State Performance Plan Indicator Profile - FFY 2013

| AU Name: | AU Code: |
|--------------------|----------|
| Adams 1 (Mapleton) | 01010 |

| Indicator 1: Graduation Rates ^{1,4} | | | |
|--|---------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Graduation Rates | AU Rate | State SPP Target ² | State Performance ³ |
| Percent of students with disabilities aged $14-21$ who graduated with a regular diploma within 4 years of entering 9^{th} grade | 46.03% | ≥ 80.00% | 53.80% |
| Percent of students with disabilities aged $14-21$ who graduated with a regular diploma within 5 years of entering 9^{th} grade | 51.85% | | 63.10% |
| Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who graduated with a regular diploma within 6 years of entering 9 th grade | 53.06% | | 67.03% |
| Percent of students with disabilities aged $14-21$ who graduated with a regular diploma within 7 years of entering 9^{th} grade | 45.71% | | 68.83% |

¹ Definition is aligned with <u>ESEA</u>

⁴ For more specific school or district information, please go to Schoolview.org

| Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates ⁵ | | | |
|--|---------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Drop-Out Rates | AU Rate | State SPP Target | State Performance |
| Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who dropped out | 20.59% | ≤ 26.2% | 25.31% |

⁵ Definition is aligned with IDEA

| Indicator 3A, 3B & 3C: Assessment | | | |
|--|---------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Participation and Performance Rates | AU Rate | State SPP Target | State Performance |
| Participation rate of students with disabilities for Reading assessments | 98.29% | ≥ 95.00% | 97.79% |
| Participation rate of students with disabilities for Math assessments | 98.47% | ≥ 95.00% | 97.44% |
| Proficiency rate for Reading assessments CSAP & CSAPA | 23.45% | ≥ 28.86% | 22.56% |
| Proficiency rate for Math assessments CSAP & CSAPA | 16.47% | ≥ 25.95% | 19.50% |

| Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion | |
|--|---|
| Overall state rate of students with disabilities with long-term suspensions/expulsions | 0.437994 per 100 students with disabilities |
| Cut-off for Significant Discrepancy from State Median | 2.627964 per 100 students with disabilities |
| AU Rate | 0.58 |
| AU Rate Exceed Benchmark? | No |
| Does the AU have policies and procedures that DO contribute to inappropriate identification? | No |

 $^{^{2}\,}$ Target set by Office of Federal Programs Administration, CDE for ESEA

³ Does not include students in Eligible Facilities or State Operated Programs

| Indicator 5A & 5B & 5C: School Age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) | | | |
|---|---------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Program/Placement | AU Rate | State SPP Target | State Performance |
| Percent of students with disabilities in regular class at least 80% of the time | 86.97% | ≥71.30% | 72.11% |
| Percent of students with disabilities in regular class less than 40% of the time | 10.11% | < 7.30% | 7.19% |
| Percent of students with disabilities in separate schools/facilities, homebound or hospital | 0.80% | < 3.50% | 2.63% |

| Indicator 6A & 6B: Preschool LRE | | | |
|--|---------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Program/Placement | AU Rate | State SPP Target | State Performance |
| Percent of children aged 3 – 5 with disabilities attending a regular early childhood program and receiving services in a regular early childhood program | 98.18% | ≥ 85.50% | 85.26% |
| Percent of children aged $3-5$ with disabilities attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility | 0.91% | ≤ 6.30% | 6.63.% |

| Indicator 7A & 7B & 7C: Preschool Outcomes | | | |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Summary Statements | AU Rate | State SPP Target | State Performance |
| Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social | relationships) | | |
| 1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program | 86.70% | ≥81.09% | 81.19% |
| 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program | 66.70% | ≥ 67.76% | 67.76% |
| Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication at | nd early literacy |) | |
| 1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program | 75.00% | ≥ 82.11% | 82.11% |
| 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program | 73.30% | ≥ 69.34% | 69.34% |
| Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs | S | | |
| 1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program | 82.80% | ≥ 82.08% | 82.08% |
| 2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program | 75.60% | ≥ 72.12% | 72.12% |

| Indicator 8: Parent Involvement | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Survey Responses | AU Rate | State SPP Target | State Performance |
| Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results | not in survey | ≥ 52.00% | 57.32% |
| Indicator 9: Disproportionality – Child With a Disabili | ity | | |
| Disproportionality | AU Results | State SPP Target | State Performance |
| Does the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification? | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Indicator 10: Disproportionality – Eligibility Category | | | |
| Indicator 10: Disproportionanty – Engionity Category | AU | State SPP | State |
| Disproportionality | Results | Target | Performance |
| Does the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in, specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification? | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Indicator 11: Child Find | | | |
| Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines | AU Rate | State SPP Target | State Performance |
| Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days | 100.00% | 100.00% | 97.83% |
| Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition | | | |
| Transition from Part C to Part B | AU Rate | State Target | State Performance |
| Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not eligible) | 100.00% | 100.00% | 98.23% |
| Indicator 13: Secondary Transition | | | |
| Transition | AU Rate | State SPP Target | State Performance |
| Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP which includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals | 100.00% | 100.00% | 92.41% |
| Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes | | | |
| Transition | AU Rate | State SPP Target | State Performance |
| Percent of youth who, within one year of leaving high school, ha | d IEPs, are no lon | ger in secondary s | chool, and have been: |
| a) Enrolled in higher education | n size <16 | ≥ 32.50% | 26.57% |
| b) Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed | n size <16 | ≥ 61.00% | 74.43% |
| c) Enrolled in higher education or some other education; or competitively employed or in some other employment | n size <16 | ≥ 69.00% | 83.86% |