


Fiscal Year 2010 - 2011

Management Reference Manual



**Colorado Department of Human Services
Office of Children, Youth and Family Services
Division of Youth Corrections**

Working with Colorado Communities to Achieve Justice

October 2012

This manual provides the information most often requested on DYC client populations. Any questions concerning the data presented in this manual may be directed to the Research and Evaluation Unit, Division of Youth Corrections, 4255 S. Knox Court, Denver, CO 80236, or Kelli.Burmeister@state.co.us

**Colorado Department of Human Services
Division of Youth Corrections**



October, 2012

<http://www.colorado.gov/cdhs/dyc>

STATE OF COLORADO



Colorado Department of Human Services

people who help people

OFFICE OF CHILDREN, YOUTH AND FAMILIES
Julie Krow, MA, LPC, Director

DIVISION OF YOUTH CORRECTIONS
John Gomez, Director

4255 South Knox Court
Denver, Colorado 80236
Phone 303-866-7345
FAX 303-866-7344
www.cdhs.state.co.us



John W. Hickenlooper
Governor

Reggie Bicha
Executive Director

The Colorado Department of Human Services, Division of Youth Corrections (DYC) is pleased to release its FY 2010-11 Management Reference Manual. The manual is designed to provide 'frequently requested' population information on youth served by the Division during the previous fiscal year (FY) and trend data from several years prior. This report contains information on detained youth (including youth served through local SB94 programs), committed youth, youth released to the community on parole, as well as youth discharged from the Division.

The Division operates several programs and initiatives, including Detention (which includes SB94), Commitment, and Parole programs throughout the state. Each area has witnessed continuing declines in population over the last few years, following a national trend of juvenile justice population reductions.

Detention clients served, the number of new admissions, and average daily population (ADP) all experienced significant declines in FY 2010-11. Maximum bed usage, a concept that captures the daily utilization of detention beds around the state, averaged 376 youth, or 79% of the 479 detention capacity during FY 2010-11. Reason detained data for the same time period show that the majority of youth detained had warrants or remands (46%) and were pre-adjudicated for an offense (37%). The remaining youth were detained as a result of detention sentences (14%), were probation violators (2%), had other holds (0.5%), or were DYC committed youth with new charges (0.2%). The only area of detention to experience an increase was length of stay, which increased by one day from 14 to 15 days.

Similar to the detention population, the committed population declined across many categories in FY 2010-11, including average daily population (ADP), which experienced an 11% decrease. In addition, clients served decreased by 8% and new commitments decreased by 13%. Although the Division has recently witnessed fewer new commitments, the average acuity of those committed youth appears to be increasing. The rising complexity and seriousness of these youth is based on Colorado Juvenile Risk Assessment (CJRA) trend analyses that show youth scoring higher in risk on multiple CJRA domains over the past five years.

In concert with the declines in other areas, the Division's parole population experienced several decreases in FY 2010-11 as well, specifically in the areas of Clients Served, ADP, and New Intakes. DYC anticipates that this population may continue to decline with the lowered levels of new commitments to the Division.

Thank you for your interest in the Division of Youth Corrections. Additional information is available on the Division's web site at <http://www.colorado.gov/cdhs/dyc>.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads 'John Gomez'.

John Gomez
Director, Division of Youth Corrections

Division of Youth Corrections

Regional Management Structure

Central Region

Northeast Region

Southern Region

Western Region




TABLE OF CONTENTS

Management Reference Manual Fiscal Year 2010-2011

Executive Summary	i
Section I: Colorado State Totals	
Filtering Process to Detention	1
Filtering Process to Commitment	2
All Clients Population Data	3
Section II: Senate Bill 94 Program Services	
Program Outcomes, ADP & JDSAG Overview	4
Section III: Detention Program Services	
Detention Population Trends	5
Detention Maximum Bed Usage Trends	7
Detention Reason Detained Overview	8
Detention Population by Program	9
Detention Population Trends, ADP by Program	10
Detention Population Demographic Trends	11
Detention Admission Demographic Trends by Gender	13
Detention Admission Demographic Trends by Ethnicity	14
Detention Population Overview by Region & Judicial District	16
Section IV: Commitment Program Services	
Commitment Population Trends	17
New Commitment Trends by Previous Probation Involvement	19
Commitment Population Trends by Program Type	20
Commitment Population, Clients Served & ADP by Program	21
New Commitment & ADP Demographic Trends	23
New Commitment Demographic Trends by Gender	24
New Commitment Demographic Trends by Ethnicity	27
New Commitment Trends by Youth Acuity (CJRA Risk)	31
New Commitment Trends by Commitment Classification	32
Commitment Population ADP Trends & Special Populations	33
Commitment Population Length of Service Trends	34
Commitment Overview by Region & Judicial District	35
Commitment Overview, Ethnicity by Region & Judicial District	36
Section V: Parole Program Services and Discharges	
Parole Population Trends	37
Parole Population Demographic Trends	39
Discharge Trends	40
Section VI: Regional Summaries	
Commitment Population Demographic Trends	42
Discharge Trends	43
Section VII: Recidivism	
Recidivism Trends	46
Glossary of Terms	Appendix

Fiscal Year 2010-11 Executive Summary

The Management Reference Manual is designed to provide ‘frequently requested’ population information on youth served by the Division of Youth Corrections (DYC) during the previous fiscal year (FY) and trend data from several years prior. Section I contains statewide population summary information, Section II provides information on the Senate Bill 94 program and population, Sections III and IV provide more detailed data describing the detained and committed populations, respectively. Section V contains the Division’s parole and discharge data, Section VI contains regional summaries, and Section VII provides recidivism results and trends. A report summary is provided below.

Senate Bill 94:

The Senate Bill 94 (SB94) program continues to produce successful outcomes, with 97% of cases terminating without any new charges, 98% terminating without failing to appear for court, and 92% terminating with a positive or neutral leave reason. The program also continues to serve the majority of the detention continuum in the community (82%), in comparison with youth served in secure detention placements (17%) and staff secure contract placements (1%).

Detention:

Fiscal Year 2010-11 was the seventh full year of detention operation under the legislative, statewide capacity of 479 youth. Senate Bill 03-286 was signed into law on May 1, 2003. This legislation (19-2-1201 C.R.S.) established a ‘cap’ or limit of 479 on the number of State funded detention beds. This legislation was implemented October 1, 2003. The FY 2000-01 detention average daily population (ADP) was 583.0, but since implementation, under current state law, the detention population can never exceed 479 statewide. Each of the State’s 22 judicial districts are allocated a portion of the 479 beds. Statutory language provides that districts may borrow beds within an established ‘catchment’ area. Statutes also contain provisions for emergency release of detained youth in the event that a district is unable to borrow a bed.

Nearly ninety-six percent of detained youth were held in State-Operated facilities in FY 2010-11. Since the detention cap was implemented, there has been a decreased reliance on Privately-Operated placements. Only four percent of this year's ADP was detained in a contracted secure or staff secure facility.

Maximum Bed Usage captures the daily utilization of detention beds around the state. Maximum Bed Usage represents the maximum number of youth in detention at any given point during the day. In FY 2010-11, on average, the state was operating at a maximum daily count of 376 youth, or 79% of the 479 limited capacity. With declines in the detention population, DYC has also witnessed a reduction in ‘capacity strain’, a measure that captures the percent of days when maximum bed usage was at or above 90% of the statewide capacity. In FY 2010-11, the DYC operated at 90% of capacity or above zero percent of the time; three years prior DYC experienced capacity strain 40% of the time.

This represents the fifth year of declining strain, after peaking in FY 2005-06, when the Division operated at or above 90% of the 479 capacity 66% of the time.

The average length of stay (LOS) for a youth in detention increased in FY 2010-11 by one day, from 14.2 days to 15.3 days. The LOS has not been in the 15 day range since FY 2002-03. The number of clients served followed a nine-year trend of decline, with a decrease of 9%. The number of new detention admissions also decreased this year, by 7%, making it a 23-year low. Decreases in detention admissions were anticipated following the statewide capping legislation, which forced a marked change in the composition of youth held in detention facilities. Juvenile justice agencies were required to reevaluate their utilization of this limited resource. Instead of viewing detention beds as an at-will resource, juvenile justice agencies adopted the policy shift of reserving the most secure component of the detention continuum for those youth who present the greatest risk to public safety.

FY 2010-11 'reason detained' figures show that the majority of youth detained were youth with warrants or remands (45.9%) and pre-adjudicated youth (37.7%). The remaining youth were detained due to detention sentences (13.8%), were probation youth (1.9%), other holds (0.5%), or were DYC committed youth pending new charges (0.2%).

Commitment:

During FY 2010-11, the committed population experienced a year of decline in all areas. Commitment ADP experienced a decrease of 130.3 ADP (eleven percent), going from 1,171.6 in FY 2009-10 to 1,041.3 in FY 2010-11. Clients served decreased by eight percent, the sixth decrease in the past ten years, with the first decrease of 2.1% occurring in FY 2002-03. The number of new commitments also decreased by 13%, with 646 in FY 2010-11. For the past nine years, the number of new commitments had fluctuated between 743 and 950 each year, however this year new commitments dropped to the lowest in 16 years, or since FY 1994-95 when there were 606.

Although the Division has witnessed fewer new youth committed, the average acuity of those committed appears to be increasing. The rising complexity and seriousness of these youth is based on analysis that show youth scoring higher in risk on multiple CJRA domains, including the areas of school (current), relationships, family, alcohol and drug, mental health, and attitudes and behavior when measured at the time of first assessment. The only four CJRA domains that have shown acuity decreases were the aggression domain, the skills domain, the criminal history domain, and the school (history) domain.

In regard to Commitment ADP decreases, Colorado's dropping juvenile commitment rate mirrors a nation-wide trend of decline in this area. This population decrease is certainly an artifact of the consistent decline in the number of new commitments for the past six fiscal years as well. In addition, the impact of the Continuum of Care may also be somewhat reflected in the decrease of commitment ADP for the past five years.

With all other commitment figures experiencing decreases, commitment length of service (LOS) declined as well, going from 18.9 months in FY 2009-10 to 18.2 months in FY 2010-11, a notable 3.8% decrease.

Parole:

During FY 2010-11, the parole population, much like the detention and commitment populations, experienced multiple areas of decline, including decreases in Clients Served, New Intakes, ADP and LOS. Clients served totaled 1,173, a 7.6% decline from the previous two FYs. Parole ADP and clients served were anticipated to gradually increase as the Division's Continuum of Care initiative continued to target these youth. However, with the declining trends seen in new commitments and commitment ADP, parole populations have begun to feel these effects.

Fiscal Year 2010-11 was the seventh full year following the implementation of Senate Bill 03-284, which shortened the mandatory parole length from nine to six months, effective May 1, 2003. Since the passage of SB 03-284, the parole LOS has slightly exceeded the mandatory parole period of six months. For many high risk youth, the Parole Board has the statutory authority to extend parole for 90 days if determined to be "within the best interest of the juvenile and the public to do so"¹ or for an additional 15 months if there is a "finding of special circumstances" for youth adjudicated for certain offenses (e.g., violent offense, sex offenses, etc.)¹. A decline in parole LOS was anticipated as a result of the legislation that reduced mandatory parole length of stay from nine to six months, and this decline did in fact occur the two years directly following implementation. Later, in FY 2006-07, Parole LOS increased slightly to 6.8 months, and has remained fairly stable at 6.6 and 6.7 months for the past four years.

Recidivism:

The recidivism rate trends contained in this manual are based upon youth that discharged from the Division in the specified Fiscal Years. The most recent results are from youth who discharged in FY 2009-10. Due to the retrospective nature of reporting recidivism rates, these figures are the most recent rates currently available, published January 2012. Over the past ten years pre-discharge recidivism rates have ranged from 33.1% (FY 2003-04) to 39.1% (FY 2004-05). This year's pre-discharge recidivism rate, 35.5%, is in the mid-range of percentages reported in recent years. The post-discharge recidivism rate, 33.9% for youth discharged in FY 2009-10, is a marked (statistically significant) decline from the rate reported for FY 2008-09 discharges. Prior to this most recent study, rates had been relatively stable in the 35%-39% range for several years. Although the most recent raw figures show rate decreases, these changes are not statistically significant when analyzed across all ten fiscal years shown.

¹ 19-2-1002 (5)(a)(I), C.R.S.

**Data based on new admissions in FY 2010-11 are not representative of the total detention population.*

**Data based on new commitments in FY 2010-11 are not representative of the total committed population.*

I. STATE TOTALS Fiscal Year 2010-11

A. Juvenile Justice Filtering Process to Detention

- There were 8,435 new admissions to DYC Detention during FY 2010-11. This represents 1.6% of the 10-17 year old Colorado population, and it represents 22.4% of Colorado's juvenile arrests.
- Admissions are down 7% from last FY, representing a 23-year low. DYC has witnessed declines in new admissions for the past eight of nine fiscal years.

B. Juvenile Justice Filtering Process to Commitment

- There were 646 new commitments to DYC during FY 2010-11. This represents 0.1% of the 10-17 year old Colorado population, and it represents 5.7% of Colorado's 11,286 juvenile filings².
- This commitment rate (11.9 youth per 10,000 youth in the Colorado population), as well as the two previous years' rates, have decreased from the fairly constant rate of 15.0 for the preceding ten fiscal years.

C. Clients Served

- There were 7,171 youth served in DYC programs³ during FY 2010-11, which reflects an unduplicated count of individual youth. There were 5,226 youth served in detention programs, 2,215 youth served in commitment programs, and 1,173 youth served in parole services.

D. DYC Population Summary

- There was an ADP of 352.6 detained youth, which marks the fifth year of decline following two years of growth.
- There was an ADP of 1,041.3 committed youth, which is an 11% decline from the previous year.
- After ten years of increases in commitment ADP, FY 2010-11 was the fifth year of decline. In fact, in the past five years combined, there has been a 30% decrease (-2.0% in FY 2006-07; -9.6% in FY 2007-08; -4.6% in FY 2008-09; - 4.7% in FY 2009-10; and -11.1% in FY 2010-11).
- There was an ADP of 418.0 paroled youth for FY 2010-11.
- There were 8,435 new admissions to detention, which is a 23-year low.
- There were 646 new commitments in FY 2010-11, a 16-year low.

² Colorado Judicial Branch FY 2011 Annual Statistical Report (preliminary figures).

³ Does not include all clients served by Senate Bill 94.

II. SENATE BILL 94 POPULATION Outcomes and Program Details

A. Outcomes

- In FY 2010-11, 97% of SB94 cases terminated with youth acquiring no new charges, 98% of cases terminated without failing to appear (FTA) for court, and 92% of cases terminated with a positive or neutral leave reason.

B. Program Details

- Based on average daily population, SB 94 served 82% of the detention continuum in the community in FY 2010-11, 17% of the ADP in secure detention placements, and one percent of the ADP in staff secure (contract) detention placements.
- JDSAG⁴ results show that 84.2% of youth screened by the SB94 program statewide are initially placed in secure detention, followed by 8.4% sent home with services, 5.8% released without services, 1.2% placed in staff secure detention, and 0.4% placed in residential or shelter placements.

III. DETENTION POPULATION Trends and Characteristics

A. Population Trends

- Detention ADP for FY 2010-11 was 352.6, a 21-year low. The last time ADP was at this level was in FY 1989-90, when it was 344.5.
- With 8,435 Detention Admissions, this represented a 23-year low.
- Ninety-six percent of detained youth were held in State-Operated facilities, essentially the same percent as in previous years. Since the detention cap was implemented, there has been a decreased reliance on Privately-Operated placements. Only four percent of this year's ADP was detained in a contracted secure or staff secure facility.
- The average Length of Stay (LOS) for a youth in detention is 15.3 days, a one-day increase over last year's LOS of 14.2 days.
- The majority of youth entered detention in FY 2010-11 having a warrant or remand (45.9%), and 37.7% of youth were pre-adjudicated. The remaining youth were detained due to detention sentences (13.8%), were probation youth (1.9%), had other holds (0.5%), or were DYC committed youth held in detention for new offenses. (0.2%).

⁴ Juvenile Detention Screening and Assessment Guide.

B. Population Characteristics

1. Gender

- Over the past three years the proportion of new admissions to detention by gender has remained fairly stable. In FY 2010-11, nearly eighty percent of new detention admissions were male and 21.9% were female.

2. Ethnicity

- Anglo-American youth (42%) have consistently represented the largest ethnic group in DYC detention facilities, followed by Hispanic / Latino youth (39%), and African-American youth (16%). The remaining three percent of admissions are Native-American, Asian-American, and other ethnicities.
- African-American youth tend to have a higher number of prior detention admissions, when compared to other ethnic groups. On the other hand, the “Other” ethnicity group consistently has a higher percentage of youth with no previous detention admissions.

3. Age

- The average age at admission in FY 2010-11 was 16.1 years, which is the same as the last four years.
- The age distribution for FY 2010-11 is similar to that of prior years as well. Eighty percent (79.7%) of new admissions to detention were between the ages of 15 and 17 at the time of admission.

4. Prior Admissions

- Sixty-four percent of the youth admitted to detention in FY 2010-11 had one or more prior detention admissions. This is the same percentage as last fiscal year.

IV. COMMITMENT POPULATION Trends and Characteristics

A. Population Trends

- The committed population experienced a decline across all four categories: Commitment ADP experienced an eleven percent decrease; clients served decreased by eight percent; new commitments decreased by thirteen percent; and commitment LOS declined by nearly four percent.

B. Population Characteristics⁵

1. Gender

- The percentage of female new commitments increased from the past two years. Fifteen percent of new commitments were female for FY 2010-11, while 85% were male. In FY 2008-09 14% were female, and in FY 2009-10 12% were female.
- Females still only represent 14% of DYC's commitment ADP, while males represent 86% of the ADP.

2. Ethnicity

- The majority of new commitments have historically been Anglo, Hispanic/Latino, and African American youth, respectively. This holds true for FY 2010-11. This year's ethnic distribution was 41.5% Anglo, 36.5% Hispanic, 17.3% African American, and 2.0% Native American. The remaining 2.7 percent is split between Asian American and 'other' ethnicities.

3. Age

- The average age at commitment has remained stable over the last four fiscal years, remaining between 16.7 (FY 2009-10 and FY 2007-08) and 16.8 (FY 2008-09 and FY 2010-11) years of age.
- The majority of youth are 16 or 17 years old when committed (27% and 37%, respectively), and 14% were 15 years old. These proportions have not changed significantly in several years.

4. Other Characteristics

- Thirty-one percent of newly committed youth received mandatory sentences. Mandatory sentences include repeat offenders, violent offenders and aggravated offenders as well as sentences mandated by the courts. This leaves 69% of youth with non-mandatory sentences.
- The average age at first adjudication was 14.7 years for males and 15.1 years for females.
- Sixty-six percent of youth had one or more out-of-home placements. Thirty-seven percent of males committed in FY 2010-11 had no prior out-of-home placements, while only 18% of females committed in FY 2010-11 had no prior out-of-home placements; a common finding from year to year.
- The percent of females with a history of running away was 92% in FY 2010-11; this is up 7% from last FY. The percent of males with a runaway history increased as well, from 63% in FY 2009-10 to 67% in FY 2010-11.
- The percent of newly committed youth in need of treatment level substance abuse services increased yet again in FY 2010-11 with 68.6%. A few years prior, this percent remained closer to 60%. The male population in need of

⁵ Refers to youth who were newly committed during FY 2010-11, unless otherwise specified.

treatment has increased from 66% to 68%, while the female treatment population has remained essentially unchanged at 73%.

- Seventy percent of newly committed youth had one or more prior adjudications. Fewer males have no prior adjudications (29%) than females (38%).
- Fifty-seven percent of youth were committed for felony offenses in FY 2010-11. This is consistent with the past two years, but down from 63% three years prior.
- In regard to mental health needs during FY 2010-11, the percent of newly committed youth assessed as “Requiring Formal Mental Health Intervention” was 56.3%, while 43.7% were assessed to not require formal intervention (CCAR data). A larger percentage of females show a need for mental health intervention (73%) in comparison to males (54%).

V. PAROLE POPULATION AND DISCHARGES

Trends and Characteristics

- Parole ADP experienced a decrease in FY 2010-11, with an ADP of 418.0; down from 446.9 in FY 2009-10. This represents a 6.5% decrease from last year’s parole ADP.
- Parole LOS remained stable at 6.7 months, identical to the previous year’s parole LOS, and similar to the past 4 fiscal years.
- Eighty percent of youth were discharged from parole to their homes (including foster homes, step parents, spouses, single parents, etc) during FY 2010-11. This statistic has increased over the past two fiscal years, climbing back to where it was several years prior at approximately 83%.
- Eleven percent of youth discharged directly into Adult Jail/Corrections.
- Nearly sixty-nine percent of newly discharged youth were employed and/or enrolled in school in FY 2010-11.

VI. REGIONAL SUMMARY

Results and Trends

- Youth in the Western Region Parole home 86% of the time, this is the highest percentage of the four regions. The Northeast Region is a close second with 83% paroling home; the Central and Southern Regions are similar with 79% and 78%, respectively.
- Eighty-seven percent (86.8%) of youth discharged from the Western Region are either enrolled in school or employed or both. The other three regions are substantially lower in this area. (CR 58.9%; NR 72.9%; SR 76.9%)

VII. RECIDIVISM


Results and Trends

- The Pre-discharge recidivism rate for youth that discharged in FY 2009-10 was 35.5%.
- Post-discharge recidivism was 33.9% for the same cohort.
- These two rates represent a decline in both types of recidivism. While the pre-discharge rate is not significantly different from the previous cohort, the post-discharge rate is a marked and significant reduction. These are the most recent study results published by the Division in January 2012.

COLORADO STATE TOTALS

Fiscal Year 2010-2011

Juvenile Justice Filtering Process to Detention



	FY2006-07	FY2007-08	FY2008-09	FY2009-10	FY2010-11
Age 10-17 population ¹	525,713	530,504	535,446	539,167	543,413
Juvenile Arrests ²	44,985	46,376	46,395	39,876	37,699
Arrests as % of population	8.6%	8.7%	8.7%	7.4%	6.9%
SB94 Detention Screens	11,842	12,008	10,987	9,853	9,399
Detention Admissions	10,591	10,792	10,295	9,102	8,435
Admissions as % of Population	2.0%	2.0%	1.9%	1.7%	1.6%

¹Colorado State Department of Local Affairs. Population data are reported for calendar years and based upon the 2000 and 2010 census.


(<http://www.dola.state.co.us/cedis.html>).

²Colorado Bureau of Investigations, *Crime in Colorado 2010*.

COLORADO STATE TOTALS

Fiscal Year 2010-2011

Juvenile Justice Filtering Process to Commitment



	FY2006-07	FY2007-08	FY2008-09	FY2009-10	FY2010-11
Age 10-17 Population ¹	525,713	530,504	535,446	539,167	543,413
Juvenile Arrests ²	44,985	46,376	46,395	39,876	37,699
Arrests as % of population	8.6%	8.7%	8.7%	7.4%	6.9%
Juvenile Delinquency Filings ³	14,389	14,106	13,668	11,640	11,286
Juvenile Detention Admissions	10,591	10,792	10,295	9,102	8,435
Number of New Probation Cases (reg. & ISP) ³	6,510	6,403	6,219	5,270	5,039
Number of Commitments	827	795	760	743	646
Commitments as % of Population	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

¹Colorado State Department of Local Affairs. Population data are reported for calendar years. Population estimates are based upon the 2000 & 2010 census (<http://dola.colorado.gov/demog/demog.cfm>).

²Colorado Bureau of Investigations, *Crime in Colorado 2010*.

³Colorado Judicial Branch FY 2011 Annual Statistical Report (preliminary figures).

ALL CLIENTS POPULATION DATA


Fiscal Year 2010-2011

DETAINED YOUTH	Clients Served¹	New Admits	ADP	LOS
State-Operated	5,051	8,080	337.5	15.3 days
Contract Staff Secure	272	355	15.1	15.6 days
Total Detained	5,226	8,435	352.6	15.3 days

COMMITTED YOUTH	Clients Served¹	New Commitments	ADP	LOS
Assessment	700		36.8	0.7 month
Secure	1,438		457.6	8.5 months
Staff Supervised	844		381.5	7.2 months
Community	429		147.5	2.4 months
Other Residential ²	72		17.9	0.2 month
Total Residential	2,215	646	1,041.3	18.2 months

PAROLED YOUTH	Clients Served¹	New Intakes	ADP	LOS
Total Paroled	1,173	712	418.0	6.7 months

STATEWIDE TOTAL	Clients Served¹	ADP	LOS
STATEWIDE TOTAL	7,171	1,811.9	25.0 months



¹Clients Served is an unduplicated count. Categorical totals are not a sum of individual program counts and are not available for all categories.




²Other Residential includes Group Homes, Job Corps, Hospitalizations, Shelter Care, etc.

NOTE: Throughout the Reference Manual, category sums may vary slightly from given totals due to rounding differences.

PROGRAM OUTCOMES, ADP & JDSAG OVERVIEW


Fiscal Year 2010-2011

SB94 OUTCOMES



ADP

JDSAG¹ Results



Initial Placement	
Secure	84.2%
Staff Secure	1.2%
Residential/Shelter	0.4%
Home w/ Services	8.4%
Release	5.8%
TOTAL	100.0%

¹Juvenile Detention Screening and Assessment Guide is required for each detention admission.

DETENTION POPULATION TRENDS

10 Year Detention Trends

Fiscal Year	Clients Served ¹	Percentage Change +/-	New Admits	Percentage Change +/-	ADP ²	Percentage Change +/-	LOS (Days)	Percentage Change +/-
2001-02	8,916	0.2%	16,310	9.3%	545.0	-6.5%	15.7	8.3%
2002-03	8,242	-7.6%	14,059	-13.8%	497.1	-8.8%	15.3	-2.5%
2003-04	7,290	-11.6%	11,148	-20.7%	396.2	-20.3%	12.8	-16.3%
2004-05	7,252	-0.5%	10,970	-1.6%	402.0	1.5%	13.1	2.3%
2005-06	7,215	-0.5%	10,698	-2.5%	426.3	6.0%	14.1	7.6%
2006-07	7,195	-0.3%	10,591	-1.0%	414.9	-2.7%	14.0	-0.7%
2007-08	6,589	-8.4%	10,792	1.9%	408.8	-1.5%	13.7	-2.1%
2008-09	6,409	-2.7%	10,295	-4.6%	398.7	-2.5%	13.9	1.4%
2009-10	5,765	-10.0%	9,102	-11.6%	363.1	-8.9%	14.2	2.0%
2010-11	5,226	-9.3%	8,435	-7.3%	352.6	-2.9%	15.3	8.0%

Fiscal Year 2010-11 was the seventh full year of detention operation under the legislative, statewide cap of 479 youth. The detention population decreased 2.9% from the previous year's ADP. Over ninety-five percent (95.7%) of detained youth were held in State-Operated facilities. Since the detention cap was implemented, there has been a decreased reliance on Privately-Operated placements. Only four percent of this year's ADP was detained in a contracted secure or staff-secure facility. The average length of stay (LOS) for a youth in detention increased slightly to 15.3 days; previously, the average had remained stable at approximately 14 days. The number of clients served followed a nine-year trend of decline, with a decrease of nine percent. The number of new detention admissions also decreased this year by 7%, making it a 23-year low. The initial decrease in detention admissions was anticipated following the statewide capping legislation (19-2-1201 C.R.S.) in FY 2003-04, which established a juvenile detention bed cap that forced a marked change in the composition of youth held in detention facilities. Juvenile justice agencies were required to re-evaluate their utilization of this limited resource. Instead of viewing detention beds as an at-will resource, juvenile justice agencies adopted the policy shift of reserving the most secure component of the detention continuum for those youth who present the greatest risk to public safety. Beginning FY 2010-11, the detention statewide capacity was further reduced to 422 beds.


¹Clients served counts are unduplicated.

²The detention ADP includes youth in the Boot Camp/CAP program during FY 1996-97 through FY 2002-03.


DETENTION POPULATION TRENDS

FY 2001-02 Through FY 2010-11


Trends in Detention Admissions



Trends in Detention ADP




Trends in Detention LOS (Days)



DETENTION POPULATION TRENDS

FY 2004-05 Through FY 2010-11

AVERAGE MAXIMUM BED USAGE AND CAPACITY STRAIN TRENDS



Maximum Bed Usage represents the maximum number of youth in detention at any given point during the day. The graph above illustrates that in FY 2010-11, on average, the state was operating at a maximum daily count of 376 youth, or 79% of the 479 limited capacity. In FY 2005-06 this figure reached 91% of capacity with an average maximum of 434.6 youth in detention on any given day.


The percent of days when maximum beds used was at or above 90% of the statewide capacity is used as a measure of statewide 'capacity strain' involved in managing the daily use of detention beds. In FY 2010-11, the DYC operated at 90% of capacity or above zero percent of the time. This represents the fifth year of declining strain, after peaking 5 years prior in FY 2005-06, when the Division operated at or above 90% of the 479 capacity 66% of the time.

DETENTION REASON DETAINED OVERVIEW

FY 2009-10 Through FY 2010-11

	2009-10	2010-11
1) Pre-Adjudicated	38.8 %	37.7 %
Felony	23.7 %	23.2 %
Misdemeanor	15.1 %	14.5 %
2) Sentence to Probation	2.4 %	1.9 %
Technical Violator	1.4 %	1.1 %
New Charges	1.0 %	0.8 %
3) Detention Sentence	15.4 %	13.8 %
Probation Sentence	2.1 %	1.0 %
Detention Sentence	8.7 %	8.9 %
Valid Court Order (VCO) Truancy	4.3 %	3.9 %
Awaiting DSS (Social Services) Placement	0.3 %	0.0 %
4) Warrants/Remands	42.7 %	45.9 %
Failure To Appear (FTA)	9.9 %	10.2 %
Failure To Comply (FTC)	32.8 %	35.7 %
5) Other	0.5 %	0.5 %
Interstate Compact on Juveniles (ICJ) Hold	0.0 %	0.1 %
Immigration Hold	0.0 %	0.0 %
No Bond Hold	0.4 %	0.3 %
Out-of-County Warrant	0.1 %	0.1 %
6) DYC Committed	0.3 %	0.2 %
Institutional	0.1 %	0.1 %
Parole	0.1 %	0.1 %

FY 2010-11



**DETENTION POPULATION
BY PROGRAM**

Fiscal Year 2010-2011

	Clients Served ¹	New Admits	ADP	LOS (Days)
<i>State Operated</i>				
Adams YSC	392	552	20.9	13.6
Gilliam YSC	879	1,215	63.7	19.0
Grand Mesa YSC	284	402	20.2	16.0
Marvin W. Foote YSC	997	1,410	67.4	18.3
Mount View YSC	678	1,010	38.8	14.8
Platte Valley YSC	959	1,587	55.4	12.4
Pueblo YSC	543	783	25.5	11.9
Spring Creek YSC	814	1,121	45.8	14.8
<i>Subtotal State Operated</i>	<i>5,051</i>	<i>8,080</i>	<i>337.5</i>	<i>15.3</i>
<i>Privately Operated</i>				
Brown Center	21	26	1.4	19.4
Midway Remington	97	107	4.6	15.6
Robert DeNier	97	148	5.9	14.4
Youthtrack Alamosa (SLV ²)	58	74	3.2	16.7
<i>Subtotal Privately Operated</i>	<i>272</i>	<i>355</i>	<i>15.1</i>	<i>15.6</i>
STATEWIDE TOTAL	5,226	8,435	352.6	15.3

¹ Clients Served is an unduplicated count. Categorical totals are not a sum of individual program Clients Served.

² San Luis Valley.

DETENTION POPULATION TRENDS

ADP BY PROGRAM

	FY 2006-07	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11
<i>State Operated</i>					
Adams YSC	25.9	25.8	23.5	22.3	20.9
Gilliam YSC	63.4	69.2	67.1	58.5	63.7
Grand Mesa YSC	20.8	18.8	19.3	20.4	20.2
Marvin Foote YSC	86.6	84.2	81.2	75.0	67.4
Mount View YSC	51.1	46.3	46.5	37.6	38.8
Platte Valley YSC	63.4	63.2	62.8	60.2	55.4
Pueblo YSC	32.4	34.0	30.3	27.7	25.5
Spring Creek YSC	47.6	45.6	46.0	44.9	45.8
<i>Subtotal State Operated</i>	<i>391.3</i>	<i>387.2</i>	<i>376.7</i>	<i>346.6</i>	<i>337.5</i>
<i>Privately Operated</i>					
Brown Center	1.6	1.3	1.5	1.1	1.4
Emily Griffith	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Gateway (Southern)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hilltop Youth Services	2.5	2.8	4.2	0.0	0.0
Midway Remington	6.8	5.9	5.4	5.6	4.6
Prowers	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Robert DeNier	7.3	7.8	7.9	6.4	5.9
Youthtrack Alamosa (SLV ¹)	3.3	3.7	3.0	3.5	3.2
<i>Subtotal Privately Operated</i>	<i>23.6</i>	<i>21.7</i>	<i>22.0</i>	<i>16.6</i>	<i>15.1</i>
STATEWIDE DETENTION	414.9	408.8	398.7	363.1	352.6

¹ San Luis Valley.

DETENTION POPULATION


DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS

	FY 2008-09				FY 2009-10				FY 2010-11			
	New Admits	%	ADP	LOS (days)	New Admits	%	ADP	LOS (days)	New Admits	%	ADP	LOS (days)
GENDER												
Male	7,910	76.8%	321.9	14.6	7,177	78.9%	301.5	14.9	6,590	78.1%	291.6	16.2
Female	2,385	23.2%	76.8	11.7	1,925	21.1%	61.6	11.5	1,845	21.9%	61.0	12.0
TOTAL	10,295	100%	398.7	13.7	9,102	100%	363.1	14.2	8,435	100%	352.6	15.3
ETHNICITY												
Anglo-American	4,317	41.9%	154.3	12.8	3,824	42.0%	140.9	13.2	3,551	42.1%	136.9	13.9
African-American	1,631	15.8%	77.2	16.8	1,373	15.1%	66.3	17.4	1,326	15.7%	64.2	18.3
Hispanic/Latino	3,944	38.3%	152.1	13.9	3,550	39.0%	142.1	13.9	3,257	38.6%	140.3	15.8
Native American	188	1.8%	7.8	14.9	121	1.3%	5.7	17.2	114	1.4%	3.9	12.6
Asian-American	82	0.8%	3.6	16.0	91	1.0%	3.3	12.7	81	1.0%	3.2	14.6
Other	133	1.3%	3.8	10.5	143	1.6%	4.8	12.0	106	1.3%	4.1	13.5
AGE												
10 Years	18	0.2%	0.2	3.1	14	0.2%	0.1	3.2	19	0.2%	0.2	3.8
11 Years	66	0.6%	1.3	7.4	37	0.4%	0.6	5.6	64	0.8%	1.8	9.3
12 Years	175	1.7%	5.2	10.8	203	2.2%	6.1	10.8	207	2.5%	7.5	12.4
13 Years	467	4.5%	18.8	14.6	467	5.1%	18.3	14.4	445	5.3%	17.5	15.1
14 Years	1,219	11.8%	42.6	12.6	1,038	11.4%	41.2	14.0	959	11.4%	38.0	14.4
15 Years	2,186	21.2%	86.8	14.1	1,966	21.6%	75.5	13.8	1,856	22.0%	74.7	15.1
16 Years	2,949	28.6%	113.7	13.9	2,702	29.7%	114.4	14.7	2,391	28.3%	108.8	16.5
17 Years	3,207	31.2%	129.9	14.4	2,673	29.4%	106.9	14.4	2,483	29.4%	104.0	15.2
18 Years	6	0.1%	0.2	11.9	1	0.0%	0.0	1.5	10	0.1%	0.1	4.3
19 Years +	2	0.0%	0.0	3.0	1	0.0%	0.0	10.1	1	0.0%	0.1	20.9
Average Age at Admission	16.1 Years				16.1 Years				16.1 Years			
Prior Admissions												
None	3,786	36.8%	105.9	10.0	3,269	35.9%	95.6	10.4	3,035	36.0%	87.2	10.4
One	2,135	20.7%	83.3	14.1	1,849	20.3%	68.9	13.4	1,689	20.0%	71.4	15.3
Two or more	4,374	42.5%	209.5	17.1	3,984	43.8%	198.6	17.6	3,711	44.0%	194.0	19.4
Program Type												
State Operated	9,693	94.2%	376.8	13.9	8,650	95.0%	346.5	14.2	8,080	95.8%	337.5	15.3
Privately Operated	602	5.8%	22.0	13.2	452	5.0%	16.6	13.1	355	4.2%	15.1	15.6


DETENTION POPULATION

DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS

Detention ADP by Gender




Detention ADP by Ethnicity




*Other includes Native American, Asian American, etc.

Detention Admissions by Age at Admission




Detention Admissions by Number of Prior Admissions



DETENTION ADMISSIONS
DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS BY GENDER

	MALES						FEMALES					
	FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11		FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
DEMOGRAPHIC DATA												
Ethnicity												
Anglo-American	3,188	40.3%	2,957	41.2%	2,701	41.0%	1,129	47.3%	867	45.0%	850	46.1%
African-American	1,281	16.2%	1,082	15.1%	1,028	15.6%	350	14.7%	291	15.1%	298	16.2%
Hispanic/Latino	3,142	39.7%	2,884	40.2%	2,619	39.7%	802	33.6%	666	34.6%	638	34.6%
Native American	135	1.7%	90	1.3%	86	1.3%	53	2.2%	31	1.6%	28	1.5%
Asian-American	71	0.9%	70	1.0%	69	1.0%	11	0.5%	21	1.1%	12	0.7%
Other	93	1.2%	94	1.3%	87	1.3%	40	1.7%	49	2.5%	19	1.0%
TOTAL NEW ADMISSIONS	7,910	100%	7,177	100.0%	6,590	100.0%	2,385	100%	1,925	100.0%	1,845	100.0%
Age at Admission												
10 Years	17	0.2%	13	0.2%	17	0.3%	1	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.1%
11 Years	60	0.8%	28	0.4%	58	0.9%	6	0.3%	9	0.5%	6	0.3%
12 Years	145	1.8%	158	2.2%	164	2.5%	30	1.3%	45	2.3%	43	2.3%
13 Years	365	4.6%	376	5.2%	357	5.4%	102	4.3%	91	4.7%	88	4.8%
14 Years	915	11.6%	835	11.6%	726	11.0%	304	12.7%	203	10.5%	233	12.6%
15 Years	1,596	20.2%	1,498	20.9%	1,435	21.8%	590	24.7%	468	24.3%	421	22.8%
16 Years	2,200	27.8%	2,087	29.1%	1,877	28.5%	749	31.4%	615	31.9%	514	27.9%
17 Years	2,604	32.9%	2,180	30.4%	1,951	29.6%	603	25.3%	493	25.6%	532	28.8%
18 Years	6	0.1%	1	0.0%	4	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	0.3%
19 Years +	2	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Average Age at Admission	16.2 Years		16.1 Years		16.1 Years		16.1 Years		16.1 Years		16.1 Years	
Prior Admissions												
None	2,839	35.9%	2443	34.0%	2281	34.6%	947	39.7%	826	42.9%	754	40.9%
One	1,646	20.8%	1448	20.2%	1297	19.7%	489	20.5%	401	20.8%	392	21.2%
Two or more	3,425	43.3%	3286	45.8%	3012	45.7%	949	39.8%	698	36.3%	699	37.9%



DETENTION POPULATION

DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS BY ETHNICITY

			Age at Admission										TOTAL NEW ADMISSIONS	Average Age
Ethnicity			10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19+		
Anglo-American	FY 10-11	N	10	34	99	168	403	773	985	1075	3	1	3551	16.1
		%	0.3%	1.0%	2.8%	4.7%	11.3%	21.8%	27.7%	30.3%	0.1%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	8	14	74	169	431	870	1164	1094	0	0	3824	16.1
		%	0.2%	0.4%	1.9%	4.4%	11.3%	22.8%	30.4%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	6	29	75	190	524	948	1202	1342	1	0	4317	16.1
		%	0.1%	0.7%	1.7%	4.4%	12.1%	22.0%	27.8%	31.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
African-American	FY 10-11	N	2	7	33	84	128	300	406	364	2	0	1326	16.0
		%	0.2%	0.5%	2.5%	6.3%	9.7%	22.6%	30.6%	27.5%	0.2%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	2	10	49	91	179	281	376	385	0	0	1373	16.0
		%	0.1%	0.7%	3.6%	6.6%	13.0%	20.5%	27.4%	28.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	2	14	27	97	197	326	447	521	0	0	1631	16.1
		%	0.1%	0.9%	1.7%	5.9%	12.1%	20.0%	27.4%	31.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
Hispanic/Latino	FY 10-11	N	7	23	71	178	397	705	925	946	5	0	3257	16.1
		%	0.2%	0.7%	2.2%	5.5%	12.2%	21.6%	28.4%	29.0%	0.2%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	4	13	67	183	391	735	1081	1075	1	0	3550	16.1
		%	0.1%	0.4%	1.9%	5.2%	11.0%	20.7%	30.5%	30.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	10	19	68	156	436	844	1186	1220	5	0	3944	16.2
		%	0.3%	0.5%	1.7%	4.0%	11.1%	21.4%	30.1%	30.9%	0.1%	0.0%	100.0%	
Native American	FY 10-11	N	0	0	1	5	12	33	28	35	0	0	114	16.2
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	4.4%	10.5%	28.9%	24.6%	30.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	0	0	5	7	14	19	21	55	0	0	121	16.3
		%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	5.8%	11.6%	15.7%	17.4%	45.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	0	4	4	13	23	29	59	54	0	2	188	16.1
		%	0.0%	2.1%	2.1%	6.9%	12.2%	15.4%	31.4%	28.7%	0.0%	1.1%	100.0%	
Asian-American	FY 10-11	N	0	0	2	4	6	18	22	29	0	0	81	16.3
		%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	4.9%	7.4%	22.2%	27.2%	35.8%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	0	0	2	10	7	26	26	20	0	0	91	15.9
		%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	11.0%	7.7%	28.6%	28.6%	22.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	0	0	0	3	18	21	19	21	0	0	82	15.9
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	22.0%	25.6%	23.2%	25.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
Other	FY 10-11	N	0	0	1	6	13	27	25	34	0	0	106	16.2
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	5.7%	12.3%	25.5%	23.6%	32.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	0	0	6	7	16	35	34	44	0	1	143	16.1
		%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	4.9%	11.2%	24.5%	23.8%	30.8%	0.0%	0.7%	100.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	0	0	1	8	21	18	36	49	0	0	133	16.2
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	6.0%	15.8%	13.5%	27.1%	36.8%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	

DETENTION POPULATION

DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS BY ETHNICITY

Prior Admissions

			None	One	Two or More	TOTAL NEW ADMISSIONS
Ethnicity						
Anglo-American	FY 10-11	N	1341	688	1522	3551
		%	37.8%	19.4%	42.9%	100.0%
	FY 09-10	N	1427	790	1607	3824
		%	37.3%	20.7%	42.0%	100.0%
	FY 08-09	N	1683	919	1715	4317
		%	39.0%	21.3%	39.7%	100.0%
African-American	FY 10-11	N	396	262	668	1326
		%	29.9%	19.8%	50.4%	100.0%
	FY 09-10	N	422	265	686	1373
		%	30.7%	19.3%	50.0%	100.0%
	FY 08-09	N	531	317	783	1631
		%	32.6%	19.4%	48.0%	100.0%
Hispanic/Latino	FY 10-11	N	1183	668	1406	3257
		%	36.3%	20.5%	43.2%	100.0%
	FY 09-10	N	1278	722	1550	3550
		%	36.0%	20.3%	43.7%	100.0%
	FY 08-09	N	1388	821	1735	3944
		%	35.2%	20.8%	44.0%	100.0%
Native American	FY 10-11	N	45	27	42	114
		%	39.5%	23.7%	36.8%	100.0%
	FY 09-10	N	43	25	53	121
		%	35.5%	20.7%	43.8%	100.0%
	FY 08-09	N	68	37	83	188
		%	36.2%	19.7%	44.1%	100.0%
Asian-American	FY 10-11	N	23	17	41	81
		%	28.4%	21.0%	50.6%	100.0%
	FY 09-10	N	38	17	36	91
		%	41.8%	18.7%	39.6%	100.0%
	FY 08-09	N	37	17	28	82
		%	45.1%	20.7%	34.1%	100.0%
Other	FY 10-11	N	47	27	32	106
		%	44.3%	25.5%	30.2%	100.0%
	FY 09-10	N	61	30	52	143
		%	42.7%	21.0%	36.4%	100.0%
	FY 08-09	N	79	24	30	133
		%	59.4%	18.0%	22.6%	100.0%

DETENTION POPULATION OVERVIEW

BY REGION & JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Region	JD	NEW ADMISSIONS	PERCENT OF YOUTH HIGH RISK (CJRA) ¹	ADP	LOS (days)
Central					
	1st	940	27.5%	35.7	14.4
	2nd	1,259	45.0%	64.2	17.8
	5th	41	17.9%	1.5	11.7
	18th	1,306	28.1%	63.6	18.3
Central Total		3,546	33.8%	45.6	17.0
Northeast					
	8th	560	51.2%	18.1	11.1
	13th	119	48.3%	5.8	16.1
	17th	631	34.9%	23.9	13.0
	19th	639	21.9%	27.5	15.0
	20th	384	40.3%	8.7	7.5
Northeast Total		2,333	36.8%	16.5	12.3
Southern					
	3rd	33	27.3%	1.7	18.7
	4th	1,102	22.9%	45.7	14.4
	10th	475	30.1%	14.5	10.7
	11th	183	46.4%	4.7	9.4
	12th	87	32.9%	3.4	15.4
	15th	37	35.1%	2.2	20.9
	16th	62	29.0%	2.4	13.1
Southern Total		1,979	27.7%	9.8	13.2
Western					
	6th	114	48.6%	4.6	14.3
	7th	85	64.2%	4.9	19.6
	9th	62	53.2%	4.2	19.5
	14th	24	35.3%	0.9	11.3
	21st	258	30.2%	11.4	13.5
	22nd	34	79.4%	1.2	11.7
Western Total		577	44.4%	4.7	15.0
Other ADP ²				2.0	
Statewide Total		8,435	33.8%	352.6	15.3

¹High risk for recidivism; excludes missing data (missing = 9.1% statewide).

²DYC measures ADP by judicial district based on a maximum of three reasons detained per admission. Other ADP comes from clients who exceed three reasons detained.

COMMITMENT POPULATION TRENDS

10 Year Commitment Trends

Fiscal Year	Clients Served ¹	Percentage Change +/-	New Commits	Percentage Change +/-	Commitment ADP ²	Percentage Change +/-	LOS (Months)	Percent Change +/-
2001-02	2,747	1.7%	843	10.1%	1,266.8	1.2%	17.7	8.6%
2002-03	2,689	-2.1%	824	-2.3%	1,327.8	4.8%	19.5	10.2%
2003-04	2,840	5.6%	924	12.1%	1,385.8	4.4%	18.9	-3.1%
2004-05	2,974	4.7%	950	2.8%	1,453.5	4.9%	18.8	-0.5%
2005-06	3,233	8.7%	933	-1.8%	1,453.4	0.0%	18.2	-3.2%
2006-07	3,149	-2.6%	827	-11.4%	1,424.9	-2.0%	19.0	4.4%
2007-08	2,700	-14.3%	795	-3.9%	1,287.9	-9.6%	18.5	-2.6%
2008-09	2,513	-6.9%	760	-4.4%	1,229.2	-4.6%	19.0	2.6%
2009-10	2,404	-4.3%	743	-2.2%	1,171.6	-4.7%	18.9	-0.4%
2010-11	2,215	-7.9%	646	-13.1%	1,041.3	-11.1%	18.2	-3.8%

During FY 2010-11, the committed population experienced a year of decline in multiple areas. Commitment ADP experienced a decrease of 130.3 ADP (thirteen percent), going from 1,171.6 in FY 2009-10 to 1,041.3 in FY 2010-11. Clients served decreased by eight percent. This is the fifth consecutive year of decrease in youth served. New Commitments also experienced a decrease in FY 2010-11, with 646. This is the lowest number of new commitments in 16 years, or since FY 1994-95, when there were 606. Commitment length of service (LOS) also experienced a decline, going from 18.9 months in FY 2009-10 to 18.2 months in FY 2010-11.

Population projections released by Legislative Council Staff (in December 2011) estimate that NYC commitment levels will continue to decline over the next three fiscal years, reaching the 950 ADP mark by FY 2013-14. Population projections released by the Division of Criminal Justice (in January 2012) also estimate that NYC commitment levels will decline, but at a slower rate, reaching the 960 mark by the end of FY 2013-14.


¹Clients Served is an unduplicated count.

²Commitment ADP includes secure, staff-supervised, and community based residential treatment programs.


COMMITMENT POPULATION TRENDS

FY 2001-02 Through FY 2010-11


Trends in New Commitments



Trends in Commitment ADP



Trends in Commitment LOS (Months)




5-Year New Commitment Trends

	FY 2006-07		FY 2007-08		FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1 Probation	679	82%	658	83%	602	79%	578	78%	464	72%
2 Diversion/Informal Adjustment & Probation	68	8%	65	8%	80	11%	93	13%	69	11%
3 Deferred/"Reserved" Adjudication*	1	0%	2	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4 No Probation	73	9%	46	6%	56	7%	54	7%	90	14%
5 Diversion/Informal Adjustment	2	0%	16	2%	13	2%	15	2%	13	2%
6 Unknown at time of Commitment	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	3	0%	10	2%
7 Missing	5	1%	7	1%	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	828	100%	795	100%	760	100%	743	100%	646	100%

*The majority of Deferred youth have open Probation cases as well.

	FY 2006-07		FY 2007-08		FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Probation (categories 1 & 2)	747	90%	723	91%	682	90%	671	90%	533	83%
All Potential* Probation (categories 1, 2 & 3)	748	90%	725	91%	683	90%	671	90%	533	83%


Percent of NYC New Commitments With Previous Probation Involvement



**COMMITMENT POPULATION TRENDS
BY PROGRAM TYPE**

	FY 2006-07	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11
Assessment	67.3	51.3	45.0	48.5	36.8
Secure	519.3	480.4	465.9	506.4	457.6
Staff Supervised	644.9	581.5	548.8	429.9	381.5
Community	170.8	149.3	141.2	161.5	147.5
Other Residential ¹	22.6	25.4	28.4	25.2	17.9
Total Commitment ADP	1,424.9	1,287.9	1,229.2	1,171.6	1,041.3

Commitment ADP



¹Other Residential includes Group Homes, Job Corps, Hospitalizations etc.

COMMITMENT POPULATION
CLIENTS SERVED & ADP BY PROGRAM
Fiscal Year 2010-2011

	Clients Served ¹	ADP
Assessment		
Grand Mesa YSC	84	4.8
Mount View YSC	274	14.3
Platte Valley YSC	207	10.3
Spring Creek YSC	146	7.5
Total Assessment	700	36.8
Secure		
<i>State Operated</i>		
Adams YSC ²	N/A	0.4
Gilliam YSC ²	N/A	0.7
Grand Mesa YSC	118	39.6
Lookout Mountain YSC	309	153.5
Marvin W. Foote YSC	171	16.9
Mount View YSC	345	48.0
Platte Valley YSC	282	45.9
Pueblo YSC ²	N/A	0.6
Sol Vista YSC	41	19.5
Spring Creek YSC	181	38.0
Zebulon Pike YSC	85	38.0
<i>Subtotal State Operated</i>	<i>1,398</i>	<i>401.1</i>
<i>Privately Operated</i>		
Betty K. Marler Center	77	40.7
Robert Denier YSC	52	15.8
<i>Subtotal Privately Operated</i>	<i>128</i>	<i>56.6</i>
Total Secure	1,438	457.6
Staff-Supervised (Contract)		
Alternative Homes for Youth	17	5.8
Brown Center	12	2.1
Childrens Ark	18	5.6
Devereux Cleo Wallace	45	19.1
Gateway Residential	10	3.2
Hand Up Homes for Youth-West	1	0.1
Hilltop/Residential Youth Services	28	4.7
Jefferson Hills Aurora	98	41.9
Mountain Star Center	5	2.3
Ridgeview YSC	566	280.8
Southern Peaks	30	8.2
Third Way Center - Lowry	33	7.6
Total Staff-Supervised (Contract)	844	381.5

¹Clients Served is an unduplicated count. Categorical totals are not a sum of individual program counts and are not available for all categories.

²Detention-only facilities periodically and briefly serve committed youth in certain instances.

COMMITMENT POPULATION
CLIENTS SERVED & ADP BY PROGRAM

Fiscal Year 2010-2011

	Clients Served ¹	ADP
Community (Contract)		
Ariel Clinical Services	10	2.7
Boulder Community Treatment Center	2	0.1
Community Corrections and Work Release	1	0.0
Crisis Connection Program	1	0.2
Dale House Project	36	11.5
Daybreak Princeton Girls Home	18	4.5
DAYS	5	0.8
Gateway	13	0.4
Griffith Centers for Children Inc.	9	5.6
Griffith Centers for Children Inc. Chins Up	4	1.1
Job Corps	16	5.8
Kidz Ark Sterling	6	3.3
Kidz Ark New Raymer Ranch	2	0.5
Kids Crossing	4	1.3
Larimer County Community Corrections	1	0.4
Longmont Community Treatment Center	1	0.1
Lost and Found - Arvada	33	9.8
Maple Star	1	0.6
Mesa County Community Corrections	4	1.0
Mt. Evans Qualifying House	29	10.2
Reflections for Youth (RFY) Grismore	4	2.3
Reflections for Youth (RFY) Prairie View	3	0.7
Rite Of Passage - Canyon State Academy	1	1.0
Savio House	4	1.6
Southwest Colorado Community Corrections	2	0.2
Summit Treatment Service	37	14.4
Synergy	20	7.6
Third Way Center	11	4.1
Third Way Center - Pontiac	21	6.2
Third Way Center - York	34	8.7
Third Way Center - Lincoln	25	7.0
Turning Point Center - Youth and Family	52	15.5
Turning Point - Mathews St.	12	6.0
Whimspire Child Placement Agency	4	1.5
Youthtrack San Louis Valley	6	1.9
Youthtrack Work and Learn	33	9.2
Total Community (Contract)	429	147.5
Total Other Residential	72	17.9

STATEWIDE COMMITMENT

2,215

1,041.3

¹Clients Served is an unduplicated count. Categorical totals are not a sum of individual program counts.

²Mental Health, ³Drug/Alcohol

COMMITMENT POPULATION

NEW COMMITMENT & ADP DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS


	FY 2008-09				FY 2009-10				FY 2010-11			
	New Commits	%	ADP	%	New Commits	%	ADP	%	New Commits	%	ADP	%
GENDER												
Male	655	86.2%	1,071.8	87.2%	651	87.6%	1,014.2	86.6%	550	85.1%	898.0	86.2%
Female	105	13.8%	157.4	12.8%	92	12.4%	157.4	13.4%	96	14.9%	143.2	13.8%
TOTAL	760	100.0%	1,229.2	100.0%	743	100.0%	1,171.6	100.0%	646	100.0%	1,041.3	100.0%
ETHNICITY												
Anglo-American	325	42.8%	513.3	41.8%	322	43.3%	500.5	42.7%	268	41.5%	439.6	42.2%
African-American	139	18.3%	244.8	19.9%	138	18.6%	238.9	20.4%	112	17.3%	207.7	19.9%
Hispanic/Latino	268	35.3%	432.7	35.2%	269	36.2%	395.2	33.7%	236	36.5%	356.2	34.2%
Native American	17	2.2%	24.5	2.0%	10	1.3%	24.5	2.1%	13	2.0%	22.9	2.2%
Asian-American	7	0.9%	7.7	0.6%	2	0.3%	7.0	0.6%	10	1.5%	9.2	0.9%
Other	4	0.5%	6.3	0.5%	2	0.3%	5.6	0.5%	7	1.1%	5.6	0.5%
AGE¹												
11 Years	0	0.0%	1.0	0.1%	0	0.0%	1.0	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.1	0.0%
12 Years	0	0.0%	9.1	0.7%	4	0.5%	4.8	0.4%	2	0.3%	5.1	0.5%
13 Years	12	1.6%	36.8	3.0%	9	1.2%	26.3	2.2%	9	1.4%	20.0	1.9%
14 Years	46	6.1%	105.1	8.6%	56	7.5%	92.7	7.9%	47	7.3%	93.1	8.9%
15 Years	126	16.6%	257.9	21.0%	134	18.0%	240.7	20.5%	93	14.4%	209.1	20.1%
16 Years	199	26.2%	339.9	27.7%	216	29.1%	323.6	27.6%	175	27.1%	292.0	28.0%
17 Years	309	40.7%	390.3	31.8%	236	31.8%	378.0	32.3%	237	36.7%	312.9	30.1%
18 Years	62	8.2%	79.8	6.5%	73	9.8%	92.9	7.9%	71	11.0%	92.3	8.9%
19 Years +	6	0.8%	9.3	0.8%	15	2.0%	11.6	1.0%	12	1.9%	16.6	1.6%
Average Age at Commitment:	16.8 Years				16.7 Years				16.8 Years			
Commitments per 10,000 Juvenile	14.2				13.8				11.9			

¹New Commitment counts for each age category correspond to age at commitment. ADP figures for each age category, however, are based on the youth's age on the last day of the fiscal year.

NEW COMMITMENT
DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS BY GENDER

	MALES						FEMALES					
	FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11		FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
DEMOGRAPHIC DATA¹												
Ethnicity												
Anglo-American	271	41.4%	272	41.8%	221	40.2%	54	51.4%	50	54.3%	47	49.0%
African-American	124	18.9%	124	19.0%	93	16.9%	15	14.3%	14	15.2%	19	19.8%
Hispanic/Latino	238	36.3%	245	37.6%	212	38.5%	30	28.6%	24	26.1%	24	25.0%
Native American	13	2.0%	6	0.9%	11	2.0%	4	3.8%	4	4.3%	2	2.1%
Asian-American	6	0.9%	2	0.3%	7	1.3%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	3	3.1%
Other	3	0.5%	2	0.3%	6	1.1%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%
TOTAL NEW COMMITMENTS	655	100%	651	100%	550	100%	105	100%	92	100.0%	96	100.0%
Age												
11 Years	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
12 Years	0	0.0%	3	0.5%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
13 Years	12	1.8%	7	1.1%	8	1.5%	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	1	1.0%
14 Years	39	6.0%	49	7.5%	43	7.8%	7	6.7%	7	7.6%	4	4.2%
15 Years	104	15.9%	116	17.8%	85	15.5%	22	21.0%	18	19.6%	8	8.3%
16 Years	179	27.3%	187	28.7%	147	26.7%	20	19.0%	29	31.5%	28	29.2%
17 Years	263	40.2%	211	32.4%	194	35.3%	46	43.8%	25	27.2%	43	44.8%
18 Years	53	8.1%	63	9.7%	59	10.7%	9	8.6%	10	10.9%	12	12.5%
19 Years	5	0.8%	15	2.3%	12	2.2%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Average Age at Commitment	16.8 Yrs		16.7 Yrs		16.8 Yrs		16.8 Yrs		16.5 Yrs		17.0 Yrs	

FY 2010-11 Age at Commitment



¹Data based on new commitments.

NEW COMMITMENT
DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS BY GENDER

	MALES						FEMALES					
	FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11		FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
NEW COMMITMENT PROFILE												
Prior Adjudications												
None	153	23.4%	166	25.5%	161	29.3%	44	41.9%	43	46.7%	36	37.5%
One	190	29.0%	189	29.0%	140	25.5%	33	31.4%	18	19.6%	21	21.9%
Two or more	312	47.6%	296	45.5%	249	45.3%	28	26.7%	31	33.7%	39	40.6%
TOTAL NEW COMMITMENTS	655	100.0%	651	100%	550	100%	105	100%	92	100%	96	100%
Prior Out-of-Home Placements												
None	235	35.9%	244	37.5%	204	37.1%	14	13.3%	17	18.5%	17	17.7%
One	170	26.0%	175	26.9%	138	25.1%	23	21.9%	23	25.0%	29	30.2%
Two or more	250	38.2%	232	35.6%	208	37.8%	68	64.8%	52	56.5%	50	52.1%
Runaway History ¹												
No runaway history	227	34.7%	244	37.5%	182	33.1%	6	5.7%	14	15.2%	8	8.3%
Runaway history	428	65.3%	407	62.5%	368	66.9%	99	94.3%	78	84.8%	88	91.7%
Assessed Substance Abuse Counseling Needs ²												
Prevention	83	12.7%	93	14.3%	71	12.9%	12	11.4%	7	7.6%	15	15.6%
Intervention	134	20.5%	128	19.7%	104	18.9%	24	22.9%	18	19.6%	11	11.5%
Treatment	437	66.7%	430	66.1%	373	67.8%	69	65.7%	67	72.8%	70	72.9%
Data Not Available	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Assessed Mental Health Needs ³												
Formal Intervention Required	309	47.2%	339	52.1%	294	53.5%	66	62.9%	69	75.0%	70	72.9%
No Formal Intervention Required	346	52.8%	312	47.9%	256	46.5%	39	37.1%	23	25.0%	26	27.1%
Average Age at First Adjudication:	14.5		14.6		14.7		14.6		14.6		15.1	

¹Refers to running away from a secure or nonsecure placement as well as from home during the 12 months prior to commitment.

²Substance abuse history and treatment needs are assessed within one month of commitment. Youth with minimal substance abuse history and/or treatment needs are identified for prevention services whereas those reporting the greatest history of abuse and treatment needs are targeted for substance abuse treatment services.


³Colorado Client Assessment Record used to assess mental health needs within one month of commitment. Percentages are based on total New Commitment CCARs given and do not include missing data.

NEW COMMITMENT TRENDS

BY GENDER

	MALES						FEMALES					
	FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11		FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
NEW COMMITMENT DATA												
Offense Type												
Person Felony	130	19.8%	157	24.1%	111	20.2%	10	9.5%	13	14.1%	14	14.6%
Person Misdemeanor	122	18.6%	133	20.4%	105	19.1%	38	36.2%	30	32.6%	27	28.1%
Property Felony	229	35.0%	199	30.6%	184	33.5%	20	19.0%	9	9.8%	19	19.8%
Property Misdemeanor	53	8.1%	76	11.7%	55	10.0%	13	12.4%	16	17.4%	20	20.8%
Drug Felony	32	4.9%	39	6.0%	26	4.7%	5	4.8%	6	6.5%	7	7.3%
Drug Misdemeanor	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	1	1.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
Weapons Felony	11	1.7%	5	0.8%	8	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Weapons Misdemeanor	10	1.5%	10	1.5%	3	0.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
Other ¹	30	4.6%	32	4.9%	33	6.0%	15	14.3%	16	17.4%	7	7.3%
Data Not Available	37	5.6%	0	0.0%	23	4.2%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	2	2.1%
TOTAL NEW COMMITMENTS	655	100%	651	100.0%	550	100.0%	105	100%	92	100.0%	96	100.0%
Sentence Type												
Non-Mandatory	464	70.8%	447	68.7%	373	67.8%	87	82.9%	69	75.0%	73	76.0%
Mandatory	146	22.3%	149	22.9%	101	18.4%	13	12.4%	17	18.5%	17	17.7%
Repeat	36	5.5%	37	5.7%	57	10.4%	5	4.8%	5	5.4%	5	5.2%
Violent	3	0.5%	11	1.7%	3	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%
Aggravated Juvenile	3	0.5%	7	1.1%	15	2.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
Data Not Available	3	0.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

FY 2010-11 Offense Type²



¹Includes other types of felony, misdemeanor and petty offenses

²Felony and Misdemeanor counts are combined

NEW COMMITMENT

DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS BY ETHNICITY

			Age at Commitment										TOTAL NEW COMMITMENTS	Average Age at Commitment
Ethnicity			10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19+		
Anglo-American	FY 10-11	N	0	0	1	3	17	34	65	99	40	9	268	17.0
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.1%	6.3%	12.7%	24.3%	36.9%	14.9%	3.4%	100.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	0	0	1	2	23	57	90	99	42	8	322	16.8
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.6%	7.1%	17.7%	28.0%	30.7%	13.0%	2.5%	100.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	0	0	0	3	20	54	84	137	24	3	325	16.8
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	6.2%	16.6%	25.8%	42.2%	7.4%	0.9%	100.0%	
African-American	FY 10-11	N	0	0	0	1	4	17	37	41	10	2	112	16.8
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	3.6%	15.2%	33.0%	36.6%	8.9%	1.8%	100.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	0	0	2	1	13	18	42	48	12	2	138	16.7
		%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.7%	9.4%	13.0%	30.4%	34.8%	8.7%	1.4%	100.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	0	0	0	4	3	18	37	59	15	3	139	17.0
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	2.2%	12.9%	26.6%	42.4%	10.8%	2.2%	100.0%	
Hispanic/Latino	FY 10-11	N	0	0	1	4	21	37	67	87	18	1	236	16.7
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.7%	8.9%	15.7%	28.4%	36.9%	7.6%	0.4%	100.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	0	0	1	5	17	56	83	84	18	5	269	16.6
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.9%	6.3%	20.8%	30.9%	31.2%	6.7%	1.9%	100.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	0	0	0	5	18	50	75	101	19	0	268	16.7
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	6.7%	18.7%	28.0%	37.7%	7.1%	0.0%	100.0%	
Native American	FY 10-11	N	0	0	0	0	3	4	3	2	1	0	13	16.0
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.1%	30.8%	23.1%	15.4%	7.7%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5	1	0	10	16.5
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	10.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	0	0	0	0	3	2	3	8	1	0	17	16.6
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	11.8%	17.6%	47.1%	5.9%	0.0%	100.0%	
Asian-American	FY 10-11	N	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	5	1	0	10	16.8
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	10.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	15.1
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	2	0	7	16.7
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	28.6%	0.0%	28.6%	28.6%	0.0%	100.0%	
Other	FY 10-11	N	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	1	0	7	16.7
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	15.2
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	4	17.0
		%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%	

NEW COMMITMENT

DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS BY ETHNICITY

Ethnicity			Prior Adjudications			Prior Out-of-Home Placements			Runaway History		TOTAL NEW COMMITMENTS	Average Age at First Adjudication
			None	One	Two or more	None	One	Two or more	No Runaway History	Runaway History		
Anglo-American	FY 10-11	N	89	65	114	79	66	123	73	195	268	14.9
		%	33.2%	24.3%	42.5%	29.5%	24.6%	45.9%	27.2%	72.8%		
	FY 09-10	N	98	88	136	95	93	134	116	206	322	14.6
		%	30.4%	27.3%	42.2%	29.5%	28.9%	41.6%	36.0%	64.0%		
	FY 08-09	N	90	102	133	84	102	139	97	228	325	14.6
		%	27.7%	31.4%	40.9%	25.8%	31.4%	42.8%	29.8%	70.2%		
African-American	FY 10-11	N	37	24	51	32	28	52	35	77	112	14.8
		%	33.0%	21.4%	45.5%	28.6%	25.0%	46.4%	31.3%	68.8%		
	FY 09-10	N	38	48	52	45	40	53	35	103	138	14.6
		%	27.5%	34.8%	37.7%	32.6%	29.0%	38.4%	25.4%	74.6%		
	FY 08-09	N	40	37	62	42	31	66	36	103	139	14.9
		%	28.8%	26.6%	44.6%	30.2%	22.3%	47.5%	25.9%	74.1%		
Hispanic/Latino	FY 10-11	N	64	64	108	101	60	75	72	164	236	14.6
		%	27.1%	27.1%	45.8%	42.8%	25.4%	31.8%	30.5%	69.5%		
	FY 09-10	N	70	66	133	117	62	90	103	166	269	14.5
		%	26.0%	24.5%	49.4%	43.5%	23.0%	33.5%	38.3%	61.7%		
	FY 08-09	N	61	80	127	114	57	97	89	179	268	14.4
		%	22.8%	29.9%	47.4%	42.5%	21.3%	36.2%	33.2%	66.8%		
Native American	FY 10-11	N	3	4	6	4	7	2	5	8	13	14.5
		%	23.1%	30.8%	46.2%	30.8%	53.8%	15.4%	38.5%	61.5%		
	FY 09-10	N	3	3	4	1	3	6	3	7	10	14.4
		%	30.0%	30.0%	40.0%	10.0%	30.0%	60.0%	30.0%	70.0%		
	FY 08-09	N	5	3	9	4	2	11	5	12	17	13.6
		%	29.4%	17.6%	52.9%	23.5%	11.8%	64.7%	29.4%	70.6%		
Asian-American	FY 10-11	N	0	4	6	3	4	3	2	8	10	14.3
		%	0.0%	40.0%	60.0%	30.0%	40.0%	30.0%	20.0%	80.0%		
	FY 09-10	N	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	2	14.0
		%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%		
	FY 08-09	N	0	0	7	4	0	3	5	2	7	13.4
		%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	57.1%	0.0%	42.9%	71.4%	28.6%		
Other	FY 10-11	N	4	0	3	2	2	3	3	4	7	15.4
		%	57.1%	0.0%	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	42.9%	57.1%		
	FY 09-10	N	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	2	13.0
		%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%		
	FY 08-09	N	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	3	4	15.0
		%	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	75.0%		

NEW COMMITMENT

DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS BY ETHNICITY

Ethnicity			Assessed Substance Abuse Counseling Needs			Assessed Mental Health Intervention Needs		TOTAL NEW COMMITMENTS
			Prevention	Intervention	Treatment	No Formal Intervention Required	Formal Intervention Required	
Anglo-American	FY 10-11	N	45	41	181	107	161	267
		%	16.9%	15.4%	67.8%	40.1%	60.3%	
	FY 09-10	N	49	49	224	121	201	322
		%	15.2%	15.2%	69.6%	37.6%	62.4%	
	FY 08-09	N	44	68	213	153	172	325
		%	13.5%	20.9%	65.5%	47.1%	52.9%	
African-American	FY 10-11	N	15	26	70	50	62	111
		%	13.5%	23.4%	63.1%	45.0%	55.9%	
	FY 09-10	N	23	33	82	74	64	138
		%	16.7%	23.9%	59.4%	53.6%	46.4%	
	FY 08-09	N	22	35	82	66	73	139
		%	15.8%	25.2%	59.0%	47.5%	52.5%	
Hispanic/Latino	FY 10-11	N	24	44	168	112	124	236
		%	10.2%	18.6%	71.2%	47.5%	52.5%	
	FY 09-10	N	24	61	184	133	136	269
		%	8.9%	22.7%	68.4%	49.4%	50.6%	
	FY 08-09	N	22	47	199	154	114	268
		%	8.2%	17.5%	74.3%	57.5%	42.5%	
Native American	FY 10-11	N	1	1	11	5	8	13
		%	7.7%	7.7%	84.6%	38.5%	61.5%	
	FY 09-10	N	2	3	5	5	5	10
		%	20.0%	30.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	2	4	11	9	8	17
		%	11.8%	23.5%	64.7%	52.9%	47.1%	
Asian-American	FY 10-11	N	1	3	6	3	7	10
		%	10.0%	30.0%	60.0%	30.0%	70.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	1	0	1	2	0	2
		%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	3	3	1	3	4	7
		%	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	42.9%	57.1%	
Other	FY 10-11	N	0	0	7	5	2	7
		%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	71.4%	28.6%	
	FY 09-10	N	1	0	1	0	2	2
		%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	2	1	1	0	4	4
		%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%	

NEW COMMITMENT

TRENDS BY ETHNICITY


		Offense Type							Sentence Type						TOTAL NEW COMMITMENTS
		Person	Property	Drug	Weapon	Other	Data Not Available	Non-Mandatory	Mandatory	Repeat	Violent	Aggravated	Data Not Available		
Ethnicity															
Anglo-American	FY 10-11	N	125	102	14	4	23	0	194	44	24	1	5	0	268
		%	46.6%	38.1%	5.2%	1.5%	8.6%	0.0%	72.4%	16.4%	9.0%	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	139	134	19	2	28	0	228	73	18	2	1	0	322
		%	43.2%	41.6%	5.9%	0.6%	8.7%	0.0%	70.8%	22.7%	5.6%	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	122	149	14	4	36	0	227	81	14	1	2	0	325
		%	37.5%	45.8%	4.3%	1.2%	11.1%	0.0%	69.8%	24.9%	4.3%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	
African-American	FY 10-11	N	54	46	6	2	4	0	79	18	10	1	4	0	112
		%	48.2%	41.1%	5.4%	1.8%	3.6%	0.0%	70.5%	16.1%	8.9%	0.9%	3.6%	0.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	72	47	9	5	5	0	109	19	6	4	0	0	138
		%	52.2%	34.1%	6.5%	3.6%	3.6%	0.0%	79.0%	13.8%	4.3%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	63	45	9	7	15	0	114	16	6	2	1	0	139
		%	45.3%	32.4%	6.5%	5.0%	10.8%	0.0%	82.0%	11.5%	4.3%	1.4%	0.7%	0.0%	
Hispanic/Latino	FY 10-11	N	90	113	13	5	15	0	154	49	25	2	5	1	236
		%	38.1%	47.9%	5.5%	2.1%	6.4%	0.0%	65.3%	20.8%	10.6%	0.8%	2.1%	0.4%	
	FY 09-10	N	118	112	17	9	13	0	169	70	18	5	7	0	269
		%	43.9%	41.6%	6.3%	3.3%	4.8%	0.0%	62.8%	26.0%	6.7%	1.9%	2.6%	0.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	115	108	14	8	23	0	187	60	21	0	0	0	268
		%	42.9%	40.3%	5.2%	3.0%	8.6%	0.0%	69.8%	22.4%	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Native American	FY 10-11	N	6	7	0	0	0	0	7	3	3	0	0	0	13
		%	46.2%	53.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	53.8%	23.1%	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	3	6	0	0	1	0	7	3	0	0	0	0	10
		%	30.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	70.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	6	9	1	1	0	0	15	2	0	0	0	0	17
		%	35.3%	52.9%	5.9%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	88.2%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Asian-American	FY 10-11	N	3	5	2	0	0	0	7	3	0	0	0	0	10
		%	30.0%	50.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	70.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
		%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	2	3	1	1	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	7
		%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Other	FY 10-11	N	2	5	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	0	7
		%	28.6%	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	
	FY 09-10	N	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
		%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	FY 08-09	N	3	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
		%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

NEW COMMITMENT TRENDS BY YOUTH ACUITY (CJRA RISK)

Initial CJRA Youth Risk Acuity Trends

CJRA Domain Area		YR 1	YR 2	YR 3	YR 4	YR 5	YR 1-5
		FY 06-07 % High Risk	FY 07-08 % High Risk	FY 08-09 % High Risk	FY 09-10 % High Risk	FY 10-11 % High Risk	% Change
DYNAMIC RISK FACTORS							
3b	School (current)	58.1%	60.1%	62.5%	63.3%	64.5%	6.4%
4b	Use of Free Time (current)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5b	Employment (current)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6b	Relationships (current)	77.1%	79.2%	83.7%	83.4%	83.1%	6.0%
7b	Current Living Arrangements	61.2%	71.7%	73.8%	70.4%	71.6%	10.4%
8b	Alcohol & Drugs (current)	47.9%	58.1%	60.6%	58.8%	66.0%	18.1%
9b	Mental Health (current)	7.6%	12.3%	16.4%	19.2%	19.9%	12.3%
10	Attitudes/Behaviors	87.3%	90.0%	93.0%	95.6%	95.9%	8.6%
11	Aggression	79.6%	76.8%	80.7%	75.5%	77.8%	-1.8%
12	Skills	84.5%	81.1%	80.5%	84.0%	83.5%	-1.0%
STATIC RISK FACTORS							
1	Criminal History	83.7%	81.9%	77.3%	80.5%	78.2%	-5.5%
2	Demographics	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3a	School (history)	27.2%	25.5%	29.7%	34.2%	26.6%	-0.6%
4a	Use of Free Time (historic)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5a	Employment (history)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6a	Relationships (history of)	54.2%	54.8%	55.7%	58.9%	54.5%	0.3%
7a	Family (history)	63.8%	73.1%	72.9%	73.4%	75.9%	12.1%
8a	Alcohol & Drugs (history)	68.1%	73.8%	74.7%	71.2%	73.5%	5.4%
9a	Mental Health (history)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

% Change in Youth Acuity




NEW COMMITMENT TRENDS

COMMITMENT CLASSIFICATION & MODIFICATION

	FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
INITIAL COMMITMENT CLASSIFICATION¹						
Secure	180	23.7%	183	24.6%	164	25.4%
Staff-Supervised	302	39.7%	259	34.9%	242	37.5%
Community	278	36.6%	301	40.5%	240	37.2%
Total	760	100%	743	100%	646	100%
SECURITY LEVEL MODIFICATION²						
Modified Increase in Security Level	145	19.1%	159	21.4%	165	25.5%
Modified Decrease in Security Level	9	1.2%	8	1.1%	10	1.5%
No Security Level Modification	606	79.7%	576	77.5%	471	72.9%
Total	760	100%	743	100%	646	100%

FY 2010-11 Initial Commitment Classification¹



Offense Severity Score

Offense Severity Score	1-3	4-7	8-10	11-12	13-14	
Serious Person: 8+	16	17	18	19	20	<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; align-items: center;"> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center; margin-bottom: 5px;"> Secure</div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center; margin-bottom: 5px;"> Staff Supervised</div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> Community</div> </div>
Major Property, Lesser Person: 3 - 7	11	12	13	14	15	
Minor Property: 1 - 2	6	7	8	9	10	
Other Less Serious: 0	1	2	3	4	5	
	1-3	4-7	8-10	11-12	13-14	

Recidivism Risk Score

¹These initial security levels are subject to modification.

²Security Level Modification considers those factors pertaining to the current commitment charges and individualized service needs that support a recommendation to override the program security level resulting from the juvenile's classification score.

COMMITMENT POPULATION
ADP TRENDS & SPECIAL POPULATIONS

	FY 2006-07		FY 2007-08		FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11	
	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%
Prior Adjudications										
None	393.5	27.6%	334.5	26.0%	321.7	26.2%	312.2	26.7%	288.8	27.7%
One	452.0	31.7%	420.0	32.6%	400.2	32.6%	346.0	29.5%	297.6	28.6%
Two or more	579.4	40.7%	533.2	41.4%	507.4	41.3%	513.5	43.8%	454.9	43.7%
Data Not Available	0.0	0.0%	0.1	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
TOTAL ADP	1424.9	100.0%	1287.9	100.0%	1229.2	100.0%	1171.6	100.0%	1041.3	100.0%
Prior Out-of-Home Placements										
None	416.2	29.2%	359.0	27.9%	360.8	29.4%	348.6	29.8%	319.0	30.6%
One	332.0	23.3%	331.6	25.7%	314.9	25.6%	298.9	25.5%	262.2	25.2%
Two or more	676.7	47.5%	597.2	46.4%	553.5	45.0%	524.2	44.7%	460.1	44.2%
Data Not Available	0.0	0.0%	0.1	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
TOTAL ADP	1424.9	100.0%	1287.9	100.0%	1229.2	100.0%	1171.6	100.0%	1041.3	100.0%
Runaway History¹										
No runaway history	470.8	33.0%	425.8	33.1%	381.4	31.0%	356.5	30.4%	309.5	29.7%
Runaway history	933.0	65.5%	838.4	65.1%	834.9	67.9%	815.1	69.6%	731.8	70.3%
Data Not Available	21.0	1.5%	23.7	1.8%	12.9	1.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
TOTAL ADP	1424.9	100.0%	1287.9	100.0%	1229.2	100.0%	1171.6	100.0%	1041.3	100.0%
DYC SPECIAL POPULATION TRENDS										
Assessed Substance Abuse Counseling Needs²										
Prevention	234.3	16.4%	202.4	15.7%	169.9	13.8%	169.5	14.5%	157.2	15.1%
Intervention	377.4	26.5%	338.2	26.3%	329.2	26.8%	258.6	22.1%	204.1	19.6%
Treatment	813.2	57.1%	747.0	58.0%	728.6	59.3%	743.6	63.5%	679.4	65.2%
Data Not Available	0.0	0.0%	0.3	0.0%	1.6	0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.6	0.1%
TOTAL ADP	1424.9	100.0%	1287.9	100.0%	1229.2	100.0%	1171.6	100.0%	1041.3	100.0%
Assessed Mental Health Needs³										
Formal MH Intervention Required	1091.2	76.6%	728.6	56.6%	619.8	50.4%	640.0	54.6%	622.2	59.8%
No Formal MH Intervention Required	333.2	23.4%	559.3	43.4%	609.4	49.6%	531.6	45.4%	419.1	40.2%
Data Not Available	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
TOTAL ADP	1424.9	100.0%	1287.9	100.0%	1229.2	100.0%	1171.6	100.0%	1041.3	100.0%
Youth Requiring Sexual Offense Specific Treatment⁴										
Youth Requiring S.O. Specific Tx	234.3	16.4%	212.1	16.5%	179.7	14.6%	150.9	12.9%	127.3	12.2%
Youth Not Requiring S.O. Specific Tx	1190.6	83.6%	1075.8	83.5%	1049.5	85.4%	1020.8	87.1%	913.9	87.8%
TOTAL ADP	1424.9	100.0%	1287.9	100.0%	1229.2	100.0%	1171.6	100.0%	1041.3	100.0%

¹Refers to running away from a secure or nonsecure placement as well as from home during the 12 months prior to commitment.


²Substance abuse history and treatment needs are assessed within one month of commitment. Youth with minimal substance abuse history and/or treatment needs are identified for prevention services whereas those reporting the greatest history of abuse and treatment needs are targeted for substance abuse treatment services.

³Colorado Client Assessment Record used to assess mental health needs within one month of commitment. Percentages are based on Total Commitment ADP of CCARs given at time of assessment.

⁴According to Sex Offender Management Board (SOMB) Standards.

COMMITMENT POPULATION
LENGTH OF SERVICE (LOS) TRENDS

	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11
GENDER			
Male	19.2	19.2	18.2
Female	17.3	17.4	18.4
TOTAL Commitment LOS (months)	19.0	18.9	
ETHNICITY			
Anglo-American	18.9	18.4	18.0
African-American	20.6	19.7	19.3
Hispanic/Latino	18.2	19.0	17.5
Native American	19.9	20.0	25.1
Asian-American	17.4	21.8	15.1
Other	17.1	25.3	20.3
AGE¹			
11 Years	N/A	N/A	N/A
12 Years	40.6	41.9	32.9
13 Years	25.5	32.0	24.5
14 Years	23.4	23.5	25.5
15 Years	22.1	21.2	21.7
16 Years	18.8	18.3	17.8
17 Years	16.3	16.3	15.7
18 Years	16.0	17.3	16.1
19 Years	16.1	16.5	12.1



¹ Refers to Age at Commitment

COMMITMENT OVERVIEW
BY REGION & JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Region ¹	JD	New Commitments	ADP	LOS (months)
Central				
	1st	73	127.9	19.3
	2nd	90	153.9	19.8
	5th	1	4.7	18.8
	18th	114	186.8	16.4
Central Total		278	473.4	18.4
Northeast				
	8th	41	72.2	16.2
	13th	7	12.8	18.6
	17th	52	82.4	19.1
	19th	49	68.7	15.1
	20th	4	17.4	21.3
Northeast Total		153	253.6	16.7
Southern				
	3rd	2	2.7	18.4
	4th	97	147.3	20.7
	10th	20	30.6	19.9
	11th	7	5.1	21.0
	12th	5	7.2	15.2
	15th	3	3.4	15.3
	16th	6	6.3	13.5
Southern Total		140	202.5	19.7
Western				
	6th	17	18.5	16.0
	7th	8	21.6	14.6
	9th	6	5.5	14.5
	14th	3	4.8	10.3
	21st	33	52.1	22.8
	22nd	8	9.2	18.1
Western Total		75	111.8	19.0
Statewide Total		646	1041.3	18.2

¹Regional totals reported here may differ slightly from those reported on pg. 34 due to the definition of region. Region is defined here by the JD in which the client was committed. Regional totals are then a sum of their respective judicial districts. Region, on pg. 33, is defined by active client caseloads (i.e., the region in which the client was served).

COMMITMENT OVERVIEW

ETHNICITY BY REGION & JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Region	JD	Anglo-American		African-American		Hispanic / Latino		Native-American		Asian-American		Other		TOTAL	
		ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%
Central															
	1st	82.5	64.5%	12.5	9.8%	30.6	24.0%	1.7	1.4%	0.6	0.4%	0.0	0.0%	127.9	100%
	2nd	17.4	11.3%	66.3	43.1%	64.7	42.1%	3.4	2.2%	2.1	1.3%	0.0	0.0%	153.9	100%
	5th	2.7	57.2%	0.0	0.0%	1.9	39.5%	0.2	3.3%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	4.7	100%
	18th	73.3	39.2%	61.7	33.0%	46.6	25.0%	1.4	0.8%	2.8	1.5%	1.0	0.5%	186.8	100%
Central Total		175.8	37.1%	140.5	29.7%	143.9	30.4%	6.8	1.4%	5.4	1.1%	1.0	0.2%	473.4	100%
Northeast															
	8th	46.0	63.7%	4.3	5.9%	19.1	26.4%	1.1	1.5%	0.0	0.0%	1.8	2.4%	72.2	100%
	13th	6.3	49.0%	0.2	1.3%	6.4	49.7%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	12.8	100%
	17th	26.0	31.6%	11.4	13.8%	42.8	51.9%	0.1	0.1%	2.1	2.6%	0.0	0.0%	82.4	100%
	19th	22.7	33.0%	1.6	2.4%	42.7	62.2%	1.0	1.5%	0.0	0.0%	0.6	0.9%	68.7	100%
	20th	8.3	47.7%	2.2	12.6%	5.9	34.1%	1.0	5.6%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	17.4	100%
Northeast Total		109.3	43.1%	19.7	7.8%	116.9	46.1%	3.2	1.3%	2.1	0.8%	2.4	0.9%	253.6	100%
Southern															
	3rd	1.2	45.4%	0.0	0.0%	1.5	54.6%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2.7	100%
	4th	70.0	47.6%	42.5	28.8%	29.9	20.3%	1.9	1.3%	1.3	0.9%	1.7	1.2%	147.3	100%
	10th	4.0	13.2%	2.4	7.7%	23.4	76.3%	0.9	2.8%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	30.6	100%
	11th	4.0	77.8%	0.0	0.0%	1.1	22.2%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	5.1	100%
	12th	3.1	43.6%	0.0	0.0%	4.0	56.4%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	7.2	100%
	15th	0.6	16.9%	0.0	0.0%	2.8	83.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3.4	100%
	16th	0.4	5.7%	0.0	0.0%	5.9	94.3%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	6.3	100%
Southern Total		83.3	41.1%	44.8	22.1%	68.6	33.9%	2.8	1.4%	1.3	0.6%	1.7	0.9%	202.5	100%
Western															
	6th	9.8	53.1%	0.3	1.5%	4.0	21.4%	3.9	21.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.5	2.8%	18.5	100%
	7th	12.9	59.8%	0.0	0.0%	7.7	35.8%	0.9	4.3%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	21.6	100%
	9th	1.9	34.2%	0.0	0.0%	3.6	65.8%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	5.5	100%
	14th	3.9	80.9%	0.0	0.0%	0.9	19.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	4.8	100%
	21st	37.8	72.6%	2.2	4.3%	10.5	20.3%	1.2	2.2%	0.3	0.7%	0.0	0.0%	52.1	100%
	22nd	4.9	52.7%	0.2	2.1%	0.0	0.0%	4.2	45.2%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	9.2	100%
Western Total		71.2	63.7%	2.7	2.4%	26.8	24.0%	10.2	9.1%	0.3	0.3%	0.5	0.5%	111.8	100%
Statewide Total		439.6	42.2%	207.7	19.9%	356.2	34.2%	22.9	2.2%	9.2	0.9%	5.6	0.5%	1041.3	100%

PAROLE POPULATION TRENDS

10 Year Parole Trends

Fiscal Year	Clients Served ¹	Percentage Change +/-	New Intakes	Percentage Change +/-	ADP	Percentage Change +/- (Months)	LOS (Months)	Percentage Change +/-
2001-02	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	692.9	-3.9%	N/A	N/A
2002-03	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	567.3	-18.1%	N/A	N/A
2003-04	1,487	N/A	761	N/A	535.6	-5.6%	8.0	N/A
2004-05	1,596	N/A	889	N/A	490.3	-8.5%	7.1	N/A
2005-06	1,863	16.7%	898	1.0%	508.7	3.8%	6.4	-9.9%
2006-07	1,908	2.4%	938	4.5%	522.6	2.7%	6.8	6.2%
2007-08	1,431	-25.0%	880	-6.2%	509.4	-2.5%	6.7	-1.5%
2008-09	1,270	-11.3%	740	-15.9%	436.6	-14.3%	6.6	-1.5%
2009-10	1,270	0.0%	799	8.0%	446.9	2.4%	6.7	1.8%
2010-11	1,173	-7.6%	712	-10.9%	418.0	-6.5%	6.7	-0.4%

The parole population in Fiscal Year 2010-11 experienced several decreases. Clients served on parole declined (-7.6%) as well as new Parole intakes (-10.9%). The average daily population also declined by 6.5% or 28.9 ADP.


Fiscal Year 2010-11 was the seventh full year following the implementation of Senate Bill 03-284, which shortened the mandatory parole length from nine to six months, effective May 1, 2003. Since the passage of SB 03-284, the parole LOS has continued to slightly exceeded the mandatory parole period of six months. For many high risk youth, the Parole Board has the statutory authority to extend parole for 90 days if determined to be “within the best interest of the juvenile and the public to do so” or for an additional 15 months if there is a “finding of special circumstances” for youth adjudicated for certain offenses (e.g., violent offense, sex offenses, etc.). A decline in parole LOS was anticipated as a result of the legislation that reduced mandatory parole length of stay from nine to six months, and this decline did occur the first two years following implementation. Overall parole LOS has remained stable for the past five years, ranging from 6.6 months to 6.8 months

¹Clients served counts are unduplicated.


PAROLE POPULATION TRENDS

FY 2001-02 Through FY 2010-11


Trends in New Parole Intakes



Trends in Parole ADP



Trends in Parole LOS (Months)



PAROLE POPULATION

DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS


	FY 2008-09			FY 2009-10			FY 2010-11		
	ADP	%	LOS	ADP	%	LOS	ADP	%	LOS
			(months)			(months)			(months)
DYC REGION									
Central	175.8	40.3%	6.9	191.0	42.8%	6.7	186.6	44.7%	6.6
Northeast	129.3	29.6%	6.3	124.1	27.8%	7.0	110.9	26.5%	6.3
Southern	88.2	20.2%	6.6	85.9	19.2%	6.7	81.4	19.5%	7.2
Western	43.3	9.9%	6.5	45.9	10.3%	6.1	39.1	9.4%	7.5
TOTAL	436.6	100%	6.6	446.9	100%	6.7	418.0	100%	6.7
GENDER									
Male	381.8	87.4%	6.6	393.1	88.0%	6.9	364.9	87.3%	6.7
Female	54.8	12.6%	6.7	53.9	12.1%	6.0	53.1	12.7%	6.4
ETHNICITY									
Anglo American	179.3	41.1%	6.6	191.0	42.7%	6.6	182.5	43.7%	6.8
African-American	84.3	19.3%	6.3	74.4	16.7%	6.8	85.4	20.4%	6.9
Hispanic/Latino	160.5	36.8%	6.6	169.0	37.8%	6.8	136.2	32.6%	6.6
Native American	7.1	1.6%	8.6	7.9	1.8%	7.4	9.6	2.3%	5.7
Asian-American	2.9	0.7%	6.5	3.2	0.7%	5.4	2.0	0.5%	6.0
Other	2.6	0.6%	6.0	1.4	0.3%	9.3	2.3	0.6%	6.0
AGE¹									
13 Years	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%	6.1
14 Years	1.1	0.2%	12.2	0.8	0.2%	14.5	0.9	0.2%	7.5
15 Years	7.9	1.8%	9.0	6.5	1.5%	9.4	5.3	1.3%	9.1
16 Years	30.1	6.9%	7.4	27.5	6.2%	8.5	18.5	4.4%	7.4
17 Years	66.3	15.2%	6.5	66.0	14.8%	6.8	56.3	13.5%	7.3
18 Years	115.6	26.5%	6.8	120.8	27.0%	6.3	109.0	26.1%	6.6
19 Years +	215.7	49.4%	6.4	225.3	50.4%	6.6	228.1	54.6%	6.3

¹LOS for each age category corresponds to age at the time of parole. ADP figures are based on the youth's age on the last day of the fiscal year.

DISCHARGE TRENDS

	FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
DISCHARGED YOUTH						
DISCHARGE PLACEMENT						
Parents ¹	375	43.7%	359	43.6%	375	47.7%
Relative	115	13.4%	92	11.2%	122	15.5%
Independent Living	115	13.4%	108	13.1%	97	12.3%
Adult Jail/ Corrections	103	12.0%	112	13.6%	84	10.7%
Friend	45	5.2%	52	6.3%	34	4.3%
Transfer to Child Welfare	17	2.0%	14	1.7%	14	1.8%
Unknown/Data Not Available	26	3.0%	19	2.3%	13	1.7%
Other Placements	18	2.1%	11	1.3%	11	1.4%
Institution (MH/DA ²)	12	1.4%	17	2.1%	10	1.3%
Deported	4	0.5%	10	1.2%	9	1.1%
Residential Child Care Facility	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	4	0.5%
Shelter Care (Short Term)	11	1.3%	8	1.0%	3	0.4%
Spouse	1	0.1%	3	0.4%	2	0.3%
Job Corps	10	1.2%	9	1.1%	2	0.3%
Deceased	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
Adoptive Family	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Foster Care Family	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Group Care	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Escape	1	0.1%	4	0.5%	1	0.1%
TOTAL	858	100.0%	823	100.0%	786	100.0%

FY 2010-11 Discharge Placement (Top 7)




¹Includes: One Parent, Both Parents, Parent and Step-Parent, Step-Parent or Guardian

²Mental Health/Drug Alcohol

DISCHARGE TRENDS

DISCHARGED YOUTH	FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
JOB/ SCHOOL STATUS AT DISCHARGE						
Employed Only	201	23.5%	193	23.5%	167	21.2%
Enrolled in School Only	147	17.2%	153	18.6%	168	21.4%
Employed and Enrolled in School	257	30.0%	196	23.8%	204	26.0%
Unemployed and Unenrolled	252	29.4%	266	32.3%	247	31.4%
Data Not Available	1	0.0%	15	1.8%	0	0.0%
TOTAL	858	100.0%	823	100.0%	786	100.0%

Job/School Status at Parole Discharge



DISCHARGED YOUTH	FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
PAROLE ADJUSTMENT AT DISCHARGE						
Satisfactory to Excellent	468	54.5%	473	57.5%	458	58.3%
Poor to Unsatisfactory	301	35.1%	278	33.8%	270	34.4%
Not on Parole at Time of Discharge ¹	65	7.6%	50	6.1%	36	4.6%
Data Not Available	24	2.8%	22	2.7%	22	2.8%
TOTAL	858	100.0%	823	100.0%	786	100.0%

¹Youth may discharge directly to adult corrections, or directly from a DYC facility or program prior to parole being granted.

COMMITMENT POPULATION BY REGION*

DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS

Fiscal Year 2010-11

	CENTRAL			NORTHEAST			SOUTHERN			WESTERN		
	New ¹	ADP	LOS (months)	New ¹	ADP	LOS (months)	New ¹	ADP	LOS (months)	New ¹	ADP	LOS (months)
GENDER												
Male	218	389.2	18.4	149	233.1	16.5	119	182.9	19.8	64	92.8	19.2
Female	36	65.7	18.6	30	40.1	17.9	21	21.5	18.9	9	15.8	17.9
TOTAL	254	455.0	20.0	179	273.2	17.3	140	204.5	20.6	73	108.6	16.0
ETHNICITY												
Anglo-American	92	165.0	17.3	79	120.1	17.4	54	85.8	18.7	43	68.7	20.0
African-American	68	138.9	18.6	12	20.4	17.0	30	46.8	22.7	2	1.6	25.2
Hispanic/Latino	85	137.7	18.4	84	126.0	16.0	51	66.3	19.2	16	26.2	13.5
Native American	3	7.0	32.0	0	3.2	18.6	1	2.6	27.0	9	10.2	15.2
Asian-American	5	6.4	15.9	2	1.1	11.7	2	1.3	N/A	1	0.3	N/A
Other	1	0.0	N/A	2	2.4	N/A	2	1.7	20.3	2	1.5	N/A
AGE ²												
11 Years	0	0.0	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	0	0.0	N/A
12 Years	0	0.0	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	1	0.2	48.1	1	0.4	25.4
13 Years	2	0.1	26.7	1	0.0	12.2	3	0.3	28.7	3	0.9	29.6
14 Years	19	5.3	28.9	11	1.7	22.5	9	3.9	25.3	8	4.0	21.3
15 Years	38	25.2	20.2	27	15.3	22.8	12	5.0	23.1	16	5.3	23.9
16 Years	72	57.0	18.8	49	37.3	15.3	39	18.9	19.0	15	25.8	18.5
17 Years	99	106.7	15.9	72	79.4	14.7	46	47.5	17.3	20	27.4	14.8
18 Years	18	133.5	16.3	18	78.6	14.8	26	67.0	17.1	9	28.6	16.4
19 Years and Older	6	127.1	17.2	1	60.8	10.1	4	61.6	6.7	1	16.3	N/A
Average Age at Commitment:	16.8 Years			16.9 Years			17.0 Years			16.5 Years		
SENTENCE TYPE												
Non-Mandatory	201	355.7	18.7	101	137.2	15.6	113	172.6	20.0	31	56.6	16.8
Mandatory	30	56.1	16.8	50	102.1	17.7	20	24.2	18.4	18	30.0	22.6
Repeat	17	27.5	17.0	20	20.1	13.8	4	3.2	20.4	21	19.9	21.5
Violent	1	7.1	17.8	2	1.7	9.4	0	2.0	N/A	1	0.3	N/A
Aggravated	4	8.2	45.2	6	11.2	37.0	3	2.6	N/A	2	1.8	N/A

*Regional totals reported here may differ slightly from those reported on pgs. 28-29, and in the Annual SB94 Report, due to the definition of Region. Region is defined here by active client caseloads (i.e., the region in which the client was served). When reporting information by Judicial District (JD), region is defined by the JD in which the client was committed, and subsequently, are a sum of their respective judicial districts.

¹Indicates new commitments.


²Actual counts and LOS for each age category correspond to age at commitment. ADP figures for each age category are based on the youth's age on the last day of the fiscal year.

DISCHARGE TRENDS BY REGION

Fiscal Year 2010-11

	CENTRAL		NORTHEAST		SOUTHERN		WESTERN	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
DISCHARGED YOUTH								
DISCHARGE PLACEMENT								
Parents ¹	169	47.2%	83	44.6%	53	39.8%	38	50.0%
Relative	55	15.4%	29	15.6%	22	16.5%	16	21.1%
Independent Living	37	10.3%	26	14.0%	26	19.5%	8	10.5%
Adult Jail/ Corrections	46	12.8%	19	10.2%	15	11.3%	4	5.3%
Friend	20	5.6%	9	4.8%	4	3.0%	1	1.3%
Transfer to Child Welfare	7	2.0%	3	1.6%	4	3.0%	0	0.0%
Unknown/Data Not Available	7	2.0%	1	0.5%	2	1.5%	3	3.9%
Other Placements	3	0.8%	3	1.6%	4	3.0%	1	1.3%
Institution (MH/DA ²)	7	2.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.5%	1	1.3%
Deported	2	0.6%	7	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Residential Child Care Facility	3	0.8%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shelter Care (Short Term)	1	0.3%	1	0.5%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%
Spouse	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.6%
Job Corps	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.6%
Deceased	0	0.0%	2	1.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Adoptive Family	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Foster Care Family	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Group Care	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Escape	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TOTAL	358	100%	186	100%	133	100%	76	100%

FY 2010-11 Percent of Discharged Youth by Region




¹Includes: One Parent, Both Parents, Parent and Step-Parent, Step-Parent or Guardian

DISCHARGE TRENDS BY REGION

Fiscal Year 2010-11

	CENTRAL		NORTHEAST		SOUTHERN		WESTERN	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
DISCHARGED YOUTH								
JOB/ SCHOOL STATUS¹								
Employed Only	63	17.6%	73	33.5%	25	18.7%	6	7.9%
Enrolled in School Only	82	22.9%	50	22.9%	22	16.4%	14	18.4%
Employed and Enrolled in School	66	18.4%	36	16.5%	56	41.8%	46	60.5%
Unemployed and Unenrolled	147	41.1%	59	27.1%	31	23.1%	10	13.2%
Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TOTAL	358	100%	218	100%	134	100%	76	100.0%
PAROLE ADJUSTMENT								
Satisfactory to Excellent	205	57.3%	131	60.1%	83	61.9%	39	51.3%
Poor to Unsatisfactory	127	35.5%	68	31.2%	43	32.1%	32	42.1%
Not on Parole at Time of Discharge	16	4.5%	13	6.0%	4	3.0%	3	3.9%
Data Not Available	10	2.8%	6	2.8%	4	3.0%	2	2.6%
PROGRAM TYPE								
	LOS (Months)							
Secure	8.2		7.2		10.3		10.5	
Staff Supervised	8.1		6.0		7.2		6.1	
Community Residential	2.1		3.3		1.9		1.9	
Total Commitment	18.4		16.7		19.7		19.0	
Parole	6.6		6.3		7.2		7.5	
Total Commitment & Parole	25.4		23.4		26.6		24.7	

'Satisfactory to Excellent' Parole Adjustment Rating at Discharge by Region




¹Regional trends on this factor are shown on the following page.

DISCHARGE TRENDS BY REGION

Employment and School Enrollment 3-Year Trends

REGION			Job/School Status					Job/School Status ¹		TOTAL DISCHARGES
			Employed Only	Enrolled in School Only	Employed and Enrolled in School	Unemployed and Unenrolled	Data Not Available	Employed or Enrolled or Both ²	Unemployed and Unenrolled	
Central Region	FY 10-11	N	63	82	66	147	0	211	147	358
		%	17.6%	22.9%	18.4%	41.1%	0.0%	58.9%	41.1%	
	FY 09-10	N	87	51	86	118	5	224	118	347
		%	25.1%	14.7%	24.8%	34.0%	1.4%	65.5%	34.5%	
	FY 08-09	N	88	54	86	117	0	228	117	345
		%	25.5%	15.7%	24.9%	33.9%	0.0%	66.1%	33.9%	
Northeast Region	FY 10-11	N	73	50	36	59	0	159	59	218
		%	33.5%	22.9%	16.5%	27.1%	0.0%	72.9%	27.1%	
	FY 09-10	N	64	66	32	74	1	162	74	237
		%	27.0%	27.8%	13.5%	31.2%	0.4%	68.6%	31.4%	
	FY 08-09	N	70	42	47	84	0	159	84	243
		%	28.8%	17.3%	19.3%	34.6%	0.0%	65.4%	34.6%	
Southern Region	FY 10-11	N	25	22	56	31	0	103	31	134
		%	18.7%	16.4%	41.8%	23.1%	0.0%	76.9%	23.1%	
	FY 09-10	N	33	22	45	51	7	100	51	158
		%	20.9%	13.9%	28.5%	32.3%	4.4%	66.2%	33.8%	
	FY 08-09	N	28	33	80	40	0	141	40	181
		%	15.5%	18.2%	44.2%	22.1%	0.0%	77.9%	22.1%	
Western Region	FY 10-11	N	6	14	46	10	0	66	10	76
		%	7.9%	18.4%	60.5%	13.2%	0.0%	86.8%	13.2%	
	FY 09-10	N	9	14	33	23	2	56	23	81
		%	11.1%	17.3%	40.7%	28.4%	2.5%	70.9%	29.1%	
	FY 08-09	N	15	18	44	11	0	77	11	88
		%	17.0%	20.5%	50.0%	12.5%	0.0%	87.5%	12.5%	




¹This breakdown excludes missing data.

²Includes: The sum of Employed Only, Enrolled in School Only, and Employed and Enrolled in School.


RECIDIVISM TRENDS

Pre-Discharge Recidivism Rates FY 1999-00 through FY 2009-10



Over the past ten years pre-discharge recidivism rates have ranged from 33.1% (FY 2003-04) to 39.1% (FY 2004-05). This year's pre-discharge recidivism rate, 35.5%, is in the mid-range of percentages reported in recent years, and not statistically significant from the nine years prior.

Post-Discharge Recidivism Rates FY 1999-00 through FY 2009-10



Post-discharge recidivism rates have been relatively stable for the previous six discharge cohorts. However, for youth discharged in FY 2009-10, the rate of 33.9% is a marked decline. This decline is statistically significant from the rate reported for youth discharged in FY 2008-09.

Glossary of Terms Used

Disclaimer: *These definitions are provided for quick reference purposes only. Please refer to the Colorado Revised Statutes for more complete definitions of legal categories and conditions.*

Adjudication – The result of an adjudicatory hearing in which the court determines that it has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt that a juvenile has committed a delinquent act, or that a juvenile has pled guilty to committing a delinquent act.

Aggravated Offender (Sentence Type) – see *Sentencing Special Offenders*.

Assessment - Youth committed to DYC are assessed to determine a youth's classification and risk level, as well as needs for appropriate services, placement and program referral.

Average Daily Population (ADP) - The average number of youth present in a facility or program during the reporting period.

Colorado Client Assessment Record (CCAR) - An assessment tool used to evaluate mental health treatment needs.

Colorado Juvenile Risk Assessment (CJRA) - An assessment tool used to determine risk of recidivism. The comprehensive, empirically validated risk assessment allows the Division to identify and respond to the criminogenic factors directly contributing to youth offending behavior.

Community Accountability Program – Youth could be sentenced to the Community Accountability Program (CAP) as a condition of probation, after the cessation of the Regimented Juvenile Training Program. The sentence included a 60-day residential program, focusing on restorative justice and youth skill development. The residential phase was followed by an intensive aftercare program for 120 days, or until the period of probation expires. The aftercare phase, also based on a restorative justice model, focused on continued service delivery to youth and families as well as reintegration into the community. Funding for CAP was eliminated in the FY 2002-03 Supplemental Reduction.

Clients Served: Commitment & Parole - The total number of youth served in a particular program during the reporting period. Total clients served in a given category (e.g. secure or staff-supervised) represent unduplicated counts of clients served in those categories. Since youth can be served in more than one type of program in the course of a year, the total clients served in a particular category will not equal the sum of the clients served in each program.

Clients Served: Detention - The total number of youth served in a particular program during the reporting period. Total clients served in a facility or program (e.g. Adams YSC) represents unduplicated counts of clients served in those facilities. Since the same youth can have multiple admissions to detention and be served in more than one particular facility in the course of a year, the total clients served in all facilities will not equal the sum of the clients served in each facility.

Commitment - Commitments are dispositions of juvenile cases resulting in the transfer of legal custody to the Department of Human Services by the court as a result of an adjudicatory hearing on charges of delinquent acts committed by the youth.

Commitment Sentence Type - Juveniles who have been adjudicated delinquent and committed to the Division of Youth Corrections can be given either non-mandatory or mandatory sentences. Mandatory sentences require that a youth spend a specified minimum amount of time in out-of-home placement and can include repeat and violent offenders. Juveniles can also be sentenced as aggravated offenders (Section 19-2-601, C.R.S.).

Community Residential Placement – DYC contracts with a number of private vendors to provide community-based programs to youth presenting the lowest risk of re-offending and youth transitioning from more secure programs.

Detention - The custodial status of youth who are being confined or supervised after arrest or while awaiting the completion of judicial proceedings. Detention youth are served in secure state-operated or staff-secure (privately-operated) facilities. Some detention youth are served in non-residential, community-based supervision programs.

Detention Admission - Each admission to detention for temporary custody of youth is tracked independently. In contrast to unduplicated counts of clients served in detention, one youth can have multiple admissions for a single incident. For example, if a youth is admitted to detention on a pretrial basis (preadjudicated) and released, a later sentencing to detention on the original charge would count as a separate admission, even though it is the same youth and the same incident. Likewise, if the youth serves the sentence on weekends, each weekend admission counts as a separate admission.

Detention Capacity Strain – Percentage of days where detention bed usage is at or above 90% of capacity.

Detention Maximum Bed Usage – Represents the maximum number of youth in detention at any given point during the day.

Detention Screen - Refers to Colorado SB94 Juvenile Detention Screening and Assessment Guide (JDSAG), which is a screening guide designed to enhance consistency in the detention screening process.

Detention Sentence - The court imposed sanction of confinement to a detention facility as a disposition of a delinquency adjudication. Major sentence types include probation sentences, traffic sentences, handgun sentences, municipal sentences, game and fish sentences, contempt sentences, and non-probation sentences for a delinquent act.

Discharge - Time at which youth have completed their commitment and are no longer under the supervision and custody of the Division; most often directly following the completion of the parole period.

Initial Commitment Classification Instrument (ICCI) - An objective commitment classification instrument is administered during assessment to guide the placement decisions concerning the type of commitment program most suitable for individual youth given the severity of their commitment offense coupled with the risk of re-offending.

Interstate Compact on Juveniles (ICJ) - A cooperative program amongst states and jurisdictions that provides for the uniform interstate supervision of juveniles on probation or parole.

Length of Service (LOS): Commitment - All commitment LOS figures are measured in months and are calculated for those discharged youth who spent time in the program for which LOS is reported (e.g., assessment, secure, staff secure, and community programs) prior to parole.

Length of Service (LOS): Parole - The amount of time spent on parole status. Parole LOS is measured in months and is based on discharged youth.

Length of Service (LOS): Commitment & Parole - The average amount of time in DYC custody (sentence start date to discharge date including parole) for all youth discharged from DYC during the reporting period, not including escape time.

Length of Stay (LOS): Detention - The amount of time spent in a detention facility or program during the time period. All detention LOS figures are measured in days and are based on released youth.

Mandatory Sentence Offender- See *Sentencing Special Offenders*.

Municipal Sentence - Detention sentence imposed by a municipal court for violation of municipal ordinances.

New Commitment - Commitment of youth who were not previously committed, or who were previously committed but had been discharged from DYC.

Non-Mandatory Sentences - These sanctions involve no minimum out-of-home sentence length. The maximum sentence length cannot exceed 24 months. The Juvenile Parole Board determines release from commitment to parole status.

Other Residential – Placements include Job Corps, Group Homes, Hospitalization, etc.

Parole - The status of an offender conditionally released from a residential setting by discretion of the Juvenile Parole Board. Colorado juvenile offenders have a mandatory minimum parole length of 6 months. While on parole a youth is placed under the supervision of a parole officer and is required to observe conditions of release set by the parole officer and the Juvenile Parole Board.

Parole Revocation - The administrative action of the Juvenile Parole Board, which removes a youth from parole status in response to a violation of lawfully required conditions of parole, including the prohibition against commission of a new offense.

Parole Suspension - The administrative action of the Juvenile Parole Board, which removes a youth from parole status in response to a violation of lawfully required conditions of parole, including the prohibition against commission of a new offense. Reconsideration of parole must occur within 90 days on a date determined by the Juvenile Parole Board.

Parole Violation – Actions by a parolee that do not conform to the conditions of parole.

Preadjudicated - The legal status of youth pending delinquency adjudication decisions. Often these youth are referred to as pre-trial youth since they are generally admitted to detention pending some court action. This category also includes youth who are serving a sentence, such as probation, on a prior delinquency adjudication and who are in detention pending a new court action.

Prior Adjudications - Adjudications that occurred prior to the current detention or commitment event.

Prior Out-of-home Placements - Placements in Social Services or DYC residential programs or other treatment programs prior to the current commitment sentence.

Recidivism (Post-Discharge) – A filing for a new felony or misdemeanor offense that occurred within one year following discharge from the Division of Youth Corrections.

Recidivism (Pre-Discharge) - A filing for a new felony or misdemeanor offense that occurred prior to discharge (while the youth is under DYC supervision) from the Division of Youth Corrections.

Repeat Offender - see *Sentencing Special Offenders*.

Regional Management Structure - Decentralized DYC management structure comprised of four geographic regions in the state.

Residential Programs - Programs that provide 24-hour care.

Secure Residential Facility – A facility with physical security features such as locked doors, sally-ports, and correctional fencing.

Sentencing Special Offenders (see Section 19-2-908, C.R.S.)

- a. ***Mandatory Sentence Offender*** – These sanctions specify a minimum time period of up to 24 months or less during which a youth must be in an out-of-home placement.
- b. ***Repeat Offender (Sentence Type)*** - A 'repeat' sentence type can be imposed on a juvenile who has been previously adjudicated a juvenile delinquent, and is adjudicated a juvenile delinquent for a delinquent act that constitutes a felony, or if his or her probation is revoked for a delinquent act that constitutes a felony. The court may or may not designate a minimum sentence length.
- c. ***Violent Offender (Sentence Type)*** - A juvenile may be sentenced as a violent offender if he or she is adjudicated a juvenile delinquent for a delinquent act that constitutes a crime of violence as defined in Section 16-11-309(2), Colorado Revised Statutes.
- d. ***Aggravated Offender (Sentence Type)*** – These sanctions specify a time period of three to seven years, during which time a youth must remain in the custody of the Department of Human Services. Contingent upon court approval, youth may be eligible for non-secure placement, parole, or transfer to the Department of Corrections (adult corrections).

Staff-Supervised Residential Facility – Privately owned and operated, staff-supervised facilities provide 24-hour line of sight supervision of youth.

State Owned / Privately Operated Programs - Detention or commitment programs which are administered by contract service providers in NYC owned facilities.

State Owned / State Operated Programs - Detention or commitment programs which are administered by NYC employees in NYC owned facilities.

Violent Offender (Sentence Type) – see *Sentencing Special Offenders*.