Colorado Medicaid Community Mental Health Services Program

FY 2010–2011 SITE REVIEW REPORT

Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC

June 2011

This report was produced by Health Services Advisory Group, Inc. for the Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing.



3133 East Camelback Road, Suite 300 • Phoenix, AZ 85016

Phone 602.264.6382 • Fax 602.241.0757





1.	Executive Summary	1-1
	Overview of FY 2010–2011 Compliance Monitoring Activities	
	Methodology Objective of the Site Review	
	Summary of Results	
2.	Summary of Performance Strengths and Required Actions	2-1
	Overall Summary of Performance	2-1
,	Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services	2-1
	Standard II—Access and Availability2	
	Standard III—Coordination and Continuity of Care	2-3
3.	Follow-up on FY 2009–2010 Corrective Action Plan	3-1
	Methodology	3-1
,	Summary of 2009–2010 Required Actions	3-1
,	Summary of Corrective Action/Document Review	3-1
	Summary of Continued Required Actions	3-1
Ap	pendix A. Compliance Monitoring Tool	.A-i
Ap	pendix B. Denials Record Review Tool	.B-i
Ap	pendix C. Site Review Participants	C-1
Ap	pendix D. Corrective Action Plan Process for FY 2010–2011	D-1
Ap	pendix E. Compliance Monitoring Review Activities	E-1





for Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC

Overview of FY 2010–2011 Compliance Monitoring Activities

The Balanced Budget Act of 1997, Public Law 105-33 (BBA), requires that states conduct an annual evaluation of their managed care organizations (MCOs) and prepaid inpatient health plans (PIHPs) to determine compliance with regulations, contractual requirements, and the State's quality strategy. The Department of Health Care Policy & Financing (the Department) has elected to complete this requirement for the Colorado behavioral health organizations (BHOs) by contracting with an external quality review organization (EQRO), Health Services Advisory Group, Inc. (HSAG).

This is the seventh year that HSAG has performed compliance monitoring reviews of the Colorado Medicaid Community Mental Health Services Program. For the fiscal year (FY) 2010–2011 site review process, the Department requested a review of three areas of performance. HSAG developed a review strategy and monitoring tools consisting of three standards for reviewing the three performance areas chosen. The standards chosen were Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services, Standard II—Access and Availability, and Standard III—Coordination and Continuity of Care.

The BHO's administrative records were also reviewed to evaluate implementation of Medicaid managed care regulations related to member denials and notices of action. Reviewers used standardized monitoring tools to review records and document findings. HSAG used a sample of 20 records with an oversample of 5 records. Using a random sampling technique, HSAG selected the samples from all applicable BHO Medicaid denials that occurred between January 1, 2010, and September 15, 2010. For the record review, the BHO received a score of *Yes* (compliant), *No* (not compliant), or *Not Applicable* for each of the elements evaluated. For cases in which the reviewer was unable to determine compliance due to lack of documentation, a score of *Unknown* was used. Compliance with federal regulations was evaluated through review of the three standards and administrative denial records. The BHO received an overall percentage of compliance score for the standards and a separate overall percentage of compliance score for the record review.

This report documents results of the FY 2010–2011 site review activities for the review period—January 1, 2010, through the dates of the on-site review, February 24 and 25, 2011. Section 2 contains summaries of the findings, opportunities for improvement, strengths, and required actions for each standard area. Section 3 describes the extent to which the BHO was successful in completing corrective actions required as a result of the 2009–2010 site review activities. Appendices A and B contain details of the findings. Appendix C lists HSAG, BHO, and Department personnel who participated in some way in the site review process. Appendix D describes the corrective action process the BHO will be required to complete and the required template for doing so.



Methodology

In developing the data collection tools and in reviewing the three standards, HSAG used the BHO's contract requirements and regulations specified by the BBA, with revisions issued June 14, 2002, and effective August 13, 2002. HSAG conducted a desk review of materials submitted prior to the on-site review activities, a review of documents and materials provided on-site, and on-site interviews of key BHO personnel to determine compliance. Documents submitted for the desk review and during the on-site document review consisted of policies and procedures, staff training materials, administrative records, reports, minutes of key committee meetings, and member and provider informational materials. Details of the findings from review of the three standards follow in Appendix A. Details of the findings from the on-site denials record review follow in Appendix B.

The three standards chosen for the FY 2010–2011 site reviews represent a portion of the Medicaid managed care requirements. Standards that will be reviewed in subsequent years are: Standard IV—Member Rights and Protections, Standard V—Member Information, Standard VI—Grievance System, Standard VII—Provider Participation and Program Integrity, Standard VIII—Credentialing and Recredentialing, Standard IX—Subcontracts and Delegation, and Standard X—Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement.

The site review processes were consistent with the February 11, 2003, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) final protocol, *Monitoring Medicaid Managed Care Organizations* (MCOs) and Prepaid Inpatient Health Plans (PIHPs). Appendix E contains a detailed description of HSAG's site review activities by activity outlined in the CMS final protocol.

Objective of the Site Review

The objective of the site review was to provide meaningful information to the Department and the BHO regarding:

- The BHO's compliance with federal regulations and contract requirements in the three areas selected for review.
- Strengths, opportunities for improvement, and actions required to bring the BHO into compliance with federal health care regulations and contract requirements in the standard areas reviewed.
- The quality and timeliness of, and access to, health care furnished by the BHO, as assessed by the specific areas reviewed.
- Possible interventions to improve the quality of the BHO's services related to the areas reviewed.
- Activities to sustain and enhance performance processes.



Summary of Results

Based on the results from the compliance monitoring tool and conclusions drawn from the review activities, HSAG assigned each requirement within the standards in the compliance monitoring tool a score of *Met*, *Partially Met*, *Not Met*, or *Not Applicable*. HSAG assigned required actions to any individual requirement within the compliance monitoring tool receiving a score of *Partially Met* or *Not Met*. HSAG also identified opportunities for improvement with associated recommendations for enhancement for some requirements, regardless of the score. Recommendations for enhancement for requirements scored as *Met* did not represent noncompliance with contract requirements or BBA regulations.

Table 1-1 presents the score for **Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership**, **LLC** (**NBHP**) for each of the standards. Details of the findings for each standard follow in Appendix A.

Table 1-1—Summary of Scores for the Standards								
Standard #	Description of Standard	# of Elements	# of Applicable Elements	# Met	# Partially Met	# Not Met	# Not Applicable	Score (% of <i>Met</i> Elements)
I	Coverage and Authorization of Services	33	33	32	1	0	0	97%
II	Access and Availability	12	12	12	0	0	0	100%
III	Coordination and Continuity of Care	6	6	6	0	0	0	100%
	Totals	51	51	50	1	0	0	98%

Table 1-2—Summary of Scores for Record Review						
Description of Record Review	# of Elements	# of Applicable Elements	# Met	# Not Met	# Not Applicable	Score (% of <i>Met</i> Elements)
Denials Record Review	120	81	81	0	0	100%



2. Summary of Performance Strengths and Required Actions for Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC

Overall Summary of Performance

NBHP earned an overall percentage-of-compliance score of 100 percent for two of the three standards HSAG reviewed (Standard II—Access and Availability and Standard III—Coordination and Continuity of Care). For Standard I (Coverage and Authorization of Services), **NBHP** earned a score of 97 percent. These scores demonstrated very strong understanding and implementation of the Medicaid managed care regulations.

Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services

Summary of Findings and Opportunities for Improvement

NBHP's policies and procedures related to its utilization management (UM) program were written clearly and included all the required content. **NBHP** had a comprehensive UM program that included processes to monitor access to services, consumption, the level and intensity of care, outcomes, and appropriate utilization of covered services. **NBHP** effectively communicated its policies and expectations to its providers. HSAG found evidence of consistent application of authorization criteria, which demonstrated good communication and training of **NBHP** staff members, contractors, and providers.

Summary of Strengths

HSAG found evidence throughout its review of extensive, open, and consistent communication between **NBHP**/ValueOptions administration and its providers. This open dialogue was a strength for this organization and an added benefit to its members. HSAG's on-site review of 20 denial records confirmed that **NBHP** was consistently implementing its UM policies as written. **NBHP** notified its members and providers of authorization decisions well within the required time frames. **NBHP** notified its members in writing and providers both verbally and in writing of adverse authorization decisions.

Summary of Required Actions

HSAG found a conflict between **NBHP**'s policies and its member handbook. While **NBHP**'s policies clearly stated that no prior authorization was required for poststabilization services, the member handbook led the reader to believe that prior authorization was required. **NBHP** must clarify the member handbook to provide information consistent with VO's/**NBHP**'s policies.



Standard II—Access and Availability

Summary of Findings and Opportunities for Improvement

NBHP's policies and procedures were written clearly, organized well, and included all of the federal- and State-required content. Documents reviewed on-site as well as on-site interviews with **NBHP** staff members confirmed that **NBHP**'s policies and procedures were implemented as written. **NBHP** staff members regularly reviewed a variety of reports to ensure the availability of its services throughout the network.

Summary of Strengths

NBHP offered a robust network of providers throughout its mostly rural service area and demonstrated effective methods of communication with its providers. **NBHP** informed its providers and members about its access standards and requirements using the provider manual, the **NBHP** Web site, and a face-to-face provider forum. **NBHP** implemented a robust monitoring program to ensure provider compliance with requirements. **NBHP** had written processes to address instances of noncompliance.

Summary of Required Actions

There were no required actions for this standard.



Standard III—Coordination and Continuity of Care

Summary of Findings and Opportunities for Improvement

NBHP had multiple policies and procedures that addressed coordination of care with other entities, including physical health providers and community agencies. Its processes included the requirement to obtain releases of information when coordinating with other providers and agencies. All providers and staff members were required to comply with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) and were well informed of those requirements via multiple methods.

Summary of Strengths

NBHP's strong communication with providers proved again to be a strength for this organization. It clearly conveyed its expectations for coordination and continuity of care to its providers. **NBHP** conducted regular monitoring of medical records to ensure the presence and appropriateness of individualized assessments and treatment plans. **NBHP**'s network and development support staff had educational tools that it used to educate and train providers whose documentation was inadequate.

Summary of Required Actions

There were no required actions for this standard.



3. Follow-up on FY 2009–2010 Corrective Action Plan for Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC

Methodology

As a follow-up to the FY 2009–2010 site review, each BHO was required to submit a corrective action plan (CAP) to the Department addressing all requirements for which it received a score of *Partially Met* or *Not Met*. The BHO was required to describe planned interventions designed to achieve compliance with these requirements, the timelines associated with the activities, anticipated training and follow-up activities, and documents to be sent following completion of the planned interventions. HSAG reviewed the CAP and associated documents submitted by the BHO and determined whether the BHO successfully completed each of the required actions. HSAG and the Department continued to work with **NBHP** until the BHO completed each of the required actions from the FY 2009–2010 compliance monitoring site review.

Summary of 2009–2010 Required Actions

NBHP scored 100 percent on the FY 2009–2010 compliance review and did not have any required actions.

Summary of Corrective Action/Document Review

NBHP scored 100 percent on the FY 2009–2010 compliance review and did not have any required actions.

Summary of Continued Required Actions

There were no required actions continued from FY 2009–2010.



Appendix A. Compliance Monitoring Tool for Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC

The completed compliance monitoring tool follows this cover page.



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
1. The Contractor ensures that the services are sufficient in amount, duration, or scope to reasonably be expected to achieve the purpose for which the services are furnished. ### 42CFR438.210(a)(3)(i) Contract: II.1.1.d	 Documents Submitted/Location Within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 202L Medical Necessity – Entire policy VO Colorado 223L Treatment Planning – Entire policy VO Colorado 236L Clinical Level Care Guidelines – Entire policy VO Colorado 259L Enhanced Clinical Management of Outpatient Services – Entire policy Level of Care Guidelines – Entire folder of guideline documents Clinical Rounds Minutes 2010OCT27 – Entire document NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) – Pages 7-9 Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 3, Section II, Continuum of Services and Section IV, Utilization Management Procedures Section13.4 Covered Diagnoses (Misc folder) –covered diagnoses www.nbhpartnership.com Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). Multiple policies and avenues exist for ValueOptions® (VO) to ensure that services provided to NBHP's members are reasonably expected to achieve their outcome. In addition to following policy and procedures, VO staff reference the Level of Care Guidelines for all levels of care to determine clear admission, continued stay and discharge criteria for use in case reviews. The guidelines are used to insure that services are appropriate for each member's situation and the services are reasonably expected to achieve the outcome for which the service is furnished. ValueOptions®' clinical staff reviews guidelines, formally, at least annually. 	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services							
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score					
	Members are made aware of the services that are available to them through the member handbook. The information includes explanations of covered benefits, available services, medical necessity and how determinations are made. Each new enrollee receives a copy of the handbook upon enrollment and handbooks are always available on the NBHP Web site.						
provider oversight and monitoring and quality management ac accomplished by the NBHP executive director and the Quality The VO Medical Necessity policy described standardized methods are utilization review determinations. The VO Distribution of developing and updating clinical guidelines. The VO Enhance specific cases for the appropriateness of services (i.e., multiple monitoring to ensure that services are sufficient in amount, durand the community mental health centers (CMHCs), as well as specific case processing for complex cases. The member hand and covered services. Required Actions:	The NBHP Delegation Agreement between NBHP and VO delegated UM and authorization of services, as well as the administrative services of provider oversight and monitoring and quality management activities in the NBHP service area, to VO. NBHP oversight of VO delegated activities was accomplished by the NBHP executive director and the Quality Management Committee's review of reports and audits performed throughout the year. The VO Medical Necessity policy described standardized methods such as using pertinent clinical information and level of care criteria and guidelines to make utilization review determinations. The VO Distribution of Clinical Level Care Guidelines and Diagnostic Criteria policy described the process for developing and updating clinical guidelines. The VO Enhanced Clinical Management of Outpatient Services policy described the process for reviewing specific cases for the appropriateness of services (i.e., multiple providers, multiple family members, members approaching benefit limits). Methods of monitoring to ensure that services are sufficient in amount, duration, and scope included chart audits for both the independent provider network (IPN) and the community mental health centers (CMHCs), as well as weekly clinical rounds. Clinical rounds topics included both general clinical issues and specific case processing for complex cases. The member handbook described covered services.						
None 2. The Contractor does not arbitrarily dony or radius the	Documents Submitted/Location within Documents:	Met					
 The Contractor does not arbitrarily deny or reduce the amount, duration or scope of a required service solely because of diagnosis, type of illness, or condition of the member. 42CFR438.210(a)(3)(ii) Contract: II.I.1.e 	 NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 202 L Medical Necessity – Pages 3-5, Section V.A-F VO Colorado 303L Peer Advisor Adverse Determinations – Entire policy NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) – Pages 7-9 Section13.4 Covered Diagnoses (Misc folder) –covered diagnoses Clinical Rounds Minutes 2010NOV17 – Highlighted section 	☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ N/A					



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services				
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score		
	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' staff refers to Medical Necessity and Clinical Criteria definitions to authorize care, based on individual case review to ensure that care is not arbitrarily reduced or denied based on diagnostic categories or conditions. Variables such as the member's situation and other care available are also taken into account in each individual situation as demonstrated by the Clinical Rounds process. ValueOptions®' staff refers cases for possible adverse clinical decisions to the Peer Advisor for review.			
	Members are made aware of the services available to them through the member handbook. The information includes a			
	description of services, a definition of medical necessity and an explanation of how to access the clinical care guidelines.			

Findings:

The NBHP Delegation Agreement required VO to use level of care guidelines to make utilization review (UR) determinations. The VO Medical Necessity policy described the use of standardized criteria for making UR determinations. The VO Peer Advisor Adverse Determinations policy described the use of the peer advisor review process to make adverse determinations. On-site review of 20 denial records demonstrated that UM staff made determinations based on whether the service was covered under the contract and the established medical necessity and UR criteria.

Required Actions:

None



Plan Score
reuments: folder) – Entire Page 3, Section IV. renefit Limits – of guideline – Page 7 ple of weekly y Northeast eOptions® has , Medicaid benefit the level of care ous levels of care and and have access to

Findings:

The delegation agreement required VO to develop and maintain UR guidelines/criteria. The UR criteria were available in the provider tab on NBHP's Web site. The VO Medical Necessity policy described the development of UR criteria and guidelines and the use of those guidelines for making UR determinations. The VO Tracking Medicaid Benefit Limits policy described the process for tracking when a member is close to reaching benefit limits and for communicating with the provider. The FY 2010 Inpatient Benefit Limit report provided an example of a tracking report and demonstrated NBHP monitoring of members who were close to reaching benefit limits. The member handbook explained each covered service. During the on-site interview, NBHP staff members explained that intensive levels of care (e.g., in an inpatient, acute treatment unit [ATU] or a residential treatment center [RTC]) require prior authorization with clinical care manager (CCM) review. Staff also clarified that while lower levels of care (e.g., routine outpatient services) also require prior authorization, these service requests do not require CCM review and could be accomplished online or via a telephonic automated system. The purpose of authorizing lower levels of care in this manner is to register the use of the services and for utilization control and reporting. Staff



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services					
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score			
members explained that if providers attempted to use the online or automated system to authorize a higher level service, the system would prompt a CCM, who would contact the provider the next day and process the request. On-site review of denial records demonstrated that UR decisions were consistent with NBHP's published practice guidelines and medical necessity criteria. Required Actions: None 4. The Contractor specifies what constitutes "medically necessary services" in a manner that: Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: 1. NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire Partially Met					
 Is no more restrictive than that used in the State Medicaid program. Addresses the extent to which the Contractor is responsible for covering services related to the following: The prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of health impairments, The ability to achieve age-appropriate growth and development, The ability to attain, maintain, or regain functional capacity. 	 Policy VO Colorado 202L Medical Necessity – Entire policy, especially Section IV.A (State's definition) VO Colorado 223L Treatment Planning – Entire policy NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 9 Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 13, Section IV, Utilization Management Procedures Section13.4 Covered Diagnoses (Misc folder) – covered diagnoses Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). Medically necessary 	☐ Not Met ☐ N/A			
42CFR438.210(a)(4) Contract: I.A.23	services are needed for the diagnosis or treatment of a health impairment and also to prevent deterioration in functioning as a result of a covered mental health disorder. ValueOptions®' policies are based on the State Medicaid Program's definition for medical necessity and the covered diagnoses to best serve NBHP members. The member handbook includes this information for members to reference.				
Findings: The VO Medical Necessity policy contained the State definition of medical necessity. The member handbook contained a definition of medical necessity that was consistent with the State definition and at the required readability level.					
Required Actions: None					



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services					
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score			
5. The Contractor has written policies and procedures that address the processing of requests for initial and continuing authorization of services. 42CFR438.210(b)	Documents Submitted/Location within Documents:				
Contract: II.I.1.g	 VO Colorado 204L Intake Data Collection for Initial Authorization to Higher Levels of Care – Entire policy VO Colorado 206L Data Collection for Continued Authorization to Higher Levels of Care – Entire policy Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policies clearly define and outline the procedures and information needed for each type of authorization. 				
policy also described processes for documentation of the deter for Initial Authorization to Higher Levels of Care policy descr	ocedures for processing requests for authorization of initial and continumination and time frames for making the UR determination. The Intabibed the information needed and used to make preservice UR determine Data Collection for Continued Authorization to Higher Levels of Ca	ke Data Collection nations for intensive			



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services				
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score		
6. The Contractor's written policies and procedures include mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria for authorization decisions. 42CFR438.210(b)(2)(i) Contract: II.I.1.j and II.I.1.q	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy ValueOptions® C409 Interrater Reliability – Entire policy VO Colorado 236L Clinical Level Care Guidelines – Page 2, Section V.A.2.c VO Colorado 408L Care Management Documentation Audit – Page 1, Sections I.A and III.A Initial Assessment Audit Report 2010JUL01 – Example of documentation audit report Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policies ensure consistent application of criteria for authorization decisions. Documentation audit reports demonstrate staff documents the same information for use in consideration of the 			
	authorization decision.			
Findings: The VO Interrater Reliability (IRR) policy was a VO national policy and required ongoing, local IRR activities and an annual, companywide IRR. During the on-site interview, NBHP staff confirmed that the VO requirement to pass was 80 percent. The Initial Assessment Audit Report (performed quarterly) measured CCM compliance with obtaining each of the required information elements from the member at the initial contact. Other methods of ensuring consistency of authorization decisions included review of UR and other clinical issues, as well as case processing for complex cases at clinical rounds meetings.				
Required Actions:				



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
7. The Contractor's written policies and procedures include a mechanism to consult with the requesting provider when appropriate. 42CFR438.210(b)(2)(ii) Contract: II.I.1.j	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 202L Medical Necessity – Page 4, Section V.D VO Colorado 203L Medical Necessity Determination – Page 20, Section M.2 VO Colorado 303L Peer Advisor Adverse Determinations – Page 1, Section III.C Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policies direct staff to contact the provider, when necessary, for a review determination. In addition, VO policies outline a formal process which includes consult with a requesting provider, upon request, for reconsideration when initial authorization is denied. Finally, appropriate attempts are made to contact the requesting provider for reconsideration/peer to peer review before finalizing any adverse clinical decisions. 	
making a review determination. The VO Medical Necessity D process for reconsideration (peer-to-peer) review. The on-site	questing additional medical records from the requesting provider when etermination policy and the VO Peer Advisor Adverse Determinations review of denial records demonstrated that the CCM documented in the prior to finalizing the determination and sending the notice of action.	s policy described the
Required Actions: None	prior to imanizing the determination and sending the notice of action.	



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services				
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score		
8. The Contractor's written policies and procedures include the provision that any decision to deny a service authorization request or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested be made by a health care professional who has appropriate clinical expertise in treating the member's condition or	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado Policy 303L Peer Advisor Determinations – Pages 1-2, Sections III.B and IV.C 			
disease.	Description of Process:			
42CFR438.210(b)(3) Contract:	This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policy			
II.I.1.h and Exhibit V.A.4	states the required expertise of the VO Peer Advisors who make decisions to deny or authorize less service than requested.			
Findings: During the on-site interview, NBHP management and UM staff described both the peer advisor determination process and the peer-to-peer reconsideration review process. Staff clarified that any cases that did not initially meet the criteria for authorization by the CCM were escalated to the peer advisor for review (psychiatrist level for inpatient, ATU, or RTC, and PhD level for nonovernight levels of care). Staff reported that peer-to-peer reconsideration reviews were performed by the same peer level based on the level of service requested. The on-site review of records demonstrated that the notice of action letters specifically named the staff member who made the determination and that NBHP policies regarding the qualifications of the individual making the determination were followed. Required Actions: None				



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
9. The Contractor's written policies and procedures include processes for notifying the requesting provider and giving the member written notice of any decision to deny a service authorization request, or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested (notice to the provider need not be in writing).	Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: 1. NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy 2. VO Colorado 203L Medical Necessity Determination – Page 8-14, Section V.D-G	
42CFR438.210I Contract: II.I.1.j	 Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policy outlines the processes for notifying the requesting provider and involved member of any decision to deny or authorize less care than requested, for all types of requests and levels of care. Specifically, Section V.D.4 outlines that for denials/limited authorization or urgent prospective requests, the requesting provider is notified telephonically at the time of determination, and that the member, facility and provider all receive written notice of the determination; Section V.E.4 outlines the same notification guidelines indicated above for urgent concurrent reviews; Section V.F.4 outlines the same notification guidelines indicated above for routine initial reviews; and Section V.G.5 outlines the same notification guidelines indicated above for routine concurrent reviews. 	
Findings:		
notification to the member. On-site review of denial records de	process for verbal (telephonic) and written notification to the provider emonstrated that the CCM documented verbal notification to the requested that the requesting provider also received a copy of the letter.	



None

Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
 10. The Contractor's written policies and procedures include the following timeframes for making standard and expedited authorization decisions: For standard authorization decisions—10 calendar days. For expedited authorization decisions—3 days. 	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 203L Medical Necessity Determination – Pages 6 – 15, Section V.C-H 	
### ### ##############################	 Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policy specifies the timeframes for each type of authorization and level of care. Specifically, Section V.C outlines all authorization timeframes for decisions. Standard (non-urgent) decisions are made within 10 calendar days and expedited decisions (urgent) are made within 72 hours; Section V.D.1 notes 72 hours as timeframe for expedited initial authorizations; Section V.E.1 notes 72 hours as the maximum timeframe for concurrent urgent authorizations (expedited); Section V.F.1 notes the timeframe for routine initial authorizations is 10 calendar days; Section V.G.1 notes the timeframe for routine concurrent authorization is 10 calendar days; and, Section V.H.1 notes the timeframe for retroactive authorization request decisions is 10 calendar days. 	
Findings: The VO Medical Necessity Determination policy included the required determination time frame for standard authorization decisions. For expedited authorization decisions, the policy stated that the time frame was three calendar days or 72 hours. Three calendar days (equivalent to 72 hours) exceeds the federal requirement of three working days. The on-site review of denial records demonstrated that all 20 records reviewed were in compliance with		
the required decision and notification time frames. Required Actions:	iew of demai records demonstrated that all 20 records reviewed were i	in compitance with



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
 11. The Contractor's written policies and procedures include the following timeframes for possible extension of timeframes for authorization decisions: Standard authorization decisions—up to 14 calendar days. Expedited authorization decisions—up to 14 	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 203L Medical Necessity Determination – Pages 6-7 and 10-11, Sections V.D and V.F 	
calendar days.	Description of Process:	
42CFR438.210(d)	This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policy	
Contract: None	details the conditions and timeframes for possible extensions for expedited and standard authorization decisions.	
	For expedited authorizations, due to the urgent nature of the care and to meet URAC requirements, extensions are only give due to lack of information. Section V.D.2 outlines the timeframe for an urgent (expedited) case is 4-5 calendar days' extension.	
	 For standard (routine) authorizations: Section V.F.2 notes a 14 calendar day extension is available if there is a lack of information to make an authorization decision; Section V.F.3 notes a 14 day extension is available if there are circumstances beyond the control of ValueOptions®. 	

Findings:

The VO Medical Necessity Determination policy included the provision that standard authorization determination time frames may be extended by up to 14 calendar days if the member requests the extension or if the BHO determines that the extension is in the member's best interest. For expedited decisions, the policy stated that if the determination cannot be made within three calendar days, NBHP must notify the member and provider of the request to extend the authorization decision time frame within 24 hours of the decision to extend the time frame. The policy also stated that the provider is given two days to provide additional clinical information needed and that if the information is not received within the required time frame, the decision would be made with the available information. Although federal regulations allow for extensions of expedited decisions by up to 14 calendar days, NBHP staff members explained that VO is URAC accredited and that URAC does not allow an extension of 14 calendar days for expedited authorization requests. NBHP staff members stated that NBHP/VO policies are designed to comply with both URAC and BBA requirements.



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
Required Actions:		
None		
The Contractor maintains a comprehensive utilization management (UM) program to monitor the access to, use, consumption, levels, and intensity of care, outcomes of, and appropriate utilization of covered services. Contract: II.I.1.a	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy ValueOptions® C101 Utilization Management Program Description – Entire policy ValueOptions® C101A UM Program Description Outline – Entire policy ValueOptions® C102 Quality Management_Utilization Management Work Plans – Entire policy ValueOptions® PR303 Monitoring Network Access and Availability – Entire policy VO Colorado 103L Revisions to the Utilization Management Program Description Work Plan – Entire policy NBHP QI-UM Work Plan 10-11 (September 30 2010) NBHP Annual QIUM Program Description FY2011 3 BHO FY2009 CCAR Outcomes – Entire report monitors outcomes on CCAR measures NBHP ATC Report Q1FY11 – Entire report demonstrates that VO monitors access to care timeframes 	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A
	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast	
	Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions® does develop and maintain a utilization management program to	
	monitor the access to, usage, levels of care, outcomes of, and	
	appropriate utilization of covered services as supported by the	
Findings:	submitted documents.	

The UM Program Description Outline was a VO national outline that specified the content requirement for local service center (such as VO Colorado) UM descriptions. The VO Utilization Management (UM)/Quality Management (QM) Work Plan policy was a VO national policy that described the



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services			
Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score		
required content for local service center UM/QM work plans and the local Quality Improvement (QI)/UM Committee. The NBHP QI/UM program description outlined the committee structure of the program, task and reporting responsibilities, scope of the QM and UM programs, and the processes for medical necessity and UR determinations. The 3 BHO Colorado Client Assessment Record (CCAR) Outcomes report and the NBHP Access to Care (ATC) report demonstrated reporting and evaluation of UM-related performance measure data. During the on-site interview, NBHP staff reported that typical utilization reports reviewed and discussed weekly included services used six months prior to hospitalization, the top 10 and top 20 users of service by service mix and by diagnosis, the top five diagnoses, the average daily census, and inpatient average length of stay. Staff also stated that other utilization reports were reviewed as needed.			
Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy: VO Colorado 202L Medical Necessity – Entire policy: VO Colorado IV403 Provider Treatment Record Review, Analysis and Reporting – Page 1, Section III.A: NBHP Program Impact Analysis FY2010 – Entire document: 3 BHO Chart Audit Summary Results 2010OCT – Entire document: 3 BHO FY2009 CCAR Outcomes – Entire document: 3 BHO Perf Meas IP ALOS – Entire document: 4 3 BHO Perf Meas Discharges per 1000 – Entire document: 5 CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document: 6 Facility Site Visit Tool Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). Annually, ValueOptions® conducts a comprehensive review of the quality and utilization management programs which evaluate efficiency, efficacy and appropriateness of services, referrals, and procedures. Throughout the year, appropriateness and efficacy of health care	Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ N/A		
	und the local Quality Improvement (QI)/UM Committee. The NB k and reporting responsibilities, scope of the QM and UM program and Client Assessment Record (CCAR) Outcomes report and the Nated performance measure data. During the on-site interview, NBH ded services used six months prior to hospitalization, the top 10 he average daily census, and inpatient average length of stay. Staff Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 202L Medical Necessity – Entire policy VO Colorado IV403 Provider Treatment Record Review, Analysis and Reporting – Page 1, Section III.A NBHP Program Impact Analysis FY2010 – Entire document 3 BHO Chart Audit Summary Results 2010OCT – Entire document 3 BHO FY2009 CCAR Outcomes – Entire document 3 BHO Perf Meas IP ALOS – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2010 – Entire document		



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
	ensure appropriate treatment planning and various aspects of care. Performance measures and satisfaction survey reports provide evidence of the monitoring and evaluation of health care services, procedures and settings, as in the example reports included. These and similar reports are reviewed and evaluated through Quality and Utilization Management Committees. Efficiency of Call Center operations is monitored through various telephone statistics and the timeliness of authorization decisions. Additionally, each facility is required, per NCQA, to have an accreditation or undergo a facility site visit upon credentialing and recredentialing. The on-site reviewer uses the facility site visit tool in order to measure contract compliance.	

Findings:

The VO Medical Necessity policy described processes for making medical necessity and UR determinations. The VO Provider Treatment Record Review, Analysis, and Reporting policy described the process for evaluating treatment records against medical record requirements. The 3 BHO Chart Audit Summary Results document reported the results of the VO audit of the NBHP CMHCs (as well as the other Colorado VO BHOs). Other processes for evaluating outcomes and the efficiency and effectiveness of service provision included performance measure reports and NBHP management staff review of UM reports and data. The NBHP QI/UM Program Impact Analysis Annual Report included analysis of outcomes data for eight QI and UM domains such as access to services, performance indicators, utilization management, and performance improvement projects.

Required Actions:

None



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score	
14. The Contractor's UM program is under the direction of an appropriately qualified clinician and includes policies and procedures that have been reviewed by the Department.	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy NBHP Annual QIUM Program Description FY2011 LSH Resume – Outlines the qualifications of the current NBHP Medical Director who provides oversight to the UM program 		
Contract: II.I.1.a	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). The NBHP QI/UM Program Description explains the NBHP Medical Director provides oversight for the utilization management program. Also included in the materials is the resumé of the NBHP Medical Director which highlights his expertise.		
Findings: The NBHP QI/UM Program Description stated that the medical director (a board-certified psychiatrist) is responsible for oversight of the UM program. The medical director's participation in the UM program was evidenced by participation in committee meetings and documentation within the denial records of the medical director having completed peer review determinations. Day-to-day management and oversight of UM operations was accomplished by the clinical director (a licensed marriage and family therapist) and the clinical peer advisor (a PhD-level clinical psychologist). Required Actions: None			
The Construction of the UM program does not impede Member's timely access of services. Contract: II.I.1.b	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 210L Member Request_Routine – Entire policy, especially Page 1, Section III.A VO Colorado 211L Member Request_Urgent – Entire policy, especially Page 1, Section III.A VO Colorado 203L Medical Necessity Determination – Entire policy, especially Sections III.A-B, V.A.2and V.B VO Colorado 238L Service for Deaf and Hard of Hearing Clients – Entire policy ValueOptions® PR303 Monitoring Network Access and Availability – Entire policy 		



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
	7. NBHP ATC Report Q1FY11 – Entire report demonstrates access timeframe monitoring	
	Description of Process:	
	This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast	
	Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policies	
	are designed to assist members with timely access to services,	
	with all member requests receiving evaluation as to the urgency of	
	the members' needs. Medical necessity determinations are made	
	promptly so as not to interfere with the member's access to	
	services and timeliness of authorization decisions is closely	
	monitored. All standards for timeliness of authorization decisions	
	are dependent on type and time of request. However, no	
	authorizations are required for Emergency and Post Stabilization	
	services. Specific policies are in place to address any special	
Tr. II	needs to assist members with timely access to treatment.	

Findings:

The Member Request policies (routine and urgent) described assigning risk levels, the procedures, and responsibilities for timely processing and responding to requests for services. The FY 2011 NBHP ATC report demonstrated monitoring of compliance with timely access to care standards. Review of denial records on-site demonstrated that the average time that requests were processed and notification provided was one day. The FY 2011 NBHP ATC report included access data for four quarters in FY 2010 and the first quarter of FY 2011. The report showed 99.9 percent compliance with access standards for initial routine services, 100 percent compliance with access standards for urgent services, and 100 percent compliance with access standards for emergency services. The data for this report included information for both the IPN and the CMHCs.

Required Actions:

None



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
16. The Contractor ensures that the UM program incorporates mechanisms to continuously update guidelines, policies and procedures used in making determinations based on evaluation of new medical technologies and new application of established technologies, including medical procedures, drugs, and devices. Contract: II.I.1.k	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 104L Developing and Updating Clinical Criteria – Entire policy VO Colorado 105L Developing and Updating Treatment Guidelines – Entire policy VO Colorado 218L New Clinical_Medical Technologies – Entire policy Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policies listed above describe the mechanisms to continuously update guidelines, policies and procedures used in making determinations based on evaluation of new medical technologies and new 	
	application of established technologies, including medical procedures, drugs, and devices.	
Findings: The VO Developing and Updating Clinical Criteria policy described the update and review of clinical criteria annually. The VO New Medical Technology policy described evaluation of new clinical/medical technology. The process included presentation to the Quality Improvement Steering Committee (QISC) by any member of the QISC and final determination by the Board of Directors. The NBHP QI/UM Committee meeting minutes demonstrated committee review and approval of policies and UR review criteria. There were no examples of review for use of new technologies during the review period. Required Actions:		



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
The Contractor maintains mechanisms to evaluate the effects of the UM program. Contract: II.I.1.1	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy ValueOptions® C113 Utilization Management Program Evaluation – Entire policy ValueOptions® C113A UM Program Evaluation Outline – Entire policy NBHP Program Impact Analysis FY2010 – Entire document 	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A
	 3 BHO Perf Meas IP ALOS – Entire report (part of dashboard- type presentation of UM indicators). 3 BHO Perf Measure Discharges per 1000 –Entire report (part of dashboard-type presentation of UM indicators) 3 BHO Perf Meas Amb FU 7 day –Entire report (part of dashboard-type presentation of UM indicators). 3 BHO Perf Indicators Q4 FY10.swf (Flash file presentation of UM performance indicators) NBHP Notice of Action Log JUNE2010 – Entire report 	
	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions® completes an annual evaluation of the Quality Management and Utilization Management programs. Throughout the year a variety of performance measures and reports (examples listed above) are monitored and reviewed within quality and clinical committees.	
Findings: The NBHP QI/UM Program Impact Analysis Annual Report contained evaluation of QI and UM programs across eight domains. Domains discussed were access to services, performance indicators, clinical and utilization management, cultural competency, performance improvement projects, practice guidelines, recovery initiatives, and satisfaction surveys. The report included an analysis of data within each domain and highlighted areas for continued focus in the next fiscal year's activities. Required Actions:		
None		



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
18. The Contractor has UM review standards that are the same for network providers as they are for out-of-network or unaffiliated providers. Contract: II.I.1.n	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy 3 BHO Colorado Medicaid Addendum Contract – Page 1, Section B (5) VO Colorado 274L Provision of Services through an Out of Network Provider – Entire policy SCA Letter Practitioner with Cover – Entire document, especially Page 2, paragraph 3 SCA Letter Facilities with Cover – Entire document Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 13, Section IV, Utilization Management Procedures 	Met Partially Me Not Met N/A
	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions® has mechanisms to ensure network and out-of-network providers follow the same utilization management review standards. In addition to a policy that explains the provision of services for network providers, out of network providers must sign a contract addendum in order to treat ValueOptions® Colorado members. All providers must comply with utilization management procedures as outlined in the provider handbook.	

The 3 BHO Medicaid Addendum Contract incorporated the provider handbook into the contract between NBHP/VO and the CMHCs. The single-case agreement (SCA) template also incorporated, by reference, the provider handbook into the agreement and provided the location of the provider handbook on NBHP's Web site. The provider handbook described processes and procedures for obtaining authorizations. The VO Provision of Services Through an Out of Network Provider policy described the criteria and process for entering into an SCA and stated that once the SCA was in place, services were authorized using medical necessity and UR review criteria. During the on-site interview, NBHP staff confirmed that CMHC, IPN, and SCA providers were given access to the same provider handbook as well as the same processes for obtaining service authorization.

Required Actions:

None



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score	
19. The Contractor's written policies and procedures provides that compensation to individuals or entities that conduct utilization management activities is not structured so as to provide incentives for the individual to deny, limit, or discontinue medically necessary services to any member. 42CFR438.210(e) Contract: II.D.6.a.1 and II.I.1.c	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy Code of Conduct Annual Training – Entire document Annual Acknowledgment Signature Page – Sections 2 and 4 Code of Conduct Training Certification 0810 - Entire document Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions® has policies in place that define conflict of interest and specifically state that employees are not provided incentives, nor permitted to accept gifts in relation to any UM activities. ValueOptions®' staff annually receives training regarding conflict of interest and 		
	employee code of conduct.		
Findings: The VO code of conduct training included specific conduct requirements for UM and QM staff members, including the requirement to attest to the agreement that no incentives for utilization decisions are permitted. During the on-site interview, NBHP staff explained that all staff members are required to undergo this training at hire and annually and to sign the acknowledgment attesting to receipt of the training, understanding of requirements related to the training, and understanding that utilization decisions are made based on appropriateness of care. The acknowledgment also specifically stated that VO does not reward practitioners or other individuals for denials of services. NBHP provided a signed example for review. Required Actions: None			



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
20. The Contractor defines Emergency Medical Condition as a condition manifesting itself by acute symptoms of sufficient severity (including severe pain) that a prudent lay person who possesses an average knowledge of health and medicine, could reasonably expect the absence of immediate medical attention to result in the following: • Placing the health of the individual (or with respect to a pregnant woman, the health of the woman or her unborn child) in serious jeopardy, • Serious impairment to bodily functions, • Serious dysfunction of any bodily organ or part. **A2CFR438.114(a)** **Contract:* I.A.10** **Findings:**	 Documents Submitted/Location Within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services – Pages 2-3, Section IV.A defines Emergency Medical Condition. NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 13 provides definition of emergency medical condition and instructs members on how to access emergency services. ValueOptions® C214 Member Request – Pages 2-5, Section V.B.1-5, and V.C.1 discusses protocols for VO staff to direct members to the nearest facility to obtain services in any life-threatening emergency. Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 9 of the .pdf file defines Emergency Medical Condition for providers. Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' 270L Emergency and Poststabilization Services policy defines emergency medical conditions. Members receive information in the member handbook about what defines an emergency or crisis and how to obtain emergency services. ValueOptions®' staff assists members and directs them to the nearest facility/ER when there is any question of an emergency medical condition. The provider handbook defines emergency medical condition for providers. 	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A

The VO Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services policy included the BBA definition of emergency medical condition. The member handbook included a definition of emergency medical condition that met federal requirements and was at the State-required readability level. The VO Member Request policy included processes for determining a member's risk level during the initial call to request services. Risk Levels 3 and 4 were defined as

State of Colorado

Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC FY 2010-2011 Site Review Report



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services				
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score		
conditions that were consistent with the BBA definition of emergency medical condition. The provider handbook included the BBA definition of emergency services. Required Actions: None				
21. The Contractor defines Emergency Services as inpatient or outpatient services furnished by a provider that is qualified to furnish these services under this title, and are needed to evaluate or stabilize an emergency medical condition.	 Documents Submitted/Location Within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) - Entire policy VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services – Page 3, Section IV.C. 			
42CFR438.114(a) Contract: I.A.11	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' 270L Emergency and Poststabilization Services policy clearly outlines the definition of emergency services.			
Findings: The VO Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services policy included the BBA-compliant definition of emergency services. The member handbook included a discussion of emergency services and emergency care that was consistent with the BBA definition of emergency services and was at the required readability level.				
Required Actions: None				
22. The Contractor defines Poststabilization Care Services as covered services, related to an emergency medical condition that are provided after a member is stabilized in order to maintain the stabilized condition, or provided to improve or resolve the member's condition.	 Documents Submitted/Location Within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) - Entire policy VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services – Page 3, Section IV.D. 	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A		
42CFR438.114(a) Contract: I.A.29	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' 270L			



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score	
	Emergency and Poststabilization Services policy clearly defines post stabilization care.		
	cluded the BBA-compliant definition of poststabilization services. The tent with the federal definition and was at the required readability levels.		
Required Actions:			
None		. —	
23. The Contractor makes emergency services available to members without preauthorization. 42CFR438.10(f)(6)(viii)(B) Contract: II.I.1.p.1 Findings: The VO Medical Necessity Determination policy, the VO Free	 Documents Submitted/Location Within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) - Entire policy VO Colorado 203L Medical Necessity Determination - Page 5, Section B VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services - Page 2, Section III.F. VO Colorado ER claims procedures - Entire policy Provider Handbook (Misc Folder) - Page 10 NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) - Page 13 	Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ N/A	
	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' 270L Emergency and Poststabilization Services policy outlines that no authorization is required for emergency services. In addition, the provider and member handbooks detail this specific information. ergency and Post-Stabilization Services policy, and the ER Claims po	licies and procedures	
stated that no authorization is needed for emergency services providers in emergency situations. The member handbook info	provided in- or out-of-network. The provider manual delineated the exormed members that no prior authorization is needed for emergency second control of the	spectations of	
Required Actions: None			



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score	
24. The Contractor covers and pays for emergency services regardless of whether the provider that furnishes the services has a contract with the Contractor. 42CFR438.114(c)(1)(i) Contract: II.D.6.a.1	 Documents Submitted/Location Within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) - Entire policy VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services - Page 1, Section III.A. VO Colorado ER claims procedures - Entire policy 		
	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' Colorado ER claims procedures indicates members can access these services without prior authorization. This procedure document states that claims for emergency services are accepted and paid for any provider, regardless of network status. Claims processors are instructed to consider claims from In or Out of network providers.		
Findings: The VO Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services policy included the provision that NBHP covers and pays for emergency services regardless of whether the provider has a contract with NBHP/VO. The ER Claims policies and procedures stated that no authorization is needed for emergency services provided in- or out-of-network. The member handbook directed members to go to the nearest emergency room, stated that members do not need prior authorization to receive emergency services, and stated that members may receive emergency services from any qualified hospital or provider. Required Actions:			



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services				
Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score			
 Documents Submitted/Location Within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services – Page 1, Section III.B.1-2 VO Colorado ER claims procedures – Entire policy, especially Page 1, Policy and Section I Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 15 Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' 270L Emergency and Poststabilization Services policy clearly outlines that payment may not be denied under either of these circumstances. There is no authorization requirement at all for emergency services. These services are not denied when billed as emergency services, regardless of the actual outcome. Providers are also informed of this requirement through the provider handbook. 	Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ N/A			
Findings: The VO Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services policy included the provision that NBHP would not deny payment when a situation was determined to not have been an emergency medical condition. During the on-site interview, staff reported that the claims system set aside all emergency claims for				
staff review to ensure appropriate payment of emergency claims. Required Actions:				
	 Documents Submitted/Location Within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services – Page 1, Section III.B.1-2 VO Colorado ER claims procedures – Entire policy, especially Page 1, Policy and Section I Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 15 Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' 270L Emergency and Poststabilization Services policy clearly outlines that payment may not be denied under either of these circumstances. There is no authorization requirement at all for emergency services. These services are not denied when billed as emergency services, regardless of the actual outcome. Providers are also informed of this requirement through the provider handbook. 			



NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) - Entire policy VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services – Page 2, Section III.C.1-2 VO Colorado ER Claims Procedures – Page 1, Policy section and Section I secription of Process: his element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast chavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' 270L hergency and Poststabilization Services policy does not limit hat constitutes an emergency medical condition based on agnoses, symptoms or refuse to cover emergency services based	Met ☐ Partially Me ☐ Not Met ☐ N/A
the provider, hospital or fiscal agent not notifying the primary re providers within 10 days of presentation for services. During times processing, ValueOptions®' staff pays these claims and es not review or analyze the criteria based on symptoms or	
ad the manning demonstrator The ED Claims maliais and a second	a in diaband dhan da
	s marcated that th
overed by the State's Medicaid managed care program.	
E	pes not review or analyze the criteria based on symptoms or agnoses for emergency services claims. ed the required provisions. The ER Claims policies and procedure overed by the State's Medicaid managed care program.



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
27. The Contractor does not hold a member who has an emergency medical condition liable for payment of subsequent screening and treatment needed to diagnose the specific condition or stabilize the patient. 42CFR438.114(d)(2) Contract: II.D.6.c	 Documents Submitted/Location Within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) - Entire policy VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services – Page 2, Section III.D. NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 15 informs members that they are not responsible for payment of services (any services) covered by Medicaid. Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' 270L Emergency and Poststabilization Services policy releases the member from liability for payment for any subsequent screening and treatment needed to stabilize an emergency medical condition. Members are informed via the member handbook that the member is not responsible to pay for services covered by the Medicaid plan. Members are instructed to call the Behavioral Health Organization if the member receives a bill for services. 	Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ N/A
condition liable for payment of subsequent screening and treat handbook informed members that they are not responsible for receive any bills for mental health services. The provider hand covered services, including co-payments, and that balance-bill	cluded the provision that NBHP does not hold a member who has an entered to diagnose the specific condition or stabilize the patient payment of any mental health services and instructed members to call blook informed providers that they may not assess any charges to Med	. The member NBHP if they
Required Actions:		



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
28. The Contractor allows the attending emergency physician, or the provider actually treating the member, to be responsible for determining when the member is sufficiently stabilized for transfer or discharge, and that determination is binding on the Contractor who is responsible for coverage and payment.	 Documents Submitted/Location Within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) - Entire policy VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services – Page 2, Section III.E. 	
42CFR438.114(d)(3) Contract: II.D.6.d	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' 270L Emergency and Poststabilization Services policy states the attending physician/facility makes decisions independent of any contact with the Behavioral Health Organization regarding stabilization, as there is no preauthorization required for emergency services, and no authorization needs to be on file for the claim to be paid. The provider makes treatment decisions and submits the bill after services have been rendered.	
Findings: The Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services policy includ	ed the provision that the provider actually treating a member is respons	sible for determinin
	harge. Staff confirmed that the process for on-site NBHP assessment is	
included ensuring that the member is medically stable prior to	assessing for medical necessity of further mental health treatment.	



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
29. The Contractor is financially responsible for post-stabilization care services obtained within or outside the network that are pre-approved by a plan provider or other organization representative. 42CFR438.114(e) 42CFR422.113(c)(2(i)	Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: 1. NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy 2. VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post Stabilization Services – Page 2, Section III.G.1 Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A
Contract: II.D.6.e	Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policy states that ValueOptions® is financially responsible for poststabilization services obtained in-network or out-of-network and are pre-approved by plan providers or ValueOptions®' representatives.	
	cluded the provision that NBHP is financially responsible for post-stategency and Post-Stabilization Services policy and the VO Medical Ne	



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
30. The Contractor is financially responsible for post-stabilization care services obtained within or outside the network that are not pre-approved by a plan provider or other organization representative, but are administered to maintain the member's stabilized condition within 1 hour of a request to the organization for pre-approval of further post-stabilization care services. 42CFR438.114(e) 42CFR422.113(c)(2)(ii) Contract: II.D.6.a	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post Stabilization Services – Page 2, Section III.G.2 Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policy states if poststabilization services provided in- or out-of-network are not pre-approved by a plan provider or a ValueOptions® representative and are administered to maintain the member's stabilized condition within 1 hour of request for pre-approval of further services, and then ValueOptions® is financially responsible for the post-stabilization services provided. 	☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ N/A
services obtained within or outside the network. The VO Eme	cluded the provision that NBHP is financially responsible for post-stategency and Post-Stabilization Services policy and the VO Medical Networks or is required to obtain emergency services. The member handless	ecessity
Determination policy stated that no precertification or preauth may need services <i>after the emergency is over</i> to help you stay	rgency and Post-Stabilization Services policy and the VO Medical Ne orization is required to obtain emergency services. The member handly stable or improve your mental health condition. <i>This is called Post-Services</i> provided just after an emergency. <i>Your emergency provider must get</i>	book stated, "You Stabilization Care

BHO for these services after the emergency is over." This statement led the reader to believe that preauthorization was required for poststabilization care

Required Actions:

NBHP must clarify the member handbook with information that is consistent with VO's/NBHP's policies.

and was in conflict with NBHP's policies.



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
 31. The Contractor is financially responsible for poststabilization care services obtained within or outside the network that are not pre-approved by a plan provider or other organization representative, but are administered to maintain, improve, or resolve the member's stabilized condition if: The organization does not respond to a request for pre-approval within 1 hour, The organization cannot be contacted, The organization representative and the treating physician cannot reach an agreement concerning the member's care and a plan physician is not available for consultation. In this situation, the organization must give the treating physician the opportunity to consult with a plan physician, and the treating provider may continue with care of the patient until a plan provider is reached or one of the criteria in requirement number 33 is met. 42CFR438.114(e) 42CFR438.114(e) 42CFR422.113(c)(2)(iii) 	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post Stabilization Services – Pages 2-3, Section III.G.3.a-c(1-4) Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policy details the additional circumstances by which ValueOptions® maintains financial responsibility for provided services. 	Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ N/A
Contract: II.D.6.f		
Findings:		
	luded the provision that NBHP is financially responsible for poststabilities of the provision that NBHP is financially responsible for poststabilities.	
	nd Post-Stabilization Services policy and the VO Medical Necessity D	etermination policy
stated that no precertification or preauthorization is required to	o obtain emergency services.	
Required Actions: None		



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
32. The Contractor must limit charges to members for post-stabilization care services to an amount no greater than what the organization would charge the member if he or she had obtained the services through the contractor. 42CFR438.114(e) 42CFR422.113(c)(2)(iv)	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post Stabilization Services – Page 2, Section III.D NBHP Member Handbook (Misc. folder) – Page 15 	
Contract: II.D.6.g	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policy states members are not charged for post stabilization services. Members are informed they cannot be charged for any service covered under Medicaid mental health and are directed to contact the Behavioral Health Organization for assistance if they should receive a bill for services.	
medical condition liable for payment of poststabilization servi members are not charged for these services. The member hand	cluded the provision that NBHP/VO does not hold a member who has ces, regardless of whether these services were obtained through NBHI lbook informed members that they are not responsible for payment of may not assess any charges to Medicaid recipients for covered services	P or not, and that any mental health



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
 33. The Contractor's financial responsibility for post-stabilization care services it has not pre-approved ends when: A plan physician with privileges at the treating hospital assumes responsibility for the member's care, A plan physician assumes responsibility for the member's care through transfer, A plan representative and the treating physician reach an agreement concerning the member's care, The member is discharged. 	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 270L Emergency and Post Stabilization Services – Page 3, Section III.G.3.c.1-4 Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policy describes all the circumstances which denote the end of ValueOptions®' financial responsibility for post stabilization services. 	Met □ Partially Me □ Not Met □ N/A
Contract: II.D.6.h		

poststabilization services, provided immediately following the emergency and prior to inpatient hospitalization, do not require prior authorization. Staff confirmed the NBHP/VO policy that inpatient hospitalization and other intensive services (e.g., ATC or RTC services) do require prior authorization.

Required Actions:

None



Results for Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services							
Total	Met	=	<u>32</u>	Χ	1.00	=	<u>32</u>
	Partially Met	=	<u>1</u>	Χ	.00	=	<u>0</u>
	Not Met	=	<u>0</u>	Χ	.00	=	<u>0</u>
	Not Applicable	=	<u>0</u>	Χ	NA	=	<u>NA</u>
Total Appl	icable	=	<u>33</u>	Tota	I Score	=	<u>32</u>

Total Score + Total Applicable	=	<u>97%</u>
--------------------------------	---	------------



Standard II—Access and Availability			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score	
1. The Contractor ensures that all covered services are available and accessible to members. 42CFR438.206(a) Contract: II.E	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 211L Member Request_Urgent – Entire policy VO Colorado 210L Member Request_Routine – Entire policy VO Colorado 224L Psychological Testing – Pg 1, Section III.C VO Colorado 238L Service for Deaf and Hard of Hearing Clients – Entire policy, especially Page 1, Section III.A VO Colorado 420L Continuous 24hr Care Management Phone Coverage – Entire policy VO Colorado 246L Telephone Outage – Entire policy VO Colorado 252L Timeliness of Answering Incoming Calls – Entire policy VO Colorado 267LTwenty-four Hour Availability of Clinical Support – Entire policy VO Colorado Schedule_Clinical On Call – Entire policy VO Colorado III306 Measurement of Access and Availability – Entire policy 3 BHO Template Call Log Qtr3FY2010 – Entire document VO Colorado Letter First Time 15 min – Example of monitoring Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 3, Section II, Continuum of Service, Pages 6, Section III, Provider Assistance & Referrals, Pages 8-9, Provider Availability for Member Access to Care NBHP ER Access IPN q4fy10 – Entire document NBHP ATC Report Q1FY11 – Entire document CHP and NBHP FY2010 Contract Compliance - Items 44-48, 72 Single Case Agreement Report	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A	
	19. 2010 Colorado Medicaid Provider Forum		



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan Sco
	20. NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) – Pages 5, 7-8 and 14-17
	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policies describe the access and availability of services for members and explain that a member's situation and needs are taken into consideration when determining the appropriate timeframes in which services must be provided. Specific policies listed above (Policy 211L and 238L) address non-routine services and members with special needs. These policies ensure that services are available and accessible to all populations eligible for the State Medicaid program. Additionally, the ValueOptions® Colorado Call Center is accessible 24 hours per day, 7 days per week to assist members telephonically with access to services and to assist in providing services in a timely manner. Staff is available despite inclement weather or other phone problems and senior clinical staff/management are accessible to assist staff with any member access concerns. Quarterly telephone statistic reports are monitored to ensure phones are answered quickly and efficiently so members can receive assistance in accessing care and finding services. In addition, timely access to services is monitored for urgent, emergent and routine care.
	Providers are given information about the continuum of services available to members and how members access those services via
	the provider handbook and through provider forums. Clinical and Provider Relations staff provides individualized training to providers on available services as needed. When services are not available within the network, services are made available by contracting with out of network providers through a single case agreement.



Standard II—Access and Availability		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
	Members are made aware of their right to access all covered services and the timeframe standards for these services through the member handbook and on the BHO's Web site. In addition to the fact that all covered services are made available to them, members are informed of all their rights and, specifically, their right to file a grievance if the BHO does not make all covered services available and accessible.	
Findings:		
and procedures for access and availability. NBHP adequately a numerous policies and procedures that described the authorizat policy included appointment standards and described methods requests for services. NBHP provided an example of a letter set described covered services and the expectations of providers for CHP and NBHP Contract Compliance report, and the Fact Fin	ed to VO, which included quality and monitoring activities and mainted monitored VO via QI committee meetings and review of ongoing reportion and provision of covered services. The VO Measurement of Access of monitoring the call center, CMHCs, and the IPN to ensure timeline ent to providers if the monitoring processes revealed noncompliance. To appointment availability. The NBHP ER Access IPN report, the NE ders Access to Care Comparison report provided results and demonstrate The November 5, 2010, QISC meeting minutes included discussion of described appointment standards.	orts. NBHP had ess and Availability ess of responding to The provider manual BHP ATC report, the cated NBHP's/VO's
None		
2. The Contractor maintains and monitors a comprehensive provider network capable of serving the behavioral health needs of all members in the program. 42CFR438.206(b)(1) Contract: II.E.1.c.1	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado III306 Measurement of Access and Availability – Entire policy VO Colorado III309 Quality of Care – Entire policy VO Colorado IV403 Provider Treatment Record Review, Analysis and Reporting – Page 1, Section III.A ValueOptions® PR302 Network Design and Access Standards NBHP ER Access IPN q4fy10 – Entire document NBHP ATC Report Q1FY11 – Entire document NBHP Residential After Care Timeliness Q1FY2011 – Entire document	



Standard II—Access and Availability		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
	 9. CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Results FY2009 – Entire document 10. 3 BHO Audit Tool 11. Facility Site Review Tool 12. First Fail Audit Letter 13. 3 BHO Network Adequacy Report Q1FY11 14. Provider Network Language Specialties 15. Provider Directory 	
	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions® has several policies that describe the activities involved to assess and maintain a comprehensive provider network to serve the needs of eligible Medicaid members. In addition to policies, ValueOptions® conducts a variety of provider monitoring activities to assure providers are meeting the needs of BHO Medicaid members. These activities include monitoring of accessibility and availability, coordination of care, evaluation of member survey responses regarding treatment and accessibility, review of quality of care concerns, treatment record documentation audits, and facility site reviews.	

Findings:

NBHP's provider directory listed all providers contracted with NBHP/VO by county served. The directory included both independent providers and the CMHCs, and listed the type of provider, languages spoken, and specialty areas of practice. The 3 BHO Audit Tool demonstrated that NBHP monitored the performance of its provider network. NBHP provided numerous reports that demonstrated monitoring of the timeliness of access to services. The 3 BHO Network Adequacy Report contained an analysis of the number of members, the number and types of providers in each county served, and the number of miles members must travel to reach providers.

Required Actions:

None



None

Standard II—Access and Availability		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
 3. In establishing and maintaining the network, the Contractor considers: The anticipated Medicaid enrollment, The expected utilization of services, taking into consideration the characteristics and health care needs of specific Medicaid populations represented in the Contractor's service area. The numbers and types (in terms of training, experience, and specialization) of providers required to furnish the contracted Medicaid services, The numbers of network providers who are not accepting new Medicaid patients, The geographic location of providers and Medicaid members, considering distance, travel time, the means of transportation ordinarily used by Medicaid members, and whether the location provides physical access for Medicaid members with disabilities, The potential physical barriers to accessing provider's locations, The cultural and language expertise of providers, Provider to member ratios for behavioral health care services. Contract: II.E.1.c.1 	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy ValueOptions® PR302 Network Design and Access Standards FY2010 Annual Needs Assessment Provider Network Language Specialties Provider Directory 3 BHO Network Adequacy Report Q1FY11 Facility Site Review Tool Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 20, Section V, Member Choice of Providers, Page 85, Section XVI, Transportation Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions® reviews the network adequacy for NBHP regularly to ensure Medicaid members have a range of providers that are able to serve their needs. The review includes the number of providers, specialties, languages, locations, and accessibility. 	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A
Findings: The 3 BHO Network Adequacy Report addressed the upward trends. The report also evaluated the numbers and types of pro	trends in member eligibility and penetration rates as well as analysis o viders, languages spoken and specialty areas, and geographic location	
providers and members in the service areas. Required Actions:		



Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 257L Request for Second Opinion – Pages 1-2, Sections III.A and V.A.1-2 VO Colorado Second Opinion Workflow – Entire document Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Pages 21-22, Section VI, Second Opinion NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 15, Paragraphs 3 and 4 Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions® has mechanisms for members to request and obtain a second opinion at no cost to members. Workflow documents demonstrate that ValueOptions® staff can assist members in getting a second opinion through either the Clinical Department or the Office of Member and Family Affairs. ValueOptions® clinical staff receives training on the process for members to obtain a second opinion. Members learn about their rights to a second opinion through the member handbook and the receipt of member rights statements. Providers are informed of the second opinion process and that there is no cost to the member through the provider 	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A
	 NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 257L Request for Second Opinion – Pages 1-2, Sections III.A and V.A.1-2 VO Colorado Second Opinion Workflow – Entire document Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Pages 21-22, Section VI, Second Opinion NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 15, Paragraphs 3 and 4 Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions® has mechanisms for members to request and obtain a second opinion at no cost to members. Workflow documents demonstrate that ValueOptions® staff can assist members in getting a second opinion through either the Clinical Department or the Office of Member and Family Affairs. ValueOptions® clinical staff receives training on the process for members to obtain a second opinion. Members learn about their rights to a second opinion through the member handbook and the receipt of member rights

Findings:

The VO Request for Second Opinion policy described the process for the clinical team recommending a second opinion for complex cases. The policy stated that members may also request a second opinion. The policy also stated that members may receive a second opinion from an in-network or out-of-network provider, and addressed the process for obtaining an SCA if the member chooses an out-of-network provider. The Second Opinion Workflow diagram assisted CCM staff members receiving calls for a second opinion. The diagram helped staff direct members in obtaining a second opinion or to the appeal process, if applicable. The provider manual informed providers that members have the right to a second opinion from an in-network or out-of-network provider at no cost to the member. The member handbook informed members of the right to request a second opinion and how to do so, and it



Standard II—Access and Availability		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
listed the right to a second opinion in the list of member rights many requests for second opinions in the NBHP service area. Required Actions: None	. During the on-site interview, NBHP staff members reported that type	ically, there are not
5. If the Contractor is unable to provide necessary services to a member in-network, the Contractor must adequately and timely cover the services out of network for the member, for as long as the Contractor is unable to provide them. 42CFR438.206(b)(4) Contract: II.E.1.c.3 and II.E.1.d.1	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 274L Provision of Services through an Out of Network Provider – Entire policy, especially Page 3, Section IV.A.7 SCA Letter Practitioner with cover SCA Letter Facilities with cover Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 20, Section V, Member Choice of Providers NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 6 and 16 Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policies describe services not available through an in-network provider may be accessible to members through an out-of-network provider at no cost to the member and that all timeframes for authorization decisions must be upheld. Policies outline the approval process and situations in which Single Case Agreements are approved for member services outside of the provider network. In the member handbook, members are informed that they can ask to see a provider who may not be listed in the provider directory. The 	Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ N/A
Findings:	provider handbook outlines the member's rights regarding choice of providers.	
e	ovider policy included the provision that if services are unavailable wi	thin the network,

NBHP makes the services available from an out-of-network provider via an SCA. NBHP provided templates for an SCA with an individual provider and for a facility. The provider manual informed providers of the conditions under which members may receive services from an out-of-network provider.



Standard II—Access and Availability		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
added to the network. The member handbook also stated, how services. During the on-site interview, NBHP staff members p	we services from an out-of-network provider and that they may ask the ever, that if the member does not obtain approval, he or she may have rovided service reports and stated that NBHP's network consisted of widers serving approximately 800 members. In addition, staff reported by SCAs.	to pay for the three in-network
6. The Contractor requires out-of-network providers to coordinate with the Contractor with respect to payment and ensures that the cost to the member is no greater that it would be if the services were furnished within the network.	Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: 1. NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy 2. SCA Letter Facilities with cover 3. SCA Letter Practitioner with cover	
42CFR438.206(b)(5) Contract: II.E.1.d.2	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). Single Case Agreements require that out-of-network providers coordinate with ValueOptions® with respect to payment.	
the providers how to submit claims, and explained that they m	both required the provider to coordinate with NBHP/VO with respect ay not hold the member liable for any part of the bill.	to payment, informed
Required Actions: None		
 7. The Contractor must meet, and require its providers to meet, the following standards for timely access to care and services taking into account the urgency of the need for services: Emergency services are available: By phone, including TTY accessibility, within 15 minutes of the initial contact, In-person within one hour of contact in urban and suburban areas, 	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado III306 Measurement of Access and Availability – Entire policy NBHP ER Access IPN q4fy10 – Entire document NBHP ATC Report Q1FY11- Entire document CHP and NBHP FY2010 Contract Compliance – Items 44-48 3 BHO Perf Meas Amb FU 7 day – Entire document NBHP Residential After Care Timeliness Q1FY2011 –Entire 	



Standard II—Access and Availability		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
 In-person within two hours of contact in rural and frontier areas. Urgent care is available within twenty four hours from the initial identification of need Routine services are available upon initial request within 7 business days. 	document 8. 3 BHO Network Adequacy Report Q1FY11 9. 2010 Colorado Medicaid Provider Forum – Slides 101-102 10. Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Pages 8-9, Provider Availability for Member Access to Care 11. NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) – Pages 4-5	
 Outpatient follow-up appointments within seven business days of an inpatient psychiatric hospitalization or residential facility. Providers are located throughout the Contractor's service area, within thirty miles or thirty minutes travel time, to the extent such services are available. 	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policies describe provider availability and members' access to care requirements. The provider handbook specifies access requirements and is incorporated into each provider's contract as a participating ValueOptions®/BHO provider. Further information	
Contract: II.E.1.a.6 through II.E.1.a.8	regarding access standards is included in provider forums and provider newsletters. Access and availability standards are tracked and monitored throughout the year for emergent call response and access to emergent, urgent and routine care as well as follow-up visits completed post hospitalization and follow-up post residential treatment and acute treatment unit discharge. Members are made aware of their right to access services in the member handbook.	

Findings:

The VO Measurement of Access and Availability policy included the requirements for timely access to appointments. Providers were informed of the timely access standards and providers' responsibilities via the provider manual. Members were informed of the timely access standards via the member handbook. The NBHP ER Access IPN report, the NBHP ATC report, the CHP and NBHP Contract Compliance report, the 3 BHO Performance Measure report, and the NBHP Residential Aftercare report demonstrated NBHP's oversight and monitoring of its IPN and CMHCs for compliance with timely access standards. The VO Colorado provider forum conducted in fall 2010 included discussion of timely access standards. During the on-site interview, NBHP staff members reported that they are considering repeating the provider forum via Webinar. The PowerPoint presentation used for the forum was found on NBHP's Web site.

Required Actions:

None



Standard II—Access and Availability	
Requirement Evidence as	Submitted by BHO/Health Plan Score
that are no less than the hours of operation offered to commercial members or comparable to Medicaid feefor-service, if the provider serves only Medicaid members. 42CFR438.206(c)(1)(ii) Contract: II.E.1.a.4 42CFR438.206(c)(1)(ii) Contract: II.E.1 a.4 4. VO Colora Support - I VO Colora Coverage - 5. CHP and M. 6. NBHP Med. 7. Provider H. Availability Description of This element is Behavioral Head describe provider requirements. provider's cont provider. Provider Provider. Provider Provider. Provider Provider are not less that other coverage conducted to ever the provider of the	bmitted/Location within Documents: Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire do III306 Measurement of Access and Availability ection IV.A do 267LTwenty-four Hour Availability of Clinical Entire policy do 420L Continuous 24hr Care Management Phone Entire policy BHP FY2010 Contract Compliance – Items 47-48 Inber Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 3, 6-7 Indbook (Misc folder) – Page 9, Provider Infor Member Access to Care Process: delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Ith Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policies er availability and members' access to care The provider handbook is incorporated into each ract as a participating ValueOptions®/BHO ders are required to offer hours of operation that in that offered to any other client/member that has including self pay. Contract compliance audits are raluate several elements including access standards. urvey results may also be used for monitoring as

The VO Measurement of Access and Availability policy included the provision that providers are required to offer hours of operation that are no less than the hours of operation offered to commercial members or that are comparable to Medicaid fee for service. Providers were informed via the provider manual. Members were informed of the business office hours for NBHP and the business and service hours for each CMHC via the member handbook.

Required Actions:

None



		nent
services that are medically necessary, through non-contracted, out of network providers. Crisis evaluations are conducted in person primarily onsite at inpatient facilities, which offer services 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. The availability of crisis services are monitored through access to care data, and reported to HCPF quarterly, as well as through mental health center contract compliance audits. In addition, services are available through	t tially Met Met	ontractor makes services available 24 hours a day, a week, when medically necessary.



Standard II—Access and Availability		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
Findings:	members, and to ensure services are coordinated in emergent situations. Telephone statistics are monitored to ensure timely responses to telephone-based emergency service requests.	
The Member Request policies included the process for responderesponsibilities for after-hours coverage via the provider manuseven days per week, and included the telephone number for the Contract Compliance report demonstrated NBHP's oversight a seven days a week, when medically necessary. Required Actions: None	ding to requests for services 24 hours per day. Providers were informed al. Members were informed of the availability of emergency services the 24-hour NBHP access to care line. The NBHP ATC report and the and monitoring of the provider network for compliance with availability.	24 hours per day, CHP and NBHP ty 24 hours a day,
10. The Contractor has mechanisms to ensure compliance by providers regarding timely access to services, and has mechanisms to monitor providers regularly to determine compliance and to take corrective action if there is failure to comply. ### AZCFR438.206(c)(1)(iv) through (vi) Contract: II.E.1.a. 9 through II.E.1.a. 11	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado III306 Measurement of Access and Availability – Entire policy CHP and NBHP FY2010 Contract Compliance – Items 44-48 NBHP ER Access IPN q4fy10 – Entire document NBHP ATC Report Q1FY11- Entire document NBHP Residential After Care Timeliness Q1FY2011 – Entire document VO Colorado Letter First Time 15 min – Entire document VO Colorado Access 15 min CAP Letter – Entire document VO Colorado Provider CAP Emergent Response – Entire document VO Colorado Provider CAP Emergent Response – Entire document VO Colorado Provider CAP Emergent Response – Entire document VO Colorado Provider CAP Emergent Response – Entire document VO Colorado Provider CAP Emergent Response – Entire document VO Colorado Provider CAP Emergent Response – Entire document VO Colorado Provider CAP Emergent Response – Entire document VO Colorado Provider CAP Emergent Response – Entire document	Met Partially Met Not Met Not Met N/A



Standard II—Access and Availability		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
	to monitor provider access and availability to determine compliance. Providers whose standards are not in compliance are notified and must submit corrective action plans. Along with various mechanisms for all levels of access monitoring, grievances regarding access are investigated through the Quality of Care process, and member survey results are evaluated. Annually, ValueOptions® conducts contract compliance audits and monitors access trends based on satisfaction survey data through quality committees and minutes.	
provided examples of a first-time warning letter and a request provider was not compliant with requirements. Required Actions:	d monitoring of providers for compliance with access to care requirent for a corrective action plan for a provider when monitoring activities	
None	Documents Submitted/Location within Documents:	N.
11. The Contractor participates in the State's efforts to promote the delivery of services in a culturally	1. NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire	Met □ Partially Met
competent manner, to all members including those with limited English proficiency or reading skills including those with diverse cultural and ethnic backgrounds by: • Addressing the language and cultural expertise of providers in the network plan, • Ensuring members' right to receive culturally appropriate and competent services from participating providers, • Assessing member demographics, cultural, and racial affiliations, language and reading proficiency, • Evaluating members' cultural and linguistic needs, • Utilizing information gathered [regarding cultural and linguistic needs] in the service plan.	 Policy ValueOptions® CC106 Handling Calls with Limited English Speakers – Entire policy VO Colorado Language Line Workflow VO Colorado Population Analysis Worksheet 2009 – Entire document CHP and NBHP MHSIP_YSSF Survey Results Cultural Competency 3 BHO Audit Tool – row 25 VO Colorado Contract Compliance Audit Tool – Highlighted sections NBHP Cultural Competency Program Description and Plan 2010-2011 ReferralConnect_ScreenShot 	Not Met N/A
42CFR438.206(c)(2)	10. Provider Directory	



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
Contract: II.E.1.c.1.v; II.F.4.j.3.iv; F.7.d.1; F.7.e.2; and F.9.a	 11. Provider Network Language Specialties 12. Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 80, paragraph two and bullets 4 and 5, Page 81-Cultural Competence, Section 15, Office of Member and Family Affairs; Page 86, bullet 4, Section 19, Medical Record Documentation Standards 13. NBHP Manual de Miembro – NBHP Member Handbook in Spanish 14. NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) – Pages 1, 5 and 10 	
	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions® conducts a demographic analysis for NBHP using census data to determine the ethnic, linguistic, educational and economic characteristics of its membership. Member satisfaction survey results are also used to assist in the evaluation of the availability of culturally competent services. Providers are required to uphold member rights and provide culturally competent services; treatment record documentation audits evaluate cultural factors relevant to member treatment. This information is considered in the development of a provider network that includes providers who speak languages other than English and/or have expertise in the cultural needs of Medicaid members. A population analysis for NBHP is included as part of the QI/UM Program Description. This analysis is used to develop the BHO's cultural competence plans and plan for member material distribution. Spanish is the most prevalent non- English language spoken by NBHP's membership and member materials are available in both English and Spanish.	
	Members are made aware of their right to get culturally competent care through the member rights statement within the member handbook. Members who contact the ValueOptions® Colorado	



Standard II—Access and Availability			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score	
	Call Center and speak a language other than English are assisted using the language line. During the call, members are asked a series of questions to assess their cultural needs which are documented in our clinical systems.		
	The provider directory and ReferralConnect, the ValueOptions®' online provider search tool for members, provide information about languages spoken by providers. ReferralConnect allows members to search for available providers with specific language and ethnic characteristics.		

Findings:

HSAG reviewed NBHP's provider language tracking documents, which indicated the number of providers in the network who speak languages other than English. Languages spoken by providers were included in the provider directory. The VO Handling Calls With Limited English Speakers policy described the process for using the 24-hour language translation line. The Language Line Workflow Diagram assisted CCM staff in using the language translation line. The Colorado Population Analysis document demonstrated NBHP's efforts to evaluate cultural and linguistic needs in each county. The 3 BHO Audit Tool and the VO Contract Compliance report demonstrated that the BHO monitored its CMHCs for evidence of consideration of cultural factors in member records. The NBHP cultural competence plan described NBHP's goals and strategies designed to address cultural disparities encountered when receiving mental health services. On the list of member rights in the member handbook was the right to receive culturally competent services. The provider handbook included provider responsibilities for documenting a member's cultural issues in the initial assessment and individual service plan, and the handbook contained a Web site where the cultural competency plan could be found. The NBHP Web site could be translated to Spanish by clicking the "En Espanol" button. NBHP also provided a copy of the member handbook in Spanish.

Required Actions:

None



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
 12. The Contactor submits to the State (in a format specified by the State) documentation to demonstrate that the Contractor: Offers an appropriate range of preventative, primary care, and specialty services that is adequate for the anticipated number of members for the services area, Maintains a network of providers that is sufficient in number, mix, and geographic distribution to meet the needs of the anticipated number of members in the service area, Certifies that the network meets the requirements set forth in 438.206 and 438.207. Contract: ILE1.b.1 	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy 3 BHO Network Adequacy Report Q1FY11 3 BHO Certification of Network Adequacy 3 BHO Email Network Adequacy 2010OCT FY2010 Annual Needs Assessment Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 3, Section II, Continuum of Services Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast	
Findings:	ual Needs Assessment included all requirements. NBHP provided a coctober 29, 2010.	py of the e-mail

Results for Standard II—Access and Availability							
Total	Met	=	<u>12</u>	Χ	1.00	=	<u>12</u>
	Partially Met	=	<u>0</u>	Χ	.00	=	<u>0</u>
	Not Met	=	<u>0</u>	Х	.00	=	<u>0</u>
	Not Applicable	=	<u>0</u>	Х	NA	=	<u>NA</u>
Total Appl	icable		<u>12</u>	Tota	I Score	=	<u>12</u>

Total Score + Total Applicable	=	<u>100%</u>
---------------------------------------	---	-------------



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
1. The Contractor has written policies and procedures to ensure timely coordination of the provision of covered services to its members and to ensure service accessibility attention to individual needs and continuity of care to promote maintenance of health and maximize independent living. Contract: II.E.1.g.1	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 274L Provision of Services through an Out of Network Provider – Page 2, Section IV.A.3 VO Colorado 259L Enhanced Clinical Management of Outpatient Services – Pages 4-5, Section V.B.1-3 VO Colorado 254L Continuity of Care among Providers and LOC – Entire policy, especially Page 2 VO Colorado 262L Coordination of Care – Entire document VO Colorado 278L Coordination of Care – Entire policy VO Colorado Systems Integration Department Policy and Procedure Guidelines – Entire document NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) – Pages 7-8 Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Pages 11-12 and 18 Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policies require coordination and continuity of care and ensure members' care is not interrupted due to a change in benefits. Coordination of care is enhanced through the authorization process and enhanced clinical management activities. The member handbook informs members of the treatment process and their role in the care coordination process. The provider handbook describes the care coordination responsibilities of providers and outlines the requirements of the general medical record relative to care coordination. 	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A

Findings:

The NBHP Delegation Agreement described tasks delegated to VO related to the coordination of services and care management activities. VO was responsible for administrative tasks, maintenance of policies and procedures related to care coordination, and oversight and monitoring of care coordination activities. The VO Coordination of Care policy and the VO Continuity of Care Among Providers policy described processes for enhanced



Standard III—Coordination and Continuity of Care		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
NBHP's providers to coordinate and communicate with physic in coordinating care. The member handbook described the care Required Actions: None	coordination of Care With Physical Health Providers policy described cal health care providers. The provider manual informed providers of the management process and the member's role in obtaining and utilizing	their responsibilities ag services.
 2. Policies and procedures address: The coordination of services furnished to the member by the Contractor with the services the member receives from any other MCO or PIHP. The coordination and provision of services in conjunction with other behavioral health care providers, physical health care providers, long term care providers, waiver service providers, pharmacists, county and state agencies, and other organizations that may be providing wrap around services. 42CFR438.208(b)(2) Contract: II.E.1.g.1 and II.E.1.g.2 	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 278L Coordination of Care With Physical Health Providers – Entire policy, especially Page 1, Section III.A and V.B VO Colorado 248L Diagnosis and Treatment Early and Periodic Screen EPSDT – Entire policy VO Colorado 269L Advance Directives – Entire policy VO Colorado 237L Use of Residential Treatment for Children Adolescents – Pages 4-5, Section V.H.1-1a VO Colorado 264L Use of Dispute Process Under the Child Mental Health Treatment Act – Entire policy VO Colorado 271L Assisting Dual MedicareMedicaid Eligible Members with Referrals and Access to Services – Entire policy VO Colorado 275L Services for Residents of Nursing Facilities – Entire policy VO Colorado Systems Integration Department Policy and Procedure Guidelines – Entire policy, especially Page 1, Section III and Pages 3-4, Section V VO Colorado CYF Outpatient – Page 1, Section III.A and Pages 1-2 Section V.C-D VO Colorado CYF Residential Day – Page 1, Section III.A and Pages 1-2, Section V.A-C Wraparound Services Guidelines attachment Provider Newsletter_JUL2010 – Page 2, Initiative to Improve 	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
	Coordination of Care with Physical Health Providers 14. 2010 Colorado Medicaid Provider Forum – Slides 19, 26 and 96-100 15. VO Colorado Centennial Peaks Care Coordination – Entire document 16. Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 12, Coordination of Mental Health and Primary Care, Page 18, General Medical Record Requirements, Pages 25-26, Section VIII, Coordination of Care, Page 88, Medical Record Documentation Standards (bullet 2)	
	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policies describe and require care coordination with physical health providers, behavioral health providers, long term care providers, county and state agencies and other organizations providing services to members. The provider handbook details the responsibilities and expectations of providers in coordinating care and newsletters inform providers about the current performance improvement project; an example of a provider letter outlining care coordination expectations is also included (VO Colorado Centennial Peaks Care Coordination).	

Findings:

NBHP had multiple policies and procedures that addressed coordinating care with other entities—including MCOs or BHOs, community agencies, and multiple providers—furnishing services to the member. The policies delineated the responsibilities of NBHP/VO CCM staff, the systems integration staff (responsible for more intense coordination with community agencies), and providers based on the needs of the member and the service setting. Providers were informed via the provider forum of the importance of communicating and coordinating with physical health providers. The provider manual described NBHP's coordination of care processes and informed providers of their responsibility to ensure coordination of members' services.

Required Actions:

None



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
3. The Contractor shares with other health care organizations serving the member with special health care needs, the results of its identification and assessment of that member's needs, to prevent duplication of those activities. 42CFR438.208(b)(3) Contract: None	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 278L Coordination of Care – Entire document VO Colorado Systems Integration Department Policy and Procedure Guidelines – Page 1, Section III and Pages 3-4, Section V 2010 Colorado Medicaid Provider Forum – Slides 19, 26 and 96-100 Provider Newsletter_JUL2010 – Page 2, Initiative to Improve Coordination of Care with Physical Health Providers NBHP Coordination of Care Report – Entire document VO Colorado Intensive Care Management Workflow – Entire document Inpatient ATU Concurrent Review Process – Entire document Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 12, Coordination of Mental Health and Primary Care, Pages 25-26, Section VIII, Coordination of Care Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' has 	Met Partially Met Not Met Not Met Not Met
	written policies and procedures to ensure coordination of services between its providers and medical health professionals. Clinical staff acts as a liaison with other health care organizations serving members with special health care needs to share clinical information to prevent duplication of services and the assessment of prior providers involved in the member's care. NBHP continues to work with the Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing on a statewide performance improvement project that is designed to coordinate behavioral and physical health care on specific adult populations. The provider handbook	



Standard III—Coordination and Continuity of Care		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
	details the responsibilities and expectations of providers in coordinating care.	
multiple providers—furnishing services to the member. The postaff, and providers based on the needs of the member and the communicating and coordinating with physical health provider providers of their responsibility to ensure coordination of mem	ordinating care with other entities—including MCOs or BHOs, commolicies delineated the responsibilities of NBHP/VO CCM staff, the systervice setting. Providers were informed via the provider forum of the rs. The provider manual described NBHP's coordination of care procembers' services.	stems integration e importance of
Required Actions: None		
4. The Contractor ensures that in the process of coordinating care, each member's privacy is protected in accordance with the privacy requirements in 45 CFR parts 160 and 164 subparts A and E (HIPAA), to the extent that they are applicable. 42CFR438.208(b)(4) Contract: II.E.1.g.1	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 245L Clinical Audits of Provider Medical Records – Pgs 1-2, Section V.A.1-2 VO Colorado 262L Coordination of Care – Page 3, Section V.A VO Colorado 278L Coordination of Care With Physical Health Providers – Page 2, Section IV.A.3 3 BHO Colorado Medicaid Contract Addendum - Page 2, Item F: Compliance NBHP Member Handbook (Misc folder) – Pages 8, 17 and 19-20 Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 13, Section IV, Utilization Management Procedures, Page 18, General Medical Documentation Requirements, Page 25, Section VIII, Coordination of Care, Page 78, Confidentiality Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policies describe members' privacy rights and explain the requirements 	



Standard III—Coordination and Continuity of Care				
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score		
	that are considered when coordinating care with physical and non- physical health providers. The provider handbook informs providers of members' privacy rights and the importance of confidentiality.			
other providers and agencies. The 3 BHO Colorado Medicaid organization for NBHP) to comply with HIPAA. The VO Clin sample IPN medical records, including records from any outlie examination). The member handbook explained how protected and the use of member information in quality management active.	policy included the process for obtaining releases of information when Contract Addendum required providers contracting with VO (as the actical Audits of Provider Medical Records policy described the process or providers (defined in the policy as contracted providers whose pract health information (PHI) is used. The provider handbook described Fixities.	Iministrative services for NBHP/VO to ice patterns warrant		
Required Actions: None				
5. The Contractor ensures that each member accessing services receives an individual intake and assessment within contractual timeframes for the level of care needed. The individual intake and assessment shall not be performed as part of any group orientation or therapy session. 42CFR438.208(c)(2) Contract: II.F.7.a and II.F.7.c	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 223L Treatment Planning – Page 1, Section V.A CareConnect Authorization_Screenshot – Entire document VO Colorado IV403 Provider Treatment Record Review, Analysis and Reporting 3 BHO Audit Tool – rows 17-33 Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 86, Section XIX, Medical Record Documentation Standards Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' policies 			
	explain that providers are expected to do individualized treatment planning for all members. Authorization systems, manual and automated, are available for providers to submit the required verification information they are treating the member according to ValueOptions®' treatment guidelines. The provider handbook			



Standard III—Coordination and Continuity of Care		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
Newsletter described CCAR processes. The provider manual i	specifies that a member must receive an individualized assessment and outlines the general requirements for documentation. Provider compliance with treatment planning and assessment is monitored through the Chart Audit process. Forting policy described the process for auditing medical records. The included the list of medical record documentation standards, which increassessed. The medical record audit form included assessment for the ment.	luded an
None		
 6. Each member actively seeking services shall have an individualized service plan (treatment plan), developed by the member and/or the designated member representative and the member's provider or treatment team and: Utilizes the information gathered in the member's intake and assessment to build a comprehensive plan of service, Includes measurable goals, strategies to achieve the stated goals and a mechanism for monitoring and revising the service plan as appropriate, Is signed by the member and reviewing professional. If the member chooses not to sign his/her service plan, documentation is provided in the member's 	 Documents Submitted/Location within Documents: NBHP VO Delegation Agreement (Misc folder) – Entire policy VO Colorado 223L Treatment Planning – Entire document VO Colorado IV403 Provider Treatment Record Review, Analysis and Reporting – Page 1, Section III.A 3 BHO Audit Tool – Sections B-E VO Colorado How to Write a Treatment Plan for Mental Health – Entire document Provider Newsletter_JUL2010 – Page 3, Compliance Update Provider Handbook (Misc folder) – Page 13, Section IV, Utilization Management Procedures, Page 86, Section XIX, Medical Record Documentation Standards 	Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ N/A
 medical record stating the member's reason for not signing the plan, Service planning occurs annually or if there is a change in the member's level of functioning. 	Description of Process: This element is delegated to ValueOptions® by Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership (NBHP). ValueOptions®' works with providers to assure treatment plans are developed to most effectively address the needs of members. ValueOptions® conducts regular treatment record audits and staff reviews the	
Contract:	members' treatment plans for necessary requirements as outlined	



Standard III—Coordination and Continuity of Care		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by BHO/Health Plan	Score
II.F.9	in the Utilization Management and Medical Record Documentation sections of the provider handbook. Provider communications such as newsletters and educational materials contain information about documentation expectation. Also included in the provider handbook are guidelines for documentation and requirements that treatment plans must contain measurable goals, be signed by the member, or documented if the	
	member refused to sign, and notes that the plan must be updated annually at minimum.	

Findings:

The VO Treatment Planning policy included the provision that providers complete an individualized service plan for each member seeking services. The provider manual included the list of medical record documentation standards, which included an individual service plan. The medical record audit form included assessment for the presence and appropriateness of content of individualized treatment plans. The provider manual listed medical record documentation standards, which included an individual service plan and the required content of the plan. During the on-site interview, NBHP staff members reported that the How to Write a Treatment Plan document was used by the network and development support staff to educate and work with providers whose treatment plans were not adequate.

Required Actions:

None

Results for Standard III—Coordination and Continuity of Care							
Total	Met	=	<u>6</u>	Χ	1.00	=	<u>6</u>
	Partially Met	=	<u>0</u>	X	.00	=	<u>0</u>
	Not Met	=	<u>0</u>	X	.00	=	<u>0</u>
	Not Applicable	=	<u>0</u>	Χ	NA	=	<u>NA</u>
Total Applicable		=	<u>6</u>	Tota	I Score	=	<u>6</u>

Total Score + Total Applicable	=	<u>100%</u>
--------------------------------	---	-------------



Appendix B. Denials Record Review Tool for Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC

The completed compliance monitoring tool follows this cover page.



Appendix B. Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing FY 2010–2011 Denials Record Review Tool for Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC

Review Period:	January 1, 2010-September 15, 2010
Date of Review:	February 14, 2011
Reviewer:	Barbara McConnell
Participating Plan Staff Member:	Amie Adams

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		12
		Complete if Standard/Expedited Authorization Decision			Complete for Termination, Suspension, or Reduction of Previously Authorized Services		Complete for All Denials				
File #	Member ID	Date of Initial Request	Date Notice of Action Sent	Number of Days for Decision and Notice	Notice Sent w/in Time Frame? (S = 10 C days after request; E = 3 W days after request)	Date Notice Sent	Notice Sent w/in Time Frame? (At least 10 days prior to change in service)	Notice Includes Required Content?	Decision Made by Qualified Clinician?	Requesting Physician Consulted? (if applicable)	Reason Valid?
1	*****	1/15/10	1/15/10	0	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□	Y⊠N□	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y 🖾 N 🗆
Commer						I					
2	*****	1/25/10	1/26/10	1	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y 🛛 N 🗌	Y 🛛 N 🗌	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y 🛛 N 🗌
Commer		II	ı								
3	*****	2/12/10	2/12/10	0	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y 🛛 N 🗆	Y⊠N□	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □	Y ⊠ N □
Commer											
4	*****	1/26/10	1/26/10	0	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y 🖾 N 🗆	Y⊠N□	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y ⊠ N □
Commer	nts:										
5	*****	3/15/10	3/15/10	0	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□	Y 🛛 N 🗌	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y 🖾 N 🗆
Commer	nts:										
6	*****	3/31/10	3/31/10	0	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y 🖾 N 🗌	Y ⊠ N 🗆	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y 🖾 N 🗌
Commer	nts:										
7	*****	4/8/10	4/9/10	1	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□	Y⊠N□	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□
Commer	nts:										
8	*****	4/21/10	4/22/10	1	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	YND	Y ⊠ N 🗆	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y 🖾 N 🗆
Commer	nts:										
9	*****	4/29/10	4/30/10	1	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y 🖾 N 🗆	Y 🛛 N 🗌	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□
Commer	nts:										
10	*****				Y 🗌 N 🗎 N/A 🗎		Y 🗌 N 🗎 N/A 🔲	Y 🗆 N 🗀	Y 🗌 N 🔲	Y 🗌 N 🔲 N/A 🔲	Y 🗆 N 🗆
Commer	nts: This sam	ple case was	not used. It v	vas not a deni	al and was in the sample	e in error.					



Appendix B. Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing FY 2010–2011 Denials Record Review Tool for Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	_				Suspensio Previou	Complete for Termination, Suspension, or Reduction of Previously Authorized Services		Complete for All Denials			
File #	Member ID	Date of Initial Request	Date Notice of Action Sent	Number of Days for Decision and Notice	Notice Sent w/in Time Frame? (S = 10 C days after request; E = 3 W days after request)	Date Notice Sent	Notice Sent w/in Time Frame? (At least 10 days prior to change in service)	Notice Includes Required Content?	Decision Made by Qualified Clinician?	Requesting Physician Consulted? (if applicable)	Reason Valid?
11	*****	N/A	5/24	N/A	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□	Y⊠N□	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y ⊠ N □
Commersent 5/2		a claim denia	I. The require	ement for clain	n denials is to send the	notice of action	at the time of any decis	sion affecting th	e claim. The dec	ision was made 5/21/10	, and the notice was
12	*****	5/26/10	5/27/10	1	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y 🗌 N 🗎 N/A 🖾	YND	Y 🖾 N 🗌	Y N N N/A	Y 🖾 N 🗌
						"					
13	*****	N/A	6/3/10	N/A	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y 🛛 N 🗌	Y⊠N□	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y ⊠ N 🗆
Commer		a claim denia	I. The require	ement for clain	n denials is to send the	notice of action	at the time of any deci-	sion affecting th	e claim. The dec	ision was made 6/3/10 a	and the notice was
14	*****	N/A	6/7/10	N/A	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y N N N/A	Y 🛛 N 🗌	Y 🛛 N 🗌	Y 🗌 N 🗎 N/A 🖂	Y 🛛 N 🗌
Commer		a claim denia	I. The require	ement for clain	n denials is to send the	notice of action	at the time of any deci-	sion affecting th	e claim. The dec	ision was made 6/410 a	nd the notice was
15	*****	6/16/10	6/17	1	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□	Y⊠N□	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□
Comme	nts:					"			'	'	
16	*****	N/A	6/24/10	N/A	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y 🗌 N 🗎 N/A 🖾	Y⊠N□	Y 🛛 N 🗌	Y N N N/A	Y ⊠ N 🗆
Commersent 6/2		a claim denia	I. The require	ement for clain	n denials is to send the	notice of action	at the time of any deci-	sion affecting th	e claim. The dec	ision was made 6/24/10	and the notice was
17	*****	7/8/10	7/9/10	1	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□	Y ⊠ N □	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y ⊠ N 🗆
Comme	nts:										
18	*****	7/23/10	7/23/10	0	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□	Y 🖾 N 🗌	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y ⊠ N 🗆
Comme	nts:										
19	*****	N/A	8/5/10	N/A	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□	Y⊠N□	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□
Commersent 8/5		a claim denia	I. The require	ement for clain	n denials is to send the	notice of action	at the time of any deci-	sion affecting th	e claim. The dec	ision was made 8/5/10 a	and the notice was
20	*****				Y 🗌 N 🗌 N/A 🗌		Y 🗌 N 🗎 N/A 🗌	Y 🗆 N 🗆	Y N	Y 🗌 N 🗌 N/A 🗌	Y 🗌 N 🔲
Comme	nts: This was	not an NBHP	member. A r	member from a	another BHO (for whom	VO was the de	elegate) was in the sam	ple in error.			



Appendix B. Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing FY 2010–2011 Denials Record Review Tool for Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Complete if Standard/Expedite Decision		Complete for Termination, ed Authorization Suspension, or Reduction of Previously Authorized Services		Complete for All Denials						
File #	Member ID	Date of Initial Request	Date Notice of Action Sent	Number of Days for Decision and Notice	Notice Sent w/in Time Frame? (S = 10 C days after request; E = 3 W days after request)	Date Notice Sent	Notice Sent w/in Time Frame? (At least 10 days prior to change in service)	Notice Includes Required Content?	Decision Made by Qualified Clinician?	Requesting Physician Consulted? (if applicable)	Reason Valid?
21	*****	N/A	1/12/10	N/A	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□	Y 🖾 N 🗆	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y 🖾 N 🗌
Commer		a claim denia	al. The require	ement for clain	n denials is to send the	notice of action	at the time of any decis	sion affecting the	e claim. The deci	sion was made 1/11/10	and the notice was
22	*****	1/16/10	1/19/10	3	Y ⊠ N □ N/A □		Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y 🛛 N 🗌	Y⊠N□	Y □ N □ N/A ⊠	Y⊠N□
Comme											
23	*****				Y 🗌 N 🗎 N/A 🗌		Y □ N □ N/A □	Y \square N \square	Y 🗆 N 🗆	Y 🗌 N 🔲 N/A 🗌	Y 🗆 N 🗆
Comme	nts: No addition	onal oversam	ple cases we	re required to	obtain and review 20 ca	ases.					
24	*****				Y 🗌 N 🗎 N/A 🗌		Y 🗌 N 🗎 N/A 🗌	Y N	Y 🗆 N 🗆	Y 🗌 N 🔲 N/A 🗌	Y 🗌 N 🗌
Comme	nts:										
25	*****				Y 🗌 N 🗎 N/A 🗌		Y 🗌 N 🗎 N/A 🗌	Y 🗆 N 🗆	Y 🗆 N 🗆	Y 🗌 N 🔲 N/A 🗌	Y 🗆 N 🗆
Comme	nts:										
#	Applicable Elements				20		0	20	20	1	20
#	Compliant Elements				20		0	20	20	1	20
	Percent Compliant				100%		NA	100%	100%	100%	100%
Total #	# Applicab	le	8	31					•		
Total #	# Complia	nt	8	11							
Total I	Percent Co	ompliant	10	0%							



Appendix C. Site Review Participants for Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC

Table C-1 lists the participants in the FY 2010–2011 site review of NBHP.

Table C-1—HSA	Table C-1—HSAG Reviewers and BHO Participants					
HSAG Review Team	Title					
Barbara McConnell, MBA, OTR	Project Director					
NBHP Participants	Title					
Amie Adams	Clinical Director					
Steve Coen	Clinical Peer Advisor					
Jennifer Euler	Director, Office of Member and Family Affairs					
Steve Holsenbeck	Medical Director					
Julie Kellaway	Quality Improvement Director					
LaRue Leffingwell	Executive Assistant					
Karen Thompson	Executive Director					
Department Observers	Title					
Lisa Keenan (telephonically)	Contract Manager					
Suzanne Sigona (telephonically)	Health Outcomes and Quality Management Unit Manager					
Jerry Ware	Quality/Compliance Specialist					



Appendix D. Corrective Action Plan Process for FY 2010–2011 for Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC

Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC is required to submit a CAP to the Department for all elements within each standard scored as *Partially Met* or *Not Met*. The CAP must be submitted within 30 days of receipt of the final report. For each element that requires correction, the health plan should identify the planned interventions and complete the attached CAP template. Supporting documents should not be submitted and will not be considered until the CAP has been approved by the Department. Following Department approval, the BHO must submit documents based on the approved timeline.

	Table D-1—Corrective Action Plan Process
Step 1	Corrective action plans are submitted
	Each BHO will submit a CAP to HSAG and the Department within 30 calendar days of receipt of the final external quality review site review report via e-mail or through the file transfer protocol (FTP) site, with an e-mail notification regarding the FTP posting. The BHO will submit the CAP using the template provided. The Department should be copied on any communication regarding CAPs.
	For each of the elements receiving a score of <i>Partially Met</i> or <i>Not Met</i> , the CAP must describe interventions designed to achieve compliance with the specified requirements, the timelines associated with these activities, anticipated training and follow-up activities, and documents to be sent following the completion of the planned interventions.
Step 2	Prior approval for timelines exceeding 30 days
	If the BHO is unable to submit the CAP (plan only) within 30 calendar days following receipt of the final report, it must obtain prior approval from the Department in writing.
Step 3	Department approval
	Following review of the CAP, the Department will notify the BHO via e-mail whether:
	• The plan has been approved and the BHO should proceed with the interventions as outlined in the plan.
	• Some or all of the elements of the plan must be revised and resubmitted.
Step 4	Documentation substantiating implementation
	Once the BHO has received Department approval of the plan, the BHO should implement all the planned interventions and submit evidence of such implementation to HSAG via e-mail or the FTP site, with an e-mail notification regarding the posting. The Department should be copied on any communication regarding CAPs.
Step 5	Progress reports may be required
	For any planned interventions requiring an extended implementation date, the Department may, based on the nature and seriousness of the noncompliance, require the BHO to submit regular reports to the Department detailing progress made on one or more open elements of the CAP.



	Table D-1—Corrective Action Plan Process
Step 6	Documentation substantiating implementation of the plans is reviewed and approved
	Following a review of the CAP and all supporting documentation, the Department will inform the BHO as to whether: (1) the documentation is sufficient to demonstrate completion of all required actions and compliance with the related contract requirements or (2) the BHO must submit additional documentation.
	The Department will inform each BHO in writing when the documentation substantiating implementation of all Department-approved corrective actions is deemed sufficient to bring the BHO into full compliance with all the applicable contract requirements.

The template for the CAP follows.



	Table D-2—FY 2010–2011 Corrective Action Plan for Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC						
Standard and Requirement	Required Actions	Planned Intervention and Person(s)/Committee(s) Responsible	Date Completion Anticipated	Training Required/Monitoring/Follow- up Planned	Documents to be Submitted as Evidence of Completion		
I. Coverage and Authorization of Services 30. The Contractor is financially responsible for post-stabilization care services obtained within or outside the network that are not pre-approved by a plan provider or other organization representative, but are administered to maintain the member's stabilized condition within 1 hour of a request to the organization for pre-approval of further post-stabilization care services.	The member handbook stated, "You may need services after the emergency is over to help you stay stable or improve your mental health condition. This is called Post-Stabilization Care. Post-stabilization services are inpatient and outpatient services provided just after an emergency. Your emergency provider must get approval from your BHO for these services after the emergency is over. This statement leads the reader to believe that preauthorization is required for poststabilization care and is in conflict with NBHP's policies. NBHP must clarify the member handbook to provide information that is consistent with VO/NBHP's policies.						



Appendix E. Compliance Monitoring Review Activities for Northeast Behavioral Health Partnership, LLC

The following table describes the activities performed throughout the compliance monitoring process. The activities listed below are consistent with CMS' final protocol, *Monitoring Medicaid Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) and Prepaid Inpatient Health Plans (PIHPs)*, February 11, 2003.

	Table E-1—Compliance Monitoring Review Activities Performed
For this step,	HSAG completed the following activities:
Activity 1:	Planned for Monitoring Activities
	 HSAG and the Department held teleconferences and a meeting at the Department to determine the content of the review. HSAG coordinated with the Department and the BHO to set the date of the review. HSAG coordinated with the Department to determine timelines for the Department's review and approval of the tool and report template and other review activities. HSAG staff attended Behavioral Health Quality Improvement Committee (BQUIC) meetings and discussed the FY 2010–2011 compliance monitoring review process as needed. HSAG assigned staff to the review team. Prior to the review, HSAG representatives also responded to questions from the BHO via telephone contact or e-mails related to federal managed care regulations, contract requirements, the request for documentation, and the site review process to ensure that the BHO was prepared for the compliance monitoring review.
Activity 2:	Obtained Background Information From the Department
•	 HSAG used the BBA Medicaid managed care regulations and the BHO's Medicaid managed care contract with the Department to develop HSAG's monitoring tool, desk audit request, on-site agenda, record review tool, and report template. HSAG submitted each of the above documents to the Department for its review and approval.
Activity 3:	Reviewed Documents
	• Sixty days prior to the scheduled date of the on-site portion of the review, HSAG notified the BHO in writing of the desk audit request via delivery of the desk review form, the compliance monitoring tool, and an on-site agenda. The desk audit request included instructions for organizing and preparing the documents related to the review of the three standards. Thirty days prior to the review, the BHO provided documentation for the desk audit, as requested.
	 Documents submitted for the desk review and during the on-site document review consisted of the completed desk audit form, the compliance monitoring tool with the BHO's section completed, policies and procedures, staff training materials, administrative records, reports, minutes of key committee meetings, and member and provider informational materials.
	 The HSAG review team reviewed all documentation submitted prior to the on-site portion of the review and prepared a request for further documentation and an interview guide to use during the on-site portion of the review.



	Table E-1—Compliance Monitoring Review Activities Performed						
For this step,	HSAG completed the following activities:						
Activity 4:	Conducted Interviews						
	• During the on-site portion of the review, HSAG met with the BHO's key staff members to obtain a complete picture of the BHO's compliance with contract requirements, explore any issues not fully addressed in the documents, and increase overall understanding of the BHO's performance.						
Activity 5:	Collected Accessory Information						
	 During the on-site portion of the review, HSAG collected and reviewed additional documents as needed. (HSAG reviewed certain documents on-site due to the nature of the document—i.e., certain original source documents were of a confidential or proprietary nature or were requested as a result of the pre-on-site document review.) HSAG reviewed additional documents requested as a result of the on-site interviews. 						
Activity 6:	Analyzed and Compiled Findings						
·	 Following the on-site portion of the review, HSAG met with BHO staff to provide an overview of preliminary findings. HSAG used the FY 2010–2011 Site Review Report Template to compile the findings and incorporate information from the pre-on-site and on-site review activities. HSAG analyzed the findings and assigned scores. HSAG determined opportunities for improvement based on the review findings. HSAG determined actions required of the BHO to achieve full compliance with Medicaid managed care regulations and associated contract requirements. 						
Activity 7:	Reported Results to the Department						
	 HSAG completed the FY 2010–2011 Site Review Report. HSAG submitted the site review report to the Department for review and comment. HSAG incorporated the Department's comments. HSAG distributed a second draft report to the BHO for review and comment. HSAG incorporated the BHO's comments and finalized the report. HSAG distributed the final report to the BHO and the Department. 						