



## **Administrative Unit State Performance Plan Indicator Profile** 2011 - 2012

| Administrative Unit: Centennial BOCES   |       |
|---|-------|
| Total Student Enrollment                | 8,212 |
| Total Students with Disabilities Served | 779   |
| Infants Aged 0 – 2                      | 0     |
| Aged 3 – 5                              | 108   |
| Aged 6 – 21                             | 671   |

## Colorado's State Performance Plan (2011) Data Indicators

| Indicator 1: Graduation Rates <sup>1, 4</sup>   |         |                              |                                   |
|---|---------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Graduation Rates  | AU Rate | State<br>Target <sup>2</sup> | State<br>Performance <sup>3</sup> |
| Percent of students with disabilities aged<br>14 – 21 who graduated with a regular<br>diploma within 4 years of entering 9 <sup>th</sup><br>grade | 69.4%   |                              | 53.7%                             |
| Percent of students with disabilities aged<br>14 – 21 who graduated with a regular<br>diploma within 5 years of entering 9 <sup>th</sup><br>grade | 64.3%   | 80%                          | 60.9%                             |
| Percent of students with disabilities aged<br>14 – 21 who graduated with a regular<br>diploma within 6 years of entering 9 <sup>th</sup><br>grade | 63.0%   |                              | 64.9%                             |

<sup>1</sup> Definition is aligned with ESEA

<sup>2</sup> Target set by Office of Federal Programs Administration, CDE for ESEA
 <sup>3</sup> Does not include students in Eligible Facilities or State Operated Programs
 <sup>4</sup> For more specific school or district information, please go to Schoolview.org

| Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates  |         |                 |                      |
|--|---------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Drop-Out Rates   | AU Rate | State<br>Target | State<br>Performance |
| Percent of students with disabilities aged<br>14 – 21 who dropped out or moved and<br>are not known to be continuing | 7.89%   | 27.2%           | 29.35%               |

| Indicator 3: Assessment  |         |                 |                      |
|--|---------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Participation and Performance Rates                                      | AU Rate | State<br>Target | State<br>Performance |
| Participation rate of students with disabilities for Reading assessments | 99.12%  | 100%            | 97.36%               |
| Participation rate of students with disabilities for Math assessments    | 99.12%  | 100%            | 97.77%               |
| Proficiency rate for Reading assessments CSAP & CSAPA                    | 16.03%  | NA              | 22.86%               |
| Proficiency rate for Math assessments<br>CSAP & CSAPA                    | 10.11%  | NA              | 19.95%               |

| Indicator 4: Suspension/Expulsion   |   |
|---|---|
| Discipline Rates Per 100 Students   |   |
| Overall state rate of students with disabilities with long-term suspensions/expulsions  | 0.70 per 100 students with disabilities |
| Cut-off for Significant Discrepancy from State Median   | 4.2 per 100 students with disabilities  |
| AU Rate   | Х                                       |
| AU Rate Exceed Benchmark?   | No                                      |
| Does the AU demonstrate<br>disproportionate representation of<br>suspensions/expulsions by race/ethnicity<br>that is inappropriate? | Νο                                      |

| Indicator 5: School Age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)                                 |         |                 |                      |
|---|---------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Program/Placement   | AU Rate | State<br>Target | State<br>Performance |
| Percent of students with disabilities in regular class at least 80% of the time             | 75.6%   | 71.3%           | 72.1%                |
| Percent of students with disabilities in regular class less than 40% of the time            | 2.7%    | 7.3%            | 7.4%                 |
| Percent of students with disabilities in separate schools/facilities, homebound or hospital | 3.0%    | 3.6%            | 3.0%                 |

| Indicator 6: Preschool LRE  |         |                 |                      |
|---|---------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Program/Placement   | AU Rate | State<br>Target | State<br>Performance |
| Percent of children aged 3 – 5 with<br>disabilities attending a regular early<br>childhood program and receiving services<br>in a regular early childhood program |         |                 |                      |
| Percent of children aged 3 – 5 with<br>disabilities attending a separate special<br>education class, separate school or<br>residential facility                   |         |                 |                      |

| Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes   |                |                 |                      |
|---|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Summary Statements  | AU Rate        | State<br>Target | State<br>Performance |
| Outcome A: Positive social-emotional<br>(including social relations   |                |                 |                      |
| <ol> <li>Of those children who entered or<br/>exited the program below age<br/>expectations in Outcome A, the<br/>percent who substantially<br/>increased their rate of growth by<br/>the time they exited the program</li> </ol> | <b>9</b> 2%    | 84.1%           | 82.4%                |
| <ol> <li>The percent of children who were<br/>functioning within age<br/>expectations in Outcome A by the<br/>time they exited the program</li> </ol>   | 81%            | 85.3%           | 74.3%                |
| Outcome B: Acquisition and use of kno<br>(including early language)   |                |                 | eracy)               |
| <ol> <li>Of those children who entered or<br/>exited the program below age<br/>expectations in Outcome B, the<br/>percent who substantially<br/>increased their rate of growth by<br/>the time they exited the program</li> </ol> | 89%            | 76.7%           | 84.8%                |
| <ol> <li>The percent of children who were<br/>functioning within age<br/>expectations in Outcome B by the<br/>time they exited the program</li> </ol>   | 81%            | 74.5%           | 74.1%                |
| Outcome C: Use of appropriate behavior  | ors to meet th | neir needs      |                      |
| <ol> <li>Of those children who entered or<br/>exited the program below age<br/>expectations in Outcome C, the<br/>percent who substantially<br/>increased their rate of growth by<br/>the time they exited the program</li> </ol> | 80%            | 80.8%           | 80.9%                |
| <ol> <li>The percent of children who were<br/>functioning within age<br/>expectations in Outcome C by the<br/>time they exited the program</li> </ol>   | 84%            | 85.2%           | 77.1%                |

| Indicator 8: Parent Involvement  |         |                 |                      |
|--|---------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Survey Responses   | AU Rate | State<br>Target | State<br>Performance |
| Percent of respondents to the parent<br>survey reporting that schools facilitated<br>parent involvement to improve services<br>and results | 38.1%   | 51%             | 43.0%                |

| Indicator 9: Disproportionality – Child With a Disability  |                                 |                 |                      |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Disproportionality   | Inappropriate<br>Identification | State<br>Target | State<br>Performance |
| Does the district have disproportionate<br>representation of racial and ethnic<br>groups in special education and related<br>services, which is the result of<br>inappropriate identification? | No                              | 0%              | 3.4%                 |

| Indicator 10: Disproportionality – Eligibility Category   |                                 |                 |                      |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Disproportionality  | Inappropriate<br>Identification | State<br>Target | State<br>Performance |
| Does the district have disproportionate<br>representation of racial and ethnic<br>groups in, specific disability categories,<br>which is the result of inappropriate<br>identification? | No                              | 0%              | 6.9%                 |

| Indicator 11: Child Find  |         |                 |                      |
|---|---------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines  | AU Rate | State<br>Target | State<br>Performance |
| Percent of children with parental consent<br>to evaluate, who were evaluated and<br>eligibility was determined within 60 days | 100%    | 100%            | 99.2%                |

| Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition   |         |                 |                      |  |  |
|--|---------|-----------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Transition from Part C to Part B   | AU Rate | State<br>Target | State<br>Performance |  |  |
| Percent of children referred by Part C prior<br>to age 3, whose eligibility was determined<br>with an IEP implemented by their third<br>birthday (includes students found not<br>eligible) | 100%    | 100%            | 99%                  |  |  |

| Indicator 13: Secondary Transition   |         |                 |                      |  |
|--|---------|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| Transition   | AU Rate | State<br>Target | State<br>Performance |  |
| Percent of youth aged 16 and above with<br>an IEP which includes coordinated,<br>measurable, annual IEP goals and<br>transition services that will reasonably<br>enable the student to meet the post-<br>secondary goals | *       | 100%            | 89%                  |  |

\*AU not included in sample; was sampled in 2010-11

| Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes   |         |                 |                      |  |  |
|--|---------|-----------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Transition   | AU Rate | State<br>Target | State<br>Performance |  |  |
| Percent of youth who, <i>within one year of leaving high school</i> , had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school, and have been:              |         |                 |                      |  |  |
| a) Enrolled in higher education  | x       | 32.0%           | 28%                  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>b) Enrolled in higher education or<br/>competitively employed</li> </ul>  | x       | 59.5%           | 71%                  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>c) Enrolled in higher education or<br/>some other education; or<br/>competitively employed or in some<br/>other employment</li> </ul> | x       | 66.0%           | 81%                  |  |  |