Five-Year Capital Construction Program FY 2011-12 to FY 2015-16

| Form CC-P | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Five-Year Capital Cons | truction Prog | gram FY 2011-1 | 2 to FY 2 | -0.0.0 | Prepared By: | Paul Von Riesema | nn | | | |
| Revised 4-9-10 | | | | | Phone: | 303-318-8009 | | E-Mail: | paul.vonriesemann | @state.co.us |
| Agency or Institution: | | Colorado De | partmen | t of Labor and | Employment | | | | | |
| Project Title: | | Funding | Source | Total Project Cost | Prior Appropriation | Budget Year Request Yr 1 FY 2011-12 | Year Two Request | Year Three Request | Year Four Request | Year Five Request |
| OPS Consolidated Enterprise System - Year 2 Request | | Capital Construction Funds | CCF | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Priority: | 1 | Cash Funds | CF | \$973,455 | \$641,287 | \$332,168 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Purpose Code: | F4 | Reappropriated Funds | RF | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Square Ft: | N/A | Federal Funds | FF | \$324,485 | \$213,762 | \$110,723 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Project Type | | Total Funds | TF | \$1,297,940 | \$855,049 | \$442,891 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| New Construction | | | l | | | | | Į. | <u> </u> | |
| Project Title: | | Funding | Source | Total Project Cost | Prior Appropriation | Budget Year Request Yr 1 FY 2011-12 | Year Two Request | Year Three Request | Year Four Request | Year Five Request |
| Internet Self-Service | | Capital Construction Funds | CCF | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Priority: | 1 | Cash Funds | CF | \$5,923,334 | \$5,923,334 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Purpose Code: | B1 | Reappropriated Funds | RF | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Square Ft: | n/a | Federal Funds | FF | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Project Type | | Total Funds | TF | \$5,923,334 | \$5,923,334 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| New Construction | | | I | | | <u>I</u> | | | l l | |
| Project Title: | | Funding | Source | Total Project Cost | Prior Appropriation | Budget Year Request Yr 1 FY 2011-12 | Year Two Request | Year Three Request | Year Four Request | Year Five Request |
| Roof Replacement | | Capital Construction Funds | CCF | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Priority: | 3 | Cash Funds | CF | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Purpose Code: | F3 | Reappropriated Funds | RF | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Square Ft: | 91,470 | | FF | \$475,832 | \$475,832 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Project Type | | Total Funds | TF | \$475,832 | \$475,832 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| New Construction | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | CC | -IT: CAPITAL CO | NSTRUCTION IT | REQUEST FOR F | Y 2011-12 | | |
|------------|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| ٠٠, | Project Title: | OPS Consolidated Year 2 Request | Enterprise System - | State | Controller Project No. | P1013 | Name and Email of Preparer: | Paul Von Riesemann paul vonriesemann@: ate.co.us |
| | Project Year(s): | FY 2010 - 2012 | | : Signature of De | pariment or Institution Approval | | 10/19/ Bate: | |
| | Agency or Institution: | Department of Labo | r and Employment | Signa | ture CCHE Approval | Olive | Date | |
| | Agency or Institution Priority Number: | 1 | | Sig | nature OIT Approval | 117m | 10/20 /10 Date: | |
| | | | | Signa | ture OSPB Approval: | Da 182 | Date: | 10-21-10 |
| | vision? Yes! No:> | Total Project Costa | Prior- Year Appropriation(s) | Current Request FY 2011-12 | Year 2 Request | Year 3 Request | Year 4 Request | Year 5 Request |
| A. | Land Acquisition | | | | MM. NEWSKI ALVE | r Treathair ann an | ri invitantidi | · Nari Yan Yakar |
| (1) | Land /Building Acquisition | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Œ | Contract Professional Services | | | | | | | |
| (1) | Consultants/Contactors | \$402,133 | \$251,333 | \$150,800 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| (2) (3) | Quality Assurance Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| (4) | Training | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| (5) | Leased Space (Temporary) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 7a) | Feasibility Study Inflation for Professional Services | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| 7b) | Inflation Percentage Applied | | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 200.0 |
| | Other Services/Costs Total Professional Services | \$0 \$402,133 | \$0 \$251,333 | \$0 \$150,800 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| | Associated Building Const | ruetlon | | | | | | |
| | (a) New (GSF): | \$0 | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | New \$ /GSF (b) Renovate GSF: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| (2) | Renovate \$/GSF | | | | | | | |
| | Site Work/Landscaping Other (Specify) | \$0 | so l | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| | Inflation for Construction | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | - \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 |
| 5b) | Inflation Percentage Applied | | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| 15.1 | Total Construction Costs | \$0 | \$0 } | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 } | \$0 |
| X. | Software Acquisition | Francisco de la compositione de la | | | | | | |
| _ | Software COTS | \$0 | \$0 | . \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| _ | Software Built Inflation on Software | \$750,000 \$0 | \$500,000 \$0 | \$250,000 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| b) | Inflation Percentage Applied | | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| | Total Software | \$750,000 | \$500,000 | \$250,060 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Equipment | | | | | | | |
| 2) | Servers PCs, Laptops, Terminals, PDAs | \$0 \$66,000 | \$0 \$49,500 | \$0 \$16,500 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$6 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| (3) | Printers, Scanners, Peripherals | \$15,000 | \$11,250 | \$ 3,750 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Network Equipment/Cabling | \$3,000 | \$2,250 | \$750 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 70 | \$0 |
| 믮 | Other (Specify) Miscellaneous | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 . \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| 7) | Total Equipment and Miscellaneous Costs | \$84,000 | \$63, 0 00 | \$21,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| FØ 1 | Total Project Costs | \$1,236,133 | \$814,333 | \$421,800 | \$0 | . \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | rojeci Contingency | | | | | | | |
| | % for New 10% for Renovation: | \$61,807 \$0 | \$40,716 \$0 | \$21,091 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0° \$0° | \$0 \$0 |
| | Tatel Contingency | \$61,807 | \$40,716 | \$21,091 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | F+9(3) | \$1,297,940 | \$855,049 | \$442,891 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$ | source of Funds | | | | | | | |
| 1 | . CCF | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | SO SO | \$0 | \$0 |
| -+ | CF RF | \$973,455 \$0 | \$641,287 \$0 | \$332,168 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | . \$0 \$0 |
| + | FF | \$324,485 | \$213,762 | \$110,723 | \$0. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

CC-C: CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION REQUEST FY 2011-12

| 1. | SUMMARY INFORMATION | Complete Every Row in this Column | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|
| a. | Agency or Institution Name: | Department of Labor and Employment | | | |
| b. | Project Name: | OPS Consolidated Enterprise System – Year 2 Request | | | |
| c. | State Controller Project Number: | Not assigned | | | |
| d. | Project's Year (1, 2, etc.): | 2 | | | |
| e. | Date Sent to DHE: | N/A | | | |
| f. | Date Sent to OSPB: | October 8, 2010 | | | |
| g. | Date Sent to CDC with copy to JBC: | November 1, 2010 | | | |
| h. | Date of Project's Most Recent Program Plan: | N/A | | | |
| i. | Date of Governing Board Approval (for institutions of higher education): | or 🗵 Not an institution of higher education | | | |
| j. | Continuation Project (there is a corresponding project appropriated in prior year) | If yes, list project numbers here: #_P1011### | | | |
| k. | Request 6-month encumbrance waiver? | ⊠Yes □No (If yes, justify below) | | | |
| 1. | Anticipated Project Completion Date: | June 2012 | | | |
| m. | Purpose Code | F 4 | | | |
| n. | New construction or modification? | ⊠New □Modification | | | |
| o. | Facility Condition Index Score | Date reported to the State Architect:/ | | | |
| p. | Total Square Footage | ASFGSF N/A | | | |
| q. | Cost per Square Foot (using construction cost per section C of CC-C form and GSF) | \$ N/A | | | |

| 2. | TYPE OF REQUEST | "X" <u>All</u> that Apply | Instructions |
|----|--|------------------------------|--|
| a. | State-funded Project – Higher Education | | Requires CDHE then OSPB approval before submission to CDC and JBC. Use CC-C Excel Form and CC-C Word form. |
| b. | State-funded Project – Non Higher Education | | Requires OSPB approval only before submission to CDC and JBC. Use CC-C Excel Form and CC-C Word form. |
| c. | 100% Cash Funded Project for higher education institution participating in the Intercept Program | | Requires CDHE approval only before submission to CDC. Use CC-C Excel Form and CC-C Word form. |
| d. | Under 100% Cash-Funded Project – Higher Education | | Requires CDHE then OSPB approval before submission to CDC and JBC. Use CC-C Excel Form and CC-C Word form. |
| e. | Cash-Funded Project – Non Higher Education | X | Requires OSPB approval only before submission to CDC and JBC. Use CC-C Excel Form and CC-C Word form. |
| f. | Federally Funded Project | X | Requires CDHE (if Higher Ed) then OSPB approval before submission to CDC and JBC. Use CC-C Excel Form and Word form. |
| g. | IT Project | X | Use CC-IT Excel form and CC-C Word form. Non Higher Ed agencies must approve with OIT before submission to OSPB. |

| | CRITERIA FOR FY 2011-12 ROJECT | "X" Applicable Item(s) | Describe How Criterion is Met for Marked Items |
|----|---|------------------------|---|
| a. | 100% Cash or Federally Funded Project | X | Utilizing existing cash fund balance and federal grants |
| b. | Priority #1 for department or #1-5 for DHS | | |
| c. | Meets Priority Criteria for Higher Education | | |
| d. | Project Originally in HJR 08-1042 | | |
| e. | Continuation Project from FY 2010-11 CCF Appropriation | | |
| f. | Statutorily required COP payment for capital construction | | |
| g. | Project requires CDHE approval for program review but does not meet FY 11-12 criteria for submission to OSPB. This request does not have OSPB review. | | |

| 4. BRIEF SUMMARY OF FY 2011-12 CAPITAL PROJECT | Enter summary below, this column |
|---|---|
| State exactly what is requested, why, for how much, over what period of time. | This request is for the second year of funding for a previously approved capital construction request. The initial request was for the purchase of a hosted database system that will allow for the consolidation of multiple existing databases utilized by the various programs within the Division of Oil and Public Safety (OPS). Currently, information for each of the seven Division programs is managed in separate and disparate databases which utilize different software platforms. Most of these development platforms have gone past or are approaching the "End of Life" stages in their utilization. Continued dependence on obsolete and/or unsupported software is rapidly becoming a security, support, and business risk for the Division. Failure of the existing software systems would be catastrophic to effective program continuance and could affect life or safety issues for the public. For detailed information regarding this project please see the original request documentation. This second year of funding request is \$442,891 in cash and federal spending authority. |

5. CONTINUATION HISTORY

If this is a continuation project (a project with a former appropriation), complete the following table including all appropriations and expenditures. Include the bill numbers for each appropriation.

If not a continuation project, mark here:

| ii not a continuation project; mark note: | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| | FY 2007-08 Appropriated | FY 2008-09 Appropriated | FY 2009-10 Appropriated | Spent to Date | FY 2010-11 Appropriated |
| Total Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$855,049 |
| General Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Cash Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$641,287 |
| Cash Funds Exempt / Reappropriated Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$213,762 |
| Bill Number(s) | | | | | HB 10-1376 |

| 6. OBJECTIVES | Enter summary below, this column |
|---|---|
| a. List key objectives of the entire project – big picture | Enterprise Document Management A single enterprise system can provide a platform for use across all |
| This row not applicable as this is a single year project: ☐ N/A | programs. This system would allow users across the organization to create and manage regulated documents and to access this information quickly and easily regardless of who it was created by (i.e. program Acts, rules and regulations, department procedures, letter templates, etc). With a defined document management strategy that includes a comprehensive public records access plan and the imaging of documents at the front end of the process, the use of a separate and unique legacy systems will become obsolete. |
| | Billing Consolidation |
| | The Billing, Collection and Accounts Receivable functions are replicated across programs and systems. While there are many business functions that merit discrete and specialized handling by individual programs, it is not the case for these purely accounting functions. The redundancy of these functions across programs yields great opportunity for optimization. |
| b. List key objectives of this year's specific request - detailed | This request is for the second year of funding for this capital construction project. The objectives remain the same as the original request. These objectives are not delineated by year |
| | Technology Obsolescence Support Cost Management Metrics Mobile Access Document Management Geographic Information System |
| | In conclusion, implementing a consolidated solution will directly impact many business objectives including increased productivity, while reducing costs through the reduction of time required for reporting and budgeting. |

7. ESTIMATED ENTIRE PROJECT TIMETABLE: - Original Schedule

Delineate how many years this project crosses from start to finish, describing what portion of the project each year will accomplish.

| Steps to be Completed | Start | Completion | Year |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------|
| | Date(s) | Date(s) | |
| Scope / RFP Development | April 2010 | May 2010 | 1 |
| Issue RFP | June 2010 | June 2010 | 1 |
| Contract Start | Sept 2010 | Sept 2010 | 1 |
| Business analysis / data conversion | Sept 2010 | Oct 2010 | 1 |
| Application Development | Oct 2010 | March 2011 | 2 |
| | March | April 2011 | 2 |
| Testing | 2011 | | |
| User Training | April 2011 | April 2011 | 2 |

| Steps to be Completed | Start | Completion | Year |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------|
| | Date(s) | Date(s) | |
| Roll out of Group I programs | May 2011 | May 2011 | 2 |
| Business analysis / data conversion | April 2011 | June 2011 | 2 |
| Application Development | May 2011 | Sept 2011 | 2 |
| Testing | Oct 2011 | Oct 2011 | 2 |
| User Training | Nov 2011 | Nov 2011 | 2 |
| Roll out of Group II programs | Dec 2011 | Dec 2011 | 2 |

7. ESTIMATED ENTIRE PROJECT TIMETABLE: - Revised Schedule

Delineate how many years this project crosses from start to finish, describing what portion of the project each year will accomplish.

| Steps to be Completed | Start Date(s) | Completion Date(s) | Year |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|------|
| Scope / RFP Development | Apr 2010 | Nov 2010 | 1 |
| Issue RFP | Nov 2010 | Nov 2010 | 1 |
| Contract Start | Mar 2011 | Mar 2011 | 1 |
| Business analysis / data conversion | Mar 2011 | May 2011 | 1 |
| Application Development | May 2011 | Oct 2011 | 1 |
| Testing | Oct 2011 | Nov 2011 | 2 |
| User Training | Nov 2011 | Nov 2011 | 2 |
| Roll out of Group I programs | Dec 2011 | Dec 2011 | 2 |
| Business analysis / data conversion | Nov 2011 | Jan 2012 | 2 |
| Application Development | Dec 2011 | Apr 2012 | 2 |
| Testing | May 2012 | May 2012 | 2 |
| User Training | June 2012 | June 2012 | 2 |
| Roll out of Group II programs | June 2012 | June 2012 | 2 |

8. FY 2011-12 SPECIFIC TIMETABLE:

Delineate the steps that will be taken in FY 2011-12 to complete this project or this phase of the project.

| Steps to be Completed | Start Date(s) | Completion |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------|
| | | Date(s) |
| Application Development | May 2011 | Oct 2011 |
| Testing | Oct 2011 | Nov 2011 |
| User Training | Nov 2011 | Nov 2011 |
| Roll out of Group I programs | Dec 2011 | Dec 2011 |
| Business analysis / data conversion | Nov 2011 | Jan 2012 |
| Application Development | Dec 2011 | Apr 2012 |
| Testing | May 2012 | May 2012 |
| User Training | June 2012 | June 2012 |
| Roll out of Group II programs | June 2012 | June 2012 |

| 9. | IMPACT | Enter summary below, this column | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| a. | Describe actual impact to program if this year's project is not funded | The successful implementation of the OPS programs is heavily dependent on information management tools. Failure of the existing software systems would be catastrophic to program continuance and could affect life or safety issues for the public. Obsolete and unsupported software becomes a security, support, and business risk. OPS is faced with critical technology obsolescence issues for its Microsoft based software. The End of Life issue is especially critical for the Focus Applications, in that support will be unavailable in one to two years. Additionally, OPS requires a comprehensive plan for upgrades to COSTIS and supporting Microsoft components over the next two years. Extended support for Visual Basic 6.0 by Microsoft was retired in March 2008. Delays in system replacement could result in reversion to archaic methods of data management that are unreliable and inefficient. Although the first year of the project has been funded, without the second year funding, it is unlikely that sufficient funding will be available to complete the project. As per the original plan, the second year funding is needed for FY 2011-12 to avoid large delays in the project and under funding the project. Feedback obtained during the requirements gather phase from the market indicates that it is possible that some vendors could offer an accelerated timeline has slipped, however, once responses from vendors are evaluated the timeline and budget for the project will be updated if needed. The overall project may be shorter or longer and the budget may be higher or lower, depending on the actual responses to the Request For Proposal. Discussions with OIT regarding project options are ongoing and will be finalized once the bids are evaluated. | | |
| b. | Describe how this project will affect State operating expenditures, including dollars and FTE for each project component. | The initial capital construction request had a corresponding decision item request, which was approved for costs associated with, on-going operating expenses. These costs monthly subscription fees (for users access to the application) and remote internet access for field inspection staff received their initial appropriation in HB 10-1376. No FTE have been requested for this project. | | |
| c. | Describe consistency with Agency or Institutional Master Plan and 5-Year Capital Improvement Plan Schedule, explain variances | N/A | | |

| 10. JUSTIFICATION F | Enter summary below, this column |
|--|---|
| Fully justify and defend this request. This will be the most lengthy section of the request. Include all necessary detail and specific scope of work. Describe how much space is needed, what types of rooms or equipment are included in the request and why, and illustrate where on campus the project will be executed. Explain what is wrong with the current situation and why a new or different building or capital expenditure is needed. Focus more on why the current facilities are insufficient, less on why the current programs are driving change. | The Department is requesting spending authority for the second year of funding for the previously approved OPS Consolidated Enterprise Database System. This request is for the second year of funding in the amount of \$442,891 comprised of cash and federal funding. The first year of funding was \$855,049. Currently the project as shown in item number 7 is behind schedule. The technical requirements are being finalized with the new RFP release date of mid-November 2010. Although the project has yet to spend any funding, based on the current plan the money for the FY 2011-12 is needed to avoid any potential delays in the project. Without the current request sufficient funding will not be available in sufficient time to move the project forward without large delays. The Department has received feedback from industry vendors and other states with similar implementations regarding this effort and indications are that the timeframe to develop and implement the system may actually be shorter and may mitigate the current delay in the project. While this is preliminary information and the actual bids have not been received, the Department is concerned about the risks associated with the interruption of the system development process in the event the project can be completed within the current schedule and adequate funding is not available. We respectfully request the authority to access the second year funds in FY 2011-12. The department is working to stay within current budget request timelines without jeopardizing the success of the project while recognizing that the actual bids, when received, may require further adjustments, up or down, to the current budget and timelines. The department is working closely with OIT to address any issue as it arises and will submit changes to the schedule |

| 11. CALCULATIONS | Describe how the numbers on the CC-C Excel form were calculated; describe in this column, FY 2011-12 only. Out years will be requested separately | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Assumptions and calculations for land purchase | N/A | | |
| Assumptions and calculations for professional services | Two contractors from the State Price Agreement for IT Augmentation Services will be utilized to assist in this effort. A Program Manager at \$85.00 hour. Also an IT Business Analyst will be required at \$60.hour. | | |
| Assumptions and calculations for construction | This year two request is for the remainder of that amount - \$250,000. Total estimate for the database application is \$750,000. | | |
| Provide list of equipment and furnishings to total on CC-C Excel form | This second year request of funding of \$21,000 is to cover the remainder of the costs associated with the purchase of the 30 tablet, 30 portable printers, 30 sets of PC peripheral networking equipment. | | |
| Art in public places: describe what portions of project apply and calculation used. The calculation should apply only to State funds (see SB 10-94) | N/A | | |
| Discuss all inflation assumptions, as delineated on the CC-C form, by year and by component (professional services, construction or improvement, and equipment and furnishings) | N/A | | |
| Discuss HPCP cost assumptions | N/A | | |

| 12. CASH FUND PROJECTION | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Does request include cash | ⊠Yes | □No (If | no, proceed to qu | estion #13) | |
| funds? | | 2 110 (II | no, proceed to qu | estion #15) | |
| If the project is being | ⊠N/A | | | | |
| financed, describe the terms of | | | | | |
| the bond, including the length | | | | | |
| of the bond, the expected | | | | | |
| interest rate, when the agency | | | | | |
| plans to go to market, and the | | | | | |
| expected average annual | | | | | |
| payment. | | T | | | |
| Cash Fund Sources Lists | Actual | Current | Projected | Projected | Projected |
| (list all separately; | FY 2009-10 | Fund | FY 2010-11 | FY 2011-12 | FY 2012-13 |
| projected balances must | Cash Fund | Balance | Cash Fund | Cash Fund | Cash Fund |
| account for other obligations) | Balance | FY 2010-11 | Balance | Balance | Balance |
| a. Fund Number: 130 | | | | | |
| Cash Funds: PST Cash | \$6,223,478 | \$5,433,199 | \$5,300,000 | \$5,100,000 | \$5,100,000 |
| Fund | | | | | |
| Described how revenue accrues | to the fund | | ntal surcharge fee | | |
| | | | lucts; this fee, set | | |
| | | | 8-20-206.5): For | fee charges infor | mation, refer |
| | | to fee structures table above. | | | |
| | | . | . 1 1 6 1 | II I CDC 0.0 | 00 00 C F TF 1 |
| | | Fee set in statute, based on fund balance CRS 8-20-206.5. Tank | | | |
| D 11 11 11 11 11 | 1 , | registration fees are set in statute CRS 8-20.5-102 | | | |
| Describe other obligations and e | encumbrances to | Current projected yearly expenses are approximately | | | |
| the fund | | \$34,000,000. Revenue in the future should be approximately | | | |
| | | \$35,000,000 a year. The fund balance of almost \$5,400,000 is more than sufficient to cover the \$177,156 planned allocated | | | |
| | | | | | ed allocated |
| b. Fund Number: 13d | expense to this fund for this project. | | | | |
| | \$3,121,210 | \$2.220.600 | \$3,100,000 | \$2,900,000 | \$2,800,000 |
| Cash Funds: Conveyance Safety Fund | \$3,121,210 | \$3,220,690 | \$3,100,000 | \$2,900,000 | \$2,000,000 |
| Described how revenue accrues | to the fund | Ease one from | ha maniatmatian an | d annual aantifia | otion of |
| Described now revenue accrues | to the fund | Fees are from the registration and annual certification of conveyances, the licensing of contractors, inspectors and | | | |
| | | mechanics of conveyances. | | | |
| Describe other obligations and e | noumbroncos to | | | oc oro opprovimo | taly \$400,000 |
| the fund | Current projected yearly expenses are approximately \$400,000. Revenue in the future should be approximately \$525,000 a year. | | | | |
| the fund | | The fund balance of almost \$3,000,000 is more than sufficient | | | |
| | | to cover the \$57,576 planned allocated expense to this fund for | | | |
| | | this project. | 7,570 planned an | located expense t | o tino fund for |
| c. Fund Number: 137 | | tins project. | | | |
| Cash Funds: Boiler Fund | \$1,464,207 | \$1,525,296 | \$1,425,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$1,350,000 |
| Described how revenue accrues | | | ssuance of a certi | | |
| Described now revenue accrues | to the fund | | | | cenon or boner |
| | | or pressure vessel (CRS 9-4-109(1)(a)). Variable fees set by the agency, not to exceed statutory limits | | | |
| | | | | not to exceed sta | accory milits |
| Describe other obligations and e | ncumbrances to | (CRS 9-4-109(1)(a)). | | | |
| the fund | meaniorances to | Current projected yearly expenses are approximately \$1,400,000. Revenue in the future should be approximately | | | |
| die fulid | | \$1,400,000. Revenue in the future should be approximately \$1,500,000 a year. The fund balance of almost \$1,500,000 is | | | |
| | | more than sufficient to cover the \$88,578 planned allocated | | | |
| | | | fund for this pro | | . anotated |
| | | expense to tills | Tond for time pro | Jeer. | |

Agency Name: <u>Labor and Employment</u> Project Name: OPS Consolidated Enterprise System Year 2

| Cash Fund Sources Lists (list all separately; projected balances must account for other obligations) | Actual FY 2009-10 Cash Fund Balance | Current Fund Balance FY 2010-11 | Projected FY 2010-11 Cash Fund Balance | Projected FY 2011-12 Cash Fund Balance | Projected FY 2012-13 Cash Fund Balance |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| d. Fund Number: 141 | | | | | |
| Cash Funds: Public Safety Fund | \$305,493 | \$290,900 | \$280,000 | \$275,000 | \$275,000 |
| Described how revenue accrues | A fee is charged for explosives permits; and annual registration fee is charged for carnivals and amusement parks. | | | | |
| Describe other obligations and e the fund | Current projected yearly expenses are approximately \$450,000. Revenue in the future should be approximately \$475,000 a year. The fund balance of almost \$300,000 is sufficient to cover the \$4,429 planned allocated expense to this fund for this project. | | | | |
| e. Fund Number: 144 | | | | | |
| Cash Funds: LPG Fund | \$44,391 | \$58,162 | \$45,000 | \$40,000 | \$40,000 |
| Described how revenue accrues | An environmental surcharge fee assessed for odorized liquefied petroleum gas, set by statute, is \$10 per tank truckload for every first purchaser of liquefied petroleum gas. | | | | |
| Describe other obligations and e the fund | Current projected yearly expenses are approximately \$140,000. Revenue in the future should be approximately \$140,000 a year. The fund balance of almost \$45,000 is sufficient to cover the \$4,429 planned allocated expense to this fund for this project. | | | | |

| 13. REPROJE | LATED CTS | Delineate capital construction and controlled maintenance projects for this department, DHS Office, or higher education institution appropriated since FY 2007-08. 100% cash funded projects for higher education do not need to be listed. | | | |
|-------------|--------------|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Year | Project # | Item | CCF Cost | Pending Underway, or Requested | |
| 3 | P0838 | Internet Self-Service | \$3,100,592 | underway | |
| 2 | P0913 | Roof Replacement | \$187,696 | underway | |
| 1 | P1011 | OPS Consolidated Enterprise System | \$855,049 | underway | |

| 14. PROGRAM PLAN | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Describe any changes to this | ⊠No changes | ☐ Changes are described below | |
| project on the Program Plan, | | | |
| Master Plan, or Five Year | | | |
| Plan since its submission to | | | |
| the Capital Development | | | |
| Committee | | | |

| 15. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | | |
|---|--|--|
| Provide any additional information to best justify the request. | | |