

# Youth Seclusion & Restraint Working Group

## Semi-Annual Report

September 1, 2024 - February 28, 2025 (6 months)

July 1, 2025



**CO L O R A D O**

**Division of Youth Services**

Office of Children, Youth & Families

# Seclusion



**CO L O R A D O**

**Division of Youth Services**

Office of Children, Youth & Families

**DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES**  
**NUMBER AND AVERAGE DURATION OF SECLUSION INCIDENTS**  
 March 2024 - February 2025


YOUTH CENTER	ADP	NUMBER OF SECLUSION INCIDENTS		PERCENT CHANGE (Period1 vs. Period2)	NUMBER OF SECLUSION CLIENTS (Unique) <sup>1</sup>		PERCENT CHANGE (Period1 vs. Period2)	AVERAGE SECLUSION LENGTH IN HOURS		PERCENT CHANGE (Period1 vs. Period2)	MEDIAN SECLUSION LENGTH IN HOURS	
		Sep24-Feb25 (AVG)	Mar24-Aug24		Sep24-Feb25	Mar24-Aug24		Sep24-Feb25	Mar24-Aug24		Sep24-Feb25	Mar24-Aug24
Aspire <sup>2</sup>	13.5	0	1	∞	0	1	∞	0.00	0.98	∞	0.00	0.98
Betty K. Marler <sup>3</sup>	20.1	1	0	-100%	1	0	-100%	0.40	0.00	-100%	0.40	0.00
Gilliam	30.9	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00
Golden Peak <sup>2</sup>	23.6	4	2	-50%	4	2	-50%	1.91	0.53	-72%	2.16	0.53
Grand Mesa	47.0	23	2	-91%	7	2	-71%	0.22	0.13	-42%	0.17	0.13
Marvin W. Foote	30.2	4	5	25%	2	5	150%	0.82	0.41	-50%	0.91	0.32
Phoenix <sup>3</sup>	23.6	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00
Platte Valley	61.8	7	4	-43%	7	4	-43%	0.30	0.58	95%	0.25	0.47
Prairie Vista	28.5	5	1	-80%	5	1	-80%	0.70	0.37	-48%	0.55	0.37
Pueblo	13.5	6	3	-50%	2	3	50%	0.46	1.77	287%	0.34	1.78
Rocky Mountain <sup>3</sup>	14.8	2	1	-50%	2	1	-50%	0.99	0.45	-55%	0.99	0.45
Spring Creek	47.5	2	0	-100%	2	0	-100%	0.56	0.00	-100%	0.56	0.00
Summit <sup>2</sup>	27.3	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00
Zebulon Pike	25.8	4	7	75%	4	6	50%	0.65	1.04	61%	0.40	0.87
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>407.8</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>-55%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>-31%</b>	<b>0.52</b>	<b>0.77</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.51</b>

<sup>1</sup>Total may not equal the same sum of unique clients by youth center (36 Mar-Aug, 25 Sep-Feb), as a client may have been secluded at multiple youth centers within the reporting period.

<sup>2</sup>Youth Center located on the Campus at Lookout Mountain (CALM). Aspire re-opened August 2024, open for one month in the Mar24-Aug24 reporting period.


<sup>3</sup>Youth Center located on the Campus at Mount View (CAMV). Willow Point transitioned to Phoenix YSC beginning July 1, 2024.

DYS Average & Median Seclusion Length (in hours) by Youth Center  
September 2024 through February 2025




AGGREGATE SUMMARY (Age, Race, Gender) BY TOTAL SECLUSION INCIDENTS; n = 26


AGE	Incidents	Percent	AVG AGE	DYS Pop. Percent
10	0	0.0%		0.1%
11	0	0.0%		0.4%
12	0	0.0%		1.3%
13	4	15.4%		7.5%
14	2	7.7%		15.2%
15	3	11.5%		19.9%
16	10	38.5%		26.1%
17	7	26.9%		28.5%
18	0	0.0%		0.8%
19+	0	0.0%		0.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>16.1</b>	<b>100%</b>



RACE/ETHNICITY	Incidents	Percent	Percent
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0.0%	0.8%
Asian	0	0.0%	0.6%
Black or African American	6	23.1%	21.3%
Latinx	13	50.0%	40.7%
White	7	26.9%	34.2%
Other	0	0.0%	2.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>




GENDER	Incidents	Percent	Percent
Female	3	11.5%	20.1%
Male	23	88.5%	79.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>




AGGREGATE SUMMARY (Age, Race, Gender) BY SECLUDED CLIENTS (UNIQUE); n = 25


AGE	Clients	Percent	AVG AGE	DYS Pop. Percent
10	0	0.0%		0.1%
11	0	0.0%		0.6%
12	0	0.0%		1.4%
13	4	16.0%		6.4%
14	2	8.0%		14.2%
15	3	12.0%		19.3%
16	9	36.0%		26.3%
17	7	28.0%		30.6%
18	0	0.0%		0.9%
19+	0	0.0%		0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>16.0</b>	<b>100%</b>



RACE/ETHNICITY	Clients	Percent	Percent
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0.0%	0.8%
Asian	0	0.0%	0.6%
Black or African American	6	24.0%	21.1%
Latinx	12	48.0%	41.8%
White	7	28.0%	33.0%
Other	0	0.0%	2.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>






GENDER	Clients	Percent	Percent
Female	3	12.0%	20.2%
Male	22	88.0%	79.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>




Youth Center	ADP (AVG)	Seclusion Statistic	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	TOTAL/AVG
Aspire	13.5	Number of Incidents	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
		Rate of Incident	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.05
		Average Length (hours)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.98
Betty K. Marler	20.1	Number of Incidents	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Rate of Incident	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Average Length (hours)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gilliam	30.9	Number of Incidents	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Rate of Incident	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Average Length (hours)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Golden Peak	23.6	Number of Incidents	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
		Rate of Incident	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.15	0.05
		Average Length (hours)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.53	0.00	0.53	0.53
Grand Mesa	47.0	Number of Incidents	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
		Rate of Incident	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.02
		Average Length (hours)	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.13
Marvin W. Foote	30.2	Number of Incidents	0	1	1	0	1	2	5
		Rate of Incident	0.00	0.11	0.12	0.00	0.10	0.25	0.10
		Average Length (hours)	0.00	0.98	0.13	0.00	0.48	0.23	0.41
Phoenix	23.6	Number of Incidents	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Rate of Incident	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Average Length (hours)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Platte Valley	23.6	Number of Incidents	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
		Rate of Incident	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.15	0.05
		Average Length (hours)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.53	0.00	0.53	0.53

Youth Center	ADP (AVG)	Seclusion Statistic	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	TOTAL/AVG
Prairie Vista	28.5	Number of Incidents	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		Rate of Incident	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
		Average Length (hours)	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.37
Pueblo	13.5	Number of Incidents	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
		Rate of Incident	0.00	0.19	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10
		Average Length (hours)	0.00	0.45	2.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.77
Rocky Mountain	14.8	Number of Incidents	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Rate of Incident	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.04
		Average Length (hours)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.00	0.45
Spring Creek	47.5	Number of Incidents	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Rate of Incident	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Average Length (hours)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Summit	27.3	Number of Incidents	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Rate of Incident	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Average Length (hours)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Zebulon Pike	25.8	Number of Incidents	4	2	0	0	0	1	7
		Rate of Incident	0.49	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.14
		Average Length (hours)	1.05	1.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.87	1.04
STATE	407.8	Incidents	6	5	5	2	4	4	26
		Rate of Incident	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04
		Average Length (hours)	0.93	0.80	1.06	0.33	0.67	0.46	0.77







INCIDENTS	ADP AVG	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	TOTAL
Aspire	13.5	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Betty K. Marler	20.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gilliam	30.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Golden Peak	23.6	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Grand Mesa	47.0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Marvin W. Foote	30.2	0	1	1	0	1	2	5
Phoenix	23.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Platte Valley	61.8	1	0	2	0	1	0	4
Prairie Vista	28.5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Pueblo	13.5	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
Rocky Mountain	14.8	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Spring Creek	47.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Summit	27.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zebulon Pike	25.8	4	2	0	0	0	1	7
STATE	407.8	6	5	5	2	4	4	26



RATE (per 100 Bed Days)	ADP AVG	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	AVG
Aspire	13.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.05
Betty K. Marler	20.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gilliam	30.9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Golden Peak	23.6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.15	0.05
Grand Mesa	47.0	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.02
Marvin W. Foote	30.2	0.00	0.11	0.12	0.00	0.10	0.25	0.10
Phoenix	23.6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Platte Valley	61.8	0.05	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.04
Prairie Vista	28.5	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
Pueblo	13.5	0.00	0.19	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10
Rocky Mountain	14.8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.04
Spring Creek	47.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Summit	27.3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Zebulon Pike	25.8	0.49	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.14
STATE	407.8	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04



AVG LENGTH (hours)	ADP AVG	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	AVG
Aspire	13.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.98
Betty K. Marler	20.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gilliam	30.9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Golden Peak	23.6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.53	0.00	0.53	0.53
Grand Mesa	47.0	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.13
Marvin W. Foote	30.2	0.00	0.98	0.13	0.00	0.48	0.23	0.41
Phoenix	23.6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Platte Valley	61.8	1.25	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.58
Prairie Vista	28.5	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.37
Pueblo	13.5	0.00	0.45	2.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.77
Rocky Mountain	14.8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.00	0.45
Spring Creek	47.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Summit	27.3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Zebulon Pike	25.8	1.05	1.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.87	1.04
STATE	407.8	0.93	0.80	1.06	0.33	0.67	0.46	0.77



# Restraint Techniques



**CO L O R A D O**

**Division of Youth Services**

Office of Children, Youth & Families

## Restraint Techniques: Contextual Information

Within the last decade (2016 – 2025), the total number of restraint techniques reported within a 6-month period has varied, from a low of nearly 3,400 to a high of nearly 6,600. Per statute and legislative intent, the Division tracks all instances where “hands are placed on youth.” Therefore, the count of techniques within each reporting period can appear high.

Importantly, the number of techniques reported does not equate to the number of incidents or youth. It represents each type of staff technique used (e.g., specific skill employed) when responding to an emergency situation. Multiple techniques can be utilized within a single incident. Similarly, a single incident can include multiple youth, with multiple staff responding.

Restraint techniques fall into two main categories: (1) Physical Responses and (2) Protective Devices. In total, there are ten (10) restraint techniques available to staff: five (5) types of physical responses and five (5) types of protective devices. A comprehensive listing of all restraint techniques is shown in the table that follows.

(1) Physical Responses	(2) Protective Devices
Guided Touch	Hand cuffs
Escort	Shackles
Support skills	Hand cuffs & Shackles (utilized for transport, when indicated)
Critical Response skills	Spit Sock (utilized with Side Hold, when indicated)
Side Hold	Ankle Strap (utilized with Side Hold, when indicated)

When a staff member touches a youth’s lower back or arm and guides them to a specific location, this is documented and tracked as a “Guided Touch” restraint technique. A Guided Touch represents the least-invasive restraint technique available to staff. Conversely, the Side Hold accompanied by the Ankle Strap and the optional Spit Sock (when indicated) represents the most-invasive restraint techniques available to staff. In general, over half (+50%) of all techniques within each reporting period are “Guided Touch” and “Escorts,” the two lowest level interventions that can be employed.

Additional context for readers is provided below. On average, for each reporting period in the last decade:

# of Restraint techniques: 4,836

# of Incidents involving a restraint technique: 1,607

# of Youth experiencing a restraint technique: 574

DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES  
NUMBER AND TYPE OF RESTRAINT TECHNIQUES  
March 2024 - February 2025


YOUTH CENTER	ADP	TOTAL NUMBER OF RESTRAINT TECHNIQUES		PERCENT CHANGE (Period1 vs. Period2)	NUMBER OF CLIENTS (Unique) <sup>1</sup>		PERCENT CHANGE (Period1 vs. Period2)	PHYSICAL RESPONSE: Non Side Hold		PERCENT CHANGE (Period1 vs. Period2)	PHYSICAL RESPONSE: Side Hold		PERCENT CHANGE (Period1 vs. Period2)	MECHANICAL		PERCENT CHANGE (Period1 vs. Period2)
		Sep24-Feb25 (AVG)	Mar24-Aug24		Sep24-Feb25	Mar24-Aug24		Sep24-Feb25	Mar24-Aug24		Sep24-Feb25	Mar24-Aug24		Sep24-Feb25		
Aspire <sup>2</sup>	13.5	5	474	9380%	1	32	3100%	3	302	9967%	0	35	∞	2	137	6750%
Betty K. Marler <sup>3</sup>	27.0	310	117	-62%	35	17	-51%	233	84	-64%	2	3	50%	75	30	-60%
Gilliam	30.9	379	450	19%	66	64	-3%	330	369	12%	2	9	350%	47	72	53%
Golden Peak <sup>2</sup>	23.6	499	465	-7%	26	36	38%	317	311	-2%	40	18	-55%	142	136	-4%
Grand Mesa	47.0	642	683	6%	35	41	17%	465	479	3%	16	16	0%	161	188	17%
Marvin W. Foote	30.2	1,272	953	-25%	90	78	-13%	1,098	763	-31%	15	2	-87%	159	188	18%
Phoenix <sup>3</sup>	23.6	195	332	70%	39	24	-38%	142	250	76%	3	6	100%	50	76	52%
Platte Valley	61.8	1,158	887	-23%	84	82	-2%	853	659	-23%	21	25	19%	284	203	-29%
Prairie Vista	28.5	316	252	-20%	41	36	-12%	219	170	-22%	12	6	-50%	85	76	-11%
Pueblo	13.5	160	172	8%	36	36	0%	114	132	16%	1	1	0%	45	39	-13%
Rocky Mountain <sup>3</sup>	14.8	407	156	-62%	43	27	-37%	290	118	-59%	14	4	-71%	103	34	-67%
Spring Creek	47.5	344	209	-39%	37	35	-5%	240	141	-41%	4	3	-25%	100	65	-35%
Summit <sup>2</sup>	27.3	333	477	43%	38	45	18%	214	313	46%	15	19	27%	104	145	39%
Zebulon Pike	25.8	553	753	36%	47	49	4%	394	552	40%	16	8	-50%	143	193	35%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>407.8</b>	<b>6,573</b>	<b>6,380</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>505</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>4,912</b>	<b>4,643</b>	<b>-5%</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>1,582</b>	<b>5%</b>

<sup>1</sup>Total is not a sum of unique clients by Youth Center (618 Mar-Aug; 602 Sep-Feb), as a client may have experienced restraint techniques at multiple Youth Centers within the reporting period.

<sup>2</sup>Youth Center located on the Campus at Lookout Mountain (CALM). Aspire re-opened August 2024, open for one month in the Mar24-Aug24 reporting period.


<sup>3</sup>Youth Center located on the Campus at Mount View (CAMV). Willow Point transitioned to Phoenix YSC beginning July 1, 2024.

DYS Restraint Techniques by Type by Youth Center  
 September 2024 through February 2025




AGGREGATE SUMMARY (Age, Race, Gender) BY TOTAL RESTRAINT TECHNIQUES; n = 6,380


AGE	Techniques	Percent	AVG AGE	DYS Pop. Percent
10	22	0.3%		0.1%
11	0	0.0%		0.4%
12	259	4.1%		1.3%
13	399	6.3%		7.5%
14	1,235	19.4%		15.2%
15	1,455	22.8%		19.9%
16	1,640	25.7%		26.1%
17	1,176	18.4%		28.5%
18	126	2.0%		0.8%
19+	68	1.1%		0.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,380</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>15.3</b>	<b>100%</b>



RACE/ETHNICITY	Techniques	Percent	Percent
American Indian/Alaskan Native	29	0.5%	0.8%
Asian	43	0.7%	0.6%
Black or African American	2,397	37.6%	21.3%
Latinx	2,363	37.0%	40.7%
White	1,499	23.5%	34.1%
Other	49	0.8%	2.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,380</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>




GENDER	Techniques	Percent	Percent
Female	909	14.2%	20.1%
Male	5,471	85.8%	79.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,380</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>




**AGGREGATE SUMMARY (Age, Race, Gender) BY UNIQUE CLIENTS THAT EXPERIENCED TECHNIQUES; n = 494**


AGE	Clients	Percent	AVG AGE	DYS Pop. Percent
10	1	0.2%		0.1%
11	0	0.0%		0.6%
12	6	1.2%		1.4%
13	33	6.7%		6.4%
14	79	16.0%		14.2%
15	98	19.8%		19.3%
16	134	27.1%		26.3%
17	113	22.9%		30.6%
18	16	3.2%		0.9%
19+	14	2.8%		0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>15.6</b>	<b>100%</b>





RACE/ETHNICITY	Clients	Percent	Percent
American Indian/Alaskan Native	4	0.8%	0.8%
Asian	3	0.6%	0.6%
Black or African American	154	31.2%	21.1%
Latinx	198	40.1%	41.8%
White	125	25.3%	33.0%
Other	10	2.0%	2.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>




GENDER	Clients	Percent	Percent
Female	81	16.4%	20.2%
Male	413	83.6%	79.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>




Youth Center	ADP (AVG)	Restraint Technique Statistic	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	TOTAL/AVG
Aspire	13.5	Number of Techniques	109	18	23	85	102	137	474
		Rate	32.73	5.92	6.44	21.42	27.65	21.09	19.21
Betty K. Marler	27.0	Number of Techniques	34	21	20	15	19	8	117
		Rate	5.08	3.37	3.40	2.41	3.02	1.55	3.14
Gilliam	30.9	Number of Techniques	44	67	91	93	99	56	450
		Rate	5.07	7.33	9.69	9.46	9.98	6.31	7.97
Golden Peak	23.6	Number of Techniques	178	91	30	76	48	42	465
		Rate	25.14	12.39	4.20	10.43	6.51	6.49	10.86
Grand Mesa	47.0	Number of Techniques	203	62	102	102	75	139	683
		Rate	13.67	4.26	7.13	6.93	5.67	10.47	8.02
Marvin W. Foote	30.2	Number of Techniques	136	180	151	153	177	156	953
		Rate	12.66	19.04	18.50	18.08	18.18	19.21	17.61
Phoenix	23.6	Number of Techniques	82	41	38	80	69	22	332
		Rate	11.83	5.80	6.00	11.42	8.83	2.94	7.81
Platte Valley	61.8	Number of Techniques	209	149	142	166	126	95	887
		Rate	10.89	7.64	7.49	8.61	6.67	5.90	7.87
Prairie Vista	28.5	Number of Techniques	118	45	29	17	17	26	252
		Rate	12.65	5.06	3.00	1.96	2.23	3.50	4.73
Pueblo	13.5	Number of Techniques	38	50	23	9	10	42	172
		Rate	8.68	9.38	4.95	2.90	4.48	9.04	6.57
Rocky Mountain	14.8	Number of Techniques	45	28	18	18	22	25	156
		Rate	10.07	6.19	4.05	4.18	4.96	5.58	5.84
Spring Creek	47.5	Number of Techniques	12	41	25	27	49	55	209
		Rate	0.80	2.68	1.64	1.78	3.58	4.69	2.53
Summit	27.3	Number of Techniques	95	80	141	74	83	4	477
		Rate	9.74	7.44	14.24	6.88	10.67	4.08	8.84
Zebulon Pike	25.8	Number of Techniques	114	131	136	151	96	125	753
		Rate	13.97	16.01	17.24	20.21	13.41	16.17	16.17
STATE	407.8	Number of Techniques	1,417	1,004	969	1,066	992	932	6,380
		Rate	11.02	7.76	7.72	8.44	8.28	8.55	8.63



RESTRAINT TECHNIQUES	ADP AVG	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	TOTAL
Aspire	13.5	109	18	23	85	102	137	474
Betty K. Marler	27.0	34	21	20	15	19	8	117
Gilliam	30.9	44	67	91	93	99	56	450
Golden Peak	23.6	178	91	30	76	48	42	465
Grand Mesa	47.0	203	62	102	102	75	139	683
Marvin W. Foote	30.2	136	180	151	153	177	156	953
Phoenix	23.6	82	41	38	80	69	22	332
Platte Valley	61.8	209	149	142	166	126	95	887
Prairie Vista	28.5	118	45	29	17	17	26	252
Pueblo	13.5	38	50	23	9	10	42	172
Rocky Mountain	14.8	45	28	18	18	22	25	156
Spring Creek	47.5	12	41	25	27	49	55	209
Summit	27.3	95	80	141	74	83	4	477
Zebulon Pike	25.8	114	131	136	151	96	125	753
STATE	407.8	1,417	1,004	969	1,066	992	932	6,380




RATE (per 100 Bed Days)	ADP AVG	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	AVG
Aspire	13.5	32.73	5.92	6.44	21.42	27.65	21.09	19.21
Betty K. Marler	27.0	5.08	3.37	3.40	2.41	3.02	1.55	3.14
Gilliam	30.9	5.07	7.33	9.69	9.46	9.98	6.31	7.97
Golden Peak	23.6	25.14	12.39	4.20	10.43	6.51	6.49	10.86
Grand Mesa	47.0	13.67	4.26	7.13	6.93	5.67	10.47	8.02
Marvin W. Foote	30.2	12.66	19.04	18.50	18.08	18.18	19.21	17.61
Phoenix	23.6	11.83	5.80	6.00	11.42	8.83	2.94	7.81
Platte Valley	61.8	10.89	7.64	7.49	8.61	6.67	5.90	7.87
Prairie Vista	28.5	12.65	5.06	3.00	1.96	2.23	3.50	4.73
Pueblo	13.5	8.68	9.38	4.95	2.90	4.48	9.04	6.57
Rocky Mountain	14.8	10.07	6.19	4.05	4.18	4.96	5.58	5.84
Spring Creek	47.5	0.80	2.68	1.64	1.78	3.58	4.69	2.53
Summit	27.3	9.74	7.44	14.24	6.88	10.67	4.08	8.84
Zebulon Pike	25.8	13.97	16.01	17.24	20.21	13.41	16.17	16.17
STATE	407.8	11.02	7.76	7.72	8.44	8.28	8.55	8.63




RESTRAINT TECHNIQUE by TYPE (Sep 24 - Feb 25)

Restraint Technique Type (simple)	Number	Percent
Physical Response: Non Side Hold	4,643	72.8%
Physical Response: Side Hold	155	2.4%
Mechanical	1,582	24.8%
Total	6,380	100%




Restraint Technique Type (detail)	Number	Percent
Phys Resp: Guided Touch	907	14.2%
Phys Resp: Escorts	2,195	34.4%
Phys Resp: Supports	1,524	23.9%
Phys Resp: Side Holds	155	2.4%
Phys Resp: Self Defense	17	0.3%
Mechanical: Cuffs	1,529	24.0%
Mechanical: Cuffs & Shackles	1	0.0%
Mechanical: Shackles	1	0.0%
Mechanical: Spit Sock	51	0.8%
Total	6,380	100%




RESTRAINT TECHNIQUE by TYPE (Sep 24 - Feb 25)

Physical Response (ONLY)	Number	Percent
Phys Resp: Guided Touch	907	18.9%
Phys Resp: Escorts	2,195	45.7%
Phys Resp: Supports	1,524	31.8%
Phys Resp: Side Holds	155	3.2%
Phys Resp: Self Defense	17	0.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,798</b>	<b>100%</b>





Restraint Technique Type (detail)	Number	Percent
Physical Response: Non Side Hold	4,643	72.8%
Physical Response: Side Hold	155	2.4%
Mechanical: Cuffs	1,529	24.0%
Mechanical: Cuffs & Shackles	1	0.0%
Mechanical: Shackles	1	0.0%
Mechanical: Spit Sock	51	0.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,380</b>	<b>100%</b>



Side Hold Trends

	SIDE HOLD												
Youth Center	24-Mar	24-Apr	24-May	24-Jun	24-Jul	24-Aug	24-Sep	24-Oct	24-Nov	24-Dec	25-Jan	25-Feb	Sep24- Feb25 Side Hold TOTAL
Aspire	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	1	6	10	11	35
Betty K. Marler	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	3
Gilliam	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	3	2	1	9
Golden Peak	4	12	16	5	0	3	9	2	0	4	3	0	18
Grand Mesa	1	1	0	2	4	8	5	0	1	3	5	2	16
Marvin W. Foote	4	4	3	0	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Phoenix	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	1	0	6
Platte Valley	1	1	4	2	2	11	5	4	4	5	3	4	25
Prairie Vista	0	2	0	7	0	3	4	1	0	0	1	0	6
Pueblo	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Rocky Mountain	4	0	4	5	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	4
Spring Creek	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	3
Summit	1	3	0	0	0	11	4	0	12	1	2	0	19
Zebulon Pike	4	4	1	2	0	5	2	2	0	1	1	2	8
<b>State Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>155</b>



AGGREGATE SUMMARY (Age, Race, Gender) BY SIDE HOLDS; n = 155




AGE	SHs	Percent	AVG AGE	DYS Pop. Percent
10	0	0.0%		0.1%
11	0	0.0%		0.4%
12	8	5.2%		1.3%
13	3	1.9%		7.5%
14	31	20.0%		15.2%
15	53	34.2%		19.9%
16	25	16.1%		26.1%
17	29	18.7%		28.5%
18	4	2.6%		0.8%
19+	2	1.3%		0.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>15.3</b>	<b>100%</b>

RACE/ETHNICITY	SHs	Percent	Percent
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	0.6%	0.8%
Asian	0	0.0%	0.6%
Black or African American	45	29.0%	21.3%
Latinx	69	44.5%	40.7%
White	39	25.2%	34.1%
Other	1	0.6%	2.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>


  

GENDER	SHs	Percent	Percent
Female	16	10.3%	20.1%
Male	139	89.7%	79.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>




AGGREGATE SUMMARY (Age, Race, Gender) BY CLIENTS (UNIQUE) INVOLVED IN SIDE HOLDS; n = 76

AGE	Clients	Percent	AVG AGE	DYS Pop. Percent
10	0	0.0%		0.1%
11	0	0.0%		0.6%
12	2	2.6%		1.4%
13	3	3.9%		6.4%
14	15	19.7%		14.2%
15	21	27.6%		19.3%
16	16	21.1%		26.3%
17	14	18.4%		30.6%
18	3	3.9%		0.9%
19+	2	2.6%		0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>15.4</b>	<b>100%</b>



RACE/ETHNICITY	Clients	Percent	Percent
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	1.3%	0.8%
Asian	0	0.0%	0.6%
Black or African American	25	32.9%	21.1%
Latinx	26	34.2%	41.8%
White	23	30.3%	33.0%
Other	1	1.3%	2.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>



GENDER	Clients	Percent	Percent
Female	13	17.1%	20.2%
Male	63	82.9%	79.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

