

COLORADO

**Department of Human Services
Office of Child and Family Services
Division of Child Welfare**



Child and Family Services Review Program Improvement Plan Quarterly Report

**April 1, 2006 through June 30, 2006
Submitted August 31, 2006**

PIP Report of Progress
April 1 – June 30, 2006

This is Colorado's eleventh quarterly report of progress on the State Program Improvement Plan (PIP). This report will address the percentage goals that were out of compliance in the ninth and tenth quarterly reports. Although all the actions steps have been completed, there are seven items that continue to fall short of the goals submitted. The chart at the end of this report provides county-specific information for the 21 of 64 counties required to submit a county-specific Program Improvement Plan. County departments are provided with their performance and they continue to work to address the county-specific areas out of compliance. The narrative provides information regarding the continued work to attain the goals.

GOALS

Item 6 – Stability of Foster Care: This Item attempts to assure that the placement change children experience while in foster care is in line with their case plans. Colorado's goal is 76%. This has been consistently out of compliance for the past two years. The statewide performance for this item for the period 1/1/06 through 6/30/06 is 57.7%.

Since October 2003, this item has evaluated the reason for children's moves from the perspective of the child and has not assessed the efforts of the county to address the child's changing issues and needs. Thus each unplanned move or move that might be more restrictive rather than less restrictive was seen as a move not in line with the child's case plan.

From Colorado's final report, a rating of Area Needing Improvement for this Item was assigned when reviewers made the following determinations:

- A placement disrupted when foster parents requested the child's removal, and there was no evidence that CDHS had made efforts to address the needs of foster parents experiencing problems with children in their care prior to the request for removal (three cases).
- Children experienced multiple placements during the period under review, and changes in at least one of the placements did not reflect efforts to promote goal attainment or meet the child's service needs (two cases).
- The agency placed a child in an emergency shelter without first attempting to find a more appropriate alternative placement, such as a relative home or a foster home (one case).

Counties that have implemented a team decision-making process whenever a child has to experience a move have reported the following anecdotal positive outcomes:

- More success in the foster home
- More access to resources
- Better understanding of the issues
- Community involvement in the family's success
- Less adversarial interactions
- Fewer children in congregate care and more effective use of families

Because of the success experienced in the use of a team decision-making process, technical assistance from the State, Denver County, and the Annie E. Casey Foundation is being provided to have 36 counties implementing this process by 2009.

After much discussion and planning among State Child Welfare staff, Administrative Review staff, and county department personnel, the new assessment questions reported last quarter are being considered. Questions such as these were the basis for a work group that was created to establish a five-point Likert Scale to be used by the Administrative Review Division in order to more accurately reflect and assess the practice for which Colorado received a rating of Area Needing Improvement.

Progress toward implementing treatment foster homes was made when a definition of treatment foster care was put into law during the past regular legislative session. That definition, contained in Section 26-6-102 (12), C.R.S., is as follows: Treatment foster care means a clinically effective alternative to residential treatment facilities that combines the treatment technologies typically associated with more restrictive settings with a nurturing and individualized family environment.

Item 9, action step 4 – Effectiveness of County Adoption Effort: This action step attempts to assure that an adoptive family has been identified for any child who is legally free for adoption and whose goal is adoption. The goal is 81% and currently Colorado is performing at 79.7%. Data for the most recent quarter shows a decline from fourteen of 21 counties in compliance last quarter to twelve counties in compliance this quarter. The following efforts are designed to bring Colorado into compliance on this Item.

The State's adoption recruitment advertising will begin again in September on public transportation and radio. This year's Heart Gallery is scheduled for November 1st. The statewide celebration of national Adoption Awareness Month is scheduled for November. The Change-A-Live-Forever website continues to grow as a resource for inquiring families, and families continue to be informed and encouraged to apply to become adoptive parents through their local county departments of human/social services. Collaborations continue between State Child Welfare, county departments of human/social services, and community faith-based organizations to recruit and support adoptive families in their communities.

Information on effective diligent searches, the use of permanency planning meetings when adoption is being considered for a child's permanency goal, and reminders for foster parents to be invited to consider adoption of children in their care are all strategies that are conveyed to Foster Care/Kinship Coordinators in both meetings and through ongoing email communication.

CDHS's Faith-Based Community Collaborative sponsored "Bringing Down the Wall -- Focusing on the Recruitment and Retention of Foster and Adoptive Families Summit" on June 15 – 16, 2006 at the Red Lion Inn, in Denver, Colorado. The focus was to encourage the continued development of very valuable relationships and partnerships among communities of faith, children and families, and State and local government in an effort to provide adoptive resources

for children. There were 88 attendees, and at the Faith Collaborative meeting following the Summit, there was an increase in membership by 50%.

Item 10 – Other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement: This Item attempts to assure that diligent efforts are made to prepare youth for emancipation. Colorado’s goal is 93%. For the past several quarters the actual performance has hovered around 89 - 90%. The statewide performance for this item for the period 1/1/06 through 6/30/06 improved from 89.3% last quarter to 90.3%. Noncompliance continues to be caused by Independent Living (“IL”) plans not being done for youth who are sixteen years and older who do not have Emancipation as a permanency goal. In a separate analysis of youth with the goal of emancipation, the data shows that 91.4% of these youth have an IL plan as part of their case plan, with the reason for non-compliance mostly being that a youth signature is absent. Another part of this measurement looks at whether these youth are receiving IL services sufficiently to address their needs. Over the past six months this has been achieved 93% of the time.

To help improve performance, discussions are ongoing with counties as to the resolution of the above problems. In addition, the problems are addressed at the Adolescent Supervisors’ Roundtable quarterly meetings by the Adolescent Services Administrator, and will be provided at five sessions of training on the Family Services Plan Section 4D, Permanency and Independent Living Plan (ILP) for Youth Transitioning to Self-Sufficiency. Focus on this item and the reasons for non-compliance will continue to be addressed at future meetings and activities, including the following trainings:

- Independent Living Planning Tools and Resources for Caseworkers on October 4-6, 2006;
- Permanency and Independent Living Planning, including a one-day "Permanency Summit" on November 6-7, 2006;
- Indian Child Welfare Act: Permanency, and Independent Living Planning, planned for three days with the dates to be determined; and
- Ansell-Casey Life Skills Assessment Certification, planned for three days with the dates to be determined.

Item 14 – Preserving Connections: This Item attempts to assure that the Family Services Plan, and services provided, including foster placements, take into account the unique characteristics of the child and family. Colorado’s goal is 96%. The statewide performance for this Item for the period 1/1/06 through 6/30/06 is 94.3%. The statewide performance for this Item in the first quarter of 2006 increased to 94.8%. It is anticipated that increases in performance will continue to be reflected.

The State Child Welfare efforts to help counties improve their performance on this Item include the following:

- An emphasis on localized family foster care in Foster Care/Kinship Meetings;
- Training for county departments and CPAs regarding kinship and strategies to work with Latino/Hispanic families and their communities that include youth perspectives;

- Training and technical assistance provided to counties regarding outreach to fathers and the paternal side of the family; and
- The needs of visitation for appropriate developmental growth of children (also provided to judicial staff).

Further, counties continue to review their kinship policies and practices, counties receiving PSSF funds have developed plans to improve the placement of siblings together, and when out-of-home placement is necessary, counties are making efforts to place children within their own neighborhoods, communities, and counties. CDHS supports a focus for public and private agencies on strategies to work with, recruit, and establish supports for Latino families in their communities to achieve permanency for children who are placed in their homes.

Item 19 – Worker Visits with the Child: This item attempts to assure that when the county department has an open case, monthly face-to-face contacts occur with children in foster care as well as with children living in their homes. Colorado’s goal is 90%. The statewide performance for this Item for the period 1/1/06 through 6/30/06 has improved to 82.6%. The actual performance has declined over the past year to approximately 80%. Over the past quarter, three additional counties have come into compliance on this Item. Counties continue to struggle to meet the revised State rule requiring monthly face-to-face contact with children who live in their homes.

Upon further analysis of this Item, it was found that counties are found to be out of compliance if they fail to have contact on a monthly basis. If a caseworker makes monthly contact with a child three out of three months, then the performance standard is met at 100%. If the same caseworker misses one month the compliance percentage is 0% rather than 66.7% (two out of three) that would more accurately reflect the success rate.

The data to establish a baseline for the number of individual contacts is currently being calculated. This will be compared to current and past performance. The re-calculation will take approximately 800 hours. Upon completion, this will be presented to the Regional Office for approval as the measure for Contacts with Children.

Item 22 – Timely Initial Health Assessments: This Item attempts to assure that children with open cases receive timely initial health and dental assessments. The statewide performance for this item for the period 1/1/06 through 6/30/06 has increased to 81.7%. State Child Welfare staff continue to assess the systemic issue of lack of access to physicians and dentists taking Medicaid by working with Health Care Policy and Financing, and other program staff, divisions, and departments.

Strategies to address this item include quarterly updates on the State Child Welfare website of the current Medicaid physicians and dentists, including a direct link to the Medicaid medical and dental providers page, and updates on EPSDT information and health resources. This information is available to county departments, providers, community partners, and the general public. It is anticipated that new law 43 USC 1396a, requiring the production of identity and

citizenship documentation in order to receive Medicaid coverage, could present a barrier to achieving this goal. A process is being developed in which counties that are continuing to do well in ensuring that medical needs are identified and addressed can provide technical assistance for the rest of the State. Finally, the Administrative Review Division will develop a baseline of the number of children receiving in-home services who have medical needs identified in Assessment, Safety Plan, or Family Service Plan that are having their physical needs addressed through identified services.

Item 22 – Health Needs Identified and Services Provided: This Item attempts to assure that children’s health needs are identified, and that health services are provided on a regular basis. State compliance consistently hovers around 4% short of the 94% goal. As of 06/30/06 performance had increased slightly to 90.8%. Noncompliance by seven of the ten large counties continues to negatively impact statewide compliance. Although State Child Welfare strategies continue to be implemented and CDHS continues to support legislation that contribute to a more accessible and responsive Medicaid system across the State, helping counties find ways of implementing the requirements of 43 USC 1396a has become a priority.

Ongoing dental services have been the issue that keeps this item out of compliance. While the provision of health care services is consistent around 94%, dental care has been around 86%.

The following activities are designed to help counties come into compliance on this Item.

- An information table regarding EPSDT was maintained at the Child Welfare Conference in May 2006. The opportunity to obtain updated information was well received and was visited by nineteen conference attendees on the one day that the table was available. Brochures were provided in both English and Spanish.
- Application was made to provide training on EPSDT at the Colorado Foster Parent Association Conference in October 2006. If approved, the training will include information on EPSDT benefits and access, eliminating confusion about the availability of EPSDT benefits for orthodontia, transportation, and medical appliances, and determining what misconceptions and difficulties foster parents and caseworkers have about EPSDT benefits.
- State Child Welfare and EPSDT are collaborating on a series of informing sessions at county human/social services departments to provide information on EPSDT to caseworkers, supervisors, and administrators. Boulder County hosted the first of these sessions on July 19, 2006, and more are scheduled.

Child and Family Services Review Program Improvement Plan Quarterly Report

April 1, 2006 through June 30, 2006
Submitted August 31, 2006

Outcomes Or Systemic Factors And Item(s) Contributing To Nonconformity	Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent Of Improvement	Action Steps And (Agency Responsible)	<i>Benchmarks</i> Toward Achieving Goal	<i>Benchmarks</i> Dates of Achievement Goals <i>Projected</i>	<i>Benchmarks</i> Dates of Achievement Goals <i>Actual</i>	Date of Goal Achievement <i>Projected</i>	Date of Goal Achievement <i>Actual</i>	Barriers Or Discussion	Other PIP Related Activities
Outcomes S1 Children are first and foremost protected from abuse and neglect									
Outcome S2 Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate									
Outcome P1: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations									
Item 6: Stability of Foster Care	For 76% of children who experience change of placement, the change will be directly related to helping the child achieve his/her goals in the case plan.		Increase to 74%	August 2004		October 2005	March 2005 70.0% June 2005 67.1% September 2005 64.6% December 2005 62.4% March 2006 59.2% June 2006 57.7%	This measure continues out of compliance	

Outcomes Or Systemic Factors And Item(s) Contributing To Nonconformity	Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent Of Improvement	Action Steps And (Agency Responsible)	Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal	Benchmarks Dates of Achievement Goals Projected	Benchmarks Dates of Achievement Goals Actual	Date of Goal Achievement Projected	Date of Goal Achievement Actual	Barriers Or Discussion	Other PIP Related Activities
Item 9: Adoption		4. ARD will establish a measure to determine effectiveness of county adoption efforts. a. Review questions will be added to Q/A instruments. b. Baseline will be established. c. Goal will be negotiated with RO.	4a. Instrument modified. 4b. Baseline established. 4c. Goal approval by RO.	4a. January 2004 4b. May 2004 4c. June 2004	4c Benchmark 78%, Goal 81% Approved by RO January 2005	October 2005	March 2005 81.9% June 2005 80.3% September 2005 79.0% December 2005 80.9% March 2006 79.3% June 2006 79.7%	The measure has fallen below the goal, but remains above the benchmark.	
Item 10: Permanency goal of other planned permanent living arrangement.	2. 93% of the IL cases will reflect diligent efforts to prepare youth for emancipation		2. ARD report will indicate achievement of benchmark at 91%	August 2004		October 2005	March 2005 90.8% June 2005 90.2% September 2005 89.2% December 2005 89.3% March 2006 93% June 2006 90.3%	2 This measure continues out of compliance	
Outcome P2: The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.									
Item 14: Preserving connections	96% of case records address maintaining familial and cultural connections.		Maintain baseline	August 2004		October 2005	March 2005 93.9% June 2005 94.2% September 2005 93.2% December 2005 93% March 2006 93.9% June 2006 94.3%	This measure continues out of compliance	

Outcomes Or Systemic Factors And Item(s) Contributing To Nonconformity	Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent Of Improvement	Action Steps And (Agency Responsible)	Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal	Benchmarks Dates of Achievement Goals Projected	Benchmarks Dates of Achievement Goals Actual	Date of Goal Achievement Projected	Date of Goal Achievement Actual	Barriers Or Discussion	Other PIP Related Activities
Outcome WB1: Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs.									
Item 19: Worker visits with child.	90% of monthly visits with the child will be face to face.		94% of visits with the child will be face-to-face	August 2004		October 2005	March 2005 83.9% June 2005 83.2% September 2005 81.6% December 2005 80.4% March 2006 81.2% June 2006 82.6%	This measure continues to be out of compliance	
Outcome WB3: Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs									
Item 22: Physical health of child	1. 86% of initial health assessments of children in foster care are done in a timely manner. 2. 94% of children in foster care will have health needs identified and services provided		1. 84% of initial health assessments will be done in a timely manner. 2. 92% of children in foster care will have health needs identified and services provided	August 2004	2. December 2003 92.3%	October 2005	March 2005 80.0% June 2005 79.2% September 2005 79.2% December 2005 79.6% March 2006 80.6% June 2006 81.7% March 2005 89.6% June 2005 90.4% September 2005 90.3% December 2005 90.4% March 2006 90.7% June 2006 90.8%	This measure continues to be out of compliance This measure continues to be out of compliance	

Outcomes Or Systemic Factors And Item(s) Contributing To Nonconformity	Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent Of Improvement	Action Steps And (Agency Responsible)	<i>Benchmarks</i> Toward Achieving Goal	<i>Benchmarks</i> Dates of Achievement Goals <i>Projected</i>	<i>Benchmarks</i> Dates of Achievement Goals <i>Actual</i>	Date of Goal Achievement <i>Projected</i>	Date of Goal Achievement <i>Actual</i>	Barriers Or Discussion	Other PIP Related Activities
Systemic Factor 2: Case Review System									

SFY2006 Fourth Quarter County Progress on PIP Items Out of Compliance

	Moves in line with case plan Goal – 76% Item 6		Adoptive family has been identified Goal – 81% Item 9		Diligent efforts to prepare youth for emancipation Goal – 93% Item 10		Case records address maintaining family and cultural connections Goal – 96% Item 14		Worker visits with child Goal – 90% Item 19		Foster Care – Initial health assessments are timely Goal – 86% Item 22		Foster Care - Health needs identified, services provided Goal – 94% Item 22	
Statewide	12/31/05	62.4%	12/31/05	80.9%	12/31/05	89.3%	12/31/05	93.0%	12/31/05	80.4%	12/31/05	79.6%	12/31/05	90.4%
	03/31/06	59.2%	03/31/06	79.3%	03/31/06	89.3%	03/31/06	93.9%	03/31/06	81.2%	03/31/06	80.6%	03/31/06	90.7%
	06/30/06	57.7%	06/30/06	79.7%	06/30/06	90.3%	06/30/06	94.3%	06/30/06	82.6%	06/30/06	81.7%	06/30/06	90.8%
Adams	06/30/05	68.8%	06/30/05	52.5%	06/30/05	93.4%	06/30/05	98.1%	06/30/05	89.5%	06/30/05	80.2%	06/30/05	95.2%
	09/30/05	61.6%	09/30/05	41.7%	09/30/05	87.5%	09/30/05	97.1%	09/30/05	88.9%	09/30/05	82.5%	09/30/05	94.5%
	12/31/05	59.3%	12/31/05	49.3%	12/31/05	81.9%	12/31/05	93.1%	12/31/05	91.3%	12/31/05	85.6%	12/31/05	95.2%
	03/31/06	57.8%	03/31/06	56.9%	03/31/06	86.3%	03/31/06	93.4%	03/31/06	92.4%	03/31/06	88.4%	03/31/06	94.2%
	06/30/06	51.8%	06/30/06	56.7%	06/30/06	87.5%	06/30/06	94.5%	06/30/06	90.7%	06/30/06	83.8%	06/30/06	93.0%
Arapahoe	06/30/05	70.2%	06/30/05	77.3%	06/30/05	95.0%	06/30/05	96.9%	06/30/05	92.4%	06/30/05	86.2%	06/30/05	94.9%
	09/30/05	60.6%	09/30/05	75.0%	09/30/05	92.1%	09/30/05	96.6%	09/30/05	87.3%	09/30/05	81.4%	09/30/05	92.0%
	12/31/05	51.8%	12/31/05	78.5%	12/31/05	90.4%	12/31/05	97.6%	12/31/05	83.5%	12/31/05	81.8%	12/31/05	94.6%
	03/31/06	56.1%	03/31/06	69.8%	03/31/06	93.0%	03/31/06	97.4%	03/31/06	82.3%	03/31/06	83.2%	03/31/06	96.6%
	06/30/06	54.6%	06/30/06	74.5%	06/30/06	92.1%	06/30/06	96.9%	06/30/06	84.2%	06/30/06	84.1%	06/30/06	93.3%
Boulder	06/30/05	72.9%	06/30/05	97.0%	06/30/05	71.6%	06/30/05	98.8%	06/30/05	87.6%	06/30/05	85.8%	06/30/05	87.3%
	09/30/05	82.3%	09/30/05	96.4%	09/30/05	73.3%	09/30/05	96.4%	09/30/05	88.8%	09/30/05	84.1%	09/30/05	91.8%
	12/31/05	90.9%	12/31/05	96.3%	12/31/05	72.8%	12/31/05	96.7%	12/31/05	84.6%	12/31/05	83.8%	12/31/05	91.9%
	03/31/06	72.2%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	76.9%	03/31/06	99.3%	03/31/06	82.6%	03/31/06	90.2%	03/31/06	92.7%
	06/30/06	60.0%	06/30/06	96.7%	06/30/06	89.7%	06/30/06	98.0%	06/30/06	86.3%	06/30/06	92.7%	06/30/06	91.2%
Denver	06/30/05	76.0%	06/30/05	84.6%	06/30/05	90.1%	06/30/05	90.7%	06/30/05	80.4%	06/30/05	81.5%	06/30/05	86.7%
	09/30/05	76.2%	09/30/05	84.1%	09/30/05	89.6%	09/30/05	90.2%	09/30/05	78.5%	09/30/05	81.8%	09/30/05	88.8%
	12/31/05	71.1%	12/31/05	84.1%	12/31/05	90.1%	12/31/05	90.3%	12/31/05	78.8%	12/31/05	70.5%	12/31/05	88.2%
	03/31/06	64.9%	03/31/06	83.8%	03/31/06	88.2%	03/31/06	90.1%	03/31/06	78.6%	03/31/06	68.0%	03/31/06	87.7%
	06/30/06	63.5%	06/30/06	86.0%	06/30/06	89.8%	06/30/06	90.4%	06/30/06	78.1%	06/30/06	67.3%	06/30/06	88.9%
El Paso	06/30/05	53.0%	06/30/05	70.3%	06/30/05	88.0%	06/30/05	98.6%	06/30/05	89.0%	06/30/05	84.7%	06/30/05	90.4%
	09/30/05	53.8%	09/30/05	71.4%	09/30/05	89.6%	09/30/05	97.3%	09/30/05	82.9%	09/30/05	73.2%	09/30/05	90.8%
	12/31/05	51.3%	12/31/05	67.6%	12/31/05	85.6%	12/31/05	94.9%	12/31/05	80.4%	12/31/05	69.1%	12/31/05	92.0%
	03/31/06	50.9%	03/31/06	69.7%	03/31/06	84.1%	03/31/06	96.3%	03/31/06	91.6%	03/31/06	75.7%	03/31/06	93.1%
	06/30/06	47.9%	06/30/06	78.4%	06/30/06	82.3%	06/30/06	98.2%	06/30/06	92.8%	06/30/06	82.2%	06/30/06	93.5%

	Moves in line with case plan Goal – 76% Item 6		Adoptive family has been identified Goal – 81% Item 9		Diligent efforts to prepare youth for emancipation Goal – 93% Item 10		Case records address maintaining family and cultural connections Goal – 96% Item 14		Worker visits with child Goal – 90% Item 19		Foster Care – Initial health assessments are timely Goal – 86% Item 22		Foster Care - Health needs identified, services provided Goal – 94% Item 22	
Statewide	12/31/05	62.4%	12/31/05	80.9%	12/31/05	89.3%	12/31/05	93.0%	12/31/05	80.4%	12/31/05	79.6%	12/31/05	90.4%
	03/31/06	59.2%	03/31/06	79.3%	03/31/06	89.3%	03/31/06	93.9%	03/31/06	81.2%	03/31/06	80.6%	03/31/06	90.7%
	06/30/06	57.7%	06/30/06	79.7%	06/30/06	90.3%	06/30/06	94.3%	06/30/06	82.6%	06/30/06	81.7%	06/30/06	90.8%
Jefferson	06/30/05	78.3%	06/30/05	82.5%	06/30/05	87.4%	06/30/05	94.7%	06/30/05	76.4%	06/30/05	63.8%	06/30/05	91.9%
	09/30/05	71.5%	09/30/05	80.0%	09/30/05	85.0%	09/30/05	92.2%	09/30/05	70.7%	09/30/05	64.2%	09/30/05	89.6%
	12/31/05	70.9%	12/31/05	82.7%	12/31/05	84.9%	12/31/05	92.9%	12/31/05	71.7%	12/31/05	70.8%	12/31/05	90.9%
	03/31/06	78.8%	03/31/06	83.2%	03/31/06	84.3%	03/31/06	94.9%	03/31/06	82.4%	03/31/06	79.1%	03/31/06	93.4%
	06/30/06	74.5%	06/30/06	80.2%	06/30/06	88.9%	06/30/06	96.0%	06/30/06	82.2%	06/30/06	91.7%	06/30/06	92.7%
Larimer	06/30/05	64.5%	06/30/05	93.0%	06/30/05	95.0%	06/30/05	97.4%	06/30/05	88.5%	06/30/05	66.1%	06/30/05	89.9%
	09/30/05	66.2%	09/30/05	86.9%	09/30/05	96.7%	09/30/05	96.3%	09/30/05	87.8%	09/30/05	76.7%	09/30/05	87.4%
	12/31/05	59.0%	12/31/05	88.7%	12/31/05	98.4%	12/31/05	95.4%	12/31/05	87.5%	12/31/05	81.7%	12/31/05	84.7%
	03/31/06	53.0%	03/31/06	92.4%	03/31/06	96.7%	03/31/06	96.7%	03/31/06	91.6%	03/31/06	81.1%	03/31/06	90.6%
	06/30/06	52.1%	06/30/06	90.5%	06/30/06	95.9%	06/30/06	98.6%	06/30/06	94.1%	06/30/06	84.0%	06/30/06	91.9%
Mesa	06/30/05	77.5%	06/30/05	87.2%	06/30/05	90.0%	06/30/05	91.0%	06/30/05	84.0%	06/30/05	92.7%	06/30/05	94.4%
	09/30/05	83.3%	09/30/05	89.6%	09/30/05	88.8%	09/30/05	95.2%	09/30/05	80.2%	09/30/05	89.6%	09/30/05	93.7%
	12/31/05	77.3%	12/31/05	88.2%	12/31/05	87.5%	12/31/05	92.9%	12/31/05	77.2%	12/31/05	92.4%	12/31/05	94.4%
	03/31/06	57.7%	03/31/06	90.0%	03/31/06	86.7%	03/31/06	94.4%	03/31/06	77.7%	03/31/06	95.4%	03/31/06	96.8%
	06/30/06	62.9%	06/30/06	84.0%	06/30/06	89.6%	06/30/06	95.5%	06/30/06	75.8%	06/30/06	96.1%	06/30/06	98.0%
Pueblo	06/30/05	43.6%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	89.6%	06/30/05	96.1%	06/30/05	93.7%	06/30/05	79.8%	06/30/05	92.1%
	09/30/05	46.1%	09/30/05	90.0%	09/30/05	89.3%	09/30/05	94.8%	09/30/05	91.9%	09/30/05	73.7%	09/30/05	91.1%
	12/31/05	47.9%	12/31/05	82.4%	12/31/05	86.4%	12/31/05	95.1%	12/31/05	92.5%	12/31/05	75.1%	12/31/05	90.8%
	03/31/06	46.1%	03/31/06	94.4%	03/31/06	88.5%	03/31/06	97.8%	03/31/06	93.8%	03/31/06	77.1%	03/31/06	88.5%
	06/30/06	55.4%	06/30/06	76.7%	06/30/06	90.5%	06/30/06	96.9%	06/30/06	94.3%	06/30/06	78.4%	06/30/06	89.8%
Weld	06/30/05	39.0%	06/30/05	85.4%	06/30/05	81.8%	06/30/05	94.4%	06/30/05	61.0%	06/30/05	57.1%	06/30/05	80.8%
	09/30/05	38.2%	09/30/05	82.9%	09/30/05	79.7%	09/30/05	91.8%	09/30/05	70.3%	09/30/05	58.5%	09/30/05	81.1%
	12/31/05	37.4%	12/31/05	88.0%	12/31/05	81.3%	12/31/05	91.2%	12/31/05	68.3%	12/31/05	76.2%	12/31/05	80.1%
	03/31/06	32.4%	03/31/06	87.7%	03/31/06	79.8%	03/31/06	93.3%	03/31/06	68.1%	03/31/06	75.5%	03/31/06	78.5%
	06/30/06	38.5%	06/30/06	80.4%	06/30/06	82.2%	06/30/06	95.1%	06/30/06	71.5%	06/30/06	75.7%	06/30/06	80.3%
Alamosa	06/30/05	64.3%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	97.3%	06/30/05	86.4%	06/30/05	100.0%
	09/30/05	66.7%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	76.8%	09/30/05	95.0%	09/30/05	100.0%
	12/31/05	68.8%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	77.2%	12/31/05	76.2%	12/31/05	100.0%
	03/31/06	77.8%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	96.8%	03/31/06	54.5%	03/31/06	100.0%
	06/30/06	62.5%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	71.4%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	92.5%	06/30/06	80.0%	06/30/06	98.7%

	Moves in line with case plan Goal -- 76% Item 6		Adoptive family has been identified Goal -- 81% Item 9		Diligent efforts to prepare youth for emancipation Goal -- 93% Item 10		Case records address maintaining family and cultural connections Goal -- 96% Item 14		Worker visits with child Goal -- 90% Item 19		Foster Care -- Initial health assessments are timely Goal -- 86% Item 22		Foster Care - Health needs identified, services provided Goal -- 94% Item 22	
Statewide	12/31/05	62.4%	12/31/05	80.9%	12/31/05	89.3%	12/31/05	93.0%	12/31/05	80.4%	12/31/05	79.6%	12/31/05	90.4%
	03/31/06	59.2%	03/31/06	79.3%	03/31/06	89.3%	03/31/06	93.9%	03/31/06	81.2%	03/31/06	80.6%	03/31/06	90.7%
	06/30/06	57.7%	06/30/06	79.7%	06/30/06	90.3%	06/30/06	94.3%	06/30/06	82.6%	06/30/06	81.7%	06/30/06	90.8%
Broomfield	06/30/05	60.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	44.4%	06/30/05	95.7%	06/30/05	80.4%	06/30/05	80.0%	06/30/05	93.0%
	09/30/05	80.0%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	52.9%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	80.8%	09/30/05	82.4%	09/30/05	90.5%
	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	68.2%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	55.6%	12/31/05	87.5%	12/31/05	86.4%
	03/31/06	83.3%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	66.7%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	53.6%	03/31/06	90.0%	03/31/06	90.9%
	06/30/06	71.4%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	70.0%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	66.7%	06/30/06	94.4%	06/30/06	98.0%
Douglas	06/30/05	77.8%	06/30/05	33.3%	06/30/05	97.1%	06/30/05	97.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	95.2%	06/30/05	92.4%
	09/30/05	83.3%	09/30/05	0.0%	09/30/05	95.3%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	95.5%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	97.2%
	12/31/05	83.3%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	98.0%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	95.4%	12/31/05	96.0%	12/31/05	92.5%
	03/31/06	68.8%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	95.1%	03/31/06	97.6%	03/31/06	87.5%	03/31/06	91.1%
	06/30/06	53.8%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	93.3%	06/30/06	96.7%	06/30/06	87.5%	06/30/06	94.7%
Fremont	06/30/05	35.9%	06/30/05	83.3%	06/30/05	72.9%	06/30/05	87.5%	06/30/05	77.9%	06/30/05	61.6%	06/30/05	86.3%
	09/30/05	40.4%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	83.1%	09/30/05	90.5%	09/30/05	76.6%	09/30/05	76.5%	09/30/05	88.0%
	12/31/05	53.3%	12/31/05	85.7%	12/31/05	90.4%	12/31/05	94.6%	12/31/05	72.4%	12/31/05	90.0%	12/31/05	88.9%
	03/31/06	46.4%	03/31/06	77.8%	03/31/06	94.0%	03/31/06	98.1%	03/31/06	73.3%	03/31/06	92.9%	03/31/06	89.2%
	06/30/06	41.5%	06/30/06	80.0%	06/30/06	93.3%	06/30/06	98.9%	06/30/06	87.0%	06/30/06	79.8%	06/30/06	87.6%
Garfield	06/30/05	88.2%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	97.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	86.8%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	95.1%
	09/30/05	90.9%	09/30/05	90.9%	09/30/05	96.8%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	97.3%	09/30/05	90.0%	09/30/05	93.4%
	12/31/05	63.6%	12/31/05	75.0%	12/31/05	94.1%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	70.8%	12/31/05	93.3%	12/31/05	94.7%
	03/31/06	41.7%	03/31/06	25.0%	03/31/06	94.3%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	68.2%	03/31/06	94.1%	03/31/06	96.9%
	06/30/06	50.0%	06/30/06	50.0%	06/30/06	96.3%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	96.3%	06/30/06	85.7%	06/30/06	100.0%
La Plata	06/30/05	66.7%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	81.9%	06/30/05	50.0%	06/30/05	100.0%
	09/30/05	66.7%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	84.9%	09/30/05	78.9%	09/30/05	100.0%
	12/31/05	71.4%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	78.9%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	100.0%
	03/31/06	50.0%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	93.8%	03/31/06	92.3%	03/31/06	83.3%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	91.7%
	06/30/06	70.0%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	97.0%	06/30/06	96.7%	06/30/06	83.8%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	94.7%

	Moves in line with case plan Goal – 76% Item 6		Adoptive family has been identified Goal – 81% Item 9		Diligent efforts to prepare youth for emancipation Goal – 93% Item 10		Case records address maintaining family and cultural connections Goal – 96% Item 14		Worker visits with child Goal – 90% Item 19		Foster Care – Initial health assessments are timely Goal – 86% Item 22		Foster Care - Health needs identified, services provided Goal – 94% Item 22	
Statewide	12/31/05	62.4%	12/31/05	80.9%	12/31/05	89.3%	12/31/05	93.0%	12/31/05	80.4%	12/31/05	79.6%	12/31/05	90.4%
	03/31/06	59.2%	03/31/06	79.3%	03/31/06	89.3%	03/31/06	93.9%	03/31/06	81.2%	03/31/06	80.6%	03/31/06	90.7%
	06/30/06	57.7%	06/30/06	79.7%	06/30/06	90.3%	06/30/06	94.3%	06/30/06	82.6%	06/30/06	81.7%	06/30/06	90.8%
Logan	06/30/05	47.1%	06/30/05	83.3%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	93.3%	06/30/05	98.4%
	09/30/05	61.5%	09/30/05	83.3%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	85.6%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	97.4%
	12/31/05	81.8%	12/31/05	62.5%	12/31/05	91.7%	12/31/05	95.3%	12/31/05	76.9%	12/31/05	81.5%	12/31/05	97.4%
	03/31/06	83.3%	03/31/06	76.9%	03/31/06	88.9%	03/31/06	95.3%	03/31/06	81.4%	03/31/06	76.2%	03/31/06	97.4%
	06/30/06	75.0%	06/30/06	90.9%	06/30/06	90.9%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	93.9%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	94.9%
Moffat	06/30/05	75.0%	06/30/05	75.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	66.2%	06/30/05	52.4%	06/30/05	50.0%
	09/30/05	50.0%	09/30/05	83.3%	09/30/05	85.7%	09/30/05	91.2%	09/30/05	92.3%	09/30/05	64.7%	09/30/05	69.1%
	12/31/05	45.5%	12/31/05	83.3%	12/31/05	90.0%	12/31/05	92.3%	12/31/05	84.4%	12/31/05	69.7%	12/31/05	78.3%
	03/31/06	50.0%	03/31/06	84.6%	03/31/06	87.5%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	50.7%	03/31/06	76.2%	03/31/06	85.5%
	06/30/06	66.7%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	80.0%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	50.7%	06/30/06	86.7%	06/30/06	87.9%
Montezuma	06/30/05	66.7%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	81.9%	06/30/05	50.0%	06/30/05	100.0%
	09/30/05	50.0%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	87.5%	09/30/05	81.5%	09/30/05	67.2%	09/30/05	95.0%	09/30/05	98.0%
	12/31/05	60.0%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	80.0%	12/31/05	92.3%	12/31/05	84.6%	12/31/05	92.9%	12/31/05	97.9%
	03/31/06	71.4%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	82.4%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	73.9%	03/31/06	100.0%	03/31/06	95.0%
	06/30/06	57.1%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	92.6%	06/30/06	100.0%	06/30/06	57.4%	06/30/06	75.0%	06/30/06	80.4%
Montrose	06/30/05	47.1%	06/30/05	83.3%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	93.3%	06/30/05	98.4%
	09/30/05	78.6%	09/30/05	100.0%	09/30/05	87.5%	09/30/05	89.7%	09/30/05	84.6%	09/30/05	89.5%	09/30/05	93.2%
	12/31/05	44.4%	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	90.9%	12/31/05	90.0%	12/31/05	68.6%	12/31/05	85.3%	12/31/05	88.2%
	03/31/06	45.5%	03/31/06	80.0%	03/31/06	92.3%	03/31/06	83.3%	03/31/06	63.5%	03/31/06	60.5%	03/31/06	82.7%
	06/30/06	50.0%	06/30/06	55.6%	06/30/06	75.0%	06/30/06	88.4%	06/30/06	60.0%	06/30/06	57.9%	06/30/06	85.7%
Morgan	06/30/05	75.0%	06/30/05	75.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	100.0%	06/30/05	66.2%	06/30/05	52.4%	06/30/05	50.0%
	09/30/05	78.5%	09/30/05	83.3%	09/30/05	96.8%	09/30/05	92.1%	09/30/05	78.7%	09/30/05	91.9%	09/30/05	94.0%
	12/31/05	100.0%	12/31/05	83.3%	12/31/05	92.6%	12/31/05	98.5%	12/31/05	73.1%	12/31/05	92.5%	12/31/05	95.1%
	03/31/06	89.5%	03/31/06	83.3%	03/31/06	93.3%	03/31/06	95.6%	03/31/06	77.3%	03/31/06	87.9%	03/31/06	94.5%
	06/30/06	84.6%	06/30/06	85.7%	06/30/06	91.9%	06/30/06	93.7%	06/30/06	90.2%	06/30/06	75.9%	06/30/06	95.0%