State Performance Plan Indicator Profile - FFY 2014Administrative Unit (AU)AU

East Central BOCES

AU Code: 64043



Indicator 1: Graduation Rates ^{1,4}			
Graduation Rates	AU Rate	State SPP Target ²	State Performance ³
Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who graduated with a regular diploma within 4 years of entering 9th grade	70.20%	00.000/	54.60%
Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who graduated with a regular diploma within 5 years of entering 9th grade	83.30%		61.60%
Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who graduated with a regular diploma within 6 years of entering 9th grade	75.90%	80.00%	68.30%
Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who graduated with a regular diploma within 7 years of entering 9th grade	83.30%		71.10%

¹ Definition is aligned with <u>ESEA</u>

² Target set by Office of Federal Programs Administration, CDE for ESEA

³ Does not include students in Eligible Facilities or State Operated Programs

⁴ For more specific school or district information, please go to Schoolview.org

Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates ⁵			
Drop-Out Rates	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who dropped out	6.00%	≤25.2%	25.43%

⁵ Definition is aligned with IDEA

Indicator 3A, 3B & 3C: Assessment			
Participation and Performance Rates	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Participation rate of students with disabilities for Reading assessments	77.80%	≥95.00%	85.80%
Participation rate of students with disabilities for Math assessments	78.52%	≥95.00%	85.68%
Proficiency rate for Reading assessments CMAS & CoAlt	13.72%	≥ 33.86%	10.49%
Proficiency rate for Math assessments CMAS & CoAlt	8.53%	≥ 30.95%	8.02%
Indicator 4A & 4B: Suspension/Expulsion			
Overall state rate of students with disabilities with long-term suspensions/expulsions	.5698 per 100 students with disabilities		ith disabilities
Cut-off suspension/expulsion rate for significant discrepancy	3.419 per 100 students with disabilities		
AU Rate		0.2454	
AU Rate Exceeds Cut-off Rate?"		No	
Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups for long-term suspension/expulsion, which are the result of inappropriate policies/procedures?	No		

Indicator 5A & 5B & 5C: School Age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)				
Program/Placement	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance	
Percent of students with disabilities in regular class at least 80% of the time	81.06%	≥71.50%	72.82%	
Percent of students with disabilities in regular class less than 40% of the time	3.26%	< 7.30%	7.02%	
Percent of students with disabilities in separate schools/facilities, homebound or hospital	1.81%	< 3.50%	2.51%	

Indicator 6A & 6B: Preschool LRE				
Program/Placement	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance	
Percent of children aged 3 – 5 with disabilities attending a regular early childhood program and receiving services in a regular early childhood program	93.52%	≥ 85.50%	86.41%	
Percent of children aged 3 – 5 with disabilities attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	0.00%	≤ 6.30%	5.36%	

Indicator 7A & 7B & 7C: Preschool Outcomes			
Summary Statements	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social	relationships)		
1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	77.78%	≥ 81.09%	81.34%
2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program	77.14%	≥ 67.76%	68.55%
Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)			
1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	80.77%	≥ 82.11%	80.62%
2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program	80.00%	≥ 69.34%	69.00%
Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs	5		
1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	87.50%	≥ 82.08%	77.97%
2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program	85.71%	≥72.12%	71.23%

Indicator 8: Parent Involvement			
Survey Responses	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results	44.74%	≥ 52.00%	62.80%
Indicator 9: Disproportionality – Child With a Disabilit	ty		
Disproportionality	AU Results	State SPP Target	State Performance
Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification?	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Indicator 10: Disproportionality – Eligibility Category			
Disproportionality	AU	State SPP	State
Disproportionality	Results	Target	Performance
Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification?	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Indicator 11: Child Find			
Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days	100.00%	100.00%	97.94%

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition			
Transition from Part C to Part B	AU Rate	State Target	State Performance
Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not eligible)	100.00%	100.00%	97.20%
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition			
Transition	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance ⁶
Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP which includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post- secondary goals	100.00%	100.00%	75.00%
Indicator 13 Questions: Percent of IEPs reviewed that met the	e following crite	ria	
Q1: Are there appropriate measurable postsecondary goals in the areas of training and education/employment and career/independent living skills?	100%	100	98.93%
Q2: Are the postsecondary goals updated annually?	100%	100	88.71%
Q3: Is there evidence that the measurable postsecondary goals were based on age appropriate transition assessment(s)?	100%	100	97.34%

Indicator 13 Questions (continued) : Percent of IEPs reviewed that met the following criteria			
Q4: Are there transition services in the IEP that will reasonably enable the student to meet his or her postsecondary goals?	100.00%	100	92.80%
Q5: Do the transition services include courses of study that will reasonably enable the student to meet his or her postsecondary goals?	100.00%	100	95.02%
Q6: Is (are) there annual IEP goal(s) related to the student's transition services needs?	100.00%	100	96.68%
Q7: Is there evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services were discussed?	100.00%	100	99.34%
Q8: Where appropriate - Is there evidence that a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority?	100.00%	100	95.23%

⁶ Secondary Transition requires that the IEP meets ALL the criteria below. Therefore the State Performance % will be lower than any single criteria score.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes			
Transition	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Percent of youth who, within one year of leaving high school, had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school, and have been			
a) Enrolled in higher education	25.00%	≥ 32.50%	24.62%
b) Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed	66.67%	≥ 61.00%	56.43%
b) Enroned in ingher education of competitivery employed			