State Performance Plan Indicator Profile - FFY 2014

Administrative Unit (AU)

Denver

AU Code: 16010



Indicator 1: Graduation Rates 1,4 **State SPP AU Rate** Graduation Rates State Performance ³ Target ² Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who graduated 40.10% 54.60% with a regular diploma within 4 years of entering 9th grade Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who graduated 42,60% 61.60% with a regular diploma within 5 years of entering 9th grade 80.00% Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who graduated 52.80% 68.30% with a regular diploma within 6 years of entering 9th grade Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who graduated 54.50% 71.10% with a regular diploma within 7 years of entering 9th grade

⁴ For more specific school or district information, please go to Schoolview.org

Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates ⁵			
Drop-Out Rates	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who dropped out	43.63%	≤ 25.2%	25.43%

⁵ Definition is aligned with IDEA

Indicator 3A, 3B & 3C: Assessment				
Participation and Performance Rates	AU Rate	State SPP	State	
t anacipation and 1 erformance Rates	e kates AU Kate	Target	Performance	
Participation rate of students with disabilities for Reading	89.72%	> 95.00%	85,80%	
assessments	09.7270	≥ 75.00 70	05.00 /0	
Participation rate of students with disabilities for Math	89.34%	> 95.00%	85,68%	
assessments	09.3470	≥ 93.00 70	05.0070	
Proficiency rate for Reading assessments CMAS & CoAlt	10.67%	≥ 33.86%	10.49%	
Proficiency rate for Math assessments CMAS & CoAlt	7.89%	≥ 30.95%	8.02%	

Indicator 4A & 4B: Suspension/Expulsion	
Overall state rate of students with disabilities with long-term suspensions/expulsions	.5698 per 100 students with disabilities
Cut-off suspension/expulsion rate for significant discrepancy	3.419 per 100 students with disabilities
AU Rate	0.1800
AU Rate Exceeds Cut-off Rate?"	No
Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups for long-term suspension/expulsion, which are the result of inappropriate policies/procedures?	No

¹ Definition is aligned with <u>ESEA</u>

² Target set by Office of Federal Programs Administration, CDE for ESEA

³ Does not include students in Eligible Facilities or State Operated Programs

Indicator 5A & 5B & 5C: School Age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)				
Program/Placement	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance	
Percent of students with disabilities in regular class at least 80% of the time	74.37%	≥71.50%	72.82%	
Percent of students with disabilities in regular class less than 40% of the time	10.90%	< 7.30%	7.02%	
Percent of students with disabilities in separate schools/facilities, homebound or hospital	2.18%	< 3.50%	2.51%	

Indicator 6A & 6B: Preschool LRE			
Program/Placement	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Percent of children aged 3 – 5 with disabilities attending a regular early childhood program and receiving services in a regular early childhood program	82.27%	≥ 85.50%	86.41%
Percent of children aged 3 – 5 with disabilities attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	8.82%	≤ 6.30%	5.36%

Indicator 7A & 7B & 7C: Preschool Outcomes			
Summary Statements	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social	relationships)		
1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	80.53%	≥81.09%	81.34%
2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program	67.90%	≥ 67.76%	68.55%
Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication ar	nd early literacy)	
Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	84.11%	≥ 82.11%	80.62%
2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program	70.37%	≥ 69.34%	69.00%
Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs			
1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	84.31%	≥ 82.08%	77.97%
2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program	75.93%	≥ 72.12%	71.23%

Survey Responses AU Rate State SPP Target Performation	ance
Indicator 9: Disproportionality — Child With a Disability Disproportionality Does the AU have disproportionale representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality — Eligibility Category Disproportionality AU State SPP Target Performa 0.00% 0.00% 1.00% AU State SPP State Performa AU State SPP State SPP Target State SPP State SPP Target Specific Spe	ance
Does the AU have disproportionality representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category Disproportionality AU State SPP Output State SPP State SPP State State SPP State Services State SPP State Services State SPP State Services State SPP STATE	ance
Does the AU have disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality – Eligibility Category Disproportionality AU State SPP State Results Target Performance AU State SPP Target Performance Does the AU have disproportionate representation of	ance
racial/ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? Indicator 10: Disproportionality – Eligibility Category Disproportionality AU Results Target Performa	ance
Disproportionality AU State SPP State Performa Does the AU have disproportionate representation of	ance
Disproportionality AU State SPP State Performa Does the AU have disproportionate representation of	ance
Does the AU have disproportionate representation of Results Target Performa	
result of inappropriate identification?	
Indicator 11: Child Find	
Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines AU Rate State SPP Target Performa	
Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days 94.19% 100.00%	%
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition	
Transition from Part C to Part B AU Rate State Target Performa	
Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not eligible) 82.51% 100.00% 97.20%	6
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	
Transition AU Rate State SPP State Target Performance Performance State SPP Target Performance Performance State SPP State SPP State SPP Target Performance State SPP State SPP State SPP Target State SPP STA	
Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP which includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals 100.00% 100.00%	⁄o
Indicator 13 Questions: Percent of IEPs reviewed that met the following criteria	
Q1: Are there appropriate measurable postsecondary goals in the areas of training and education/employment and 100% 100 98.93% career/independent living skills?	%
Q2: Are the postsecondary goals updated annually? 100% 100 88.71%	/ ₀
Q3: Is there evidence that the measurable postsecondary goals were based on age appropriate transition assessment(s)? 100% 100 97.34%	/ ₀

Indicator 13 Questions (continued): Percent of IEPs reviewed that met the following criteria				
Q4: Are there transition services in the IEP that will reasonably enable the student to meet his or her postsecondary goals?	100.00%	100	92.80%	
Q5: Do the transition services include courses of study that will reasonably enable the student to meet his or her postsecondary goals?	100.00%	100	95.02%	
Q6: Is (are) there annual IEP goal(s) related to the student's transition services needs?	100.00%	100	96.68%	
Q7: Is there evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services were discussed?	100.00%	100	99.34%	
Q8: Where appropriate - Is there evidence that a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority?	100.00%	100	95.23%	

⁶ Secondary Transition requires that the IEP meets ALL the criteria below. Therefore the State Performance % will be lower than any single criteria score.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes				
Transition	AU Rate	State SPP Target	State Performance	
Percent of youth who, within one year of leaving high school, had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school, and have been:				
a) Enrolled in higher education	3.39%	≥ 32.50%	24.62%	
b) Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed	33.90%	≥ 61.00%	56.43%	
c) Enrolled in higher education or some other education; or competitively employed or in some other employment	50.85%	≥ 69.00%	73.60%	