		
	Provider request Temporary setting		,	5			0					1				0		
	Youth's behavior		4	 			0					1				0		
	Permanency Goal for Child		,	,			U					•				Ü		
1760	rmanency	47	4 1	0	04.4.0/		41		05.70/		4	7		00.40/	-	41	0 07.5	50/
1700	In the reviewer's opinion, is the primary court ordered permanency goal, at the time of the review, appropriate for this child/youth?	17	1	U	94.4 %	6	1	0	85.7%		4	/	- 0	36.4%	/	1	0 87.5	%ر
1762	If a petition/motion to terminate parental rights has not been filed, and a compelling reason has been identified, in the reviewer's opinion, is the compelling reason appropriate?	5	0	13	100.0 %	0	0	7]		1	0	101	00.0%	1	0	7 100.0)%

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>)13</u>	<u>2nd</u>	Quarte	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	3rd	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	<u>4th (</u>	Quarter	SFY 2	<u>2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permane	ency Outcome 1																
Pe	rmanency																
1755	At the time of the review, are reasonable efforts being made to achieve permanency? (Check all No responses that apply)	18	0	0	100.0 %	7	0	0 1	00.0%	7	4	0	63.6%	8	0	0 1	00.0%
	No reasonable efforts to return home			0			0				4				0		
1756	For a child/youth with a goal of return home, is progress being made toward achieving the goal? (Check all No responses that apply)	6	9	3	40.0 %	1	2	4	33.3%	2	6	3	25.0%	3	2	3	60.0%
	No, child lack of progress No, court delays			1 0			1 1				0				0 0		
	No, parent lack of progress			8			1				6				2		
1758	For a child/youth with a permanency goal of permanent placement with a relative/non-relative through legal guardianship/permanent custody, is progress being made toward the goal? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	18	0.0 %	0	0	7		1	0	10	100.0%	0	0	8	
	Adoption ermanency																
1757	For a child/youth with a goal of adoption, is progress being made toward finalizing the adoption? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	18	0.0 %	0	0	7		0	0	11]	0	0	8	

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Qu	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>13</u>	2nd 0	Quarter	SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	3rd	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	4th (Quarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permane	ncy Outcome 1																
	Other Planned Living Arrangement se Planning/Services																
1731	For all youth over age 16 years and 60 days, is there a comprehensive ILP [that addresses all needs identified from a state-approved assessment? (Check all No responses that apply) No description or plan of services No plan No state approved assessment used No, all identified needs not addressed Not all ILP tabs completed Not developed with youth	1	1	16 0 0 0 0 1	50.0 %	2	3 1 0 1 2 1	2	40.0%	1	0 0 1 0 0	9	50.0%	2	1 1 0 0 1	3 4	40.0%
1733	For all youth over age 16 years and 60 days, is the youth receiving services to address all the needs identified in the comprehensive assessment and the FSP 4D? (Check all that apply)	2	0	16	100.0 %	4	1	2	80.0% 🔥	2	0	9	100.0%	5	0	3 10	00.0%
1735	Is there a comprehensive, youth-driven Emancipation Transition Plan (ETP) developed 90 business days before the youth's projected permanency date? (Check all No responses that apply) (Check only "No plan" if there is not ETP plan)	0	0	18	0.0 %	0	0	7		0	0	11		0	0	8	
1736	Per Volume 7, have all vital documents been obtained for youth with an OPPLA goal 90 business days before their projected permanency date? (Check all No responses that apply)	0	0	18	0.0 %	0	0	7		0	0	11		0	0	8	
	rmanency							_							_	-	
1759	For a child/youth a permanency goal of Other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement, is it documented that all other more permanent goals have been considered and appropriately ruled out? (Check all No responses that apply)	2	1	15	66.7 % <u> </u>	2	2	3	50.0% 🗘	1	1	9	50.0% 🔥	3	0	5 10	00.0%
	No documentation		()			0				1				0		
	No, child/youth is under 16 years of age No, documented reasons not appropriate		()			0				1				0 0		
	No, not reviewed annually)			1				0				0		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	13	2nd (Quarter	SFY 2	2013	3rd (Quarter	r SFY 2	<u> 2013</u>	4th (Quarter	SFY 201	<u>13</u>
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	NA 9	<u>%</u>
Permane	ency Outcome 2																
Item 11	: Proximity of Placement																
Ca	se Planning/Services																
1726	Is the child/youth placed within close proximity to his/her parents or other potential permanent caregiver's home?	15	1	2	93.8 % 🚹	2	1	4	66.7%	8	1	2	88.9% 🔥	5	0	3 100	0.0%
1727	If a child/youth is not placed in close proximity to his/her parents or other potential permanent caregiver's home, were reasonable efforts made to support or facilitate face-to-face contact with the parents or potential permanent caregivers?	0	1	17	0.0 %	0	1	6	0.0% 📤	0	1	10	0.0%	0	0	8	
Item 13	: Visiting with Parents and Siblings in Foster Care																
	rmanency																
1773	Does the frequency of visitation with the mother/guardian/kin adequately address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of	13	4	1	76.5 % 🔥	5	2	0	71.4%	8	3	0	72.7% 🔥	6	2	0 75	5.0% 🔥
	the relationship? (Check all No responses that apply) No, mother/guardian/kin No, other		;	3			2				2				2		
1774	Does the frequency of visitation with the father/guardian/kin adequately	5	12	1 4	29.4 % 🔥	2	<u> </u>	2	60.0%	2	8	1	20.0%	_	1	2 02	3.3% 🔥
1774	address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of the relationship? (Check all No responses that apply)	5	12	1	29.4 %	3			60.0%		8	1	20.0%	<u>ગ</u>	1	2 83	3.3%
	No, child/youth			1			0				0				0		
	No, father/guardian/kin		1:	2			2				8				1		
1775	Does the frequency of visitation with the sibling(s) adequately address the needs of the child/youth to maintain or promote continuity of the relationship(s)? (Check all No responses that apply)	13	3	2	81.3 % Å	1	0	6 1	100.0%	7	1	3	87.5% 🔥	3	1	4 75	5.0% 🔥
	No, other		;	3			0				1				0		
	No, parent/guardian/kin		(0			0				0				1		
	: Preserving Connections																
	urt	т т					1				-	1			1		
1705	Were these ICWA requirements met? (Check all that apply)	0	6	12	0.0 % 🗘	0	1	6	0.0%	0	2	9	0.0%	0	0	8	
	No court order determ. if ICWA does NOT apply			1			0				0				0		
	No notification sent to all identified tribes/BIA		•	4			1				0				0		
	No response from tribe/BIA			1			0				0				0		
_	No, new info obtained during FF portion of review		(0			0				2				0		
	se Planning/Services		-	1		1	-	Г		ı .	1	1			,		1
1728	Is the department making concerted efforts to maintain the child/youth's connections during the review period?	18	0	0	100.0 %	7	0	0 1	100.0%	11	0	0	100.0%	8	0	0 100	0.0%

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013			<u>2nd (</u>	<u>Quarte</u>	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	3rd	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	<u>4th (</u>	Quarte	r SFY	2013	
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Permane	ency Outcome 2																
Item 16	: Relationship of Child in Care with Parents																
Pe	ermanency																
1777	Did the agency promote and support a positive and nurturing relationship between the child/youth and his/her parents? (Check all that apply)	17	0	1	100.0 %	5	0	2	100.0%	11	C	0	100.0%	7	0	1	100.0%
	Yes, encouraged attend. at doctors' appointments			9			2				0				1		
	Yes, encouraged attend. at extra-curricular activ.			7			1				0				2		
	Yes, encouraged foster parents to become mentors			0			0				1				0		
	Yes, provid. therap. situations to strengthen rel.		1	7			2				10				5		
	Yes, provided transportation/funds			4			2				0				0		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		<u>1st Q</u>	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>2n</u>	d Qua	arter \$	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>3rc</u>	d Qua	rter S	SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	4th (Quarte	r SF\	<u> 2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Ye	<u>s N</u>	<u> 10</u>	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	<u> </u>	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>
Well Bein	ng Outcome 1																		
	Needs/Services of Child, Parents, and Foster Parents																		
	se Planning/Services																		
1721	Does the Family Services Plan treatment plan document services that are directed at the areas of need identified through assessment?	18	0	0	100.0 %		7	0	0 1	00.0%	1)	1	0	90.9%	8	0	(0100.0%
	No			0				0					1				0		
1722	Were all required parties addressed in the treatment plan? (Check all No responses that apply)	13	5	0	72.2 % 🦺	\	6	1	0	85.7%		9	2	0	81.8%	8	0	(0100.0%
	No, father/guardian			0				0					1				0		
	No, out of home provider			1				1					1				0		
4700	No, some task time frames expired		. 1	4				0				_ 1	0	_1		_	0		-l a
1723	Does the Family Services Plan treatment plan include objectives and action steps that document clear expectations in order to achieve the permanency goal? (Check all No responses that apply)	17	1	0	94.4 %	\ <u> </u>	<u> </u>	2	0	71.4%	1	0	1	0	90.9%	6	2	(75.0%
	No, measurable			1				2					1				2		
4704	No, specific		_	1				2	_			_	1	_			2		-l l A
1724	Does the most recent 90-day review/Court report in Trails meet Volume 7 requirements? (Check all No responses that apply) No approval	15	3	0	83.3 %	<u> </u>	3	4	0	42.9%		9	2	0	81.8%	6	2	(75.0%
	No current 90-day review			0 n				1					0				0		
	No diligent search			2				1					0				1		
	No, child/youth services and progress			2				1					2				0		
	No, parent services and progress			1				2					0				0		
	No, task time frames			0				0					0				1		
He	alth			•															
1749	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply)																		
	Alcohol			9				0					0				0		
	Cocaine/Crack			4				1					0				0		
	Marijuana Methamphetamine			4 9				0 1					4 0				0		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

	1st Q	uarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	2nd Quarter SFY 2013						Quar	ter SF	Y 20	<u>13</u>	4th	Quart	er SF	<u> 2013</u>
	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	NA	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	NA	<u>%</u>
ng Outcome 1																		
alth				<u>-</u>														
If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), were substance abuse treatment services provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply)	9	0	9	100.0 %		1	0	(6 100.0%			0	7 10	00.0%	(C		<u>8</u>
If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other			•				0 0 0				())				1 1 0		
If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply) No, delays of 2 + weeks	1	0	•	100.0 %		0	0	7	7	((11		(1		7 0.0%
Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning																		
se Planning/Services																		
Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? (Check all responses that apply)	17	0	1	100.0 %		6	0	1	1 100.0%	11	<u> </u>	0	010	00.0%	8	С		0 100.0%
Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period?	9	0	9	100.0 %		4	0	3	3 100.0%	5		0	610	00.0%	2	C)	4 100.0%
Was the mother/guardian/kin engaged in case planning, during the review period? No	17	0	0	100.0 %		5	2	(71.4%	11		0	010	00.0%	5	1		1 71.4%
Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period? No No, efforts made but refused	11	4	· .	73.3 %	Δ	3	2 2 0	2	2 60.0%	9		1 1 I)	1 9	90.0% Å	Ę	1 1 1 0		2 83.3%
	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), were substance abuse treatment services provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply) If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply) No, delays of 2 + weeks Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning Be Planning/Services Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? (Check all responses that apply) Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period? (No, efforts made but refused Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period? No, efforts made but refused Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period?	Yes Yes Outcome 1 If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), were substance abuse treatment services provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply) If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply) No, delays of 2 + weeks Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning Planning/Services Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? (Check all responses that apply) Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period? No No, efforts made but refused Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period? No Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period? No No, efforts made but refused Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period? No	If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply) No, delays of 2 + weeks Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning is Planning/Services Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? (Check all responses that apply) Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period? No No, efforts made but refused Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period? No No, efforts made but refused Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period? No	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), were substance abuse treatment services provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply) If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply) No, delays of 2 + weeks Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning Be Planning/Services Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? (Check all responses that apply) Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period? No No, efforts made but refused Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review 17 0 1 Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review No No, efforts made but refused Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review 11 4 3 Period? No No	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), were substance abuse treatment services provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply) If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply) No, delays of 2 + weeks Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning Planning/Services Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period? Was the mother/guardian/kin engaged in case planning, during the review No No, efforts made but refused Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review Period? No 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	yes No NA % In the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), were substance abuse treatment services provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply) If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply) No, delays of 2 + weeks Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning Per Planning/Services Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? (Check all responses that apply) Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period? No No, efforts made but refused Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review 11	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), were substance abuse treatment services provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply) If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse reatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply) No, delays of 2 + weeks O Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning Planning/Services Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? (Check all responses that apply) Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period? No No, efforts made but refused Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period? No Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period? No O No, efforts made but refused Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period? No O No O No O O No O O O O O O O O O O O O O	If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other If substances abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply) No, delays of 2 + weeks Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period? No Signature No Signature 9 0 9 100.0 % 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other Other (Check all No responses that apply) If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other O	If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply) If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana Other If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth, were substance abuse treatment services provided to the child/youth? (Check all No responses that apply) No, delays of 2 + weeks O Child/Family Involvement in Case Planning Planning/Services Was the out-of-home provider engaged in case planning, during the review period? Was the child/youth engaged in case planning, during the review period? Was the mother/guardian/kin engaged in case planning, during the review period? No No, efforts made but refused Was the father/guardian/kin engaged in case planning during the review period? No O 2 No 6 7 7 1 0 1 0 0 0 7 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1	yes No NA % Yes No NA % Yes No NA % Yes No NA % Yes Yes Yes No NA % Yes	yes No NA ½ Yes No NA Yes Na	g Outcome 1 If the goal is/was return home during the review period and substance abuse issues have been identified for the parent(s)/guardian(s), were substance abuse treatment services provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s)? (Check all No responses that apply) If substance abuse issues have been identified during the review period for the child/youth, what are the substances of use? (Check all that apply) Alcohol Marijuana O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	yes No NA ½ Yes No NA Yes NA Yes No NA Yes Yes No NA Yes No NA Yes No NA Yes Yes No NA Yes No NA Yes Yes No NA Yes No NA Yes Yes Na Na Yes Na Yes Na Yes Na Yes Na Yes	yes No NA ½ Yes No NA Yes Na Ye	Yes No NA ½ Yes No NA Xes No NA Xes No NA Yes Na Na Na Yes No NA Yes Na Na	yes No NA ½ Yes No NA Xes No Na Yes Na Ye	Yes No NA NA Yes No NA Yes No NA NA Yes No NA Ye

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

								SFY 2	<u>013</u>	<u>3rd</u>	Quarte	r SFY 2	2013	<u>4th (</u>	Quarte	r SFY 2	2013
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Beir	ng Outcome 1																
Item 19:	Worker Visits with Child																
Per	manency																
1763	How many months should the assigned worker have made face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period? (Answer for in-state cases only)																
	4			1			0				0				0		
	5			8			1				2				4		
	6			9			5				9				4		
	7			0			1				0				0		
1764	How many months did the assigned worker make face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period? (Within the state of Colorado, not an ICPC case)						·										
	3			1			0				0				0		
	4			1			0				0				1		
	5			8			1				2				4		
	6			8			5				9				3		
	7			0			1				0				0		
	Of all the months requiring contact, in what percent did agency personnel have contact with the child?				96.9%		·	1	00.0%			Ī	100.0%				%95.5
	In what percent of cases did agency personnel have contact with the child every month?	16	2		88.9%	7	0	1	00.0%	11	0		100.0%	6	2		75.0%

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Q	<u>uarter</u>	SFY 20	<u>)13</u>	<u>2nd (</u>	Quarter	SFY 2	<u>:013</u>	3rd (Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Well Bein	ng Outcome 1																
Item 19:	: Worker Visits with Child																
Pe	rmanency																
1765	How many months should the worker of either the sending or receiving state make face-to-face contact with the child/youth, placed outside the state, during the review period? (Answer for ICPC cases only)																
1766	How many months did the worker of either the sending or receiving state make face-to-face contact with the child/youth during the review period, for a child/youth placed outside the state? (Answer for ICPC cases only)																
	Of all the months requiring contact, in what percent did agency personnel have contact with the child?																%0.0
	In what percent of cases did agency personnel have contact with the child every month?	0	0		.0%	0	0		.0%	0	0		.0%	0	0		.0% Å
1767	Did the frequency of contact with the child/youth in his/her place of residence occur according to Volume 7?	16	2	0	88.9 % 🚹	5	2	0	71.4% 🔥	11	0	0	100.0%	5	3	0	62.5% 🔥
	: Worker Visits with Child																
	rmanency	1 1			00 0 0/	اء			0= =0/ A F								50.00 (A
1768	Was the quality of contacts with the child/youth sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals? (Check all No responses that apply)	15	3	0]	83.3 %	6	1	0	85.7%	6	5	0	54.5%	4	4	<u> </u>	50.0%
	No			0			0				1				2		
	No assessment of safety			3			0				4				1		
	No, outside presence of provider			0			1				0				4		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Qı	uarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	2nd	Quarte	r SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	<u>3rd (</u>	Quarte	SFY	<u> 2013</u>	4th C	uarter S	SFY 2013
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	No I	<u>NA %</u>
Well Bei	ng Outcome 1															
Item 20	: Worker Visits with Parents															
Pe	rmanency															
1769	Did the frequency of contact with the mother/guardian/kin occur according to Volume 7?	10	6	2	62.5 % 🚹	0	3	4	0.0%	5	3	3	62.5%	2	3	3 40.0%
1770	Was the quality of contacts with the mother/guardian/kin sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals?	16	0	2	100.0 %	3	0	4	100.0%	8	0	3	100.0%	3	2	3 60.0%
1771	Did the frequency of contact with the father/guardian/kin occur according to Volume 7?	8	2	8	80.0 % 🔥	2	0	5	100.0%	1	2	8	33.3%	2	3	3 40.0%
1772	Was the quality of contacts with the father/guardian/kin sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child/youth and to promote achievement of case goals?	10	0	8	100.0 %	2	0	5	100.0%	3	0	8	100.0%	2	2	4 50.0%
Well Bein	ng Outcome 2															
	Educational Needs of Child															
	ucation															
1737	Is the child/youth's education/school record in the case file? (Check all No responses that apply)	11	3	4	78.6 % 🔥	3	2	2	60.0%	10	0	1	100.0%	7	0	1 100.0%
	No GED/Diploma No credit count			1 0			0 2				0				0 0	
	No current IEP			2			0				0				0	
1738	For children aged 3 - 5: Is the child enrolled in Head Start or another early childhood education program?	3	1	14	75.0 %	0	0	7		4	0	7	100.0%	0	0	8
	Yes, enrolled		;	3			0				4				0	
1739	For youth aged 16 or older: Is the youth on track to graduate and/or complete high school?	1	0	17	100.0 %	4	0	3	100.0%	2	0	9	100.0%	5	0	3 100.0%
	GED Graduated		(0 1			0				0 0				1 0	
1740	Was educational stability provided for the child during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply) No, changed schools during review period	7	6	5	53.8 %	4	1	2	80.0% 👲	7	3	1	70.0% 🔥	3	4	1 42.9%
	No, initial placement required change in school			7 2			1				2				3	
1741	Were the child/youth's educational needs assessed?	14	1	3	93.3 %	5	0	2	100.0%	10	0	1	100.0%	7	0	1 100.0%

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		7/1/2	2012	- 6/3	30/2013												
		1st Qu	uarter	SFY 2	<u>2013</u>	2nd (Quarter	SFY 2	<u>013</u>	3rd	Quarte	r SFY	2013	4th	Quarte	r SFY	<u> 2013</u>
	ng Outcome 3	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
	: Physical Health of Child ealth																
1742	Is health information in the case file, including name and address of current health care provider(s), known medical problems and current medications? (Check all No responses that apply)	18	0	C	100.0 %	7	0	0 1	00.0%	11	0	(0100.0%	8	0	0	100.0%
1743	Did the child/youth receive a medical exam, medical screening, or was a medical exam scheduled within two weeks of initial placement? (Check all No responses that apply) (Initial Review Only)	6	3	9	66.7 %	1	0	6 1	00.0%	2	0	(0100.0%	3	1	4	75.0% <u>^</u>
4744	No, late Yes, appointment Yes, exam			3 2 4	 		0 0 1	-1.			0 0 2	1	·i		1 0 3	-1	1
1744	Did the child/youth receive a full dental examination or was a dental exam scheduled within eight weeks of initial placement? (Check all No responses that apply) (Initial Review Only) Yes, appointment Yes, exam	7		11 2 5	100.0 %	1 _	0 0 1	6 1	00.0%	2	0 0 2	<u> </u>	9 100.0%	4	0 0 4	4	100.0%
1745	Has the child/youth received regular health care, including immunizations, and/or treatment for identified health needs? (Services delivered) (Check all No responses that apply) No statement from medical examiner	16	2	0	88.9 %	6	1	0	85.7% 🦺	11	0	(0100.0%	8	0	0	100.0%
1746	No, lack of timely referral or follow through Has the child/youth received regular dental care and treatment for identified dental needs? (Services delivered) (Check all No responses that apply)	9	7	2	2 56.3 %	6	0	1 1	00.0%	10	0		100.0%	7	0	1	100.0%
	No, lack of timely referral or follow through			7			0				0				U		
	<u>: Mental Health of Child</u> ealth																
1747	Were the child/youth's mental health needs assessed?	16	0	2	100.0 %	6	0	1 1	00.0%	10	0	1	1 100.0%	7	0	1	100.0%
1748	Were mental health services provided to meet the child/youth's needs during the review period? (Check all No responses that apply) No, changed MH provider	12	1	5 0	92.3 % 🚹	4	0	3 1	00.0%	8	1	2	2 88.9%	6	0	2	100.0%
	No, child refused services			1			0				0				0		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews

Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013					2nd Quarter SFY 2013					Quarte	2013	<u>4th</u>	4th Quarter SFY 2013			
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	NA	<u>%</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Systemic	e Factors																	
Item 25	: Process to Ensure Each Child Has a Written Case Plan Developed Join	tly with	Pare	nts														
Ca	ase Planning/Services																	
1730	Does the FSP 4 B/C contain a comprehensive description of the type and	18	0	0	100.0 %		3	4	0	42.9% 🥂	<u> </u>	1 7	'	36.4% 🧘	4	4	C	50.0% 🔥
	appropriateness of the homes or facilities in which the child/youth was				<u> </u>		•											
	placed during the review period?																	
	: Permanency Hearing Every Twelve Months																	
	ourt												_					
1703	If a child has been in care for 12 months or longer, is there a court order in the case file that was signed and dated within the last 12 months that contains reasonable efforts to achieve permanency language, and does not contain "nunc pro tunc" language? (Re-Review Only)	9	0	9	100.0 %		6	0	1 1	00.0%	9	9 C) :	2 100.0%	4	0	4	100.0%
<u>Item 29</u>	: Process for Foster Parents, Pre-adoptive Parents, and Relative Caregive	ers to	be No	tified c	of, and an O	ppo	ortunit	y to be	Heard	, in Any F	Review	or Hear	ing He	eld with Re	spect to	o the C	<u>hild</u>	
Dι	ue Process																	
1708	Were all required parties invited to the review and given at least two weeks notice? (Check all that apply)	12	6	0	66.7 % 👲	7	6	1	0	85.7%	11	C) (0100.0%	8	0	C	100.0%
	No, Attorneys of Record (Court Ordered)			0				1				0				0		
	No, not timely			6				0				0				0		

Quarterly Results for Administrative Reviews Administrative Review Division

		1st Quarter SFY 2013 2nd Quarter SFY 2013					3rd Quarter SFY 2013					<u>4</u> 1	4th Quarter SFY 2013							
		Yes	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>		Yes	No	NA	<u>%</u>	<u> </u>	<u>′es</u>	No	NA	<u>%</u>	Ye	<u>s</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	<u>%</u>
Miscellai	neous																			
Miscella	aneous ourt																			
1701	Is this a court ordered review?	18	0	0	100.0 %		6	1	0	85.7%		11	0		0100.0%		8	0	0	100.0%
1702	Is there a signed removal order that contains best interest or welfare of the child language, and determines if reasonable efforts were made or an emergency justified lack of reasonable efforts, and does not contain "nunc pro tunc" language? (Check all that apply) (Initial Review Only)		0	9	100.0 %		1	0		100.0%		2	0		9100.0%		4	0		100.0%
1704	Has the county had authority for placement within the review period? (A Fiscal Sanction may result if the answer is "No.")	18	0	0	100.0 %		7	0	0	100.0%		11	0	(0 100.0%		8	0	0	100.0%
IV-	E		_					_						_		_		_		
1706	Has IV-E eligibility been determined within 45 days of removal? (A Fiscal Sanction may result if the answer is "No.") (Initial Review Only)	9	0	9	100.0 %		1	0	6	100.0%		2	0	,	9100.0%		4	0	4	100.0%
1707	Has a timely IV-E redetermination been completed during the review period? (Re-Review Only)	1	0	17	100.0 %		1	0	6	100.0%		6	0	,	5100.0%		2	0	6	100.0%
Pe	rmanency																			
1778	Were the previous compliance issues addressed? (Re-Review Only)	3	6	9	33.3 %	<u>\</u>	1	5	1	16.7%	<u>•</u>	3	3	**	5 50.0%	Δ	2	2	4	50.0% 🔥
Cr	edit Report														_					,
1779	If the youth is 16 years and older have the youth and the GAL received a copy of all consumer credit reports annually?	0	0	0			0	0	3			0	0	1	1		0	0	8	
1780	If the youth is 16 years and older and has a credit report with evidence of inaccuracies, has the county department or the GAL referred the youth to an approved agency to resolve the inaccuracies?	0	0	0			0	0	3			0	0	1	1		0	0	8	
1781	If the youth is 16 years and older and has a credit report with evidence of inaccuracies, is the county department making efforts to resolve the inaccuracies, or have the inaccuracies been addressed?	0	0	0			0	0	3			0	0	1	1		0	0	8	