State of Colorado



Department of Health Care Policy & Financing

Medical & CHP+ Program Administration Office Quality Improvement Section

FY 08 Site Review Findings for Denver Health Medicaid Choice (DHMC)

August 2008

Table of Contents

History, Purpose and Origin of Medicaid Managed Care Entity Site Review	3
Site review Process	3
FY08 Site Review Findings	4
Required Corrective Actions for "Partially Met" and "Not Met" Provisions	5
Summary of FY 07Corrective Action Plan Progress	8
Appendix I: Site Review Findings, all contract provisions	11
Appendix II: DHHA Corrective Action Plan, FY07	37
Appendix III: Onsite Schedule, FY 08	39

I. History, Purpose and Origin of Medicaid Managed Care Entity Site Review

As part of the Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing's (the Department's) overall effort and commitment to ensure equitable and appropriate access, quality outcomes and timely care and services for Medicaid members, the Department developed and implemented an annual site review process in 1999. The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 specified additional requirements for managed care entities (MCEs). These requirements were incorporated into all FY03-04 MCE contracts. The Department began monitoring MCEs for the new requirements in addition to the existing requirements during the FY03-04 site review schedule. The objective of the site review is to evaluate all contracted MCEs for contractual and regulatory compliance.

II. Site Review Process

In FY03-04, the Department adopted the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) protocol "Monitoring Medicaid Managed Care Organizations and Prepaid Inpatient Health Plans" (Final Version 1.0, February 11, 2003) as a guideline for the site review process. The site review process consists of a desk audit and a visit to the MCE's administrative offices to review records on-site and interview relevant staff.

A monitoring tool is used as a guide to assess contractual and regulatory compliance. Monitoring tool content is based on the MCE contract provisions, Colorado Regulations 10 CCR 2505-10, 8.000 *et seq* and 42 C.F.R. Section 438. *et seq*. Each provision is segmented into easy-to-measure elements, usually a sentence or sub-section of the contract or regulation. Each year the tool is updated with any changes. The final monitoring tool is used in the site reviews and a site review schedule is determined in collaboration with the MCEs.

In 2008, the site review team completed a focused site review. The four contract provisions focused on were: the grievance and appeals process, the quality assurance program, credentialing and recredentialing of providers, and the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment (EPSDT) Program. These contract provisions were chosen based on Departmental priorities as well as the need to review all contract provisions within a three year cycle.

When the monitoring tool is finalized, the desk audit begins. The desk audit consists of a document request, document submission and subsequent document review. A list of documents related to each provision is developed and requested from the MCE. The MCE is given thirty days to assemble and produce the requested documents. Department staff then read each document for compliance with the applicable provision. Questions are noted for MCE staff interviews, which are conducted during the MCE office visit. Interview questions clarify desk audit material and assess process and procedure compliance. Interviews also provide an opportunity to explore any issues that were not fully addressed in documents and provide a better understanding of the MCE's performance.

The site review team conducts a visit to the MCE's administrative offices. MCE staff meet with the site review team, explain related processes and procedures, and answer any questions the team may have. The team may also review a sample of records to assess compliance in any area where on-site record review is required due to patient or provider privacy laws. Results of the record reviews are reflected in the rating assigned to the respective provision or element.

The site review team rates each monitoring tool element as "Met", "Partially Met", "Not Met" or "Not Applicable". Any element receiving a rating of "Partially Met" or "Not Met" requires a MCE corrective action. These ratings form the basis of the preliminary site review score.

Thirty days after the visit, a written Preliminary Site Review Report is sent to the MCE for their review and comment on any inaccuracies found in the initial report. The MCE has thirty days to respond to the Report. The Department reviews comments from the MCE and may make corrections based on those comments. The Final Site Review Report indicates areas of compliance and areas that require some type of action to achieve compliance. The MCE must submit its action plan to the Department for approval within thirty days of receiving the final report. The Department reviews and approves the corrective actions and related documents when completed until compliance is demonstrated.

III. FY08 Site Review Findings

Denver Health Medicaid Choice's (DHMC) compliance with 4 contractual and regulatory provisions was assessed during this year's site review. The site review team assigned a score for each regulatory/contractual element and aggregated these scores to arrive at a finding for each provision as shown below. DHHA's overall score for this site review is 98%.

Summary of Findings, FY08 Site Review, DHHA					
Regulatory/Contractual Topics	# Provisions	# Provisions Met	# Provisions Partially Met	# Provisions Not Met	# Provisions not applicable
Standard 1: Grievance and Appeals	20	12	0	1	7
Standard 2: Quality Assurance Program	14	13	1	0	0
Standard 3: Credentialing and Recredentialing	39	38	0	0	1
Standard 4: Early and Periodic Screening Diagnosis and Treatment (EPSDT) Program	10	10	0	0	0
Total	83	73	1	1	8
Percent of 2007 corrective actions completed: 33%					

Details regarding DHMC's compliance with the provisions, including the scores for each element, can be found in Appendix I of this report. A summary finding for each contract provision was determined by adding the number of compliant provisions DHMC received out of the number of applicable provisions. For the records reviewed, each record was evaluated based on the total number of DHMC's compliant elements out of the applicable elements. A finding for each record review area was determined based on the number of DHMC's compliant elements out of the applicable elements.

DHMC earned a score of "Met" for 73 provisions. One contract provision was deemed "Not Met" and 1 provision was deemed "Partially Met". Details of these scores are provided, by provision element, in Appendix I.

IV. Required Corrective Actions for "Partially Met" and "Not Met" Provisions

Standard 1: Grievance and Appeals

<u>Provision 1.5</u> DHMC shall accept appeals orally or in writing.

<u>Finding</u>: Provision not met. Record review shows requests for appeals are not processed as appeals. Denver Health commented that their other lines of business follow different guidelines in regard to processing appeals and it's difficult to carve out a procedure solely for Medicaid.

Colorado Regulation 10 CCR 2505-10, Section 8.209; The Medicare Managed Care Manual (<u>http://www.cms.hhs.gov/manuals/downloads/mc86c13.pdf</u>), Section 10-16-113 C.R.S., and the Colorado Division of Insurance (<u>http://www.dora.state.co.us/Insurance/consumer/2007docs/</u>) all require complaints against an organization's determination concerning the benefits to which an enrollee is, or believes he/she is, entitled, i.e., payment or provision of services, to be processed as an appeal.

<u>Corrective action</u>: Plan shall provide evidence that the appeals process is revised to ensure that requests for appeals are processed as appeals.

<u>Additional recommendation</u>: Denial letters should be addressed to the member and carbon copied (cc'd) to the requesting provider. Currently, denials are addressed to the provider and cc'd to the member. This may give the member the impression that the provider is appealing the denial. Additionally, this makes it appear that the member is a bystander in the decision making process about their care.

Standard 2: Quality Assessment and Performance Management

<u>Provision 2.6</u> The Contractor shall develop a corrective action plan when Members report statistically significant levels of dissatisfaction, when a pattern of complaint is detected, or when a serious complaint is reported.

<u>Finding</u>: Provision met, however, although the plan reviews member satisfaction data from several sources, there is no one system in place that compiles several sources for member information in a way that would help staff recognize a pattern from which to develop a corrective action plan as needed.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Plan should consider developing a system that compiles several sources for member information in a way that would help staff recognize a pattern from which to develop a corrective action plan as needed.

<u>Provision 2.7</u> The Contractor shall implement and maintain a mechanism to assess the quality and appropriateness of care for Persons with Special Health Care Needs.

<u>Finding</u>: Provision is partially met. DHMC uses an intake form upon enrollment that can identify persons with special health care needs. However, there is no evidence that the care for people with special health care needs within the case management program is reassessed for appropriateness and quality on a periodic basis. Additionally, DHMC's case management program does not specifically address the Departmental definition of Persons with Special Health Care Needs and, therefore, may not be appropriate for members whose conditions meet the definition.

Although Denver Health makes a good effort to identify clients with special health care needs during the initial intake process; it is not clear that Denver Health has a mechanism to identify those who attain the definitional status of special health care needs after they have become a member.

<u>Corrective Action</u>: Plan shall provide evidence of a system to identify special health care needs clients as that term is defined in contract and Department rules. The Plan shall describe how it assesses the quality and appropriateness of care on an on-going basis to assure that the specific contractual requirements for this population are being met. Finally, the plan shall provide evidence of a mechanism to identify those who attain the definitional status of special health care needs after they have become a member.

Standard 4: Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment (EPSDT) Program

<u>Provision 4.5</u> The plan provides eligible members screening according to the Periodicity Schedule.

<u>Finding</u>: Compliance evidenced by: on-site chart reviews; interviews with case managers, medical director and QI staff. However, DHHA does not track wrap-around EPSDT benefits such as routine dental appointments and hearing and vision examinations according to the periodicity schedule.

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Department acknowledges that dental, vision and hearing screenings are oftentimes completed out of network. It is difficult for a plan to track services if the plan does not have an associated claim for the service. However, the standard of comprehensive and coordinated care is only met when the plan conveys to its providers all of the services a client receives and alerts a provider when a client is in need of routine screening services, regardless of where those services are obtained.

DHHA and the Department will work together to discover solutions to this problem. It is imperative that, as a managed care entity, DHHA ensures that routine pediatric screening services are tracked according to the established periodicity schedule, even if those services are delivered out-of-network.

IV. Summary of FY 07Corrective Action Plan Progress

During this year's site review process, the Department reviewed DHMC's progress on the 2007 Corrective Action Plans (CAPs). A complete list of the DHMC 2007 corrective actions is in Appendix II. The expectation is that the MCE will make significant progress on all approved CAPs by the time the next year site review occurs. The following chart shows the progress DHMC has made in completing its 2007 CAPs.

Summary of F	Summary of FY07 Corrective Action Plan (CAP) Progress, DHMC				
Regulatory/Contractual Topics	Status of CAP: Completed (C), Partially Completed (PC), Incomplete (I)	Comments			
Audits and Reporting Section					
CAP 1: accurate encounter data submission	Ι	There is no evidence that corrective measures were taken (such as the adoption of error-checking policies and procedures) to assure that the encounter data is always accurate and always accompanied by the proper certification.			
CAP 2: fiscal agent notification of TPL	Ι	No evidence of activity was taken to complete this CAP.			
Claims Processing Section					
CAP 3: Internal audit of claims encounter data	Ι	The internal audit did not review the necessary 411 records, nor did the audit validate that the medical records were coded correctly (i.e. there was no upcoding or unbundling of services).			
CAP 4: Plan to guard against fraud/abuse in provider billing	Ι	There was no evidence of changes to policies, procedures, nor the adoption of any mechanisms to guard against fraud and abuse in provider billings.			
CAP 5: Compliance with claims payment procedures	Ι	The test of 60 claims for timely payment does not demonstrate that the hundreds of thousands of processed claims in 2007 were compliant with CRS 10-16-106.5.			
CAP 6: Compliance with 42 C.F.R. Section 441(F)	Ι	No evidence of activity was taken to complete this CAP.			
CAP 7: Medical records maintenance	Ι	No evidence of activity was taken to complete this CAP.			
Confidentiality Section					
CAP 8: Compliance with privacy laws	С				
CAP 9: Coordination with behavioral health providers	Ι	There was no evidence presented that Denver Health coordinates care and services with any BHO, other than to require the Member to make a once- yearly PCP appointment to assure compliance with 340B pricing rules.			

CAP 10: Clearly written criteria	PC	While providers are notified that case
and procedures	IC	planning exists, there is no evidence that
and procedures		providers are informed that providers
		should, or even know how to, initiate case
		planning. There was no evidence
		provided that members were advised of
	т	case planning.
CAP 11: prn Needs	I	The list of clients receiving case
assessments		management does not demonstrate that
		Members are receiving appropriate needs
		assessments.
CAP 12: services for people	Ι	The evidence provided the Site reviewer
with SHCN		was not responsive to the CAP.
CAP 13: Implementing	Ι	No evidence of activity was taken to
advanced directives		complete this CAP.
CAP 14: Cultural competency	Ι	No evidence of activity was taken to
training		complete this CAP.
CAP 15: Culturally appropriate	Ι	No evidence of activity was taken to
care		complete this CAP.
CAP 16: Policies r/t cultural	Ι	No evidence of activity was taken to
competency		complete this CAP.
CAP 17: Supporting members	С	I
w. hearing impairments	-	
CAP 18: Member materials at	PC	Polices and procedures exist, however, no
6 th grade level	10	evidence was provided of any mechanism
		to assure that the policies and procedures
		were followed. The pattern denial letter
		provided to the Site Reviewers was not at
		the appropriate 6^{th} grade reading level.
CAP 19: Coordination of care	Ι	The evidence provided the Site reviewer
	1	Â
and community services	T	was not responsive to the CAP.
CAP 20: Referrals process	Ι	The evidence provided the Site reviewer
promotes coordination of care	DC	was not responsive to the CAP.
CAP 21: Accommodation for	PC	Polices and procedures exist to provide
members with visual		materials to Members with visual
impairments		impairments, however, no evidence was
		provided that Denver Health had
		identified such Members to provide them
		with notification that the materials exist.
CAP 22: Information available	PC	Polices and procedures exist to provide
on audiotape		audio materials to Members who cannot
		read, however, no evidence was provided
		that Denver Health had identified such
		Members in order to inform them that the
		audio materials exist.
CAP 23: Written materials for	С	
members' rights		
CAP 24: Member rights and	С	
responsibilities in handbook		

Totals	4 of 24 CAPS are Completed 4 of 24 CAPS are Partially
	Completed (see comments)
	16 of 24 CAPS are Incomplete (see
	comments)

Appendix I - State of Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing Medical and CHP+ Program Administration Office Quality Improvement Section



Site Audit Findings – Denver Health Managed Choice

May 2008

FY 08 DHMC Site Review Results Page 11

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
1.1 The Contractor shall provide a Department	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
approved description of the grievance, appeal	□ Partially Met	Appeals\1.1\1.1.doc
and fair hearing procedures and timeframes to all	□ Not Met	
providers and subcontractors at the time the	D N/A	Evidence of compliance was provided by:
provider or subcontractor enters into a contract		Policy & Procedures
with the Contractor. The description shall		Appeal letters
include:		Member handbook
-The member's right to a State fair		
hearing for appeals.		
-The method to obtain a hearing, and		
-The rules that govern representation at		
the hearing.		
-The member's right to file grievances		
and appeals.		
-The requirements and timeframes for		
filing grievances and appeals.		
-The availability of assistance in the		
filing process.		
-The toll-free numbers that the member		
can use to file a grievance or an appeal by		
telephone.		
-The fact that, when requested by a		
member:		
-Benefits will continue if the member		
files an appeal or a request for State fair		
hearing within the timeframes specified		
for filing; and		
-The member may be required to pay the		
cost of services furnished while the		
appeal is pending in the final decision is		
adverse to the member.		
Exhibit I.		

Scoring	Site Review Results
🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
□ Partially Met	Appeals\1.2\1.2.doc
□ Not Met	
□ N/A	Evidence of compliance was provided by:
	Member handbook
□ Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
	Appeals/1.3/1.3.doc
•	<u>Appears(1.5)(1.5,doc</u>
	No appeals recorded
	No appears recorded
	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and Appeals\1.4
-	
	Evidence of compliance was provided by:
\square N/A	Policy & Procedures
	11 denial files
	Met Partially Met Not Met

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
1.5 The Contractor shall accept appeals orally or	🗆 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
in writing.	□ Partially Met	Appeals\1.5\1.5.doc
	Not Met	
Exhibit I. Section 8.209.4.F.	□ N/A	Reviewed:
Section 8.209.4.F.		Policy & Procedure
		11 denial files reviewed
		Record review shows requests for appeals are not processed as
		appeals. See CAP
1.6 The Contractor shall provide the member a	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
reasonable opportunity to present evidence, and	□ Partially Met	Appeals\1.6\1.6.doc
allegations of fact or law, in person as well as in	\Box Not Met	
writing. The Contractor shall inform the	□ N/A	Evidence of compliance was provided by:
member of the limited time available in the case		Policy & Procedure
of expedited resolution.		
Exhibit I.		
Section 8.209.4.G.		
1.7 The Contractor shall provide the member and	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
the designated client representative opportunity,	□ Partially Met	Appeals\1.7\1.7.doc
before and during the appeal process, to examine	\Box Not Met	
the member's case file, including medical	□ N/A	Evidence of compliance was provided by:
records and any other documents and records		Member handbook
considered during the appeal process.		
Exhibit I		
Section 8.209.4.H.		
1.9 The Contractor shall resolve each appeal, and	□ Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
provide notice as expeditiously as the member's	□ Partially Met	Appeals\1.9\1.9.doc
health condition requires, not to exceed the	\square Not Met	
following:	N/A	No appeals recorded
For standard resolution of an appeal and		
notice to the affected parties, ten (10)		
notice to the uncerted purices, ten (10)		1

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
working days from the day the Contractor		
receives the appeal.		
For expedited resolution of an appeal and notice to affected parties, three (3) working days after the Contractor receives the appeal.		
Exhibit I. Section 8.209.4.J.		
1.10 The Contractor may extend timeframes for	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
the resolution of appeals by up to fourteen (14)	\square Partially Met	Appeals\1.10\1.10.doc
calendar days:	□ Not Met	
	□ N/A	Evidence of compliance was provided by:
If the member requests the extension; or		Member handbook
The Contractor shows that there is a need for additional information and that the delay is in the member's best interest. Exhibit I. Section 8.209.4.K.		
1.11 Member's need not exhaust the Contractor	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
level appeal process before requesting a State	□ Partially Met	Appeals\1.11\1.11.doc
fair hearing. The member shall request a State	\Box Not Met	
fair hearing within twenty (20) calendars days	□ N/A	Evidence of compliance was provided by:
from the date of the Contractor's notice of		Member handbook
action.		Denial letter
Exhibit I. Section 8.209.4.N.		

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
1.12 The Contractor shall establish and maintain	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
an expedited review process for appeals when	□ Partially Met	Appeals\1.12\1.12.doc
the Contractor determines, or the provider	\Box Not Met	
indicates, that taking the time for a standard	\square N/A	Evidence of compliance was provided by:
resolution could seriously jeopardize the		Policy & Procedures
member's life or health or ability to attain,		Member handbook
maintain or regain maximum function.		Denial letter
Exhibit I. Section 8.209.4.O.		
1.13 The Contractor shall ensure that punitive	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
action is not taken against a provider who	\square Partially Met	Appeals\1.13\1.13.doc
requests an expedited resolution or supports a	□ Not Met	
member's appeal.	\square N/A	Evidence of compliance was provided by:
Exhibit I. Section 8.209.4.P.		Provider handbook
1.14 If the Contractor denies a request for	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
expedited resolution, it shall transfer the appeal	□ Partially Met	Appeals\1.14\1.14.doc
in the timeframe for standard resolution, make	\square Not Met	
reasonable effort to give the member prompt oral	\square N/A	Evidence of compliance was provided by:
notice of the denial and send a written notice of		Policy & Procedures
the denial for an expedited resolution within two		
(2) calendar days.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Exhibit I.		
Section 8.209.4.Q.		

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
1.15 The Contractor shall provide for the	□ Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
continuation of benefits while the Contractor	□ Partially Met	Appeals\1.15\1.15.doc
level appeal and the State fair hearing are	\Box Not Met	
pending if the member files the appeal timely,	N/A	No appeals recorded
the appeal involves the termination, suspension		
or reduction of a previously authorized course of		
treatment, the services were ordered by an		
authorized provider, the original period covered		
by the original authorization has not expired and		
the member requests extension of benefits.		
Exhibit I. Section 8.209.4.R.		
1.16 If at the member's request, the Contractor	□ Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
continues or reinstates the member's benefits	\square Partially Met	Appeals\1.16\1.16.doc
while the appeal is pending, the benefits shall be	\square Not Met	
continued until the member withdraws the	N/A	No appeals recorded
appeal, ten (10) days pass after the Contractor		
mails the notice providing the resolution of the		
appeal against the member, a State fair hearing		
office issues a final agency decision adverse to		
the member, or the time period or service limits		
of a previously authorized service has been met.		
Exhibit I.		
Section 8.209.4.S. 1.17 If the final agency decision or State fair	□ Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
hearing officer reversed a Contractor's decision	□ Partially Met	Appeals\1.17\1.17.doc
to deny, limit or delay services that were not	\square Not Met	<u>hppuls(1.17)(1.17)(00</u>
furnished while the appeal was pending, the	$\boxed{N/A}$	No appeals recorded
Contractor shall authorize or provide the		
disputed services promptly and as expeditiously		
as the member's health condition requires.		
as the memoer's neutricondition requires.		

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
Exhibit I. Section 8.209.4.U.		
1.18 If the State hearing officer or final agency decision reversed the Contractor's decision to deny authorization of services and the member received the services while the appeal was pending, the Contractor must pay for those services.	 Met Partially Met Not Met N/A 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and Appeals\1.18\1.18.doc No appeals recorded
Exhibit I. Section 8.209.4.V. 1.19 The Contractor shall ensure that the individuals who make decisions on grievances are individuals who were not involved in any previous level of review or decision-making and who have the appropriate clinical expertise in treating the member's condition or disease if deciding a grievance that involves clinical issues. Exhibit I.	 Met Partially Met Not Met N/A 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and Appeals\1.19\1.19.doc This element is appropriately addressed in 1.4
Section 8.209.5.C. 1.20 The Contractor shall accept grievances orally or in writing. The Contractor shall dispose of each grievance and provide notice as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires, not to exceed fifteen (15) working days from the day the Contractor receives the grievance.	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and Appeals\1.20\1.20.doc Evidence of compliance was provided by: 11 grievance files reviewed
Exhibit I. Section 8.209.5.D.		

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
1.21 The Contractor may extend timeframes for	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 1 Grievance and
the disposition of grievances by up to fourteen	□ Partially Met	Appeals\1.21\1.21.doc
(14) calendar days:	\Box Not Met	
	\square N/A	Evidence of compliance was provided by:
If the member requests the extension; or		11 grievance files reviewed
The Contractor shows that there is a need for additional information and that the delay is in the member's best interest. The Contractor shall give the member prior written notice of the reason for delay if the timeframe is extended.		
Exhibit I. Section 8.209.5.E.		

Results for Standard 1	
# provisions scored as "Met"	12
# provisions scored as "Partially Met"	0
# provisions scored as "Not Met"	1
# provisions scored as "N/A"	7
Total provisions	20

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
2.1 The Contractor shall conduct performance improvement projects that are designed to achieve, through ongoing measurements and intervention, significant improvement, sustained over time, in clinical care and nonclinical care areas that are expected to have a favorable effect on health outcomes and Member satisfaction.	 Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ N/A 	/Desk%20Audit%20Materials/Standard%202%20Quality%20As essment%20and%20Performance%20Improvement/2.1/ Compliance demonstrated by: PIPs submitted to Department's EQRO for validation on-time and correctly.
2.2 The Contractor shall complete performance improvement projects in a reasonable time period in order to facilitate the integration of project findings and information into the overall quality assessment and improvement program and to produce new information on quality of care each year.	 Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ N/A 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 2 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement\2.2 Compliance demonstrated by: PIPs submitted to Department's EQRO for validation on-time and correctly
II.J.2.b.4		
2.3 The Contractor shall analyze and respond to results indicated in the HEDIS measures.	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 2 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement\2.3 Compliance demonstrated by DHMC QI team meeting minutes
II.J.2.c.1.b	□ Not Met □ N/A	and Program Impact Analysis Report submitted to the Department annually.

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
2.4 The Contractor shall monitor Member	Met	/Desk%20Audit%20Materials/Standard%202%20Quality%20As
perceptions of accessibility and adequacy of	□ Partially	sessment%20and%20Performance%20Improvement/2.4
services provided by the Contractor. Tools shall	Met	
include the use of Member surveys, anecdotal	\Box Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: annual submission of CAHPS
information, grievance and appeals data and	\square N/A	survey, DHMC QI secret shopper calls, and Program Impact
Enrollment and Disenrollment information. The		Analysis report submitted yearly to the Department.
monitoring results shall be included as part of the		
Contractor's Program Impact Analysis and		
Annual Report submission.		
II.J.2.d.1 2.5 The Contractor shall fund an annual Member	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 2 Quality Assessment and
satisfaction survey, determined by the	\square Partially	Performance Improvement
Department, and administered by a certified	Met	2.5
survey vendor, according to survey protocols. In	\square Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: CAHPS survey is conducted
lieu of a satisfaction survey conducted by an	\square Not Net \square N/A	annually and results are reported in the Annual QI report.
external entity, the Department, at the		annuarly and results are reported in the Annuar QI report.
Department's discretion, may conduct the		
survey. In addition, the Contractor shall report		
to the Department results of internal satisfaction		
surveys of Members designed to identify areas of		
satisfaction and dissatisfaction by June 30 th of		
each fiscal year.		
each fiscar year.		
II.J.2.d.2 (page 54)		
2.6 The Contractor shall develop a corrective	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 2 Quality Assessment
action plan when Members report statistically	□ Partially	andPerformance Improvement\2.6 –
significant levels of dissatisfaction, when a	Met	
pattern of complaint is detected, or when a	\Box Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: DHHA collects data via the CAHPS
serious complaint is reported.	D N/A	client survey and reports in QI plan as well as QI team meeting
		minutes.
II.J.2.d.3		Unable to assess how <i>patterns</i> are detected related to patient
		satisfaction

Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing FY 08 Denver Health Managed Care Final Site Review Report

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
2.7 The Contractor shall implement and maintain	🗆 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 2 Quality Assessment
a mechanism to assess the quality and	\boxtimes Partially	andPerformance Improvement\2.7
appropriateness of care for Persons with Special	Met	
Health Care Needs.	□ Not Met □ N/A	Compliance demonstrated by: plan provided a copy of the initial member assessment to help ID members' needs upon enrollment. The plan also provided evidence of a case management program
		that addresses many needs of those with chronic health care issues.
		However, there is no evidence that the care for people with special health care needs within the case management program is re- assessed for appropriateness and quality on a periodic basis. Additionally, DHMC's case management program does not specifically address the Departmental definition of Persons with Special Health Care Needs and, therefore, may not be appropriate for members whose conditions meet the definition.
		Although Denver Health makes a good effort to identify clients with special health care needs during the initial intake process; it is not clear that Denver Health has a mechanism to identify those who attain the definitional status of special health care needs after they have become a member.
2.9 The Contractor shall investigate any alleged	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 2 Quality Assessment
quality of care concerns, upon request of the	\square Partially	andPerformance Improvement\2.9
Department.	Met	1
. I	□ Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: DHHA reported 2 QOC incidents as
II.J.2.f.1	\square N/A	part of desk audit information, both reported as grievances and investigated.
2.10 The Contractor shall maintain a process for	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 2 Quality Assessment
evaluating the impact and effectiveness of the	□ Partially	andPerformanceImprovement\2.10 : nothing in this folder
quality assessment and improvement program on	Met	
at least an annual basis.	□ Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: QI staff meeting minutes shows

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
	□ N/A	discussion of QI program impact analysis as well as an annually
II.I.2.h.1		submitted work plan and Program Impact Analysis report.
2.11 The Contractor shall submit an annual	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 2 Quality Assessment
report to the Department, detailing the findings	□ Partially	andPerformanceImprovement\2.11 – this is an empty folder
of the program impact analysis. The report shall	Met	
describe techniques used by the Contractor to	\Box Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: QI plan and program analysis
improve performance, the outcome of each	D N/A	submitted to the Department in a timely and complete manner.
performance improvement project and the		
overall impact and effectiveness of the quality		
assessment and improvement program. The		
report shall be submitted by the last business day		
of September for the preceding fiscal year's		
quality activity or at a time the contract has been		
terminated.		
II.J.2.h.2		Deele Aralit Material-Vitandend 2 Oralitar Assessment
2.12 Upon request, this information (Program	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 2 Quality Assessment
Impact Analysis and Annual Report) shall be	□ Partially	andPerformanceImprovement\2.12
made available to Providers and Members at no	Met	
cost.	\square Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: information cited in member
Ц.Ј.2.ћ.4	\square N/A	handbook.
11.J.2.11. *		

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
2.13 The Contractor shall provide a quality improvement plan, to the Department by the last business day in September. The plan shall delineate current and future quality assessment and performance improvement activities. The plan shall integrate finding and opportunities for improvement identified in focused studies, HEDIS measurements, enrollee satisfaction surveys and other monitoring and quality activities. The plan is subject to the Department's approval.	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 2 Quality Assessment andPerformanceImprovement\2.13 Compliance demonstrated by: QI plan provided in a timely manner and is complete.
II.J.2.i 2.14 The Contractor shall maintain a health information system that collects, analyzes, integrates and reports data. The system shall provide information on areas including, but not limited to, utilization, grievances and appeals, encounters and Disenrollment.II.J.2.k.1	 Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ N/A 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 2 Quality Assessment andPerformanceImprovement\2.14 Compliance demonstrated by: description of Managedcare.com system satisfies this provision along with quarterly reports DHHA submits to the Department re: Grievance and Appeals, Network Adequacy, and Enrollment and Disenrollment.
2.15 The Contractor shall collect data on Member and Provider characteristics and on services furnished to Members. II.J.2.k.2	 Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ N/A 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 2 Quality Assessment andPerformanceImprovement\2.15 Compliance demonstrated by: demonstration of EHR admission fields.

Results for Standard 2	
# provisions scored as "Met"	13
# provisions scored as "Partially Met"	1

# provisions scored as "Not Met"	0
# provisions scored as "N/A"	0
Total provisions	14

Scoring	Site Review Results
Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.1
	Evidence of compliance provided in
	desk audit request via policy and procedures documents.
Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.1.1
	Desk Audit Materials/Standard 5 Electisure and Credentianing/5.1.1
•	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1a Health Professional Supervision
\square N/A	and Competency Assessment, 3.1a Allied Health Credentialing P&P,
	3.1a 3.3 3.7 Choice CRE 1501
Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.1.2
Partially Met	
□ Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1a Allied Health Credentialing P&P,
□ N/A	3.1 b Exc Providers P&P, 1701G Sanction Lisa
	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.1.3
	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1 g, I, k 3.5 Appointment Procedures
	Jan 08
	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.1.4
	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1 g, I, k 3.5 Appointment Procedures
	Jan 08, 3.1 g MSO Bylaws May 2001
	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.1.5
	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1a 3.3 3.7 Choice CRE 1501, 3.3.18
	Site Visit Result Letter
	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.1.6
\square Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1a 3.3 3.7 Choice CRE 1501
\square N/A	
	Met Met Partially Met Not Met N/A Met Partially Met Not Met

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
process varies substantially from the		
information they provided.		
3.1.7 The credentialing policies and	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.1.7
procedures specify the process for	□ Partially Met	
ensuring that practitioners are notified	\Box Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1a 3.3 3.7 Choice CRE 1501,
of the credentialing and recredentialing	□ N/A	Correspondence from record review
decision within 60 calendar days of the		
Credentialing Committee's decision.		
3.1.8 The credentialing policies and	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.1.8
procedures specify the process for	□ Partially Met	
delegating credentialing or	\Box Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1e Delegating/Credentialing
recredentialing.	□ N/A	
3.1.9 The credentialing policies and	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.1.9
procedures specify the process for	□ Partially Met	
ensuring that credentialing and	\Box Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1.g, I, k 3.5 Appointment Procedures
recredentialing are conducted in a	□ N/A	
nondiscriminatory manner.		
3.1.10 The credentialing policies and	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.1.10
procedures specify the medical director	□ Partially Met	
or other designated physician's direct	□ Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1g MSO Bylaws May 2007
responsibility and participation in the	□ N/A	
credentialing program.		
3.1.11 The credentialing policies and	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.1.11
procedures specify the process for	□ Partially Met	
ensuring the confidentiality of all	□ Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1 g, I, k 3.5 Appointment Procedures
information obtained in the	□ N/A	
credentialing process, except as		
otherwise provided by law.		
3.1.12 The credentialing policies and	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.1.12
procedures specify the process for	□ Partially Met	
ensuring that listings in provider	\square Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1a 3.3 3.7 Choice CRE 1501, 2007
directories are consistent with	D N/A	Provider Directory

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
credentialing data.		
 3.2. The languages spoken of all physicians are captured in a manner to enable reporting to members. II.E.6.c.8 2.3. The Contractor's condentialing 	Met Partially Met Not Met N/A	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.2 Evidence of compliance provided by: record review documentation shows that DHHA captures languages spoken on application and in the provider handbook available to members.
3.3 . The Contractor's credentialing program shall comply with the standards of the National Committee on Quality Assurance (NCQA) for initial credentialing and re-credentialing of Participating Providers. The Contractor may use information from the accreditation of primary care clinics by the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Health Care Organization (JCAHO) to assist in meeting NCQA credentialing standards.	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3 Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1a 3.3 3.7 Choice CRE 1501, 3.1.g, I, k 3.5 Appointment Procedures, 3.1 e DHHA delegated audit Sep 24 07 Sandra
II.G.1.c 3.3.1 Physicians have a current valid	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.1
license to practice, no older than 180 days at the time of the credentialing decision (initial credentialing and recredentialing)	 Partially Met Not Met N/A 	Found evidence of in the record review.
3.3.2 Physicians have a valid DEA or	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.2
CDS certificate (initial credentialing and recredentialing)	 Partially Met Not Met N/A 	Found evidence of in the record review.
3.3.3 If Board certification is stated on	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.3
the application, verification of Board certification is conducted. The	Partially MetNot Met	Found evidence of in the record review.

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
verification must have been done	D N/A	
within 180 days of the credentialing		
decision (initial credentialing and		
recredentialing).		
3.3.4 Verification of either medical	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.4
school graduation or residency training	□ Partially Met	
is conducted upon initial credentialing).	\square Not Met	Found evidence of in the record review.
	□ N/A	
3.3.5 Physicians provide a list of any	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.5
professional liability claims (malpractice history) that resulted in	Partially MetNot Met	Found evidence of in the record review.
either settlement or judgment during	\square N/A	Found evidence of in the record review.
the last five years (3 years if		
recredentialing)		
3.3.6 Physicians provide a 5 year work	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.6
history of relevant experience for initial	\square Partially Met	
credentialing.	\square Not Met	Found evidence of in the record review.
C C	□ N/A	
3.3.7 Physician applications ask for	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.7
any past and present issues regarding	□ Partially Met	
loss or limitation of clinical privileges	□ Not Met	Found evidence of on the application.
at all facilities or organizations with	□ N/A	
which the physician has had privileges.		
3.3.8 Physician applications ask for	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.8
any loss of license or felony	□ Partially Met	
convictions (initial credentialing and	□ Not Met	Found evidence of on the application.
recredentialing).	\square N/A	
3.3.9 Physician applications ask for	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.9
any reasons for an inability to perform	□ Partially Met	
the essential functions of the position,	\square Not Met	Found evidence of on the application.
with or without accommodation (initial	□ N/A	
credentialing and recredentialing).		

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
3.3.10 Verification of state license	Met 🛛	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.10
sanctions, restrictions and/or limitations	□ Partially Met	
on the scope of practice within the last	\Box Not Met	Found evidence of in the record review
5 years of the application date for initial	\square N/A	
credentialing and 3 years for		
recredentialing is conducted		
3.3.11 Physician attests to having	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.11
malpractice (professional liability)	□ Partially Met	
insurance coverage in the amount of	\square Not Met	Found evidence of in the record review.
\$500,000 per incident and \$1,500,000	\square N/A	
in aggregate per year (initial		
credentialing and recredentialing).		
3.3.12 Physician applications are	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.12
signed within 180 days of the	□ Partially Met	
credentialing/recredentialing decision.	\square Not Met	Found evidence of in the record review.
	□ N/A	
3.3.13 Physician application contains a	Met	.\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.13
statement as to the correctness and	□ Partially Met	
completeness of the information	\square Not Met	Found evidence of on the application.
contained on the application (initial	□ N/A	
credentialing and recredentialing).	Met	Dealt Audit Materials Standard 2 Licensure and Crodenticline 2 2 14
3.3.14 A mechanism exists to verify that a physician has not been	□ Partially Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.14
sanctioned by Medicare or Medicaid	\square Not Met	Found evidence of in the record review.
for the past 5 years (3 years if	\square N/A	Found evidence of in the fecold feview.
recredentialing).		
3.3.15 Physician applications are	□ Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.15
signed and dated within 180 days of	\square Partially Met	Desk Audit Materials/Standard 5 Licensure and Credentialing(5.5.15
credentialing decision (initial	\square Not Met	This standard is the same as 3.3.12. Did not rate this standard.
credentialing and recredentialing)	\bowtie N/A	This standard is the same as 5.5.12. Did not rate this standard.
orocontianing and recreating)		1

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
3.3.16 If a physician's application is	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.16
denied, the affected physician is	□ Partially Met	
provided written notice of the reason	\Box Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1h 3.6 ATTB Fair Hearing Plan,
for the denial (initial credentialing and	D N/A	3.3.16 2007 no provisions denied for credentialing
recredentialing).		
3.3.17 Site visits to assess record	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.17
keeping processes are conducted to all	□ Partially Met	
high volume offices of physicians upon	\Box Not Met	Found evidence of in the record review.
initial credentialing.	□ N/A	
3.3.18 The site visit survey process	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.18
includes standards and thresholds for	□ Partially Met	
physical accessibility, physical	\Box Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: CRE 1503 P&P, 3.3.18 Site Visit Result
appearance, adequacy of waiting time,	\square N/A	Letter, verified records.
adequacy of exam room space,		
availability of appointments and		
adequacy of treatment record keeping.		
3.3.19 The Credentialing Committee is	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.3.19
comprised of a range of participating	□ Partially Met	
physicians.	\Box Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1a 3.3 3.7 Choice CRE 1501
	\square N/A	

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
3.4 . The Contractor shall assure that all	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.4
laboratory-testing sites providing	□ Partially Met	
services under this contract shall have	\Box Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.4 DHA CLIA 2008
either a Clinical Laboratory	D N/A	
Improvement Amendments (CLIA)		
Certificate of Waiver or a Certificate of		
Registration along with a CLIA		
registration number. Those laboratories		
with Certificates of Waiver will provide		
only the nine (9) types of tests		
permitted under the terms of the		
Waiver. Laboratories with Certificates		
of Registration may perform a full		
range of laboratory tests.		
II.G.1.e 3.5 . The Contractor's Provider	Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.5
selection policies and procedures shall	□ Partially Met	Desk Audit Materials/standard 5 Licensure and Credentianing/5.5
not discriminate against particular	\square Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1 g, I, k 3.5 Appointment Procedures
Providers that serve high-risk	\square N/A	Compliance demonstrated by: 5.1 g, 1, K 5.5 Appointment Trocedures
populations or specialize in conditions		
that require costly treatment.		
that require costry treatment.		
II.G.1.f (page 35)		
3.6 A defined professional review	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.6
process is used to investigate any	□ Partially Met	
alleged quality of care concerns against	□ Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1b Exc Providers P&P, 1701G
physicians.	D N/A	Sanction List, 3.1h 3.6 ATTB Fair Hearing Plan

Regulatory/Contractual Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
3.7 No specific payment can be made	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.7
directly or indirectly under a Provider	□ Partially Met	
incentive plan to a Provider as an	\Box Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.1 g, I, k 3.5 Appointment Procedures
inducement to reduce or limit	D N/A	Jan 08, Choice CRE 1501.
Medically Necessary services furnished		
to a Member		
3.8 Facilities participating in the	🖂 Met	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 3 Licensure and Credentialing\3.8
Contractor's Plan shall be insured for	□ Partially Met	
malpractice, in an amount equal to a	\Box Not Met	Compliance demonstrated by: 3.8 MCD Facilities Roster and record
minimum of \$0.5 million per incident	D N/A	review
and \$3.0 million in aggregate per year.		

Results for Standard 3	
# elements scored as "Met"	38
# elements scored as "Partially Met"	0
# elements scored as "Not Met"	0
# elements scored as "N/A"	1
Total Provisions	39

Regulatory/Contract Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
4.1 The Contractor shall comply with all requirements of EPSDT rules at 42 C.F.R. 441.50 through 441.62, as amended to assure Members' access to EPSDT benefits including such benefits which are not Covered Services pursuant to this contract.	**Scoring for this provision was broken down into more measurable parts; please see below.	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 4 EPSDT\4.1
MCE Contract II.E.6.e (page 28); and 10 CCR 2505-10, Section 8.280 4.2 The Contractor must inform all Medicaid – eligible persons under 21 that EPSDT services are available including where and how to obtain those services.	 Met Partially Met Not Met N/A 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 4 EPSDT\4.2 Evidence of compliance was provided by: Member Handbook, member postcards
4.3 The Contractor must offer members that assistance with necessary transportation and scheduling is available to the child upon request.	 Met Partially Met Not Met N/A 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 4 EPSDT\4.3 Interviews w/ case managers and Medical Director provided evidence of meeting this provision.

Regulatory/Contract	Scoring	Site Review Results
Provision		
4.4 The plan provides EPSDT information to members that is clear and non-technical and has the ability to effectively inform those who are blind or deaf or who cannot read or understand the English language exists.	 Met Partially Met Not Met N/A 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 4 EPSDT\4.4 – this folder is empty Upon enrollment, member is assessed for special needs as evidenced by interview with case managers and
4.5 The plan provides eligible members screening according to the Periodicity Schedule . (If written verification exists that the most recent age-appropriate screening has already been done the plan need not provide the service.)	 Met Partially Met Not Met N/A 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 4 EPSDT\4.5 Compliance evidenced by: on-site chart reviews; interviews with case managers, medical director and QI staff.
4.6 The plan provides diagnosis and treatment necessary to address conditions indicated by the screening.	 Met Partially Met Not Met N/A 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 4 EPSDT\4.6 Interview with case managers and Medical Director provides evidence of this provision
4.7 The plan provides for the timely provision of EPSDT services which meet reasonable standards of medical and dental practice (generally within an outer limit of 6 months after the request for screening svcs).	 Met Partially Met Not Met N/A 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 4 EPSDT\4.7 Compliance provided by: interview with case managers and Medical Director shows evidence that efforts are made to ensure timely provision of preventive care physical check-ups.

Regulatory/Contract Provision	Scoring	Site Review Results
4.8 The plan provides for a	Met 🛛	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 4 EPSDT\4.8
continuing care provider that documents the screening, diagnosis, treatment and referral as required.	 Partially Met Not Met N/A 	Compliance demonstrated through Member assignment a provider at the time of enrollment.
4.9 The plan provides for referral assistance for	Met Partially Met	<u>\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 4 EPSDT\4.9</u> Compliance demonstrated by interview with case management staff and
treatment not covered by the plan but found to be needed as a result of conditions identified through screening and diagnosis.	□ Not Met □ N/A	Medical Director.
4.10 The plan makes appropriate referrals to State health agencies, WIC, Head Start, maternal and child health programs, etc.)	 Met Partially Met Not Met N/A 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 4 EPSDT\4.10 – empty folder Evidenced by interview with case management team.
4.11 The Contractor shall complete and submit the annual EPSDT report , resulting from the preventive screenings, to the Department's HPM, on Form CMS-416, no later than February 1st, for the October 1st through September 30th period within the previous contract year.	 Met Partially Met Not Met N/A 	\Desk Audit Materials\Standard 4 EPSDT\4.11 – empty folder Compliance demonstrated by report submission to Department by DHMC on a timely basis.

Results for Standard 4	
# elements scored as "Met"	10
# elements scored as "Partially Met"	0
# elements scored as "Not Met"	0
# elements scored as "N/A"	0
Total provisions	10

Appendix II: 2007 Corrective Actions, DHHA

Audits and Reporting Section

1. DHHA shall demonstrate that it has taken corrective measures to assure that all encounter data submissions in the future will be accurate and will be accompanied by the proper certification.

2. DHHA shall demonstrate that it notifies the Department's fiscal agent on a monthly basis, by telephone or in writing, of any third party payers, excluding Medicare, that it has identified.

Claims Processing Section

3. DHHA shall conduct at least one statistically valid internal audit of encounter claims data in the next contract cycle.

4. DHHA shall demonstrate a mandatory compliance plan and administrative and management arrangements or procedures that are designed to guard against fraud and abuse in provider billings.

5. DHHA shall demonstrate compliance with the claims payment procedures as required by Section 10-16-106.5, C.R.S. (2004), as amended.

6. DHHA shall demonstrate compliance with the requirements and limitations regarding abortions, hysterectomies and surgical sterilizations, including maintaining certifications and documentation, as specified in 42 C.F.R. Section 441(F).

5

7. DHHA shall demonstrate that each Member's medical record accurately represents the full extent of care provided to the Member, that the record is legible and that it is maintained in detail consistent with good medical and professional practices.

Confidentiality Section

8. DHHA shall assure the Department there will be full compliance with 45 CFR part 164 subpart E and other privacy laws and regulations.

Member Facilitation and Accommodation Section

9. DHHA shall demonstrate effective coordination with the Member's mental health providers to facilitate the delivery of mental health services, as appropriate.

10. DHHA shall demonstrate that clearly written criteria and procedures are made available to all Participating Providers, staff and Members regarding how to initiate case planning.

11. DHHA shall demonstrate that individuals receive needs assessments at necessary times other than at initial enrollment.

12. DHHA shall demonstrate that it advises newly enrolled Members with Special Health Care Needs that they may continue to receive Covered Services from ancillary Providers at the level of care received prior to enrollment, for a period of seventy-five (75) calendar days, as specified in Section 25.5-5-406(1)(g), C.R.S. (2006).

13. DHHA shall demonstrate that it and its providers are fully in compliance with 42 C.F.R. Section 489.102(d) and, by reference, 42 C.F.R. 417.436(d) concerning the implementation of Advance Directives.

14. DHHA shall demonstrate that it develops and/or provides cultural competency training programs, as needed, to the network Providers and staff regarding: (a) health care attitudes, values, customs, and beliefs that affect access to and benefit from health care services, and (b) the medical risks associated with the Client population's racial, ethical and socioeconomic conditions.

15. DHHA shall demonstrate that it facilitates culturally and linguistically appropriate care

by establishing and maintaining policies, and then effectively implementing them, to reach out to specific cultural and ethnic Members for prevention, health education and treatment for diseases prevalent in those groups.

16. DHHA shall demonstrate that it maintains and implements policies to provide health care services that respect individual health care attitudes, beliefs, customs and practices of Members related to cultural affiliation.

17. DHHA shall demonstrate that it provides Members with hearing impairments access to TDD, or other equivalent methods, in a way that promotes accessibility and availability of Covered Services.

18. DHHA shall demonstrate that it reviews all Member print materials for a sixth grade reading level and appropriate cultural references.

6

19. DHHA shall demonstrate that its physicians initiate referrals and coordinate care by specialists, subspecialists and community-based organizations in a way that effectively promotes continuity as well as cost-effectiveness of care.

20. DHHA shall demonstrate that its procedures and criteria for making Referrals and coordinating care by specialists, subspecialists and community-based organizations effectively promote continuity as well as cost-effectiveness of care.

21. DHHA shall demonstrate that Member information is available for Members with visual impairments, including, but not limited to, Braille, large print, or audiotapes.

22. For Members who cannot read, DHHA shall demonstrate that member information is available on audiotape.

Member Rights and Responsibilities Section

23. DHHA shall demonstrate that it has established and maintains written policies and procedures acknowledging the Member's right to be free from any form of restraint or seclusion used as a means of coercion, discipline, convenience or retaliation.

24. DHHA shall demonstrate that its Member Handbook includes general information about services and complete statements concerning Member rights and responsibilities as listed in the contract and Exhibit D.

Appendix III

FY 07–08 Site Review Agenda *for* Denver Health Managed Choice April 21 – 22, 2008

Monday, April 21, 2008		
Sessions and Activities		
8:00–8:30	 Opening Session Introductions DHHA presentation of organizational structure Department overview and process of FY 07–08 site review activities 	
8:30–8:45	 Department and DHHA staff will: Confirm locations and staff for the record reviews and interviews. Identify key DHHA staff to be available to the reviewer for questions and requests. Confirm location and content of on-site documents. 	
8:45–9:00	Review of FY 06–07 corrective action plan status	
9:00-11:30	Credentialing and re-credentialing record review concurrent with Grievance and Appeals record review concurrent with EPSDT record review (please have either two rooms available or one room large enough for three people to review records)	
11:30-12:30	Lunch	
12:30–1:30	Meet with credentialing and re-credentialing staff for Q & A <i>concurrent with</i> Meet with grievance and appeals staff for Q & A (please have 2 rooms available for these interviews)	
1:30-2:15	Meet with EPSDT staff & EPSDT case managers for Q & A	
2:15-3:00	Meet with Quality Improvement staff for Q & A	
3:00-4:00	Closed session—prepare for exit conference	
4:00–5:00	Exit conferenceOverview of preliminary findings	