

---

2023

Stroke Advisory Board  
Legislative Report

---

January - December 2023

---

Approved by Colorado Stroke Advisory Board on 11/14/2023

Costroke.org

---

# Table of Contents

## Table of Contents


Introduction .....	2
Priorities for 2023.....	2
Statewide Stroke Systems of Care .....	6
Improving Rural Stroke Care .....	7
Post-Acute Care Systems.....	9
Stroke Advisory Board Structure .....	10
Care and Treatment of Stroke.....	12
Care Coordination .....	12
Prevention and Treatment.....	13
Data Registry.....	15
Appendices .....	16
Stroke Data Measures .....	16
EMS Toolkit.....	18
Hospital Toolkit.....	20
Colorado Stroke Advisory Board Members.....	27

# Introduction

The Stroke Advisory Board is a governor-appointed board that makes recommendations to the legislature each January on how to improve stroke care in Colorado. 25-3-115 C.R.S originally created the Board in 2013. However, in June of 2020 house bill 20-1397 withdrew the Board's administrative support provided by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, creating the need to develop a new platform for the Board to function. This transition does make it more difficult for the Board to meet its directives and decreases its ability to collaborate and impact care in communities.

The Board recommends a statewide system of care for stroke that addresses local, regional and statewide resources that support the full continuum of care which includes prevention, acute treatment and rehabilitation.

The Board's recommendation for a statewide system includes five elements, each essential to its overall function. The proposed model for a statewide system of care is comprehensive and customized to meet Colorado's unique needs. It has the potential to obtain better care outcomes, be more cost effective, and be more beneficial to Colorado's citizens than other existing statewide systems of care for stroke. This report contains the Board's recommendations and addresses legislative implications.



## Priorities for 2023

- Facilitate access to best practice guidelines and information about stroke care for rural health care facilities in Colorado
- Encourage development of systems of care for patients needing post-acute stroke care
- Continue developing a statewide system of collecting aggregate stroke data including acute care data, pre-hospital EMS data, and registry data with a mechanism for data-driven quality improvement
- Improve messaging to our stakeholders regarding stroke and stroke treatment

# Introduction

On May 24, 2013, Gov. John Hickenlooper signed 25-3-115, C.R.S. into law and it was revised in May 2018 to extend the Stroke Advisory Board until Sept. 1, 2028. This legislation formed and instructed the Stroke Advisory Board to make recommendations to improve stroke care in Colorado and specifically address the following issues:

- Creation of a state database or registry consisting of data on stroke care
- Public access to aggregated data
- Treatment and prevention of stroke using evidence-based practice
- Rural and urban care coordination
- Whether stroke designation is necessary to ensure quality care


The Board is made up of 18 governor-appointed members and one ex-officio member from the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). The legislation for the Board is located in Appendix 6. Board members who contributed to this annual report are listed in Appendix 4.

In May 2017, the legislature adopted Senate Joint Resolution 17-027, located in Appendix 5, which recognized the need to expand access to effective stroke care through education and support for providers. The Board found that the resolution aligned with the legislation that directs its works.

Board meetings were facilitated by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment. On June 30, 2020, Gov. Jared Polis signed revised 25-3-115, C.R.S., absolving the Department from providing any financial or administrative support related to operations of the Board. This has hampered the ability of the Board to work effectively and continue its mandate to improve stroke care in Colorado.

Stroke continues to be a problem in Colorado representing 2,093 deaths in 2022 (figure 1).


Guidelines and treatment protocols for acute stroke care are changing rapidly.<sup>1</sup> The Board continues to work diligently to adapt these standards to Colorado by developing recommendations to improve both the quality of and access to stroke care.



# Introduction

Acute stroke treatment is time-sensitive and requires early recognition, intervention and rehabilitation to improve outcomes. Approximately 2 million brain cells die every minute the brain is deprived of oxygen. The goal of acute stroke treatment is to restore blood flow to vulnerable and dying areas of the brain. Every 10 minutes of faster administration of an intravenous “clot busting” medication translates to 2 more weeks of disability free life.<sup>2</sup> The majority of strokes that result in moderate to severe disability or death are due to large vessel occlusions. For these patients, every 10 minutes of faster clot retrieval by an interventionalist results in 40 days more of disability free life.<sup>3</sup> These facts reinforce the age-old truth for stroke, *time is brain and minutes matter*.

In Colorado, stroke is now the 6<sup>th</sup> leading cause of death, as of January 2023, down previously from 5<sup>th</sup> as COVID-19 has now become a leading cause of death. Stroke remains an overwhelming cause of long-term disability. Race and income disparities contribute to higher stroke incidence and mortality rates. In Colorado, disparities in the Southeastern part of the state causes disproportionately more strokes. (Figure 2 ). This problem is aggravated by the concentration of acute stroke centers along the front range, which translates to longer treatment times in those counties where the stroke incidence is highest (Figure 3). The coordination of and access to acute stroke care in these rural counties is critically important.



**Figure 2.** Rate of Colorado residents diagnosed with ischemic stroke per 100,000 by RETACs.

# Introduction




Figure 3. Location of stroke Centers in Colorado

The COVID-19 pandemic which began in 2020 and has continued to be relevant in 2023 has brought unprecedented challenges to Colorado as it has for the entire nation. During the pandemic, it has become clear that COVID-19 itself posed an increased risk for the development of strokes. Numerous studies have characterized increased risk of stroke in COVID-19 patients as they develop a proclivity to form blood clots.

This report outlines the foundation and infrastructure of a voluntarily driven system of care for stroke that focuses on delivering the best possible care to each person affected by stroke in Colorado.

## References

1. Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, et al. Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke: 2019 Update to the 2018 Guidelines for the Early Management of Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2019;50:e344-e418.
2. Meretoja A, Keshtkaran M, Saver JL, et al. Stroke thrombolysis: save a minute, save a day. *Stroke* 2014;45:1053-1058.
3. Meretoja A, Keshtkaran M, Tatlisumak T, Donnan GA, Churilov L. Endovascular therapy for ischemic stroke: Save a minute-save a week. *Neurology* 2017;88:2123-2127.

# Statewide Stroke Systems of Care

Currently, there is no formal statewide system of care for stroke in Colorado. The Board recommends the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (the Department) facilitate a formal and voluntary system of care that incorporates support for facilities that are not nationally certified as a stroke center. The Board will continue to develop a plan and process for a voluntary system of care.

The Board recommends that administrative support be restored and the board be granted a more active role in supporting a formal and voluntary system of care for stroke.





Figure 4. This diagram illustrates the recommended collaborative interaction between facilities, agencies, the Department and the Stroke Advisory Board. This model is similar to other states that facilitate a system and utilize an advisory board for subject matter expertise.

# Statewide Stroke Systems of Care

## Improving Rural Stroke Care

One of the needs that the stroke advisory board has recognized is the need for more robust care in the rural environment.

The acute management for ischemic stroke is time dependent as 2 million brain cells die every minute without blood and oxygen. Acute interventions include intravenous “clot busting” medication known as IV thrombolysis and use of procedures to retrieve clots known as mechanical thrombectomy. These interventions restore blood flow to the brain and can prevent permanent disability. These treatments have been shown to provide significant benefit for patients in multiple trials. However, in Colorado certified stroke centers which can deliver the most comprehensive stroke care are concentrated along the Front Range and in large metropolitan areas. Meanwhile, the highest incidence of strokes per capita is located in less densely populated areas in the Southeastern plains (Figure 1). However, research documenting these barriers to acute stroke care for rural Colorado does not exist.



**Figure 5.** Map of Colorado. Rate of Ischemic stroke incidence in 2016 per 100,000 people adjusted for age by 11 Regional Emergency Medical and Trauma Services Advisory Councils (RETACs). Certified stroke centers are indicated with black dots.

# Statewide Stroke Systems of Care

The Colorado Stroke Advisory Board is collaborating with the largest health care systems in the state to compare ischemic stroke outcomes and treatment times for patients treated in rural and urban hospitals in Colorado. This analysis includes patient admissions occurring from January 2016 until December 2021. Important patient characteristics including age, sex, and ethnicity will be included in this study as well to help potentially identify other disparities in stroke care in Colorado. Hospital characteristics including location(urban/rural), service (acute, critical access), and stroke certification will be included in this study. The primary outcome evaluated will look at the total time for treatment of stroke. The overarching purpose of this study is to help identify disparities in care for patients in the rural versus urban setting along with other patient characteristics that lead to treatment disparities.

As of this report, data review is ongoing. However, the Board has identified several areas where we think we already can impact stroke care in rural facilities. These recommendations are listing in the chart below.

Recommendations for Improving Rural Stroke Care	Legislative Implications
Implementing review of data and data registries for treatment disparities in rural health care for stroke.	Legislation would be required to reinstate financial support and creation of data registry for stroke
Encourage rural facilities in Colorado to engage with American Heart Association/American Stroke Association (AHA/ASA) for rural facilities to enroll in no-cost Get With The Guidelines (GWTG) Stroke modules.	None
Disseminate information to our treatment partners including the State Emergency Medical and Trauma Services Advisory Council as part of CDPHE	None
Explore further ways to integrate use of data registries with payment incentive models	Legislation would be required for resources to develop a central data registry for stroke data

# Statewide Stroke Systems of Care

## Post-Acute Care Systems

Another aspect of the systems of care that the Board has identified needs are in the post-acute care setting. This refers to access to care after an acute hospitalization. This includes not only access to rehabilitation and skilled nursing facilities but also ongoing care such as home health, outpatient therapies, mental health care, and support groups.

Recommendations for Improving Post-Acute Stroke Care Systems	Legislative Implications
Improve access to post-acute stroke care including prolonged therapy services, social support, mental health awareness, and caregiver support	Legislation may be needed to allow payment for these services
Improve coordination amongst networks providing post-acute care including therapies and resources for patients after leaving the acute treatment setting, especially with regard to rural patients and non-English speaking patients	Legislation may be needed to allow payment for these services
Explore payer gaps that currently exist in post-acute care settings	Legislation would be required to ensure patients have coverage for post-acute care needs
Encourage creation of support groups for stroke survivors and caregivers, especially in rural and underserved areas of Colorado	None
Encourage incentives be put in place for physical/occupational/speech therapy, mental health, and other post-acute care services for underserved areas in Colorado	Legislation may be needed to provide appropriate incentives

### Future Priorities

- Develop a platform for a voluntary system of care facilitated by the Department
- Develop a plan that engages participation from all facilities providing stroke care
- Explore impacts of diversity and health equity in stroke care in Colorado

# Stroke Advisory Board Structure

In June of 2020, the Board was made aware of legislative changes that removed financial support of the Board from the state’s budget via House Bill 20-1397 (Appendix 6). This change meant that the support from Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (the Department) would no longer be provided. All board members serve voluntarily after receiving their appointment from the Governor and rely heavily on administrative support and neutral facilitation from the Department to continue to serve Colorado’s needs and improve stroke care. The impact of this time critical condition has on Coloradans cannot be overstated and it is crucial that this system of care continue its work to advance care across the state.

Recommendations for the Stroke Advisory Board	Legislative Implications
<p><b>Restore and expand financial support for the Board and the stroke system of care.</b></p> <p><b>The scope of the impact of HB 20-1397 includes the following:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Department support provided facilitation, a neutral platform for physical and virtual meeting space, supported collaboration, and accomplished engagement with various stakeholder groups.</li> <li>• The Department has also previously provided key navigation through government processes to ensure the Board’s ability to achieve deliverables assigned in statute including membership and annual report submission to the legislature.</li> <li>• The restoration of administrative resources for the Board would mean that the very limited time that the members of the volunteer Board have available will be focused on substantive, statewide stroke system of care changes instead of also being burdened with the administrative duties.</li> </ul>	<p>Legislation would be required to restore administrative resources.</p>
<p><b>Change the membership of the Stroke Advisory Board to:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Edit the primary care physician seat to include advanced practice practitioners and remove the Board certification listed because currently, there are no board certifications that match the description in the legislation</li> <li>• Change the second national stroke association representative to representative from a Regional Medical Director’s group</li> <li>• Change the representative of a statewide association of physicians to a medical insurer or payer</li> </ul>	<p>Legislation would be required to modify the membership.</p>

# Stroke Advisory Board Structure

Continued permission be granted for the Board to have access to aggregate data and disseminated best practices.

<p>The Board is already instructed to gather information and make recommendations for treatment standards. The Board also recognizes the need to make these evidence-based recommendations available to facilities, agencies, and all of Colorado and will do so by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Finalizing and disseminating toolkits for facilities, agencies and the community setting with standards for prevention and treatment.</li> <li>• Sharing existing resources that facilities, agencies, regions and community partners can use to meet the evidence-based recommendations.</li> <li>• Aggregating data from acute care hospitals, pre hospital EMS data, and registry data</li> <li>• Data will be kept current and maintained on a website.</li> </ul>	<p>None</p>
<p>The Board recommends it have access to aggregate case data for the purpose of providing data-driven recommendations, statewide and national benchmarking, and the ability to perform quality improvement initiatives.</p>	<p>Enabling legislation and administrative resources would be required to gather, analyze, and develop reports for the Board's review and action.</p>

## Future Priorities

- Restore administrative resources for the Board and the stroke system of care
- Consider legislation creating an office of stroke management in CDPHE to support stroke care in the state of Colorado
- Revise Board membership to include representation of Advanced Practice Practitioner

## Care Coordination

The Board recognizes gaps in the continuum of care and the need for statewide initiatives to meet unique challenges in rural and urban areas. The following recommendations address needs and challenges in each of Colorado’s Regional Emergency Medical and Trauma Advisory Councils (RETACs).

Recommendations for Care Coordination	Legislative Implications
<p>The following recommendations are to improve care coordination between the prehospital and hospital settings.</p>	
<p>Toolkits to help EMS and hospitals meet evidence-based prevention and treatment guidelines and performance improvement initiatives in the community, prehospital, hospital and recovery settings.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Toolkits provide guidance across the continuum of care and each toolkit is specific to a target audience including the community, EMS, hospital, and recovery settings.</li> <li>• Toolkits have guidance for the target audience on topics including primary prevention, secondary prevention, stroke identification, stroke patient transport, acute treatment, rehabilitation, and emotional support for stroke survivors and caregivers.</li> <li>• These toolkits include the Board’s recommendations for best practices to identify stroke, transport patients, evidence-based treatment guidelines, recovery, secondary prevention, data participation and quality improvement initiatives. Please refer the toolkits in the appendix for specific treatment recommendations.</li> </ul>	None
<p>The following recommendations are to improve coordination between facilities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support more robust communication and interface platforms which could be universally used amongst all healthcare providers across the continuum of stroke care.</li> <li>• Continue development and reimbursement for HIPAA compliant and cost-effective communication methods to improve access to care. These currently include web conference sessions, telehealth, telestroke, imaging services, and phone consultation.</li> <li>• Improve access to quality care with improved reimbursement for inpatient and outpatient rehabilitation, restorative and adaptive rehabilitation, patient-centered rehabilitation care plans, and traveling providers serving rural areas.</li> </ul>	Legislation and funding support needed for development of robust communication platforms

### Future Priorities

- Identify further barriers to both the dissemination and adoption of EMS and hospital toolkits
- Continue engaging with the prehospital community and RETACS for feedback by attending meetings and conferences
- Collaborate with the State Emergency Medical and Trauma Council (SEMTAC) Prevention Committee for enhancing stroke prevention awareness
- Support plans for communication of stroke capability services to EMS

# Care and Treatment of Stroke

## Prevention and Treatment

The Board is taking an innovative approach to address prevention with consistent messaging in different settings. The Board has included how to identify stroke, maximize recovery and prevent subsequent strokes or other complications from stroke as a part of its prevention initiatives. The Board is partnering with other organizations to stress the recognition of stroke symptoms and expeditious access to stroke care.

The Board continually reviews recommended treatment standards to align with evidence-based guidelines. The Board developed a hospital toolkit to provide templates, guidance and resources to help facilities, agencies and regions meet the Board’s evidence-based recommendations. The toolkits are designed to disseminate evidence-based treatment standards to achieve facility and state-level performance improvement. The Board works to develop recommendations that are reasonable, helpful and effective for facilities with varying stroke treatment capabilities in all of Colorado’s diverse areas. The prehospital toolkit can be found in Appendix 2 and the hospital toolkit is available in Appendix 3.

Recommendations for Prevention and Treatment	Legislative implications
The Board recommends consistent messaging to the general public in the community, hospital and recovery setting.	
Public education messaging for stroke should focus on survival rates and the importance of timely treatment for stroke <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Public messaging should help identify stroke using the Balance, Eyes, Face, Arm, Speech, Time (BE FAST) assessment or similar tool and call 911 when a stroke is suspected</li> <li>• Emphasize the importance of seeking care immediately for sudden onset of stroke symptoms, even during a pandemic.</li> </ul>	None
The hospital toolkit should help the following types of facilities meet evidence-based treatment guidelines.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Facilities that do not have intravenous thrombolytic capabilities (also known as alteplase, tPA, tenecteplase or clot dissolving medication)</li> <li>• Facilities that can treat acute ischemic stroke with intravenous thrombolytic therapy but do not provide inpatient care for stroke patients</li> <li>• Facilities that can treat and admit acute ischemic stroke patients</li> <li>• Facilities that provide endovascular therapy</li> </ul>	None
The Stroke Advisory Board should be available to provide assistance with resources for education, training and performance improvement related to stroke assessments, triage, transport, treatment, recovery and prevention. This includes ongoing ability to disseminate public messaging regarding prevention and treatment of stroke, such as on the Board’s website or in other media.	Legislation is not required but would be beneficial to add these components to the scope of the Board’s work. This board’s main charge is to make recommendations to the legislature and it does not include an advisory role to the Department.

# Care and Treatment of Stroke

## Future Priorities

- Standardize public education for recognition of stroke symptoms and seeking immediate care
- Explore evidence-based guidelines for intravenous thrombolytic therapy treatment rate goals
- Explore evidence-based guidelines for endovascular therapy treatment rate goals

# Data Registry

Meaningful data reporting and analysis are essential for quality improvement. The Board has previously reviewed claims data from hospital discharge data and found it is insufficient for system-level performance improvement. There are barriers in data review including access to stroke-specific data, linking hospital and EMS data, and obtaining functional outcomes data. To address the above barriers to accessing data, the Board recommends department support for development and implementation of facility and system level performance improvement in Colorado.

Recommendations for a Data Registry	Legislative implications
<p>The Board recommends a statewide system of support with a mechanism for data-driven quality improvement. This requires the Department to gain access to stroke data. With access to data, any facility could request assistance and the Department could then provide facility and patient blinded data for the Board to review and develop recommendations. In order to perform quality improvement, the Board recommends the Department have access to analyze and develop reports with the recommended prehospital, hospital, and rehabilitation data.</p>	
<p>The Board recommends that facilities performing endovascular therapy procedures report to a national registry that includes metrics on stroke-specific endovascular procedures for the purpose of evidence-based practice compliance tracking at the facility level. The Board recommends we obtain aggregated data from national partners and registries such as the American Stroke Association for the purpose of benchmarking and quality improvement.</p>	<p>The Department would need to be granted statutory authority and resources to access data, create reports, and provide reports for the Board to review.</p>
<p>The Board recommends that nationally certified stroke centers report to a national stroke registry. The Board recommends we obtain aggregated data from national partners and registries such as the American Stroke Association for the purpose of benchmarking and quality improvement.</p>	
<p>The Board recommends facilities that are not nationally certified as a stroke center to internally track stroke data for quality improvement purposes using the data template as a guide (Appendix 1).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Board encourages these facilities to participate in collaborative groups, such as the stroke coordinators group, for the purpose of benchmarking and quality improvement locally and regionally.</li> <li>2. Eventually, the Board supports development of a secure state repository for this data to be analyzed and reported out to facilities, and aggregate reporting to the SAB, for statewide benchmarking and quality improvement.</li> </ol>	

## Future Priorities

- Encourage the Legislature to grant authority to CDPHE to collect outcome data regarding stroke care in Colorado, similar to the cardiac arrest management registry established by HB22-1251

# Appendix 1: Stroke Data Measures

## Stroke Data Measures

**PURPOSE:** A stroke database is a tool for facilities to track stroke alerts and stroke patients who present to the facility. It helps to monitor stroke care aligned with national best practices and identify areas for performance improvement.

### POPULATION OF INTEREST:

#### Inclusion Criteria:

1. Any suspected acute stroke or stroke alert.
2. Patients who present for treatment related to an acute stroke including: TIA, or stroke (including ischemic stroke, intracerebral hemorrhage and subarachnoid hemorrhage) at participating acute care facilities.

#### Exclusion Criteria:

1. No clinical indication of acute stroke or TIA
2. History of TIA or stroke not related to this encounter
3. Newborns

Any stroke alert or suspected stroke patient should be logged in this database. Patients that report having or may have a history of stroke should not be entered. This is intended to capture patients that present to this facility for treatment related to an acute stroke.

Recommended stroke metrics	Definition
Unique stroke incident #	This is a facility defined tracking number for each stroke visit. This number is unique to a stroke incident, not a patient.
Patient identifier	The facility defined identification code for a patient.
Stroke alert type	Information on whether there was notification of a potential stroke patient prior to hospital arrival
EMS stroke scale	Stroke scale EMS used to assess the patient. (e.g. FAST ED, VANS, etc)
EMS stroke scale score	Results of the stroke severity assessment
EMS last known well date	Date the patient is most recently reported as being well, without potential stroke symptoms
EMS last known well time	Time the patient is most recently reported as being well, without stroke symptoms
Hospital Arrival Date	Date patient arrived at the facility
Hospital Arrival Time	The type of Trauma Team activation that occurred at the Trauma Center of Record.
Arrival Mode	How the patient was transported to the facility
Hospital last known well date	Date the patient is most recently reported as being well, without potential stroke symptoms
Hospital last known well time	Time the patient was last well, without stroke, best estimate

# Appendix 1: Stroke Data Measures

<b>Final diagnosis type</b>	Final determination of type of stroke (e.g. ischemic, intracerebral hemorrhage, etc)
<b>Reason for no thrombolytic therapy</b>	Provide a reason that thrombolytic therapy was not administered.
<b>Thrombolytic therapy administered</b>	Provide information on what treatment was provided.
<b>Thrombolytic date</b>	Date thrombolytics were administered.
<b>Thrombolytic time</b>	Time thrombolytics were administered.
<b>Door to thrombolytics time in minutes</b>	The amount of time it took to provide thrombolytic treatment from when the patient arrived at the hospital.
<b>Symptom onset to thrombolytics time in minutes</b>	Time from symptoms onset started until thrombolytics were given
<b>Thrombolytic complications</b>	Complications from thrombolytic administration
<b>Transfer reason</b>	Reason patient transferred to another facility.
<b>Transfer date</b>	Date patient left this facility.
<b>Transfer time</b>	Time patient left this facility.
<b>Modified Rankin score</b>	Patient functional score when the patient left this facility, may be estimated based on therapy, nursing or provider assessments
<b>Patient discharge disposition</b>	Where the patient was discharged to.

## EMS Toolkit

[Stroke triage and transport plans](#). The Board is available to provide additional guidance and recommends each Regional Emergency Medical and Trauma Advisory Council (RETAC) and agency develop plans that address the following.

- Stroke assessment tool- Current recommendation is [BE FAST \(Balance Eyes Face Arms Speech Time\)](#) and consider sudden, severe headache with unknown cause.
  - If an ischemic stroke (including Large Vessel Occlusion LVO) is suspected, the patient may be a candidate for thrombolytic therapy if the time from symptom onset is less than 4.5 hours
  - Hospitals should provide urgent diagnostic testing including CT and/or MRI tests
  - Stroke assessment implementation plan
  - Stroke assessment competency for providers annually
  - Evaluate the stroke assessment annually to determine if the selected assessment is still appropriate
- LVO screening tool if the stroke assessment is positive. The Board does not recommend a specific assessment at this time but does recommend the following:
  - If a large vessel occlusion is suspected, the patient may be a candidate for thrombectomy if time from symptom onset is less than 24 hours
  - If time from symptom onset is more than 24 hours, the patient should be treated at the closest, most appropriate facility
  - An LVO assessment tool should be selected with EMS, hospital and RETAC input
  - Once an assessment is selected, a quality improvement project utilizing EMS and hospital data should assess the selected tool's success based on sensitivity and specificity
  - Once the tool earns EMS and hospital endorsement, a collaborative implementation plan with EMS, hospitals and RETACs should include:
    - EMS and hospital provider education with pre/post competency testing
    - Annual competency testing for providers
    - Annual evaluation to determine if the tool is still appropriate
- Notification from the field of a stroke alert based on prehospital provider assessment and clinical judgement should include:
  - Last known well date and time
  - Contact information for a witness, family member or friend for consent and history
- Defined patient transport criteria for:
  - Ground/air transportation
  - Criteria for when lights and sirens are appropriate
  - Patient transport plans that avoid unnecessary bypass and multiple transfers

## Appendix 2: EMS toolkit

The Board encourages EMS personnel to report the following information in the Patient Care Report (PCR) into discrete National EMS Information System (NEMSIS) fields and not into narrative fields:

Field name	NEMSIS field code	Recommended selection
Provider impression	eSituation e.11, e.12	CVA/Stroke
Stroke screening tool and score	eVital.30, eVitals 29	FAST or BE FAST (not available)
Stroke alert from the field	eDisposition.25	Yes/No
Last known well date/time	eSituation.18	
Symptom onset date/time	eSituation.01	
Stroke severity tool and score	eVitals.30- available in NEMSIS 3.5	FAST ED

To improve care coordination and quality improvement, the Board recommends EMS and hospitals work together to:

- Recommended quality improvement initiatives for when stroke is suspected:
  1. CVA/Stroke is selected for provider primary impression
  2. Stroke assessment is performed
  3. Stroke score is documented
  4. Hospital is alerted and the alert is documented in the PCR
- Educate hospital and EMS providers together on stroke pathophysiology
- Timely reporting (as agreed by EMS and hospitals) of [EMS stroke metrics](#) to the hospital
- Hospitals are encouraged to use Hospital Hub to access EMS data
- Timely [feedback to EMS](#) (as agreed by EMS and hospitals) on each stroke patient

# Appendix 3: Hospital toolkit

## Hospital Toolkit

The Board has developed the following evidence-based recommendations and is developing a toolkit to provide additional guidance to meet the current recommendations.

To improve care coordination, the Board recommends EMS and hospitals work together on the following initiatives.

- EMS to report recommended metrics in the PCR to hospitals (see EMS toolkit)
- Hospitals to provide feedback to EMS
- EMS may choose to enter records directly into the state Imagetrend Elite platform or import the records from a vendor, which will cause some delay
- Hospitals can view information as soon as it enters the Imagetrend platform, Contact [Scott.beckley@state.co.us](mailto:Scott.beckley@state.co.us) for more information
- Report timely information as agreed between EMS and hospitals

The following example of a feedback template is available as a reference for the purpose of education and quality improvement.

Date:

EMS Agency:

Dear EMS provider, thank you for participating in the Stroke Alert Program at [FACILITY NAME] Your patient [PATIENT GENDER AND BIRTHDATE] was brought to the Emergency Department on [DATEOF EVENT] with symptoms of a possible stroke. EMS report feedback, clinical findings and ultimate diagnoses were as follows:

EMS: Prehospital notification	[YES OR NO]
EMS: Accurate last known well time provided	[VALIDATE EMS LKW TIME OR PROVIDE FEEDBACK ON ACTUAL TIME]
EMS: Family/friend contact for consent/history	[YES OR NO]
EMS: Stroke assessment tool utilized	[CPSS or FAST ED or other LVO screening tool]
Facility: Initial NIHSS	[ACTUAL SCORE]
Facility: Diagnosis and interventions	[INCLUDES DOOR TO NEEDLE (tPA) AND DOOR TO SKIN PUNCTURE TIMES TIMES, IF APPLICABLE OR SIMPLY TREATED/NOT TREATED. IF NOT TREATED, GIVE REASON]
Facility: Discharge disposition	[HOME, SNF, REHAB, ETC.]
EMS and Facility: Best Practices	[FEEDBACK AND SHARING OF GOOD INFORMATION]

What went well?

Any educational opportunities identified?

The Emergency Medicine services at [FACILITY NAME] wish to thank you for transporting your patient to us, it is our goal to provide excellent treatment to all of our patients. We value the trust you place in our hearts. If you have any further questions about this case, please do not hesitate to contact your EMS Liaison, [CONTACT INFORMATION]

Sincerely,

Stroke coordinator

# Appendix 3: Hospital toolkit

## For facilities that do not have IV thrombolytic capabilities:

- ❑ Provide community education to recognize stroke using BE FAST and call 911:
  - BE FAST education materials ([BE FAST public video](#))
  - Common and controllable risk factors for stroke
    - ✓ Diet
    - ✓ Smoking
    - ✓ Diabetes
    - ✓ Physical inactivity
    - ✓ Overweight (obesity)
    - ✓ Substance use (drugs, alcohol, marijuana)
    - ✓ Transient Ischemic Attack (TIA)
    - ✓ High blood pressure (hypertension)
    - ✓ High cholesterol (hyperlipidemia)
    - ✓ Heart disease including irregular heart rhythm (atrial fibrillation)
- ❑ Educate staff to recognize signs and symptoms of stroke:
  - [BE FAST education materials](#)  
[Competency checklist](#) (create link to downloadable pdf)  
Implementation, annual evaluation, yearly competency
  - An LVO assessment tool should be selected with EMS, hospital and RETAC input. Once an assessment is selected, a quality improvement project utilizing EMS and hospital data should assess the selected tool's success based on sensitivity and specificity. If the tool earns EMS and hospital endorsement, a collaborative implementation plan with EMS, hospitals and RETACs should include:
    - ✓ EMS and hospital provider education with pre/post competency testing
    - ✓ annual competency testing for providers
    - ✓ annual evaluation to determine if the tool is still appropriate.
- ❑ Plan for rapid patient transfer to defined higher level of care (120 min. goal)  
Receiving facility contact and consult information  
Provide the following to the receiving facility:
  - ✓ Medical History
  - ✓ Medications
  - ✓ Last Known Well time
  - ✓ CT images by electronic file or disc
  - ✓ Labs
  - ✓ Contact information for a patient representative
- ❑ Promote a standardized stroke assessment tool for staff, such as the [NIHSS](#)
- ❑ Have a Performance improvement plan for stroke that includes tracking the following applicable performance improvement measures:
  - ✓ Door to CT
  - ✓ Door in/Door out < 120 minutes

# Appendix 3: Hospital toolkit

## For facilities that can treat acute ischemic stroke with IV thrombolytic therapy but do not provide inpatient care for stroke patients:

- ❑ Provide community education to recognize stroke using [BE FAST](#) and call 911
- ❑ Educate staff to recognize signs and symptoms of stroke ([BE FAST](#))
- ❑ Promote a standardized stroke assessment tool for staff, such as the [NIHSS](#)
- ❑ Have a Stroke transfer guideline that includes destination options and contact information for a patient representative
- ❑ Have a treatment plan for stroke patients including:
  - Stroke services scope of care
  - Stroke alert guideline
    - ✓ alerts within the facility-defined IV thrombolytic treatment window
    - ✓ alerts outside the facility-defined IV thrombolytic treatment window
    - ✓ process for expedited arrival to brain imaging, interpretation, treatment
  - Stroke treatment algorithm
  - [Thrombolytic therapy guideline](#) with thrombolytic therapy readily available
  - Order sets
  - Plan for access to expert consultation (i.e., in person, by phone, by telestroke, etc.)
- Have a performance improvement plan including:
  - Sharing stroke metrics with tertiary facility
  - Document and track follow-up from tertiary facility
  - Data collection and analysis, [see data template](#)
  - EMS feedback, [see feedback template](#)
  - Create and use gap analyses
  - Recommended performance improvement projects:
    - ✓ Door to CT
    - ✓ Door to IV thrombolytic therapy time consistent with national best-practices
    - ✓ Door in/Door out < 120 minutes

# Appendix 3: Hospital toolkit

## For facilities that treat and may either admit or transfer ischemic stroke patients:

- Provide community education to recognize stroke using the [BE FAST](#) and call 911
- Educate staff to recognize signs and symptoms of stroke ([BE FAST](#))
- Promote [NIHSS](#) as the standardized stroke assessment tool for staff
- Have a stroke transfer guideline that includes destination options and contact information for a patient representative
- Have a treatment plan for stroke patients that includes:
  - ✓ Multidisciplinary care plan to address current impairment, outline expected progression through rehabilitation and recommendations for treatment after discharge that address physical, emotional, and psychosocial factors
  - ✓ Documented physical, occupation and speech therapy evaluations to determine impairments and rehabilitative needs of stroke survivors
  - ✓ Plan of care which includes engagement of the stroke survivor, family and caregiver(s) into the care team as early as possible
  - ✓ Documentation of a needs assessment or scheduled home needs assessment prior to discharge
  - ✓ Progressive education plan specific to activities of daily living (i.e., wheelchairs, splints, orthotics, etc.)
  - ✓ Stroke alert guideline
    - alerts within the facility-defined IV thrombolytic treatment window
    - alerts outside the facility-defined IV thrombolytic treatment window
    - process for expedited arrival to brain imaging, interpretation, treatment
    - Stroke treatment algorithm
  - ✓ [Alteplase guideline](#) with alteplase readily available
  - ✓ Order sets
  - ✓ Care coordination plan for access to expert consultation (in person, by phone, by telestroke, etc.)
- Discharge plan that includes patient and caregiver education:
  - The stroke continuum of care, current functional status using (Rankin score is recommended) and the next goal
  - Rehabilitation progression expectations
  - PCP follow-up post-acute stroke follow-up appointment.
  - Monitor and address mental and emotional health issues
  - Caregiver assistance, self-care and support
  - Referrals for appropriate resources in the stroke survivor's community
  - Secondary impairment and disability (Fall prevention, swallow dysfunction, etc.)
  - Information about [BE FAST](#) and importance of calling 911
  - Common and controllable risk factors for stroke
    - ✓ Diet
    - ✓ Smoking
    - ✓ Diabetes
    - ✓ Physical inactivity
    - ✓ Overweight (obesity)
    - ✓ Transient Ischemic Attack (TIA)
    - ✓ High blood pressure (hypertension)
    - ✓ High cholesterol (hyperlipidemia)
    - ✓ Blood thinner medications (anticoagulation)
    - ✓ Heart disease including irregular heart rhythm (atrial fibrillation)
    - ✓ Substance use (drugs, alcohol, marijuana)

# Appendix 3: Hospital toolkit

- Performance improvement plan including:
  - Data recommendation participation in a national registry or [data template](#).
  - Formal [EMS feedback](#) on stroke patient outcomes, agreed upon by the hospital, EMS and RETAC
  - Share stroke metrics with tertiary facility
  - Document and track follow-up information from tertiary facility
  - Create and use gap analyses
  - Recommended performance improvement projects:
    - ✓ Door to CT
    - ✓ Door to IV thrombolytic therapy time consistent with national best-practices
    - ✓ Door in/Door out < 120 minutes

# Appendix 3: Hospital toolkit

## For facilities that provide endovascular services, all previous criteria apply:

- Provide community education to recognize stroke using the [BE FAST](#) and call 911
- Educate staff and EMS to recognize signs and symptoms of stroke ([BE FAST](#))
- Provide [NIHSS](#) certification for hospital professionals
- Have a Stroke transfer guideline that includes destination options and patient representative contact information (e.g., a family member).
- Have a treatment plan for stroke patients that includes:
  - Stroke services scope of care including:
    - ✓ Multidisciplinary care plan to address current impairment, outline expected progression through rehabilitation and recommendations for treatment after discharge that address physical, emotional, and psychosocial factors.
    - ✓ Perform physical, occupation and speech therapy evaluations to determine impairments and rehabilitative needs of stroke survivors.
    - ✓ Incorporate the stroke survivor, family and caregiver(s) into the care team as early as possible.
    - ✓ Perform or schedule a needs assessment of the home before discharge.
    - ✓ Provision of equipment and proper use education to increase independence in Activities of Daily Living and decrease further impairment (i.e., wheelchairs, splints, orthotics, etc.)
    - ✓ Plan for access to expert consultation (in person, by phone, by telestroke, etc.)endovascular services platform (schedule, staffing, equipment, education)
    - ✓ Ensure 24/7/365 capability or a plan to communicate with EMS and partner hospitals of availability schedule and any schedule changes
  - Stroke alert guideline addressing rapid assessment of:
    - ✓ Stroke alerts within the facility-defined IV thrombolytic treatment window
    - ✓ Stroke alerts outside the facility-defined IV thrombolytic treatment window
    - ✓ Process for expedited arrival to brain imaging, interpretation, treatment
  - Stroke treatment algorithm(s) visually describing the processes
  - [Thrombolytic therapy guideline](#) with thrombolytic therapy readily available
  - Order sets for reliable treatment of ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes
  - Plan for access to expert consultation (i.e., in person, by phone, by telestroke, etc.)
- Have a Discharge plan that includes patient and caregiver education:
  - Stroke continuum of care, current functional status using (Rankin score is recommended) and the next goal.
  - Rehabilitation progression expectations
  - PCP post-acute stroke follow-up appointment.
  - Monitor and address mental and emotional health issues.
  - Caregiver burden, self-care and support.
  - Referrals for appropriate resources in the stroke survivor's community.
  - Secondary impairment and disability (Fall prevention, swallow dysfunction, etc.)
  - [BE FAST](#) and call 911
  - Common and controllable risk factors for stroke:
    - ✓ Diet
    - ✓ Smoking
    - ✓ Diabetes
    - ✓ Physical inactivity
    - ✓ Overweight (obesity)
    - ✓ Transient Ischemic Attack (TIA)
    - ✓ High blood pressure (hypertension)

## Appendix 3: Hospital toolkit

- ✓ High cholesterol (hyperlipidemia)
- ✓ Blood thinner medications (anticoagulation)
- ✓ Heart disease including irregular heart rhythm (atrial fibrillation)
- ✓ Substance use (drugs, alcohol, marijuana)
- Have a performance improvement plan
  - Data recommendation: national registry including endovascular therapy metrics
  - Formal [EMS feedback](#) on stroke patient outcomes, agreed upon by the hospital, EMS and RETAC
  - Share stroke metrics with tertiary facility
  - Document and track follow-up information from tertiary facility
  - Create and use gap analyses
  - Recommended performance improvement projects:
    - ✓ Door to IV thrombolytic therapy time consistent with national best-practices
    - ✓ Door-to-recanalization time that is consistent with national best-practices

# Appendix 4: Stroke Advisory Board Membership

## Colorado Stroke Advisory Board Members

### Matthew Angelidis, MD

Colorado Springs

Term expires 08-01-23

Statewide association of emergency physicians

### Benjamin Atchie, DO

Denver

Term expires 08-01-23

Interventional neuroradiologist

### Jeff Beckman, MD

Golden

Term expires 08-01-25

CDPHE designee - ex officio

### Richard Bottner, DHA, PA-C, CPHQ

Denver

Term expires 08-01-25

Statewide hospital association representative

### David Case, MD, Co-chair

Greenwood Village

Term expires 08-01-23

Assistant professor of neurosurgery

### Emily Wilkinson

Aurora

Term expires 08-01-23

Rep of stroke rehab facility

### Brianna Fox, MD

Hugo

Term expires 08-01-25

Primary care physician

### Danielle Hagedorn, BSN, MBA, CPHQ

Longmont

Term Expires 08-01-23

Expert in stroke database management

### Kerri Jeppson, RN

Golden

Term expires 08-01-25

RN involved in stroke care

### Brian Kaiser, DO

Fort Collins

Term expires 08-01-23

Statewide association of physicians

# Appendix 4: Stroke Advisory Board Membership

**Michelle Leppert, MD, MBA, Chair emeritus**  
Englewood  
Term expires 08-01-25  
Board-certified in vascular neurology

**Heather Morris**  
Stratton  
Term expires 08-01-23  
Rural hospital administrator

**Kayla Garriott, MS, OTR/L, C/NDT**  
Lone Tree  
Term expires 08-01-23  
Occupational therapist involved in stroke care

**Sharon Poisson, MD, MAS**  
Denver  
Term expires 08-01-23  
National stroke association

**Chris Mulberry, NR-P**  
Henderson  
Term expires 08-01-25  
Emergency medical service provider

**Wesley Reynolds, MD, FAAN, Chair**  
Denver  
Term expires 08-01-24  
Rural area board-certified neurologist

**John Savage, CMPE, PMP**  
Aurora  
Term expires 08-01-23  
Caregiver of a person impacted by stroke

**Vijay Subbarao, MD, FAAC**  
Cherry Hills Village  
Term expires 08-01-23  
National cardiovascular association

**Sara Minott, RN, MHA**  
Denver  
Urban area hospital administrator

## **Acknowledgements:**

*Non-voting members who have contributed to this report*

**Deepa Trivedi, MPH**  
Administrative Liaison

**Savannah Walker**  
Administrative Liaison

# Appendix 4: Stroke Advisory Board Membership

**Martin Duffy**

Colorado Department of Health and Environment

**Lisa Domenico**

Colorado Department of Health and Environment

**Christopher Looby**

Stroke Advisory Board Transformation Consultant

2017



## SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 17-027

BY SENATOR(S) Guzman and Tate, Aguilar, Baumgardner, Cooke, Coram, Court, Crowder, Donovan, Fenberg, Fields, Garcia, Gardner, Hill, Holbert, Jahn, Jones, Kagan, Kefalas, Kerr, Lambert, Lundberg, Marble, Martinez Humenik, Merrifield, Moreno, Neville T., Priola, Scott, Smallwood, Sonnenberg, Todd, Zenzinger, Grantham;  
also REPRESENTATIVE(S) Duran and Beckman, Arndt, Becker J., Becker K., Benavidez, Bridges, Buck, Buckner, Carver, Catlin, Coleman, Covarrubias, Danielson, Esgar, Everett, Exum, Foote, Garnett, Ginal, Gray, Hamner, Hansen, Herod, Hooton, Humphrey, Jackson, Kennedy, Kraft-Tharp, Landgraf, Lawrence, Lebsock, Lee, Leonard, Lewis, Liston, Lontine, Lundeen, McKean, McLachlan, Melton, Michaelson Jenet, Mitsch Bush, Navarro, Neville P., Nordberg, Pabon, Pettersen, Rankin, Ransom, Rosenthal, Saine, Salazar, Sias, Singer, Thurlow, Valdez, Van Winkle, Weissman, Willett, Williams D., Wilson, Winter, Wist, Young.

CONCERNING RECOGNITION OF THE NEED TO EXPAND  
ACCESS TO EFFECTIVE TREATMENT FOR STROKE PATIENTS.

WHEREAS, Strokes are a leading cause of death and long-term disability in the United States, costing more than 130,000 lives annually, including an average of 1,600 victims in Colorado alone; and

WHEREAS, A stroke can affect anyone at any age and at any time and can have devastating long-term effects if the victim is not treated immediately; and

WHEREAS, A stroke occurs when blood flow to an area of the brain is blocked by a clot or aneurysm, but certain specialized care has been proven to give stroke patients an excellent chance of survival and even full recovery; and

WHEREAS, Advancements in medical innovation have produced revolutionary treatments such as the tissue plasminogen activator and

# Appendix 5: Senate Joint Resolution

neuroendovascular surgery in which highly trained stroke surgeons, in conjunction with neurologists, treat patients suffering from a severe form of ischemic stroke by removing or dissolving the blood clot and ensuring the patients' survival while greatly reducing long-term disabilities; and

WHEREAS, When emergency medical technicians (EMTs) and other first responders are properly trained to assess stroke severity and then transport stroke patients to neuroendovascular-ready stroke centers capable of performing a mechanical thrombectomy twenty-four hours per day, seven days per week, 365 days per year (24/7/365), stroke patients who undergo neuroendovascular surgery can live up to five years longer than patients who do not receive this specialized treatment, while also saving up to up to \$23,000 over their lifetime from shorter hospital stays and fewer required therapies; and

WHEREAS, Only an estimated 10% of those stroke victims who would benefit from this specialized care are currently being properly assessed, triaged, and transported to these specialized 24/7/365 neuroendovascular-ready stroke centers to receive this lifesaving treatment; now, therefore,

*Be It Resolved by the Senate of the Seventy-first General Assembly of the State of Colorado, the House of Representatives concurring herein:*

That we, the Colorado General Assembly:

(1) Hereby recognize and applaud the significant progress being made by Colorado's medical community, including physicians, nurses, EMTs, and hospitals, to embrace new, effective treatments for stroke victims, including specialized care that involves the performance of neuroendovascular surgery;

(2) Continue our support of improvements for the emergency medical response time and transport of stroke victims for appropriate medical care because we believe this type of care is an urgent priority and recognize that further efforts are needed to improve these services;

(3) Strongly encourage the Department of Public Health and Environment to provide EMTs and first responders with the tools needed

# Appendix 5: Senate Joint Resolution

for the proper pre-hospital assessment and triage of stroke patients, which may include education about identifying stroke patients who may have an emergent large vessel occlusion that would necessitate transport to 24/7/365 neuroendovascular-ready stroke centers and for which geographic considerations can be designated by regional emergency medical services entities; and

(4) Encourage EMTs and other first responders to receive the proper education and training for the assessment and triage of stroke patients, along with being familiarized with 24/7/365 neuroendovascular-ready stroke centers and the Colorado Community College System to incorporate such education and training curricula into its existing program for the education and training of EMTs and other first responders so that this needed education and training is readily available.

*Be It Further Resolved,* That copies of this Joint Resolution be sent to Dr. Larry Wolk, Executive Director and Chief Medical Officer of the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment; the nine members of the Colorado Community College System's State Board for

# Appendix 5: Senate Joint Resolution

Community Colleges and Occupational Education; Governor John Hickenlooper; and Colorado's Congressional delegation.



Kevin J. Grantham  
PRESIDENT OF  
THE SENATE



Crisanta Duran  
SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE  
OF REPRESENTATIVES



Effie Ameen  
SECRETARY OF  
THE SENATE



Marilyn Eddins  
CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE  
OF REPRESENTATIVES

# Appendix 6: Stroke Advisory Board Legislation

NOTE: The governor signed this measure on 5/24/2013.



SENATE BILL 13-225

BY SENATOR(S) Giron, Guzman, Aguilar, Newell, Nicholson, Carroll, Heath, Kefalas, Todd, Morse;  
also REPRESENTATIVE(S) Ginal and Primavera, Schafer, Fields, Garcia, Hamner, Hullinghorst, Kraft-Tharp, Labuda, Rosenthal, Ryden, Vigil, Young.

CONCERNING THE DEVELOPMENT OF A SYSTEM TO IMPROVE QUALITY OF CARE TO PATIENTS SUFFERING SPECIFIED ACUTE INCIDENTS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, MAKING AN APPROPRIATION.

*Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:*

**SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 25-3-114, 25-3-115, and 25-3-116 as follows:

**25-3-114. STEMI task force - creation - membership - duties - report - repeal.** (1) (a) THERE IS HEREBY CREATED IN THE DEPARTMENT THE STEMI TASK FORCE. NO LATER THAN AUGUST 1, 2013, THE GOVERNOR SHALL APPOINT FIFTEEN MEMBERS TO THE TASK FORCE AS FOLLOWS:

(1) ONE MEMBER WHO IS A COLORADO RESIDENT REPRESENTING A NATIONAL ASSOCIATION WHOSE GOAL IS TO ELIMINATE CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AND STROKE;

# Appendix 6: Stroke Advisory Board Legislation

(II) ONE MEMBER WHO IS A CARDIOLOGIST PRACTICING IN THIS STATE;

(III) ONE MEMBER WHO IS AN INTERVENTIONAL CARDIOLOGIST PRACTICING IN THE WESTERN SLOPE AREA OF THE STATE;

(IV) ONE MEMBER WHO IS AN INTERVENTIONAL CARDIOLOGIST PRACTICING IN THE FRONT RANGE AREA OF THE STATE;

(V) ONE MEMBER REPRESENTING A STATEWIDE ASSOCIATION OF CARDIOLOGISTS;

(VI) ONE MEMBER REPRESENTING A STATEWIDE ASSOCIATION OF PHYSICIANS;

(VII) ONE MEMBER REPRESENTING A STATEWIDE HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION;

(VIII) ONE MEMBER REPRESENTING AN EMERGENCY PHYSICIANS ASSOCIATION;

(IX) ONE MEMBER WHO IS AN EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE PROVIDER, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 25-3.5-103 (8);

(X) ONE MEMBER WHO IS A REGISTERED NURSE INVOLVED IN CARDIAC CARE;

(XI) ONE HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATOR FROM A HOSPITAL LOCATED IN A RURAL AREA OF THE STATE;

(XII) ONE HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATOR FROM A HOSPITAL LOCATED IN AN URBAN AREA OF THE STATE;

(XIII) ONE MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC WHO HAS SUFFERED A STEMI HEART ATTACK; AND

(XIV) TWO MEMBERS WITH EXPERTISE IN CARDIOVASCULAR DATA REGISTRIES, ONE OF WHOM IS A CARDIOLOGIST.

PAGE 2-SENATE BILL 13-225

# Appendix 6: Stroke Advisory Board Legislation

(b) THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S DESIGNEE SHALL SERVE AS AN EX OFFICIO MEMBER OF THE TASK FORCE.

(c) MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE SERVE WITHOUT COMPENSATION AND ARE NOT ENTITLED TO REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED IN SERVING ON OR PERFORMING DUTIES OF THE TASK FORCE.

(2) (a) THE TASK FORCE SHALL STUDY AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DEVELOPING A STATEWIDE PLAN TO IMPROVE QUALITY OF CARE TO STEMI PATIENTS. IN CONDUCTING THE STUDY, THE TASK FORCE SHALL EXPLORE THE FOLLOWING ISSUES, WITHOUT LIMITATION:

(I) CREATION OF A STATE DATABASE OR REGISTRY CONSISTING OF DATA ON STEMI CARE THAT MIRRORS THE DATA HOSPITALS SUBMIT TO NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED ORGANIZATIONS;

(II) ACCESS TO AGGREGATED STEMI DATA, WHICH MUST EXCLUDE ANY IDENTIFYING OR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE REPORTING HOSPITAL OR PATIENTS TREATED BY THE HOSPITAL, FROM A STATE DATABASE THAT MAY BE DEVELOPED OR FROM A NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED ORGANIZATION;

(III) A PLAN THAT WOULD ENCOURAGE RURAL AND URBAN HOSPITALS TO COORDINATE SERVICES FOR THE NECESSARY REFERRAL OR RECEIPT OF PATIENTS REQUIRING STEMI CARE IN THE STATE; AND

(IV) THE CRITERIA USED BY NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED BODIES FOR DESIGNATING A HOSPITAL IN STEMI CARE AND WHETHER A DESIGNATION IS APPROPRIATE OR NEEDED TO ASSURE ACCESS TO THE BEST QUALITY CARE FOR COLORADO RESIDENTS WITH STEMI EVENTS.

(b) BY JANUARY 31, 2014, THE TASK FORCE SHALL SUBMIT AN INITIAL REPORT, AND BY JULY 31, 2015, THE TASK FORCE SHALL SUBMIT ITS FINAL REPORT, SPECIFYING ITS FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE OF THE SENATE, THE HEALTH, INSURANCE, AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, OR THEIR SUCCESSOR COMMITTEES, AND THE DEPARTMENT. THE TASK FORCE SHALL INCLUDE IN ITS REPORTS A RECOMMENDATION ON WHETHER A DESIGNATION OF A HOSPITAL IN STEMI

# Appendix 6: Stroke Advisory Board Legislation

CARE IS APPROPRIATE OR NEEDED TO ASSURE ACCESS TO THE BEST QUALITY CARE FOR COLORADO RESIDENTS WITH STEMI EVENTS.

(3) THE DEPARTMENT MAY ACCEPT AND EXPEND, SUBJECT TO APPROPRIATION BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, GIFTS, GRANTS, AND DONATIONS TO PAY THE DIRECT EXPENSES OF THE DEPARTMENT IN ASSISTING AND STAFFING THE TASK FORCE. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL TRANSMIT ANY MONETARY GIFTS, GRANTS, OR DONATIONS IT RECEIVES TO THE STATE TREASURER FOR DEPOSIT IN THE HEALTH FACILITIES GENERAL LICENSURE CASH FUND, AND THOSE MONEYS MAY BE USED ONLY TO PAY THE DIRECT EXPENSES OF THE DEPARTMENT.

(4) AS USED IN THIS SECTION, UNLESS THE CONTEXT OTHERWISE REQUIRES:

(a) "DEPARTMENT" MEANS THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT.

(b) "STEMI" MEANS ST-ELEVATION MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION.

(5) THIS SECTION IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE AUGUST 1, 2015.

**25-3-115. Stroke advisory board - creation - membership - duties - report - repeal.** (1) (a) THERE IS HEREBY CREATED IN THE DEPARTMENT THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD, THE PURPOSE OF WHICH IS TO EVALUATE POTENTIAL STRATEGIES FOR STROKE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT AND DEVELOP A STATEWIDE NEEDS ASSESSMENT IDENTIFYING RELEVANT RESOURCES. NO LATER THAN AUGUST 1, 2013, THE GOVERNOR SHALL APPOINT EIGHTEEN MEMBERS TO THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD AS FOLLOWS:

(I) SIX PHYSICIANS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN STROKE CARE AND WHO SATISFY THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA: ONE PHYSICIAN WHO IS BOARD-CERTIFIED IN PRIMARY CARE; ONE PHYSICIAN WHO IS BOARD-CERTIFIED IN VASCULAR NEUROLOGY; ONE PHYSICIAN WHO IS PRIVILEGED AND ACTIVELY PRACTICING INTERVENTIONAL NEURORADIOLOGY; ONE PHYSICIAN WHO IS BOARD-CERTIFIED IN NEUROSURGERY; ONE PHYSICIAN REPRESENTING A STATEWIDE CHAPTER OF EMERGENCY PHYSICIANS; AND ONE PHYSICIAN WHO IS A BOARD-CERTIFIED NEUROLOGIST SERVING PATIENTS IN A RURAL AREA OF THE STATE;

# Appendix 6: Stroke Advisory Board Legislation

(II) ONE MEMBER REPRESENTING A STATEWIDE ASSOCIATION OF PHYSICIANS;

(III) ONE MEMBER REPRESENTING A STATEWIDE HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION;

(IV) ONE MEMBER WHO IS AN EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE PROVIDER, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 25-3.5-103 (8);

(V) ONE MEMBER WHO IS A REGISTERED NURSE INVOLVED IN STROKE CARE;

(VI) ONE HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATOR FROM A HOSPITAL LOCATED IN A RURAL AREA OF THE STATE;

(VII) ONE HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATOR FROM A HOSPITAL LOCATED IN AN URBAN AREA OF THE STATE;

(VIII) ONE REPRESENTATIVE FROM A STROKE REHABILITATION FACILITY;

(IX) ONE MEMBER WHO IS A COLORADO RESIDENT REPRESENTING A NATIONAL ASSOCIATION WHOSE GOAL IS TO ELIMINATE CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AND STROKE;

(X) ONE MEMBER WHO IS A COLORADO RESIDENT REPRESENTING A NATIONAL STROKE ASSOCIATION;

(XI) ONE MEMBER WHO IS A PHYSICAL OR OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN STROKE CARE;

(XII) ONE MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC WHO HAS SUFFERED A STROKE OR IS THE CAREGIVER OF A PERSON WHO HAS SUFFERED A STROKE; AND

(XIII) ONE MEMBER WHO IS AN EXPERT IN STROKE DATABASE MANAGEMENT.

(b) THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S DESIGNEE SHALL SERVE AS AN EX OFFICIO MEMBER OF THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD.

# Appendix 6: Stroke Advisory Board Legislation

(c) MEMBERS OF THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD SERVE WITHOUT COMPENSATION AND ARE NOT ENTITLED TO REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED IN SERVING ON OR PERFORMING DUTIES OF THE ADVISORY BOARD.

(2) (a) THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD SHALL STUDY AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DEVELOPING A STATEWIDE PLAN TO IMPROVE QUALITY OF CARE FOR STROKE PATIENTS. IN CONDUCTING THE STUDY, THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD SHALL EXPLORE THE FOLLOWING ISSUES, WITHOUT LIMITATION:

(I) CREATION OF A STATE DATABASE OR REGISTRY CONSISTING OF DATA ON STROKE CARE THAT MIRRORS THE DATA HOSPITALS SUBMIT TO NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED ORGANIZATIONS;

(II) ACCESS TO AGGREGATED STROKE DATA, WHICH MUST EXCLUDE ANY IDENTIFYING OR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE REPORTING HOSPITAL OR PATIENTS TREATED BY THE HOSPITAL, FROM A STATE DATABASE THAT MAY BE DEVELOPED OR FROM A NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED ORGANIZATION BY THE ADVISORY BOARD, BY ANY PERSON WHO SUBMITS A WRITTEN REQUEST FOR THE DATA;

(III) EVALUATION OF CURRENTLY AVAILABLE STROKE TREATMENTS AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF RECOMMENDATIONS, BASED ON MEDICAL EVIDENCE, FOR WAYS TO IMPROVE STROKE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT;

(IV) A PLAN THAT WOULD ENCOURAGE RURAL AND URBAN HOSPITALS TO COORDINATE SERVICES FOR THE NECESSARY REFERRAL OR RECEIPT OF PATIENTS REQUIRING STROKE CARE IN THE STATE; AND

(V) THE CRITERIA USED BY NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED BODIES FOR DESIGNATING A HOSPITAL IN STROKE CARE AND WHETHER A DESIGNATION IS APPROPRIATE OR NEEDED TO ASSURE ACCESS TO THE BEST QUALITY CARE FOR COLORADO RESIDENTS WITH STROKE EVENTS.

(b) BY JANUARY 31, 2014, AND BY EACH JANUARY 1 THEREAFTER, THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD SHALL SUBMIT A REPORT SPECIFYING ITS FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE OF THE SENATE, THE HEALTH, INSURANCE, AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, OR THEIR SUCCESSOR COMMITTEES, AND THE DEPARTMENT. THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD SHALL

# Appendix 6: Stroke Advisory Board Legislation

INCLUDE IN ITS REPORT A RECOMMENDATION ON WHETHER A DESIGNATION OF A HOSPITAL IN STROKE CARE IS APPROPRIATE OR NEEDED TO ASSURE ACCESS TO THE BEST QUALITY CARE FOR COLORADO RESIDENTS WITH STROKE EVENTS.

(3) THE DEPARTMENT MAY ACCEPT AND EXPEND, SUBJECT TO APPROPRIATION BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, GIFTS, GRANTS, AND DONATIONS TO PAY THE DIRECT EXPENSES OF THE DEPARTMENT IN ASSISTING AND STAFFING THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL TRANSMIT ANY MONETARY GIFTS, GRANTS, OR DONATIONS IT RECEIVES TO THE STATE TREASURER FOR DEPOSIT IN THE HEALTH FACILITIES GENERAL LICENSURE CASH FUND, AND THOSE MONEYS MAY BE USED ONLY TO PAY THE DIRECT EXPENSES OF THE DEPARTMENT.

(4) AS USED IN THIS SECTION, UNLESS THE CONTEXT OTHERWISE REQUIRES, "DEPARTMENT" MEANS THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT.

(5) THIS SECTION IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 1, 2018. PRIOR TO THE REPEAL, THE DEPARTMENT OF REGULATORY AGENCIES SHALL REVIEW THE FUNCTIONS OF THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 2-3-1203, C.R.S.

**25-3-116. Department recognition of national certification - suspension or revocation of recognition - definitions.** (1) A HOSPITAL THAT HAS AN ACCREDITATION, CERTIFICATION, OR DESIGNATION IN STROKE OR STEMI CARE FROM A NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED ACCREDITING BODY, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO A CERTIFICATION AS A COMPREHENSIVE STROKE CENTER OR PRIMARY STROKE CENTER BY THE JOINT COMMISSION ON ACCREDITATION OF HEALTH CARE ORGANIZATIONS AND PROGRAMS OR ITS SUCCESSOR ORGANIZATION OR AN ACCREDITATION AS A STEMI RECEIVING CENTER OR STEMI REFERRAL CENTER BY THE SOCIETY FOR CARDIOVASCULAR PATIENT CARE OR ITS SUCCESSOR ORGANIZATION, MAY SEND INFORMATION AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION TO THE DEPARTMENT. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL MAKE A HOSPITAL'S NATIONAL ACCREDITATION, CERTIFICATION, OR DESIGNATION AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC IN A MANNER DETERMINED BY THE DEPARTMENT.

(2) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL DEEM A HOSPITAL THAT IS CURRENTLY ACCREDITED, CERTIFIED, OR DESIGNATED BY A NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED

# Appendix 6: Stroke Advisory Board Legislation

ACCREDITING BODY AS SATISFYING THE REQUIREMENTS FOR RECOGNITION AND PUBLICATION BY THE DEPARTMENT. THE DEPARTMENT MAY SUSPEND OR REVOKE A RECOGNITION AND PUBLICATION OF A HOSPITAL'S ACCREDITATION, CERTIFICATION, OR DESIGNATION IF THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES, AFTER NOTICE AND HEARING IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STATE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT", ARTICLE 4 OF TITLE 24, C.R.S., THAT THE HOSPITAL NO LONGER HOLDS AN ACTIVE ACCREDITATION, CERTIFICATION, OR DESIGNATION FROM A NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED CERTIFYING BODY.

(3) WHETHER A HOSPITAL ATTAINS A NATIONAL ACCREDITATION, CERTIFICATION, OR DESIGNATION IN STROKE OR STEMI CARE HAS NO BEARING ON, OR CONNECTION WITH, THE LICENSING OR CERTIFICATION OF THE HOSPITAL BY THE DEPARTMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 25-1.5-103 (1) (a).

(4) AS USED IN THIS SECTION, UNLESS THE CONTEXT OTHERWISE REQUIRES:

(a) "DEPARTMENT" MEANS THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT.

(b) "STEMI" MEANS ST-ELEVATION MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION.

**SECTION 2.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, 2-3-1203, add (3) (ee.5) as follows:

**2-3-1203. Sunset review of advisory committees.** (3) The following dates are the dates for which the statutory authorization for the designated advisory committees is scheduled for repeal:

(ee.5) SEPTEMBER 1, 2018:

(II) THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD CREATED IN SECTION 25-3-115, C.R.S.;

**SECTION 3. Appropriation.** In addition to any other appropriation, there is hereby appropriated, out of any moneys in the general fund not otherwise appropriated, to the department of public health and environment, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2013, the sum of

PAGE 8-SENATE BILL 13-225

# Appendix 6: Stroke Advisory Board Legislation

\$41,402 and 0.6 FTE, or so much thereof as may be necessary, for allocation to the emergency preparedness and response division for the stroke and STEMI heart attack designation line item related to the implementation of this act.

**SECTION 4. Safety clause.** The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

\_\_\_\_\_  
John P. Morse  
PRESIDENT OF  
THE SENATE

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark Ferrandino  
SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE  
OF REPRESENTATIVES

\_\_\_\_\_  
Cindi L. Markwell  
SECRETARY OF  
THE SENATE

\_\_\_\_\_  
Marilyn Eddins  
CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE  
OF REPRESENTATIVES

APPROVED \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
John W. Hickenlooper  
GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF COLORADO



HOUSE BILL 18-1265

BY REPRESENTATIVE(S) Lontine and Beckman, Buckner, Ginal, Kennedy, Roberts, Esgar, Gray, Hamner, Michaelson Jenet, Valdez, Young; also SENATOR(S) Crowder, Aguilar, Kefalas, Martinez Humenik, Merrifield, Moreno, Tate, Todd, Williams A.

CONCERNING THE CONTINUATION OF THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATION IN THE DEPARTMENT OF REGULATORY AGENCIES' 2017 SUNSET REPORT.

*Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:*

**SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, 25-3-115, amend (5) as follows:

**25-3-115. Stroke advisory board - creation - membership - duties - report - definition - repeal.** (5) This section is repealed, effective ~~September 1, 2018~~ **SEPTEMBER 1, 2028**. Prior to the repeal, the department of regulatory agencies shall review the functions of the stroke advisory board in accordance with section 2-3-1203, C.R.S.

**SECTION 2.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, 2-3-1203, **repeal** (7)(a)(II); and **add** (19) as follows:

*Capital letters or bold & italic numbers indicate new material added to existing statutes; dashes through words indicate deletions from existing statutes and such material not part of act.*

# Appendix 6: Stroke Advisory Board Legislation

**2-3-1203. Sunset review of advisory committees - legislative declaration - definition - repeal.** (7) (a) The following statutory authorizations for the designated advisory committees will repeal on September 1, 2018:

(II) ~~The stroke advisory board created in section 25-3-115, C.R.S.~~

(19) (a) THE FOLLOWING STATUTORY AUTHORIZATIONS FOR THE DESIGNATED ADVISORY COMMITTEES WILL REPEAL ON SEPTEMBER 1, 2028:

(I) THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD CREATED IN SECTION 25-3-115.

(b) THIS SUBSECTION (19) IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 1, 2030.

**SECTION 3. Act subject to petition - effective date.** This act takes effect September 1, 2018; except that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V of the state constitution against this act or an item, section, or part of this act within the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly, then the act, item, section, or part will not take effect unless approved by the people at the general election to be

# Appendix 6: Stroke Advisory Board Legislation

held in November 2018 and, in such case, will take effect on the date of the official declaration of the vote thereon by the governor.



Crisanta Duran  
SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE  
OF REPRESENTATIVES



Kevin J. Grantham  
PRESIDENT OF  
THE SENATE



Marilyn Eddins  
CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE  
OF REPRESENTATIVES



Effie Ameen  
SECRETARY OF  
THE SENATE

APPROVED 12:18 PM May 4, 2018



John W. Hickenlooper  
GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

## An Act

HOUSE BILL 20-1397

BY REPRESENTATIVE(S) McCluskie and Ransom, Esgar, Buentello, Michaelson Jenet, Titone, Becker;  
also SENATOR(S) Moreno and Zenzinger, Rankin, Cooke, Marble, Tate.

CONCERNING THE ELIMINATION OF THE REQUIREMENT THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO CERTAIN BOARDS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, REDUCING AN APPROPRIATION.

*Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:*

**SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, 25-3-115, **amend** (3); and **add** (3.5) as follows:

**25-3-115. Stroke advisory board - creation - membership - duties - report - definition - repeal.** (3) The ~~department~~ STROKE ADVISORY BOARD may accept and expend, subject to appropriation by the general assembly, gifts, grants, and donations to pay the STROKE ADVISORY BOARD'S direct expenses. ~~of the department in assisting and staffing the stroke advisory board.~~ The ~~department~~ STROKE ADVISORY BOARD shall transmit any monetary gifts, grants, or donations it receives to the state treasurer for deposit in the health facilities general licensure cash fund. ~~and~~

Capital letters or bold & italic numbers indicate new material added to existing law; dashes through words or numbers indicate deletions from existing law and such material is not part of the act.

# Appendix 6: Stroke Advisory Board Legislation

~~those moneys may be used only to pay the direct expenses of the department:~~

(3.5) THE DEPARTMENT STAFF IS NOT REQUIRED TO PROVIDE ANY FINANCIAL SUPPORT OR PERFORM ANY ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES RELATED TO THE OPERATION OF THE STROKE ADVISORY BOARD.

**SECTION 2.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, 30-10-601.6, amend (5)(a) and (6) as follows:

**30-10-601.6. Coroners standards and training board.** (5) (a) ~~On and after August 6, 2003, The executive director of the department of public health and environment~~ C.C.S.T. BOARD may accept and expend gifts, grants, and donations to ~~cover the costs incurred in the establishment and operation of~~ PAY THE DIRECT EXPENSES OF the C.C.S.T. board. ~~Such~~ THE C.C.S.T. BOARD SHALL TRANSMIT ALL gifts, grants, and donations received ~~shall be transmitted~~ to the state treasurer, who shall credit the moneys MONEY to the coroner training fund created in section 30-10-601.8 (5). Any unencumbered state moneys MONEY remaining in the fund upon the repeal of this section shall be transferred to the general fund.


(6) The department of public health and environment staff ~~shall~~ IS NOT REQUIRED TO PROVIDE ANY FINANCIAL SUPPORT OR perform the ANY administrative duties related to the operation of the C.C.S.T. board.


**SECTION 3. Appropriation - adjustments to 2020 long bill.** To implement this act, the general fund appropriation made in the annual general appropriation act for the 2020-21 state fiscal year to the department of public health and environment for use by the health facilities and emergency medical services division is decreased by \$44,007.

# Appendix 6: Stroke Advisory Board Legislation

**SECTION 4. Safety clause.** The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
KC Becker  
SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE  
OF REPRESENTATIVES

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Leroy M. Garcia  
PRESIDENT OF  
THE SENATE

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robin Jones  
CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE  
OF REPRESENTATIVES

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Cindi L. Markwell  
SECRETARY OF  
THE SENATE

APPROVED June 30, 2020 at 8:46 pm  
(Date and Time)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jared S. Polis  
GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF COLORADO