State Performance Plan Indicator Profile - FFY 2015

Administrative Unit (AU)

Valley RE-1 (Sterling)

AU Code: **38010**



The State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicator Profile is intended to provide Administrative Units with a tool for use in planning for systemic improvement in special education programs. The profile contains a series of SPP indicators that describe Administrative Units' performance as compared to state level target in Colorado's SPP. Required under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), the SPP contains targets for 16 indicators along with a State Systemic Improvement Plan. This profile contains the first 14 indicators.

Indicator 1: Graduation Rates ¹			
Graduation Rates	AU Rate	State SPP Target ²	State Performance
Percent of students with disabilities who graduated in School Year 2014-15 with a regular diploma within 4 years of entering 9th grade	50.00%	≥ 80%	53.78%
Percent of students with disabilities who graduated in School Year 2014-15 with a regular diploma within 5 years of entering 9th grade	78.95%		63.19%
Percent of students with disabilities who graduated in School Year 2014-15 with a regular diploma within 6 years of entering 9th grade	47.37%		67.83%
Percent of students with disabilities who graduated in School Year 2014-15 with a regular diploma within 7 years of entering 9th grade	60.87%		72.21%

Definition is aligned with ESEA

³ Does not include students in Eligible Facilities or State Operated Programs

Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates ⁵			
Drop-Out Rates	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 - 21 who dropped out during School Year 2014-15	26.67%	≤ 25.2%	23.02%

⁵ Definition is aligned with IDEA

Indicator 3B & 3C: Assessment			
Participation and Performance Rates	AU Rate	State SPP	State
(for regular and alternate assessments)	AU Rate	Target	Performance
Federal Participation rate of students with disabilities for Reading assessments	96.99%	≥ 95.00%	86.78%
Federal Participation rate of students with disabilities for Math assessments	92.00%	≥ 95.00%	87.32%
Federal Proficiency rate for Reading assessments CMAS & CoAlt	8.70%	≥ 33.86%	8.50%
Federal Proficiency rate for Math assessments CMAS & CoAlt	10.56%	≥ 30.95%	6.92%

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ Target set by Office of Federal Programs Administration, CDE for ESEA

Indicator 4A & 4B: Suspension/Expulsion			
Suspension/Expulsion Rate	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
4A - Percent of students with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for greater than 10 days in a school year	0.00	≤ 3.39	0.77
4B - Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic Groups for suspension/expulsion greater than 10 days in a school year, which are the result of inappropriate policies/procedures?	No		
Indicator 5A & 5B & 5C: School Age Least Restrictiv	e Environmen	t (LRE)	
Program/Placement	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Percent of students with disabilities in regular class at least 80% of the time	41.25%	≥ 71.5%	73.62%
Percent of students with disabilities in regular class less than 40% of the time	8.25%	≤ 7.3%	6.68%
Percent of students with disabilities in separate schools/facilities, homebound or hospital	2.64%	≤ 3.5%	2.37%

Indicator 6A & 6B: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)			
Program/Placement	AU Rate	State SPP	State
Fi ogi umi Fiucement	AO Rate	Target	Performance
Percent of children aged 3 - 5 with disabilities attending a regular early childhood program and receiving services in a regular early childhood program	100.00%	≥ 85.5%	84.48%
Percent of children aged 3 - 5 with disabilities attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	0.00%	≤ 6.3%	5.21%

Indicator 7A & 7B & 7C: Preschool Outcomes (Measured by TSGold)			
Summary Statements	AU Rate	State SPP	State
Summary Statements	AO Rate	Target	Performance
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including so	ocial relationsh	ips)	
1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	69.23%	≥ 81.09%	80.90%
The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program	55.26%	≥ 67.76%	67.50%
Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills			
(including early language/communication and early literacy)			
1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	66.67%	≥ 82.11%	80.10%

2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited 47.37% ≥ 69.34% 68.50% 1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C; Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs 1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program Indicator 8: Parent Involvement Parent Survey Responses AU Rate Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Indicator 10: Disproportionale representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines AU Rate State SPP Target Ferformance Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within a IEP Implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not eligible) by their third birthday (includes students found not eligible) their third birthday (includes students found not eligible) 100.00% = 100% 97.01%				
1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program Indicator 8: Parent Involvement Parent Survey Responses AU Rate Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability Disproportionality AU Results Target Performance Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines AU Rate Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate Target State Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not the state) 100.00% = 100% 97.01%	age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited	47.37%	≥ 69.34%	68.50%
below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program Indicator 8: Parent Involvement Parent Survey Responses AU Rate Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate State Target Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not by their third birthay includes students found not by their third birthay includes students found	Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs			
age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program Indicator 8: Parent Involvement	below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time	74.07%	≥ 82.08%	74.90%
Parent Survey Responses AU Rate Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Results AU State SPP Target Performance No None None None None None None None None Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate State Target Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) 100.00% = 100% 97.01%	age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited	71.05%	≥ 72.12%	70.80%
Parent Survey Responses AU Rate Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Results AU State SPP Target Performance No None None None None None None None None Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate State Target Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) 100.00% = 100% 97.01%	Indicator 8: Parent Involvement			
schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate AU Rate State Target State Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) AU State SPP State Performance AU Rate State Target State Target State Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)		AU Rate		
Disproportionality AU Results Target Performance Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate AU Rate State Target Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)	schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services		≥ 54%	65.29%
Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) Page 100 None	Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disabil	lity		
racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)	Disproportionality			
Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) AU Rate State SPP Target Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)	racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate	No	None	None
Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) AU Rate State SPP Target Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not)				
racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not None N		AU		
Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not AU Rate State Performance AU Rate State Target Performance 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 97.01%	racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories,	No	None	None
Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not AU Rate State Performance AU Rate State Target Performance 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 97.01%	Indicator 11: Child Find			
who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not 100.00% = 100% 97.91% AU Rate State Target Performance 100.00% = 100% 97.01%		AU Rate		
Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate State Target Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not AU Rate State Performance 100.00% = 100% 97.01%	who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within	100.00%	= 100%	97.91%
Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate State Target Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not AU Rate State Performance 100.00% = 100% 97.01%	Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition			
whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not = 100.00% = 100% 97.01%		AU Rate		
	whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not	100.00%	= 100%	97.01%

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition			
Transition	AU Rate	State SPP	State
Transition	AU Rate	Target	Performance ⁶
Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP which includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	100.00%	= 100%	93.45%
Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes			
Transition	AU Rate	State SPP	State
TTUIISILIOII	AU Rate	Target	Performance
Percent of youth who had IEPs and within one year of leaving high school, have not returned to secondary school, and have been:			
a) Enrolled in higher education	16.67%	≥ 32.5%	25.63%
b) Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed	50.00%	≥ 61%	60.44%
c) Enrolled in higher education or some other education;	66 67%	> 69%	77 48%

or competitively employed or in some other employment

66.67%

≥ 69%

77.48%