

Administrative Unit State Performance Plan Indicator Profile 2011 - 2012

Administrative Unit: Denver Public Schoo	ls
Total Student Enrollment	80,890
Total Students with Disabilities Served	8,973
Infants Aged 0 – 2	0
Aged 3 – 5	1,167
Aged 6 – 21	7,806

Colorado's State Performance Plan (2011) Data Indicators

Indicator 1: Graduation Rates 1, 4			
Graduation Rates	AU Rate	State Target ²	State Performance ³
Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who graduated with a regular diploma within 4 years of entering 9 th grade	38.5%		53.7%
Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who graduated with a regular diploma within 5 years of entering 9 th grade	44.2%	80%	60.9%
Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who graduated with a regular diploma within 6 years of entering 9 th grade	49.5%		64.9%

¹ Definition is aligned with <u>ESEA</u>

Target set by Office of Federal Programs Administration, CDE for ESEA

Does not include students in Eligible Facilities or State Operated Programs

For more specific school or district information, please go to Schoolview.org

Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates			
Drop-Out Rates	AU Rate	State Target	State Performance
Percent of students with disabilities aged 14 – 21 who dropped out	48.84%	27.2%	29.35%

Indicator 3: Assessment			
Participation and Performance Rates	AU Rate	State Target	State Performance
Participation rate of students with disabilities for Reading assessments	97.73%	100%	97.36%
Participation rate of students with disabilities for Math assessments	98.21%	100%	97.77%
Proficiency rate for Reading assessments CSAP & CSAPA	13.35%	NA	22.86%
Proficiency rate for Math assessments CSAP & CSAPA	11.55%	NA	19.95%

Indicator 4: Suspension/Expulsion	
Discipline Rates Per 100 Students	
Overall state rate of students with disabilities with long-term suspensions/expulsions	0.70 per 100 students with disabilities
Cut-off for Significant Discrepancy from State Median	4.2 per 100 students with disabilities
AU Rate	0.87 per 100 students with disabilities
AU Rate Exceed Benchmark?	No
Does the AU demonstrate disproportionate representation of suspensions/expulsions by race/ethnicity that is inappropriate?	No

Indicator 5: School Age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)			
Program/Placement	AU Rate	State Target	State Performance
Percent of students with disabilities in regular class at least 80% of the time	70.5%	71.3%	72.1%
Percent of students with disabilities in regular class less than 40% of the time	11.9%	7.3%	7.4%
Percent of students with disabilities in separate schools/facilities, homebound or hospital	2.3%	3.6%	3.0%

Indicator 6: Preschool LRE			
Program/Placement	AU Rate	State Target	State Performance
Percent of children aged 3 – 5 with disabilities attending a regular early childhood program and receiving services in a regular early childhood program			
Percent of children aged 3 – 5 with disabilities attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility			

Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes			
Summary Statements	AU Rate	State Target	State Performance
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional (including social relations			
1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	84%	84.1%	82.4%
2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program	68%	85.3%	74.3%
Outcome B: Acquisition and use of kno (including early language)			eracy)
1) Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	84%	76.7%	84.8%
2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program	66%	74.5%	74.1%
Outcome C: Use of appropriate behavior	ors to meet th	neir needs	
Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	81%	80.8%	80.9%
2) The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program	71%	85.2%	77.1%

Indicator 8: Parent Involvement			
Survey Responses	AU Rate	State Target	State Performance
Percent of respondents to the parent survey reporting that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results	27.9%	51%	43.0%

Indicator 9: Disproportionality – Child With a Disability			
Disproportionality	Inappropriate Identification	State Target	State Performance
Does the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, which is the result of inappropriate identification?	No	0%	3.4%

Indicator 10: Disproportionality – Eligibility Category			
Disproportionality	Inappropriate Identification	State Target	State Performance
Does the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in, specific disability categories, which is the result of inappropriate identification?	Yes	0%	6.9%

Indicator 11: Child Find			
Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines	AU Rate	State Target	State Performance
Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days	98.4%	100%	99.2%

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition					
Transition from Part C to Part B	AU Rate	State Target	State Performance		
Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not eligible)	100%	100%	99%		

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition					
Transition	AU Rate	State Target	State Performance		
Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP which includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post- secondary goals	88%	100%	89%		

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes					
Transition	AU Rate	State Target	State Performance		
Percent of youth who, within one year of leaving high school, had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school, and have been:					
a) Enrolled in higher education	x	32.0%	28%		
b) Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed	x	59.5%	71%		
c) Enrolled in higher education or some other education; or competitively employed or in some other employment	x	66.0%	81%		