State Performance Plan Indicator Profile - FFY 2015

Administrative Unit (AU)

Adams 14 (Commerce City)

AU Code: **01030**



The State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicator Profile is intended to provide Administrative Units with a tool for use in planning for systemic improvement in special education programs. The profile contains a series of SPP indicators that describe Administrative Units' performance as compared to state level target in Colorado's SPP. Required under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), the SPP contains targets for 16 indicators along with a State Systemic Improvement Plan. This profile contains the first 14 indicators.

| Indicator 1: Graduation Rates ¹ | | | | |
|--|---------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Graduation Rates | AU Rate | State SPP Target ² | State Performance | |
| Percent of students with disabilities who graduated in School Year 2014-15 with a regular diploma within 4 years of entering 9th grade | 51.52% | ≥ 80% | 53.78% | |
| Percent of students with disabilities who graduated in School Year 2014-15 with a regular diploma within 5 years of entering 9th grade | 61.54% | | 63.19% | |
| Percent of students with disabilities who graduated in School Year 2014-15 with a regular diploma within 6 years of entering 9th grade | 61.54% | | 67.83% | |
| Percent of students with disabilities who graduated in School Year 2014-15 with a regular diploma within 7 years of entering 9th grade | 61.90% | | 72.21% | |

Definition is aligned with ESEA

³ Does not include students in Eligible Facilities or State Operated Programs

| Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates ⁵ | | | |
|---|---------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Drop-Out Rates | AU Rate | State SPP Target | State Performance |
| Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 - 21 who dropped out during School Year 2014-15 | 38.33% | ≤ 25.2% | 23.02% |

⁵ Definition is aligned with IDEA

| Indicator 3B & 3C: Assessment | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|-------------|
| Participation and Performance Rates | AU Rate | State SPP | State |
| (for regular and alternate assessments) | AO Rate | Target | Performance |
| Federal Participation rate of students with disabilities for Reading assessments | 96.50% | ≥ 95.00% | 86.78% |
| Federal Participation rate of students with disabilities for Math assessments | 95.83% | ≥ 95.00% | 87.32% |
| Federal Proficiency rate for Reading assessments CMAS & CoAlt | 0.91% | ≥ 33.86% | 8.50% |
| Federal Proficiency rate for Math assessments CMAS & CoAlt | 0.69% | ≥ 30.95% | 6.92% |

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ Target set by Office of Federal Programs Administration, CDE for ESEA

| Indicator 4A & 4B: Suspension/Expulsion | | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|
| Suspension/Expulsion Rate | AU Rate | State SPP Target | State Performance | |
| 4A - Percent of students with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for greater than 10 days in a school year | 1.24 | ≤ 3.39 | 0.77 | |
| 4B - Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic Groups for suspension/expulsion greater than 10 days in a school year, which are the result of inappropriate policies/procedures? | No | | | |
| Indicator 5A & 5B & 5C: School Age Least Restrictive | e Environmen | t (LRE) | | |
| Program/Placement | AU Rate | State SPP Target | State Performance | |
| Percent of students with disabilities in regular class at least 80% of the time | 71.31% | ≥ 71.5% | 73.62% | |
| Percent of students with disabilities in regular class less than 40% of the time | 8.28% ≤ 7.3% 6.68% | | | |
| Percent of students with disabilities in separate schools/facilities, homebound or hospital | 2.21% | ≤ 3.5% | 2.37% | |

| Indicator 6A & 6B: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|-------------|
| Program/Placement | AU Rate | State SPP | State |
| Programm Fracement | AO Rate | Target | Performance |
| Percent of children aged 3 - 5 with disabilities attending a regular early childhood program and receiving services in a regular early childhood program | 99.30% | ≥ 85.5% | 84.48% |
| Percent of children aged 3 - 5 with disabilities attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility | 0.00% | ≤ 6.3% | 5.21% |

| Indicator 7A & 7B & 7C: Preschool Outcomes (Measured by TSGold) | | | |
|--|------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Summary Statements | AU Rate | State SPP | State |
| Summary Statements | AO Rate | Target | Performance |
| Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including so | ocial relationsh | ips) | |
| 1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program | 68.75% | ≥ 81.09% | 80.90% |
| 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program | 67.44% | ≥ 67.76% | 67.50% |
| Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills | | | |
| (including early language/communication and early literacy) | | | |
| 1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program | 82.76% | ≥ 82.11% | 80.10% |

| 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs 1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program 2). The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program. Indicator 8: Parent Involvement Parent Survey Responses AU Rate Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Indicator 10: Disproportionale representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines AU Rate State SPP Target Ferformance Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not eligible) 100,00% = 100% 97,01% eligible) | | | | |
|--|--|---------|----------|--------|
| 1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program Indicator 8: Parent Involvement Parent Survey Responses AU Rate Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability Disproportionality AU Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines AU Rate Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate Target AU Rate Target State Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not the follows). | age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited | 74.42% | ≥ 69.34% | 68.50% |
| below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program Indicator 8: Parent Involvement Parent Survey Responses AU Rate Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate AU Rate State Farget Farget State Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not by their third birthay includes students found not by their third birthay (includes students found not by their third birthay (| Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their | needs | | |
| Indicator 8: Parent Involvement | below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time | 75.00% | ≥ 82.08% | 74.90% |
| Percent Survey Responses Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability Disproportionality Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Results Target Performance No None No | age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited | 74.42% | ≥ 72.12% | 70.80% |
| Percent Survey Responses Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability Disproportionality Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Results Target Performance No None No | Indicator 8: Parent Involvement | | | |
| schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disability Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Results AU State SPP State Performance Performance Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines AU Rate Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) 100.00% = 100% 97.01% | | AU Rate | | |
| Disproportionality AU Results Target Performance Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Results AU State SPP State Performance Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate AU Rate State Target State Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) | schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services | | ≥ 54% | 65.29% |
| Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate Target State Target Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) | Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child With a Disabil | lity | | |
| racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) | Disproportionality | | | |
| Disproportionality AU Results Target Performance Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) AU Rate State SPP Target Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) | racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate | No | None | None |
| Disproportionality AU Results Target Performance Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) AU Rate State SPP Target Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not) | | | | |
| racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 11: Child Find Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not None N | | AU | | |
| Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not AU Rate State Performance AU Rate State Target Performance 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 97.01% | racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, | No | None | None |
| Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not AU Rate State Performance AU Rate State Target Performance 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 97.01% | Indicator 11: Child Find | | | |
| who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Transition from Part C to Part B Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not 100.00% = 100% 97.91% AU Rate State Target Performance 100.00% = 100% 97.01% | | AU Rate | | |
| Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate State Target Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not AU Rate State Performance 100.00% = 100% 97.01% | who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within | 100.00% | = 100% | 97.91% |
| Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate State Target Performance Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not AU Rate State Performance 100.00% = 100% 97.01% | Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition | | | |
| whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not = 100.00% = 100.00% = 7.01% | | AU Rate | | |
| | whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not | 100.00% | = 100% | 97.01% |

| Indicator 13: Secondary Transition | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Transition | AU Rate | State SPP | State |
| Transition | AO Rate | Target | Performance ⁶ |
| Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP which includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals | 100.00% | = 100% | 93.45% |
| Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes | | | |
| Transition | AU Rate | State SPP | State |
| TTUIISICIOII | AU Rate | Target | Performance |
| Percent of youth who had IEPs and <i>within one year of leaving high school</i> , have not returned to secondary school, and have been: | | | |
| a) Enrolled in higher education | <2.00% | ≥ 32.5% | 25.63% |
| b) Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed | 51.85% | ≥ 61% | 60.44% |
| | | | |

66.67%

≥ 69%

77.48%

c) Enrolled in higher education or some other education;

or competitively employed or in some other employment