## State Performance Plan Indicator Profile - FFY 2015

Administrative Unit (AU)

Mt. Evans BOCES

AU Code: **64053** 



The State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicator Profile is intended to provide Administrative Units with a tool for use in planning for systemic improvement in special education programs. The profile contains a series of SPP indicators that describe Administrative Units' performance as compared to state level target in Colorado's SPP. Required under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), the SPP contains targets for 16 indicators along with a State Systemic Improvement Plan. This profile contains the first 14 indicators.

Indicator 1: Graduation Rates <sup>1</sup>			
Graduation Rates	AU Rate	State SPP Target <sup>2</sup>	State Performance
Percent of students with disabilities who graduated in School Year 2014-15 with a regular diploma within 4 years of entering 9th grade	30.00%	≥ 80%	53.78%
Percent of students with disabilities who graduated in School Year 2014-15 with a regular diploma within 5 years of entering 9th grade	91.67%		63.19%
Percent of students with disabilities who graduated in School Year 2014-15 with a regular diploma within 6 years of entering 9th grade	80.95%		67.83%
Percent of students with disabilities who graduated in School Year 2014-15 with a regular diploma within 7 years of entering 9th grade	86.96%		72.21%

Definition is aligned with **ESEA** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Does not include students in Eligible Facilities or State Operated Programs

Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates <sup>5</sup>			
Drop-Out Rates	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 - 21 who dropped out during School Year 2014-15	20.00%	≤ 25.2%	23.02%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Definition is aligned with IDEA

Indicator 3B & 3C: Assessment			
Participation and Performance Rates (for regular and alternate assessments)	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Federal Participation rate of students with disabilities for Reading assessments	92.57%	≥ 95.00%	86.78%
Federal Participation rate of students with disabilities for Math assessments	91.89%	≥ 95.00%	87.32%
Federal Proficiency rate for Reading assessments CMAS & CoAlt	9.49%	≥ 33.86%	8.50%
Federal Proficiency rate for Math assessments CMAS & CoAlt	11.03%	≥ 30.95%	6.92%

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  Target set by Office of Federal Programs Administration, CDE for ESEA

Indicator 4A & 4B: Suspension/Expulsion			
Suspension/Expulsion Rate	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
4A - Percent of students with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for greater than 10 days in a school year	1.29	≤ 3.39	0.77
4B - Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic Groups for suspension/expulsion greater than 10 days in a school year, which are the result of inappropriate policies/procedures?	No		
Indicator 5A & 5B & 5C: School Age Least Restrictiv	e Environmen	t (LRE)	
Program/Placement	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Percent of students with disabilities in regular class at least 80% of the time	75.86%	≥ 71.5%	73.62%
Percent of students with disabilities in regular class less than 40% of the time	5.17%	≤ 7.3%	6.68%
Percent of students with disabilities in separate schools/facilities, homebound or hospital	2.16%	≤ 3.5%	2.37%

Indicator 6A & 6B: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)			
Program/Placement	AU Rate	State SPP	State
Fi ogi umi Fiucement	AO Rate	Target	Performance
Percent of children aged 3 - 5 with disabilities attending a regular early childhood program and receiving services in a regular early childhood program	100.00%	≥ 85.5%	84.48%
Percent of children aged 3 - 5 with disabilities attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	0.00%	≤ 6.3%	5.21%

Indicator 7A & 7B & 7C: Preschool Outcomes (Measured by TSGold)			
Summary Statements	AU Rate	State SPP	State
Summary Statements	AO Rate	Target	Performance
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including so	ocial relationsh	ips)	
1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	83.33%	≥ 81.09%	80.90%
The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program	64.29%	≥ 67.76%	67.50%
Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills			
(including early language/communication and early literacy)			
1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	100.00%	≥ 82,11%	80.10%

2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited  1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C; Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs  1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program  2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program  Indicator 8: Parent Involvement  Parent Survey Responses  AU Rate  Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services  and results  Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability  Disproportionality  Results  No  State SPP  State  Performance  No  None  Non				
1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program  2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program  2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program  Indicator 8: Parent Involvement  Parent Survey Responses  AU Rate  State SPP Target  Performance  Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results  Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability  Disproportionality  AU State SPP Target  Performance  Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category  Disproportionality  Indicator 11: Child Find  Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  AU Rate  Target  AU Rate  State Target  Performance  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not by their thir	age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited	78.57%	≥ 69.34%	68.50%
below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program  2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program  2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program  Indicator 8: Parent Involvement  Parent Survey Responses  AU Rate  Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results  Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability  Disproportionality  Disproportionality  Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category  Disproportionality  AU State SPP State Target  Performance  No None None  None  None  None  None  None  None  None  None  None  Indicator 11: Child Find  Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  AU Rate  AU Rate  State  Target  Performance  Performance  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not by	Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their	needs		
Indicator 8: Parent Involvement  Parent Survey Responses  AU Rate  Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results  Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability  Disproportionality  Disproportionality  Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category  Disproportionality  Results  Target  Performance  No  None  Non	below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time	90.91%	≥ 82.08%	74.90%
Parent Survey Responses  AU Rate  State SPP Target  Performance  Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results  Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability  Disproportionality  Disproportionality  Does the AU have disproportionale representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category  Disproportionality  Results  AU Results  No None  Indicator 11: Child Find  Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  AU Rate  State  Target  Performance  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)  100.00%  ■ 100.00%  ■ 100.00%  ■ 100.00%  ■ 100.00%	age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited	85.71%	≥ 72.12%	70.80%
Parent Survey Responses  AU Rate  State SPP Target  Performance  Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results  Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability  Disproportionality  Disproportionality  Does the AU have disproportionale representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category  Disproportionality  Results  AU Results  No None  Indicator 11: Child Find  Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  AU Rate  State  Target  Performance  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)  100.00%  ■ 100.00%  ■ 100.00%  ■ 100.00%  ■ 100.00%	Indicator 8: Parent Involvement			
schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results  Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability  Disproportionality  Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category  Disproportionality  Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category  Disproportionality  Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 11: Child Find  Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  AU Rate  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  AU Rate  AU Rate  State  Target  Performance  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)  100.00%  ■ 54%  State SPP  State  Performance  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)		AU Rate		
Disproportionality  Disproportionality  Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category  Disproportionality  Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 11: Child Find  Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  AU Rate  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)  AU Rate France  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)	schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services	83.33%	≥ 54%	65.29%
Disproportionality  Disproportionality  Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category  Disproportionality  Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 11: Child Find  Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  AU Rate  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)  AU Rate France  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)	Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disabil	ity		
racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category  Disproportionality  Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 11: Child Find  Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  AU Rate  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)		AU		
Disproportionality  AU Results  Target  Performance  Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 11: Child Find  Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)  AU Rate  State SPP Target Performance  Part State Performance  AU Rate  State Target Performance  100.00%  Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)	racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate	No	None	None
Disproportionality  AU Results  Target  Performance  Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 11: Child Find  Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)  AU Rate  State SPP Target Performance  Part State Performance  AU Rate  State Target Performance  100.00%  Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)				
Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 11: Child Find  Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not  Results  Target  Performance  No  None	Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Categor			
racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification?  Indicator 11: Child Find  Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not  None  N	Disproportionality			
Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not  AU Rate  State SPP Target  Performance  98.48%  = 100%  97.91%  97.91%  97.01%	racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories,	No	None	None
Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not  AU Rate  State SPP Target  Performance  98.48%  = 100%  97.91%  97.91%  97.01%	Indicator 11: Child Find			
Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days  Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition  Transition from Part C to Part B  AU Rate  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not  98.48% = 100% 97.91%  97.91%		AU Rate		
Transition from Part C to Part B  AU Rate  State Target  Performance  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not  AU Rate  State Performance  100.00%  = 100%  97.01%	who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within	98.48%		97.91%
Transition from Part C to Part B  AU Rate  State Target  Performance  Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not  AU Rate  State Performance  100.00%  = 100%  97.01%	Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition			
whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not = 100.00% = 100.00% 97.01%	Transition from Part C to Part B	AU Rate		
	whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not	100.00%	= 100%	97.01%

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition			
Transition	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance <sup>6</sup>
Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP which includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	100.00%	= 100%	93.45%
Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes			
Transition	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Percent of youth who had IEPs and within one year of leaving high school, have not returned to secondary school, and have been:			
a) Enrolled in higher education	no data	≥ 32.5%	25.63%
b) Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed	no data	≥ 61%	60.44%
c) Enrolled in higher education or some other education; or competitively employed or in some other employment	no data	≥ 69%	77.48%